



# Hull Properties in Location Problems

A RESEARCH REPORT

BY

**HENRIK JUEL**  
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

AND

**ROBERT F. LOVE**  
McMaster University

**INNIS LIBRARY  
NON-CIRCULATING**

**FACULTY OF BUSINESS  
McMASTER UNIVERSITY  
HAMILTON, ONTARIO**

Innis  
~~HB~~  
HB  
74.5  
.R47  
no.166

Working Paper No. 166  
May, 1980

## HULL PROPERTIES IN LOCATION PROBLEMS

H. Juel

Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

R.F. Love

McMaster University and University of Wisconsin, Madison

Some properties of the solution set for single and multi-facility continuous location problems with  $\ell_p$  distances are given. A set reduction algorithm is developed for problems in  $k$ -dimensional space having rectangular distances.

We address the location problem

$$\text{minimize } f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i \ell_p(x-a_i) \quad (1)$$

where  $m$  is the number of existing facilities, the  $w_i$ ,  $i=1, \dots, m$ , are  $m$  positive weights, the  $a_i$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, m$ , are the locations of  $m$  existing facilities, and  $p > 1$  is the  $\ell_p$  norm parameter. In this paper we determine the smallest set of points which contains the optimal solution of location problems with  $\ell_p$  distances. This problem has previously been addressed by Kuhn [3] (for Euclidean distances), and by Wendell and Hurter [5]. For the special case where distances are rectangular ( $p = 1$ ), an algorithm is given to determine a least set of points for problems in  $k$ -dimensional space. Determining a least set of possible solution points is important when solving location-allocation problems utilizing  $p$ -median algorithms as described in [4]. This is due to the property of  $p$ -median problems that computation times increase rapidly as a function of the number of possible location sites, making it possible at the

present time to solve only relatively modest-sized problems [4].

We use the following notation:

$xy$  denotes the inner product between the vectors  $x$  and  $y$ ,

$x^s$  denotes a vector with components  $(\text{sign } x_i) |x_i|^s$  for  $i=1,2,\dots,k$ , where  $x_i$  for  $i=1,2,\dots,k$  are the components of the vector  $x$  and  $s$  is a positive real number,

$f'(x)$  denotes the derivative of the function  $f$  at the point  $x$ ,

$f'(x;y)$  denotes the directional derivative of the function  $f$  at the point  $x$  in the direction  $y$ , defined by

$$f'(x;y) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(x + hy) - f(x)}{h}, \text{ and}$$

$\ell_p(x)$  denotes the  $\ell_p$  norm of the  $k$ -vector  $x$ , defined by

$$\ell_p(x) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^k |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

The following observations can be noted.

1.  $\ell_p(\cdot)$  is a convex function, because it is a norm
2.  $\ell_p'(x) = x^{p-1} [\ell_p(x)]^{1-p}$  for  $x \neq 0$  and  $p > 1$ , from the definition of  $\ell_p(\cdot)$  and using the notation  $x^s$
3. The objective function in the location problem is convex, because it is a sum of convex functions (remark 1)
4. The derivative of the objective function, where defined, is given by

$$f'(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i (x-a_i)^{p-1} [\ell_p(x-a_i)]^{1-p}, \text{ from remark 2.}$$

5. The directional derivative of the objective function at a point of differentiability  $x$  in the direction  $y$  is given by

$$f'(x;y) = f'(x)y = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i [\ell_p(x-a_i)]^{1-p} y(x-a_i)^{p-1},$$

using the relationship between derivative and directional derivative and remark 4.

6. A point does not solve the location problem if there exists a direction for which the directional derivative of the objective function is negative, because of convexity (remark 3).

We first prove the following property.

Lemma

Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two 2-vectors, and let  $s$  be a positive real number. If  $xy < 0$  then  $x^s y^s < 0$ .

Proof

We are given  $x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 < 0$ , where  $x = (x_1, x_2)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2)$ . We must show that

$$\begin{aligned} & [(\text{sign } x_1) |x_1|^s][(\text{sign } y_1) |y_1|^s] + [(\text{sign } x_2) |x_2|^s][(\text{sign } y_2) |y_2|^s] \\ &= [(\text{sign}(x_1 y_1)) |x_1 y_1|^s] + [(\text{sign}(x_2 y_2)) |x_2 y_2|^s] > 0. \end{aligned}$$

If  $x_1 y_1$  and  $x_2 y_2$  are both non-positive, the result is clear. Otherwise  $x_1 y_1$  or  $x_2 y_2$  is negative, by the assumption. Without loss of generality we may assume  $x_1 y_1 < 0$ , and thus  $|x_2 y_2| < |x_1 y_1|$ . Then it follows that

$$x^s y^s = -|x_1 y_1|^s + |x_2 y_2|^s < 0,$$

because  $s$  is positive.

