

QUASIGRAPHS IN N-DIMENSIONAL
MANIFOLDS

By

MARINO P. CASCIANI, B.Sc.

A Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies
in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Degree
Master of Science

McMaster University

October 1976

QUASIGRAPHS IN N-DIMENSIONAL
MANIFOLDS

MASTER OF SCIENCE (1976)
(Mathematics)

McMASTER UNIVERSITY
Hamilton, Ontario

TITLE: Quasigraphs in n-Dimensional Manifolds

AUTHOR: Marino P. Casciani, B.Sc. (McMaster University)

SUPERVISOR: Dr. N.D. Lane

NUMBER OF PAGES: (vii), 61

SCOPE AND CONTENTS: A definition of quasigraphs in n-dimensional manifolds is given. A continuous family of quasigraphs is then introduced and the intersection and support properties of these quasigraphs are studied.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincerest appreciation to my supervisor, Dr. N.D. Lane, for the invaluable guidance and encouragement he has given me during the preparation of this thesis.

Thanks are also due to McMaster University for their generous financial support that I have received as a graduate student.

Finally, I am indebted to Mrs. Phyllis Matsos who devoted her time and effort in the typing of this thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Introduction	(vi)
1. Quasigraphs in n -Dimensional Manifolds	1
2. Convergence of Sequences of Quasigraphs	13
3. Families of Quasigraphs	17
4. Global Decompositions	29
5. Local Decompositions	41
Bibliography	61

INTRODUCTION

In the study of direct differential geometry, families of oriented arcs, curves, conic sections and graphs of polynomials of degree at most n have been employed to define the differentiability of an arc at a point in various kinds of planes; cf. [1], [4], [5], [7] and [8].

These studies followed similar patterns and led to the search of a general theory of differentiability. In [6], N.D. Lane, P. Scherk and J.M. Turgeon introduced the notion of a quasigraph in the unit disk as a basis for such a general theory. Dr. Ralph Park first suggested that the idea of a quasigraph could be extended to higher dimensional spaces and this led to the study of quasigraphs in n -dimensional manifolds.

In Chapter I quasigraphs in an n -dimensional connected manifold G are introduced and some basic properties looked at. A quasigraph K is a subset $[K]$ in G of inductive dimension $\leq n-1$, together with a decomposition of $G \setminus [K]$ into two disjoint open sets K^1 and K^{-1} .

In Chapter II, convergent sequences of quasigraphs are studied and the definition of a continuous family of quasigraphs is introduced.

In Chapter III we look at the properties of a continuous family $\mathcal{A} = \{ K_s \mid s \in I = (0,1) \}$ of quasigraphs

$K_s = ([K_s], K_s^1, K_s^{-1})$, obtained by means of an isotopy of G .

It is assumed that if $Q_s \in [K_s] \cap [K_t]$, $s, t \in I$, $s \neq t$, then

$$Q_s \in \bigcap_{s \in I} [K_s].$$

In Chapter IV the global decompositions of the continuous family \mathcal{A} of quasigraphs are studied. Let $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_h < 1$. It is shown that if $K_{t_i} = ([K_{t_i}], K_{t_i}^1, K_{t_i}^{-1})$,

$i = 1, \dots, h$, are quasigraphs of \mathcal{A} , then not more than $2h$ of the 2^h sets $\bigcap_{i=1}^h K_{t_i}^{\alpha_i}$, $\alpha_i = \pm 1$, are non-void.

Chapter V deals with the support and intersection properties of a finite number of quasigraphs of \mathcal{A} . It is shown that if any two quasigraphs of \mathcal{A} support each other [intersect each other, both decompose G in the same way] at a point, then so do any two quasigraphs of that family. We also obtain the result that if any two quasigraphs of \mathcal{A} support [intersect] each other at Q and $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_h < 1$, then for every small neighbourhood N of Q exactly $h+1$ [$2h$] of the 2^h open sets $K_{t_1}^{\pm 1} \cap \dots \cap K_{t_h}^{\pm 1} \cap N$, $h \geq 2$ are non-void, where $K_{t_i} \in \mathcal{A}$ for $i = 1, \dots, h$.

CHAPTER I

1. Quasigraphs in n-Dimensional Manifolds

1.1. An n -dimensional topological manifold is a Hausdorff space each of whose points has a neighbourhood homeomorphic to E^n . Let G be a connected n -dimensional topological manifold, with or without boundary, satisfying the second axiom of countability. Thus G is a separable metric space.

Define a point P of a set S in G to be of dimension k , $0 \leq k \leq n$, if some neighbourhood B of P in G is such that $B \cap S$ is homeomorphic to E^k . S has dimension k if each point of S has dimension k .

Define the inductive dimension of the empty set and only the empty set to be -1 .

A space S has inductive dimension $\leq k$ ($k \geq 0$) at a point P if P has arbitrarily small neighbourhoods whose boundaries have inductive dimension $\leq k-1$.

S has inductive dimension $\leq k$, if S has inductive dimension $\leq k$ at each of its points. S has inductive

dimension k at point if it is true that S has inductive dimension $\leq k$ at P and it is false that S has inductive dimension $\leq k-1$ at P . S has inductive dimension k if the inductive dimension of S is $\leq k$, is true and the inductive dimension of S is $\leq k-1$, is false.

Define a k -hyperface F in G to be either a connected subset of the interior of G such that for each point P in F there is a small neighbourhood B of P in G and a homeomorphism $h_P : B \rightarrow E^n$ such that $h_P(B) = E^n$ and $h_P(B \cap F) = E^k$, $k \leq n-1$ or F is a connected subset of the boundary of G such that for each point P in F there is a small neighbourhood B of P in the boundary of G homeomorphic to E^k , $k \leq n-2$.

Remark 1. A subspace S of a space G has inductive dimension $\leq k$ if and only if every point of S has arbitrarily small neighbourhoods, in G , whose boundaries have intersections with S of inductive dimension $\leq k-1$.

Remark 2. The inductive dimension of a set is a topological invariant and E^k has inductive dimension k . Thus if $P \in S$, $S \subset G$ has dimension k , then the inductive dimension of P is k . However, there do exist points

which have inductive dimension but no ordinary dimension.

Remark 3. If F is an $(n-1)$ - hyperface in G and $P \in F$, then F decomposes the interior of a small neighbourhood B of P in G into exactly two disjoint open subsets.

1.2. Let $[K]$ be the union of a finite number of hyperfaces in G . Let F_i ; $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, be the $(n-1)$ - hyperfaces of $[K]$ and assume that

$$[K] \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m F_i$$

has no points of inductive dimension $n-1$.

Define a point of $[K]$ to be non-singular if it belongs to an $(n-1)$ - hyperface of $[K]$, otherwise it is singular. Thus if $Q \in [K]$ has dimension $n-1$ it is non-singular.

We call an $(n-1)$ - hyperface of $[K]$, a face of $[K]$.

1.2.1. THEOREM: (Hurewicz and Wallman) A connected n -dimensional manifold cannot be disconnected by a subset of inductive dimension $n-2$.

Hence G cannot be disconnected by

$$[K] \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m F_i \right)$$

Remark: (Hurewicz and Wallman) Let U be an open set in an k -dimensional manifold which is neither empty nor dense, and let B be the boundary of U . Then the inductive dimension of B is $k-1$.

1.2.2. Let K^1 and K^{-1} be any open sets which partition $G \setminus [K]$. Thus every connected component of $G \setminus [K]$ lies entirely in K^1 or K^{-1} . Then call the ordered triple

$$([K], K^1, K^{-1})$$

a quasigraph and denote it by K . In particular call

(1.2.2-1) $(\emptyset, G, \emptyset)$ and $(\emptyset, \emptyset, G)$

the void quasigraphs. We have

(1.2.2-2) $G = [K] \cup K^1 \cup K^{-1}$

and

$$[K] = K^1 \cap K^{-1}.$$

We say K decomposes G if both K^1 and K^{-1} are non-void.

K decomposes G at a point Q if

$$K^1 \cap N \neq \emptyset \text{ and } K^{-1} \cap N \neq \emptyset$$

for every neighbourhood N of Q .

† I.e., both U and its complement contain a non-empty set.

If $K = ([K], K^1, K^{-1})$ is a quasigraph, then so is $L = ([K], K^{-1}, K^1)$ and we call K and L opposite quasigraphs. If K is a quasigraph which does not decompose G at any point of $[K]$ then call K a degenerate quasigraph. Note that if $[K]$ has no faces then K is degenerate.

If F is a face of $[K]$, then we say F is a face of K .

1.3. If K decomposes G at one point of a face F , then it will do so at every point of F .

Proof. Let K decompose G at a point P of a face F . Let N be a connected neighbourhood of P such that $N \cap [K] \setminus F = \emptyset$. Thus F separates N into two open connected sets N_1 and N_2 and $N \cap F$ is part of the boundary of both N_1 and N_2 . Thus every point Q of $N \cap F$ is a point of N_1 and N_2 . Since N_1 and N_2 are connected open sets of $G \setminus [K]$, we have that N_1 is completely contained in K^1 or K^{-1} and N_2 is completely contained in K^1 or K^{-1} . But $N \setminus F = N_1 \cup N_2$ meets both K^1 and K^{-1} . Thus, $N \subset K^1$ and $N_2 \subset K^{-1}$ or $N_2 \subset K^1$ and $N_1 \subset K^{-1}$. Hence every neighbourhood M of a point $Q \in N \cap F$ meets both N_1 and N_2 ; hence M meets both K^1 and K^{-1} . Thus every point of $N \cap F$ decomposes G . Thus we have that for any decomposing point of F there is an open neighbourhood in F which consists of decomposing points of G . Since F is connected any two points in F can be joined by a simple chain of open sets in F . Using remark 3 in 1.1, we have that every point in F decomposes G .

If K decomposes G at one point of a face F , then it will do so at every point of F . We then call F odd. Any non-odd face is called even.

1.4. K decomposes G at a singular point Q of K if and only if Q is in the closure of at least one odd face.

— Proof. Let Q be in the closure of an odd face F of K . Then every neighbourhood N of Q meets F . Hence N is a neighbourhood of some point of F . Hence N meets both K^1 and K^{-1} . Thus K decomposes G at Q .

Let K decompose G at a singular point Q of K . Assume that Q is not in the closure of an odd face of K . Then either there is a neighbourhood N of Q such that N meets no faces of K or Q is in the closure of even faces of K .

If there is a neighbourhood N of Q such that N meets no face of K , then $N \cap [K]$ has no points of dimension $n-1$. Thus by 1.2.1, N cannot be separated by $[K]$, that is K does not decompose G at Q . Contradiction.

Let Q be in the closure of even faces of K . Let N be a connected neighbourhood of Q such that N meets no odd faces of K . Let S be the set of singular points of $[K]$ in N . By 1.2.1, $N \setminus S$ is connected. Thus any two points P, R in $N \setminus S$ can be joined by an arc. Let $P \in K^\alpha$. Let P be joined to R by an arc A which does not pass through any singular points of N . Since A does not pass through any odd face, $R \in K^\alpha$. Hence $N \setminus S \subset K^\alpha \cup [K]$ and $N \subset K^\alpha \cup [K]$. Thus, $N \cap K^{-\alpha} = \emptyset$; a contradiction since N is a neighbourhood of Q .

1.5. The open sets K^1 and K^{-1} being disjoint we have

$$(1.5-1) \quad \overline{K^\alpha} \cap K^{-\alpha} = \emptyset$$

and

$$(1.5-2) \quad \overline{K^\alpha} \cap \text{int } \overline{K^{-\alpha}} = \emptyset; \quad \alpha = \pm 1.$$

Proof. Let $P \in \overline{K^\alpha} \cap K^{-\alpha}$. Thus $P \in \overline{K^\alpha}$ and $P \in K^{-\alpha}$. Let N be a neighbourhood of P . Then $N \cap K^\alpha \neq \emptyset$. As N is a neighbourhood of P , then $N \cap \overline{K^{-\alpha}}$ is a neighbourhood of P . Thus, we have that $N \cap \overline{K^{-\alpha}} \cap K^\alpha \neq \emptyset$; a contradiction since $K^{-\alpha}$ and K^α are disjoint.

By (1.5-1), $K^\alpha \cap \overline{K^{-\alpha}} = \emptyset$. Hence $K \cap \text{int } \overline{K^{-\alpha}} = \emptyset$.

By the argument in the proof of (1.5-1), $\overline{K^\alpha} \cap \text{int } \overline{K^{-\alpha}} = \emptyset$.

By (1.5-1) and (1.2.2-2), i.e., $\overline{K^\alpha} \subset K^{-\alpha} \subset K^\alpha \cup [K]$, we have

$$(1.5-3) \quad \overline{K^\alpha} \subset K^\alpha \cup [K].$$

1.5.1. We have

$$G = \overline{K^1} \cup \overline{K^{-1}}.$$

Proof. Every neighbourhood of a point in $[K]$ contains points of K^1 or K^{-1} . Hence $[K] \subset \overline{K^1} \cup \overline{K^{-1}}$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} G &= [K] \cup K^1 \cup K^{-1} \\ &\subset \overline{K^1} \cup \overline{K^{-1}} \cup K^1 \cup K^{-1} \\ &\subset \overline{K^1} \cup \overline{K^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (1.5-3) $\overline{K^\alpha} \subset K^\alpha \cup [K]$.

Thus $\overline{K^1} \cup \overline{K^{-1}} \subset K^1 \cup K^{-1} \cup [K] = G$.

Hence $G = \overline{K^1} \cup \overline{K^{-1}}$.

Since $G = \overline{K^1} \cup \overline{K^{-1}}$,

i.e. $\overline{K^\alpha} \subset \overline{K^{-\alpha}}$, $\alpha = \pm 1$,

we have $\text{int } \overline{K^\alpha} \subset \text{int } \overline{K^{-\alpha}}$,

i.e. $\overline{K^\alpha} \subset \text{int } \overline{K^{-\alpha}}$.

Hence

(1.5-4) $G = \overline{K^\alpha} \cup \text{int } \overline{K^{-\alpha}}$, $\alpha = \pm 1$.

