MIXED POLYATOMIC CATIONS OF SULFUR,

SELENIUM AND TELLURIUM

MICHAEL JOSEPH COLLINS, B.Sc.

Вy

A Thesis

Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree Doctor of Philosophy

C)April, 1984



DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY (1984) (Chemistry)

McMASTER UNIVERSITY Hamilton, Ontario

TITLE: Mixed Polyatomic Cations of Sulfur, Selenium and Tellurium.

AUTHOR: Michael Joseph Collins, B.Sc. (U. of Victoria)

SUPERVISOR: Professor R.J. Gillespie

NUMBER OF PAGES: xv, 254

To my wife and parents

. and the amazing Leah.

iii

1ŝ

ABSTRACT

The study of the behavior of the chalcogen elements sulfur, selenium and tellurium with the strong Lewis acids SbF_5 and AsF_5 in SO_2 solution has been continued. A large number of new salts of polyatomic cations of the chalcogens have been prepared and characterized by their X-ray crystal structures, namely $(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_2$, $(Te_{4.5}Se_{5.5})(AsF_6)_2$, $(Te_2Se_6)(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_4(SO_2)_2$, $(Te_6)(Se_8)(AsF_6)_6(SO_2)$, $(Te_2Se_4)(SbF_6)_2$, $(Te_{2.7}Se_{3.3})(SbF_6)_2$, $(Te_{3.4}Se_{2.6})(SbF_6)_2$, $(Te_2Se_4)(SbF_6)_4(SD_5)_5$, $(Te_{2.1}Se_{3.9})(SbF_6)_2$ and

 $(S_{3.0}Se_{1.0})_2(Sb_4F_{17})(SbF_6)_3$. Many of the cations in these compounds, those with non-integral subscripts, possess occupational disorder with some or all of the cation sites partially occupied by two different chalcogen elements. The previously known, but incompletely characterized compounds $(Te_2Se_2)(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$ and $(Te_{3.0}Se_{1.0})(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$ were a reprepared from stoichiometric reactions and their compositions confirmed by ⁷⁷Se and ¹²⁵Te NMR spectroscopy and X-ray crystallography. The new compounds $(Te_3S_3)(SbF_6)_2$, $(Te_2Se_8)(SbF_6)_2$ and $(Te_2Se_8)(SbF_6)_2(SO_2)$ were prepared and

were found to be isomorphous with their hexafluoroarsenate analogues.

The new $\text{Te}_2\text{Se}_6^{2+}$ cation, which co-crystallizes with $\text{Te}_2\text{Se}_8^{2+}$ in the compound $(\text{Te}_2\text{Se}_6)(\text{Te}_2\text{Se}_8)(\text{AsF}_6)_4(\text{SO}_2)_2$, has

iv

a novel cube-like structure, which is very different from that of S_8^{2+} and Se_8^{2+} , the known homopolyatomic cations of the same average oxidation state. The $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$ structure is compared with the structures of the other polyatomic cations and with the P_{110}^{3-} and As_{11}^{3-} anions. Selenium-77 and ^{125}Te NMR studies indicate that the $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$ and Se_8^{2+} cations retain their structures in solution. The spectra for a $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$ sample enriched to 77.3% in ^{125}Te are dominated by AA'X and AA'XX' satellite subspectra. The compound

 $(S_{3,0}Se_{1,0})_2(Sb_4F_{17})(SbF_6)_3$ provides the first example of a mixed Se-S cation as well as the only example of the mixed-.valence, Sb(III)-Sb(V), $Sb_4F_{17}^{-}$ anion. The orientations of secondary bonds to Sb(III) atoms in the $Sb_4F_{17}^{-}$ and $Sb_3F_{14}^{-}$ anions and to divalent and trivalent chalcogen atoms of the cations are described. These secondary bonds form in directions that cap faces or bridge edges of polyhedra defined by the primary bonds and lone pairs of electrons. Unit cell volumes for the known structures of the polyatomic cations are compared to determine effective volumes for the AsF_{ϵ} , SbF_6^{-1} and $Sb_3F_{14}^{-1}$ anions and the S, Se and Te atoms. These volumes have some predictive value in determining the composition of unknown compounds of known cell volume. Selenium-77 and 125 Te NMR data are presented for many of the cations, including spin-lattice relaxation time (T_1) measurements for the $S_x Se_{4-x}^{2+}$ cations.

Several new salts of MX_3^+ cations (M = S, Se, Te;

X = F, Cl, Br, I) were prepared and crystal structures were determined for the compounds $(TeCl_3)(AlCl_4)$ - triclinic, $(TeCl_3)(AsF_6)$, $(TeCl_3)(SbF_6)$ and $(TeF_3)_2(SO_4)$. The geometries of these and other MX₃⁺ cations are compared with each other and with the isoelectronic neutral molecules. Trends are explained in terms of VSEPR arguments and the strengths of anion-cation interactions (secondary bonds). The ¹⁹F, ⁷⁷Se and ¹²⁵Te NMR spectra of the SeF₃⁺ and TeF₃⁺, cations were recorded and the reduced density M-F coupling constants compared and related to the probable geometries of the cations in solution.

Solutions of the mixed $F/OTeF_5$ compounds $TeF_x(OTeF_5)_{4-x}$ and $[JeF_x(OTeF_5)_{3-x}]^+[AsF_y(OTeF_5)_{6-y}]^-$ were prepared and characterized by ^{125}Te NMR spectroscopy. Trends in the $^{19}F_-^{125}Te(IV)$, $^{19}F_-^{125}Te(VI)$ and $^{125}Te(IV)-^{125}Te(VI)$ scalar spin-spin coupling constants are explained in terms of the greater ionic character of the Te--F bond compared to the Te--OTeF_5 bond. The As(OTeF_5)_6^- anion was of sufficiently high symmetry for ^{75}As NMR spectra to be recorded in CH₃CN and SO₂ solvents. The activation energy for Berry pseudorotation in Te(OTeF_5)_4 was determined from variable temperature ^{19}F and ^{125}Te NMR measurements.

V 1

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Professor R.J. Gillespie for the opportunity and freedom that he has allowed me in delving into these intriguing problems, for his encouragement and support and for his example. I would especially like to thank Dr. J.F. Sawyer for solving most of the crystal strucpresented here, for his many helpful suggestions and tures and for providing several ORTEP drawings. would ideas Ι like to especially thank Dr. G.J. Schrobilgen for also introducing me to multinuclear NMR spectroscopy, for his considerable guidance in this area_and for his invaluable collaboration on the synthetic and spectroscopic work presented in chapter VIII. I gratefully acknowledge many helpful discussions with members of our research group, including Dr. J.E. Vekr'is, Dr. R.C. Burns, Dr. J.P. Kent,

B.H. Christian and Dr. K.R. Morgan and I would like to Dr. thank Dr. U.R.K. Rao for simulating the ⁷⁵As NMR spectrum of the $As(OTeF_5)_6$ anion in chapter VIII. I would also like to thank Mr. J.I.A. Thompson, Mr. B.G. Sayer and Mr. R. Faggiani for their instruction and emergency assistance in the use of the spectrometers and X-ray equipment, and Ms. L. Gowers for . several of the Raman spectra presented here. running Yet more thanks are due Dr. R. Lenkinski and Mr. B. MacDonald of South Western Ontario NMR Center (Guelph) and Dr. the С. Rodger of Bruker Spectrospin (Canada) for running some the NMR spectra.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		rage
	CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	1
•	CHAPTER II: EXPERIMENTAL	6
	II.1 Preparation and Purification of Materials	7
	II.2 General Experimental Technique	9
	II.3 Instrumentation	13
	CHAPTER III: M ₁₀ ²⁺ CATIONS	17
	III.1 Introduction	. 18
	III.2 Preparation of Te ₂ Se ₈ (AsF ₆) ₂	. 20
	III.3 Preparation of Te _{4.5} Se _{5.5} (AsF ₆) ₂	20
	-III.4 Preparation of Te ₂ Se ₈ (AsF ₆) ₂ (SO ₂)	
•	- Alternative Route	21
	II1.5 Preparation of Te ₂ Se ₈ (SbF ₆) ₂	22
	III.6 Preparation of $Te_2Se_8(SbF_6)_2(SO_2)$	23
	NLI.7 Selenium-77 NMR of the $Te_2 Se_8^{2+}$ Cation	. 24
	III.8 Selenium-77 NMR of the $S_x Se_{10-x}^{2+}$ Cation	24
	III.9 Anion-Cation Interactions in M_{10}^{2+} Structures	26
•	III.10 Comparison of M ₁₀ ²⁺ Structures	33
•	CHAPTER IV: M ₈ ²⁺ CATIONS	.37
	IV.1 Introduction	. 38
	IV.2 Preparation of $Te_6(Se_8)(AsF_6)_6(SO_2)$	
	IV.3 Rreparation of $Te_2Se_6(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_4(SO_2)_2$.40
	IV.4 Selenium-77 NMR of Se_8^{2+}	••44

	-
·IV.5	Selenium-77 and ¹²⁵ Te NMR of $Te_2 Se_6^{2+}$
IV.6	Anion-Cation Interactions in M_{10}^{2+} Structures62
IV.7	Comparison of M ₈ ²⁺ Structures64
IV.8	The Te_6^{4+} Cation in $Te_6(Se_8)(AsF_6)_6(SO_2)$
CHAP	TER V: M_6^{2+} CATIONS
۷.1	Introduction
۷.2	Preparation.of Te ₂ Se ₄ (SbF ₆) ₂ 80
۷.3	Preparation of $Te_2Se_4(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$
V 14	Preparation of Te _v Se _{6-v} (SbF ₆) ₂ 81
۷.5	Preparation of Te ₂₁ S ₃₀ (SbF ₆) ₂ 82
۷.6	Preparation of $Te_3S_3(SbF_5)_2$
V.7	Anion-Cation Interactions in M_6^{2+} Structures
۷.8	Comparison of M ₆ ²⁺ Structures85
	2+
СНАР	TER VI: M_4 CATIONS
VI.1	Introduction
VI.2	Preparation of $Te_2Se_2(Sb_3F_{14})(Sb_6)$
¥I.3	Preparation of $Te_{3.0}Se_{1.0}(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$ 101
VI.4	Attempted Preparation of $TeSe_3(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$ 102
VI.5	Preparation of $(S_{3.0}Se_{1.0})_2(Sb_4F_{17})(SbF_6)_3$ 102
VI.6	Occupational Disorder in M ₄ ²⁺ Structures103
VI.7	Selenium-77 and ¹²⁵ Te NMR of $Te_x Se_{4-x}^{2+}$ 105
VI.8	Fluorine-19 NMR of $Te_2Se_2(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$ 115
¥I.9	Selenium-77 NMR of $S_x Se_{4-x}^{2+}$
VI.1	0 Raman Spectra122
VI.1	1 Geometry About Sb($I^{1}II$) in Sb ₃ F ₁₄ and Sb ₄ F ₁₇ 127

•	VI.12 Anion-Cation Interactions in M_4^{2+} Structures135
•	CHAPTER VII: ' MX ₃ ⁺ CATIONS141
¥	VII.1 Introduction142
•	VII.2 Preparation of TeCl ₃ (AlCl ₄)-triclinic142
×	VII.3 Preparation of TeCl ₃ (AsF ₆)143
	VII.4 Preparation of $\tilde{TeCl}_3(SbF_6)$ 146
	VII.5' Preparation of TeCl ₃ (SbCl ₆)147
1	VII.6 Preparation of $(TeF_3)_2(SO_4)$ 147
	VII.7 Discussion of Crystal Structures
	VII.8 Geometry of the MX_3^+ Cation
	VII.9 Anion-Cation Interactions in MX_3^+ Structures167
	VII.10 F-19, ⁷⁷ Se and ¹²⁵ Te NMR of SeF ₃ ⁺ and TeF ₃ ⁺ 169
÷.	CHAPTER VIII: F-19, As-75 AND Te-125 NMR STUDY OF SOME OTEF ₅ COMPOUNDS175
	VIII.1 Introduction176
	VIII.2 Preparation of TeF _x (OTeF ₅) _{4-x}
	VIII.3 Preparation of $[TeF_x(0TeF_5)_{3-x}][AsF_y(0TeF_5)_{6-y}].179$
	VIII.4 Preparation of $As(0TeF_5)_5$
	VIII.5 Preparation of Cs[As(OTeF ₅) ₆]180
	VIII.6 Tellurium-125 NMR of TeF _x (OTeF ₅) _{4-x} 180
	VIII.7 Tellurium-125 NMR of $[TeF_x(OTeF_5)_{3-x}]^+$ 185
	VIII.8 Arsenic-75 NMR of the $As(0TeF_5)_6$ Anion
•	VIII.9 Berry Pseudorotation in Te(OTeF ₅) ₄ and As(OTeF ₅) ₅ 198

CHAP	TER IX: CONCLUSIONS
IX.1	Summary and Conclusions
IX.2	Discussion of Unit Cell Volumes
IX.3	Suggestions for Future Work
18IBL	IOGRAPHY
APPE	NDIX
A.1	Unit Cell Dimensions and Space Groups237
A . 2	Final Atomic Positional and Thermal Parameters238

LIST OF FIGURES

			Page
II.1	Typical reaction vessel	ан се жило се	16
III.1	ORTEP views of the Se	2^{+} and Te ₂ Se ₈ ²⁺ cations.	19
III.2	Selenium-77 NMR spectr	a of Te ₂ Se ₈ ²⁺	25
111.3	Selenium-77 NMR spectr in 30% oleum	rum of a 2:1 Se-S mixture	27
111.4	Anion-cation interacti and Te _{4.5} Se _{5.5} (AsF ₆)2	ions in Te ₂ Se ₈ (AsF ₆) ₂	29
111.5	The $AX_2Y_2E_2$ and $AX_3Y_3E_3$	geometries	31
III.6	Anion-cation interacti Se ₁₀ (SO ₃ F) ₂	ions at Se(1) in	31
111 . 7	Anion-cation interacti Te ₂ Se ₆ (Te ₂ Se ₈)(AsF ₆)4	ions at Te in (SO ₂) ₂ and TeF ₃ (Sb ₂ F ₁₁)	32
	Anion-cation interact Te _{4.5} Se _{5.5} (AsF ₆) ₂	ions at Te(1) in	36
IV.1	ORTEP views of the Te2	Se ₆ ²⁺ cation	42
IV.2	ORTEP views of the Te $_{6}^{4}$ in Te $_{6}$ (Se $_{8}$)(AsF $_{6}$) $_{6}$ (SO $_{2}^{4}$)	¹⁺ and Se ₈ ²⁺ cations)	45
IV.3	Selenium-77 NMR spectru	um of Se ₈ ²⁺	47
11.4	Natural abundance ⁷⁷ Se NMR spectra of the Te	and ¹²⁵ Te 2 ^{Se} 6 cation	
IV.5	Tellurium-125 NMR spect 77.3% enriched in Te-12	trum of Te ₂ Se ₆ ²⁺ , 25	
IV.6	Components used to simu Figure IV.5	ulate the spectrum in	
IV.7	Selenium-77 NMR spectry 77.3% enriched in Te-12	und of Te ₂ Se ₆ ²⁺ , 25	
IV.8	Components used to simu Figure IV.7	ulate the spectrum in	61

xii

I	۷.9	Anion-cation interactions at $\text{Te}_2\text{Se}_6^{2+}$ in $\text{Te}_2\text{Se}_6(\text{Te}_2\text{Se}_8)(\text{AsF}_6)_4(\text{SO}_2)_2\cdots\cdots$ 63
I	V.10	Derivation of the Se ₈ ²⁺ structure from the Se ₁₀ (2+) structure
Ī	v.1i	Derivation of the Te ₂ Se ₆ ²⁺ structure from the Te ₂ Se ₈ (2+) structure
I	V.12	Effect of lone pairs of electrons on the Te ₂ Se ₆ (2+) structure70
I	V.13	ORTEP view of the As ₁₁ ³⁻ anion70
V	.1	The $\text{Te}_2\text{Se}_4^{2+}$ and $\text{Te}_3\text{S}_3^{2+}$ cations
v	.2 3	Derivation of the Te ₂ Se ₄ structure
•	•••	in $Te_2Se_4(2+)$ structures
V	.4	Plots of (3)-(4) distance and cation volume as a function of mean Te percentage at sites (3) and (4) in the Te Se _{6-x} (2+) gations91
۷	•5	ORTEP views of the "Te ₂ S ₄ ²⁺ " cations in Te _{2.1} S _{3.9} (SbF ₆) ₂ 94
۷ ۱	1.1	Te-125 NMR spectrum of Te _{3.0} Se _{1.0} (Sb ₃ F ₁₄)(SbF ₆) dissolved in 100% H_2SO_4 108
۷	I.2	Te-125 NMR spectrum of Te _{3.0} Se _{1.0} (Sb ₃ F ₁₄)(SbF ₆) dissolved in AsF ₃ 110
۷	1.3	Te-125 NMR spectrum of Te_4^{2+} in AsF_3
V	I.4	Te-125 NMR spectrum of Te_4^{2+} in SO_2^{2+}
۷ مم	1.5	F-19 NMR spectrum of $Te_2Se_2(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$ in SO ₂ at 200 K114
۷	I.6	Se-77 NMR spectrum of $S_x Se_{4-x}^{2+} (x = 0-3) \dots 117$
۷	I.7	Se-77 NMR spectrum resulting from a 2:2:3 S/Se/AsF ₅ mixture in SO ₂ 121
۷	I.8	Se-77 T ₁ measurements for $S_x Se_{4-x}^{2+}$ (x = 0-3)123
V	1.9	Raman spectrum of $Te_{3.0}Se_{1.0}(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$ 124

xiii 👘

ł

VI.10	Raman spectra of $S_{x}Se_{4-x}^{2+}$ and $(S_{3.0}Se_{1.0})_{2^{-}}^{2-}$ $(Sb_{4}F_{17})(SbF_{6})_{3^{-}}^{2+}$ 126
VI.11	ORTEP views of the $Sb_3F_{14}^{-}$ anion
VI.12	The AX ₄ Y ₄ E geometry130
VI.13	Examples with the AX ₄ Y ₄ E geometry131
VI.14	ORTEP view of the $Sb_4F_{17}^{-}$ anion
VI.15	Examples of the AX ₄ Y ₂ Y' ₃ E geometry134
VI.16	Anion-cation interactions in $Te_2Se_2(Sb_4F_{20})$ and $Te_{3.0}Se_{1.0}(Sb_4F_{20})$ 138
VI.17	Anion-cation interactions in $(S_3Se)_2(Sb_7F_{35})$ 139
VII,1	Raman spectra of some TeCl ₃ ⁺ salts145
VII.2	ORTEP views of the TeCl ₃ ⁺ cations in TeCl ₃ (AlCl ₄)-triclinic149
VII.3	The TeCl ⁺ cation in TeCl ₃ (SbF ₆) and TeCl ₃ (AsF ₆)157
VII.4	ORTEP drawing of (TeF ₃) ₂ (SO ₄)161
VII.5	The geometry at Te(1) in $(TeF_3)_2(SO_4)$ 1
VII.6	Te-125 NMR spectrum of the TeF $_3^+$ cation172
VIII.1	ORTEP drawing of B(OTeF ₅) ₃ 177
VIII.2	Te-125 NMR spectrum of a 3:2 mixture of TeF ₄ and $B(OTeF_5)_3$ in SO_2C1F
VIII.3	Te-125 NMR spectrum for the reaction of Te(OTeF $_5$) $_4$ with excess AsF $_5$ in SO $_2$ 182
.VIII.4	Raman spectra of As(OTeF ₅) ₅ , Te(OTeF ₅) ₄ and a 1:1 mixture of the above
VIII.5	Te-125 NMR spectrum of a 1:1 $As(0TeF_5)_5$ and $Te(0TeF_5)_4$ mixture in SO_2
VIII.6	As-75 NMR spectrum of the $As(OTeF_5)_6^-$ anion193

xiv

VIII.7 9	Simulation of the ⁷⁵ As NMR spectrum of As(OTeF ₅) ₆ for a range of y values
VIII.8	Te-125 NMR spectrum of Te(ÖTeF ₅) ₄ in SO ₂ ClF at 146 K200
VIII.9	Variable temperature ¹⁹ F NMR spectra of Te(OTeF ₅) ₄ in SO ₂ ClF201
VIII.10	Plot of log (τ^{-1}) against T^{-1} for the spectra in Figure VIII.9201
VIII.11	F-19 NMR spectrum of $As(OTeF_5)_5$ in $SO_2C1F206$
IX.1 Se- in	-77 NMR spectrum of a 1:2 Te-Se mixture 30% oleum

-ديني

CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION

Tellurium was reported to produce a red solution with sulfuric acid as early as 1798 (1). Selenium and sulfur similarly were reported to produce colored solutions when dissolved in oleum (2, 3). The nature of the species producing these colors was unknown until fifteen years ago, however, when conductimetric and cryoscopic measurements performed in Professor Gillespie's laboratory at McMaster indicated that the colors were produced by positively-charged aggregates of chalcogen atoms, that, is polyatomic cations such as ${\rm Te_4}^{2+}$ and ${\rm Se_8}^{2+}$. At about the same time, Corbett and coworkers identified polyatomic cations of selenium and tellurium from phase diagram studies of the systems Se-(SeCl₄-4AlCl₃) and Te-(TeCl₄-4AlCl₃) (4) and Bjerrum Smith concluded that polycations of tellurium were formed in reactions of tellurium with $TeCl_{\overline{A}}$ in molten AlCl₃-NaCl (5, These early developments have been discussed in 6). some detail in several reversion articles (7, 8, 9).

The fortunate crystallization of $Se_4(HS_2O_7)_2$ from a solution of selenium in oleum allowed for the first X-ray crystal structure determination of one of these polyatomic cations and confirmed the square-planar geometry of Se_4^{2+} (10, 11). A short time later several other crystalline compounds were isolated from AlCl₃ melts (12, 13), but the vast majority of compounds have been isolated as AsF_6^- or SbF_6^- salts from SO₂ solution. All of the salts of the polyatomic cations that have been characterized by X-ray

crystallography prior to the present work, or by other research groups during this work, are listed in Table I.1. A polyatomic cation of oxygen, 0_2^+ , has also been isolated as PtF₆⁻, AsF₆⁻, SbF₆⁻, PF₆⁻ and BF₄⁻ salts (7).

The polyatomic cations are highly electrophilic, hence the need for large anions such as SO_3F , $AlCl_4$ and SbF_6 , which are the very weak conjugate bases of very strong acids, and solvents of very low basicity such as HSO_3F , SO_2 or AsF_3 . None of the cations are stable in aqueous media or even if exposed to moist air since disproportionation can occur, as in reaction I.1.

2 Se₄²⁺ + 6 H₂0 → 7 Se + SeO₂ + 4 H₃0⁺ I.1 The structures of these polyatomic cations provide us with important basic information on the nature of the bonding in compounds of the main-group elements. Relationships between these structures and a few basic cluster shapes have been developed by Gillespie (9). Comparisons of these relatively electron-rich compounds with the transition metal clusters and the electron-deficient boranes have also been made (9).

The objective of the present wobk was to prepare new polyatomic cations of the chalcogen elements and to determine their structures so that a more comprehensive structural model with greater predictive value could be developed. The preparation of mixed, or heteropolyatomic cations was of

particular interest since the homopolyatomic cations had already been studied in great depth and it was clear that new structural types could result from the mixed systems. The $Te_2Se_4^{2+}$ cation, for example, has no isostructural homopolyatomic M_6^{2+} analogue. Selenium-77 and ^{125}Te NMR spectroscopy were used to examine the species in solution, while X-ray crystallography proved to be invaluable in determining the solid-state structures of the new compounds.

A few TeCl₃ and TeF₃ salts were isolated as byproducts in reactions designed to prepare new chalcogen polyatomic cations. Salts of these MX_3^+ cations (M = S, Se, Te; X = F, Cl, Br, I) were of interest in terms of both the cation geometry and the strong interactions between the anions and cations in the structures. Several additional salts were prepared to further investigate these features. The ¹⁹F, ⁷⁷Se and ¹²⁵Te NMR spectra of the SeF₃ and TeF₃ cations were recorded to determine if trends in the cation geometry observed in the solid state could also be observed in solution. A number of Te(IV) F/OTeF₅ derivatives were also examined in solution utilizing ¹⁹F and ¹²⁵Te NMR spectroscopy. These subjects are explored in more detail at the beginning of each chapter.

	Compound	Ref.
M ₁₉ ²⁺ .	S ₁₉ (AsF ₆) ₂	(14)
•	S ₁₉ (SbF ₆) ₂	(15)
M ₁₀ ²⁺	Se ₁₀ (AsF ₆) ₂ .	(16)
	Se ₁₀ (SbF ₆) ₂	(16)
	Te2Se8(AsF6)2(S02)	(17)
	Te3.7 ^{Se6.3} (AsF6)2	(17)
M8 ²⁺	$S_8(AsF_6)_2$	(18)
	$S_8(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$	(19)
	Se ₈ (AICI ₄) ₂	(12)
м _б 2+	Te ₃ S ₃ (AsF ₆) ₂	(20)
	Te2Se4(AsF6)2	(21)
· '	Te2Se4(SbF6)2ª	(20)
M4 ²⁺	S ₄ (AsF ₆) ₂ (SO ₂) _{0.6}	(22)
	S ₄ (S ₇ Br) ₄ (AsF ₆) ₆	(22)
•	$S_4(S_7I)_4(AsF_6)_6$	(22)
	Se ₄ (HS ₂ 0 ₇) ₂	(10, 11)
•	Se ₄ (AICI ₄) ₂	(23)
r	Se ₄ (Sb ₉ F ₃₉)	(23)
	Te ₄ (SbF ₆) ₂	(23)
	Te ₄ (AICI ₄) ₂	(13)
-	Te ₄ (A1 ₂ C1 ₇) ₂	(13)
	$Te_2Se_2(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)^b$	(24)
•	Te _{3.3} Se _{0.7} (Sb ₃ F ₁₄)(SbF ₆)	6 (24)
м ₆ 4+	Te ₆ (AsF ₆) ₄ (AsF ₃) ₂	(25, 26)
	Te ₆ (AsF ₆) ₄ (SO ₂) ₂	(26)

Salts of the Polyatomic Cations of the Chalcogens Characterized by X-Ray Crystallography. Table I.1 ,

 $\cdot \hat{z}$

1

4

ý

5:

-5

· · ·

(a) Composition suspect - see chapter V. (b) Incompletely characterized prior to present work.

CHAPTER II EXPERIMENTAL



b

II.1 Preparation and Purification of Materials.

Acetonitrile. CH_3CN (Caledon Laboratories) was triply distilled onto and then stored over P_4O_{10} .

7

Aluminum Trichloride. AlCl₃ (McArthur Chemical Co.) was vacuum sublimed (dynamic vacuum) at 380 K through a layer of aluminum pellets to remove any iron chlorides.

Antimony Pentachloride. SbCl₅ (J.T. Baker) was used directly from the bottle.

Antimony Pentafluoride. SbF₅ (Ozark-Mahoning) was distilled under vacuum and stored in an F.E.P. bottle in a dry box. Arsenic. Arsenic metal powder (Alfa Inorganics, 99.5%) was heated to 470 K under vacuum to remove arsenic(III) oxide by sublimation.

Arsenic Trifluoride. AsF3 was prepared by direct fluorination of the metal at 77K in a nickel can and the product was stored in a nickel can over sodium fluoride. An alternative route from As_2O_3 , CaF_2 and H_2SO_4 was also followed (27). Arsenic Pentafluoride. After first preparing AsF₃ as described above, a 10% excess of fluorine (for the production AsF_5) was added and the nickel can heated to 470 K overof The product was then cooled to 77 K and the excess night. fluorine pumped off through a soda lime trap. AsF was stored in a nickel cylinder fitted with an Autoclave valve (Engineers*Inc.).

Boron tris (Pentafluoroorthotellurate). $B(0\text{T}_{eF_5})_3$ was prepared from BCl_3 (Matheson) and $HOTeF_5$ as previously describ-

ŝ

ed (28).

Bromine. Bromine (Fisher Scientific Co.) was kindly supplied by Dr. B.H. Christian. It was stored over anhydrous calcium chloride for 1 week and then distilled onto and stored over $P_4 O_{10}$.

Chlorine. Chlorine (Canadian Liquid Air, 99.5%) was passed through sulfuric acid and P₄0₁₀ suspended in glass wool before use.

Fluorine. Fluorine (Matheson, 98%) was passed through sodium fluoride before use.

Fluorosulfuric Acid. HSO₃F (J.T. Baker Co.) which had been purified by standard procedures (29) was kindly donated by Drs. J.E. Vekris and G.J. Schrobilgen.

Heptasulfurtellurium dichloride. $S_7 TeCl_2$ was prepared in a scaled-down version of the published procedure (30).

Methylene Chloride. CH_2Cl_2 (Fisher Scientific Co.) was stored over anhydrous calcium chloride for one week and then distilled onto and stored over P_4O_{10} .

Pentafluoroorthotelluric Acid. HOTEF₅ was prepared from telluric acid (BDH) and HSO_3F by standard procedures (140). Phosphorus Pentoxide. P_4O_{10} (British Drug House) was used directly from the bottle.

Sodium Fluoride. NaF (J.T. Baker) was dried under vacuum at 420 K for 48 h before use.

Sulfur, Selenium and Tellurium. Sulfur (BDH), selenium

(Koch-Light Laboratories Ltd., 99.95%) and tellurium (Koch-Light Laboratories Ltd., 99.7%) were dried under vacuum at p room temperature overnight before use.

Sulfur Dioxide. SO_2 (Canadian Liquid Air) was stored over P_4O_{10} for at least 24 h before use.

Hexafluoroarsenate Salts of Sulfur Polyatomic[°] Cations. $S_{19}(AsF_6)_2$, $S_8(AsF_6)_2$ and $S_4(AsF_6)_2$ were prepared using standard procedures (14, 18, 22). $S_4(AsF_6)_2$ was pumped under vacuum overnight at room temperature to remove SO_2 initially trapped in the lattice and stored in the dark at 250 K.

Sulfuric Acid. 100% H₂SO₄ was prepared from 96% H₂SO₄ and 30% oleum (Fisher Scientific Co.) following the previously established procedure (31).

Sulfuryl Chlorofluoride. SO₂ClF (Columbia Organic Chemicals Ltd.) was distilled onto crude SbF₅ to remove SO₂ impurity before distilling onto and storing over NaF.

Tellurium Tetrachloride. TeCl₄ was prepared by the reaction of chlorine gas with hot tellurium (33).

Tellurium Tetrafluoride. TeF₄ was prepared by the method of Lentz et al. (34) and kindly supplied by Dr. G.J. Schrobilgen.

II.2 General Experimental Technique. Manipulation of Materials. Most of the compounds studied were moisture-sensitive and had to be handled in dry

-9

nitrogen atmosphere vacuum. or under Solids were transferred inside a glove box equipped with an evacuable Blickman). port (\$. When not in use the box was flushed with nitrogen that originated as the continuously boil-off of a liquid nitrogen tank and was subsequently passed through a dehumidifier (Lectrodryer). The moisture level was ca. 0.1 ppm H₂0 or less. Gases and liquids of high vapor pressure were transferred using a calibrated Pyrex vacuum line fitted with Rotaflo valves and a mercury. manometer. Reaction vessels and reagent storage vessels were attached to the line using 1/4" o.d. Teflon unions (Swagelok) and Teflon valves (32). Other liquids were transferred in a glove bag or In the glove box: < 100% H_SO, was transferred with Pasteur pipettes; SbCl₅ with a glass ^{*}syringe equipped with a long stainless steel needle; and SbFg with an all-glass syringe with a 3 mm o.d. glass tube extension as the "needle". All glassware was dried before use in an oven set at 450 K for at least one half-hour and/or under vacuum overnight.

بد تشعینی

7

١

Ch.

Reaction Vessels. A typical reaction vessel is shown in Figure II.1. Bulbs A and B were both equipped with 1/4" o.d. glass tubing for attachment to the vacuum line and were flame-sealed after all the reactants had been transferred into the vessel. Rotaflo valves D and E and sidearm C were optional depending on the complexity of the reaction.

Reactions were generally carried out in bulb A with mixing of reagents accomplished with the magnetic stirring bar and external magnetic stirrer. After completion of the reaction the solution was filtered through a medium porosity glass frit into bulb B and the products allowed Crystallization was usually prompted by slow crystallize. distillation of the solvent back into bulb A, accomplished slowly dripping cold water onto bulb A. by The remaining solution was then poured back into bulb A, residual solvent distilled off of the product by freezing bulb A in liquid N, and the product isolated by flame-sealing. Several crops of crystads could be obtained by repeated flame-sealing in .segments of sidearm C. If a crystalline product was suspec-. ted to have SO, (solvent) molecules incorporated in the lattice, the solution in ampoule A was cooled to 245 K only in a dry ice / acetone bath so that a pressure in the vessel was maintained (just under 1 atmosphere) and the 1/4" tubing could still be flame-sealed. Rotaflo valve D was required for reactions involving SbF₅. The SbF₅ was introduced into bulb B with valve D closed. The vessel was weighed before and after this addition and quantities of the other reagents were then based on the weight of SbF_{5} and were transferred Valve E was required if the solvent or insolinto bulb A. reaction products were to be recovered. uble Sidearm could be replaced with an NMR tube to monitor the reaction solution.

11

C

NMR. Samples. Samples were prepared in 5 mm and 10 mm o.d. precision glass, round bottom NMR tubes (Wilmad) joined to 1/4" o.d. standard wall tubing. The appropriate solvents was either pipetted $(100\% H_2SO_4, oleum)$ or vacuum distilled (AsF₂, CH₂CN, SO₂, SO₂ClF) onto the solute before flamesealing. Samples from reaction mixtures were obtained using apparatus as described above. Reactions designed - for the NMR analysis only were performed using a scaled-down version of the apparatus in Figure II.1 with bulb B removed so that the solution was poured directly through the frit into an NMR tube.

Crystal Mounting. Samples to be examined by X-ray crystal----lography were handled in a dry box equipped with a stereo- 1 scopic microscope (Bausch and Lomb). The crystals were manipulated under the microscope using an iridium wire probe and cut to size, if required, with a stainless steel scalpel. With the aid of the probe they were placed inside 0.2 mm and 0.3 mm o.d. Lindemann glass capillaries (Wolfgang Mueller) that had previously been dried under vacuum at 470 K for at least three days. The crystals were pushed into the capillaries with thin glass fibres until wedged and the capillaries sealed inside the dry box with a stainless steel wire that was heated until red hot by passing an electric current through it. The capillaries were cut to size outside the dry box with a micro torch.

II.3 Instrumentation

Raman Spectroscopy. The spectrometer was Spex Laser а Industries Model 14018 double monochromator equipped with 1800-grooves/mm Holographic gratings. An RCA C31034 phototube detector in conjunction with a pulse count system consisting of pulse amplifier, analyzer and rate meter (Ham-NA-11, NC-11 and N-780A respectively) and a Texas Inner struments Model FSOZWBA strip-chart recorder were used to Two choices of exciting radiation were record the spectra. the green 5145 Å argon ion laser (Spectraavailable: Physics Model 164, adjustable to 900 mW, or a Coherent Model Innova 90, giving up to 3.5 W); and the red 6328 Å heliumneon laser (Spectra-Physics Model 125, with a fixed power of 65 mW). Samples were run in their reaction vessels (Pyrex glass) or in cylindrical Pyrex glass or F.E.P. tubes. The sample tubes were mounted vertically, at 45° to the incident laser beam and the Raman scattered radiation was observed at 45° to the laser beam or 90° to the samp⁴le tube direction. Low temperature spectra were recorded at 77 K by submerging the sample in an unsilvered Pyrex glass Dewar filled with liquid nitragen. Sample tubes could be spun up to 1000 rpm by means of a Variac-controlled electric motor. Slit widths depended on the scattering efficiency of the sample, laser power. etc., with 150 µm being typical (spectral band pass: 5145 Å, 1.8 cm⁻¹; 6328 Å, 1.2 cm⁻¹). The scanning rate was

generally 0.5 cm⁻¹s⁻¹. All spectra were referenced to the 169 cm⁻¹ band of Hg₂Cl₂ (35) and Raman shifts quoted are estimated to be accurate to $\pm 2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy. Spectra[®] were recorded using a Bruker WH-90 Spectrometer equipped with a Nicolet 1080 computer and Bruker WM-250 and WM-400 Spectroequipped with Aspect 2000 computers. meters The 400 MHz spectra were recorded at the South Western Ontario NMR Center, Guelph. Acetone d-6 was used to tune the shim coils in all cases and as the low temperature lock substance with the WH-90. Deuterated water was the room temperature lock substance in the latter case. Spectra were recorded unlocked on the superconducting WM-250 and WM-400 instruments. Field drift was < 0.1 Hz/h on the WM-250 and somewhat larger •on the WM-400. For variable temperature measurements, samples were allowed to equilibrate for at least 15 min after each temperature change and the temperature was monitored by placing a copper-constantan thermocouple within a genitronfilled NMR tube into the sampling region of the probe. Temperatures were considered to be accurate to within ± 1 K. Typical aquisition parameters are found in Table II.1. The pulse widths correspond to nuclide tip angles of approximately 90°. No relaxation delays were applied. Line broadening parameters used in exponential multiplication of the free induction decays were generally less than or equal to the data point resolutions. Fluorine-19 spectra were obtained

on the WM-250 in 5 mm o.d. sample tubes with a combination 1 H/ 19 F probe and a fixed frequency transmitter, or in 10 mm o.d. sample tubes using the 1 H decoupler coils of a broad band probe retuned to 235.361 MHz as the observe coils. All other nuclei were recorded in 10 mm o.d. sample tubes, with the exception of 125 Te on the WH-90. The 125 Te probe on this instrument was not equipped with an internal locking substance and 125 Te samples were run in 8 mm o.d. NMR tubes inside 10 mm o.d. NMR tubes with the exception of of room temperature spectra or acetone-d6 for low temperature spectra.

X-Ray Crystallography. Prelimina precession photographs were used to check crystal quality and to obtain cell and symmetry information. X-ray measurements were made on a Syntex P2₁ or a Nicolet P3 diffractometer using graphite monochromatized Mo K \equiv radiation ($\sim = 0.71069$ Å). Accurate unit cell dimensions for each compound were obtained by a least-squares fit of 20, ω and χ for 15 high-angle reflections. The X-ray crystallographic analysis was performed in collaboration with Dr. J.F. Sawyer, who collected intensity data for twelve of the compounds reported here and solved thirteen of the structures (see Appendix). ORTEP drawings of the finished structures are to the 50% probability level unless otherwise indicated.



FIGURE II.1 A Typical reaction vessel.

Table II.1. Typical NMR Aquisition Parameters.

Nucleus	F-19	As-	75	-	Se-77			Te-125	•
Field (T)	5.8719	5.8719	9.3950	2.1139	5.8719	9.3950	2.1139	5.8719	9.3950
Frequency (HHz)	235.361	42.83	68.52	17.19	47.77	76.41	28.43	78.97	126.33
Pulse width (µs)	5	30	70	20	30	40	20	20	20
Receiver gain	4	64	-	-	800	-	-	400	-
Delay time (µs)	5	2	8	150	5	5	150	5	2
Spectral width (KHz) 50	100 -	31	50 -	50	50	50	50	100
Hemory (K)	JŹ	32	8	16	32	16	16	16	16
Resolution (Hz/pt)	3.0	6.1	7.6	6.1	3.0	6.1	6.1	6.1	12.2
Number of scans (K)	2	30	20	300	100	10	300	20	20
Reference (ext.)	CFC13	AsP	-а б	sat'd a	Iq H ₂ Se0	Ь. З	sat'd a	q. Te(OH) ₆ b

(a) Saturated solution of $NaAsF_6$ in CH_3CN_*

(b) Conversions to chemical shifts (ppm) with respect to neat Me_2Se and Me_2Te at 299 K are given by $\delta[Me_2Se] = \delta[H_2SeO_3] + 1302.6$ and $\delta[Me_2Te] = \delta[Te(OH)_6] + 710.9$.



III.1 Introduction.

 \sim

Although no S_{10}^{2+} or Te_{10}^{2+} salts are known, Se_{10}^{2+} has been isolated as $Se_{10}(AICl_4)_2$, $Se_{10}(AsF_6)_2$, $Se_{10}(SbF_6)_2$ (16) and $Se_{10}(SO_3F)_2$ (36). The mixed $Te_2Se_8^{2+}$ cation in $Te_2Se_8(AsF_6)_2(SO_2)$ is isostructural with Se_{10}^{2+} (17). Another compound, Te_{3.7}Se_{6.3}(AsF₆)₂ apparently contains a disordered mixture of $Te_x Se_{10-x}^{2+}$ cations (17). Ιn all the cation has a bicyclo (4.2.2) decane structure cases III.1). It can alternatively be thought of as a six-(Fig. boat-shaped ring linked across the middle by a membered, four-atom chain. This structure can be derived from 'the basic cuneane or pentagonal prism clusters by adding bridging atoms across the edges and/or removing certain bonds (9, .16). As the two three-coordinate positions must carry a formal positive charge, it is not surprising that they are occupied by the more electropositive tellurium atoms in $\text{Te}_2\text{Se}_8^{2+}$ (Fig. III.1).

The sole example of a cation of lower average oxidation state than M_{10}^{2+} is S_{19}^{2+} (14). Attempts to produce the analogous Se_{19}^{2+} cation or salts of Se_x^{2+} (x > 10) have produced only Se_{10}^{2+} (16), although the results of a potentiometric and spectrophotometric study of selenium in NaCl-AlCl₃ melts have been interpreted as indicating the presence of the Se_{12}^{2+} and Se_{16}^{2+} cations (37). Selenium-77 NMR studies also indicate a Se_x^{2+} species where x > 10 (see section III.8). In many of the preparations described in



(Te2 Se8)(AsF6)2

FIGURE III.1 ORTEP views of the Se_{10}^{2+} cation in $\text{Se}_{10}(\text{SO}_3\text{F})_2$ (taken from ref. (36)) and the $\text{Te}_2\text{Se}_8^{2+}$ cation in $\text{Te}_2\text{Se}_8(\text{AsF}_6)_2$.

the following sections the reagent stoichiometries were such that they could, in principle, have produced mixed cations of average oxidation state < 0.2. In all cases, however, the resulting crystalline compounds contained only the M_{10}^{2+} cation.

III.2 Preparation of (Te₂Se₈)(AsF₆)₂.

Using the procedure outlined in chapter II, 0.5002 g (6.33 mmol) Se and 0.1148 g (0.900 mmol) Te were reacted with 0.243 g (1.43 mmol) AsF_5 in 25 mL of SO_2 . The initial green solution turned brown after 1 h. The mixture was stirred for 4 days and then filtered and left to stand for 1 week. The resulting shiny black needles were separated from the solution and a subsequent X-ray crystal structure determination identified the compound as $(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_2$ (Fig. III.1).

III.3 Preparation of $(Te_{4.5}Se_{5.5})(AsF_6)_2$.

Following the procedure outlined in chapter II, 0.4804 g (6.08 mmol) Se and 0.7786 g (6.10 mmol) Te were combined with 0.607 g (3.58 mmol) AsF_5 in 40 mL of SO_2 . The initial brown solution color persisted for the course of the reaction. After 24 h of stirring a large quantity of dark brown powder had precipitated. Since the solubility of many of the salts of the polyatomic cations in SO_2 increases at depressed temperatures, i.e. the heat of solution is posi-
the reaction mixture was cooled in liquid N₂ to just tive, above the freezing point of the solution before filtering. solution bulb was placed in a dry ice/acetone bath, The which was slowly warmed to 295 K over a period of 48 h. The resulting brown-black crystals and powder were then isolated and a subsequent X-ray crystal structure determination iden-'tified the crystals as $(Te_{4.5}Se_{5.5})(AsF_6)_2$. These crystals are isomorphous with $(Te_{3,7}Se_{6,3})(AsF_6)_2$ (17) and the atomic coordinates of this latter compound were used in the initial refinement of the structure. The cation of these compounds is occupationally disordered with several of the atomic sites occupied by both selenium and tellurium.

III.4 Preparation of $Te_2Se_8(AsF_6)_2(SO_2)$ - Alternative Route.

The published preparation of $(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_2(SO_2)$ involves the reaction of elemental Te with $Se_8(AsF_6)_2$ (17). In the present preparation elemental Te and Se are reacted with AsF_5 (compare reactions III.1 and III.2).

2Te + Se₈(AsF₆)₂ $\xrightarrow{SO_2}$ Te₂Se₈(AsF₆)₂(SO₂) III.1

2Te + 8Se + 3AsF₅ $\xrightarrow{SO_2}$ Te₂Se₈(AsF₆)₂(SO₂) + AsF₃ III.2

Following the procedure as outlined in chapter II, 0.5114 g (6.48 mmol) Se and 0.2080 g (1.63 mmol) Te were reacted with 0.415 g (2.44 mmol) AsF_5 in 30 mL of SO_2 . The initial green solution turned deep brown after several min. Stirring was continued for 96h before filtering the solution. Within 24h

large number of dark brown, needle-like crystals hađ formed.', After pouring off the solvent it was observed that crystals turned a bright red color upon cooling in the liquid N₂, allowing a Raman spectrum to be recorded with the Raman spectrum (77 K, 6328 Å, spinning He-Ne laser. red, sample, values are cm⁻¹ with relative intensities in paren-80(22), 87(22), 106(32), 112(56), theses): 122(16), 141(11), 192(32), 197(26), 204(sh), 208(100), 130(11),217(13), 253(12), 280(37), 288(21), 340(6,br), 381(6). Precession photographs revealed that the crystals were $(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_2(SO_2).$

22

III.5 Preparation of $(Te_2Se_8)(SbF_6)_2$.

Following the procedure outlined in chapter ΓI, 2.0256 g (25.65 mmol) Se and 0.6572 g (5.15 mmol) Te were reacted with 1.668 g (7.70 mmol) SbF_5 in 40 mL of SO_2 . The initial green solution turned brown during the first hour of After heating at 330 K for 12 h most stirring. of the product had precipitated leaving a pale green solution. The reaction mixture was then stirred at 295 K for a further 24 h, cooled in liquid N₂ until close to the freezing point of the solution and filtered. A large number of shiny black needles formed from this brown solution during the following 24 h. These crystals were allowed to grow for 1 week before isolating them from the solution. Precession photographs revealed that the crystals were isomorphous with

 $(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_2$ and their composition was then presumably $(Te_2Se_8)(SbF_6)_2$. Accurate cell dimensions were determined on a Syntex P2₁ diffractometer (Appendix). Reaction III.3 describes the reagent stoichiometry used to prepare this compound as described above. $(Te_2Se_8)(SbF_6)_2$ was also prepared in a room temperature reaction using considerably less selenium (feaction IV.5).

2Te + 10Se + $3SbF_5 \longrightarrow (Te_2Se_8)(SbF_6)_2 + 2Se + SbF_3$ III.3 III.6 Preparation of $(Te_2Se_8)(SbF_6)_2(SO_2)$

Following the procedure as outlined in chapter ΙI, Tế (0.7515 g, 5.89 mmol), Se (1.3959 g, 17.68 mmol), and SbF_{κ} (1.916 g, 8.84 mmol) were combined in 45 mL of SO_2 . The initial green solution turned dark brown within 1/2 h large quantities of finely-divided brown precipitate and further change was observed after 3 h of stirformed. No The solution was then cooled to near its freezing ring. point, filtered and allowed to stand in a dry ice/acetone bath to slowly come to room temperature. After 48 h ~the solution was poured off-leaving a large quantity of brown Precession photographs of these needles crystals. and plates revealed that they were isomorphous with $(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_2(SO_2)$, but they were twinned and accurate parameters were not determined on a diffractometer. cell

some of the crystals were dissolved in 100% H₂SO₄

When

23

the

 77 Se NMR spectrum identified the Te₂Se₈²⁺ cation (section III.7) and therefore this compound was presumably $(Te_2Se_8)(SbF_6)_2(SO_2)$.