The following property concerns the optimal solution to problem (1).

Property 1

If  $x$  solves the single facility location problem in 2 dimensions, then  $x$  lies in the convex hull of the existing facilities.

Proof

Suppose the conclusion of the property were not true. Then there exists a line separating  $x$  from the convex hull, and there exists a 2-vector  $b$  such that  $b(x-a_i) < 0$  for  $i=1,2,\dots,m$ .

By remark 5 the directional derivative of the objective function in the direction  $b^{p-1}$  is

$$f'(x; b^{p-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i [l_p(x-a_i)]^{1-p} b^{p-1}(x-a_i)^{p-1}.$$

By the lemma, the directional derivative is negative. Therefore, by remark 6,  $x$  does not solve the problem, thus contradicting the assumption of the property.

In a more general setting Wendell and Hurter obtained a slightly weaker result ([5], corollary 4, p. 317). In the context of the problem considered here, they show that an optimal solution exists in the convex hull, but their result does not imply (whereas ours does) that all the optimal solutions must be in the convex hull. Wendell and Hurter ([5], p. 318) note that the result appears to hold for the multi-facility problem, but offer no justification. Our result generalizes easily to the multi-facility location problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize } f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} \ell_p(x_j - a_i) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=j+1}^n v_{jk} \ell_p(x_j - x_k) \end{aligned}$$

where the notation is analogous to the single-facility location problem. In addition,  $n$  is the number of new facilities and  $v_{jk}$  for  $1 \leq j < k \leq n$ , is the non-negative weight between new facilities  $j$  and  $k$ .

Property 2

The optimal locations of the new facilities in the multi-facility problem in 2 dimensions with the new facilities chained ([1], p. 338) are in the convex hull of the existing facilities.

Proof

The formal proof is identical to the one given by Francis and Cabot ([1], property 2, p. 340) with our Property 1 substituted for Kuhn's Result 1 ([1], p. 337) and will not be repeated.

Informally, consider first the case where no new facilities coincide with each other. If we are given a proposed solution where some new facilities lie outside the convex hull, then there must be a new facility that lies outside the convex hull of the existing and other new facilities. Thus by Property 1 the proposed solution cannot be optimal. For the case where new facilities coincide, the same argument applies when we treat each cluster of coinciding new facilities as one new facility.

The convex hull properties hold for 2-dimensional location problems with  $\ell_p$  norms when  $1 < p < \infty$ . When  $p = 2$  (Euclidean norms) the properties hold for any dimension ([1]). When  $p = 1$  (rectangular norm) a stronger property, the

rectangular hull property ([4], theorem 2, p. 448) holds for the 2-dimensional case. But for higher dimensions, even the convex hull properties cannot be expected to hold, as illustrated by this single-facility example in 3 dimensions with 4 existing facilities:

$i$	$w_i$	$a_i$	$x$	$f(x)$	$x$	$f(x)$
1	2	(0,0,0)	(0,0,0)	11	(1,0,0)	12
2	2	(0,1,1)	(0,0,1)	12	(1,0,1)	13
3	2	(1,1,0)	(0,1,0)	8	(1,1,0)	9
4	1	(1,1,1)	(0,1,1)	9	(1,1,1)	10

The optimal location (0,1,0) of the new facility is outside the convex hull of the existing facilities. A simpler example can be obtained by deleting existing facility 4 above, but in that case the convex hull is 2-dimensional (as in the example given in [2], p. 53).

The algorithm for determining the rectangular hull in two dimensions ([4], p. 443) can be extended to produce a reduced set of points which are candidates for the optimal solution for problems in  $k$ -dimensional space. Let the locations of the fixed facilities be given by  $a_i = (a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{ik})$ , for  $i=1, 2, \dots, m$ , the facility location to be determined by  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ , and let  $J$  be the index set  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Let  $m$  positive numbers be given by  $w_i$ ,  $i=1, \dots, m$ . We now give a more general version of theorem 1 of [4].

Lemma

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be subsets of  $J = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  with no elements in common. Let  $w_i$  be

positive for  $i \in J$ . If  $A \cup B \neq J$  then the two inequalities

$$\sum_{i \in A} w_i \geq \sum_{i \notin A} w_i \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i \in B} w_i \geq \sum_{i \notin B} w_i \quad (2)$$

cannot both hold. ( $i \notin A$  refers to the elements of  $J$  that do not belong to  $A$ ).