1.6. Define

(1.6-1) $[\tilde{K}] = \overline{K^1} \cap \overline{K^{-1}}$

and

(1.6-2) $\tilde{K}^\alpha = \text{int } \overline{K^\alpha}$.

1.6.1. We have $[\tilde{K}] \subset [K]$.

Proof. $\overline{K^1} \cap \overline{K^{-1}} \subset (K^1 \cup [K]) \cap (K^{-1} \cup [K])$
 $\subset (K^1 \cap K^{-1}) \cup [K]$
 $= [K]$.

1.6.2. We have $K^\alpha \subset \tilde{K}^\alpha \subset (K^\alpha \cup [K])$.

Proof. $K^\alpha \subset \overline{K^\alpha}$. Thus $\text{int } K^\alpha \subset \text{int } \overline{K^\alpha} = \tilde{K}^\alpha$.

Hence $K^\alpha \subset \tilde{K}^\alpha$. $\tilde{K}^\alpha = \text{int } \overline{K^\alpha} \subset \text{int } (K^\alpha \cup [K]) \subset K^\alpha \cup [K]$.

1.6.3. We have $[K] = \text{bd } \overline{K^1} \cap \text{bd } \overline{K^{-1}}$.

Proof. Using (1.5-1),

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\tilde{K}] &= \overline{K^1} \cap \overline{K^{-1}} \\
 &= \overline{K^1} \cap \overline{K^{-1}} \cap \beta K^1 \cap \beta K^{-1} \\
 &= \overline{K^1} \cap \overline{K^{-1}} \cap \overline{\beta K^1} \cap \overline{\beta K^{-1}} \\
 &= \text{bd } K^1 \cap \text{bd } K^{-1} .
 \end{aligned}$$

1.6.4. $[\tilde{K}]$ is the union of the closures of the odd faces of K .

Proof. Let F be an odd face of $[K]$. Let P be any point in \overline{F} . For any neighbourhood N of P , $N \cap K^\alpha \neq \emptyset$, $\alpha = \pm 1$. Hence P is in the closure of K^α ; i.e. in $\overline{K^\alpha}$. Thus, $P \in \overline{K^1} \cap \overline{K^{-1}}$ for all P in \overline{F} ; i.e. $\overline{F} \subset \overline{K^1} \cap \overline{K^{-1}}$.

Conversely, let $P \in \overline{K^1} \cap \overline{K^{-1}}$. Hence for any neighbourhood N of P , $N \cap K^\alpha \neq \emptyset$, $\alpha = \pm 1$. As P is also in $[K]$, it is decomposing. Hence P is in the closure of an odd face of $[K]$.

1.7. Now $[K]$ is a closed subset of G and $[\tilde{K}] \subset [K]$, while \tilde{K}^1 and \tilde{K}^{-1} are open. Every point of G belongs to one and only one of the three sets

$$[\tilde{K}], \tilde{K}^1, \tilde{K}^{-1}$$

i.e. $G = [\tilde{K}] \cup \tilde{K}^1 \cup \tilde{K}^{-1}$ with $[\tilde{K}], \tilde{K}^1, \tilde{K}^{-1}$ disjoint.

Proof. $[\tilde{K}] \cap \tilde{K}^\alpha = \overline{K^1} \cap \overline{K^{-1}} \cap \text{int } \overline{K^\alpha} = \emptyset$, since by (1.5-2), $\overline{K^{-\alpha}} \cap \text{int } \overline{K^\alpha} = \emptyset$. Also

$$\tilde{K}^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}^{-\alpha} = \text{int } \overline{K^\alpha} \cap \text{int } \overline{K^{-\alpha}} = \emptyset .$$

Finally using (1.5-4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\tilde{K}] \cup \tilde{K}^1 \cup \tilde{K}^{-1} \\
&= (\overline{K^1} \cap \overline{K^{-1}}) \cup \text{int } \overline{K^{-1}} \cup \text{int } \overline{K^1} \\
&= (\overline{K^1} \cup \text{int } \overline{K^{-1}} \cup \text{int } \overline{K^1}) \cap (\overline{K^{-1}} \cup \text{int } \overline{K^1} \cup \text{int } \overline{K^{-1}}) \\
&= (G \cup \text{int } \overline{K^1}) \cap (G \cup \text{int } \overline{K^{-1}}) \\
&= G.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the triple $\tilde{K} = ([\tilde{K}], \tilde{K}^1, \tilde{K}^{-1})$ is a quasigraph.

We call \tilde{K} the reduced quasigraph of K .

Remark. \tilde{K} decomposes G at Q if and only if K does.

Remark. If K is a degenerate quasigraph, then \tilde{K} is a void quasigraph.

1.8. Let \tilde{K} and \tilde{L} be reduced quasigraphs and let $[\tilde{K}] = -[\tilde{L}]$. Then \tilde{K} and \tilde{L} are equal or opposite.

Proof. Let P lie on a face F of $[\tilde{K}] = [\tilde{L}]$. For every neighbourhood N of P , $N \cap \tilde{K}^\alpha \neq \emptyset$ and $N \cap \tilde{L}^\alpha \neq \emptyset$, $\alpha = \pm 1$. Choose N sufficiently small that N meets no other face and $N \cap \tilde{K}^\alpha$ and $N \cap \tilde{L}^\alpha$ are connected. Then either the connected component of \tilde{K}^α which contains $N \cap \tilde{K}^\alpha$ is equal to the connected component of \tilde{L}^α which contains $N \cap \tilde{L}^\alpha$ or it is equal to the connected component of $\tilde{L}^{-\alpha}$ which contains $N \cap \tilde{L}^{-\alpha}$.

If $N \cap \tilde{K}^\alpha = N \cap \tilde{L}^\alpha$, then as one travels in G along any arc not passing through any singular points of $[\tilde{K}]$, the moving point remains both in \tilde{K}^α and \tilde{L}^α unless the arc crosses a face of $[\tilde{K}]$, in which case it moves into $\tilde{K}^{-\alpha}$ and $\tilde{L}^{-\alpha}$ simultaneously. The case $N \cap \tilde{K}^\alpha = N \cap \tilde{L}^{-\alpha}$ is similar. Hence \tilde{K} and \tilde{L} are

Remark. Every face of \tilde{K} is odd and is the union of singular points and odd faces of K . Conversely, every odd face of K is contained in some face of \tilde{K} .

Remark. The set of singular points of \tilde{K} is a (possibly improper) subset of the set of singular points of K .

$$1.9. \quad \tilde{K}^{-1} = \overline{\beta K^1} \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad \overline{K^1} = \beta \tilde{K}^{-1} = [\tilde{K}] \cup \tilde{K}^1.$$

Proof. By (1.6-1), (1.6-2) and (1.5-4),

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{K}] \cup \tilde{K}^1 &= (\overline{K^1} \cap \overline{K^{-1}}) \cup (\text{int } \overline{K^1}) \\ &= (\overline{K^1} \cup \text{int } \overline{K^1}) \cap (\overline{K^{-1}} \cup \text{int } \overline{K^{-1}}) \\ &= \overline{K^1} \cap G \\ &= \overline{K^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking compliments, $\beta \overline{K^1} = \beta([\tilde{K}] \cup \tilde{K}^1) = \tilde{K}^{-1}$.

1.10. Let K be any quasigraph and S be any open set in G . If $[\tilde{K}] \cap S \neq \emptyset$, then $S \cap K^\alpha \neq \emptyset$, $\alpha = \pm 1$.

Proof. Let $P \in [\tilde{K}] \cap S$. Then P is in the closure of an odd face of K and hence K decomposes G at P . Since S is open, there is a neighbourhood N of P contained in S . Hence $N \cap K^\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for $\alpha = \pm 1$. Hence $S \cap K^\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for $\alpha = \pm 1$.

1.11. Let \tilde{K} and \tilde{L} be reduced quasigraphs such that $[\tilde{K}]$ and $[\tilde{L}]$ are homeomorphic and $[\tilde{K}] \subset [\tilde{L}]$. Then $[\tilde{K}] = [\tilde{L}]$.

Proof. Since $[\tilde{K}]$ and $[\tilde{L}]$ are homeomorphic, \tilde{K} and \tilde{L} have the same finite number of k -hyperfaces, $0 \leq k \leq n-1$. If F is a k -hyperface of \tilde{K} , then F is contained in a k -hyperface F' of \tilde{L} .

Assume F is a proper subset of F' . Then since F' is connected, F' contains a boundary point of F . But if P is a boundary point of F in F' then the inductive dimension of P is $k-1$. Hence F' contains a point of inductive dimension $k-1$. Contradiction. Hence $F = F'$.

Hence every k -hyperface of \tilde{L} is a k -hyperface of \tilde{K} . Thus $[\tilde{K}] = [\tilde{L}]$. Since \tilde{K} and \tilde{L} are reduced, then \tilde{K} and \tilde{L} are equal or opposite.

1.12. Let $\tilde{\tilde{K}}$ be the reduced quasigraph of \tilde{k} . Then $\tilde{\tilde{K}} = \tilde{K}$.

Proof. By 1.6.1, $[\tilde{\tilde{K}}] \subset [\tilde{K}]$ and by (1.6-1) and 1.6.2, $[\tilde{\tilde{K}}] = \overline{\tilde{k}^1} \cap \overline{\tilde{k}^{-1}} \subset \overline{\tilde{K}^1} \cap \overline{\tilde{K}^{-1}} = [\tilde{K}]$. Hence $[\tilde{\tilde{K}}] = [\tilde{K}]$.

By 1.8, $\tilde{\tilde{K}}$ and \tilde{K} are equal or opposite.

By 1.6.2, $\tilde{\tilde{K}}^\alpha \subset \tilde{K}^\alpha$ and by (1.6-2) and (1.5-3), $\tilde{\tilde{K}}^\alpha = \text{int } \overline{\tilde{\tilde{K}}^\alpha} \subset \overline{\tilde{K}^\alpha} \subset \tilde{K}^\alpha \cup [\tilde{K}]$. But $\tilde{\tilde{K}}^\alpha \cap [\tilde{K}] = \emptyset$. Thus by the above, i.e., $[\tilde{\tilde{K}}] = [\tilde{K}]$ and $\tilde{\tilde{K}}^\alpha \cap [\tilde{K}] = \emptyset$. Hence $\tilde{\tilde{K}}^\alpha \subset \tilde{K}^\alpha$. Therefore $\tilde{\tilde{K}}^\alpha = \tilde{K}^\alpha$.

This gives the desired results.

CHAPTER II

2. Convergence of sequences of quasigraphs.

2.1. Let $\{S_i\}$ be a sequence of non-empty subsets of G , $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

Define a point of P to be an accumulation point of $\{S_i\}$ if every neighbourhood of P contains points of S_i for infinitely many i .

Define a point P to be a limit point of $\{S_i\}$ if every neighbourhood of P contains points of S_i for all but a finite number of i .

Define $\limsup S_i$ to be the set of all accumulation points of $\{S_i\}$.

Define $\liminf S_i$ to be the set of all limit points of $\{S_i\}$.

Note that $\liminf S_i \subset \limsup S_i$.

We say that $\{S_i\}$ converges if $\liminf S_i = \limsup S_i$ and write $\lim S_i = \liminf S_i = \limsup S_i$.

We say that $\{S_i\}$ converges to S if $\{S_i\}$ converges and $\lim S_i = S$.

2.1.1. Lim sup S_i and lim inf S_i are closed sets.

Proof. Let P be a point in $\overline{\lim \sup S_i}$. Then every neighbourhood N of P contains points of $\lim \sup S_i$.

Hence N is a neighbourhood of some point in $\lim \sup S_i$.

Thus N contains points of S_i for infinitely many i .

Thus $P \in \lim \sup S_i$. Hence $\lim \sup S_i$ is closed.

A similar proof holds for $\lim \inf S_i$.

2.1.2. Let K be a quasigraph, $K = ([K], K^1, K^{-1})$.

We say a sequence of quasigraphs $\{K_i\}$ converges to K if $[K_i]$ converges to $[K]$ and $\{K_i^\alpha\}$ converges to K^α , $\alpha = \pm 1$.

2.2. Let $\{K_s \mid s \in I = (0,1)\}$ be a family of quasigraphs. We define K_t to be continuous at s in I if and only if for any sequence $s_i \in I$ which converges to s we have that K_{s_i} converges to K_s .

Write $\lim_{t \rightarrow s} K_t = K_s$ if and only if K_t is continuous at s .

We study a family of quasigraphs

$$\{K_s \mid s \in I = (0,1)\}$$

where K_s depends continuously on s .

2.3. If $P \in K_s^\alpha$ then $P \in K_t^\alpha$ for all t near s . Thus
if $P \in K_s^\alpha$ then there exists an open interval J in I about s
such that $P \in K_t^\alpha$ for all $t \in J$.

Proof. Let $P \in K_s^\alpha$. Suppose it is false that $P \in K_t^\alpha$
 for all t near s . Then there is a sequence t_i converging to s ,
 such that $P \notin K_{t_i}^\alpha$ for all i sufficiently large. Then
 P is an accumulation point of the sequence $\{K_{t_i}^\alpha\}$. Thus
 $P \in K_s^\alpha$. Contradiction.

2.4. Let J be an open subinterval of $I = (0,1)$.
If $P \notin \bigcup_{s \in J} [K_s]$ then there is an $\alpha \in \{1, -1\}$ such that
 $P \in K_s^\alpha$ for all $s \in J$.

Proof. Let $J_\alpha = \{s \in J \mid P \in K_s^\alpha\}$, $\alpha = \pm 1$. Then
 J_1 and J_{-1} are open. Since J is connected, J_1 or J_{-1} is
 void. Hence $P \in K_s^1$ for all $s \in J$ or $P \in K_s^{-1}$ for all $s \in J$.