III.7 Selenium-77 NMR of the Te₂Se₈²⁺ Cation

When $(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_2$ is dissolved in 100% H_2SO_4 the resulting ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum initially consists of four re's onances of roughly equal intensity (Fig. (III.2). Since there are four separate selenium environments in the solidstate structure, each containing two selenium atoms (Fig. III.1), it would appear that the same structure is retained There is a fifth, smaller resonance in the in solution. spectrum at -732.7 ppm. This resonance became relatively more intense with time and has been assigned to $\sqrt{Te_2Se_6}^{2+}$ (section IV.5). Numerous additional resonances appeared with time as $\text{Te}_2\text{Se}_8^{2+}$ is slowly oxidized in 100% H_2SO_4 . Salts of the $Te_2Se_8 < cation$ are not sufficiently soluble in SO_2 for a 77 Se NMR spectrum to be recorded and they appear to react with AsF_3 . The ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum of the resulting solution in AsF_3 (Fig. III.2) contains numerous signals in -the same region as those observed in the 100% H_2SO_A solubut of different intensities. This spectrum does not tion, change with time and $Te_2 Se_6^{2+}$ is again one of the major decomposition products (see section IV.5).

III.8 Selenium-77 NMR of the $S_x Se_{10-x}^{2+}$ Cation.

When a 2:1 Se-S mixture was dissolved in 30% oleum



FIGURE III.2 Se-77 NMR spectra (47.77 MHz) of a) $Te_2Se_8(AsF_6)_2$ in 100% H_2SO_4 (0.1 m; 100,000 scans; 6.1 Hz/pt) and b) $Te_2Se_8(AsF_6)_2(SO_2)$ in AsF_3 (0.1 m; 400,000 scans; 6.1 Hz/pt). (*) indicates $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$.

the initial species observed was Se_4^{2+} but over a period of 5 weeks the Se $_4^{2+}$ signal disappeared and the characteristic lines of Se₁₀²⁺ became dominant. The Se₁₀²⁺ spectrum consists of two signals of intensity 4:1 (38). At the same time a number of other resonances appeared in the same region of the spectrum (Fig. III.3). The Se_{4}^{2+} , Se_{8}^{2+} and Se_{10}^{2+} cations account for all of the resonances that are observed upon dissolving selenium alone in 30% oleum, except for a resonance at -511 ppm. This resonance is also observed as Se_{10}^{2+} disproportionates at low temperature in SO_2 solution to Se_8^{2+} and an unknown species of presumably lower average oxidation state (38). The positions of the present resonances do not correspond to any of these species. Since they are found in the same region as the Se_{10}^{2+2} resonances, these new resonances probably result from mixed $S_x Se_{10-x}^{2+}$ cations.

III.9 Anion-Cation Interactions in M_{10}^{2+} Structures.

F 4

There are a number of anion-cation contacts in the structures noted above that are significantly shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii of fluorine and tellurium or fluorine and selenium (39) (F = 1.47, Se = 1.90, Te = 2.06 Å). Interactions such as these have been called



FIGURE IIN.3 Se-77 NMR spectrum of a 2:1 Se/S mixture in 30% oleum (1.4551 g Se; 0.2985 g S; 5 g oleum) run after 5 weeks at 295 K (17.19 MHz; 300,000 scans; 6.1 Hz/pt). Truncated peaks are Se_{10}^{2+} .

pairs of electrons. Secondary bonds to this central atom, A, are assumed to be nucleophilic in nature with the remote atoms, Y, donating electron density into antibonding orbitals associated with the primary, A--X, bonds (40). In a recent high-resolution X-ray diff.raction study of $(CH_3)_2TeCl_2$, the observed electron density distribution supports this donor-acceptor model for the bonding between tellurium and two chlorine atoms on adjacent molecules (41, 42).

bonds in the M_{10}^{2+} structures (Fig. The secondary are generally approximately collinear with the A--X III.4)For divalent selenium (or mixed Se/Te) atoms the bonds. primary geometry is a tetrahedron, AX_2E_2 , where E is a lone pair. The addition of two secondary bonds trans to the A--X bonds produces a bicapped tetrahedron $AX_2Y_2E_2$ (Fig. 111.5). The arrangement of primary and secondary bonds is planar. Examples with this geometry are Se(1), Se(3) and Se(5) of $Te_2Se_8(AsF_6)_2$ and Te(2) of $Te_{4.5}Se_{5.5}(AsF_6)_2$ (Fig. III.4). Several of the divalent atoms in the M_{10}^{2+} structures have additional secondary bonds that are trans to neither the These are frequently in primary bonds nor the lone pairs. positions which bridge the E--E edge of the AX₂E₂, tetrahed-Examples with this $AX_2Y_2Y'E_2$ geometry are Se(6) and ron. Se(8) of $Te_2Se_8(AsF_6)_2$, Fig. III.4. The arrangement of weak contacts to divalent sulfur and selenium atoms has been dis-



FIGURE III.4 Interionic contacts in a) $Te_2Se_8(AsF_6)_2$ and b) $Te_{4.5}Se_{5.5}(AsF_6)_2$.

cussed by Dunitz et al. (43) and Gillespie et al. (44, 45).

For trivalent atoms with one lone pair the formation of secondary bonds trans to each of the primary bonds results in an AX₃Y₃E monocapped octahedron (Fig. III.5). This geometry is frequently observed for the bridgehead atoms of the M_{10}^{2+} structures (see for example Se₁₀(SO₃F)₂, Fig. The same arrangement is observed for many of the III.6). M_8^{2+} (section IV.6) and M_6^{2+} salts (section V.7) and is very prominent in salts of the MX_3^+ cations (chapter VII). As was the case for the divalent atoms, however, secondary bonds are sometimes observed to these bridgehead atoms in addition to those trans to the primary bonds. Atom Te(1) of $(Te_2Se_6)(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_4(SO_2)_2$ has six secondary bonds in an . AX₃Y₃Y'₃'E arrangement where the primary bonds and two sets of secondary bonds are perfectly staggered and the coordinated atoms lie in three distinct planes (Fig. III.7). A similar arrangement is observed for Te in $(TeF_3)(Sb_2F_{11})$ (46) except that the upper and lowermost sets of bonds are eclipsed, resulting in a tricapped trigonal-prismatic arrangement of primary and secondary bonds about Te with the lone pair capping a triangular face of the trigonal prism (Fig.II(-7)). Another way of visualizing this is to ϕ onsider the secondary bonds to be capping the faces and bridging the. edges of the tetrahedron defined by the three primary bonds and the lone pair (Fig. III.5). Atoms F(4), F(5) and F(6) are face-capping while F(2)', F(8) and F(13) are edge-



FIGURE III.5 The AX₂Y₂E₂ and AX₃Y₃E geometries.



FIGURE III.6 Anion-cation interactions at Se(1) in $Se_{10}(SO_3F)_2$.



FIGURE III.7 Anion-cation interactions at Te in (a) $\text{Te}_2\text{Se}_6(\text{Te}_2\text{Se}_8)(\text{AsF}_6)_4(\text{SO}_2)_2$ (this work) and (b) $\text{TeF}_3(\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11})$, redrawn by Dr. J.F. Sawyer from coordinates in reference (46).

bridging in $(TeF_3)(Sb_2F_{11})$. For Te(1) of

 $(Te_{4.5}Se_{5.5})(AsF_6)_2$, F(2), F(5) and F(6) are face-capping while F(4) is edge-bridging, giving an overall $AX_3Y_3Y'E$ geometry (Fig. III.4 and III.8). The geometries of primary and secondary bonds about analogous Sb(III) atoms have been discussed in some detail elsewhere (87).

Another general feature of the anion-čation contacts in the present structures is that there are more of them directed toward the three-coordinate, bridge-head positions of the cations where the positive charges are formally located. This is consistent with the nucleophilic nature of these interactions.

III.10 Comparison of M_{10}^{2+} Structures.

Bond lengths of the $Te_x Se_{10-x}^{2+}$ cation in the compounds $(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_2$, $(Te_2Se_6)(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_4(SO_2)_2$ and $(Te_{4.5}Se_{5.5})(AsF_6)_2$ are compared with those from the published structures of $(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_2(SO_2)$ and $(Te_{3.7}Se_{6.3})^{-1}$ $(AsF_6)_2$ in Table III.1. The cation in $(Te_{4.5}Se_{5.5})(AsF_6)_2$ is a disordered mixture of $Te_xSe_{10-x}^{2+}$ cations. The distribution of the tellurium and selenium atoms in this cation is outlined in Table III.2. The bond lengths of this cation are significantly longer than those of $Te_2Se_8^{2+}$ in $(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_2$ or $(Te_2Se_6)(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_4(SO_2)_2$, as would be expected for partial substitution of tellurium at the selenium sites of $Te_2Se_8^{2+}$. The bond lengths of this cation

TABLE III.1 Bond Lengths in the $Te_x Se_{10-x}^{2+}$ Cation.

Bond Lengths	(Å) ^a I ^b	11 ^c	IIId	١٧ ^e	vf
Te(1)-Se(1)	2.563(2)	2.643(8)	2.572(6)	2.602(1)	2.51(1)
Te(1)-Se(6)	2.579(2)	2.579(8)	2.529(8)	2.614(1)	2.63(1)
Te(1)-Se(7)	2.576(2)	2.644(8)	2.584(8)	2.678(1)	2.66(5)
Te(2)-Se(2)	2.570(2)	2.613(8)	2.603(7)	2.678(1)	2.73(3)
Te(2)-Se(3)	2.585(2)	2 602(8)	2.562(7)	2.614(1)	2.63(1)
Te(2)-Se(8)	2.564(2)	2.583(8)	2.586(6)	2.602(1)	2.61(1)
Se(1)-Se(2)	2.295(3)	2.373(8)	2.323(7)	2.414(1)	2.53(4)
Se(3)-Se(4)	2.294(2)	2.328(8)	2.283(10)	2.434(1)	2.50(2)
Se(4)-Se(5)	2.350(3)	2.401(8)	2.376(9)	2.506(2)	2.549
_Se(5)-Se(6)	2.291(2)	2.318(8)	2.316(10)	2.434(1)	2.439
Se(7)-Se(8)	2.285(2)	2.356(8)	2.313(8)	2.414(1)	2.31(5)
Reference	h	17	h	h	17

(a) Atomic numbering corresponds to $(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_2$, Fig. III.1

(b) $(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_2$. (c) $(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_2(SO_2)$.

(d) $(Te_2Se_6)(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_4(SO_2)_2$. (e) $(Te_{4.5}Se_{5.5})(AsF_6)_2$.

(f) $(Te_{3.7}Se_{6.3})(AsF_6)_2$. (g) Standard error uncertain.

(h) This work.

TABLE III.2 Occupation of the Sites in the Te x^{2+} Cation of $(Te_{4+5}Se_{5+5})(AsF_6)_2$.

•	SITE	POPULATION [*] PARAMETER	NO. OF ELECTRONS	(* Te	\$ Se
	Te(1)	0.998	51.90	100	·. O
	Te(2)	0.844	43.89	55	45
	Se(1)	1.064	36.18	12	88
	Se(2)	1.230	41.82	43	. 57
	Se(3)	1.068	36.31	. 13	87
	Over	all Composit	ion: Te _a	esses	2+

(*) From refinement in the program SHELX (48).

are, however, on average less than those of the mixed cation in $(Te_{3.7}Se_{6.3})(AsF_6)_2$ (17). Since the refinement of the structure of this latter compound terminated with an Rfactor of 0.12 while a value of 0.03 was obtained for $(Te_{4.5}Se_{5.5})(AsF_6)_2$, the composition of the present compound is probably more reliable. The compound formulated as $(Te_{3.7}Se_{6.3})(AsF_6)_2$ probably contains a higher concentration of tellurium.

35

of the bond lengths in the published structure Many of " $(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_2(SO_2)$ " (17) are also longer than analogous bonds in $(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_2$. This suggests that there is partial tellurium substitution at the selenium positions of this former compound as well, or at least in the particular sample chosen for the X-ray crystal structure determination. Bonds to Se(1) and Se(7) show the greatest deviation (Table III.1), indicating significant tellurium substitution at The consistency of the Te--Se and Se--Se bond these sites. lengths in the $(Te_2Se'_8)(AsF_6)_2$ structure suggests that there little or no disorder in this cation. The central bond is in the four-atom selenium chain is somewhat longer than the other Se--Se bond lengths, but a lengthening is also observed for this bond in the Se_{10}^{2+} structures (16, 36) and a similar effect has been observed in chains of four or more sulfur atoms (47). A large excess of selenium was used in preparation of (Te₂Se₈)(AsF₆)₂ (section III.2), which the

would also tend to limit the possibility of finding tellurium in the divalent positions. Occupational disorder is very common in mixed cations of the chalcogen elements, as will become clear in the following chapters.







IV.1 Introduction.

Although Se_8^{2+} was one of the first polyatomic catidentified by cryoscopy (49a) and Se₈(A1Cl₄)₂ one ions of the first salts of the polyatomic cations to be characterized by X-ray crystallography (12), few compounds of Se_{0}^{2+} or related cations have been prepared. Two salts of the isostructural S_{g}^{2+} cation have been identified by X-ray crystallography, $S_8(AsF_6)_2$ and $S_8(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$ (18, 19). Comof the Te₈²⁺ cation are unknown although Bjerrum has pounds some evidence for this species in NaCl/AlCl₃ found melts (49b). No mixed cations of this average oxidate state (+0.25) have been characterized other than $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$ which is reported here. Reactions designed to prepare such mixed species invariably result in compounds of higher oxidation state cations such as $(Te_3S_3)(AsF_6)_2$ (20) in reaction IV.1, or lower oxidation state species such as $(Te_2Se_8)(SbF_6)_2$ (see section IV.3).

 $4Te + 4S + 3AsF_5 \longrightarrow Te_4S_4(AsF_6)_2 + AsF_3$ $\longrightarrow Te_3S_3(AsF_6)_2$ IV.1.

IV.2 Preparation of $(Te_6)(Se_8)(AsF_6)_6(SO_2)$.

Anhydrous SO_2 (30 mL) and AsF_5 (2.37 g, 13.9 mmol) were condensed at 77 K onto a mixture of powdered Te (0.4447 g, 3.485 mmol) and Se (0.2756 g, 3.490 mmol) in a doublebulb reaction vessel following the method outlined in chap-

ter II. The initial green solution turned a deep blood-red after about 0.5 h. This color persisted for 48 h, at which point the reaction vessel was heated to 340 K. After a few the solution became yellow-brown in color and there hours a large quantity of black crystalline material at the was bottom of the vessel. Heating was continued for one week at 34Q K with occasional stirring, but no further change was On cooling to room temperature much of the black ób≴erved. residue dissolved, producing a deep green solution. Ίn order to recrystallize the black material the reaction mixture was cooled further and then filtered. After 24 h at room temperature a large number of black crystals had form-The solution was then poured off and the crystals isolated under an atmosphere of SO2. Under a microscope the rinombic-shaped crystals were observed to be dark green in color. Since the crystals tend to readily lose SO₂ with a consequent loss of crystallinity, several crystals studied before a suitable stable crystal was found from which an X-ray diffraction data set could be collected. The subsequent structure solution identified the compound as $(Te_6)(Se_8)(AsF_6)_6(SO_2)$. The spectrum contains the previously known trigonal prismatic Te_6^{4+} and cyclic Se_8^{2+} catjøns, but this is the first time that two different Group VI homopolyatomic cations have been found together in the same crystal lattice.

In a similar reaction using excess AsF_5 , but at room

temperature, precession photographs revealed that

 $(Te_2Se_4)(AsE_6)_2$ was the only crystalline product, although the ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum of the mother liquor showed the presence of cis-Te_2Se_2²⁺ (328 ppm) and TeSe_3²⁺ (547 and 453 ppm) as well as $Te_2Se_4^{2+}$ (-477 and -1181 ppm). The ⁷⁷Se chemical shifts of these species have been well-established in solution in oleum (50). Apparently mixed cations such as these are thermodynamically unstable with respect to the homopolyatomic cations and the long reaction time and high temperatures used in the present reaction led to the thermodynamically stable products.

When $(Te_6)(Se_8)(AsF_6)_6(SO_2)$ was redissolved in SO_2 , the Se $_8^{2+}$ cation was initially observed in the ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum (see section IV.4). After several weeks at room temperature, however, the ¹²⁵Te NMR spectrum showed the presence of $Te_2Se_4^{2+}$ (630.3 ppm) as well as Te_6^{4+} (-562.9 ppm). These chemical shifts correspond to those previously observed for these species in oleum (50). It would appear that although the Te_6^{4+} and Se_8^{2+} cations are compatible in the solid state, slow oxidation-reduction occurs in solution.

IV.3 Preparation of $(Te_2Se_6)(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_4(SO_2)_2$.

Anhydrous SO_2 (30 mL) and AsF_5 (2.33 g, 13.7 mmol) were condensed at 77 K onto a mixture of powdered Te (1.82 g, 14.3 mmol), Se (1.13 g, 14.3 mmol) and S (0.458 g, 14.3

mmol). The initial green solution turned red within 5 min of stirring. After (1 h a large quantity of chocolate-brown powder had precipated from the red-brown solution. No further change was observed after 8 h and the solution was filtered and allowed to stand. When no crystals were ob. tained after 48 h the solvent was slowly distilled off and 2later a large quantity of dark brown crystals had weeks These were isolated under an atmosphere of SO2 and formed. a subsequent X-ray crystal structure determination identified the compound as $(Te_2Se_6)(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_4(SO_2)_2$. This compound is similar to $(Te_6)(Se_8)(AsF_6)_6(SO_2)$ in that two different polyatomic cations are found in the same structure. The new $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$ cation has a novel cube-like geometry (Fig. [IV.1).

41

Attempts { to prepare crystalline salts of the $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$ cation in the absence of sulfur have all failed (see reactions IV.2 to IV.5). The $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$ cation was observed (-717.0 ppm), however, along with $Te_2Se_4^{2+}$ (-478.2, -1185 ppm) (50) in the ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum of the product mixture of reaction IV.2 redissolved in SO₂. The peak intensities indicated that there was approximately twice as much $Te_2Se_4^{2+}$ as $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$ in solution. All reactions were carried out in SO₂ solution and the products of reactions IV.3 to IV.5 were identified from their precession photographs.



FIGURE IV.1

ORTEP views of the $\text{Te}_2\text{Se}_6^{2+}$ cation in $\text{Te}_2\text{Se}_6(\text{Te}_2\text{Se}_8)(\text{AsF}_6)_4(\text{SO}_2)_2$.

$$2Te + 6Se + 3AsF_{5} \longrightarrow non-crystallineproduct mixture IV.2$$

$$4Te + 14Se + 6AsF_{5} \longrightarrow (Te_{2}Se_{6})(Te_{2}Se_{8})(AsF_{6})_{4}(SO_{2})_{2} \longrightarrow (Te_{2}Se_{8})(AsF_{6})_{2} IV.3$$

$$2Te + 6Se + 3SbF_{5} \xrightarrow{3h} (Te_{2}Se_{8})(SbF_{6})_{2}(SO_{2}) IV.4$$

$$2Te + 6Se + 3SbF_{5} \xrightarrow{1wk} (Te_{2}Se_{4})(SbF_{6})_{2}$$

The $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$ cation can be prepared in the absence of sulfur (reaction IV.2), but no crystalline samples with this cation were prepared without this "magic" element. Even in reaction IV.3 where the stoichiometry is such as to allow for equal amounts of both $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$ and $Te_2Se_8^{2+}$, as found in the compound prepared from the Te-Se-S mixture, no crystalline product was obtained with the Te₂Se₅²⁺ cation. This phenomenon has also been observed in the preparation of crystalline $Te_4(SbF_6)_2$ from $\land a$ reaction involving sulfur (section V.7). This compound had proven to be too insoluble in SO₂ for good crystalline samples to be prepared from tellurium alone, although crystals of $Te_4(SbF_6)_2$ were isolated from a Ge/Te mixture (23). The importance of sulfur in the isolation of these crystalline compounds is probably related to their solubilities. The standard procedure the preparation of salts of the polyatomic cations involves filtering a saturated SO, solution from which crystals slowlý form (see chapter II). Compounds of low solubility would precipitate from the solution prior filtration. to The

polyatomic sulfur cations are all extremely soluble in SO and the solubilities of $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$ and other relatively insoluble cations are apparently enhanced in the presence of these sulfur cations. With a significant concentration, of $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$ (or Te_4^{2+}) present in the filtrate, crystalline samples can be produced.

IV.4 Selenium-77 NMR of $\frac{5}{2}$

seg²⁺ The natural abundance ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum of dissolved in SO, or oleum consists of five lines, with two the_lines one-half the intensity of the remaining three of (38). This is consistent with the solid-state structure of cation where there are five distinct selenium environthis ments and for three of these there are two chemically equivalent nuclei (Fig. IV.2). There is no mirror plane in of the crystal structures of this cation, either but the slight deviations from C_c symmetry probably • result fŋgm packing considerations in these compounds (see section IV.6) and the cation would be expected to have a mirror plane in The ⁷⁷Se chemical shifts had previously been solution. established and they were assigned on the basis of couplings observed for a sample enriched to 94.4% in 77Se. The splittings in these spectra were very complex, however, and there some ambiguity in the chemical shift assignments and in was the values of the coupling constants (38). The present study mas designed to obtain the ⁷⁷Se-⁷⁷Se coupling con-



FIGURE IV.2 ORTEP views of the Te_6^{4+} and Se_8^{2+} cations in $(\text{Te}_6)(\text{Se}_8)(\text{AsF}_6)_6(\text{SO}_2)$.

È

stants from a natural abundance sample since the simplified spectrum could be easily interpreted.

The Se₈(AsF₆)₂ sample used in this study was prepared ed using methods as described in chapter II. A 5% excess of AsF₅ ensured that no Se₁₀(AsF₆)₂ would result. The Se₁₀²⁺ cation is known to disproportionate to Se₈²⁺ and an unknown species in SO₂ solution (38). This equilibrium would probably broaden the Se₈²⁺ resonances in the ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum, with resulting loss of coupling information. The solubility of Se₈(AsF₆)₂ in SO₂ increases at lower temperatures so the solution was prepared (by Dr. R.C. Burns) and the spectrum run at 200 K. Cooling additionally served to separate most of the Se₄(AsF₆)₂ impurity that resulted from the excess AsF₅ used in the preparation, since Se₄(AsF₆)₂ has a very low solubility at 200 K and can be easily filtered off.

Resonance (A) in the 77 Se spectrum (Fig. IV.3) exhibits the largest couplings and the highest frequency chemical shift (Table IV.1), both of which are consistent with a large concentration of positive charge on the atoms producing this resonance. The short cross-ring distance between Se(1) and Se(5) (Fig. IV.2) indicates that these two atoms can be described as being essentially three-coordinate and much of the charge of the cation is then anticipated to reside on these atoms. A recent molecular orbital investigation of the S₈²⁺ cation (51) has revealed that S(1) and



FIGURE IV.3 ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum of the Se₈²⁺ cation (saturated SO₂ solution at 200 K; 47.77 MHz; 125,000 scans; 3.0 Hz/pt). ⁷⁷Se-⁷⁷Se coupling is observed as satellite doublets about all resonances with the exception of resonance (Z).

