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INTRODUCTION

In 1946, Arens [1] first introduced the concept of a locally m-convex topological algebra. A locally m-convex algebra is a topological algebra over the complex field with a base \( \{ U_i \}_{i \in T} \) for the neighborhoods of the origin such that each \( U_i \) is convex, symmetric and \( U_i U_j \subset U_1 \). Equivalently, \( E \) is locally m-convex if and only if, there is a set \( \{ q_i : i \in T \} \) of semi-norms on \( E \) such that (i) the sets of form \( \{ x \in E : q_i(x) \leq b \} \), for \( i \in T \), \( b > 0 \), form a base of neighborhoods of 0, and (ii) \( q_i(xy) \leq q_i(x)q_i(y) \) for \( i \in T \), \( x, y \in E \). A Fréchet algebra is a complete, metrizable, locally m-convex algebra. For example: (i) A Banach algebra is a Fréchet algebra, but the converse is not true. (ii) The algebra \( C(R) \) of continuous real valued functions on the real line is a Fréchet algebra with semi-norms \( q_n(f) = \sup_{|x| \leq n} |f(x)| \), \( n \geq 1 \) and pointwise multiplication. The standard work on locally m-convex algebra is the memoirs of Michael [12].

In this thesis, we mostly deal with Fréchet algebras with a Schauder base and establish some propositions which establish some relations with semi-norms, coordinate functionals defined by the base and identity, with a view to answering partly the following open question for all commutative Fréchet algebras: Is every multiplicative linear functional on a commutative Fréchet algebra continuous? Unfortunately, we do not as yet have a solution to this problem.

In Chapter I, we state some important propositions, taken from [5] and [16], which are needed to prove our results in Chapters 2 to 5.
Definitions introduced here can be found in [12], [14], and [16].

Chapter 2 deals with the following problem: If a Fréchet algebra $A$ possess a sequence $H = \{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $x = \sum_{i \neq 1} a_i x_i$, does there exist a relation between the coefficients $\{a_i\}$ and the semi-norms $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where the latter form an increasing sequence of semi-norms and define the topology of $A$? Moreover, we also investigate the relations among $\{a_i\}$, $\{q_n\}$ and the identity $e$, if $H = \{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Schauder basis of $A$ and $A$ has an identity $e$. We have found some useful relations among them e.g. see theorem 2.1, theorem 2.2, theorem 2.3, and theorem 2.4. These results will be used to prove some major results in Chapter 3.

Chapter 3 is motivated by Michael's problem mentioned above: In 1952, Michael asked whether every multiplicative linear functional on a commutative Fréchet algebra is continuous. This problem is still open. However, we prove that the answer for certain classes of Fréchet algebras is affirmative.

In Chapter 4, we prove some results on Fréchet spaces and Fréchet algebras. In particular, considerations are given to the case where the Schauder basis consists of $H = \{e, z, z^2, \ldots\}$ or $H = \{z, z^2, z^3, \ldots\}$.

In Chapter 5 we generalize some representation theorems on Banach *- algebras to Fréchet *- algebras.

Finally, the results of Chapter 2 - Chapter 4 constitute the main part of the thesis, while the results of Chapter 5 are generalized cases of two well-known representation theorems. They are given here to complete a reasonably comprehensive picture of our study.
Chapter 1

PRELIMINARIES

(1) Fundamental properties of topological vector spaces.

We say that a family $T$ of semi-norms on a vector space is saturated if for any finite subfamily $(q_i)$ of $T$ the semi-norm $\max_i q_i$ also belongs to $T$. A locally convex topology can always be defined by a saturated family of semi-norms.

**Proposition 1.1.** Let $E$ be a locally convex space whose topology is defined by a saturated family $(q_i)_{i \in I}$ of semi-norms. A linear form $f$ on $E$ is continuous if and only if there exists a semi-norm $q_r$ and a positive number $M$ such that $|f(x)| \leq M q_r(x)$ for every $x \in E$.

**Proof.** See page 97 of [5]. It is a particular case of proposition 2.

**Proposition 1.2.** Let the locally convex topology $T$ on the vector space $E$ be defined by the family $(q_i)_{i \in I}$ of semi-norms. Then $T$ is Hausdorff if and only if for every $x \neq 0$ in $E$ there exists an index $i \in I$ such that $q_i(x) \neq 0$.

**Proof.** See page 96 of [5].

**Proposition 1.3.** Let $E$ be a locally convex Hausdorff space whose topology $T$ is defined by an increasing sequence $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of semi-norms. The map $x \mapsto |x|$ from $E$ into $\mathbb{R}_+$, where

$$|x| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{q_n(x)}{1+q_n(x)}$$

has the following properties:
(a) $|x|=0$ if and only if $x=0$,
(b) $|x|=|-x|$,
(c) $|x+y| \leq |x|+|y|$,
(d) $|\lambda| \leq 1$ implies $|\lambda x| \leq |x|$,
(e) $\lambda \to 0$ implies $|\lambda x| \to 0$ for every $x \in E$,
(f) $x \to 0$ implies $|\lambda x| \to 0$ for every $\lambda \in C$.

Furthermore, the metric $d(x,y)=|x-y|$ defines the topology $T$ and is translation invariant.

Proof. See page 114 of [5].

N.B. A metric $\delta$ on a vector space $E$ is said to be translation-invariant if $\delta(x+a,y+a)=\delta(x,y)$.

Proposition 1.4. A locally convex space is metrizable if and only if it is Hausdorff and its topology can be defined by a countable family of semi-norms.

Proof. See page 113 of [5].

Proposition 1.5. If $L \neq \{0\}$ is a locally compact Hausdorff topological vector space over the complex field, then $L$ is of finite dimension.

Proof. See page 23 of [6].

Proposition 1.6. A Hausdorff topological vector space $L$ is normable if and only if $L$ possesses a bounded, convex neighborhood of $0$.

Proof. See page 41 of [16].

Proposition 1.7. The product of a family of normable spaces is normable if and only if the number of factors $\neq \{0\}$ is finite.

Proof. See page 41 of [16].

Proposition 1.8. Let $A$ be a locally convex Hausdorff space whose
topology $T$ is defined by an increasing sequence $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of semi-norms. Then $|x_i - x_j| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q_n(x_i - x_j)}{2^n + q_n(x_i - x_j)} \to 0$ as $i, j \to \infty$ if and only if for each $q_n$, $q_n(x_i - x_j) \to 0$ as $i, j \to \infty$.

**Proof.** Necessity: For each $q_n$, put $U_{n, \epsilon} = \{x \in A | q_n(x) < \epsilon \}$. By proposition 1.3, since the topology defined by the metric $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ coincides with $T$, there exists a $V_\delta = \{x \in A | |x| < \delta \}$ such that $V_\delta \subset U_{n, \epsilon}$, $|x_i - x_j| \to 0$ as $i, j \to \infty$ implies that there exists a positive integer $N_\delta > 0$ such that $|x_i - x_j| < \delta$ whenever $i, j > N_\delta$. Therefore, $x_i - x_j \in V_\delta \subset U_{n, \epsilon}$ whenever $i, j > N_\delta$; i.e. for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $N_\delta$ dependent on $\epsilon$ and $n$, such that $q_n(x_i - x_j) < \epsilon$ whenever $i, j > N_\delta$. This implies that, for each $q_n$, $q_n(x_i - x_j) \to 0$ as $i, j \to \infty$.

Sufficiency: For each $\epsilon > 0$, let $V_\epsilon = \{x \in A | |x| < \epsilon \}$. Then there exists a $U_{n, \epsilon} = \{x \in A | q_n(x) < \epsilon \}$ such that $U_{n, \epsilon} \subset V_\epsilon$. $q_n(x_i - x_j) \to 0$ as $i, j \to \infty$ implies that for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer $N_\epsilon > 0$ such that $q_n(x_i - x_j) < \epsilon$ whenever $i, j > N_\epsilon$, i.e. $x_i - x_j \in U_{n, \epsilon} \subset V_\epsilon$ whenever $i, j > N_\epsilon$. Therefore, for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $N_\epsilon$ depending on $\epsilon$ such that $|x_i - x_j| < \epsilon$ whenever $i, j > N_\epsilon$. This implies that $|x_i - x_j| \to 0$ as $i, j \to \infty$.

**Proposition 1.8'.** Let $A$ be a locally convex Hausdorff space whose topology is defined by an increasing sequence $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of semi-norms. Then $|x_i - x|^p = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{q_n(x_i - x)}{2^n + q_n(x_i - x)} \right)^p \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$ if and only if for each $q_n$, $q_n(x_i - x) \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$.

**Proof.** Using a proof similar to that of Proposition 1.8, the result follows.

(2) Fundamental Concepts of topological algebras

**Definition 1.1.** A topological algebra is an algebra over the complex
field which is a topological linear space such that the ring multiplication is separately continuous, i.e. the map \( \phi: A \times A \rightarrow A, \, \phi(x, y) = xy \), is a continuous function of each argument separately.

**Definition 1.2.** Let \( A \) be an algebra. A subset \( U \) in \( A \) is said to be symmetric if \( x \in U \) and \( |\alpha| = 1 \) (\( \alpha \) is any complex number) imply \( \alpha x \in U \).

**Definition 1.3.** A subset \( U \) in an algebra \( A \) is called \( m \)-convex if \( U \) is convex and \( U U \subseteq U \).

**Definition 1.4.** A topological algebra is said to be locally \( m \)-convex if there exists a basis for the neighborhoods of the origin consisting of \( m \)-convex symmetric sets.

**Definition 1.5.** A Fréchet algebra is a complete, metrizable locally \( m \)-convex algebra.

By Arens theorem ([21], page 23) in each Fréchet algebra, the map \( \phi \) of definition 1.1 is continuous in both variables together.

**Definition 1.6.** A Fréchet space is a complete and metrizable locally convex space.

**Example 1.1.** The algebra \( C(-\infty, \infty) \) of all complex valued continuous functions on the real line with the topology defined by the semi-norms \( q_n(x) = \text{Max} |x(\lambda)| \) where \( x \in C(-\infty, \infty), \, n=1,2,3,... \) is a Fréchet algebra. It is known that ([12], page 56) every multiplicative linear functional on \( C(-\infty, \infty) \) is continuous.

**Example 1.2.** The topological algebra \( S = \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ...) | \alpha_i \in C\} \), where \( C \) is the complex field, whose ring multiplication is defined by \( (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ...) x (\beta_1, \beta_2, ...) = (\alpha_1 \beta_1, \alpha_2 \beta_2, ...) \) and whose topology is defined by the semi-norms \( q_n[(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ...)] = \text{Max} |\alpha_i| \) is a Fréchet algebra. The algebra \( S \) contains...
an identity \( (1,1,1,...) \) while the Banach algebra \( C_0 \) of sequences converging to zero does not have an identity.

**Example 1.3.** Every Banach algebra is a Fréchet algebra.

**Definition 1.7.** If \( A \) is a (topological) algebra, then \( A^+ \) will denote the algebra which we get by adding a unit to \( A \). \( A^+ \) is merely the vector space, direct sum of \( A \) and the complex numbers (in the Cartesian product topology), with multiplication defined by \( (x,\lambda)(y,\mu) = (xy+\lambda y+\mu x,\lambda \mu) \) and \( (0,1) \) is the identity of \( A^+ \). If \( A \) is a Fréchet (or Banach) algebra, so is \( A^+ \).

**Definition 1.8.** A topological algebra \( A \) is called functionally continuous if every multiplicative linear functional on \( A \) is continuous.

**Lemma 1.1.** If \( A \) is a locally \( m \)-convex algebra, then so is \( A^+ \).

**Proof.** See pp. 10 of [12].

**Lemma 1.2.** Let \( A \) be a locally \( m \)-convex algebra.

(a) If \( A \) is an ideal in a locally \( m \)-convex algebra \( B \), and if \( B \) is functionally continuous, then so is \( A \).

(b) If \( A^+ \) is functionally continuous, then so is \( A \).

**Proof.** See pp. 53 of [12].

**Definition 1.9.** Let \( A \) be a topological vector space, and \( \{x_i\}_{i \in I} \) is a sequence of \( A \). We write \( x = \sum_{i \in I} a_i x_i \in A \) if the partial sums \( \sum_{i = 1}^n a_i x_i \) converge to \( x(eA) \), as \( n \to \infty \).