2.4.1. Corollary. Let $J = (s_1, s_2)$, $\bar{J} \subset I$. Then

$$(K_{s_1}^1 \cap K_{s_2}^{-1}) \cup (K_{s_1}^{-1} \cap K_{s_2}^1) \subset \bigcup_{s \in J} [K_s]$$

Proof. Let $P \in K_{s_1}^\alpha \cap K_{s_2}^{-\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \{1, -1\}$. Suppose
 $P \notin \bigcup_{s \in J} [K_s]$. Then by 2.3 and 2.4, $P \in K_s^\alpha$ for all s in J .
 Hence $P \in K_s^{-\alpha}$ for all s in J . Since K_s is continuous at
 s_2 , i.e. $\lim_{s \rightarrow s_2} K_s^{-\alpha} = K_{s_2}^{-\alpha}$, we have $P \in K_{s_2}^{-\alpha}$. But
 $P \in K_{s_2}^{-\alpha}$. Hence we obtain a contradiction.

2.4.2. Corollary. Let $J = (s_1, s_2), \bar{J} \subset I$. Then

$$(K_{s_1}^1 \cap K_{s_2}^{-1}) \cup (K_{s_1}^{-1} \cap K_{s_2}^1) \subset \bigcup_{s \in J} [K_s] \setminus \bigcap_{s \in J} [K_s].$$

Proof. Let $P \in [K_s]$ for all $s \in J$. Then $P \notin K_s^\alpha$,
 $\alpha = \pm 1$, for all $s \in J$. Hence $P \in \cancel{K}_s^\alpha$ for all $s \in J$ and
 we obtain $P \in \cancel{K}_{s_1}^\alpha$. Thus $P \notin K_{s_1}^\alpha \cap K_{s_2}^{-\alpha}$.

CHAPTER III

3. Families of Quasigraphs.

3.1. Let $I = (0,1)$. In the following we study families

$$\mathcal{A} = \{K_s \mid s \in I\}$$

of quasigraphs with the following properties.

There exists a quasigraph K and a continuous map

$$H : G \times I \rightarrow G$$

such that, for each s , $H|_{G \times s}$ is a homeomorphism satisfying $H([K] \times s) = [K_s]$, $H(K^1 \times s) = K_s^1$; hence $H(K^{-1} \times s) = K_s^{-1}$.

Remark. H is an open mapping. Thus the map

$\bar{H} : G \times I \rightarrow G \times I$, defined by $\bar{H}(x,t) = (H(x,t), t)$ is an open mapping. Since \bar{H} is also bijective and continuous, we have that \bar{H} is a homeomorphism.

Remark. Since $H|_{G \times s}$ is a homeomorphism, it maps each k -hyperface of K onto a k -hyperface of K_s . If F is a k -hyperface of K , and $Q \in G$, write $F_s = H(F, s)$, $Q_s = H(Q, s)$.

Remark. If $J = [s, t]$ is a closed subinterval of I , and R is an interior point of G , then $(H \mid_{G \times J})^{-1}(R)$ is a Jordan arc in $G \times J$ whose endpoints lie in $\text{int}_e(G \times \{s\})$ and $\text{int}(G \times \{t\})$ and which does not meet the boundary of $G \times J$ elsewhere.

3.2. Given \mathcal{A} satisfying 3.1, the reduced family

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \{ \tilde{K}_s \mid s \in I, K_s \in \mathcal{A} \}$$

also satisfies 3.1.

Proof. Let \tilde{K} be the reduced quasigraph of K .

Since $H \mid_{G \times s}$ is a homeomorphism we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{K}_s] &= \overline{K_s^1} \cap \overline{K_s^{-1}} \\ &= \overline{H(K^1 \times s)} \cap \overline{H(K^{-1} \times s)} \\ &= \overline{H(K^1 \times s)} \cap \overline{H(K^{-1} \times s)} \\ &= \overline{H(K^1 \times s)} \cap \overline{H(K^{-1} \times s)} \\ &= \overline{H((K^1 \cap K^{-1}) \times s)} \\ &= H([\tilde{K}] \times s) . \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}_s^\alpha &= \text{int } \overline{K_s^\alpha} \\ &= \text{int } \overline{H(K^\alpha \times s)} \\ &= \text{int } \overline{H(K^\alpha \times s)} \\ &= \text{int } \overline{H(K^\alpha \times s)} \\ &= H((\text{int } K^\alpha) \times s) \\ &= H(\tilde{K}^\alpha \times s) . \end{aligned}$$

3.3. $\mathcal{A} = \{K_s \mid s \in I\}$ is a continuous family of quasigraphs, i.e. K_t is continuous at s for each $s \in I = (0,1)$.

Proof.

Claim 1: $[K_s] \subset \limsup \{[K_{s_i}]\}$.

Let P be any point in $[K_s]$. Then $P = H(Q, s)$ where $Q \in [K]$. Let $\{s_i\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence in I converging to s . Then (Q, s_i) is a sequence of points in $G \times I$ converging to (Q, s) . Since H is continuous, $H(Q, s_i)$ is a sequence of points in G converging to $H(Q, s)$. But $Q_{s_i} = H(Q, s_i) \in H([K] \times s_i) = [K_{s_i}]$. Hence every neighbourhood of P contains Q_{s_i} for all but a finite number of s_i . Hence every neighbourhood of P meets $[K_{s_i}]$ for all but a finite number of s_i . Thus P is a limit point of $\{[K_{s_i}]\}$. Hence $[K_s] \subset \liminf \{[K_{s_i}]\} \subset \limsup \{[K_{s_i}]\}$.

Claim 2: $\limsup \{[K_{s_i}]\} \subset [K_s]$. Let $P \in \limsup \{[K_{s_i}]\}$.

By choosing a suitable subsequence of $\{s_i\}$, we may assume that there is a sequence $\{P_i\}$ of points $P_i \in [K_{s_i}]$ such that $\{P_i\}$ converges to P . For each i there exists a unique point $Q_i \in [K] \subset G$ such that $H(Q_i, s_i) = P_i$ and there exists a unique point $Q \in G$ such that $H(Q, s) = P$. Let J be a closed subinterval of I ; $s \in \text{int } J$. The map $\bar{H} : G \times J \rightarrow G \times J$, defined by $\bar{H}(x, t) = (H(x, t), t)$, is a homeomorphism. Let $s_i \in J$. Then $(P_i, s_i) = \bar{H}(Q_i, s_i)$ and $(P, s) = \bar{H}(Q, s)$. Since (P_i, s_i) converges to (P, s) , we have that (Q_i, s_i) converges to (Q, s) . Since $[K] \times J$ is closed in $G \times J$ and

$(Q_i, s_i) \in [K] \times J$, we obtain $(Q, s) \in [K] \times J$ and thus $(Q, s) \in [K] \times s$. Finally, $P \neq H(Q, s) \in H([K] \times s) = K_s$.

Claim 3: $\tilde{K}_s^\alpha \subset \limsup \{ \tilde{K}_{s_i}^\alpha \}$.

Claim 4: $\limsup \{ \tilde{K}_{s_i}^\alpha \} \subset \tilde{K}_s^\alpha$.

The proofs of claims 3 and 4 are similar to those of claims 1 and 2, respectively. From claims 1 to 4, K_t is continuous at s ; thus \mathcal{A} is a continuous family of quasigraphs.

3.3.2. Corollary. By 3.2, $\{ \tilde{K}_s \mid s \in I \}$ is a continuous family of quasigraphs.

Remark. Let F be a k -hyperface of K and let F_s denote the corresponding k -hyperface of K_s . Then by 2.1.1, and the continuity of H restricted to $F \times I$, we have that the closure \overline{F}_s of F_s depends continuously on s .

3.4. Let $M = \bigcap_{s \in I} [K_s]$ and $\tilde{M} = \bigcap_{s \in I} [\tilde{K}_s]$.

We assume:

3.4.1. If $s \neq t$, then $K_s \neq K_t$ and $[K_s] \cap [K_t] = M$.

3.4.2. Either $[\tilde{K}_s] = \tilde{M}$ for all $s \in I$

or if $s \neq t$ then $\tilde{K}_s \neq \tilde{K}_t$ and $[\tilde{K}_s] \cap [\tilde{K}_t] = \tilde{M}$.

The following example shows that 3.4.1 does not imply 3.4.2.

Let G be open unit ball in \mathbb{R}^3 .

$$F_1 = \{(x,y,0) \mid -1 < x < 0\},$$

$$F_2 = \{(x,y,0) \mid 0 < x < 1\},$$

$$F_3 = \{(0,y,z) \mid 0 < z < 1\},$$

$$E = \{(0,y,0) \mid -1 < y < 1\},$$

where F_1, F_2, F_3 are 2-hyperfaces (faces). E is a 1-hyperface.

Let $[K]$ be the union of F_1, F_2, F_3 and E .

$$K^1 = \{(x,y,z) \in G \mid x < 0 \text{ or } z < 0\}$$

$$K^{-1} = \{(x,y,z) \in G \mid x > 0, z > 0\}.$$

Then $K = ([K], K^1, K^{-1})$ is a quasigraph in G . Define K_s by sliding E on the xy plane from $(-\frac{1}{2}, y, 0)$ to $(\frac{1}{2}, y, 0)$ moving F_3 parallel to itself, expanding $F_{1,s}$ and shrinking $F_{2,s}$. Then

$$[K_s] \cap [K_t] = M = \{(x,y,0) \mid -1 \leq x \leq 1, -1 \leq y \leq 1\}. \text{ But}$$

$$[\tilde{K}_s] \cap [\tilde{K}_t] \neq \tilde{M} = \{(x,y,0) \mid \frac{1}{2} \leq x, -1 \leq y \leq 1\}.$$

3.4.3. $[\tilde{K}_s] = \tilde{M}$ for all $s \in I$ or $[\tilde{K}_s] \neq \tilde{M}$ for all $s \in I$.

Proof. Let $[\tilde{K}_s] = \tilde{M}$ for some $s \in I$. Then $[\tilde{K}_s] = \tilde{M}$ for all $s \in I$ or if $s \neq t$ then $\tilde{K}_s \neq \tilde{K}_t$ and $[\tilde{K}_s] \cap [\tilde{K}_t] = \tilde{M}$. Thus $[\tilde{K}_s] \subset [\tilde{K}_t]$. By 1.11, $[\tilde{K}_s] = [\tilde{K}_t]$. Hence $[\tilde{K}_s] = \tilde{M}$ for all $s \in I$. Hence assertion is proven.

3.5. Suppose a singular point of K_s is such that any small neighbourhood of it is disconnected by $[K_s]$ into at least three open sets. Then it lies in M . In particular every singular point of \tilde{K}_s in the interior of G belongs to \tilde{M} .

Proof. Let $Q_s = H(Q, s)$ be a singular point of K_s such that any small neighbourhood of it is disconnected by $[K_s]$ into at least three open sets. Assume that $Q_s \notin M$. Then there is a neighbourhood N of Q_s such that $N \cap M = \emptyset$. Choose N so small that its closure does not meet M or any face of K_s which does not have Q_s in its closure.

Let $N \setminus [K_s]$ be the disjoint union of the open connected subsets of N , $\{ B_{i,s} \mid 1 \leq i \leq j; j \geq 3 \}$. Then $\bigcup_{i=1}^j (\text{bd } B_{i,s}) = N \cap [K_s]$ and $Q_s \in \bigcap_{i=1}^j (\text{bd } B_{i,s})$.

Let $B_{i,u} = H(B_i, u) \cap N$. By 2.3, $B_{i,u} \cap B_{i,s} \neq \emptyset$ for u close to s . Since the $B_{i,u}$'s and $B_{i,s}$'s are connected and the boundaries of $B_{i,s}$ and $B_{i,u}$ cannot meet we have that $B_{i,s} \subset B_{i,u}$ and $\text{bd } B_{i,s} \subset B_{i,u}$ or $B_{i,u} \subset B_{i,s}$ and $\text{bd } B_{i,u} \subset B_{i,s}$.

Let $t > s$. Then $Q_t \neq Q_s$. Since H is continuous there exists a $t > s$, close to s , such that $Q_u \in N$ for all u with $s < u \leq t$. Now $Q_u \notin M$. Thus $Q_u \notin N \cap [K_s]$. Hence Q_s lies in one and only one of the sets $B_{i,s}$. Let $Q_u \in B_{m,s}$; $m \in \{1, \dots, j\}$. Then $\text{bd } B_{i,u} \subset B_{m,s}$ and $B_{i,u} \subset B_{m,s}$ for all $i \neq m$. $Q_s \notin M$. Thus Q_s lies in one and only one of the sets $B_{i,u}$.

Let $Q_s \in B_{n,u}$; $n \in \{1, \dots, j\}$. Then $\text{bd } B_{i,s} \subset B_{n,u}$ for $i \neq n$, and $B_{i,s} \subset B_{n,u}$ for all $i \neq n$. Hence $B_{m,s} \subset B_{n,u} \subset B_{m,s}$. Hence $B_{m,s} = B_{n,u}$ and thus $\text{bd } B_{m,s} \cap \text{bd } B_{n,u} \neq \emptyset$. Contradiction.

3.6. Let F_s be a face of K_s and $Q_s \in F_s \setminus M$. Then there exists a neighbourhood N' of Q_s in G and an interval $[s_1, s_2]$ containing s such that

$$N' \subset \bigcup_{t \in [s_1, s_2]} F_t.$$

Proof. Since M is closed, G regular, there is a neighbourhood N of Q_s such that $N \cap M = \emptyset$. Thus each point P of N lies on not more than one $[K_t]$. In particular every $P \in N$ lies on not more than one F_t .

Now since H is continuous $B = H^{-1}(N)$ is open in $G \times I$. Let $F_s = H(F, s)$. Let $Q_s = H(Q, s)$. Then $(Q, s) \in B = H^{-1}(N)$. Since G is locally compact there is a compact subset A of F , $Q \in A$, such that $A \times s \subset B$. Hence there are s_1, s_2 such that $s_1 < s < s_2$ and $A \times [s_1, s_2] \subset B$. Let $S = A \times [s_1, s_2] \subset B$. Then $H(S) \subset N$ and $A_t = H(A \times t) \subset F_t \cap N$ for $s_1 \leq t \leq s_2$.
Since H is an open mapping, $H(\text{int } S)$

is a non-void open set containing Q_s . Every point of this set lies on some F_t ; $s_1 \leq t \leq s_2$. Thus let N^1 be a neighbourhood of Q_s contained in $H(\text{int } S)$.

$$\text{Thus } N^1 \subset H(\text{int } S) \subset \bigcup_{t \in [s_1, s_2]} F_t.$$

3.7. Let F be a face of \tilde{K} . We study the restriction of H to $F \times I$.

3.7.1. Let B be a connected component of \tilde{M} which contains a singular point Q_s of \tilde{K}_s in $\text{int } G$. Then $Q_t \in B$ for all $t \in I$.