Proof

From the assumptions on  $A$  and  $B$ , there exists a non-empty subset of  $J$  (call it  $C$ ), such that  $A, B$ , and  $C$  form a partition of  $J$ . Therefore

$$\sum_{i \in J} w_i = \sum_{i \in A} w_i + \sum_{i \in B} w_i + \sum_{i \in C} w_i$$

and from the inequalities (2) we have:

$$\sum_{i \in A} w_i \geq \sum_{i \notin A} w_i = \sum_{i \in B} w_i + \sum_{i \in C} w_i \geq \sum_{i \notin B} w_i + \sum_{i \in C} w_i =$$

$$\left( \sum_{i \in A} w_i + \sum_{i \in C} w_i \right) + \sum_{i \in C} w_i = \sum_{i \in A} w_i + 2 \sum_{i \in C} w_i, \text{ or}$$

$$2 \sum_{i \in C} w_i \leq 0$$

which is false, because  $C$  is non-empty and the  $w_i$ 's are positive.

The single facility location problem in  $k$  dimensions with rectangular distances is given by

$$\text{minimize } \sum_{i=1}^m w_i \sum_{j=1}^k |x_j - a_{ij}|.$$

This problem is decomposable into  $k$  separate problems, one along each axis.

The  $x_j$ -axis problem is given by:

$$\text{minimize } \sum_{i=1}^m w_i |x_j - a_{ij}|.$$



It is well known that necessary and sufficient conditions for  $x_j^*$  to be an optimal solution for the one-dimensional problem are

$$\sum_{i \in \{i: a_{ij} < x_j^*\}} w_i \geq \sum_{i \in \{i: a_{ij} > x_j^*\}} w_i \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i \in \{i: a_{ij} > x_j^*\}} w_i \geq \sum_{i \in \{i: a_{ij} < x_j^*\}} w_i$$

The k-dimensional problem thus has 2k optimality conditions. This leads to the following method for eliminating points as candidates for the optimal solution of the k-dimensional problem.

Property 3

Let  $S_j = \{i: a_{ij} \leq x_j\}$  and  $T_j = \{i: a_{ij} \geq x_j\}$  for  $j=1,2,\dots,k$ . If any two sets taken from among these 2k sets (call them A and B) have no elements in common, and if  $A \cup B \neq \{1,2,\dots,m\}$ , then the point  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  does not solve the k-dimensional problem.

Proof:

If  $x$  solves the k-dimensional problem, then  $x_j$  solves the one-dimensional problem along the jth axis, for  $j = 1,2,\dots,k$ . But if the assumptions of the property are satisfied, then by the Lemma it is not possible for all 2k optimality conditions to hold.

Property 4

The locations of the existing facilities cannot be eliminated as candidates for the optimal solution by Property 3.

Proof:

If  $x = a_i$ , then the 2k sets  $S_j, T_j$  for  $j = 1,2,\dots,k$  all have the element  $i$  in common.

It is well known that an optimal solution can be found in

$R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_k$ , where  $R_j = \{a_{1j}, a_{2j}, \dots, a_{mj}\}$  for  $j = 1,2,\dots,k$ . Each

point in  $R$  can be checked and may be eliminated as a candidate for the optimal solution by Property 3. The extreme case results in the reduction from  $m^k$  candidate points to  $m$  candidate points, as exemplified by a problem where  $a_i = (i, i, \dots, i)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

For a more typical case consider the example given previously. The set  $R$  contains 8 points, of which 4, by Property 4, cannot be eliminated by Property 3. The remaining 4 points are analyzed in the table, using the notation from Property 3. It is seen that all these remaining points, except the optimal solution, are eliminated.

Table 1

$x_1$	$S_1, T_1$	$x_2$	$S_2, T_2$	$x_3$	$S_3, T_3$	Comment
0	{1,2}, {1,2,3,4}	0	{1}, {1,2,3,4}	1	{1,2,3,4}, {2,4}	eliminated $A = S_2, B = T_3$
0	{1,2}, {1,2,3,4}	1	{1,2,3,4}, {2,3,4}	0	{1,3}, {1,2,3,4}	not eliminated
1	{1,2,3,4}, {3,4}	0	{1}, {1,2,3,4}	0	{1,3}, {1,2,3,4}	eliminated $A = T_1, B = S_2$
1	{1,2,3,4}, {3,4}	0	{1}, {1,2,3,4}	1	{1,2,3,4}, {2,4}	eliminated (e.g.) $A = S_2, B = T_3$

### Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Graduate School of the University of Wisconsin, Madison, and a grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

### References

- [1] R.L. Francis and A.V. Cabot, "Properties of a Multifacility Location Problem Involving Euclidean Distances", Naval Research Logistics Quarterly, 19, 335-353 (1972)
- [2] A.P. Hurter, M.K. Schaefer, and R.E. Wendell, "Solutions of Constrained Location Problems", Management Science, 22, 51-56 (1975)
- [3] H.W. Kuhn, "On a Pair of Dual Nonlinear Programs", Chapt. 3 in Nonlinear Programming by J. Abadie, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1967.
- [4] R.F. Love and J.G. Morris, "A Computation Procedure for the Exact Solution of Location-Allocation Problems with Rectangular Distances", Naval Research Logistics Quarterly, 22, 441-453 (1975).
- [5] R.E. Wendell and A.P. Hurter, "Location Theory, Dominance, and Convexity", Operations Research, 21, 314-320 (1973).

Faculty of Business  
McMaster University

WORKING PAPER SERIES

101. Torrance, George W., "A Generalized Cost-effectiveness Model for the Evaluation of Health Programs," November, 1970.
102. Isbester, A. Fraser and Sandra C. Castle, "Teachers and Collective Bargaining in Ontario: A Means to What End?" November, 1971.
103. Thomas, Arthur L., "Transfer Prices of the Multinational Firm: When Will They be Arbitrary?" (Reprinted from: Abacus, Vol. 7, No. 1, June, 1971).
104. Szendrovits, Andrew Z., "An Economic Production Quantity Model with Holding Time and Costs of Work-in-process Inventory," March, 1974.
111. Basu, S., "Investment Performance of Common Stocks in Relation to their Price-earnings Ratios: A Text of the Efficient Market Hypothesis," March, 1975.
112. Truscott, William G., "Some Dynamic Extensions of a Discrete Location-Allocation Problem," March, 1976.
113. Basu, S. and J.R. Hanna, "Accounting for Changes in the General Purchasing Power of Money: The Impact on Financial Statements of Canadian Corporations for the Period 1967-74," April, 1976. (Reprinted from Cost and Management, January-February, 1976).
114. Deal, K.R., "Verification of the Theoretical Consistency of a Differential Game in Advertising," March, 1976.
- 114a. Deal, K.R. "Optimizing Advertising Expenditures in a Dynamic Duopoly," March, 1976.
115. Adams, Roy J., "The Canada-United States Labour Link Under Stress," [1976].
116. Thomas, Arthur L., "The Extended Approach to Joint-Cost Allocation: Relaxation of Simplifying Assumptions," June, 1976.
117. Adams, Roy J. and C.H. Rummel, "Worker's Participation in Management in West Germany: Impact on the Work, the Enterprise and the Trade Unions," September, 1976.
118. Szendrovits, Andrew Z., "A Comment on 'Optimal and System Myopic Policies for Multi-echelon Production/Inventory Assembly Systems'," [1976].
119. Meadows, Ian S.G., "Organic Structure and Innovation in Small Work Groups," October, 1976.

120. Basu, S., "The Effect of Earnings Yield on Assessments of the Association Between Annual Accounting Income Numbers and Security Prices," October, 1976.
121. Agarwal, Naresh C., "Labour Supply Behaviour of Married Women - A Model with Permanent and Transitory Variables," October, 1976.
122. Meadows, Ian S.G., "Organic Structure, Satisfaction and Personality," October, 1976.
123. Banting, Peter M., "Customer Service in Industrial Marketing: A Comparative Study," October, 1976. (Reprinted from: European Journal of Marketing, Vol. 10, No. 3, Summer, 1976).
124. Aivazian, V., "On the Comparative-Statics of Asset Demand," August, 1976.
125. Aivazian, V., "Contamination by Risk Reconsidered," October, 1976.
126. Szendrovits, Andrew Z. and George O. Wesolowsky, "Variation in Optimizing Serial Multi-Stage Production/Inventory Systems, March 1977.
127. Agarwal, Naresh C., "Size-Structure Relationship: A Further Elaboration," March 1977.
128. Jain, Harish C., "Minority Workers, the Structure of Labour Markets and Anti-Discrimination Legislation," March, 1977.
129. Adams, Roy J., "Employer Solidarity," March, 1977.
130. Gould, Lawrence I. and Stanley N. Laiken, "The Effect of Income Taxation and Investment Priorities: The RRSP," March 1977.
131. Callen, Jeffrey L., "Financial Cost Allocations: A Game-Theoretic Approach," March 1977.
132. Jain, Harish C., "Race and Sex Discrimination Legislation in North America and Britain: Some Lessons for Canada," May, 1977.
133. Hayashi, Kichiro. "Corporate Planning Practices in Japanese Multinationals." Accepted for publication in the Academy of Management Journal in 1978.
134. Jain, Harish C., Neil Hood and Steve Young, "Cross-Cultural Aspects of Personnel Policies in Multi-Nationals: A Case Study of Chrysler UK", June, 1977.
135. Aivazian, V. and J. L. Callen, "Investment, Market Structure and the Cost of Capital", July, 1977.

