## EXTINCTION TO DOUBLE SIMULTANEOUS STIMULATION

AND

#### HEMISPACE LATERALIZATION

by

C EDITH WILHELMINE MARIA HERMAN, B.A., M.H.S.

A Thesis

Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies
in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Degree

Doctor of Philosophy

McMaster University
December 1986

HEMISPATIAL FIELD LATERALIZATION

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY (1987) (Medical Sciences)

MCMASTER UNIVERSITY Hamilton, Ontario

TITLE:

Extinction to Double Simultaneous Stimulation and

Hemispace Lateralization

AUTHOR:

Edith Wilhemine Maria Herman

B.A. (McMaster University)

M.H.S. (McMaster University)

SUPERVISOR:

Dr. M.A.J. Finlayson

SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE:

Dr. D.H. Carr

Dr. H.I.J. Van der Spuy

Dr. C.A. Woodward

NUMBER OF PAGES: xv, 242

#### ABSTRACT

'Extinction to Double Simultaneous Stimulation' (EDSS) is a perceptual disorder which occurs with varying frequency following lateralized brain lesions, predominantly in the right parietal lobe. Correlated with impaired functional recovery of hemiplegic patients, the phenomenon is characterized by faulty or nonrecognition of stimuli on the side opposite to that of the damaged cerebral hemisphere when these stimuli are presented simultaneously with stimuli on the ipsilesional side. phenomenon cannot be explained by a simple sensory deficit since a parient who manifests the syndrome correctly identifies the same stimuli on unilateral presentation. EDSS is considered a (milder) manifestation of the 'neglect' syndrome, that is, the tendency to neglect the contralesional half of body and space following brain damage. Although known for a full century, the psychophysiologic mechanisms underlying the syndromes of EDSS and 'neglect' are still poorly understood and many hypotheses remain speculative. Investigation of an underlying mechanism seems essential for the development of treatment strategies aimed at ameliorating the syndrome and thereby conceivably enhancing the rehabilitation

potential of this patient group. Although the unilateral neglect of stimuli may involve different sensory modalities, the present study focuses on somatosensory stimuli.

The purpose of the present study was two-fold: first, to test two prevailing theories which account for the syndrome of EDSS in hemiplegic patients, and to distinguish between the respective hypotheses; second, to investigate hemispheric asymmetries in the perception of somatosensory stimuli prior to brain injury as a possible basis for the development of unilateral hemineglect after brain lesions. The postulated mechanisms underlying EDSS, stimulus properties and the hemispace in which the stimuli occur, were therefore tested in both a clinical as well as a neurologically intact population.

The research questions were addressed in two parts of the study: in Study A, electrical stimuli at perception the shold, systematically varied with respect to duration and the hemispace in which they were delivered, were presented to 68 subjects free of neurological disease (34 males, 34 females). The subjects' report of perceived stimuli was recorded and errors of detection were analyzed. In Study B, a similar procedure was performed on 19 hemiplegic patients manifesting EDSS.

The results indicate that both sensory characteristics and attentional factors influence perception. The findings of Study A suggest that:

- 1. The hemispace in which stimuli are presented plays a significant role in their perception.
- 2. A left hemispace advantage appears to be pre-eminent in females.
- 3. The right hemisphere may be a better 'watchkeeper' for stimuli directed to the left hemispere than the left hemisphere is for stimuli directed to the right hemisphere.

In hemiplegic patients (Study B), neither of the two tested theories could account by itself, under the experimental conditions of the present study, for the syndrome of 'extinction'. Based on the present findings, EDSS is viewed as a deficiency in neurointegrative functioning altering the physiological processing of stimuli.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The writer of this thesis is indebted to many people. Fore-most, I would like to thank my supervisor, Dr. A.M.J. Finlayson, and the members of my supervisory committee, Dr. D.A. Carr, Dr. M.I.J. Van der Spuy, and Dr. C.A. Woodward for their encouraging support, counsel, and helpful comments after a critical reading of the original manuscript for both style and content.

I am also grateful to Larry Stitt, Research Associate, Department of Epidemiology and Statistics, for his assistance in determining appropriate statistical techniques and in the interpretation of the computer read-outs.

