



#### Unidad de Evidencia y Deliberación para la toma de decisiones UNED



# COVID-19 Living Evidence <u>Synthesis # 8</u>

(Version 8.13: 20 Jun 2022)

### Question

What is the effectiveness of available COVID-19 vaccines for children and adolescents, including variants of concern?

### **Findings**

For vaccine effectiveness in variants of concern (VOC), we present a <u>visual summary</u> of evidence in Table 1 and Table 2.

Methods are presented in Box 1 and in the following appendices:

- 1) reference list
- 2) glossary
- 3) data-extraction template
- 4) process for assigning variant of concern to studies
- 5) research question and critical appraisal process
- 6) <u>detailed description of the narrative</u> summary statement.

Overall, 64 studies were appraised and 24 used to complete this summary. The <u>reasons for excluding</u> the remaining 40 studies are reported in the second section of Appendix 2.

One new study has been added since the previous edition of this living evidence synthesis, which is signaled by a last updated date of 20 Jun 2022 (highlighted in yellow). The new study included results for VOC Omicron (1) – 1 reporting results by sublineage BA.1

Studies examining effectiveness of vaccines in adults, including those covering periods beyond 120 days, are captured in COVID-END living evidence synthesis 6 and 10. The most recent version of all three syntheses (6,8,10) can always be found on the COVID-END website.

### Box 1: Our approach

We retrieved candidate studies and updates to living evidence syntheses on vaccine effectiveness using the following mechanisms: 1) PubMed via COVID-19+ Evidence Alerts; 2) systematic scanning of pre-print servers; 3) updates to the COVID-END inventory of best evidence syntheses; and 4) crosscheck with updates from the VESPa team. We included studies and updates to living evidence syntheses identified up to two days before the version release date. We did not include press releases unless a preprint was available. A full list of included and excluded studies is provided in **Appendix 1**. A glossary is provided in **Appendix 2**.

**Prioritized outcome measures:** Infection, severe disease (as defined by the study investigators), death, and transmission.

**Data extraction:** We prioritized variant-confirmed and vaccine-specific data over total study population data (variant assumed and/or vaccine unspecified). We extracted data from each study in duplicate using the template provided in **Appendix 3**. Relevance to VOC is determined directly, when reported by study authors, or indirectly where reasonable assumptions can be made about the variant prevalent in the jurisdiction at the time of the study as described in **Appendix 4**.

Critical appraisal: We assessed risk of bias, direction of effect, and certainty of evidence. Risk of bias: assessed in duplicate for individual studies using an adapted version of ROBINS-I.

Direction of vaccine effect: "prevented" or "protects" was applied to mean estimates or range of mean estimates of effect that are greater than or equal to 70% (the lowest acceptable limit for vaccine effectiveness as determined by WHO). Certainty of evidence: assessed for the collection of studies for each vaccine according to variant of concern using a modified version of GRADE. Details of the research question for this synopsis and the critical appraisal process are provided in Appendix 5.

**Summaries:** We summarized the evidence by presenting narrative evidence profiles across studies, with or without pooling, as appropriate. A template for the summary statements used on page 1 under "Findings" and in Table 1 under each VOC is provided in **Appendix 6**.

We update this document Wednesday every two weeks and post it on the COVID-END website, but we are moving to every four weeks, with the next updates to be posted on 22 June, 20 July, 17 August, and 14 September

# Highlights of changes this report

- New data on Pfizer [BNT162b2] against VOC Omicron has been added, with the data drawn from one study with a moderate risk of bias (ref 24)
- One study previously reported as a preprint has now been published, the information was updated with data on Sinovac [CoronaVac] against VOC Omicron sub-lineage BA.1
- Table 3 has been split into three separate Tables according to VOC
- We are moving from updates every two weeks to every four weeks, with the next updates to be posted on 22 June, 20 July, 17 August, and 14 September.
- We have updated the VE definition, in the summaries, "prevented" or "protects" will be applied to mean estimates that are greater than or equal to 70% with the lower 95% CI ≥ 50%, or range of mean estimates that are greater than or equal to 70% for <u>infection</u> and, 90% with lower limit of 95% CI ≥ 70%, or range of mean estimates that are greater than or equal to 90% for <u>severe disease</u> (the lowest acceptable limit for vaccine effectiveness as determined by WHO); otherwise "did not reach threshold for protection" will be applied.

# Pfizer/Comirnaty [BNT162b2]

### • VOC Delta

- We have low certainty evidence that 1 dose of BNT162b2 (Pfizer) did not reach threshold for protection from infection from VOC Delta (range of mean estimates: 55 to 80% 4 Obs [2][10][17][18]) in adolescents age 12 to 18 years
- We have moderate certainty evidence that <u>1 dose</u> of **BNT162b2 (Pfizer)** did not reach threshold for protection from symptomatic infection from VOC **Delta** (range of mean estimates: 59 to 76% 4
   Obs [5][9][17] [18]) in adolescents age 12 to 18 years
- We have moderate certainty evidence that <u>2 doses</u> of **BNT162b2 (Pfizer)** prevented infection from VOC **Delta** (range of mean estimates: 81 to 93% 7 Obs [1][2][6][9][11][13][17]) in adolescents age 12 to 18 years
- We have moderate certainty evidence that <u>2 doses</u> of **BNT162b2 (Pfizer)** prevented symptomatic infection from VOC **Delta** (range of mean estimates: 86 to 97% 5 Obs [5][2][16][19][23] in adolescents age 12 to 18 years
- We have low certainty evidence that <u>2 doses</u> of **BNT162b2 (Pfizer)** prevented ICU admission from VOC **Delta** (98% [95% CI, 93 to 99] 1 Obs [4]), in adolescents age 12 to 18 years
- We have low certainty evidence that <u>2 doses</u> of **BNT162b2 (Pfizer)** prevented MIS-C from VOC **Delta** (91% [95% CI, 78 to 97] 1 Obs [7], in adolescents age 12 to 18 years

### • VOC Omicron

- We have low certainty evidence that <u>1 dose</u> of **BNT162b2 (Pfizer)** did not reach threshold for protection from infection from VOC **Omicron** (53.7% [95% CI, 43.3 to 62.2]- 1 Obs [10]) in adolescents age 12 to 17 years
- We have low certainty evidence that <u>1 dose</u> of **BNT162b2 (Pfizer)** did not reach threshold for protection from symptomatic infection from VOC **Omicron** (range of mean estimates: 44 to 53% 1 Obs [5]) in adolescents age 12 to 17 years
- We have moderate certainty evidence that <u>2 doses</u> of **BNT162b2 (Pfizer)** did not reach threshold for protection from symptomatic infection from VOC **Omicron** (range of mean estimates: 60 to 83% 3 Obs [5][22][23]) in adolescents age 12 to 17 years
- We have moderate certainty evidence that <u>2 doses</u> of **BNT162b2 (Pfizer)** did not reach threshold for protection from infection from VOC **Omicron** (range of mean estimates: 53 to 59% 2 Obs [11][13])in adolescents age 12 to 17 years

- We have low certainty evidence that <u>3 doses</u> of **BNT162b2 (Pfizer)** prevented symptomatic infection from VOC **Omicron** (81% [95% CI, 59 to 91] 1 Obs [8]) in adolescents age 16 to 17 years
- We have low certainty evidence that 3 doses of BNT162b2 (Pfizer) did not reach threshold for protection from symptomatic infection from VOC Omicron (62% [95% CI, 49 to 72] 1 Obs [16]) in adolescents age 12 to 17 years

# Moderna Spikevax [mRNA-1723]

### • VOC Delta

• We have low certainty evidence that <u>2 doses</u> of **mRNA-1723 (Moderna)** prevented symptomatic infection from VOC **Delta** (98% [95% CI, 92 to 99] - 1 Obs [19]) in adolescents age 16 to 19 years

# Johnson & Johnson [AD26.COV2.S]

### VOC Delta

We have low certainty evidence that <u>2 doses</u> of **AD26.COV2.S (Johnson & Johnson)** did not reach threshold for protection from symptomatic infection from VOC **Delta** (58% [95% CI, 19 to 79] - 1 Obs [19]) in adolescents age 16 to 19 years

# Sinovac [CoronaVac]

### • VOC Omicron

- We have low certainty evidence that <u>1 dose</u> of **CoronaVac** did not reach threshold for protection from symptomatic infection from VOC **Omicron** (22.3% [95% CI, 19.7 to 24.9] 1 Obs [21]) in children age 6 to 11 years
- We have low certainty evidence that <u>2 doses</u> of **CoronaVac** did not reach threshold for protection from symptomatic infection from VOC **Omicron** (41.5% [95% CI, 34.4 to 47.7] 1 Obs [<u>21</u>]) in children age 6 to 11 years
- We have low certainty evidence that <u>2 doses</u> of **CoronaVac** did not reach threshold for protection from symptomatic infection from VOC **Omicron BA.1** (38.2% [95% CI, 36.5 to 39.9] 1 Obs [12]) in children age 3 to 5 years
- We have low certainty evidence that <u>2 doses</u> of CoronaVac did not reach threshold for protection from ICU admission from VOC Omicron BA.1 (69% [95% CI, 18.6 to 88.2] 1 Obs [12]) in children age 3 to 5 years

# Table 1: Visual summary of evidence for COVID-19 vaccines for variants of concern (up to 28 days after 2 doses)

**Percentages** indicate <u>level of effectiveness</u> from 0% (no effect) to 100% (full protection): ranges of estimated means are provided when ≥ 1 study is available; estimated mean value is provided for single studies

Colour indicates level of certainty based on the evidence\*

\*Please note: prior to LES 8.9 moderate certainty evidence was coloured orange and low certainty evidence was coloured yellow

# High certainty evidence Moderate certainty evidence Low certainty evidence

pooling of low to moderate risk of bias RCTs or pooling of observational studies with low risk of bias and consistent findings single RCT with low to moderate risk of bias or >one observational study with low to moderate risk of bias and at least partially consistent findings

single RCT or observational study with serious risk of bias or multiple low to serious risk of bias observational studies with inconsistent findings

Outcome	Vaccine Effectiveness (2 doses unless otherwise stated)				
(and vaccine)	up to 28 days after last dose each combination of vaccine, variant, and				
			outcome		
	Overall	Beta	Gamma	Delta	Omicron
Any Infection					
Pfizer	91%			81 - 93%	53 - 59%
Moderna					
CoronaVac					
Symptomatic Infect	ion				
Pfizer				86 - 97%	60 - 83%
Moderna				98%	
CoronaVac					41%
Johnson & Johnson				58%*	
Transmission					
Pfizer					
Moderna					
CoronaVac					
ICU Admission					
Pfizer				98%	
Moderna					
CoronaVac					69%
Severe disease (may	include death for s	ome studies	)		
Pfizer					
Moderna					
CoronaVac					
Death					
Pfizer					
Moderna					
CoronaVac					

<sup>\*</sup>Single dose

# Table 2: Visual summary of evidence for COVID-19 vaccines for variant of concern – Delta and Omicron [2 doses > 28 days since last dose; 3 doses: > 1 days since last dose]

**Percentages** indicate <u>level of effectiveness</u> from 0% (no effect) to 100% (full protection): ranges of estimated means are provided when ≥ 1 study is available; estimated mean value is provided for single studies

Colour indicates level of certainty based on the evidence\*

\*Please note: prior to LES 8.9 moderate certainty evidence was coloured orange and low certainty evidence was coloured yellow

#### High certainty evidence Moderate certainty evidence Low certainty evidence pooling of low to moderate single RCT with low to moderate single RCT or observational risk of bias RCTs or pooling of risk of bias or >one observational study with serious risk of bias or observational studies with low study with low to moderate risk of multiple low to serious risk of risk of bias and consistent bias and at least partially consistent bias observational studies with findings findings inconsistent findings

Outcome	Variant	Number of doses	Time since Last	Age (years)	Vaccine Effectiveness
(and vaccine)		of doses	Dose (days)  Any Infection	<u> </u>	
Pfizer	Delta	1	21 to 48	12 to 17	63 to 68
		_	28 to 56	55	86.4% (95% CI, 83.5 to 88.7)
			49 to 76		47 to 56
			56 to 84		61.5% (95% CI, 43.5 to 73.7)
			77		29 to 49
		2	35 to 56	12 to 18	90% (95% CI, 67 to 97)
			63 to 84		95% (95% C10, 79 to 99)
			91 to 119		83% (95% CI, 34 to 95)
			35 to 62	16 to 17	92.8% (95% CI, 89.8 to 94.9)
			63		83.7% (95% CI, 75.9 to 89)
			14 to 149	12 to 15	87% (95% CI, 49 to 97)
	Omicron	1	21 to 48	12 to 17	16 to 34
			28 to 56		57.9% (95% CI, 50.9 to 63.9)
			49 to 76		-1 to 17
			77		-13 to -5
			56 to 84		63.7% (95% CI, 59 to 67.9)
		2	14 to 82	5 to 11	31% (95% CI, 9 to 48)
			35 to 62	16 to 17	45.7% (95% CI, 34.8 to 54.7)
			63		23.3% (95% CI, 2.7 to 39.5)
			14 to 149	12 to 15	59% (95% CI, 22 to 79)
Moderna					
CoronaVac					
			Symptomatic Infe	ection	