**Lemma 1.3.** Let \( A \) be a Fréchet algebra. Then, for arbitrary elements

\[ \sum_{i \in I} a_i x_i, \sum_{j \in J} \beta_j x_j \] of \( A \), where \( \{x_i\}, \{x_j\} \subseteq A \), we have

(i) \( \sum_{i \in I} a_i x_i = \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j (x_j y) \) and \( \alpha_j (\sum_{i \in I} a_i x_i) = \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j (x_j y) \).

(ii) \( \sum_{i \in I} a_i x_i (\sum_{j \in J} \beta_j x_j') = \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{i \in I} a_i \beta_j (x_i x_j') = \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} a_i \beta_j (x_i x_j') \).
Consider the map $\phi: \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ where $\phi((x,y)) = xy$. We observe that $\phi(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i (x_i y)$ and $\phi((\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i, y)) = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i) y$.

Since $\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i, y \rangle$ converges to $\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i, y \rangle$, and $\phi$ is continuous, it follows that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i (x_i y)$ converges to $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i (x_i y)$. Hence $(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i) y = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i) y$.

Similarly, we can prove that $y(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i) = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i y)$.

**Definition 1.10.** A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a Fréchet space is called a Schauder basis if each $x \in E$ has a unique representation $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n$, i.e. there exists a unique sequence $\{a_n\}$ of scalars such that the partial sums $\sum_{n=1}^{k} a_n x_n$ converge to $x$ as $k \to \infty$.

**Remark.** Some other books ([11] and [17]) call such a sequence $\{x_n\}$ a basis of $A$, and the coefficients $\{a_n\}$ which depend linearly on $x$ the coefficient functionals of the basis $\{x_n\}$. Further, if each element of $\{a_n\}$ is continuous on $A$, they call such a basis a Schauder basis. By Newn's theorem (page 126 of [11]), every basis for a complete metrizable linear space is a Schauder basis. Therefore, the coefficient functionals $\{a_n\}$ of the basis $\{x_n\}$ for a Fréchet space are all continuous.

**Example 1.4.** The sequence $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for which $x_n = 1$ and $x_m = 0$ when $m \neq n$ constitute a Schauder basis in each of the spaces $\ell^p$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$), $C_0$ and $S$ (see example 1.2). If we make the space $\ell^p$ or $C_0$ into an algebra, the multiplication is the same as that in $S$.

**Definition 1.11.** A semi-norm $q$ on a topological algebra $A$ is called submultiplicative if $q(xy) \leq q(x)q(y)$ for all $x, y \in A$. 
(3) Some known results on the continuity of multiplicative linear functionals

Definition 1.12. A linear functional on an algebra is said to be multiplicative if \( f(xy) = f(x)f(y) \), for \( x, y \in A \).

Theorem 1.1. Let \( A \) be a complex (or real) Banach algebra. Then every multiplicative linear functional \( f \) on \( A \) is continuous.

Proof. Let \( x \) be any element of \( A \). We claim that \( |f(x)| \leq |x| \). If \( f \) is zero-functional, then this inequality is obvious. Assume \( f(x) \neq 0 \), and \( |f(x)| > |x| \) or \( 1 > \left| \frac{x}{f(x)} \right| \).

Put \( Z = \frac{x}{f(x)} \), \( Y_n = \frac{n}{i^k} Z^i \).

First observe that \( |Z| < 1 \) implies that \( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| Z \right|^i \leq \frac{\left| Z \right|}{1 - \left| Z \right|} < \infty \). Therefore, for each positive number \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists a positive integer \( N_\varepsilon > 0 \) such that \( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| Z \right|^i \leq \varepsilon \) whenever \( t > N_\varepsilon \). This implies that, for each \( \varepsilon > 0 \),

\[ |Y_n - Y_m| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| Z \right|^i \right| \leq \sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \left| Z \right|^i < \varepsilon, \quad \text{whenever } n, m \geq N_\varepsilon. \]

This implies that \( |Y_n - Y_m| \to 0 \) as \( n, m \to \infty \). Since \( A \) is complete, \( Y = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Z^i \in A \), \( ZY = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Z^i = Y - Z \) implies that \( f(ZY) = f(Y) - f(Z) \) or \( f(Y) = f(Y) - 1 \), which is impossible. So \( |f(x)| \leq |x| \). By proposition 1.1, \( f \) is continuous.

In particular, \( C_0 \), \( V \) are functionally continuous. It is also known that the Fréchet algebra \( S \) is functionally continuous (see Example 1.2).

However, the question, whether every multiplicative linear functional on a commutative \( F \)-algebra is continuous, is still unsolved in spite of considerable interest and effort. The following are a number of results due to Michael [12], Husain and Ng [8], [9], in which an affirmative answer is given for commutative Fréchet algebras satisfying
Theorem 1.2. (Michael). Let $T$ be a connected, open subset of the complex plane. Let $A$ be the algebra of functions which are analytic on $T$, in the compact open topology. Then $A$ is a functionally continuous Fréchet algebra.

Theorem 1.3. (Michael). Let $A$ be a commutative Fréchet algebra which is also a symmetric $*$-algebra (a symmetric $*$-algebra $A$ is a $*$-algebra with the property that $xx^*$ is quasi-regular for every $x \in A$). Then $A$ is functionally continuous.

Theorem 1.4. (Husain and Ng [9]). If $A$ is a commutative sequentially complete locally $m$-convex topological algebra over the complex field that is symmetric with a continuous involution, then every multiplicative linear functional on $A$ is bounded.

Remark. Theorem 1.4 generalizes theorem 1.3. Since every commutative symmetric Fréchet algebra $A$ is a commutative sequentially complete locally $m$-convex topological algebra, by theorem 1.4, every multiplicative linear functional on $A$ is bounded. But every bounded linear functional on a metrizable, locally convex space is continuous, and hence $A$ is functionally continuous.

Theorem 1.5. (Husain and Ng [8]). Let $A$ be a real complete metrizable topological algebra and $B$, a real commutative Banach algebra, such that $B$ satisfies condition (C): For any sequence $\{y_n\} \subset B$, $\|y_n\| \geq 1$, there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of real multiplicative linear functionals on $B$ such that $\inf_n |f_n(y_n)| = \varepsilon > 0$. Then each linear mapping $T$ of $A$ into $B$ such that $T(x^2) = T(x)^2$, $x \in A$, is continuous.
Corollary 1.5.1. (Husain and Ng [8]). Let \( A, B \) be as in theorem 1.5. Then each algebra homomorphism of \( A \) into \( B \) is continuous.

Corollary 1.5.2. (Husain and Ng [8]). If \( A \) is real commutative sequentially complete, bornological locally \( m \)-convex algebra and \( B \) a real commutative Banach algebra satisfying (C), then each algebra homomorphism \( T \) of \( A \) into \( B \) is continuous.

Corollary 1.5.3. (Husain and Ng [8]). Each real algebra homomorphism on a real commutative Fréchet algebra is continuous.

(4) Nuclear mappings and spaces.

If \( E \) is a vector space over \( K \) and \( V \) is a convex, circled, and radial subset of \( E \), then \( \{n^{-1}V: n \in \mathbb{N}\} \) is a \( 0 \)-neighborhood base of a locally convex topology \( \Gamma \) on \( E \). The Hausdorff topological vector space associated with \( (E, \Gamma) \) is the quotient space \( (E, \Gamma)/p^{-1}(0) \), where \( p \) is the gauge of \( V \); this quotient space is normable by the norm \( \hat{x} \to ||\hat{x}|| = p(x) \), where \( x \in E \). We shall denote by \( E \) the normed space \( (E/p^{-1}(0), ||||) \) just introduced, and by \( \hat{E} \) its completion, which is a Banach space.

Dually, if \( E \) is a locally convex space and \( B \neq \emptyset \) a convex, circled, and bounded subset of \( E \), then \( E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} nB \) is a (not necessarily closed) subspace of \( E \). The gauge function \( p_B \) of \( B \) in \( E \) is quickly seen to be a norm on \( E \); the normed space \( (E, p_B) \) will henceforth be denoted by \( E_B \).

Definition 1.13. A linear map \( u \in \mathcal{L}(E,F) \) is nuclear if it is of the form \( x + u(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(x)y_n \), where \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n| < \infty \), \( \{f_n\} \) is an equicontinuous sequence in \( E \), and \( \{y_n\} \) is a sequence contained in a convex, circled, and bounded subset \( B \) of \( F \) for which \( F_B \) is complete.
Definition 1.14. A locally convex space $E$ is nuclear if there exists a base $H$ of convex, circled, 0-neighborhoods in $E$ such that for each $V \in H$ the canonical mapping $E \rightarrow \hat{E}_V$ is nuclear.

Definition 1.15. A family $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ is summable to $x$ if for each 0-neighborhood $U$ in $E$, there exists a finite subset $\phi_U \subseteq A$ such that for each finite set $\phi$, $\phi_U \subseteq \phi \subseteq A$ implies that $\sum_{\alpha \in \phi} x_\alpha \in U$. This is expressed by writing $x = \sum_{\alpha} x_\alpha$. If $A = \mathbb{N}$ and $\{x_n\}$ is summable (a summable sequence), the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ is called unconditionally convergent.

Definition 1.16. Suppose $E$ to be locally convex. A family $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} \subseteq E$ is absolutely summable if it is summable in $E$ and if for each continuous semi-norm $p$ on $E$, the family $\{p(x_\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}$ is summable (in $\mathbb{R}$). If $A = \mathbb{N}$ and $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is absolutely summable, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ is called absolutely convergent.

The following theorem is very useful to prove theorem 3.4.

Theorem 1.6. A Fréchet space $E$ is nuclear if and only if every summable sequence in $E$ is absolutely summable.

Proof. See corollary 2 of page 184 in [16].

Definition 1.17. An unconditional basis is a Schauder basis in a Fréchet space $A$ such that for each $x$, $(f_n(x)x_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ is summable to $x$, where $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ are linear forms on $A$ such that $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)x_n$.

(5) Fundamental concepts and propositions in a $*$-algebra.

Definition 1.18. $R$ is called a $*$-algebra if
1) $R$ is an algebra.
2) an operation $*$ is defined in $R$ which assigns to each element $x$ in $R$ the element $x^*$ in $R$ in such a way that the following conditions are
satisfied:

a) \((\lambda x + \mu y)^* = \lambda x^* + \mu y^*\)

b) \(x^{**} = x\)

c) \((xy)^* = y^*x^*\).

The operation \(x \mapsto x^*\) will be called involution and the element \(x^*\) and \(x\) will be said to be adjoint to each other.

**Definition 1.19.** An element \(x\) is said to be Hermitian if \(x^* = x\).

**Proposition 1.8.** Every element of the form \(x^*x\) is Hermitian. In fact, by virtue of Definition 1.18 (c) and (b), \((x^*x)^* = x^*x^{**} = x^*x\).

**Proposition 1.9.** The identity \(e\) is a Hermitian element. In fact, \(e^* = e^*e\) is a Hermitian element: consequently \(e^* = e\).

**Definition 1.20.** The mapping \(x \mapsto x^*\) of a \(*\)-algebra \(R\) into the \(*\)-algebra \(R'\) is called a \(*\)-homomorphism if

a) \(x \mapsto x'\) is a homomorphism

b) \(x \mapsto x'\) implies that \(x^* \mapsto (x')^*\).

**Definition 1.21.** A linear function \(f\) is said to be positive if \(f(x^*x) \geq 0\) for an arbitrary element \(x\) of the \(*\)-algebra \(R\).

**Proposition 1.10.** Let \(R\) be a \(*\)-algebra, and \(f\) a positive functional, then

\[
|f(xy)| \leq \sqrt{f(x^*x)} \sqrt{f(yy^*)} \quad \text{for } x, y \in A. \tag{0}
\]

**Proof.** Since for any scalar \(\lambda\), \(f((x + \lambda y)^*(x + \lambda y)) \geq 0\), we obtain

\[
0 \leq f((x + \lambda y)^*(x + \lambda y)) = f(x^*x) + \lambda f(x^*y) + \bar{\lambda} f(y^*x) + \lambda \bar{\lambda} f(y^*y) \tag{1}
\]

Also since \(f\) is positive, \(f(x^*x) \geq 0\), \(f(yy^*) \geq 0\), and therefore \(\lambda f(x^*y) + \bar{\lambda} f(y^*y)\) is real, in particular for \(\lambda = 1\), \(f(x^*y) + f(xy^*)\) is real, and then

\[
im f(x^*y) + \im f(y^*x) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \im f(x^*y) = -\im f(y^*x). \tag{2}
\]
Further, in particular for \( \lambda = i \), we get

\[
\text{iRe}(x^*y) - \text{iRe}(xy^*) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \text{Re}(x^*y) = \text{Re}(xy^*)
\]  

(3)

Combining (2) and (3) we have

\[
f(x^*y) = \overline{f(y^*x)}
\]

(4)

From (1) and (4) we obtain that

\[
f((x + \lambda y)^*(x + \lambda y)) = f(x^*x) + \lambda f(x^*y) + \overline{\lambda f(y^*y)} + \lambda \overline{f(y^*y)} = f(x^*x) + 2\text{Re}\lambda f(x^*y) + \lambda \overline{f(y^*y)}
\]

(5)

Suppose that \( f(yy^*) = 0 \). We claim \( f(x^*y) = 0 \). If not, choose \( \lambda = \frac{-\overline{f(x^*y)} + 1}{f(x^*y)} \).