My appreciation extends to Medtronic of Canada for providing the electronic unit 'Selectra' used in the study, and to their bioengineers in Minneapolis who developed the design for the modified circuitry according to the specifications required by the experiments.

I acknowledge, with sincere thanks, the cooperation of the physiotherapy staff of Chedoke (Holbrook) and Henderson General Hospitals, of the Department of Physiotherapy, Health Sciences, Mohawk College, and of the Department of Psychology, McMaster

University, for granting permission to recruit patients and subjects for the experiments, and to conduct the study on their premises. To the patients, physiotherapists and students who took part in the experiments I am particularly grateful.

My work was consistently supported by studentships from the Medical Research Council of Canada, and I wish to express my appreciation for the financial assistance.

Finally, deeply felt thanks goes to my husband, to whom this thesis is dedicated, for his enduring understanding and help.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .	111
TICT OF Trans-	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	<b>c</b> 111,
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	
I CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	ΧV
10 -	
1.0 The Field of Neuropsychology	1
1.1 'Neglect' and 'Extinction to Double Simultaneous	
Stimulation' (EDSS)	3
1.1.1 Etiology	6
1.1.2 EDSS in Rumans	11
1.1.3 Animal Experimentation	
	19
	25
I.1.4.1 Anatomical Connectivity 2	:6
1.1.4.2 Physiological Studies: Visual and	
Somesthetic Convergence 3	1
1.1.4.3 Measures of Brain Activation 30	6
1.1.5 Theoretical Considerations 42	

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

		Page
	1.1.6 Sensory-Perceptual versus	
	Attentional-Arousal Theories	46
	1.1.6.1 Sensory Theories	47
	1.1.6.2 Attentional Theories	54
1	1.2 The Concept of Hemispatial Field	65
	1.2.1 Definition and Hypotheses	66
•	1.2.2 Significance of Hemispace in Humans	68
1	.3 Laterality of Perceptual Processes, Hemispace,	
	and Actention	74
	1.3.1 Hemispace and 'Pseudoneglect'	75
	1.3.2 The Right Hemisphere and Sensory Function .	77
	1.3.3 Hemispheric Vigilance	79
	1.3.4 Gender Differences	82
1.	.4 Conceptual Conflicts: Need for Further	·
\	Investigation	90
	1.4.1 Intact Brain: Normative Perception	91
	1.4.2 EDSS: Defective Perception	92
II CH	APTER TWO. PURPOSE OF TWO STUDIES	
2.	O Rationale and Experimental Paradigms	95
	l Study A: Outline of Study Using 68 'Normal'	
	Subjects	96
2.2	2 Study B: Outline of Study Using 19 EDSS Patients .	97

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

Marine Control of the Control of the

III CHAPTER THREE. EXPERIMENTAL ELECTRICAL STIMULATION	Page
3.0 This is a second of the se	
3.0 Excitability of the Nervous System by Electrical	
Stimulation	100
3.1 Justification of the Use of Electrical Stimuli	100
3.2 Instrumentation	
3.3 Stimulation Parameters	102
IV CHAPTER FOUR STUDY	106
IV CHAPTER FOUR. STUDY A: PERCEPTION OF SOMATOSENSORY	
- STYMULI AND THE CONCEPT OF HEMISPATIAL	
FIELD IN SIXTY-EIGHT CEREBRALLY INTACT	
SUBJECTS	
4.0 Specific Purpose	111
4.1 Hypotheses	112
4.2 Method	112
4.2.1 Research Setting	
4.2.2 Subjects	
4.2.3 Pretrial Evaluation	115
4.2.4 Apparatus =	16
4.2.4 Apparatus, Test Materials and Procedure 1	17
4.3 Study Designs and Data Analysis	19
4.4 Results	
4.4.1 Hemispatial Field (F)	
4.4.2 Stimulus Duration (D)	<i>.</i>
4.4.3 Sex (S)	32
13	14