Proof. As Q_s is a singular point of \tilde{K}_s , then Q is a singular point of \tilde{K} and Q_t is a singular point of \tilde{K}_t , for all $t \in I$. Now $Q_t \in \tilde{M}$ for all $t \in I$. Since H is continuous the set given by $\{ Q_u \mid Q_u = H(Q, u) ; u \in I \}$ is connected and lies in \tilde{M} . Since $Q_s \in B$, B connected we have that $Q_t \in B$ for all $t \in I$.

3.7.2. Let B be a connected component of \tilde{M} such that B lies on a face F_s of \tilde{K}_s . Then $B \subset F_t$ for all $t \in I$.

Proof. Let B lie on a face $F_s = H(F, s)$ of \tilde{K}_s . Then B contains no singular points of \tilde{K}_t for all $t \in I$. Hence B lies on a face $D(t)$ of \tilde{K}_t for all $t \in I$ and $D(t) = H(F^{\lambda(t)}, t)$.

where F^i , $i = 1, \dots, m$ is a face of \tilde{K} and $\lambda(t) \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Let $A_i = \{t \in I \mid D(t) = H(F^i, t)\}$; $i = 1, \dots, m$.
 Let $F_s = F_s^j = H(F^j, s)$. Let $P \in B \subset F_s$. Then there exists a neighbourhood N of P such that no F_s^i meets N for $i \neq j$. If t is sufficiently close to s no F_t^i , $i \neq j$ will meet N . Hence $P \notin F_t^i$ for t close to s . But $P \in \tilde{M}$. Since P is a non-singular point of \tilde{K}_t , P lies on some face of \tilde{K}_t . But P is not an accumulation point of F_t^i , $i \neq j$. Hence P lies on F_t^j . P is an arbitrary point of B . Hence $B \subset F_t^j$ for all t close to s . Hence each set A_i is open and every $t \in I$ belongs to only one A_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$. Since I is connected, $I \setminus A_j$ is open, and the open set $A_j = \{t \in I \mid D(t) = H(F^j, t) = H(F, t)\}$ is not empty, we have that $A_j = I$.

Hence there is a face F of \tilde{K} such that $D(t) = F_t$ for all $t \in I$. Thus $B \subset F_t$ for all $t \in I$.

3.7.3. Let B be any connected component of \tilde{M} such that $B \cap \overline{F_s} \cap \text{int } G \neq \emptyset$. Then $B \cap \overline{F_t} \neq \emptyset$ for all $t \in I$.

Proof. Assume $B \cap \overline{F_t} \cap \text{int } G \neq \emptyset$. Then by 3.7.1 and 3.7.2, $B \cap \overline{F_t} \neq \emptyset$ for all $t \in I$.

3.8. Let F denote a face of \tilde{K} .

Let $s \in I$. Then $F_s \setminus \tilde{M}$ is an open set in F_s . Hence it is the union of a most countably many disjoint open subfaces of F_s . $F_s \setminus \tilde{M} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} R_i(s)$ where $R_i(s)$ is an open, connected subset of F_s and $R_i(s) \cap R_j(s) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.

Let $R(s)$ be one of these sets. Then there is an open connected subset R of F such that $H(Q,t) \notin \tilde{M}$ for all $Q \in R$ and all $t \in I$.

Let $P_s \in R(s)$. Then the curve given by

$$P(t) = \{ H(P,t) \mid t \in I \}$$

passes through P_s and $P(t) \in F_t \setminus \tilde{M}$.

Define $R(t) = \{ H(P,t) \mid P \in R \}$. Then $R(t)$ is an open connected subset of $F_t \setminus \tilde{M}$. Hence $R(t) \subset R^1(t)$ where $R^1(t)$ is a connected component of $F_t \setminus \tilde{M}$.

If $R(t) \subset R^1(t)$ and $R(t) \neq R^1(t)$,

then there is an open connected subset R^1 of F such that $H(R^1, t) = R^1(t)$ and $R \subset R^1$ with $R \neq R^1$. Hence $\overline{R} \subset \overline{R^1}$ with $R \neq \overline{R^1}$. Thus there is an accumulation point P of R contained in R^1 . But $H(P,t)$ is a point on the bd G or $H(P,t) \in \tilde{M}$. Hence $R^1(t) \cap \text{bd } G \neq \emptyset$ or $R^1(t) \cap \tilde{M} \neq \emptyset$.

Contradiction since $R^1(t) \subset F_t \setminus \tilde{M}$. Hence $R(t) = R^1(t)$.

3.8.1. Let $\{s_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in I converging to $s \in I$. Then $R(s_i)$ converges to $\overline{R(s)}$.

Proof. The proof of this assertion is similar to that in 3.3.1.

Remark. $\overline{R(t)}$ depends continuously on t ; i.e., for every sequence $\{s_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ converging to s in I , the sequence $\{\overline{R(s_i)}\}$ converges to $\overline{R(s)}$.

3.8.2. Let J be an open interval in $I = (0,1)$. Then $\bigcup_{t \in J} R(t)$ is open in G .

Proof. $\bigcup_{t \in J} R(t) = \bigcup_{t \in J} H(R \times t) = H(\bigcup_{t \in J} (R \times t)) = H(R \times J)$ is open, since $R \times J$ is open and H is an open mapping.

Remark. Each point of $\bigcup_{t \in J} R(t)$ lies on exactly one of the curves,

$$C_Q = \{ P(t) = H(Q, t) \mid t \in I \}, \quad Q \in R.$$

CHAPTER IV

4. Global Decomposition

4.1. Let $P_s \in F_s \setminus \tilde{M}$. Construct the arc $\{P(u) \mid u \in I\}$ with $P(s) = P_s$ as in 3.8. Then $P(u) \in F_u \setminus \tilde{M}$ for all $u \in I$.

Let $s < s'$. Let $P(s') \in \tilde{K}_s^\alpha$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & P(u) \in \tilde{K}_s^\alpha \quad \text{for all } u > s \\ \text{and} & \\ & P(u) \in \tilde{K}_s^{-\alpha} \quad \text{for all } u < s. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The arc $\{P(t) \mid t > s\}$ does not meet $[\tilde{K}_s]$. Hence it lies entirely in \tilde{K}_s^α since $P(s') \in \tilde{K}_s^\alpha$.

4.2. Let $R(s)$ denote the connected component of $F_s \setminus \tilde{M}$ containing $P(s)$. Then $R(s)$ decomposes $\bigcup_{t \in I} R(t)$ into two subsets, one in \tilde{K}_s^1 , the other in \tilde{K}_s^{-1} .

Proof. $\bigcup_{t \in I} R(t)$ is an open subset of G . Hence

$(\bigcup_{t \in I} R(t)) \cap \tilde{K}_s^\alpha \neq \emptyset$ by 1.10. Now $R(t) \cap R(s) = \emptyset$ for $t \neq s$.

In fact $R(t) \cap [\tilde{K}_s] = \emptyset$, since $R(t) \cap \tilde{M} = \emptyset$. Hence

$R(t) \subset \tilde{K}_s^1$ or $R(t) \subset \tilde{K}_s^{-1}$. By 4.1, there is an $\alpha \in \{1, -1\}$ such that $P(t) \in \tilde{K}_s^\alpha$ for all $t > s$. However, $P(t) \in R(t)$ for all $t \in I$. Hence $R(t) \in \tilde{K}_s^\alpha$ for all $t > s$ and $R(t) \in \tilde{K}_s^{-\alpha}$ for all $t < s$. Hence $R(s)$ decomposes $\bigcup_{t \in I} R(t)$ into two subsets; one in K_s^1 , the other in K_s^{-1} .

4.3. Let $P_s \in F_s \setminus \tilde{M}$. Construct the arc $\{P(u) \mid u \in I\}$ as in 4.1. Let $t \neq s$. Then $P(s) = P_s \in \tilde{K}_t^\alpha$ if and only if $P(t) \in \tilde{K}_s^{-\alpha}$.

Proof. Assume $s < t$. Choose u, v such that $u < s < t < v$. Let $P(s) \in \tilde{K}_t^\alpha$. Then $P(u) \in \tilde{K}_t^\alpha$. Now $P(u) \notin \bigcup_{x \in (u, v)} [\tilde{K}_x]$. Hence by 2.4, $P(u) \in \tilde{K}_x^\beta$ for all $x \in (u, v)$, $\beta = \pm 1$. In particular $P(u) \in \tilde{K}_s^\beta$ and $P(u) \in \tilde{K}_t^\beta$; but $P(u) \in \tilde{K}_t^\alpha$. Hence $\alpha = \beta$. Thus $P(u) \in \tilde{K}_s^\alpha$ and since $u < s < t$ we have $P(t) \in \tilde{K}_s^{-\alpha}$.

4.4. If t and u lie on the same side of s in $I = (0, 1)$ then $[K_s] \cap K_t^\alpha = [K_s] \cap K_u^\alpha$; $\alpha = \pm 1$.

Proof. We may assume that $0 < t < u < s < 1$ and that $[K_s] \cap K_t^\alpha \neq \emptyset$. Let $P \in [K_s] \cap K_t^\alpha$. Then $P \notin [K_t]$ and hence $P \notin M$ and $P \notin [K_u]$, for all $u \neq s$; cf. 3.4.1. Hence $P \notin [K_u]$ for all $u \in J = (0, s)$. By 2.4, $P \in K_u^\beta$ for all $u \in J = (0, s)$. Hence $P \in K_t^\alpha$ if and only if $P \in K_u^\alpha$ for all

$u \in J$. Since P is arbitrary in $[K_s] \cap K_t^\alpha$, this proves the result.

Corollary. If t and u lie on the same side of s in $I = (0,1)$, then $[\tilde{K}_s] \cap \tilde{K}_t^\alpha = [\tilde{K}_s] \cap \tilde{K}_u^\alpha$; $\alpha = \pm 1$.

4.5. We note:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{4.5.1.} \quad [\tilde{K}_s] \cap K_t^\alpha &= [\tilde{K}_s] \cap [K_s] \cap K_t^\alpha \\ &= [\tilde{K}_s] \cap [K_s] \cap K_u^\alpha \\ &= [\tilde{K}_s] \cap K_u^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

is independent of t ; $t, u \in (0, s)$ or $t, u \in (s, 1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{4.5.2.} \quad [\tilde{K}_s] \cap [K_t] &= [\tilde{K}_s] \cap [K_s] \cap [K_t] \\ &= [\tilde{K}_s] \cap M \end{aligned}$$

is independent of t ; $t \neq s$.

4.5.3. By 4.5.2 and 3.4.2,

$$\begin{aligned} ([\tilde{K}_s] \cap [K_t]) \setminus [\tilde{K}_t] &= ([\tilde{K}_s] \cap [K_t]) \setminus ([\tilde{K}_s] \cap [\tilde{K}_t]) \\ &= ([\tilde{K}_s] \cap M) \setminus \tilde{M} \end{aligned}$$

is independent of t , $t \neq s$.

4.5.4. Let $0 < u < s < v < 1$. Then by 4.4,

$$[K_u] = [K_u] \cap G = [K_u] \cap (K_s^1 \cup K_s^{-1} \cup [K_s])$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= ([K_u] \cap K_s^1) \cup ([K_u] \cap K_s^{-1}) \cup ([K_u] \cap [K_s]) \\
&= ([K_u] \cap K_s^1 \cap K_v^1) \cup ([K_u] \cap K_s^{-1} \cap K_v^{-1}) \cup M \\
&\subset (K_s^1 \cap K_v^1) \cup (K_s^{-1} \cap K_v^{-1}) \cup M.
\end{aligned}$$

More generally, if $0 < t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_h < 1$, then

$$[K_{t_0}] \subset (K_{t_1}^1 \cap \dots \cap K_{t_h}^1) \cup (K_{t_1}^{-1} \cap \dots \cap K_{t_h}^{-1}) \cup M.$$

In particular,

$$[\tilde{K}_{t_0}] \subset (\tilde{K}_{t_1}^1 \cap \dots \cap \tilde{K}_{t_h}^1) \cup (\tilde{K}_{t_1}^{-1} \cap \dots \cap \tilde{K}_{t_h}^{-1}) \cup M.$$

4.6. Let $0 < u < s < v < 1$. Then

$$[\tilde{K}_s] \subset (\tilde{K}_u^1 \cap \tilde{K}_v^{-1}) \cup (\tilde{K}_u^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_v^1) \cup \tilde{M}.$$

Proof. Let $P_s \in [\tilde{K}_s] \setminus \tilde{M}$. If P_s is a non singular point of $[\tilde{K}_s]$, then P_s lies on some face F_s of $[\tilde{K}_s]$ and on an open connected component of $F_s \setminus \tilde{M}$. Construct the arc $\{P(t) \mid t \in I\}$.

Suppose $P(s) \in \tilde{K}_u^\alpha$. Then by 4.1, $P(v) \in \tilde{K}_u^\alpha$ and by 4.3, $P(u) \in \tilde{K}_v^{-\alpha}$. Hence $P(s) \in \tilde{K}_v^{-\alpha}$. Thus $P_s = P(s) \in \tilde{K}_u^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_v^{-\alpha}$. Hence $P_s \in (\tilde{K}_u^1 \cap \tilde{K}_v^{-1}) \cup (\tilde{K}_u^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_v^1)$.

If P'_s is a singular point of $[\tilde{K}_s] \setminus \tilde{M}$, then P'_s is in the closure of a face F_s of $[\tilde{K}_s]$. But $F_s \subset (\tilde{K}_u^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_v^{-\alpha})$.