48 `

Resonance	δ(ppm)	Ia	Coupling ^b	J(±6Hz)
A	668.0	1.9	(1)-(8)	246
м	219.3	2.1	(1)-(2)	152
V	-106.1	0.7	(1)-(6)	84
, Y	-231.2	2.0	(1)-(3)	41
Z	-256.3	1.2	(1)-(4)	36
	``````````````````````````````````````		(2)-(3)	65
			(7)-(8)	. 37

TABLE IV.1 ⁷⁷Se NMR Parameters for  $Se_8^{2+}$  in  $SO_2$  at 200 K.

(a) Integration scaled to a total of 8.

(b) Numbering corresponds to Fig. IV.2.

TABLE IV.2 Charge Distribution in  $S_8^{2+}$ .

Position ^a	Charge ^b
1, 5	+0.662
6, 8	+0.179
2,4	+0.127
3	+0.037
7	+0.028

(a) Numbering corresponds to  $Se_8^{2+}$ , Fig. IV.2

(b) Data taken from reference (51).

s

S(5) carry 66% of the positive charge although there is considerable charge delocalization (see Table IV.2). The isostructural  $Se_{\rho}^{2+}$  cation presumably has a similar charge distribution and resonance (A) probably results from Se(1) and Se(5). The pair of atoms Se(8) and Se(6) are adjacent to Se(1) and Se(5) and they have the next largest concentration of positive charge (Table IV.2). Resonance (Y) can be assigned to these atoms then on the basis of the large couplings observed to Se(1) and Se(5), both directly-bonded (246 Hz) and across the ring (84 Hz), (see Table IV.1). Resonance (M) then results from Se(2) and Se(4), which are also adjacent to Se(1) and Se(5) but have less positive The observed couplings to Se(1) and Se(5) are charge. smaller (152 and 36 Hz for directly-bonded and cross-ring interactions respectively). Resonances for the two unique Se atoms, Se(3) and Se(7), have one half the integrated area of the others (Table IV.1) and they can be distinguished from each other on the basis of couplings to adjacent Se atoms. The largest coupling observed for resonance (V) is This must be a directly-bonded coupling and 64 Hz. reson-(V) can be assigned to Se(3) since the resonance ance for atoms Se(2) and Se(4), which are directly bonded to Se(3), also displays a coupling of this magnitude, 66 Hz. These values are equal within the data point resolution of 3 The other coupling observed for Se(3), 41 Hz, must Hz/pt. be a long-range coupling to Se(1) and Se(5). Resonance (Z)

can be assigned by default to the second unique selenium atom, Se(7). No couplings were large enough to be resolved for this resonance, but resonance (Y) for the two selenium atoms adjacent to Se(7) has a just-resolved coupling of 37 Hz which is probably the directly-bonded coupling to Se(7). The relatively small couplings to Se(3) and Se(7) are consistent with the small concentration of positive charge located at these sites (Table IV.2). These chemical shift assignments correspond to those of the enriched sample (38).

IV.5 Selenium-77 and Tellurium-125 NMR of  $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$ .

Numerous resonances were observed in the  77 Se NMR spectrum  $q^{3}$  a 100% H₂SO₄ solution of (Te₂Se₆)(Te₂Se₈)(AsF₆)₄- $(SO_2)_{23}$  the intensities of which varied with time. A single resonance at -732 ppm grew and then diminished in intensity a manner not mimicked by any of the other resonances, in indicating that the species producing this resonance had only one selenium environment. A resonance at -732 ppm was also observed in the spectra of solutions of  $(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_2$ in 100% H₂SO₄ (see Table IV.3 and Fig. IV.4). It could not be attributed to  $Te_2 Se_8^{2+}$  (see section III.7) and was therefor assigned to  $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$  produced by decomposition  $Te_2Se_8^{2+}$  since  $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$  has only one selenium environment in the solid-state structure (Fig. IV.1).

Since  $(Te_2Se_6)(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_4(SO_2)_2$  is difficult to prepare while salts of the  $Te_2Se_8^{2+}$  cation can be routinely

		ъ́(р	pm)	J	(Hz)
Sample	Solvent	^{7.7} Se	¹²⁵ Te	⁷⁷ Se- ¹²⁵ Te	¹²⁵ Te- ¹²⁵ Te
, a	AsF ₃	717.7	901.9	355 ⁹ , 31 ⁹	<b>120</b>
Ь	•	719.2	901.7	355 ⁹ , 32 ⁹ )	
· ,	•			354 ^h	
c	100% H ₂ S0 ₄ -	732.9		. 317 ^h	
d		133.1	963.9	322 ^g	
е		-732.4	-	5 C	
- <b>f</b> -	sõ ₂ -	-717.0	942.1	· · · ·	<b>、</b>
(a) 20.8 Se w the	mg, 0.210 mm ere reacted w solution filt	with 0.3 tered in	2 mmol As to an NMI	sF ₅ in AsF ₃ (1 R tube and	ymg, 1.10 mmol or 16 h and sealed.
· - ·					
(b) (Te ₂	Se ₈ )(AsF ₆ ) ₂ (S	50 ₂ ) dis	solved i	$n AsF_{3} (0.03)$	molal).
(b) (Te ₂ (c) 0.28 solv	Se ₈ )(AsF ₆ ) ₂ (S 57 g, 2.24 mm ed i(n 1.5 g 1	50 ₂ ) dis nol Te a 100% H ₂ S	solved i nd 0.356 ⁰ 4•	n ASF ₃ (0.03 7 g, 4.52 mmc	molal). )l Se were dis-
(b) (Te ₂ (c) 0.28 solv (d) (Te ₂	$Se_8$ ) (AsF ₆ ) ₂ (S 57 g, 2.24 mm ed i(n 1.5 g 1 $Se_8$ ) (SbF ₆ ) ₂ (S	50 ₂ ) dis nol Tea 100% H ₂ S 50 ₂ ) dis	solved in nd 0.356 ⁰ 4 solved in	n ASF ₃ (0.03 7 g, 4.52 mmc n 100% H ₂ SO ₄ .	molal). )] Se were dis-
(b) (Te ₂ (c) 0.28 solv (d) (Te ₂ (e) (Te ₂	$Se_8$ ) (AsF ₆ ) ₂ (S 57 g 2.24 mm ed i(n 1.5 g 1 $Se_8$ ) (SbF ₆ ) ₂ (S $Se_6$ ) (Te ₂ Se ₈ )	50 ₂ ) dis nol Te a 100% H ₂ S 50 ₂ ) dis (AsF ₆ ) ₄ (	solved in nd 0.356 04. solved in S0 ₂ )2 di	n ASF ₃ (0.03 7 g, 4.52 mmc n 100% H ₂ SO ₄ . ssolved in 10	molal). 91 Se were dis- 90% H ₂ S0 ₄ .
(b) (Te ₂ (c) 0.28 solv (d) (Te ₂ (e) (Te ₂ (f) Brow	$Se_8$ )(AsF ₆ ) ₂ (S 57 g 2.24 mm ed in 1.5 g 1 $Se_8$ )(SbF ₆ ) ₂ (S $Se_6$ )(Te ₂ Se ₈ ) n powder prod	50 ₂ ) dis nol Te a 100% H ₂ S 50 ₂ ) dis (AsF ₆ ) ₄ ( duced fr	solved in nd 0.356 04. solved in SO ₂ )2 di om react	n $ASF_3$ (0.03 7 g, 4.52 mmc n 100% $H_2SO_4$ ssolved in 10 ion IV.2 redi	molal). )1 Se were dis- )0% H ₂ SO ₄ . issolved in SO ₂
(b) (Te ₂ (c) 0.28 solv (d) (Te ₂ (e) (Te ₂ (f) Brow (g) From	$Se_8$ )(AsF ₆ ) ₂ (S 57 g, 2.24 mm ed i(n 1.5 g 1 $Se_8$ )(SbF ₆ ) ₂ (S $Se_6$ )(Te ₂ Se ₈ ) n powder prod 12 ⁵ Te specti	50 ₂ ) dis nol Te a 100% H ₂ S 50 ₂ ) dis (AsF ₆ ) ₄ ( duced fr rum.	solved in nd 0.356 O ₄ . solved in SO ₂ ) ₂ di om react	n $ASF_3$ (0.03 7 g, 4.52 mmc n 100% $H_2SO_4$ ssolved in 10 ion IV.2 redi	molal). 91 Se were dis- 90% H ₂ SO ₄ . 98solved in SO ₂

ĩ

7

\ فہ r



FIGURE IV.4 Natural abundance ⁷⁷Se and ¹²⁵Te NMR spectra of the Te₂Se₆²⁺ cation. (a) ⁷⁷Se spectrum, sample c, Table IV.3 (76.41 MHz; 80,000 scans; 6.1 Hz/pt). Satellite doublet results from  $J(7^7Se^{-125}Te)$ . (b) ¹²⁵Te spectrum, sample b, Table IV.3 (78.97 MHz; 148,000 scans; 3.0 Hz/pt). Both  $J(7^7Se^{-125}Te)$  and  $^{2}J(7^7Se^{-125}Te)$  are observed.

prepared, subsequent investigations of the Te₂Se₆²⁺ cation were made on solutions of Te₂Se₈²⁺ salts. Moreover, since AsF₃ solutions of  $(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_2$  produce a similar decomposition peak to that observed for the 100% H₂SO₄ solution (Table IV.3), but with considerable reduction in linewidth and a corresponding increase in the signal-to-noise ratio, solutions in AsF₃ rather than H₂SO₄ were studied.

¹²⁵Te The resonance assigned to  $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$  from the NMR spectrum of natural abundance  $(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_2(SO_2)$  dissolved in AsF₃ displays two clearly resolved doublets about the central, uncoupled peak (Fig. IV.4). The total intensity of the outer satellite peaks (J = 355  $\pm$  6 Hz) is 22% relative to the central line, while the inner satellites  $(J = 32) \pm 6$  Hz) appear to be of comparable magnitude but are not as well resolved. This intensity is consistent with coupling of tellurium to three equivalent, natural abundance selenium nuclei (Table IV.4). The coupling constants indicate that one set of three selenium atoms is directly bonded to tellurium, while the second set is more remote. This is consistent with the solid-state structure of  $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$  (Fig. IV.4). In the 77 Se spectrum of this same sample a resonance was observed with a satellite doublet of 7% intensity relative to the central line, and a coupling of 354  $\pm$  12 Ηz (Table IV.3). The 32 Hz coupling was not resolved. The doublet. intensity indicates coupling to one natural abund-

Nucleus	<b></b> [₽] ^b	Uncoupled	Intensity Doublet	Triplet	zċ
77 _{Se}	1	92.42	7.58	· <u> </u>	8.2
	2	85.41	14.01	0.57	16.3
 •	3	78.94	19.42 -	1.59	24.4
¹²³ Te	1	99.13	0.87	-	0.9
	2	98.27	1.72	0.01	1.8
•	° 3	97.41	2.56	0.02	2.6
125 _{Te}	1	93.01	6.99	· 	7.5
	2	86.51	13.00	0.45	15.0
	3	80.46	18.14	1.36	22.4

TABLE IV.4 Satellite Intensities for Coupling to Natural^a Abundance Selenium-77, Tellurium-123 and Tellurium-125.

(a)  77 Se = 7.58%;  123 Te = 0.87%;  125 Te = 6.99% (67). (b) Number of equivalent atoms. (c) Total intensity of doublet relative to central line (uncoupled peak plus contribution from triplet).



(1-8) AA'X; (*) AX; (†) AA'XX'.

ance tellurium nucleus (Table IV.4) and is again consistent with the solid-state structure.

When the concentration of 125 Te in the sample was enriched to 77.3%, a large number of satellite peaks appeared in the  125 Te spectrum in addition to those observed in the natural abundance spectrum (Fig. IV.5). These peaks are too intense to result from 77 Se-125 Te coupling and since the two tellurium atoms in the structure are chemically equivalent the additional lines in the spectrum must result from magnetic inequivalence. The most important satellite pattern for natural abundance selenium and 77.3% enrichment in  125 Te is an AA'X spectrum (ABX with  $\delta_A = \delta_B$ ) arising from both tellurium atoms and one selenium atom (Table IV.5). This has eight lines in the AA'  $(^{125}Te)$  region and six lines in the X (⁷⁷Se) region (52). Six of the eight AA' lines can be clearly observed in the spectrum with the remaining two as shoulders on the two AA' lines closest to the central, uncoupled _line (Fig. IV`.5). Using previously established methods (52, 53) the two 77Se-125Te couplings and the 125Te-¹²⁵Te coupling (Table IV.3) were extracted from the line separations in the AA'X multiplet (Table IV.6). The former two agree with those observed in the natural abundance 125Te Using the values of these coupling constants and spectrum. the probabilities of the isotopic distribution for 7.50%  77 Se and 77.3% enrichment in  125 Te (Table IV.5) and assuming the solid-state structure of two tellurium atoms joined by
TABLE IV.	5 Isotopic D	istr	ibu	tion	in 1	e2Se	²⁺ .	-	
•	SP	IN D	IST	RIBUT	ION	•. •		•	Ň
SPIN-1/2	COUPLING	Se		Te		м ^ь	× C	NMR-AC	TIVE%
NUCLEI-	PATTERN	1/2	0	1/2	0	· ·	. · · · · . 	77-Se	125-Te
None	-	-	6	-	2	1	3.23		
1	X	1	5	- ·	Ż	6	1.57	4.20	-
1,2	$\tilde{X}_{2}(I)$	2	4	· -	2	3	0.06	0.17	-
1,3	- X ₂ (II)	2	4	-	2	6	0.13	0.35	-
1,4	- X ₂ (III)	2	4		2	6	0.13	0.35	-
7 .	 . A	· <b>_</b>	6	1	1	2	21.98	· -	23.17
7,8	A ₂	- ·	6	2		1	37.43	с. С. <b>н</b>	39.46
1,8	- AX(I)	1	5	- 1	1	6	5.35	14.32	5.64
1,7	AX(II)	1	5	1	1	6	5.35	14.32	5.64
1,7,8	AA'X	1	5 -	2	-	6	18.21	48.74	19.20
1,2,7	AXX'(I)	2	4	1	1	ິ 6	0.43	1.15	0.45
1,3,7	AXX'(II)	2	4	1,	- 1	12	0.87	2.33	0.92
1,4,8	.AX2	2	4	1.	. 1	6.	0.43	1.15	0.45
1,4,7	A'X,	2 .	.4	ī	1	6	0.43	1.15	0.45
1,3,7,8	AA'XX'(I)	2	4.	2	<b>_</b> .	6	1.48	3.96	1.56
1,2,7,8	AA'XX'(II)	2	4	2	· . -	3	0.74	1.98	0.78
1,4,7,8	AA'X2	2	4	2	_	6	1.48	3.96	1.56
1	L .				т0	TAL	99.3	98.13	99.28
(a) Numbe 4=Se(14) (b) Multi (c) Perce	ring is as fo ; 5=Se(15); 0 plicity. ntage of iso	ollow 5=Se( topic	s: 16) is	1=Se ; 7=T	(11) e(3)	); 2 ); 8 7-5	=Se(12) =Te(4). 0% ⁷⁷ se	; 3=Se( (Fig. 77.34	13); IV.1.) 125 _{Te}
		<u>```</u>		· · ·				· · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·



FIGURE IV.6 Components used to simulate the ¹²⁵Te NMR spectrum of Te₂Se₆²⁺, 77.3% enriched in ¹²⁵Te.



## TABLE IV.6 AA'X Assignments in Te2Se62+, AA' Region.





FIGURE IV.7 Observed and simulated ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum of Te₂Se₆²⁺, 77.3% enriched in ¹²⁵Te, natural abundance ⁷⁷Se (sample (a), Table IV.3). The observed spectrum was accumulated at 76.41 MHz in 48,000° scans, 6.1 Hz/pt. A line broadening of 12 Hz was applied to the exponential smoothing of the free-induction decay.

three, two-atom selenium chains, a series of spectra, were simulated and summed (Fig. IV.5 and IV.6). simulated The multiplets required estimates of the ⁷⁷Se-⁷⁷Se coup-AA'XX' The three-bond  $7^{7}$ Se- $7^{7}$ Se coupling in AA'XX'(I) was lings. set #to zero and the directly-bonded coupling in AA'XX'(II) set to 100 Hz. (The mean directly-bonded coupling in  $Se_8^{2+}$ is 108 Hz (section IV.4).) Since the two strongest peaks in these multiplets are insensitive to the magnitude of this coupling (compare AA'XX'(I) and AA'XX'(II) in Fig. IV.6) and the species producing these multiplets are of very low. probability (Table IV.5), these estimates did not produce any significant error. The AA'X, splitting pattern is independent of the ⁷⁷Se-⁷⁷Se coupling constant. The resulting simulation (including a linewidth of 12 Hz) fits the observed spectrum extremely well with all of the observed satel-"lites accounted for (Fig. IV.5). The AA'X and AA'XX' systems have been reported, for example, in the ¹H spectrum of 2-furfurol (54) and the  19 F spectrum of 1,2-dichloro-3,4,5,6-tetrafluorobenzene (55) respectivel<u>x</u>, but to our knowledge this is the first report of such inequivalence involving heavy nuclei.

The  77 Se NMR spectrum of this same sample complements the above analysis (Fig. IV.7). The simulated spectrum incorporates the X portions of the coupling patterns described above as well as X, X₂, AXX' and AX₂ components



(Table IV.5). The individual components used in this simulation are given in Figure IV.8. The signal-to-noise ratio in the observed spectrum was not good enough to determine any  77 Se- 77 Se couplings and the estimates used in the  125 Te simulation were retained here. The simulated  77 Se spectrum matched the observed spectrum when a line width of 30 Hz was used in the simulation.

The results of this 77Se and 125Te NMR investigation of the Te₂Se₆²⁺ cation are consistent with retention in solution of the solid-state arrangement of two tellurium atoms joined by three, two-atom selenium chain's. An important feature of the solid-state structure, however, is the large Te-Se-Se-Te dihedral angle of 69° (section IV.7). Vicinal  $^{1}H^{-1}H$  couplings in HCCH fragments are dependent upon the H-C-C-H dihedral angle (56). The observed  125 Te- 125 Te coupling for  $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$  could, in principle, be of significance in deducing the Te-Se-Se-Te dihedral angle in solution. but since no other couplings have been reported for geometries, no correlation between the coupling similar constant and the dihedral angle can he established at present.

IV.6 Anion-Cation Interactions in  $M_8^{2+}$  Structures.

The interionic contacts to  $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$  in  $(Te_2Se_6)(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_4(SO_2)_2$  that are less than the sum of van der Naals radii are given in Figure IV.9. The direc-



FIGURE IV.9 Anion-cation interactions at  $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$  in  $Te_2Se_6^*(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_4(SO_2)_2^{\bullet}$  FIGURE IV.10 Derivation of the Se₈²⁺ structure (filled-in bonds) within the Se₁₀²⁺ structure (cf. Fig. IV.2). Thermal ellipsoids to 30% prob.

tions of these interactions are similar to those discussed above for the  $M_{10}^{2+}$  salts (section III.9). The geometry at Te(4) is  $AX_{3}Y_{2}E$ , with one of the Y components of the monocapped octahedron missing. There are four secondary bonds to F(21), F(35) and F(45) are approximately trans- to Te(3): Te--Se bonds, or alternatively cap faces of the AX3E tetrahedron, and F(43) bridges an edge of the tetrahedron. Similar  $AX_3Y_3Y'E$  arrangements are observed for the  $M_{10}^{2+1}$ salts (section III.9) and for  $(TeCl_3)(SbF_6)$  (section VII.7). Contacts to the selenium atoms in  $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$  are again mostly collinear with the primary bonds. Interionic contacts to  $Te_2Se_8^{2+}$  in this compound were discussed in the previous chapter. The Se...F contacts to the  $Se_R^{2+}$  cation of  $Te_6(Se_8)(AsF_6)_6(SO_2)$  are fewer in number and probably weaker than the analogous Se...Cl contacts in  $Se_8(AlCl_4)_2$ (12),consistent with the greater polarizability of chlorine. The shortest of the Se...F and Se...Cl contacts are to the two atoms linked by the transannular interaction and which carry most of the positive charge.

-64

IV.7 Comparison of M₈²⁺ Structures.

The mixed cations  $Te_2Se_8^{2+}$  and  $Te_xSe_{10-x}^{2+}$  are isostructural with the homopolyatomic cation  $Se_{10}^{2+}$ , which has the same average oxidation state (chapter III). The structure of  $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$ , however is very different from that of  $Se_8^{2+}$ , which again has the same average oxidation state as

Ę

the mixed  $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$  cation. The  $Se_8^{2+}$  and  $S_8^{2+}$  cations are eight-membered rings with an exo-endo conformation and a long cross-ring bond (Figure IV.2). This structure was been described as being half-way between the crown-shaped ring of  $S_8$  and  $Se_8$  and the cureame-like molecule  $S_4N_4$ , which is isoelectronic with the unknown  $S_8^{4+}$  cation (9). The  $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$ cation is a distorted cube with three of the edges (those not contained in the valenge-bond description) considerably longer than the other nine (Fig. IV.1). This structure is very similar to that of  $Te_2Se_8^{2+}$  except that the unique four-atom selenium bridge of  $Te_2Se_8^{2+}$  has been reduced to two selenium atoms, resulting in three identical two-atom bridges.

The  $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$  and  $Se_8^{2+}$  structures can be related to each other by considering the structure of their parent species  $Te_2Se_8^{2+}$  and  $Se_{10}^{2+}$ . Figure IV.10 demonstrates how the exo-endo eight-membered ring of  $Se_8^{2+}$  can be found within the  $Se_{10}^{2+}$  structure. Removal of atoms Se(9) and Se(10) along with forcing Se(1) and Se(5) closer together to form the long transannular bond results in Seg²⁺ (compare IV.2 and IV.10). The Se $_{R}^{2+}$  pape can similarly be Fig. in Te₂Se₈²⁺, as can the distorted cube of Te₂Se₆²⁺ found Formation of  $Te_2Se_6^{2+1}$  from  $Te_2Se_8^{2+1}$  in a (Fig. IV.11). similar fashion to  $Se_8^{2+}$  from  $Se_{10}^{2+}$  would, however, result in atoms Te(2) and Se(6) forming the transannular bond with and Se(2) being eliminated and Te(1) becoming only Se(1)



And b) the Te₂Se₆²⁺ structure (filled-in bonds) within the Te₂Se₆²⁺ structure (filled-in bonds) within the Te₂Se₈²⁺ structure (cf. Fig. IV.1 and Fig. IV.2). Dotted lines indicate edges of the Te₂Se₆²⁺ cuber, doubledotted line indicates Te-Se bond to be formed. two-coordinate. Since tellurium is more electropositive than selenium (57) a more favorable structure would have both tellurium atoms in three-coordinate positions, where the bulk of the positive charge is presumably located. The  $Te_2Se_8^{2+}$  and  $Te_2Se_4^{2+}$  cations exhibit this tendency with all of the three-coordinate positions in these cations occupied by tellurium. Formation of  $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$  as in Figure IV.11b allows both tellurium atoms to remain three-coordinate and the resulting cube-like structure is apparently more favorable than the  $Se_8^{2+}$ -like structure.

67

Homoatomic cations and anions tend to adopt structures that allow for charge delocalization. The trigonalprismatic Te₆⁴⁺ and square-planar S₄²⁺, Se₄²⁺, and Te₄²⁺ cations (26, 22, 10, 13) exhibit complete charge delocalization with all atoms equivalent. The P₆⁴⁻ anion is a regular hexagon (58) and the same structure has been proposed for the isovalent Te₆²⁺ cation (4, 51, 59). This charge-delocalized structure would presumably be more stable than a structure with localized bonding as observed in the related Te₂Se₄²⁺ cation (see section V.1). There is considerable delocalization of charge in the S₈²⁺ and Se₈²⁺ structures (see Section IV.4) and this is the most stable structure for these homoatomic cations. The large electronegativity difference between tellurium and selenium, however, is appear the mixed Te-Se cations, and Te $_{2}$ Se $_{6}^{2+}$  is no exception. The Te $_{2}$ Se $_{4}^{2+}$ , Te $_{2}$ Se $_{6}^{2+}$  and Te $_{2}$ Se $_{8}^{2+}$  structures can be readily interpreted by electron-precise, localized bond descriptions. Since the electronegativity difference between selenium and sulfur is negligible (57) the hypothetical mixed  $S_{x}$ Se $_{8-x}^{2+}$  cations would be expected to adopt the Se $_{8}^{2+}$  structure, while Te $_{2}$ Se $_{6}^{2+}$  should be isostructural with Te $_{2}$ Se $_{6}^{2+}$ .

In keeping with the above discussion, one would also expect the Se₁₀²⁺ cation to adopt a charge-delocalized structure, yet Se₁₀²⁺ has the same solid-state structure as  $Te_2Se_8^{2+}$ . Spectroscopic evidence suggests, however, that this structure may not be retained in solution. There is considerable difference between the solution and solid-state electronic spectra of the Se₁₀²⁺ cation and ⁷⁷Se NMR studies have provided evidence for intramolecular exchange in both SO₂ and 100% H₂SO₄ solution (16, 38). In contrast, the ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum of  $Te_2Se_8^{2+}$  in 100% H₂SO₄ shows no signs of exchange and is consistent with the solid-state structure (see sections III.7 and III.8).

There are three intramòlecular Se...Se contact distances in Te₂Se₆²⁺ (Fig. IV.1) that are considerably shorter than the van der Waals limit of 3.80 Å (39) Similar contacts are observed in Te₂Se₈²⁺ and Se₁₀²⁺ (chapter III) and these have been described as weak bonds (16). These short contacts are in the positions of the three bonds that are

-68

broken by the addition of electron pairs in deriving the structure of  $Se_{10}^{2+}$  and  $Te_2Se_8^{2+}$  from the cuneane structure Similarly, the short contacts in  $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$  can result (9). from breaking three edges of a cube by the addition of three electron pairs. Many of the main-group cages can be derived few_basic cluster geometries in this fashion from a (9). Although these contacts in  $Te_2Se_5^{2+}$  appear to be in stereochemicatry important positions, they may not indicate significant bonding interactions. This cube-like geometry and resulting Se...Se contacts may be forced on the cation the as a result of lone pair repulsions between adjacent seleniatoms in the bridges. The idealized bicapped trigonal ប៣ prism  $(D_{3h})$  geometry for Te₂Se₆²⁺ would result in all of the lone pairs on selenium being eclipsed (Fig. IV.12). By vincreasing the Te-Se-Se-Te dihedral angle the lone pair repulsions can be reduced. A similar situation exists for cyclohexane where the twist-boat conformation, with all C--H bonds staggered, is 1.6 kcal lower in energy than the boat, where the C--H bonds are eclipsed along two of the  $C_{\tau}$ -C bonds (60). The  $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$  cation can be viewed as consisting of, three fused twist-boats. If the AX,E, geometry about selenium was perfectly tetrahedral then the projected { lone pair - lone pair angle in Figure IV.12b would be 120° and a dihedral angle of  $00^{\circ}$  would result in the favored staggered / geometry of the lone pairs. Since lone pairs generally

69⁾



FIGURE IV.12 Orientation of lone pairs of electrons in  $\text{Te}_2\text{Se}_6^{2+}$ . a) Idealized D_{3h} geometry, all lone pairs eclipsed. b) View along Se--Se bond with Te-Se-Se-Te dihedral angle of 60°, lone pairs are staggered.

٤



FIGURE IV.13 ORTEP view of the As₁₁³⁻ anion, redrawn from coordinates in ref. (63). Pilled-in bonds indicate the Te₂Se₆²⁺-like core (cf. Fig. IV.1).

exert a greater repulsive effect than bond pairs, however (61), the projected lone pair - lone pair angle would be expected to be greater than  $120^{\circ}$  and the most favorable dihedral angle then somewhat greater than  $60^{\circ}$ . The observed dihedral angles in  $(Te_2Se_6)(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_4(SO_2)_2$  are 68.9, -69.2 and  $69.3^{\circ}$  (mean  $69.1^{\circ}$ ) which are very close, to that expected for the most favorable lone pair geometry. The cubic structure of the cation therefore may result from lone pair repulsions or weak cross-ring bonds, or both.

71

The weak cross-ring bonding argument is supported by She structures of the  $P_{11}^{3-}$  and  $As_{11}^{3-}$  anions (62, 63). Like  $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$ , these species can be viewed as being derived from a cube (9) and  $P_{11}^{3}$  has been suggested as a possible precursor to the as yet unprepared P₈ cubane (64). As described above, the Te2Se6 structure results from the addition of electron pairs to three bonds of the cube related by a three-fold axis. In  $P_{11}^{3}$  and  $As_{11}^{3}$  these same bonds are broken, but by the addition of bridging atoms rather than by electron pairs (9). The resulting structures remarkably similar (compare Figures IV.1, and IV.13). are Removal of the three divalent arsenic atoms in  $As_{11}^{3-}$  leaves the  $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$  structure. In an analogous fashion, removal of a sulfur or selenion atom from the bird-cage structure of  $P_4S_3$  (65) or  $As_3e_4^+$  (66) results in the tent-shaped  $Te_2^{\prime}Se_4^{2+}$  structure (see chapter V). Addition of the three haridging arsenic atoms to the eight-atom core of the  $As_{11}$ 

anion causes a somewhat greater distortion of the cubic geometry of this core than does the addition of three pairs of electrons in  $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$ . The mean Te-Se-Se-Te dihedral angle of 69.1° in  $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$  is closer to the ideal cube value of 90° than is the related mean angle of 58.1° in  $As_{11}^{3-}$ .

IV.8 The  $Te_6^{4+}$  Cation in  $(Te_6)(Se_8)(AsF_6)_6(SO_2)$ .

The dimensions of the known  $Te_6^{4+}$  cations are com-All three examples have in Table IV.7. slightly pared distorted trigonal prismatic structures. In the present case, the Te $_{\kappa}^{4+}$  cation has no crystallographic symmetry and the end triangular faces are eclipsed with a small but significant angle of 3.00° between their planes (Fig. IV.2). This _{\small} angle between the end faces results in angles ranging from 88.50 to 91.48(5)° in the "rectangular" faces. Although the cation in  $(Te_6)(AsF_6)_4(SO_2)_2$  has no crystallographically imposed symmetry, it is the most regular example. of this cation since the end triangular faces are parall/el within 0.60° and the atoms in the rectangular faces /are .to within 0.006 Å of their least-squares mean planes. In comparison, the cation in  $(Te_6)(AsF_6)_{4}(AsF_3)_{2}$  has crystallographic psymmetry 2 and an angle of 1.75° between the end triangular faces which are also twisted by 2.72° with respect to each other (26). There are also some significant variations in the Te--Te bond lengths in these cations (Table IV.7). In  $(fe_6)(AsF_6)_4(SO_2)_2$  all the bonds in the

ABLE IV.7 Bond Len Structur	gths (Å) and E es.	Bond Angles (c	leg) in Te ₆ ⁴⁺
≮ Bond Lengths ^a	I p	I I ^{.c}	III ^d
Te(1)Te(2)	2.684(5)	2.662(3)	2.679(2)
Te(3)	2.679(7)	2.673(2)	2.702(2)
Te(6)	3.132(6)	3.132(2)	3.167(2)
Te(2)Te(3)	2.694(5)	2.672(2)	2.701(2)
Te(5)	3.148(6)	3.132(2)	3,171(2)
Te(3)Te(4)	3.121(6)	3.062(2)	3.047(2)
Te(4)Te(5)	2.677(5)	2.673(2) *	2.702(2)
Te(6)	2.675(7)	2.67.2.(2)	2.698(2)
Te(5)Te(6)	2.675(5)	2.662(3)	2.679(2)
Bond Angles	- <b>X</b>		
triangular faces	59.8(1) to 60.3(1)	59.7(1) to 60.2(1)	59.47(5) to 60.32(5)
rectangular faces	88.9(2) to 91.4(2)	88.3(1) to 91.6(1)	88.51(6) to 91.48(6)
a) The atomic numbe fit the present 3=6, 4=5, 5=1, 6 4=1', 5=3', 6=2'	ring from ref structure, i.e =3, and for s	. (26) has be g. for struct tructure II,	en modified to ure I, 1=4, 2=2, 1=3, 2=2, 3=1,
b) (Te ₆ )(AsF ₆ ) ₄ (SO ₂	)2.	· · · ·	المراجعة ال المراجعة المراجعة الم
c) (Te ₆ )(AsF ₆ ) ₄ (AsF	3)26		

73

>

 $(d) (Te_6)(Se_8)(A_5F_6)_6(SO_2).$ 

1.5

end triangular faces have the same length and the bonds between these faces are also virtually equal in length. In the present cation the bonds between the triangular faces have lengths of 3.047(2), 3.167(2) and 3.172(2) Å. In each end triangular face the bonds which include the tellurium atoms involved in the short bond between these faces are significantly longer than the remaining bond (Table IV.7). A similar but less pronounced correlation is observed for the bond lengths in the cation in  $(Te_6)(AsF_6)_4(AsF_3)_2$ .

74

Variations in the dimensions of the Te₆⁴⁺ cation in these different structures can be attributed to differences in the anion-cation interactions. The interionic contacts to Te₆⁴⁺ in (Te₆)(Se₈)(AsF₆)₆(SO₂) that are less than the van der Waals limits are included in Table IV.8. Atoms Te(3) and Te(4) which form the short bond in the rectangular faces (and the long bonds in the triangular faces) form several more (five to six) short Te...F contacts, in the range 2.73 to 3.15 Å. The other tellurium atoms have only two to three contacts of similar length.

In a recent molecular orbital investigation of "the-Te $_6^{4+}$  cation (51) it was calculated that the cation achieves its lowest energy when the two triangular faces are each folded back ca. 14° from the parallel positions of a regular trigonal prism, i.e. with one long bond and two short bonds between the triangular faces. In the present structure

		*	•	
<u>^</u>	•			
TABLE IV.8	Interionic C Te ₆ (Se ₈ )(AsF	$ \text{contacts } (\mathring{A}) + c \\          _{6} \cdot {}_{6} \cdot {}_{6} \cdot {}_{2} \cdot {}_{6} $	$Te_6^{4+}$ in	•
Te(1)F(13 F(62 F(63 0(1) F(11 F(21 F(23 F(26)	) $3.089(15)$ ) $3.453(15)$ ) $3.148(15)$ 3.284(16) ) $3.011(14)$ ) $3.199(18)$ 1) $a$ $3.21(3)$ 2) $a$ $3.43(4)$	Te(4).	.F(12) .F(52) .F(55) .F(41)e .F(42)e .F(43)e .F(31)c	2.890(14) 3.05(2) 3.46(3) 3.090(12) 3.292(15) 3.123(13) 3.030(13)
Te(2)F(36 F(62 F(65 0(1) F(22 F(33 F(23 F(26	) 2.965(18 ) 3.471(14 ) 3.146(16 3.357(15 ) 3.12(2) ) 3.299(15 1) 3.35(3) 2) 3.33(4)	Te(5).	.F(34) .F(42) .F(45) .O(1) .F(22) ^e .F(33) ^c .F(241) ^e .F(252) ^e	2.914(15) 3.407(14) 3.243(15) 3.393(15) 3.23(2) 3.022(13) 3.30(3) 3.39(4)
Te(3)F(14 F(55 F(35 F(61 F(62 F(64	) 2.960(13 ) 2.73(3) ) 3.000(13 ) 3.135(15 ) 3.151(15 ) 3.111(14	Te(6).	.F(13) .F(42) .F(46) .O(1) .F(16) ^a .F(21) .F(241)	3.187(16) 3.494(15) 3.118(19) 3.337(15) 2.926(14) 3.16(2) 3.25(3)
Symmetry Cod	e		•	
(a) 1-x, 1-y (c) -x, -y, (e) 1-x, -y,	, -,z' (b) -z (d) -z	-1+x, ý, z -x, 1-y, -z	بر المعرفين المعرفين معرفين المعرفين المعرف	

however, there is one short bond and two long bonds between these faces.



## V.1 Introduction.

There are three published X-ray crystal / structures . with chalcogen cations of average oxidation state +0.33. These are of the compounds  $(Te_2Se_4)(AsF_6)_2$ ,  $(Te_2Se_4)(SbF_6)_2$ and  $(Te_3S_3)(AsF_6)_2$  (20). The crystal structure  $(Te_{5}Se_{4})(AsF_{6})_{2}$  has recently been redetermined (21). In all cases the cation is a six-membered ring in the boat conformation with one cross-ring bond (Fig. V.1). This cage structure can be visualized (9) as arising from the parent trigonal prism cluster structure by the addition of two pairs of electrons or from the "birdcage" structure of  $P_AS_3$  (65) and related species such as  $Sb_7^{3-}$  (68) and  $As_3Se_4^+$  (66) by removal of a bridging atom . (Fig. V.2). The latter analogy similar to the relationship between  $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$  and  $P_{11}^{3}$ is (section IV.7).

The homoatomic species  $S_6^{2+}$  and  $Se_6^{2+}$  are unknown but the  $Te_6^{2+}$  cation has been isolated in the compounds  $Te_6(AlCl_4)_2$  (4),  $Te_6(AsF_6)_2$  (59) and  $Te_6(SbF_6)_2$  (69). Good crystalline samples of these compounds have not been isolated, however, and the structure of the  $Te_6^{2+}$  cation is still uncertain. One possible structure is a planar sixmembered ring, V.I (59).

·77 .



If four of the Te atoms contribute one lone pair of electrons to the  $\pi$  system then this can be considered as a 10 $\pi$ aromatic system with a net of one  $\pi$  bond distributed over the six Te--Te bonds of the ring. This planar, delocalized structure is adopted by the isovalent  $P_6^{4}$  and 1,3,5- $S_3N_3^{-1}$ anions (58, 70). In the isovalent  $1, 3-S_4N_2$  molecule the system is no longer completely delocalized and the structure is a half-chair (half-boat), with the central sulfur of the trisulfide unit out of the plane of the other five atoms This structure is intermediate between the completely (71).delocalized planar structure of  $P_6^{4-}$  (Te₆²⁺) and the boat-  $\infty$ shaped, bond-localized Te  $se_{A}^{2+}$  structure. A recent molecular orbital investigation of the structures of some polyat 7 and anions of the main-group elements conomic cations cludes that the planar ring is the most favorable structure ¹²⁵Te  $Te_{s}^{2+}$  (51). This hypothesis is supported by a for Mössbauer study of  $Te_6(A1C1_4)_2$  which provided no evidence. for more than one Te site 'An the compound, 'as expected før the planar structure, but not for a boat structure (72).

The Te₂Se₄²⁺ cation has been characterized by ⁷⁷Se and ¹²⁵Te NMR spectroscopy in 100% H₂SO₄ and oleum solutions (50). Chemical shifts and coupling constants are consistent with the solid-state structure being retained in solution. The isostructural Te₃Se₃²⁺ cation has also been identified in these solutions (50). The ⁷⁷Se-⁷⁷Se and ⁷⁷Se-¹²⁵Te coup-

lings indicate that the Te--Te cross-ring bond is retained in this cation and the third tellurium atom is located at the opposite end of the cation, at site (4) (Fig. V.1). In contrast, the crystal structure (20) of  $(Te_3S_3)(AsF_6)_2$  demonstrates that the third tellurium atom of the  $Te_3S_3^{2+}$ cation is located at site (3), forming a Te₃ ring (Fig.V.1).

V.2 Preparation of  $(Te_2Se_4)(SbF_6)_2$ .

Following the procedure outlined in chapter II, g (4.987 mmol) of a finely-powdered 1:1 mixture of 1.0301 tellurium and selenium was mixed with 1.627 g (7.505 mmol) SbF₅ in 30^h mL of SO₂. The initial green solution color of turned red-brown after several minutes. After one week of stirring the solution was filtered and after standing for a few days black crystals were deposited. These were filtered and any remining volatile material was removed by pumping under vacuum. A subsequent X-ray crystal structure determination identified the crystals as  $(Te_2Se_4)(SbF_6)_2$ . A crysstructure of  $(Te_2Se_4)(SbF_6)_2$  has already been reported tal but the space group of the present compound is dif-(20), ferent and the exact composition of the cation in the former compound is somewhat uncertain (see section V.8). Ditellurium tetraselenium bis(hexafluoroantimonate) has also been obtained in other long term (1 week) reactions involving different stoichiometries of Te, Se and  $SbF_5$  (see reaction IV.5 and section VI.3). The previously reported (20)

" $(Te_2Se_4)(SbF_6)_2$ " was obtained after a reaction time of  $\delta nly 0.5$  h (20).

## V.3 Preparation of $(Te_2Se_4)(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$ .

Following the procedure of chapter II, Te (0.7209 g, 5.650 mmpl) and Se (1.3377 g, 16.936 mmol) were mixed with SbF₅ (4.9036 g, 22.624 mmol) in 40 mL of \$0₂. The solution was initially green but rapidly turned (a deep brown and after one week of stirring was an amber-brown color. The solution was filtered and the solvent slowly removed bγ distillation. After 48 h a large quantity of black crystals had formed from the residual brown oil. The oil was poured off, the crystals washed with SO2 and any remaining volatile materials were removed by pumping under vacuum. The prismand block-shaped crystals were shown to be  $Te_2Se_4(Sb_4F_{20})$  by a subsequent X-ray crystal structure determination.

V.4 Preparation of  $(Te_x Se_{6-x})(SbF_6)_2$ .

Following the procedure outlined in chapter II, Te (1.0891 g, 8.535 mmol) and Se (0.6744g, 8.541 mmol) were mixed with SbF₅ (1.848 g, 8.527 mmol) in 45 mL of SO₂. After 4 h of stirring the mixture was cooled to 195 K in a dry ice/acetone bath and the deep brown solution filtered while still cold and allowed to stand. After 48 h the solution was poured off revealing a large number of flat needles and plates. Precession photographs revealed that the crystals were isomorphous with " $(Te_2Se_4)(SbF_6)_2$ " (20) but the

unit cell was slightly larger. A subsequent X-ray crystal structure determination using the atomic positions of " $(Te_2Se_4)(SbF_6)_2$ " in the initial refinement identified the compound as  $(Te_{2.7}Se_{3.3})(SbF_6)_2$ . This compound possesses occupational disorder in that two of the cation sites are partially occupied by both Se and Te. A similar situation: exists for  $(Te_{4.5}Se_{5.5})(AsF_6)_2$  (section III.3).

82

When the above procedure was followed except with a larger Te:Se ratio, i.e. 1.6514 g (12.942 mmol) Te and 0.5123 g (6.488 mmol) Se were reacted with 2.1043 g (9.709 mmol) SbF₅, the resulting crystals were again isomorphous with " $(Te_2Se_4)(SbF_6)_2$ ". The X-ray crystal structure determination revealed the composition of this second compound to be  $(Te_{3.4}Se_{2.6})(SbF_6)_2$ .

V.5 Preparation of  $(Te_{2,1}S_{3,9})(SbF_6)_2$ .

Following the general procedure as outlined in chapter II, Te (0.8584 g, 6.727 mmol) and S (0.6471g, 20.18mmol) were reacted with  $SbF_5$  (2.181 g, 10.1 mmol) in 20 mL of a 4:1  $SO_2$ - $SO_2$ ClF solvent mixture. The solution was initially amber-brown but turned amber-red after 15 min. After 24 h of stirring the reaction mixture was cooled in a dry ice/acetone, bath to 195 K and the solution filtered while still cold. The filtrate was left to stand in the cold bath which slowly warmed to room temperature over 4 days and a large quantity of thick amber-brown needles and blocks were

. ..... W. .....

Sty inner

deposited. Precession photographs of the needles demon--strated that they were isomorphous with  $(Te_2Se_4)(SbF_6)_2$ (section V.2) and a subsequent X-ray crystal structure determination identified the compound as  $(Te_{2,1}S_{3,9})(SbF_6)_2$ . One of the sulfur sites in each of the two 'independent cations in the structure is partially occupied by tellurium. The atomic coordinates from  $(Te_2Se_4)(SbF_6)_2$  were used in the initial refinement of the structure.

The blocks isolated from this reaction were similar in color to  $(Te_{2.1}S_{3.9}) (SbF_6)_2$ , but the morphology was different and precession photographs revealed a cubic space group with a = 8.35 Å. A data set was collected on a Syntex P2₁ diffractometer, but the structure could not be solved. Many of the rare earth sulfides,  $M_2S_3$ , are cubic with axes of 8.2 to 8.3 Å (73).  $Sb_2S_3$  and  $Sb_2Te_3$  are known in orthorhombic modifications with similar cell volumes to the present compound (74, 75). This compound may be a cubic form of antimony sulfide or telluride or mixed antimony sulfidetelluride.

V.6 Preparation of  $(Te_3S_3)(SbF_6)_2$ .

Following the procedure outlined in chapter II, Te (1.2060 g, 9.451 mmol) and S (0.3026 g, 9.437 mmol) were mixed with SbF₅ (1.534 g, 7.079 mmol) in 45 mL SO₂. After 5 h of stirring the deep violet solution was cooled to 195 K before filtering cold and allowed to slowly warm to 295 K.

After 3 days of standing the solution was poured off revealing a large quantity of black crystals. The crystals appeared to be of two distinct types: (1) large multifaceted, almost spherical crystals and (2) flat needles and plates. The spheres were triclinic and were identified by Raman (76, 77) and ¹²⁵Te NMR spectroscopy (50, 78) to be  $(Te_4)(SbF_6)_2$ . This compound has previously been prepared in crystalline from a Ge/Te mixture (23). Precession photographs form revealed that the remaining crystals were isomorphous with  $(Te_3S_3)(AsF_6)_2$ , which has been prepared from a reaction of the same stoichiometry but using AsF5 as the oxidant (20). The present compound is then probably  $(Te_3S_3)(SbF_6)_{27}$  although a disordered compound of the type  $(Te_x S_{6-x})(SbF_6)_2$ cannot be ruled out. The unit cell dimensions of an isomorphous crop of crystals isolated using the same procedure, but with the Te/S/SbF₅ reagent stoichiometry of 6:6:3, were accurately determined on a Syntex P2, diffractometer (see Appendix).

V.7 Anion-Cation Interactions in  $M_6^{2+}$  Structures.

As was noted in the previous two chapters describing the  $M_{10}^{2+}$  and  $M_8^{2+}$  structures, there are several interionic contacts to the  $M_6^{2+}$  cations that are significantly shorter than the van der Waals limits and are in stereochemically meaningful positions. The contacts to the three-coordinate tellurium atoms are generally shorter and greater is number

than those to the selenium and sulfur atoms. These observations are consistent with the greater size (polarizability) of tellurium and with the positive charges being localized at the three-coordinate tellurium atoms. The arrangement of the primary and secondary bonds to Te(11) in  $(Te_2Se_4)(SbF_6)_2$ (Fig. V.3) produces a tricapped trigonal prism, with the lone pair of electrons on tellurium presumably capping a triangular face of the prism. This can be compared with geometries observed about tellurium in  $(Te_2Se_6)(Te_2Se_8)$ - $(AsF_6)_4(SO_2)_2$  and  $(TeF_3)(Sb_2F_{11})$  in Figure III.7.

The  $Sb_3^{*}F_{14}^{-}$  anion in the compound  $(Te_2Se_4)(Sb_3F_{14})^{-}$ (SbF₆) contains an Sb(III) atom as well as two Sb(V) atoms. The stereoactive lone pair of electrons on Sb(III) is of interest when considering interionic contacts. The geometry of this anion is described along with other salts containing the Sb_3F_{14}^{-} anion in chapter VI.

V.8 Comparison of  $M_6^{2+}$  Structures.

All of the  $M_6^{2+}$  cations described above adopt the boat conformation with two tellurium atoms forming a crossring bond. The cations in the compounds  $(Te_{2.7}Se_{3.3})(SbF_6)^2$ and  $(Te_{3.4}Se_{2.6})(SbF_6)_2$  are occupationally disordered with both of the apical selenium positions of the boat (Se(3) and Se(4) of Fig. V.1) partially occupied by tellurium. In both cases the tellurium concentration is higher at the apex opposite the basal Te--Te bond (Table V.1). The lengths of



FIGURE V.3 Anion-cation interactions at Te in Te₂Se₄²⁺ structures. All views toward assumed position of lone pair. the bonds to the partially tellurium-substituted positions are significantly longer than the average Te--Se and Se--Se bonds in the structures with simple  $Te_2Se_4^{2+}$  cations (Table V.2). Analogous bonds in different  $Te_2Se_4^{2+}$  cations show some small variations as well, most probably as a result of differences in anion-cation interactions. The bond lengths to Se(3) and Se(4) in the published structures (20) of " $(Te_2Se_4)(AsF_6)_2$ " and " $(Te_2Se_4)(SbF_6)_2$ ", however, are significantly longer than the mean bond lengths to these positions in the other  $Te_2Se_4^{2+}$  salts (Table V.2), indicating partial tellurium substitution in these structures as well.

The cross-ring Se(3)...Se(4) distances in the Te Se  $^{2+}$  structures are comparable in magnitude to crossring distances in Te₂Se₆²⁺, Te_xSe_{10-x}²⁺ and Se₁₀²⁺ structures described earlier (chapters III and IV) and may a]] indicate weak bonding interactions. The observation that Se(3) and Se(4) sites in the  $Te_x Se_{6-x}^{2+}$  cation are the preferentially occupied over the Se(5) and Se(6), sites by the larger, more polarizable tellurium atoms (see Table V.1) lends some support to this bonding argument. A plot of the Se(3)...Se(4) distance as a function of total tellurium content at these two positions for the mean Te₂Se₄ $^{2+}$  cation (Te content = 0) and the mixed  $(Te_{2.7}Se_{3.3})(SbF_6)_2$ and  $(Te_{3.4}Se_{2.6})(SbF_6)_2$ , structures gives a straight line with a correlation coefficient, R = 0.99996 (Fig. V.4). According

Table V.1	Occupation	of	the	Sites	in	the	Te_Se2+	Cation.
	•							

	(Te ₂ .	7 ^{Se} 3.3)(Si	bF ₆ ) ₂		(Te ^{3,4} Se _{2.6} )(SbF ₆ ) ₂					
Site	a K ^b	# of electrons	%Te ^C	[™] Se ^C	κ ^b	∦ of electrons	%Te ^C	'≴Se ^C		
1	1.029(10)	53.5(5)	100	0	0.994(9)	51.7(5)	100	0		
2	1.006(8)	52.3(4)	100	0	0.997(8)	51.8(4)	100	0		
3	1.119(13) ^d	38.0(4)	22.5	77.5	0.874(8) ^e	45.4(4)	63.6	36.4		
4	0.822(7) ^e	42.7(4).	48.6	51.4	0.936(7) ^e	48,7(4)	81.5	18.5		
5	0.979(11)	33-3(4)	0	100	0:990(11)	33.7(4)	0	100		
6	1.014(12)	34.5(4)	0	100	1.026(12)	34.9(4)	0,	100		

(a) Numbering as in Fig. V.1. (b) Population parameter from refinement in the program SHELX. (c) Sites where the number of electrons is, within 3 standard deviations of 34 or 52 are considered to be 100% Se or Te respectively. (d) Refined as Se. (e) Refined as Te.

8

٠ť

Compound T	Ref	÷	Bond Length (Å) ^a										
		1 - 2	1 - 3	1 - 5	2 - 3	2 - 5	4 - 5	4 - 6	3 4	56			
$Te_2Se_4(AsF_6)_2^b$	21	2.812(5)	2.547(6)	2.566(6)	2.530(6)	2.527(8)	2.284(9)	2.327(8)	3,350(8)	3.384(8)			
		2.797(4)	2,563(5)	2.545(5)	2.568(5)	2.543(6)	2.324(7)	2.314(7)	3,390(7)	3.451(7)			
Te2Se4(SbF6)2b	c	2.800(3)	2.519(5)	2.558(5)	2.524(5)	2.554(5)	2,317(7)	2.335(7.)	3.364(6)	3.469(6)			
• • • • •		2.809(4)	2.520(5)	2.553(5)	2.504(5)	2.551(6)	2.298(7)	2,305(6)	3.374(6)	3.429(6)			
$Te_2Se_4(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$	+ С	2.770(4)	2.460(5)	2.522(6)	2.466(5)	2.494(5)	2.280(7)	2.268(7)	3,344(6)	3.372(7)			
Hean Te ₂ Se ₄ ²⁺	· <u>-</u>	2.798	2.522	2.549	2.518	2.534	2.301	2.310	3.364	3.421			
Te2.7Se3.3(SbF6)2	` c	2.793(2)	2.558(3)	2.552(3)	567(4)	2.546(3)	2,385(4)	2,400(4)	3.453(4)	3.491(4)			
Te3.4 Se2.6 (SbF6)2	¥ c	2.802(2)	2.646(3)	2:579(3)	2.646(3)	2,567(3)	2.445(3)	2.469(3)	3,543(3)	3.531(3)			
"Te2Se4(SbF6)2"d	_ 20	2.786(7)	2.539(10)	2.532(9)	2.525(11)	2.539(9)	2.346(10)	2,346(11)	3,412(11)	3.445(10)			
"Te ₂ Se ₄ (AsF ₆ ) ₂ =e	20	2.82(2)	2.59(2)	2.57(2)	2.56(2)	2.58(2)	2.33(2)	2.38(2)	3,49(2)	3.42(2)			

Table Y.Z. Bond Lengths in the  $Te_2Se_4^{2+}$  and  $Te_xSe_{6-x}^{2+}$  Cations.

(a) Numbering as in Fig. V.1. (b) Two independent cations in the structure. (c) This work. (d) Proposed composition based on 3...4 distance:  $Te_{2.4}Se_{3.6}(SbF_6)_2$ . (e) Proposed composition based on 3...4 distance:  $Te_{3.0}Se_{3.0}(AsF_6)_2$ .

to this plot, the Se(3)...Se(4) distances in the published structures of " $(Te_2Se_4)(AsF_6)_2$ " and " $(Te_2Se_4)(SbF_6)_2$ " indicate that these compounds would be better described as  $(Te_{3,0}Se_{3,0})(AsF_6)_2$  and  $(Te_{4}Se_{3,6})(SbF_6)_2$  respectively. These compounds were prepared from equimolar mixtures of tellurium and selenium and *it* would therefore not be surprising for the Te-Se ratio of the products to be greater than the value of 0.5 for  $Te_2Se_4^{2+}$ . The bond angles in the  $Te_2Se_4^{2+}$  and  $Te_xSe_{6-x}^{2+}$  cations (Table V.3) are sensitive to "changes in the tellurium content of the cation as well. The  $Te(\mathbf{F})$ -Se(3)-Te(2) and Se(5)-Se(4)-Se(6) angles in the  $Te_{3,4}Se_{2,6}^{2+}$  cation are néarly 4° smaller than in the mean  $Te_2Se_4^{2+}$  cation. These angles in the published structures of " $(Te_2Se_4)(AsF_6)_2$ " and " $(Te_2Se_4)(SbF_6)_2$ " are smaller than those of the mean cation as well, again indicating partial tellurium substitution of the Se(3) and Se(4) sites in the compounds

The unit cell volume of a compound is instructive in determining its composition. As outlined in section IX.2, the cell volume of a given compound can be accurately predicted from a summation of the average volumes occupied by its component parts. The  $AsF_6$ ,  $SbF_6$  and  $Sb_3F_{14}$  anions have average volumes of 101, 114 and 265 Å³ respectively, so that the mean volume of the  $Te_2Se_4^{2+}$  cation, as determined by subtracting the appropriate anion volumes from the cell volumes of the compounds  $(Te_2Se_4)(AsF_6)_2$  (redetermined struc-



FIGURE V.4 Plots of site (3) - site (4) distance and cation volume as a function of mean Te percentage at sites (3) and (4) in  $\text{Te}_x \text{Se}_{6-x}^{2+}$  cations.

Isple 1.3 Bond Angles	.11 5	ne le23e4		"6-x "	1083.			•			
Compound ,	Ref.	•	<b>;</b>	•	•	Bond	Angle (deg)	) <b>*</b> `		· . ] *	ب
· · ·		2-1-3	2-1-5	3-1-5	1-2-3	1-2-6	3 2 - 6	1-3-2	5-4-6	1-5-4	2-6-4
$Te_2Se_4(AsF_6)_2^b$	21	56.1(2)	96.9(2)	99.4(2)	56.7(2)	95.9(2)	101.0(2)	67.3(2)	94.4(3)	96.7(2)	97.2(3)
	Ьт.	57.1(1)	97.7(1)	99.7(2)	56.9(1)	97.0(2)	101.3(2)	66.1(1)	96.1(2)	97.462)	96.7(2)
$Te_2Se_4(SbF_6)_2^b$	÷	56.4(1)	98.9(1)	99.7(2)	56.2(1)	96.0(1)	100.7(2)	67.4(1)	96.4(2)	96.6(2)	97.0(2)
- · · ·	·····	55.7(1)	97.4(1)	99.8(2)	56.3(1)	96.5(1)	101.0(2)	68.0(1)	96.3(2)	97.3(2)	97.0(2)
Te2Se4(Sb3F14)(SbF6)	c'	55.9(1)	96.0(2)	100.5(2)	55.7(1)	97.8(2)	100.8(2)	68.4(1)	95.7(2)	97.8(2)	97.7(2)
Kean Te ₂ Se ₄ ²⁺ •	-	56.2	97.4	99.8	56.4 ^{'⊄}	95.6	101.0	67.4	95.8	97.2	97.1
Te2.7Se3.3(SbF6)2	¢	57.1(1)	96.9(1)	101.4(1)	56.8(1)	98.8(1)	100.0(1)	66.0(1)	93.7(1)	98.0(1)	98.3(1)
Te3.4Se2.6(SbF6)2	¢ ·	58.0(1)	,97.0(1)	101.7(1)	58.0(1)	99.2(1)	100.9(1)	63.9(1)	91.9(1)	98.4(1)	98.4(1)
"Te2Se4(SbF6)2+d	20	56.4(3)	96.8(2)	101.8(3)	56.9(2)	98.0(3)	99.9(3)	66.8(9)	94.3(4)	97.5(3)	98.1(3)
$Te_2Se_4(AsF_6)_2$	20	57(1)	99877	101(1)	59(1)	96(1)	99(1)	64(1)	94(1)	98(1)	99(1)

2+

21

 $\mathcal{D}$ 

Á

11

)

**92** L

(a) Numbering as in Fig. V.1. (b) Jwo independent cations in the structure. (c) This work. (d) Proposed composition based on 3...4 distance:  $Te_{2.4}Se_{3.0}(ASF_6)_2$ . (e) Proposed composition based on 3...4 distance:  $Te_{3.0}Se_{3.0}(ASF_6)_2$ .
ture),  $(Te_2Se_4)(SbF_6)_2$  (form reported here) and  $(Te_2Se_4)$ - $(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$ , is 187 Å³ (Table V.4). This compares favorably with the value of 188  ${\rm \AA}^3$  as calculated from the average Se and Te volumes of 28 and 38  $Å^3$  respectively (Table IX.2). A plot of cation volume (Table V.4) as a function of total %Te at the Se(3) and Se(4) sites (Table V.1) gives a linear plot for the mean  $Te_2Se_4^{2+}$  cation and the  $Te_{2.7}Se_{3.3}^{2+}$  and  $Te_{3.4}Se_{2.6}^{2+}$  cations (R = 0.9987, see Fig. V.4). The published structures of " $(Te_2Se_4)(AsF_6)_2$ " and , "(Te₂Se₄)(SbF₆)₂" have cation volumes <u>of</u> 194 and 192 ÅЗ respectively, 'significantly larger than that of the mean Te₂Se₄²⁺cation, which again indicates some tellurium substitution at the presumed selenium sites in these compounds. The use of the cation volume as a measure of its composition is probably more useful than the bond length and bond angle compagisons described earlier since a complete structure determination is not required for the former comparison.

The Te₂S₄²⁺ cation in  $(Te_{2.1}S_{3.9})(SbF_6)_2$  is only the second Te-S cation to be characterized. This cation is isostructural with Te₂Se₄²⁺, with the two tellurium atoms forming a cross-ring bond (Fig. V.5). Like the Te_xSe_{6-x}²⁺ salts, there is some occupational disorder in this compound with S(13) and S(23) (Fig. V.5) partially substituted by tellurium in the two independent cations of the structure (Table V.5). As was observed for "(Te₂Se₄)(AsF₆)₂" and

٩.

. 93

Compound *	Ref.	Unit Cell Volume	Z	Kolec. Volume	Cation Volume(Å) ³	
$Te_2Se_4(AsF_6)_2$	21	3124	8	390	188	
$Te_2Se_4(SbF_6)_2$	a	6614	16	413	185	
Te ₂ Se ₄ (Sb ₃ F ₁₄ )(SbF ₆ )	a	4546	8	568	189	
Hean Te ₂ Se4 ²⁺	-		-	-	187 -	•.
Te _{2.7} Se _{3.3} (SbF ₆ ) ₂	a	1691	4	423	195	
Te3.4Se2.6(SbF6)2	2	. 1720	4	430	202	
Te2Se4(SbF6)2"	20	1679	- 4	420	192	
$Te_2Se_4(AsF_6)_2=C$	200	1585	41	396	194	7

ance:  $Te_{2.4}Se_{3.6}(SbF_6)_2$ . (c) Proposed composition based on 3...4 distance:  $Te_{3.0}Se_{3.0}(AsF_6)_2$ .





PIGURE V.5 ORTEP views of the "Te₂S₄²⁺" cations in , (Te_{2.1}S_{3.9})(SbF₆)₂.

" $(Te_2Se_4)(SbF_6)_2$ ", the bond lengths to S(4) in the published structure (20) of  $(Te_3S_3)(AsF_6)_2$  (Fig. V.1) are significantly longer than to the analogous S(14) and S(24) atoms in  $(Te_{2,1}S_{3,9})(SbF_6)_2$ , indicating that there is probably some tellurium substitution at S(4) of  $(Te_3S_3)(AsF_6)_2$ as well (Table V.6). The slight decrease in the S(5)-S(4)-S(6) bond the  $(Te_3S_3)(AsF_6)_2$  structure compared in angle ∵to  $(Te_{2,1}S_{3,9})(SbF_6)_2$  (Table V.7) is again consistent with this supposition. The Te--S bonds of the Te₂S₃ ring are essentially the same length in both structures. The analogous Te--Se bonds of the Te Se $_{6-x}^{2+}$  cations are of essentially length in all of the known compounds as well equal (Table V.3). It is a common feature in these structures that the two three-coordinate positions are essentially entirely occupied by tellurium while the remaining two atoms in the plane are either selenium or sulfur. If the composition is tellurium-rich, then only the two apical more positions become partially occupied by tellurium (see Tables V.1 and V.5).

An obvious difference of the  $Te_x S_{6-x}^{2+}$  and the  $Ie_x Se_{6-x}^{2+}$  cations is the preferance for tellurium occupation of site (3) in the Te-S cations and site (4) in the Te-Se cations. This behaviour may be related to the large difference in Te--S and Te--Se bond lengths. The (1)-(2)-(3) and (2)-(1)-(3) bond angles are particularly acute in all of these structures as a result of the cross-ring Te(1)--Te(2)

Table V.5 Occupation of Cation Sites in  $(Te_{2,1}S_{3,9})(SbF_6)_2$ .

		Cation 1		,		Cation 2		
ea	κ ^b	∦ of electrons	≴Te ^C	\$\$C	ĸÞ	∉ of electrons	≴Te ^C	*s ^c -
Ο.	982(6)	51.1(3)	100	0	0.982(6)	51.0(3)	97.4	2.6
1.	.003(6)	52.2(3)	100	0	0,999(6)	51.9(3)	100	0
1.	199(21)	19.2(4)	8.8	91.2	1.297(21)	20.8(4)	13.2	86.8
1.	022(22)	16.4(4)	0	100	1.001(21)	16.0(4)	° 0	100
1.	.024(24)	16.4(4)	0	100	1.092(24)	17.5(4)	4.1	95.9
σ.	948(23)	15.2(4)	0	·~=100	1.030(23)	16.5(4)	0	100
	e ^a 0. 1. 1. 1. 0.	e ^a κ ^b 0.982(6) 1.003(6) 1.199(21) 1.022(22) 1.024(24) σ.948(23)	Cation 1 e k ^b for electrons 0.982(6) 51.1(3) 1.003(6) 52.2(3) 1.199(21) 19.2(4) 1.022(22) 16.4(4) 1.024(24) 16.4(4) 0.948(23) 15.2(4)	Cation 1         ea       kb       f of electrons       %Te ^C 0.982(6)       51.1(3)       100         1.003(6)       52.2(3)       100         1.199(21)       19.2(4)       8.8         1.022(22)       16.4(4)       0         1.024(24)       16.4(4)       0         0.948(23)       15.2(4)       0	Cation 1 $e^a \ \kappa^b$ $i \ of \\ electrons$ $Te^c \ \chi S^c$ $0.982(6)$ $51.1(3)$ $100$ $0$ $1.003(6)$ $52.2(3)$ $100$ $0$ $1.199(21)$ $19.2(4)$ $8.8$ $91.2$ $1.022(22)$ $16.4(4)$ $0$ $100$ $1.024(24)$ $16.4(4)$ $0$ $100$ $0.948(23)$ $15.2(4)$ $0$ $-100$	Cation 1         ea       K ^b # of electrons       % Te ^C % S ^C K ^b 0.982(6)       51.1(3)       100       0       0.982(6)         1.003(6)       52.2(3)       100       0       0.999(6)         1.199(21)       19.2(4)       8.8       91.2       1.297(21)         1.022(22)       16.4(4)       0       100       1.001(21)         1.024(24)       16.4(4)       0       100       1.092(24)         0.948(23)       15.2(4)       0       ~100       1.030(23)	Cation 1Cation 2 $e^a$ $\kappa^b$ $i$ of electrons $\chi Te^c$ $\chi S^c$ $\kappa^b$ $i$ of electrons0.982(6)51.1(3)10000.982(6)51.0(3)1.003(6)52.2(3)10000.999(6)51.9(3)1.199(21)19.2(4)8.891.21.297(21)20.8(4)1.022(22)16.4(4)01001.001(21)16.0(4)1.024(24)16.4(4)01001.092(24)17.5(4) $\sigma.948(23)$ 15.2(4)0~1001.030(23)16.5(4)	Cation 1Cation 2 $e^{a}$ $\kappa^{b}$ $i$ of electrons $\chi Te^{C}$ $\chi S^{C}$ $\kappa^{b}$ $i$ of electrons $\chi Te^{C}$ 0.982(6)51.1(3)10000.982(6)51.0(3)97.41.003(6)52.2(3)10000.999(6)51.9(3)1001.199(21)19.2(4)8.891.21.297(21)20.8(4)13.21.022(22)16.4(4)01001.001(21)16.0(4)01.024(24)16.4(4)01001.092(24)17.5(4)4.10.948(23)15.2(4)0~1001.030(23)16.5(4)0

(a) Numbering as in Fig. V.1. (b) Population parameter from refinement in the program SHELX. (c) Sites where the number of electrons is within 3 standard deviations of 16 or 52 are con-Sidered to be 100% S or Te respectively.

1.1

Table V.6 Bond Lengths (Å) in the  $Te_3S_3^{2+}$  and  $Te_{2.1}S_{3.9}^{2+}$  Cations.

	Te353(AsF6)2	Te _{2.1} S _{3.9}	(SbF ₆ ) ₂
	•	Cation 1	Cation 2
1 - 2 ^b	2.787(4)	2.778(2)	2.782(2)
1 - 3	2.684(4)	2.422(6)	2.414(6)
1 - 5	2.443(12)	2.443(8)	2.430(7)
2 - 3	2.665(16)	2.423(6)	2.453(6)
2 - 6	2.468(10)	2.434(7)	2.440(7)
4 - 5	2.098(15)	2.022(11)	2.027(11)
4 - 6	2.105(16)	2.045(12)	2.015(11)
34	3.391(12)	3.181(11)	3.208(11)
56	3.213(16)	3.137(11)	3.129(11)
-			

(a) From ref. (20). (b) Numbering as in Fig. V.1.

bond (Tables V.3 and V.7). These angles are most acute when site (3) is occupied by sulfur since Te--S bonds are shorter than Te--Se or Te--Te bonds. Site (4) is apparently slightly more favorable for tellurium occupation in the Te-Se but in the Te-S case site (3) may be preferentialy cations, minimize the (1)-(2)-(3) and occupied to (2) - (1) - (3)angle strain.

Table ٧.7 Bond Angles (°) in the Te $_3S_3^{2+}$  and Te $_{2.1}S_{3.9}^{2+}$  Cations.

	Te ₃ S ₃ (AsF ₆ )2 ^a	Te _{2.1} S _{3.9} (SbF ₆ ) ₂				
	<b>`</b>	Cation 1	Cation 2			
2-1-3 ^b	58.3(1)	55.0(2)	55.8(2)			
2-1-5	94.6(3)	93.2(2)	93.8(2)			
3-1-5	100.3(3)	98.7(2)	99.0(2)			
1-2-3	58.9(1)	55.0(2)	54.5(1)			
1-2-6	95.4(3)	95.2(2)	94.3(2)			
3-2-6	99.5(3)	97.2(3)	97.1(3)			
1-3-2	62.8(1)	70.0(2)	69.7(2)			
5 - 4 - 6	99.7(6)	101.0(5)	101.4(5)			
1-5-4	98.7(4)	98.5(4)	98.9(4)			
2-6-4	98.2(5)	98.9(4)	99:8(3)			
			,			

(a) From ref. (20). (b) Numbering as in Fig. V.1

## CHAPTER VI M₄²⁺ CATIONS



1

. } {

## VI.1 Introduction.

A large number of salts of the  $M_4^{2+}$  cation have been prepared where M = S, Se, or Te (see Table I.1). In all cases the cation has a very nearly square-planar  $(D_{4h})$ structure. Small bond length differences and deviations of the bond angles from 90° have been attributed to chargetransfer interactions of the  $M_A^{2+}$  cations with the accompanying anions (23). The bond lengths in these cations are slightly shorter than the normally accepted values for the corresponding single bonds (40). This is consistent with a valence-bond description in terms of four equivalent resonance structures such as (VI.I), or alternatively in terms of molecular orbital theory (10) with delocalization of six  $\pi$ electrons to give an aromatic system (VI.II). The isoelectronic species  $S_2N_2$  and  $Bi_4^{2-}$  (both with 22 valence electrons) also have square-planar structures (79, 80). The  $Si_{A}^{6-}$  anion, however, has a butterfly structure (VI.III), and has been described as an opened  $Si_4^{4-}$ , which is a tetrahedron (64, 81). This  $Si_4^{6-}$  anion appears to have a localized bonding system in contrast to the  ${m lpha}$  delocalization observed for  $S_2N_2$ ,  $Bi_4^{2-}$  and the  $M_4^{2+}$  cations.



VI.II

VI.III

VI.I

The complete series of  $Te_x Se_{4-x}^{2+}$  cations have been observed in solution by NMR methods (50, 78) and the two fluoroantimonate salts  $(Te_2Se_2)(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$  and  $(Te_{3.3}Se_{0.7})(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$ , the latter containing a disordered mixture of  $M_4^{2+}$  cations, had been incompletely characterized in our laboratory prior to the present work.

VI.2 Preparation of  $(Te_2Se_2)(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$ .

This compound was prepared using both a 1:1 mixture selenium and tellurium powders and a 1:1 alloy (kindly of provided by Dr. J.E. Vekris) finely-powdered in a mortar. a typical experiment 1.033 g (5 mmol) of the 1:1 Te-Se In alloy was reacted with 2.167 g (10 mmol) of  ${
m SbF}_5$  in 30 mL of SO, solvent following the procedure outlined in chapter II. There was an immediate reaction producing a red solution, the color of which intensified very considerably on stirring several hours. The reaction was allowed to proceed, for with stirring, for one week. After filtering off a brown mixture of insoluble reaction products the deep blood-red solution was allowed to stand for 48 h at room temperature and a large quantity of black crystals was deposited. These crystals were shown to be  $(trans-Te_2Se_2)(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$  by an X-ray crystal structure determination.

When the same procedure was used with the mixture of selenium and tellurium powders a green solution was initially obtained, indicating the formation of  $Se_8^{2+}$ . The color

100

of this solution changed to a blood-red after about 0.5 h. Precession photographs revealed that the resulting crystals were again  $(Te_2Se_2)(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$ , although the solution colors indicate that the compound was formed by a different route. When less oxidant was used, for example the amount required for reaction VI.1 rather than the stoichiometry used in the present case, reaction VI.2, the crystalline product was  $(Te_2Se_4)(SbF_6)_2$  (see section V.2).

2	(Te-Se) +	$3 \text{ SbF}_5 \longrightarrow (\text{Te}_2\text{Se}_2)(\text{SbF}_6)_2 + \text{SbF}_3$	VI.1
2	(Te-Se) +	4 $SbF_5 \longrightarrow (Te_2Se_2)(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$	VI.2

VI.3 Preparation of  $(Te_{3.0}Se_{1.0})(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$ .

Tellurium (1.8163 g, 14.23 mmol) and selenium (0.3747 g, 4.745 mmol) were reacted with  $SbF_5$  (4.108 g, 18.95 mmol) in 30 mL of SO2 using the procedure described above. A green solution was initially obtained which turned red within a few minutes. After stirring for 3.5 h the solution was filtered and allowed to stand for 24 h. the resulting black crystals were then isolated and their composition was shown to be  $(Te_{3,0}Se_{1,0})(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$  by a subsequent X-ray crystal structure determination. The cation this structure is occupationally disordered as described in If the same procedure was followed except that a below.  $\cdot$  reaction time of one week was allowed, as for Te₂Se₂(Sb₄F₂₀), the crystalline product was  $Te_2Se_4(SbF_6)_2$ . Insoluble Te₆(SbF₆)₄ was presumably another major product in the one

week reaction.

VI.4 , Attempted Preparation of  $(TeSe_3)(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$ .

When the procedure outlined above was employed except with a Te-Se ratio of 1:3, a deep amber oil resulted for reaction times of both 3.5 h and one week. Only in the latter case were crystals formed from the oil and these proved to be  $(Te_2Se_4)(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$  as outlined in section V.3. A subsequent ⁷⁷Se NMR investigation of the oil, however, demonstrated that the major products were  $TeSe_3^{2+}$  (547.2 and 453.4 ppm) and  $Se_4^{2+}$  (627.7 ppm) with significant amounts of cis-Te_2Se_2^{2+} (327.8 ppm) and Te_2Se_4^{2+} (-473.6 and -1157.8 ppm) also observed. The ⁷⁷Se chemical shifts of these species have been well established in oleum (50).

VI.5 Preparation of  $(S_{3.0}Se_{1.0})_2(Sb_4F_{17})(SbF_6)_3$ .

Antimony -pentafluoride will oxidize sulfur to  $S_A^{2+}$ only under extreme conditions (82, 83). Passmore and coworkers have shown, however, that in the presence of  $Br_2$  or  $I_2$ even the weaker oxidant AsF₅ will readily oxidize S₈ to S₄²⁺ (22). This method of halogen-assisted oxidation was used in the present preparation of a mixed S-Se cation. A trace of Br, (0.02 g, 0.1 mmol) was distilled onto a frozen mixture of SbF₅ (6.11 g, 28.2 mmol) and SO₂ (40 mL). Upon thawing, the cold solution was poured onto a mixture of selenium (0.5564 g, 7.047 mmol) and sulfur (0.6799 g, 21.20 mmol) powders. The pale orange solution color of Br, was immed-

iately replaced by a deep green and then a deep blue-green color after about 0.25 h. After stirring for 12 h the solution was filtered and allowed to stand for 48 h when a few canary-yellow crystals were observed under the deep blue After 30 days the solution was poured off, leavsolution. a large quantity of pale yellow crystals as well as the inq few canary-yellow crystals. Precession photographs revealed that the latter crystals were  $(Se_4)(Sb_9F_{39})$  (23) while the former were a different compound but most of these crystals After photographing about twenty of these were twinned. crystals one was eventually found with only negligible twinning. A subsequent X-ray crystal structure determination revealed the composition of the pale yellow compound to be  $(S_{3.0}Se_{1.0})_2(Sb_4F_{17})(SbF_6)_3$ . This is the only crystalline compound as yet prepared with a mixed S-Se cation and additionally provides the only example of the  $Sb_4F_{17}$  anion. The cation (is occupationally disordered in a similar fashion to  $(Te_{3.0}Se_{1.0})(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$ , as described below.

VI.6 Occupational Disorder.

 $(Te_{3.0}Se_{1.0})(Sb_{3}F_{14})(SbF_{6})$ : The cation in this structure possesses occupational disorder in that each of the four sites is partially occupied by both tellurium and selenium (see Table VI.1) and the average composition is  $Te_{3.0}Se_{1.0}^{2+}$ , which matches the stoichiometry of the reaction. The population parameters are consistent with a dis-

TABLE VI.1 Occupation of the Four Sites in the  $Te_{3.0}Se_{1.0}^{2+}$  and  $S_{3.0}Se_{1.0}^{2+}$  Cations.^a

	^{Te} 3.0 ⁵	2+ 2+ 1.0	^S 3.0 ^{Se}	S _{3.0} Se _{1.0} ²⁺			
Site	Те	Se	S	Se			
1	0.66	0.34	0.60	0.40			
2	0.91	0.09	0.85*	0.15			
3	0.60	0.40	0.68	0.32			
4	0.85	0.15	0.87	0.13			
Total	3.02	0.98	3.00	1.00			

(a) Determined by refining the population parameters in the program SHELX (48).

105

ordered Te₃Se²⁺ cation, although the crystallographic evidence does, not exclude the possibility that the crystal contains a mixture of some or all of the cations  $Te_x se_{4-x}^{2+}$ , x = 0-4, with the average composition of the mixture being Te_{3.0}Se_{1.0}²⁺.

 $(S_{3,0}Se_{1,0})_2(Sb_4F_{17})(SbF_6)_3$ : There are two independent  $M_4^{2+}$ cations in this structure but both are situated at inversion centers so that there are only four independent sites. Each is partially occupied by both sulfur and selenium as site outlined in Table VI.1. As was the case for  $(Te_{3,0}Se_{1,0})(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$ , the resulting  $S_{3,0}Se_{1,0}^{2+}$  cation fits the stoichiometry of the reagents used in its preparation and could result from either a disordered  $S_3Se^{2+}$  cation or a mixture of different cations. Subsequent ⁷⁷Se and ¹²⁵Te NMR investigations, however, indicated that the latter hypothesis is true in both cases (sections VI.7 and VI.9).

ъ.

Selenium-77 AND 125Te NMR OF Te_xSe_{4-x}²⁺. VI.7  $(Te_2Se_2)(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$ : When dissolved in 30% oleum this compound gave a ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum consisting of one major ...resonance surrounded by a satellite doublet of total relative intensity 12%. This corresponds to coupling to two equivalent, naturally abundant tellurium atoms (see Table IV.4), as would be expected for trans-Te₂Se₂²⁺. The chemical shift (356.8 ppm) and coupling constant (545 Hz) are consistent with those previously assigned for trans-Te₂Se₂^{2+°}

____(see Table VI.2).

 $(Te_{3,0}Se_{1,0}^{f})(Sb_{3}F_{14})(SbF_{6})$ : The ¹²⁵Te NMR spectrum of  $(Te_{3,0}Se_{1,0})(Sb_{3}F_{14})(SbF_{6})$  dissolved in 100% H₂SO₄ is presented in Figure VI.1. As described in section VI.6 above, composition of this compound remained uncertain after the X-ray crystal structure analysis. It could not be the determined whether the cation consisted of a disordered  $Te_3Se^{2+}$  cation or a disordered mixture of  $Te_xSe_{4-x}^{2+}$  cations of average composition  $Te_{3,0}Se_{1,0}^{2+}$ . Figure VI.1 indicates that the latter hypothesis is in fact the correct one as the cations trans-Te₂Se₂²⁺, Te₃Se²⁺ and Te₄²⁺ are identified by . their chemical shift values (see Table VI.2). The intensity of the Te₂Se₂²⁺ resonance is roughly half that of the Te₄²⁺ resonance indicating that since there are twice as many tellurium atoms in  $Te_A^{2+}$ , the two species are present in roughly equal concentrations. No other tellurium containing Te_vSe_{4-v}²⁺ cations were observed and a ⁷⁷Se NMR investigation revealed no Se $_{A}^{2+}$ . The average composition of the mixture of the cations is then  $Te_{3,0}Se_{1,0}^{2+}$ , in good agreement with the structure determination.

To confirm that the product was not a mixture of crystals of separate fluoroantimonate salts of  $Te_4^{2+}$ ,  $Te_2Se_2^{2+}$  and  $Te_3Se^{2+}$  (disordered), the ¹²⁵Te NMR spectrum of a 100%  $H_2SO_4$  solution of a single crystal from the same sample was obtained. The signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) was

	e e a		nom)	1				J{Hz]		vi -	· · · ·	~
CATION	SOLVENT	125 _{Te}	77 _{Sé}	77 _s	e- ⁷⁷ Se	7	⁷ se- ¹²⁵ Te	12	3 _{Te-} 125 _{Te}	125 _{Te}	- ¹²⁵ Te'	REF
Se4 ²⁺	68% pleum 30% pleum 50 ₂		636.7 ^b 645.7 633.3			•		• •	~	• • •	•	50 50 c
TeSe3 ²⁺	68% oleum 65% oleum 30% oleum S0 ₂₀	2641 4 - 2642 ^b 2632 4 4	55.2, 566.3 (1) (2) 44.8, 592.2 53.4, 547.2		188	7	757 ^d 64 ^d , 581 ^e 50 ^d , 597 ^e			•		50 78 50 c
cis-Te ₂ Se ₂ ²⁺	687 oleum 651 oleum AsF3 902	2538 ^b 2552 2541	338.2 ^b 327.8	· ¥		4	70 ^d , 195 ^e 57 ^d , 200 ^e		•		•	50 78 c c
trans-Te ₂ Se ₂ ²⁺	687 oleum 657 oleum 307 oleum 1001 H ₂ SO ₄	2413b 2418 2391 2399 - 2376 2380	362.2 360.9 356.8 391.1		•	1	531 330 550 545 554 541 484	•	<b>r</b> .		۱ ,	50 78 50 c 50 c c
Te ₃ Se ²⁺	ASF3 68% oleum 30% oleum 100% H2S04 ASF3	2439 2196, 2292 (1): (2) 2197, 2293 2221, 2292 2161, 23145 2186 2296	129.6	•			207 ^d	•	•	- 1	347	50 c c c 78
Te ₄ ²⁺	552 68% oleum 65% oleum 30% oleum 100% H ₂ SO ₄	1912 1934 2100 1926 1971					<b>.</b>	67 68	6 ^d , 602 ^e 0 ^{d,g} , 604	815 ^d e 820 ^d	f 726 ^e	f 50 f 78 50 f c f 50
	AsF3 S02	1948 1912 1897 1882 ^h					• •	53 68	4 ^d , 743 ^e 0 ^{h,i}	644 ^d	f, 896 ^e ,	f c c c

TABLE VI.2 Chemical Shifts and Coupling Constants for the  $Te_x Se_{4-x}^{2+}$  Cations in Various Solvents.

1

(a) With respect to saturated aqueous (HO)₆Te and H₂SeO₃. All samples run at ambient temp, unless otherwise noted. (b) Mean value. (c) This work. (d) cis-. (e) trans-. (f) Calculated value based on ob<del>served</del> ¹²³Te-¹²⁵Te coupling. (g) Misprinted in Reference. (h) 200 K. (i) cfs- and trans- not resolved.



FIGURE VI.1 ¹²⁵Te NMR spectrum of  $Te_{3.0}Se_{1.0}(Sb_4F_{20})$ dissolved in 100% H₂SO₄. Lower trace; bulk crystalline sample, 78.97 MHz; 0.02 m; 20,000 scans; 7.6 Hz/pt. (A) trans-Te₂Se₂²⁺, (B) and (C) Te₃Se²⁺, (D) Te₄²⁺. Upper trace: a single crystal, 7 x 10⁻⁴m, 390,000 scans.

.

understandably poor as the concentration was only 7 x  $10^{-4}$  m and the natural abundance sensitivity of ¹²⁵Te relative to ¹H is 2.20 x  $10^{-3}$ . After an accumulation of 390,000 scans (acquisition time = 12.5 h) the resonance due to trans-Te₂Se₂²⁺, the weakest resonance observed for the bulk sample, could not be discerned with certainty over the noise level, but resonances for the species Te₃Se²⁺ and Te₄^{'2+} were clearly observed and in approximately the same ratio as in the bulk sample (Fig. VI.1). It appears clear then that the cation of this compound consists of a mixture of Te_xSe_{4-x}²⁺ cations, with Te₃Se²⁺, Te₄^{'2+} and trans-Te₂Se₂^{'2+} being the major constituents.

The ¹²⁵Te NMR spectrum of  $(Te_{3,0}Se_{1,0})(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$ dissolved in AsF₃ is presented in Figure VI.2. The spectrum is similar to that observed in 100% H₂SO₄ but the smaller half-width of the resonances in  $AsF_3$  (30 Hz v.s. 50-55 Hz in 100%  $H_2SO_4$ ) gives a better S/N and the satellite resonances from natural abundance couplings are observed. These couplings are of interest because their magnitudes are substantially different from those previously recorded in H₂SO₄ or oleum (see Table VI.2). The 77Se-125Te coupling in trans- $Te_2Se_2^{2+}$  (484 Hz) is 61 Hz less than that in 30% oleum. The related 77 Se-125 Te coupling in Te₃Se²⁺ is only 165 Hz, substantially less than that observed in oleum, (207 Hz) and roughly one third the magnitude of the ⁷⁷Se-¹²⁵Te coupling in trans-Te₂Se₂²⁺. The  125 Te- 125 Te coupling in Te₃Se²⁺ is



FIGURE VI. 2 ¹²⁵Te NMR spectrum of  $Te_{3.0}Se_{1.0}(Sb_4F_{20})$  dissolved in AsF₃ (0.01 m; 120,000 scans; 10.2 Hz/pt; 78.97 MHz). (A)-(D) as in Fig. VI.1. Expanded spectra (130,000 scans; 5.5 Hz/pt) indicate ⁷⁷Se-¹²⁵Te (1) and (2), and ¹²⁵Te-¹²⁵Te (3) couplings.

1347 Hz, which is conversely very large with respect to that observed in SO₂ and the related  125 Te- 125 Te coupling (calculated from the observed 123Te-125Te satellites) for Te₄²⁺ in AsF₃ (see Table VI.2). This coupling in  $Te_3Se^{2+}$  is so large that a second order effect is observed. The satellite doublets are not symmetrical about the central uncoupled resonances and the inner lines of the doublets are more intense than the outer lines (Fig. VI.2). The large variations in these coupling constants in different solvents may be related to the electron donor properties of the solvents. Donation of electron density from the solvent to the cation presumably has some effect on the electronic configuration of the cation and therefore also affects the spin-spin couplings. A similar effect is observed in the solid state, where the dimensions of the  $M_4^{2+}$  cations are very much dependent upon the nature of their counter anions and this been related to the electron donor properties of the has .counter anions (section VI.12).

The better S/N in AsF₃ additionally allows for a more rigorous check on the presence of small amounts of other  $Te_xSe_{4-x}^{2+}$  cations in the structure. A small quantity of cis-Te₂Se₂²⁺ was observed (2541 ppm), with the intensity of this peak on the order of one of the satellite peaks of trans-Te₂Se₂²⁺. No TeSe₃²⁺ was observed in the ¹²⁵Te NMR spectrum, however, and a subsequent ⁷⁷Se NMR investigation

revealed only the expected resonances for  $Te_3Se^{2+}$  and trans-Te₂Se₂²⁺ (Table VI.2).

This compound formed as large black crystals  $Te_4(SbF_6)_2$ : from a reaction of a Te-S mixture with  $SbF_5$  in  $SO_2$  as described in "section" V.6, and proved extremely soluble in AsF3, allowing for a S/N good enough to distinguish the weak natural abundance 123Te-125Te couplings in the 125Te NMR spectrum (Fig. VI.3). Tellurium-123 has a natural abundance of only 0.87% and one would expect satellites of 1.8% and 0.9% total relative intensity to the central peak for the cis- and trans- couplings respectively (see Table IV.4). observed satellites are 1.6% and 0.8% and , demonstrate The the cis- (directly bonded) coupling of 534 Hz is actuthat ally less than the trans- (long range) coupling of 743 Hz. opposite is observed in 100%  $H_2SO_4$  (Table VI.2) where The cis- coupling is approximately,150 Hz larger than that the observed here and the trans- coupling is 150 Hz less. The cis- and trans- couplings were not resolved in SO $_{
m 2}$  (Fig. VI.4). Shoulders were observed, however, on the inner sides of the broad satellite resonances. These shoulders-probably - result from the trans- coupling since the intensity of this satellite doublet should be half that of the cis- doublet. The trans- coupling constant is apparently slightly smaller than the cis- coupling constant in SO,, as was observed in 100%  $H_2SO_4$ . In all cases the two-bond trans- coupling seems unusually large compared to the cis- coupling. A large



FIGURE VI.3 ¹²⁵Te NMR spectrum of  $Te_4(SbF_6)_2$  dissolved in AsF₃ (78.97 MHz; 0.02 m; 160,000 scans; 3.0 Hz/pt).

· •







FIGURE VI.5 ¹⁹P NER spectrum of  $Te_2Se_2(Sb_3P_{14})(SbP_6)$  dissolved in SO₂ at 200 K (235.36 MHz; 4800 scans; 3.0 Hz/pt).

114 、

trans- coupling has also been observed for  $Te_6^{4+}$  in 30% oleum (50) where the coupling across a rectangular face of the trigonal prism is of roughly the same magnitude as the coupling along a triangular face.

VI.8 Fluorine-19 NMR of  $(Te_2Se_2)(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$ .

A crystalline sample of  $(Te_2Se_2)(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$ was ground with a mortar and pestle to a fine powder and dissolved in SO₂ close to the freezing point of the solvent The sample was then placed in the probe of the spectrometer which had previously been cooled to 200 K. After allowing 15 min for the sample to thermally equilibrate the  19 F NMR spectrum was run (Fig. VI.5). Fluorine exchange was essentially stopped at this low temperature and two distinct fluorine resonances were observed at -56 and -109 ppm with respect to external CFCl₃. The ratio of the integrals of these two resonances is 1:10. If the  $Sb_3F_{14}$  anion dissociates to  $\text{SbF}_{\rho}^+$  and two  $\text{SbF}_{\rho}^-$  ions in solution, the addi- $SbF_6$  ion in the  $(Te_2Se_2)(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$  structure tional then gives a totaly of eighteen fluorine atoms on Sb(V) compared to the two fluorine atoms on Sb(III), or a 1:9 If the Sb₃F₁₄ anion ratio, very close to that observed. were retained in solution a larger number of fluorine resonances would be expected as well as substantial  19 F- 19 F coupling. The anion could dissociate into SbF3, SbF5 and  ${
m SbF_6}^-$  fragments, but if this were the case separate  ${
m SbF_5}$  and

 $SbF_6^-$  resonances would be expected at this temperature, since fluorine exchange is virtually stopped, and the relative intensity of the Sb(III) peak would be larger. It would appear then that distinct  $SbF_2^+$  and  $SbF_6^-$  ions are present in solution and only form the  $Sb_3F_{14}^-$  anion in the solid state.

VI.9 Selenium-77 NMR of  $S_x Se_{4-x}^{2+}$ .

Unlike  $(Te_{3.0}Se_{1.0})(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$ , the mixed S-Se compound  $(S_{3.0}Se_{1.0})_2(Sb_4F_{17})(SbF_6)_3$  proved to be only sparingly soluble in AsF₃, almost totally insoluble in SO₂, and reacted with 100% H₂SO₄. No detailed investigation of the nature of the cation could be carried out using ⁷⁷Se NMR, although the ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum in AsF₃ after 250,000 scans consisted of five distinct resonances (635.7, 646.4, 671.3, 726.4, and 728.2 ppm with relative intensities 1:2:1:4:1 respectively) indicating that several of the S_xSe_{4-x}²⁺ species were present. The S/N was too poor for any ⁷⁷Se-⁷⁷Se couplings to be observed.

A series of mixtures of  $S_x Se_{4-x}^{2+}$  cations were prepared in  $SO_2$  by reacting  $AsF_5$  and a trace of bromine (see section VI.5) with various stoichiometries of sulfur and selenium powders. The ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum of a 1:1 mixture is given in Figure VI.6. The chemical shifts and intensities for this and other mixtures are listed in Table VI.3. The observed resonances are in the same region as those observed



FIGURE VI.6 ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum for the reaction of a 1:1 Se-S mixture with AsF₅ and a trace of bromine in SO₂ (0.0542 g S; 0.1325 g Se; 0.57 g AsF₅; 3 mL SO₂; 76.41 MHz; 5000 scans; 6.1 Hz/pt). (A) and (D) SSe₃²⁺, (B) cis-S₂Se₂²⁺, (C) S₃Se²⁺, (E) Se₄²⁺, (F) trans-S₂Se₂²⁺. Expanded spectra show ⁷⁷Se-⁷⁷Se coupling in SSe₃²⁺.

for  $(S_{3.0}Se_{1.0})_2(Sb_4F_{17})(SbF_6)_3$  dissolved in AsF₃ and the Raman spectra of the solutions are consistent with the formation of  $S_x Se_4 (x^{2+} cations (section VI.10).$ The ¹²⁵Te and  77 Se chemical shifts of the Te_xSe_{4-x} ²⁺ cations follow a simple trend based on their percentage composition of tellurium or selenium. Since selenium is more electronegative than tellurium (57), the greater the selenium content of the cation the more deshielded the tellurium or selenium environments are, and this is reflected in a gradual shift of both the ⁷⁷Se and ¹²⁵Te resonances to higher frequency (Table VI.2). Sulfur and selenium have roughly the same electronegativity, however (57), and not all of the  $S_x Se_{A_x}^{2+}$  resonances can be assigned unambiguously. The resonance at 633 ppm is also observed when  $-Se_4(AsF_6)_2$  alone is dissolved in SO₂ and can be assigned to  $Se_A^{2+}$ . Published chemical shifts for  $Se_{A}^{2+}$  in oleum range from 636 to 646 ppm (50). Two resonances, at 636 and 729 ppm, are observed in a ratio of 2:1 with satellite doublets about each of them. The only  $S_ySe_{A_y}^{2+}$  cation expected to display coupling is SSe3²⁺, which would also be expected to give two resonances in a ratio of 2:1, as observed. The satellite doublets are of 8.8% and 17.5% relative intensity for the large and the small resonance respectively. The expected relative intensities for coupling to one and two equivalent, natural abundance selenium nuclei, as in SSe $_3^{2+}$ , are 8.2 and 16.3%

respectively (Table IV.4). The two resonances at 636 and 729 ppm can then be assigned to  $SSe_3^{2+}$ . The ⁷⁷Se-⁷⁷Se coupling (336 Hz) is considerably larger than that observed for TeSe₃²⁺ in 30% oleum (188 Hz, see Table VI.2). The resonance at 651 ppm grows the most dramatically upon increasing the S:Se ratio of the reactants (Table VI.3) and is presum--ably therefore due to the sulfur-rich  $S_3Se^{2+}$  cation. The relative intensity of the remaining two resonances is fairly constant for all of the mixtures, as would be expected for the two  $S_2 Se_2^{2+}$  cations. In the case of  $Te_2 Se_2^{2+}$  the ciscation is usually observed in higher concentration than the trans- (see for example sections IV.2 and VI.4, and ref. (78)). For this reason the more intense resonance at 720 ppm can be tentatively assigned to  $cis-S_2Se_2^{2+}$  and the resonance at 587 ppm to trans-S₂Se₂²⁺. Using the assignments as described above one observes that the three highest frequency resonances (729, 720 and 651 ppm) have sulfur trans- to the selenium nucleus being observed; while for the three lower frequency resonances (636, 633 and 587 ppm) the In both of these groups of three trans- atom is selenium. resonances one of the three is of substantially lower frequency than the remaining two and the selenium environment corresponding to this resonance is cis- to two sulfur atoms. The remaining two resonances in each group have approximately equal chemical shifts. These selenium environments are cis- to one selenium and to one sulfur atom. These consistencies in the selenium environments lend some support to the above chemical shift assignments.

Although AsF₅ apparently does not oxidize sulfur to  $S_4^{2+}$  in the absence of halogen "catalysts" (see section VI.5 and ref. (22, 83)), mixed  $S_x Se_{4-x}^{2+}$  cations were observed in the ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum of the solution resulting from reaction VI.3, where no halogen was added to assist the reaction. Additional resonances were observed (Fig. VI.7) which probably resulted from other mixed Se-S cations since the resonances do not correspond to any of the known selenium homoployatomic cations. Essentially the same product distribution was obtained in the reaction of S₈²⁺ with selenium (reaction VI.4).

2 Se + 2 S + 3 AsF₅  $\longrightarrow$  S_xSe_{4-x}²⁺ + S_ySe_z²⁺ VI.3 S₈(AsF₆)₂ + Se  $\longrightarrow$  S_xSe_{4-x}²⁺ + S_ySe_z²⁺ VI.4

It has been reported that  $Te_4^{2+}$  and  $Se_4^{2+}$  do not scramble to give mixed, square-planar species in solution (50). When  $Se_4(AsF_6)_2$  and  $S_4(AsF_6)_2$  were mixed in equal proportions in  $SO_2$  solution, the ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum revealed only  $Se_4^{2+}$  after 2 h at room temperature. After two weeks, however, the complete range of  $S_4Se_{4-x}^{2+}$  cations was observed, with approximately the same product distribution as in Figure VI.6. The different behaviour of these pairs of cations is related to the electropositive nature of tellurium. The  $Se_4^{2+}$  cation can readily oxidize  $Te_4^{2+}$  to  $Te_6^{4+}$  and



"FIGURE VI.7 ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum of the solution resulting from reaction VI.3 (0.2213 g Se; 0.0914 g S; 0.715 g AsF₅; 3 mL SO₂; 76.41 MHz; 7100 scans; 6.1 Hz/pt). (A)-(F) as in Fig. VI.6. Expanded spectra, 150,000 scans.

12]

oxidation-reduction occurs rather than scrambling. Although  $S_4^{2+}$  is similarly a stronger oxidant than  $Se_4^{2+}$ , no polyatomic cation of selenium is known with a higher oxidation state than 'in  $Se_4^{2+}$  and oxidation-reduction is therefore unlikely in this mixture.

Spin-lattice relaxation time  $(T_1)$  measurements were carried out for the five most intense lines in Figure VI.6 and the results are presented in Figure VI.8. The average  $T_1$  value is 0.38 s with the unique selenium atom of  $SSe_2^{2+}$ having the lowest observed value, 0.34 s, and the other selenium environment of this cation having the highest. val-Previous 77 Se T₁ values of 5-10 s for aqueous ue, 0.43 s[°]. solutions of  $Na_2SeO_3$  and  $Na_2^{\circ}SeO_4$ , and 0.3-1.4 s for aqueous solutions of NaHSeO₃ and  $H_2$ SeO₃ have been reported (84). The selenium atoms in the  $M_4^{2+}$  ions are comparatively naked with respect to those buried in  $SeO_3^{2-}$  or  $SeO_4^{2-}$  ions and the  $T_1$ 's are then relatively short, which allows for rapid pulsing and accumulation of data. Another recent ⁷⁷Se relaxation time study reports  $T_1$  values ranging from 0.7 s for  $H_2$ Se to 31 s for  $(C_6H_5CH_2Se)_2$  and <u>discus</u>ses the likely relaxation mechanisms (85).

VI.10 Raman Spectra.

 $(Te_{3.0}Se_{1.0})(Sb_3P_{14})(SbF_6)$ : The Raman spectra of this compound as a solid and in AsF₃ solution are presented in Figure VI-9. The pairs of peaks at 268 and 135 cm⁻¹ and 217



R	A T I	TIO ^a CHEMICAL SHIFT (ppm)					CHEMICAL SHIFT (ppm)						
x		у	729 ^b	720	651	636 ^b	633 ^C	587	Ŧ				
1	:	3	9	22	9	19	40	2	- N ·				
2	:	2	10	32	12	19	24	3	E				
3	:	1	5	34	41	6	10	3	; S				
3.7	•	0.3	· · -	11	82	-	7	-	T				

TABLE VI.3 Intensity in 77 Se NMR Spectra of S Se 4-x as a Function of Reactants Ratio.

(a) All reactions are  $x + y = 4 \text{ AsF}_5 + \text{Br}_2$  (trace) in SO₂. (b) SSe₃²⁺. (c) Se₄²⁺.



FIGURE VI.9 Reman spectrum (6328 Å) of  $\text{Te}_{3\cdot0}\text{Se}_{1\cdot0}(\text{Sb}_3F_{14})(\text{Sb}F_6)$ . a) AsF₃ solution (sample described in Fig. VI.2); b) solid, 77 K.

and 105 cm⁻¹ have been identified as belonging to trans-Te₂Se₂²⁺ and Te₄²⁺ respectively (76, 77). These species are observed in the ¹²⁵Te NMR spectra of the same sample (section VI.7). The remaining peaks at 254, 209 and 120cm⁻¹ then presumably result from the Te₃Se²⁺ cation, which has  $C_{2v}$  symmetry and six vibrational modes (3A₁, B₁ and 2B₂), all of which are Raman and infrared active. Some of these modes are probably very close in energy and the Raman bands presumably overlap with each other or with the Te₂Se₂⁺ and Te₄²⁺ bands.

 $S_x Se_{4-x}^{2+}$ : The Raman spectra for compounds of nominal composition  $(S_{2.0}Se_{2.0})(AsF_6)_2$  and  $(S_{3.0}Se_{1.0})(AsF_6)_2$  in s0, solution and as the solid respectively are given in Figure VI.10. The signal-to-noise ratio is better for the solution spectrum as the solid decomposed markedly in the laser beam Three regions can be distinguished: even at 77 K. 1) S-S stretches at 610-500 cm⁻¹, 2) S-Se stretches at 490-400 cm⁻¹ and 3) Se-Se stretches at  $350-300 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . As expected, the intensities of the bands in the Se-Se region increase relative to those in the S-S region as the Se:S ratio increases from 1:3 (Figure VI.10b) to 1:1 (Figure VI.10a). Three similar divisions are observed in the Raman spectra of disordered'S_ySe_{8-y} rings, although the peaks are all shifted lower frequency for  $S_x Se_{8-x}$ , reflecting the lower bond to order (86). The Raman spectrum of  $(S_{3,0}Se_{1,0})_2(Sb_7F_{35})$ is complex since the  $Sb_{a}F_{17}$  anion has numerous bands in very



FIGURE VI.10 Raman spectra (5145 Å) of (a) the  $(S_{2.0}Se_{2.0})(AsF_6)_2$  solution of Fig. VI.6, (b) solid  $(S_{3.0}Se_{1.0})(AsF_6)_2$ , spinning sample, 77 K, (c) and (d) powdered crystals of  $(S_{3.0}Se_{1.0})_2(Sb_4F_{17})(SbF_6)_3$  at 295 K and 77 K.

the same region as those of  $S_x Se_{4-x}^{2+}$  (Fig. VI.10c). The anion bands sharpen considerably upon cooling (Fig. VI.10d), however, allowing many of them to be distinguished.

VI.11 Geometry About Sb(III) in  $Sb_3F_{14}$  and  $Sb_4F_{17}$ .

The  $Sb_3F_{14}$  anion (Fig. VI.11) has one Sb(III) and two Sb(V) atoms and can alternatively be viewed as one  $SbF_2^+$ and two SbF₆ ions (see section VI.8). The primary geometry of the Sb(III) atom can be described as trigonal-bipyramidal, AX,E, where the lone pair and the two terminal fluorine atoms occupy the equatorial plane and the bridging fluorine atoms are axtal (61). Secondary bonding is frequently apparent in structures like this where there is a "hole" in the primary coordination sphere which corresponds to the approximate space occupied by the lone pair. These secondary bonds are presumed to be nucleophilic in nature and since the lone pair is less effective in screening the nucleus than is a coordinated atom the secondary bonds tend to form in the general direction of the space occupied by the lone pair while avoiding the direction of its maximum electron density and hence serve to further delineate its position. The directions of these contacts can be further described as either capping the faces or bridging the edges of the polyhedron describing the primary bonds and the lone pair, and preferentially those faces that contain the lone pair as a vertex (87). For three primary bonds the result-







FIGURE VI.11 ORTEP views of the  $\text{Sb}_3F_{14}^-$  anions in  $\text{Te}_2\text{Se}_2(\text{Sb}_3F_{14})(\text{SbF}_6)$  and  $\text{Te}_{3.0}\text{Se}_{1.0}(\text{Sb}_3F_{14})(\text{SbF}_6)$ . (a) The complete anion, including interionic contacts to Sb(III). (b) Views directed toward the assumed positions of the lone pairs of electrons showing edgebridging ( $\text{Te}_2\text{Se}_2^{2+}$  salt) and face-capping ( $\text{Te}_{3.0}\text{Se}_{1.0}^{2+}$ salt) secondary bonds (unfilled bonds).
ing geometry with face-capping secondary bonds is described Ъy a monocapped octahedron (Fig. III.5). Four contacts capping the faces of the trigonal bipyramid in  $Sb_3F_{14}$ produce a monocapped square antiprism about Sb(III) with the pair as the cap (Fig. VI.12). The  $Sb_3F_{14}$  anion of lone  $(Te_{3.0}Se_{1.0})(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$  provides a good example of this  $AX_4Y_4E$  arrangement (Fig. VI.11). Other examples are Sb(III) of  $Na_2(SbF_3)(C_2O_4)$  and  $Br_3(Sb_2F_{11})(Sb_{10}F_{42})$ , Sn(II) of SnF₂(AsF₅) and Sn(NCS)F, Te(IV) of diphenyl tellurium dinitrate (Fig. VI.13) and Pb(II) of  $Pb(L)(NO_3)_2$ , where L is a tetra-aza macrocycle (87-93). Four contacts bridging the edges of the polyhedron, an  $AX_4Y'_4E$  geometry, are observed for Sb(III) in  $(Te_2Se_2)(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$  (Figure VI.11b) and for the I(V) atom in  $IF_4(Sb_2F_{11})$  (94).

The compound  $(Se_{3.0}Se_{1.0})_2(Sb_4F_{17})(SbF_6)_3$  provides the only crystallographic evidence of a mixed S-Se cation as well as the only example of the  $Sb_4F_{17}^-$  anion, in which there are two Sb(III) atoms (Fig. VI.14). The  $Sb_4F_{17}^-$  anion can be broken into an  $Sb_2F_5^+$  and two  $SbF_6^-$  units. The central fluorine atom of  $Sb_2F_5^+$  lies on a center of symmetry so that the Sb(III)--F--Sb(III) bond angle is 180° and the lone pairs on Sb(III) are perfectly staggered. The Sb(III)--F--Sb(III) bond angles in previously observed  $Sb_2F_5^+$  units range from 149.8° in  $(SbF_3)_3(SbF_5)$  (95) to 180° observed here, for  $(SbF_3)_6(SbF_5)_5$  (96) and for one of the



a)



b)

X



, 130



FIGURE VI.13 Examples of the  $AI_4Y_4E$  geometry. (a), (c) and (d) redrawn from coordinates in ref. (88), (90) and (91) by Dr. J.F. Sawyer; (b) reproduced from ref. (92); (e) ref. (89). All views are toward the assumed direction of the lone pair of electrons on the central atom, except (b), which is viewed from the opposite side.

.

TABLE	VI.4	Bond Valence Calcu Sb(III) of Sb ₃ F ₁₄ -	lations - and Sb	for 4 ^F 17 ⁻ •
	Ċ	OMPOUND	BOND V	ALĘNCE
```	-		1 ^a	2 ^b
(Te ₂ Se	2)(Sb	3 ^F 14)(SbF ₆)	2.38	3.18
(Te _{3.0}	Se1.0)(Sb ₃ F ₁₄)(SbF ₆)	2.41	3.15
(Te ₂ Se	4)(Sb	3 ^F 14)(SbF ₆)	2.41	3.14
(s _{3.0} s	e _{1.0})	2 ^{(Sb} 4 ^F 17)(SbF ₆) ₃ .	2.33	3.10