Then from (5), \( f(x^*x) + 2(-\overline{f(x^*y)} - 1) \geq 0 \), and therefore \( -2 \geq 0 \), which is absurd. Thus, the inequality (0) follows. Suppose that \( f(yy^*) \neq 0 \). Put \( \lambda = \frac{f(x^*y)}{f(y^*y)} \), by using (5) again, we obtain

\[
f(x^*x) - 2\frac{|f(x^*y)|^2}{f(y^*y)} + \frac{|f(x^*y)|^2}{f^2(y^*y)} f(y^*y) \geq 0
\]

and hence

\[
|f(x^*y)| \leq \sqrt{f(x^*x)} \sqrt{f(y^*y)}
\]

Proposition 1.11. Every positive functional \( f \) in a \( * \)-algebra with identity is real and

\[
|f(x)|^2 \leq f(e)f(x^*x).
\]

Proof. Put \( x = e \) in (0) of proposition 1.10, since \( x^* = e^* = e \), we have

\[
f(e) = f(e^*e) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |f(y)| \leq \sqrt{f(y^*y)} \sqrt{f(e)}
\]
or

\[ |f(y)|^2 \leq f(y^*y)f(e) \quad \text{or} \quad |f(x)|^2 \leq f(x^*x)f(e). \]

**Definition 1.22.** R is called a normed \(*\)-algebra if

a) R is a normed algebra

b) R is a \(*\)-algebra

c) \(||x^*|| = ||x||\).

It follows from condition c) that the involution operator is an isometry and hence continuous.
Chapter 2

RELATIONS AMONG COEFFICIENTS, SEMI-NORMS, AND IDENTITIES OF FRÉCHET ALGEBRAS WITH SCHAUDER BASES

Let $A$ be a Fréchet space whose topology is defined by an increasing sequence $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of semi-norms. Let $H = \{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any sequence of $A$. Suppose $\{a_i\}$ is a complex sequence such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i \in A$, the following question naturally arises: Does there exist any relation between $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and semi-norms $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$? We establish a number of results giving some relations. In particular, if $A$ is a Fréchet algebra with a Schauder basis and if $A$ contains an identity $e$, then we establish a relation among coefficients $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, semi-norms $\{q_n\}$, and the identity $e$. These results are very useful in proving the main results of Chapter 3.

In what follows, we assume that $H = \{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ consists of non-zero distinct elements, i.e. $H$ is a countable set of non-zero elements. We also note that the topology of any Fréchet algebra can be defined by an increasing sequence of submultiplicative semi-norms.

Theorem 2.1. Let $A$ be a Fréchet space whose topology is defined by a sequence $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of semi-norms. Let $H = \{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any sequence of $A$. Then, for each complex sequence $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i \in A$ if and only if, for each $q_n$, there is some positive integer $N_n$ depending upon $n$ such that $q_n(x_i) = 0$ whenever $i > N_n$.

Proof. ("Only if" part). Suppose for each complex sequence $\{a_i\}$,
Assume there exists a $q_n$ and a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$ of $H$ which has infinitely many distinct elements such that $q_n(x_{n_k}) \neq 0$ for all $k \geq 1$. Put $\alpha_{n_k} = [q_n(x_{n_k})]^{-1}$ then $q_n(\alpha_{n_k} x_{n_k}) = 1$ for all $k \geq 1$. Setting $\alpha_m = \alpha_{n_k}$ if $m = n_k$ and $0$ otherwise, we have $\sum_i \alpha_i x_i \in A$ by assumption.

Hence $\sum_{i \geq 1}^m |\alpha_i x_i - \alpha_i x_i| \to 0$ as $m, \varepsilon \to \infty$. By proposition 1.8, it follows that $q_n(\sum_{i \leq m} |\alpha_i x_i - \alpha_i x_i|) \to 0$ as $\varepsilon, m \to \infty$. Hence there exists $N$ such that $q_n(\sum_{j \leq m} \alpha_j x_j - \sum_{j \geq 1} \alpha_j x_j) < 1$ for all $m, k \geq N$. In particular, for any $n_k > N + 1$, we have $1 = q_n(\alpha_{n_k} x_{n_k}) = q_n(\sum_{j \leq \varepsilon} \alpha_j x_j - \sum_{j \geq 1} \alpha_j x_j) < 1$, which is absurd. Hence for each $q_n$ there exists $N_n$ such that $q_n(x_i) = 0$ for all $i > N_n$.

("if" part). Suppose that for each semi-norm $q_n$ there is a positive integer $N_n$ such that $q_n(x_i) = 0$ for all $i > N_n$. Let $\{\alpha_i\}$ be any complex sequence and put $y_m = \sum_{i \leq m} \alpha_i x_i$. We show that $\{y_m\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. For any semi-norm $q_n$ by assumption there is $N_n$ such that $q_n(x_i) = 0$ for all $i > N_n$. But then

$$q_n(y_k - y_j) \leq \sum_{i \geq j + 1} |\alpha_i| q_n(x_i) = 0 < \varepsilon,$$

whenever $k > j > N_n$. Since $q_n$ is arbitrary, by proposition 1.8, $|y_j - y_k| \to 0$ as $j, k \to \infty$, and hence $\{y_m\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Thus, completeness of $A$ implies that $\sum_{i \geq 1} \alpha_i x_i \in A$.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let $A$ be a Fréchet algebra whose topology is defined by an increasing sequence $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of submultiplicative semi-norms. If $H = \{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Schauder basis of $A$ and, for any $x = \sum_{i \geq 1} \alpha_i x_i \in A$, $\alpha_i \to 0$, then there exists a $q_n$ such that $q_n(x_i) \neq 0$ for all $x_i \in H$. Conversely, if
\( H = \{ x_i \}_{i \in \mathbb{C}^H} \) is any sequence of \( A \) such that

(i) for each \( x_i \in H \), \( x_i x_i = c_i x_i \) where \( \inf |c_i| > 0 \),

(ii) there exists a \( q_n \) such that \( q_n(x_i) \neq 0 \) for all \( x_i \in H \),

then, for any \( x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i \in A \), we have \( \alpha_i \to 0 \).

Proof. Suppose that, for each \( x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i \in A \), we have \( \alpha_i \to 0 \). We claim that there exists a \( q_n \) such that \( q_n(x_i) \neq 0 \) for all \( x_i \in H \). If not, then, for each \( q_n \), there is some \( x_{1n} \in H \), such that

\[
q_n(x_{1n}) = 0
\]  

(2.2.1)

and hence, for each \( q_m, n \geq m \) implies that

\[
q_m(x_{1n}) \leq q_n(x_{1n}) = 0.
\]  

(2.2.2)

The subsequence \( H = \{ x_{1n} \}_{n \geq 1} \) of \( H \) has infinitely many distinct elements, because if there are only finitely many distinct elements in the subsequence \( H = \{ x_{1n} \} \) of \( H \), then, since \( A \) is Hausdorff, there exists a semi-norm \( q_m \), such that

\[
q_m(x_{1n}) \neq 0 \text{ for all } x_{1n} \in H.
\]

In particular, \( q_m(x_{1m}) \neq 0 \) which is contrary to our assumption that

\[
q_m(x_{1m}) = 0.
\]

Since (2.2.2) satisfies the "only if" part of theorem 2.1, we obtain

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{1n} \in A
\]

for any complex sequence \( \{ \beta_n \} \). In particular, we have

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{1n} \in A.
\]

Since \( \{ x_i \} \) is a Schauder basis of \( A \), there is a unique
sequence \( \{a_i\} \) of complex numbers such that \( i \neq \frac{1}{11} a_i x_i = n \neq 1 \times 1 n \). Clearly \( a_i = 1 \) if \( x_i \in C_1 \) and \( = 0 \) if \( x_i \in C_2 \). Since \( H_1 \) has infinitely many distinct elements, this shows that \( a_i \neq 0 \), a contradiction. Conversely, suppose (i) for each \( x_i \), \( x_i x_i = c_i x_i \) where \( \inf |c_i| > 0 \). (ii) there exists a semi-norm \( q_n \) such that \( q_n(x_i) \neq 0 \) for all \( x_i \in H \).

Then we claim that \( x = i \sum \alpha_i x_i \in A \) implies that \( \alpha_i \to 0 \).

Since \( i \sum \frac{j}{11} \alpha_i x_i = i \sum \alpha_i x_i \in A \) implies that \( |i \sum \frac{j}{11} \alpha_i x_i - \frac{k}{11} \alpha_i x_i| \to 0 \) as \( j, k \to \infty \),

this also implies that \( q_n(i \sum \frac{j}{11} \alpha_i x_i - \frac{k}{11} \alpha_i x_i) \to 0 \) as \( j, k \to \infty \). It means that, for each \( \epsilon > 0 \), there is some positive integer \( N_\epsilon \) such that

\[
q_n(a_j x_j) = q_n(i \sum \frac{j}{11} \alpha_i x_i - \frac{j-1}{11} \alpha_i x_i) < \epsilon
\]

whenever \( j > N_\epsilon \), or \( |a_j| q_n(x_j) < \epsilon \) for \( j > N_\epsilon \).

\[
|a_j| < \frac{\epsilon}{q_n(x_j)} \text{ for } j > N_\epsilon. \tag{2.2.3}
\]

Since \( x_j^2 = c_j x_j \) for all \( j \geq 1 \), \( |c_j| q_n(x_j) = q_n(x_j^2) \leq q_n(x_j) \) for all \( j \geq 1 \).

It follows that \( q_n(x_j) |q_n(x_j) - |c_j|| \geq 0 \) for all \( j \geq 1 \). But \( q_n(x_j) \neq 0 \) (i.e. \( q_n(x_j) > 0 \)) for all \( j \geq 1 \) implies \( q_n(x_j) \geq |c_j| \) for all \( j \geq 1 \). Combining this with (2.2.3), we have

\[
|a_j| < \frac{\epsilon}{q_n(x_j)} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{|c_j|} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\inf |c_j|} \text{ for } j > N_\epsilon.
\]

This proves that \( a_i \to 0 \).

Corollary 2.2.1. Let \( A \) be a Fréchet algebra whose topology is defined by an increasing sequence \( \{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) of submultiplicative semi-norms. If \( A \) possesses a Schauder basis \( H = \{x_i\}_{i \geq 1} \) such that, for each \( x_i \in H \), \( x_i x_i = c_i x_i \) where \( \inf |c_i| > 0 \), then, for any \( x = \sum \frac{n}{11} \alpha_i x_i \in A, a_i \to 0 \) if and only if there
exists a \( q_n \) such that \( q_n(x_i) \neq 0 \) for all \( x_i \in H \).

**Proof.** This is a particular case of Theorem 2.2.

In the case of Fréchet space, we have the following:

**Corollary 2.2.2.** Let \( A \) be a Fréchet space whose topology is defined by an increasing sequence \( \{ q_n \}_{i \in N} \) of semi-norms. Let \( H=\{ x_i \}_{i \in N} \) be a Schauder basis of \( A \). If, for any \( x=\sum_{i \in N} \alpha_i x_i \in A \), \( \alpha_i \to 0 \), then there exists a \( q_n \) such that \( q_n(x_i) \neq 0 \) for all \( x_i \in H \).

Conversely, if there exists a \( q_n \) such that \( \inf_{i \in N} q_n(x_i) > 0 \) then, for any \( x=\sum_{i \in N} \alpha_i x_i \in A \), \( \alpha_i \to 0 \).

