



## Appendices for COVID-19 Living Evidence Profile #1

(Version 2: 31 January 2021)

## Appendix 1: Methodological details

We use a standard protocol for preparing living evidence profiles (LEP) to ensure that our approach to identifying research evidence as well as experiences from other countries and from Canadian provinces and territories are as systematic and transparent as possible in the time we were given to prepare the profile.

## Identifying research evidence

For each LEP, we search our continually updated <u>inventory of best evidence syntheses</u> and <u>guide to key</u> <u>COVID-19 evidence sources</u> for:

- 1) guidelines developed using a robust process (e.g., GRADE);
- 2) full systematic reviews;
- 3) rapid reviews;
- 4) guidelines developed using some type of evidence synthesis and/or expert opinion;
- 5) protocols for reviews or rapid reviews that are underway;
- 6) titles/questions for reviews that are being planned; and
- 7) single studies (when no guidelines, systematic reviews or rapid reviews are identified).

For the first version of this LEP, we also searched Health Systems Evidence

(www.healthsystemsevidence.org) and HealthEvidence (www.healthevidence.org), to identify any relevant evidence documents that might have relevance to the COVID-19 vaccine roll-out, but were produced before the pandemic, given that the other sources searched were specific to COVID-19. In Health Systems Evidence, we searched for overviews of systematic reviews, systematic reviews of effects, systematic reviews addressing other questions, and protocols for systematic reviews, that may provide insights about vaccine-delivery systems by searching for 'vaccine' using the filters for 'public health' (under health-system sectors). In HealthEvidence, we searched using the categories for 'Immunization' and 'Policy and Legislation' under the intervention strategy filter combined with 'Communicable Disease/Infection' category under the topic filter.

Each source for these documents is assigned to one team member who conducts hand searches (when a source contains a smaller number of documents) or keyword searches to identify potentially relevant documents. A final inclusion assessment is performed both by the person who did the initial screening and the lead author of the rapid evidence profile, with disagreements resolved by consensus or with the input of a third reviewer on the team. The team uses a dedicated virtual channel to discuss and iteratively refine inclusion/exclusion criteria throughout the process, which provides a running list of considerations that all members can consult during the first stages of assessment.

During this process we include published, pre-print and grey literature. We do not exclude documents based on the language of a document. However, we are not able to extract key findings from documents that are written in languages other than Chinese, English, French or Spanish. We provide any documents that do not have content available in these languages in an appendix containing documents excluded at the final stages of reviewing.

#### Identifying experiences from other countries and from Canadian provinces and territories

For each LEP, we collectively decide on what countries to examine based on the question posed. For other countries we search relevant sources included in our continually updated guide to key COVID-19 evidence sources. These sources include government-response trackers that document national responses to the pandemic. In addition, we conduct searches of relevant government and ministry websites. In Canada, we search websites from relevant federal and provincial governments, ministries and agencies (e.g., Public Health Agency of Canada).

While we do not exclude countries based on language, where information is not available through the government-response trackers, we are unable to extract information about countries that do not use English, Chinese, French or Spanish as an official language.

#### Assessing relevance and quality of evidence

We assess the relevance of each included evidence document as being of high, moderate or low relevance to the question. We then use a colour gradient to reflect high (darkest blue) to low (lightest blue) relevance.

Two reviewers independently appraise the methodological quality of systematic reviews and rapid reviews that are deemed to be highly relevant. Disagreements are resolved by consensus with a third reviewer if needed. AMSTAR rates overall methodological quality on a scale of 0 to 11, where 11/11 represents a review of the highest quality. High-quality reviews are those with scores of eight or higher out of a possible 11, medium-quality reviews are those with scores between four and seven, and lowquality reviews are those with scores less than four. It is important to note that the AMSTAR tool was developed to assess reviews focused on clinical interventions, so not all criteria apply to systematic reviews pertaining to health-system arrangements or to economic and social responses to COVID-19. Where the denominator is not 11, an aspect of the tool was considered not relevant by the raters. In comparing ratings, it is therefore important to keep both parts of the score (i.e., the numerator and denominator) in mind. For example, a review that scores 8/8 is generally of comparable quality to a review scoring 11/11; both ratings are considered 'high scores.' A high score signals that readers of the review can have a high level of confidence in its findings. A low score, on the other hand, does not mean that the review should be discarded, merely that less confidence can be placed in its findings and that the review needs to be examined closely to identify its limitations. (Lewin S, Oxman AD, Lavis JN, Fretheim A. SUPPORT Tools for evidence-informed health Policymaking (STP): 8. Deciding how much confidence to place in a systematic review. Health Research Policy and Systems 2009; 7 (Suppl1):S8.

### Preparing the profile

Each included document is hyperlinked to its original source to facilitate easy retrieval. For all included guidelines, systematic reviews, rapid reviews and single studies (when included), we prepare a small number of bullet points that provide a brief summary of the key findings, which are used to summarize key messages in the text. Protocols and titles/questions have their titles hyperlinked given that findings are not yet available. We then draft a brief summary that highlights the total number of different types of highly relevant documents identified (organized by document), as well as their key findings, date of last search (or date last updated or published), and methodological quality.

# Appendix 2: Key findings from evidence documents that address the question, organized by document type and sorted by relevance to the question and COVID-19

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
Guidelines developed using a robust process (e.g., GRADE)	<ul> <li>Allocating vaccines and ancillary supplies equitably <ul> <li>Allocation rules (to priority populations, including those listed below, as well as to 'lower levels' in a federation and/or to providers who can reach priority populations)</li> <li>Front-line healthcare workers</li> <li>Residents in long-term care homes and other congregate-care settings</li> <li>People at increased risk of severe COVID-19 (e.g., older and/or frail adults, those with chronic health conditions)</li> <li>Essential workers (beyond front-line healthcare workers) and/or those in work environments that put them at elevated risk (e.g., food processing and transit)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines <ul> <li>Target of intervention</li> <li>High-risk groups</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The priorities for the COVID-19 vaccination program should be the prevention of COVID-19 mortality and the protection of health and social-care staff and systems</li> <li>Secondary priorities should include vaccination of individuals at increased risk of hospitalization and increased risk of exposure, and to maintain resilience in essential services</li> <li>Based on the proposed guidelines, the order of priority of COVID-19 vaccinations are as follows: <ul> <li>Residents in a care home for older adults and their carers</li> <li>All those 80 years of age and over and front-line health and social-care workers</li> <li>All those 75 years of age and over and clinically extremely vulnerable individuals</li> <li>All those 65 years of age and over</li> <li>All individuals aged 16 years to 64 years with underlying health conditions which put them at higher risk of serious disease and mortality</li> <li>All those 55 years of age and over</li> </ul> </li> <li>All those 60 years of age and over</li> <li>All those 51 years of age and over</li> <li>All those 50 years of age and over</li> </ul>	Published 6 January 2021
	<ul> <li>Allocating vaccines and ancillary supplies equitably</li> </ul>	• On December 1, the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) in the U.S.	Last update 1 January 2021

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
	<ul> <li>Allocation rules</li> <li>Front-line healthcare workers</li> <li>Residents in long-term care homes and other congregate-care settings</li> <li>People at increased risk of severe COVID-19</li> <li>Essential workers and/or those in work environments that put them at elevated risk</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>recommended that healthcare personnel and long-term care facility residents be offered COVID-19 vaccination first (Phase 1a)</li> <li>On December 20, ACIP updated interim vaccine allocation recommendations <ul> <li>In Phase 1b, COVID-19 vaccine should be offered to persons aged ≥75 years and non-healthcare frontline essential workers</li> <li>In Phase 1c, COVID-19 vaccine should be offered to persons aged 65–74 years, persons aged 16–64 years with high-risk medical conditions, and essential workers not included in Phase 1b</li> </ul> </li> <li>Federal, state and local jurisdictions should use this guidance for COVID-19 vaccination program planning and implementation</li> <li>Source (Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Securing and distributing a reliable supply of vaccines and ancillary supplies (e.g., needles, diluents) <ul> <li>National purchasing</li> <li>Delivery to country</li> <li>Inventory management within country</li> </ul> </li> <li>Administering vaccines in ways that optimize timely uptake <ul> <li>With what second-dose provisions</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This guideline describes the rationale and recommendations from the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) on the use of Moderna COVID-19 vaccine for U.S. adults aged 18 years or older for the prevention of COVID-19</li> <li>Engagement with community leaders and organizations will be needed to reduce barriers specific to vaccination uptake</li> <li>ACIP states that adults should complete their second vaccination with the same vaccine product as the first dose</li> <li>Source (Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention)</li> </ul>	Last update 20 December 2020
	<ul> <li>Securing and distributing a reliable supply of vaccines and ancillary supplies</li> <li>Inventory management within country</li> <li>Distribution within country and to administration sites</li> </ul>	• This guidance document outlined key elements and themes from vaccine strategy and deployment plans in the United Kingdom and countries within the European Union and European Economic Area	Published 2 December 2020

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
	<ul> <li>Allocating vaccines and ancillary supplies equitably <ul> <li>Allocation rules</li> </ul> </li> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines <ul> <li>Target of intervention</li> <li>General public</li> </ul> </li> <li>Surveillance, monitoring and evaluation, and reporting <ul> <li>Documenting vaccine-related opinions</li> <li>Documenting adverse events and follow-up</li> </ul> </li> <li>Infrastructure to enable surveillance, monitoring and evaluation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Within the interim recommendations of European countries, the top priority group for COVID-19 vaccines included older adults, healthcare workers, and individuals with select comorbidities</li> <li>Due to the limited supply of vaccines, certain countries may be further prioritizing from within this group</li> <li>Three key themes have been noted across the European countries: 1) the COVID-19 vaccine will be free of charge; 2) models will use pre-existing vaccination structures and delivery services for the roll-out of COVID-19 vaccines; and 3) electronic immunization registries will be used to help monitor vaccine safety, efficacy, coverage, and acceptance</li> <li>Source (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Allocating vaccines and ancillary supplies equitably         <ul> <li>Allocation rules</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This report follows the process of an expert group established by the Norwegian Institute of Public Health in determining the order in which vaccines should be allocated during the first stage of the Norwegian Coronavirus Immunization Programme</li> <li>Core values were established by the group for the first stage of the program and included, "equal respect, welfare, equity, trust, and legitimacy"</li> <li>These five core values were then translated to the following key goals: "1) reduce the risk of death, 2) reduce the risk of severe illness, 3) maintain essential services and critical infrastructure, 4) protect employment and the economy, 5) re-open society"</li> <li>Through defining the aforementioned key values and goals, the following categories of prioritization were established:</li> <li>o "Risk factors for severe illness and death</li> <li>o The infection situation</li> </ul>	Published 15 November 2020

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or
	<ul> <li>Allocating vaccines and ancillary supplies equitably</li> <li>Distribution within country and to administration sites</li> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines</li> <li>Target of intervention <ul> <li>General public</li> <li>Individuals who are hesitant about or opposed to vaccination</li> </ul> </li> <li>Surveillance, monitoring and evaluation, and reporting</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Occupation"</li> <li>The group recommends a dynamic approach to prioritization in accordance with a model published by the Norwegian government illustrating four possible scenarios for the COVID-19 pandemic. Each scenario varies based on severity of infection and is accompanied by recommendations for possible response measures. As an example, "Scenario 1a: Control" represents mild infection rates whereas "Scenario 2b: Widespread Transmission" represents more severe infection rates and societal closures are recommended</li> <li>The group recommends that risk groups and healthcare workers be given priority in pandemic scenarios 1-2a</li> <li>In pandemic scenario 2b, in which there is widespread transmission, the order of priority should be amended to: "1) health care workers, 2) risk groups, and 3) critical societal functions" Source (Norwegian Institute of Public Health)</li> <li>This report published by the Health Information and Quality Authority was written with the purpose of advising the National Public Health and Emergency Team in Ireland on various factors which influence vaccine uptake as well as possible interventions and communication strategies that can combat these barriers</li> <li>The influenza vaccine was used as a surrogate for the COVID-19 vaccine, and a rapid review was conducted to identify factors (barriers and facilitators) that influence vaccine uptake</li> <li>As a result of this rapid review, the following themes were identified as either barriers or facilitators to vaccine uptake, varying based on context: "perceived risks and benefits, knowledge, social influences, and patient-specific factors."</li> </ul>	Published 16 December 2020

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or
			status
		<ul> <li>Additionally, "perceived benefits from</li> </ul>	
		vaccination" and "recommendations from	
		healthcare professionals" were reported as	
		factors which typically improve vaccine uptake	
		• The rapid review also concluded that multi-	
		component interventions involving both	
		individual- and system-level components are	
		successful towards improving vaccine uptake in	
		a variety of groups	
		• The group stressed the importance of ensuring	
		equitable access to the vaccine by varying	
		populations (i.e., taking into account the location of	
		immunization centres, vaccination costs, etc.) as a	
		means of improving uptake	
		• The following parties should be educated on the	
		COVID-19 vaccine to ensure evidence-based	
		information is being relayed to the general public:	
		<ul> <li>Healthcare professionals (who should be</li> </ul>	
		educated on the vaccine prior to the initiation of	
		any vaccination program)	
		<ul> <li>Community opinion leaders</li> </ul>	
		• A communication campaign with the purpose of	
		combatting misconceptions about the COVID-19	
		vaccine should include the following key pieces of	
		information:	
		• The mechanism of action of the vaccine	
		• Evidence related to the safety and efficacy of the	
		vaccine	
		• The rigour of the scientific process used to	
		evaluate the safety and effectiveness of the	
		vaccine, as well as the fact that it is undergoing	
		continuous evaluation	
		• Finally, the team stressed that a vaccination	
		campaign based on knowledge and consensus	
		would be a more effective approach than making	
		vaccination compulsory for citizens in Ireland	

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
		To maintain a relationship of trust with the public, all surveillance information related to the safety and effectiveness of the vaccine should be made openly available <u>Source (Health Informant and Quality Authority)</u>	
	<ul> <li>Securing and distributing a reliable supply of vaccines and ancillary supplies (e.g., needles, diluents)</li> <li>Allocating vaccines and ancillary supplies equitably <ul> <li>Allocation rules (to priority populations, including those listed below, as well as to 'lower levels' in a federation and/or to providers who can reach priority populations)</li> <li>Ensuring equity (including whether and how access through private means can be achieved by those not initially prioritized)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Communicating vaccines in ways that optimize timely uptake</li> <li>Surveillance, monitoring and evaluation, and reporting</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This document provides guidance on developing COVID-19 national deployment and vaccination plans</li> <li>Aspects of this plan include: <ul> <li>Regulatory preparedness</li> <li>Planning and coordination</li> <li>Costing and funding</li> <li>Identification of target populations</li> <li>Vaccine-delivery strategies</li> <li>Preparation of supply chain and management of healthcare waste</li> <li>Human-resource management and training</li> <li>Vaccine-safety monitoring, management of adverse effects following immunization (AEFI) and injection safety</li> <li>Immunization monitoring systems</li> <li>COVID-19 surveillance</li> <li>Evaluation of COVID-19 vaccine</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Last update 16 November 2020
	<ul> <li>Allocating vaccines and ancillary supplies equitably</li> <li>Allocation rules</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This document provides guidance on prioritizing limited supply of COVID-19 vaccines</li> <li>It provides a roadmap for priority uses of COVID-19 vaccines including: <ul> <li>Staging priority groups in relation to group size and supply</li> <li>Gender considerations</li> <li>Addressing pregnant women</li> <li>Addressing lactating women</li> <li>Addressing children</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Last update 13 November 2020

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or
		<ul> <li>Considering comorbidities in vaccine prioritization</li> <li>Source (World Health Organization)</li> </ul>	Status
	<ul> <li>Allocating vaccines and ancillary supplies equitably</li> <li>Approaches to developing and adjusting allocation rules</li> <li>Ensuring equity (including whether and how access through private means can be achieved by those not initially prioritized)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The MMWR describes the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices' ethical principles for the allocation of COVID-19 vaccine in the U.S.</li> <li>The recommended approach for national, state, tribal, local and territorial levels is guided by four ethical principles: 1) maximize benefits and minimize harms; 2) promote justice; 3) mitigate health inequities; 4) promote transparency</li> <li>Additional considerations include decisions based on science (e.g., safety and efficacy) and feasibility of implementation (e.g., storage and handling)</li> <li>Source (Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention)</li> </ul>	Last update November 2020
	<ul> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines <ul> <li>Target of intervention</li> <li>General public</li> <li>Individuals who are hesitant about or opposed to vaccination</li> <li>Delivery of the intervention</li> <li>By whom (e.g., health worker, research expert, teacher, business leader, government leader, community leader, citizen champion, media)</li> <li>Frequency (e.g., daily, weekly)</li> <li>Duration (i.e., how much or for how long)</li> <li>Modality of delivery (e.g., social media, text, email, telephone, radio, television, face-to-face by video, face-to-face in person)</li> <li>Content of messaging</li> <li>Data and evidence about safety and about effectiveness in terms of both protection against COVID-19 (including duration of</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This guideline discusses behavioural insights related to drivers of vaccine acceptance and uptake</li> <li>It provides a framework of drivers of vaccine uptake including: 1) an enabling environment, 2) social influences and 3) motivation</li> <li>Source (World Health Organization)</li> </ul>	Last update 15 October 2020

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
	<ul> <li>protection) and protection against transmission (and other factors that may contribute to vaccine acceptance and hesitancy)</li> <li>Information about novel vaccine platforms (e.g., mRNA), current vaccine options (e.g., number of vaccines available in a country, number of doses required of any given vaccine), prioritized populations, and behaviours after vaccination</li> <li>Information (for health workers) about vaccine-administration protocols</li> <li>Myths and misinformation about vaccines</li> <li>Risk-mitigation efforts (including complementary public-health measures used at time of vaccination)</li> <li>Anticipated timing of when all those who want a vaccine will have been vaccinated</li> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines</li> <li>Target of intervention</li> <li>General public</li> <li>Individuals who are hesitant about or opposed to vaccination</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This guideline highlights how countries can begin pre-planning for the introduction of COVID-19 vaccines by conducting a series of activities, including activities that focus on demand generation and communication</li> <li>Design a demand plan (includes advocacy, communications, social mobilization, risk and safety communications, community engagement, and training) to generate confidence, acceptance and demand for COVID-19 vaccines</li> <li>The plan must include crisis-communications preparedness planning</li> <li>Source (World Health Organization)</li> </ul>	Last update 21 September 2020
	<ul> <li>Securing and distributing a reliable supply of vaccines and ancillary supplies</li> <li>National purchasing</li> <li>Allocating vaccines and ancillary supplies equitably</li> </ul>	• The Vaccine Readiness Assessment Tool (VIRAT) is intended to be used by Ministries of Health as a roadmap for countries to plan for COVID-19 vaccine introduction	Last update 21 September 2020

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or
	<ul> <li>Distribution within country and to administration sites</li> <li>Distribution procedures</li> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines</li> <li>Target of intervention</li> <li>General public</li> <li>Individuals who are hesitant about or opposed to vaccination</li> <li>Administering vaccines in ways that optimize timely uptake</li> <li>With what partnerships to reach early populations of focus</li> <li>With what reporting requirements, supporting immunization information systems, and broader healthcare information systems</li> <li>With what safety-monitoring requirements</li> <li>Surveillance, monitoring and evaluation, and reporting</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It also offers a structured framework for countries to self-monitor their readiness progress against key milestones, and a set of recommended indicators (coverage, acceptability, disease surveillance) for a COVID-19 vaccine</li> <li>COVID-19 Vaccine Introduction Readiness Assessment Tool proposes additional activities that focus on demand generation and communication</li> <li>Design a demand plan (includes advocacy, communications, social mobilization, risk and safety communications, community engagement, and training) to generate confidence, acceptance and demand for COVID-19 vaccines. The plan must include crisis-communications preparedness planning</li> <li>Establish data-collection systems, including: 1) social media listening and rumour management; and 2) assessing behavioural and social data</li> <li>Develop key messages and materials for public communications and advocacy that are aligned with the demand plan</li> </ul>	status
	<ul> <li>Allocating vaccines and ancillary supplies equitably         <ul> <li>Allocation rules</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This guidance document provides a values framework for COVID-19 vaccine allocation and prioritization</li> <li>The values framework consists of six core principles: <ul> <li>Human well-being</li> <li>Equal respect</li> <li>Global equity</li> <li>National equity</li> <li>Reciprocity</li> <li>Legitimacy</li> </ul> </li> <li>Source (World Health Organization)</li> </ul>	Last update 13 September 2020

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or
	<ul> <li>Allocating vaccines and ancillary supplies equitably         <ul> <li>Allocation rules</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This document describes the WHO Secretariat's proposal for the allocation of COVID-19 vaccines among countries, specifically in the context of the COVID-19 Vaccines Global Access (COVAX) Facility access mechanism, including:         <ul> <li>An initial proportional allocation of doses to countries until all countries have enough doses to cover 20% of their population</li> <li>A follow-up phase to expand coverage to other populations; if severe supply constraints persist, a weighted allocation approach would be adopted, taking account of a country's COVID threat and vulnerability</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	status Last update 9 September 2020
	<ul> <li>Securing and distributing a reliable supply of vaccines and ancillary supplies</li> <li>Distribution within country and to administration sites</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, this document outlines the decision-making framework for implementing mass-vaccination campaigns for the prevention of vaccine-preventable diseases and high-impact diseases (VPD/HID), including:         <ul> <li>Step 1: assessing the potential impact of the VPD/HID outbreak using key epidemiological criteria</li> <li>Step 2: assessing the potential benefits of a mass-vaccination campaign and the country capacity to implement it safely and effectively</li> <li>Step 3: considering the potential risk of increased COVID-19 transmission associated with the mass-vaccination campaign</li> <li>Step 4: determining the most appropriate actions considering the COVID-19 epidemiological situation</li> <li>Step 5: if a decision is made to proceed with a mass-vaccination campaign, implementing best practice</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Last update 22 May 2020
	Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines	• This guideline indicates that people in eligible groups who understand why flu vaccination is	Last update 22 August 2018

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
	<ul> <li>Delivery of the intervention</li> <li>By whom (e.g., health worker)</li> <li>Modality of delivery (e.g., social media, text, email, telephone, face-to-face in person)</li> <li>Content of messaging</li> <li>Myths and misinformation about vaccines</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>particularly important for them are more likely to be vaccinated</li> <li>Thus, professionals need to explain the benefits of vaccination and address people's misconceptions about it</li> <li>The guideline proposes a multi-component approach to develop and deliver programs to increase flu-vaccination uptake, including raising awareness among health and social-care staff, and among eligible groups</li> <li>Source (National Institute for Health and Care Excellence)</li> </ul>	
Full systematic reviews	<ul> <li>Administering vaccines in ways that optimize timely uptake</li> <li>By whom and with what changes to remuneration</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This review aimed to estimate the effect of pharmacists administering vaccinations for influenza on overall vaccination rates, and to assess whether there is a difference in effect for at-risk sub-groups compared to the general population</li> <li>Findings revealed that: <ul> <li>There appeared to be a small positive effect associated with allowing pharmacists to administer influenza vaccinations</li> <li>The largest increase in overall population vaccination rates associated with pharmacists vaccinating for influenza was 10%</li> <li>There was a graduated effect in that pharmacists with the most autonomy had the largest vaccination rate increases</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Literature last searched July 2019
	<ul> <li>Administering vaccines in ways that optimize timely uptake</li> <li>Where</li> <li>Other community settings</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>School and childcare centre-located vaccination programs are effective in increasing vaccination rates, and decreasing rates of vaccine-preventable morbidity and mortality</li> <li>Key components of effective school and childcare centre-located vaccination programs include: <ul> <li>Vaccinations provided on site</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Literature last searched February 2012

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
	<ul> <li>Administering vaccines in ways that optimize timely uptake</li> <li>Where</li> <li>Other community settings (e.g., schools)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Administration of programs by a wide range of providers including school health personnel, health-department staff, and other vaccination providers</li> <li>Delivery in a variety of different school and organized childcare settings</li> <li>Delivery of one or more of a range of vaccines recommended for children and adolescents</li> <li>Inclusion of additional components such as education, reduced out-of-pocket costs, enhanced access to vaccination services</li> <li>School and childcare centre-located programs may be most useful for improving immunization rates among children and adolescents for new vaccines, where background rates are likely to be very low Source (AMSTAR rating 6/9)</li> <li>There is strong evidence on the effectiveness of vaccination requirements for childcare, school, and college attendance in increasing vaccination rates and decreasing rates of vaccine-preventable disease and associated morbidity and mortality</li> <li>Vaccination requirements could be:         <ul> <li>Laws created by states, with the specific vaccines required established by the legislature and embodied in statutes or adopted as administrative rules by health or education departments</li> <li>Additional vaccination policies established by institutions (such as colleges and private schools) for attendance or residence</li> <li>Varied across jurisdictions</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Literature last searched 2015
	<ul> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines</li> <li>O Delivery of the intervention</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Vaccine uptake and coverage can be improved by implementing interventions that apply new media such as text messaging, internet promotions, and</li> </ul>	Date of literature search not reported

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or
			status
	<ul> <li>Modality of delivery (e.g., social media,</li> </ul>	computerized standing orders and reminders for	(published
	text, and email)	healthcare providers	January 2015)
		• Computer-generated text messaging sent to parents	
		of newborns and school-aged children were	
		effective at increasing vaccination in these groups	
		• Immunization campaign websites and computerized	
		reminders for patients have some influence on	
		uptake of vaccine information, and patient attitudes	
		and behaviours about vaccination	
		• There is uncertainty about how effective social-	
		media networks, email communications and	
		smartphone applications are on influencing vaccine	
		uptake	
		• Vaccination rates are higher when computerized	
		reminders to encourage providers to recommend	
		vaccination and computer-based standing orders are	
		in use $(A \times C)$ $(A \times C)$ $(A \times C)$	
		Source (AMSTAR rating 7/10)	T 1 .
	• Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the	• Findings about the structure of interventions	Literature last
	satety and effectiveness of vaccines	revealed that:	searched 2015
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• Engaging religious and other community leaders	
	General public	was a commonly used strategy to address	
	<ul> <li>Individuals who are bositant about or</li> </ul>	gender)	
	- individuals who are nestiant about of	• Across all regions most interventions were	
	• Delivery of the intervention	multi-component	
	<ul> <li>By whom (e.g. citizen champion)</li> </ul>	• Findings about the success (defined as either	
	<ul> <li>Modality of delivery (e.g., face-to-face in</li> </ul>	increase in vaccine uptake, or increase in knowledge	
	person)	and awareness) of interventions revealed that:	
	o Content of messaging	• Few interventions were found to have been	
	<ul> <li>Myths and misinformation about vaccines</li> </ul>	evaluated for their success in vaccine uptake or	
	<ul> <li>Risk-mitigation efforts</li> </ul>	their influence in increasing knowledge and	
		awareness	
		• Interventions to increase uptake that have	
		multiple components and/or have a focus on	