Hence $P'_s \in \overline{(\tilde{K}_u^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_v^{-\alpha})}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} P'_s &\in \overline{\tilde{K}_u^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_v^{-\alpha}} \cap [\tilde{K}_s] \setminus \tilde{M} \\ &\subset \overline{\tilde{K}_u^\alpha} \cap \overline{\tilde{K}_v^{-\alpha}} \cap [\tilde{K}_s] \setminus \tilde{M} \\ &= (\tilde{K}_u^\alpha \cup [\tilde{K}_u]) \cap (\tilde{K}_v^{-\alpha} \cup [\tilde{K}_v]) \cap [\tilde{K}_s] \setminus \tilde{M} \\ &\subset \tilde{K}_u^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_v^{-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $[\tilde{K}_s] \subset (\tilde{K}_u^1 \cap \tilde{K}_v^{-1}) \cup (\tilde{K}_u^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_v^1) \cup \tilde{M}$.

4.6.1. Let $u < v$. Then

$$\bigcup_{u < s < v} [\tilde{K}_s] = (\tilde{K}_u^1 \cap \tilde{K}_v^{-1}) \cup (\tilde{K}_u^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_v^1) \cup \tilde{M}.$$

Proof. By 4.6,

$$\bigcup_{u < s < v} [\tilde{K}_s] \subset (\tilde{K}_u^1 \cap \tilde{K}_v^{-1}) \cup (\tilde{K}_u^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_v^1) \cup \tilde{M}.$$

By 2.4.2, $(\tilde{K}_u^1 \cap \tilde{K}_v^{-1}) \cup (\tilde{K}_u^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_v^1) \subset \bigcup_{u < s < v} [\tilde{K}_s] \setminus \bigcap_{s \in I} [\tilde{K}_s]$.

Hence $(\tilde{K}_u^1 \cap \tilde{K}_v^{-1}) \cup (\tilde{K}_u^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_v^1) \cup \tilde{M} \subset \bigcup_{u < s < v} [\tilde{K}_s]$

and the result follows.

4.7. If $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_h < 1$. Then

$$[K_{t_i}] \subset \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{i-1} K_{t_j}^1 \cap \bigcap_{j=i+1}^h K_{t_j}^{-1} \right) \cup \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{i-1} \tilde{K}_{t_j}^{-1} \cap \bigcap_{j=i+1}^h \tilde{K}_{t_j}^1 \right) \cup \tilde{M};$$

$i = 2, \dots, h-1$.

If we define $\bigcap_{j=1}^0 K_{t_j}^1 = \bigcap_{j=h+1}^h \tilde{K}_{t_j}^1 = G$, then 4.7

holds for $i = 1$ and $i = h$.

Proof. By 4.6.1 and $j < i < k$,

$$[\tilde{K}_{t_i}] \subset (\tilde{K}_{t_j}^1 \cap \tilde{K}_{t_k}^{-1}) \cup (\tilde{K}_{t_j}^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_{t_k}^1) \cup \tilde{M}.$$

$$\text{Hence } [\tilde{K}_{t_i}] \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^{i-1} [(\tilde{K}_{t_j}^1 \cap \tilde{K}_{t_k}^{-1}) \cup (\tilde{K}_{t_j}^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_{t_k}^1) \cup \tilde{M}]$$

$$\text{and } [\tilde{K}_{t_i}] \subset \bigcap_{k=i+1}^h [(\tilde{K}_{t_j}^1 \cap \tilde{K}_{t_k}^{-1}) \cup (\tilde{K}_{t_j}^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_{t_k}^1) \cup \tilde{M}].$$

$$\text{Thus } [\tilde{K}_{t_i}] \subset \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{i-1} \tilde{K}_{t_j}^1 \cap \tilde{K}_{t_k}^{-1} \right) \cup \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{i-1} \tilde{K}_{t_j}^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_{t_k}^1 \right) \cup \tilde{M}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \cap \left(\tilde{K}_{t_j}^1 \cap \bigcap_{k=i+1}^h \tilde{K}_{t_k}^{-1} \right) \cup \left(\tilde{K}_{t_j}^{-1} \cap \bigcap_{k=i+1}^h \tilde{K}_{t_k}^1 \right) \cup \tilde{M} \\ & \subset \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{i-1} \tilde{K}_{t_j}^1 \cap \bigcap_{k=i+1}^h \tilde{K}_{t_k}^{-1} \right) \cup \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{i-1} \tilde{K}_{t_j}^{-1} \cap \bigcap_{k=i+1}^h \tilde{K}_{t_k}^1 \right) \cup \tilde{M}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } i = 1, \quad [\tilde{K}_{t_1}] &= \left(G \cap \bigcap_{j=2}^h \tilde{K}_{t_j}^{-1} \right) \cup \left(G \cap \bigcap_{j=2}^h \tilde{K}_{t_j}^1 \right) \cup \tilde{M} \\ &= \bigcap_{j=2}^h \tilde{K}_{t_j}^{-1} \cup \bigcap_{j=2}^h \tilde{K}_{t_j}^1 \cup \tilde{M}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } i = h, \quad [\tilde{K}_{t_h}] &= \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{i-1} \tilde{K}_{t_j}^1 \cap G \right) \cup \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{i-1} \tilde{K}_{t_j}^{-1} \cap G \right) \cup \tilde{M} \\ &= \bigcap_{j=1}^{h-1} \tilde{K}_{t_j}^1 \cup \bigcap_{j=1}^{h-1} \tilde{K}_{t_j}^{-1} \cup \tilde{M}. \end{aligned}$$

4.7.1. Corollary. If K_0, K_1, \dots, K_h are distinct quasigraphs of \mathcal{A} then there exists $\alpha_i = \pm 1, i = 1, \dots, h$, such that

$$[\tilde{K}_0] \subset \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^h \tilde{K}_i^{\alpha_i} \right) \cup \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^h \tilde{K}_i^{-\alpha_i} \right) \cup \tilde{M}.$$

Proof. Since $K_0, \dots, K_h \in \mathcal{A}$ there are $0 < t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_h < 1$ such that for each $i \in (0, 1, \dots, h)$, $\tilde{K}_i = \tilde{K}_{t_j}$ for some $j \in (0, \dots, h)$.

Let $\tilde{K}_0 = \tilde{K}_{t_i}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{K}_0] &= \left(\bigcap_{j=0}^{i-1} \tilde{K}_{t_j}^1 \cap \bigcap_{j=i+1}^h \tilde{K}_j^{-1} \right) \cup \left(\bigcap_{j=0}^{i-1} \tilde{K}_{t_j}^{-1} \cap \bigcap_{j=i+1}^h \tilde{K}_{t_j}^1 \right) \cup \tilde{M} \\ &= \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^h \tilde{K}_i^{\alpha_j} \right) \cup \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^h \tilde{K}_i^{-\alpha_j} \right) \cup \tilde{M} \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_j = \pm 1$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, h$.

4.7.2. Corollary. If K_0, K_1, \dots, K_h are distinct quasigraphs of \mathcal{A} and $[K_0] \cap K_1^{\alpha_1} \cap \dots \cap K_h^{\alpha_h} \neq \emptyset$,

then $[\tilde{K}_0] \subset \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^h \tilde{K}_i^{\alpha_i} \right) \cup \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^h \tilde{K}_i^{-\alpha_i} \right) \cup \tilde{M}$:

Proof. By 4.7.1, there exist β_1, \dots, β_h such that

$$[\tilde{K}_0] \subset \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^h \tilde{K}_i^{\beta_i} \right) \cup \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^h \tilde{K}_i^{-\beta_i} \right) \cup \tilde{M} .$$

Thus any point $P \in [\tilde{K}_0] \setminus \tilde{M}$ lies either in $\bigcap_{i=1}^h \tilde{K}_i^{\beta_i}$ or in

$\bigcap_{i=1}^h \tilde{K}_i^{-\beta_i}$. Let $P \in [\tilde{K}_0] \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^h \tilde{K}_i^{\alpha_i}$. Suppose $P \in \bigcap_{i=1}^h \tilde{K}_i^{\beta_i}$.

Then $P \in \bigcap_{i=1}^h (\tilde{K}_i^{\alpha_i} \cap \tilde{K}_i^{\beta_i})$ and $P \in \tilde{K}_i^{\alpha_i} \cap \tilde{K}_i^{\beta_i} \neq \emptyset$.

Hence $\alpha_i = \beta_i$, $i = 1, \dots, h$.

4.7.3. If $[\tilde{K}_0] \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^h \tilde{K}_i^{\alpha_i} \right) \neq \emptyset$, then

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^h \tilde{K}_i^{\alpha_i} \cap \tilde{K}_0^\alpha \neq \emptyset; \quad \alpha = \pm 1.$$

Proof. $\bigcap_{i=1}^h \tilde{K}_i^{\alpha_i}$ is open. Hence by 1.10, the result

follows.

4.8. If $0 < s \leq t < u \leq v < 1$, then

$$K_t^\alpha \cap K_u^{-\alpha} \subset K_s^\alpha \cap K_v^{-\alpha}; \quad \alpha = \pm 1.$$

Proof. If w lies between u and 1 , then by 4.4,

$$[K_w] \subset (K_t^1 \cap K_u^1) \cup (K_t^{-1} \cap K_u^{-1}) \cup M.$$

Hence $[K_w]$ has no points in $K_t^\alpha \cap K_u^{-\alpha}$. Let $P \in K_t^\alpha \cap K_u^{-\alpha}$.

Then $P \notin [K_w]$. Since $P \in K_u^{-\alpha}$, then by 2.4, $P \in K_w^{-\alpha}$ for all w with $u \leq w < 1$. Hence $P \in K_t^\alpha \cap K_v^{-\alpha}$ and $K_t^\alpha \cap K_u^{-\alpha} \subset K_t^\alpha \cap K_v^{-\alpha}$.

Similarly $K_t^\alpha \cap K_v^{-\alpha} \subset K_s^\alpha \cap K_v^{-\alpha}$ and we have

$$K_t^\alpha \cap K_u^{-\alpha} \subset K_s^\alpha \cap K_v^{-\alpha}.$$

4.8.1. Let $s < u < t$. Then $\tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^1 \subset \tilde{K}_u^1$.

Proof. By 4.8, $\tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_u^{-1} \subset \tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-1}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^1 &= (\tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^1) \cap G \\ &= (\tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^1 \cap \tilde{K}_u^1) \cup (\tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^1 \cap \tilde{K}_u^{-1}) \cup (\tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^1 \cap [\tilde{K}_u]) \end{aligned}$$

But by 4.6.1, $[\tilde{K}_u] \subset (\tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-1}) \cup (\tilde{K}_s^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_t^1)$.

Hence $\tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^1 \cap [\tilde{K}_u] = \emptyset$ and we have

$$\tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^1 = (\tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^1 \cap \tilde{K}_u^1) \cup (\tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^1 \cap \tilde{K}_u^{-1}).$$

But $\tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_u^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_t^1 \subset \tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_t^1 = \emptyset$, by 4.8.

Hence $\tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^1 = \tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^1 \cap \tilde{K}_u^1$.

Hence $\tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^1 \subset \tilde{K}_u^1$.

4.8.2. Let $s < u < t$. Then $\tilde{K}_s^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-1} \subset \tilde{K}_u^{-1}$.

Proof. The proof of this assertion is identical to 4.8.1.

4.9. Let $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_h < 1$. Then not more than
2h of the 2^h sets

$$(4.9-1) \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^h \tilde{K}_{t_i}^{\alpha_i} ; \alpha_i = \pm 1$$

are non-void.

Proof. If $[\tilde{K}_{t_i}] = \tilde{M}$, then by 1.11, $[\tilde{K}_s] = \tilde{M}$ for all
 $s \in I$ and only two of the sets (4.9-1) are non-void; i.e.,
only the sets $\bigcap_{i=1}^h \tilde{K}_{t_i}^{\alpha}$, $\alpha = \pm 1$ are non-void. We may therefore
assume that $[\tilde{K}_{t_i}] \setminus \tilde{M} \neq \emptyset$.

We wish to show that only the 2h sets
(4.9-2) $\bigcap_{i=1}^j \tilde{K}_{t_i}^{\alpha} \cap \bigcap_{i=j+1}^h \tilde{K}_{t_i}^{-\alpha} ; i = 0, 1, \dots, h ; \alpha = 1, -1$
can be non-void, where $\bigcap_{i=1}^0 \tilde{K}_{t_i}^{\alpha} = \bigcap_{i=h+1}^h \tilde{K}_{t_i}^{-\alpha} = G$.

The cases $h < 3$ are trivial. Let $h \geq 3$.

Let S be one of the sets (4.9-1) which does not belong to the
sets (4.9-2). Then there are three indices r_1, r_2, r_3 such
that

$$1 \leq r_1 \leq r_2 \leq r_3 \leq h \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{r_1} = -\alpha_{r_2} = \alpha_{r_3}.$$

$$\text{But then } S \subset \tilde{K}_{t_{r_1}}^{\alpha_{r_1}} \cap \tilde{K}_{t_{r_2}}^{-\alpha_{r_2}} \cap \tilde{K}_{t_{r_3}}^{\alpha_{r_3}}.$$

$$\text{By } \underline{4.8.1} \text{ and } \underline{4.8.2}, \quad \tilde{K}_{t_{r_1}}^{\alpha_{r_1}} \cap \tilde{K}_{t_{r_3}}^{\alpha_{r_3}} \subset \tilde{K}_{t_{r_2}}^{\alpha_{r_2}}.$$

$$\text{Hence } \tilde{K}_{t_{r_1}}^{\alpha_{r_1}} \cap \tilde{K}_{t_{r_2}}^{-\alpha_{r_2}} \cap \tilde{K}_{t_{r_3}}^{\alpha_{r_3}} = \emptyset.$$

Thus S must be void. Hence only the 2h sets (4.9-2) may be non-void.