**Proof.** This follows from the proof of Theorem 2.2.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let \( A \) be a Fréchet algebra whose topology is defined by an increasing sequence \( \{ q_n \}_{i \in N} \) of submultiplicative semi-norms. Let \( H=\{ x_i \}_{i \in N} \) be a Schauder basis of \( A \) such that

\[
x_i x_j = 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad i \neq j.
\]

Then \( A \) contains an identity if and only if \( x_i x_i \neq 0 \) for each \( x_i \in H \) and for any complex sequence \( \{ \beta_i \} \), \( \sum_{i \in N} \beta_i x_i \in A \).

**Proof.** "Only if" part: Suppose \( A \) has an identity; since \( \{ x_i \}_{i \in N} \) is a Schauder basis of \( A \), let \( e=\sum_{i \in N} \alpha_i x_i \) be the identity of \( A \). Then, for each \( x_i \in H \), \( e x_i = x_i \) implies \( \alpha_i x_i x_i = x_i \). Also, \( x_i \neq 0 \) implies \( x_i x_i \neq 0 \) and \( \alpha_i \neq 0 \).

Therefore

\[
x_i x_i = \frac{1}{\alpha_i} x_i \quad \text{for each} \quad i \geq 1.
\]

(2.3.1)

Since \( \sum_{i \in N} \alpha_i x_i \to \sum_{i \in N} \alpha_i x_i \) as \( n \to \infty \), it follows that, for each \( q_m \), there is a positive integer \( N_m \) such that
\[ q_m(\alpha_n x_n) < 1 \quad \text{whenever } n > N_m, \]

or
\[ q_m(x_n) < \frac{1}{|\alpha_n|} \quad \text{whenever } n > N_m, \]

or
\[ q_m(x_n) - \frac{1}{|\alpha_n|} < 0 \quad \text{whenever } n > N_m. \]  

(2.3.2)

On the other hand, from (2.3.1), we have
\[ q_m(x_n x_n) = \frac{1}{|\alpha_n|} q_m(x_n), \]

or
\[ q_m^2(x_n) \geq \frac{1}{|\alpha_n|} q_m(x_n), \]

or
\[ q_m(x_n)[q_m(x_n) - \frac{1}{|\alpha_n|}] \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1. \]

Since, by (2.3.2), for each \( n > N_m \), \( q_m(x_n) - \frac{1}{|\alpha_n|} < 0 \), it follows that, for each \( n > N_m \), \( q_m(x_n) = 0 \). By Theorem 2.1, for any complex sequence \( \{\beta_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \), we have \( \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \beta_i x_i \in \mathbb{C} A \).

"If" part: Suppose for each complex sequence \( \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \), \( \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_i x_i \in \mathbb{C} A \). Let \( x_i x_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j x_j \). Then, for \( k \neq i \), we have
\[ 0 = x_k x_i x_i = x_k (\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j x_j) = x_k \alpha_k x_k = \alpha_k x_k \]

But then \( x_k x_k \neq 0 \) implies \( \alpha_k = 0 \) for all \( k \neq i \). Thus \( \alpha_k = 0 \) for all \( k \neq i \). So \( x_i x_i = \alpha_i x_i \) and \( x_i x_i \neq 0 \) implies \( \alpha_i \neq 0 \). Therefore, by assumption, we have
\[ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\alpha_i} x_i \in \mathbb{C} A. \]

Put \( \epsilon = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\alpha_i} x_i \).
Now, for each \( y = \sum_{j \neq 1} \beta_j x_j \in A \), using the fact that \( x_i x_j = 0 \) for \( i \neq j \), and \( \frac{1}{\alpha_i} x_i x_i = x_i \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ye} & = (\sum_{j \neq 1} \beta_j x_j)(\sum_{j \neq 1} \frac{1}{\alpha_j} x_j) = \sum_{j \neq 1} \beta_j \frac{1}{\alpha_j} x_j x_j = \sum_{j \neq 1} \beta_j x_j = y, \\
\text{ey} & = (\sum_{j \neq 1} \frac{1}{\alpha_j} x_j)(\sum_{j \neq 1} \beta_j x_j) = \sum_{j \neq 1} \frac{1}{\alpha_j} x_j x_j = \sum_{j \neq 1} \beta_j x_j = y.
\end{align*}
\]

Hence \( e \) is an identity of \( A \).

**Theorem 2.4.** Let \( A \) be a Fréchet algebra whose topology is defined by an increasing sequence \( \{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) of submultiplicative semi-norms. Let \( H = \{x_i\} \) be a Schauder basis of \( A \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
& x_i x_j = 0 \quad \text{whenever } i \neq j, \\
& x_i x_i \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } x_i \in H.
\end{align*}
\]

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) For each complex sequence \( \{\alpha_i\} \), \( \sum_{i \neq 1} \alpha_i x_i \in A \).

(ii) For each \( q_n \), there is a positive integer \( N_n \) such that \( q_n(x_i) = 0 \) whenever \( i > N_n \).

(iii) \( A \) contains an identity.

**Proof.** (i) \( \iff \) (ii) is clear from Theorem 2.1.

(i) \( \iff \) (iii) is clear from Theorem 2.3.

and hence (ii) \( \iff \) (iii) follows.
Chapter 3

THE CONTINUITY OF MULTIPLICATIVE LINEAR FUNCTIONALS

In 1952, E. A. Michael [12] asked whether every multiplicative linear functional on a commutative Fréchet algebra is continuous, or equivalently, whether every multiplicative linear functional on a commutative complete locally $m$-convex algebra is bounded, [4]. So far this problem is still open. There are a number of results due to Michael [12], Husain and Ng [8] and [9], in which an affirmative answer is given for commutative Fréchet algebras satisfying certain conditions. In this chapter, we show that the answer to Michael's question is also affirmative for some other classes of Fréchet algebras.

Theorem 3.1. Let $A$ be a Fréchet algebra whose topology is defined by an increasing sequence $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of submultiplicative semi-norms. If there exists a Schauder basis $H = \{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $x_i x_j = 0$ whenever $i \neq j$, then every non-zero multiplicative linear functional $f$ on $A$ is continuous if and only if there exists $x_i \in H$ such that $f(x_i) \neq 0$.

Proof "If" part. Let $x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j x_j$ be an arbitrary element of $A$. Clearly, by hypothesis, $x x_i = \alpha_i x_i x_i$.

Since $f$ is multiplicative and $f(x_i) \neq 0$, we have

$$f(xx_i) = f(x)f(x_i) = \alpha_i f(x_i) f(x_i) = \alpha_i f^2(x_i) \quad \text{or} \quad f(x) = \alpha_i f(x_i). \quad (3.1.1)$$

Also $f(x_i) \neq 0$ implies $f(x_i x_i) = f(x_i) f(x_i) \neq 0$. Hence $x_i x_i \neq 0$. Since $x_i \neq 0$, $x_i x_i \neq 0$ and $A$ is Hausdorff, there exists a semi-norm $q_n$ such that $q_n(x_i) \neq 0$ and $q_n(x_i x_i) \neq 0$. 
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Put \[ M = |f(x_i)| \frac{q_n(x_i)}{q_n(x_i, x_i)} \] (3.1.2)

On the other hand,
\[ xx_i = \alpha_i x_i x_i \text{ implies } |\alpha_i| q_n(x_i, x_i) \leq q_n(x) q_n(x_i). \]
But \( q_n(x_i) \neq 0 \) implies
\[ |\alpha_i| \frac{q_n(x_i, x_i)}{q_n(x_i)} \leq q_n(x). \] (3.1.3)

Thus from (3.1.1), (3.1.2), and (3.1.3), we have:
\[ M q_n(x) \geq |f(x_i)| \frac{q_n(x_i)}{q_n(x_i, x_i)} |\alpha_i| \frac{q_n(x_i, x_i)}{q_n(x_i)} = |f(x_i)||\alpha_i| = |f(x)| \]
for all \( x \in A \). By proposition 1.1, we observe that \( f \) is continuous.

"Only if" part.

Let \( f \) be a non-zero continuous multiplicative linear functional on \( A \).
If \( f(x_i) = 0 \) for all \( x_i \in H \), since \( f \) is continuous, \( f(x) = 0 \) for all
\[ x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i \in A \] and hence \( f \) is identically zero which is a contradiction.
Thus, there exists \( x_i \in H \) such that \( f(x_i) \neq 0 \).

Theorem 3.2. Let \( A \) be a Fréchet algebra whose topology is defined by
an increasing sequence \( \{ q_n \} \) of submultiplicative semi-norms. If there
exists a Schauder basis \( H = \{ x_i \} \) such that \( x_i x_j = 0 \) whenever \( i \neq j \), and, for
any complex sequence \( \{ \alpha_i \} \), \( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i \in A \), then every multiplicative linear
functional \( f \) on \( A \) is continuous.

We need the following:

Lemma. Let \( A \) be a Fréchet algebra whose topology is defined by an
increasing sequence \( \{ q_n \} \) of submultiplicative semi-norms. If \( f \) is a
multiplicative linear functional on \( A \) and if there exists a countable set
\( H = \{ x_i \} \), such that
\[ x_i x_j = 0 \text{ for all } x_i \in H. \]

(i) \( f(x_i) = 0 \) for all \( x_i \in H \).

(ii) For each complex sequence \( \{ \alpha_i \} \), \( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i \in A \).
(iii) \( x_i x_j = 0 \) whenever \( i \neq j \),

then, for any complex sequence \( \{ \alpha_i \}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \), \( f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \alpha_i x_i\right) = 0 \).

**Proof.** First we claim that \( f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_i\right) = 0 \). Suppose \( f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_i\right) \neq 0 \). Then by hypothesis (ii), we have

\[
f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} i x_i\right) f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{1}{i} x_i\right) = f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_i x_i\right) = \left[f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_i\right)\right]^2 \neq 0
\]

This implies that \( f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} i x_i\right) \neq 0 \).

Put \( f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_i\right) = \alpha \) and \( f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} i x_i\right) = \beta \alpha \).

Then \( f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\beta - i) x_i\right) = \beta f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_i\right) - f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} i x_i\right) = \beta \alpha - \alpha = 0 \).

There are two cases.

Case (i), \( \beta \) is not a positive integer. Then

\[
0 = f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\beta - i) x_i\right) f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{1}{\beta - i} x_i\right) = f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_i x_i\right) = \left[f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_i\right)\right]^2 \neq 0
\]

which is a contradiction.

Case (ii), \( \beta \) is a positive integer, say \( \beta = n \).

Since \( f(x_i) = 0 \) for all \( i \),

\[
0 = f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\beta - i) x_i\right) f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{1}{\beta - i} x_i\right) = f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_i x_i\right) = \left[f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_i\right)\right]^2 \neq 0
\]

which is impossible.

Thus, \( f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_i\right) = 0 \).

Let \( \{ \alpha_i \}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \) be any complex sequence. We observe that

\[
f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \alpha_i x_i\right) f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \alpha_i x_i\right) = f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \alpha_i^2 x_i x_i\right) = f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \alpha_i^2 x_i\right) f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_i\right).
\]
Since \( f(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i) = 0 \), it follows that \( f(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i) = 0 \). Thus \( f(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i) = 0 \) for any complex sequence \( \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \).

**Proof of Theorem 3.2.** (a) If there exists a \( x_i \in H \) such that \( f(x_i) \neq 0 \), then, by Theorem 3.1, \( f \) is continuous.

(b) If \( f(x_i) = 0 \) for all \( x_i \in H \), then, by the above Lemma, \( f(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i) = 0 \) for any complex sequence \( \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \). Since \( H \) is a Schauder basis, for each element \( x \in A \), there exists a complex sequence \( \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \) such that \( x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i \). Hence \( f(x) = 0 \) for all \( x \in A \). This implies that \( f \) is identically zero, and hence continuous.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \( A \) be a Fréchet algebra with identity whose topology is defined by an increasing sequence \( \{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) of submultiplicative semi-norms. If there exists a Schauder basis \( H = \{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \) such that \( x_i x_j = 0 \) whenever \( i \neq j \), then each multiplicative linear functional on \( A \) is continuous.

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.3, the fact that \( A \) contains an identity implies that, for any complex sequence \( \{\alpha_i\} \), we have \( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i \in A \). By Theorem 3.2, the result follows.

**Corollary 3.3.1.** Let \( A \) be a Fréchet algebra. If \( A^+ \) possesses a Schauder basis \( H = \{x_i\}_{i \geq 1} \) such that \( x_i x_j = 0 \) whenever \( i \neq j \), then \( A \) is functionally continuous.