Pfizer	Delta	1	28	12 to 17	47.7% (95% CI, 45.5 to 49.8)
1 11101	2 0100	-	28 to 34	12 00 17	61 to 63%
			35 to 41		56 to 58%
			42 to 55	-	44 to 54%
			56 to 69		36 to 48%
			70 to 83		35 to 46%
			84 to 104		29 to 53%
			105	16 to 17	30.9% (95% CI, 25.4 to 36.0)
	<u> </u>	2	35 to 69	16 to 17	91.5% (95% CI, 89.9 to 93.0)
			70		83.7% (95% CI, 72.0 to 90.5)
			14 to 149	12 to 17	85 to 92%
			60 to 119		96% (95% CI, 94 to 97)
			31 to 60	12 to 19	87 to 93%
			61 to 90		86 to 92%
			91 to 120		82 to 92%
	Omicron	1	28 to 34	12 to 17	33 to 42%
			35 to 41		36 to 49%
			42 to 55		29 to 40%
			56 to 69		23 to 27%
			70 to 83		16 to 27%
			84		17 to 26%
			105	16 to 17	12.5% (95% CI, 96.9 to 17.8)
		2	7 to 59	12 to 17	51% (95% CI, 38 to 61)
			14 to 149		34 to 45%
			60 to 119		31% (95% CI, 20 to 41)
			35 to 69	16 to 17	49.5% (95% CI, 45.7 to 53.0)
			70		22.6% (95% CI, 14.5 to 29.9)
			30 - 90	5 - 11	28.9% (95% CI, 24.5 to 33.1)
			30 - 90	12 - 15	16.6% (95% CI, 8.1 to 24.3)
			60 - 120		9.6% (95% CI, -0.1 to 18.3)
		3	7	16 to 17	81% (95% CI, 59 to 91)
			0 to 60	12 to 17	56% (95% CI, 34 to 70)
			7		62% (95% CI, 49 to 72)
			14 to 45	12 to 15	71.1% (95% CI, 65.5 to 75.7)
Moderna	Delta	2	31 to 60	16 to 19	91% (95% CI, 87 to 94)
			61 to 90		85% (95% CI, 82 to 88)
			91 to 120		85% (95% CI, 87 to 87)
CoronaVac					
Johnson &	Delta	1	31 to 60	16 to 19	52% (95% CI, 27 to 69)
Johnson			61 to 90		63% (95% CI, 43 to 75)

			91 to 120		58% (95% CI, 45 to 68)
	Transmission				
Pfizer					
Moderna					
CoronaVac					
			ICU Admissi	on	
Pfizer					
Moderna					
CoronaVac					
			MIS-C		
Pfizer	Delta	2	28	12 to 18	91% (78 to 97)
Moderna					
CoronaVac					
	Sev	vere Disea	se (may include de	ath for some stu	ıdies)
Pfizer					
Moderna					
CoronaVac					
	Death				
Pfizer					
Moderna					
CoronaVac					

Table 3a: Key findings about vaccine effectiveness for VOC Omicron (Revised 20 Jun 2022)

		Omicron – 1 dose
Vaccine	Time frame	Findings
Pfizer/	Omicron	BNT162b2 provided protection against VOC Omicron for the
BioNTech		following outcomes at least 14 days after 1st dose in adolescents age
	At least 14 days	12 to 17:
Comirnaty	after 1st dose	• 53.7% (95% CI, 43.3 to 62.2) from infection (1 Obs - [10])
		• 44 to 53% (RME) from symptomatic infection (1 Obs - [5])
[BNT162b2]		(2 Obs) [5][10] last update 2022-05-23
	Omicron	BNT162b2 provided protection against infection by VOC
		Omicron the following number of days after 1st dose in adolescents
	>30 days after 1 <sup>st</sup>	age 12 to 17:
	dose	• 57.9% (95% CI, 50.9 to 63.9) – at 28 to 56 days (1 Obs - [10])
		• 63.7% (95% CI, 59 to 67.9) – at 56 to 84 days (1 Obs - [10])
		• -1 to 17 (RME) — at 49 to 76 days (1 Obs - [13])
		• -13 to 5 (RME) — at least 77 days (1 Obs - [13])
		• 16 to 34 (RME) – at 21 to 48 days (1 Obs - [13])
		BNT162b2 provided protection against symptomatic infection by
		VOC Omicron the following number of days after 1st dose in
		adolescents age 12 to 17:
		• 33 to 42% (RME) – at 28 to 34 days (1 Obs - [5])
		• 36 to 49% (RME) – at 35 to 41 days (1 Obs - [5])
		• 29 to 40% (RME) – at 42 to 55 days (1 Obs - [5])
		• 23 to 27% (RME) – at 56 to 69 days (1 Obs - [5])
		• 16 to 27% (RME) – at 70 to 83 days (1 Obs - [5])
		• 17 to 26% (RME) — at least 84 days (1 Obs - [5])
		BNT162b2 provided protection against symptomatic infection by
		VOC Omicron the following number of days after 1st dose in
		adolescents age 16 to 17:
		• 12.5% (95% CI, 6.9 to 17.8) – at least 105 days (1 Obs - [5])
		(3 Obs) - [5][10][13]; last update 2022-04-11
Sinovac	Omicron	Corona Vac provided protection against VOC Omicron for the
[CoronaVac]		following outcomes at least 14 days after 1st dose in children age 6
	At least 14 days	to 11:
	after 1 <sup>st</sup> dose	• 22.3% (95% CI, 19.7 to 24.9) from symptomatic infection-(1 Obs -
		[ <u>21</u> ])
		(1 Obs) [21]; last update 2022-05-09
		Omicron – 2 doses
Pfizer/	Omicron	BNT162b2 provided protection against VOC Omicron for the
BioNTech		following outcomes at least 14 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in adolescents age
	At least 7 days	12 to 17:
Comirnaty	after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose	• 53 to 59% (RME) from infection (2 Obs - [11][13])
		BNT162b2 provided protection against VOC Omicron for the
[BNT162b2]		following outcomes at least 7 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in adolescents age
_		12 to 17:
		• 60 to 83% from symptomatic infection (RME) (3 Obs - [5][22][23])
		BNT162b2 provided protection against VOC Omicron for the
		following outcomes at least 14 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in children age 5
		to 11:

		• 68% (95% CI, 42 to 82) from hospitalization (1 Obs - [15])
		(6 Obs) [10][11][13][15][22][23]); last update 2022-05-23
	Omicron	BNT162b2 provided protection against infection by VOC
		Omicron for the following number of days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in
	>30 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup>	children age 5 to 11:
	dose	• 31% (95% CI, 9 to 48) - at 14 to 82 days (1 Obs - [11])
		BNT162b2 provided protection against infection by VOC
		Omicron for the following number of days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in
		adolescents age 12 to 15:
		• 59% (95% CI, 22 to 79) - at 14 to 149 days (1 Obs - [11])
		BNT162b2 provided protection against infection by VOC
		Omicron for the following number of days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in
		adolescents age 16 to 17:
		• 45.7% (95% CI, 34.8 to 54.7) - at 35 to 62 days (1 Obs - [13])
		• 23.3% (95% CI, 2.7 to 39.5) - at least 63 days (1 Obs - [13])
		BNT162b2 provided protection against symptomatic infection
		from VOC Omicron for the following number of days after $2^{nd}$
		dose in adolescents age 16 to 17:
		• 49.5% (95% CI, 45.7 to 53) - at 35 - 69 days (1 Obs - [5])
		• 22.6% (95% CI, 14.5 to 29.9) - at least 70 days (1 Obs - [5])
		BNT162b2 provided protection against symptomatic infection by
		VOC Omicron for the following number of days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in
		children age 5 to 11:
		• 51% (95% CI, 30 to 65) - at 14 to 67 days (1 Obs - [8])
		• 28.9% (95% CI, 24.5 to 33.1) - at 30 to 90 days (1 Obs – [22])
		BNT162b2 provided protection against symptomatic infection by
		VOC Omicron for the following number of days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in
		adolescents age 12 to 15:
		• 16.6% (95% CI, 8.1 to 24.3)- at 30 to 90 days (1 Obs - [22])
		• 9.6% (95% CI, -0.1 to 18.3) - at 60 to 120 days (1 Obs – [22])
		BNT162b2 provided protection against symptomatic infection by
		VOC Omicron for the following number of days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in
		adolescents age 12 to 17:
		• 51% (95% CI, 38 to 61) - at 7 to 59 days (1 Obs - [16]) • 34 to 45% (RME) - at 14 to 149 days (1 Obs - [8])
		• 31% (95% CI, 20 to 41) - at 60 to 119 days (1 Obs - [16])
		BNT162b2 provided protection against hospitalization by VOC
		Omicron for the following number of days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in
		adolescents age 12 to 18:
		• 43% (95% CI, -1 to 68) - at 14 to 67 days (1 Obs - [15])
		(7 Obs) [5][8][11][13][15][16][22]; last update 2022-54-23
Sinovac	Omicron	CoronaVac provided protection against VOC Omicron for the
[CoronaVac]		following outcomes at least 14 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in children age 6
	At least 7 days	to 11:
	after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose	• 41.5% (95% CI, 34.4 to 47.7) from symptomatic infection-(1 Obs -
		[21])
		<u>BA. 1</u>

	1	
		CoronaVac provided protection against VOC Omicron for the
		following outcomes at least 14 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in children age 3
		to 5:
		• 38.2% (95% CI, 36.5 to 39.9) from symptomatic infection-(1 Obs -
		[12])
		• 64.6% (95% CI, 49.6 to 75.2) from hospitalization-(1 Obs - [12])
		• 69% (95% CI, 18.6 to 88.2) from ICU admission-(1 Obs - [12])
		(2 Obs) [12][21]; last update 2022-06-20
		Omicron – 3 doses
Pfizer/	Omicron	BNT162b2 provided protection against VOC Omicron for the
BioNTech		following outcomes at least 7 days after 3 <sup>rd</sup> dose in adolescents age
	Any time frame	16 to 17:
Comirnaty	after 3 <sup>rd</sup> dose	81% (95% CI, 59 to 91) from symptomatic infection (1 Obs - [8])
		BNT162b2 provided protection against Symptomatic infection by
[BNT162b2]		VOC Omicron the following number of days after 3 <sup>rd</sup> dose in
[511110202]		adolescents age 12 to 17:
		• 56% (95% CI, 34 to 70) – at 0 to 6 days (1 Obs - [16])
		• 62% (95% CI, 49 to 72) – at 0 to 0 days (1 Obs - [16])
		BNT162b2 provided protection against Symptomatic infection by
		VOC Omicron the following number of days after 3 <sup>rd</sup> dose in
		adolescents age 12 to 15:
		• 71.1% (95% CI, 65.5 to 75.7) – at 14 to 45 days (1 Obs - [22])
		(3 Obs) [8][16][22]; last update 2022-54-23
	T	Omicron – Relative VE
Any vaccine	Omicron	The results in this section should be reviewed with caution.
		Study populations that received booster doses are commonly
	Relative VE for	very different from populations who did not receive or were
	primary series	not yet eligible for booster doses which increases the risk of
	vaccine doses	bias
	compared to	
	primary series plus	No data yet
	booster vaccine	
	doses (instead of	
	an unvaccinated	
	group)	
	0 1/	
L	1	

Pan American Health Organization/World Health Organization. Pharmacovigilance for COVID-19 Vaccines. <a href="https://covid-19pharmacovigilance.paho.org">https://covid-19pharmacovigilance.paho.org</a>

Table 3b: Key findings about vaccine effectiveness for VOC Delta (Revised 20 Jun 2022)