(a) Primary bonds only (Sb--F ≤ 2.33 Å).
(b) All contacts to 3.52 Å.



four $Sb_2F_5^+$ groups in $(SbF_3)_5(SbF_5)_3$ (97). The analogous angle in the isoelectronic $Sn_2F_5^-$ anion has a value of 134° (98).

all of these examples involving In polymeric Sb(III)-Sb(V) anions and SbF_3/SbF_5 adducts it is difficult to clearly differentiate the primary and secondary bonds. For the Sb_3F_{14} anion of $(Te_2Se_2)/(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$ the longest distance between Sb(III) and a bridging fluorine of Sb(V) is 2.25 Å while the closest secondary bonds to Sb(III) are only 2.56 Å in length. The $Sb_{a}F_{17}$ anion has bridging distances of 2.33 Å and contact distances as short as 2.76 Å. In $(Se_4)(Sb_2F_4)(Sb_2F_5)(SbF_6)_5$ the difference is even less distinct with the longest primary bond being 2.15 Å and the shortest secondary 2.33 Å (23). This secondary bond is equal in length to one considered in the primary geometry of Sb₄F₁₇, emphasizing the somewhat arbitrary nature of the ionic units into which these complex anions can be divided.

The primary geometry about Sb(III) in Sb₄F₁₇ is also AX₄E and the geometry of the secondary bonds is similar to that observed above for Sb₃F₁₄ except that there is a fifth contact, to F(32)', of 3.37 Å which is only marginally less than the sum of van der Waals radii of 3.52 Å (39). This fluorine atom is capping a face of the AX₄E polyhedron, as is F(33)', while the other three contacts appear to be bridging edges, giving an overall $AX_4Y_2Y'_3E$ arrangement (Fig. VI.15a). The Sb(III) atom of $(Te_2Se_4)(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$



FIGURE VI.15 Views of the secondary bonding (unfilled bonds) to Sb(III) atoms of (a) $(S_{3.0}Se_{1.0})_2(Sb_4F_{17})(SbF_6)_3$, (b) $Te_2Se_4(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$ and (c) $Br_3(Sb_2F_{11})(Sb_{10}F_{42})$, ref. (89). All views are toward the assumed position of the lone pair of electrons on Sb(III).

μ

(section V.3) has an identical geometry to that of Sb(III) in Sb_4F_{17} (Fig. VI.15b). One of the Sb(III) atoms of $(Br_3)(Sb_2F_{11})(Sb_{10}F_{42})$, Sb(1), also has five contacts about a trigonal-bipyramidal primary geometry (89). In this case the three shorter contacts (2.58-2.71 Å) appear to be facecapping; the two longer contacts (3.27 and 3.43 Å) edgebridging (Fig. VI.15c).

The significance of these secondary interactions to the overall bonding scheme in $Sb_3F_{14}^-$ and $Sb_4F_{17}^-$ is emphasized in Table VI.4. If only the primary bonds are considered (Sb--F ≤ 2.33 Å) the valence about Sb using Brown's method of determining bond valence (99) ranges from 2.33 to 2.41. If, however, all interatomic distances less than the van der Waals limit are considered, the results range from 3.10 to 3.18, which is much closer to the anticipated value of 3.0. Several additional examples are provided by Sawyer and Gillespie (87) and Passmore et al. (97).

VI.1% Anion-Çation Interactions in M_4^{2+} Structures.

As described elsewhere (23), the slight deviations of the square-planar M_4^{2+} cations from perfect squares and the variations of bond lengths for a given cation from one structure to another may be attributed in part to the chargetransfer interactions of the M_4^{2+} cations with their accompanying anions. In an SCF-MO study of Te₄²⁺ (100) the calculated bond length was 0.054 Å shorter than that observed in

 $Te_4(AlCl_4)_2$ and $Te_4(Al_2Cl_7)_2$ (13), but when the calculation was repeated simulating the chlorine atoms that are closest to the cation in the crystal structures by point charges of -1/4 e⁻ (i.e. the Tour Cl atoms of the anion share the single negative charge equally) the bond length in the Te_4^{2+} ion increased by 0.06 Å to a value very close to the experimental value.

In all known cases of M_A^{2+} cations each edge of the square is bridged by at least one atom and there are generally several additional contacts along the extensions of the diagonals. These directions are presumably minima in the electron density about the cation and they may be correlated with the symmetries of the available lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals (of the M_A^{2+} cations (23). The in-plane interactions are probably with the LUMO of o symmetry while the out-of-plane interactions are with the LUMO of π symmetry. These molecular orbitals are relatively close in energy (13, 51, 100). The degree of this interaction of course depends upon the nature of the donor atoms. A more polarizable anion tends to donate more electron density into the antibonding orbitals of the cation, increasing the M--M bond Vength and thereby decreasing the HOMO-LUMO gap and the energy of transitions in the electronic absorption and diffuse-reflectance spectra. Such a trend i's observed for Se₄²⁺, where the $\pi - \pi^*$ transition is observed to vary from

413 nm in Se₄(Sb₂F₄)(Sb₂F₅)(SbF₆)₂ to 524 nm in Se₄(HS₂O₇)₂ (77). Similarly, ⁷⁷Se⁻¹²⁵Te and ¹²⁵Te⁻¹²⁵Te coupling constants in ⁷⁷Se and ¹²⁵Te NMR spectra of Te_xSe_{4-x}²⁺ cations are strongly dependent upon the solvent (see section VI.7).

Recent molecular orbital calculations indicate that there are differences in the σ bonding in the M_4^{2+} cations. In particular, the s-orbitals make a smaller contribution to the c-bonds in $7e_4^{2+}$ than in Se₄²⁺ and S₄²⁺ and the HOMO-LUMO gap decreases in the order $S_4^{2+} > Se_4^{2+} > Te_4^{2+}$ (101). This is reflected in both a reduction of the stereospecificity and an increase in the number of the contacts to Te_4^{2+} salts of this cation (23) and to $Te_{3,0}Se_{1,0}^{2+}$ in in $(Te_{3,0}Se_{1,0})(Sb_{3}F_{14})(SbF_{6})$ (Fig. VI.16). The geometry about $Te_2Se_2^{2+}$, however, is well-defined in $(Te_2Se_2)(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$ (Fig. VI.16). It is noteworthy that the three shortest contacts (2.80, 2.93, and 2.99 Å) are all to tellurium and that tellurium has one more contact than does selenium (two contacts of lengths 3.10 and 3.12 Å). Since these contacts assumed to be nucleophilic in nature the arrangement are $Te_2Se_2^{2t}$ is indicative of a build-up of positive about charge on tellurium relative to selenium. This is reasonable in terms of the relative electronegativities of these two elements as described earlier (section IV.7). In the other mixed Te-Se cation, $Te_{3.0}Se_{1.0}^{2+}$ in $Te_{3.0}Se_{1.0}(Sb_4F_{20})$, Te(2) has the highest percentage of tellurium as well as the greatest number of contacts in the structure. The remaining





FIGURE VI.17 Anion-cation interactions in $(S_{3.0}Se_{1.0})_2(Sb_4F_{17})(SbF_6)_3$.

three sites, however, do not appear to reflect this trend.

e bag

Contacts to the $S_{3.0}Se_{1.0}^{2+}$ cations of $(S_{3.0}Se_{1.0})_2(Sb_4F_{17})(SbF_6)_3$ (Fig. VI.17) exihibit typical arrangements as described above. In each case the four shortest contacts (ranging from 2.598 to 2.830 Å) bridge the edges of the cation. The largest number of contacts occur at the sites relatively rich in selenium. There are six contacts to Se(1) and Tive contacts to Se(3) which are 40.2 and 32.1% selenium respectively, while Se(2) and Se(4) with 15.3 and 12.8% selenium have only four contacts.



VII.1 Introduction.

large number of salts of the MX_2^+ cation (M = S, Se, Te; X = F, Cl, Br, I) have been characterized by X-ray crystallography. Many of these structures have recently been summarized by Passmore et al. (102). In all cases the cation has essentially trigonal pyramidal, C_{3v} symmetry, as Interionic contexpected from VSEPR considerations (61). acts are significant in all of these structures as well and generally form in directions around the lone pair of elecand opposite the primary M--X bonds to complete a trons distorted monocapped octahedron, AX_3Y_3E , around the central Similar geometries are observed for bridge-Group VI atom. head positions in M_{10}^{2+} , $M_{8}^{/2+}$ and M_{6}^{2+} cations as described (see Fig. III.5 and III.6). It was of interest to above characterize more salts of the MX_3^+ cation so that the secondary bonding interactions could be further studied and trends in the geometry of the cation with variations in M and/or X could be established.

VII.2 Preparation of $(TeCl_3)(AlCl_4)$ -triclinic.

Aluminum trichloride (0.533 g, 4.00 mmol) was added to $S_7 TeCl_2$ (0.846 g, 2.00 mmol) (103) and 30 mL of CH_2Cl_2 was distilled onto this mixture in a double-bulb vessel at 77 K. Upon Warming to 295 K the solution had the characteristic orange color of $S_7 TeCl_2$ but after stirring for 24 h the color changed to a bright yellow and a large quantity of

white powder precipitated. The powder was filtered off and recrystallized in CH_2Cl_2 , producing thin white plates. The Raman spectrum of these crystals (Table VII.1 and Fig. VII.1) was consistent with $(TeCl_3)(AlCl_4)$ but the space group and unit cell parameters were inconsistent with the previously reported structure of this compound (104) and a structure determination was carried out. Yellow, block-like crystals also formed from the original reaction mixture and these were identified as S_8 (orthorhombic, Fddd) from precession photographs.

5

VII.3 Preparation of $(TeCl_3)(AsF_6)$.

The synthetic route followed here (reaction VII.1) is analogous to the reported preparation of $(TeBr_3)(AsF_6)$ (105). Arsenic pentafluoride (0.226 g, 1.33 mmol) and SO₂ (20 mL) were distilled onto TéCl₄ (0.2383 g, 0.8845 mmol) in a double-bulb vessel at 77 K. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and after 24 h of stirring the solution was a very pale yellow color, presumably as a result of Cl, produced in the reaction. Sourring was continued for one week with no apparent change. An oil was formed upon s low removal of the solvent, which deposited a large quantity of white powder upon cooling the other bulb of the vessel to 255 K to remove all of the solvent. The powder was transferred to another vessel and recrystallized from a 1:4 ~EH₂Cl₂/SO₂ mixed solvent, producing thin colorless plates.

	AICI4		SPC1		SbF ₆	AsF ₆
	. '	77 к 🏷	295 K	in SO ₂		
	467 (A) ^a	· .	•	•	667(10) ^d 640(20) ^d	708(5) ^e 671(8) ^e 649(1) ^e 578(3) ^e
	458(4) ^a					543(3) 442(2)
•	391(100) 378(26)	399(76) 386(31) 370(10)	398(100) 384(48) 366(16)	399(96) 376(40)	406(100) 388(48)	411(100) . 404(25) 394(32)
•	368(56) 347(27) ^a	360(20) 340(6) ^C 313(100) ^b	358(26) 344(10) 312(78)	355(32) 333(100) ^b 285(9) ^b _b	284(14) ^d	389(32) 379(62) 371(sh) 361(15)
	193(4) ^a	265(11) ^b 256(18) ^b 195(8) 191(-14)	257 (23) ^b 188 (17)	263(4)	, 1	246(1)
•	168(14)	181(24) 174(18) 171(17)	179(27) 172(38)	169(8)	173(16)	171(44)
	148(-2-1) 144(18)	152(10) 149(11) 142(2)	149(22)	•	159(29) 146(38)	154(49) 🧩
	131(13) 121(7) ^a	133(3) 124(4) 121(4) 118(3)	121(8)	· · · ·	:	
	· .	93(1) ⁶ 84(2) .73(5) - 62(10)	76(8)		82(8)	67(12)
		54(5) 48(9) 44(8)	58(32)	· · · · · · · ·	•	07(12)
		38(18) 35(17) 31(16)	34(79) 28(57)			



FIGURE VII.1 Raman spectra (5145 Å) of a) TeCl_4 ; recrystallized from SO₂, 77 K, b) $\text{TeCl}_3(\text{AlCl}_4)$; 295 K, c) $\text{TeCl}_3(\text{SbCl}_6)$, 77 K, d) $\text{TeCl}_3(\text{AsF}_6)$, 295 K. (*) indicates ν_1 of TeCl_3^+ .

The Raman spectrum of these crystals (Table/VII.1 and fig. VII.1) was significantly different from that previously reported for this compound (106), but a subsequent X-ray crystal structure determination confirmed the composition to be $(TeCl_3)(AsF_6)$.

2 TeCl₄ + 3 AsF₅ \rightarrow 2 TeCl₃(AsF₆) + AsF₃ + Cl₂ VII.1

VII.4 Preparation of (TeCl₃)(SbF₆) - Attempted Recrystallization of TeF₄(SbF₅).

Tellurium tetrafluoride (0.4714 g, 2.315 mmol) was combined with SbF₅ (0.4970 g, 2.293 mmol) and 30 mL of SO₂. in a double-bulb vessel and the reaction mixture stifred for 2, h before the SO, was slowly distilled off of the colorless solution leaving a white powder. VThis product, presumably $TeF_{A}(SbF_{5})$ (107), proved to be too soluble in SO, to obtain crystals so a portion of the product (0.4842 g, 1.152 good $TeF_{4}(SbF_{5})$ was transferred into another double-bulb mmol vessel, along with 15 mL SO, and 20 mL CH₂Cl₂, producing a colorless solution free of residue. A large quantity of white powder precipitated after half of the solvent had been slowly removed by distillation. The remaining solvent, presumably mostly composed of the less-volatile CH₂Cl₂, was left over the powder to encourage crystal growth. After two weeks the solution was a pale pink color and thin, plate- and needle-shaped crystals had formed at colorless the vapor-liquid interface. The Raman spectrum of these crystals (Table VII.1) was consistent with $(TeCl_3)(SbF_6)$ and

the X-ray crystal structure determination confirment this composition.

VII.5 Preparation of (TeCl₃)(SbCl₆).

Tellurium (0.4016 g, 3.147 mmol) was weighed into of a double-bulb vessel and an excess of SbCl one bulb. (4.67 g, 15.6 mmol) was added with a syringe to the other build in a dry-box. Thirty mL of SO, was condensed into the ampoule with the S&Cl₅ at 77 K and after sealing the vessel allowing the solvent to melt this yellow solution and was poured onto the tellurium and the mixture stirred at room A pale magenta solution color was observed, temperature. indicating initial formation of Te_4^{2+} . After 2 h all the Te had reacted, producing a yellow solution free of residue. The vessel was heated at 340 K for 24 h with no apparent After slowly distilling some of the solvent to the change. other ampoule large yellow crystals were deposited. The Raman spectrum of these crystals indicated that they were (TeCl₃)(SbCl₆) (see Table VII.1, Fig. VII.1). A subsequent X-ray) crystallographic analysis revealed that the chlorine atoms were disordered and the structure was not completed. Space group and unit cell data are included in the Appendix.