**Proof.** It is clear that \( A^+ \) is complete and metrizable. By Lemma 1.1 (see page 7), \( A^+ \) is also a locally \( m \)-convex algebra. Thus, \( A^+ \) is a Fréchet algebra. Using Lemma 1.2 and Theorem 3.3, \( A \) is functionally continuous.

**Corollary 3.3.2.** Let \( A \) be a Fréchet algebra. If \( A^+ \) possesses a Schauder basis \( H = \{x_i\}_{i \geq 1} \) and \( A^+ \) does not contain all \( \sum_{i \geq 1} \alpha_i x_i \) where \( \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \) is any
complex sequence, then there exists \( x_i, x_j \), if \( j \neq i \), such that \( x_i x_j \neq 0 \).

**Proof.** If \( x_i x_j = 0 \) whenever \( i \neq j \), by Theorem 2.3, \( A^+ \) contains all \( \sum_{i \neq j} a_j x_i \) where \( \{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \) is any complex sequence, which is a contradiction. Hence there exist \( x_i, x_j \), if \( j \neq i \), such that \( x_i x_j \neq 0 \).

**Remark.** Note that each Fréchet algebra mentioned in Theorem 3.2 or Theorem 3.3 consists of all \( \sum_{i \neq j} a_i x_i \) where \( \{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \) is any complex sequence. (We call it a whole-sequence algebra.) However, \( x_i x_j \) can be defined as any element of \( A \) in different ways and therefore, two whole-sequence algebras need not be isomorphic.

On the other hand, there are Fréchet algebras which need not be the whole-sequence algebra, yet they are functionally continuous as follows from the previous theorems in this chapter and chapter 2.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let \( A \) be a Fréchet algebra whose topology is defined by an increasing sequence \( \{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) of submultiplicative semi-norms. If there exists a Schauder basis \( H = \{x_i\} \) such that

(i) \( x_i x_j = 0 \) whenever \( j \neq i \),

and \( x_i x_i = c_i x_i \) where \( 0 < a \leq c_i \leq b \), \( i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \),

(ii) \( \sum_{i \neq j} a_i x_i x_j \in A \) whenever \( \sum_{i \neq j} a_i x_i x_j \in A \) and \( |a_i| \leq 1 \),

then every multiplicative linear functional on \( A \) is continuous.

**Proof.** Let \( f \) be a multiplicative linear functional on \( A \). We consider two cases:

Case (A). If there exists a \( x \in H \) such that \( f(x) \neq 0 \), then by Theorem 3.1, the result follows.

Case (B). If \( f(x_i) = 0 \) for all \( x_i \in H \), we claim that \( f \) is identically zero. Let \( B_n = \{x \in H | q_n(x) = 0\}, n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \).
Since \( \{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is an increasing sequence of semi-norms, we have
\[
B_n \supseteq B_{n+1} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.
\]
Let \( H_1 = \{ x \in H | q_1(x) \neq 0 \} \) and \( H_n = B_{n-1} \cap B_n, \quad n \geq 2. \)

Clearly, \( H_n \cap B_n = \emptyset \) and hence we have
\[
q_n(x) \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in H_n. \quad (3.4.1)
\]
Clearly, for \( m > n, \quad H_m \subseteq B_{m-1} \subseteq B_n, \)
and so, we have
\[
q_n(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in H_m, \quad m > n. \quad (3.4.2)
\]
We show that \( \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i = \emptyset. \)

If \( x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i, \) then \( q_n(x) = 0 \) for all \( n. \) Since \( A \) is a Hausdorff topological vector space, this implies that \( x = 0. \) Since \( 0 \in H, \) it proves that \( \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i = \emptyset. \)

It is clear by definition that \( \{H_i\}_{i \geq 1} \) are pairwise disjoint and
\[
\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} H_i = H_1 \cup \bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty} H_i = H_1 \cup \left[ \bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty} (B_{i-1} \cap B_i) \right] = H_1 \cup (B_1 \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i) = H_1 \cup B_1 = H.
\]
Observe that \( H_j \cap H \) for \( j \geq 1. \) Set \( H_j = \{ x_{jm} \} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m \geq 1}. \) For each \( j, \) we can arrange \( \{ x_{jm} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m \geq 1} \} \) such that \( jm \geq jm \) if and only if \( m > n. \) We claim that, for each \( x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i \in A, \) we can write:
\[
x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i = \sum_{j \geq 1} \sum_{m \geq 1} a_{jm} x_{jm}.
\]
Indeed, for each positive integer \( k, \) we put \( a_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_i \in H_k, \\ 0 & \text{if } x_i \in H_k. \end{cases} \)

Clearly, \( |a_i| \leq 1 \). Hence by hypothesis (ii), we have
\[ i_{\leq 1} \alpha_i x_i = x_i \{ \alpha_i \}_{k=1}^m x_i \in A. \]

Since \( k_m > k_n \) if and only if \( m > n \), \( x_i \{ \alpha_i \}_{k=1}^m x_i \in \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k x_k \in A. \)

Set \( y_k \in m_{\leq 1} \alpha_k x_k \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \)

From (3.4.2), we observe that, for \( k > j \), \( q_j(x_k) = 0 \) for all \( x_k \in \{ \alpha_i \}_{k=1}^m x_k \).

Therefore, \( p_k \in m_{\leq 1} \alpha_k x_k \Rightarrow m_{\leq 1} \alpha_k x_k = y_k \) as \( p_k \to \infty \) implies that \( 0 = q_j \{ m_{\leq 1} \alpha_k x_k \} \Rightarrow q_j(y_k) = 0 \) as \( p_k \to \infty \) whenever \( k > j \). Thus by Theorem 2.1, it follows that,

\[ k_{\leq 1}^p y_k \in A. \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.4.3)

In particular, \( k_{\leq 1}^p y_k \in A \), or \( k_{\leq 1}^p m_{\leq 1} \alpha_k x_k \in A. \)

To show that \( i_{\leq 1} \alpha_i x_i = \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k x_k \), we observe that

\[ p_k \in m_{\leq 1} \alpha_k x_k \Rightarrow m_{\leq 1} \alpha_k x_k = y_k \text{ implies that, for each } q_n, \]

\[ q_n \{ p_k \in m_{\leq 1} \alpha_k x_k \} \Rightarrow 0 \text{ as } p_k \to \infty. \]

By virtue of (3.4.2), for each \( q_n \), we have

\[ q_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k x_k \right) = q_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k x_k \right) \]

\[ \leq q_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k x_k \right) + q_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k x_k \right) \text{ (because } q_n(x_k) = 0 \text{ for } k > n) \]

where \( p \) is split up into \( p_k \)'s in the obvious way.

Since \( p \to \infty \) implies that \( p_k \to \infty \) for each \( k \), and it also implies that
\[ q_n \left( \sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_{km} x_{km} - \sum_{i \neq i} \alpha_{i} x_i \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } p \rightarrow \infty. \]

we have

\[ q_n \left( \sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_{km} x_{km} - \sum_{i \neq i} \alpha_{i} x_i \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } p \rightarrow \infty. \]

Since \( q_n \) is arbitrary, it follows that

\[ \left| \sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_{km} x_{km} - \sum_{i \neq i} \alpha_{i} x_i \right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } p \rightarrow \infty. \]

This implies that \( \sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_{i} x_{km} \rightarrow \sum_{i \neq i} \alpha_{i} x_i \), and hence, for each \( x \in A \), we can write

\[ x = \sum_{i \neq i} \alpha_{i} x_i = \sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_{km} x_{km} = \sum_{k \geq 1} y_k. \]

We wish to show that \( f(y_i) = 0 \) for all \( i \geq 1 \).

Observe that, for each \( y_i = \sum_{m \geq 1} \alpha_{im} x_{im} \in cA \), since \( q_i (x_{im}) \neq 0 \) for all \( x_{im} \in H_i \), and \( m_{\geq 1} \alpha_{im} \rightarrow 0 \) as \( m \rightarrow \infty \).

Now suppose \( f(y_i) = \alpha \neq 0 \) for some \( i \).

Put \( z_i = \frac{y_i}{\alpha} = \sum_{m \geq 1} \beta_{im} x_{im} \). Then \( f(z_i) = \frac{f(y_i)}{\alpha} = 1 \) and \( f(z_i^2) = 1 = f(z_i) \).

Hence \( f(z_i z_i^2) = f(\sum_{m \geq 1} (\beta_{im} - c_{im} \beta_{im}) x_{im}) = 0. \)

Since \( |c_{im}| \leq b \) and \( \beta_{im} \rightarrow 0 \) as \( m \rightarrow \infty \), it follows that

\[ |\beta_{im}| \leq \frac{1}{2b} \left( \frac{1}{2|c_{im}|} \right) \text{ for sufficiently large } m, \text{ and hence, if } \beta_{im} \neq 0, \]

\[ \left| \frac{c_{im} \beta_{im}^2}{\beta_{im} c_{im} \beta_{im}} \right| = \left| \frac{c_{im} \beta_{im}}{1 - c_{im} \beta_{im}} \right| \leq \frac{|c_{im}| \frac{1}{2|c_{im}|}}{1 - |c_{im}| \frac{1}{2|c_{im}|}} = 1 \]
for sufficiently large $m$.

(Note that there exists a $\beta_{im} \neq 0$ because of our assumption $f(y_i) \neq 0$.)

It follows by hypothesis (ii) that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{im}^3}{\beta_{im}^2} \beta_{im} x_{im} \in A.$$  

Thus we have

$$0 = f(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (\beta_{im} \beta_{im} x_{im}) x_{im}) = f(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{im}^3}{\beta_{im}^2} \beta_{im} x_{im})$$

$$= f(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_{im}^3 x_{im}^3) = f(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_{im}^3 x_{im}^3)$$

$$= f(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \beta_{im} x_{im})^3 = [f(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \beta_{im} x_{im})]^3 = 1$$

which is impossible. Therefore, $f(y_i) = 0$ for all $y_i$, $i=1,2,3,\ldots$.

Now we observe that $y_0 = 0$ for all $y_0$. Without any loss of generality, we assume $n > e$. By definition, $H_n \subseteq B_{e+1} \subseteq B_e$ implies $H_{e-n} \subseteq H_{e} \cap B_e = \emptyset$. Since $x_i x_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$, we have $y_i y_j = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} x_i x_j x_{im} x_{jm} = 0$ whenever $e > n$.

Thus, we have shown that the sequence $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma of Theorem 3.2 and so $f(k^2 y_k) = 0$. Since $x_k = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} y_k$ is any element of $A$, $f$ is identically zero. This completes the proof.

Remark. If for each Fréchet algebra $A$ in Theorem 3.4, $A^+$ possesses a Schauder basis $H = \{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that $x_i x_j = 0$ whenever $i \neq j$, then by Lemma 1.2, the truth of Theorem 3.3 implies the truth of Theorem 3.4. However, the problem is whether $A^+$ always possesses such a Schauder basis. We note
that if A possesses a Schauder basis \( H = \{ x_i \}_{i \geq 1} \) such that \( x_i x_j = 0 \) whenever \( i \neq j \), the Schauder basis \( H' = (x_i')_{i \geq 0} = (0,1) \cup \{(x_i,0)\}_{i \geq 1} \) of \( A^* \) does not satisfy the condition: \( x_i' x_j' = 0 \) whenever \( i \neq j \), because \( (x_i,0)(0,1) = (x_i,0) \).

Therefore, Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4 must be proved separately.

The Fréchet algebra A in Theorem 3.6 is a whole-sequence algebra. However, the condition "\( x_i x_j = 0 \) whenever \( i \neq j \)" is replaced by another condition "\( x_i x_j = x_j \) whenever \( j \geq i \)". We will show that such a Fréchet algebra is also functionally continuos.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let A be a nuclear Fréchet algebra whose topology is defined by an increasing sequence \( \{ q_n \} \) of submultiplicative semi-norms. If there exists an unconditional basis \( H = \{ x_i \} \) (see Definition 1.17) such that \( x_i x_j = 0 \) whenever \( j \neq i \),

\[
x_i x_i = c_i x_i \text{ where } 0 < a_i \leq |c_i| \leq b, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots,
\]

then each multiplicative linear functional on A is continuous.

**Proof.** Assuming that \( \sum_{i \in I} a_i x_i \in A \) and \( |a_i| \leq 1 \), we claim that \( \sum_{i \in I} a_i x_i \in A \).

