

# Bounding Methods for Facilities Location Algorithms

By

Innis

HB 74.5

.R47

no.219

## PAUL D. DOWLING AND

ROBERT F. LOVE McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario

> INNIS LIBRARY Non-Circulating

# FACULTY OF BUSINESS MCMASTER UNIVERSITY

# HAMILTON, ONTARIO

Research and Working Paper Series No. 219 March, 1984

#### BOUNDING METHODS FOR FACILITIES LOCATION ALGORITHMS

#### Paul D. Dowling and Robert F. Love

McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario

Single and multi-facility location problems are often solved with iterative computational procedures. Although these procedures have been proven to converge, in practice it is desirable to be able to compute a lower bound on the objective function at each iteration. This enables the user to stop the iterative process when the objective function is within a pre-specified tolerance of the optimum value. In this paper we generalize a new bounding method to include multi-facility problems with  $\ell_p$  distances. A proof is given that for Euclidean distance problems the new bounding procedure is superior to two other known methods. Numerical results are given for the three methods.

#### MCMASIER UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

When continuous facilities location problems are solved using iterative algorithms such as that given by Weizfeld [1937], some criterion must be utilized to decide when to terminate the solution process. The problem of developing such a criterion was originally addressed in the paper by Love and Yeong [1981] which proposes two methods for computing a lower bound on the total cost function of the facilities location problem. The methods are applicable to both single and multi-facility location problems.

Juel [1978] originally developed the second bound and proved that it must always be at least as good as the first bound. Elzinga and Hearn [1982] have also proved the superiority of the second bound. A discussion of the computational merits of the two bounds are given by Love and Yeong [1981]. A third bound is given by Drezner [1981] for the single-facility case with Euclidean distances.

The purpose of this paper is three-fold. First, we generalize Drezner's bound to apply to single and multi-facility problems with  $\ell_p$  distances. Secondly, we compare the three bounding methods using several randomly generated single-facility test problems. Finally, we prove that for Euclidean distances the third bound is superior to the other two for the single-facility case and, under certain conditions, for the multi-facility case.

#### 1. EXISTING BOUNDING PROCEDURES

The single facility location problem is given by:

minimize W(x) = 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} d(x, a_{j})$$
,

where n is the number of existing fixed locations,  $a_j = (a_{j1}, a_{j2})$  is the location of the jth fixed facility and  $x = (x_1, x_2)$  is the location of the new facility. The information concerning the cost and/or flow between the new facility and the jth existing facility is incorporated into the nonnegative weight  $w_j$ . The distance between the new facility and the jth existing facility is  $d(x,a_j)$ . If  $x^*$  represents the optimal facility location, the first two bounds are given by: and

$$W(x^*) \ge W(x_k) - \nabla W(x_k) \cdot x_k + \min_{y \in \Omega} [\nabla W(x_k)' \cdot y]$$

where the prime denotes transpose,  $\Omega$  is the convex hull of the  $a_{j}$ ,

$$\overline{\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\max}{\mathbf{y} \in \Omega} \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$$

and  $x_k$  is the value of x at the kth iteration of the solution procedure. For bounds (1) and (2), the case where  $x^* = a_j$  is excluded since typically the first step in a solution algorithm would test each existing facility to determine if it represents the optimal solution. The computational procedure for doing this with Euclidean distances is discussed by Love and Yeong [1981], and a generalization of the procedure for  $\ell_p$  distances is given by Juel and Love [1981]. Convergence properties of the multi-facility  $\ell_p$  distance algorithm are given by Morris [1981].

Drezner [1981] shows that

$$W(\mathbf{x^*}) \ge \min_{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} [w_j/d(\mathbf{a}_j, \mathbf{x}_k)] \cdot [|\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{a}_{j1}| |\mathbf{a}_{j1} - \mathbf{x}_1^k| + |\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{a}_{j2}| |\mathbf{a}_{j2} - \mathbf{x}_2^k|]$$

where  $x_k = (x_1^k, x_2^k)$ . At each iteration of a solution process this bound (which we will refer to as the rectangular bound) is evaluated by solving a rectilinear distance problem. The rectangular bound is obtained by solving the following problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}_{1}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{w}_{j}^{'} |\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{a}_{j1}| + \min_{\mathbf{x}_{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{w}_{j}^{''} |\mathbf{x}_{2} - \mathbf{a}_{j2}|$$

where the "created" weights  $w_j'$  and  $w_j$ " are

$$\mathbf{w}_{j} = [\mathbf{w}_{j} / d(\mathbf{a}_{j}, \mathbf{x}_{k})] \cdot |\mathbf{a}_{j1} - \mathbf{x}_{1}^{k}|$$

and

$$\mathbf{w}_{j}^{r} = [\mathbf{w}_{j}/d(\mathbf{a}_{j}, \mathbf{x}_{k})] \cdot |\mathbf{a}_{j2} - \mathbf{x}_{2}^{k}|$$
 for  $j = 1, ..., n$ .

### 2. THE RECTANGULAR BOUND FOR SINGLE FACILITY $\ell_p$ DISTANCE

#### PROBLEMS

The single facility rectangular bound can be generalized to  $\ell_p$  distances in the following manner, using the Holder inequality which is given by:

(2)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i \cdot c_i \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i^p\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i^q\right)^{1/q}$$

where  $\{b_n\}$  and  $\{c_n\}$  are real sequences and 1/p + 1/q = 1. Let  $b_i = |x_i - a_{ji}|$  and  $c_i = |a_{ji} - x_i^k|$  for i = 1,2 and j = 1,...,n. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} |\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{a}_{ji}| |\mathbf{a}_{ji} - \mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}| \le \{ [|\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{a}_{j1}|^{p} + |\mathbf{x}_{2} - \mathbf{a}_{j2}|^{p} \}^{1/p} \} \{ [|\mathbf{a}_{j1} - \mathbf{x}_{1}^{k}|^{q} + |\mathbf{a}_{j2} - \mathbf{x}_{2}^{k}|^{q} \}^{1/q} \}.$$

This can be written as

$$[|\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{a}_{j1}|^{p} + |\mathbf{x}_{2} - \mathbf{a}_{j2}|^{p}]^{1/p} \ge \frac{|\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{a}_{j1}||\mathbf{a}_{j1} - \mathbf{x}_{1}^{k}| + |\mathbf{x}_{2} - \mathbf{a}_{j2}||\mathbf{a}_{j2} - \mathbf{x}_{2}^{k}|}{[|\mathbf{a}_{j1} - \mathbf{x}_{1}^{k}|^{q} + |\mathbf{a}_{j2} - \mathbf{x}_{2}^{k}|^{q}]^{1/q}},$$

or

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} \ell_{p}(x, a_{j}) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}' |x_{1} - a_{j1}| + \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}'' |x_{2} - a_{j2}|,$$

where

$$\mathbf{w}_{j} = |\mathbf{a}_{j1} - \mathbf{x}_{1}^{k}| / [|\mathbf{a}_{j1} - \mathbf{x}_{1}^{k}|^{q} + |\mathbf{a}_{j2} - \mathbf{x}_{2}^{k}|^{q}]^{1/q}$$

and

$$\mathbf{w}_{j}^{"} = |\mathbf{a}_{j2} - \mathbf{x}_{2}^{k}| / [|\mathbf{a}_{j1} - \mathbf{x}_{1}^{k}|^{q} + |\mathbf{a}_{j2} - \mathbf{x}_{2}^{k}|^{q}]^{1/q}$$

Since

$$W(\mathbf{x}) \ge \sum_{j=1}^{n} w'_{j} |\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{a}_{j1}| + \sum_{j=1}^{n} w'_{j} |\mathbf{x}_{2} - \mathbf{a}_{j2}|,$$

then

$$W(x^*) = \frac{\min}{x} W(x) \ge \frac{\min}{x_1, x_2} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n w_j |x_1 - a_{j1}| + \sum_{j=1}^n w_j |x_2 - a_{j2}| \right],$$

or

$$W(x^*) \ge \min_{x_1}^{\min} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j |x_1 - a_{j1}| + \min_{x_2}^{\min} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j |x_2 - a_{j2}|$$

This result can be used to generate the rectangular bound for the single facility  $\ell_p$  distance model. At each iteration of the solution process, a single facility rectilinear problem

$$W(x_{R}) = \frac{\min}{x_{1}^{R}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}' |x_{1}^{R} - a_{j1}| + \frac{\min}{x_{2}^{R}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}'' |x_{2}^{R} - a_{j2}|,$$

is constructed,

using the fixed facilities  $a_j$ , weights  $w_j$  and current solution  $x_k$  to calculate  $w_j'$  and  $w_j''$  for j = 1,...,n. The two rectangular distance problems can be solved independently and the optimal solution  $x_R^*$  can be used to calculate  $W(x_R^*)$ , which is the lower bound on  $W(x^*)$  at this kth iteration.