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
	<ul> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines</li> <li>Target of intervention</li> <li>General public</li> <li>Delivery of the intervention</li> <li>Modality of delivery (e.g., text and telephone)</li> <li>Content of messaging</li> <li>Risk-mitigation efforts</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>dialogue-based approaches tend to be more effective</li> <li>Interventions that resulted in the largest increases in vaccine uptake were those which directly targeted unvaccinated or undervaccinated populations, improved convenience and access to vaccination, aimed to increase vaccination knowledge and awareness, targeted specific populations (e.g., healthcare workers), mandated vaccinations, and engaged religious or other influential leaders</li> <li>Interventions that resulted in the greatest increases in knowledge and awareness were education initiatives, especially where new knowledge was embedded into routine processes Source (AMSTAR rating 7/10)</li> <li>This systematic review aimed to investigate whether interventions that present risk messages are able to increase risk appraisal, vaccine intention and vaccine uptake</li> <li>The findings from this review indicate that interventions involving risk messages had no effect on the intention of participants to vaccinate, their behaviour towards vaccines, and their perception of the severity of the disease</li> <li>This review identified very few behaviour-change techniques, though the additional inclusion of studies focusing on efficacy appraisal may increase intervention effectiveness</li> </ul>	Literature last searched September 2017
	<ul> <li>Administering vaccines in ways that optimize timely uptake</li> <li>With what broader, complementary health interventions (e.g., flu vaccination and routine immunization, ongoing public-health measures)</li> </ul>	• This review examined the effectiveness of process interventions (e.g., education for clinicians, parent presence, education of parents before and on day of vaccination, and education of patients on day of vaccination) on reducing vaccination pain, fear, and	Date of literature search not reported (published in 2015)

distress and increasing the use of interventions	Status
<ul> <li>Finding vacuation</li> <li>Findings revealed that:</li> <li>Clinicians should be educated about vaccine- injection pain management</li> <li>Parents should be present</li> <li>Parents should be educated before the vaccination day</li> <li>Parents should be educated on the vaccination day</li> <li>Individuals three years of age and above should be educated on the day-of-vaccination fear</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines <ul> <li>Target of intervention</li> <li>High-risk groups</li> <li>Individuals who are hesitant about or opposed to vaccination</li> </ul> </li> <li>At least one of the interventions should be focused on increasing demand using approaches found to be most effective, including client reminder and recall systems, clinic-based client education, and manual outreach and tracking</li> <li>One or more of the interventions (e.g., through effective interventions such as expanded access in healthcare settings, reducing out-of-pocket costs, or home visits)</li> <li>Ensuring vaccination providers are reminded and supported to deliver vaccinations (e.g., through effective interventions such as a reminders, standing orders and assessment and feedback)</li> <li>Source (AMSTAR rating 6/9)</li> </ul>	Literature last earched February 2012
<ul> <li>Administering vaccines in ways that optimize timely uptake</li> <li>Where</li> <li>Use of an immunization information system (IIS) was an effective intervention to increase vaccination rates, and studies with benefit</li> </ul>	Literature last earched March 2012

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
	<ul> <li>With what reporting requirements and supporting immunization information systems and broader healthcare information systems</li> </ul>	information focused on administrative efficiency of clinical vaccination activities and savings resulting from decreased over-vaccination <u>Source</u> (AMSTAR rating 4/9)	
Rapid reviews	<ul> <li>Allocating vaccines and ancillary supplies equitably</li> <li>Allocation rules</li> <li>People for whom vaccine safety and effectiveness has not yet been established</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Existing guidelines note the lack of clinical evidence on the safety or effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines in women who are pregnant, breastfeeding, or attempting to conceive</li> <li>Two major U.S. specialty societies recommend shared decision-making to best balance the risks of vaccination with the risks of remaining unvaccinated, and they do not consider pregnancy or breastfeeding to be an absolute contraindication to COVID-19 vaccination         <ul> <li>Most U.S. medical centres that have taken a position on COVID-19 vaccination endorse the U.S societies' recommendations for shared decision-making and will offer vaccination to women who are pregnant or breastfeeding</li> <li>Organizations in the United Kingdom consider pregnancy and breastfeeding to be contraindications to COVID-19 vaccination</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Date of literature search not stated (published 24 December 2020)
	<ul> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines         <ul> <li>Target of intervention</li> <li>General public</li> <li>Individuals who are hesitant about or opposed to vaccination</li> <li>Delivery of the intervention</li> <li>By whom</li> <li>Content of messaging</li> <li>Data and evidence about safety and about effectiveness in terms of both protection against COVID-19 (including duration of</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This rapid review of over 100 surveys focused on comparing trends in public reception to COVID-19 vaccines over time, and analyzing factors related to vaccine perceptions, concerns and intentions during the COVID-19 pandemic</li> <li>Study results show that vaccine hesitancy is universal across countries and is typically manifested in the preference to wait to be vaccinated or to reject vaccination altogether</li> <li>The most cited reasons for vaccine hesitancy or refusal included fear of side effects, safety and effectiveness, as well as the expedited development</li> </ul>	Last search 20 October 2020

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
	protection) and protection against transmission (and other factors that may contribute to vaccine acceptance and hesitancy)	<ul> <li>of the COVID-19 vaccines, perceived political interference, and misinformation</li> <li>Survey participants from the U.S. and U.K. with higher skepticism had a lower perceived risk of trust in government or professionals, and therefore had more doubts and objections to being vaccinated</li> <li>The authors recommend that confidence in the COVID-19 vaccines can be improved by emphasizing transparency and compliance with scientific standards throughout the vaccine-development and approval processes</li> <li>Communication strategies could use positive cues to vaccinate through engagement with loved ones and family members, and trusted figures like doctors and religious leaders. Confidence can also be instilled through transparency in access and equitable distribution of the vaccines</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Administering vaccines in ways that optimize timely uptake</li> <li>With what broader, complementary health interventions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>There are three models for vaccination delivery in non-healthcare settings: social-distancing immunization clinics, drive-through clinics, and small mobile-team clinics</li> <li>Social-distancing clinics were found to be effective, although monitoring social distancing was challenging</li> <li>Drive-through immunization clinics allowed for greater social distancing, but with less efficiency and with greater risk of use of an improper vaccine-administration technique</li> <li>Mini-mobile teams increase ability to monitor social distancing and decrease the risk of exposure, but have significant logistical challenges</li> <li>Strict protocols for vaccination sites to manage patient flow and duration of time at site must be established</li> </ul>	Date of literature search not reported (published 27 August 2020)

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
		<ul> <li>Staff must be screened and appropriately trained to manage the vaccination site</li> <li><u>Source</u> (AMSTAR rating 3/9)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Administering vaccines in ways that optimize timely uptake</li> <li>With what explicit effort to leverage existing health-system arrangements (e.g., vaccination systems and primary-care practices/community health centres)</li> <li>With what partnerships to reach early populations of focus</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Hard-to-reach groups may be reached by vaccine- delivery programs by setting up vaccination sites in familiar and accessible population-specific spaces</li> <li>Community-based teaching methods and community partnerships may be leveraged to enable greater vaccination uptake by hard-to-reach populations</li> <li>Additional considerations must also be made to overcome language and cultural barriers</li> <li>Source (AMSTAR rating 3/9)</li> </ul>	Date of literature search not reported (published 27 August 2020)
	<ul> <li>Administering vaccines in ways that optimize timely uptake</li> <li>By whom and with what changes to remuneration</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Individuals with or without backgrounds in medicine can be recruited to deliver vaccinations through several avenues</li> <li>In-person immunization trainings and just-in-time trainings were not found to be more effective than distant or traditional training methods, respectively Source (AMSTAR rating 3/9)</li> </ul>	Date of literature search not reported (published 27 August 2020)
	<ul> <li>Administering vaccines in ways that optimize timely uptake         <ul> <li>With what safety monitoring requirements</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A separate waiting area must be established to allow patients to be monitored post-vaccination for 15 minutes</li> <li>Training staff to identify signs of adverse vaccine reactions, respond to adverse reactions, and enable quick access to emergency medical supplies are central to mitigating risks associated with vaccination</li> <li>Ensuring patients are aware of how to get help in drive-through clinic models (i.e., through honking) and administering vaccines in-clinic for patients with a known history of adverse reactions are also critical to safety</li> <li>For in-clinic vaccine administration, patient flow and clinic layout must be strictly monitored Source (AMSTAR rating 2/9)</li> </ul>	Date of literature search not reported (published 27 August)

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or
			status
	• Surveillance, monitoring and evaluation, and	• This rapid review includes 18 surveys on	Literature last
	reporting	individuals' willingness to receive a COVID-19	searched
	o Identifying sources of vaccine hesitancy	vaccine	December
		• The percentage of respondents inclined towards	2020
		receiving a vaccine ranged from 58% in a U.S	
		based sample to 93% in an Indonesian sample	
		• Greater perceived risk of COVID-19,	
		characteristics such as being older, male, more	
		educated and having higher income, and valuing	
		healthcare providers' recommendations, were	
		positively associated with willingness to receive a	
		COVID-19 vaccine	
		<ul> <li>Willingness to receive a COVID-19 vaccine was</li> </ul>	
		negatively associated with being of Latino or Black	
		racial/ethnic background, and concerns about	
		vaccine safety	
		• Communication strategies to improve willingness to	
		receive a COVID-19 vaccine might consider	
		behaviour-change techniques such as information	
		about health consequences, prompts and cues, and	
		support or encouragement	
		Source – not yet available online (AMSTAR rating 3/9)	
	• Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the	• This brief aimed to support decision-makers in	Date of
	safety and effectiveness of vaccines	planning and implementing vaccine-communication	literature
	o Target of intervention	strategies	search not
	<ul> <li>General public</li> </ul>	• Communication strategies with the public about	stated (published
	Individuals who are hesitant about or	vaccines should aim to:	(published October 2020)
	opposed to vaccination	• Identify concerns and misconceptions about the	October 2020)
	• Content of messaging	vaccine	
	Data and evidence about safety and about	• Provide information that is perceived to be	
	effectiveness in terms of both protection	trustworthy	
	against COVID-19 and protection against	• Make information about how the vaccine was	
	Information about noval vaccine	safety and the background for its	
	- Information about novel vaccine	recommendation easily accessible	
	plationits, current vaccine options,	recommendation easily accessible	

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
	<ul> <li>prioritized populations, and behaviours after vaccination</li> <li>Myths and misinformation about vaccines</li> <li>Risk-mitigation efforts</li> <li>Anticipated timing of when all those who want a vaccine will have been vaccinated</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Provide transparent, timely, consistent, accessible and easily understandable information, including to hard-to-reach groups</li> <li>Include practical information about where to get the vaccine and what the procedure is <u>Source</u> (AMSTAR rating 4/9)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Allocating vaccines and ancillary supplies equitably <ul> <li>Allocation rules</li> <li>Ensuring equity (including whether and how access through private means can be achieved by those not initially prioritized)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines <ul> <li>Content of messaging</li> <li>Anticipated timing of when all those who want a vaccine will have been vaccinated</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>To maintain public support among non-priority groups, it is critical that key stakeholders effectively communicate all evidence-informed decisions clearly</li> <li>To uphold ethical integrity, COVID-19 vaccines must be administered in accordance with the priority groups that have been established Source (AMSTAR rating 4/9)</li> </ul>	Date of literature search not reported (published 27 August 2020)
	<ul> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines <ul> <li>Target of intervention</li> <li>High-risk groups</li> <li>Individuals who are hesitant about or opposed to vaccination</li> <li>Delivery of the intervention</li> <li>By whom (e.g., health worker, research expert, teacher, business leader, government leader, community leader, citizen champion, media)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This review provides an overview of implementation considerations related to communication between healthcare workers and older adults about vaccines</li> <li>Communicating the aim of vaccine communication with older adults and their role in the decision-making process in relation to patient rights legislation or other standards and policies in the local setting</li> <li>Planners and implementers should consider healthcare workers' views and attitudes about communication and decision-making in terms of <ul> <li>Older adults' rights and preferences</li> <li>Communication training</li> <li>Awareness around influence</li> <li>Healthcare workers' vaccine uptake</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Date of last search or publication not stated (listed as forthcoming)

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
		<ul> <li>Additional considerations related to the relationships healthcare workers have with older adults <ul> <li>Do healthcare workers view communication about vaccination as part of their role?</li> <li>Is it their responsibility to initiate the conversation about vaccination?</li> <li>Do healthcare workers receive support and guidance to facilitate communication with older adults who do not have the capacity to make their own decisions?</li> <li>Do healthcare workers receive support and guidance when communicating with older adults who speak a minority language?</li> </ul> </li> <li>Practical issues encountered by healthcare workers related to communicating with older adults about vaccination include: <ul> <li>Sufficient time</li> <li>Lack of appropriate context and preparation to facilitate informed decision-making</li> <li>Limited knowledge of disease vaccine aims to prevent</li> <li>Unable to provide information to address questions, concerns and fears about vaccines</li> <li>Limited or no access to patient data necessary to discuss vaccines with older adults</li> <li>Lack of agreement with current recommendations</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines</li> <li>Delivery of the intervention</li> <li>Modality of delivery (e.g., social media, text, email, telephone, radio, television, face-to-face by video, face-to-face in person)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This brief provides policy- and decision-makers and operational staff insights about how digital interventions can promote vaccine uptake</li> <li>Evidence on the effectiveness of digital interventions to promote vaccine uptake is mixed and fragmented</li> </ul>	Date of literature search not stated (published October 2020)

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
		<ul> <li>Mobile reminders may encourage people to vaccinate; online prompts from health providers make little or no difference to adolescent vaccine uptake; the effects of vaccination reminders via online patient portal systems or of educational videos for parents are uncertain</li> <li>Start-up and ongoing costs, acceptability and feasibility of digital interventions should be considered before implementing an intervention in a specific setting</li> <li>Given the limited evidence available, large scale implementation of digital interventions for vaccine uptake should be carefully evaluated, including for unintended consequences and equity impacts</li> <li>Operational staff and decision-makers should consider context, including health-system arrangements, constraints and on-the-ground realities that might shape the feasibility and acceptability of digital interventions</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Administering vaccines in ways that optimize timely uptake</li> <li>With what appointment/scheduling and screening support, changes to physical spaces and patient flows through these spaces, and changes to hours of operation</li> <li>With what post-vaccination observation period and what physical distancing, personal protective equipment, sanitation and other public-health measures</li> <li>With what safety monitoring requirements</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A separate waiting area must be established to allow patients to be monitored post-vaccination for 15 minutes</li> <li>Training staff to identify signs of adverse vaccine reactions, respond to adverse reactions, and enable quick access to emergency medical supplies are central to mitigating risks associated with vaccination</li> <li>Ensuring patients are aware of how to get help in drive-through clinic models (i.e., through honking) and administering vaccines in-clinic for patients with a known history of adverse reactions are also critical to safety</li> <li>For in-clinic vaccine administration, patient flow and clinic layout must be strictly monitored Source (AMSTAR rating 2/9)</li> </ul>	Date of literature search not reported (published 27 August 2020)

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or
	<ul> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines <ul> <li>Target of intervention</li> <li>Individuals who are hesitant about or opposed to vaccination</li> <li>Delivery of the intervention</li> <li>By whom</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Barriers to the uptake of vaccinations include: limited trust in vaccine effectiveness; limited knowledge; unhealthy lifestyle; low concern about disease; and safety concerns about immunizations</li> <li>Reliable, frequent and tailored information about vaccines must be shared with community members through multiple platforms, including social media, traditional media and providers</li> <li>Providers must be educated about vaccines and provided with appropriate training to increase provider vaccine recommendations to patients</li> <li>Source (AMSTAR rating 4/9)</li> </ul>	Date of literature search not reported (published 27 August 2020)
	<ul> <li>Administering vaccines in ways that optimize timely uptake <ul> <li>With what explicit effort to leverage existing health-system arrangements</li> <li>With what partnerships to reach early populations of focus</li> <li>With what broader, complementary health interventions</li> <li>With what reporting requirements and supporting immunization information systems and broader healthcare information systems</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Global Routine Immunization Strategic Plan (GRISP) is a useful framework for operationalizing programs to increase vaccine coverage in countries where early COVID-19 mitigation measures have had an impact</li> <li>To maximize reach, services should be designed to reach all equitably, vaccinator capacity and training should be increased, and immunization services should be re-integrated as synergistically as possible</li> <li>Efforts should be made to engage communities and create demand for immunization through culturally specific education campaigns and engagement of stakeholders and community partners</li> <li>Vaccination progress should be continuously monitored to ensure availability of vaccine stock and plan for catch-up vaccination Source (AMSTAR rating 3/9)</li> </ul>	Literature last searched June 2020
	<ul> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines <ul> <li>Target of intervention</li> <li>General public</li> <li>Delivery of the intervention</li> <li>Modality of delivery (e.g., social media, text, email, telephone, radio, television,</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This rapid review focuses on understanding how the public responds to vaccination messages during a pandemic or epidemic, to inform messaging campaigns that encourage the uptake of new vaccines</li> <li>Messages found to improve vaccine uptake include those that provide information about virus risks and</li> </ul>	Literature last searched May 2020

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
	<ul> <li>face-to-face by video, face-to-face in person)</li> <li>Content of messaging</li> <li>Data and evidence about safety and about effectiveness in terms of both protection against COVID-19 (including duration of protection) and protection against transmission (and other factors that may contribute to vaccine acceptance and hesitancy)</li> <li>Anticipated timing of when all those who want a vaccine will have been vaccinated</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>vaccine safety, address vaccine misunderstandings, offer vaccination reminders (including vaccination clinic details), and deliver mixed-media campaigns in communities and hospitals</li> <li>Behavioural influences were improved when shorter risk-framing messages were used, concerns among target populations were addressed, and the benefits of vaccination were described</li> <li>Higher acceptability was found to be associated with clear, credible messages that incorporated personal accounts of people who were previously vaccinated</li> <li>Future messaging campaigns should ensure that communication is clear about vaccine eligibility and availability, and that target groups are involved in the campaign planning, information dissemination and relationship building</li> <li>Source (AMSTAR rating 8/10)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines         <ul> <li>Delivery of the intervention</li> <li>By whom</li> <li>Modality of delivery</li> <li>Content of messaging</li> <li>Data and evidence about safety and about effectiveness in terms of both protection against COVID-19 and protection against transmission</li> <li>Information about novel vaccine platforms, current vaccine options, prioritized populations, and behaviours after vaccination</li> <li>Information (for health workers) about vaccine-administration protocols</li> </ul> </li> <li>Administering vaccines in ways that optimize timely uptake</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A rapid evidence review to identify factors influencing vaccine uptake found: <ul> <li>A number of overarching themes namely: perceived risks and benefits, knowledge, social influences and patient-specific factors (for example socio-demographic factors), which were found to act as either barriers or facilitators depending on the context</li> <li>Interventions (including multicomponent interventions, such as postcards, letters, calls, recalls, home visits, physician incentives) can successfully increase vaccine uptake</li> </ul> </li> <li>Healthcare workers should be provided with the necessary information on vaccination to support them to make informed decisions for themselves and to act as a trusted source of information for others</li> </ul>	Date of literature search not stated (published 16 December 2020)

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
	<ul> <li>With what broader, complementary health interventions</li> <li>Surveillance, monitoring and evaluation and reporting <ul> <li>Documenting adverse events and follow-up</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Key opinion leaders in the community including general practitioners, community pharmacists, public-health nurses, religious and sports leaders should be provided with evidence-based information and tools on vaccination to build community engagement</li> <li>Communication campaigns should focus on providing information on the following aspects specific to COVID-19 vaccines: <ul> <li>The vaccine technology and how it may differ from other vaccines</li> <li>The currently available evidence regarding safety and efficacy of the vaccines</li> <li>The rigour of the evaluation and approval process</li> <li>The requirements for the post-marketing continuous evaluation of benefit and risk</li> </ul> </li> <li>A COVID-19 vaccine, when used in combination with public health measures such as physical distancing, face masks, respiratory etiquette and hand hygiene, has the potential to reduce the burden of illness</li> <li>Evidence in relation to the effectiveness and safety of COVID-19 vaccines obtained through ongoing surveillance should be made available in a proactive, open and accountable manner to maintain public trust</li> </ul>	status
	<ul> <li>Administering vaccines in ways that optimize timely uptake</li> <li>With what safety monitoring requirements</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The current evidence base on messenger RNA (mRNA) vaccines is made up entirely of small early-stage trials, nearly all of which examined only short-term outcomes</li> <li>Systemic adverse effects such as fatigue, headache, muscle aches and chills are common following administration of mRNA vaccines, but they usually resolve within a day or two</li> </ul>	Literature last searched 9 December 2020

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
		<ul> <li>Severe systemic adverse events were reported by 5 to 10 per cent of trial subjects</li> <li>Localized adverse effects, most notably pain at the injection site, are also common, and also resolve within a day or two</li> <li>The rate and severity of adverse events increases with vaccine dose, and also appears to be greater following a second dose of vaccine than following the first</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Securing and distributing a reliable supply of vaccines and ancillary supplies (e.g., needles, diluents)</li> <li>National purchasing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This rapid review provides a summary of the emerging evidence about the safety, efficacy and roll-out of COVID-19 vaccines</li> <li>There are 173 vaccine candidates globally and nine authorized/approved vaccines across different jurisdictions</li> <li>According to the WHO, vaccines that have received approval to date should protect individuals from variants of SARS-CoV-2 as they elicit a broad immune response</li> <li>Countries such as Australia have reportedly chosen to offer the majority of its population the Oxford/AstraZeneca vaccine, despite its reduced protection when compared to other approved vaccines, because it can be manufactured locally and has easier storage and administration capabilities</li> <li>The impact of changes to dosage schedules, level of vaccine protection, and different vaccination strategies have yet to be determined Source</li> </ul>	Literature last searched 13 January 2021
Guidance developed using some type of	Allocating vaccines and ancillary supplies	<ul> <li>ACOG recommends that COVID-19 vaccines should not be withheld from pregnant individuals</li> </ul>	Last update 27 January 2021
evidence synthesis	• Allocation rules	who meet criteria for vaccination based on ACIP	<i>JJ</i> <b>=</b> <i>i</i> <b>=</b> <i>i</i>
and/or expert	<ul> <li>People for whom vaccine safety and</li> </ul>	(the Advisory Committee on Immunization	
opinion	effectiveness has not yet been established	Practices)-recommended priority groups	

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
	<ul> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines</li> <li>Target of intervention <ul> <li>High-risk groups</li> <li>Delivery of the intervention</li> <li>By whom</li> <li>Modality of delivery</li> </ul> </li> <li>Content of messaging <ul> <li>Data and evidence about safety and about effectiveness in terms of both protection against COVID-19 and protection against transmission</li> <li>Risk-mitigation efforts</li> </ul> </li> <li>Administering vaccines in ways that optimize timely uptake <ul> <li>Where</li> <li>Community-based health settings</li> <li>Other community settings</li> <li>Primary-care settings</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ACOG recommends that COVID-19 vaccines should be offered to lactating individuals similar to non-lactating individuals when they meet criteria for receipt of the vaccine based on prioritization groups outlined by the ACIP</li> <li>A conversation between the patient and their clinical team may assist with decisions regarding the use of vaccines approved under Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) for the prevention of COVID-19 by pregnant patients, and the important considerations include: <ul> <li>The level of activity of the virus in the community</li> <li>The potential efficacy of the vaccine</li> <li>The risk and potential severity of maternal disease, including the effects of disease on the fetus and newborn</li> <li>The safety of the vaccine for the pregnant patient and the fetus</li> </ul> </li> <li>A conversation with a clinician should not be required prior to vaccination, as this may cause unnecessary barriers to access</li> <li>Regardless of their decision to receive or not receive the vaccine, these conversations provide an opportunity to remind patients about the importance of other prevention measures such as hand washing, physical distancing, and wearing a mask.</li> <li>Vaccination of pregnant individuals with a COVID-19 mRNA vaccine may occur in any clinical setting and non-clinical community-based vaccination sites such as schools, community centres, and other mass-vaccination locations, and pregnancy testing should not be a requirement prior to receiving any EUA-approved COVID-19 vaccine</li> </ul>	

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
		Source (The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, ACOG)	
	<ul> <li>Allocating vaccines and ancillary supplies equitably         <ul> <li>People at significant risk for severe allergic reaction</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology (EAACI) recommends the administering of COVID-19 vaccines to patients with allergies who do not have a history of allergic reactions to vaccine components</li> <li>The EAACI highlights that anaphylaxis after vaccination can occur in the absence of a history of allergic reaction and recommends that an observation time of 15 minutes is allotted after vaccination</li> <li>Patients who had a severe allergic reaction to the first dose of COVID-19 vaccine should be referred to allergist to determine the cause of the allergic reaction (if it is due to the COVID-19 vaccine, they should not receive the second dose)</li> <li><u>Source</u> (The European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology)</li> </ul>	Published 16 January 2021
	<ul> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines <ul> <li>Target of intervention</li> <li>General public</li> <li>High-risk groups</li> <li>Individuals who are hesitant about or opposed to vaccination</li> </ul> </li> <li>Delivery of the intervention <ul> <li>By whom</li> <li>Content of messaging</li> <li>Data and evidence about safety and about effectiveness in terms of both protection against COVID-19 and protection against transmission</li> <li>Risk-mitigation efforts</li> <li>Myths or misinformation about vaccines</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A 23-person Working Group on Readying Populations for COVID-19 Vaccine released a set of recommendations and best practices for improving COVID-19 vaccine acceptance and addressing hesitancy</li> <li>Value social science (involve research funding to include social, behavioural and communication science, and develop active partnerships)</li> <li>Inform public expectations about COVID-19 vaccination benefits, risks and supply (forecast range of scenarios, temper expectations, provide transparency of vaccine safety systems, seek input from marginalized populations)</li> <li>Communicate in meaningful ways (public wellbeing at the centre of communication, reject political tensions, conduct qualitative studies to understand local and community needs and</li> </ul>	Published 20 October 2020