## TABLE OF COMMENTS (Cont'd)

•		•	<b>n</b>
		4.4.4 Hemispace and Lateralized Stimuli (F x A) .	<u>Page</u> 137
		4.4.5 Bilateral Stimuli (B)	141
		4.4.6 Additional Findings	
	4.5		144
	•	4.5.1 Hemispatial Field	
	•	4.5.2 Stimulus Duration	146
		4.5.3 Gender	147
		4.5.4 Hemispace and Lateralized Stimuli	152
		4.5.5 Bilateral Simultaneous Stimuli	154
		4.5.6 Summary of Findings	155
	4.6	Limitations of Interpretation	156
∇		PTER FIVE. STUDY B: PERCEPTION OF SOMATOSENSORY	150
		STIMULI IN NINETEEN PATIENTS MANIFESTING	
		EDDS	•
	5.0	Specific Purpose	159
	5.1	Hypotheses	160
	5.2		161
		5.2.1 Research Setting	161
		5.2.2 Subjects	162
		5.2.3 Pretrial Evaluation	163
•		5.2.4 Apparatus Test Materials	166-
		5.2.5 Analysis of Dara	168

#### TABLE OF COMINGIS (Cont'd)

	•	Page
5.3 Res	ults	170
	.l Prevalence of EDSS	
	2 Stimulus Duration	-
	3 Hemispatial Field	179
	4 Summary of Individual Findings	180
5.4 Disc	cussion	185
5.5 Limi	tations of the Study	192
VI CHAPTER S	IX. EPILOGUE	195
	Consent Form	199
APPENDIX B	Assessment of Handedness	200
APPENDIX C	Assessment of Perception Threshold	
APPENDIX D	Recording Form	201
APPENDIX E		202
REFERENCES	Sensory-Perceptual Examination	203
THE DREACES		204

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Experimental Paradigm: Study A	99
2.2	Experimental Paradigm: Study B	98
3.1	Circuitry of Apparatus	103
3.2	Apparatus: 'Modified Selectra'	105
3.3	Parameters of Electrical Pulses	107
4.1	Diagram of Study Design for 2 x 3 x 3 ANOVA	120
4.2	Diagram of Study Design for 2 x 2 x 2 x 4 ANOVA	122
4.3	Mean Error Scores of 68 Subjects for Each Stimulus	
	Duration	131
4.4	Mean Error Scores of Males and Females in Three	<b>-</b>
	Hemispatial Positions (Bar Chart)	136
4.5	Detection of 'Incompatible' Stimuli in Right and	
	Left Hemispace	140
5.1	Mean Error Scores of 19 EDSS Patients	174
5.2	Mean Error Scores of Right and Left Brain Lesions .	176
5.3	Mean Error Scores of Nine Patients Tested in Three	
	Hemispatial Positions	178

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table		
1		Page
	Proposed Explanations of Neglect and EDSS	45
4-1	Demographic Data of the Study Population	
4.2	Summary Table of Three-Way ANOVA	115
4.3	Summary Table 4	125
_	Summary Table of Four-Way ANOVA	126
4.4	Means and Standard Deviations of Total Errors	· .
	(Untransformed Data of 68 Subjects)	
4.5	Means and Chanders n	128
	Means and Standard Deviations of Total Errors	
	(Transformed Data of 68 Subjects)	129
4.6	Mean Errors as Function of Stimulus Duration	
4.7	Mean Errors for Lateralized Stimuli	133
4.8	Mean France on Dea	138
	Mean Errors on Bilateral Stimulation	141 .
4.9	Mean Errors of Males and Females for Lateralized	
	Stimuli	
5.1	Characteristics of CTA CTA	142
5.2	Characteristics of CVA Study Population	164
	Summary Table of 2 x 3 ANOVA (N = 19)	169
5.3	Summary Table of 3 x 3 ANOVA (n = 9)	170
5.4	Means of Total Error Scores of 19 EDSS Patients	1/0
		179

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AER : averaged evoked responses