While it may appear that adding another optimization problem and solving it has increased the work required to find a lower bound, this procedure has several advantages. The rectilinear problem is separable and each part can be solved rapidly. Also, it is not necessary to find the hull points which are used in both the Love-Yeong (1) and Juel (2) bounds.

In order to test the effectiveness and efficiency of the three bounding methods, several single facility test problems were randomly generated. Comparisons and observations are presented in section 3 for these test runs.

## 3. BOUND COMPARISONS FOR THE SINGLE FACILITY $\ell_p$ DISTANCE MODEL

Three programs were written to incorporate the Weiszfeld procedure with each of the lower bound methods. At each iteration of the solution procedure the bound was calculated and tested against the current solution. By entering a percentage error difference, e, a stopping rule calculated as

(bound value - objective function value)/(objective function)  $\leq$  e

was used to terminate the process. In all sample runs a 1% error difference was entered, i.e. e = 0.01, and the initial starting solution used in the Weiszfeld procedure was (0,0). Samples of size n = 6, 10, 15, 20 existing facilities were randomly generated. In the first set of runs a unit value was assigned to the w<sub>j</sub> weights, and  $\ell_p$  distances were calculated for p = 2, 1.8, 1.6, 1.4, 1.2.

For a given value of n and p, a series of test runs was made using each of the three programs. For each bounding method the iterations were terminated using the stopping rule

with e = 0.01. The number of iterations required, the objective function value, the value of the bound, and the CPU compilation and execution times were recorded in each case. The bound values are displayed in Table 1, where L, J, R refer to the Love-Yeong, Juel and rectangular bounds respectively. The average computation times for various sample runs are in Tables 3 and 4.

From Table 1 it is quite evident that for p values of 2 and 1.8 the rectangular bound provided superior results. However, it is also quite evident that as p decreases in value the rectangular bound may not converge. For example, with n = 6 and p = 1.6 the rectangular bound did not reach the 1% error difference in 25 iterations. The closest it came was at iteration 9 when the error difference was 1.06%. At successive iterations after the ninth, the percentage error difference increased in value. To further study this phenomena, a second set of test samples were created using weights randomly selected from the range [1,10]. For each n and p combination a series of three runs was made and the data was recorded. Then a new set of weights was generated for the next n and p combination. The results for these test runs are shown in Table 2.

The second series of test runs provided data that supported the earlier observations. The instability of the rectangular bound makes its use impractical except for models with p equal or close to two. However, the test results show that for the Euclidean distance model the rectangular bound was always superior to the Juel bound. Also, the rectangular bound is computationally more efficient than the other two bounds. Average computation and execution times for Euclidean distances are shown in Tables 3 and 4 for a CDC Cyber 170/730.

In the following section we prove that the rectangular bound is superior to the Juel bound for the single facility Euclidean distance model. Thus, practitioners using this type of model need not be concerned about the stability of the rectangular bound as it would provide better results than the other two bounds.

	n		6			10			15			20	
P		No. of Iter	Obj Funct	Bound									
2	L J	8 8	25.37 25.38	25.13 25.20	6 5	168.2 168.2	167.5 167.3	7 7	257.6 257.6	255.4 255.7	6 6	390.2 390.2	386.4 387.1
	R	4	25.41	25.18	3	168.4	167.2	4	257.8	255.9	3	390.6	388.0
1.8	L J R	10 9 5	25.91 25.91 25.93	25.72 25.69 25.70	7 7 5	172.9 172.9 172.9	171.2 171.2 171.8	9 8 6	264.8 264.8 264.8	262.8 262.1 262.2	7 6 4	400.1 400.1 400.2	397.1 396.1 397.2
1.6	L J R	12 11 *	26.64 26.65	26.42 26.40	11 11 12	179.2 179.2 179.2	177.9 177.9 177.5	10 10 *	274.3 274.3	271.6 271.7	8 7 *	413.4 413.4	410.7 409.6
1.4	L J R	16 15 *		27.45 · 27.44	16 16 *	188.2 188.2	186.6 186.6	13 13 *	287.5 287.5	284.9 284.9	10 9 *	432.1 432.1	428.5 428.1
1.2	L J R	25 24 *		29.02 29.03	25 25 *	201.6 201.6	199.3 199.3	20 18 *	306.7 306.7	303.6 303.7	15 14 *	460.1 460.1	455.7 455.9

\*did not converge to within 1% error difference in 25 iterations.

7

Table 1: Lower Bound Data from Single Facility Samples,  $\mathbf{w}_j$  = 1

	n		6			10			15			20	
Р		No. of Iter	Obj Funct	Bound	No. of Iter	Obj Funct	Bound	No. of Iter	Obj Funct	Bound	No. of Iter	Obj Funct	Bound
	L	9	118.6	117.6	11	839.2	833.0	*			6	2504	2480
2	J	8	118.6	117.7	6	839.4	832.9	8	1574	1571	6	2504	2483
	R	5	118.6	117.7	4	840.0	835.1	5	1580	1574	5	2504	2494
			<u> </u>				<u> </u>					a <del></del>	
	L	10	121.5	120.6	11	858.0	850.0	*			7	2569	2550
1.8	J	8	121.5	120.4	6	858.2	852.2	10	1623	1619	7	2569	2553
	R	6	121.5	120.4	4	858.7	851.1	5	1634	1619	7	2569	2546
	L	11	125.4	124.3	12	883.1	876.3	*			7	2655	2633
1.6	J	9	125.5	124.3	6	883.3	876.6	12	1688	1680	7	2655	2635
	R	*			*			7	1691	1678	*		
												· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	L	13	130.9	129.6	13	918.2	909.1	*			10	2773	2758
1.4	J	11	130.9	129.7	6	918.4	910.3	16	1780	1772	9	2773	2751
	R	*			*			*			*		
													j
	L	14	138.6	137.2	*			*			25	2946	2915
1.2	J	10	138.6	137.2	10	970.3	964.5	22	1914	1896	14	2946	2923
	R	*			*			*			*		

\*did not converge to within 1% error difference in 25 iterations.