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
	<ul> <li>Administering vaccines in ways that optimize timely uptake         <ul> <li>Where</li> <li>With what broader, complementary health interventions</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>concerns, conduct surveys on attitudes and beliefs across sub-groups, engage network of trusted champions and spokespersons to deliver a unified message)</li> <li>Earn public trust and confidence in allocation and distribution (develop strategies that take marginalized populations into consideration, implement guidelines that are consistent across providers and locations)</li> <li>Make vaccination available in safe, familiar places (use schools, pharmacies, places of worship, workplaces, grocery stores, health departments, senior centres, home visits; prepare educational materials and train individuals tasked with vaccination; develop hesitancy campaign plans; foster partnerships with government, health departments, media)</li> <li>Establish an independent body to instil public ownership (establish public committees to review and report on public understanding, access and acceptance)</li> <li>Source (Johns Hopkins Center for Health Security and Texas State University Department of Anthropology)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Surveillance, monitoring and evaluation, and reporting <ul> <li>Documenting adverse events and follow-up</li> <li>Identifying and measuring performance indicators</li> <li>Infrastructure to enable surveillance, monitoring, and evaluation</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This guideline describes the post-implementation surveillance strategy that Public Health England (PHE) will be implementing to monitor and evaluate the COVID-19 vaccination program</li> <li>PHE aims to identify any safety signals of potential adverse events from COVID-19 vaccination by using specified sequential testing methods and by comparing the number of signal reports to the expected number of signals for the specific condition absent of vaccination</li> <li>PHE has established vaccination in pregnancy (VIP) surveillance for COVID-19 vaccination of pregnant women (inadvertently or intentional) that includes collecting background information on the</li> </ul>	Last update 11 January 2021

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or
			status
		pregnant woman's medical history, and follow-up	
		information 10 weeks post estimated delivery date	
		and at the baby's first birthday	
		• The effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines will be	
		monitored by PHE against several outcomes	
		• The Second Generation Surveillance System	
		(SGSS), which collects routine COVID-19	
		testing data, will be linked to vaccination data	
		from the National Immunisation Management	
		System (NIMS) to provide a dataset for	
		monitoring vaccine effectiveness against	
		symptomatic disease by sub-group (e.g., age and	
		clinical risk group)	
		• Routine reporting of vaccine effectiveness	
		against symptomatic disease, hospitalization	
		with COVID-19, and COVID-19 mortality will	
		be conducted by the Royal College of General	
		Practitioners Research and Surveillance Centre	
		(RCGP RSC) in collaboration with academic	
		partners	
		• To monitor vaccine effectiveness against	
		infection, the data from a number of studies	
		involving repeat asymptomatic PCR testing or	
		antibody testing of healthcare workers, care-	
		home residents and staff, and the population at	
		large will be evaluated by the PHE on an	
		ongoing basis	
		• A sample of cases from these studies will also	
		be recruited to monitor the effect of	
		vaccination on their risk of onward	
		transmission	
		Possible vaccine failure assessments will include	
		viral whole genome sequencing, identifying patient	
		and program delivery factors, and monitoring	
		disease outcomes	

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or
		It is expected that the earliest estimates of vaccine effectiveness will be reported in the first quarter of 2021     Source	status
	<ul> <li>Allocating vaccines and ancillary supplies equitably <ul> <li>Allocation rules</li> </ul> </li> <li>Administering vaccines in ways that optimize timely uptake <ul> <li>By whom (e.g., nurses, public-health workers, retired health workers) and with what changes to remuneration (e.g., increased vaccine-administration fee code)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Surveillance, monitoring and evaluation, and reporting <ul> <li>Documenting adverse events and follow-up</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Vaccines should be provided to individuals in accordance with the government-identified priority groups</li> <li>Adverse events and safety concerns following COVID-19 vaccine administration should be reported using the established Coronavirus Yellow Card reporting scheme</li> <li>To ensure that there is a sufficient workforce to deliver the vaccination program, changes to the Human Medicines Regulations now permit non-registered healthcare professionals to administer the COVID-19 vaccine</li> <li>All individuals administering COVID-19 vaccines are required to complete assigned training Source (Public Health England)</li> </ul>	Last update 11 January 2021
	<ul> <li>Securing and distributing a reliable supply of vaccines and ancillary supplies <ul> <li>National purchasing</li> <li>Ordering within country</li> <li>Storage and handling within country</li> </ul> </li> <li>Administering vaccines in ways that optimize timely uptake <ul> <li>With what post-vaccination observation period and what physical distancing, personal protective equipment, sanitation and other public-health measures</li> <li>By whom and with what changes to remuneration</li> <li>With what reporting requirements and supporting immunization information systems and broader healthcare information systems</li> <li>With what safety monitoring requirements</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This guidance is for the administration of COVID- 19 Vaccine AstraZeneca (ChAdOx1-S [recombinant]) to individuals in accordance with the national COVID-19 vaccination program</li> <li>This guidance is separated into the four operational stages of vaccination activity (assessment, preparation, administration and record-keeping), and defines the criteria and required characteristics of persons undertaking the assigned stage(s)</li> <li>In the assessment stage, the staff should assess the individual presenting for vaccination against the inclusion and exclusion criteria; consider any relevant cautions, interactions or adverse drug reactions; provide advice to the individual; obtain and record patient-informed consent; and ensure vaccinator, if another person, is informed of the vaccine product to be administered</li> </ul>	Last update 10 January 2021

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
	<ul> <li>Surveillance, monitoring and evaluation, and reporting <ul> <li>Documenting vaccine status</li> <li>Documenting adverse events and follow-up</li> <li>Monitoring supply safety</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In relation to the stage of vaccine preparation, the guidance focuses on vaccine presentation, supplies, preparation and disposal</li> <li>In relation to the stage of vaccine administration, the staff should ensure individual assessment and consent before administering the vaccine, administer COVID-19 Vaccine AstraZeneca, and provide any post-vaccination advice</li> <li>The staff should complete a vaccination record, including individual information, vaccinator and related professionals, name and brand of vaccine, date of administration, dose, form and route of administration of vaccine, quantity administered, batch number and expiry date, anatomical site of vaccination, advice given, and details of any adverse drug reactions and actions taken</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Securing and distributing a reliable supply of vaccines and ancillary supplies <ul> <li>National purchasing</li> <li>Ordering within country</li> <li>Storage and handling within country</li> </ul> </li> <li>Administering vaccines in ways that optimize timely uptake <ul> <li>With what post-vaccination observation period and what physical distancing, personal protective equipment, sanitation and other public-health measures</li> <li>By whom and with what changes to remuneration</li> <li>With what reporting requirements and supporting immunization information systems and broader healthcare information systems</li> <li>With what safety monitoring requirements</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This guidance is for the administration of COVID- 19 mRNA vaccine BNT162b2 to individuals in accordance with the national COVID-19 vaccination program</li> <li>This guidance is separated into four operational stages of vaccination activity (assessment, preparation, administration and record-keeping), and defines the criteria and required characteristics of persons undertaking the assigned stage(s)</li> <li>In the assessment stage, the staff should assess the individual presenting for vaccination against the inclusion and exclusion criteria, consider any relevant cautions, interactions or adverse drug reactions, provide advice to the individual, obtain and record patient-informed consent, and ensure vaccinator, if another person, is informed of the vaccine product to be administered</li> </ul>	Last update 10 January 2021

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
	<ul> <li>Documenting vaccine status</li> <li>Documenting adverse events and follow-up</li> <li>Monitoring supply safety</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In relation to the stage of vaccine preparation, the guidance focuses on vaccine presentation, supplies, preparation and disposal</li> <li>In relation to the stage of vaccine administration, the staff should ensure individual assessment and consent before administering the vaccine, administer CCOVID-19 mRNA Vaccine BNT162b2, and provide any post-vaccination advice</li> <li>The staff should complete a vaccination record, including individual information, vaccinator and related professionals, name and brand of vaccine, date of administration, dose, form and route of administration of vaccine, quantity administered, batch number and expiry date, anatomical site of vaccination, advice given, and details of any adverse drug reactions and actions taken</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Allocating vaccines and ancillary supplies equitably <ul> <li>Allocation rules</li> </ul> </li> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines <ul> <li>Content of messaging</li> <li>Data and evidence about safety and about effectiveness</li> <li>Myths and misinformation about vaccines</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The equitable allocation of vaccines where there is limited supply needs to take into account who is most at risk of exposure and severe outcomes, feasibility and acceptability of the vaccine and ethical considerations, and should also ensure flexibility in vaccine-delivery methods</li> <li>Efforts to maintain trust in government throughout the pandemic are key to ensuring vaccine uptake, as well as proper communication to counter misinformation and disinformation related to vaccines, through the development of tailored messages for specific contexts and groups, working with community leaders, media-literacy experts, community organizations and other key influencers <u>Source</u> (The Chief Public Health Officer of Canada, Government of Canada)</li> </ul>	Published October 2020
	• Surveillance, monitoring and evaluation, and reporting	• This guideline describes the reporting requirements for the Public Health England Immunisation	Last update 27 January 2021

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status					
	<ul> <li>Documenting adverse events and follow-up</li> <li>Infrastructure to enable surveillance, monitoring and evaluation (e.g., patient-held records, electronic health records or reporting systems, online vaccination registries, COVID- 19 apps)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Department's enhanced surveillance of COVID-19 cases in vaccinated individuals in England</li> <li>Individuals who test positive for SARS-CoV-2 with a positive PCR sample and symptom onset date at least 10 days after their first dose and/or second dose should be reported</li> <li>If the tested individual is asymptomatic, the test date should be used in place of the symptom onset date</li> <li>A PCR test should be used to confirm positivity of vaccinated individuals when a lateral flow device is used</li> <li>Reporting is only requested if a case tests positive within the preceding seven days and can be done using an online form</li> <li>Follow-up of positive cases will include the Immunisation Department making contact with cases to complete an enhanced surveillance questionnaire and arrange a convalescent serum sample</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Allocating vaccines and ancillary supplies equitably <ul> <li>Allocation rules</li> </ul> </li> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines <ul> <li>Content of messaging</li> <li>Risk-mitigation efforts (including complementary public-health measures used at time of vaccination)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Health Information and Quality Authority (HIQA) released advice based on assessments made by the COVID-19 Expert Advisory Group</li> <li>Healthcare workers should be eligible to be considered for exemption from restricted movements following two doses of the COVID-19 vaccine, and this exemption can be considered when the vaccine-specific time period to achieve full immunity has been completed</li> <li>Criteria for exemption should be aligned with the available trial data, and the criteria and policy should be pulled into review as further data becomes available</li> <li>Clear communication is needed that vaccination does not lessen the requirement for</li> </ul>	Published 27 January					
Type of document	Relevance to question Key findings				Relevance to question Key findings		Recency or status	
--	---	---	--	--	------------------------------------	--	----------------------	--
		<ul> <li>public-health practices and guidance within and outside healthcare settings</li> <li>Implementation of a single national exemption policy across all health and social settings</li> <li><u>Source</u> (Health Information and Quality Authority)</li> </ul>	status					
Protocols for reviews that are underway	<ul> <li>Surveillance, monitoring and evaluation and reporting         <ul> <li>Identifying sources of vaccine hesitancy</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Pooled hesitancy rate for COVID 19 vaccine uptake globally <u>Source</u>	Anticipated completion date 31 March 2021					
	<ul> <li>Surveillance, monitoring and evaluation and reporting         <ul> <li>Identifying sources of vaccine hesitancy</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Factors associated with the uptake of COVID-19 vaccines among the general population</li> <li><u>Source</u></li> </ul>	Anticipated completion date 1 April 2021					
	<ul> <li>Surveillance, monitoring and evaluation and reporting         <ul> <li>Identifying sources of vaccine hesitancy</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Exploring the barriers to vaccine acceptance in racial and ethnic minorities</li> <li>Source</li> </ul>	Anticipated completion date 28 March 2021					
	<ul> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines</li> <li>Delivery of the intervention</li> <li>By whom</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Exploring health professionals' perceptions, attitudes and beliefs about vaccination</li> <li><u>Source</u></li> </ul>	Anticipated completion date 31 March 2021					
	<ul> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines</li> <li>Delivery of the intervention <ul> <li>By whom</li> </ul> </li> <li>Surveillance, monitoring and evaluation and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Knowledge, attitudes and practices towards vaccination among healthcare workers</li> <li><u>Source</u></li> </ul>	Anticipated completion date 25 April 2021					
	<ul> <li>reporting</li> <li>Identifying sources of vaccine hesitancy</li> </ul>							
Titles/questions for reviews that are being planned	No highly relevant titles/questions found							
Single studies in areas where no reviews were identified	<ul> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines</li> <li>Target of intervention</li> <li>General public</li> </ul>	• The study examined the casual effect of exposure to distinct pro- and anti-vaccination message frames on individuals' intentions to get vaccinated	Pre-print (last edited 6 January 2021)					

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
	<ul> <li>Individuals who are hesitant about or opposed to vaccination</li> <li>Content of messaging</li> <li>Data and evidence about safety and about effectiveness in terms of both protection against COVID-19 and protection against transmission</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Several types of message content were focused on the safety and efficacy of the vaccine itself, the likelihood that others will take the vaccine, and the possible role of politics in promoting the vaccine</li> <li>Respondents who received information about the safety/efficacy of the vaccine were more likely to report that they would take the vaccine</li> <li>Respondents who received information that others were reluctant to take the vaccine were more likely to report that they themselves would not take it, that other Americans would not take it, and that it was not important to get the vaccine</li> <li>Respondents who received information about political influences on vaccine development expressed hesitancy to take the vaccine</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines <ul> <li>Target of intervention</li> <li>General public</li> </ul> </li> <li>Delivery of the intervention <ul> <li>Modality of delivery</li> </ul> </li> <li>Content of messaging</li> <li>Data and evidence about safety and about effectiveness in terms of both protection against COVID-19 and protection against transmission</li> <li>Myths and misinformation about vaccines</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A cross-sectional online survey of 2,650 people showed that the majority of respondents (86%) are using traditional media to obtain information on the COVID-19 vaccine and that the use of traditional media sources (both local and national television, national newspaper sources) was found to increase the likelihood of vaccination</li> <li>The survey also showed that those who are less likely to get the vaccine are exclusively using social media as their source of information</li> <li>There appeared to be no significant effects of interaction between the type of media or source of information and trust, and this level of analysis was conducted to determine if trust in a source was a potential mediator of the relationship between the channel of information and vaccine hesitancy</li> <li>Perceived credibility of the sources being cited in traditional media to public-health expertise could be</li> </ul>	Published 20 January 2021

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or
Type of document	<ul> <li>Relevance to question</li> <li>Securing and distributing a reliable supply of vaccines and ancillary supplies <ul> <li>National purchasing</li> <li>Delivery to country</li> </ul> </li> <li>Allocating vaccines and ancillary supplies equitably <ul> <li>Allocation rules</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Key findings <ul> <li>a driving force of these channels for vaccine acceptability</li> </ul> </li> <li>There is an opportunity for social-media platforms to consider how to contribute positively to vaccine hesitancy</li> <li>Source</li> <li>This study provided estimates of global, regional and national target population sizes for COVID-19 vaccination to inform immunization strategies on a global scale</li> <li>A strategy for vaccine allocation is proposed based on three main goals: <ul> <li>To maintain core societal functions during the pandemic</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Recency or status
	<ul> <li>Front-line healthcare workers</li> <li>Residents in long-term care homes and other congregate-care settings</li> <li>People at increased risk of severe COVID-19 (e.g., older and/or frail adults, those with chronic health conditions)</li> <li>Essential workers (beyond front-line healthcare workers) and/or those in work environments that put them at elevated risk (e.g., food processing and transit)</li> <li>Ensuring equity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>To protect people from irreversible and devastating harm (e.g., people over 65 years old or with high-risk health conditions)</li> <li>To control community transmission to return to a pre-pandemic baseline of economic and social activities</li> <li>The size of target populations varies significantly by region with a considerable proportion of those needed to maintain essential functions of societies and of those over 80 years of age living in Europe and North America</li> <li>Study estimates reveal that it would take about six to seven months to produce enough vaccines to inoculate 60-80% of the world population in order to achieve herd immunity</li> <li>In countries with sufficient local capacity to produce vaccines, vaccination of a significant proportion of the population can be achieved within months. However, in lower- and middle-income countries that have much less capacity to secure and deliver vaccines, the vaccination process can last much longer</li> </ul>	

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
		<ul> <li>The strengthening of national and international supply chains to guarantee the distribution of vaccines to remote communities in developing countries will call for international institutions, national governments, and manufacturers to plan for vaccine allocation and negotiate affordable vaccine prices</li> <li>When designing vaccination programs, each country should consider local epidemiology, underlying population health, the effectiveness of different vaccines, and projections of available vaccine doses</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Securing and distributing a reliable supply of vaccines and ancillary supplies <ul> <li>National purchasing</li> </ul> </li> <li>Allocating vaccines and ancillary supplies equitably <ul> <li>Ensuring equity</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This cross-sectional analysis describes the premarket purchase commitments for COVID-19 vaccines from manufacturers to recipient countries</li> <li>As of November 15, 2020, premarket purchase commitments of 7.48 billion doses of COVID-19 vaccines from 13 manufacturers have been made</li> <li>High-income countries have secured 51% of these doses even though they represent only 14% of the world's population</li> <li>Only six manufacturers have sold premarket vaccines to low- and middle-income countries, with the majority of vaccines being provided by AstraZeneca/Oxford University, Novavax, the Gamaleya Research Institute of Russia, and the Chinese firms, SinoVac and CanSino</li> <li>At least 500 million doses, or 250 courses, have been secured to ensure access to COVID-19 vaccines for developing countries through the COVAX facility of the WHO's ACT Accelerator, along with financing for half of its 2 billion dose-target by the end 2021</li> <li>Vaccine prices vary substantially – from US\$6.00 per course to \$74.00 per course</li> </ul>	Published 15 December 2020

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
		<ul> <li>There has been limited transparency about purchasing contracts between manufacturers, countries and COVAX facility, which can lead to increased concerns about vaccine nationalism and access to vaccines</li> <li>It is unknown how many countries will follow the WHO's proposed equitable allocations scheme for population-based distribution of vaccines, as several countries participating in the COVAX facility have bilateral agreements with manufacturers</li> <li>Global collective action is needed to pool procurement and share COVID-19 vaccines in an equitable way so that there is fair access to populations around the world Source</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Allocating vaccines and ancillary supplies equitably <ul> <li>Allocation rules</li> <li>Front-line healthcare workers</li> <li>Essential workers and/or those in work environments that put them at elevated risk</li> <li>Children (school aged)</li> <li>Migrant workers</li> <li>People in social environments that put them at elevated risk for COVID-19</li> <li>Ensuring equity</li> </ul> </li> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines <ul> <li>Target of intervention</li> <li>General public</li> <li>Individuals who are hesitant about or opposed to vaccination</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Among 9,122 respondents in the U.K. (49.4% response rate), 71.5% indicated wanting COVID-19 vaccination, and 9.6% would refuse</li> <li>Age and female gender were, respectively, strongly positively and negatively associated with wanting a vaccine</li> <li>Although 2,068 respondents (22.7%) disagreed with the government's order of priority, 6,416 (70.3%) were against being able to expedite vaccination through payment</li> <li>Teachers, Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic (BAME) groups, general key workers, children, and university students were most cited by respondents for prioritization</li> <li>32.6% of respondents were concerned that the priority list makes no reference to BAME groups</li> </ul>	Pre-print (last edited 8 December 2020)
	<ul> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines</li> <li>Target of intervention</li> </ul>	• The study examined how timing and elite endorsement affect public opinion about COVID- 19 vaccines in the United States	Pre-print (last edited 28 October 2020)

Type of document	Relevance to question	Relevance to question Key findings		Relevance to question     Key findings     Re	
	<ul> <li>General public</li> <li>Delivery of the intervention</li> <li>By whom</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Approval before the election reduced willingness to vaccinate and confidence in COVID-19 vaccinations</li> <li>A positive statement by President Donald Trump and Dr. Anthony Fauci had significant positive effects on public reactions towards COVID-19 vaccine         <ul> <li>The effect was found to be four times larger amongst Democrats than Republicans</li> <li>If President Trump endorsed the COVID-19 vaccine, confidence was raised about as much as Dr. Fauci's statement amongst Republicans, but confidence among Democrats was lowered</li> </ul> </li> <li>These studies demonstrated that the public opinion toward COVID-19 vaccinations may be responsive to political motivation and support</li> <li>Further research should be directed towards developing strategies to accurately disseminate information and gain public support within future COVID-19 vaccination campaigns</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines</li> <li>Target of intervention</li> <li>General public</li> <li>Delivery of the intervention</li> <li>By whom</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A global survey (13,426 people in 19 countries) showed respondents reporting higher levels of trust in information from government sources were more likely to accept a vaccine and take their employer's vaccine advice</li> <li>Differences in COVID-19 vaccine acceptance rates ranged from almost 90% (in China) to less than 55% (in Russia)</li> </ul>	Published 20 October 2020		
	<ul> <li>Allocating vaccines and ancillary supplies equitably</li> <li>Allocation rules</li> <li>Essential workers and/or those in work environments that put them at elevated risk</li> </ul>	• This study aimed to evaluate the optimal allocation of COVID-19 vaccines in the U.S. based on age and occupational status (i.e., essential worker or non-essential worker)	Published 6 October 2020		

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
		<ul> <li>The optimal allocation of COVID-19 vaccines is reported to prioritize the treatment of older-aged essential workers</li> <li>Younger essential workers should be prioritized when trying to control the spread of the disease, while prioritization should be given to seniors when trying to control mortality</li> <li>With the developed model, approximately 15,000 deaths are predicted to be prevented Source</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines <ul> <li>Target of intervention</li> <li>General public</li> </ul> </li> <li>Delivery of the intervention <ul> <li>Modality of delivery</li> <li>Content of messaging</li> <li>Data and evidence about safety and about effectiveness in terms of both protection against COVID-19 and protection against transmission</li> </ul> </li> <li>Administering vaccines in ways that optimize timely uptake <ul> <li>With what broader, complementary health interventions</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The main objectives of this study were to examine the attitude of participants towards a COVID-19 vaccine and highlight any challenges that may pose a barrier to vaccine uptake</li> <li>The findings from this study reported that an estimated 68% of participants would be open to receiving a COVID-19 vaccine</li> <li>The survey also found that longer vaccine-testing periods, increased efficacy and vaccines that would be developed in the U.S. were found to be significantly associated with increased COVID-19 vaccine acceptance</li> <li>Based on the findings of this study, it was determined that targeted messages that promote COVID-19 vaccine as should be developed to the elevation and that alleviate concerns of individuals who are hesitant to receive vaccines should be disseminated, and that sufficient amount of time should be dedicated to these efforts prior to COVID-19 vaccine release to ensure maximum vaccine uptake</li> <li>The indicator that can best predict COVID-19 vaccine acceptance was found to be previous vaccine history; the authors note that interventions (e.g., messages) that relay information regarding the safety of vaccines should help to improve COVID-19 vaccine acceptance</li> </ul>	Published 3 October 2020

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or
			status
		Source	
	<ul> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines</li> <li>Target of intervention</li> <li>General public</li> <li>Delivery of the intervention</li> <li>By whom</li> <li>Content of messaging</li> <li>Data and evidence about safety and about effectiveness in terms of both protection against COVID-19 and protection against transmission</li> <li>Myths and misinformation about vaccines</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A survey randomly assigned 7,064 respondents in the United States to read pro-vaccine communication materials with information emphasizing personal-health risks, economic costs or collective public-health consequences of not vaccinating, that had the message source (ordinary people or medical experts) also randomly assigned</li> <li>Messages that emphasize personal-health risks and collective health consequences of not vaccinating were found to significantly increase intentions to vaccinate, and the effects were similar regardless of the message source and efforts to pre-emptively debunk concerns about safety of expedited clinical trials</li> <li>Economic cost frames were found to have no discernible effect on vaccine intentions</li> </ul>	Last updated 8 September 2020 (pre- print)
	<ul> <li>Allocating vaccines and ancillary supplies equitably         <ul> <li>Allocation rules</li> <li>People in social environments that put them at elevated risk for COVID-19</li> </ul> </li> <li>Administering vaccines in ways that optimize timely uptake         <ul> <li>Where</li> <li>Other community settings</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A heavy lift UAV quadcopter can expand COVID- 19 vaccine delivery to Indigenous people living in villages impeded by rugged terrain</li> <li>The travel time to a village normally accessible via walking a 2km trail that takes almost one hour took an estimated 1.23-1.38 minutes, 1.57-1.66 minutes, and an average of 3.13 minutes, for drones with 100, 250 and 500 vial loads, respectively</li> </ul>	Last updated 12 January 2021 (pre- print)
	<ul> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines</li> <li>Target of intervention</li> <li>High-risk groups</li> <li>Delivery of the intervention</li> <li>By whom</li> <li>Content of messaging</li> <li>Data and evidence about safety and about effectiveness in terms of both protection</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A survey of 311 older adults and 216 chronic respiratory patients in the U.K, showed 86% are willing to receive a future vaccine for COVID-19</li> <li>The willingness to receive a COVID-19 vaccination was: <ul> <li>Positively associated with the belief that COVID-19 will persist over time</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Published 5 September 2020

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status	
	against COVID-19 and protection against transmission	<ul> <li>Negatively associated with the perception that the media has over-exaggerated the risks of catching the virus</li> <li>Perceived facilitators to the COVID-19 vaccination uptake included perceptions of risk to personal health, severity of COVID-19, and health consequences to others from COVID-19</li> <li>Concerns about vaccine safety acted as a barrier to COVID-19-vaccination uptake</li> <li>Content of mass-media interventions to improve vaccine uptake should focus on the behaviour- change techniques (BCTs) of information about health, emotional, social and environmental consequences, and salience of consequences</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines</li> <li>Target of intervention</li> <li>High-risk groups</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A comprehensive survey of 5,287 respondents was developed to evaluate the attitudes, beliefs and willingness of healthcare personnel to receive the COVID-19 vaccine</li> <li>The findings showed that: <ul> <li>57.5% of individuals expressed intent to get vaccinated, and 80.4% of those are physicians and scientists</li> <li>There are differences in responses based on age, gender, racial background, healthcare personnel roles and educational background</li> </ul> </li> <li>Due to the heterogeneity in attitudes and willingness among healthcare personnel around COVID-19 vaccines, it highlights a need for tailored communication strategies, with a particular focus on cultural sensitivity</li> </ul>	Published 25 January 2021	
	<ul> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines</li> <li>Target of intervention</li> <li>General public</li> </ul>	• Two cross-sectional surveys with a total of 2,196 participants in Hong Kong assessed vaccine hesitancy and willingness to accept a potential	Published 18 January 2021	