Since A is nuclear, by Theorem 1.6, every summable sequence in A is absolutely summable. Therefore, by the definition 1.16 of absolute summability, \( \sum_{i \in I} q_n(a_i x_i) \) implies that, for each \( q_n \), \( \sum_{i \in I} q_n(a_i x_i) \to i \infty \sum_{i \in I} q_n(a_i x_i) \in \mathbb{R} \) (real line) as \( m \to \infty \); i.e. \( \sum_{i \in I} q_n(a_i x_i) = i \infty \sum_{i \in I} a_i \sum_{i \in I} q_n(a_i x_i) = i \infty \sum_{i \in I} q_n(a_i x_i) \).

This implies that

\[
i \infty \sum_{i \in I} q_n(a_i a_i x_i) = i \infty \sum_{i \in I} q_n(a_i x_i) \leq i \infty \sum_{i \in I} q_n(a_i x_i) \infty.
\]

Therefore, for each \( q_n \), \( \sum_{i \in I} q_n(i \infty \sum_{i \in I} a_i x_i - i \infty \sum_{i \in I} a_i x_i) \to 0 \) as \( m,k \to \infty \).

Hence it follows that \( i \infty \sum_{i \in I} a_i x_i - i \infty \sum_{i \in I} a_i x_i \to 0 \) as \( m,k \to \infty \).
Since $A$ is complete, $\bigoplus_{i \in I} a_i x_i \in A$. By Theorem 3.4, every multiplicative linear functional on $A$ is continuous.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let $A$ be a Fréchet algebra whose topology is defined by an increasing sequence $\{q_n\}$ of submultiplicative semi-norms. If there exists a Schauder basis $H=\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

(i) $q_i(x_i) \neq 0$, $q_i(x_{i+1}) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$

(ii) $x_j x_i = x_i x_j = x_j$ whenever $i \leq j$,

then every multiplicative linear functional on $A$ is continuous.

**Proof.** $q_i(x_{i+1}) = 0$ implies that, for each $j \geq i+1$, we have

$$q_i(x_j) = q_i(x_{i+1} x_j) \leq q_i(x_{i+1}) q_i(x_j) = 0$$

or

$$q_i(x_j) = 0 \text{ for all } i < j.$$  \hfill (3.6.1)

By Theorem 2.1, it follows that, for any sequence $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i x_i \in A, \ldots (*)$.

Now we consider any $x = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i x_i \in A$. By virtue of (3.6.1), we have

$$|a_i x_i| = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 1 \frac{q_n(a_i x_i)}{2^n} \leq \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 1 \frac{q_n(a_i x_i)}{2^n} \frac{q_n(a_i x_i)}{2^n} < \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 1 \frac{1}{2^n} = \frac{1}{2^{1-1}},$$

and hence $|x| \leq \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |a_i x_i| < 2$. This means that the series $x = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i x_i$ is absolutely convergent. Hence the terms after multiplication of any two vectors, $x = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i x_i$ and $y = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} b_i x_i$, can be grouped in any way whatever without changing the sum.

Now we want to prove that if $f$ is a multiplicative linear functional on $A$, then there exists $x_i \in H$ such that $f(x_i) = 0$. If not, then $f(x_i) \neq 0$
for all $i=1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots$. Since $x_i x_i = x_i$, we have $f(x_i) = f^2(x_i)$, or $[f(x_i) - 1]f(x_i) = 0$. Since $f(x_i) \neq 0$ by assumption, we have

$$f(x_i) = 1 \quad \text{for all } i=1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots$$

(3.6.2)

By (*), $x = \sum_{i \in I} x_i c i$. Set $f(x) = a$.

(i) Suppose $a$ is not a positive integer.

Let $x' = x - \alpha x = \sum_{i \in I} x_i - \alpha x_i = (1 - \alpha) x_i + \sum_{i \in I} x_i$. Since $f(x_i) = 1$ for $i \geq 1$ and $f(x) = a$, we have

$$f(x') = 0$$

(3.6.3)

Put $y = \sum_{i \in I} \beta_i x_i$, where $\beta_i = \frac{-1}{1 - \alpha}$, and for $n \geq 2$, $\beta_n = \frac{n^{-1}}{(i \in I) - \alpha}$. Since the series $\sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i x_i$ converges absolutely for any complex sequence $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in N}$, clearly, $x' y = x + \sum_{i \in I} (n \in I) \beta_i \beta_n [(\frac{n}{i \in I}) - \alpha] x_i = x_i$. Applying (3.6.3), it follows that

$$0 = f(x') f(y) = f(x'y) = f(-x_i) = -1$$

which is impossible.

(ii) Suppose $a$ is an integer, say $a = n$, but $a \neq 1$.

Let $x'' = x - \alpha x_n = x - nx_n = \sum_{i \in I} x_i$. Clearly, $f(x'') = 0$.

$$i \neq n$$

Put $y = \sum_{i \in I} \beta_i x_i$, where $\beta_i = \frac{-1}{1 - \alpha}$, and for $m \geq 2$, $m \neq n$, $\beta_m = \frac{-1}{(m \in I) - \alpha}$. Then

$$i \neq n$$
\[ x''y = -x_1 + \sum_{m \neq 2}^{m-1} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \right] x_m = -x_1, \text{ so } 0 = f(x'')f(y) = f(x''y) = f(-x_1) = -1, \]

which is impossible.

(iii) Suppose \( \alpha = 1 \). Let \( x''' = x - x_1 = \sum_{i=2}^{m} \beta_i x_i \). Clearly, \( f(x''') = 0 \).

Put \( y = \sum_{i=2}^{m} \beta_i x_i \) where \( \beta_2 = -1 \) and for \( m \geq 3 \), \( \beta_m = \frac{m_i \sum_{i=2}^{m} \beta_i}{m} \). Then \( x'''' = -x_2 + \sum_{i=2}^{m} \left[ \sum_{i=2}^{m} \beta_i \right] \).

Thus, there must exist \( x_i \in \mathcal{H} \) such that \( f(x_i) = 0 \) in both cases. Let us take \( f(x_i) = 0 \). Then for each \( k \geq i \),

\[ f(x_k) = f(x_k x_i) = f(x_k) f(x_i) = 0 \tag{3.6.4} \]

(i) If \( f(x_j) = 0 \) for all \( j \), \( 1 \leq j \leq i-1 \), then, for each \( x \in \mathcal{A} \), \( x = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_k x_k \),

\[ x x_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_k x_k x_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_k x_1 = x \] implies \( f(x) = f(x x_1) = f(x) f(x_1) = 0 \), i.e. \( f \) is identically zero.

(ii) If there exists a \( x \in \mathcal{H} \), \( 1 \leq i \leq i-1 \), such that \( f(x) \neq 0 \).

Put \( j = \text{Max}(e : f(x_e) \neq 0) \).

By virtue of (3.6.4), we have \( j \leq i-1 \). Hence

\[ f(x_{j+1}) = 0 \text{ and } f(x_j) \neq 0. \tag{3.6.5} \]

Since \( 0 \neq f(x_j) = f(x_j^2) = f(x_j) f(x_j) \), it follows that \( f(x_j) = 1 \). For \( k \leq j \), \( f(x_j) = 1 \)

and \( x_j = x_k x_j \) imply that

\[ f(x_k) = f(x_k) f(x_j) = f(x_k x_j) = f(x_j) = 1. \tag{3.6.6} \]

For each \( x = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_k x_k \in \mathcal{A} \), applying (3.6.5), (3.6.6) and hypothesis (ii), we have
\[ f(x) = f\left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k x_k\right) = f\left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k x_k\right) + f\left(\sum_{k = j+1} \alpha_k x_k\right) \]

\[ = f\left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k x_k\right) + f(x_{j+1} + \alpha_k x_k) \quad \text{(since } x_{j+1} x_k = x_k \text{ for } k \geq j+1) \]

\[ = \sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k + f(x_{j+1} + \alpha_k x_k) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k. \quad (3.6.7) \]

Since \( x_k x_j = x_j \) for \( k \leq j \), we have

\[ |\alpha_k| q_j(x_j) = q_j\left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k x_k\right) = q_j\left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k x_k x_j\right) = q_j\left[\left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k x_k\right) x_j\right] \]

\[ \leq q_j\left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k x_k\right) q_j(x_j) \leq q_j\left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k x_k + \sum_{k = j+1} \alpha_k x_k\right) q_j(x_j) \]

\[ \leq [q_j\left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k x_k\right) + q_j\left(\sum_{k = j+1} \alpha_k x_k\right)] q_j(x_j) \leq [q_j(x) + q_j(x_{j+1}) + \alpha_k x_k] q_j(x_j) \]

Since by hypothesis \( q_j(x_j) \neq 0 \), we have \( |\sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k| q_j(x) \), and from (3.6.7), we have \( |f(x)| = |\sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k| \leq q_j(x) \). Since \( x \) is any element of \( A \), it follows that \( f \) is continuous.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let \( A \) be a locally m-convex algebra whose topology is defined by a family \( \{q_t\}_{t \in T} \) of submultiplicative semi-norms. If there exists a Hamel basis \( H \) such that, for \( x, y \in H \), \( xy = 0 \) whenever \( x \neq y \), then every multiplicative linear functional on \( A \) is continuous.

**Proof.** (a) Suppose there exists \( x \in H \) such that \( f(x) \neq 0 \).

Let \( Z = \sum_{x \in H} \alpha_x x \) be an arbitrary element of \( A \), where \( \alpha_x = 0 \) for all \( x \in H \) except a finite number of \( \alpha_x \)'s. Clearly, by hypothesis \( Zx = \alpha_x x^2 \), or

\[ f(Zx) = f(Z)f(x) = \alpha_x f^2(x). \]

Since \( f(x) \neq 0 \), \( f(Z) = \alpha_x f(x) \). \quad (3.7.1)
But \( f(x) \neq 0 \) implies \( f(xx) = f(x)f(x) \neq 0 \), and hence \( xx \neq 0 \).

Since \( x \neq 0 \), \( xx \neq 0 \), and \( A \) is Hausdorff, there exists a semi-norm \( q_t \) such that \( q_t(x) \neq 0 \) and \( q_t(xx) \neq 0 \).

Put \( M = |f(x)| \frac{q_t(x)}{q_t(xx)} \) \hspace{1cm} (3.7.2)

Since \( Zx = \alpha_x x^2 \) implies \( |\alpha_x| q_t(x^2) \leq q_t(Z) q_t(x) \), and \( q_t(x) \neq 0 \) implies

\[
|\alpha_x| \frac{q_t(x^2)}{q_t(x)} \leq q_t(Z),
\]
from (3.7.1), (3.7.2) and the above result, we have

\[
Mq_t(Z) \geq |f(x)| \frac{q_t(x)}{q_t(x^2)} |\alpha_x| \frac{q_t(x^2)}{q_t(x)} = |f(x)||\alpha_x| = |f(Z)|, \text{ or } |f(Z)| \leq Mq_t(Z).
\]

Since \( Z \) is any element of \( A \), this shows that \( f \) is continuous.

(b) If \( f(x) = 0 \) for all \( x \in H \), then it is clear that \( f \) is identically zero.
Chapter 4

ON FRÉCHET SPACES AND FRÉCHET ALGEBRAS WITH CYCLIC SCHAUER BASES

In theorem 4.1, we wish to prove the existence of a subset \( \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{T}} \) in some Fréchet spaces such that \( q_n(x_n) = 1 \) and \( q_m(x_n) = 0 \) whenever \( m < n \). Furthermore, in theorem 4.2, we prove that the elements of this subset are linearly independent. Finally, we show that the topology of every locally compact Fréchet space can only be defined by a family \( \{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{T}} \) of finitely many non-equivalent increasing semi-norms. This result follows if we use first the well known fact that each locally compact locally convex space is finite-dimensional. But the interest of our result lies in the fact that it is derived from the theory of Fréchet spaces.

Theorems 4.6 and 4.7 give some interesting results namely,

(i) If a Fréchet algebra \( A \) possesses a cyclic Schauder basis \( H=\{Z, Z^2, Z^3, \ldots \} \) and \( F=\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i Z^i | \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \} A \), then every multiplicative linear functional on \( A \) is identically zero. (ii) If a Fréchet algebra \( A \) possesses a cyclic Schauder basis \( H=\{e, Z, Z^2, Z^3, \ldots \} \) and \( F=\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i Z^i | \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \} A \), then there exists precisely one non-zero multiplicative linear functional on \( A \).