Table 2: Lower Bound Data for Singe Facility Samples,  $\mathbf{w}_j \in [1, 10]$ 

8

Love-Yeong	Bound Juel	Rectangular
1.961	2.028	1.992

Table 3: Average Compilation Time (secs) for Program and Bound, p = 2

n	6	10	15	20		
Love-Yeong	0.545	0.699	0.587	0.524		
Juel	0.542	0.487	0.628	0.544		
Rectangular	0.358	0.421	0.415	0.414		

Table 4: Average Execution Time (secs) for Solution and Bound, p = 2

### 4. COMPARISON OF RECTANGULAR AND JUEL BOUND FOR

#### **EUCLIDEAN DISTANCES**

The Juel bound at iteration k is given by

$$J(x_k) = f(x_k) - \nabla f(x_k)' \cdot x_k + \min_{y \in \Omega} [\nabla f(x_k)' \cdot y] .$$

For Euclidean distances,

$$f(x_{k}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} d_{2}(x_{k}, a_{j}), \ d_{2}(x_{k}, a_{j}) = \left[ (x_{1}^{k} - a_{j1})^{2} + (x_{2}^{k} - a_{j2})^{2} \right]^{1/2}$$

and

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{k}) = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{1}^{k} - a_{j1})/d_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, a_{j}), \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{2}^{k} - a_{j2})/d_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, a_{j}) \right).$$

Substituting in the Juel bound gives

$$J(\mathbf{x}_{k}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} d_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \mathbf{a}_{j}) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{1}^{k} - \mathbf{a}_{j1}) \mathbf{x}_{1}^{k} / d_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \mathbf{a}_{j})$$
$$- \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{2}^{k} - \mathbf{a}_{j2}) \mathbf{x}_{2}^{k} / d_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \mathbf{a}_{j}) + \min_{(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}) \in \Omega} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{1}^{k} - \mathbf{a}_{j1}) \mathbf{y}_{1} / d_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \mathbf{a}_{j}) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{2}^{k} - \mathbf{a}_{j2}) \mathbf{y}_{2} / d_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \mathbf{a}_{j}) \right].$$

The rectangular bound at the kth iteration is specified by minimizing

$$R(x_k) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} w'_j |x_1 - a_{j1}| + \sum_{j=1}^{n} w''_j |x_2 - a_{j2}|$$

where '

$$\mathbf{w}_{j} = \mathbf{w}_{j} |\mathbf{a}_{j1} - \mathbf{x}_{1}^{k}| / d_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \mathbf{a}_{j}) \text{ and } \mathbf{w}_{j}^{''} = \mathbf{w}_{j} |\mathbf{a}_{j2} - \mathbf{x}_{2}^{k}| / d_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \mathbf{a}_{j}).$$

Let  $x_R^* = (x_{R1}^*, x_{R2}^*)$  represent the optimal solution obtained by minimizing  $R(x_k)$ . Since  $x_R^*$  is an element of the rectangular hull specified by the existing facility locations, then  $x_{R1}^* \in \{a_{11}, a_{21}, ..., a_{n1}\}$  and  $x_{R2}^* \in \{a_{12}, a_{22}, ..., a_{n2}\}$ . Properties of the rectangular hull have been discussed by Juel and Love [1981] and Love and Morris [1975].

It will now be shown that at iteration k the rectangular bound is always as good or better than the Juel bound.

#### THEOREM 1

For p = 2,  $R(x_R^*) \ge J(x_k)$ .

Proof:

$$\begin{split} R(x_{R}^{*}) &= \sum_{t=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} |a_{jt} - x_{t}^{k}| |x_{Rt}^{*} - a_{jt}| / d_{2}(x_{k}, a_{j}) , \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} |a_{jt} - x_{t}^{k}| |x_{Rt}^{*} - x_{t}^{k} + x_{t}^{k} - a_{jt}| / d_{2}(x_{k}, a_{j}) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} |a_{jt} - x_{t}^{k}| |(x_{t}^{k} - a_{jt}) - (x_{t}^{k} - x_{Rt}^{*})| / d_{2}(x_{k}, a_{j}) . \end{split}$$

From the triangle inequality,  $|x-y| \ge |x| - |y|$ , and

$$R(\mathbf{x}_{R}^{*}) \geq \sum_{t=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} |\mathbf{a}_{jt} - \mathbf{x}_{t}^{k}| |\mathbf{x}_{t}^{k} - \mathbf{a}_{jt}| / d_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \mathbf{a}_{j})$$
  
- 
$$\sum_{t=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} |\mathbf{x}_{t}^{k} - \mathbf{a}_{jt}| |\mathbf{x}_{t}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{Rt}^{*}| / d_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \mathbf{a}_{j})$$

Since  $|\mathbf{x}| |\mathbf{y}| \ge \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ , the right hand side can be expanded giving

$$R(x_{R}^{*}) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}[(x_{1}^{k} - a_{j1})^{2} + (x_{2}^{k} - a_{j2})^{2}]/d_{2}(x_{k}, a_{j})$$
  
- 
$$\sum_{t=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}(x_{t}^{k} - a_{jt})(x_{t}^{k} - x_{Rt}^{*})/d_{2}(x_{k}, a_{j})$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}d_{2}(x_{k}, a_{j}) - \sum_{t=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}(x_{t}^{k} - a_{jt})x_{t}^{k}/d_{2}(x_{k}, a_{j})$$
  
+ 
$$\sum_{t=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}(x_{t}^{k} - a_{jt})x_{Rt}^{*}/d_{2}(x_{k}, a_{j})$$

Thus  $R(x_R^*) \ge J(x_k)$  if

$$\sum_{t=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}(x_{t}^{k} - a_{jt}) x_{Rt}^{*} / d_{2}(x_{k}, a_{j}) \ge \min_{y \in \Omega} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j}(x_{t}^{k} - a_{jt}) y_{t} / d_{2}(x_{k}, a_{j}) \right]$$

The point  $x_R^*$  is in the rectangular hull, as defined by Love and Morris [1975]. Juel and Love [1983] have proven that the solution to the rectangular distances problem lies in the convex (Euclidean) hull. Therefore,  $R(x_R^*) \ge J(x_k)$ , with equality holding only when  $x_R^* = y^* \in \Omega$ .

This establishes that the rectangular bound can be used without any trepidation about its convergence with Euclidean distances. Considerable time can be saved using this bound, as it required fewer iterations to reach the same level of percentage error difference as the other two bounds. From Tables 2 and 4, when n = 6 the rectangular bound provided a 40% (approximate) time saving. Over all the test samples, an average execution time saving of 27% was achieved.

### 5. EXTENSION TO THE MULTI-FACILITY $\ell_p$ DISTANCE PROBLEM

5

The multi-facility  $\ell_p$  distance location problem is to minimize

$$WM_{p}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{1ij} [|\mathbf{x}_{i1} - \mathbf{a}_{j1}|^{p} + |\mathbf{x}_{i2} - \mathbf{a}_{j2}|^{p}]^{1/p}$$

+ 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} [|x_{i1} - x_{r1}|^p + |x_{i2} - x_{r2}|^p]^{1/p}$$

where

- m is the number of new facilities,
- n is the number of existing facilities,
- $w_{1ij}$  is the nonnegative weight which converts the distance between new facility i and existing facility j into cost,
- w<sub>2ir</sub> is the nonnegative weight which converts the distance between new facilities i and r into cost (i  $\neq$  r),
- $x_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2})$  are the location coordinates of new facility i,

 $a_i = (a_{i1}, a_{i2})$  are the location coordinates of existing facility j.

Let  $x_i^k = (x_{i1}^k, x_{i2}^k)$  represent the current solution at the <u>kth</u> iteration of the Weiszfeld procedure for the ith new facility location. Using the Holder inequality and substituting

$$b_i = |x_{i1} - a_{j1}| |a_{j1} - x_{i1}^k|$$
 and  $c_i = |x_{i2} - a_{j2}| |a_{j2} - x_{i2}^k|$ 

then

$$|\mathbf{x}_{i1} - \mathbf{a}_{j1}| |\mathbf{a}_{j1} - \mathbf{x}_{i1}^{k}| + |\mathbf{x}_{i2} - \mathbf{a}_{j2}| |\mathbf{a}_{j2} - \mathbf{x}_{i2}^{k}| \le [|\mathbf{x}_{i1} - \mathbf{a}_{j1}|^{p} + |\mathbf{x}_{i2} - \mathbf{a}_{j2}|^{p}]^{1/p}$$

 $\cdot [|a_{j1} - x_{i1}^k|^q + |a_{j2} - x_{i2}^k|^q]^{1/q}$ .