Type of document	Relevance to question	Key findings	Recency or status
	<ul> <li>Individuals who are hesitant about or opposed to vaccination</li> <li>Delivery of the intervention         <ul> <li>Modality of delivery</li> <li>Content of messaging</li> <li>Myths and misinformation about vaccines</li> <li>Risk-mitigation efforts (including complementary public-health measures used at time of vaccination)</li> </ul> </li> <li>CovID-19 vaccine based on its safety and effectiveness</li> <li>Most survey respondents indicated a high level of concern on the safety and effectiveness of the vaccine, especially among clerical and sales worket</li> <li>The authors recommended the initiation of health promotion of an effective COVID-19 vaccine, are the development of policies and priority guideling prior to the arrival of the vaccines</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines</li> <li>Target of intervention</li> <li>General public</li> <li>Delivery of the intervention</li> <li>Modality of delivery</li> <li>Content of messaging</li> <li>Myths and misinformation about vaccines</li> <li>Risk-mitigation efforts (including complementary public-health measures used at time of vaccination)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A chatbox delivering information on vaccine safety and addressing questions related to vaccine hesitancy and misinformation demonstrated a 37% increase in positive attitudes towards COVID-19 vaccines among 701 participants from France</li> <li>Predictors of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy included dissatisfaction on government response, low trust in medical experts, and among less- educated young women <u>Source</u></li> </ul>	Preprint (Last edited 9 January 2021)
	<ul> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines</li> <li>Target of intervention</li> <li>General public</li> <li>Delivery of the intervention</li> <li>By whom</li> <li>Modality of delivery</li> <li>Content of messaging</li> <li>Myths and misinformation about vaccines</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A population-based, random telephone survey of 1,200 individuals in Hong Kong asked about the acceptance of the COVID-19 vaccine, and trust in the healthcare system, new vaccine platforms and manufacturers</li> <li>The multivariable regression analyses revealed that perceived severity and benefits of the vaccine, and trust in healthcare systems were associated with vaccine acceptance</li> <li>Strong recommendations and messaging from the government was the biggest driver for vaccine acceptance</li> </ul>	Published 6 January 2021
	• Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines	• The study found that 100 widely shared YouTube videos on the safety and effectiveness of vaccines	Published 23 July 2020

Type of document	Relevance to question Key findings				
			status		
	<ul> <li>Target of intervention</li> <li>General public</li> <li>Delivery of the intervention</li> <li>Modality of delivery</li> <li>Content of messaging</li> <li>Myths and misinformation about vaccines</li> <li>Risk-mitigation efforts (including complementary public-health measures used at time of vaccination)</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Target of intervention         <ul> <li>General public</li> <li>Delivery of the intervention             <ul> <li>Modality of delivery</li> <li>Content of messaging</li> <li>Myths and misinformation about vaccines</li> <li>Risk-mitigation efforts (including complementary public-health measures used at time of vaccination)</li> <li>Were viewed more than 33 mailton about series</li> <li>Authors recommend that p should utilize social media to opinion and increase the up vaccinations</li> <li>Source</li> <li>Source</li></ul></li></ul></li></ul>		
	<ul> <li>Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines <ul> <li>Target of intervention</li> <li>General public</li> <li>Individuals who are hesitant about or opposed to vaccination</li> <li>Delivery of the intervention</li> <li>Modality of delivery</li> <li>Content of messaging</li> <li>Myths and misinformation about vaccines</li> <li>Risk-mitigation efforts (including complementary public-health measures used at time of vaccination)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The study applied an intersectionality framework to examine H1N1 vaccination beliefs and behaviours of non-Hispanic, Blacks and non-Hispanic whites in the United States</li> <li>Tailored health campaigns and health promotion that address specific concerns of minority populations are crucial in the acceptance of vaccines, which could be applied to the COVID-19 vaccination campaign</li> <li>Source</li> </ul>	Published 5 February 2021		

Appendix 3: COVID-19 vaccine roll-out elements from other countries

Country	Securing and distributing a reliable supply of vaccines and ancillary	Allocating vaccines and ancillary supplies equitably	Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety	Administering vaccines in ways that optimize timely	Surveillance, monitoring and evaluation, and
	supplies		and effectiveness of	uptake	reporting
			vaccines		
Australia	• On 7 January 2021, the	The <u>COVID-19 Vaccine</u>	• To inform residents,	• In addition to	• All successfully
	Australian Government	National Rollout Strategy	the Government of	residential disability	administered
	released its <u>COVID-19</u>	highlights the priority	Australia will be	and aged-care	COVID-19
	Vaccine National Rollout	populations for each of	promoting an	facilities, a total of	vaccinations will be
	Strategy, which outlines	the five phases:	educational campaign	<u>30-50 hospital sites</u>	documented into
	the targeted number of	• Phase 1A: quarantine	on its COVID-19	will serve as centres	reporting and
		and border workers,	vaccination program	(i.e., Pfizer Hubs)	monitoring systems

doses to be administered during each phase: • Phase 1A: 1.4 million • Phase 1B: 14.8 million • Phase 2A: 15.8 million • Phase 2B: 16 million • Phase 3: 13.6 million • Australia has partnered with the <u>University of</u> <u>Oxford/AstraZeneca</u> , <u>Novavax</u> , <u>Pfizer/BioNTech</u> , and <u>COVAX Facility</u> to secure a range of COVID-19 vaccine supply • Australia has secured an estimated 53.8 million doses of the	<ul> <li>front-line healthcare workers, and aged-care and disability staff/residents</li> <li>Phase 1B: older adults aged 70 years and over, other health care workers, adults with pre-existing conditions, high-risk workers (e.g., fire, police, and meat processing staff), and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people</li> <li>Phase 2A: Adults between 50-69 years of age, Aboriginal and Torres</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This campaign will include medical experts discussing vaccine roll-out, priority populations, and projected timelines</li> <li>This will be aimed towards priority groups, culturally diverse groups, and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people</li> <li>The Australian Government's Department of Health released a</li> </ul>	for vaccine administration While the Pfizer/BioNTech vaccines will only be administered at <u>Hospital/Pfizer</u> <u>Hubs</u> , the Government of Australia is requesting that general practices wishing to serve as administration sites provide an " <u>expression of</u> <u>interest</u> " by 1 February 2021	<ul> <li>(e.g., <u>Australian</u> <u>Immunisation</u> <u>Register</u> and <u>My</u> <u>Health Record</u>)</li> <li>The Australian Government has partnered with <u>Accenture</u> to develop a monitoring program for COVID-19 vaccines</li> </ul>
<ul> <li><u>COVAX Facility</u> to secure a range of COVID-19 vaccine supply</li> <li>Australia has secured an estimated 53.8 million doses of the University of Oxford/AstraZeneca vaccine – 3.8 million doses will be imported, while the remaining 50 million will be manufactured domestically by CSL Behring</li> <li>The government has secured 51 million doses of the Novavax vaccine, which will be manufactured and imported internationally from Europe</li> <li>Australia has secured 10 million</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>processing staff), and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people</li> <li>Phase 2A: Adults between 50-69 years of age, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, and other high-risk workers</li> <li>Phase 2B: the remaining adult population</li> <li>Phase 3: residents younger than 18 years of age</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Torres Strait Islander people</li> <li>The Australian Government's Department of Health released a series of <u>campaign</u> <u>materials</u> to inform citizens on the COVID-19 vaccine, using television ads, videos, posters and social-media graphics</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>general practices</li> <li>wishing to serve as</li> <li>administration sites</li> <li>provide an</li> <li>"expression of</li> <li>interest" by 1</li> <li>February 2021</li> <li>General practices</li> <li>will provide</li> <li>vaccines to</li> <li>individuals aged</li> <li>70 and over,</li> <li>individuals with</li> <li>pre-existing</li> <li>conditions, and in</li> <li>Phase 1B,</li> <li>Aboriginal and</li> <li>Torres Strait</li> <li>Islander people</li> <li>The</li> <li>AstraZeneca/</li> <li>Oxford vaccine</li> <li>will be</li> <li>administered at</li> <li>general</li> <li>practitioner-led</li> </ul>	
Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine doses, which			respiratory clinics, select general	

will be manufactured	practices, state-
and imported from the	run vaccination
United States, Belgium,	clinics, and
and Germany	Aboriginal
• On 24 December 2020,	Controlled
the government	Community
announced that DHL	Health Centres
Supply Chain and Linfox	• According to the
will lead the COVID-19	Australian COVID-
vaccine distribution in	19 Vaccination
Australia, which will be	Policy published on
required to track the	13 November 2020.
temperature of the	future vaccine
vaccines and manage	administration sites
ancillary supplies (e.g.,	may include general
needles, svringes, and	practice clinics.
personal protective	general practitioner
equipment)	respiratory clinics.
• On 25 January 2021 the	and pharmacies
Therapeutic Goods	o In Phase 2, select
Administration (TGA)	workplaces and
provisionally approved	community
the use of the	pharmacies will
Pfizer/BioNTech	be granted
COVID-19 vaccine in	permission to
Australia	serve as vaccine-
• Priority groups will	administration
begin receiving	sites
vaccines in February	• The Government of
2021	Australia has called
• Delivery of the	upon the following
Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine	four providers to
will consist of:	help support the
• Verifying dispatched	vaccine workforce
batches at the border	with increased staff
• Distributing imported	and training
doses to vaccination	initiatives:
sites	• Aspen Medical
01000	

	• In order to <u>safely store</u> <u>and handle</u> the Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine, the Government of Australia is preparing to secure cold-chain storage, staff training, and regular management of equipment and monitoring systems			<ul> <li>Healthcare Australia</li> <li>International SOS</li> <li>Sonic Clinical Services</li> </ul>	
China	<ul> <li>China has established and implemented whole- process traceability systems for COVID-19 vaccines, including in-out inventory registration, production, transportation, storage and administration, and to ensure the supply of vaccines through various methods such as precise deployment, accelerated turnover, and matching demand according to the vaccine plan of each province</li> <li>The pricing of COVID-19 vaccines is developed by vaccine industry based on the attributes of public products and the related costs, and the China government will purchase the COVID-19 vaccines and provide to the public for free</li> <li>As COVID-19 vaccines are put into use in China,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>China implemented a two-step strategy for COVID-19 vaccination</li> <li>The first step is the vaccination of priority populations, including the workers in the cold-chain industry, port inspection and quarantine, ship piloting, aviation, public transport, fresh markets, healthcare settings, and those who plan to work or study in countries and regions with medium or high risk of COVID-19 infection</li> <li>With COVID-19 vaccines officially approved to enter the market or the yield of vaccines improving steadily, the second step is to put more vaccines into use, inoculating the eligible population as widely</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>On <u>7 January 2021</u>, China CDC issued the 30 questions and answers about COVID-19 vaccines, covering the vaccine- allocation plans, vaccination mechanism, effectiveness and safety, current vaccine options, vaccination locations, vaccine- administration protocols, contraindications, adverse events following immunization, transportation and storge, monitoring and documentary, behaviours after vaccination, and risk- mitigation efforts</li> <li>China's State Council Joint Prevention and Control Mechanism against COVID-19</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The government of China has implemented the <u>current vaccination</u> <u>systems and</u> <u>regulations</u> for COVID-19 vaccines, including the production, transportation, storage, administration, and monitoring</li> <li>For the vaccination of priority populations, the government will <u>cover all the fees</u>, including vaccine and vaccination costs</li> <li>After conditional market authorization of COVID-19 vaccines, the government and health insurance fund will cover all the fees, and the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The <u>Vaccine</u> <u>Administration Law</u> of the People's <u>Republic of China</u> indicates that the state shall implement whole process electronic traceability systems for vaccines</li> <li>After vaccine marketing, the vaccine production, transportation, storage and administration shall be recorded and <u>the</u> <u>whole process</u> <u>traceability</u> <u>information</u>, including vaccine types, manufacturers, dosage forms, formulation, batch numbers, expiration dates, and vaccination case records, shall be integrated into the</li> </ul>

the government will make	as possible, with	held press	reimbursements for	electronic
the vaccine a global public	priority for the elderly	conferences about	other health practice	information system
product and supply the	and high-risk	COVID-19 vaccines	will not be	• The related vaccine
vaccines to the world at a	populations with	separately on 20	influenced	laws have clear
fair and reasonable price	underlying diseases	October 2020, 19	• The administration	regulations on the
• Given the availability and		December 2020, 21	of COVID-19	monitoring reporting
affordability of COVID-		December 2020, 31	vaccines is carried	and handling of
19 vaccines in developing		December 2020, 9	out in vaccination	adverse events
countries, the government		January 2021, 13	sites that are	following
of China will consider		January 2021 and 20	approved by local	immunization
providing vaccines in a		January 2021 to issue	health-	
variety of ways, including		recent policies and	administration	
donations and unpaid		progress updates	departments	
assistance, based on		about vaccines, and	$\circ$ Generally, the	
specific circumstances		answer related	vaccination sites	
• On 7 January 2021, the		questions	are set up in the	
Chinese Center for		• The government of	health service	
Disease Control and		China disseminates	centres, township	
Prevention (China CDC)		information about	health centres or	
issued the information on		COVID-19 vaccines	general hospitals	
transportation, storage		through popular	in the	
and handling best		social media, such as	jurisdictions	
practices for COVID-19		<u>WeChat</u>	• For the	
vaccines			enterprises and	
<ul> <li>COVID-19 vaccines</li> </ul>			organizations	
must be transported,			where the priority	
stored, and handled			populations are	
under proper			concentrated, the	
conditions to maintain			temporary	
the cold chain, and the			vaccination sites	
specific requirements			will be set up	
need to be referred to			• The information	
related vaccine laws			on vaccination	
and regulations, such as			sites (locations	
the <u>Vaccine</u>			and time) will be	
Administration Law of			issued by local	
the People's Republic			administrativo	
<u>or Unina</u>			departments or	
			ucpariments of	

• During the		disease	
transportation process,		prevention-and-	
the vaccine-		control agencies	
transportation		<ul> <li>The enterprises</li> </ul>	
institution shall		and organizations	
monitor and record the		of priority	
temperature regularly		populations will	
to ensure that the		assist the	
vaccines are in the		appointment and	
environment with the		administration of	
prescribed temperature,		vaccination	
and when the vaccine is		0 Until <u>9 January</u>	
received, the receiving		<u>2021</u> , China has	
institution shall request		set up a total of	
and check the		25,392	
temperature-		vaccination sites	
monitoring record		• During the	
<ul> <li>During the vaccine-</li> </ul>		vaccination process,	
storage process, the		the recipients should	
CDC institutions and		pay attention to and	
vaccination sites shall		cooperate with the	
monitor the		following aspects:	
temperature of the		o Before	
refrigerators storing the		vaccination,	
vaccines, and measure		recipients should	
and record the		know the	
temperature twice a day		knowledge related	
(in the morning and		to COVID-19	
afternoon, with an		and its vaccines,	
interval of not less than		vaccination	
six hours)		process	
• During the vaccine		• At the time of	
loading and		vaccination,	
distribution process,		recipients need to	
the vaccination sites		bring	
shall use refrigerators		identification	
and freezers (or freezer		documents, and	
bags) to store the		wear personal	
vaccines, close their		protection	

doors (or covers) in a	equipment
timely way, and limit	according to local
the number of times	prevention and
the vaccine storage-unit	control
doors are opened	requirements, and
• Until 5 January 2021, the	truthfully provide
Ministry of Industry and	information such
Information Technology	as health status
(MIIT) has moved to	and vaccination
facilitate corporate	contraindications
cooperation along	• After vaccination,
industrial chains to	recipients should
accelerate the	stay for 30
industrialization of	minutes, keep the
COVID 19 vaccines and	skin of the
covid-robustion	vaccination area
expand production	clean and avoid
capacity to ensure the	scratching: if
supply of vaccines	there is a
o with 18 Chinese	supported adverse
enterprises starting to	suspected adverse
build production	immediately
capacity for COVID-19	
vaccines so far, further	report to the
improvement of the	vaccination
manufacturing capacity	institution and
of the inactivated	seek medical
vaccines will allow	advice
China to meet its huge	o <u>After vaccination</u> ,
vaccination demand	wearing masks is
o China National Biotec	recommended,
Group will implement	especially in
a plan to expand	public and
production capacity	crowded settings;
and to ensure that	other protective
more than <u>one billion</u>	measures such as
doses of inactivated	hand hygiene,
COVID-19 vaccines	ventilation, and
are produced in 2021	social distancing

			1		<del>،</del>
	• On <u>25 January 2021</u> , the			need to be	
	Ministry of Transport of			maintained	
	China, the National			• Different areas	
	Health Commission, the			explored different	
	General Administration of			administration	
	Customs and the National			experience, for	
	Medical Products			example, some areas	
	Administration issued the			set up temporary	
	technical guideline about			vaccination locations	
	road transportation of			(large indoor	
	COVID-19 vaccines and			stadium), some	
	related products			established the	
	• As of 26 January 2021 a			online vaccination	
	total of 22.77 million			appointments for	
	doses of COVID-19			priority populations.	
	vaccine have been			some set up a	
	administered in China			supervised group for	
				standard and safe	
				vaccination process	
				o On 24 January	
				2021, China CDC	
				issued the	
				technical	
				recommendations	
				on environmental	
				specimen	
				monitoring in	
				vaccination sites,	
				including the	
				disinfection	
				recommendations	
France	• As of 26 January 2021.	• Based on the	On 9 November	• The two-dose	Public Health France
	France has administered	recommendations set	2020, the French	BioNTech/Pfizer	has stated that the
	over 1.1 million vaccines	forth by the French	National Authority	vaccine is only to be	vaccination campaign
	• France has been allocated	National Authority for	for Health issued a	administered by	will be coupled with
	a total of 200 million	Health, the Ministry for	press release which	nurses and	publicly available
	vaccine doses through	Solidarity and Health	stressed the	physicians, and the	surveillance.
	partnerships secured by	announced its vaccine	importance of	second dose will be	monitoring and
	Parateronipo occared by	strategy, which outlines a	transparency among		evaluation indicators

<ul> <li>the European Commission</li> <li>Distribution of BioNTech/Pfizer vaccines to administration sites follows one of the following processes:         <ul> <li>Delivery from the production plant to one of 11 private platforms capable of storing the vaccine at - 80°C. Vaccines are then transported to pharmacies and institutional care facilities (e.g., long- term care) for use, or</li> <li>Direct delivery to one of 100 hospitals in the country that can safely store and administer them</li> </ul> </li> <li>Ancillary supplies were mass ordered prior to the arrival of the COVID-19 vaccine</li> <li>Pharmacies and hospitals are responsible for delivering these</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>three-phase approach for vaccine allocation:</li> <li>Priority groups in phase one include older adults, residents with disabilities, atrisk staff members in institutional care and healthcare workers</li> <li>Phase two includes individuals aged 65 to 74 years</li> <li>Phase three consists of other at-risk groups from within the population that have yet to be targeted (e.g., teachers and retail staff)</li> <li>As of <u>18 January 2021</u>, individuals aged 75 and older living at home and those under the age of 75 but who have a high risk of contracting COVID-19 will now be included in Phase 1 of the vaccine campaign</li> </ul>	the general public in the vaccination- campaign process	administered after <u>21 days</u> • COVID-19 vaccinations require an <u>appointment</u> to be made at a select vaccination centre	<ul> <li>Surveillance systems will be updated to help track the percentage of individuals that have been vaccinated</li> <li>Additional indicators, such as vaccine efficacy, vaccine-related opinions (e.g., vaccine intentions), and vaccine adherence will also be documented</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>vaccine</li> <li>Pharmacies and hospitals are responsible for delivering these supplies to institutional care facilities (e.g., long-term care homes)</li> <li>It is projected that, during the first phase of the vaccine roll-out, an estimated <u>10,000 facilities</u> may receive vaccines for</li> </ul>	included in Phase 1 of the vaccine campaign			

	<u>use, with up to one</u> <u>million individuals</u> being vaccinated				
Germany	<ul> <li>As of <u>28 January 2021</u>, Germany has administered over 2.1 million vaccine doses</li> <li>Healthcare workers currently account for 47.4% of all administered doses</li> <li>Nursing home residents account for a total of 32.9% of all administered doses</li> <li>If all vaccine candidates are approved for use, Germany will have secured a total of <u>300</u> million vaccine doses</li> <li>85 million doses of the BioNTech/Pfizer COVID-19 vaccine are expected to be available by the end of the year</li> <li><u>Two million doses</u> of the Moderna vaccine are expected to be received by the end of the first quarter</li> <li>670,000 vaccine doses are expected to be</li> <li>vaccine doses are expected to be</li> <li>the first quarter</li> <li>670,000 vaccine doses are expected to be</li> <li>the first quarter</li> <li>670,000 vaccine doses are expected to be</li> <li>the first quarter</li> <li>670,000 vaccine doses are expected to be</li> <li>the first quarter</li> <li>670,000 vaccine doses are expected to be</li> <li>the first quarter</li> <li>670,000 vaccine doses are expected to be</li> <li>the first quarter</li> <li>670,000 vaccine doses are expected to be</li> <li>the first quarter</li> <li>670,000 vaccine doses are expected to be</li> <li>the first quarter</li> <li>670,000 vaccine doses are expected to be</li> <li>the first quarter</li> <li>670,000 vaccine doses are expected to be</li> <li>the first quarter</li> <li>670,000 vaccine doses are expected to be</li> <li>the first quarter</li> <li>670,000 vaccine doses</li> <li>the distributed each week</li> <li>the distributed each week<td><ul> <li>The top-priority group to receive vaccines at the initial stage include:         <ul> <li>Individuals aged 80 years and older</li> <li>Healthcare workers in intensive care, accident, and emergency units, and ambulatory services</li> <li>Staff/residents of pension, care and nursing homes</li> <li>Nurses who care for at-risk patients</li> </ul> </li> <li>The high-priority group follows second and consists of:         <ul> <li>Individuals aged 70 years or older</li> <li>At-risk individuals who may suffer a severe outcome (e.g., transplant patients and dementia)</li> <li>Close contacts of long-term care home residents</li> <li>Public order units in law enforcement</li> <li>Pregnant women</li> <li>Individuals living in homeless shelters</li> </ul> </li> </ul></td><td><ul> <li>A <u>Communications</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Committee</u> has been established on the federal level to help disseminate information relating to vaccine development, roll- out, and timelines</li> <li>This committee will primarily be targeting priority groups including healthcare workers, vulnerable populations, and the general public</li> </ul></td><td><ul> <li>Vaccines are administered in vaccination centres and in care facilities by mobile teams during the centralized vaccination phases</li> <li>Federal states are responsible for managing the operations of vaccination centres and ensuring safe management of vaccines</li> <li>When Germany transitions into a decentralized vaccination phase, administration sites may expand to include medical institutions and general-practitioner clinics</li> <li>An individual who suffers damage from the COVID-19 vaccine will receive care in accordance with the Federal Supply Act</li> </ul></td><td><ul> <li>According to the <u>National COVID-19</u> <u>Vaccination Strategy</u>, the Robert Koch Institute will collate non-personal data from vaccinated individuals (e.g., age, sex, residence, place and date of vaccination, and vaccine details) into a web-based data portal</li> <li>The Robert Koch Institute and Paul Ehrlich Institute will lead the surveillance and evaluation of COVID-19 vaccines</li> <li>This will include monitoring:         <ul> <li>Vaccination rates by conducting online surveys</li> <li>Vaccine safety through routine pharmacovigilance, surveillance of pregnant women, short-term app- based cohort studies, and long- term hospital- based case-control studies</li> </ul> </li> </ul></td></li></ul>	<ul> <li>The top-priority group to receive vaccines at the initial stage include:         <ul> <li>Individuals aged 80 years and older</li> <li>Healthcare workers in intensive care, accident, and emergency units, and ambulatory services</li> <li>Staff/residents of pension, care and nursing homes</li> <li>Nurses who care for at-risk patients</li> </ul> </li> <li>The high-priority group follows second and consists of:         <ul> <li>Individuals aged 70 years or older</li> <li>At-risk individuals who may suffer a severe outcome (e.g., transplant patients and dementia)</li> <li>Close contacts of long-term care home residents</li> <li>Public order units in law enforcement</li> <li>Pregnant women</li> <li>Individuals living in homeless shelters</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A <u>Communications</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Committee</u> has been established on the federal level to help disseminate information relating to vaccine development, roll- out, and timelines</li> <li>This committee will primarily be targeting priority groups including healthcare workers, vulnerable populations, and the general public</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Vaccines are administered in vaccination centres and in care facilities by mobile teams during the centralized vaccination phases</li> <li>Federal states are responsible for managing the operations of vaccination centres and ensuring safe management of vaccines</li> <li>When Germany transitions into a decentralized vaccination phase, administration sites may expand to include medical institutions and general-practitioner clinics</li> <li>An individual who suffers damage from the COVID-19 vaccine will receive care in accordance with the Federal Supply Act</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>According to the <u>National COVID-19</u> <u>Vaccination Strategy</u>, the Robert Koch Institute will collate non-personal data from vaccinated individuals (e.g., age, sex, residence, place and date of vaccination, and vaccine details) into a web-based data portal</li> <li>The Robert Koch Institute and Paul Ehrlich Institute will lead the surveillance and evaluation of COVID-19 vaccines</li> <li>This will include monitoring:         <ul> <li>Vaccination rates by conducting online surveys</li> <li>Vaccine safety through routine pharmacovigilance, surveillance of pregnant women, short-term app- based cohort studies, and long- term hospital- based case-control studies</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