CHAPTER V

5. Local Decompositions

5.1. Two quasigraphs K_1 and K_2 support [intersect] each other at Q if exactly one [none] of the four open sets

$$K_1^{\pm 1} \cap K_2^{\pm 1} \cap N$$

is void for sufficiently small neighbourhoods N of Q .

Claim. $Q \in [\tilde{K}_1] \cap [\tilde{K}_2]$ in either case and
 $[\tilde{K}_1] \cap N \neq [\tilde{K}_2] \cap N$.

Proof. If at least three of the sets $K_1^{\pm 1} \cap K_2^{\pm 1} \cap N$ are non-void then $K_1^{\pm 1} \cap N \neq \emptyset \neq K_2^{\pm 1} \cap N$ for all neighbourhoods N of Q . Hence $Q \in \overline{K_1^{\pm 1}} \cap \overline{K_1^{\mp 1}}$ and $Q \in \overline{K_2^{\pm 1}} \cap \overline{K_2^{\mp 1}}$. Thus by (1.6-1), $Q \in [\tilde{K}_1] \cap [\tilde{K}_2]$.

If $[\tilde{K}_1] \cap N = [\tilde{K}_2] \cap N$, then $\tilde{K}_1 \cap N$ and $\tilde{K}_2 \cap N$ are equal or opposite. Thus $\tilde{K}_1^\alpha \cap N = \tilde{K}_2^{-\alpha} \cap N$ or $\tilde{K}_1^\alpha \cap N = \tilde{K}_2^\alpha \cap N$; $\alpha = \pm 1$. Hence at least two of the $\tilde{K}_1^{\pm 1} \cap \tilde{K}_2^{\pm 1} \cap N$ are void. But since by 1.6, $K^\alpha \subset \tilde{K}^\alpha$, at least two of the sets $K_1^{\pm 1} \cap K_2^{\pm 1} \cap N$ are void.

5.1.1. Remark. If $[\tilde{K}_1] \cap N = [\tilde{K}_2] \cap N$, then K_1 and K_2 neither support nor intersect each other at Q .

5.1.2. Remark. $K_1^{\alpha_1} \cap K_2^{\alpha_2} \cap N \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\tilde{K}_1^{\alpha_1} \cap \tilde{K}_2^{\alpha_2} \cap N \neq \emptyset$. In fact if B is an open set in G .
 $K^\alpha \cap B \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\tilde{K}^\alpha \cap B \neq \emptyset$; $\alpha, \alpha_i = \pm 1, i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Proof. Let $K^\alpha \cap B \neq \emptyset$. By 1.6, $K^\alpha \subset \tilde{K}^\alpha$. Thus $K^\alpha \cap B \subset \tilde{K}^\alpha \cap B$ and hence $\tilde{K}^\alpha \cap B \neq \emptyset$.

Let $\tilde{K}^\alpha \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Then $\text{int } \overline{K^\alpha} \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Thus $\overline{K^\alpha} \cap B \neq \emptyset$ and $K^\alpha \cap B \neq \emptyset$.

More generally $\bigcap_{j=1}^h K_j^{\alpha_j} \cap B \neq \emptyset$ if and only if

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^h \tilde{K}_j^{\alpha_j} \cap B \neq \emptyset.$$

5.2. Suppose $Q \in [K_1] \cap [K_2]$ and K_1 and K_2 neither support nor intersect each other at Q . Then either

$$K_i^\alpha \cap N = \emptyset; \text{ i.e. } N \subset \tilde{K}_i^{-\alpha}$$

for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $\alpha \in \{1, -1\}$

or $[\tilde{K}_1] \cap N = [\tilde{K}_2] \cap N$

for every small neighbourhood N of Q .

In the first case, at least one of the quasigraphs does not decompose G at Q . In the second, \tilde{K}_1 and \tilde{K}_2 may both decompose G at Q , but they do so in the same way or in opposite ways .

Proof. Since K_1 and K_2 neither support nor intersect each other at Q , then at least two of the four open sets

$K_1^{\alpha_1} \cap K_2^{\alpha_2} \cap N$ are void. Suppose $K_1^{\gamma_1} \cap K_2^{\gamma_2} \cap N = \emptyset$ and $K_1^{\beta_1} \cap K_2^{\beta_2} \cap N = \emptyset$; $\beta_i, \gamma_i \in \{1, -1\}$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Then only two cases are essentially different

$$\gamma_1 = \beta_1 \text{ and } \gamma_2 = -\beta_2$$

or

$$\gamma_1 = -\beta_1 \text{ and } \gamma_2 = -\beta_2 .$$

If $\gamma_1 = \beta_1$ and $\gamma_2 = -\beta_2$ then assume by way of example that $K_1^{-1} \cap K_2^1 \cap N = \emptyset$ and $K_1^{-1} \cap K_2^{-1} \cap N = \emptyset$. Then $K_1^{-1} \cap N \subset [K_2^1]$. Since $K_1^{-1} \cap N$ is open, $K_1^{-1} \cap N \subset \text{int} [K_2] = \emptyset$. Thus $K_1^{-1} \cap N = \emptyset$ and $\overline{K_1^{-1}} \cap N = \emptyset$ or $N \subset \overline{K_1^{-1}} = \tilde{K}_1^1$.

If $\gamma_1 = -\beta_1$ and $\gamma_2 = -\beta_2$ assume that for some $\alpha \in \{1, -1\}$, $K_1^{\alpha} \cap K_2^1 \cap N = \emptyset$ and $K_1^{-\alpha} \cap K_2^{-1} \cap N = \emptyset$.

Now $K_1^{\alpha} \cap \overline{K_2^1} \cap N = \emptyset$ and $\overline{K_1^{\alpha}} \cap K_2^1 \cap N = \emptyset$. Hence $K_1^{\alpha} \cap N \subset \overline{K_2^1}$ and by 1.9, $K_1^{\alpha} \cap N \subset \tilde{K}_2^{-1} \cap N$.

Similarly $K_2^1 \cap N \subset \tilde{K}_1^{-\alpha} \cap N$.

Taking the relative closure on each side we obtain

$$(5.2-1) \quad \overline{K_1^\alpha} \cap N \subset \overline{\tilde{K}_2^{-1}} \cap N$$

and

$$(5.2-2) \quad \overline{K_2^1} \cap N \subset \overline{\tilde{K}_1^{-\alpha}} \cap N.$$

Similarly from $K_1^{-\alpha} \cap K_2^{-1} \cap N = \emptyset$ we obtain

$$(5.2-3) \quad \overline{K_1^{-\alpha}} \cap N \subset \overline{\tilde{K}_2^1} \cap N,$$

and

$$(5.2-4) \quad \overline{K_2^{-1}} \cap N \subset \overline{\tilde{K}_1^\alpha} \cap N.$$

Hence by (5.2-1) and (5.2-3),

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{K}_1] \cap N &= (\overline{K_1^\alpha} \cap N) \cap (\overline{K_1^{-\alpha}} \cap N) \\ &\subset (\overline{\tilde{K}_2^{-1}} \cap N) \cap (\overline{\tilde{K}_2^1} \cap N) \\ &= [\tilde{K}_2] \cap N. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly by (5.2-2) and (5.2-4),

$$[\tilde{K}_2] \cap N \subset [\tilde{K}_1] \cap N.$$

Hence $[\tilde{K}_2] \cap N = [\tilde{K}_1] \cap N$.

5.3. Consider the following example. Let $G = \bar{I}^3$.

$$\bar{I}^3 = \{ (x,y,z) \mid 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq 1, 0 \leq z \leq 1 \}.$$

$$\text{Let } \tilde{M} = \{ (x,y,\frac{1}{2}) \mid 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq 1 \} \\ \cup \{ (x,\frac{1}{2},z) \mid 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq z \leq 1 \}.$$

$$\text{Let } F_s = \{ (s,y,z) \mid 0 \leq y \leq 1, 0 \leq z \leq 1 \}.$$

$$\text{Let } [\tilde{K}_s] = \tilde{M} \cup F_s.$$

$$\text{Let } \tilde{K}_s^1 = \{ (x,y,z) \in I^3 \mid x > s, y > \frac{1}{2}, z > \frac{1}{2} \text{ or} \\ x > s, y < \frac{1}{2}, z < \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } x < s, y < \frac{1}{2}, z > \frac{1}{2} \\ \text{or } x < s, y > \frac{1}{2}, z < \frac{1}{2} \}.$$

$$\text{Let } \tilde{K}_s^{-1} = \{ (x,y,z) \in I^3 \mid x < s, y > \frac{1}{2}, z > \frac{1}{2} \text{ or} \\ x < s, y < \frac{1}{2}, z < \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } x > s, y < \frac{1}{2}, z > \frac{1}{2} \\ \text{or } x > s, y > \frac{1}{2}, z < \frac{1}{2} \}.$$

Put $\tilde{K} = \tilde{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then $\mathcal{a} = \{ \tilde{K}_s \mid s \in I \}$ satisfies

requirements of 3.1.

Note:

(1) The 0-hyperface $Q_s = (s, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ of \tilde{K}_s is not fixed.

Nor are the 1-hyperfaces fixed; e.g. $E_s = \{(s, \frac{1}{2}, z) \in I^3 \mid z > \frac{1}{2}\}$.

- (2) The quasigraphs $\tilde{K}_{\frac{1}{4}}$ and $\tilde{K}_{\frac{1}{8}}$ [$\tilde{K}_{\frac{1}{4}}$ and $\tilde{K}_{\frac{3}{4}}$] decompose \bar{I}^3 at $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ in the same way [in opposite ways].
- (3) $\tilde{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\tilde{K}_{\frac{1}{4}}$ intersect each other at $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$.

We make the assumption:

The point $Q_s \in \tilde{M}$ is a non-singular point of every or of no \tilde{K}_t , $t \in I$.

5.4. Let $0 < s < t < 1$; $Q_s \in \tilde{M}$; $\alpha \in \{1, -1\}$.

Suppose

$$\tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha} \cap N \neq \emptyset$$

for every neighbourhood N of Q_s . Then there exists a face F of \tilde{K} such that $Q_s \in \bar{F}_u$ and $F_u \cap \tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha} \cap N \neq \emptyset$ for all $u \in (s, t)$.

Proof. Since $s < t$, we have by 2.4.2,

$$(\tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-1}) \cup (\tilde{K}_s^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_t^1) \subset \bigcup_{s < v < t} [\tilde{K}_v] \setminus \tilde{M}$$

$$\text{(thus } \tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha} \subset \bigcup_{s < v < t} [\tilde{K}_v] \setminus \tilde{M}\text{)}$$

and there is a $v = v_N \in (s, t)$ such that

$$[\tilde{K}_v] \cap \tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha} \cap N \neq \emptyset;$$

in fact $([\tilde{K}_v] \setminus \tilde{M}) \cap \tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha} \cap N \neq \emptyset$.

Thus there is a face $F = F(N)$ of \tilde{K} such that

$$(F_v \setminus \tilde{M}) \cap \tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha} \cap N \neq \emptyset.$$

This holds true for every choice of N . As \tilde{K} has only a finite number of faces, there is a face F of \tilde{K} such that $F_v \cap \tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha} \cap N \neq \emptyset$ for all neighbourhoods N and a suitable $v = v_N \in (s, t)$. Hence $Q_s \in \overline{F_v}$.

Let $Q_s \in F_v$. Then Q_s is a point of a face of $[\tilde{K}_u]$ for all $u \in I$. Let N be a sufficiently small neighbourhood of Q_s . If u is close to s , there is only one face F_u which meets N . But $Q_s \in \tilde{M}$ so Q_s meets $[\tilde{K}_u]$. Hence Q_s must lie on F_u . Hence for u close to s , F_u passes through Q_s . Thus the set of u such that F_u passes through Q_s is open. The set of u such that F_u does not pass through Q_s is open. Since I is connected, the set of u such that F_u passes through Q_s is equal to I . Hence $Q_s \in F_u$ for all $u \in I$.

Let Q_s be a singular point in $\overline{F_v}$. Then Q_s is a singular point of $[\tilde{K}_u]$ for all $u \in I$ and Q_s lies on the closure of a face $D(u)$ of $[\tilde{K}_u]$ for each $u \in I$. If Q_s lies on $\overline{F_v}$, then the set of all $u \in I$ such that Q_s lies on $\overline{F_u}$ is open. If Q_s does not lie on $\overline{F_r}$, then Q_s lies on $\overline{F'_r}$ and $\overline{F'_r} \cap \overline{F_r}$ is empty. Hence Q_s lies on $\overline{F'_u}$ for all u close to r and $\overline{F'_u} \cap \overline{F_u} = \emptyset$.

Hence the set of all u such that Q_s does not lie on F_v is open.

Since I is connected and $Q_s \in \overline{F_v}$, we obtain that $Q_s \in \overline{F_u}$ for all $u \in I$.

Hence $Q_s \in \overline{F_u}$ for all $u \in I$.

As $(F_v \setminus \tilde{M}) \cap \tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_s^{-\alpha} \cap N \neq \emptyset$ for all neighbourhoods of Q_s , there is a connected component $R(v)$ of $F_v \setminus \tilde{M}$ such that $R(v) \cap N \neq \emptyset$ for all neighbourhoods N of Q_s .

Claim: $R(v) \subset \tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha}$.

Proof. $[\tilde{K}_v] \subset (\tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha}) \cup (\tilde{K}_s^{-\alpha} \cap \tilde{K}_t^\alpha) \cup \tilde{M}$.

Thus $R(v) \subset (\tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha}) \cup (\tilde{K}_s^{-\alpha} \cap \tilde{K}_t^\alpha)$.

Assume $R(v) \cap (\tilde{K}_s^{-\alpha} \cap \tilde{K}_t^\alpha) \neq \emptyset$.

Let $P_1 \in R(v) \cap \tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha}$ and $P_2 \in R(v) \cap \tilde{K}_s^{-\alpha} \cap \tilde{K}_t^\alpha$.

Thus $P_1 \in \tilde{K}_s^\alpha$ and $P_2 \in \tilde{K}_s^{-\alpha}$. Since $R(v)$ is connected,

there exists a $P \in [\tilde{K}_s] \cap R(v)$. Hence $P \in \tilde{M}$; a contradiction

Hence $R(v) \subset \tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha}$.