This can be rewritten as

$$[|\mathbf{x}_{i1} - \mathbf{a}_{j1}|^{p} + |\mathbf{x}_{i2} - \mathbf{a}_{j2}|^{p}]^{1/p} \ge \frac{|\mathbf{x}_{i1} - \mathbf{a}_{j1}||\mathbf{a}_{j1} - \mathbf{x}_{i1}^{k}| + |\mathbf{x}_{i2} - \mathbf{a}_{j2}||\mathbf{a}_{j2} - \mathbf{x}_{i2}^{k}|}{[|\mathbf{a}_{j1} - \mathbf{x}_{i1}^{k}|^{q} + |\mathbf{a}_{j2} - \mathbf{x}_{i2}^{k}|^{q}]^{1/q}}$$

By multiplying both sides of the inequality by the nonnegative weights  $w_{1\,ij}$  and summing,

then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{1ij} [|x_{i1} - a_{j1}|^{p} + |x_{i2} - a_{j2}|^{p}]^{1/p}$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{w_{1ij} |x_{i1} - a_{j1}| |a_{j1} - x_{i1}^{k}|}{[|a_{j1} - x_{i1}^{k}|^{q} + |a_{j2} - x_{i2}^{k}|^{q}]^{1/q}}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{w_{1ij} |x_{i2} - a_{j2}| |a_{j2} - x_{i2}^{k}|^{q}}{[|a_{j1} - x_{i1}^{k}|^{q} + |a_{j2} - x_{i2}^{k}|^{q}]^{1/q}}$$

Let

$$\mathbf{w}_{1ij}^{'} = (\mathbf{w}_{1ij}^{'} | \mathbf{a}_{j1}^{'} - \mathbf{x}_{i1}^{k} |) / [|\mathbf{a}_{j1}^{'} - \mathbf{x}_{i1}^{k}|^{q} + |\mathbf{a}_{j2}^{'} - \mathbf{x}_{i2}^{k}|^{q}]^{1/q}$$

and

$$\mathbf{w}_{1ij}^{\prime\prime} = (\mathbf{w}_{1ij} | \mathbf{a}_{j2} - \mathbf{x}_{i2}^{k} |) / [|\mathbf{a}_{j1} - \mathbf{x}_{i1}^{k}|^{q} + |\mathbf{a}_{j2} - \mathbf{x}_{i2}^{k}|^{q}]^{1/q}$$

then

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}_{i}} & \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{w}_{1ij} \ell_{p}(\mathbf{x}'_{i}, \mathbf{a}_{j}) \geq \min_{\mathbf{x}_{i1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{w}'_{1ij} | \mathbf{x}_{i1} - \mathbf{a}_{j1} | \\ &+ \min_{\mathbf{x}_{i2}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{w}'_{1ij} | \mathbf{x}_{i2} - \mathbf{a}_{j2} | . \end{split}$$

For the terms representing the weighted distances between pairs of new facilities, take any new facility  $x_i$  and treat the remaining new facility locations  $x_r$  ( $r \neq i$ ) as if they were existing facilities ( $a_j$ 's). The Holder inequality can be used in the same manner as before to obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} [|x_{i1} - x_{r1}|^{p} + |x_{i2} - x_{r2}|^{p}]^{1/p} \ge \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir}^{'} |x_{i1} - x_{r1}|^{p}$$

+ 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir}'' |x_{i2} - x_{r2}|$$
,

where

$$\mathbf{w}_{2ir}^{'} = (\mathbf{w}_{2ir} | \mathbf{x}_{r1}^{'} - \mathbf{x}_{i1}^{k} |) / [|\mathbf{x}_{r1}^{'} - \mathbf{x}_{i1}^{k}|^{q} + |\mathbf{x}_{r2}^{'} - \mathbf{x}_{i2}^{k}|^{q}]^{1/q}$$

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$ 

$$\mathbf{w}_{2ir}^{\prime\prime} = (\mathbf{w}_{2ir} | \mathbf{x}_{r2}^{\prime} - \mathbf{x}_{i2}^{k} |) / [|\mathbf{x}_{r1}^{\prime} - \mathbf{x}_{i1}^{k}|^{q} + |\mathbf{x}_{r2}^{\prime} - \mathbf{x}_{i2}^{k}|^{q}]^{1/q}$$

Since the  $x_r$  facility locations were treated as fixed,  $x_{r1}$  and  $x_{r2}$  can be replaced by  $x^k_{r1}$  and  $x^k_{r2}$  in the calculation of  $w'_{2ir}$  and  $w''_{2ir}$ .

Combining these two results gives

$$WM_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w'_{1ij} |\mathbf{x}_{11} - \mathbf{a}_{j1}| + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w'_{1ij} |\mathbf{x}_{12} - \mathbf{a}_{j2}|$$
  
+ 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w'_{2ir} |\mathbf{x}_{i1} - \mathbf{x}_{r1}| + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w''_{2ir} |\mathbf{x}_{i2} - \mathbf{x}_{r2}|$$

0**r** 

$$WM_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w'_{1ij} |\mathbf{x}_{i1} - \mathbf{a}_{j1}| + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w'_{2ir} |\mathbf{x}_{i1} - \mathbf{x}_{r1}|$$

+ 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w'_{1ij} |x_{i2} - a_{j2}|$$
 +  $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w'_{2ir} |x_{i2} - x_{r2}|$ 

The solution at each iteration of the Weiszfeld procedure for the multi-facility problem is used to construct a multi-facility rectilinear model. The optimal solution to the rectilinear model is used to calculate the rectilinear objective function value, which is the lower bound.

## 6. COMPARISON OF BOUNDS FOR THE MULTI-FACILITY EUCLIDEAN DISTANCE MODEL

The lower bound for the multi-facility  $\ell_p$  model by Love and Yeong [1981] is given by

$$WM_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \geq WM_{p}(\mathbf{x}_{k}) - \overline{\sigma}(\mathbf{x}_{k}) \|\nabla WM_{p}(\mathbf{x}_{k})\|,$$

where

•

$$WM_{p}(\mathbf{x}) = \min WM_{p}(\mathbf{x}),$$
  

$$\overline{\Omega} = \{ \mathbf{s} = (\mathbf{s}_{1}, \mathbf{s}_{2}, ..., \mathbf{s}_{m}) \mid \mathbf{s}_{i} \in \Omega, i = 1, ..., m \},$$
  

$$\overline{\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) = \max\{d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \mid \mathbf{y} \in \overline{\Omega} \},$$

and  $x_k = (x_{11}^k, x_{12}^k, ..., x_{m1}^k, x_{m2}^k)$  is a point generated by any procedure at the kth iteration.

For the same model, the lower bound by Juel [1978] is

$$WM_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \geq WM_{p}(\mathbf{x}_{k}) - \nabla WM_{p}(\mathbf{x}_{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}_{k} + \min_{\mathbf{y} \in \overline{\Omega}} \{\nabla WM_{p}(\mathbf{x}_{k}) \cdot \mathbf{y}\}$$

For p = 2, the gradient  $\nabla WM_2(x)$  has components  $\partial WM_2(x)/\partial x_{it}$ , where

$$\frac{\partial WM_2(x)}{\partial x_{it}} = \sum_{j=1}^n w_{1ij}(x_{it} - a_{jt})/d_2(x_i, a_j) + \sum_{\substack{r=1\\r \neq i}}^m w_{2ir}(x_{it} - x_{rt})/d_2(x_i, x_r),$$

$$w_{2ir} = \begin{cases} w_{2ri} & r \neq i, r = 1, 2, ..., m \\ 0 & r = i \end{cases}$$

for t = 1, 2, and i = 1, ..., m.