	<ul> <li>population that reside in those regions</li> <li>o BioNTech will deliver the vaccine to one of the designated delivery centres, from where it will then be distributed to regional vaccination centres for administration</li> <li>In collaboration with BioNTech/Pfizer, the Government of Germany is focused on expanding its production capacity by creating a new plant in Marburg by February 2021</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>At-risk individuals (e.g., obesity, liver disease or autoimmune condition)</li> <li>Emergency medical- services staff (e.g., police officers and firefighters)</li> <li>Staff in the education and judiciary sector</li> <li>Staff in retail, the meat-processing industry and seasonal workers</li> </ul>			<ul> <li>Vaccine efficacy by using case reports</li> <li>Digital health data</li> </ul>
Israel	<ul> <li>Distribution of <u>Pfizer/BioNtech</u> <u>COVID-19 vaccine</u> <u>started in December 2020</u>, where the government received permission from the manufacturers to repackage doses into tens or hundreds per shipment (instead of 1,000 per shipment) in order to avoid waste and create safer mobilization of doses to remote areas</li> <li>According to <u>Health</u> <u>Minister, Yuli Edelstein,</u> <u>Israel entered vaccine</u> procurement negotiations <u>early in the pandemic</u></li> <li>As of 11 January 2021, <u>approximately 1.8 million</u></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Ministry of Health developed the COVID- <u>19 vaccination policy and</u> initially identified two priority groups</li> <li>First-priority group consists of medical staff (hospitals, health funds, clinics, rescue organizations, national emergency pre- hospital medical and blood services organization) residents of mental healthcare, welfare, and senior institutions and their caregivers, adults aged 60 years and older and their caregivers, and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Current priority and eligible population groups receive text messages from their health maintenance organizations (HMO) (health services that are provided to every citizen through a universal, compulsory medical insurance plan) about information on booking an appointment (either by phone or through the HMO online portal)</li> <li>The Ministry of Health's website provides information</li> </ul>	<ul> <li><u>Roles and</u> <u>responsibilities for</u> <u>administering</u> vaccines are organized according to the following:         <ul> <li>Four HMOs for vaccinating older adults aged 60 or older and individuals with chronic conditions</li> <li>National emergency services organizations for vaccinating nursing home residents</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Israel has a single electronic medical record system that is shared and accessed by the four HMOs, which provided health data information to identify priority groups among all insured citizens</li> <li>As of 17 January 2021, the Ministry of Health and Pfizer signed an agreement to share anonymized medical-record data between hospitals or health plans and research entities in order to measure</li> </ul>

	out of nine million Israeli	individuals who are	to the general public	0 Hospitals and	vaccine roll-out.
	residents have received	immunocompromised	on vaccine roll-out	health insurers	immunity
	the first dose (including	• Second priority-group	priority groups for	for vaccinating	• With the agreement
	72% of older adults, and	includes people with	vaccine, and safety	front-line health	the Ministry of
	residents in nursing	increased risk of	and efficacy	workers	Health will <b>r</b> eceive
	homes and long-term care	COVID-19 (e.g.,		<ul> <li>Vaccination sites</li> </ul>	weekly
	facilities) and have begun	chronic conditions),		and portable	epidemiological
	to include adults aged 55	and high-exposure		immunization	reports on confirmed
	and older	groups (e.g., teachers,		stations in remote	cases (total, by age.
	• Administration of second	prisoners, first-		areas are designated	and other
	doses started on 10	response services)		by the Ministry of	stratifications).
	January 2021	• To simplify the		Health with	hospitalizations,
	<ul> <li>Hospitals and medical</li> </ul>	implementation process,		assistance from the	severe cases,
	facilities follow the	the Ministry of Health		military and local	ventilator use,
	distribution processes	revised the vaccination		authorities	number of deaths,
	ascribed by their central	allocation to include all		• The Ministry of	symptomatic cases,
	health maintenance	Israeli residents aged 60		Health plans to	and weekly number
	organizations (HMO)	or older and all health		provide vaccinations	of vaccinations (total,
	• As of 26 January 2021.	workers from December		$\frac{1}{24/7}$ , with health	by age, and other
	Israel has administered 2.7	2020 to February 2021,		plans responding by	stratifications)
	million first-dose	with vaccines available to		recruiting nurses for	
	vaccinations and 1.25	all Israeli residents after		vaccine	
	million second-dose	this phase		administration	
	vaccinations	• As of 19 January 2021,		<ul> <li>Approximately</li> </ul>	
		vaccination roll-out was		<u>150,000 Israeli</u>	
		expanded to Israeli		residents are being	
		residents aged 40 and		vaccinated per day	
		older			
		• As of 23 January 2021,			
		select HMOs started			
		vaccination roll-out to			
		Israeli residents aged 17			
		or 18 in order for them			
		to return to school and			
		write their final exams			
New Zealand	• Four pre-purchase	• New Zealand has	• Information on the	• There are over	<ul> <li>New Zealand's</li> </ul>
	agreements have been	prepared three different	COVID-19 vaccine	12,000 health	National
	<u>secured</u>	scenarios for vaccine	strategy and roll-out	professionals ready	Immunisation
		<u>roll-out</u> based on the	updates are posted on	to administer	Register is being

<ul> <li>750,000 courses from Pfizer/BioNTech</li> <li>Five million courses from Janssen</li> <li>3.8 million courses from the University of Oxford/AstraZeneca</li> <li>5.36 million courses from Novavax</li> <li>The government has secured enough vaccine doses to vaccinate the entire population of New Zealand as well as the Pacific Islanders</li> <li>An inventory management system is being developed for COVID-19 vaccines that will store data on where vaccines are allocated, their volumes, temperatures, and expiration dates to minimize wastage</li> <li>The Ministry of Health has purchased enough freezers to store more than 1.5 million doses of the Pfizer BioNTech vaccine</li> <li>On 26 January 2021, the government reported that</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>level of transmission present within country at the time of the roll-out</li> <li>The allocation plan illustrates that the higher the rate of transmission present, the more the allocation focus will be on close contacts of the infected and people most vulnerable to exposure</li> <li>The Ministry of Health is working in partnership with the Māori and Pacific neighbours to plan for their rollout programs</li> <li>First priority for vaccination are border workers, the COVID-19 front-line healthcare workers and their household contacts, with the expected timeline for vaccination of this group being the second quarter of 2021</li> <li>The aim of New Zealand's government is to start vaccinating the general public in the second half of 2021</li> <li>Everyone in the country</li> </ul>	the New Zealand government's official website • The Minister for COVID-19 Response said in <u>a recent press</u> conference that preparation is underway for a public awareness and reassurance campaign centred around vaccine safety that will include paid advertising	vaccines and more that will be trained • The Ministry of Health has contracted the Immunisation Advisory Centre to begin training health professionals in February 2021 on COVID-19 vaccine administration	replaced by the National Immunisation Solution to allow health workers to record vaccinations anywhere, anytime, and to fully support the COVID-19 roll- out
<ul> <li>The Pfizer BioN Lech vaccine</li> <li>On 26 January 2021, the government reported that New Zealand's medicine regulator, Medsafe, may grant provisional approval to the Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine in approximately one week</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>to start vaccinating the general public in the second half of 2021</li> <li>Everyone in the country will have access to COVID-19 vaccines free of charge</li> </ul>			

U.K.	• A <u>U.K. Government</u>	• In December 2020, the	• The U.K. government	• Three types of	• Adverse events and
	Vaccination Taskforce	United Kingdom	released a vaccine-	vaccination sites	safety concerns
	was established in April	Government released	delivery plan that	have been	following COVID-19
	2020, and the task force	advice on priority groups	stated that they are	established: 1)	vaccine
	signed deals to buy	for COVID-19	working at the	vaccination centres	administration should
	vaccines from multiple	vaccination, which	national, regional and	using large-scale	be reported to the
	developers and suppliers	reported that vaccination	local levels to	venues such as	Medicines and
	• The task force also	priorities should be the	establish partnerships	football stadiums; 2)	Healthcare Products
	expanded the U.K.'s	prevention of COVID-	with authorities,	hospital hubs; and 3)	Regulatory Agency
	vaccine manufacturing	19 mortality, and the	communities,	local vaccination	using the established
	capability to further	protection of health and	healthcare staff and	services, using	Coronavirus Yellow
	increase vaccine	social-care staff and	patients to ensure that	primary-care	Card reporting
	production	systems	accessible	services and	scheme
	<ul> <li>According to a news</li> </ul>	• Secondary priorities	information is	pharmacy teams	
	report the UK has	should include	available to the public	• In largely rural areas.	
	ordered 100 million doses	vaccination of	• It are also working to	vaccination centres	
	of the Oxford vaccine and	individuals at increased	ensure that local	will be a mobile unit	
	40 million doses of the	risk of hospitalization	implementation plans	• To ensure that there	
	Pfizer vaccine which	and increased risk of	are tailored to	is a sufficient	
	together is enough to	exposure and to	support all individuals	workforce to deliver	
	vaccinate the entire	maintain resilience in	<ul> <li>The Mosques and</li> </ul>	the vaccination	
	population	essential services.	Imams National	program changes to	
		• The order of priority of	Advisory Board is	the Human	
	• As of $20$ january $2021$ , a	COVID-19 vaccination	leading a campaign to	Medicines	
	barra received the first	is: 1) residents in a care	reassure its faithful	Regulations now	
	daga of the COVID 10	home for older adults	are among those	nermit non-	
	dose of the COVID-19	and their carers: 2) all	publicly advocating	registered healthcare	
	Vaccine and a total of	those aged 80 and over	that COVID 19	professionals to	
	4/4,150 people nave	and front line health and	vaccinations are safe	administer the	
	received the second dose	social care workers: 3) all	and compatible with	COVID 10 vaccina	
	of the vaccine	those 75 years of ago and	Islamia practicas	COVID-19 vacenie	
		all those 70 years	Islamic practices		
		of ago and over and			
		clinically over and			
		unicary extremely			
		(5 years of and and			
		() all individuals acad 1(			
		to 64 with underlying			
		to 64 with underlying			
		nealth conditions which			

		put them at a higher risk of serious disease and mortality; 7) all those 60 years of age and over; 8) all those 55 years of age and over; and 9) all those 50 years of age and over			
U.S.	<ul> <li>The Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) and the Department of Defense (DoD) jointly lead a vaccine production and distribution strategy called <u>Operation Warp Speed</u> (OWS)</li> <li>Its main goal is to deliver 300 million doses of safe and effective vaccines</li> <li>Actions supporting OWS include HHS funding development and manufacturing of vaccine candidates, securing agreements to acquire vaccine doses, and building manufacturing capacity for successful vaccine candidates</li> <li>DoD is partnering with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and other parts of HHS to coordinate supply, production and distribution of vaccines</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The CDC provided recommendations to federal, state and local governments about who should receive COVID-19 vaccines first based on recommendations from the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP)</li> <li>On 1 December 2020, ACIP recommended that healthcare personnel and longterm care facility residents be vaccinated first (Phase 1a)</li> <li>A subsequent update on 20 December 2020 recommended that Phase 1200 recommended that Phase 1b include persons aged 75 or older and nonhealthcare front-line essential workers, and that Phase 1c, include persons aged 65-74 years, persons aged 16-64 with high-risk medical conditions, and other</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The <u>Operation Warp</u> <u>Speed COVID-19</u> <u>Vaccine Distribution</u> <u>Strategy</u>, released on 16 September 2020, had a primary focus of engaging with partners, stakeholders, and the public to improve vaccine confidence and uptake</li> <li>CDC updates and disseminates information about vaccine safety, effectiveness, allocation strategy and distribution process for the <u>general public</u>, as well as additional information for <u>healthcare</u> professionals</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>OWS's COVID-19 vaccine distribution process utilizes existing networks, partnerships and processes to provide access to vaccines across the United States as safely and quickly as possible</li> <li>The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) issued an emergency use authorizations (EUA) for the use of the Pfizer- BioNTech Covid-19 vaccine in persons 16 years of age and older on 11 December 2020, and for the use of the Moderna COVID- 19 vaccine in persons aged 18 years of age and older on 18 December 2020</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The CDC, FDA and other federal partners have many existing systems and data sources to facilitate continuous safety monitoring of vaccines</li> <li>The CDC and FDA have also expanded safety monitoring systems and strategies have been developed as an additional layer of safety monitoring to evaluate COVID-19 vaccine safety in real time</li> <li>These additional strategies include a smartphone-based, post-vaccine health checker for those who have received COVID-19 vaccines called <u>V-safe</u>, which uses text messaging and web surveys from CDC to check in with vaccine recipients as well as</li> </ul>
		conductions, and other			recipients as well as

HHS appounced several	essential workers not	• The Pfizer-	provide second dose
agreements with various	covered in Phase 1b	BioNTech and the	reminders if needed
vaccine candidate		Moderna COVID	$\circ$ They also include
developers in exchange		10 vaccinos aro	the CDC's
for comprise decor		<u>19</u> vaccines are	National
for securing doses		Denig anocated	Healthcare Safety
pending FDA approval		across states and	Notwork (NHSN)
0 On 21 May 2020 HHS		jurisdictions, that	incluotic (INTISIN),
announced up to \$1.2		follow procedures	tance and long-
billion in support for		for ordering first-	term care facinty
AstraZeneca's		and second-dose	monitoring
candidate vaccine in		allocations	system, and the
exchange for 300			FDA monitoring
million doses made			other large
available for the United			insurer/payer
States			databases to
• On 7 July 2020 HHS			facilitate claims-
announced \$1.6 billion			based data
in funds for large-scale			
manufacturing of the			
vaccine candidate by			
Novavax in exchange			
for 100 million doses			
• On 22 July 2020 HHS			
announced up to \$1.95			
billion in funds to			
support the large-scale			
manufacturing of			
Pfizer's vaccine			
candidate in exchange			
for 100 million doses			
$\circ$ On 31 July 2020 HHS			
announced \$2 billion in			
support of the			
development and large_			
scale manufacturing of			
ClavoSmithVlino'a			
Vaccino in ovehence for			
100 million doces			
100 million doses			1

• On 5 August 2020		
HHS announced \$1		
billion in funds to		
support large-scale		
manufacturing and		
delivery of Johnson &		
Johnson's (Janssen)		
vaccine candidate in		
exchange for 100		
million doses with the		
option to acquire more		
o On 11 August 2020		
HHS announced up to		
\$1.5 billion to support		
large-scale		
manufacturing and		
delivery of Moderna's		
vaccine candidate in		
exchange for 100		
million doses of the		
vaccine with the option		
to purchase more		
o On 11 December 2020		
HHS announced an		
additional 100 million		
doses through an		
agreement with		
Moderna with the		
option to acquire up to		
an additional 300		
million doses		
• On 23 December 2020.		
Pfizer and BioNTech		
announced that 200		
million doses of their		
vaccine would be		
delivered to the U.S. by 31		
July 2021		

• <u>Moderna</u> aims to deliver		
100 million doses of its		
vaccine to the U.S.		
Government by the end		
of March 2021, and 200		
million doses by the end		
of June 2021		
• As of 26 January 2021,		
CDC reports that 44.39		
million doses of COVID-		
19 vaccinations have been		
distributed and 23.54		
million doses have been		
administered		

Province/ territory	Securing and distributing a reliable supply of vaccines and ancillary supplies	Allocating vaccines and ancillary supplies equitably	Communicating vaccine-allocation plans and the safety and effectiveness of vaccines	Administering vaccines in ways that optimize timely uptake	Surveillance, monitoring and evaluation, and reporting
Pan-Canadian	<ul> <li>As of 28 January 2021, Canada has received 1,122,450 vaccines from Pfizer and Moderna manufacturers</li> <li>As of 28 January 2021, <u>80.9% of doses</u> delivered to Canada have been administered</li> <li>Through <u>advance</u> <u>purchasing agreements</u> with seven companies developing COVID-19 vaccines, Canada has secured enough doses for all Canadians who wish to be vaccinated</li> <li>The doses were secured on the advice of the <u>COVID-19 Vaccine</u> <u>Task Force</u></li> <li>An immunization National Operations Centre within the <u>Public Health Agency</u> <u>of Canada</u> was established as the federal logistical coordination entity for managing COVID-19 vaccine delivery and collaboration with</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>On 12 January 2021, the National Advisory Committee on Immunization (NACI) issued a statement outlining their most up- to-date</li> <li>recommendations to help guide the COVID- 19 vaccine response in Canada</li> <li>In November 2020, NACI released its initial Preliminary guidance on key populations for early COVID-19 immunization report to inform planning for the efficient, effective and equitable allocation of COVID-19 vaccines upon authorization for use in Canada</li> <li>Key populations identified included those at high risk for severe illness or death, those most likely to transmit to those at high risk, essential workers, and those living or</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In December 2020, the Public Health Agency of Canada released a <u>report</u> stating that federal, provincial and territorial governments are required to provide ongoing access to comprehensive, accurate and clear information about COVID-19 vaccines and immunization plans in partnership with First Nations, Inuit and Metis leaders, health professionals and other stakeholders</li> <li>NACI recommends making further communication efforts (e.g., cultural and linguistically diverse educational resources) to help improve the relay of vaccine information and establish transparency with the general public</li> <li>The Government of Canada's <u>Planning</u> guidance for</li> </ul>	• The Government of Canada's <u>Planning</u> <u>guidance for</u> <u>administration of</u> <u>COVID-19 vaccine</u> states that all provinces and territories are responsible for developing processes and preparing their health systems and providers to allocate, deliver, store, distribute and administer vaccines	<ul> <li>The Government of Canada's <u>Planning guidance</u> for administration of COVID-19 vaccine states that the safety approach will build upon the systems in place for monitoring other vaccines</li> <li>Post-marketing surveillance will be undertaken by the Public Health Agency and Health Canada through the following mechanisms:         <ul> <li><u>Canada Vigilance</u> <u>Program</u>, which collects and assesses reports of suspected adverse reactions to the vaccines from manufacturers and from healthcare providers,</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