Consider the arc $\{P(u) \mid u \in I\}$; cf. 3.8. Now let $P(v) = P_v \in R(v) \cap \tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha} \cap N$. Thus $P_v \in \tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha}$, where $s < v < t$.

By 4.1, $P_u \in \tilde{K}_s^\alpha$ for all $u > s$ and $P_u \in \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha}$ for all $u < t$. Hence $P_u \in \tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha}$ for all $u \in (s, t)$. Thus $R(u) \cap \tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha} \neq \emptyset$ for all $u \in (s, t)$. By above argument $R(u) \subset \tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha}$ for all $u \in (s, t)$.

To complete the proof of 5.4, it is sufficient to show that if N is a neighbourhood of Q_s such that $N \cap R(v) \neq \emptyset$, then $R(u) \cap N \neq \emptyset$ for $s < u < t$.

Let $Q_s \in \overline{F_v}$. Then $Q_s \in \overline{F_u}$ for all $u \in I$.

Now $(F_v \setminus \tilde{M}) \cap \tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha} \cap N \neq \emptyset$ for every neighbourhood

N of Q_s implies that every neighbourhood N of Q_s meets

$F_u \setminus \tilde{M}$ for all $u \in I$, since if $N \cap F_u \setminus \tilde{M} = \emptyset$ for some N , then

$N \cap F_u \subset \tilde{M}$ and hence $N \cap F_v \setminus \tilde{M} = \emptyset$; a contradiction.

If every neighbourhood N of Q_s meets $R(v)$, then

$Q_s \in \overline{R(v)}$. Let N be a neighbourhood of Q_s . Then by

3.8.1, N meets $R(u)$ for all u close to s . Hence the set

of all u such that $Q_s \in \overline{R(u)}$ is open.

Assume $Q_s \notin \overline{R(u)}$. Then $Q_s' \in \overline{R'(u)}$ and $\overline{R'(u)} \cap \overline{R(u)} = \emptyset$.

The set of all r such that $Q_s \in \overline{R'(r)}$ is open. If

$Q_s \in \overline{R'(r)}$, then $Q_s \notin \overline{R(r)}$. Hence the set of all u

such that $Q_s \notin \overline{R(u)}$ is open. Since I is connected and

$Q_s \in \overline{R(v)}$, we have that $Q_s \in \overline{R(u)}$ for all $u \in I$.

Hence $R(u) \cap N \neq \emptyset$ for all neighbourhoods N of Q_s

and for all $u \in I$.

This completes the proof of 5.4.

5.5. Suppose \tilde{K}_s and \tilde{K}_t support each other at Q_s .

Then there exist a neighbourhood N of Q_s and an $\alpha \in \{1, -1\}$ such that

$$\tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha} \cap N = \emptyset .$$

Proof. Let $s < t$. Since \tilde{K}_s and \tilde{K}_t support each other at Q_s , every neighbourhood N of Q_s contains points $P_s \in [\tilde{K}_s] \setminus \tilde{M}$. By the proof of 5.4, there is an arc $\{P(u) \mid u \in I\}$ such that $\{P(u) \mid s < u < t\}$ lies entirely in N . Suppose $P(s) \in \tilde{K}_t^\alpha$. Then by 5.1, $P(t) \in \tilde{K}_s^{-\alpha}$.

As $[\tilde{K}_s] \cap \tilde{K}_t^\alpha \cap N$ is not void, 1.10 implies $\tilde{K}_s^{\pm 1} \cap \tilde{K}_t^\alpha \cap N \neq \emptyset$.

Similarly $[\tilde{K}_t] \cap \tilde{K}_s^{-\alpha} \cap N \neq \emptyset$ implies $\tilde{K}_s^{-\alpha} \cap \tilde{K}_t^{\pm 1} \cap N \neq \emptyset$.

This yields $\tilde{K}_s^\beta \cap \tilde{K}_t^\beta \cap N \neq \emptyset$ for $\beta = \pm 1$.

Hence $\tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-1} \cap N = \emptyset$ or $\tilde{K}_s^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_t^1 \cap N = \emptyset$.

5.6. Let $0 < s < v < 1$, $0 < t < u < 1$. Let $Q_s \in \tilde{M}$; $\alpha \in \{1, -1\}$. Let N be a small neighbourhood of Q_s . Then

$$K_s^\alpha \cap K_v^{-\alpha} \cap N \neq \emptyset \iff K_t^\alpha \cap K_u^{-\alpha} \cap N \neq \emptyset .$$

Proof. We need consider only the special case

$$0 < s \leq t < u \leq v < 1 .$$

By 5.1.2, it suffices to consider the quasigraphs of the reduced family $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$.

Suppose $\tilde{K}_t^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_u^{-\alpha} \cap N \neq \emptyset$. By 4.9,

$$\tilde{K}_t^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_u^{-\alpha} \cap N \subset \tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_v^{-\alpha} \cap N.$$

Hence $\tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_v^{-\alpha} \cap N \neq \emptyset$.

Conversely, suppose $\tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_v^{-\alpha} \cap N \neq \emptyset$. Choose

$w \in (t, u) \subset (s, v)$. Then, by 5.4, there is a point

$P_w \in [\tilde{K}_w]$ such that $P_w \in \tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_v^{-\alpha} \cap N$. Since $P_w \notin \tilde{M}$,

we have $P_w \notin [\tilde{K}_r]$ for $r \in [s, t] \cup [u, v] \subset (0, w) \cup (w, 1)$

and thus $P_w \in \tilde{K}_t^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_u^{-\alpha} \cap N$. Hence $\tilde{K}_t^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_u^{-\alpha} \cap N \neq \emptyset$.

5.7. Let \tilde{K}_s and \tilde{K}_t be any two quasigraphs of \tilde{G} .

Let $Q_s \in \tilde{M}$ then exactly one of the following occurs:

- i) \tilde{K}_s and \tilde{K}_t support each other at Q_s .
- ii) \tilde{K}_s and \tilde{K}_t intersect each other at Q_s .
- iii) \tilde{K}_s and \tilde{K}_t decompose G at Q_s in the same way.

Proof. Now \tilde{K}_s and \tilde{K}_t support, intersect or neither

support nor intersect each other at Q_s . If \tilde{K}_s and \tilde{K}_t

neither support nor intersect, then by 5.2, and the fact

that both \tilde{K}_s and \tilde{K}_t decompose G at $Q_s \in \tilde{M}$, there exists a

neighbourhood N' of Q_s such that $[\tilde{K}_s] \cap N' = [\tilde{K}_t] \cap N'$.

Thus \tilde{K}_s and \tilde{K}_t decompose G at Q_s in the same way or in opposite ways.

Suppose \tilde{K}_s and \tilde{K}_t decompose G at Q_s in opposite ways.

Then $N \cap \tilde{K}_s^\alpha = N \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha}$, i.e., $N \cap \tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha} \neq \emptyset$

for all neighbourhoods N of Q_s . By 5.4,

$[\tilde{K}_u] \cap \tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha} \cap N \neq \emptyset$. But $[\tilde{K}_u] \cap N = [\tilde{K}_s] \cap N$. Hence

$[\tilde{K}_s] \cap \tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha} \cap N \neq \emptyset$; a contradiction. Hence \tilde{K}_s and

\tilde{K}_t decompose G at Q_s in the same way.

5.8. If two quasiographs of an $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ -family support each other [intersect each other, both decompose G in the same way] at $Q_s \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$, then so do any two quasiographs of that family.

Proof.

Case 1. Let \tilde{K}_v and \tilde{K}_u decompose G at Q_s in the same way. Then $\tilde{K}_v^1 \cap \tilde{K}_v^{-1} \cap N = \tilde{K}_v^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_u^1 \cap N = \emptyset$ for any small neighbourhood N of Q_s . Thus by 5.6,

$\tilde{K}_s^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_t^1 \cap N = \emptyset$ and $\tilde{K}_s^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_t^1 \cap N = \emptyset$ for any $s, t \in I$.

If $P \in N \cap [\tilde{K}_s] \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$, then $P \in N \cap \tilde{K}_t^\alpha$ or $P \in N \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha}$.

Let $P \in N \cap \tilde{K}_t^\alpha$. Then by 1.10, $N \cap \tilde{K}_s^{-\alpha} \cap \tilde{K}_t^\alpha \neq \emptyset$;

a contradiction. Thus $N \cap [\tilde{K}_s] \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{M}} = \emptyset$. Similarly

$N \cap [\tilde{K}_t] \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{M}} = \emptyset$.

Now $N \subset (\tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^1) \cup (\tilde{K}_s^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-1}) \cup (\tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-1}) \cup (\tilde{K}_s^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_t^1) \cup \tilde{M}$.

Hence $N \subset (\tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^1) \cup (\tilde{K}_s^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-1}) \cup \tilde{M}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus } N \cap \tilde{K}_s^1 &\subset ((\tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^1) \cup (\tilde{K}_s^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-1}) \cup \tilde{M}) \cap \tilde{K}_s^1 \\ &= (\tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^1 \cap \tilde{K}_s^1) \cup (\tilde{M} \cap \tilde{K}_s^1) \\ &= (\tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^1) \cup (\tilde{M} \cap \tilde{K}_s^1) \\ &= \tilde{K}_s^1 \cap (\tilde{K}_t^1 \cup \tilde{M}) \\ &\subset \tilde{K}_t^1 \cup \tilde{M}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $N \cap \tilde{K}_s^1 \subset (\tilde{K}_t^1 \cup \tilde{M}) \cap N = (\tilde{K}_t^1 \cap N) \cup (\tilde{M} \cap N)$.

But $N \cap \tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{M} \cap N = \emptyset$. Therefore $N \cap \tilde{K}_s^1 \subset N \cap \tilde{K}_t^1$.

Symmetrically $N \cap \tilde{K}_t^1 \subset N \cap \tilde{K}_s^1$. Hence $N \cap \tilde{K}_s^1 = N \cap \tilde{K}_t^1$

and $N \cap \tilde{K}_s^{-1} = N \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-1}$ and \tilde{K}_s and \tilde{K}_t decompose N in the same way.

Case 2. Let two quasigraphs of \tilde{Q} intersect each other at Q_s . Then by 5.6, $\tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-1} \cap N \neq \emptyset$ and

$\tilde{K}_s^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_t^1 \cap N \neq \emptyset$ for all $s, t \in I$ and for all neighbourhoods N of Q_s . By 5.7, \tilde{K}_s and \tilde{K}_t intersect, support or decompose G at Q_s in the same way. But by case 1, any two quasigraphs

of \tilde{Q} decompose G at Q_s in the same way; a contradiction.

If \tilde{K}_s and \tilde{K}_t support each other at Q_s , then by 5.5 and 5.6,

there exist a neighbourhood N of Q_s and an $\alpha \in \{1, -1\}$

such that $\tilde{K}_s^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha} \cap N = \emptyset$ for all $s, t \in I$; a contradiction.

Hence \tilde{K}_s and \tilde{K}_t intersect each other at Q_s for all $s, t \in I$.

Case 3. Let two quasigraphs of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ support each other at Q_s . Then by 5.7, they do not intersect each other at Q_s , or decompose each other at Q_s in the same way. If \tilde{K}_s and \tilde{K}_t do not support each other at Q_s , then by cases 1 and 2, any two quasigraphs of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ do not support each other at Q_s ; a contradiction.

Hence \tilde{K}_s and \tilde{K}_t support each other at Q_s for all $s, t \in I$.

5.9. Let $s \neq t$; $s, t \in I$ and $Q_s \in \tilde{M}$. Then K_s and K_t intersect each other at Q_s if and only if

$$[\tilde{K}_s] \cap \tilde{K}_t^\alpha \cap N \neq \emptyset, \alpha = \pm 1$$

for every neighbourhood N of Q_s .

Proof. Let $[\tilde{K}_s] \cap \tilde{K}_t^\alpha \cap N \neq \emptyset$, $\alpha = \pm 1$, for every neighbourhood of Q_s . Now $\tilde{K}_t^\alpha \cap N$ is an open and a non-empty subset of G . Hence by 1.10, $\tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^\alpha \cap N \neq \emptyset$ and $\tilde{K}_s^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_t^\alpha \cap N \neq \emptyset$ for all neighbourhoods N of Q_s and $\alpha = \pm 1$. By 5.1.2, $\tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^{\pm 1} \cap N \neq \emptyset \neq \tilde{K}_s^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_t^{\pm 1} \cap N$. Thus by definition K_s and K_t intersect at Q_s .

Conversely, suppose K_s and K_t intersect at Q_s .

Then \tilde{K}_s and \tilde{K}_t intersect at Q_s . Assume that $s < t$.

Let $u < s$. Then by 5.8, \tilde{K}_u and \tilde{K}_t intersect at Q_s and

by 5.4, there is for each $\alpha \in \{1, -1\}$ a face F_s of K_s

such that $F_s \cap \tilde{K}_t^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_u^{-\alpha} \cap N \neq \emptyset$ and $Q_s \in \overline{F_s}$. Thus

$[\tilde{K}_s] \cap \tilde{K}_t^\alpha \cap N \neq \emptyset$ for all neighbourhoods N of Q_s .

5.10. Let $s \neq t$; $Q_s \in \tilde{M}$. Then K_s and K_t support
each other at Q_s if and only if the following are satisfied:

$$(5.10-1) \quad [\tilde{K}_s] \cap N \neq [\tilde{K}_t] \cap N;$$

$$(5.10-2) \quad [\tilde{K}_s] \cap N \subset \tilde{K}_t^\alpha \cup \tilde{M} \text{ and } [\tilde{K}_t] \cap N \subset \tilde{K}_s^{-\alpha} \cup \tilde{M} \text{ for}$$

some $\alpha \in \{1, -1\}$.

Proof. Suppose K_s and K_t support each other at Q_s .