By substituting for the gradient, the Juel bound for p = 2 can be expressed as

$$J(\mathbf{x}_{k}) = WM_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{k}) - \sum_{t=1}^{2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{1ij}(\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{a}_{jt}) \mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} / d_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{a}_{j}) \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{i=1\\r\neq i}}^{m} \sum_{\substack{r=1\\r\neq i}}^{m} w_{2ir} (x_{it}^{k} - x_{rt}^{k}) x_{it}^{k} / d_{2} (x_{i}^{k}, x_{r}^{k}) + \sum_{\substack{y \in \overline{\Omega}\\y \in \overline{\Omega}}}^{min} \{\nabla WM_{2} (x_{k}^{y}) + y\}.$$

Since the Juel bound is as good or better than the Love-Yeong bound at each iteration, only the Juel bound need be compared to the multi-facility rectangular bound. It will now be shown that under certain conditions the multi-facility rectangular bound will be better than the Juel bound. Before proceeding with this proof, the following Lemma is required.

LEMMA 1

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} (x_{i1} - x_{r1}) x_{i1} - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} (x_{i1} - x_{r1}) x_{r1}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left[ \sum_{\substack{r=1\\r \neq i}}^{m} w_{2ir} (x_{i1} - x_{r1}) \right] x_{i1}$$

Proof:

By expanding the left hand side and grouping like terms and then using the fact that

$$w_{2ir} = w_{2ri}$$
, for i,  $r = 1,...,m$  and  $i \neq r$ , and that  $w_{2ii} = 0$ , for  $i = 1,...m$ ,

it is easy to see that the resulting expression is equal to the expanded right hand side.

Let  $x_R^*$  represent the optimal solution at the kth iteration obtained by solving the multi-facility rectilinear model. Since the optimal solution for each facility location is an element of the single facility rectangular hull then  $x_R^* = (x_{11}^*, x_{12}^*, ..., x_{m1}^*, x_{m2}^*)$ , where

 $x_{i1}^* \in \{a_{11}, a_{21}, ..., a_{n1}\} \text{ and } x_{i2}^* \in \{a_{21}, a_{22}, ..., a_{2n}\}, i = 1, ..., m.$ 

Let

$$R(x_{R}^{*}) = \min_{x} R(x)$$

where

$$R(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\mathbf{w}_{1ij}^{'}| \mathbf{x}_{i1} - \mathbf{a}_{j1}^{'}| + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\mathbf{w}_{1ij}^{''}| \mathbf{x}_{i2} - \mathbf{a}_{j2}^{'}|$$
  
+ 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} |\mathbf{w}_{2ir}^{'}| \mathbf{x}_{i1} - \mathbf{x}_{r1}^{'}| + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} |\mathbf{w}_{2ir}^{''}| \mathbf{x}_{i2} - \mathbf{x}_{r2}^{'}|$$

THEOREM 2

For p=2,

$$R(x_{R}^{*}) \ge J(x_{k}) \text{ if } \sum_{t=1}^{2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir}(x_{it}^{k} - x_{rt}^{k})(x_{it}^{k} - x_{it}^{*})/d_{2}(x_{i}^{k}, x_{r}^{k}) - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=1}^{m} w_{2ir}(x_{it}^{k} - x_{rt}^{k})x_{it}^{*}/d_{2}(x_{i}^{k}, x_{r}^{k}) \right] \ge 0.$$

Proof:

By substituting for  $w^{\prime}{}_{1ij},\,w^{\prime\prime}{}_{1ij},\,w^{\prime}{}_{2ir}$  and  $w^{\prime\prime}{}_{2ir},$ 

r=1 r≠i

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{R}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathsf{R}}^{*}) &= \sum_{t=1}^{2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{1ij} |\mathbf{a}_{jt} - \mathbf{x}_{it}^{k}| |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{*} - \mathbf{a}_{jt}| / \mathbf{d}_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{a}_{j}) \right. \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{n} w_{2ir} (\mathbf{x}_{it} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}) (\mathbf{x}_{it}^{*} - \mathbf{x}_{it}^{k}) / \mathbf{d}_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{x}_{r}^{k}) \right] \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{1ij} |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{a}_{jt}| |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{*} - \mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} + \mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{a}_{jt}| / |\mathbf{d}_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{a}_{j}) \right. \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}| |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k} + \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{it}^{*}| / \mathbf{d}_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{x}_{r}^{k}) \right] \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{1ij} |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{a}_{jt}| |(\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{a}_{jt}) - (\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{it}^{*}) | / \mathbf{d}_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{a}_{j}) \right. \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}| |(\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{a}_{jt}) - (\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{it}^{*}) | / \mathbf{d}_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{a}_{j}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}| |(\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}) - (\mathbf{x}_{it}^{*} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}) | / \mathbf{d}_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{x}_{r}^{k}) \right]. \end{split}$$

٩

•

As before, using the inequality  $|x-y| \ge |x| - |y|$ ,

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{R}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathsf{R}}^{*}) &\geq \sum_{t=1}^{2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{1ij} |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{a}_{jt}|^{2} / d_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{a}_{j}) \right. \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{1ij} |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{a}_{jt}| |(\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{a}_{jt})| |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{it}^{*}| / d_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{a}_{j}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}|^{2} / d_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{x}_{r}^{k}) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}| |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{*} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}| / d_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{x}_{r}^{k}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}| |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{*} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}| / d_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{x}_{r}^{k}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}| |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{*} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}| / d_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{x}_{r}^{k}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}| |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{*} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}| / d_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{x}_{r}^{k}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}| |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{*} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}| / d_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{x}_{r}^{k}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}| |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{*} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}| / d_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{x}_{r}^{k}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}| |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{*} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}| / d_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{x}_{r}^{k}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}| |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{*} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}| / d_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{x}_{r}^{k}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}| |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{*} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}| / d_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{x}_{r}^{k}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}| |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{*} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}| / d_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{x}_{r}^{k}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}| |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{*} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}| / d_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{x}_{r}^{k}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} |\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}| |\mathbf{x}_{$$

. •

Again, using  $|x| |y| \ge x \cdot y$ ,

$$R(x_{R}^{*}) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{1ij} d(x_{i}^{k}, a_{j}) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} d_{2}(x_{i}^{k}, x_{r}^{k})$$

$$- \sum_{t=1}^{2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{1ij} (x_{it}^{k} - a_{jt}) (x_{it}^{k} - x_{it}^{*}) | / d_{2} (x_{i}^{k}, a_{j}) \right]$$

+ 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} (x_{it}^{k} - x_{rt}^{k}) \cdot (x_{it}^{*} - x_{rt}^{k}) / d_{2}(x_{i}^{k}, x_{r}^{k}) \bigg]$$
,

0**r** 

; ; ;

$$\begin{split} R(x_{R}^{*}) &\geq WM_{2}(x_{k}) - \sum_{t=1}^{2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{1ij}(x_{it}^{k} - a_{jt})x_{it}^{k} / d_{2}(x_{i}^{k}, a_{j}) - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir}(x_{it}^{k} - x_{rt}^{k})x_{rt}^{k} / d_{2}(x_{i}^{k}, x_{r}^{k}) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{1ij}(x_{it}^{k} - a_{jt})x_{it}^{*} / d_{2}(x_{i}^{k}, a_{j}) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir}(x_{it}^{k} - x_{rt}^{k})x_{it}^{*} / d_{2}(x_{i}^{k}, x_{r}^{k}) \right]. \end{split}$$

.