## Appendix 4: COVID-19 vaccine roll-out elements from Canadian provinces and territories

Image: provinces and territories for vaccine distribution 0working in conditions working in conditions working in conditions infectionadministration of control distribution states that multiple states that				 
International Operations Centre is supported by a national team of experts and the 	provinces and territories	working in conditions	administration of	patients and
<ul> <li>o The National</li> <li>infection</li> <li>infe</li></ul>	for vaccine distribution	with elevated risk for	COVID-19 vaccine	their families
Operations Centre is supported by a name team of experts and the Canadian Armed 	0 The National	infection	states that multiple	o <u>Canadian</u>
supported by a national team of experts and the Canadian Armed HortexsNACI recommended to further sequence its initial subset of key populations using a stage-based approachand ethnic media and social media, should be used to provide waccination0The National Operations Centre has 14 vacine delivery sites across Canada, and Fedfx Express Canada and performation and older (priority are positioned to succine distributionStage 1 includes residents/staff of care residents/staff of care residents/staff of care to those over 80 years of age until supply to delivery, messaging and chickare and personal-support to A total of 25 million immunization suppliesNACI recommends full initially be given to those over 80 years of age until supply to guide vaccination delivery, messaging and chickare and personal-support to A total of 25 million immunization suppliesNACI recommends to the reador for supersonal-support o A total of 25 million inter ausel, fracilities a dad to receive a and sharys continers)NACI recommends to the readiative suppliesIndeminization support to guide vaccination delivery, messaging and ethickare and personal-supportIndeminization talove diagenes0A total of 25 million immunization supplies o A total of 25 million international dual to receive a and sharys continers)Operations contres to the remaining congregate facility residents/staff canada did not receive a any Pfizer vaccine doses during the week to fare doise or the next four manization due to toriceNACI recommends the remaining congregate facility residents/staff and equitable correctional facilitiesStage 1 talove diagenes <td>Operations Centre is</td> <td>• On 18 December 2020,</td> <td>strategies, such as local</td> <td>Adverse Events</td>	Operations Centre is	• On 18 December 2020,	strategies, such as local	Adverse Events
team of experts and the Canadian Armed Horresfurther sequence its initial subset of key 	supported by a national	NACI <u>recommended</u> to	and ethnic media and	<u>Following</u>
Canadian Armed Erroresinitial subset of key populations using a stage-based approachused to provide 	team of experts and the	further sequence its	social media, should be	<u>Immunization</u>
Image: InstanceForestionopplations using a stage-based approachvaccineSystem, which is a post-market0The National Operations Centre has 14 vaccine delivery sites across Canada, and FedEx Express Canada and Innomar Strategiesostage includes residents/staff of care facilities, adults aged 70 and older (priority will initially be given support the National Operations Centre with vaccine distributionvaccine residents/staff of care residents/staff of care to those over 80 years of age until supply increases, front-line delivery, messaging and educationvaccine and educationvaccine monitoring system0The Government of Canada is responsible for securing storage facilities and ancillary supplies o A total of 75 million immunization suppliesostage 2 includes essential workers, and and har y suppliesostage 2 includes essential workers, of the healthcare essential workers, and sharps containers)ostage 2 includes essential workers, and sharps containers)ostage 2 includes essential workers, of A total of 122 freezers have been purchasedostage 2 includes essential workers, of a curice of short particle of a short particle of any professionals, and remaining congregate facility residents/staff (eg., homeless shelters and correctional facilities)ostage 2 includes essential workers, of CA total of 122 freezers have been purchasedostage 2 includes essential workers, of CA total of 122 freezers have been purchasedostage 2 includes shelters and correctional facilitiesostage 2 includes essential workers, of CA total of 122 freezers have been purchasedostage 2 includes shelte	<u>Canadian Armed</u>	initial subset of key	used to provide	<u>Surveillance</u>
<ul> <li>The National Operations Centre has 14 vaccine delivery sites across Canada, and FedEx Express Canada and FedEx Express Canada and Innomar Strategies are positioned to support the National Operations Centre with vaccine distribution</li> <li>The Government of Canada is responsible for securing storage facilities and an callary supplies o A total of 222 friezers have been secured (e.g., syringes, needles, gauze, and sharps containers)</li> <li>A total of 222 friezers facilities and sharps containers)</li> <li>A total of 222 friezers facilities and sharps containers)</li> <li>A total of 222 friezers facilities and sharps containers)</li> <li>A total of 222 friezers facilities and sharps containers)</li> <li>A total of 222 friezers facilities and sharps containers)</li> <li>A total of 222 friezers facilities and sharps containers)</li> <li>A total of 222 friezers facilities and intermational vaccine shortage</li> <li>Canada di no treceive a shortage</li> <li>A total of 222 friezers facilities and intermational vaccine shortage</li> <li>Canada di no treceive a shortage</li> <li>Stage 2 includes</li> <li>Short be efficient an intermational vaccine shortage</li> <li>Canada di not receive a shortage</li> <li>Shortage</li> <li>For the next four</li> <li>Yaccines Starf (2000)</li> <li>NACI recommends planning the efficient an intermational vaccine shortage</li> <li>Shortage</li> <li>For the next four</li> <li>Yaccines Starf (2000)</li> <li>NACI recommends planning the ef</li></ul>	Forces	populations using a	vaccination	System, which is
Operations Centre has 14 vaccine delivery sites across Canada, and FedEx Express Canada and Innoma Strategies are positioned to support the National Operations Centre with vaccine distributiono Stage 1 includes residents/staff of care facilities, adulus aged of age until supply of age until supply operations centre with vaccine distributiontailoge approaches are residents/staff of care facilities, adulus aged of age until supply operations centre with escening storage facilities have been secured (e.g., have been purchasedo Stage 1 includes residents/staff of age until supply of age until supply operations Centre with increases), front-line healthcare and personal-support canada incliary supplies o A total of 125 million o A total of 125 freezers have been purchased (e.g., homeless during the week of 25 January 2020 due to an international vaccine shorage o For the next four wack Canada's comparison and intermational vaccine shorage o For the next four wack of 25 January 2020 due to an intermational vaccine shorage o For the next four wack of agendableo Stage 1 includes residents/staff (e.g., homeless shollers and and equitable intermational vaccine shorageo Stage 1 includes residents/staff (e.g., homeless shelters and any Prizer vaccine doses during the week of 25 January 2020 due to an intermational vaccine shorage o For the next four wack Canadab'o Stage 1 includes residents/staff (e.g., homeless in and equitable dis	• The National	stage-based approach	information, and that	a post-market
14 vaccine delivery sites across Canada, and Fedfix Express Canada and Innomar Strategies are positioned to support the National Operations Centre with vaccine distribution 6 The Government of Canada is responsible for securing storage facilities and ancillary supplies o A total of 75 million immunization supplies o A total of 75 million immunization supplies o A total of 25 million immunization supplies o A total of 22 freezers have been spcuraled. garce and sharps containers)residents/staff of care facilities adults in Indigenous communitiesneeded for vulnerable populationsmonitoring system (Canada (ISC) is to gued vaccination delivery, messaging and educationmonitoring system0The Government of Canada is responsible for securing storage facilities and ancillary supplies have been secured (e.g., have been secured (e.g., homeless during the week of 25 January 2020 (due to an international vaccine shortageo Stage 2 includes essential workers, other healthcare essential workers, other healthcare remaining congregate facilitiesnetworks such as the canadain remaining congregate facilitiesnetworks such as the conditiono External monitoring monitoring communities0A total of 422 freezers facility residents/staff have been purchased (e.g., homeless during the week of 25 January 2020 (due to an international vaccine shortageo NACI recommends planing the efficient and equitablenetworks with the active with the providen of COVID- initiativeso The Coundain to Providen in the COVID- initiatives0For the next four planing the efficient an international vaccine shorta	Operations Centre has	• Stage 1 includes	tailored approaches are	vaccine safety
across Canada, and FedEx Express Canada and Innoma Strategies are positioned to support the National Operations Centre with vaccine distributionfacilities, adults aged 70 and older (priority will initially be given to those over 80 years of age until supply increases), front-line healthcare and personal-supportondolder (priority will initially be given to those over 80 years of age until supply increases), front-line healthcare and personal-supportondolder (priority will initially be given to those over 80 years of age until supply increases), front-line healthcare and personal-supportondolder (priority will initially be given to those over 80 years of age until supply increases), front-line healthcare and personal-supportondolder (priority will initially be given to those over 80 years developing resources to guide vaccination delivery, messaging and educationondolder (priority Monitoring Program ACTive (MPACT)• The government of canada is responsible for securing storage facilities and ancillary supplies o A total of 72 million immunization supplies o A total of 422 freezers have been purchasedorstage 2 includes essential workers, other healthcare professionals, and remaining congregate facility residents/staff (e.g., homeless shelters and any Pfizer vaccine dose during the week of 25 January 2020 due to an international vaccine shortageortecive a aque facilitiesortecive a a due to tool to the during the efficient and equitable distribution of COVID- 19 vaccine sin the accordavices with the accordavices with theortecive a to the second by the accordavicesortecive a to the condole week of 25 Janua	14 vaccine delivery sites	residents/staff of care	needed for vulnerable	monitoring
FedEx Express Canada and Innomar Strategies are positioned to support the National Operations Centre with vaccine distribution70 and older (priority will initially be given to those over 80 years of age until supply increases), front-line healthcare and personal-support $\circ$ Indigenous Services Canada (ISC) is developing resources to guide vaccination delivery, messaging and education $\circ$ Indigenous Services Canada (ISC) is developing resources to guide vaccination delivery, messaging and education $\circ$ Indigenous Services Canada (ISC) is developing resources to guide vaccination delivery, messaging and education $\circ$ Indigenous Services Canada (ISC) is developing resources to guide vaccination delivery, messaging and education $\circ$ Indigenous Services Canada (ISC) is developing resources to guide vaccination delivery, messaging and education $\circ$ Indigenous Services Canada (ISC) is developing resources to guide vaccination delivery, messaging and education $\circ$ Indigenous Services Canada (ISC) is developing resources to guide vaccination delivery, messaging and education $\circ$ Indigenous Services Canada (ISC) is developing resources to guide vaccination delivery, messaging and education $\circ$ Indigenous Services Canada (ISC) is developing resources to guide vaccination delivery, messaging and education $\circ$ Indigenous Services Canada (ISC) is to guide vaccination delivery, messaging and education $\circ$ Indigenous Services to guide vaccination delivery, messaging and education $\circ$ Indigenous Services to guide vaccination to guide vaccination to guide vaccines, vaccine failures and vaccine- preventable diseases $\circ$ A t	across Canada, and	facilities, adults aged	populations	system
and Innomar Strategies are positioned to support the National Operations Centre with vacine distributionwill initially be given to those over 80 years of age until supply increases), front-line healthcare and personal-support and ancillary supplies o A total of 75 million o A total of 422 freezers have been secured (e.g., syringes, needles, gauze, and sharps containers)will initially be given to those over 80 years of age until supply increases), front-line delivery, messaging and educationCanada (ISC) is developing resources to guide vacination delivery, messaging and educationMonitoring Program ACTive (MDACT) networks, which monitors for adverse effectis from vacines, provided to healthcare providers, and the healthcare sector should be involved in vacine communication effortsCanada (ISC) is developing resources to guide vacination delivery, messaging and educationMonitoring Program ACTive (MDACT) networks, which monitors for adverse effectis from vacines, solub site involved in vacine communication effortsMonitoring Program Active to guide vacination delivery, messaging and education• A total of 422 freezers have been purchased or A total of 422 freezers have been purchased• Stage 2 includes essential workers, and remaining congregate facility residents/staff (e.g., homeless shelters and any Pfizer vaccine doses during the week of 25 January 2020 due to an international vaccine shortage o For the next four weeks of 225• NACI recommends planning the efficient and equitable distribution of COVID- 19 vaccines in mewerk efficient and international vaccines in the condition o For the next four week	FedEx Express Canada	70 and older (priority	<ul> <li>Indigenous Services</li> </ul>	o <u>Immunization</u>
are positioned to support the National Operations Centre with vaccine distributionto those over 80 years of age until supply increases), front-line healthcare and personal-supportdeveloping resources to guide vaccination delivery, messaging and deucationProgram ACTive (MPACT) network, which monitors for adverse effects• The Government of Canada is responsible for securing storage facilities and ancillary supplies o A total of 75 million immunization supplies have been secured (e.g., syringes, needles, gauze, and sharps containers)• The report also states that outreach should be providers, and the healthcare and remaining congregate facility residents/staff (e.g., homeless during the week of 25 January 2020 due to an international vaccine shortage• to those over 80 years of age until supply increases), front-line workers, and at-risk adults in Indigenous communitiesdeveloping resources to guide vaccination delivery, messaging and educationProgram ACTive (MPACT) monitors for adverse effects from vaccine, providers, and the healthcare and remaining congregate facility residents/staff (e.g., homeless shelters and correctional facilities)• The report also states that outreach should be providers, and the healthcare sector should be involved in vaccine communication efforts• The report also states that outreach should be providers, and the healthcare sector should be involved in vaccine communication efforts• The report also states that outreach should be providers, and the healthcare and correctional facility residents/staff alloy fue to an international vaccine shortage• NACTive professionals, and corr	and Innomar Strategies	will initially be given	Canada (ISC) is	Monitoring
support the National Operations Centre with vaccine distributionof age until supply increases), front-line healthcare and personal-supportto guide vaccination delivery, messaging and education(IMPACT) network, which monitors for adverse effects from vaccines, vaccine failures and ancillary supplies o A total of 75 million immunization supplies o A total of 422 freezers have been secured (e.g., syringes, needles, gauze, and sharps containers)of age until supply increases), front-line healthcare and personal-support communitiesto guide vaccination delivery, messaging and education0A total of 75 million immunization supplies have been secured (e.g., syringes, needles, gauze, and sharps containers)of age until supply increases), front-line healthcare and personal-support communitiesto guide vaccination delivery, messaging and educationThe report also states that outreach should be provided to healthcare providets, and the healthcare should be involved in vaccine communicationThe report also states that outreach should be providet to healthcare provides, and the healthcare should be involved in vaccine communicationThe report also states that outreach should be providet to healthcare provides, and the healthcare sectorThe report also states that outreach should be provides, and the healthcare should be involved in vaccine communicationThe report also states that outreach should be provides, and the healthcare should be involved in vaccine communicationThe report also states that outreach should be provides, and the healthcare should be involved in vaccine communicationThe report also states<	are positioned to	to those over 80 years	developing resources	Program ACTive
Operations Centre with vaccine distributionincreases), front-line healthcare and personal-supportdelivery, messaging and education• The Government of Canada is responsible for securing storage facilities and ancillary supplies (and a citation supplies)• The report also states that outreach should be provided to healthcare provided to healthcare should be involved in vaccine communication• The report also states that outreach should be provided to healthcare provided to healthcare providers, and the healthcare sector• The report also states that outreach should be provided to healthcare providers, and the healthcare sector• The report also states that outreach should be providers, and the healthcare sector• The report also states that outreach should be providers, and the healthcare should be involved in vaccine communication• The report also states that outreach should be providers, and the healthcare providers, and the healthcare should be involved in vaccine communication• The report also states that outreach should be providers, and the healthcare providers, and the healthcare should be involved in vaccine communication• External networks such as the Canadian networks such as the Canadian in the COVID- 19 vaccine safety initiatives• Canada did not receive a any Pfizer vaccine doses during the week of 25 January 2020 due to an international vaccine shortage • For the next four weeke Condol's• NACI recommends planning the efficient and equitable distribution of COVID- 19 vaccines in 19 vaccines in the veet condol's• The Canadian Vaccine Safety Vaccine Safety• For the next four	support the National	of age until supply	to guide vaccination	(IMPACT)
<ul> <li>vaccine distribution</li> <li>The Government of Canada is responsible for securing storage facilities and ancillary supplies</li> <li>A total of 75 million</li> <li>Stage 2 includes</li> <li>o A total of 422 freezers have been secured (e.g., syringes, needles, gauze, and sharps containers)</li> <li>o A total of 422 freezers have been purchased</li> <li>Canada did not receive a any Pfizer vaccine shortage</li> <li>Canada did not receive a any Pfizer vaccine shortage</li> <li>o For the next four wweeks Ganadia</li> <li>c For the next four wweeks Ganadia</li> </ul>	Operations Centre with	increases), front-line	delivery, messaging	network, which
<ul> <li>The Government of Canada is responsible for securing storage facilities and ancillary supplies o A total of <u>75</u> million immunization supplies have been secured (e.g., and sharps containers) o A total of <u>422</u> freezers have been purchased</li> <li>Canada did not receive a any Pfizer vaccine doses during the week of <u>25</u> January 2020 due to an international vaccine shortage</li> <li>For the next four wweeks Contady's</li> </ul>	vaccine distribution	healthcare and	and education	monitors for
Canada is responsible for securing storage facilities and ancillary suppliesworkers, and at-risk adults in Indigenous communitiesthat outreach should be provided to healthcare provided to healthcare provided in healthcare sectorfrom vaccines, vaccine failures and vaccine- preventableo A total of 75 million immunization supplies have been secured (e.g., syringes, needles, gauze, and sharps containers)o Stage 2 includes essential workers, other healthcare essential workers, other healthcare facility residents/staff (e.g., homeless shelters and any Pfizer vaccine doses during the week of 25 January 2020 due to an international vaccine shortagethat outreach should be provided to healthcare vaccine communication effortsfrom vaccines, vaccine communication o External effortso A total of 422 freezers have been purchasedcorrectional facilities)other efficient and equitable distribution of COVID- 19 vaccines in accord sarce with thehat outreach should be provided to healthcare provided to healthcare vaccine communicationo For the next four weeks Connada'sother efficient and equitable distribution of COVID- 19 vaccines in accord acces with thethat outreach should be provided to healthcare providers, and the healthcare shortagefrom vaccines, should be involved in other should be providers, and the healthcare total of 422 freezers should be involvedfrom vaccines, vaccine communication other should be providers, and the healthcare should be involvedo Total of 422 freezers January 2020 due to an international vaccine shortageNACI recommends distribution of COV	• The Government of	personal-support	• The report also states	adverse effects
securing storage facilities and ancillary suppliesadults in Indigenous communitiesprovided to healthcare providers, and the healthcare sectorvaccine failures and vaccine- preventable• A total of 75 million immunization supplies have been secured (e.g., syringes, needles, gauze, and sharps containers)• Stage 2 includes essential workers, other healthcare professionals, and remaining congregate facility residents/staff have been purchased• O stage 2 includes essential workers, other healthcare efforts• D External munication• Canada did not receive a any Pfizer vaccine doses during the week of 25 January 2020 due to an international vaccine shortage• NACI recommends planning the efficient and equitable• NACI recommends planning the efficient and equitable• NACI recommends planning the efficient and equitable• The Canadian Vaccine Safety vaccine safety initiatives• For the next four weeke Canada's19 vaccines in accordace with the• Naccine Safety vaccines fail vaccines in tarcovers which• The Canadian Vaccine Safety vaccine safety initiatives	Canada is responsible for	workers, and at-risk	that outreach should be	from vaccines,
and ancillary suppliescommunitiesproviders, and the healthcare sectorand vaccine- preventable• A total of 75 million immunization supplies have been secured (e.g., syringes, needles, gauze, and sharps containers)• Stage 2 includes essential workers, other healthcarehealthcare sector should be involved in efforts• External networks such as the Canadian• A total of 422 freezers have been purchasedfacility residents/staff e.g., homeless• Canada did not receive a shelters and correctional facilities)• MACI recommends planning the efficient and equitable• NACI recommends planning the efficient and equitable• NACI recommends planning the efficient and equitable• The Canadian Vaccine safety with the	securing storage facilities	adults in Indigenous	provided to healthcare	vaccine failures
<ul> <li>A total of <u>75 million</u> immunization supplies have been secured (e.g., syringes, needles, gauze, and sharps containers)</li> <li>A total of <u>422 freezers</u> have been purchased</li> <li>Canada did not receive a any Pfizer vaccine doses during the week of <u>25</u> January 2020 due to an international vaccine shortage</li> <li>For the next four weeks Ganada's</li> <li>For the next four weeks Ganada's</li> <li>For the next four weeks Ganada's</li> <li>A total of <u>75 million</u> international vaccine shortage</li> <li>A total of <u>422 freezers</u> distribution of COVID- international vaccine shortage</li> <li>For the next four weeks Ganada's</li> <li>A total of <u>422 freezers</u> facility residents/staff (e.g., homeless shelters and correctional facilities)</li> <li>NACI recommends planning the efficient and equitable</li> <li>A total of <u>425</u> January 2020 due to an international vaccine shortage</li> <li>For the next four weeks Ganada's</li> <li>For the next four</li> <li>For the next four&lt;</li></ul>	and ancillary supplies	communities	providers, and the	and vaccine-
immunization supplies have been secured (e.g., syringes, needles, gauze, and sharps containers)essential workers, other healthcare professionals, and remaining congregate facility residents/staff have been purchasedshould be involved in vaccine communication effortsdiseases o• A total of 422 freezers have been purchasedfacility residents/staff (e.g., homeless shelters and any Pfizer vaccine doses during the week of 25 January 2020 due to an international vaccine shortageo A COVID- international vaccine and equitable distribution of COVID- 19 vaccines in vaccines ino External networks such as the Canadian international vaccine shortage• For the next four weeks Canada'sman professionals, and remaining congregate (e.g., homeless shelters and correctional facilities)should be involved in vaccine communicationo External networks such as the Canadian Immunization Research• Canada did not receive a any Pfizer vaccine doses during the week of 25 January 2020 due to an international vaccine shortageo COVID- 19 vaccines in 19 vaccines in vaccine since with the weeks Canada'so External networks such as the Canadian Vaccine Safety Network which	• A total of <u>75 million</u>	• Stage 2 includes	healthcare sector	preventable
have been secured (e.g., syringes, needles, gauze, and sharps containers)other healthcare professionals, and remaining congregate facility residents/staff (e.g., homeless shelters and correctional facilities)vaccine communication effortso External networks such as the <u>Canadian</u> Immunization Research Network will also be involved in the COVID- 19 vaccine safety wreak. Canada'so External networks such as efforts	immunization supplies	essential workers,	should be involved in	diseases
syringes, needles, gauze, and sharps containers)professionals, and remaining congregate facility residents/staffefforts• A total of 422 freezers have been purchasedfacility residents/staff (e.g., homeless shelters and correctional facilities)efforts• Canada did not receive a any Pfizer vaccine doses during the week of 25 January 2020 due to an international vaccine shortageefforts• NACI recommends planning the efficient and equitable• NACI recommends planning the efficient and equitable9 vaccine safety initiatives• The Canadian vereex Canada's19 vaccines in uscone sin• The Canadian vaccine Safety	have been secured (e.g.,	other healthcare	vaccine communication	0 External
<ul> <li>and sharps containers)</li> <li>A total of <u>422 freezers</u> have been purchased</li> <li>Canada did not receive a any Pfizer vaccine doses during the week of <u>25</u> <u>January 2020</u> due to an international vaccine shortage</li> <li>For the next four weeks Canada's</li> <li>Temaining congregate facility residents/staff (e.g., homeless</li> <li>Shelters and correctional facilities)</li> <li>NACI recommends planning the efficient and equitable distribution of COVID- 19 vaccines in weeks Canada's</li> <li>For the next four weeks Canada's</li> </ul>	syringes, needles, gauze,	professionals, and	efforts	networks such as
<ul> <li>A total of <u>422 freezers</u> have been purchased</li> <li>Canada did not receive a any Pfizer vaccine doses during the week of <u>25</u> <u>January 2020</u> due to an international vaccine shortage</li> <li>For the next four weeks Canada's</li> <li>A total of <u>422 freezers</u> facility residents/staff (e.g., homeless shelters and correctional facilities)</li> <li>NACI recommends planning the efficient and equitable distribution of COVID- 19 vaccines in weeks Canada's</li> <li>For the next four weeks Canada's</li> </ul>	and sharps containers)	remaining congregate		the <u>Canadian</u>
have been purchased(e.g., homelessResearch• Canada did not receive a any Pfizer vaccine doses during the week of 25 January 2020 due to an international vaccine shortage(e.g., homeless shelters and correctional facilities)Network will also be involved in the COVID- 19 vaccine safety initiatives• NACI recommends planning the efficient and equitable o For the next four weeks Canada's• NACI recommends planning the efficient and equitable distribution of COVID- 19 vaccines in accordance with the• The Canadian Vaccine Safety Network, which	• A total of <u>422 freezers</u>	facility residents/staff		Immunization
<ul> <li>Canada did not receive a any Pfizer vaccine doses during the week of 25 January 2020 due to an international vaccine shortage</li> <li>For the next four weeks Canada's</li> <li>Canada did not receive a shelters and correctional facilities)</li> <li>NACI recommends planning the efficient and equitable distribution of COVID- 19 vaccines in accordance with the accordance with the</li> </ul>	have been purchased	(e.g., homeless		<u>Research</u>
any Pfizer vaccine doses during the week of 25 January 2020 due to an international vaccine shortagecorrectional facilities)also be involved in the COVID- 19 vaccine safety initiatives0For the next four weeks Canada's0COVID- 19 vaccines in accordance with the accordance with the0NACI recommends planning the efficient and equitable of COVID- 19 vaccines in accordance with the0NACI recommends planning the efficient and equitable of COVID- 19 vaccines in accordance with the0NACI recommends planning the efficient and equitable of COVID- 19 vaccines in accordance with the0NACI recommends planning the efficient and equitable of COVID- 19 vaccines in accordance with the0NACI recommends planning the efficient and equitable of COVID- vaccine safety vaccine safety0	• Canada did not receive a	shelters and		<u>Network</u> will
during the week of 25 January 2020 due to an international vaccine shortage• NACI recommends planning the efficient and equitable distribution of COVID- 19 vaccines in accordance with the• in the COVID- 19 vaccine safety • The Canadian Vaccine Safety Network, which	any Pfizer vaccine doses	correctional facilities)		also be involved
January 2020 due to an international vaccine shortageplanning the efficient and equitable distribution of COVID-19 vaccine safety initiativesoFor the next four weeks Canada's19 vaccines in accordance with theVaccine Safety Vaccine Safety	during the week of 25	NACI recommends		in the COVID-
initiatives initiatives initiatives initiatives initiatives initiatives initiatives • The <u>Canadian</u> <u>Vaccine Safety</u> Network, which	January 2020 due to an	planning the efficient		19 vaccine safety
shortage     distribution of COVID-     • The Canadian       o     For the next four     19 vaccines in     Vaccine Safety       weeks     Canada's     accordance with the     Network, which	international vaccine	and equitable		initiatives
o     For the next four     19 vaccines in     Vaccine Safety       weeks     Canada's     accordance with the     Network, which	shortage	distribution of COVID-		• The <u>Canadian</u>
weeks Canada's accordance with the Network which	• For the next four	19 vaccines in		Vaccine Safety
weeks, Gallada s accoluance with the	weeks, Canada's	accordance with the		Network, which
vaccine deliveries established sub-	vaccine deliveries	established sub-		assesses vaccine
will be cut in half safety in various	will be cut in half			safety in various

<ul> <li>with up to 400,000 doses delayed</li> <li>The delays have required provinces to reconfigure their vaccination plans to prolong the time between vaccinations and temporarily turn individuals away from new appointments</li> <li>Despite the delays, the <u>Chief Executive Officer</u> of Pfizer stated that the company will fulfil its contract to deliver four million doses to Canada by the end of March</li> <li>The <u>federal government</u> also reported that 36 million Canadians are expected to be vaccinated by the end of September</li> <li><u>Pfizer and BioNtech</u> have reported that the COVID-19 vaccine vials contain six doses instead of five, and are using this information to predict how many vials will be sent to Canada</li> <li>Canada has ordered 37.5 million of the special syringes required to extract</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>prioritization of key populations</li> <li>Under specific circumstances (e.g., when excess doses remain after immunizing all stage one groups in a facility), NACI acknowledges the benefit in vaccinating on-site stage-two populations in lieu of transporting remaining doses to another facility with stage-one individuals to avoid the risk of wastage during delivery</li> <li>The Government of Canada's Planning guidance for administration of COVID-19 vaccine document stated that vaccines for second doses will be allocated at the same time as the first-dose quantities to ensure sufficient supply for the second dose.</li> </ul>		age groups following vaccinations • The <u>Special</u> <u>Immunization</u> <u>Clinics Network</u> , which manages patients with adverse events following immunizations
o Canada has ordered 37.5 million of the special syringes required to extract the additional dose, which are expected to arrive 4 February 2021	for the second dose at the appropriate interval after the first dose.		
2021			ł

British	• In January 2021, British	• The Government of	• ImmunizeBC has	• The first phase of	British Columbia's
Columbia	Columbia's Centre for	British Columbia	provided evidence-	COVID-19 vaccine	Centre for Disease
	Disease Control released a	reported that it is	based immunization	administration, which is	Control reported
	plan for vaccine	working closely with the	and tools specific to	of the priority	that they will
	distribution which stated	Provincial Health	$\overline{\text{COVID-19}}$ for	populations, is occurring	closely monitor
	that the province is	Services Authority, First	residents of British	at public-health clinics	COVID-19 vaccine
	preparing for a range of	Nations Health	Columbia	• Once the larger public	safety, uptake and
	COVID-19 vaccines with	Authority, Health	British Columbia's	immunization begins a	effectiveness
	varying distribution	Emergency Management	Centre for Disease	notice from the British	• Vaccine providers
	methods	BC, Canadian Red Cross	Control reported that	Columbia Pharmacy	in British Columbia
	British Columbia is	and Canadian Armed	when the vaccine	Association reported	are asked to refer
	actively preparing for	Forces to prepare a	becomes available for	that community	to the B.C. Centre
	these vaccines by securing	system that is ready to	the public, information	pharmacists will be	for Disease
	freezer capacity	receive and distribute all	will be shared widely	involved to ensure	Controls' reporting
	• Health Officials in British	vaccine types as they		timely uptake and	adverse events
	Columbia reported that a	become approved and		administration	following
	total of 792.695 vaccine	available			immunization
	doses are expected to be	British Columbia's			resource
	received by the end of	Centre for Disease			
	March 2021	Control released a <u>plan</u>			
	• Health Officials also	for vaccine distribution			
	reported that between	which stated that the			
	February and March an	first groups to be			
	average of 68,400 doses	vaccinated will be			
	are expected to be	residents, staff and			
	administered per week,	essential visitors to long-			
	between April and June an	term care residents;			
	average of 203,077 doses	individuals waiting for a			
	are expected to be	long-term care			
	administered per week,	placement; healthcare			
	and early indications	workers providing care			
	suggest that between July	for COVID-19 patients;			
	and September 471,538	First Nations			
	doses will be administered	communities in remote			
	per week	and isolated locations			
	• On <u>25 January 2021</u> , it	• The vaccination			
	was reported that the	program will then			
	vaccine shortages are	expand to include			
	6	community-based			

Alberta	expected to delay second doses of vaccinations to 42 days	seniors; individuals experiencing homelessness or using shelters; adults in group homes or mental health residential care; long- term care home support recipients and staff; hospital staff, community physicians and medical specialists; Indigenous communities not vaccinated in the first stage	Alberta Health Services	• COVID 19	• Alberta's
	<ul> <li>As of 21 January 2021, Alberta has received 122,725 doses of COVID- 19 vaccines from the Government of Canada</li> <li>88,725 doses of the Pfizer/ BioNTech vaccine</li> <li>34,000 doses of the Moderna vaccine</li> <li>Alberta is forecasted to receive 24,600 doses of Moderna vaccine the week of 1-7 February and 27,000 doses the week of 22-28 February 2021</li> <li>Forecasted allocations for the Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine are being updated</li> <li>As of 26 January 2021, <u>Alberta has administered</u> 101,123 doses of COVID- 19 vaccines (note that the numbers reported did not align with those on the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Alberta began distributing vaccines in December 2020 in phases with a focus for the first part of phase 1 on workers and residents of acute-care sites in Edmonton and Calgary with the highest COVID-19 concerns (e.g., front-line healthcare workers and residents of long-term care homes)</li> <li>Seniors 75 years and older as well as First Nations and Métis populations will be prioritized for the second part of phase 1 beginning in February 2021</li> <li>Decisions will be made in 2021 on vaccine</li> </ul>	• Alberta Health Services (AHS) has a <u>list of</u> <u>frequently asked</u> <u>questions</u> on its website about COVID-19 vaccination, and a <u>webpage</u> with information on what individuals need to know about COVID- 19 vaccination	<ul> <li>COVID-19 immunization facilities will be designated by AHS in congregate-care settings</li> <li>The AHS will collaborate with Indigenous Services Canada to designate congregate-care services on reserve</li> <li>Alberta Health Services has an <u>online booking</u> <u>tool</u> for eligible healthcare workers to book immunization appointments</li> <li>Eligible healthcare workers will receive an email with a link to book their immunization appointment online</li> </ul>	<ul> <li><u>Alberta's</u> <u>Immunization</u> <u>Regulation</u> requires health practitioners to report immunizations electronically to Alberta Health within a week, effective 1 January 2021</li> <li>Adverse events following immunization (AEFI) are reported to Alberta Health and Alberta Health Services and posted on Alberta's COVID-19 vaccine distribution website</li> </ul>

	<ul> <li>Government of Canada's website, but the dates in which they were reported on the respective websites were different)</li> <li>11,362 Albertans have been fully vaccinated with two doses</li> <li>A 19 January 2021 statement of the Minister of Health indicated that due to disturbances in supply of the Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine, all new first-dose appointments for healthcare workers in Alberta are postponed for the next two weeks</li> <li>The Alberta government has a policy describing the requirements for storing and handling the Pfizer</li> </ul>	distribution for phase 2 groups		<ul> <li>Alberta's <u>guideline</u> for COVID-19 vaccination provides advice for individuals who may experience reactions after immunization, including calling a Health Service hotline</li> <li>The guideline also describes infection prevention-and-control measures for vaccination venues and healthcare practitioners, including frequent disinfecting and use of PPE</li> <li>Alberta will be administering second doses of the COVID-19 vaccine within 42 days after the first dose</li> </ul>	
Saskatchewan	<ul> <li>BioNTech and Moderna vaccines, as well as vaccines that require storage between 2C and 8C</li> <li>As of 21 January 2021, Saskatchewan has received 32,725 doses of COVID-19 vaccines from the Government of Canada</li> <li>22,425 doses of the Pfizer BioNTech vaccine</li> <li>10,300 doses of the Moderna vaccine</li> </ul>	• Saskatchewan's <u>vaccine-</u> <u>distribution framework</u> prioritizes front-line healthcare workers, long-term care residents and staff, residents over age 70, and residents over age 50 living in remote/northern Saskatchewan	• The Saskatchewan government provides weekly public briefings, COVID-19 news releases, and a number of resources on its <u>website</u> about COVID- 19 vaccines and distribution	<ul> <li>Vaccines were administered at Regina General Hospital in the pilot phase</li> <li>In phase 1, vaccines will be administered at long- term care and personal- care homes</li> <li>During phase 2, vaccines will be</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Measures have been taken to ensure that Saskatchewan's immunization administration system, Panorama, can record, store and manage COVID-19 vaccination records</li> </ul>

Manitoba	<ul> <li>Saskatchewan is forecasted to receive 6,500 doses of Moderna vaccine the week of 1-7 February, and 7,100 doses the week of 22-28 February 2021</li> <li>Forecasted allocations for the Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine are being updated</li> <li>As of 27 January 2021, 34,615 doses have been administered in Saskatchewan <ul> <li>30,435 first doses</li> <li>4,180 second doses</li> </ul> </li> <li>On 15 December 2020 Saskatchewan conducted a pilot of the administration of 1,950 doses of the Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine to healthcare workers</li> <li>For the pilot, all vaccine doses were transported to and administered at Regina General Hospital</li> <li>Phase 1 of vaccine distribution began on 22 December 2020 in priority populations, including the Far North</li> <li>Phase 2 is anticipated to begin in April 2021</li> <li>As of 6 January 2021,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>These groups began receiving vaccines on 22 December 2020 based on a Pfizer vaccine delivery schedule of 10,725 doses per week</li> <li>Allocations of the Moderna vaccine have been allocated to the Far North Region of Saskatchewan</li> <li>The goal of the Saskatchewan government is for all residents being vaccinated during phase 2 to be able to access vaccines where they live and work</li> <li>There is no indication that vaccines will be made available through private means</li> <li>Manitoba established a</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The <u>Saskatchewan plan</u> indicates that the government's communication focuses on vaccine safety, accurate immunization information, prioritization of vaccination groups, and the importance of maintaining existing public-health measures</li> <li>Manitoba maintains a</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>administered at mass-vaccination clinics</li> <li>The Saskatchewan government intends for vaccines to be administered by physicians, nurse practitioners, and pharmacists in phase 2</li> <li>Pilot vaccine recipients received their second dose 21 days later during phase 1</li> <li>Saskatchewan's immunization system, Panorama, will be updated to set reminders for second-dose follow-ups</li> <li>Due to logistical</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>and enable reminders for second-dose follow-ups</li> <li>The Saskatchewan government reports on the number of vaccine doses administered by zone on its website</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>As or o january 2021, Manitoba received 22,320 doses of the Pfizer vaccine and had immunized 5,165 people</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Maintoba established a <u>trilateral table</u> on vaccine planning, including health experts, senior officials from Indigenous Services</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Maintoba maintains a <u>constantly updated</u> <u>webpage</u> dedicated to outlining in detail the specific groups of people currently eligible</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Due to logistical challenges, <u>vaccination</u> with the Pfizer vaccine is primarily occurring in Winnipeg</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Maintoba</li> <li>participates in the</li> <li><u>Public Health</u></li> <li><u>Agency of Canada's</u></li> <li><u>Canadian Adverse</u></li> <li><u>Events Following</u></li> </ul>