		Delta – 1 dose
Vaccine	Time frame	Findings
Pfizer/	Delta	BNT162b2 provided protection against VOC Delta for the
BioNTech		following outcomes at least 14 days after 1st dose in adolescents age
	At least 14 days	12 to 18:
Comirnaty	after 1st dose	• 55 to 80% from infection (RME) (4 Obs - [2][10][17][18])
		• 59 to 76% from symptomatic infection(RME) (2 Obs - [5][9][18])
[BNT162b2]		BNT162b2 provided protection against VOC Delta for the
		following outcomes at 0 to 27 days after 1st dose in adolescents age
		12 to 15:
		• 14.2% (95% CI, - 25.6 to 41.4) against hospitalization (1 Obs - [5])
		BNT162b2 provided protection against VOC Delta for the
		following outcomes at 0 to 27 days after 1st dose in adolescents age
		16 to 17:
		• 64.6% (95% CI, 40.7 to 78.9) from hospitalization (1 Obs - [5])
		(6 Obs). [2][5][9][10][17][18]; last update 2022-05-23
	Delta	BNT162b2 provided protection against infection by VOC Delta
		the following number of days after 1st dose in adolescents age 12 to
	>30 days after 1 <sup>st</sup>	17:
	dose	• 47.7% (95% CI, 45.5 to 49.8) – at least 28 days (1 Obs - [23])
		• 86.4% (95% CI, 83.5 to 88.7) – at 28 to 56 days (1 Obs - [10])
		• 61.5% (95% CI, 43.5 to 73.7) – at 56 to 84 days (1 Obs - [10])
		• 63 to 68% (RME) – at 21 to 48 days (1 Obs - [13])
		• 47 to 56% (RME) – at 49 to 76 days (1 Obs - [13])
		• 29 to 49% (RME) – at least 77 days (1 Obs - [13])
		BNT162b2 provided protection against symptomatic infection by
		VOC Delta the following number of days after 1st dose in
		adolescents age 12 to 17:
		• 61 to 63% (RME) – at 28 to 34 days (1 Obs - [5])
		• 56 to 58% (RME) — at 35 to 41 days (1 Obs - [5])
		• 44 to 54% (RME) – at 42 to 55 days (1 Obs - [5])
		• 36 to 48% (RME) – at 56 to 69 days (1 Obs - [5])
		• 35 to 46% (RME) – at 70 to 83 days (1 Obs - [5])
		• 29 to 53% (RME) – at 84 to 104 days (1 1 Obs - [5])
		BNT162b2 provided protection against symptomatic infection by
		VOC Delta the following number of days after 1st dose in
		adolescents age 16 to 17:
		• 30.9% (95% CI, 25.4 to 36.0) – at least 105 days (1 Obs - [5]) BNT162b2 provided protection against hospitalization by VOC
		Delta the following number of days after 1st dose in adolescents age
		12 to 17:
		• 76 to 83% (RME) - at least 28 days (1 Obs - [5])
		(4 Obs) [5][10][13][23]; last update 2022-05-23
Johnson &	Delta	AD26.COV2.S provided protection against VOC Delta for the
Johnson	Delta	following outcomes at least 14 days after dose in adolescents age 16
[AD26.COV2.S]	Up to 30 days	to 19:
[.1220.00 (2.0]	after dose	• 58% (95% CI, 19 to 79) from symptomatic infection-(1 Obs - [19])
	uitei dosc	(1 Obs) [19]; last update 2022-05-09
		(1 000) [12], was upon 2022-07-07

		I that corres
	Delta	AD26.COV2.S provided protection against symptomatic infection
		by VOC Delta for the following number of days after dose in
	>30 days after	adolescents age 16 to 19:
	dose	• 52% (95% CI, 27 to 69) - at 31 to 60 days (1 Obs - [19])
		• 63% (95% CI, 43 to 75) - at 61 to 90 days (1 Obs - [19])
		• 58% (95% CI, 45 to 68)- at 91 to 120 days (1 Obs - [19])
		(1 Obs) [19]; last update 2022-05-09
		Delta – 2 doses
Pfizer/	Delta	BNT162b2 provided protection against VOC Delta for the
BioNTech		following outcomes at least 7 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in adolescents age
	At least 7 days	12 to 18:
Comirnaty	after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose	• 81 to 93% against infection (RME) (7 Obs – [1][2][6][9][11][13][17])
·		• 86 to 97% against symptomatic infection (RME) (5 Obs -
[BNT162b2]		[5][9][16][19][23])
		BNT162b2 provided protection against VOC Delta for the
		following outcomes at least 14 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in adolescents age
		12 to 18:
		• 94% (95% CI, 90 to 96) from hospitalization (1 Obs – [4])
		• 98% (95% CI, 93 to 99) from ICU admission (1 Obs - [4])
		(12 Obs) [1][2][4][5][6][9][11][13][16][17][19][23]; last update 2022-05-23
	Delta	BNT162b2 provided protection against infection by VOC Delta for
		the following number of days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in adolescents age 12 to
	>30 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup>	18:
	dose	• 90% (95% CI, 67 to 97) - at 35 to 56 days (1 Obs - [2])
		• 83% (95% CI, 34 to 95) - at 34 to 95 days (1 Obs - [2])
		• 95% (95% CI, 79 to 99) - at 79 to 99 (1 Obs - [2])
		BNT162b2 provided protection against infection by VOC Delta for
		the following number of days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in adolescents age 12 to
		15:
		• 87% (95% CI, 49 to 97) - at 14 to 149 days (1 Obs - [11])
		BNT162b2 provided protection against infection by VOC Delta for
		the following number of days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in adolescents age 16 to
		17:
		• 92.8% (95% CI, 89.8 to 94.9) - at 35 to 62 days (1 Obs - [13])
		• 83.7% (95% CI, 75.9 to 89) - at least 63 days (1 Obs - [13])
		BNT162b2 provided protection against MIS-C by VOC Delta the
		following number of days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in adolescents age 12 to 18:
		• 91% (95% CI, 78 to 97) - at least 28 days, Median 84 days (IQR
		51–122) (1 Obs - [Z])
		BNT162b2 provided protection against symptomatic infection by
		VOC Delta for the following number of days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in
		adolescents age 16 to 17:
		• 91.5% (95% CI, 89.9 to 93.0) - at 35 to 69 days (1 Obs - [5])
		• 83.7% (95% CI, 72.0 to 90.5) - at least 70 days (1 Obs - [5])
		BNT162b2 provided protection against symptomatic infection by
		VOC Delta for the following number of days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in
		adolescents age 12 to 17:
		• 85 to 92% (RME) - at 14 to 149 days (1 Obs - [8])
		• 96% (95% CI, 94 to 97) - at 60 to 119 days (1 Obs - [16])
		▼ 90/0 (93/0 C1, 94 to 9/) - at ou to 119 days (1 Obs - [16])

		BNT162b2 provided protection against symptomatic infection by
		VOC Delta for the following number of days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in
		adolescents age 12 to 19:
		• 87 to 93% (RME) - at 31 to 60 days (1 Obs - [19])
		• 86 to 92% (RME) - at 61 to 90 days (1 Obs - [19])
		• 82 to 92% (RME) - at 91 to 120 days (1 Obs - [19])
		BNT162b2 provided protection against hospitalization by VOC
		Delta for the following number of days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in adolescents
		age 12 to 18:
		• 93% (95% CI, 89 to 95)- at 14 to 154 days (1 Obs - [13])
		(8 Obs) [5][7][8][9][11][13][16][19]); last update 2022-05-09
Moderna	Delta	mRNA-1723 provided protection against VOC Delta for the
		following outcomes at least 14 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in adolescents age
Spikevax	At least 7 days	16 to 19:
1	after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose	• 98% (95% CI, 92 to 99) from symptomatic infection-(1 Obs - [19])
[mRNA-1723]		(1 Obs) [19]; last update 2022-05-09
	Delta	mRNA-1723 provided protection against symptomatic infection by
		VOC Delta for the following number of days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in
	>30 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup>	adolescents age 16 to 19:
	dose	• 91% (95% CI, 87 to 94) - at 31 to 60 days (1 Obs - [19])
		• 85% (95% CI, 82 to 88) - at 61 to 90 days (1 Obs - [19])
		• 85% (95% CI, 82 to 87)- at 91 to 120 days (1 Obs - [19])
		(1 Obs) [19]; last update 2022-05-09
		Delta – Relative VE
Any vaccine	Delta	The results in this section should be reviewed with caution.
		Study populations that received booster doses are commonly
	Relative VE for	very different from populations who did not receive or were
	primary series	not yet eligible for booster doses which increases the risk of
	vaccine doses	bias
	compared to	
	primary series plus	No data yet
	booster vaccine	
	doses (instead of	
	an unvaccinated	
	group)	

Pan American Health Organization/World Health Organization. Pharmacovigilance for COVID-19 Vaccines. <a href="https://covid-19pharmacovigilance.paho.org">https://covid-19pharmacovigilance.paho.org</a>

Table 3c: Key findings about vaccine effectiveness in studies covering more than one VOC (Revised 20 Jun 2022)

	N	fore than one VOC – 1 dose
Vaccine	Time frame	Findings
Pfizer/	Overall	BNT162b2 provided protection for the following outcomes at least
BioNTech		14 days after 1st dose in adolescents age 12 to 15:
		• 67% (95% CI, 50 to 78) from infection (1 Obs – [3])
Comirnaty		• 100% (95% CI, 100 to 100) from hospitalization (1 Obs - [3])
		(1 Obs) [3]; last update 2021-12-13
[BNT162b2]	Delta to	BNT162b2 provided protection against VOC Delta to Omicron for
	Omicron	the following outcomes at least 14 days after 1st dose in adolescents
		age 12 to 17:
	At least 14 days	• 38% (95% CI, -51 to 79) from hospitalization (1 Obs – [14])
	after 1st dose	BNT162b2 provided protection against VOC Delta to Omicron for
		the following outcomes at least 14 days after 1st dose in children age
		4 to 11:
		• 32% (95% CI, -49 to 72) from hospitalization (1 Obs – [14])
		BNT162b2 provided protection against VOC Delta to Omicron for
		the following outcomes at least 14 days after 1st dose in children
		and adolescents age 4 to 17:
		• 37% (95% CI, -13 to 67) from hospitalization (1 Obs – [14])
	D 1	(1 Obs) [14]; last update 2022-04-11
	Delta to	BNT162b2 provided protection against infection by VOC Delta
	Omicron	Omicron the following number of days after 1st dose in adolescents
	>20 days after 1st	age 12 to 17:
	>30 days after 1 <sup>st</sup> dose	<ul> <li>62 to 65 (RME) – at 21 to 48 days (1 Obs - [13])</li> <li>48 to 57 (RME) – at 49 to 76 days (1 Obs - [13])</li> </ul>
	dosc	• 48 to 70 (RME) – at 49 to 70 days (1 Obs - [13])
		(1 Obs) - [13]; last update 2022-04-11
	M	fore than one VOC – 2 doses
Pfizer/	Overall	BNT162b2 provided protection for the following outcomes at least
BioNTech		7 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in adolescents age 12 to 15:
		• 91% (95% CI, 88 to 93) from infection (1 Obs - [3])
Comirnaty		• 81% (95% CI, -55 to 98) from hospitalization (1 Obs - [3])
		(1 Obs) [3]; last update 2021-12-13
[BNT162b2]	Delta to	BNT162b2 provided protection against VOC Delta to Omicron for
	Omicron	the following outcomes at least 7 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in adolescents
		age 16 to 17:
	At least 7 days	• 90.7% (95% CI, 87.4 to 93.1) from infection (1 Obs - [13])
	after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose	BNT162b2 provided protection against VOC Delta to Omicron for
		the following outcomes at least 14 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in adolescents
		age 12 to 18:
		• 82 to 83% (RME) from hospitalization (1 Obs - [15])
		BNT162b2 provided protection against VOC Delta to Omicron for
		the following outcomes at least 14 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in adolescents
		age 12 to 17:
		• 59% (95% CI, 23 to 82) from hospitalization (1 Obs - [14])

		DATE OF A STATE OF A S	
		BNT162b2 provided protection against VOC Delta to Omicron for	
		the following outcomes at least 14 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in adolescents	
		age 4 to 17:	
		• 59% (95% CI, 23 to 79) from hospitalization (1 Obs - [14])	
		(3 Obs) [13][14][15]; last update 2022-04-11	
	Delta to	BNT162b2 provided protection against infection by VOC Delta to	
	Omicron	Omicron for the following number of days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in	
		adolescents age 16 to 17:	
	>30 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup>	• 92.3% (95% CI, 82.9 to 96.6) - at 35 to 62 days (1 Obs - [13])	
	dose	• 87.8% (95% CI, 78.8 to 92.9) - at least 63 days (1 Obs - [13])	
		BNT162b2 provided protection against hospitalization by VOC	
		Delta to Omicron for the following number of days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose	
		in children age 5 to 11:	
		• 74% (95% CI, -35 to 95) - at 14 to 67 days (1 Obs - [8])	
		BNT162b2 provided protection against hospitalization by VOC	
		Delta to Omicron for the following number of days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose	
		in adolescents age 12 to 17:	
		• 92 to 94% (RME) - at 14 to 149 days (1 Obs - [8])	
		BNT162b2 provided protection against symptomatic infection by	
		VOC Delta to Omicron for the following number of days after 2 <sup>nd</sup>	
		dose in children age 5 to 11:	
		• 46% (95% CI, 24 to 61) - at 14 to 67 days (1 Obs - [8])	
		BNT162b2 provided protection against symptomatic infection by	
		VOC Delta to Omicron for the following number of days after 2 <sup>nd</sup>	
		dose in adolescents age 12 to 17:	
		• 76 to 83% (RME) - at 14 to 149 days (1 Obs - [8])	
		(2 Obs) [8][13]; last update 2022-04-11	
More than one VOC – 3 doses			
Pfizer/	Delta to	BNT162b2 provided protection against VOC Delta to Omicron for	
BioNTech	Omicron	the following outcomes at least 7 days after 3rd dose in adolescents	
		age 16 to 17:	
Comirnaty	Any time frame	• 86% (95% CI, 73 to 93) from symptomatic infection (1 Obs - 8)	
	after 3 <sup>rd</sup> dose	(1 Obs) [8]; last update 2022-03-14	
[BNT162b2]		TOO DIE VE	
A •		e than one VOC – Relative VE	
Any vaccine	More than one	The results in this section should be reviewed with caution.	
	VOC	Study populations that received booster doses are commonly	
	Dolotics VIII C	very different from populations who did not receive or were	
	Relative VE for	not yet eligible for booster doses which increases the risk of	
	primary series	bias	
	vaccine doses	NT. J.44	
	compared to	No data yet	
	primary series plus		
	booster vaccine		
	doses (instead of		
	an unvaccinated		
	group)		

Pan American Health Organization/World Health Organization. Pharmacovigilance for COVID-19 Vaccines. <a href="https://covid-19pharmacovigilance.paho.org">https://covid-19pharmacovigilance.paho.org</a>

Flórez ID1,2, Velásquez-Salazar P1, Martínez JC1, Linkins L3, Abdelkader W3, Iorio A3, Lavis J3, Patiño-Lugo DF1. COVID-19 living evidence synthesis #8 (version 13): What is the effectiveness of available COVID-19 vaccines in children and adolescents in general and specifically for variants of concern? Evidence and Deliberation Unit for Decision Making (UNED), University of Antioquia & Health Information Research Unit (HIRU), McMaster University, 20 Jun 2022.