136. Adams, R. J., "Canadian Industrial Relations and the German Example", October, 1977.
137. Callen, J. L., "Production, Efficiency and Welfare in the U.S. Natural Gas Transmission Industry", October, 1977.
138. Richardson, A. W. and Wesolowsky, G.O., "Cost-Volume-Profit Analysis and the Value of Information", November, 1977.
139. Jain, Harish C., "Labour Market Problems of Native People in Ontario", December, 1977.
140. Gordon, M.J. and L.I. Gould, "The Cost of Equity Capital: A Reconsideration", January, 1978.
141. Gordon, M.J. and L.I. Gould, "The Cost of Equity Capital with Personal Income Taxes and Flotation Costs", January 1978.
142. Adams, R. J., "Dunlop After Two Decades : Systems Theory as a Framework For Organizing the Field of Industrial Relations", January, 1978.
143. Agarwal, N.C. and Jain, H.C., "Pay Discrimination Against Women in Canada: Issues and Policies", February, 1978.
144. Jain, H. C. and Sloane, P.J., "Race, Sex and Minority Group Discrimination Legislation in North America and Britain", March, 1978.
145. Agarwal, N.C., "A Labor Market Analysis of Executive Earnings", June, 1978.
146. Jain, H. C. and Young, A., "Racial Discrimination in the U.K. Labour Market : Theory and Evidence", June, 1978.
147. Yagil, J., "On Alternative Methods of Treating Risk," September 1978.
148. Jain, H. C., "Attitudes toward Communication System: A Comparison of Anglophone and Francophone Hospital Employees," September, 1978
149. Ross, R., "Marketing Through the Japanese Distribution System", November, 1978.
150. Gould, Lawrence I. and Stanley N. Laiken, "Dividends vs. Capital Gains Under Share Redemptions," December, 1978.
151. Gould, Lawrence I. and Stanley N. Laiken, "The Impact of General Averaging on Income Realization Decisions: A Caveat on Tax Deferral," December, 1978.
152. Jain, Harish C., Jacques Normand and Rabindra N. Kanungo, "Job Motivation of Canadian Anglophone and Francophone Hospital Employees", April, 1979.
153. Stidsen, Bent, "Communications Relations", April, 1979.
154. Szendrovits, A. Z. and Drezner, Zvi, "Optimizing N-Stage Production/ Inventory Systems by Transporting Different Numbers of Equal-Sized Batches at Various Stages", April, 1979.

155. Truscott, W. G., "Allocation Analysis of a Dynamic Distribution Problem", June, 1979.
156. Hanna, J. R., "Measuring Capital and Income", November, 1979.
157. Deal, K. R., "Numerical Solution and Multiple Scenario Investigation of Linear Quadratic Differential Games", November, 1979.
158. Hanna, J. R., "Professional Accounting Education in Canada : Problems and Prospects", November, 1979.
159. Adams, R. J., "Towards a More Competent Labor Force : A Training Levy Scheme for Canada", December, 1979.
160. Jain, H. C., "Management of Human Resources and Productivity", February, 1980.
161. Wensley, A., "The Efficiency of Canadian Foreign Exchange Markets", February, 1980.
162. Tihanyi, E., "The Market Valuation of Deferred Taxes", March, 1980.
163. Meadows, I. S., "Quality of Working Life : Progress, Problems and Prospects", March, 1980.
164. Szendrovits, A. Z., "The Effect of Numbers of Stages on Multi-Stage Production/Inventory Models - An Empirical Study", April, 1980.
165. Laiken, S. N., "Current Action to Lower Future Taxes : General Averaging and Anticipated Income Models", April, 1980.

Innis Ref.  
HB  
74.5  
.R47  
no. 166