<ul> <li>Manitoba received 55,650 doses and administered 33,361 doses of vaccines</li> <li>As of 27 January 2021, 369 per 100,000 population had been fully vaccinated</li> <li>The province states they expect to receive Pfizer vaccine shipments every week but will maintain some buffer supplies in case of supply fluctuations</li> <li>As of 26 January 2021, the province states it is not expecting a vaccine delivery this week and are unsure of delivery plans in the short-term</li> <li>The province forecasts vaccinating two-percent of the population by the end of February</li> <li>Based on planned expansions of capacity, and subject to vaccine availability, Manitoba predicts it could administer 1.8 million vaccinations by the end of April</li> <li>The province secured more than 60 specialized freezers for the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>adian Armed Forces</li> <li>appointment and receive a vaccine</li> <li>Eligible Manitobans can call a phone line between 6 am and 8 pm to book a vaccination appointment at the supersite</li> <li>Manitoba has released clinical practice guidelines for vaccine use in special populations and issued a memo to healthcare providers regarding enhanced consent for special populations</li> <li>wactine allocation focused on the contact in critical acute care, long-teare homes, and VID-19</li> <li>unization and ng sites bility criteria for wing a vaccine were inded on 5 January, to include more th and social care ters, laboratory tas, and correctional ties workers</li> <li>province released ded eligibility criteria for workers</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>modular and scalable models of vaccine delivery: a pilot site, supersites, focused immunization teams, pop-up/mobile sites, First Nations sites, and distributed delivery</li> <li>As of <u>27 January 2021</u>, the pilot site trial has been completed, and currently supersite, focused immunization teams, and First Nations sites are active</li> <li><u>Immunization hubs and</u> pop-up sites, and distributed delivery mechanisms are being planned but not yet active; hubs and pop-up sites may begin as soon as 8 February 2021 in rural and Northern areas</li> <li>A 28-day campaign was launched to vaccinate all eligible personal care home residents in 135 sites across Manitoba, using focused immunization teams who visit locations in all regional health authorities. This campaign uses the Moderna and Pfizer</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Surveillance System</li> <li>Reports of adverse events following immunization are received by regional Medical Officers of Health from providers and the provincial pediatric hospital-based Immunization Monitoring Program ACTive (IMPACT)</li> <li>Regional Medical Officers of Health make recommendations based on these reports and forward them to the vaccine recipient's immunization provider and Manitoba Health, Seniors and Active Living.</li> <li>Manitoba is maintaining a dashboard with key vaccine-distribution metrics available</li> </ul>
--	--	--	--
January should have the capacity to store 1.8 million doses • The province is modelling vaccine roll-out and distribution projections under high-supply and low-supply scenarios	<ul> <li>vaccine roll-out on 27 January 2021</li> <li>The province is <u>collaborating with First</u> <u>Nations groups</u> to use the initial Moderna vaccine doses to address First Nations priorities, including vaccination in northern and remote communities</li> <li>Manitoba is currently in <u>Stage 1</u> of its vaccine roll-out and expects to be in Stage 2 as early as April (dependent on vaccine supply)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Focused immunization teams continue to visit all personal care homes and project to have given all residents their first dose within a three-week period while averaging 361 daily doses</li> <li>Focused immunization teams will next focus on long-stay hospital patients, supportive housing facilities, corrections facilities, shelters and transitional housing</li> <li>Individuals working at personal care homes are vaccinated at supersites using the Pfizer vaccine</li> <li>Currently one supersite for vaccine storage, administration, and logistics is in operation in Winnipeg with the capacity to vaccinate thousands of people every week</li> <li>A second supersite is set to open on 18 January 2021 in Brandon</li> <li>Currently, there are two supersites active (in Winnipeg and Brandon)</li> </ul>	
---	---	--	--
		Currently, there are two supersites active (in Winnipeg and Brandon) and plans for expanding to two additional sites, and expanding capacity	

				<ul> <li>in Winnipeg, on 1 March 2021, vaccine supply permitting</li> <li>On 27 January 2021, the two active supersites stopped taking new appointments due to supply issues</li> <li>The province is actively recruiting healthcare and non-healthcare staff to work in immunization clinics and offering a micro-credential course for people to expand their scope of practice to include the administering COVID- 19 vaccine</li> <li>As of <u>27 January 2021</u>, Manitoba had 2,007 staff working in vaccination centres</li> <li>In addition to new staff hired, some public servants have been re- deployed to work with the Vaccine Implementation Task Force</li> </ul>	
Ontario	<ul> <li><u>As of 12 January 2021</u>, over 144,000 people have received the Pfizer vaccine and over 8,000 have been fully vaccinated (received two doses)</li> <li>As of <u>28 January 2021</u>, 317,240 total doses have</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The provincial government's COVID- 19 Vaccine Distribution Task Force, with input from the National Advisory Committee on Immunization, recommends vaccination</li> </ul>	• The province has published vaccine administration guidelines and information packets for healthcare providers regarding the <u>Pfizer</u> and <u>Moderna</u> vaccines	• General <u>guidelines for</u> <u>vaccination sites and</u> <u>priority populations</u> <u>served</u> are available but public health units will determine how best to vaccinate various populations	• The <u>Pfizer</u> and <u>Moderna</u> vaccine administration guidelines for healthcare providers include guidance regarding adverse events

been administered and	for all individuals in	• The province maintains	Vaccine delivery began	following
55.286 people have been	authorized age groups	a website dedicated to	with and continues at	vaccination
fully vaccinated	without contradictions	COVID-19 vaccine	hospital-site clinics	• Adverse events
• There is a publicly	but due to limited supply	safety	Dyblig health lad maga	following
available delivery schedule	prioritization is initially	The remain as here	• Public nearmined mass-	immunization are
for the Dfizer vaccine	given to certain groups	• The province has	Vaccination sites	reported to Public
indicating between 50 000	The vaccine distribution	published a <u>what you</u>		Health Optario and
and 143 000 dosos will	plan for deployment of	need to know before	hospital sites) can	the Public Health
arrive per week for the	the Diver and Moderna	your COVID-19	provide vaccination with	A concy of Canada
arrive per week for the	the Phizer and Woderna	vaccine appointment	a focus on people	
Great area la a f. E. h. mar area	<u>vaccines</u> is divided into	information sheet	eligible for vaccination	• In addition, health
first week of February	three phases	• The <u>COVID-19</u>	due to their occupation	professionals are
• On <u>19 January 2021</u> , the	• In Phase I, residents and	Vaccine After Care	(such as healthcare	required to report
province was informed it	workers in congregate	Sheet includes a section	workers and essential	adverse events to
would receive zero doses	living settings that care	to note the time and	workers) as well as most	local public-health
of the Pfizer vaccine for	for seniors; healthcare	date of a patient's	adults once eligible	units who will
the week of 25 January	workers; adults in First	second dose	<ul> <li>On-site clinics can</li> </ul>	investigate and
and 26,325 doses for the	Nations, Métis, and Inuit	• The <u>Centre for</u>	provide vaccination for	provide support
week of 1 February	populations, and adult	Effective Practice has	remote communities,	<ul> <li>Guidance has been</li> </ul>
• The province expects to	chronic home care	developed the PrOTCT	First Nations reserves,	published for
receive 600,000 vaccine	recipients are prioritized	PLAN and other	and adult chronic home	managing
doses in February and 1.2	• In Phase II, essential	resources to aid in	care recipients	healthcare workers
<u>million</u> in March	workers (such as first	having discussions with	• Primary	with symptoms
• The province states their	responders and	patients about COVID-	care/pharmacy/public	within 48 hours of
capacity to handle	teachers); older adults;	19 vaccination	health clinics can	receiving COVID-
vaccination is double what	at-risk individuals and		provide vaccination for	<u>19 vaccination</u>
the current vaccine supply	their caregivers; those		populations prioritized	
is and could be ramped up	living and working in		due to biological factors	
significantly with minimal	high-risk congregate		(such as older age) and	
notice	settings; populations and		can provide vaccination	
• The province has	communities facing		to all remaining eligible	
published vaccine storage	barriers and at greater		Ontarians in Phase III	
and handling guidance for	risk (e.g., Black and		• Mobile sites can deliver	
the Pfizer and Moderna	other racialized		vaccination to	
vaccines including	populations); and all		populations who need	
information regarding	adults (in decreasing 5-		prioritization due to	
freezer setup, inspections	year increments) are		social or geographical	
monitoring of storage	prioritized for		factors, such as	
equipment, vaccine	vaccination		congregate-living	
monitoring of storage equipment, vaccine	prioritized for vaccination		social or geographical factors, such as congregate-living	

<ul> <li>transport, temperature excursion, and preparation for immunization clinics</li> <li>Deliveries of the Moderna vaccine are expected every three weeks and are initially prioritized for long-term care and high-risk retirement home populations</li> <li>Protocols have been established to move the Pfizer vaccine so it can be used in long-term care and high-risk retirement home settings</li> <li>The province adjusted vaccination plans due to delays in receiving the Pfizer vaccine</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In Phase III, all remaining eligible Ontarians can be vaccinated</li> <li>Phase I is estimated to run from December 2020 to March 2021, phase II from March 2021 to end of July 2021, and phase III from August 2021 onwards</li> <li>In light of recent vaccine supply disruptions, current vaccination efforts are targeted at those most at risk of morbidity and mortality</li> <li>The province intends to deliver first doses to all long-term care, retirement, and First Nations elder care home residents by 5 February 2021</li> <li>A First Nations and Indigenous sub-table was established under the provincial COVID-19 Vaccine Distribution Task Force</li> <li>Plans are being made to begin vaccinations in fly-in First Nations</li> </ul>	settings, urban Indigenous populations, and racialized communities Expanded delivery site plans for January 2021 include adding seven additional hospital sites and two public-health units from which doses can be mobilized for long-term care and high- risk retirement home populations Toronto Public Health will open the first municipal vaccination site for front-line healthcare workers on 18 January 2021 Expanded healthcare professionals (including nurse practitioners, registered nurses, registered nurses, registered nurses, registered nurses, registered nurses, and interns, and pharmacy technicians) are able to register and apply to participate in vaccination efforts via <u>Ontario's Matching Portal</u> For the Pfizer vaccine,
	begin vaccinations in fly- in First Nations communities, with the smallest and most remote being prioritized and ORNGE	<ul> <li>Ontario s Matching <u>Portal</u> <ul> <li>For the Pfizer vaccine, Ontario intends to maintain a <u>21–27 day</u> <u>second-dose interval</u> for residents in long-term</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

		<ul> <li>participating in the deployment</li> <li>Several thousand doses have already been delivered to remote First Nations communities, and in February ORGNE will lead Operation Remote to deliver vaccine to 31 fly-in communities</li> <li>The principles underlying the province's Ethical framework for COVID-19 vaccine distribution include minimizing harms and maximizing benefits; equity; fairness; transparency; legitimacy; and public trust</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>care homes, retirement homes, and First Nations elder-care homes</li> <li>Up to a 42-day second dose interval is permitted for all other groups</li> <li>For the Moderna <u>vaccine</u>, a 28-day interval is being maintained for all</li> </ul>	
Quebec	• <u>As of 14 January 2021</u> , Quebec has received	<u>According to the</u> <u>Quebec Immunization</u>	• The provincial government maintains	COVID-19 vaccination distribution is being	The <u>Quebec</u> <u>Vaccination</u>
	162,175 doses of the	<u>Committee</u> , five values	a <u>webpage</u> with	Immunization Program	<u>Registry</u> is an electronic databases
	115,704 doses	and objectives of the	COVID-19 vaccine	The Public Health	that keeps track of
	• As of <u>27 January 2021</u>	COVID-19 vaccination	safety, development,	Ethics Committee has	all persons
	238,100 doses of vaccines	campaign in the context	and role-out plans for	published a bulletin	receiving vaccines
	have been received and 232.986 have been	supply: beneficence.	• The Ministry of Health	stating that mandatory	vaccines received
	administered	equity, justice,	and Social Services	healthcare workers is	by Quebec
	• As of 19 January 2021, the	reciprocity, and non-	published <u>vaccination</u>	not justifiable	residents who may
	province was <u>targeting to</u>	The prioritization of	campaign guidelines for healthcare workers to	• The Ministry of Health and Social	be out of the
	by 8 February 2021 when	groups for vaccination is	update workers on the	Services has also	• The <u>Quebec</u>
	the current stage of	based on the following	priority-based	confirmed that	Immunization
	confinement is set to end	four factors: age,	allocation of vaccines,	vaccination will not	Committee has
		presence of risk factors,	their responsibilities	<u>be mandatory</u>	recommended real-
1			and roles during the		time and

<ul> <li>The Ministry of Health and Social Services is responsible for the centralized distribution of vaccines</li> <li>The ministry began with distribution of the Pfizer vaccine to more than 20 sites in December 2020</li> <li>Weekly deliveries of Pfizer and/or Moderna vaccines are anticipated from January 2021 onwards</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>profession, and hving situation</li> <li>Ten groups have been preliminarily identified to prioritize vaccine allocation <ul> <li>The first priority group includes vulnerable people in long-term care and intermediate resources and family-type resources homes</li> <li>The second priority group includes healthand social-care workers who have patient contact</li> <li>The third priority group includes people living in private retirement homes and others in similarly vulnerable living situations</li> <li>The fourth priority group includes rural and remote communities, where people often have chronic illnesses</li> <li>The fifth to seventh priority groups include people aged 80 years of age and over; between 70 and 79 years of age; and between 60 and 69</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>vaccination campaign, and resources available to them</li> <li>The Ministry of Health maintains a website dedicated to demystifying beliefs regarding the risks of vaccination</li> <li>The Ministry of Health and Social Services has published a common questions and answers regarding the COVID-19 vaccination campaign document intended for workers in the health- and social-care sectors</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>New groups of healthcare professional have been authorized to administer influence or COVID-19 vaccines during the health emergency period if they have received appropriate training from the ministry</li> <li>The Ministry of Health and Social Services' digital learning environment includes training related to the COVID-19 vaccination campaign</li> <li>The Quebec <u>Vaccine</u> Injury Compensation <u>Program</u> compensates people who have experienced bodily injury due to vaccination; however, COVID-19 is not currently on the list of diseases involved (but the program details are noted as being updated)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>continuous</li> <li>monitoring of</li> <li>vaccine efficacy be</li> <li>conducted to make</li> <li>quick changes to</li> <li>plans, if needed</li> <li>The Quebec</li> <li>Nosocomial</li> <li>Infections</li> <li>Committee has</li> <li>made</li> <li>recommendations</li> <li>and produced</li> <li>algorithms</li> <li>regarding how to</li> <li>manage patients</li> <li>and healthcare</li> <li>workers with</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>following COVID-19 vaccination</li> <li>Health</li> <li>professionals have</li> <li>been directed to</li> <li>immediately report</li> <li>the following</li> <li>adverse events to</li> <li>their local public</li> <li>health unit if there</li> <li>is any suspicion</li> <li>they may be</li> <li>associated with</li> <li>vaccination:</li> <li>events requiring</li> <li>medical attention</li> <li>or</li> <li>hospitalization;</li> </ul>
--	--	--	---	---

wood of and		o avanta landing to
years of age,		o events leading to
respectively		permanent
o The eighth priority		disability;
group includes adults		• events that place
younger than 60 years		patients' lives at
of age who have a risk		risk; and
factor		<ul> <li>events that lead</li> </ul>
• The ninth priority		to death.
group includes adults		
younger than 60 years		
of age without risk		
factors but who work		
in essential services		
• The tenth priority		
group includes the		
rest of the adult		
population		
• As of 19 January 2021,		
Ouebec had already		
reached a target of		
vaccinating at least 75%		
of people in priority		
group 1		
• The Ouebec		
Impunization		
Committee has		
<u>commended</u> that		
<u>recommended</u> that,		
given the initial vacche		
supply and high levels of		
virus circulation, one		
dose of the vaccine be		
initially given to all		
people in the first six		
priority groups		
o They recommend		
studying the level of		
lasting protection		
from one dose and		
determining if a		

	second dose is to be		
	given or if additional		
	doses are best		
	allocated to other		
	priority groups		
	• The <u>Immunization</u>		
	Committee released		
	another report about		
	second-dose		
	administration during		
	<u>a shortage</u> that also		
	reiterated the		
	recommendation to		
	use the available		
	doses to provide one		
	dose to as many		
	people as possible,		
	monitor signs of		
	effectiveness, and		
	provide important		
	second doses as soon		
	as possible		
	Second-dose		
	administration for those		
	in priority groups 1 and		
	2 is currently scheduled		
	for March 2021		
	• The Ministry of Health		
	and Social Services also		
	issued a directive on 7		
	January 2021 to use		
	available vaccine doses		
	to immunize the greatest		
	number of people		
	possible and not save		
	second doses		
	• The Quebec		
	Immunization		
	Committee has		
1	Sommittee mas		

recommended that <u>clo</u>	<u>Se</u>
helpers of vulnerable	
people (residents of	
long-term care homes)	
not be included in initi	al
priority groups (unless	
they belong to these	
groups for another	
reason); they	
recommend including	
them alongside essenti	al
service workers	
• The Ouebec	
Immunization	
Committee has issued	
guidance regarding the	
following domains to	
support the COVID-1	9
vaccination campaign:	
• Minimum age for	
administering mRN	A
vaccines	
<ul> <li>Counter-indications</li> </ul>	
and precautions for	
certain groups of	
people	
o Interchangeability o	f l
COVID-19 vaccines	
o Second-dose interva	ls
o Interactions between	1
mRNA vaccines and	
other products	
• Vaccination of peop	le
with confirmed	
COVID-19 infection	1
o Clinical	
manifestations	
following vaccinatio	n

New Brunswick	<ul> <li>On 14 December 2020, the first shipment of Pfizer BioNtech and Moderna vaccines arrived and the province received 1,950 doses</li> <li>To ensure optimal storage of the vaccine <u>new ultra- low freezer units</u> have been delivered to regional hospitals</li> <li>As of 11 January 2021, 7,732 doses have been administered and 1,862 people have been fully vaccinated</li> <li>The approved vaccines for Canada require two doses to be administered up to four weeks apart</li> <li>As of 27 January 2021, 14,257 doses have been administered and 2,839 people have been fully vaccinated</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The New Brunswick Ministry of Health created the <u>COVID-19</u> <u>Vaccine Rollout plan</u> identifying priority groups and the time frame for when each group will receive the vaccine</li> <li>December 2020 – March 2021 prioritizes long-term care residents and staff, healthcare workers with direct COVID-19 patient contact, adults in First Nations communities and older New Brunswick residents</li> <li>Spring 2021 prioritizes residents and staff of other communal settings (homeless shelters, correctional centres), other healthcare workers including pharmacists and first responders, and critical infrastructure</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The New Brunswick Ministry of Health website provides information for the general public on the province's vaccine roll- out plan</li> <li>Information sheets outlining how the <u>Pfizer BioNtech</u> and <u>Moderna vaccines</u> protect against <u>COVID-19</u> are linked on the website</li> <li>The website provides links for healthcare workers and the general public to <u>Pfizer's</u> <u>official vaccine</u> information site and <u>Moderna's COVID-19</u> vaccination site</li> <li>A press release from the Government of New Brunswick provided a COVID-19 vaccination update detailing the <u>allocation</u> <u>of vaccine clinics.</u> Vaccination clinics</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The website provides vaccine after-care sheets for Pfizer BioNtech and Moderna offering information on what to do after receiving the vaccine</li> <li>Immunization clinics follow the protocol set forth by the Government of Canada</li> <li>For greater efficiency, individuals in priority groups are being contacted directly to register for their appointment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Vaccinated individuals receive a record of immunization</li> <li>Chief Medical Officer of Health Dr. Jennifer Russell urged all citizens in the province to download the <u>COVID Alert App</u> to ensure its effectiveness in keeping New Brunswickers safe</li> </ul>
	<u>administered and 2,839</u> <u>people have been fully</u> <u>vaccinated</u>	<ul> <li>communal settings (homeless shelters, correctional centres), other healthcare workers including pharmacists and first responders, and critical infrastructure workers (power, water and sewer)</li> <li>In spring or summer 2021 the vaccine will be available to the remainder of the population</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A press release from the Government of New Brunswick provided a COVID-19 vaccination update detailing the <u>allocation</u> of vaccine clinics. Vaccination clinics were set-up within eight long-term care facilities, as well as clinics in Campbellton, Edmundson, Fredericton and Saint John for healthcare workers at high risk of</li> </ul>		

		<ul> <li>At a news conference on 21 January 2021, Premier Blaine Higgs responded to the province's reduced shipment of the Pfizer BioNtech vaccine stating that <u>vaccine clinics will</u> <u>have to reduce the</u> <u>number of vaccines</u> <u>administered</u></li> <li>As a precautionary measure the province had set aside a number of vaccines from earlier shipments to ensure that those who received a first dose would receive their second dose within the appropriate time frame</li> </ul>	COVID-19 exposure, including those working within regional health facilities, the Extra-Mural Program, Ambulance New Brunswick, and healthcare workers at First nations communities		
Nova Scotia	<ul> <li>The first shipment of Pfizer BioNtech vaccines arrived on 15 December 2020.</li> <li>The province received 1,950 doses</li> <li>As of 5 January 2021, 2,700 doses have been administered to front-line healthcare workers and long-term care staff</li> <li>Five storage sites have been developed with ultra-</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Nova Scotia Ministry of Health developed a <u>vaccine-</u> <u>distribution strategy</u> prioritizing groups throughout three phases</li> <li>Phase one will run from January to April 2021 and will include front-line healthcare workers who are closely involved in the COVID-19 response, residents, staff and designated caregivers</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Government of Nova Scotia website provides information about the <u>vaccine</u>, how its citizens are being prioritized and the three-phase distribution program</li> <li>The website links to the <u>vaccines and</u> treatments for <u>COVID-19</u> page on the Government of Canada's website</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Dr. Robert Strang, Nova Scotia's chief medical officer of health stated that the province is looking into different models of community- based clinics to ensure the timely delivery of the vaccine</li> <li>The Nova Scotia College of Nursing put out a <u>call for retired</u> nurses to help administer COVID-19 vaccines</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>To ensure the safe transport of the vaccine Dr. Robert Strang stated that preliminary tests were taken to determine the best possible methods for transporting the vaccine to confirm that it remained at a stable temperature</li> <li>In collaboration with the Dalhousie University Faculty</li> </ul>

Prince Edward	<ul> <li>low freezers to store vaccines safely</li> <li>During the first phase of the vaccination roll-out the province will be testing several distribution methods so that when larger amounts of the vaccine are delivered in phase two, the province will have established an efficient delivery method</li> <li>The objective is to deliver approximately 10,000 doses per day</li> <li>As of 27 January 2021, 12,286 total doses have been administered. From that total 2,709 are second doses</li> <li>Since 15 December 2020, 28,850 doses have been delivered to the province</li> <li>As of 25 January 2021, 9,668 doses have been held for a second dose</li> <li>Three more cold storage sites will be operational by the end of January 2021 in Amherst, Antigonish and Bridgewater</li> <li>The first shipment of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>of long-term care facilities, residents and staff of residential-care facilities, adult residential centres and regional rehabilitation centres, seniors living in the community who are 75 years of age or older, healthcare workers (doctors, paramedics) who are in direct contact with patients</li> <li>Phase two will begin in May 2021 and will include remaining healthcare workers and essential workers</li> <li>Phase three will begin in summer 2021 and will include individuals who were not prioritized in phase one or two</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Government of Nova Scotia's YouTube channel provides regular updates on the pandemic as well as allocation and distribution of vaccines</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Conditional licences reinstate retired nurses to work in COVID-19 vaccination clinics, assessment clinics, and assist with contact tracing and/or client follow- up</li> <li>Public-health nurses will</li> </ul>	• A telephone
Island	Pfizer BioNtech vaccines	Island Ministry of	general public about	administer the vaccine	number was made
	arrived on 15 December	Health developed its	the <u>vaccination status</u> ,	to individuals in phase	available to the
	2020	<u>COVID-19 vaccination</u>	<u>safety of the vaccine</u>	one	general public to

• The province received	distribution policy by	and the vaccination	o <u>Vaccinations are by</u>	answer any <u>health-</u>
1,950 doses	identifying and	<u>roll-out</u> are provided	scheduled	related questions
• The <u>total doses of</u>	prioritizing key	on the Government of	appointments to	<u>about COVID-19</u>
COVID-19 vaccine	populations	Prince Edward Island	ensure COVID-19	• In a weekly press
administered by 12	• A three-phase plan has	website	public-health	conference, Dr.
January 2021 was 4,226	been put in place	o <u>Information sheets</u>	measures remain in	Heather Morrison
(3,072 people have	• Phase one will run	<u>regarding the Pfizer</u>	place	urged all citizens to
received one dose and	between December	BioNtech and	• As more vaccines	download the
1,154 people have	2020 and March 2021,	<u>Moderna vaccine</u> can	arrive, <u>designated</u>	COVID Alert App
received two doses)	and will include	be downloaded from	<u>clinics</u> will be set up	from the
• Those who received the	residents and staff of	the Prince Edward	across the province	Government of
vaccine were front-line	long-term and	Island Government	to ensure people are	Prince Edward
healthcare workers with	community care,	website	vaccinated quickly	Island website to
direct COVID-19	healthcare workers at	• <u>Details on who is</u>		help prevent
exposure and staff of	higher risk of	<u>eligible to book an</u>		outbreaks
long-term care facilities	COVID-19 exposure,	appointment during		
• As of 23 January 2021,	seniors 80 years of	each phase of the		
7,117 doses have been	age and older,	vaccine roll-out is		
<u>administered.</u> From that	Indigenous adults,	available on the		
total, 5,225 are first doses	residents and staff of	Government of Prince		
and 1,892 are second	other residential or	Edward Island website		
doses	shared-living facilities,			
	and truck drivers and			
	other rotational			
	workers			
	o Phase two will take			
	2021 and June 2021			
	2021 and Julie 2021			
	anyone in phoney			
	from phase one			
	healthcare workers			
	not included in phase			
	one seniors 70 years			
	of age and older and			
	essential workers			
	• Phase three will take			
	place in summer and			