ANOVA : analysis of variance : central nervous system CNS

CNV contingent negative variation CVA cerebral vascular accident

DA : dopamine

**EDSS** extinction to double simultaneous stimulation

F female

galvanic skin response GSR

 $H_0$ null hypothesis

 $H_1$ : alternative hypothesis horseradish peroxidase HRP

**HSF** hemispatial field

IPL inferior parietal lobe

L left М : male

щΑ milliampere

MRF mesencephalic reticular formation

: milliseconds msec norepinephrine ns non-significant pulses per second pps

R right

recticular activating system RAS

RT : reaction time

S : subject

: visual field defect VFD : visual hemifield VHF

# CHAPTER ONE

## 1.0 The Field of Beuropsychology

Neuropsychology is the study of brain-behaviour relationships. While both clinical and experimental neuropsychology investigate the function of the normal brain and study behavioural deficits following brain lesions, clinical neuropsychology concerns itself with the application of these findings to human problems (Melzack, 1984). The fields of neurology and clinical neuropsychology are largely based on empirical findings of experimental neuropsychology using human and animal models. Through the identification and understanding of the behavioural correlates of brain function, it is possible to evaluate the consequences of brain dysfunction for patients (Goldstein, 1974; Reitan, 1966, 1974; Crockett, Clark, & Klonoff, 1981).

Within the last two decades, scientific and clinical interest in neuropsychology has been growing at an accelerating rate. Yet, despite considerable progress in our understanding of brain mechanisms underlying normal and abnormal brain functioning, many questions regarding the workings of the brain have remained a baffling mystery and swait further elucidation.

Although it is well recognized that the brain functions as an integrated system, the progressive lateralization of function with the development of speech and handedness (Luria, 1973; Buffery, 1974; Berent, 1981) has led to increased interest in asymmetrical functional arrangement in brain organization (Bryden, 1982; Segalowitz, 1983). Generally, the notion of lateralization of brain functions has been widely accepted: in most right-handed individuals, the left hemisphere is considered to be responsible for the mediation of speech and more logical, precise analysis, while the right hemisphere plays a more important part in the perception and recall of spatial material and of non-verbal stimuli (McGlone, 1980; Berent, 1981). The great diversity and individual variability in brain organization (Gazzaniga, 1974; Buffery, 1974; Reitan, 1974) has led to the current trend to de-emphasize specific localization of function in the two sides of the brain and to consider brain function in a more holistic fashion (Reitan, 1966; Gazzaniga, 1974).

The task of modern neuropsychology in studying the diseased brain is not only to describe and analyze a syndrome (the particular constellation of symptoms and signs) and to account for it with a particular locus of lesion; it also has to take account of the functional processes subserved by various cortical and

subcortical systems working in concert (Luria, 1970, 1973). The challenge is to 'dissociate' the contributions of lesions in different cerebral loci to the deficit and to find the mechanisms underlying the participation and interconnectedness of several systems in the complex circuitry of brain functions. By setting up hypotheses designed to confirm one postulation while at the same time discounting the possibility of another, the probability of the correctness of one postulation has acquired additional validity (Walsh, 1978).

## I.1 'Heglect' and 'Extinction to Double Simultaneous Stimulation'

One of the various syndromes resulting from unilateral damage to the central nervous system is the failure to appreciate stimuli on the side of the body contralateral to the lesion and to ignore the side of space opposite to the site of the neurological insult (Bender, 1952; Critchley, 1949, 1966). This defective perception of corporeal and extracorporeal space (see p. 41 for additional elucidation of the space within and outside the body) in one half of the perceptual sphere has been variously termed 'hemi-neglect' or 'hemi-inattention' (Bender, 1977; Friedland & Weinstein, 1977; Heilman, 1979). The syndrome may comprise a) disturbances of the body scheme, that is, neglect and/or denial of the existence of the opposite side of the body: 'autotopagnosia' or 'anosognosia'

(Denny-Brown & Banker, 1954; Critchley, 1966; Hecaen, Penfield, Bertrand, & Malmo, 1956; Benton, 1979); b) topographical disorientation due to defective analysis of, and memory for, spatial relationships: 'unilateral spatial agnosia/neglect' (Brain, 1941; McFie, Piercy, & Zangwill, 1950; Battersby, Bender, Pollack, & Kahn, 1956; Hecaen et al., 1956; Oxbury, Campbell, & Oxbury, 1974; Chedru, 1976; Bisiach, & Luzzatti, 1978; Denes, Semenza, Stoppa, & Lis, 1982); and c) impairment of visuo-constructive abilities (McFie et al., 1950), including apraxia: 'apractognosia' (Hecaen et al., 1956).