To help Canadian decision-makers as they respond to unprecedented challenges related to the COVID-19 pandemic, COVID-END in Canada is preparing rapid evidence responses like this one. The development and continued updating of this living evidence synthesis has been funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) and the Public Health Agency of Canada. The opinions, results, and conclusions are those of the team that prepared the living evidence synthesis, and independent of the Government of Canada, CIHR and the Public Health Agency of Canada. No endorsement by the Government of Canada, CIHR or Public Health Agency of Canada is intended or should be inferred.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Faculty of Medicine, University of Antioquia, Colombia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> School of Rehabilitation Science, McMaster University, Canada

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Faculty of Health Sciences, McMaster University, Canada

Appendix 1: Summary of Study Findings and Appraisals

	Section 1: included studies				
Ref	Author	Bottom line	ROBINS- I*	Design, Notes	
		*Note: ROBINS-I score risk of bias: Low risk	k of bias indica	ites high quality	
1	Glatman- Freedman	BNT162b2 showed VE 91.5% (95% CI, 88.2 to 93.9) against infection at least 8 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in adolescents age 12 to 15 years. There were no deaths in either group.	Serious	Population cohort in Israel of adolescents age 12 to 15 years; 2,034,591 vaccinated persondays and 13,623,714 unvaccinated person-days; time and setting for VOC Delta <i>Included in LES 8.1</i>	
2	Reis	BNT162b2 showed VE 59% (95% CI, 52 to 65) against infection 14 to 20 days after 1 <sup>st</sup> dose in adolescents age 12 to 18.  BNT162b2 showed VE 90% (95% CI, 88 to 92) against infection 7 to 21 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in adolescents age 12 to 18.	Moderate	Case-control study in Israel; 94,354 vaccinated matched to 94,354 unvaccinated adolescents age 12 to 18; time and setting for VOC Delta Included in LES 8.1	
3	Tartof	BNT162b2 showed VE 67% (95% CI, 50 to 78) against infection and VE 100% (95% CI, 100 to 100) against hospitalization at least +14 days after 1st dose in adolescents age 12 to 15 years.  BNT162b2 showed VE 91% (95% CI, 88 to 93) against infection and VE 81% (95% CI, -55 to 98) against hospitalization at least +7 days after 2nd dose in adolescents age 12 to 15 years.	Moderate	Retrospective Cohort in USA of 3,436,957 Kaiser Permanente Southern California (KPSC) healthcare system members ≥12 years of age between Dec 14, 2020 – Aug 8, 2021. The cohort included 122,779 adolescents age 12 to 15 years.  The primary exposure was being fully vaccinated, defined as receiving 2 doses of BNT162b2 with ≥ 7 days after the second dose.  Over the study period, 28.4% of 9,147 specimens sent for whole genome sequencing (WGS) and viral lineage designation were Delta.  Included in LES 8.1 last update 2022-01-04	
4	Olson	BNT162b2 showed VE 94% (95% CI, 90 to 96) against hospitalization at least +14 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in adolescents age 12 to 18 years.  BNT162b2 showed VE 95% (95% CI, 88 to 97) in adolescents age 12 to 15 years and VE 94% (95% CI, 88 to 97) in	Moderate	Test-negative study in U.S of adolescents age 12 to 18 years between Jun 1–Oct 25, 2021; 299 fully vaccinated (receipt of 2 doses of BNT162b2 vaccine, with the second dose administered ≥14 days before illness onset), 55 partially	

	Т	1		T
		adolescents age 16 to 18 years against hospitalization at least +14 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup>		vaccinated (had received only one dose of vaccine or who had
		dose.		received a second dose less than
		dosc.		14 days before illness onset) and
		BNT162b2 showed VE 98% (95% CI, 93		868 unvaccinated (no receipt of
		to 99) against ICU admission at least +14		any COVID-19 vaccine before
		days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in adolescents age 12		illness onset), time and setting
		to 18 years.		for VOC Delta.
		to 16 years.		Included in LES 8.2
				last update in LES 8.3
5	Powell	BNT162b2 showed after 1st dose VE	Moderate	Test-negative case-control
	1 OWCII	74.5% (95% CI, 73.2 to 75.6) at 14-20	Moderate	design in England of
		days, VE 63.4% (95% CI, 61.7 to 65.1) at		adolescents age 12-17 years
		28-34 days, VE 47.5% (95% CI, 44.9 to		from week 37, 2021 onwards;
		49.9) at 56-69 days, and VE 53.1% (95%		there were 617,259 eligible tests
		CI, 41.6 to 62.4) at least 84 days, in		for 12-15-year-olds and 225,670
		adolescents age 12 to 15 years against		for 16-17-year-olds.
		infection. (VOC Delta)		Symptomatic 12-15-year-olds
		inicction: (VOC Detta)		and 16-17-year-olds with PCR-
		BNT162b2 showed after 1st dose VE		confirmed SARS-COV-2
		49.6% (95% CI, 43.9 to 54.8) at 14-20		infection was compared with
		days, VE 42.1% (95% CI, 36.7 to 46.9) at		vaccination status in
		28-34 days, VE 22.5% (95% CI, 19.1 to		symptomatic adolescents in the
		25.8) at 56-69 days, and VE 17.2% (95%		same age-groups who had a
		CI, 12.0 to 22.1) at least 84 days, in		negative SARS-COV-2 PCR
		adolescents age 12 to 15 years against		test.
		infection. (VOC Omicron)		All cases prior to week 48 were
		infection: (VOC officion)		defined as Delta, unless S gene
		BNT162b2 showed after 1st dose VE		target failure (SGTF),
		75.9% (95% CI, 74.3 to 77.3) at 14-20		genotyping or sequencing
		days, VE 60.6% (95% CI, 58.1 to 62.9) at		information confirmed
		28-34 days, VE 36.3% (95% CI, 33.1 to		otherwise. Tests were defined as
		39.3) at 56-69 days, VE 29.3% (95% CI,		Omicron from week 48
		25.9 to 32.6) at 84-104 days, and VE		onwards using SGTF,
		30.9% (95% CI, 25.4 to 36.0) at least 105		genotyping or sequencing
		days, in adolescents age 16 to 17 years		information.
		against infection. (VOC Delta)		Included in LES 8.2
		(1002011)		Updated in LES 8.6
		BNT162b2 showed after 1st dose VE		Link Updated in LES 8.8
		51.4% (95% CI, 42.7 to 58.8) at 14-20		
		days, VE 33% (95% CI, 18.6 to 44.9) at		
		28-34 days, VE 26.6% (95% CI, 17.4 to		
		34.8) at 56-69 days, VE 20.5% (95% CI,		
		13.0 to 27.3) at 84-104 days, and VE		
		12.5% (95% CI, 6.9 to 17.8) at least 105		
		days, in adolescents age 16 to 17 years		
		against infection. (VOC Omicron)		
		BNT162b2 showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE		
		93.2% (95% CI, 81.5 to 97.5) at 7-13 days		
	<u> </u>	75.270 (7570 C1, 01.5 to 71.5) at 1-15 days		

		and VE 87.2% (95% CI, 73.7 to 93.8) at		
		least 14 days in adolescents age 12 to 15		
		years against infection. (VOC Delta)		
		BNT162b2 showed after <u>2<sup>nd</sup> dose</u> VE		
		83.1% (95% CI, 78.2 to 86.9) at 7-13 days		
		and VE 73% (95% CI, 66.4 to 78.3) at		
		least 14 days in adolescents age 12 to 15		
		years against infection. (VOC Omicron)		
		BNT162b2 showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE		
		93.1% (95% CI, 91.6 to 94.4) at 7-13		
		days, VE 96.1% (95% CI, 95.2 to 96.8) at		
		14-34 days, VE 91.5% (95% CI, 89.9 to		
		93.0) at 35-69 days, and VE 83.7% (95%		
		CI, 72.0 to 90.5) at least 70 days in		
		adolescents age 16 to 17 years against		
		infection. (VOC Delta)		
		miceuon. (VOC Delta)		
		BNT162b2 showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE		
		76.1% (95% CI, 73.4 to 78.6) at 7-13		
		days, VE 71.3% (95% CI, 69.3 to 73.1) at		
		' '		
		14-34 days, VE 49.5% (95% CI, 45.7 to		
		53.0) at 35-69 days, and VE 22.6% (95%		
		CI, 14.5 to 29.9) at least 70 days in		
		adolescents age 16 to 17 years against		
		infection. (VOC Omicron)		
		DNTT1 (21-2 -1 1 - ft 1 St -1 V/E		
		BNT162b2 showed after 1 st dose VE		
		14.2% (95% CI, -25.6 to 41.4) at 0-27		
		days, and VE 83.4% (95% CI, 54.0 to		
		94.0) at least 28 days in adolescents age		
		12 to 15 years against hospitalization.		
		(VOC Delta)		
		DNT-1/212 1 1 5 48 1 175		
		BNT162b2 showed after 1 <sup>st</sup> dose VE		
		64.6% (95% CI, 40.7 to 78.9) at 0-27		
		days, and VE 76.3% (95% CI, 61.1 to		
		85.6) at least 28 days in adolescents age		
		16 to 18 years against hospitalization.		
	T 1	(VOC Delta)	3.5 1	D
6	<u>Lutrick</u>	BNT162b2 showed VE 92% (95% CI, 79	Moderate	Prospective cohort in Arizona,
		to 97) against infection at least +14 days		of 243 adolescents aged 12–17
		after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in adolescents age 12 to 17		years between Jul 25 - Dec 4,
		years.		2021; 21,693 vaccinated person-
				days and 4,288 unvaccinated
				person-days; time and setting
				for VOC Delta.
				Included in LES 8.3

7	Zambrano	BNT162b2 showed VE 91% (95% CI, 78 to 97) against MIS-C at least +28 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose in adolescents age 12 to 18 years.	Moderate	Test-negative case-control design in 24 pediatric hospitals in 20 states of U.S among hospitalized patients aged 12–18 years between Jul 1–Dec 9, 2021; 283 participants; VE was assessed by comparing the odds of antecedent vaccination in 102 patients with MIS-C (case patients) and 181 patients in two groups of hospitalized controls (test-negative and syndromenegative) matched to casepatients; time and setting for VOC Delta. <i>Included in LES 8.3</i>
8	Klein	BNT162b2 showed after 2nd dose VE 74% (95% CI, -35 to 95) at 14-67 days, in children age 5 to 11 years against hospitalization. (VOC Delta to Omicron)  BNT162b2 showed after 2nd dose VE 92% (95% CI, 79 to 97) at 14-149 days, in adolescents age 12 to 15 years against hospitalization. (VOC Delta to Omicron)  BNT162b2 showed after 2nd dose VE 94% (95% CI, 87 to 97) at 14-149 days, in adolescents age 16 to 17 years against hospitalization. (VOC Delta to Omicron)  BNT162b2 showed after 2nd dose VE 46% (95% CI, 24 to 61) at 14-67 days, in children age 5 to 11 years against symptomatic infection. (VOC Delta to Omicron)  BNT162b2 showed after 2nd dose VE 83% (95% CI, 80 to 85) at 14-149 days, in adolescents age 12 to 15 years against symptomatic infection. (VOC Delta to Omicron)  BNT162b2 showed after 2nd dose VE 83% (95% CI, 80 to 85) at 14-149 days, in adolescents age 12 to 15 years against symptomatic infection. (VOC Delta to Omicron)  BNT162b2 showed after 2nd dose VE 76% (95% CI, 71 to 80) at 14-149 days, in adolescents age 16 to 17 years against symptomatic infection. (VOC Delta to Omicron)	Serious	Test-negative case-control design in 10 states of the U.S among 39,217 emergency department (ED) and urgent care (UC) encounters and 1,699 hospitalizations among persons aged 5–17 years with COVID-19–like illness during April 9, 2021– January 29, 2022. VE was estimated comparing the odds of a positive SARS-CoV-2 test result between vaccinated (received at least 2 doses ≥14 days earlier or 3 doses ≥7 days earlier) and unvaccinated (received no doses) patients; time and setting for VOC Delta and VOC Omicron.  Included in LES 8.7