÷

Lemma 1 can now be used to rewrite the expression involving the quantity

•

$$\begin{split} &-\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} (x_{it}^{k} - x_{rt}^{k}) x_{rt}^{k} \\ &= R(x_{R}^{*}) \geq WM_{2}(x_{k}) - \sum_{r=1}^{2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{1ij} (x_{it}^{k} - a_{jt}) x_{it}^{k} / d_{2} (x_{i}^{k}, a_{j}) \right. \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{r=i}^{m} w_{2ir} (x_{it}^{k} - x_{rt}^{k}) x_{it}^{k} / d_{2} (x_{i}^{k}, x_{r}^{k}) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} (x_{it}^{k} - x_{rt}^{k}) x_{it}^{k} / d_{2} (x_{i}^{k}, x_{r}^{k}) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{1ij} (x_{it}^{k} - a_{jt}) x_{it}^{*} / d_{2} (x_{i}^{k}, x_{r}^{k}) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{r=i+1}^{n} w_{2ir} (x_{it}^{k} - x_{rt}^{k}) x_{it}^{k} / d_{2} (x_{i}^{k}, x_{r}^{k}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} (x_{it}^{k} - x_{rt}^{k}) x_{it}^{*} / d_{2} (x_{i}^{k}, x_{r}^{k}) \\ &= WM_{2}(x_{k}) - \nabla WM_{2}(x_{k}Y \cdot x_{k} + \sum_{t=1}^{2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{1ij} (x_{it}^{k} - a_{jt}) x_{it}^{*} / d_{2} (x_{i}^{k}, x_{r}^{k}) \right. \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} (x_{it} - x_{rt}) x_{it}^{k} / d_{2} (x_{i}^{k}, x_{r}^{k}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} (x_{it}^{k} - x_{rt}^{k}) x_{it}^{*} / d_{2} (x_{i}^{k}, x_{r}^{k}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} (x_{it}^{k} - x_{rt}^{k}) x_{it}^{*} / d_{2} (x_{i}^{k}, x_{r}^{k}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} (x_{it}^{k} - x_{rt}^{k}) x_{it}^{*} / d_{2} (x_{i}^{k}, x_{r}^{k}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} (x_{it}^{k} - x_{rt}^{k}) x_{it}^{*} / d_{2} (x_{i}^{k}, x_{r}^{k}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} (x_{it}^{k} - x_{rt}^{k}) x_{it}^{*} / d_{2} (x_{i}^{k}, x_{r}^{k}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} x_{2ir} (x_{i}^{k} - x_{rt}^{k}) x_{it}^{*} / d_{2} (x_{i}^{k}, x_{r}^{k}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} x_{2ir} (x_{i}^{k} - x_{rt}^{k}) x_{it}^{*} / d_{2} (x_{i}^{k}, x_{r}^{k}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} x_{2ir} (x_{i}^{k} - x_{rt}^{k}) x_{it}^{*} / d_{2} (x_{i}^{k}, x_{r}^{k}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} x_{2ir} (x_{i}^{k} - x_{rt}^{k}) x_{it}^{*} / d_{2} (x_{i}^{k}, x_{r}^{k}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} x_{2i} x_{i} (x_{i$$

By adding and subtracting

.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{\substack{r=1\\r\neq i}}^{m} w_{2ir} (x_{it}^{k} - x_{rt}^{k}) x_{it}^{*} / d_{2} (x_{i}^{k}, x_{r}^{k}),$$

 $\nabla WM_2(x_k)' \cdot x_R^*$  can be created, resulting in

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{R}(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{*}) &\geq WM_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{k}) - \nabla WM_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{k})' \cdot \mathbf{x}_{k} + \nabla WM_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{k})' \cdot \mathbf{x}_{R}^{*} \\ &+ \sum_{t=1}^{2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir}(\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}) \mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} / \mathbf{d}_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{x}_{r}^{k}) \right] \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir}(\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}) \mathbf{x}_{it}^{*} / \mathbf{d}_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{x}_{r}^{k}) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{r=i}^{m} w_{2ir}(\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}) \mathbf{x}_{it}^{*} / \mathbf{d}_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{x}_{r}^{k}) \\ &= WM_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{k}) - \nabla WM_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{k})' \cdot \mathbf{x}_{k} + \nabla WM_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{k})' \cdot \mathbf{x}_{R}^{*} \\ &+ \sum_{t=1}^{2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir}(\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}) (\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{it}^{*}) / \mathbf{d}_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{x}_{r}^{k}) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir}(\mathbf{x}_{it}^{k} - \mathbf{x}_{rt}^{k}) \mathbf{x}_{it}^{*} / \mathbf{d}_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}, \mathbf{x}_{r}^{k}) \right] . \end{split}$$

This expression can be compared to the Juel bound,

i=1 r=1 r≠i

$$J(\mathbf{x}_{k}) = WM_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{k}) - \nabla WM_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{k})' \cdot \mathbf{x}_{k} + \min_{\mathbf{y} \in \overline{\Omega}} \{\nabla WM_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{k})' \cdot \mathbf{y}\}.$$

Since  $x_R{}^*$  is an element the Euclidean hull  $\overline{\Omega},$  then for any value of p

$$\min_{\mathbf{y}\in\overline{\Omega}} \{\nabla WM_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}})' \cdot \mathbf{y}\} \leq \nabla WM_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}})' \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{R}}^{*}.$$

Thus,

.

$$R(x_{R}^{*}) \ge J(x_{k}) \text{ if } \sum_{t=1}^{2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=i+1}^{m} w_{2ir} (x_{it}^{k} - x_{rt}^{k}) (x_{it}^{k} - x_{it}^{*}) / d(x_{i}^{k}, x_{r}^{k}) \right]$$

$$-\sum_{\substack{i=1\\r\neq i}}^{m}\sum_{r=1}^{m} w_{2ir} (x_{it}^{k} - x_{rt}^{k}) x_{it}^{*} / d(x_{i}^{k}, x_{r}^{k}) \Big| \ge 0.$$

.

The superiority of the rectangular bound for the multi-facility Euclidean distance model is not as decisive as in the single facility case. If the sum of the residual terms involving the  $w_{2ir}$  weights is positive, then the rectangular bound is always better than the Juel bound. If this sum is negative, it is possible that the rectangular bound may not be superior to the Juel bound. However, if the difference between

 $\underset{y \in \overline{\Omega}}{\min} \{ \nabla WM_{p}(x_{k})' \cdot y \} \text{ and } \nabla WM_{p}(x_{k})' \cdot x_{R}^{*}$ 

compensates for this negative sum, the rectangular bound would be as good or better than the Juel bound.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by a grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council, Canada. The authors would like to thank Mr. James L. Wright for his assistance with the computational aspects of this research.

#### REFERENCES

Drezner, Z. 1981. "The Two-Center and Two-Median Problems", School of Management, University of Michigan-Dearborn (submitted for publication).

Elzinga, D.J. and Hearn, D.W. 1982. "On Stopping Rules for Facilities Location Algorithms", Research Report, Industrial and Systems Engineering Department, University of Florida, Gainsville Fl.

Juel, H. 1978. "On a Rational Stopping Rule for Facilities Location Algorithms", Proceedings of the 14th Annual Meeting, Southeastern Chapter, The Institute of Management Science, October, pp. 56-60 (to appear in the <u>Naval Research Logistics Quarterly</u>).

Juel, H. and Love, R.F. 1981. "Fixed Point Optimality Criteria for the Location Problem with Arbitrary Norms", Journal of the Operational Research Society, 32, 891-897.

Juel, H., and Love, R.F. 1983. "Hull Properties in Location Problems", <u>European Journal of</u> <u>Operational Research</u> 12, 262-265.

Love, R.F. and Morris, J.G. 1975. "A Computation Procedure for the Exact Solution of Location-Allocation Problems with Rectangular Distances", <u>Naval Research Logistics</u> <u>Quarterly</u>, 22, 441-453.

Love, R.F. and Yeong, W.Y. 1981. "A Stopping Rule for Facilities Location Algorithms", <u>AIIE</u> <u>Transactions</u> 13, 357-362. Morris, J.G. 1981. "Convergence of the Weiszfeld Algorithm for Weber Problems using a Generalized Distance Function", <u>Operations Research</u> 29, 37-48.