A 'neglect'-related disorder is 'Extinction to Double Simultaneous Stimulation' (hereinafter abbreviated EDSS). A defect of interhemispheric perceptual interaction (Schallert & Whishaw, 1984) accompanying the functional impairment of unilateral neglect (Critchley, 1949), EDSS is characterized by imperception, or distortion (Obersteiner, 1881), of stimuli on the contralesional side of the body when these stimuli are presented simultaneously with stimuli on the opposite (intact) side. Yet, these 'ignored' stimuli are correctly identified when delivered unilaterally; they merely 'extinguish' on bilateral stimulus presentation. This phenomenon was first noted by Oppenheim in 1885 with tactile stimuli (Bender, 1948; Heilman, 1979) and later described by Anton (1899) in the visual sphere (Friedland & Weinstein, 1977).

The defective appreciation of stimuli on the side opposite to the lesion may occur in various sensory modalities (Bender & Feldman, 1952; Welch & Stuteville, 1958; Bender, 1977; Heilman, Neglect and extinction have been reported in several 1979). specific sensory domains, such as in the gustatory, when taste on one side of the tongue is affected (Bender & Feldman, 1952), in the olfactory (Marshall, Turner, & Teitelbaum, 1971), in the auditory (Welch & Stuteville, 1958; Heilman, Pandya, Karol, & Geschwind, 1971; Watson & Heilman, 1979), and in the somesthetic modality where EDSS is characterized by imperception of vibratory (Critchley, 1949) and tactile stimuli (Bender, 1952; Watson, Heilman, & Cauthen, 1973; Watson, Miller, & Heilman, 1978; Dimond, 1978). EDSS is frequently multimodal (Heilman, Pandya, & Geschwind, 1970) and has been observed within and across different sensory modalities (Wortis, Bender, & Teuber, 1948; Critchley, 1949; Bender & Feldman, 1952; Denny-Brown, Meyer, & Horenstein, 1952; Teuber, 1975). 'Cross-modal' interactions in patients, that is, mutual extinction between visual, somatosensory and auditory stimuli, seem to occur only in severe cases of hemi-neglect (Weinstein & Friedland, 1977), and are almost always associated with organic mental impairment (Friedland & Weinstein, 1977).

The phenomenon of EDSS is considered to be a more subtle manifestation of the overall spatial defect of unilateral neglect (Battersby et al., 1956; Heilman, 1979). EDSS is frequently

encountered as a stage of functional improvement from unilateral neglect (Heilman, 1979), and testing for EDSS will often elicit a masked neglect syndrome (Critchley, 1966). If there are differences in the pathogenesis underlying neglect and EDSS, they are at present not understood (Heilman, 1979); the terms neglect and EDSS will subsequently be often used interchangeably. Although the controversy regarding the nomenclature of this phenomenon has not been entirely resolved, the term 'extinction' seems to have been accepted to describe the syndrome; it does not, however, correspond to its meaning in learning theory where 'extinction' refers to the diminishing magnitude of a conditioned response upon withdrawal of its contingent reinforcement (Deese & Hulse, 1958).

#### 1.1.1 Etiology

はなるないのではなるないないというというべん

Lesions at various levels of the central nervous system (CNS) have been shown to produce deficits in orientation to sensory stimuli. Neglect-related disorders are most frequently associated with lesions of the parietal lobe (Brain, 1941; Critchley, 1949, 1966; Denny-Brown, Meyer, & Horenstein, 1952; Denny-Brown & Banker, 1954; Heilman et al., 1971; Heilman & Valenstein, 1972a; Valenstein, Heilman, Watson, & Van den Abell, 1982), but have also been noted after frontal lesions in man (Heilman & Valenstein, 1972b; Damasio, Damasio, & Chang Chui, 1980), in monkeys