		BNT162b2 showed after 3 <sup>rd</sup> dose VE		
		86% (95% CI, 73 to 93) at least 7 days, in		
		adolescents age 16 to 17 years against		
		symptomatic infection. (VOC Delta to		
		Omicron)		
		BNT162b2 showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE		
		92% (95% CI, 89 to 94) at 14-149 days,		
		in adolescents age 12 to 15 years against		
		symptomatic infection. (VOC Delta)		
		BNT162b2 showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE		
		85% (95% CI, 81 to 89) at 14-149 days,		
		in adolescents age 16 to 17 years against symptomatic infection. (VOC Delta)		
		symptomatic infection. (VOC Delta)		
		BNT162b2 showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE		
		51% (95% CI, 30 to 65) at 14-67 days, in		
		children age 5 to 11 years against		
		symptomatic infection. (VOC Omicron)		
		BNT162b2 showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE		
		45% (95% CI, 30 to 57) at 14-149 days,		
		in adolescents age 12 to 15 years against		
		symptomatic infection. (VOC Omicron)		
		oympromide intection (+ e e e interest)		
		BNT162b2 showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE		
		34% (95% CI, 8 to 53) at 14-149 days, in		
		adolescents age 16 to 17 years against		
		symptomatic infection. (VOC Omicron)		
		BNT162b2 showed after 3 <sup>rd</sup> dose VE		
		81% (95% CI, 59 to 91) at least 7 days, in		
		adolescents age 16 to 17 years against		
		symptomatic infection. (VOC Omicron)		
9	Oliveira	BNT162b2 showed after 1 <sup>st</sup> dose VE	Moderate	Matched case-control study in
		74% (95% CI, 18 to 92) at least 14 days,		Connecticut (US) of 542
		in adolescents age 12 to 18 years against		adolescents aged 12-18 years,
		infection. (VOC Delta)		including 186 case participants
		,		and 356 matched control
		BNT162b2 showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE		participants, between Jun 1 -
		90% (95% CI, 79 to 95) at least 14 days,		Aug 15, 2021; time and setting
		VE 91% (95% CI, 33 to 99) at 7-28 days,		for VOC Delta.
		VE 90% (95% CI, 67 to 97) at 35-56		Included in LES 8.8
		days, VE 95% (95% CI, 79 to 99) at 63-		
		84 days, and VE 83% (95% CI, 34 to 95)		
		at 91-119 days, in adolescents age 12 to		
1		18 years against infection. (VOC Delta)		
		10 years against infection. (VOC Delta)		

		BNT162b2 showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE		
		93% (95% CI, 81 to 97) at least 14 days, in adolescents age 12 to 18 years against		
10	M 1	symptomatic infection. (VOC Delta)	С .	D
10	<u>Molteni</u>	BNT162b2 showed after 1 st dose VE	Serious	Prospective cohort in the
		80.4% (95% CI, 78.5 to 82.2) at 14-30		United Kingdom using data
		days, VE 86.4% (95% CI, 83.5 to 88.7) at		from the Covid Symptom Study
		1-2 months (28 to 56 days), and VE		(CSS), of 101,076 adolescents
		61.5% (95% CI, 43.5 to 73.7) at 2-3		aged 12-17 years, between Aug
		months (56 to 84 days), in adolescents		05, 2021–Feb 14, 2022; time and
		age 12 to 17 years against infection.		setting for VOC Delta to VOC
		(VOC Delta)		Omicron.
		DNT1(2) 2 -1 1 - G 18t 1 VE		In the article, the effectiveness is
		BNT162b2 showed after 1 <sup>st</sup> dose VE		presented as an adjusted relative
		53.7% (95% CI, 43.3 to 62.2) at 14-30		risk reduction obtained by
		days, VE 57.9% (95% CI, 50.9 to 63.9) at		RRR = (RR - 1) * 100, in the
		1-2 months (28 to 56 days), and VE		present report it is transformed
		63.7% (95% CI, 59 to 67.9) at 2-3		for the reader's understanding.
		months (56 to 84 days), in adolescents		Included in LES 8.8
		age 12 to 17 years against infection.		
11	T 11	(VOC Omicron)	3.5.1	D : 1 : 6
11	<u>Fowlkes</u>	BNT162b2 showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE	Moderate	Prospective cohort in four states
		81% (95% CI, 51 to 93) at least 14 days,		of US (Arizona, Florida, Texas,
		and VE 87% (95% CI, 49 to 97) at 14-		and Utah), of 1,364 participants
		149 days, in adolescents age 12 to 15		between Jul 2021–Feb 2022; the
		years against infection. (VOC Delta)		PROTECT cohort included
		DNIT1 (2) 2 1 1 C 2nd 1 VIE		1,052 children aged 5–11 years
		BNT162b2 showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE		and 312 adolescents aged 12–15
		31% (95% CI, 9 to 48) at 14-82 days, in		years that were tested weekly for
		children age 5 to 11 years against		SARS-CoV-2; viral whole
		infection. (VOC Omicron)		genome sequencing was
		D 1774 (2) 2 1 1 C 20d 1 177		assessed, time and setting for
		BNT162b2 showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE		VOC Delta to VOC Omicron.
		59% (95% CI, 24 to 78) at least 14 days,		Included in LES 8.8
		and VE 59% (95% CI, 22 to 79) at 14-		
		149 days, in adolescents age 12 to 15		
12	т	years against infection. (VOC Omicron)	3.5.1	D 1 .: 1 1 1 :
12	<u>Jara</u>	CoronaVac showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE	Moderate	Population based cohort in
		38.2% (95% CI, 36.5 to 39.9) at least 14		Chile, of 490,694 children aged
		days, in children age 3 to 5 years against		3–5 years, between Dec 06,
		symptomatic infection. (VOC Omicron,		2021 - Feb 26, 2022; to estimate
		BA.1 sub-lineage)		the effectiveness of the
		0 11 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1		complete primary immunization
		CoronaVac showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE		schedule (two doses, 28 days
		64.6% (95% CI, 49.6 to 75.2) at least 14		apart) of an inactivated SARS-
		days, in children age 3 to 5 years against		CoV-2 vaccine, CoronaVac;
		hospitalization. (VOC Omicron, BA.1		time and setting for VOC
		sub-lineage)		Omicron (BA.1 sub-lineage).
				Included as <u>Araos</u> in LES 8.8
				Updated in <mark>LES 8.13</mark>

		CoronaVac showed after <u>2<sup>nd</sup> dose</u> VE		
		69% (95% CI, 18.6 to 88.2) at least 14		
		days, in children age 3 to 5 years against		
		ICU admission. (VOC Omicron, BA.1		
		sub-lineage)		
13	<u>Veneti</u>	BNT162b2 showed after 1st dose VE	Moderate	Population-based cohort in
		67.9 % (95% CI, 64.0 to 71.4) at 21-48		Norway, of 372,179 adolescents
		days, VE 55.8% (95% CI, 52.7 to 58.8) at		aged 12-17 years, between Aug
		49-76 days, and VE 48.8% (95% CI, 46		25, 2021 – Jan 16, 2022; to
		to 51.5) at least 77 days, in adolescents		estimate BNT162b2 one dose
		age 12 to 15 years against infection.		effectiveness for individuals 12-
		(VOC Delta)		15 years old and one or two
				doses effectiveness for
		BNT162b2 showed after 1 <sup>st</sup> dose VE		individuals 16-17 years old
		62.6 % (95% CI, 56.2 to 68) at 21-48		against SARS-CoV-2 infections;
		days, VE 47.3% (95% CI, 40 to 53.8) at		time and setting for VOC Delta
		49-76 days, and VE 29.3% (95% CI, 20.4		to Omicron.
		to 37.1) at least 77 days, in adolescents		Included in LES 8.9
		age 16 to 17 years against infection.		
		(VOC Delta)		
		BNT162b2 showed after <u>2<sup>nd</sup> dose</u> VE		
		90.8% (95% CI, 89.1 to 92.3) at 7-34		
		days, VE 92.8% (95% CI, 89.8 to 94.9) at		
		35-62 days, and VE 83.7% (95% CI, 75.9		
		to 89) at least 63 days, in adolescents age		
		16 to 17 years against infection. (VOC		
		Delta)		
		DN774 (21 2 1 1 G 48 1 NE		
		BNT162b2 showed after 1st dose VE		
		16.2 % (95% CI, -2.4 to 31.3) at 21-48		
		days, VE -1.3% (95% CI, -22.4 to 16.2) at		
		49-76 days, and VE -12.8% (95% CI, -		
		21.7 to -4.6) at least 77 days, in		
		adolescents age 12 to 15 years against		
		infection. (VOC Omicron)		
		BNT162b2 showed after 1 <sup>st</sup> dose VE		
		33.7% (95% CI, -88.3 to 5.1) at 21-48		
		` '		
		days, VE 16.8% (95% CI, -87.3 to 27.1) at 49-76 days, and VE -5.3% (95% CI, -		
		32.9 to 16.6) at least 77 days, in		
		adolescents age 16 to 17 years against		
		infection. (VOC Omicron)		
		miceuon. (VOC Omicion)		
		BNT162b2 showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE		
		53.1% (95% CI, 42.6 to 61.7) at 7-34		
		days, VE 45.7% (95% CI, 34.8 to 54.7) at		
		35-62 days, and VE 23.3% (95% CI, 2.7		
		to 39.5) at least 63 days, in adolescents		
<u></u>		to 37.3) at least 03 days, iii adolescents		

	T			
		age 16 to 17 years against infection.		
		(VOC Omicron)		
		BNT162b2 showed after 1 <sup>st</sup> dose VE 65 % (95% CI, 62.3 to 67.6) at 21-48 days, VE 57.3% (95% CI, 54.4 to 60) at 49-76 days, and VE 70.2% (95% CI, 45.9 to 83.6) at least 77 days, in adolescents age 12 to 15 years against infection. (VOC Delta to Omicron)		
		BNT162b2 showed after 1 <sup>st</sup> dose VE 61.5 % (95% CI, 57.1 to 65.5) at 21-48 days, VE 48% (95% CI, 43.3 to 52.4) at 49-76 days, and VE 47.5% (95% CI, 39 to 54.9) at least 77 days, in adolescents age 16 to 17 years against infection. (VOC Delta to Omicron)		
		BNT162b2 showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE 90.7% (95% CI, 87.4 to 93.1) at 7-34 days, VE 92.3% (95% CI, 82.9 to 96.6) at 35-62 days, and VE 87.8% (95% CI, 78.8 to 92.9) at least 63 days, in adolescents age 16 to 17 years against infection. (VOC Delta to Omicron)		
14	Simmons	BNT162b2 showed after 1 <sup>st</sup> dose VE	Serious	Age and time-matched nested
		32% (95% CI, -49 to 72) at least 14 days		case-control design in Ontario,
		in children age 4 to 11 years against		Canada of 1,441 pediatric and
		hospitalization. (VOC Delta to Omicron)		adolescent patients aged 4-17
		BNT162b2 showed after 1 <sup>st</sup> dose VE		years, between May 28, 2021- Jan 10, 2022; to estimate the
		38% (95% CI, -51 to 79) at least 14 days		effectiveness of one and two
		in adolescents age 12 to 17 years against		mRNA vaccine doses at
		hospitalization. (VOC Delta to Omicron)		preventing hospitalization; time
				and setting for VOC Delta to
		BNT162b2 showed after 1 <sup>st</sup> dose VE 37% (95% CI, -13 to 67) at least 14 days		VOC Omicron.
		in children and adolescents age 4 to 17		Included in LES 8.9
		years against hospitalization. (VOC Delta		
		to Omicron)		
		BNT162b2 showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE 59% (95% CI, 23 to 82) at least 14 days in adolescents age 12 to 17 years against hospitalization. (VOC Delta to Omicron)		
		BNT162b2 showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE		
		59% (95% CI, 23 to 79) at least 14 days		
		in children and adolescents age 4 to 17		