Weiszfeld, E. 1937. "Sur le point lequel la somme des distances de n points donnés est minimum", <u>Tôhoku Mathematical Journal</u> 43, 355-386.

Faculty of Business McMaster University

WORKING PAPER SERIES

- 101. Torrance, George W., "A Generalized Cost-effectiveness Model for the Evaluation of Health Programs," November, 1970.
- 102. Isbester, A. Fraser and Sandra C. Castle, "Teachers and Collective Bargaining in Ontario: A Means to What End?" November, 1971.
- 103. Thomas, Arthur L., "Transfer Prices of the Multinational Firm: When Will They be Arbitrary?" (Reprinted from: <u>Abacus</u>, Vol. 7, No. 1, June, 1971).
- 104. Szendrovits, Andrew Z., "An Economic Production Quantity Model with Holding Time and Costs of Work-in-process Inventory," March, 1974.
- 111. Basu, S., "Investment Performance of Common Stocks in Relation to their Price-earnings Ratios: A Text of the Efficient Market Hypothesis," March, 1975.
- 112. Truscott, William G., "Some Dynamic Extensions of a Discrete Location-Allocation Problem," March, 1976.
- 113. Basu, S. and J.R. Hanna, "Accounting for Changes in the General Purchasing Power of Money: The Impact on Financial Statements of Canadian Corporations for the Period 1967-74," April 1976. (Reprinted from Cost and Management, January-February, 1976).
- 114. Deal, K.R., "Verification of the Theoretical Consistency of a Differential Game in Advertising," March, 1976.
- 114a. Deal, K.R., "Optimizing Advertising Expenditures in a Dynamic Duopoly," March, 1976.
- 115. Adams, Roy J., "The Canada-United States Labour Link Under Stress," [1976].
- 116. Thomas, Arthur L., "The Extended Approach to Joint-Cost Allocation: Relaxation of Simplifying Assumptions," June, 1976.
- 117. Adams, Roy J. and C.H. Rummel, "Worker's Participation in Management in West Germany: Impact on the Work, the Enterprise and the Trade Unions," September, 1976.
- 118. Szendrovits, Andrew Z., "A Comment on 'Optimal and System Myopic Policies for Multi-echelon Production/Inventory Assembly Systems'," [1976].
- 119. Meadows, Ian S.G., "Organic Structure and Innovation in Small Work Groups," October, 1976.

- 120. Basu, S., "The Effect of Earnings Yield on Assessments of the Association Between Annual Accounting Income Numbers and Security Prices," October, 1976.
- 121. Agarwal, Naresh C., "Labour Supply Behaviour of Married Women A Model with Permanent and Transitory Variables," October, 1976.
- 122. Meadows, Ian S.G., "Organic Structure, Satisfaction and Personality," October, 1976.
- 123. Banting, Peter M., "Customer Service in Industrial Marketing: A Comparative Study," October, 1976. (Reprinted from: <u>European</u> Journal of Marketing, Vol. 10, No. 3, Summer, 1976).
- 124. Aivazian, V., "On the Comparative-Statics of Asset Demand," August, 1976.
- 125. Aivazian, V., "Contamination by Risk Reconsidered," October, 1976.
- 126. Szendrovits, Andrew Z. and George O. Wesolowsky, "Variation in Optimizing Serial Multi-State Production/Inventory Systems, March, 1977.
- 127. Agarwal, Naresh C., "Size-Structure Relationship: A Further Elaboration," March, 1977.
- 128. Jain, Harish C., "Minority Workers, the Structure of Labour Markets and Anti-Discrimination Legislation," March, 1977.
- 129. Adams, Roy J., "Employer Solidarity," March, 1977.
- 130. Gould, Lawrence I. and Stanley N. Laiken, "The Effect of Income Taxation and Investment Priorities: The RRSP," March, 1977.
- 131. Callen, Jeffrey L., "Financial Cost Allocations: A Game-Theoretic Approach," March, 1977.
- 132. Jain, Harish C., "Race and Sex Discrimination Legislation in North America and Britain: Some Lessons for Canada," May, 1977.
- 133. Hayashi, Kichiro. "Corporate Planning Practices in Japanese Multinationals." Accepted for publication in the <u>Academy of</u> <u>Management Journal in 1978.</u>
- 134. Jain, Harish C., Neil Hood and Steve Young, "Cross-Cultural Aspects of Personnel Policies in Multi-Nationals: A Case Study of Chrysler UK", June, 1977.
- 135. Aivazian, V. and J.L. Callen, "Investment, Market Structure and the Cost of Capital", July, 1977.

- 136. Adams, R.J., "Canadian Industrial Relations and the German Example", October, 1977.
- 137. Callen, J.L., "Production, Efficiency and Welfare in the U.S. Natural Gas Transmission Industry", October, 1977.
- 138. Richardson, A.W. and Wesolowsky, G.O., "Cost-Volume-Profit Analysis and the Value of Information", November, 1977.
- 139. Jain, Harish C., "Labour Market Problems of Native People in Ontario", December, 1977.
- 140. Gordon, M.J. and L.I. Gould, "The Cost of Equity Capital: A Reconsideration", January, 1978.
- 141. Gordon, M.J. and L.I. Gould, "The Cost of Equity Capital with Personal Income Taxes and Flotation Costs", January, 1978.
- 142. Adams, R.J., "Dunlop After Two Decades: Systems Theory as a Framework For Organizing the Field of Industrial Relations", January, 1978.
- 143. Agarwal, N.C. and Jain, H.C., "Pay Discrimination Against Women in Canada: Issues and Policies", February, 1978.
- 144. Jain, H.C. and Sloane, P.J., "Race, Sex and Minority Group Discrimination Legislation in North America and Britain", March, 1978.
- 145. Agarwal, N.C., "A Labour Market Analysis of Executive Earnings", June, 1978.
- 146. Jain, H.C. and Young, A., "Racial Discrimination in the U.K. Labour Market: Theory and Evidence", June, 1978.
- 147. Yagil, J., "On Alternative Methods of Treating Risk," September, 1978.
- 148. Jain, H.C., "Attitudes toward Communication System: A Comparison of Anglophone and Francophone Hospital Employees," September, 1978.
- 149. Ross, R., "Marketing Through the Japanese Distribution System", November, 1978.
- 150. Gould, Lawrence I. and Stanley N. Laiken, "Dividends vs. Capital Gains Under Share Redemptions," December, 1978.
- 151. Gould, Lawrence I. and Stanley N. Laiken, "The Impact of General Averaging on Income Realization Decisions: A Caveat on Tax Deferral," December, 1978.
- 152. Jain, Harish C., Jacques Normand and Rabindra N. Kanungo, "Job Motivation of Canadian Anglophone and Francophone Hospital Employees, April, 1979.
- 153. Stidsen, Bent, "Communications Relations", April, 1979.
- 154. Szendrovits, A.Z. and Drezner, Zvi, "Optimizing N-Stage Production/ Inventory Systems by Transporting Different Numbers of Equal-Sized Batches at Various Stages", April, 1979. Continued on Page 4...