VII.6 Preparation of (TeF₃)₂(SO₄). ~

Thirty mL SO_2 and AsF_5 (2.187 g, 12.87 mmol) and a trace of Br_2 (20 mg) were condensed onto a mixture of Te

147 '

(0.8115 g, 6.360 mmol) and Se (0.5082 g, 6.436 mmol) at 77 K An a double-bulb vessel. After allowing the solvent to melt the reaction mixture was stirred producing first green, then r brown and blood-red solution colors, returning to green after 2 h of mixing. These colors are consistent with the formation of Se_8^{2+} and/or Se_{10}^{2+} (both green) initial fol-Blowed by the production of mixed Te-Se cations (red or brown in color), which react further to produce colorless TeFs Se_8^{2+} and for Se_{10}^{2+} . After 24 h a large quantity of and finely-divided black powder had precipitated. The green solution was filtered and the solvent slowly distilled off, leaving a deep green oit. A few mL of SO, were distilled this oil in an NMR tube and the ⁷⁷Se (NMR spectrum of onto solution identified the Se_{10}^{2+} cation (see this section 111.7). No other species were observed. After several a few colorless crystals formed in the NMR tube. weeks were isolated and were shown by X-ray crystallography These to be $(TeF_3)_2(SO_A)$.

148

VII.6 Discussion of Crystal Structures. (TeCl₃)(AlCl₄)-triclinic: The geometries of the two independent TeCl₃⁺ cations in this new modification of (TeCl₃)(AlCl₄) (a monoclinic form has been reported (104)), are given in Figure VII.2, complete with secondary Te...Cl interactions. Both cations have a tetrahedral AX₃E primary bond geometry, which is consistent with VSEPR theory. Three



FIGURE VII, 2 ORTEP views of the two TeCl3⁺ cations in TeCl3(AlCl4)-triclinic, examples of the AX3Y3E geometry. Lower views are toward the assumed position of the lone pair of electrons.

secondary bonds opposite the primary bonds complete a distorted monogapped AX_3Y_3E octahedron (cf. Fig. III.5). The $TeCl_3^+$ cation in $TeCl_4^+$, i.e. $[(TeCl_3^+)(Cl^-)]_4$, also has an $AX_{2}Y_{2}E$ -geometry (108). The dimensions of the TeCl₂⁺ cations in this AlCl, salt, however, are substantially different from those of the same cation in TeCl_A (Table VII.2). There is a significant decrease in the Te--Cl bond length in going from TeC 1_{Δ} to the AlCl $_{\Delta}^{-}$ salt, with a corresponding lengthening of the secondary Te...Cl contacts. This correlation between primary and secondary bond lengths is expected if the chiorine atoms in the anions donate electron density into antibonding G* orbitals associated with the Te--Cl bonds of the cation (see Section III.9). Consistent with the weaker nature of the secondary bonds and the stronger primary Te--Cl bonds, there is also an increase in the mean intramolecular Cl-Te-Cl angle in the AlCl_a salt over that in TeCl, (Table VII.2). The dimensions of the TeCl₂⁺ cation in the triclinic AlCl_A, salt are very similar to those of the previously published monoclinic salt (104) (Table VII.2).

In the present structure the two AlCl₄ anions are both slightly flattened tetrahedra with some significant differences in bond lengths (Table VII.3). Noteably, each anion contains a bond which is ca. 0.04 to 0.05 Å shorter than the remaining bonds and these two short bonds are to the two chlorine atoms of the anions that are not involved

Table VII.2 Mean Dimensions of MX_3^+ Cations.

MX3+	Bond Lengths (Å)		Bond Angles (deg)			Ref.	
	м – х	MY	X - M - X	X-HY	Y		
$SF_3(BF_4)$	1.492(2)	2.614(3)	97.5(1)			130	
SeF ₃ (NbF ₆)	1.73(4)	2.35(4)	94.9(3)	85(3)	96(3)	125	
$SeF_3(Nb_2F_{11})$	1.66(2)	2.43(2)	94(2)	84(1)	99(1)	126	
TeF ₃ (Sb ₂ F ₁₁)	1.84(4)	2.59(3) ^a	90(2)	75(1)	101(1)	46	
sc1 ₃ (IC1 ₄)	1.988(3)	3.115(3)	101.3(1)	159.5(1) ^b	77.1(1)	115	
SC1 ₃ (SbC1 ₆)	1.956(2)	3.220(3)	103.7(2)	88.31(7)	76.52(5)	116	
sc1 ₃ (UC1 ₆)	1.96(1)	3.25 ^C	102.3(7)	5	÷	131	
SeC14	2.166	2.837	96.0	89.6	84.0	132	
SeCl ₃ (AlCl ₄)	2.11.	3.04	99.3	88.5	82.1	132	
SeCl ₃ (MoOCl ₄)	2.148(2)	2.878(2)	Â.		•	132	
SeCl ₃ (SbCl ₆)	2.10(1)	3.13(1)	, ***,		•	116	
TeCl ^e	.2.311(3)	2.929(3)	94.8(2)	89.9(3)	85.0(2)	108	
$TeCl_3(AlCl_4)^f$	2.276(2)	3.061(2)	95.0(2)	85.6(2)	93.8(2)	104 -	
TeC1 ₃ (A1C1 ₄) ^g	2.277(2)	3.075(2)	95.21(7)	86.81(6)	90.94(5)	h	
TeCl ₃ (AsF ₆)	2.264(2)	2.796(5) ^a	96:00(8)	80.9(1)	102.0(2)	ົ ້ ນ 🧍 🗍	
TeCl ₃ (SbF ₆)	2.258(2)	2.739(5) ^a	95.8(1)	81.2(1)	102.3(1)	h	
SeBra	2.36(3)	2.98(3)	96(1)	90(1)	83(1)	133	
SeBr ₃ (SbF ₆)	2.269(8)	2:77(4)	100.9(3)	86.4(9)	86(1)	102	
TeBr ₃ (AsF ₆)	2.432(2)	2.84(1)	97.92(8)	84.4(3)	92.2(4)	102	
Tel ₃ (AsF ₆)	2.667(2)	2.98(1)	99.9(1)	•	-	134	

(a) Mean of three face-capping contacts. (b) Trans- angle. (c) Only two contacts reported. (d) $i \leftarrow E(SeGl_3^+)(Cl^-)]_4$: (e) i.e. $[(TeCl_3^+)(Cl^-)]_4$. (f) Monoclinic modification. (g) Triclinic modification. (h) This work. (1) i.e. $[(SeBr_3^+)(Br^-)]_4$.

a) TeCl +	3(A1C1 ₄)		4 .		G	A .
· · · . 1	Bond Leng	ths	B	ond Angles	 I	•
Te(1) - - - 	C1(11) C1(12) C1(13) .C1(14) .C1(16) .C1(17)	2.279(2) 2.281(2) 2.277(2) 3.041(2) 3.086(2) 3.067(2)	Cl(11)-Te	(1)-C1(12) -C1(13) -C1(14) -C1(16) -C1(17)	94.89 94.41 83.26 173.11 83.88	9(7) L(8) 5(7) L(5) 3(6)
A1(1) -	C1(14) C1(15) C1(16)	2.145(2) 2.096(3) 2.138(3)	Cl(12)-Te	(1)-C1(13) -C1(14) -C1(16) -C1(17)	94.97 86.91 91.98 177.41	7(7) 1(6) 3(6) 1(6)
-	C1(17)	2.151(2)	Cl(13)-Te	(1)-C1(14) -C1(16) -C1(17)	177.13 85.53 87.41	3(7) 3(6) 1(6)
	•		UI(14)-le	-C1(17)	96.58	3(5) 7(5)
• •		•	C1(16)-Te	(1)-C1(17)	89.23	3 (4.,)
Te(2) - -	C1(21) C1(22) C1(23) .C1(25) .C1(25) .C1(24)	2.278(2) 2.273(2) 2.275(2) 3.065(2) 3.043(2) 3.150(2)	C1(21) Te	(2)-C1(22) -C1(23) -C1(25) -C1(24) -C1(26)	96.04 96.95 90.83 177.32 92.44	1(7) 5(7) 3(6) 2(6) 4(6)
A1(2) - -	C1(24) C1(25) C1(25) C1(26)	2.147(2) 2.153(3) 2.149(2)	C1 (22) – Te	(2)-C1(23) -C1(25) -C1(24) -C1(26)	95.00 86.61 85.24 171.13)(7) l(6) l(6) 3(6)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	C1(27)	2.087(2)	Cl(23)-Te	(2)-C1(25) -C1(24) -C1(26)	172.83 86.28 81.53	3(6) 3(6). 3(7)
	• •		C1(25)-Te	(2)-C1(24) -C1(26)	86.89)(5) 5(5)

b) TeCl₃(A\$F₆)

Bond Lengths

Bond Angles

ين

Te - Cl(1)	2.258(2)
~ - C1(2)	2.266(2)
- Cl(3)	2.268(2)
F(1)	2.738(5)
•••F(2)	2.689(4)
•••F(4)	2.962(6)
•••F(6)	3.149(6)
•••F(1')	3.259(5)
As - F(1)	1.727(4)
- F(2)	1.730(5)
- "F(3)	1,663(5)
- F(4)	1.717(5)
- F(5-)	1.690(5)
- F(6)	1.685(5)

C1(1) -	1e -	C1(2) C1(3) F(1) F(2) F(2) F(4) F(6) F(1')	95 96 84 82 169 143 144	.65(9) .66(8) .6(1) .9(1) .5(1) .3(1) .0(1)
C1(2) -	Te -	C1(3) F(1) F(2) F(4) F(6) F(1')	95 178 80 77 88 120	.6.9(8) .7(1) .9(1) .1(1) .7(2) .1(1)
C1(3) -	Te	F(1) F(2) F(4) F(6) F(1')	83 176 76 119 85	.0(1) .4(1) .8(1) .2(1) .1(1)
F(1)	Te	F(2) F(4) F(6) F(1')	100 102 91 59	.5(2) .5(2) .9(2) .8(2)
F (2)	Te	F(4) F(6) F(1')	103 61 97	.1(2) .8(1) .3(2)
F(4)	Te	F(6) F(1')	45 44	.2(2) .7(1)
· + (0)	16	r(1`)	. 43	.5(1)

c) TeCl₃(SbF₆)

Ś

Bond lengt	hs	Bond Angles				
e(1) - Cl(1) 2 - Cl(2) 2 F(1) 2 F(3) 2 F(5) 2	.261(2) .252(3) .660(6) .950(6) .778(4)	Cl(1) - Te(1)	- C1(2) - C1(1) F(1) F(3) F(5)	97.6(1) 92.3(1) 85.8(1) 133.8(1) 75.5(1)		
b(1) - F(1) 1 - F(2) 1 - F(3) 1 - F(4) 1 - F(5) 1	.879(5) .842(7) .863(6) .843(7) .867(4)	Cl(2) - Te(1)	F(1) F(3) F(5)	175.0(2) 81.6(2) 82.3(1)		
- 1 (3) 1		F(1)Te(1)	F(3)	93.4(2) 95.1(1)		
		F(3)Te(1)	F(5)	58.5(1)		

. · .

F(5)...Te(1)...F(5') 116.6(2)

معاطيته لفسايعاته

d) (TeF₃)₂S0₄

1

Bond Length	s ,	Bond	Angles	• •	-
Te(1) - F(11) - F(12) - F(13) - O(1) - O(2)	1.860(8) 1.900(8) 1.837(8) 2.213(9) 2.321(11)	F(11)-Te(1)	-F(12) -F(13) -O(1) -O(3)	87.8(4) 86.5(4) 86.5(4) 163.2(4)	•
- 0(3) 0(2) F(21) F(23) F(11')	3.131(10) 3.321(8) 3.303(8) 3.079(8)	F(12)-Te(1)	-F(13) -O(1) -O(3)	86.5(4) 166.4(4) 82.8(4)	
Te(2) - F(21) - F(22)	1.850(9) 1.857(10)	F(13)-Te(1)	-0(1) -0(3)	80.8(4) 79.1(4)	/
-F(23)	1.845(8)	0(1)-Te(1)	-0(3)	99.5(4)	
- 0(4) F(12) F(3) F(22')	2.343(12) 2.667(9) 3.155(11) 3.274(10)	F(21)-Te(2)	-F(22) -F(23) -O(2) -O(4)	85.3(4) 89.4(4) 73.8(4) 164.8(4)	
S(1) - 0(1) - 0(2) - 0(3) - 0(4)	1.471(9) 1.477(10) 1.469(10) 1.453(11)	F(22)-Te(2)	-F(23) -O(2) -O(4)	89.1(4) 156.8(4) 81.8(4)	
		F(23)-Te(2)	-0(2)	80.7(4)	• •
	•	0(2)-Te(2)	-0(4)	117.0(4)	
	a		•		•
		$\overline{\mathbf{G}}$		· · · · · ·	1
-	•	e mar a transfer			
	٠. •	•	•		•

· 155

in any significant Te...Cl interactions. In the monoclinic form of this compound the dimensions of the $AlCl_4^-$ anion also illustrate this same trend (104).

 $(TeCl_3^+)(SbF_6^-)$ and $(TeCl_3^+)(Ask_6^-)$: The hexafluoroantimonate salt of the TeCl_3^+ cation crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group Pnma. In this salt the cation has crystallographic mirror symmetry with Cl-Te-Cl angles of 97.6(1) (x2) and 92.3(1)° (Fig. VII.3). Furthermore, the independent Te--Cl distances of 2.261(2) (x2) and 2.252(3) Å are significantly shorter than those for $(TeCl_3)(AlCl_4)$ or TeCl_4 (Table VII.2). These differences can be correlated with the strengths of the interionic contacts involving each $TeCl_3^+$ cation. As discussed above, the Te--Cl primary bond length decreases as the Te...Cl secondary bond in a direction approximately trans- to the primary bond increases in length.

In the present salt there are four Te...F contacts shorter than van der Waals distances, three of which may be constdered to be capping the Cl-Cl-E faces of the TeCl₃E tetrahedron, with the fourth bridging a Cl...E edge of the same tetrahedron. This arrangement of primary and secondary bonds may be described as $AX_3Y_3Y'E$ and is related to a tricapped trigonal-prismatic arrangement of ligands $AX_3Y_3Y'_3E$ in which all three faces and all three edges of the AX_3E tetrahedron containing the lone pair E would be



FIGURE VII.3 The TeCl₃⁺ cation in a) TeCl₃(SbF₆), an example of the \therefore (AX₃Y₃Y'E geometry, and b) TeCl₃(AsF₆), AX₃Y₃Y'₂E. Both views are toward the assumed positions of the lone pairs of electrons.

involved in secondary bonds. In the present salt two of the generally longer Y' edge-bridging contacts are missing. A similar $AX_3Y_3Y'E$ geometry has been described above for the three-coordinate tellurium atom in $Te_{4.5}Se_{5.5}(AsF_6)_2$ (Fig. III.8). A good example of an $AX_3Y_3Y'_3E$ geometry in this class of compounds is available in the structure of $(TeF_3)(Sb_2F_{11})$ (Fig. III.7).

When the difference in van der Waals radii for fluorine and chlorine is taken into consideration, the three face-capping Te...F contacts in (TeCl₃)(SbF₆) are weak compared to the Te...Cl contacts in other TeCl₃⁺ safts (Table VII.2), but are consistent with the short Te--Cl prîmary This difference in secondary bond bond lengths observed. strengths for fluorine and chlorine ligands is a function of size and polarizability (Cl > F). The effect of the additional edge-bridging contact to F(3) is to lengthen the two. Te--Cl bonds (to Cl(1) and Cl(1')) trans- to F(3)relative to Te--Cl(2), which has only one opposing Te...F bond (see Fig. VII.3). The contact to F(3) also serves to spread F(5)F(5') apart so that F(1)...Te-Cl(2) is closer to 180°___ and (175.2(2)°) than are F(5)...Te-Cl(1') and F(5')...Te-Cl(1) (167.6(1)°).

The corresponding hexafluoroarsenate salt, $(TeCl_3)(AsF_6)$, is not isomorphous with $(TeCl_3)(SbF_6)$, but crystallizes in the monoclinic space group P2₁/n. This

situation was also observed (102) for the corresponding salts (SeBr₃)(SbF₆) (orthorhombic, space group P2₁2₄2₁) and (SeBr₃)(AsF₆) (monoclinic, space group P2₁/c), but this does -not completely exclude the possibility that other polymorphic forms of these TeCl₃⁺ and SeBr₃⁺ salts isomorphous with the current structures might exist.

In $(TeCl_3)(AsF_6)$ the $TeCl_3^+$ cation has no crystallographic symmetry and the Cl-Te-Cl bond angles show that the cation is much less distorted from C_{3v} symmetry than that in the SbF_6^- salt, although the average Cl-Te-Cl angle in both salts is virtually the same (Tables VII.2 and VII.3). The Te--Cl bond lengths in the AsF_6^- salt are marginally longer than those observed for the SbF_6^- salt (Table VII.3). These differences are again related to the secondary bonds to the tellurium atom, and are consistent with AsF_6^- being a better Lewis base (F⁻ donor) than SbF_6^-.

In $(TeCl_3)(AsF_6)$ there are five Te...F interactions which are less than the sum of the van der Waals radii of 3.53 Å (39) and may be considered as secondary bonding interactions. Three of these interactions are approximately trans- to the Te--Cl primary bonds and cap the faces of the TeCl_3E tetrahedron, while two further longer interactions approximately bridge two Cl...E edges of the same tetrahedron (Fig. VII.3). Overall the environment of tellurium in this salt may be designated as $AX_3Y_3Y_2E$.

The hexafluoroantimonate and hexafluorbarsenate. an-

ions in these two structures are distorted octahedra with Sb--F and As--F bond lengths of 1.842(7) to 1.879(5) Å and -1.663(5) to 1.730(5) Å respectively. The primary bonds to fluorine atoms involved in the shortest Te...F contacts are slightly longer than those to atoms which do not form any contacts (Table VII.3).

 $(TeF_3)_2(SO_4)$: In this salt the distinction between an ionic formulation and a covalently linked sulfate adduct is not clear since some of the anion-cation Te...O distances are as short as 2.213(9) Å (Table VII.3). Similar 1--0 and Xe--0 bond lengths of 2.26(1) and 2.22(2) Å respectively are found $I_2S_2O_6F_2$ (109) and the $[(XeF_2)_2SO_3F]^+$ cation (110). In fact, each tellurium atom of $(TeF_3)_2(SO_4)$ forms two short ke--O bonds so that the primary geometry of these atoms can be, considered to be distorted AX_gE with the lone pairs in remaining octahedral position, trans- to the shorter the Te(1)--F(13) and Te(2)--F(23) axial bonds (Fig. VII.4). The remaining Te--F bond lengths then appear to be "a function of." the strength of the trans- related Te--O distances (Table ' The Te(1) - F(12) bond is particularly long since, VII.3). F(12) is also involved in a secondary bond to Te(2). The atoms F(11), F(12), O(1) and O(3) constitute an equatorial plane of the Te(1) octahedron and are all pushed slightly out of the plane of Te(1) and towards the apical F(13) atom. Valence-shell electron-pair repulsion theory predicts this



FIGURE VII.4 ORTEP drawing of the polymeric $(TeF_3)_2SO_4$ structure. Unfilled bonds between TeF₃ and SO₄ groups range from 2.21 to 2.37 Å in length (see Table VII.3). Dotted lines indicate primary bonds to next-nearest sulfur atoms. distortion, resulting from the greater repulsive effect of the lone pair of electrons compared to the pair of electrons forming the Te(1)--F(13) bond (61). The AX_5E geometry observed here is rather different from that of the MX_3^+ salts described above, but is rather similar to that of TeF₄ (111).

Completing the overall coordination shell of each tellurium atom of $(TeF_3)_2(SO_4)$ out to the van der Waals limits are three further contacts at Te(2) and four contacts at Te(1) (Fig. VII.5). These remote atoms are arranged such that they are approximately capping three or four faces of the AX₅E octahedron describing the primary geometry. The overall geometry of Te(1) can be described as AX_5Y_4E and that of Te(2) as AX_5Y_3E . Remarkably similar AX₅Y₄E° geometries are observed for the XeF_5^+ cation in $(XeF_5)(PtF_6)$ and for IF_5 in the XeF₂(IF₅) adduct (112, 113). In TeF₄ there are three face-capping contacts, as for Te(2) above (111). VII.7 The Geometry of MX₂^T Cations.

It is interesting to note the close similarity of the geometry of the MX₃⁺ cations to the isoelectronic Group V trihalide molecules.⁶ The bon engths are in every case shorter and the bond angles slightly larger in the Group VI trihalide cations (see ref. (102), Table 6). The shorter bond lengths in the cations are consistent with the smaller covalent radii of the central atoms and the positive charge

6

*******162



FIGURE VII.5 Two views of Te(1) in $(TeF_3)_2SO_4$ showing secondary bonds (unfilled bonds) capping X-X-E faces of the AX_5E polyhedron, giving an overall AX_5Y_4E geometry. Right hand view is toward assumed direction of the lone pair of electrons.

may also have a small bond-shortening effect. The bonding electron pairs in the short bonds in the cations will be closer together and therefore repel each other more strongly than in the neutral molecules, leading to the larger observed bond angles. In addition, the electronegativity of a positively-charged chalcogen central atom is greater than that of a neutral group V atom and according to VSEPR theory the bonding pairs of electrons would then be relatively closer to the central atoms of the cations and take up more space in the valence shell of the central atom, contributing to the observed increase in the bond angle.

Secondary bonding is generally weaker in structures. of the neutral molecules than the anion-cation interactions 😠 observed in the MX⁺ structures. Since secondary bonds tend to weaken the M--X primary bonds (see section VI.12), bond pair - bond pair repulsions decrease with respect to lone pair - bond pair repulsions with increasing secondary bond strength, decreasing the X-M-X apples. The angle expansion in going from a neutral molecule to an-MX t cation is therefore not as large as might be anticipated For example, the mean Cl-P-Cl angle from the low temperature crystal structure of PCl₂ (114) is 100.09(9)° and the ipter-molecular contacts are all greater that the van der Waals distance. This angle is only marginally less than the mean CI-S-GT of $101.3(1)^{\circ}$ and $103.7(2)^{\circ}$ in $(SC1_{4})(TC1_{4})$ and angtes
(SC1₃)(SbC1₆) respectively (115, 116). The larger angle in the SbCl₆ salt is consistent with the weaker S...Cl bonds in this structure compared to the ICl, salt (Table VII.2). In the two series MF_3^+ and MCl_3^+ (M = S, Se, Te) the bonding electron pairs become further apart as M increases size and the M--F or M--Cl bonds increase in Dength. in These electron pairs therefore will repel each other less strongly and the lone pair of electrons will spread out over the surface of the central atom, reducing the angle between the bonding pairs of electrons. The X¤M-X bond angle steadily decreases from sulfur to selenium to tellurium in both of these series (Table VII.2). Similarly, X-M-X is smaller in $(T_{f}eBf_{3})(AsF_{6})$ than in $(SeBr_{3})(SbF_{6})$. This trend can also be explained using a hybridization model and the inert pair The increasing s-p energy separation down a group effect. leads to the lone pair on tellurium having more s-character than for the other chalcogens. As a consequence, the M--X primary bonds for tellurium have more p-character and there-{ fore a correspondingly smaller bond angle. In∕the SnBr_a anion of CsSnBr, (117) the central tin atom is surrounded by a perfect octahedron of bromine atoms./ The lone pair is sterepinactive and presumably resides in a spherical same argument holds for the $TeCl_6^{2-}$ anion orbital. The (118)

In the series SX_3^+ , SeX_3^+ and TeX_3^+ , X_1M_X increases in the order F < Cl < Br < I. A similar trend is noted for

the isoelectronic neutral molecules and is consistent with the changing electronegativity of X. The greater the electronegativity of X, the more X contracts the charge cloud of the bonding pair and attracts it to itself, reducing the repulsion between bond pairs and decreasing the X-M-X angle, despite sharter bond lengths. For example, X-Te-X is 90(2)° in $\operatorname{PeF}_{3}^{F}(\operatorname{Sb}_{2}F_{11})$ compared to 96.0(1)° and 95.8(1)° in (TeCl₃)(AsF₆) and (TeCl₃)(SbF₆), 97.92(8)° in (TeBr₃)(AsF₆) and 99.9(1)° in (TeI₃)(AsF₆)(Table VII.2).

The geometry of the secondary bonds also changes in a regular manner. In general these interactions are formed in those directions which avoid the concentrations of electron density due to the primary bonds and the lone pair. They are therefore formed in directions between the unshared pair and the bond pairs. It is noted above that the bonding pairs are forced closer together as M increases in size in series MF_3^+ , MCl_3^+ and MBr_3^+ as the lone pair spreads the out on the surface of the larger central atom. The secondbonds that form around this spreading lone pair, are arv forced further and further apart and closer to the primary bonds in the same series. For example, the mean secondary secondary bond angle, Y...M...Y, increases from 76.52(5) to 82.1 $\pm 0.90.94(5)^{\circ}_{\sim}$ in (SCl₃)(SbCl₆), (SeCl₃)(AlCl₄) and $(TeCl_3)(AlCl_4)$ triclinic respectively. The same trend is observed for SeF₃⁺ and TeF₃⁺ or SeBr₃⁺ and TeBr₃⁺ salts

(Table VII.2).

VII.9 Anion-Cation Interactions in MX₃⁺ Cations.

There are significant anion-cation contacts in a]] the characterized examples of the MX_3^+ , cations. of The strength of these interactions at M., i.e. M....Y, increases in the series S < Se < Te, consistent with the increasing electropositive nature of the chalcogens in the same order. For example, M...Y is 3.220(3), 3.13(1) and 3.075(2) Å in the compounds $(SCl_3)(SbCl_5)$, $(SeCl_3)(SbCl_5)$ and (TeCl₂)(AlCl₄)-triclinic respectively (Table VII.2). These distances are 0.35, 0.52 and 0.73 Å respectively less than the van der Waals limiting distances (39). The stronger secondary, bonds are probably partially responsible for ingrease in the Y...M...Y angle down the group. The trend in this angle is described above in relation to the greater stereoactivity of the lone pair down the group.

Contacts to M similarly depend on the nature of Υ. degree of charge-transfer from the anion to the TeCl, The cation is apparently greater in the $AlCl_A^-$ salt than in AsF₆ and SbF₆ salts, since the/Te--Cl primary bonds are somewhat longer in the AlCl, salt (Table VII.2). 🗉 This is not too surprising since chlorine is larger and more polarizable than fluorine. The above comparison is conditional, the overall environment of the central however, on atom remaining essentially the same in the different salts. Most

of the cations have simple AX_3Y_3E geometries. The TeCl₃ cation has additional edge-bridging contacts in the AsF_{s} and SbF_{κ}^{-} salts, but the degree of charge-transfer is still apparently somewhat less in these salts than in the There are variations in the M...Y interaction for \tilde{a} salt. given Y as well, depending on the Lewis basicity of the anion. The M...Y distance in $(SCl_3)(SbCl_6)$, for example, is somewhat longer than in $(SCl_3)(ICl_4)$ (Table VLL.2), consistent with $SbCl_5$ being a stronger Lewis acid than ICl_3 (116). Variations in the length of the Te--Cl bond in TeCl, + salts can be correlated with the positions of $TeCl_3^+$ stretches in their Raman spectra. The symmetric stretching vibration of the TeCl, + cation occurs at successively higher frequencies in the Raman spectra of $TeC(I_A)$, (TeCl₃)(AlCl₄) and $(TeCl_3)(AsF_6)$ (Fig. VII.10, consistent with a decrease in the primary Te--Cl bond length in the same series (Table VII.2). This stretch occurs at a higher frequency in $(TeCl_3)(SbCl_6)$ than in $\pi(TeCl_3)(AlCl_4)$, indicating that anioncation Stractions are weaker and the Te-Q1 primary bond somewhat shorter in the SbCl₆ salt than in the AlCl₄ salt. SbCl₆ anion then is apparently a somewhat weaker Lewis The base than $AlCl_{4}$, or in other words $SbCl_{5}$ is a stronger Lewis acid than AlcaG.

For a given M the tendency for contacts to X, that is X...Y, to become significant increases in the order F <Cl << Br < I, corresponding to the increasing polarizability

. 168

and electropositive nature of X in the same series. In the structures reported here this type of contact is not preval-...ent, but whey can be seen in structures of the compounds [#] $(SeBr_3)(SbF_6)$, $(TeBr_3)(AsF_6)$ and $(TeI_3)(AsF_6)$ (102, 134) Consistent with the increase in the interactions at X apto be a tendency for the contacts to M to simultanepears decrease in strength, that is the degree of chargeously transfer to the cation via both the M and X contacts appears to be constant. The M...Y distance steadily increases from 2.59(3) to 2.738(5) to 2.84(1) to 2.98(1) \AA in the compounds $(TeF_3)(Sb_2F_{11})$, $(TeCl_3)(SbF_6)$, $(TeBr_3)(AsF_6)$ and (Tel₃)(AsF₆) respectively (Table VII.2).

VII.10 F-19, Se-77 and Te-125 NMR of SeF $_3^+$ and TeF $_3^+$.

It is clear from the above discussion that the X-M-Xangle decreases steadily in the series SX_3^+ > SeX_3^+ TeX₃⁺ for the crystal structures examined. It was also) of • interest to determine if the same angle dependence could observed in solution. To this end, the 19 F, 77 Se and 125 Te NMR spectra of the SeF $_3^+$ and TeF $_3^+$ cations were obtained so that the ${}^{19}F_{-}{}^{77}Se$ and ${}^{19}F_{-}{}^{125}Te$ coupling constants could used to compare the F-Se-F and F-Te-F bond angles in these 🔦 The Fermi contact mechanism, which is cations in solution. concerned with the degree of s-character in a bond, generalsp\$n+spin coupling in high resolution dominates ly: NMR Since the X-M-X bond angle is related to the amount (1)

of s-character in the M--X bond, that is a large bond angle corresponds to a high degree of s-charater, trends in the X-M-X bond angle can be related to changes in the spin-spin coupling constants.

Observed scalar coupling constants involving different nuclei cannot be compared directly, but useful companisons can be made using reduced coupling constants, K_{XX} , which take into account the different magnetogyric ratios of the nuclides being compared (120). Reduced density coupling constants, L_{MX} , additionally correct for relativistic effects which become important for heavy nuclei (121-123).

 $K_{MX} = (4\pi^{2}/h)J_{MX}(\lambda_{M}\lambda_{X})^{-1}$ VII.2 $L_{MY} = (4\pi^{2}/c^{2})K_{MY}(\nu(-1)_{M}\nu(-1)_{Y})^{-1}$ VII.3

where h is Planck's constant, c is the speed of light; \mathcal{X}_{M} is the magnetogyric ratio of nucleus M (in nuclear magnetons) and $\mathcal{V}(-1)_{M}$ is the hyperfine integral of nucleus M (a measure of the s-electron density of nucleus M). The hyperfine integrals of the main group elements have been tabulated in ref. (121): F = -0.5272571, Se = -0.8300371, Te = -1.2585161.

The TeF₃⁺ cation was obtained by three routes (Table VII.4): 1) the reaction of TeF₄ with excess ASF_5 in SO_2 , 2) the reaction of Te($OTeF_5$)₄ with excess ASF_5 in SO_2 and 3) the direct fluorination of elemental tellurium with SbF_5 in SO_2 . Excess ASF_5 was used in the first two reactions to helpy reduce line broadening by suppression of fluoride ex-

- 170

change in the presence of excess Lewis acid (124). Trifluoroselenium hexafluoroarsenate was prepared by the reaction of $Se_4(AsF_6)_2$ with O_2AsF_6 (reaction VII.4).

171

 $Se_4(AsF_6)_2 + 14 0_2 AsF_6 \xrightarrow{HF} 4 SeF_3 AsF_6 + 14 0_2 + 12 AsF_5$ VII.4

An excess of $Se_4(AsF_6)_2$ was used since 0_2^+ is paramagnetic and if present in even small amounts could cause significant line broadening in the NMR spectra. Anhydrous hydrogen fluoride was used as the solvent in the preparation since 0_2^+ would be expected to oxidize SO_2 to SO_3 . Sulfur dioxide was the preferred solvent for the NMR samples and, with the exception of the Te/SbF₅ solution, low temperatures were employed to reduce line broadening resulting from fluoride exchange. The 125 Te NMR spectrum of a TeF₄/AsF₅ solution in SO₂ recorded at 200 K is given as Fig. VII.6.

The ¹⁹F, ⁷⁷Se and ¹²⁵Te NMR parameters for the SeF₃⁺ and TeF₃⁺ cations are given in Table VII.4. The mean ¹⁹F-⁷⁷Se and ¹⁹Te-¹²⁵Te coupling constants are 1202 and 2907 Hz respectively. The SeF₃⁺ coupling compares well with the value of 1213 Hz reported for the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of a solution of SeF₄(BF₃) in HF '(124). The ¹⁹F-⁷⁷Se and ¹⁹F-¹²⁵Te couplings in SeF₃⁺ and TeF₃⁺ are "compared with other Se-F and Te-F compounds in Table VII.5. The reduced " density, coupling constants for a given pair of Se(VI) and Te(VI) compounds should be approximately equal since the environments and bonding of the speciess are expected to ⁴be





Table VII.4 NMR Parameters^a for SeF_3^+ and TeF_3^+ .

	1	δ (ppm)			J(Hz)	
Cation	¹⁹ F	77 _{Se}	¹²⁵ Te	19 _{F-} 77 _{Se}	19 _{F-} 123 _{Te}	¹⁹ F- ¹²⁵ Te
SeF3 ^{+b}	11.2	-112.0		1201 ^C 1203 ^d		•
TeF3	-47.2 ^e		663.2 ^e 673.9 ^{e,1}	•	2430 ^{c,e}	2915 ^{c,e} 2914 ^{g,e}
	-45.1 ^h -43.1 ^f ,h		688.9 ^{f,1}	۱ •		2885 ^c ,h 2918 ^g ,f,h
	•	•	671.2 ¹	•	•	2905 ^{9 1}

(a) Spectra recorded at 200 K unless otherwise indicated. $\delta(^{19}\text{F})$, $\delta(^{77}\text{Se})$ and $\delta(^{125}\text{Te})$ referenced to external CFCl₃, saturated aqueous H₂SeO₃ and saturated aqueous Te(OH)₆ at 295 K respectively. (b) a 0.20 m solution of SeF₃(AsF₆) in SO₂. (c) From ¹⁹F spectrum. (d) From ⁷⁷Se spectrum. (e) TeF₄ (0.3862 g, 1.897 mmol) + AsF₅. (1.28 g, 7.51 mmol) + SO₂ (3 g) (see Fig. VII.6). (f) 295 K. (g) From ¹²⁵Te spectrum. (h) Minor product of 0.2 g Te + 0.4 mL SbF₅ in 3 mL SO₂, stirred and filtered after 48 h. (i) Te(OTeF₅)₄ (0.9287 g, 0.8583 mmol) + AsF₅ (0.729 g, 4.29 mmol) + 2.5 g SO₂ (Table VIII.1, Fig. VIII.3).

Table VII.5	Compăr Consta	Comparison of ${}^{19}F^{-77}$ Se and ${}^{19}F^{-125}$ Te Coupling Constants.				
Solute	JMX (Hz)	K _{MX} ^b (10 ²¹ NA ⁻² m ⁻³)	L _{MX} c (10 ⁻⁴³ NA ⁻² π	Ref.		
SeF ₃₊	1202 ^d	5.579	5.885	f		
TeF ₃	2907 ^d	8.142		f		
SeF TeF ₆ 6	1421 3736	6.595 10.463	6.957 7.279	135 ° 136		
HOSeF ₅	1300 ^e	6.033	6.364	137		
HOTeF ₅	3577 ^e	10.018	6.970	138		
Xe(0SeF ₅)2	1338 ^e	6.210	6.550	139		
Xe(0TeF ₅)2	3600 ^e	10.082	7.014	139		
F5Se00SeF5	1431 ^e	6.641	7.005	139		
F5Te00TeF5	3830 ^e	10.727	7.463	139		

(a) Scalar coupling constant. All couplings are absolute values.
(b) Reduced coupling constant.
(c) Reduced density coupling constant.
(d) Mean value, see Table VII.4.
(e) Coupling to equatorial F atoms.
(f) This work.

nearly identical (i.e. octahedral, or pseudooctahedral for the OMF₅ species). These couplings are in fact very close in value, although L_{TeF} is generally somewhat, larger than L_{SeF} (Table VII.5). The reduced density coupling constants for SeF₃⁺ and TeF₃⁺ are smaller than for the Se(VI) and Te(VI) species and in this case L_{SeF} is larger than L_{TeF} . This ind cates that the M--F bonds have more s-character in SeF₃⁺ than TeF₃⁺, or in other words the F-Se-F bond angle would be expected to be somewhat larger than the F-Te-F bond angle, which is consistent with X-ray crystallographic findings (46, 125, 126). This NMR result may be fortuitous, however, since other terms may also contribute to spin-spin coupling.



VIII.1 Introduction.

The discovery of pentafluoroorthotelluric acid, HOTEF₅, by Engelbrecht and SIadky (140) opened the door to a new class of compounds. The OTEF₅ ligand is almost as electronegative as fluorine (136)-and OTEF₅ analogues of most of the known fluorides have been prepared (141, 142), including the noble gas compounds $Xe(OTEF_5)_2$ and $Xe(OTEF_5)_4$ (143, 144). The reaction of HOTEF₅ with boron trichloride, reaction VIII.1, leads to $B(OTEF_5)_3$ (145, 146), which is a very effective QTEF₅-donor in substitution reactions such as VIII.2 (34).

176

3 HOTEF₅ + BCT₃ - 3 HCl + B60TEF₅)₃ VIII.1 3 TeF₄ + 4 B(OTEF₅)₃ - 3 Te(OTEF₅)₄ + 4 BF₃ VIII.2 The C_{4v} symmetry of the OTEF₅ group has been established by vibrational spectroscopic studies of OTEF₅ salts (147) and by the characteristic AB₄ patterns in the ¹⁹F NMR spectra of all of the compounds so far examined (142). Xray crystallography has confirmed this geometry in a handful of compounds including Te(OTEF₅)₆ and B(OTEF₅)₃ (34, 146). An ORTEP drawing of B(OTEF₅)₃ is shown In Figure VIII.1.

A considerable portion of the main-group chemistry of simple binary fluorides is based upon the fluoride ion donor/acceptor properties of the parent compounds. In the present work we have extended the analogy to the $OTeF_5^$ anion and have studied its donor/acceptor properties in a series of mixed F/OTeF₅ compounds of Te(IV). Several examp-

ð



FIGURE VIII.1 ORTEP drawing of B(OTeF₅)₃ (reproduced from reference (146)).

les of OTeF₅/F ligand redistributions have been reported for derivatives of $Xe(0TeF_5)_2$, $Xe(0TeF_5)_4$ and $0Xe(0TeF_5)_4$ (148)-The OTeF₅ analogue of AsF_5 , $As(OTeF_5)_5$, has been prepared and employed as an acceptor species for $OTeF_5$ in the course of the present investigations. Its preparation has also been described recently by Lentz and Seppelt (149). The precursor $OTeF_5$ ion donor used in the present study, $Te(OTeF_5)_4$, had been prepared and studied earlier using room temperature ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy as the chief means of structural characterization (34) A subsequent low temperature 125 Te NMR study showed the structure of Te(OTeF₅)₄ to be consistent with a trigonal bipyramid undergoing rapid intramolecular exchange between the axial and equatorial ligand sites (136).

VIII.2 Preparation of $TeF_x(0TeF_5)_{4-x}$.

. .

Tellurium petrakis(pentafluoroorthotellurate) was prepared by the stoichiometric reaction of TeF₄ with $B(0TeF_5)_3$ as reported previously (34). Mixed fluorides/pentafluoroorthotellurates were prepared using one half the amount of $B(0TeF_5)_3$ required for complete reaction with TeF_4 . In a typical reaction, TeF_4 (0.5906 g, 2.901 mmol) was weighed into a glass vessel equipped with a Rotaflo valve and $B(0TeF_5)_3$ (1.405 g, 1.934 mmol) was distilled onto the TeF_4 , the valve closed and the mixture fused at cav 400 K. Boron trifluoride was slowly liberated. When no

further gas evolution was observed the mixture was cooled to room temperature and the BF₃ removed by pumping under vacuum for several minutes, leaving a colorless crystalline solid.

VIII.3 Preparation of $[TeF_x(0TeF_5)_{3-x}]^+[AsF_y(0TeF_5)_{6-y}]^-$. Mixed F/0TeF₅ derivatives of $(TeF_3)(AsF_6)$ were prepared directly in 10 mm NMR tubes by the addition of AsF₅ to. Te(0TeF_5)_4 or TeF_x(0TeF_5)_{4-x} in SO₂ solution. In a typical reaction AsF₅ (0.0692 g, 0.408 mmol) was distilled onto SO₂ (2 mL) and Te(0TeF_5)_4 (0.4433 g, 0.4097 mmol) in a 10 mm NMR tube and the end flame-sealed. Upon thawing the reaction mixture a colorless solution was produced with no residue.

VIII.4 Preparation of $As(OTeF_5)_5$.

The synthetic route employed here is analogous to that used for $Te(0TeF_5)_4$. In a typical reaction $B(0TeF_5)_3$ (5.7893 g, 7.968 mmol) was weighed into one bulb of a doublebulb reaction vessel equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and a glass frit separating the two bulbs. Arsenic pentafluoride (0.8217 g, 4.836 mmol) was added to a thin-walled glass weighing bulb prior to condensing, along with SO_2 (30 mL), into the reaction vessel containing $B(0TeF_5)_3$. The vessel was then flame-sealed, the resulting colorless solution stirred for 48 h and upon removal of the solvent by static distillation into the other bulb of the reaction vessel, colorless crystals of $As(0TeF_5)_5$ were deposited.

The low-melting crystals were washed several times with cold (ca. 250 K) SO_2 . Residual SO_2 was removed by freezing the other bulb of the vessel in liquid N_2 for 1 h before flamesealing the bulb containing $As(OTeF_5)_5$. Raman spectrum of $As(OTeF_5)_5$ at 77 K (cm⁻¹, values in parentheses denote intensities): 760(6), 722(77), 690(85), 679(31), 670(100), 658(13), 554(31), 535(A7), 519(56), 486(10), 331(16), 318(38), 283(6), 250(15), 215(5), 144(46), 134(13). This spectrum is significantly different from that reported by Lentz and Seppelt (149). Their ¹⁹F NMR data, however, agrees well with ours, although a different sign convention was used. It is possible that their Raman sample, which was obtained via sublimation, was a different crystalline phase from that reported here.

VIII.5 Preparation of $Cs[As(OTeF_5)_6]$.

Cesium pentafluoroorthotellurate was prepared from CsCl and HOTeF₅ as previously described (147). Cesium chloride was dried at 430 K for 96 h and under vacuum at 450 K overnight. Stoichiometric portions of Cs[OTeF₅] (0.1542 g, 0.4151 mmol), and As(OTeF₅)₅ (0.5247 g, 0.4138 mmol) were combined in an FEP tube fitted with a valve and melted and thoroughly mixed at ca. 350 K.

VIII.6 Tellurium-125 NMR of TeF, $(OTeF_5)_{4-x}$.

When a mixture of the compounds $\text{TeF}_{x}(\text{OTeF}_{5})_{4-x}$ was dissolved in SO₂ClF and the solution cooled to 200 K, fluor-

ine. exchange was slowed sufficiently on the NMR time scale for the individual compounds $TeF_x(0TeF_5)_{4-x}$ (x = 0,1 or 2) to be identified (Fig. VIII.2). As previously reported (136), a Te(OTeF₅)_{A^{*}} signal is observed at 602.4 ppm in the Te(IV) region of the spectrum. The monofluoro derivative, $TeF(OTeF_{5})_{2}$, is identified by the doublet pattern resulting from a directly-bonded $^{19}F_{-}^{125}Te(IV)$ coupling of 2810 Hz. The $^{19}F^{-125}$ Te coupling in TeF₃⁺, which also has tellurium-in its +4 'oxidation state is 2914 Hz (section VII.8). The 1:2:1 triplet assigned to $TeF_{5}(0TeF_{5})_{2}$ has a directly-bonded ¹⁹F-¹²⁵Te(IV) coupling of 2850 Hz. There is a further coupling of Te(IV) to the equatorial fluorine atoms on Te(VI) of 40 Hz. This long-range coupling was not resolved for the other two $TeF_x(0TeF_5)_{4-x}$ compounds, but has been reported (136) to be 31.8Hz for $Te(0TeF_5)_4$ in SO_2ClF . Longrange coupling of Te(IV) to the axial fluorine atom was, not resolved for any of the compounds. The $^{129} \mathrm{Xe}$ NMR spectra of $XeOTeF_5^+$, $XeF_y(OTeF_5)_{2-y}$, $OXeF_x(OTeF_5)_{4-x}$, $XeF_x(OTeF_5)_{4-x}$ and $0_2 XeF_v (0TeF_5)_{2-v}$ (x = 0-4 and y = 0-2) similarly display fine structure resulting from 1.29 Xe coupling to the equatorial fluorine atoms of the $OTeF_5$ groups only (138, 148). All of the peaks in Figure VIII.2 are broadened due to these small couplings and/or residual ligand exchange.

Satellite peaks resulting from 125 Te(IV)- 125 Te(VI) couplings are observed for TeF(OTeF₅)₃ and TeF₂(OTeF₅)₂ with



FIGURE VIII.2 ¹²⁵Te NIR spectrum of a 3:2 mixture of TeF₄ and B(OTeF₅)₃ in SO₂CLF at 200 K, Te(IV) region (0.77 m Te(IV); 48,000 scans; 6.1 Hz/pt). (A) Te(OTeF₅)₄; (B) TeF(OTeF₅)₃; (C) TeF₂(OTeF₅)₂. (b) and (c) denote ${}^{2}J[{}^{125}Te(IV)-{}^{125}Te(VI)]$. ${}^{3}J[{}^{19}P-{}^{125}Te(IV)]$ is resolved for TeF₂(OTeF₅)₂.



FIGURE VIII.3 ¹²⁵Te NMR spectrum for the reaction of $Te(OTeF_5)_4$ with excess AsF₅ in SO₂, Te(IV) region at 200 K (0.34 m Te(OTeF₅)₄; 35,000 scans; 6.1 Hz/pt). (A) TeF₃⁺; (B) TeF₂(OTeF₅)⁺. Inset shows quintet resulting from coupling of Te(IV) to the equatorial fluorine atoms of the OTeF₅ group in TeF₂(OTeF₅)⁺. Coupling to the axial fluorine atom is not resolved.