Theorem 4.1. Let \( A \) be a Fréchet space whose topology is defined by a family \( \{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{T}} \) of increasing non-equivalent semi-norms. If \( A \) is locally compact, then there is some positive integer \( N \) such that for each semi-norms \( q_n, n \geq N \), there exists an \( x_n \in A \) such that \( q_n(x_n) = 1 \) and \( q_m(x_n) = 0 \) whenever \( m < n \).
Proof. Since A is locally compact, there exists a compact neighborhood W of 0. For each \( q_n \), set \( V_n = \{ x \in A | q_n(x) \leq 1 \} \), and \( \hat{V}_n = \{ x \in A | q_n(x) = 1 \} \). Since the family \( \{ \frac{1}{m} V_n \}_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \) forms a fundamental system of neighborhoods of 0, there exist two positive integers \( m, N \) such that \( \frac{1}{m} V_n \subset W \) whenever \( n \geq N \). Since \( V_n \) is closed, so is \( \frac{1}{m} V_n \). The fact that \( W \) is compact implies \( \frac{1}{m} V_n \) is compact for all \( n \geq N \). This also implies that \( V_n \) is compact for all \( n \geq N \). Since \( \hat{V}_n = \{ x \in A | q_n(x) = 1 \} \) is closed, the compactness of \( \hat{V}_n \), \( n \geq N \), follows.

Since \( q_{n-1}, q_n \) are non-equivalent, for each \( m > 0 \), there exists \( y'_m \in A \) such that \( m q_{n-1}(y'_m) < q_n(y'_m) \) or \( q_{n-1}(\frac{y'_m}{q_n(y'_m)}) < \frac{1}{m} \). (4.1.1)

Put \( y_m = \frac{y'_m}{q_n(y'_m)} \), then \( q_n(y_m) = 1 \) for all \( m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \). And hence the sequence \( (y_m)_{m \geq 1} \subset \hat{V}_n \). Since \( \hat{V}_n \) is compact for \( n \geq N \), there exists a subsequence \( (y_{n_k})_{k \geq 1} \) of \( (y_m)_{m \geq 1} \) such that \( (y_{n_k}) \to x \in \hat{V}_n \). So

\[ q_n(y_{n_k}) = 1 \to q_n(x_n) = 1 \text{ where } n \geq N. \]

On the other hand, by virtue of (4.1.1), we have

\[ q_{n-1}(y_{n_k}) = q_{n-1}(\frac{y_{n_k}}{q_n(y_{n_k})}) < \frac{1}{n_k}. \]

This implies that \( q_{n-1}(y_{n_k}) \to 0 \) as \( n_k \to \infty \) and hence

\[ q_{n-1}(y_{n_k}) \to q_{n-1}(x_n) = 0 \text{ as } y_{n_k} \to x_n. \]

For \( m < n \), \( q_m(x_n) \leq q_{n-1}(x_n) = 0 \). Thus, \( q_m(x_n) = 0 \) whenever \( m < n \) and \( n \geq N \). This completes the proof.
**Theorem 4.2.** Let $A$ be a locally compact Fréchet space. Then its topology can only be defined by finitely many non-equivalent increasing semi-norms.

**Proof.** By theorem (4.1), there is some positive integer $N$ such that, for each semi-norm $q_n$ with $n \geq N$, there exists $x_n \in A$ satisfying

$$q_n(x_n)=1 \text{ and } q_m(x_n)=0 \text{ whenever } m<n.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2.1)

We claim that $(x_n)_{n \geq N}$ is linearly independent.

Indeed, suppose $\alpha_n x_n + \alpha_{n+1} x_{n+1} + \ldots + \alpha_{n+k} x_{n+k} = 0$, then

$$\alpha_n x_n = -\alpha_{n+1} x_{n+1} - \alpha_{n+2} x_{n+2} - \ldots - \alpha_{n+k} x_{n+k}.$$  

From (4.2.1), we have

$$|\alpha_n q_n(x_n) = q_n(\alpha_n x_n) = q_n(-\alpha_{n+1} x_{n+1} - \alpha_{n+2} x_{n+2} - \ldots - \alpha_{n+k} x_{n+k}) \leq \sum_{r=1}^{k} |\alpha_{n+r} q_n(x_{n+r}) = 0.$$  

Thus $|\alpha_n| q_n(x_n) = 0$.

But $q_n(x_n)=1$ implies $|\alpha_n|=0$ or $\alpha_n=0$.

Similarly, we can prove that $\alpha_{n+1} = \alpha_{n+2} = \ldots = \alpha_{n+k} = 0$.

Thus $(x_n)_{n \geq N}$ is linearly independent. By proposition 1.5, since every locally compact Hausdorff topological vector space is of finite dimension, the number of $(x_n)_{n \geq N}$ must be finite.

This implies that the number of $(q_n)_{n \geq N}$ is finite.

Using the above theorem, the following well-known result follows:

**Corollary 4.2.1:** Every locally compact Fréchet space (Fréchet algebra) is a Banach space (Banach algebra).

**Proof.** By theorem 4.2, since the number of $(q_n)$ is finite, put $q(x) = \max_n q_n(x)$. $x \neq 0$ implies that there exists $q_n$ such that $q_n(x) \neq 0$ and hence $q(x) \neq 0$. So $q(x) = 0$ implies $x = 0$. 


Thus, $q(x)$ is a norm which defines the topology of the Fréchet space and every Fréchet space is complete. So it is a Banach space.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let $A$ be a Fréchet space whose topology is defined by an increasing sequence $\{q_n\}_{n>1}$ of semi-norms. Then, for each sequence $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $A$, there exists $(\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ such that $\sum \limits_{i = 1}^{m} \alpha_i x_i \in A$ where $\alpha_i \neq 0$ for all $i$.

**Proof.** For each $x_i \neq 0$, there exists some $q_{f(i)}$ such that $q_{f(i)}(x_i) \neq 0$ and $f(i) \geq i$.

Put $\alpha_i = \frac{1}{i^2 q_{f(i)}(x_i)}$. We claim that $\sum \limits_{i = 1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i \in A$.

Indeed, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer $N_{\varepsilon}$ such that $\sum \limits_{i = N_{\varepsilon}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^2} < \varepsilon$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $q_n$, taking $N_{\varepsilon} = \max(n, N_{\varepsilon})$ then

$m > k > N$ implies $q_n(\sum \limits_{i = 1}^{m} \alpha_i x_i - \sum \limits_{i = k+1}^{m} \alpha_i x_i) = q_n(\sum \limits_{i = k+1}^{m} \alpha_i x_i) \leq \sum \limits_{i = k+1}^{m} q_n(\alpha_i x_i) \leq \sum \limits_{i = k+1}^{m} \frac{1}{i^2} < \frac{1}{i^2} \leq \frac{1}{i^2} \leq \frac{1}{i^2} \varepsilon$.

because $f(i) \geq i \geq N_{\varepsilon}$ implies $q_{f(i)}(x_i) \geq q_n(x_i)$.

This means that, for each $q_n$, $q_n(\sum \limits_{i = 1}^{m} \alpha_i x_i - \sum \limits_{i = 1}^{k} \alpha_i x_i) \to 0$ as $m, k \to \infty$,

or $|\sum \limits_{i = 1}^{m} \alpha_i x_i - \sum \limits_{i = 1}^{k} \alpha_i x_i| \to 0$ as $m, k \to \infty$.

Since $A$ is complete, $\sum \limits_{i = 1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i \in A$.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let $A$ be a Fréchet algebra whose topology is defined by an increasing sequence $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of submultiplicative semi-norms. Let $f$ be
a multiplicative linear functional on $A$. Then, for each $x \in A$, there exists a submultiplicative semi-norm $q_n$ depending on $x$ such that $|f(x)| \leq q_n(x)$.

**Proof.** If not, then for some $x \in A$, $|f(x)| > q_n(x)$ for all $n \geq 1$, or $\int q_n \frac{x}{f(x)}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Put $y = \frac{x}{f(x)}$ and $z_m = y^2 + y^3 + \ldots + y^m$. For each $n$, $q_n(y) < 1$ implies $q_n(z_m - z_k) \leq \frac{m}{2} q_n(y)^i \sum_{k=1}^{m} q_n(y)^i \to 0$ as $m, k \to \infty$.

This implies that $|z_m - z_k| = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_n(z_m - z_k)}{1 + q_n(z_m - z_k)} \to 0$ as $m, k \to \infty$.

But $A$ is complete, and hence $z_m \to z = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y^i \in A$.

Since $yz + y = z$ and $f(y) = f(\frac{x}{f(x)}) = 1$, it follows that $f(yz) + f(y) = f(z) + f(y) = f(z) + f(y) = f(z)$, which is impossible, and so $|f(x)| \leq q_n(x)$ for some $n$.

**Theorem 4.5.** Let $A$ be a Fréchet algebra, $f$ a multiplicative linear functional on $A$, and $z \in A$.

(a) If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i z^i \in A$, then $f(z) \neq \frac{1}{\alpha}$, where $\alpha \neq 0$.

(b) If $F = \{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i z^i | \alpha \in C \} \subseteq A$, then $f(z) = 0$.

(c) If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i z^i | z^i \in A$, then $|f(z)| < \frac{1}{|\alpha|}$.

**Proof.** (a) Assume $f(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \neq 0$. Then $f^2(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} f(z) - f^2(z) = 0$ or $f(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha} z - z^2 = 0$, or $f(z - az^2) = 0$. Since $0 = f(z - az^2) f(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i z^i) = f[(z - az^2)(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i z^i)] = f(az^2) = al f(z^2) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \neq 0$, which is impossible. Therefore, $f(z) \neq \frac{1}{\alpha}$.

(b) Assume $f(z) \neq 0$. Put $f(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha}$, $\alpha \in C$. By (a), $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i z^i \in A$ implies
\( f(Z) \neq \frac{1}{\alpha} \), which is a contradiction. Thus \( f(Z) = 0 \).

(c) If \( |f(Z)| \geq \frac{1}{|\alpha|} \), denote \( f(Z) = \frac{1}{B} \). Then \( |B| > |\alpha| \) implies \( |\alpha| > |\beta| \), and hence \( i^{\text{?}} \beta \ |Z^i| < i^{\text{?}} \alpha \ |Z^i| < \infty \). This means that \( i^{\text{?}} \beta \ i^{\text{?}} \alpha \ i^{\text{?}} \in A \). By (a), \( f(Z) \neq \frac{1}{B} \) which is a contradiction. Thus \( |f(Z)| < \frac{1}{|\alpha|} \).

**Theorem 4.6.** Let \( A \) be a Fréchet algebra with a Schauder basis \( \{Z, Z^2, Z^3, \ldots\} \) such that \( F = \{i^{\text{?}} \alpha i^{\text{?}} Z^i \mid \alpha \in C\} \cap A \). Then each multiplicative linear functional on \( A \) is identically zero.

**Proof.** Let \( X = i^{\text{?}} \alpha i^{\text{?}} Z^i \in A \) be an arbitrary element of \( A \), then

\[
f(x) = f(\alpha Z) + f(i^{\text{?}} \alpha i^{\text{?}} Z^i) = f(\alpha Z) + f(Z i^{\text{?}} \alpha i^{\text{?}} Z^i) = \alpha f(Z) + f(Z) f(i^{\text{?}} \alpha i^{\text{?}} Z^i).
\]

By theorem 4.5 (b), \( f(Z) = 0 \) implies \( f(x) = 0 \).

Thus \( f \) is identically zero.

**Theorem 4.7.** Let \( A \) be a Fréchet algebra with a Schauder basis \( \{e, Z, Z^2, \ldots\} \) where \( e \) is the identity of \( A \). Suppose \( A \) contains \( F = \{i^{\text{?}} \alpha i^{\text{?}} Z^i \mid \alpha \in C\} \).

Then there exists only one non-zero multiplicative linear functional on \( A \).

i.e. for each vector \( x = i^{\text{?}} \alpha i^{\text{?}} Z^i \in A \) (where \( Z^0 = e \)), \( f(x) = f(i^{\text{?}} \alpha i^{\text{?}} Z^i) = \alpha_e \).

**Proof:** \( f(e) = f(e^2) = f(e) f(e) \) implies \( f(e)[f(e) - 1] = 0 \).

If \( f \) being non-zero implies \( f(e) \neq 0 \) and hence \( f(e) = 1 \).