- 155. Truscott, W.G., "Allocation Analysis of a Dynamic Distribution Problem", June, 1979.
- 156. Hanna, J.R., "Measuring Capital and Income", November, 1979.
- 157. Deal, K.R., "Numerical Solution and Multiple Scenario Investigation of Linear Quadratic Differential Games", November, 1979.
- 158. Hanna, J.R., "Professional Accounting Education in Canada: Problems and Prospects", November, 1979.
- 159. Adams, R.J., "Towards a More Competent Labor Force: A Training Levy Scheme for Canada", December, 1979.
- 160. Jain, H.C., "Management of Human Resources and Productivity", February, 1980.
- 161. Wensley, A., "The Efficiency of Canadian Foreign Exchange Markets", February, 1980.
- 162. Tihanyi, E., "The Market Valuation of Deferred Taxes", March, 1980.
- 163. Meadows, I.S., "Quality of Working Life: Progress, Problems and Prospects", March, 1980.
- 164. Szendrovits, A.Z., "The Effect of Numbers of Stages on Multi-Stage Production/Inventory Models - An Empirical Study", April, 1980.
- 165. Laiken, S.N., "Current Action to Lower Future Taxes: General Averaging and Anticipated Income Models", April, 1980.
- 166. Love, R.F., "Hull Properties in Location Problems", April, 1980.
- 167. Jain, H.C., "Disadvantaged Groups on the Labour Market", May, 1980.
- 168. Adams, R.J., "Training in Canadian Industry: Research Theory and Policy Implications", June, 1980.
- 169. Joyner, R.C., "Application of Process Theories to Teaching Unstructured Managerial Decision Making", August, 1980.
- 170. Love, R.F., "A Stopping Rule for Facilities Location Algorithms", September, 1980.
- 171. Abad, Prakash L., "An Optimal Control Approach to Marketing Production Planning", October, 1980.
- 172. Abad, Prakash L., "Decentralized Planning With An Interdependent Marketing-Production System", October, 1980.
- 173. Adams, R.J., "Industrial Relations Systems in Europe and North America", October, 1980.

Continued on Page 5...

3

۲

- 174. Gaa, James C., "The Role of Central Rulemaking In Corporate Financial Reporting", February, 1981.
- 175. Adams, Roy J., "A Theory of Employer Attitudes and Behaviour Towards Trade Unions In Western Europe and North America", February, 1981.
- 176. Love, Robert F. and Jsun Y. Wong, "A 0-1 Linear Program To Minimize Interaction Cost In Scheduling", May, 1981.
- 177. Jain, Harish, "Employment and Pay Discrimination in Canada: Theories, Evidence and Policies", June, 1981.
- 178. Basu, S., "Market Reaction to Accounting Policy Deliberation: The Inflation Accounting Case Revisited", June, 1981.
- 179. Basu, S., "Risk Information and Financial Lease Disclosures: Some Empirical Evidence", June, 1981.
- 180. Basu, S., "The Relationship between Earnings' Yield, Market Value and Return for NYSE Common Stocks: Further Evidence", September, 1981
- 181. Jain, H.C., "Race and Sex Discrimination in Employment in Canada: Theories, evidence and policies", July 1981.
- 182. Jain, H.C., "Cross Cultural Management of Human Resources and the Multinational Corporations", October 1981.
- 183. Meadows, Ian, "Work System Characteristics and Employee Responses: An Exploratory Study", October, 1981.
- 184. Zvi Drezner, Szendrovits, Andrew Z., Wesolowsky, George O. "Multi-stage Production with Variable Lot Sizes and Transportation of Partial Lots", January, 1982.
- 185. Basu, S., "Residual Risk, Firm Size and Returns for NYSE Common Stocks: Some Empirical Evidence", February, 1982.
- 186. Jain, Harish C. and Muthuchidambram, S. "The Ontario Human Rights Code: An Analysis of the Public Policy Through Selected Cases of Discrimination In Employment", March, 1982.
- 187. Love Robert F., Dowling, Paul D., "Optimal Weighted & Norm Parameters For Facilities Layout Distance Characterizations", PApril, 1982.
- 188. Steiner, G., "Single Machine Scheduling with Precedence Constraints of Dimension 2", June, 1982.
- 189. Torrance, G.W. "Application Of Multi-Attribute Utility Theory To Measure Social Preferences For Health States", June, 1982.

- 190. Adams, Roy J., "Competing Paradigms in Industrial Relations", April, 1982.
- 191. Callen, J.L., Kwan, C.C.Y., and Yip, P.C.Y., "Efficiency of Foreign Exchange Markets: An Empirical Study Using Maximum Entropy Spectral Analysis." July, 1982.
- 192. Kwan, C.C.Y., "Portfolio Analysis Using Single Index, Multi-Index, and Constant Correlation Models: A Unified Treatment." July, 1982
- 193. Rose, Joseph B., "The Building Trades Canadian Labour Congress Dispute", September, 1982
- 194. Gould, Lawrence I., and Laiken, Stanley N., "Investment Considerations in a Depreciation-Based Tax Shelter: A Comparative Approach". November 1982.
- 195. Gould, Lawrence I., and Laiken, Stanley N., "An Analysis of Multi-Period After-Tax Rates of Return on Investment". November 1982.
- 196. Gould, Lawrence I., and Laiken, Stanley N., "Effects of the Investment Income Deduction on the Comparison of Investment Returns". November 1982.
- 197. G. John Miltenburg, "Allocating a Replenishment Order Among a Family of Items", January 1983.

.

1

- 198. Elko J. Kleinschmidt and Robert G. Cooper, "The Impact of Export Strategy on Export Sales Performance". January 1983.
- 199. Elko J. Kleinschmidt, "Explanatory Factors in the Export Performance of Canadian Electronics Firms: An Empirical Analysis". January 1983.
- 200. Joseph B. Rose, "Growth Patterns of Public Sector Unions", February 1983.
- 201. Adams, R. J., "The Unorganized: A Rising Force?", April 1983.
- 202. Jack S.K. Chang, "Option Pricing Valuing Derived Claims in Incomplete Security Markets", April 1983.
- 203. N.P. Archer, "Efficiency, Effectiveness and Profitability: An Interaction Model", May 1983.
- 204. Harish Jain and Victor Murray, "Why The Human Resources Management Function Fails", June 1983.
- 205. Harish C. Jain and Peter J. Sloane, "The Impact of Recession on Equal Opportunities for Minorities & Women in The United States, Canada and Britain", June 1983.
- 206. Joseph B. Rose, "Employer Accreditation: A Retrospective", June 1983.

- 6 -

- 207. Min Basadur and Carl T. Finkbeiner, "Identifying Attitudinal Factors Related to Ideation in Creative Problem Solving", June 1983.
- 208. Min Basadur and Carl T. Finkbeiner, "Measuring Preference for Ideation in Creative Problem Solving", June 1983.
- 209. George Steiner, "Sequencing on Single Machine with General Precedence Constraints - The Job Module Algorithm", June 1983.
- 210. Varouj A. Aivazian, Jeffrey L. Callen, Itzhak Krinsky and Clarence C.Y. Kwan, "The Demand for Risky Financial Assets by the U.S. Household Sector", July 1983.
- 211. Clarence C.Y. Kwan and Patrick C.Y. Yip, "Optimal Portfolio Selection with Upper Bounds for Individual Securities", July 1983.
- 212. Min Basadur and Ron Thompson, "Usefulness of the Ideation Principle of Extended Effort in Real World Professional and Managerial Creative Problem Solving", October 1983.
- 213. George Steiner, "On a Decomposition Algorithm for Sequencing Problems with Precedence Constraints", November 1983.
- 214. Robert G. Cooper and Ulrike De Brentani, "Criteria for Screening Industrial New Product Ventures", November 1983.
- 215. Harish C. Jain, "Union, Management and Government Response to Technological Change in Canada", December 1983.
- 216. Z. Drezner, G. Steiner, G.O. Wesolowsky, "Facility Location with Rectilinear Tour Distances", March 1984.
- 217. Latha Shanker and Jack S.K. Chang, "The Hedging Performance of Foreign Currency Options and Foreign Currency Futures: A Comparison", March 1984.
- 218. Ulrike de Brentani and Robert G. Cooper, "New Product Screening Decisions in High Technology Firms: An Empirical Study", March 1984.

- 7 -



,

£

\$

•

7

T

Jnnis REF HB 74.5 , R47 No.219

12:5929

•

.