,A

values of 1730 and 2440 Hz respectively (Table VIII.1). The analogous coupling for $Te(0TeF_5)_4$ is only 544 Hz in CH_3CN (136) and couplings to axia/ and equatorial $OTeF_{F}$ groups are 840 Hz respectively in SO₂ClF at 143 K (Table 670 and VIII.1). Analogous two-bond ¹²⁹Xe-¹²⁵Te couplings have been observed in the case of $OTeF_5$ derivatives of xenon and are similar in magnitude to those of the Te(IV) derivatives This is not too surprising, since 129 Xe and 125 Te (148). hàve similar magnetogyric ratios. The tetrakis derivatve, $Te(OTeF_5)_A$, is the only member of the Te(IV) series for which individual axial and equatorial OTeF_s.groups have been Intramolecular exchange cannot be slowed distinguished. sufficiently for the other species above the freezing point • of the solvent, SO₂ClF (see section VIII.10). The increase in the 125Te(IV)-125Te(VI) coupling constant as x increases in the compounds $\text{TeF}_x(\text{OTeF}_5)_{4-x}$ is consistent with an increase in the covalency of the Te-- ρ TeF₅ bond. Since fluorine is more electronegative than OTeF5 (136) and a Te--F bond is more ionic than a $Te--0TeF_5$ bond, an increase in the number of Te(IV) - F bonds causes an increase in the covalency of the remaining $Te--OTeF_5$, bonds. As covalency increases, so does the magnitude of the coupling. This same effect is observed in the long-range ¹⁹F-¹²⁵Te(IV) couplings noted $19_{\rm F} - 125_{\rm Te(IV)}$ A decrease in the `directly-bonded above. from $TeF_2(0TeF_5)_2$ to $TeF(0TeF_5)_3$ (Table VIII.1) coupling is also consistent with the above description, i.e. as more

183

184

TABLE VIII.1 TE NMR Parameters for TeF_x(OTeF₅)_{4-x} and $[TeF_{g}(OTeF_{5})_{3-x}]^{3}$

Solute	⁶ 125 _{Te} a	J(1 19 _{F-} 125 _{Te}	125 _{Te-} 125 _{Te}	Solvent	Temp. (K)	Concn. (molal)	
Te(OTe'F ₅)4 ^b	Te 633.3.	31.8 ^c		SOZCIP	297	0.18	
	Te'-160.8	F(E)3665 ^d F(A)3555	•			,	
Te(OTe'F ₅)4	Te 581.6		•	S0'2C1F	143	0.18	
·	Te'(E)-156.0	F(E)3620 ^d F(A)3440	840			·	
•	Te'(A)-167.7	F(E)3714 F(A)3502	670		-		
Te(OTe'F ₅) ₄	Te 601.4	•		S0 ₂ C1F	200	0.13	
	Te'-159.4					•	
Te(OTe'F ₅)4*	Te 566.8			so ₂	200	0.03	
1	Te'-160.7					X	
TeF(OTe'F ₅) ₃	Te 563.0	2810	1730	S0 ₂ C1F	. 200	0.45	
TeF(OTe'F5)3	Te 535.3	2814	•	so ₂	200	0.03	
TeF2(OTe'F5)2	Te 540.7	40 ^C 2850	2440	SO ₂ CIF (200	0.17	
िTeF₄	606:6	-	•	so ₂	200	Sat'd	
Te(0Te*F ₅)3 ⁺	Te'-162.5	F{E)3736 ^d F(A)3706	311	so ₂	200	0.12	
TeF(OTe'F ₅)2 ⁺	Te 637.2	15 ^C		50 ₂	200	0.12	
	Te'-159.2	F(E)3724d F(A)3681	· · ·	*		•	
TeF ₂ (OTe'F ₅) ⁺	Te 644.5	21 ^C 2736		so ₂	200	0.17	
•	Te'-151.3	F(E)3724 ^d F(A)3656	•	. 1		,	
TeF3 ⁺	. 671.2	2905		s0 ₂	200	0.17	
	Solute Te(OTe'F ₅) ₄ b Te(OTe'F ₅) ₄ Te(OTe'F ₅) ₄ Te(OTe'F ₅) ₄ TeF(OTe'F ₅) ₃ TeF ₂ (OTe'F ₅) ₂ TeF ₄ TeF(OTe'F ₅) ₃ ⁺ TeF(OTe'F ₅) ₂ ⁺ TeF(OTe'F ₅) ₂ ⁺ TeF ₂ (OTe'F ₅) ⁺ TeF ₂ (OTe'F ₅) ⁺	Solute $\delta_{125_{Te}}^{a}$ Te(OTe'F_5)4 bTe 633.3. Te'-160.8Te(OTe'F_5)4Te 581.6 Te'(E)-156.0Te'(A)-167.7Te(OTe'F_5)4Te 600.4 Te'-159.4Te'(OTe'F_5)4Te 566.8 Te'-160.7TeF(OTe'F_5)3Te 563.0 Te535.3TeF2(OTe'F_5)2Te 540.7Tef(OTe'F_5)3+ Te(OTe'F_5)3+Te 637.2 Te'-162.5TeF(OTe'F_5)2+ TeF2(OTe'F_5)2+Te 644.5 Te'-159.2TeF2(OTe'F_5)+ TeF2(OTe'F_5)+Te 644.5 Te'-151.3TeF3+671.2	$\frac{Solute}{Te(OTe'F_5)_4} \xrightarrow{b} \frac{\delta_{125}}{Te - 160.8} \xrightarrow{f(E)} \frac{19F_{-}125T_{e}}{F(A)^{3555}}$ $Te(OTe'F_5)_4 Te - 633.3 31.8^{C}}{Te'-160.8} \xrightarrow{F(E)} \frac{3665^{d}}{F(A)^{3555}}$ $Te(OTe'F_5)_4 Te - 581.6$ $Te'(E) - 156.0 \xrightarrow{F(E)} \frac{3620^{d}}{F(A)^{3440}}$ $Te'(A) - 167.7 \xrightarrow{F(E)} \frac{3714}{F(A)^{3602}}$ $Te(OTe'F_5)_4 Te - 60^{C}.4$ $Te' - 159.4$ $Te' - 160.7$ $TeF(OTe'F_5)_3 Te - 563.0 2810$ $TeF_2(OTe'F_5)_2 Te - 540.7 2850$ $TeF_4 \xrightarrow{606.6}{F(E)} \xrightarrow{7(E)} 3736^{d}}$ $Te' - 162.5 \xrightarrow{F(E)} 3736^{d}}$ $TeF(OTe'F_5)_2^{+} Te - 637.2 15^{C}}{Te' - 159.2} \xrightarrow{F(E)} 3736^{d}}$ $TeF_2(OTe'F_5)_2^{+} Te - 637.2 15^{C}}{Te' - 159.2} \xrightarrow{F(E)} 3724^{d}}$ $TeF_2(OTe'F_5)^{+} Te - 644.5 21^{C}}{2736}$ $TeF_3^{+} = 671.2 2905$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\frac{Solute}{Te(0Te^{+}F_{5})_{4}^{-b}} = \frac{\delta_{125}^{-a}}{Te^{-633.3.}} = \frac{J(Hz)}{19F_{-}125T_{e}} = \frac{125T_{e}-125T_{e}}{125T_{e}-125T_{e}} = \frac{Solvent}{SO_{2}C1F}$ $Te(0Te^{+}F_{5})_{4} = Te^{-581.6} = SO_{2}C1F$ $Te^{+}(E)-156.0 = F(E)_{3620}^{-d} = 840$ $Te^{+}(A)-167.7 = F(E)_{3714}^{-b} = 670$ $Te(0Te^{+}F_{5})_{4} = Te^{-60C.4} = SO_{2}C1F$ $Te^{+}-160.7 = Te^{+}-160.7$ $TeF(0Te^{+}F_{5})_{4} = Te^{-563.0} = 2810 = 1730 = SO_{2}C1F$ $TeF(0Te^{+}F_{5})_{4} = Te^{-563.0} = 2810 = 1730 = SO_{2}C1F$ $TeF(0Te^{+}F_{5})_{4} = Te^{-563.0} = 2810 = 1730 = SO_{2}C1F$ $TeF(0Te^{+}F_{5})_{4} = Te^{-563.0} = 2810 = 1730 = SO_{2}C1F$ $TeF(0Te^{+}F_{5})_{3} = Te^{-563.0} = 2810 = 1730 = SO_{2}C1F$ $TeF(0Te^{+}F_{5})_{3} = Te^{-563.0} = 2810 = 1730 = SO_{2}C1F$ $TeF(0Te^{+}F_{5})_{3} = Te^{-563.0} = 2810 = 1730 = SO_{2}C1F$ $Te(0Te^{+}F_{5})_{3} = Te^{-563.0} = 2810 = 1730 = SO_{2}C1F$ $Te(0Te^{+}F_{5})_{3} = Te^{-563.0} = 2810 = 1730 = SO_{2}C1F$ $Te(0Te^{+}F_{5})_{3} = Te^{-563.0} = 2810 = 1730 = SO_{2}C1F$ $Te(0Te^{+}F_{5})_{3} = Te^{-563.0} = 2810 = 1730 = SO_{2}C1F$ $Te(0Te^{+}F_{5})_{3} = Te^{-563.0} = 2810 = 1730 = SO_{2}C1F$ $Te(0Te^{+}F_{5})_{3} = Te^{-563.0} = 2810 = 1730 = SO_{2}C1F$ $Te(0Te^{+}F_{5})_{3} = Te^{-563.0} = 2810 = 1730 = SO_{2}C1F$ $Te(0Te^{+}F_{5})_{3} = Te^{-563.0} = 2810 = 1730 = SO_{2}C1F$ $Te^{-162.5} = F(E)_{3726}^{-d} = 311 = SO_{2}$ $Te^{-152.5} = F(E)_{3726}^{-d} = 311 = SO_{2}$ $Te^{+}-159.2 = F(E)_{3724}^{-d} = F(A)_{3681}^{-d}$ $Te^{-151.3} = F(E)_{3724}^{-d} = F(A)_{3655}^{-d} = SO_{2}$ $Te^{+}-151.3 = F(E)_{3724}^{-d} = F(A)_{3655}^{-d} = SO_{2}$	$ \frac{1}{125} 1$	

Referenced with respect to saturated aqueous $Te(OH)_6$ at 295 K. Taken from reference 136. $J(1^{12}F-12^{5}Te)$. a b

ď A and E denote axial and equatorial, respectively. relatively electropositive OTeF₅ groups are added, the remaining /Te(IV)--F bonds become more ionic and $J(^{19}F-^{125}Te(IV))$ is reduced.

VIII.7 Tellurium-125 NMR of $[TeF_{v}(0TeF_{5})_{3-v}]^{+}$ Cations.

Figure VIII.3 depicts the Te(IV) region of the 125 Te NMR spectrum resulting from the reaction of $Te(OTeF_5)_4$ with AsF5. In a procedure identical to that used for the accumulation of the TeF_3^+ spectra (section VII.10), an excess of AsF₅ was employed to help suppress fluoride/OJeF₅ exchange. Two distinct species were observed in the Te(IV) region. TeF3⁺ quartet appeared and was approximately equal The in intensity to a triplet assigned to $\text{TeF}_2(\text{OTeF}_5)^+$. Clearly, AsF_{s} behaves as a fluorinating agent as well as an $OTeF_{s}$ acceptor in this reaction. The directly-bonded $\frac{19}{1}$ F-125Te(IV) coupling in TeF₂(OTeF₅)⁺, 2736 Hz, is somewhat less than that observed for TeF_3^+ (Table VIII.1). Each of the peaks of the $TeF_2(0TeF_5)^+$ triplet was further split into 'a quintet (J = 21 Hz) arising from coupling of Te(IV) to the equatorial fluorine atoms of the $OTeF_{F}$ group.

When less AsF₅ wa's allowed to react with $Te(0TeF_5)_4$, the more $0TeF_5$ -rich $TeF(0TeF_5)_2^+$ cation was observed. The $^{19}F^{-125}Te(IV)$ coupling in $TeF(0TeF_5)_2^+$ is 2630 Hz (Table VIII.1). The steady decrease in $J(^{19}F^{-125}Te(IV))$ in the series TeF_3^+ , $TeF_2(0TeF_5)^+$, $TeF(0TeF_5)_2^+$ is consistent with the greater covalency of the Te--0TeF_5 bond in comparison to the Te(IV)--F bond, as was noted above for the TeF_x(OTeF₅)_{4-x} compounds. The coupling of Te(IV) to the fluorine atoms on Te(VI) in TeF₂(OTeF₅)⁺ is larger than that observed for TeF(OTeF₅)₂⁺ and this, again, is consistent with the increased covalency of the Te--OTeF₅ bond in the presence of a larger number of relatively ionic Te(IV)--F bonds. The ¹⁹F-¹²⁵Te(VI) couplings reflect this same trend. Stronger (more covalent) Te--OTeF₅ bonds are offset by weaker Te(VI)--F bonds and a smaller J(¹⁹F-¹²⁵Te(VI)). Couplings of Te(VI) to both axial and equatorial fluorine atoms decrease stead-ily in the series Te(OTeF₅)₃⁺, TeF(OTeF₅)₂⁺, TeF₂(OTeF₅)⁺ (Table VIII.1).

significant concentration of $Te(OTeF_5)_3^+$ was not observed in reactions of $Te(0TeF_5)_4$ with AsF_5 , but was produced when $As(0TeF_5)_5$ was used as the $0TeF_5$ acceptor. The Raman spectrum of a solidified 1:1 melt of $Te(OTeF_5)_A$ and $As(OTeF_5)_5$ identified the starting materials only (Fig. VIII.4). When this melt was dissolved in SO $_2$, however, it was clear from the NMR spectra that a reaction did take place in solution. The room temperature ¹²⁵Te NMR spectrum this solution consisted of a single broad resonance at of 601 ppm in the Te(IV) region. Upon cooling to 200 K, two distinct resonances were visible (Fig. VIII.5). The resonance at 570 ppm corresponds to that observed for $Te(OTeF_5)_A$ in SO₂ at this temperature (Table VIII.1). The remaining



FIGURE VIII.5 ¹²⁵Te MMR spectrum of an SO₂ solution of a 1:1 Te(OTeF₅)₄/As(OTeF₅)₅ mixture at 200 K, Te(IV) region (the initial concentration was 0.24 m in Te(OTeF₅)₄; 78.97 LHz; 30,000 scans; 6.1 Hz/pt). (A) Te(OTeF₅)₃⁺ with (a) satellites resulting from ¹²⁵Te(IV)-¹²⁵Te(VI) coupling; (B) Te(OTeF₅)₄; (x) TeF(OTeF₅)₂⁺ impurity. Inset shows exchange averaged resonance at 295 K.

.

resonance, at 646 ppm, was in the same region as Te(IV) in the TeF₂(OTeF₅)⁺ and TeF₂(OTeF₅)⁺ cations and the satellite peaks observed about the main resonance were consistent in intensity with coupling to three natural abundance tellurium atoms (see Table IV.4). These observations indicate that this second resonance results from the Te(OTeF₅)₃⁺ cation. The Te(IV)-Te(VI) coupling of 311 Hz is considerably smaller than those observed in the neutral TeF_x(OTeF₅)_{4-x} compounds.

The, averaged Te(IV) environment observed at 295 ĸ for the above solution indicates $OTeF_5$ group exchange at this temperature. As described above, the chemical shift of this resonance lies between those of $Te(0TeF_5)_3^+$ and $Te(OTeF_5)_A$, indicating that the 295 K resonance may result from exchange averaging of the $Te(DTeF_5)_3^+$ and $2Te(OTeF_5)_4$ resonances. The chemical shifts of these latter two species were necessarily recorded at 200 K, however, and since the chemical shift of Te(IV) in $Te(OTeF_5)_4$ is markedly temperature-dependent (see Table VIII.1), the position of the averaged resonance could be fortuitous. Three groups of resonances (doublets of quintets) were observed in the Te(VI) region of the spectrum. One of these, at -158 ppm, split into two sets of resonances at 200 K. The component at -160 ppm corresponds to $Te(0TeF_5)_4$, while the other component, at -162 ppm, was assigned to $Te(0TeF_5)_3^+$ based on the similar exchange behavior observed in the Te(IV) region of the The $Te(0TeF_5)_4$ lines in the Te(VI) region are spectrum.

very broad at 200 K owing to the slow Berry pseudorotation at this temperature. Of the two other groups of resonances in the Te(IV) region at 295 K, the group centered at -165 ppm corresponds to $As(OTeF_5)_5$, while the other, at -171 ppm, was assigned to $As(OTeF_5)_6^-$ (Table VIII.3). This anion was observed, along with ⁷⁵As-¹²⁵Te coupling, in the ⁷⁵As NMR spectrum of the same solution at 295 K (see below). Clearly then, the OTeF₅ exchange is not between Te(IV) and As (equation VIII.3), which would result in equivalence of all of the OTeF₅ groups and a single set of resonances in the Te(VI) region.

 $Te(OTeF_5)_4 + As(OTeF_5)_5 \longrightarrow Te(OTeF_5)_3^+ + As(OTeF_5)_6^-$ VIII.3

Although a 1:1 stoichiometry of $Te(0TeF_5)_4$ and As $(0TeF_5)_5$ was used in the preparation, it was clear that a significant quantity of $Te(0TeF_5)_4$ remained unreacted and the observed facile exchange of $0TeF_5$ groups in solution was between $Te(0TeF_5)_4$ and $Te(0TeF_5)_3^+$. That is, the $Te(0TeF_5)_3^+$ initially formed in the reaction competes as a ligand acceptor towards unreacted $Te(0TeF_5)_4$, thus preventing complete reaction of $Te(0TeF_5)_4$ with $As(0TeF_5)_5$. Since $125Te(IV)_{-}^{125}Te(VI)$ and $125Te_{-}^{19}F$ couplings are retained for samples of $Te(0TeF_5)_4$ alone in solution at 297 K (136), it would appear that $Te(0TeF_5)_4$ does not dissocrate to $Te(0TeF_5)_3^+$ and $0TeF_5^-$ ions at this temperature. The observed facile exchange of $OTeF_5$ groups between $Te(OTeF_5)_3^+$ and, $Te(OTeF_5)_4$ in the present sample then implies that $OTeF_5^$ bridged species such as structure VIII.I may act as intermediates for this exchange. Such proposed bridged intermediates would be of considerable interest since there are at present no known compounds with $OTeF_5$ bridges. The presumed lack of bridging in $OTeF_5$ compounds may be cited as a key difference between the donor-acceptor chemistry of $OTeF_5$ and that of fluorine.



VIII.I

Excess $As(OTeF_5)_5$ was observed in the Te(VI) region of the ¹²⁵Te NMR spectrum, but was not identified in the ⁷⁵As spectrum, presumably because the resonance was severely quadrupole relaxed and therefore severely broadened and collapsed into the spectral baseline. A sample of pure $As(OTeF_5)_5$ in SO₂ similarly did not produce an observable ⁷⁵As signal. The linewidths of ⁷⁵As resonances are discussed in more detail below.

VIII.8 Arsenic-75 NMR of the As(OTeF₅)₆ Anion.

 $K_{\rm s}$

The only solution NMR study of ⁷⁵As was reported by Balimann and Pregosin (150), although there have been applications of 75-As NMR in solid-state physics (151). Balimann

and Pregosin reported the 75 As chemical shifts for a series of tetrahedral arsonium ions in aqueous solution as well as those for the AsO₄³⁻, AsF₆⁻ and AsH₄⁺ ions.

Arsenic-75 has a spin of 3/2, 100% natural abundance and a sensitivity of 2.51 x 10^{-2} relative to ¹H, which is similar to that of ¹²⁵Te. Despite a favorable natural abundance and sensitivity, ⁷⁵As NMR spectra are difficult to obtain as a result of the large quadrupole moment of the spin-3/2 ⁷⁵As nucleus (Q = 0.3 x 10^{-24} cm²) which tends to produce very broad lines owing to quadrupolar relaxation. Under extreme narrowing conditions, linewidths, $\delta v_{1/2}$, are given by equation VIII.4.

$$5 \mathcal{V}_{V_2} = \frac{3\pi}{10} \frac{(2I+3)}{I^2(2I-1)} \left(\frac{e^2 q_{ZZ}}{h}\right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} \eta^2\right) \mathcal{T}_c$$

where e is the charge on the electron, q is the electric field gradient at the nucleus, with q_{zz} as its flargest component, η is the asymmetry parameter for q and \mathcal{T}_{c} is the isotropic tumbling correlation time (1-10 ps for non-viscous liquids). NMR spectra for quadrupolar nuclei can only be observed if the nucleus is in a highly symmetric environment, preferably a cubic environment, i.e. T_d or 0_h . In such `an environment q_{zz} and η are dramatically reduced and therefore so is $\delta \mathcal{V}_{1/2}$ (equation VIII.4). Arsenic-75 has the additional disadvantage of having a spin of only 3/2 so that the spin term of equation VIII.4 is relatively large and

VIII.4

 $\delta \mathcal{D}_{1/2}$ will even be large in a highly symmetric environment. In contrast, 209 Bi with I = 9/2 and Q = -0.4 x 10^{-24} cm². would have lines one tenth as wide as those of 75 As in the same environment, while 93 Nb with I = 9/2 and Q = -0.2 x 10^{-24} cm² would produce lines approximately 1/40 as broad.

The 75 As NMR spectrum of the As $(0TeF_5)_6^-$ anion in CH₃CN solution is presented in Figure VIII.6. The 75 As linewidth at 298 K is surprisingly narrow (165 Hz). It is clear that the local symmetry about the arsenic atom in this anion must be nearly octahedral for a signal to be observed at all. Balimann and Pregosin (150) have reported a value of 94Hz for 0.1M aqueous KAsF₆ at 303K. An additional feature of the As $(0TeF_5)_6^-$ spectrum is the observation of long-range 75 As- 125 Te coupling which appears as shoulders about the central resonance. The magnitude of 2 J $({}^{75}$ As- 125 Te), as measured from the simulated spectrum, is 420 ± 42 Hz.

The As(OTeF₅)₆ spectrum was simulated using the two dimensionless parameters $x = (\omega_o - \omega) 2\pi J$ and $y = 2\pi J T$, where J is ${}^2J({}^{75}As - {}^{125}Te)$. The relaxation time of ${}^{75}As$ is represented by T while the angular frequecies ω_o and ω are taken at the center and any other point of the NMR multiplet respectively. This method has been successfully applied in the simulation of spectra of other quadrupolar nuclei coupled to spin-1/2 nuclei (152). A summation of several spec-



FIGURE VIII.6 Observed and simulated ^{75}As NMR spectra of (a) Cs[As(OTeF₅)₆] in CH₃CN (42.82 MHz; 0.15 m; 60,000 scans; 12 Hz/pt) and (b) a 1:1 mixture of As(OTeF₅)₅ and Te(OTeF₅)₄ in SO₂ (42.82 MHz; 0.12 m; 340,000 scans; 6.1 Hz/pt), both at 298 K. Shoulders result from $^{75}As^{-125}Te$ coupling. tra for the most probable isotopic distributions of tellurium (¹²⁵Te, spin-1/2, 6.99% natural abundance) was included in the present simulation. The contribution of 123 Te (spin-1/2, 0.87% natural abundance) was considered insignificant and ignored. The probabilities for the possible isotopic isomers of $As(0TeF_5)_6$ are listed in Table VIII.2. The concentrations of only the first three isotopic isomers were considered to be high enough to be included in the simulation and the coupling constant, J, was assumed to be the same in all of the species. Simulations for a range of y values are presented in Figure VIII.7. Large values of y, which imply long τ values for a given J, indicate slow quadrupole relaxation rates and narrow lines. Small values of y indicate fast relaxation of 75 As and broad lines. At y = 100.0 the three individual spectra for the isotopic isomers considered are observed and at y = 2.0 all collapse to a single line. The simulated spectrum with a y value of 5.0 appeared to best match the shape of the observed spec-The uncertainty in J of \pm 10% is a measure of the trum. uncertainty in the choice of y. The simulated spectrum for 5.0 was expanded to fit the observed spectrum and 2 J(75 As- 125 Te) determined by comparing the known distance J on the simulated spectrum with the frequency scale of the observed spectrum. The $As(0TeF_5)_6$ resonance in the ⁷⁵As spectrum of an SO₂ solution of a 1:1 mixture of $Te(OTeF_5)_4$ and As(OTeF₅)₅ is considerably broader ($\delta \nu_{1/2}$ = 240 Hz) than

2

Table VIII.2 Probabilities of the Natural Abundance Te Isotopic Isomers of the As(OTEF₅)6[°] Anion.

4. *

	≠ of ¹²⁵ Te nuclei	Probability	75 _{As} resonance
	0	0.6474	singlet
	1	0.2919	doublet
••	2	0.0548	triplet
	3	0.0055	quartet
	4	0.0003 👯	quintet
	5	9 × 10 ⁻⁶	sextet
	. 6	1×10^{-7}	septet

PICURE VIII.7 Simulation of the 75 As NUR spectrum of As(OTeP₅)₆ for a range of y values.

that observed for $As(OTeF_5)_6$ in CH_3CN (Fig. VIII.6). The best fit was obtained with y = 4.2 and in this instance $^2J(^{75}As-^{125}Te)$ was found to be 432 Hz.

The narrow ⁷⁵As resonances in both solvent media are consistent with an octahedral arrangement of OTeF₅ ligands and the observed ⁷⁵As-¹²⁵Te coupling is consistent with the six tellurium atoms maintaining an octahedral disposition about the central arsenic atom, despite the presumed nonlinear As-O-Te angle. Rapid rotation about the 'As--O bond would allow for the required high symmetry about arsenic, but this proposal seems rather unlikely in view of the large size of the OTeFs group. The central uranium and tellurium atoms in $U(0TeF_5)_6$ (153) and the two crystallographic forms of $Te(0TeF_5)_6$ (34) are octahedrally coordinated to the oxygen atoms of six OTeF₅ ligands and all three central atoms lie on inversion centers in their respective structures so that the tellurium atoms of the OTeF5 groups are octahedrally arranged about the central atoms as well. It therefore reasonable to suppose that the same arrangement is seems found in As(OTeF₅)₆.

The reduced density coupling constant, ${}^{1}L_{ASF}$, of AsF₆ calculated from the observed scalar coupling constant of 930 Hz (150) is 6:38 x 10^{-43} NA ${}^{-2}m^{3}$, in good agreement with the reduced density coupling constants of the isoelectronic SeF₆ and TeF₆ molecules (see Table VII.5). The two-

	As(OTeF ₅) ₅			[As(0]	[eF ₅]6]	
				Çs ⁺	[Te(OTeF ₅]	3] ⁺
Solvent	SO ₂ CIF	S0 ₂ C1F	502	CH3CN	\$0 ₂	
Temp.(K)	295	190	295	295	295	•
Concn.(m)	0.31	0.24	0.05	0.15	0.12	
δ(¹⁹ F)(ppm) ^a	A: ^b -49.3 E: -39.6		-46.4 -37.2			
δ(⁷⁵ As) ^a				-28-9	-28.2	
δ(¹²⁵ Te) ^a		-165.8	-165.8	-171.1	-170.3	、 、
$J(^{19}F-^{19}F)(Hz)$	178					
J(¹⁹ F- ¹²³ Te)	A: 3030 ^C E: 3080		3082 ^C			
J(¹⁹ F- ¹²⁵ Te)	A: 3650 ^C E: 3714	3650 ^d 3722	3714 ^C 3724	3408 ^d 3598	3396 ^d 3616	
J(⁷⁵ As- ¹²⁵ Te)				420 ^e	432 ^e	

Table VIII.3 NMR Parameters for $As(OTeF_5)_5$ and $As(OTeF_5)_6^-$.

(a) 19 F, 75 As and 125 Te spectra are referenced with respect to external neat CFCl₃, a saturated CH₃CN solution of NaAsF₆ and saturated aqueous Te(OH)₆ respectively at 295 K. (b) A and E denote axial and equatorial F atoms. (c) obtained from 19 F spectrum. (d) obtained from 125 Te spectrum. (e) obtained from 75 As spectrum. bond 75 As- 125 Te and 125 Te- 125 Te scalar couplings of 432 and 1302 Hz in $As(0TeF_5)_6$ and $Te(0TeF_5)_6$ respectively (see Table VIII.3 and ref. (136)) give comparable reduced density coupling constants $(3.69 \times 10^{-43} \text{ and } 3.16 \times 10^{-43} \text{ NA}^{-2}\text{m}^3)$ The Sb(OTeF₅) $_{6}$ anion (158) should have a respectively). scalar coupling constant, ${}^{2}J({}^{121}Sb-{}^{125}Te)$, of roughly 960 Hz if the reduced density coupling constant is considered to be approximately equal to that of $As(OTeF_5)_6$. Reduced density coupling constants have been discussed in some detail in section VII.10.

VIII.9 Berry Pseudorotation in $Te(OTeF_5)_4$ and $As(OTeF_5)_5$.

The Te(VI) region of the 125 Te ,NMR spectrum of $Te(0TeF_5)_4$ in SO₂ClF solution at 297 K consisted of two overlapping quintets resulting from coupling of ¹²⁵Te to one axial and four equatorial fluorine atoms. This pattern is characteristic of an $OTeF_{r}$ group and indicates that only one type of $OTeF_{r}$ is present. Valence-shell electron-pair repulsion (VSEPR) predictions (61) suggest that $Te(OTeF_5)_A$ should have a trigonal bipyramidal geometry with both axial and equatorial OTeF $_5$ groups (structure VIII.II).



VIII.II

Equivalence of these OTEF₆ groups with retention of ¹⁹F-¹²⁵Te(IV) and ¹²⁵Te(IV)-¹²⁵Te(VI) couplings indicate rapid intramolecular exchange in solution (136). Such exchange for five-coordinate molecules (the lone pair of electrons is considered part of the coordination sphere) is generally believed to take place via a square-pyramidal transition state (Berry pseudorotation (154)). If the activation energy for this pseudorotation is sufficiently high, cooling the sample can slow the exchange enough on the NMR time scale for separate axial and equatorial environments to be obser-Such was the case for $Te(0TeF_5)_4$ and two distinct ved. Te(VI) environments were observed upon cooling to 146 K (Fig. VIII.8). The axial bonds in a trigonal bipyramid are generally, somewhat longer than the equatorial bonds (61) so that the magnitude of the coupling from the central Te(IV) Te(VI) in $OTeF_{r}$ should be smaller for the axial groups. to A weaker (longer) Te--OTeF₅ bond should be complemented by stronger Te(VI)--F bonds and larger directly-bonded 125 Te(VI)- 19 F couplings. The axial and equatorial OTeF₅

groups are assigned in Figure VIII.8 using these assumptions (the observed couplings are listed in Table VIII.1).

The ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of the same sample at 297 K (Fig. VIII.9) was an AB_4 spectrum as expected for a single $OTeF_5$ ligand environment. Upon cooling the solution; however, the signals broadened and eventually resonances for two separate environments emerged. The ¹⁹F-¹²⁵Te(VI) satel-



ħ.

FIGURE VIII.8 ¹²⁵Te NMR spectrum of $Te(OTeF_5)_4$ in SO_2ClF solution at 146 K (0.18 m; 3500 scans; 3.0 Hz/pt). (A) and (E) denote axial and equatorial OTeF_5 groups respectively. Inset left shows Te(IV) (42,000 scans; 6.1 Hz/pt). Inset right shows ${}^2J[{}^{125}Te(IV)-{}^{125}Te(VI)]$ for axial (a) and equatorial (e) OTeF_5 groups (42,000 scans; 6.1 Hz/pt).


FIGURE VIII.9 Variable temperature 19 F NMR spectra of Te(OTeF₅)₄ in SO₂ClF solution (235.36 MHz; 0.18 m; 60 scans; 2.4 Hz/pt). (A) and (E) denote axial and equatorial OTeF₅ groups respectively, (a) and (e) denote J(19 F- 125 Te(VI)).



FIGURE VIII.10 Plot of log (τ^{-1}) as a function of T^{-1} ; (Δ) from $\delta \nu$; (o) from $\delta \nu_{\frac{1}{2}}$.

20Í

lite peaks were of sufficient intensity to assign the two different OTeF₅ resonances by comparing these couplings with those observed in the ¹²⁵Te NMR spectrum. For the equatorial OTeF₅ groups, only a few of the lines of the second order AB₄ spectrum could be resolved $(J_{FF}/\delta\nu_{AB} \sim 1)$. An AB₄ pattern was also observed for the axial OTeF₅ groups but the $J_{FF}/\delta\nu$ ratio was clearly much smaller $(J_{FF}/\delta\nu_{AB} = .066)$ and this spectrum more closely approximates a first order spectrum.

The temperature dependence of the 19 F AB_A spectrum of Te(OTeF₅)₄ can be separated into three distinct regions: (1) the range from room temperature down to where the resonances broaden and begin to be resolved into two sets of A and two B resonances (177 K); (2) the intermediate exchange region (156-177 K), where the resonances for four fluorine environments are resolved and the chemical shift differences between each pair of environments increase with decreasing (3) and finally, the range where there is no temperature; further change in the chemical shifts, but the linewidths continue to decrease (the slow exchange region). Rate data have been extracted for an equal population two-site exchange process from the temperature dependence of the chemical shift differences of the axial and equatorial environments in the intermediate exchange region using equation VIII.5 (55).

$$1/\tau = \sqrt{2}\pi [(s\nu_{o})^{2} - (s\nu)^{2}]^{1/2}$$
 VIII.5

where $1/\mathcal{T}$ is the exchange rate, $\delta \mathcal{D}_0$ is the difference in chemical shift for the two exchanging sites in the slow exchange region and $\delta \mathcal{D}$ is the separation of peaks in the intermediate exchange region (in Hz). The activation energy for the exchange process was determined from the temperature dependence of the exchange rate via the Arrhenius equation (VIII.6) (55).

$$\log(1/\tau) = \log A - E_a/(2.303 \text{ RT})$$
 VIII.6

where A is a constant, E_a is the activation energy, R is the gas constant (8.3143 $JK^{-1}mol^{-1}$) and T is the temperature (K).

In the slow and intermediate exchange regions the exchange rate was determined from line width measurements (equation VIII.7 (55)).

 $1/\tau = (5\nu_{1/2} - 5\nu_{1/2}^{\circ})$

.

WIII.7

where $\delta v_{1/2}^{\circ}$ is the natural linewidth in the absence of exchange as measured at the fast or slow exchange limit, and $\delta v_{1/2}$ is the observed linewidth. An activation energy of $30.7 \pm 0.3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ (7.34 \pm 0.06 kcal mol⁻¹) was determined from the ¹⁹F NMR spectra using exchange rate data extracted from the temperature dependence of both δv and $\delta v_{1/2}$ (see Fig. VIII.10 and Table VIII.4, correlation coefficient $R^2 = 0.994$).

Table VIII.4	Exchange Rate Data Extracted From Variable Temp-
	erature F-19 NMR Spectra of $Te(OTeF_5)_4$ in SO_2CIF .

T(K)	T ⁻¹ (K ⁻¹ x10 ³)	δv(Hz) ^a N	δυ _{1/2} (Η	z) ^b て ⁻¹	log(2 ⁻¹)
146.9	6.81		86	95 ^c \	1.98 [°]
151.0	6.62		113	180 ^C	2.25 ^c
156.1	6 41	1121 ^d	157	_ 319 ^C	2.50 ^c
161.2	6.20	1101	3 08	793 ^c , 937 ^e	2.90 ^a , 2.97 ^c
166.6	6.00	1032	•	1945 ^{e'}	, 3.29 ^e
171.7	5.82	& 30		3348 ^e 🔪	. 3.52 ^e (
294.5		1	56 ^f		

(a) separation of axial B resonance and largest resonance of of equatorial AB₄ multiplet. (b) width at half-height of the high field component of the axial B doublet. (c) obtained from equation VNI.7. (d) δv_0^{Λ} . (e) obtained from equation VIII.5. (f) $\delta v_{1/2}^{\circ}$.

The activation energy, E_a, can be determined from the coalescence temperature, T_c (the temperature at which the exchanging peaks just merge), according to equation VIII.8 (155).

 $E_a = 2.303 \text{ RT}_c(10.319 - \log(\pi \delta v_o/\sqrt{2}) + \log T_c)$ VIII.8

This method is less reliable than the Arrhenius plot since only one data point is used, making it difficult to determine the coalescence temperature to a precision greater than ± 2 K. In the ¹⁹F NMR spectra, $T_c = 177 \pm 2$ K and $\delta v_o = 1121$ ± 3 Hz. The activation energy, E_a , is 31.0 ± 0.4 -kJ mol⁻¹. (7.4 ± 0.1 kcal mol⁻¹) according to equation VIII.8. In the ¹²⁵Te NMR spectra, coalescence of the two lowest frequencylines of the OTeF₅ resonances ($\delta v_o = 1206 \pm 4$ Hz) was observed at 179 ± 2 K. The activation energy then is 31.3 ± 0.4 kJ mol⁻¹ (7.5 ± 0.1 kcal mol⁻¹). The mean activation energy from these three determinations is 31.0 ± 0.4 kJ mol⁻¹ (7.4 ± 0.1 kcal mol⁻¹). This value is very close to the value of 7.2 ± 0.5 kcal mol⁻¹ obtained for intramolecular exchange in both PF_3Cl_2 and PF_3Br_2 as followed by ¹⁹F NMR (156).

The ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of $As(OTeF_5)_5$ dissolved in SO_2CIF at 297 K also consisted of an AB_4 spectrum as expected for a single $OTeF_5$ environment (Fig. VIII.11). Directly-bonded ¹⁹F-¹²³Te_and ¹⁹F-¹²⁵Te couplings were observed as satellite peaks about the main resonances. The ¹⁹F-¹²⁵Te

Ċ,



FIGURE VIII.11 The ¹⁹P NMR spectrum of $As(OTeP_5)_5$ in SO_2ClF . (a) Observed spectrum, 235.36 MHz, 0.13 m, 1100 scans, 1.7 Hz/pt: (A) axial fluorine, (E) equatorial fluorine atoms, (+) ¹²⁵Te satellites, (A) ¹²³Te satellites, (i) impurity. (b) Simulated spectrum ignoring ¹⁹F_123_{Te} and ¹⁹F_125_{Te} coupling.

constants agree well with those observed in the coupling 125 Te NMR spectrum (Table VIII.3) and are typical for 19 F-¹²⁵Te(VI) couplings (Table VIII.1). Since a single OTeFc environment is observed in both the ¹⁹F and ¹²⁵Te NMR spectra, it would appear that this five-coordinate molecule also undergoes rapid Berry pseudorotation. Unlike $Te(OTeF_{r})_{A}$, however, the exchange could not be slowed sufficiently on the NMR time scale to observe separate axial and equatorial $OTeF_5$ ligand environments. No significant broadening of the resonances in the ¹²⁵Te NMR spectrum was observed at temperatures as low as 155 K. It would appear that either the lone pair of electrons in $Te(0TeF_5)_4$ is more effective in obstructing pseudorotation than is the fifth OTeF₅ group in $As(OTeF_5)_5$ (giving a lower exchange barrier in the latter compound) or the chemical shift difference between the axial equatorial OTeF₅ groups in $As(OTeF_5)_5$ is considerably and smaller than in $Te(0TeF_5)_4$. If the latter were the case, coalescence could then occur at a substantially lower temperature in $As(0TeF_5)_5$ than in $Te(0TeF_5)_4$ even if the exchange barriers in the two compounds are similar (see equation VIII.8).

CHAPTER IX CONCLUSIONS

White Kypy

dis

IX.1 Summary and Conclusions.

The Te/Se/MF₅ (M = As or Sb) system has been extenexamined in SO₂ solution. Tellurium is more easily sively oxidized than selenium so that $(Te_6)(Se_8)(AsF_6)_6(SO_2)$, with tellurium in the +2/3 oxidation state and selenium in the oxidation state, was produced at high temperature in +1/4preference to mixed cations of intermediate oxidation state. (one week) reaction times lead to homopolyatomic cat-Long and a very few mixed cations of particularly ions high stability, for example $\text{Te}_2\text{Se}_4^{2+}$ and trans- $\text{Te}_2\hat{\text{Se}}_2^{2+}$. Cations as $\text{Te}_3\text{Se}_3^{2+}$ and $\text{Te}_3\text{Se}^{2+}$ were obtained after only a few such hours of reaction, but these were only isolated in compounds containing disordered mixtures of isostructural cations. Several of the published structures of the mixed polyatomic (17, 20) probably involve some disorder of this cations New, ordered forms of $Te_2Se_4(SbF_6)_2$ and $Te_2Se_8(AsF_6)_2$ type. Attempts to prepare Te-Se cations of lower were prepared. average oxidation state than +0.2, as in reaction IX.1, resulted only in $\text{Te}_2\text{Se}_8^{2+}$ and unreacted chalcogen. There was no evidence for the formation of a $Te_2 Se_x^{2+}$ cation where x > 8.

2 Te + 12 Se + 3 AsF₅ \longrightarrow Te₂Se₁₂(AsF₆)₂ \longrightarrow Te₂Se₈(AsF₆)₂ + 4 Se

IX.1

The novel $\text{Te}_2\text{Se}_6^{2+}$ cation was isolated in the compound $(\text{Te}_2\text{Se}_6)(\text{Te}_2\text{Se}_8)(\text{AsF}_6)_4(\text{SO}_2)_2$. This cube-like cation is very different in structure from that of the homopoly-

^L atomic cations of the same average oxidation state, S_8^{2+} and Se_8^{2+} , and is more closely related to the structure of the P_{11}^{3-} and As_{11}^{3-} anions (62, 63). Both of the $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$ and Se_8^{2+} structures can be derived, however, from their isostructural parent cations $Te_2Se_8^{2+}$ and Se_{10}^{2+} . The difference in the $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$ and Se_8^{2+} structures can be explained in terms of the preference of the more electropositive tellurium atoms for three-coordinate sites, where the positive charges are formally located. Selenium-77 and 125 he NMR studies indicated that both the $Te_2Se_6^{2+}$ and the Se_8^{2+} cations retain their structures in solution.

The new $Te_2S_4^{2+}$ cation was prepared and characterized in the compound $(Te_{2.1}S_{3.9})(SbF_6)_2$, which includes a small percentage of the isostructural $Te_3S_3^{2+}$ cation in the same lattice. These are the only two Te-S cations to be isolated to date although numerous resonances were observed in the 125 Te NMR spectra of Te/S/MF₅ mixtures.

1000

The mixed square cations $S_x Se_{4-x}^{2+}$ were identified in the ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra of S/Se/MF₅ solutions in SO₂. Spinlattice relaxation times (T₁) were determined for Se_4^{2+} , $S_3 Se^{2+}$, cis- $S_2 Se_2^{2+}$ and SSe_3^{2+} , with a mean value of 0.38 s. The new compound $(S_{3.0}Se_{1.0})_2(Sb_4F_{17})(SbF_6)_3$ contains a disordered mixture of these cations and is the only compound with a mixed Se-S cation yet to be characterized by X-ray crystallography.

The compound $(S_{3.0}Se_{1.0})_2(Sb_4F_{17})(SbF_6)_3$ additionally provided the only example of the mixed-valence $Sb_4F_{17}^{-}$ anion. The Sb(III) atoms of this anion and $Sb_3F_{14}^{-}$ anions found in other fluoroantimonate salts of the polyatomic cations all stereoactive lone pairs of electrons located in the have equatorial plane of a trigonal bipyramid completed by short bonds to neighbouring fluorine atoms. There are numerous longer (secondary) bonds to fluorine atoms attached to other in the structures. anions These longer bonds form around the presumed position of the lone pair and in directions that can be described as capping faces or bridging edges of the trigonal bipyramid.

Anion-cation interactions are significant in all of the structures of the polyatomic cations. In the square cations the contacts to the anions either bridge edges or form along the diagonals of the squares. The 7^{7} Se- 7^{7} Se. ⁷⁷Se-¹²⁵Te and ¹²⁵Te-¹²⁵Te coupling constants varied markedly with different solvents in the 77 Se and 125 Te NMR spectra of these square cations. Charge transfer from the solvent the cations is probably significant in these solutions to anion-cation interactions are significant just as in the solid-state structures. The differences in the coupling constants probably result from the varying abilities of the solvents to donate electron density into antibonding orbitof the cations. In crystal structures of the other als polyatomic cations, interionic contacts were observed

212

directions that can be described as bridging edges or capping faces of polyhedra comprised of the primary bonds and the lone pair(s).

Antimony pentafluoride oxidized elemental tellurium to produce TeF_3^+ as a minor product. Arsenic pentafluoride had previously been shown (22) to react with tellurium to produce TeF_3^+ in the presence of small amounts of Br_2 or I_2 . The SeF_3^+ cation resulted from the reaction of $\text{Se}_4(\text{AsF}_6)_2$ with 0_2AsF_6 . The reduced density coupling constant, ${}^1\text{L}_{\text{SeF}}$, in SeF_3^+ is larger than that of TeF_3^+ , indicating that the F--Se bond has more s-character than the F--Te bond in these two cations. This is consistent with the observed increase in the F--Se--F bond angle over the F--Te--F bond angle in crystal structures of these cations (46, 126).

A number of MX_3^+ salts (M = S, Se, Te; X = F, Cl, Br, I) were prepared and characterized by X-ray crystallography. The geometries of these cations were compared with those of MX_3^+ cations in previously determined structures. The X--M--X bond angles in the cations are somewhat larger than in the isoelectronic neutral molecules owing to the shorter M--X bonds in the cations and greater repulsions between the bonding pairs of electrons. The greater electronegativity of the central atom in the cations serves to pull the bonding pairs of electrons closer to the central atom, increasing bond pair repulsions still further. The bond angle differences between the cations and the neutral molecules are not as great as anticipated, however, probably a result of the stronger secondary bonding in the strucas of the cations. tures Bond angles decrease in the series $SX_3^+ > SeX_3^+ > TeX_3^+$, consistent with the longer M--X bonds and reduced bond pair - bond pair repulsions. Bond angles also decrease in the series $MI_3^+ > MBr_3^+ > MCI_3^+ > MF_3^+$. This is consistent with a more electronegative X atom contracting the charge cloud of the bonding electron pair and drawing it closer to itself and further away from the central atom, reducing repulsions between bonding pairs of electrons despite shorter bond lengths. Secondary bonds to the cation are also affected by the stereoactivity of the lone pair on the central atom. The Y...M...Y angle increases as X--M--X decreases in the above series. In most cases secondary bonds to the cation cap faces of the tetrahedron formed by the primary bonds and the lone pair, although in $(TeCl_3)(SbF_6)$ and $(TeCl_3)(AsF_6)$ additional edge-bridging contacts were observed. The geometries of these secondary bonds are very similar to those observed at three-coordinate positions in salts of the polyatomic cations. The strength of the secondary bonds is very much dependent upon the nature of the anion. The mean Te...X distance increases and the Te--Cl distance decreases significantly in the series TeCl₄ (i.e. $[(TeCl_3^+)(Cl^-)]_4$), TeCl₃(AlCl₄), TeCl₃(SbF₆). The increase in the strength of the Te--Cl bond is reflected

in an increase in the frequency of the symmetric TeCl_3^+ stretch in the Raman spectra of these compounds. Although the crystal structure of $(\text{TeCl}_3)(\text{SbCl}_6)$ could not be determined, the Raman spectrum indicates a Te--Cl bond length intermediate to the AlCl₄ and SbF_{6'} salts.

In $(TeF_3)_2SO_4$ the distinction between an ionic formulation and a covalently linked sulfate adduct is not clear. Each tellurium atom forms two short Te--O bonds so that the primary geometry of these atoms is AX_5E . Longer contacts to oxygen and fluorine atoms cap faces of the AX_5E pseudooctahedron, as previously observed in XeF_5^+ salts (112), the $XeF_2(IF_5)$ adduct (113) and in TeF_4 (111).

The chemistry of Te(IV) was extended in the preparation of new mixed F/OTeF₅ analogues of TeF₄ and TeF₃⁺. Trends in the ¹⁹F-¹²⁵Te and ¹²⁵Te-¹²⁵Te couplings observed in the ¹²⁵Te NMR spectra can be explained in terms of the relative electronegativity of fluorine and the OTeF₅ group. As the number of OTeF₅ groups increases the remaining Te(IV)--F bonds become increasingly ionic and $J(^{19}F-^{125}Te(IV))$ decreases. As the number of Te(IV)--F bonds increases the Te(IV)--OTeF₅ bond becomes increasingly covalent and long range ¹⁹F-¹²⁵Te(IV) and ¹²⁵Te(VI)-¹²⁵Te(IV) couplings increase. The As(OTeF₅)₆⁻ anion was prepared as the counter anion of Te(OTeF₅)₃⁺. This anion proved to be one of the few species of sufficiently high symmetry to record an 75 As NMR spectrum. The two-bond reduced density coupling constant, $^{2}L(^{75}As-^{125}Te)$, compared favorably with the analogous $^{2}L(^{125}Te-^{125}Te)$ coupling in the Te(OTeF₅)₆ molecule. The intramolecular exchange of Te(OTeF₅)₄ in SO₂ClF solution was followed by variable temperature 19 F and ^{125}Te NMR spectroscopy and an exchange barrier of 7.4 ± 0.1 kcal/mol was determined for this presumed Berry pseudorotation.

IX.2 Discussion of Unit Cell Volumes.

The unit cell volumes of all of the known structures of the polyatomic cations of the chalcogens that have been characterized by X-ray crystallography are given in Table IX.1. When these volumes are divided by Z, the number of molecules in a unit cell, molecular volumes are obtained. Comparison of these molecular volumes allows the volumes of the individual atoms or ions in these structures to be determined. For example, the volume of a selenium atom can be obtained by taking one quarter of the difference in the molecular volumes of $(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_2$ and $(Te_2Se_4)(AsF_6)_2$ (compounds 16 and 20 in Table IX.1) or $(Te_2Se_8)(SbF_6)_2$ and $(Te_2Se_4)(SbF_6)_2$ (compounds 17 and 21). The resulting volumes from these pairs of compounds are 27.5 and 29.2 ${\rm \AA}^3$ respectively, or a mean of 28 $Å^3$. The volumes of the AsF₆⁻ and SbF₆ anions can be determined by subtracting the volume ten' selenium atoms from the molecular volumes of

Table IX.1 Unit Cell and Molecular Volumes $({\rm \AA}^3)$.