		years against hospitalization. (VOC Delta		
		to Omicron)		
15	<u>Price</u>	BNT162b2 showed after <u>2<sup>nd</sup> dose VE</u> 93% (95% CI, 89 to 95) at 2–22 weeks in	Serious	Test-negative case-control design in 23 states of the U.S
		adolescents age 12 to 18 years against hospitalization. (VOC Delta)		among 2,812 adolescents aged 12–18 years between Jul 1, 2021– Feb 17, 2022. VE against
		BNT162b2 showed after <u>2<sup>nd</sup> dose</u> VE 96% (95% CI, 90 to 98) at least 14 days		Covid-19 leading to hospitalization and against
		in adolescents age 12 to 18 years against critical COVID-19. (VOC Delta)		critical Covid-19 was estimated comparing odds ratios of antecedent vaccination (fully
		BNT162b2 showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE 43% (95% CI, -1 to 68) at 2–22 weeks in		vaccinated vs. unvaccinated) in case patients as compared with
		adolescents age 12 to 18 years against hospitalization. (VOC Omicron)		controls; time and setting for VOC Delta and VOC Omicron.  Included in LES 8.9
		BNT162b2 showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE 68% (95% CI, 42 to 82) at least 14 days,		
		in children age 5 to 11 years against hospitalization. (VOC Omicron)		
		BNT162b2 showed after <u>2<sup>nd</sup> dose</u> VE 79% (95% CI, 51 to 91) at least 14 days		
		in adolescents age 12 to 18 years against critical COVID-19. (VOC Omicron)		
		BNT162b2 showed after <u>2<sup>nd</sup> dose</u> VE 83% (95% CI, 77 to 88) at least 14 days		
		in adolescents age 12 to 15 years against hospitalization. (VOC Delta to Omicron)		
		BNT162b2 showed after <u>2<sup>nd</sup> dose</u> VE 82% (95% CI, 74 to 88) at least 14 days		
		in adolescents age 16 to 18 years against hospitalization. (VOC Delta to Omicron)		
16	Buchan	BNT162b2 showed after <u>2<sup>nd</sup> dose</u> VE 97% (95% CI, 94 to 99) at 7-59 days, and	Moderate	Test-negative case-control design in Ontario, Canada
		VE 96% (95% CI, 94 to 97) at 60-119 days in adolescents age 12 to 17 years against symptomatic infection. (VOC		among adolescents aged 12–17 years during Nov 22, 2021– Mar 6, 2022, including 9,902
		Delta)		Omicron-positive cases with 19,953 test-negative controls,
		BNT162b2 showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE 51% (95% CI, 38 to 61) at 7-59 days, and VE 31% (95% CI, 20 to 41) at 60-119		and 502 Delta-positive Cases with 17,930 test-negative controls. VE against
		days in adolescents age 12 to 17 years against symptomatic infection. (VOC		symptomatic infection and severe outcomes (i.e.,
		Omicron)		hospitalization or death) was

		BNT162b2 showed after 3rd dose VE 56% (95% CI, 34 to 70) at 0-6 days, and VE 62% (95% CI, 49 to 72) at least 7 days in adolescents age 12 to 17 years against symptomatic infection. (VOC Omicron)  BNT162b2 showed after 2nd dose VE 100% at 7-59 days, and VE 100% at 60-119 days in adolescents age 12 to 17 years against severe outcomes. (VOC Delta) (there were no cases of patients that presented severe outcomes)  BNT162b2 showed after 2nd dose VE 76% (95% CI, -10 to 95) at 7-59 days, and VE 83% (95% CI, 55 to 93) at 60-119 days in adolescents age 12 to 17 years against severe outcomes. (VOC Omicron)		estimated over time since second or third dose receipt of BNT162b2; time and setting for VOC Delta and VOC Omicron, Delta outcomes were assessed prior to Jan 2, 2022. <i>Included in LES 8.10</i>
17	Kildegaard	BNT162b2 showed after 1st dose VE 62% (95% CI, 59 to 65) at 0-20 days in adolescents age 12 to 17 years against infection. (VOC Delta)  BNT162b2 showed after 2nd dose VE 93% (95% CI, 93 to 94) at 0-59 days in adolescents age 12 to 17 years against infection. (VOC Delta)	Serious	Population-based cohort in Denmark, of adolescents aged 12-17 years, who were vaccinated before or on 1 October 2021; vaccine effectiveness was assessed in 229,799 adolescents after a first dose and 175,176 after a second dose of BNT162b2; time and setting for VOC Delta. <i>Included in LES 8.10</i>
18	Chadeau- Hyam	BNT162b2 showed after 1st dose VE 54.94% (95% CI, 40.98 to 65.6) at least 14 days in adolescents age 12 to 17 years against infection. (VOC Delta)  BNT162b2 showed after 1st dose VE 58.56% (95% CI, 41.52 to 70.64) at least 14 days in adolescents age 12 to 17 years against symptomatic infection. (VOC Delta)	Serious	Surveillance study in England; 100,112 participants, including 14,974 (14.96%) adolescents aged 12 to 17 years; vaccine effectiveness was assessed after a first BNT162b2 dose comparing swab positivity among vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals; time and setting for VOC Delta. <i>Included in LES 8.11 Updated LES 8.12</i>
19	Britton	BNT162b2 showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE 97% (95% CI, 95 to 98) at 14 days, VE 94% (95% CI, 94 to 95) at 14 - 60 days, VE 96% (95% CI, 95 to 97) at 14 - 30 days, VE 93% (95% CI, 92 to 94) at 31 - 60 days, VE 92% (95% CI, 91 to 93) at 61 - 90 days and VE 90% (95% CI, 88 to	Serious	Test-negative case-control design in U.S with data from 6884 US COVID-19 testing sites in the pharmacy-based Increasing Community Access to Testing platform, including 180,112 laboratory-based SARS-

		91) at 91-120 days in adolescents age 12		CoV-2 nucleic acid
		to 15 years against symptomatic		amplification tests from
		infection. (VOC Delta)		adolescents aged 12–19 years
				during Mar 13, – Oct 17, 2021;
		BNT162b2 showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE		time and setting for VOC Delta.
		94% (95% CI, 92 to 95) at 14 days, VE		Included in LES 8.11
		90% (95% CI, 89 to 91) at 14 - 60 days,		
		VE 94% (95% CI, 92 to 95) at 14 – 30		
		days, VE 87% (95% CI, 85 to 89) at 31 -		
		60 days, VE 86% (95% CI, 84 to 87) at		
		61 - 90 days and VE 82% (95% CI, 80 to		
		83) at 91-120 days in adolescents age 16		
		to 19 years against symptomatic		
		infection. (VOC Delta)		
		infection. (VOC Detta)		
		mRNA-1273 showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE		
		99% (95% CI, 96 to 99) at 14 days, VE		
		94% (95% CI, 92 to 96) at 14 - 60 days,		
		VE 98% (95% CI, 92 to 99) at 14 – 30		
		days, VE 91% (95% CI, 87 to 94) at 31 -		
		60 days, VE 85% (95% CI, 82 to 88) at		
		61 - 90 days and VE 85% (95% CI, 82 to		
		87) at 91-120 days in adolescents age 16		
		to 19 years against symptomatic		
		infection. (VOC Delta)		
		AD26.COV 2.S showed after dose VE		
		52% (95% CI, 6 to 75) at 14 days, VE		
		54% (95% CI, 38 to 70) at 14 - 60 days,		
		VE 58% (95% CI, 19 to 79) at 14 – 30		
		days, VE 52% (95% CI, 27 to 69) at 31 -		
		60 days, VE 63% (95% CI, 46 to 75) at		
		61 - 90 days and VE 58% (95% CI, 45 to		
		68) at 91-120 days in adolescents age 16		
		to 19 years against symptomatic		
		infection. (VOC Delta)		
20	Dorabawila	BNT162b2 showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE	Serious	Data-linkage study in New York
		68% (95% CI, 63 to 72) at Dec. 13-19,		state, U.S; that included
		VE 57% (95% CI, 48 to 52) at Dec. 20-		1,539,762 person days of
		26, VE 50% (95% CI, 48 to 52) at Dec.		children aged 5-11 years and
		27-Jan 2, VE 48% (95% CI, 47 to 50) at		151,005 person days of children
		Jan. 3-9, VE 34% (95% CI, 31 to 36) at		aged 12-17 years, to estimate
		Jan. 10-16, VE 20% (95% CI, 16 to 23) at		BNT162b2 vaccine
		Jan. 17-23 and VE 12% (95% CI, 6 to		effectiveness against COVID
		16) at Jan. 24-30 in children age 5 to 11		cases and hospitalizations
		years against infection. (VOC Delta to		during Dec, 2021- Jan, 2022;
		Omicron)		time and setting for VOC
		RNT162b2 showed after 2nd days VII		Omicron.
		BNT162b2 showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE		Included in LES 8.11
		85% (95% CI, 84 to 86) at Nov. 29- Dec		
		05, VE 82% (95% CI, 81 to 83) at Dec.		

		6-12, VE 66% (95% CI, 64 to 67) at Dec. 13-19, VE 57% (95% CI, 56 to 58) at Dec. 20-26, VE 55% (95% CI, 54 to 56) at Dec. 27-Jan 2, VE 53% (95% CI, 52 to 54) at Jan. 3-9, VE 50% (95% CI, 48 to 51) at Jan. 10-16, VE 50% (95% CI, 48 to 52) at Jan. 17-23 and VE 51% (95% CI, 48 to 52) at Jan. 24-30 in adolescents age 12 to 17 years against infection. (VOC Delta to Omicron)  BNT162b2 showed after 2nd dose VE 100% (95% CI, -189 to 100) at Dec. 13-19, VE 73% (95% CI, -7 to 97) at Dec. 20-26, VE 82% (95% CI, 45 to 96) at Dec. 27-Jan 2, VE 74% (95% CI, 36 to 96) at Jan. 3-9, VE 68% (95% CI, 28 to 91) at Jan. 10-16, VE 46% (95% CI, -15 to 77) at Jan. 17-23 and VE 48% (95% CI, -12 to 75) at Jan. 24-30 in children age 5 to 11 years against hospitalization. (VOC Delta to Omicron)  BNT162b2 showed after 2nd dose VE 94% (95% CI, 76 to 99) at Nov. 29- Dec 05, VE 95% (95% CI, 64 to 100) at Dec. 13-19, VE 78% (95% CI, 63 to 88) at Dec. 20-26, VE 78% (95% CI, 63 to 88) at Dec. 27-Jan 2, VE 74% (95% CI, 61 to 84) at Dec. 27-Jan 2, VE 74% (95% CI, 61 to 84) at Dec. 27-Jan 2, VE 74% (95% CI, 63 to		
		82) at Jan. 3-9, VE 75% (95% CI, 64 to 86) at Jan. 10-16, VE 75% (95% CI, 61 to 83) at Jan. 17-23 and VE 73% (95% CI, 53 to 87) at Jan. 24-30 in adolescents age		
		12 to 17 years against hospitalization. (VOC Delta to Omicron)		
21	Florentino	CoronaVac showed after 1st dose VE - 6.83% (95% CI, -11.07 to -2.76) at 0 – 13 days, and VE 22.3% (95% CI, 19.7 to 24.9) at least 14 days in children age 6 to 11 years against symptomatic infection. (VOC Omicron)  CoronaVac showed after 2nd dose VE 35% (95% CI, 27.7 to 41.5) at 0 – 13 days, and VE 41.5% (95% CI, 34.4 to 47.7) at least 14 days in children age 6 to 11 years against symptomatic infection. (VOC Omicron)	Serious	Test-negative case-control design in Brazil, including 194,258 tests among children aged 6–11 years during Jan 21, 2022 – April 19, 2022, to assess CoronaVac effectiveness against infection and severe disease (hospitalization or death); time and setting for VOC Omicron. <i>Included in LES 8.11</i>