Consider \( f(x) = f(i^{\text{?}} \alpha i^{\text{?}} Z^i) = f(\alpha e + Z i^{\text{?}} \alpha i^{\text{?}} Z^i) = \alpha_0 f(e) + f(Z) f(i^{\text{?}} \alpha i^{\text{?}} Z^i) = \alpha_0 f(Z) f(i^{\text{?}} \alpha i^{\text{?}} Z^i) \).

By theorem 4.5(b), \( f(Z) = 0 \), implies \( f(x) = \alpha_0 \).

**Remark.** If in theorem 4.7, \( A \) does not contain \( F = \{i^{\text{?}} \alpha i^{\text{?}} Z^i \mid \alpha \in C\} \), then the non-zero multiplicative linear functional on \( A \) is not necessarily unique. For example, let

\[
A = \{i^{\text{?}} \alpha i^{\text{?}} Z^i \mid i^{\text{?}} \alpha i^{\text{?}} < \infty\}.
\]
Define \( f(Z) = \alpha \), where \( |\alpha| < 1 \), and for each \( x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i z^i \) \( \in A \), \( f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i \). Then \( f \) is a multiplicative linear functional on \( A \), but \( f(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i z^i) \neq 0 \).

**Theorem 4.8.** Let \( A \) be a Frechet algebra with a Schauder basis \( \{e, z, z^2, z^3, \ldots \} \). Then each multiplicative linear functional \( f \) on \( A \) can be extended to \( \overline{f} \) on a smallest Frechet algebra \( E \) which contains \( A \) and \( F = \{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i z^i \mid \alpha \in C \} \) if and only if \( f(Z) = 0 \).

**Proof.** If \( f \) on \( A \) can be extended to \( \overline{f} \) on \( E \), by theorem 4.5 (b), \( \overline{f}(z) = 0 \) and hence \( f(Z) = 0 \). Conversely, if \( f(Z) = 0 \), then, for each \( x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i z^i \in F \), since \( \overline{f}(x) = \overline{f}(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i z^i) = \overline{f}(z(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i z^{i-1})) = \overline{f}(z) \overline{f}(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i z^{i-1}) = f(Z) \overline{f}(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i z^{i-1}) = 0 \), so we must define \( \overline{f}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & x \in A \\ 0 & x \notin F \end{cases} \). Then \( \overline{f} \) extends \( f \) and \( \overline{f} \) is a multiplicative linear functional on \( E \).

As a consequence of our results, we derive the following:

**Theorem 4.9.** Let \( A \) be a division algebra. If there exists a non-zero multiplicative linear functional on \( A \), then \( A \) is isomorphic to the algebra of complex numbers.

**Proof.** Let \( x \in A \). If \( x \neq 0 \), then there exists \( x^{-1} \in A \) such that \( xx^{-1} = e \). Hence \( f(x)f(x^{-1}) = f(e) \). If \( f \) is non-zero implies \( f(e) \neq 0 \) and hence \( f(x) \neq 0 \). This implies that there exists \( \alpha_x \in C \) (\( \alpha_x \) depending upon \( x \) only) such that \( f(\alpha_x e) = f(x) \) or \( f(\alpha_x e-x) = 0 \).

We claim that \( \alpha_x e-x = 0 \).

If not, then there exists \( (\alpha_x e-x)^{-1} \in A \) such that

\[ 0 = f(\alpha_x e-x)f[(\alpha_x e-x)^{-1}] = f(e) \neq 0, \]

which is impossible.

Therefore, \( \alpha_x e-x = 0 \) or \( x = \alpha_x e \). Clearly the mapping: \( x \mapsto \alpha_x \) is one-to-one and onto. Hence \( A \) is isomorphic to \( C \).
Example. Let $A$ be a Fréchet algebra with a Schauder basis $(e, z, z^2, \ldots)$ whose topology is defined by an increasing sequence $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of submultiplicative semi-norms, where $q_n(\sum_{i \geq 0} \alpha_i z^i) = \sum_{i \geq 0} |\alpha_i|$. Since, for each $i \geq 1$, $q_n(z^i) = 0$ whenever $i > n$, by theorem 2.1, $A$ contains $F = \{ \sum_{i \geq 0} \alpha_i z^i | \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \}$. Hence by theorem 4.7, there is only one non-zero multiplicative linear functional on $A$, i.e. $f(\sum_{i \geq 0} \alpha_i z^i) = \alpha_0$. 

\[ q_n \]
Chapter 5

POSITIVE FUNCTIONALS AND \(*\)-REPRESENTATIONS OF FRÉCHET \(*\)-ALGEBRAS

A representation of an algebra \(R\) is a homomorphism of \(R\) into the algebra of linear operators on some vector space. This vector space is called a representation space.

In the sequel, we shall restrict ourselves to the study of \(*\)-representations of \(*\)-algebras. An example of a \(*\)-algebra is the set \(B(H)\) of all bounded linear operators on a given Hilbert space \(H\). The algebra \(B(H)\) plays an essential role in the sequel. A \(*\)-representation of a \(*\)-algebra \(R\) is a \(*\)-homomorphism \(x \rightarrow A_x\) of \(R\) into the algebra \(B(H)\), where \(B(H)\) is a \(*\)-algebra, with \(A^*\) being the adjoint operator of \(A \in B(H)\).

There are two well-known theorems:

**Theorem (i).** Every positive functional \(f\) in a Banach \(*\)-algebra \(R\) with identity satisfies the inequality:

\[ |f(x)| \leq f(e) \|x\|_R \quad \text{where } x \in R \text{ and } \| \|_R \text{ is the norm of } R. \]

**Theorem (ii).** Every \(*\)-representation \(A\) of a Banach \(*\)-algebra \(R\) into \(B(H)\) satisfies

\[ \|A_x\|_B \leq \|x\|_R \quad \text{where } \| \|_B \text{ is a norm of } B(H). \]

In this chapter, we wish to generalize these results to Fréchet \(*\)-algebra. First of all, we define the Fréchet \(*\)-algebra. Let \(E\) be a Fréchet algebra whose topology is defined by an increasing sequence \(\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) of submultiplicative semi-norms. \(E\) is called a Fréchet \(*\)-algebra if:
a) $E$ is a $*$-algebra which is also a Fréchet algebra."

b) $q_n(x) = q_n(x^*)$ for all $n=1,2,3,...$.

It follows from b) and Proposition 1.3 that $|x| = |x^*|$ and hence the involution operation is continuous. Also $q_n(xx^*) \leq q_n^2(x)$.

Now, we start to prove a generalization of Theorem (i) mentioned above.

Theorem 5.1. Let $R$ be a Fréchet $*$-algebra with identity whose topology is defined by an increasing sequence $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of submultiplicative seminorms. Then every positive functional $f$ in $R$ satisfies the inequality

$$|f(x)| \leq f(e) \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n(x).$$

Proof. (i) Suppose $\lim_{n \to \infty} q_n(x) = \infty$. Since $e^* = e$ (by Proposition 1.9), we have $f(e) = f(ee^*) \geq 0$. If $f(e) = 0$, by Proposition 1.11, $0 = |f(x)|^2 \leq f(e) f(x^*x) = 0$, and hence $0 = |f(x)| \leq f(e) \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n(x)$. If $f(e) > 0$, $|f(x)| < f(e) \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n(x) = \infty$.

(ii) If $\lim_{n \to \infty} q_n(x) \leq 1$ and $x$ is a Hermitian element of $R$, consider the binomial series

$$\frac{1}{(1-\lambda)^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda - \frac{1}{2!} \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 - \cdots.$$

It converges absolutely for $|\lambda| \leq 1$. Replace $\lambda$ by $x$ and $1$ by $e$ in this series. Since $\lim_{n \to \infty} q_n(x) \leq 1$ implies $q_n(x) \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain

$$q_n(e - \frac{1}{2} x - \frac{1}{2!} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} x^2 - \cdots) \leq q_n(e) + \frac{1}{2} q_n(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} q_n^2(x) + \cdots < \infty,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

This implies that $e - \frac{1}{2} x - \frac{1}{2!} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} x^2 - \cdots$ converges absolutely in $A$.
In virtue of the continuity of involution, its sum, which we shall denote by \( y \), is a Hermitian element of \( R \). Furthermore

\[ y^*y = y^2 = e - x. \]

Hence it follows that

\[ f(e - x) = f(yy^*) \geq 0. \]

Conversely, \( f(x) \leq f(e) \).

Replacing \( x \) everywhere by \( -x \), we obtain that \( -f(x) \leq f(e) \).

Consequently,

\[ |f(x)| \leq f(e). \]

(iii) If \( \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n(x) < \infty \) and \( x \) is a Hermitian element of \( R \), suppose \( x = 0 \), then \( f(x) = 0 \) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n(x) = 0 \) and the inequality follows. Suppose \( x \neq 0 \), then \( \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n(x) > 0 \), we set \( x_1 = \frac{x}{\lim_{n \to \infty} q_n(x)} \), then \( x_1 \) is also Hermitian, and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n(x_1) = 1 \). Consequently, by what we have already proved,

\[ |f(x_1)| \leq f(e), \text{ i.e. } \frac{|f(x)|}{\lim_{n \to \infty} q_n(x)} \leq f(e), \text{ or } |f(x)| \leq f(e) \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n(x), \]

and thus inequality (1) has been proved for an arbitrary Hermitian element of \( R \).

(iv) If \( \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n(x) < \infty \).

Then \( x^*x \) is a Hermitian element of \( R \) and

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n(x^*x) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n(x^*)q_n(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n^2(x) < \infty. \]
By (iii), $f(x^*x)q(f(e))\lim_{n\to\infty}q_n(x^*x)q(f(e))\lim_{n\to\infty}q_n^2(x)$. .........................(*)

On the other hand, by Proposition 1.11, $|f(x)|^2$ $\leq$ $f(e)f(x^*x)$, it follows from this and the inequality (*) that

$$|f(x)|^2 \leq \lim_{n\to\infty}q_n^2(x)$$

or

$$|f(x)| \leq \lim_{n\to\infty}q_n(x).$$

**Theorem 5.2.** Let $R$ be a Fréchet $*$-algebra whose topology is defined by an increasing sequence $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of submultiplicative semi-norms. Then every $*$-representation $A$ of $R$ into $B(H)$ satisfies $\|A_x\|_B \leq \lim_{n\to\infty}q_n(x)$.

We need the following:

**Lemma 5.3.** Suppose $x \mapsto A_x$ is a representation of a $*$-algebra in the Hilbert space $H$ and $y \neq 0$ is a vector of $H$. We set

$$f(x) = (A_x y, y)$$

then $f$ is a positive functional.

**Proof of Lemma 5.3.** Since $A: x \mapsto A_x$ is a $*$-homomorphism $x \mapsto A_x$ of $R$ into the algebra $B(H)$, by Definition 1.20, $x \mapsto A_x$ and $x^* \mapsto A_{x^*}$ imply $x^*x \mapsto A_{x^*x} = A_x^*A_x$ and $A_x = A_x^*$. Thus,

$$f(x^*x) = (A_{x^*x} y, y) = (A_x A_{x^*} y, y) = (A_x^* A_x y, y) = (A_x y, A_x y) \geq 0.$$ 

**Proof of Theorem 5.2.** Without loss of generality, we may assume that $R$ contains an identity. In fact, in the contrary case, by setting $A_{1+e}^* = 1 + A_e$, we could extend the representation $x \mapsto A_x$ of the $*$-algebra
R to a representation of the Fréchet *-algebra obtained from R by the adjunction of an identity. But if R contains an identity, then, applying Theorem 5.1 to the positive functional \( f(x) = (A_x y, y) \), we obtain

\[
|(A_x y, y)| \leq f(e) \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n(x) = (A_e y, y) \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n(x) = (y, y) \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n(x)
\]

If we replace \( x \) by \( x^* x \) everywhere in the last inequality, we conclude that

\[
|(A_{x^* x} y, y)| \leq (y, y) \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n(x^* x) \leq (y, y) \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n^2(x)
\]

By the proof of Lemma 5.3, we have

\[
|f(x^* x)| = |(A_{x^* x} y, y)| = \|A_{x^* x} y\|^2
\]

Therefore

\[
\|A_{x^* x} y\|^2 \leq \|y\|^2 (\lim_{n \to \infty} q_n(x))^2
\]

or

\[
\|A_{x^* x} y\| \leq \|y\| \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n(x).
\]

Since \( y \) is an arbitrary non-zero vector in \( H \), it follows from the last inequality that \( \|A_x\|_{B} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n(x) \).
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