	Compound	Unit Cell Volume	Zª	Molec	. Vol.	Ref	
	· · · · · ·	, and the second s		obsd ^b	calc ^C		
1)	$S_{19}(AsF_{\delta})_2$	2744.9	4	686	64,8 }	14	
2)	S ₁₉ (SbF ₆) ₂	2847.7	4	712	674	ູ15	•
3)	S ₈ (AsF ₆) ₂	3154	8	394	390	λ_{18}	
4)	S ₈ (Sb ₃ F ₁₄)(SbF ₆)	4570.3	8	571	569	1 9	•
5)	$S_4(AsF_6)_2(SO_2)_{0.62}^d$	1381	4	345	350	22	
6)	Se ₁₀ (AsF ₆) ₂	3839.5	8	480	482	16	
7)	Se ₁₀ (SbF ₆) ₂	4036.4	8	505	⁾ 508	16	
8)	Se4(Sb2F4)(Sb2F5)(SbF6)5	3617	4	904	· -	23	
9)	Te ₄ (SbF ₆) ₂	706.2	2	353	380	23	•
10)	$Te_6(AsF_6)_4(AsF_3)_2$	2760.7	4	690	-	26	
11)	Te ₆ (AsF ₆) ₄ (S0 ₂) ₂	1414.6	2	707	740	26	
12)	(\$3.05e1.0)2(Sb4F17)(SbF6)	3 3371.5	4	843	-	е	
13)	Te _{2.1} S _{3.9} (SbF ₆) ₂	6355	16	397	399	е	
14)	Te ₃ S ₃ (AsF ₆) ₂	1521.6	4	380	386	20	
15)	Te ₃ S ₃ (SbF ₆) ₂	1669	4	417	412	e	
16)	Te2Se8(AsF6)2	4000.6	8	500	502	e	
17)	Te2Se8(SbF6)2	4244	8	530	528	e	
18)	$Te_2Se_6(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_4(SO_2)$	2 4543	4	1136	1056	е	•
19)	Te _{4.5} Se _{5.5} (AsF ₆) ₂	2121.7	4	530	527 -	e	
20)	$Te_2Se_4(AsF_6)_2$	3124	8	390	390	21	
21)	Te ₂ Se ₄ (SbF ₆) ₂	6614	16	413	416	е	
22)	Te2Se4(Sb3F14)(SbF6)	4546	8	568	569	e	
23)	Te2.7Se3.3(SbF6)2	1691.2	4	423	423	е	
24)	Te3.4 Se2.6 (SbF6)2	1720.0	4	430	430	e	
25)	Te ₂ Se ₂ (Sb ₃ F ₁₄)(SbF ₆)	- 2142.1	4	536	513	e	
26)	Te _{3.0} Se _{1.0} (Sb ₃ F ₁₄)(SbF ₆)	2148.3	4 ۰	537	523	e	•
§27)	Te ₆ (Se ₈)(AsF ₆) ₆ (SO ₂)	2158.7	2	1079	1112	e	

(a) Number of molecules per unit cell. (b) Unit cell volume divided by Z. (c) Galculated volume using values listed in Table IX.2. (d) Treated as 1.0 sulfur dioxide molecule in this calculation. (e) This work.

 $Se_{10}(AsF_6)_2$ and $Se_{10}(SbF_6)_2$ respectively. The volume of the tellurium atom can be obtained by adding one half of the volume difference between $(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_2$ and $Se_{10}(AsF_6)_2$ to . the established volume of selenium, or by comparison of the $(Te_{2,2}Se_{3,3})(SbF_{6})_{2}$ and $(Te_{3,4}Se_{2,6})(SbF_{6})_{2}$ volumes. Similarly, the volume of the sulfur atom can be calculated by subtracting the volumes of the appropriate number of SbF and tellurium atoms from the molecular volume anions of $(Te_{2,1}S_{3,9})(SbF_6)_2$ and the volume of the $Sb_3F_{14}^{-1}$ anion can be obtained by comparison of $Sb_3F_{14}^{-1}$ salts with SbF_6^{-1} salts of the same cation. Mean values for all of these atoms and ions are given in Table IX.2. The component volumes in Table IX.2 accurately reproduce the molecular volumes of of the compounds in Table IX.1 and can be useful most in suggesting reasonable compositions for new compounds once unit cell volume has been determined. the The unknown compound $(Te_2S_8)(SbF_5)_2$, for example is predicted to have a molecular volume of 488 $Å^3$ and Se₈(AsF₆)₂, if ever isolated in a crystalline form, would have a molecular volume of roughly 426 $Å^3$.

IX.3 Suggestions for Future Work.

Investigations of the homopolyatomic cations of the chalcogens had been very extensive prior to the start of the present work. The isolation of new homopolyatomic cations will almost certainly require the use of different oxidants

217

r 4

Table IX.2 Component Volumes (Å³).

Species	Mean Volume	Reference Compounds ^a			
AsF ₆	101	<u> </u>			
SbF ₆	114) 7, 17, 21, 23, 24			
Sb3F14	267	2, 4, 21, 22			
s	23.5	3, 4, 13			
Se	28	16, 17, 29, 21			
а. Те 🧍 🤾	38.	6, 16, 23, 24,			
so ₂	54	11, 27			

(a) See Table IX.1.

and/or different methods of preparation. For example, reaction IX.2 may lead to crystalline samples of the long sought after Te₆²⁺ cation.

TeF₄ + 11 Te + 4 NbF₅ \longrightarrow 2 Te₆(NbF₆)₂ IX.2 This reaction is analogous to the formation of Te₆(AlCl₄)₂ from a Te/TeCl₄/AlCl₃ melt (4). Arsenic trifluoride may prove to be a better solvent than SO₂ for this reaction since AsF₆ and SbF₆ salts of Te₆²⁺ have a very low solubility in SO₂.

The Te-Se mixed cations have similarly been extensively studied using AsF_5 or SbF_5 as oxidants in SO $_2$ solu-Few, if any, "new cations can be expected from this tion. system other than cations isostructural with the presently known cations, but with different Te:Se ratios. It might be of interest, however, to compare the structure of $Te_x Se_{8-x}$ cations, x > 2, with the unique structures of the Te₂Se₆²⁺ and Se₈²⁺ cations. Experience dictates that attempted preparations of this cation, however, would lead instead to mixtures of $Te_x Se_{6-x}^{2+}$ and $Te_x Se_{10-x}^{2+}$ cations, which more readily crystallize with AsF_6 and SbF_6 anions. As in the search for new homopolyatomic cations, new amions are needed to isolate salts of new polyatomic Te-Se cations. Solutions of tellurium and selenium mixtures in oleum generate a large number of unidentified resonances in their 77 Se and 125 Te NMR spectra (Fig. 1X.1). Salts of Loome of these unknown cations could perhaps be precipitated from oleum by the



FIGURE IX.1 $^{-77}$ Se NMR spectrum of a 1:2 Te-Se mixture in 30% oleum (1.3 g Te; 1.6 g Se; 3 mL oleum; 17.19 MHz; 51,000 scans; 6.1 Hz/pt).

addition of SO₂. Decaselenium bis(fluorosulphate) was successfully crystallized during initial experiments with these mixtures (36).

The similar size and electronegativity of selenium and sulfur indicate that new mixed cations of these elements will almost certainly be disordered. Consider for example the compounds $(S_{3,0}Se_{1,0})_2(Sb_4F_{17})(SbF_6)_3$ (this work) and $Se_{3}S_{5}$ (157). The huge difference in the redox chemistries of sulfur and selenium also serves to limit the types of reactions that can be attempted. The reaction of S_{19}^{2+} with elemental selenium (reaction IX.3) somewhat surprisingly resulted in oxidation of selenium to $\operatorname{Se}_{A}^{2+}$, which was identified by its ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum. Mixed Se-S species or selenium cations of lower oxidation state were not observed. Reagent stoichiometries must be set so that there is more than enough oxidant to take all of the selenium present to Se_4^{2+} , otherwise the sulfur present will remain essentially unreacted (reaction IX.4).

 $S_{19}^{2+} + 4 \text{ Se} \xrightarrow{SO_2} Se_4^{2+} + \frac{19}{8}S_8$ IX.3

 $4 \text{ S} + 4 \text{ Se} + 3 \text{ AsF}_5 \longrightarrow \text{Se}_4(\text{AsF}_6)_2 + 4 \text{ S} + \text{AsF}_3$ IX.4 Some mixed Se-S cations of unknown structure were observed in the ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra of Se/S/AsF₅ mixtures in SO₂ solvent (Fig. IV.7). These proved to be extremely soluble in SO₂, but could perhaps be isolated from a SO₂/SO₂Cl/F solvent mixture. The homopolyatomic sulfur cations are extremely soluble in SO₂, but are less soluble in SO₂ClF. The same was found to be the case for mixed Se/S and Te/S cations in the present work.

Perhaps the most lucrative area for the preparation of new polyatomic cations would be with Te-S mixtures. The preferential oxidation of tellurium over sulfur limits the possible products somewhat, but the preparation of salts of the $Te_2S_4^{2+}$ and $Te_3S_3^{2+}$ cations is a promising start. Catwith long chains of sulfur atoms attached to threeions coordinate tellurium atoms can be envisaged. This fits the tendency of sulfur towards extensive catenation and the preference of electropositive tellurium atoms for threecoordinate positions. Reactions of tellurium and selenium in attempts to prepare $Te_2Se_x^{2+}$, x > 8, could be repeated with sulfur instead of selenium: Antimony pentafluoride is preferable over AsF₅ in most cases since the crystal quality of SbF_6 salts is generally better than that of AsF_6 salts. Some of the cations may only be obtained with larger $Sb_xF_v^$ anions.

Ternary reactions, that is reactions involving mixtures of sulfur, selenium and tellurium, may also be of interest. In the first such reaction investigated, a 1:1:1:1 mixture of S, Se, Te and AsF_5 led to the preparation of $(Te_2Se_6)(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_4(SO_2)_2$ (see section IV.3).

The number of new salts of MX_3^+ cations that could

be prepared is practically limitless. The trends established for the presently known structures could be fleshed out with new examples. Orientations of secondary bonds about the central M(IV) atoms in these structures are limited to a very few different types at present, but new, less ionic examples could possibly have a whole range of geometries, as described for the isoelectronic Sb(III) atom in a recent review article (87). Comparison of the MX_3^+ cations with isoelectronic group IV anions may also be instructive. The NMR spectra of the SnF_3^- anion could be recorded and the coupling constant compared with those of the isoelectronic TeF_3^+ and SeF_3^+ cations reported here.

A crystal structure of a salt of the $Te(0TeF_5)_3^+$ cation would be of interest to compare the steric activity of the lone pair of electrons on Te(IV) with the bulky $0TeF_5$ ligands. The ¹²¹Sb NMR spectrum of the recently reported $Sb(0TeF_5)_6^-$ anion (158) should be recorded and ²J(¹²⁵Te-¹²¹Sb) compared with the analogous coupling in As($0TeF_5$)_6^-. The reduced density coupling constant for $As(0TeF_5)_6^-$ is $3.69 \times 10^{-43} \text{ NA}^{-2}\text{m}^3$, indicating that the two-bond scalar coupling in the antimony anion should be approximately 960 Hz since both cations are presumably octahedral in structure. The preparation of $As(0TeF_5)_5$ and the recent report of $Sb(0TeF_5)_5$ (158, 142) means that many of the reactions of MF₅ Lewis acids with simple fluorides can be-repeated with $0TeF_5$ analogues. For example, the reaction of $As(0TeF_5)_5$

with $Hg(0TeF_5)_2$ and mercury could lead to new metallic compounds such as $Hg_3As(0TeF_5)_6$. Similar reactions involving mercury, HgF_2 and NbF_5 or TaF_5 have recently been reported (159). The large difference in size between the MF_6^- and $M(0TeF_5)_6^-$ anions separating the mercury chains or layers could lead to significantly different physical properties.

Bottom Line.

This thesis has presented a variety of perspectives on the reactions, structures and bonding of a number of simple compounds of sulfur, selenium and tellurium. It is hoped that these results and discussions have in some way helped to demonstrate that main-group chemistry remains a rich and exciting field.



2) Magnus, G. Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) (1827), 10, 491; (1828), 14, 328. 3) Bucholz, C.F. Gehlen's News. J. Chem. (1804), 3, 7. 4) Prince, D.J.; Corbett, J.D.; Garbisch, B. Inorg. Chem. (1970), 9, 2731. 5) Bjerrum, N.J.; Smith, G.P. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. (1968), 90, 4472. 🦾 Bjerrum, N.J. Inorg. Chem. (1970), 9, 1965. 7) Gillespie, R.J.; Passmore, J.; Acc. Chem. Res. (1971), 4, 413; Chem. Brit. (1972), 8, 475; Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem. (1975), 17, 49. 8) Corbett, J.D. Prog. Inorg. Chem. (1976), 21, 129. 9) Gillespie, R.J. Chem. Soc. Rev. (1979), 8, 315. 10) Brown, I.D.; Crump, D.B.; Gillespie, R.J.; Santry, D.P. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1968), 853. 11) Brown, I.D.; Crump, D.B.; Gillespie, R.J. Inorg. Chem. (1971), 10, 2319. 12) McMullan, R.K.; Prince, D.J.; Corbett, J.D. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1969), 1438; Inorg. Chem. (1971), 10, 1749. 13) Couch, T.W.; Lokken, D.A.; Corbett, J.D. Inorg. Chem. (1972), 11, 357. 14) Burns, R.C.; Gillespie, R.J.; Sawyer, J.F. Inorg. Chem. (1980), 19, 1423. 15) Collins, M.J.; Faggiani, R.; Gillespie, R.J.; Sawyer, J.F.; Vekris, J.E. to be submitted. 16) Burns, R.C.; Chan, W.-L.; Gillespie, R.J.; Luk, W.-C.; Sawyer, J.F.; Slim, D.R. Inorg. Ghem. (1980), 19, 1432. 17) Boldrini, P.; Brown, I.D.; Gillespie, R.J.; Ireland, P.R.; Luk, W.-C.; Slim, D.R.; Vekris, J.E. Inorg. Chem. (1976),15, . 765. 18) Davies, C.G.; Gillespie, R.J.; Park, J.J.; Passmore, J. Inorg. Chem. (1971), 10, 2781.

1.

1) % Paproth, M.H., Phil. Mag. (1798), 1, 78.

226

یے

21) Burns, R.C.; Collins, M.J.; Eicher, S.M.; Gillespie, R.J.; Sawyer, J.F. to be submitted. 22) Passmore, J.; Sutherland, G.; White, P.S. Chem. Commun. (1980), 330.23) Cardinal, G.; Gillespie, R.J.; Sawyer, J.F.; Vekris, J.E. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton (1982), 765. 24) Boldrini, P.; Brown, I.D.; Collins, M.J.; Gillespie, R.J.; Maharajh, E.; Sawyer, J.F.; Slim, D.R. to be submitted. The "Te₃ $_{3}$ Se₀ $_{7}$ (2+)" cation refined to "Te_{3.0}Se_{1.0}(2+)" in the present Structure (see chapter VI). 25) Gillespie, R.J.; Luk, W.-C.; Slim, D.R. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1976), 791. 26) Burns, R.C.; Gillespie, R.J.; Luk, W.-C.; Slim, D.R. Inorg. Chem. (1979), 18, 3086. 27) Hoffman, C.F. Inorg. Synth. (1953), 4, 150. 28) Sladky, F.; Kropshofer, H.; Leitzke, O. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1973), 134. 29) Barr, J.; Ph.D. Thesis, McMaster University, 1959. 30) Weiss, J.; Pupp, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. (1971), 9, 463. 31) Robinson, E.A.; Ph.D. Thesis, University College (London),

19) Gillespie, R.J.; Sawyer, J.F.; Vekris, J.E.

Inorg. Chem. (1977), 16, 892.

20) Gillespie, R.J.; Luk, W.-C.; Maharajh, E.; Slim, D.R.

mitted.

227

to be sub-

32) Schrobilgen, G.J.; Ph.D. Thesis, McMaster University, 1973.

-33) Suttle, J.F.; Smith, C.R.F. Inorg. Synth. (1950), 3, 140. 34) Lentz, D.; Pritzkow, J.; Seppelt, K. Inorg. Chem. (1978), 17, 1926.

35) Durig, J.R.; Lau, K.K.; Nagarajan, G.; Walker, M.; Bragin, J. J. Chem. Phys. (1969), 50, 2130.

36) Collins, M.J.; Gillespie, R.J.; Sawyer, J.F.; Schrobilgen,

G.J. submitted to Acta. Cryst.

37) Fehrmann, R.; Bjerrum, N.J. Inorg. Chem. (1977), 16, 2089.

38) Burns, R.C.; Gillespie, R.J.; Schrobilgen, G.J. unpublished results.

39) Bondi, A. J. Phys. Chem. (1964), 68, 441.

40) Alcock, N.W. Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiachem. (1972), 15, 1.

41) Ziolo, R.F.; Troup, J.M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. (1983), 105, 229.

42) Chem. Eng. News, Jan. 31, 1983, p 17.

43) Rosenfield, R.E.; Parthasarathy, R.; Dunitz, J.D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. (1977), 99, 4860.

44) Gillespie, R.J.; Kent, J.P.; Sawyer, J.F. Inorg. Chem. (1981), 20, 3784.

45) Gillespie, R.J.; Kent, J.P.; Sawyer, J.F.; Slim, D.R; Tyrer, J.D. Inorg. Chem. (1981), 20, 3799.

46) Edwards, A.J.; Taylor, P. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton (1973), 2150. →

47) Nickless, G. "Inorganic Sulfur Chemistry", Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1968.

48) Sheldrick, A.M. SHELX Program for Crystal Structure Determination, University of Cambridge, England, 1976.

49a) Barr, J.; Gillespie, R.J.; Kapoor, R.; Malhotra, K.C. Can. J. Chem. (1968), 46, 149. b) Fehrmann, R.; Bjerrum, N.J.; Andreasen, H.A. Snorg. Chem. (1976), 15, 2187.

50) Schrobilgen, G.J.; Burns, R.C.; Granger, P. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1978), 957.

51) Burns, R.C.; Gillespie, R.J.; Barnes, J.A.; McGlinchey, M.J. Inorg. Chem. (1982), 21, 799.

52) Pople, J.A.; Schneider, W.G.; Bernstein, H.J. "High Resolution Nuclear Magnetic Resonance", McGraw-Hill, New York (1959).

53) Banwell, C.N. in "Nuclear Magnetic Resonance for Organic Chemists"; Mathieson, D.W. ed.; Academic Press, London (1967),

Chápter 6.

.....

54) Abraham, R.J.; Bernstein, H.J. Can. J. Chem. (1961), 39, 216.

55) Emsley, J.W.; Feeney, J.; Sutcliffe, L.H. "High Resolution Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy", Vol. 1; Pergamon Press, Oxford (1965).

56) Harris, R.K.; Sheppard, N. Trans. Faraday Soc. (1963), 59, 606.

57) Allred, A.L.; Rochow, E.G. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. (1958), 5, 264.

58) Schmettow, W.; Lipka, A.; von Schnering, H.G. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. (1974) 13, 345.

59) Barr, J.; Gillespie, R.J.; Pez, G.P.; Ummat, P.K.; Vaidya, O.C. Inorg. Chem. (1971), 10, 362.

60) Morrison, R.J; Boyd, R.N. "Organic Chemistry", 3rd Ed., Allyn and Bacon, Boston, (1973), pp. 295-297.

61) Gillespie, R.J. "Molecular Geometry", Van Nostrand Reinhold Company (London), 1972.

62) Wichelhaus, W.; von Schnering, H.G. Naturwissenschaften (1973), 60, 104.

63) Belin, C.H.E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. (1980), 102, 6036.

64) von Schnering, H.G. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. (1981), 20, 33.

65) Leung, Y.C.; Waser, J.; van Houten, S.; Vos, A.; Wiegers, G.A.; Wiebenga, F.H.' Acta Cryst. (1957), 10, 574.

66) Christian, B.H.; Gillespie, R.J.; Sawyer, J.F. Inorg. Chem. (1981), 20, 3410.

67) Harris, R.K.; Mann, B.F. eds. "NMR and the Periodic Table", Academic Press, New York (1978).

68) Adolphson, D.G.; Corbett, J.D.; Merryman, D.J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. (1976), 98, 7234.

69) Luk, W.-C.; Ph.D. Thesis, McMaster University, 1975.

70) Bojes, J.; Chivers, T.; Laidlaw, W.G.; Trsic, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. (1979), 101, 4517. 71) Chivers, T.; Codding, P.W.; Laidlaw, W.G.; Liblong, S.W.; Oakley, R.T.; Trsic, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. (1983), 105, 1186. 72) Jones, C.H.W. Can. J. Chem. (1977), 55, 3076. 73) Eatough, N.L.; Webb, A.W.; Hall, H.T. Inorg. Chem. (1969), 8, 2069. Proc. Chem. Soc. (1960) 147. 74) Grdenić, D.; Scavnicar, S. Scavnicar, S. Z. Krist. (1960), 114, 85. Hofmann W. Z. Krist. (1933), 86, 225. 75) Anderson, T.L.; Krause, H.B. Acta Cryst. B. (1974), 30, 1307. 76) Barr, J.; Gillespie, R.J., Kapoor, R.; Pez, G.P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. (1968), 90, 68554. 77) Burns, R.C.; Gilléspie, R.J. Inorg. Chem. (1982), 21, 3877. 78) Lassigne, C.R.; Wells, E.J. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1978), 956. 79) Mikulski, C.M.; Russo, P.J.; Saran, M.S.; MacDiarmid, A.G.; Garito, A.F.; Heeger, A.J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. (1975), 97, 6358. 80) Cisar, A.; Corbett, J.D. Inorg. Chem. (1977) 16, 2482. 81) Eisenmann, B.; Janzon, K.H.; Schafer, H.; Weiss, A. Z. Naturforsch. (1969), B24, 457. 82) Barr, J.; Gillespie, R.J.; Ummat, P.K. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1970), 264. 83) Gillespie, R.J.; Passmore, J.; Ummat, P.K.; Vaidya, O.C. Inorg. Chem. (1971), 10, 1327. 84) Koch, W.; Lutz, O.; Nolle, A. Z. Naturforsch. (1978), 33a, 1025. 85) Odom, J.D.; Dawson, W.H.; Ellis, P.D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. (1979), 101, 5815. 86) Eysel, H.H.; Sunder, S. Inorg. Chem. (1979), 18, 2626. 87) Sawyer, J.F.; Gillespie, R.J. submitted to Chem. Soc. Rev. 88) Eschande, P.; Tichit, D.; Ducourant, B.; Fourcade, R.;

Mascherpa, G. Ann. Chim. Fr. (1978). 3, 117.

89) Collins, M.J.; Faggiani, R.; Gillespie, R.J.; Kapoor, R. unpublished results.

90) Golic, L.; Leban, J. Acta Cryst. (1977), B33, 232.

91) Vilminot, S.; Granier, W.; Al Oraibi, Z.; Cot, L. Acta Cryst. (1978), B34, 3306.

92) Alcock, N.W.; Harrison, W.D. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton (1982), 14201.

93) Alcock, N.W.; Moore, P.; Curzon, E.H.; Pierpoint, C. to be submitted to J. Chem. Soc. Dalton.

94) Edwards, A.J.; Taylor, P. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton (1975), 2174.

95) Nandana, W.A.S.; Passmore, J.; Swindells, D.C.N.; Taylor, P.; White, P.S.; Vekris, J.E. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton (1983), 619.

96) Edwards, A.J.; \$lim, D.R. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1974), 178.

97) Nandana, W.A.S. Passmore, J.; Swindells, D.C.N.; White, P.S.; Wong, C.-M. reported at the 10th International Symposium on Fluorine Chemistry, Vancouver, Canada, Aug. 1-6, 1982.

98) McDonald, R.R.; Larson, A.C.; Cromer, D.T. Acta Cryst. (1964), 17, 1104.

99) Brown, I.D. J. Solid State Chem. (1974), 11, 214.

100) Rothman, J.J.; Bartell, L.S.; Ewig, C.S.; van Wazer, J.R. J. Comput. Chem. (1980), 1, 64.

101) Tanaka, K.; Yamabe, T.; Terama-E, H.; Fukui, K. Inorg. Chem. (1979), 18, 3591.

102) Passmore, J.; Richardson, E.K.; Whidden, T.K.; White, P.S. Can. J. Chem. (1980), 58, 851.

103) Weiss, J.; Pupp, M. Angew. Cem. Int. Ed. Engl. (1971), <9, 463.

104) Krebs, B.; Buss, B.; Altena; D. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. (1971), 386, 257.

232 105) Brooks, W.V.F.; Passmore, J.; Richardson, E.K. Can. J. Chem. (1979), 57, 3230. 106) Sawodny, W.; Dehnicke, K. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. (1967), 349, 169. 107) Bartlett, N.; Robinson, P.L. J. Chem. Soc. (1961), 3417 Evans, J.A., Long, D.A. J. Chem. Soc. A (1968), 1688. 108) Buss, B.; Krebs, B. Inorg. Chem. (1971), 10, 2795. 109) Collins, M.J.; Denes, G.; Gillespie, R.J. unpublished results. 110) Gillespie, R.J.; Schrobilgen, G.J.; Slim, D.R. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton (1977), 1003. 🛿 111) Edwards, A.J.; Hewaidy, F.I. J.Chem. Soc. A (1968), 2977. 112) Bartlett, N.; Einstein, F.; Stewart, D.F.; Trotter, J. J. Chem. Soc. A (1967), 1190. 113) Jones, G.R.; Burbank, R.D.; Bartlett, N. Inorg. Chem. (1970), 9, 2264. 114) Hartl, H.; Rama, M.; Simon. A.; Deiseroth, H.-J. Z. Naturforsch. (1979), 34b, 1035. 115) Edwards, A.J. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton (1978), 1723. 5 116) Christian, B.H. Ph.D. Thesis, McMaster University, 1982. Christian, B.H.; Collins, M.J.; Gillespie, J.; Sawyer, J.F.; to be submitted. 117) Donaldson, J.D.; Silver, J.; Hadjiminolis, S.; Ross, S.D. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton (1974), 1500. 118) Hazell, A.C. Acta Chem. Scand. (1966), 20, 165. 119) Webb, G.A. pp 62-75 of reference (67). 120) Harris, R.K. pg 9 of reference (67) 121) Pyykko, P.; Weisenfeld, L. Mol. Phys. (1981), 43, 55. 122) Burns, R.C.; Devereux, L.A.; Granger, P.; Schrobilgen, Inorg. Chem. submitted for publication. G.J. კდა 123) Gillespie, R.J.; Granger, P.; Morgan, K.R.; Schrobilgen, G.J. Inorg. Chem. accepted for publication.

233. 124) Brownstein, M.; Gillespie, R.J. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton (1973), 67. 125) Edwards, A.J.; Jones, G.R. J. Chem. Soc. A (1970), 1891. 126) Edwards, A.J.; Jones, G.R _____ Chem. Soc. A (1970), 1491. 127) Ponsioen, R:; Stufkens, D.-J. Rec. Trav. Chim. (1971), 90, 521. Hayward, G.C.; Hendra, P.J. J. Chem. Soc. A (1967),/643. 128) Gerding, H.; Stufkens, D.-J. Rev. Chim. Miner. (1969), 6, 795 Brockner, W.; Demiray, A.F. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. (1980), 469, 27. 129) Poulsen, F.W.; Bjerrum, N.J.; Nielsen, O.F. Inorg. Chem. (1974), 13, 2693. 130) Gibler, D.D.; Adams, C.J.; Fischer, M.; Zalkin, A.; Bartlett, N., Inorg. Chem. (1972), 11, 2325. 131) Sawodny, W.; Rediess, K.; Thewalt, U. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. (1983), 499, 81. 132) Kniep, R.; Korte, L.; Mootz, D. Z. Naturforsch. (1981), 36B, 1660. Stork-Blaisse/ B.A.; Romers, C. Acta Cryst. (1971), B27, 386. Gleizes, A.; Galy, J.P. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sen. C (1978). 286, 29. 133) Born, P.; Kniep, R.; Mootz, D. Z.Anorg. Allg. Chem. (1979), 451, 12. 134) Passmore, J.; Sutherland, G.; White, P.S. Can. J. Chem. (1981), 59, 2876. 135) Birchall, T.; Gillespie, R.J.; Yekris, S.L. Can. J. Chem. (1965), 43, 1672. 136) Birchall, T.; Myers, R.D.; de Waard, H.; Schrobilgen, Inorg. Chem. (1982), 21, 1068. G . J . 137) Seppelt, K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. (1972), 11, 630. 138) Keller, N.; Schrobilgen, G.J. Inorg. Chem. (1981), 20, 2118 139) Seppelt, K.; Nothe, D. Inorg. Chem. (1973), 12, 2727. 140) Engelbrecht, A.; Sladky, F. Angew. Chem. (1964), 76, 379; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. (1964), 3, 383.

. 141) Engelbrecht, A.; Sladky, F. Adv. Inorg. Chem.∽Radiochem. (1981), 24, 189. 142) Seppelt, K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. (1982), 21, 877. 143) Sladky, F. Angew. Chem. (1969), 81, 536; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. (1969), 8, 523. 144) Lentz, D.; Seppelt, K. Angew. Chem. (1978), 90, 391; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. (1978), 17, 356. 145) Sladky, F.; Kropshofer, H.; Leitzke, O. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1973), 134. 146) Sawyer, J.F.; Schrobilgen, G.J. Acta Cryst. (1982), B38, 1561. 147) Mayer, E.; Sladky, F. Inorg. Chem. (1975), 14, 589. 148) Schumacher, G.A.; Schrobilgen, G.J. submitted to Inorg., Chem. 149) Lentz., D.; Seppelt, K. Z. Amorg. Allg. Chem. (1983), 502, 83. 150) Balimann, G.; Pregosin, P.S. J. Mag. Res. (1977), 26, 283. 151) see for example: Adriaenssens, G.J. Ferroelectrics (1976), 12, 269. 152) Gillespie, R.J.; Rao, U.R.K. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1983), 422 and references therein. 153) Templeton, L.K.; Templeton, D.H.; Bartlett, N.; Seppelt, K. Inorg. Chem. (1976), 15, 2720. 154) Berry, R.S. J. Chem. Phys. (1960), 32, 933. 155) Calder, I.C.; Garratt, P.J., J. Chem. Soc. B (1967), 660. 156) Mahler, W.; Meutterties, E.L. Inorg. Chem. (1965), 4 1520. 157) Calvo, C.; Gillespie, R.J.; Vekris, J.E.; Ng, H.N. Acta Cryst. (1978), B34, 911. 158) Toetsch, W.; Bartlett, N. reported at the 10th International Symposium on Fluorine Chemistry, Vancouver, Canada, August 1-6, 1982.

`159) Brown, I.D.; Gîllespie, R.J.; Morgan, K.R.; Tun, Z. submitted to Inorg. Chem. 160) Rytter, E.; Oye, H.A. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. (1973), 35, 4311. 161) Fung, K.W.: Begun, G.M.; Mamantov, G. Inorg. Chem. (1973), 12, 53. 162) Begun, G.M.; Rutenberg, A.C. Inorg. Chem. (1967), 6, 2212. 163) Qureshi, A.M.; Aubke, F. Can. J. Chem. (1970), 48, 3117. Gillespie, R.J.; Whitla, A. ibid. (1970), 48, 657.

 \sim


TABLE A.1 UNIT CETT	vimensions and spa	ce Groups to	r cne compou	nus character	IZED DY X-	n ay crystai	rography in))
Compound	Space Group	a .	• . ¹ . b	c(Ā)	×	β	δ (°)	υ(Å ³)
Te ₂ Se ₈ (AsF ₆) ₂	Pbcn	16.118(3)	13.089(3)	18.951(4)	90	90	90	4001(1)
Te ₂ Se ₈ (SbF ₆) ₂	Pbcn	16.489(3)	13.251(4)	19.422(6)	90	90	90	4244(2)
Te4.5Se5.5(AsF6)2	Pbcn	141790(3)	10.783(2)	134,304(2)	90	90	90	2121.7(7)
Te2Se6(Te2Se8)(AsF6)	(50 ₂) ₂ P2 ₁ /c	12.432(4)	15.956(6)	23.053(10)	90 `	96.61(3)	90	4542(3)
$Te_{6}(Se_{8})(AsF_{6})_{6}(SO_{2})$	ΡĪ	12.407(4)	12,465(3)	14.109(5)	96.62(2)	90.49(3)	95.13(2)	2158(1)
Te2Se4(SbF6)2	Pbcn	12.124(4)	18,493(6)	29.503(7)	90	90	90 5	6614(3)
$Te_2Se_4(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$	* • Pbca	15.288(5)	17.189(5)	17.300(5)	90	90	90	4546(2)
Te _{2.7} Se _{3.3} (SbF ₆) ₂	P212151	12.192(2)	8.770(2)	15.817(3)	90	90	90	1691.1(6)
Te3.4 Se2.6 (SbF6)2	P212121	12.266(3)	8.828(3)	15.884(4)	90 ,	90	90	1720.0(9)
Te2.153.9(SbF6)2	Pbcn	11.988(3)	18.220(6)	29.096(8)	90	90	90	6355(3)
$Te_3S_3(SbF_6)_2$. P2 ₁ /n	8.689(8)	12.217(1)	15.732(1)	90	91.59(5)	90	1669(2)
Te2Se2(Sb3F14)(SbF6)	Pbcm	8.293(2)	16,337(3)	15.811(4)	90	90	90	2142.2(8)
Te3.0Se1.0(Sb3F14)(St	oF ₆) Pn2 ₁ a	16.594(8)	11.634(4)	11.128(3)	90	90	(90)	2148(1)
(S3.0Se1.0)2(Sb4F17)((SbF ₆) 3 B21/c	15.267(3)	13.440(4)	16.437(6)	90	91.59(2)	90	3372(2)
TeC1 ₃ (A1C1 ₄)	PĪ	6.554(1)	19.691(4)	8.391(1)	92.79(1)	97.31(1)	96.11(1)	1065.8(3)
TeCl ₃ (SbCl ₆)	- C2/c or Cc	22.137(4)	12.781(2)	19.308(3)	90	112.47(1)	90	5048(2)
TeCl ₃ (AsF ₆)	P2 ₁ /n	8.827(2)	10.009(3)	10.592(5)	90	108.27(3)	90	888.7
TeCl ₃ (SbF ₆)	Pnma		8.460(1)	6.398(1)	90 .	90	90	921.8(4)
(TeF3)2(S04)	P21215	8.758(1)	8.983(1)	9.946(2)	90	90	90	782.5(3)

 \sim

÷.,		'(a) Te	2 ^{Se} 8 ^{(AsF} 6)2.			,				-		
	-			•	۲.			. ·					-
		ATOM		x(x10 ⁴)	y(x10 ⁴)	• 6	Z(x10 ⁴)	U(11)	U(22)	U(33)	U(12)	U(13)	۲ U(2 <u>3</u>)
		Te(1)	1. A.	2462.6(8)	2197.4(9)		1 5335.2(5)	46.9(7)	37.4(7)	26.8(5)	- 3.9(6)	1,7(6)	1.6(5)
<i>بر</i> ر ا		Te (2)		3612.4(8)	2814.5(9)		2987.3(6)	46.0(8)	39.4(7)	31.1(6)	1.6(6)	9.3(5)	3.8(6)
		Se(1)	•	3552(1)	1187(1)		4639(1)	41.2(11)	34.1(10)	43.3(11)	7.0(9)	- 0.3(9)	10.0(9)
		Se(2)		2986(1)	1189(1)	•	3528(1)	68.7(14)	30.4(10)	37.3(11)	- 6.6(10)	2.1(10)	- 2.5(9)
	•	Se(3)		2218(1)	3657(1)		2646(1)	51.5(12)	40.3(11)	36.4(10)	- 0.6(9)	- 4.5(9)	10.5(8)
		Se(4)		1207(1)	2484(1)		2910(1)	46.4(12)	45.8(11)	35.9(10)	- 7.8(9)	-10.7(9)	- 2.4(8)
		Se(5)		737(1)	2959(1)		4037(1)	35.4(11)	40.0(11)	45.2(11)	3.3(9)	- 3.1(8)	- 0.4(9)
	· . · ·	Se(6)	•	1121(1)	1595(1)	-	4721(1)	40.9(11)	39.0(10)	43.5(11)	- 9.0(9) .	2.7(9)	5.4(9)
		Se(7)		2628(1)	3866(1)		4630(1)	42.3(11)	27.5(10)	39.5(10)	1.8(8)	1.9(8)	- 1.7(8)
-	•	Se(8)		3927(1)	- 3716(1)		4158(1)	37.1(11)	40.7(11)	39.8(11)	-10.8(9)	- 2.4(8)	- 0.3(8)
	.1	As(1)	_	4177(1)	633(1)		1358(1)	39.7(11)	47.4(12)	32.7(11)	- 5.4(9)	- 9.2(9)	4.1(9)
		As(2)		5962(1)	5226(1)		3538(1)	31.9(10)	34.7(10)	29.4(9)	4.6(8)	- 0.1(8)	0.4(8)
	• •	F(11)	·	4735(8)	1700(9)		1575(8)	73(9)	64 (8)	118(12)	25(7)	-12(8)	-32(8)
ν.	· .	F(12)		3631 (9)	- 432(10)		1146(7)	129(12)	90(10)	69(9)	-70(9)	8(8)	-10(7)
		F(13)		4986(10)	64(11)		1118(15)	68(10)	65(10)	564 (43)	-13(9)	132(18)	-114(18)
	•	F(14)		4131(17)	275(20)		2170(8)	269(28)	277(27)	48(10)	-168(24)	- 7(13)	5(13)
•		F(15)_	_	3986(19)	1149(13)		592(10)	390(34)	107(14)	107(15)	- 92(18)	-130(19)	ر (12) 70 (12
	~	F(16)		3305(11)	1266(20)		1639(18)	82(14)	220(25)	348 (36)	0(15)	39(18)	-145(24)
	•`	F(21)	_ \	5536(6) 🏶 📼	5301(8)		4376(5)	58(7)	73(8) ·	.25(5)	12(6)	\$(5)	- 1(5)
1		F(22)	•	5500(8)	4071(8)		3417(7)	81 (9)	SO(7)	78(9)	- 13(6)	19(7)	- 20(7)
[.		F(23)		6803(7)	4600(9)		3884(6)	. 54(8)	76(8)	69(8)	25(6)	- 4(6)	37(7)
		F(24)	10	6397(7)	5097(8)	· .	2699(5)	68 (8)	67(7)	40(6)	14(6)	12(6)	3(6)
	•	F(25)	• .	/ 6437(7)	6372(8)		3669(6)	78 (9)	50(7)	70(9)	- 19(6)	- 12(7)	S(6)
- 39 1	<i>,</i>	F(26)	5 4 .	5135(7)	5820(9)	•	3199(5)	52(8)	92(8)	39(7)	29(7)	- 6(5)	- 7(6)
~ '			• /	· · · ·									•

, TABLE A.2 Atomic Positional (x 10^4) and Thermal (x 10^3) Parameters.

. A						1.	Ĺ		
ATOM	X(x10*)	Y(x10 ⁴)	Z(x10 ⁴)	UII	U22	U33	U12	013	U23
Te(1)*	1678.4(3)	3944.9(5)	2304.6(4)	31.8(3)	41.8(4)	38.6(3)	2.6(2)	- 3.4(2)	2.7(3
Te(2)*	683.7(5)	2707.0(7)	3654.2(5)	43.3(4)	44.9(5)	50.1(5)	- 1.2(3)	- 4,1(3)	12.7(4
Se(1)*	377.3(6)	4363.9(9)	1032.9(6)	4116(5)	52.9(6)	39.9(5)	- 2.4(4)	- 7.1(4)	8.3(4
Se(2)*	4260.4(5)	2386.2(7)	2040.8(6)	¥2.6(6) 🕊	41.0(5)	\$7.5(5)	11.0	2.1(4)	4.6(4
Se(3)*	3343.9(5)	1124.0(8)	3164.4(6)	44.9(5)	44.2(6)	43.3(5)	B. (4)	5.3(4)	- 0.7(4
As	1690,6(6)	859.7(9)	176.8(7)	38.3(5)	58.5(6)	40.8(5)	5.9(4)	4.2(4)	1.4(4
F(1)	658(3) ·	/414(7)	- 147(4)	41 (3)	99(5)	. 87(4)	23(3)	- 6(3)	- 4(4)
F(2)	1435(5)	\$/1011(8)	1400(5)	120(6)	173(9)	51 (4)	42(5)	4(4)	1(5)
F(3) 🏂	1306(6)	- 573(6)	178(7)	111768	46(4) .	231 (10)		-22(6)	- 5(6)
F(4) - /	2109(5)	2313(7)	212(8)	81 (5)	53(4)	238(10)	-12(4)	- 6(6)	13(5)
FHSL_	2750(4)	334(6)	484(4)	56(4)	82(5)	77(4)	28(3)	- 8(3)	7(3)
F(6)	1996(4)	795(8)	- 1027(4)	91 (5)	227(10)	41(3)	79(6)	15(3)	9(5)
E F	<i>J</i>			21(3)		71(3)		13(3)	

Ċ.

239

. . .

*Fopulation Parameters: Te(1), 01998(4); Te(2), 0.843(3); Se(1), 1.065(4); Se(2), 1.229(5); Se(3), 1.069(5).

.

,	(c) Te ₂	Sec(TezSea)(AsF	$(10^{2})_{4}(10^{2})_{2}$.,	•				
		•		<u>.</u>		<u> </u>	• •				
78	ATOM	x(x10 ⁴)	Y(x104)	z(x10 ⁴)		U ₁₁	U ₂₂	U ₃₃	^U 12	U ₁₃	. U ₂₃
	Te(1)	5153(3)	1755(2)	2984(2)		60(2)	40(2)	74 (3) -	- 4(2)	21 (2)	- 8(2)
	Te(2)	4362(3)	3929(2)	4324(2)		56(2)	58(2)	70(3) [`]	- 7(2)) 21 (2)	-14(2)
	Te(3)	- 154(3)	847(2)	1964(2)		86(3)	48(2)	72(3)	- 3(2)	24(3)	- 7(2)
	Te(4)	- 298(3) -	3429(2)	1232(2)		68(3)	47(2)	91(4)	4 (2)	15(3)	4(2)
	• Se(3)	6632(6)	2727(4)	2724(3)		96(5)	74 (4)	96(6)	-21(4)	45(5)	-13(4)
•	Se(4)	7770(5)	2898(4)	3584(3)	-	61(4)	86(4)	93(6)	- 4(3)	24 (4)	- á (4)
	Se(5)	7236(5)	4235(4)	3904(3)		\$6(4)	77 (4)	89(6)	-21 (3)	25(4)	-14(4)
	Se(6)	6383(5)	3898(4)	4698(3)		71(4)	91 (4)	76(6)	- 5(3)	10(4)	- 2(4),
	Se(7)	3912(4)	2348(3)	4346(3)		66(4)	• 59(4)	67(5)	- 9(3)	- 32(4)	- 2(3)
	Se(8)	5525(5)	1796(3)	4109(3)		77(4)	58(4)	68(5)	3(3)	22(4)	8(3)
	Se(9)	3609(4)	2836(3)	. 2877(3)		57(4)	62(4)	80(5)	3(3)	- 7(4)	-18(4)/
	+Se(10)	4526(4)	4043(3)	3212(3)		67(4)	45(3)	57(5)	- 7(3)	9(3) ⁴	- 4(3)
	Se(11)	- 671(5)	3128(3)	2285(3)		69(4)	64 (4)	100(6)	11(3)	29(4)	-15(4)
	Se(12)	560(5)	2124(3)	2584(3)	L	83(5)	67(4)	75(5)	12(3)	7(4)	-18(4)
	Se(13)	- 1992(4)	1536(4)	1556(3)		45(4)	75 (4)	113(6)	-12(3)	20(4)	- 6(4)
	Se(14)	- 1537(5)	2244(4)	752(3)		74(5)	91 (5)	92(6)	- 6(4)	-12(4)	- 7(4)
	Se(15)	1510(4)	2554(3)	1282(3)		38(3)	55(3)	103(6)	- 4(3)	28(4)	- 8(3)
	Se(16)	796(4)	1205(3)	1042(3)		57(4)	52(3)	74 (5)	7(3)	28(4)	-10(3)
	As(1)	4605(5)	1567(3)	1170(3)	-	58(4)	66(4)	50(4)	2(3)	9(4)	- 1(3)
	As(2)	10996(5)	11 <u>51(</u> 3)	795(3)		53(4)	69(4)	59(5)	- 8(3)	11(4)	- 5(3)
,	As(3)	- 2240(5)	64(3)	(3177(3)		76(5) ·	53(4)	109(7)	19(3)	32(5)	15(4)
•	As(4)	7362(4)	5104(3)	1989(3)		\$\$(4)	55(4)	103(6)	14(3)	20(4)	2(4)

240

continued.

Y

ATOM	X(x10 ³)	Y(x10 ³)	Z(×10 ³)) u	ATOM	X(x10 ³)	Y(x10 ³)	Z(x10 ³)	U
F(1)	- 387(5)	116(4)	161(3)	213(25)	F(34)	- 179(5)	- 46(4)	378(3)	,
FUR	542(4)	189(3)	68(2)	163(18)	F (35)	- 103(6)	42(4)	322(3)	220(20)
F(13)	522(5)	67(3)	· 116(3)	200(22)	F(36)	654(7)	- 46(5)	307(4)	258(30) TAE(41)
F(14)	558(5)	180(4)	164(3)	208(24)	F(41)	730(3)	607(2)	229(2)	345(41)
F(15)	407(5)	241(4)	112(3)	228(26)	F(42)	745(3)	415(2)	169(2)	92(10)
F(16)	369(5)	122(4)	63(3)	225(25)	F(43)	861(3)	530(2)	5 180(2)	109(12)
F(21)	1004(4)	68(3)	- 129(2)	168(18)	F(44)	681(3)	555(2)	137(2)	122(13)
F(22)	1091(6)	212(4)	- 122(3)	265 (33)	F(45)	791(3)	469(2)	263(2)	107(12)
F(23)	1006(4)	143(3)	- 39(2)	176(19)	F(46)	612(3)	486(2)	220(2)	130(14)
F(24)	1192(4)	81(3)	- 119(2)	152(17)	S(1)	510(3)	408(2)	73(2)	214(13)
F(25)	1120(5)	24(4)	- 51(3)	227 (25)	S(2)	38(4)	122(3)	447(2)	> 767(1#)
F(26)	1196(4)	163(3)	- 29(2)	157(17)	0(11)	365(9)	425(7)	36(5)	A07/583
F(31)	- 281(4)	79(3)	362(2)	150(16)	0(12)	626(12)	385(9)	* 22(7)	404 (30)
F(32)	- 278(4)	52(3)	254(2)	155(17)	0(21)	- 74(6)	136(5)	458(3)	1 11 (10)
F(33)	3 179(4)	- 67(3)	272(2)	161(18)	0(22)	155(7)	85(5)	475(4)	269(35)

 $Te_2Se_6(Te_2Se_8)(AsF_6)_4(SO_2)_2$ continued.