Then $[\tilde{K}_s] \cap N \neq [\tilde{K}_t] \cap N$ by 5.1. Now for s between u and t ,

$$[\tilde{K}_s] \cap N \subset (\tilde{K}_u^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_t^1) \cup (\tilde{K}_u^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-1}) \cup \tilde{M} \subset \tilde{K}_t^1 \cup \tilde{K}_t^{-1} \cup \tilde{M}.$$

By 5.9 and the fact that K_s and K_t do not intersect, we

have that $[\tilde{K}_s] \cap N \cap \tilde{K}_t^{1^0}$ or $[\tilde{K}_s] \cap N \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-1}$ is void.

Thus there is an $\alpha \in \{1, -1\}$ such that $[\tilde{K}_s] \cap N \subset \tilde{K}_t^\alpha \cup \tilde{M}$.

Similarly there is an $\beta \in \{1, -1\}$ such that $[\tilde{K}_t] \cap N \subset \tilde{K}_s^\beta \cup \tilde{M}$.

Hence by 1.10, $\tilde{K}_s^{\pm 1} \cap \tilde{K}_t^\alpha \cap N \neq \emptyset$ and $\tilde{K}_t^{\pm 1} \cap \tilde{K}_s^\beta \cap N \neq \emptyset$.

By 5.5 and since K_s and K_t support each other at Q_s , one of the two sets $\tilde{K}_s^1 \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-1} \cap N$ and $\tilde{K}_s^{-1} \cap \tilde{K}_t^1 \cap N$ must be void.

Thus $\alpha = -\beta$.

Conversely, assume (5.10-1) and (5.10-2). Now K_s and K_t either support or intersect at Q_s or neither support nor intersect. Since $[K_s] \cap N \neq [K_t] \cap N$ then either K_s and K_t support each other at Q_s or intersect each other at Q_s . Since $[\tilde{K}_s] \cap N \subset \tilde{K}_t^\alpha \cap \tilde{M}$ and $[\tilde{K}_t] \cap N \subset \tilde{K}_s^{-\alpha} \cup \tilde{M}$ for some $\alpha \in \{1, -1\}$, we obtain $[\tilde{K}_s] \cap \tilde{K}_t^{-\alpha} \cap N = \emptyset$ for some $\alpha \in \{1, -1\}$. Thus by 5.9, K_s and K_t do not intersect and hence K_s and K_t support each other at Q_s .

5.11. Suppose any two quasigraphs of \mathcal{a} support each other at Q_s . Let $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_h < 1$. Then for every small neighbourhood N of Q_s exactly $h+1$ of the 2^h open sets

$$K_{t_1}^{\pm 1} \cap \dots \cap K_{t_h}^{\pm 1} \cap N$$

are non-void; $h \geq 2$.

Proof. By 5.1.2, we may replace \mathcal{a} by $\tilde{\mathcal{a}}$; i.e.,

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^h K_j^{\alpha_j} \cap N \neq \emptyset \iff \bigcap_{j=1}^h \tilde{K}_j^{\alpha_j} \cap N \neq \emptyset, \quad h \geq 2.$$

By definition the assertion is true for $h = 2$.

Suppose that $h > 2$ and our statement has been proved up to $h-1$. Then exactly h of the 2^{h-1} open sets $\tilde{K}_{t_1}^{\pm 1} \cap \dots \cap \tilde{K}_{t_{h-1}}^{\pm 1} \cap N$ are non-void. Now $N = N \cap (\tilde{K}_{t_h}^1 \cup \tilde{K}_{t_h}^{-1} \cup [\tilde{K}_{t_h}])$. Thus if $\tilde{K}_{t_1}^{\alpha_1} \cap \dots \cap \tilde{K}_{t_{h-1}}^{\alpha_{h-1}} \cap N \neq \emptyset$ then either $\tilde{K}_{t_1}^{\alpha_1} \cap \dots \cap \tilde{K}_{t_h}^1 \cap N \neq \emptyset$ or $\tilde{K}_{t_1}^{\alpha_1} \cap \dots \cap \tilde{K}_{t_h}^{-1} \cap N \neq \emptyset$ or by 1.10 both are non-void.

Since any two quasigraphs of \mathcal{A} support at Q_s , then $[\tilde{K}_{t_h}] \cap N \setminus \tilde{M}$ is non-void. Let $P \in [\tilde{K}_{t_h}] \cap N \setminus \tilde{M}$. Then $P \notin [K_r]$ for $r \in [t_1, t_{h-1}]$. By 2.4, there is an $\alpha \in \{1, -1\}$ for which $P \in \tilde{K}_{t_1}^{\alpha} \cap \dots \cap \tilde{K}_{t_{h-1}}^{\alpha} \cap N$. Thus by 5.10, $[\tilde{K}_{t_h}] \cap N \subset \tilde{M} \cup \tilde{K}_{t_i}^{\alpha}$, $i = 1, \dots, h-1$; i.e., $[\tilde{K}_{t_h}] \cap N \setminus \tilde{M} \subset \tilde{K}_{t_i}^{\alpha}$. Thus $[\tilde{K}_{t_h}] \cap N \setminus \tilde{M} \subset \tilde{K}_{t_1}^{\alpha} \cap \dots \cap \tilde{K}_{t_{h-1}}^{\alpha}$ and $[\tilde{K}_{t_h}] \cap \tilde{K}_{t_1}^{\alpha} \cap \dots \cap \tilde{K}_{t_{h-1}}^{\alpha} \cap N \neq \emptyset$. By 1.10, $\tilde{K}_{t_1}^{\alpha} \cap \dots \cap \tilde{K}_{t_{h-1}}^{\alpha} \cap \tilde{K}_{t_h}^{\pm 1} \cap N \neq \emptyset$. Thus at least $h+1$ of the sets $\tilde{K}_{t_h}^{\pm 1} \cap \dots \cap \tilde{K}_{t_h}^{\pm 1} \cap N$ are non-void.

Now suppose $[\tilde{K}_{t_h}] \cap \tilde{K}_{t_1}^{\beta_1} \cap \dots \cap \tilde{K}_{t_{h-1}}^{\beta_{h-1}} \cap N \neq \emptyset$. Then $\emptyset \neq \tilde{K}_{t_1}^{\beta_1} \cap \dots \cap \tilde{K}_{t_{h-1}}^{\beta_{h-1}} \cap \tilde{K}_{t_h}^{\pm 1} \cap N \subset \tilde{K}_{t_i}^{\beta_i} \cap \tilde{K}_{t_h}^{\pm 1} \cap N$, $i = 1, \dots, h-1$. However, $\tilde{K}_{t_i}^{\alpha} \cap \tilde{K}_{t_h}^{\pm 1} \cap N \neq \emptyset$. Hence,

since \tilde{K}_{t_i} and \tilde{K}_{t_h} support each other at Q_s , $\beta_i = \alpha$,
 $i = 1, 2, \dots, h-1$. Thus $\tilde{K}_{t_1}^{\beta_1} \cap \dots \cap \tilde{K}_{t_{h-1}}^{\beta_{h-1}}$ meets both

$\tilde{K}_{t_h}^1$ and $\tilde{K}_{t_h}^{-1}$ only if $\beta_i = \alpha$. Thus exactly $h+1$ of the sets

$\tilde{K}_{t_1}^{\pm 1} \cap \dots \cap \tilde{K}_{t_h}^{\pm 1} \cap N$ are non-void.

5.11.1. Suppose $K_s^{-\alpha} \cap K_t^\alpha \cap N = \emptyset$ for $0 < s < t < 1$
 and $\alpha \in \{1, -1\}$. Then the $h+1$ non-void sets obtained in
5.11 are

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^i K_{t_j}^\alpha \cap \bigcap_{j=i+1}^h K_{t_j}^{-\alpha} \cap N; \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, h,$$

where, $\bigcap_{j=1}^0 K_{t_j}^\alpha = \bigcap_{j=h+1}^h K_{t_j}^{-\alpha} = G$.

Proof. By 4.9, not more than $2h$ of the 2^h sets

$\bigcap_{i=1}^h \tilde{K}_{t_i}^{\alpha_i}$; $\alpha_i = \pm 1$ are non-void. In fact if $\bigcap_{i=1}^h \tilde{K}_{t_i}^{\alpha_i} \neq \emptyset$,

then $\bigcap_{i=1}^h \tilde{K}_{t_i}^{\alpha_i} \in \left\{ \bigcap_{j=1}^i \tilde{K}_{t_j}^\alpha \cap \bigcap_{j=i+1}^h \tilde{K}_{t_j}^{-\alpha} \mid \alpha = 1, -1; i = 0, 1, \dots, h \right\}$.

If $K_s^{-\alpha} \cap K_t^\alpha \cap N = \emptyset$, then $\bigcap_{j=1}^i \tilde{K}_{t_j}^{-\alpha} \cap \bigcap_{j=i+1}^h \tilde{K}_{t_j}^\alpha = \emptyset$ by 5.6,

$i = 1, \dots, h-1$. Thus the $h+1$ sets

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^i K_{t_j}^\alpha \cap \bigcap_{j=i+1}^h K_{t_j}^{-\alpha} \cap N; \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, h$$

are non-void.

5.12. Suppose any two quasigraphs of \mathcal{Q} intersect each other at Q_s . Let $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_h < 1$; $h \geq 2$. Then for every small neighbourhood N of Q_s exactly $2h$ of the 2^h open sets

$$\bigcap_1^h K_{t_i}^{\alpha_i} \quad ; \quad \alpha_i = \pm 1,$$

are non-void.

Proof. By 4.9, not more than $2h$ of the open sets

$$\bigcap_i^h K_{t_i}^{\alpha_i}, \quad \alpha_i = \pm 1, \text{ are non-void.}$$

The case $h = 2$ is the the definition of intersection for a pair of quasigraphs.

Suppose $h \geq 2$ and suppose our statement has been proved up to $h-1$. Then exactly $2(h-1)$ of the 2^{h-1} open sets

$$\tilde{K}_{t_1}^{\pm 1} \cap \dots \cap \tilde{K}_{t_{h-1}}^{\pm 1} \cap N \text{ are non-void. Now } N = N \cap (\tilde{K}_{t_h}^1 \cup \tilde{K}_{t_h}^{-1} \cup [\tilde{K}_{t_h}]).$$

$$\text{If } \tilde{K}_{t_1}^{\alpha_1} \cap \dots \cap \tilde{K}_{t_{h-1}}^{\alpha_{h-1}} \cap N \neq \emptyset; \alpha_i = \pm 1, i = 1, \dots, h-1,$$

$$\text{then either } \tilde{K}_{t_1}^{\alpha_1} \cap \dots \cap \tilde{K}_{t_{h-1}}^{\alpha_{h-1}} \cap \tilde{K}_{t_h}^1 \cap N \neq \emptyset \text{ or}$$

$$\tilde{K}_{t_1}^{\alpha_1} \cap \dots \cap \tilde{K}_{t_{h-1}}^{\alpha_{h-1}} \cap \tilde{K}_{t_h}^{-1} \cap N \neq \emptyset \text{ or by 1.10, both are non-void. Thus a}$$

$$\text{least } 2(h-1) \text{ of the sets } \tilde{K}_{t_1}^{\pm 1} \cap \dots \cap \tilde{K}_{t_h}^{\pm 1} \cap N \neq \emptyset.$$

By 5.9 and the fact that K_{t_h} and $K_{t_{h-1}}$ intersect at

$$Q_s \in \tilde{M}, \text{ we have } [\tilde{K}_{t_h}] \cap \tilde{K}_{t_{h-1}}^{\pm 1} \cap N \neq \emptyset. \text{ Let}$$

$$P^1 \in [\tilde{K}_{t_h}] \cap \tilde{K}_{t_{h-1}}^1 \cap N \text{ and let } P^{-1} \in [\tilde{K}_{t_h}] \cap \tilde{K}_{t_{h-1}}^{-1} \cap N.$$

Then $P^1, P^{-1} \notin \tilde{M}$ and $P^1, P^{-1} \notin [K_r]$ for $r \in [t_1, t_{h-1}]$.

Hence $P^1 \in \tilde{K}_r^1$ and $P^{-1} \in \tilde{K}_r^{-1}$ for all $r \in [t_1, t_{h-1}]$.

Thus $[\tilde{K}_{t_h}] \cap \tilde{K}_{t_1}^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_{t_2}^\alpha \cap \dots \cap \tilde{K}_{t_{h-1}}^\alpha \cap N \neq \emptyset$ for $\alpha = 1$ and

for $\alpha = -1$. Hence by 1.10,

$$\tilde{K}_{t_1}^\alpha \cap \dots \cap \tilde{K}_{t_{h-1}}^\alpha \cap \tilde{K}_{t_h}^{\pm 1} \cap N \neq \emptyset, \quad \alpha = \pm 1.$$

Hence $2h$ of the sets $\tilde{K}_{t_1}^{\pm 1} \cap \dots \cap \tilde{K}_{t_h}^{\pm 1} \cap N$ are non-void.

Remark. The $2h$ non-void sets obtained in 5.12 are, by 4.9, the sets

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^i K_{t_j}^\alpha \cap \bigcap_{j=i+1}^h K_{t_j}^{-\alpha} \quad ; \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, h-1.$$

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. M. Gupta and N.D. Lane; Polynomial differentiability, order and characteristic; Journal of Geometry, 3 (1973) pp. 107-164.
2. Witold Hurewicz and Henry Wallman; Dimension Theory; Princeton University Press, (1948).
3. J.L. Kelley; General Topology; Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, New York (1955).
4. N.D. Lane and P. Scherk; Differentiable points in the conformal plane; Canad. J. Math., 5 (1953) pp. 512-518.
5. N.D. Lane and P. Scherk; Characteristic and order of differentiable points in the conformal plane; Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 81 (1956) pp. 358-378.
6. N.D. Lane, P. Scherk and J.M. Turgeon; Quasigraphs; to appear in the Canadian Journal of Mathematics.
7. N.D. Lane and K.D. Singh; Conical differentiation; Canad. J. Math., 16 (1964) pp. 169-190.
8. K.D. Singh and N.D. Lane, Characteristic and order of conically differentiable points; J. Reine Angew. Math., 224 (1966) pp. 164-184.