	I			1
		CoronaVac showed after 1st dose VE 27.8% (95% CI, -4.04 to 52) at 0 – 13 days, and VE 40% (95% CI, 18.4 to 56.8) at least 14 days in children age 6 to 11 years against severe COVID-19. (VOC Omicron)		
		CoronaVac showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE 69.2% (95% CI, 11.7 to 93.6) at 0 – 13 days, and VE 63.5% (95% CI, 5.8 to 90) at least 14 days in children age 6 to 11 years against severe COVID-19. (VOC Omicron)		
22	Fleming-Dutra	BNT162b2 showed after 2nd dose VE 60.1% (95% CI, 54.7 to 64.8) at 14 – 30 days, and VE 28.9% (95% CI, 24.5 to 33.1) at 30 - 90 days in children age 5 to 11 years against symptomatic infection. (VOC Omicron)  BNT162b2 showed after 2nd dose VE 59.5% (95% CI, 44.3 to 70.6) at 14 – 30 days, VE 16.6% (95% CI, 8.1 to 24.3) at 30 - 90 days, and VE 9.6% (95% CI, -0.1 to 18.3) at 60 - 120 days in adolescents age 12 to 15 years against symptomatic infection. (VOC Omicron)  BNT162b2 (3 doses) showed VE 71.1% (95% CI, 65.5 to 75.7) at 14 – 45 days in adolescents age 12 to 15 years against symptomatic infection. (VOC Omicron)	Serious	Test-negative case-control design in 49 states of the U.S among persons aged 5–15 years with COVID-19–like illness during Dec 26, 2021– Feb 21, 2022, including 74,208 tests from children 5 to 11 years of age and 47,744 tests from adolescents 12 to 15 years of age; VE was estimated comparing the odds of a positive SARS-CoV-2 test result between vaccinated (Two BNT162b2 doses 2 weeks or more before SARS-CoV-2 testing for children; 2 or 3 doses 2 weeks or more before testing for adolescents) and unvaccinated (received no doses) patients; time and setting for VOC Omicron.
23	Florentino 1	BNT162b2 showed after 1 <sup>st</sup> dose VE 59.1% (95% CI, 57.7 to 60.5) at least 14 days in adolescents age 12 to 17 years against symptomatic infection. (VOC Delta, Brazil)  BNT162b2 showed after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose VE 85.8% (95% CI, 83.9 to 87.5) at 14 – 27 days, VE 78.3% (95% CI, 75.4 to 80.8) at 28 – 41 days, VE 62.8% (95% CI, 57.9 to 67.1) at 42 – 55 days and VE 40.3% (95% CI, 31.9 to 47.7) at 56 - 69 days in adolescents age 12 to 17 years against symptomatic infection. (VOC Delta, Brazil)	Moderate	Included in LES 8.12  Test-negative case-control design in Brazil and Scotland among adolescents aged 12–17 years, including 178,474 positive test and 300,377 controls from Brazil, and 18,351 cases with 13,382 controls from Scotland; VE was estimated comparing the odds of a positive SARS-CoV-2 test result between vaccinated and unvaccinated patients; time and setting for VOC Delta to VOC Omicron. Included in LES 8.12

BNT162b2 showed after 1st dose VE 14% (95% CI, 6.6 to 20.9) at 14 - 27 days and VE 47.7% (95% CI, 45.5 to 49.8) at least 28 days in adolescents age 12 to 17 years against symptomatic infection. (VOC Delta, Scotland)

BNT162b2 showed after 2<sup>nd</sup> dose VE 89.3% (95% CI, 78 to 94.8) at 14 – 27 days, VE 90.7% (95% CI, 78.7 to 96) at 28 – 41 days, VE 81.2% (95% CI, 60.4 to 91.1) at 42 – 55 days and VE 78.4% (95% CI, 53.8 to 89.9) at 56 - 69 days in adolescents age 12 to 17 years against symptomatic infection. (VOC Delta, Scotland)

BNT162b2 showed after 1<sup>st</sup> dose VE 28.1% (95% CI, 26.3 to 29.9) at least 14 days in adolescents age 12 to 17 years against symptomatic infection. (VOC Omicron, Brazil)

BNT162b2 showed after 2<sup>nd</sup> dose VE 62.8% (95% CI, 60.9 to 64.7) at 14 – 27 days, VE 49.4% (95% CI, 47.4 to 51.3) at 28 – 41 days, VE 37.4% (95% CI, 35.3 to 39.3) at 42 – 55 days, VE 29.6% (95% CI, 27.5 to 31.7) at 56 - 69 days, VE 21.7% (95% CI, 19.2 to 24.1) at 70 - 83 days, VE 16.6% (95% CI, 13.7 to 19.5) at 84 - 97 days, and VE 13.9% (95% CI, 10.9 to 16.9) at least 98 days in adolescents age 12 to 17 years against symptomatic infection. (VOC Omicron, Brazil)

BNT162b2 showed after 1<sup>st</sup> dose VE 1.3% (95% CI, -24.7 to 21.8) at 14 - 27 days and VE 4.3% (95% CI, -1 to 9.2) at least 28 days in adolescents age 12 to 17 years against symptomatic infection. (VOC Omicron, Scotland)

BNT162b2 showed after 2<sup>nd</sup> dose VE 78.3% (95% CI, 75.3 to 80.9) at 14 – 27 days, VE 70.8% (95% CI, 66.6 to 74.5) at 28 – 41 days, VE 57.8% (95% CI, 50.8 to 63.8) at 42 – 55 days, VE 41.2% (95%

Note: Due to the substantial heterogeneity found in the effectiveness data reported in this study, most of the results are only reported in this summary, not in the key findings tables.

	CI, 28.8 to 51.4) at 56 - 69 days, VE		
24 <u>Amir 1</u>	32.8% (95% CI, 13.9 to 47.6) at 70 - 83 days, VE 24.7% (95% CI, -4 to 45.5) at 84 - 97 days, and VE 31.3% (95% CI, 4.8 to 50.5) at least 98 days in adolescents age 12 to 17 years against symptomatic infection. (VOC Omicron, Scotland)  BNT162b2 showed after 1st dose VE 17.2% (95% CI, -165 to 75.2) at 0 - 6 days, VE 74.4% (95% CI, -5.9 to 93.8) at 7 - 13 days and VE 64.3% (95% CI, 55.6 to 71.3) at least 14 days in adolescents age 12 to 17 years against severe cases. (VOC Omicron, Brazil)  BNT162b2 showed after 2nd dose VE 65.9% (95% CI, 38.3 to 81.2) at 0 - 13 days, VE 75.4% (95% CI, 57.3 to 85.9) at 14 - 27 days, VE 82.1% (95% CI, 70.7 to 89.1) at 28 - 41 days, VE 82.8% (95% CI, 74.5 to 88.5) at 42 - 55 days, VE 81.2% (95% CI, 73.4 to 86.7) at 56 - 69 days, VE 83% (95% CI, 75.1 to 88.4) at 70 - 83 days, VE 89.8% (95% CI, 82.1 to 94.2) at 84 - 97 days, and VE 84.9% (95% CI, 75.2 to 90.8) at least 98 days in adolescents age 12 to 17 years against severe cases. (VOC Omicron, Brazil)  In children aged 5 to 10 years, being unvaccinated showed RR 2.4 (95% CI, 2.2, 2.6) of infection compared to BNT162b2 14 to 35 days after 2nd dose. (VOC Omicron, BA.1 sub-lineage)  In adolescents aged 12 to 15 years, being unvaccinated showed RR 5 (95% CI, 4.3, 5.9) of infection compared to BNT162b2 14 to 35 days after 2nd dose. (VOC Omicron, BA.1 sub-lineage)  In adolescents aged 12 to 15 years, being unvaccinated showed RR 5 (95% CI, 4.3, 5.9) of infection compared to BNT162b2 14 to 35 days after 2nd dose. (VOC Omicron, BA.1 sub-lineage)	Moderate	Prospective cohort in the Israel using data from the Israeli Ministry of Health, of 1,444,406 Children aged 5-11 years and adolescents aged 12-17 years, between Dec 26, 2021-Jan 8, 2022; time and setting for VOC Omicron (BA.1 sub-lineage). <i>Included in LES 8.13</i>
	Omicron, BA.1 sub-lineage)  In adolescents aged 12 to 15 years, BNT162b2 14 to 60 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose		

showed RR 2.2 (95% CI, 1.8, 2.8) of infection compared to BNT162b2 14 to 60 days after 3 <sup>rd</sup> dose. (VOC Omicron, BA.1 sub-lineage)		
In adolescents aged 12 to 15 years, BNT162b2 60 to 120 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose showed RR 3.8 (95% CI, 3.3, 4.5) of infection compared to BNT162b2 14 to 60 days after 3 <sup>rd</sup> dose. (VOC Omicron, BA.1 sub-lineage)		
In adolescents aged 12 to 15 years, BNT162b2 3 to 7 days after 3 <sup>rd</sup> dose showed RR 3.3 (95% CI, 2.8, 4) of infection compared to BNT162b2 14 to 60 days after 3 <sup>rd</sup> dose. (VOC Omicron, BA.1 sub-lineage)		

Section 2: excluded studies						
Author	Reason for exclusion	Version of exclusion				
Tang	Did not report the vaccine effectiveness in <18 years	Excluded in LES 8.1				
<u>Naleway</u>	Did not report results according to vaccine type	Excluded in LES 8.1				
Chadeau-Hyam round 14	Vaccine effectiveness not reported	Excluded in LES 8.1				
<u>de Gier</u>	Did not report results according to vaccine type	Excluded in LES 8.2				
Delahoy	Did not report results according to vaccine type	Excluded in LES 8.2				
Lin	Did not report the vaccine effectiveness in <18 years	Excluded in LES 8.2*				
<u>McLean</u>	Did not report the vaccine effectiveness in <18 years	Excluded in LES 8.2				
Amir	Critical risk of bias	Excluded in LES 8.3				
Chung	Did not report the vaccine effectiveness in <18 years, Did not report results according to vaccine type	Excluded in LES 8.3*				
<u>Fisman</u>	Did not report the vaccine effectiveness in <18 years	Excluded in LES 8.3				
<u>Lyngse</u>	Did not report results according to vaccine type	Excluded in LES 8.3				
<u>Prunas</u>	Critical risk of bias	Excluded in LES 8.3				
Chiew	Critical risk of bias	Excluded in LES 8.3				
Elliot	Critical risk of bias	Excluded in LES 8.4				
New York State Department of Health	Did not report results according to vaccine type	Excluded in LES 8.4				
Andeweg	Did not report results according to vaccine type	Excluded in LES 8.5*				
<u>Jalali</u>	Did not report results according to vaccine type	Excluded in LES 8.5*				
Choe	Critical risk of bias	Excluded in LES 8.6				
<u>Madhi</u>	Did not report the vaccine effectiveness in <18 years	Excluded in LES 8.6				

<u>De Serres</u>	Did not report results according to vaccine type	Excluded in LES 8.7
Nyberg	Did not report results according to vaccine type	Excluded in LES 8.7
Hoeg	Clinical outcomes of interest for this LES not reported	Excluded in LES 8.7
<u>Levi</u>	Did not report results according to vaccine type	Excluded in LES 8.7
Nygaard	Critical risk of bias	Excluded in LES 8.8
Chemaitelly	Did not report the vaccine effectiveness in <18 years	Excluded in LES 8.8 <mark>*</mark>
AlHosani	Did not report the vaccine effectiveness in <18 years	Excluded in LES 8.8
Ng	Vaccine effectiveness not reported	Excluded in LES 8.8
<u>Petrie</u>	Did not report the vaccine effectiveness in <18 years	Excluded in LES 8.10
<u>González</u>	Critical risk of bias	Excluded in LES 8.11
Carazo	Did not report results according to vaccine type	Excluded in LES 8.11
Rennert	Did not report the vaccine effectiveness in <18 years	Excluded in LES 8.12
<u>Braeye</u>	Did not report the vaccine effectiveness in <18 years	Excluded in LES 8.12
<u>Fano</u>	Did not report the vaccine effectiveness in <18 years	Excluded in <mark>LES 8.13</mark>
<u>Topfner</u>	Vaccine effectiveness not reported	Excluded in <mark>LES 8.13</mark>
<u>Mattiuzzi</u>	Did not report results according to vaccine type	Excluded in <mark>LES 8.13</mark>
<u>Haile</u>	Vaccine effectiveness not reported	Excluded in <mark>LES 8.13</mark>
<u>Andrejko</u>	Did not report the vaccine effectiveness in <18 years	Excluded in <mark>LES 8.13</mark>
Spicer	Did not report results according to vaccine type	Excluded in <mark>LES 8.13</mark>
<u>Husin</u>	Critical risk of bias	Excluded in <mark>LES 8.13</mark>
<u>Lytras</u>	Did not report the vaccine effectiveness in <18 years	Excluded in LES 8.13

<sup>\*</sup> For this studies links have been updated after their exclusion

# Appendix 2: Glossary (revised 13 Jan 2022)

AZ: AstraZeneca

**Alpha**: variant of concern B.1.1.7

Beta: variant of concern B.1.351

**Delta:** variant of concern B.1.617.2

Gamma: variant of concern P.1

**Epsilon:** variant of concern B.1.427/B.1.429

MIS-C: Multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children

MOD: Moderna

**Obs:** observational study

**OR:** odds ratio

**PF**: Pfizer

**RME:** range of mean estimates across 2 or more studies

**VE (Vaccine effectiveness):** measure of how well a vaccine protects people from getting the outcome of interest in real-world practice (For example: VE of 92% against infection means that 92% of people will be protected from becoming infected with COVID and 8% of people will still be at risk of becoming infected with COVID)