•		•		1.		÷ *				
		•	· · ·		•			- 1	1	
	(d) Te _c (Se_{a} (AsF ₆) ₆ (S_{0}^{0}).		•	• [*]	`		t l	
					U	Una	U.,	U. a	U	Uaa
	ATOM	•, X	Y	Z	_*11	-22	-33	-12	-13	23
	Te(1)	2432(1)	4198(1)	304(1)	() 48.9(9)	25.8(7)	50.0(9)	2.6(6)	1.8(7)	- 5 2(6)
	Te(2)	831(1)	2603(1)	282(1)	30.0(7)	47.5(8)	54.4(9)	4.5(6)	9.5(6)	> 5.1(7)
	Te(3)	1616(1)	3189(1)	- 138î(1) - T	50.0(9)	51.2(9)	35.9(8)	13.0(7)	-10.6(7)	13.6(7)
	le(4)	3280(1)	1530(1)	- 1422(1)	49.1(9)	41.9(8)	35.4(8)	10.0(6)	10.8(6)	- 6.2(6)
	Te(5)	2568(1)	880(1)	243(1)	45.8(8)	31.2(7)	50.7(9)	4.7(6)	1.8(7)	15 7(6)
	Te(6)	· 4165(1)	2477(1)	256(1)	29.3(7)	47.0(8)	50,7(9)	2.3(6)	,- 9.8(6)	5.7(7)
	Se(1)	5869(2)	2105(2)	5369(2)	38.7(12)	58.8(14)	48.5(14)	- 1.9(10)	- 4.8(10)	10.8(11)
	Se(2)	76995(2)	2770(2)	6638(2)	65.9(16)	64.7(15)	37.4(13)	5.8(12)	- 0.8(11)	- 5.3(11)
	Se(3)	7968(2)	1288(2)	6527(2)	78.0(19)	71.7(17)	69.5(19)	11.0(14)	-25,5(15)	23.1(14)
	Se(4)	8954(2)	1950(2)	-5303(2)	37.3(14)	87.5(19)	² 87.5(21)	6.4(13)	- 6.2(13)	- 3.3(16)°
	Se(5)	7625(2)	1428(2)	4158(2)	57,7(15)	46.4(13)	49.1(14)	6,5(11)	- 1.1(11)	- 10.3(11)
	Se(6)	7719(3)	2887(2)	3281(2)	119.2(25)	70.8(18)	46.3(16)	0.3(17)	27.7(16)	8.8(14)
•	Se(7)	7455(2)	4250(2)	4492(2)	87.0(19)	42.1(13)	63 6(17)	- 4.9(13)	6.0(14)	5.5(12)
	Se(8)	5654(2)	3649(2)	4629(2)	62.8(16)	64.0(16)	67.4(17)	26,1(13)	- 1.7(13)	14.4(13)
	As(1)	4719(2)	4645(2)	- 2035(1)	36,4(11)	34.0(11)	30,7(11)	- 4.3(9)	- 0.2(9)	3,3(9)
	As(2)	7505(2)	2507(2)	- 82(2)	33.5(11)	33.8(11)	47.6(13)	4.1(9)	- 0.5(10)	6.0(10)
	Às(3)	- 167(2)	196(2)	2033(1)	34 2(11)	36.8(11)	32.2(11)	- 2.8(9)	4.5(9)	5.3(9)
	As(4)	4766(2)	543(2) .	2462(2)	33.5(11)	36.0(11)	48.1(13)	9.7(9)	- 9.6(10)	- 0.6(10)
	As(5) ′	2333(2)	1947(2)	- 4287(2)	49.3(13)	51.9(13)	32.9(12)	- 2.0(11)	- 4.3(10)	11.5(10)
	As(6)	288(2)	5062(2)	2528(2)	35.5(12)	35.3(11)	57.2(15)	8.4(9)	- 3,3(10)	1,1(10)
	S .	2469(6)	2974(6)	3141(4)	100(6)	89(5)	37(4)	5(4)	3(4)	20(4)
	0(1)	2575(12)	2818(13)	2141(10)	63(10) *	87(12)	38(9)	27(9)	- 4(7)	- 9(8)
	0(2)	.3086(15)	3847(16)	3655(12)	103(15)	108(15)	56(12)	- 24(12)	· - 19(11)	29(11)
	F(11) .	6065(10)	4647(13)	- 1855(12)	33(8)	124(13)	116(13) %	- 7(8)	- 4(8)	22(10)
	F(12)	460r(12)	3251(10)	- 2178(11)	99(11)	37(7)	101(12)	- 5(7)	8(9)	12(7)
	F(13)	4523(12)	4583(13)	- 828(9)	106(12)	119(13)	31(8)	- 6(10)	- 4(7)	10(8)
	F(14)	3344(10)	4612(11)	- 2161(10)-	41(8) .	96(11)	86(11)	10(7)	- 8(7)	23(8)
	F(15)	4870(11)	4674(11)	- 3223(9)	92(10)	88(10)	32(7)	- 16(8)	15(7)	10(7)
	F(16)	4852(12)	6011(9)	- 1832(11)	104(12)	32(7)	109(13)	- 14(7)	5(9)	- 6(Š)
	F(21)	-6581(15)	3407(15)	- 9(14)	121(6)	× (1)*				
	F(22)	8451(16)	1607(16)	- 137(15)	134(7)				·	
						,			cont	inued.

Ŕ

. 1

^bRefined as 1/2 a fluorine atom.

ATOM	x	Ŷ	2	້ ເ _{ບັ} ນ	U ₂₂	U ₃₃	U12	U13 ·	- ^U 23	÷
F(231) ^b	8500(27)	3468(26)	- 414(26)	93(10)						
F(241) ^b	6518(26)	1528(26)	249(26)	89(10)			-	•		
F(251) ^b	7810(37)	2791(35)	1098(32)	135(15)				٥		
▶ F(261) ^b	- 7246(34)	2079(35)	- 1220(30)	124(13)	•		٦			
F(232) ^b	7774(39)	2988(39)	- 1109(35)	147(16)	· · ·		· .			
F(242) ^b	6931(43)	2161(41)	911(38)	163(18)		• .				
F(252)b	6630(30)	1569(29)	- 614(30)	108(11)						
F(262) ^b	8371(31)	3470(30)	443(30)	114(12)	÷					
F(31)	- 1498(10)	145(12)	2249(9)	42(8)	124(12)	59(9)	15(8)	8(6)	- 6(8)	
F(32)	134(11)	440(13)	3188(9)	-81(10)	117(12)	39(8)	- 30(9)	0(7)	- 9(8)	
F(33)	- 469(11)	- 117(13)	829(9)	69(9)	125(12)	37(8)	- 3(9).	8(7)	20(8)	
F(34)	1169(10)	223(13)	1763(10)	39(8)	128(13)	83(11)	• 4(8)	5(7)	26(10)	٩,
F(35)	- 216(13)	- 1161(10)	2082(12)	111(13)	41(8)	110(13)	1(8)	· - 12(10)	22(8)	
F(36)	- 135(14)	1516(11)	1877(13)	127(14)	47(8)	139(16)	0(9)	- 8(12)	34(9)	
F(4))	6164(9)	626(10)	2538(10)	36(7)	67(8)	89(10)	3(6)	- 12(7)	- 2(8)	
F(42)	4881(11)	185(12)	1236(9)	75(10)	107(11)	42(8)	6(8)	9(7)	21(8)	
F(43)	4729(10)	- 796(10)	2584(11)	61(9)	51(8)	103(12)	4(7)	- 5(8)	38(8)	
F(44)	4712(13)	892(16)	3640(10)	91(12)	181(18)	41(9)	21(12)	- 5(8)	40(10)	
F(45)	3404(9)	464(11)	2342(10)	36(7)	93(10)	86(11)	19(7)	1(7)	- 2(8)	
F(46)	4825(12)	1869(10)	2250(14)	23(10)	44(8)	177(18)	24(7)	- 28(11)	9(10)	
F(51)	1507(14)	2656(14)	- 4859(13)	107(6)						
F(52)	3105(18)	1295(18)	- 3595(17)	148(8)				•		
F(53)	1345(17)	954(16)	- 4241(15)	134(7)		•				
F(54)	3390(15)	2818(15)	- 4432(14)	121(6)	•	•				
F(55)	2002(22)	2562(21)	- 3258(20)	188(11)	1					
F(56).	2645(23)	1310(24)	- 5273(22)	206(12)	7		. •		•	
F(61)	- 1075(9)	4999(11)	2630(10)	39(7)	74(9)	95(11)	11(7)	19(7)	, · · 11(B)	
F(62)	102(12)	5090(11)	1318(10)	85(10)	80(10)	61(9)	[™] \ 6(8)	• 3(8)	0(8)	
F(63)	1660(10)	5129(11)	2329(11)	. 46(8)	76(10)	115(13)	5(7)	< - 8(8)	15(9)	۰.
F(64)	336(10)	6451(9)	2668(11)	61(9)	37(7)	102(11)	13(6)	- 7(8)	- 19(7)	
F(65)	251(11)	3698(10)	2313(12)	70(10)	40(7)	129(14)	18(7)	- 1(9)	14(8)	
F(66)	484(16)	5015(15)	3693(12)	163(18)	120(14)	64(11)	47(13)	- 9(11)	28(10)	
				i i						_

5

 $Te_{\delta}(\$e_8)(AsF_6)_{\delta}(SO_2)$ continued.

)

(e) Te₂Se₄(SbF₆)₂.

ß

7

 $|\zeta$

ク

Atom	🗡 🏄 🖞	<u>Z</u>	U ₁₁	U22	U ₃₃	U ₁₂	U ₁₃	U ₂₃		
Te(11)	(2710(2) 1181(1)	4364(1)	56(2)	34(1)	52(2)	4(1)	- 8(1)	- 7(1)		
Te(12)	526(2) - 117(1)	4315(1)	34(1)	93(1)	60(2)	- 6(1)	4(1)	9(1)	•	
Se(13)	3127(4) - 947(2)	4452(2)	62(3)	40(2)	79(3)	6(2)	- 2(2)	4(2)		
Se(14)	4413(4) - 337(2)	3990(2)	40(3)	56(3)	111(4)	: 5(2)	20(3)	7 20(2)	-	
Se(15)	5350(4) - 661(2)	5535(2)	34(2)	58(2)	103(4)	- 5(2)	- 17(2)	- 16(2)		· · ·
Se(16)	2182(4) 529(2)	3630(1)	71(3)	69(3)	33(2)	- 12(2)	- 13(2)	7(2)	•	
Te(21)	2328(2) 1453(1)	1722(1)	51(2)	89(1)	75(2)	0(1)	1(1)	- 2(1)	, , .	÷
Te(22)	3508(2) 2717(1)	[°] 1930(1) 📞	36(2)	63(2)	67(2)	- 3(1)	- 14(1)	- 19(1)		
Se(23)	1831(4) 3512(2)	2084(2)	71(3)	50(2)	79(3)	· 12(2)	- 8(3)	- 20(2)		
Se(24)	769(4) 3102(2)	.1493(1) ^l	57(3)	59(2)	67(3)	13(2)	- 15(2)	15(2)	•	•
Se(25)	392(4) 1982(2)	1799(2)	31(2)	62(3)	110(4)	- 7(2)	5(2)	7 3)	. • •	
Se(26)	3117(4) 2303(3)	1138(1)	61(3)	99(3)	37(2)	3(3)	. io(2)	1(2)	ø	b.
Sb(1)	0(0) - 139(2)	2500(0)	41(2)	33(2)	46(2)	0(0)	2(2)	0(0)		
Sb(2)	5000(0) 546(2)	2500(0)	43(2)	50(2)	58(2)	0(0)	- 11(2)	0(0)	\sim	•
Sb(3)	359(2) 2138(1)	106(1)	`39(1) ·	36(1)	41(1)	i 1(1) -	• 4(1)	0(1)	1	
Sb(4)	/ 1992(2) - 246(1)	795(1)	34(1)	49(1)	38(1)	1(1)	- 4(1)	3(1)	• • •	
S5(5)	3000(2)2808(1),	3365(1)	38(1)	49(1)	45(1)	- 3(1)	9(1)	8(1)		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, 1	. *							
Atom	<u>x</u> <u>Y</u>	<u>2</u>	Ш		Atom		X	<u>Y</u>	2	ñ
F(11)	809(26) 563(15) *	(,2212(10)	102(9)		F(41)	30	28 (2 <u>4)</u> -	295(14)	1260(9)	94(9)
F(12) -	- 845(23) - 829(13)	2785(8)	85 (8)		F(42)	10	05(23) -	160(13)	325(9)	84(8)
F(13)	- 952(34) - 115(19)	2022(12)	139(13)		F(43)	12	85(24) -	1075(13)	1005(9)	90(8)
F(21)	5000(0) 1574(20)	2500(0) (92(12)	•	F(44)	10	98(24)	372(14)	1146(9)	91(8)
F(22)	3511(27) 599(16)	2632(10)	107(10)	. · ·	F(45)	· 27	77(27)	570(16)	596(10)	110(10)
F(23)	<u>, 5000(Ô)</u> - 463(32)	2500(0)	177(24)		F(46)	28	63(28) -	851(16)	419(10)	115(10)
F(24)	4607(31) 596(19)	1908(12)	138(13)	: · ·	F(51)	21	31(23)	2181(13)	3008(8)	84(8)
F(31)	- 21(31) 2906(18)	. 460(12)	129(12)		F(52)	38	39(20)	2993(11)	2863(7)	66(6)
F(32)	805(23) 1351(13)	- 229(8)	83(8)		F(53)	- 20	80(24)	3561(13)	3229(8)	86 (8)
F(33)	1615(47) 🤉 2012(26)	433(17)	216(21)		F(54)	21	19(21).	2555(12)	3856(8)	75(7)
F(34)	863(37) 🖔 2720(21)	- 345(14)	164(15)		F(55)	38	76(25)	2049(14)	3519(9)	94(9)
F(35)	- 1065(37) 2151(19)	- 134(13)	152(14)		F(56)	38	79(25)	3401(14)	3697(9)	96(9)
F(36)	- 287(38) 1524(22)	541(14)	171(16)				1		•	

4.2

 \mathbf{k}

<u>(</u>_____

244

ЯК.

(f) $Te_2Se_4(Sb_3F_{14})(SbF_6)$.

	•	•	· ·					-	
<u>Atom</u>	<u>×</u> •	<u>Y</u>	, <u>2</u>	U ₁₁	U ₂₂	U ₃₃	U ₁₂	U ₁₃	U ₂₃
Te(1)	-51(2)	1235(1)	2525(2)	61(1) ·	35(1)	59(2)		-14(1)	2(2)
Te(2)	-90(2)	2280(2)	1306(2)	56(2)	87(2)	31(1)	-21(1)	5(1)	-4(2)
- Se(3)	654(3)	3386(2)-	1966(3)	65(3)	52(3)	60(3)	-15(2)	17(2)	2(2)
Se(4)	21(3)	3240(2)	\3151(3)	89(3)	41(2)	49(3)	-7(2)	17(2)	-20(2)
Se(5)	733(3)	2123(3)	·3456(3)	98(4)	84(4)	54(3)	-4(3)	-53(3)	- 1(3)
Se(6)	-1323(2)	2045(2)	2194(2)	28(2)	- 70(3)	.44(3)	-3(2)	4(2)	15(2)
Sb(1)	2280(1)	> -324(1')	2972(1)	37(1)	32(1)	23(1)	2(1)	-1(1)	2(1)
Sb(2)	2435(2)	499(1)	824(1)	31(1)	25(1)	22(1)	2(1)	-1(1)	-5(1)
Sb(3)	2626(1)	2752(1)	297(1)	32(1)	26(])	31(1)	071)	2(1)	-1(1)
Sb(4)	5000(0)	0(0)	5000(0)	34(1)	28(2)	27(2)	-8(1)	6(1)	-2(2)
Sb(5)	0(0)	5000(0)	0(0)	28(1)	47(2)	40(2)	-11(1)	7(1)	-22(2)
			-		e	•			
<u>Atom</u> ,	<u>×</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>2</u>	Ū	Atom	<u>X</u> :	<u>Y</u>	<u>z</u>	<u>u</u>
`F()1)	225(1)	-34(1) 4	04(2) 6	9(7)	F(33)	257(1)	280(1)	-76(2)	64(6)
F(12)	231(1)	-29(1) 1	82(1) 5)(5)	F(34)	267(1)	264(1)	136(2)	68(7)
F(13)	248(2)	-136(2) 2	91(2) 7	7(7)	F(35)	384(2)	268(1)	26(2)	71(7)
F(14)	348(1)	-12(1) 2	95(2) 6	1(7)	F(36)	140(1)	272(1)	37(1)	58(6)
F(15)	111(1)	· [*] -50(1) 2	80(2) 70	D(7)	F(41)	. 55(2)	-68(2)	. ~66(2)	80(8)
F(16)	206(1)	73(1) 2	96(2) 6	2(6)	F(42)	-86(1)	-73(1)	20(2)	71(7) -
F(21)	332(1)	93(1) 1	44(1) 39	9(5)	F(43)	-68(1)	35(1)	-82(1)	54(6)
F(22)	157(1)	107(1) 1	38(1) 43	2(5)	F(51)	-102(2)	-30(1)	450(2)	74(7)
F(31)	ି ^ୟ 263(1)	381(1)	40(2) 69	5(6)	F(52)	36(2)	39(1)	405(2)	75(7)
F(32)	259(1)	163(1)	20(1) 3		F(53)	-48(2)	99(1)	527(2)	69(7)
			Ĺ		•			ł	

	(g) _. Te	2.7 ^{Se} 3.3 ^(Sb)	6)2				• .		· · ·	• •
	Atom	- • •	Y	Z	, U ₁₁	U ₂₂	U ₃₃	U ₁₂	U ₁₃	U ₂₃
-	Te(1)	2563(1)	3833(3)	4365(1)	56.3(11)	82,3(15)	59.60117	4.9(11)	4.2(8)	-21.9(10)
	Te(2)	1396(1)	2837(2)	2949(1)	47.3(10)	67.8(13)	62.2(11)	1.9(9)	-11.4(8)	7.1(10)
۰.	Te(4)	4193(2)	1124(3)	. 3035(2) .	61.8(14)	64.2(16)	79 (4)	16.4(13)	7.6(12)	-0.7(14)
	Se(3)	1809(2)	1114(4)	4214(2)	70(2)	77(2)	84(2)	-1(2)	16,6(14)	33(2)
	Se(5)	4502(2)	3439(4)	3809(2)	37(2)	84(3)	82(2)	-6.7(14)	0.7(13)	-14(2)
. `	Se(6)	3055(3)	2328(4)	2006(2)	88(2)	80(2)	46.6(15)	7(2)	5.4(14)	-0.4(15)
•	Sb(1)	2058(1)	7347(2)	1962(1)	48.2(9)	41.5(11)	68.3(10)	0.6(8)	3.9(8)	-1.6(9)
•	56(2)	4676(1)	7903(2)	5186(1)	44.8(9)	47.7(11)	48.3(8)	1.5(9)	3.3(7)	4.5(8)
	F(14)	1301(27)	7747(-39)	978(13)	249(37)	164(28)	81(14)	44(31)	-11(17)	4(17)
		3 919(17) *	5995(29)	2195(19)	70(12)	92(16)	240(27)	-35(12)	14(15)	∽ 11(19) [•]
	20. 20.	1305(15)	8944(24)	2477(12)	87(13)	76(12)	110(14)	10(12)	28(10)	-28(11)
	1	2790(19)	5819(24)	1377(17)	123(17)	64(13)	183(22)	48(13)	9(16)	-40(14)
)	F(5)	2595(23)	6637(36)	2968(16)	186(25)	188(29)	113(18)	21(26)	-48(17)	45(19)
•	F(6)	3108(23)	8605(27)	1672(31)	, 134 (21) ,	• 65(16)	1534(72)	-35(16)	203(34)	a -18(28)
•	F(7)	3359(17)	8942(31)	\$140(16)	. 77(12)	126(20)	170(21)	42(14)	12(13)	22(18)
	F(8)	- 4389(22)	7505(57)	6268(11)	148(20)	471(64)	53(10)	50(32)	55(13)	94(24)
	F(9)	3943(28)	6241(38)	4868(26) 4	150(24	133(25)	344(48)	-65(22)	-4(30)	-89(29)
£	F(10)	4973(30)	8430(45)	4078(19)	245(33)	243(42)	146(22)	140(32)	110(22)	108(25)
•	E(11)	5953(24)	6820(43)	5206(23)	122(21)	201(36)	255(33)	104(25)	43(23)	71(29)
	F (1-2)	6395(21)	9620(36)	5492(32)	94(18)	106(22)	479(66)	-28(18)	-50(28)	-48(35)
÷	・アラ						· · · ·			

• .	(h) Te-	"Se, "(SbF	e)			-	a to s ta	-	· .	1 . -	•
		··· 2··0	0.2			•	·····/	<i>r</i> ,	· · · · ·	·	
* .	Atom	. X ``.	Y	Z	- ^U 11	U ₂₂	, U ₃₃ /	U12 .	U ₁₃	.023	1
•	Te(1)	2564(1)	3847(2)	4368(1)	56.0(9)	. 73.5(12)	57.8(9)	.3.1(8)	4.6(6)	-22.1(8)	(
·	Te(2) '	1414(1)	2903(2)	2938(1)	47.7(8)	58.1(9)	65.8(9)	3.7(7)	-12.7(6)	7.1(7))
	Te(3)	1792(2)	1061(3)	-4219(1)	65.7(13)	70.2(14)	94,3(15)	-9.9(10)	17.0(10)	33.5(11)	
	Te(4)	4234(1)	1116(2)	3032(1)	59.2(10)	54.4(10)	80.5(11)	17.4(8)	4.9(8)	-1.9(8)	
•	Se(5)	4518(2)	3486(-3)	3824(2)	44.6(14)	78.2(20)	77.8(17)	-10.5(12)	0.3(10)	-17.0(13)	Ċ
	Se(6)	3085(2)	2401(3)	1993(1)	84(2)	72(2)	47.4{12}	6.0(13)	1.9(10)	0.7(11)	. ·
	Sb(1)	2054(1)	7395(2)-	1967(1)	47.2(7)	38.6(8)	Ø]0.2(9)	3.5(6)	4.0(6)	-1.4(6)	•
	Sb(2)	4674(1)	7926(2)	5199(1)	45.1(7)	43.3(8)	49.8(7)	2.2(6)	3.7(5)	4.2(6)	. •
	F(1)	1289(12)	8988(20)	2472(12)	66(9) -	74(10)	145(15)	-7(9)	31(9) 🔪	-48(10)	
	F(2)	3166(20)	8663(24)	1768(25)	102(16)	57(11)	423(50)	-9(12)	117(25)	-39(21)	1
	F(3)	1385(27)	7863(37)	1003(14)	242(34)	165(25)	108(14)	66(28)	-31(18) >	45(16)	•
	F(4)	857(15)	5998(24)	2168(16)	76(11)	84(13)	190(20)	-36(11)	-8(12)	0(14)	. •
	F(5)	2800(17)	5927(20)	1370(12)	124(15)	65(10)	110(12)	,38(11)	-1(11)	-22(9)	
•	F(6)	2587(21)	6619(32)	3000(14)	160(20)	164(24).	106(14)	39(20)	-25(13)	46(15)	
	F(7)	3815(5%)	6157(81)	5163(37)	332(31)						
	F(8)	4867(19)	8105(56)	4078(10)	135(16)	452(63)	46(8)	112(30)	26(9)	13(17)	•
<u>.</u>	F(9)	4489(21)	7727(71)	6258(15)	122(20)	599(96)	107(15)	134(38)	-14(14)	56(36)	
	F(10)	3346(13)	8998(29)	5143(14)	49(8)	128(18)	168(18)	39(10)	-4(9)*	2(14)	
•	F(11)	5990(23)	6912(41)	5175(16)	153(21)	244(36)	158(20)	164(25)	38(16)	63(21)	
	F(12)	5295(19)	9589(37)	5415(45)	51(13)	107(22)	1048(144)	-10(14)	24(36)	-135(53)	
			•					· .			

	11 1.	e 115h5						•	•	•
		5 • 1 • 3 • 6 • • • •	. 2 .	•		•				•
	Atom		-	Z	11 ¹	12	, ttu	0 ₁₂	ει _υ .	U ₂ 3
	Te(11)	15 (11)	-157(1)	1298(1)	101/11	12.0(10)	(11)6.61	-1.1(7)	6.4(8)	5.8(8)
	Te(12)	(1)2612	1156(1)	(1)2/61,	63.2(12)	31.6(9)	62.5(12)	(1)['0.	-10.5(9)	-5.7(7)
	Te(21)	3522(1)	2776(1)	1909(1)	44.9(10)	52.8(11)	69.8(13)	-5.9(8)	-12,6(8)	-12.5(8)
	Te(22)	(1) 1262	1496(1)	(1)66/1	48.6(11)	(6)0.15	96.8(16)	-5.1(8)	1.6(9).	[6]C*E*
	(61)8	2237(6)	563(3)	3655(2)	88(5)	68(4)	(\$)95.	-15(3)	-14(3)	12(3)
	s(14)	(9)2264 ,	-311(5)	4052(3)	54(5)	83(6)	(6)661-	10(4)	16(4)	(5)00-
	S(15)	3230(6)	(C)106-	(1)0111	81(6)	37(4)	102(7)	(c)21	(1)0	-4(4)
	S(16)	4552(6)	584(5)	4466(3)	42(4)	72(6)	(8) și I	-1(1)	-17(4)	-18(5)
	5(23)	3069(5)	2320(4)	1151(2)	67(4)	6 (5)	58(+)	-1(3)	6(3)	-5(3)
	5(24)	869(6)	3078(4)	(1543(3)	56(4)	56(4)	63(6)	11(3)	-20(4)	12(4)
	S(25)	. 1859(6)	3510(4)	2040(3)	. 81(5)	(†)85.	97(6)	14(4)	-9(4)	-27(4)
	S(26)	(2) 605	2086(4)	(2)2181	39(4)	70(5)	140(8)	-4(3)-	3(4)	(3)61
	Sb(1)	5000	(1)/161	2500	16.6(12)	23.9(10)	52,5(14)	0.0	-3.6(10)	0.0
·	Sb(2)	2000	582(1)	2500	43.8(14)	48.1(14)	68.8(18)	0.0	-7.3(13)	0.0
	Sb(3)	360(1)	7851(1)	(1)6115	41.9(8)	(1)1.16	55,7(10)	1.4(7)	1.9(7)	3.0(7)
• 1	Sb(4)	2985(1)	4770(1)	199(1)	10.2(8)	44.9(9)	(01)0'05	1.5(7)	7.5(7)	(1)1-1
	Sb(5)	2947(1)	2812(1)	3359(1)	44.1(8)	44.4(9)	- 52,2(10)	-1.5(7)	8.2(7)	1.1(7)
	•		•••					1	•	:
•	VION							-	7	5
	F(11)	4168(15)	4195(10)	2210(6)	(c)98		41) 3728	115) 3973(10) 1050(6)	94(5)
	F(12)	5817(18)	5646(11)	2795(7)	112(6)	¥.	42) 2239	18) 5553 (81)	13) 568(7)	125(7)
	r(13)	4046(23)	4904(15)	2988(9)	160(9)	Ξ.	43) 2160	[16] 4142((2) 423(7)	108(6)
	F (21)	2000	. 1649(16)	. 2500	(8)[01	. .	41) - 40201	[16] 4829(10) 327(6)	100(6)
	F(22)	3485(15)	(11)229	2627(6)	• (9)601	F	45) 1925(18) 4756(12) 1262(7)	124(7)
	F(23)	5000	-449(26)	2500	202(19)	F(16) 3856)5865 (/l)	11)., 1157(7)	108(6)
	F(24)	4672(19)	631(13)	1886(8)	136(8)	, F (51) 2170)2E12 (+1)	10) 2996(6)	6)16
	f (11)	861(14)	8628(9)	4763(6)	89(5)	3	52) 3830(13) 3047(8) 2650(5)	15(4)
	F(32)	-35(21)	7055(13)	\$473(8)	(8)/11) J	53) [1955)	16) 356/(10) 3219(6)	, 95(5)
	(66) 3	-267(22)	8535(15)	5512(9)	158(10)	F	54) 2055	(14) 2529(10) 3858(6)	93(2)
5.	F (34)	1642(28)	7916(18)	5404(11)	206(14)	5	925) 3934	15) 2071(10) 3523(6)	96(6)
	F (35)	-987(25)	7836(15)	4863(10)	176(11)	F	56) <u>3</u> 755{	14) 3467((9)01/6 (6	. 86(5)
	F (36)	95R(27)	7230(17)	4722(11)	192(12)	• •			 	-

$(j) e_2 > e_2 (> o_3 14) (> o$

									-					•		۵ ¹	
Atom	<u>×</u>	<u>×</u>		<u>z</u>	•	<u>u</u> 11	บ	-22	<u>U</u>	33	ñ	12	<u>ו</u> ע ו	3		<u>v</u> 23	
Sb(1)	10992(2)	2341(1)	. • • •	2500	-	34.4(9)	42.	7(11) -	55,	0(12)	. 0.	4(8)	0	÷	. O		•
Sb(2)	6344(2)	3156(1)	•	2500		31.4(8)	24.	8(8)	40.	2(9)	-2.	6(7)	Q		0	• .	
Sb(3)	6074(3)	272(1)	•	2500		37.5(10)	26.	6(9)	99.	9(18)	-2.	5(8)	0 [°]		0		
Sb(4)	4864(4)	2500		0000		53.6(14)	171.	4(38)	40.	6(11)	0		, 0		25.	5(17)	
Te(1)	1655(3)	163(1)		671(2)	11	86.1(14)	49.	8(10)	104.	8(16)	1.	3(9)	-56.	3(12),	1.	6(10)	
Se(1)	-108(4)	1036(2)		-219(2)		82.6(18).	35.	2(11)	101.	2(22)	-1.	6(13)	-42.	5(17)	13.	0(14)	•
F(11)	13146(29)	2201 (23) :	2500		41 (12)	.126	(26)	174	(33)	19	(15)	0		0		
F(12)	8731(26)	2513(17))	2500		34 (10)	· 55	(13)	275	(48)	13	(11)	0		· 0		
F(13)	11194(70)	3375(28)	2500	1	52 (43)	77	(27)	825	(222)	-93	(31)-	0		0		
F(14)	10499(51)	1254(26))	2500	`. !	94 (26)	69	(22)	550	(127)	10	(22)	0		0		
F(15)	10820(49)	2267(36)) .	1389(24)	· 1	74 (32)	319	(62)	. 129	(25)	-47	(39)	-23	(25)	- 39	(32)	
F(21)	7390(18)	3832(9)		1672(10)	•	72 (9)	- 57	(8)	62	(8)	-18	(8)	17	(7)	12	(7)	
F(31)	6479(48)	1375(16	j ·	2500 -	. ¹ 1	13 (25)	- 29	(12)	342	(66) ·	9	(15)	0		0		
F(32)	4509(24)	4100(11))	2500	1	51 (10)	37	(9).	76	(13)	0	(8)	0		0	· .	
F(33)	4558(55)	407(14)	1717(31)	· 2	85 (44)	57	(13)	.349	(51)	-46	(20)	-226	(42)	51	(20)	
F(34)	7592(39)	51(13) .	1695(21)	ં ા	78 (25)	63	(11)	188	(26)	- 29	.(14)	110	(23)	- 19	(14)	
- F(41)	3213(35)	1777(18))	296(19)	1 I	20 (8)	•••										-
F(42)	6206(91)	1749(46))	279(49)	2	79 (30)	• •				÷	:	· · ·	•			
F(43)	4926(50)	2811(24)) 🕡 🦄	1115(24)	', - 1	68 (13)					,	٠	· · .				. •

(k) Te3.0Se1.0(Sb3F14)(SbE6).

Atom. <u>x</u>	<u>×</u>	<u>z</u>	<u>v</u> 11	<u>U</u> 22	<u>U</u> 33	<u>U</u> 12	<u>U</u> 13	<u>U</u> 23
Sb(1) 548.5(4)	0(0)	2570.9(7)	1/25.3(3)	40.5(4)	38.7(4)	1.9(3)	1.003)	4.1(4)
\$b{2) -1787.5(4)	-876.2(10)	. 2368.0(6)	(28.6(3)	29.5(4)	29.7(3)	3.0(3)	1.1(3)	-3.2(3)
Sb(3) -2904.7(6)	-3336.0(12)	515.3(8)	41.9(5)	46.1(5)	44.1(5)	6.1(4)	-8.1(4)	-21.7(4)
Sb(4) -2126.5(5)	2261.6(11)	581.5(7)	41.1(4)	30.5(4)	35.7(4)	0.8(4)	-1.4(3)	2.8(3)
Te{1) -873.0(8)	5340.9(14)	3430.0(11)	56.9(7)	62.9(8)	48-10(7)	21.0(6)	17.5(5)	11.7(5)
Te(2) -163.3(7)	5916-4(13)	1478.2(9)	54.4(6)	61.3(7)	50.8(6)	11.6(5)	7.4(5)	25.6(5)
Te(3) * 37.7(12)	3650.4(15)	3659.7(13)	124.5(15)	56.1(8)	64.8(9)	40.4(9)	38.7(9)	24.8(7)
Te(4) 792.9(9)	4125.4(16)	1718.2(10)	84.0(9)	78.5(9)	50.6(6)	32.2(7)	27.5(6)	9.7(6)
F(11) 1646 (5)	131 (10)	2277 (9)	26 (4)	88 (7)	84 (6)	1 (4)	13 (4)	2 (6)
F(12) -592 (4)	-131 (8)	2915 (8)	·26 (3)	74 (6)	. 64 (5)	-4 (4)	13 (3)	-21 (5)
F(13) 731 (7)	-787 (11)	3978 (9) 🕐	91: (7)···	ʻ111 (9)	51 (5)	39 (7)	14 (5)	33 (6)
F(14) 289 (7)	895 (15)	1291 (11)	72 (8)	208 (17)	83 (8)	33 (9)	18 (6)	103 (10)
F(15) 541 (7)	1300 . (10) 🧋	3581 (13)	64 (6)	72 (7)	151 (12)	3 (5)	-6 (7)	-57 (7)
F(16) 480 (7)	-1336 (12)	1714 (13)	73 (7)	119 (10)	T46 (12)	-19 (7)	42 (7)	-103 (9)
F(21) -1348 (6)	-811 (9)	805 (6)	79 · (6)	73 (6)	31 (4)	-3 (5)	15 (4)	-8 (4)
F(22) -1203 (5)	-2257 (7)	2603 (8)	46 (4)	' 43 (4)	67 (5)	14 (3)	1 (4)	1 (4)
F(31) -3125 (7)	-4629 (10)	-391 (10) .	82 (7)	• 73 (7)	88 (8)	7 (5)	-21 (5)	-53 (6)
F(32) -2703 (5)	-2004 (7)	1508 (8)	44 (4) .	50 (5)	64 (5)	-1 (4)	3 (4)	-29 (4)
F(33) - 3939 (7)	-3337 (11)	1082 (12)	76 (7)	84 (8)	122 (10)	-22 (6)	19 (7)	-54 (8)
F(34) -1833 (7)	-3282 (15)	49 (17)	52 (7)	170 (15)	179 (15)	-9 (8)	43 (8)	-110 (13)
F(35) -2596 (11)	-4212 (11)	1839 (12)	199 (16)	- 30 (7)	96 (9)	- 33 (9)	-52 (1g)	-8 (7)
-3226 (10)	-2324 (11)	-654 (11)	.164 (13)	,75 (8)	71 (7)	3 (8)	-29 (8)	2 (6)
F(41) -1505 (8)	3460 (10)	1038 (12)	120 (11)	70 (7)	102 (8)	-44 (7)	· -25 (8)	,-7 (7)
F(42) -1285 (6)	1622 (10)	-316 (9)	78 (7)	82 (7)	71 (6) ,	11 (6)	35 (5)	1 (5)
F(43) -1766 (7)	1430 (8)	1935 (8)	🜠 (9) .	57 (6)	37 (4)	27 (6)	4 (5)	10 (4)
F(44) -2993 (7)	2648 (11)	1420 (13)	78 (8)	82 (8)	119 (10)	23 (6)	43 (7)	, -4 (7)
F(45) -2761 (7)	967 (11)	173 (13)	78 (7)	83 (8)	111 (9)	-31 -(6)	9 (7)	-37 (7)
F(46) -2490 (10)	3040 (14)	-776 (11)	191 (17)	125 (12)	57, (6)	51 (12)	-37 (8)	29 (7)

8 Q

a All four "Te" sites are partially occupied by Se

Ì

250

(1) (S _{3.0} Se _{1.0}) ₂	(Sb4P17)(SbF6)3	٠
--	-----------------	---

1	TOŃ	x	Y	Z	U11	U22	033	U12 -	U13	023
	ie(1)*	6551(1)	344 (2)	,2356(1)	25.6(11)	46.0(13)	26,4(11)	7.3(8)	1.2(7)	- 4.0(8)
5	ie(2)*	7473(2)	314(2)	3380(2)	35.0(15)	51.8(18)	23.1(14)	6.0(12)	1.1(10)	5.1(11)
5	ie(3)*	3202(2)	784(2)	2432(1)	38.3(14)	45.9(15)	31.7(13)	-15,9(10)	- 8.8(9)	7.5(10)
. 5	ie (4) *	2712(2) -	113(2)	3382(2)	43.2(17)	47 4(18)	26.8(15)	-13.8(13)	- 3.9(11)	5.5(12)
. 5	њ(1)	147.1(6)	2002.0(7)	2345.7(5)	34.8(5)	28.9(5)	16.4(4)	2.6(4)	2.5(3)	- 1.3(3)
. :	ib(2)	33.6(5)	3556.1(6)	4498.6(4)	25.0(4)	27.6(4)	13.2(4)	0.2(3)	0.7(3)	2.0(3)
Ē	ib(3)	2597.3(6)	2387.8(7)	5100.3(5)	24.0(5)	33.9(5)	. 24 . 8 (5)	- 1.3(4)	1.7(3)	0.6(3)
. 5	ib(4)	5000.0(0)	0.0(0)	0.0(0)	24.2(6)	23.0(6)	20.8(6)	0.1(4)	- 1.7(4)	1.5(4)
រ	(11)	227(8)	1650(8)	1254 (6)	100(9)	64 (7)	23(4)	- 2(6)	3(5)	- 4(5)
1	(12)	63(8)	2360(7)	3473(5)	93(8)	42(5)	21 (4)	- 5(5)	18(5)	- 9(4)
ĩ	(13)	45(7)	3333(7)	/2095(6)	59(6)	40(5)	48(6)	-12(5)	1(5)	, 9(5)
1	•(14)	1356(6)	2154(8)	2454(7)	37(5)	71 (7)	66(7)	13(5)	4(5)	17(6)
៍រា	•(15) -	1089(6)	1874 (8)	2312(7)	34 (5)	71(7)	67(7)	4 (5)	- 1(5)	23(6)`
· · 1	-(16)	251 (7)	673(6)	2627(6)	72(6)	16(4)	57(6)	14(4)	ີ່ 7 (5)	6(4)
, i	(21)	825(6)	4163(8)	3768(S)	38(5)	67(6)	28(4)	-19(4)	8(4)	9(4)
Ţ	- (22)	921(5)	4048(6)	3811(5)	33(4)	45(5)	35 (5)	11(4)	- 2(4)	14(4)
1	F(222)	0(0)	5000(0)	5000(0)	103(12)	25 (6)	32(7)	-22(7)	5(7)	- 8(5)
ſ	(31)	1913(8)	1760(9;	5949(8)	76(8)	80(8)	62(7)	-23(7)	26(6)	21(7)
1	-(32)	3321 (8)	3012(10)	4451(7)	<u> 69(7)</u>	96(9)	45(6)	-40(7)	19(5)	- 3(6)
ſ	F(33)	3491 (8)	1418(9)	5368 (7)	81(8)	82(8)	41(6)	32(7)	13(6)	3(6)
1	F(34)	1768 (9)	3396(10)	5056(7)	94(10)	100(10)	52(7)	58(8)	- 8(6)	12(7)
1	F(35)	2099(8)	1650(12)	4351 (8)	53(7)	127(12)	71(8)	-24 (7)	-10(6)	-43(9)
Ĵ	F(36)	3060(8)	3155(8)	6049(6)	87(8)	58(7)	41(5)	- 8(6)	-24(5)	-18(5)
· 1	F(41)	5839(7)	79(7)	877(6)	51(5)	44(5)	40(5) (10(4)	-18(4)	- 2(4)
		-								
1	F(42)	5094 (6)	1386(6)	23(6)	56(6)	25(4)	48(5)	- 1(4)	-16(4)	2(4)

* Population Parameters: Se(1), 0.684(6); Se(2), 0.552(7); Se(3), 0.641(7); Se(4), 0.539(7)

	۹.	•							•		
	Atom	x .	Y .	Z j	V ₁₁ '	V ₂₂	U ₃₃	U ₁₂	U ₁₃ ·	U23 / ··	
	Te(1)	2473.6(5)	6186.3(2)	2607.4(4)	្ខ៍ 33.5(2)	42.6(2)	33.4(2)	8.5(2)	4.4(2)	0.4(2)	
	Te(2)	7183.6(5)	1075.4(2)	2871.0(4)	32.6(2)	37.2(2)	35.2(2)	6.2(2)	9.0(2)	4.9(2)	
	A1(1)	3146(3)	3972(1)	1185(2)	36.2(9)	36.1(9)	[*] 35.1(9)	6,9(7)	5,3(7)	0.2(7)	
t	A1(2)	2778(3)	1242(1)	6727(2)	33.8(9)	40.5(9)	36.6(9)	8.5(7)	7.6(7)	4.5(7)	
	C1(11)	1016(3)	6015(1)	4901(2)	65.7(12)	108.4(16)	42.3(9)	19.2(11)	21,9(8)	7.7(9)	
	C1(12)	5668(3)	6595(1)	3913(2)	43.0(9)	63.3(11)	66.2(11)	3.0(8)	-10,3(8)		
	CI (13)	1385(3)	7238(1)	2291(2)	72.8(12)	· 53.6(1 <u>0</u>)·	77.0(12)	30.2(9)	-1.5(10) ·	-1.5(9)	
	C1(14)	3727(3)	4760(1)	3095(2).	94.8(15)	44,5(9)	44,8(9)	17.0(9)	-2.7(9)	-7.1(7)	
	C1(15)	1260(3)	3141(1)	1864(2)		58.6(10)	63,7(10)	-7.1(8)	17.2(8)	10.1(8)	
	Cl (16)	6053(2)	3661(1)	720(2)	43.0(9)	67.9(11)	54.5(9)	19.8(8)	15.0(7)	13.3(8)	
	C1 (17)	1802(3)	4425(1)	9077(2)	50.2(9)	60.8(10)	50.3(9)	5.9(8)	-5.4(7)	15.6(8)	
	C1(21)	4049(3)	579(1)	1630(2)	45.5(9)	59.8(11)	71.0(11)	-3.1(8)	-6.1(8)	5.5(9)	
	C1 (22)	6317(3)	2162(1)	2971(2)	61.0(10)	39.7(9)	72.1(11)	12.7(8)	8.0(9)	8.1(8)	
	CI (23)	8692(3)	1179(1)	585(2)	68.3(12)	84.4(13)	46.8(9)	12.0(10)	27,1(9)	12.1(9)	
	C1(24)	1343(2)	1698(1)	4662(2)	43.5(9)	64.3(10)	53.6(9)	5.4(8)	-7.7(7)	18.1(8)	
	C1 (25)	5703(3)	956(1)	6178(2)	45.1(9)	83.7(12)	52.5(9)	28.5(9)	18.8(7)	20.8(9)	
	C1 (26)	901(3)	325(1)	7166(2)	52.1(10)	42.5(9)	87.2(13)	3.1(7)	21.2(9)	7.6(8)	
	C1(27)	ə174(3)	1929(1)	8724(2)	74.6(12)	54.0(10)	47.6(9)	11.4(9)	6.6(8)	-8.1(8)	
		•	· · ·								

(m) TeC13(A1C14)

(n) TeCl₃(AsF₆).

Atom	X	Y	· z	U ₁₁	U22	U ₃₃	U ₁₂	· U ₁₃	.U ₂₃ ;
Te,	659.5(5)	1440.9(4)	3194.4(4)	33.7(2)	32.0(2)	34.4(2)	0.2(2)	10.2(1)	4.1(2)
As ·	6091.6(9)	2908.7(7)	2246.6(7)	41.5(3)	35.4(3)	40.2(3)	7.5(3)	13.2(3)	3.3(3)
c1(1)	1140(3)	3635(2)	3638(2)	.68(1)	34(1)	75(1)	-5(1)	27(1)	-1(1)
C1(2)	906(3)	1520(2)	1130(2)	68(1)	72(1)	42(1)	9(1)	26(7)	9(1)
c1(3)	3182(2)	723(2)	4226(2)	42(1)	69(1)	63(2)	17(6)	2(1)	0(1)
ື ₽(1)	5432(6)	3678(5)	711(5)	74(3)	72(3)	49(2)	28(2)	23(2)	19(2)
F(2)	7725(5)	2329(5)	1839(5)	46(2)	69(3) ⁾ '	69(3);	20(2)	21(2)	9(2)
F(3)	5061(7)	1551(5)	1586(7)	+ 69(3)	48(3)-	124(4) •	-16(2)	31(3)	-12(3)
F(4)	4463(6)	3586(6)	2568(5)	70(2)	- 83(4)	91(3)	26(2)	50(2)	7(3)
F(5)	6770(9)	2152(7)	3745(6)	146(5)	119(4)	55(3)	56(4)	38(3)	38(3)
F(6)	7086(7)	4323(6)	2860(7)	. 75(4)	59(3)	127(5)	-7(3)	-2(3)	-34(3)
							· · ·		

(o) Te	c1 ₃ (SbF ₆).					*			
Atom	x	Y, *	z	۱۱ ^۷ ،	U ₂₂	U ₃₃	U ₁₂	U ₁₃	; ' U ₂₃
Sb(1)	4242.5(5)	2500	8497(1)	58.6(4)	24.4(3)	34.5(3)	0.0	-3.2(4)	, 0.0
Te(1)	1049.8(4)	2500	1295(1)	43.1(3)	29.4(3)	37.0(3)	0.0	4.7(3)	0.0
C1(1)	3562(2)	-575(3)	4075(4)	119(2)	40(1)	66(1)	12(1)	-34(1)	6(1)
C1(2)	7120(2)	2500	1648(7)	48(2)	85(2)	101(3)	0.0	18(2)	0.0
F(1)	4715(4)	2500	5840(10)	63(4)	70(4)	39(2)	0.0	7(3)	0.0
F(2)	3260(4)	2500	7320(20)	53(4)	60(4)	101(6)	0.0	9 (5)	0.0
F(3)	5245(5)	2500	9610(10)	92(5)	42(4)	88(5)	0.0	-43(4)	0.0
F(4)	3823(8)	2500 ,	11170(10)	230(10)	97(7)	52(4)	0.0	52(6)	0.0
F(5)	4268(3)	297(5)	8416(8)	109(4)	29(2)	72(3) '	-4(3)	-31(3)	6(2)

253

÷.

	(p) (T	eF ₃) ₂ 50 ₄ .			•	•			-	
•	Átom	X	Y	· 2	. U ₁₁	U ₂₂	U ₃₃ ,	U ₁₂	U ₁₃	U ₂₃
	Te(1)	407.8(9)	1427.2(9)	411.8(8)-	20.1(4)	23.4(4)	16,94(4)	-0.6(3)	-2.0(3)	0.1(3)
	⊺e(2)	538. <u>64(10)</u>	6654.4(9)	-844.1(8)	23.6(4)	26.1(4)	17.4(4)	1.7(3)	-1.1(3)	0.5(3)
	S(1)	1700(3) .	4503(4)	2073(3)	22(2)	31(2)	18(1)	-1(1)	-4(1)	-3(1)
	F(11)	2240(9)	2090(10)	-320(10)	24(4)	44(5)	37(5)	-6(3)	6(4)	1(4)
	F(12)	735(12)	-408(10)	-493(10)	49(6)	29(4)	57(6)	-3(4)	10(5)	-15(4)
	F(13)	1559(9)	649(11)	1786(9)	30(4)	50(5)	33(5)	5(4)	-15(4)	12(4)
	F(21)	-841(10)	7188(11)	-2167(8)	36(5)	61(6)	23(4)	13(4)	-8(4)	8(4)
	F(22)	-1094(11)	6867(11)	332(10)	44(5)	50(5)	36(5)	-1(4)	9(4)	3(4)
	F(23)	3(9)	4686(9)	-1084(9)	35(5)	21(4)	32(4)	-9(3)	-4(3)	2(3)
	0(1)	514(10)	3406(11)	1734(9)	20(4)	44(5)	25(5)	-6(5)	6(4)	-11(4)
	0(2)	3224(11)	3798(14)	2072(10)	21(5),	52(6)	28(5)	8(4)	-4(4)	-5(5)
~	0(3)	1419(12)	5052(13)	3442(10)	33(5)	54(7)	15(4)	9(5)	-7(4)	-12(5)
	0(4)	1709(15)	5699(13)	1090(11)	60(8)	45(6)	27(6)	-18(6)	-23(6)	12(5)
	.•								÷,	