**VET:** vaccine effectiveness against transmission

**VOC:** variant of concern

**VOI:** variant of interest

# Appendix 3: Data-extraction template (revised 13 Jan 2022)

Vaccine product	
Source	First author of study
Link	DOI or PubMed ID
Date published	in format YYYY/MM/DD or preprint
Country	
Funding	public or industry
Study details	
Study type	RCT/cohort/data-linkage/test-negative/case-control/other
Surveillance	routine screening Y or N
Intervention	Pfizer/Comirnaty [BNT162b2]/Moderna/Spikevax [mRNA-1273]/AstraZeneca/Vaxzevria [ChAdOx1]/Johnson & Johnson [AD26.COV2.S]/Sinovac [CoronaVac]/Sinopharm (Wuhan) [WIV04]/Novavax [NVX-CoV2373]/FBRI [EpiVacCorona]/Bharat Biotech [Covaxin] [BBV152]/Gamaleya [Sputnik V] [Gam-COVID-Vac]
Dose and timing	
Control group	not vaccinated, <7day vaccinated internal control, none, other
Total (N)	number of all study participants
Female	number or %
< 12 years	number or %
≥ 12 years	number or %
Outcomes	outcomes separated by VOC type
Outcomes	confirmed infection/asymptomatic/mild symptomatic/severe symptoms/hospitalized/ICU/death/MIS-C
1st Dose VE	VE with 95% CI
Days post 1st dose	days post 1st dose when VE provided
2nd Dose VE	VE with 95% CI
Days post 2nd dose	days post 2nd dose when VE provided
Rates per X person- days/years	vaccinated vs control
HR	vaccinated vs control
RR	vaccinated vs control
Adjusted	Regression, stratification, matching and associated variables
Transmission	infection rates in unvaccinated contacts of vaccinated individuals
Critical appraisal	See Appendix 5

# Appendix 4: Process for assigning Variant of Concern to studies

A Variant of Concern is considered to be the dominant (≥50%) strain in a study if any of the following conditions apply:

- i) the authors make a statement about prevalence of VOC during the study time frame
- ii) time and setting of the study is consistent with a VOC being dominant according to the following open tracking sources:

Nextstrain. Real-time tracking of pathogen evolution. <a href="https://nextstrain.org/">https://nextstrain.org/</a> Outbreak Info. <a href="https://outbreak.info/location-reports">https://outbreak.info/location-reports</a>

# Appendix 5: Research question and critical appraisal process (revised 13 Jan 2022)

Review question:

Participants	People aged under 18 years at risk of COVID-19 (usually without but sometimes with previous COVID-19 infection)
	sometimes with previous COVID-19 infection)
Intervention	COVID-19 Vaccine
Comparator	Unvaccinated children and adolescents (*)
Outcomes	PCR-diagnosis of COVID-19 infection; symptomatic disease; hospital/ICU
admission; death; transmission; MIS-C	

<sup>(\*)</sup> Eligible studies must have a comparison group (unvaccinated; non-immune period; time since vaccination; 2 doses vs 3 doses); before-after studies, where the infection rate in the first 2 weeks after the vaccination are used as control are commonly performed and may be appraised

# Key exclusion criteria

Studies that address the question of interest but from which the information of children cannot be separated from that of adults.

Comparison of one vaccine vs another (e.g., relative effectiveness) is not eligible. Studies reporting only antibody responses are excluded.

### **Critical Appraisal Process**

We appraise the quality of the individual studies using an adapted version of ROBINS-I. This tool classifies the Risk of Bias of a study as **Low, Moderate, Serious, Critical, or No Information**. <u>Low Risk of Bias indicates High Quality, and Critical Risk of Bias indicates Very Low (insufficient) Quality</u>. ROBINS-I appraises 7 bias domains and judges each study against an ideal reference randomized controlled trial. To improve the utility of ROBINS-I for assessing studies reporting vaccine effectiveness, we have focused on study characteristics that introduce bias as reported in the vaccine literature. (WHO. Evaluation of COVID-19 vaccine effectiveness. Interim Guidance. 17 March 2021). Studies rated as "critical" risk of bias will not be included in the Summary statements on Page 1-2 (exception: if limited data available for an outcome for a VOC). An overall judgement of "serious" or "critical" is given when the study is judged to be at serious or critical risk of bias in at least one domain or "serious" in 3 separate ROBINS-I domains.

VE Study	Description		
Characteristics that			
may introduce bias			
Study design	In cohort studies, people who get vaccinated may differ in health-seeking		
	behaviour from people who do not get vaccinated; using a test-negative study		
ROBINS-I: Bias in	design minimizes this type of bias		
selection of participants			
into study	Examples and typical judgement:		
·	• test-negative design with a clearly defined symptomatic study population (low)		
	<ul> <li>test-negative design (mixed or unclear study population) or case-control or cohort design or data-linkage with no concerns (moderate)</li> <li>cross-sectional design or case-control (concerns about whether controls had same access to vaccines/risk of exposure to COVID or unclear) or cohort design (concerns that exposed and non-exposed were not drawn</li> </ul>		
	from the same population) (serious)		

Method for confirming	Questionnaires are prone to recollection bias; Population databases
vaccination	developed for purpose of tracking COVID vaccines minimize this type of
	bias
ROBINS-I: Bias in	
classification of	Examples and typical judgement:
interventions	<ul> <li>database linkage study (low)</li> </ul>
interventions	<ul> <li>Questionnaire with confirmation by an additional method (e.g., registry)</li> </ul>
	,
	of at least a subset of study population (moderate)
	Questionnaire without confirmation by an additional method (serious)
	Estimating vaccination status based on surveillance data alone (critical)
Databases used for	Databases developed for collecting data on COVID are less prone to bias
retrieval of COVID test	due to missing information and misclassification
results, participant	
prognostic factors, and	Examples and typical judgement:
clinical outcomes	database for non-COVID purpose but with individual level data
	(moderate)
ROBINS-I: Bias in	<ul> <li>database for non-COVID purpose without individual level data (serious)</li> </ul>
classification of	<ul> <li>no or unclear description of database type (critical)</li> </ul>
interventions	110 of affectar description of database type (critical)
Assignment of	Using date of symptom onset (if within 10 days of testing) as infection start
infection start date	date reduces risk of misclassification bias (e.g., vaccinated participant who is
	reported as COVID+ may have been infected prior to receiving the vaccine
ROBINS-I: Bias in	or during non-immune period) and sensitivity of assays decreases over time
classification of	
interventions	Examples and typical judgement:
	• using a PCR positive test that was part of an ongoing standardized
	monitoring system (e.g., within a health network) (low)
	• using sample date without interview or documented confirmation of
	symptoms ≤ 10 days (relevant for symptomatic disease only) (serious)
Verification of	Prospective, standardized collection of symptoms from patients reduces risk
symptoms	of missing information bias; testing within 10 days after symptom onset
Symptoms	reduces risk of false-negative COVID test
ROBINS-I: Bias in	reduces fish of faise-negative COVID test
	Examples and trained independent
classification of	Examples and typical judgement:
interventions	• using sample date without patient report/ documented confirmation of
	symptoms ≤ 10 days (relevant for symptomatic disease only) (serious)
	• if symptomatic COVID is not an outcome (no information)
Accounting for non-	Reported absence of vaccine effect during non-immune period reduces risk
immune period (first 14	of residual confounding bias
days after first vaccine	
dose)	Example/common case:
	presence of an effect during non-immune period or result not reported
ROBINS-I: Bias due to	(moderate)
confounding	• unclear that non-immune period was considered (serious)
Inclusion of	Exclusion (or separate analysis) of participants with prior COVID infection
participants with prior	reduces concern about differences in infectivity as well as risk-taking and
COVID infection	health-seeking behaviour
COVID IIIICCIOII	incartii-seekiiig beliavioui
DODING I. Diag days	Examples and typical judgements
ROBINS-I: Bias due to	Examples and typical judgement:
confounding	• inclusion of prior infection status as a covariate in the models (moderate)

	previously infected not excluded or analyzed separately (serious)
Accounting for	Accounting for calendar time reduces bias due to differences in vaccine
calendar time	accessibility and risk of exposure over time
ROBINS-I: Bias due to	Examples and typical judgement:
confounding (time-	• use of time-varying statistics without explicit mention of adjustment for
varying confounding)	calendar time (moderate)
	• not taken into account but short-time frame (e.g., ≤2 months) (serious)
	• not taken into account and time frame >2 months (critical)
Adjustment for	Adjustment for prognostic factors for COVID infection, severity of disease,
prognostic factors	and vaccination, such as age, gender, race, ethnicity, socioeconomic factors,
	occupation (HCW, LTC), and chronic medical conditions
ROBINS-I: Bias due to	
confounding	Examples and typical judgement:
	• no or insufficient adjustment for occupation (or number of tests as a
	surrogate for exposure risk) -exception age>65 or LTCF resident
	(moderate)
	• no or insufficient adjustment for socioeconomic factors (or neighborhood
	or income as a surrogate), race, ethnicity (serious)
	• no or insufficient adjustment for age (any study population) or chronic
Taking Conservation	medical conditions (LTC)(critical)
Testing frequency	Similar frequency of testing between groups reduces risk of bias introduced
ROBINS-I: Bias in	by detecting asymptomatic infection in one group but not in another (e.g.,
measurement of	when only one group undergoes surveillance screening)
outcomes	Examples and typical judgement:
outcomes	<ul> <li>no systematic screening but consistent methods for detection in one</li> </ul>
	group vs. the other, e.g., within health networks (moderate)
	<ul> <li>screening performed for a subset of both study groups (serious)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>screening performed routinely in one study group but not in the other</li> </ul>
	(critical)
	(Cirucar)

# Appendix 6: Detailed description of the narrative summary statement (revised 20 Jun 2022)

We include studies with the following clinical outcomes: prevention of infection, MIS-C, severe disease (as defined by the study investigators), hospitalization, death, and prevention of transmission. These outcomes were selected because they are less susceptible to bias, or they are important for parents and patients. If data are not available for these specific outcomes, but are available for symptomatic infection, data for these additional outcomes are provided temporarily.

We aim at providing a lay language, standardized summary statement for each combination of vaccine and VOC for which we found evidence.

Where more than one study was found, we will provide a summary statement with a <u>range of the</u> estimates across the studies.

Where a <u>single study</u> provided data, we will provide the <u>estimate plus 95% confidence interval</u> for that study. As additional studies are added, the estimate plus confidence interval will be replaced by a range as described above.

In the summaries, "prevented" or "protects" will be applied to mean estimates that are greater than or equal to 70% with the lower 95%  $CI \ge 50\%$ , or range of mean estimates that are greater than or equal to 70% for infection and, mean estimates that are greater than or equal 90% with lower limit of 95%  $CI \ge 70\%$ , or range of mean estimates that are greater than or equal to 90% for severe disease (the lowest acceptable limit for vaccine effectiveness as determined by WHO); otherwise "did not reach threshold for protection" will be applied.

# Appendix 7: Table 1b. Visual summary of evidence for COVID-19 vaccines overall and for variants of concern (Moderate to Low Risk of Bias Studies compared to All studies)

Top yellow row = moderate or low ROB studies only

Bottom orange row = serious ROB studies only

Outcome	Vaccine Effectiveness (2 doses unless otherwise stated) for						
(and	each combination of vaccine, variant, and outcome						
vaccine)							
	Overall	Alpha	Beta	Gamma	Delta	Omicron	
Any Infection							
Pfizer	91% (1 Obs – ref 3)				81 to 92% (5 Obs – ref 2,6,9,11, 13)	59% (1 Obs – ref 11)	
	Same single study				91.5 - 93% (2 Obs - ref 1,17)	53.1% (1 Obs - ref 13)	
Moderna							
CoronaVac						38% (1 Obs – ref 12) Same single study	
Symptomatic I	[nfection					study	
Pfizer	inection				86 to 97% (4 Obs - ref 5,9,16, 23) 94 - 96% (1 Obs - ref 19)	62 to 83% (2 Obs - ref 5, 23) 60% (1 Obs - ref 22)	
Moderna					Same single study  98%  (1 Obs - ref 19)	(* ************************************	
CoronaVac					(2 0 20 20 20)	Same single study 41% (1 Obs - ref 21)	
Johnson & Johnson					Same single study 58% * (1 Obs - ref 19)	(1 3 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Transmission					,		
Pfizer							
Moderna							
CoronaVac							
ICU Admissio	n		•				
Pfizer					98% (1 Obs - ref 4) Same single study		
Moderna CoronaVac						69% (1 Obs – ref 12)	

						Same single study		
Severe Disease	Severe Disease (may include death for some studies)							
Pfizer								
Moderna								
CoronaVac								
Death	Death							
Pfizer								
Moderna								
CoronaVac								

<sup>\*\*</sup>mean estimate of effect less than the lowest acceptable limit for vaccine effectiveness as determined by WHO

# Notes:

Comparing Table 1 with Table 1b allows you to see whether it is an RCT or multiple Obs studies that determined the "moderate certainty of evidence" rating on Table 1