Tall 2021 and Will	
include anyone in	
priority groups	
remaining from phase	
two and the general	
public	
Chief Public Health	
Officer Dr. Heather	
Morrison announced	
that by 22 January 2021,	
all individuals living and	
working in community	
care and long-term care	
facilities had received	
their first dose, and by	
<u>16 February 2021 all</u>	
community-care and	
long-term care residents	
and staff will be fully	
immunized	
• On 19 January 2021, Dr.	
Morrison stated that the	
province remains on	
track with vaccinating	
frontline healthcare	
workers with direct	
exposure to COVID-19	
• At the same press	
conference. Dr.	
Morrison also stated the	
plan to expedite the	
process to immunize	
high-risk groups such as	
individuals over the age	
of 80, community and	
registered rotational	
workers, and truck	
drivers. Clinics will open	
up for these individuals	

			in late February and			
			early March			
Newfoundland	٠	<u>The first shipment of</u>	• The Newfoundland and	• The first shipment of	• The COVID-19	<ul> <li><u>Vaccination after-</u></li> </ul>
and Labrador		Pfizer BioNtech vaccines	Labrador Ministry of	Pfizer BioNtech	immunization will be	care information
		arrived on 15 December	Health developed a	vaccines arrived on 15	run by <u>public-health</u>	sheets for the
		2020	phased approach to	December 2020	nurses	Pfizer BioNtech
		• The province received	administering the	o <u>The COVID-19</u>		and <u>Moderna</u>
		1,950 doses	vaccine prioritizing	immunization plan		<u>vaccines</u> can be
		<ul> <li>Front-line workers with</li> </ul>	specific populations	on the Government		downloaded from
		COVID-19 exposure	• Phase one will include	of Newfoundland		the Government of
		were first to receive	healthcare workers	and Labrador		Newfoundland and
		vaccination	with high exposure to	website provides		Labrador website
	٠	As of 13 January 2021,	COVID-19, residents	information for the		• Attached to each
		5,291 doses have been	of long-term care	general public on the		information
		administered (3,796 have	facilities as well as	vaccines and vaccine		sheet is an
		received one dose and	long-term care staff,	administration and		immunization
		1,495 have received two	individuals 85 years of	safety		<u>record</u> to be
		doses)	age and older, and	<ul> <li>Information sheets</li> </ul>		filled out after
	٠	The <u>first shipment of the</u>	individuals living in	outlining how the		receiving the
		vaccine was sent to	remote and/or	Pfizer BioNtech and		vaccination
		<u>Eastern Health Hospital</u> as	isolated Indigenous	Moderna vaccines		• A question about
		it has an ultra-low	communities	protect against		the <u>safety of the</u>
		temperature freezer to	• Phase two will	$\underline{COVID-19}$ are		COVID vaccine
		store the vaccine	prioritize healthcare	linked on the website		has been added to
	٠	<u>Ultra-low freezers</u> will be	workers not included	• The <u>COVID-19</u>		the frequently
		delivered to the three	in phase one,	priority groups page		asked questions
		other hospitals so that the	residents of long-term	was updated on the		page on the
		vaccine can be delivered	care facilities as well	Government of		Government of
	•	As of 28 January 2021, <u>10</u> ,	as long-term care staff	Newfoundland and		Newtoundland and
		080 doses have been	and essential workers	Labrador website		Labrador's COVID
		administered. From that	• Phase three will	outlining how the		site.
		total, 8,343 are first doses	include the general	vaccine could be		• The website
		and 1,737 second doses	public	offered to individuals		links to the
	•	As of 28 January 2021,	• In a news conterence on	outside the phase one		Government of
		11,700 doses of the Pfizer	20 January 2021, Chief	priority group in an		Canada's website
		vaccine have been	Medical Officer Dr.	errort to prevent		providing more
		delivered to the province	Janice Fitzgerald detailed	wastage		detail about the
		and $4,800$ doses of	the distribution of the	o After completing		safety of the
			vaccine when it arrives	immunizations in a		vaccines

	Moderna have been delivered	to the province, stating that once the shipment arrives it is immediately distributed to regional health authority depots and then to communities where public-health nurses deliver the inoculations • Vaccinations are currently being administered in long- term care homes and communities along the Labrador coast	particular area, if it is a risk to relocate the remaining doses, they will be <u>offered</u> <u>to individuals in</u> <u>priority groups that</u> <u>follow phase one</u>		
Yukon	<ul> <li>As of 28 December 2020, the Yukon has received 7,200 doses of the COVID-19 Moderna vaccine</li> <li>During the first weeks of January, vaccines were administered to staff and residents of long-term care homes, people living at shelters or other group settings, and adults older than 70</li> <li>As of 18 January 2021, COVID-19 vaccine clinics will be available to priority groups and residents of specific rural communities in the Yukon, and community vaccination dates have been set for other communities</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Yukon COVID-19 Vaccine Strategy aims to vaccinate 75% of the adult population within the first three months of 2021</li> <li>Allocation will be determined in partnership with First Nation governments, municipal authorities and other stakeholders</li> <li>Priority will be given to four key populations, including:</li> <li>Staff and individuals residing in group- living settings for vulnerable groups or older adults</li> <li>Individuals working in healthcare settings</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Government of Yukon will provide accurate and updated information to Yukoners through news conferences and Yukon.ca updates</li> <li>A public awareness campaign will also be coordinated through radio, news and social media</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Government of Yukon's <u>Department of</u> <u>Health and Social</u> <u>Services is the</u> <u>designated authority</u> in delivering vaccines to Yukoners</li> <li>Public and primary- care nurses, community health- centre staff, Health and Social Services' Emergency Preparedness team, Community Services' Emergency Measures Organization, Yukon Hospital Corporation staff and other personnel will be central to administering the vaccine</li> </ul>	• <u>Panorama, the</u> <u>territory-wide</u> <u>electronic</u> <u>information system,</u> <u>will be used</u> to monitor timing for a second dose, identify vaccine uptake and record adverse vaccine reactions

across the Yukon as	and personal-support	• Vaccine clinics will be
well	workers	established at
• On 10 December 2020	o Older adults not	controlized locations for
the Minister of Health	living in group	COVID-10 vaccine coll
appounded that 50,400	settings	out
doses of the vaccine will	o Individuals	o The flu clinic in
be received by March	specifically those who	Whiteborse will be
0.75% of the population	are Indigenous living	used as a template for
in Vukon is expected to	in rural or remote	COVID-19 vaccine
he vaccinated during	communities	administration
this time period	Vaccine-distribution	
$\bigcirc$ As of 26 Jappary 2020	plans are in the process	14 000 Vukopers are
$\sim 115$ of 20 January 2020, more than $5.170$ does	of development for	aimed to be
of the vaccine have	individuals over age 18	vaccinated in a six_
been administered	who do not belong to	week period
Vaccince will be	priority groups	• Screeners and
vaccines will be     distributed to the Valuer	• As of 27 Jappage 2020	oreeters will be
and across Canada by the	• 115 01 27 January 2020,	nresent at all
Immunization National	Yukon healtheare cards	COVID-19 vaccine
Operation Contro for	must now present	clinics
	another valid shots ID	• Mobile clinics will be
O The Covernment of	and one proof of	used to reach
Vukop has partnared	tesidency document to	individuals in specific
with experts under the	receive vaccination	remote and rural
I Joint Task Force North	Residents of B C are	communities across
to plan for vaccine	Residents Of D.C. are     also aligible to reasize	the Yukon
distribution	vaccinations in Values if	• Vaccines will be
• Starting 1 Echanger 2020	they traigely receive	directly administered
• Starting I February 2020,	healthcare in the	to residents in long-
vaccino will be available to	territory	term care homes and
<u>vaccine will be available</u> to		to those who are
statt and residents in all		homebound
O These will be		• As of 27 January
administered by a		2020, there are 14
mobile health team		mobile clinics
		scheduled to visit
		rural and remote
		communities across
		the Yukon for

					!
				vaccine administration	
				• The Government of	
				Yukon will work closely	
				with First Nation	
				governments, NGOs,	
				community leaders, and	
				community health	
				centres to reach all	
				Yukoners	
Northwest	• As of 28 December 2020.	• The Government of	Residents of Northwest	• The Government of	• The territory will
Territories	Northwest Territories has	Northwest Territories	Territories will be	Northwest Territories	continue to use
	received 7.200 doses of	aims to immunize 75%	provided with updates	aims to work alongside	previously
	Moderna vaccine.	of eligible vaccine	to the vaccine strategy.	Indigenous	established
	• As of mid-January, an	recipients by the first	evidence or	governments, local	monitoring and
	additional 7.200 doses	quarter of 2021	recommendations	healthcare providers and	reporting systems
	have been delivered to the	• A phased approach will	through multiple plain-	community leaders to	to keep track of
	Northwest Territories	be used to administer	language materials	create a culturally	vaccine delivery
	$\circ$ 75% of the adult	the vaccine and priority	• An update of	appropriate vaccine-	and administration
	population is	will be given to high-risk	vaccine information	distribution strategy,	• All information is
	expected to be	groups including	and allocation in the	specifically for	submitted to the
	vaccinated by March	individuals who:	Northwest	Indigenous people, and	Chief Public Health
	$\circ$ As of 8 January 2020.	• Are seniors	Territories will be	to design vaccine clinics	Officer of
	all long-term care	• Have chronic	posted on a weekly	that meet community	Northwest
	residents and staff	conditions or co-	basis	needs	Territories before
	across Northwest	morbidities	• Local health personnel	Mobile-vaccine clinics	being forwarded to
	Territories have been	• Reside in remote	will be made available	comprised of eight	the Public Health
	vaccinated	communities	to community residents	healthcare workers and	Agency of Canada
	o As of 26 January	• Have a high risk of	to answer questions	support staff will be sent	The Canadian
	2021, <u>9,471 doses of</u>	transmitting or	about the vaccine	to all 33 communities	Vaccine Monitoring
	vaccine have been	contracting a severe	before mobile-vaccine	across Northwest	System will be used
	administered and 33	case of COVID-19	clinics arrive	Territories to assist local	to share and
	communities have	• Are residents of	$\circ$ A qualified health	health providers with	exchange
	been immunized by	Northwest Territories	professional will also	vaccine administration	information with
	clinics	but work outside the	connect with local	• Mobile clinics will stay	other jurisdictions
	• The Government of	territory frequently	leadership to provide	in the communities as	on adverse vaccine
	Northwest Territories will		up-to-date and	long as needed and will	events
	be working in joint		reliable information,	return for the second	
	partnership with the			dose	

	National Operation Centre and Joint Task Force North to plan for vaccine delivery Central points in Northwest Territories have been established to distribute the vaccine across the territory		as well as to answer questions Interpreters and translators will be available to provide accessible information in Indigenous languages	<ul> <li>All healthcare personnel across Northwest Territories must complete the Education Program for Immunization Competencies (EPIC) in order to administer the Moderna vaccine</li> <li>Healthcare providers are also required to participate in sessions about the historical experiences of Indigenous communities with communicable diseases, and strategies to provide culturally appropriate care</li> <li>Social-distancing precautions will be implemented at all clinics</li> <li>As of 22 January 2021, vaccine clinic dates for Yellowknife have been expanded from January 25 to 28 due to increased</li> </ul>	
				due to increased demand.	
Nunavut	<ul> <li>As of 30 December 2020, <u>Nunavut has received</u></li> <li><u>6,000 doses of the</u></li> <li><u>Moderna vaccine</u></li> <li>o As of 14 January 2020, vaccines have been delivered to two</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Priority will be given to elders 65 years or older and individuals living in shelters</li> <li>As of 14 January 2020, vaccines have been delivered to two</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Government of Nunavut has hosted some <u>public sessions</u> <u>since announcing the</u> <u>COVID-19 vaccine to</u> answer questions from the public</li> </ul>	<ul> <li><u>Elders' facility clinics</u> will be created to vaccinate seniors</li> <li>In these clinics, health staff will go directly to the site to administer vaccines</li> </ul>	• It is unclear what is currently in place to monitor, surveil or report vaccine outcomes and administration

<ul> <li>communities for administration to priority groups</li> <li>An additional 6,000 doses are expected to arrive by 1 February 2020</li> <li>As of 25 January 2020, <u>4,458 doses of the</u> <u>vaccine</u> have been administered</li> <li>Second dose vaccine clinics will <u>be available</u></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>communities for administration to priority groups</li> <li>As of 27 January 2020, vaccine priority has been expanded to include residents of Iqaluit who are 60 years or older</li> <li>75% of the total territorial population is expected to be vaccinated by March</li> </ul>	• ddddd	<ul> <li>The Department of Health will carry out a <u>mass-immunization</u> <u>program</u> to vaccinate individuals living in Nunavut, but further details on the program are not available</li> <li>As of 25 January 2020, additional clinic dates <u>have been added</u> to increase access to the vaccine</li> </ul>
Second dose vaccine clinics will <u>be available</u> starting February 1st and	territorial population is expected to be vaccinated by March 2021		have been added to increase access to the vaccine
starting February 1 <sup>st</sup> and February 8 <sup>th</sup> to residents of select regions.	<ul> <li>2021</li> <li>As of 14 January 2020, vaccine priority is also</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Vaccine clinics are open from 9 a.m. to 8 p.m.</li> </ul>
	<u>being given to</u> <u>individuals in the</u> <u>Kivalliq region</u> , where		• Individuals must book an appointment with their local health centre
	residents rely heavily on travelling south for medical care relative to other parts of the		in order to be vaccinated
	territory		

## Appendix 5: Abstracts for highly relevant documents

Note that the table below only includes the abstracts for the documents that we identified on page 1 as being highly relevant to the question.

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	
Guidelines	The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices' Updated Interim Recommendation for Allocation of COVID-19 Vaccine —
developed using a	United States, December 2020
robust process	
(e.g., GRADE)	Abstract
	What is already known about this topic?
	On December 1, the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) recommended that health care personnel and long-term
	care facility residents be offered COVID-19 vaccination first (Phase 1a).
	What is added by this report?
	On December 20, ACIP updated interim vaccine allocation recommendations. In Phase 1b, COVID-19 vaccine should be offered to
	persons aged ≥75 years and non-health care frontline essential workers, and in Phase 1c, to persons aged 65–74 years, persons aged
	16-64 years with high-risk medical conditions, and essential workers not included in Phase 1b.
	What are the implications for public health practice?
	Federal, state, and local jurisdictions should use this guidance for COVID-19 vaccination program planning and implementation.
	The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices' Interim Recommendation for Use of Moderna COVID-19 Vaccine - United
	States, December 2020
	Abstract
	On December 18, 2020, the Food and Drug Administration issued an Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) for the Moderna COVID-
	19 vaccine. On December 19, 2020, after a transparent, evidence-based review of available data, the Advisory Committee on
	Immunization Practices (ACIP) issued an interim recommendation for use of the Moderna COVID-19 vaccine in persons aged ≥18
	years for the prevention of COVID-19. Use of all COVID-19 vaccines authorized under an EUA, including the Moderna COVID-19
	vaccine, should be implemented in conjunction with ACIP's interim recommendations for allocating initial supplies of COVID-19
	vaccines.
	The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices' Ethical Principles for Allocating Initial Supplies of COVID-19 Vaccine —
	United States, 2020
	Abstract
	To reduce the spread of SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), and its associated impacts on
	health and society, COVID-19 vaccines are essential. The U.S. government is working to produce and deliver safe and effective
	COVID-19 vaccines for the entire U.S. population. The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP)* has broadly outlined
	its approach for developing recommendations for the use of each COVID-19 vaccine authorized or approved by the Food and Drug
	Administration (FDA) for Emergency Use Authorization or licensure (1). ACIP's recommendation process includes an explicit and

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	
	transparent evidence-based method for assessing a vaccine's safety and efficacy, as well as consideration of other factors, including implementation (2). Because the initial supply of vaccine will likely be limited, ACIP will also recommend which groups should receive the earliest allocations of vaccine. The ACIP COVID-19 Vaccines Work Group and consultants with expertise in ethics and health equity considered external expert committee reports and published literature and deliberated the ethical issues associated with COVID-19 vaccine allocation decisions. The purpose of this report is to describe the four ethical principles that will assist ACIP in formulating recommendations for the allocation of COVID-19 vaccine while supply is limited, in addition to scientific data and implementation feasibility: 1) maximize benefits and minimize harms; 2) promote justice; 3) mitigate health inequities; and 4) promote transparency. These principles can also aid state, tribal, local, and territorial public health authorities as they develop vaccine implementation strategies within their own communities based on ACIP recommendations.
	Framework for Equitable Allocation of COVID-19 Vaccine
	<b>Abstract</b> In response to the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic and the societal disruption it has brought, national governments and the international community have invested billions of dollars and immense amounts of human resources to develop a safe and effective vaccine in an unprecedented time frame. Vaccination against this novel coronavirus, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), offers the possibility of significantly reducing severe morbidity and mortality and transmission when deployed alongside other public-health strategies and improved therapies. Health equity is intertwined with the impact of COVID-19 and there are certain populations that are at increased risk of severe illness or death from COVID-19. In the U.S. and worldwide, the pandemic is having a disproportionate impact on people who are already disadvantaged by virtue of their race and ethnicity, age, health status, residence, occupation, socio-economic condition, or other contributing factors. Framework for Equitable Allocation of COVID-19 Vaccine offers an overarching framework for vaccine allocation to assist policymakers in the domestic and global health communities. Built on widely accepted foundational principles and recognizing the distinctive characteristics of COVID-19 vaccine.
	Abstract While there are still unknowns about the vaccine products, there are immediate actions that countries can take to prepare for COVID- 19 vaccines. This document provides a brief summary of pre-planning actions that all countries can begin working on immediately. These actions are highlighted in the COVID-19 Vaccine Introduction Readiness Assessment Tool (VIRAT) and are listed below. Supporting countries to prepare for COVID-19 vaccine introduction: To prepare all countries for COVID-19 vaccine introduction, WHO, UNICEF, Gavi, and partners are working together at the global and regional levels to (1) develop and disseminate adaptable guidance, trainings, planning and monitoring tools, and advocacy materials, and to (2) provide technical assistance and support to countries.
	Advice on phoney groups for coronavirus vaccination in ryorway
	Abstract

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	
document	The Norwegian Ministry of Health and Care Services has commissioned the Norwegian Institute of Public Health to organize the national coronavirus immunization program. As a partial delivery of the assignment, the Norwegian Institute of Public Health has established an external expert group in ethics and prioritization (henceforth: the ethics advisory group). This ethics advisory report describes the external group's working process and conclusions concerning the order of priority of the vaccines in the first phase of the Norwegian Coronavirus Immunisation Programme. The overall objective of this ethics advisory report has been to establish clear goals for what the Coronavirus Immunisation Programme should achieve, as well as to make recommendations for which groups should be given priority in the first phase of the program. The advisory group has proceeded from values, to goals and lastly to priority categories. The following five values were adopted as the core values to guide prioritization: equal respect, welfare, equity, trust and legitimacy. Five goals were then proposed and ranked in order of their importance: 1) Reduce the risk of death. 2) Reduce the risk of severe illness.
	3) Maintain essential services and critical infrastructure. 4) Protect employment and the economy. 5) Re-open society. Based on these values and goals, three categories for prioritization were established: risk factors for severe illness and death, the infectious situation and occupation. The ethics advisory group has suggested dynamic health priorities based on the Norwegian Government's long-term scenario for the course of the pandemic and recommended that risk groups and healthcare personnel should be prioritized in pandemic scenarios 1–2a, and that in the event of widespread infection (scenario 2b–), the priority order should instead be healthcare personnel, risk groups and critical societal functions. These three priority groups are in accordance with the values, goals and priority categories proposed by the ethics advisory group in this document. These are preliminary recommendations for the order of priority for coronavirus vaccines in Norway, and the ethics advisory group has taken into account that the recommendations may need to be revised if there are significant changes to the empirical evidence.
	Advice to the National Public Health Emergency Team (NPHET): The factors influencing, and measures to improve, vaccination uptake
	<ul> <li>A COVID-19 vaccine, when used in combination with public-health measures such as physical distancing, face masks, respiratory etiquette and hand hygiene, has the potential to reduce the burden of illness.</li> </ul>
	• With a number of COVID-19 vaccines currently under consideration by the European Medicines Agency (EMA), it is important to understand the factors that influence and the measures that improve vaccine uptake.
	<ul> <li>A rapid evidence review to identify factors influencing vaccine uptake found:</li> <li>A number of overarching themes, namely: perceived risks and benefits, knowledge, social influences and patient-specific factors (for example socio-demographic factors). These were found to act as either barriers or facilitators depending on the context.</li> <li>Perceived benefit from vaccination and recommendations from healthcare professionals were consistently found to be important facilitators for vaccination uptake.</li> <li>Interventions (including multicomponent interventions) can successfully increase vaccine uptake across a range of eligible groups. Studies included both individual-level and system-level interventions. These interventions vary greatly in terms of intensity. None related to mass-media campaigns.</li> <li>Consideration must be given to the resource requirements and the acceptability of interventions to the target population.</li> </ul>

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	
	• Given the novelty of COVID-19 and the societal experiences following months of public-health measures aimed to contain the pandemic, evidence from other vaccines (for example, seasonal influenza, routine childhood vaccines) is likely to have limited applicability to COVID-19 vaccination uptake, preferences and behaviours.
	• A vaccination campaign should build on what Ireland has already achieved in relation to COVID-19, which has largely been based upon knowledge and consensus rather than penalties and enforcement. Trust, communication and knowledge are core to informed decision-making.
	• Healthcare workers are an important at-risk population group as well as a recognized and trusted source of information and influence. In advance of any vaccination program commencing, healthcare workers should be provided with the necessary information to support them to make informed decisions for themselves, and to act as a trusted source of information for others.
	• Given the importance of social influence on an individual's behaviour, key opinion leaders in the community including GPs, community pharmacists, public-health nurses, and religious and sports leaders should be provided with evidence-based information and tools to build community engagement.
	• As with other successful vaccination strategies in Ireland, potential barriers to equitable access should be minimized. For example, taking account of the location and settings where the vaccine is provided.
	<ul> <li>Communication campaigns should focus on providing information on the following aspects specific to COVID-19 vaccines:</li> <li>vaccine technology and how it may differ from other vaccines (for example, mRNA vaccines);</li> <li>currently available evidence regarding safety and efficacy of the vaccines; and</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>rigour of the process used by the EMA to scientifically evaluate the safety and effectiveness of the vaccines to make a decision whether to approve them for use, and requirements for the post-marketing continuous evaluation of benefit and risk.</li> </ul>
	• Evidence in relation to the effectiveness and safety of COVID-19 vaccines obtained through ongoing surveillance should be made available in a proactive, open and accountable manner to maintain public trust.
	COVID-19 vaccine introduction readiness assessment tool
	Abstract
	support from WHO and UNICEF Country Offices. It provides a roadmap for countries to plan for COVID-19 vaccine introduction and a structured framework for countries to self-monitor their readiness progress against key milestones. Countries can use the VIRAT to identify areas where support may be needed.
	Fair allocation mechanisms for COVID-19 vaccines through the COVAX Facility
	Abstract The ACT-Accelerator was set up to contain the COVID-19 pandemic faster and more efficiently by ensuring that successful
	diagnostics, vaccines and treatments are shared equitably across all countries. Key to achieving that goal is the design and
	implementation of a Fair Allocation Framework. Equitable distribution is particularly important in the area of vaccines, which, if used
	Although the ACT-Accelerator will speed up development and production, initial supplies will be limited. If there is no international

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	
	<ul> <li>plan to manage vaccine distribution fairly, there will be hoarding in some places and life-threatening shortages in others. There will also be price spikes. So WHO advises that once a vaccine(s) is shown to be safe and effective, and authorized for use, all countries receive doses in proportion to their population size, albeit initially in reduced quantities. This will enable every country to start by immunizing the highest-priority populations. In the second phase, vaccines would continue to be deployed to all countries so that additional populations can be covered according to national priorities. In a snapshot, fair allocation of vaccines will occur in the following way:</li> <li>1. An initial proportional allocation of doses to countries until all countries reach enough quantities to cover 20% of their population; and</li> <li>2. A follow-up phase to expand coverage to other populations. If severe supply constraints persist, a weighted allocation approach would be adopted, taking account of a country's COVID threat and vulnerability.</li> <li>The document is a final working document and may be adjusted in the future as new information about the vaccines and the epidemiology of COVID-19 becomes available.</li> </ul>
	Flu vaccination: Increasing uptake
	Abstract This guideline covers how to increase uptake of the free flu vaccination among people who are eligible. It describes ways to increase awareness and how to use all opportunities in primary and secondary care to identify people who should be encouraged to have the vaccination.
	Guidance on developing a national deployment and vaccination plan for COVID-19 vaccines
	Abstract The Guidance on National Deployment and Vaccination Planning is intended to help countries develop their plan for COVID-19 vaccine introduction.
	WHO SAGE roadmap for prioritizing uses of COVID-19 vaccines in the context of limited supply
	Abstract Given the urgency and wide-ranging effects of the COVID-19 pandemic, SAGE has developed an approach to help inform deliberation around the range of recommendations that may be appropriate under different epidemiologic and vaccine supply conditions. To assist in developing recommendations for use of vaccines against COVID-19, SAGE proposes a Roadmap for Prioritizing Uses of COVID-19 Vaccines that considers priority populations for vaccination based on epidemiologic setting and vaccine-supply scenarios. This Roadmap builds on the WHO SAGE values framework for the allocation and prioritization of COVID- 19 vaccination
	Behavioural considerations for acceptance and uptake of COVID-19 vaccines
	<b>Background</b> On 15 October 2020, the WHO Technical Advisory Group (TAG) on Behavioural Insights and Sciences for Health held a special meeting with the WHO Department of Immunization, Vaccines and Biologicals to discuss behavioural considerations in relation to

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	
	COVID-19 vaccine acceptance and uptake. The discussion focused on a series of key questions around achieving high and equitable uptake of vaccines through evidence-based and behaviourally informed strategies.
	This report is the product of the discussion held by WHO TAG members during the meeting. It covers only the topics that were addressed at the meeting. Following the meeting, the considerations and recommendations made by the members were refined through an iterative process that involved drafting by a core group, literature review and rounds of feedback from all the members. The considerations made by the TAG members during the meeting that were not supported by published evidence were removed with the consensus of the members. The review process was finalized on 15 November 2020.
	The TAG members serve in their personal capacity and have completed a declaration of interest form that was subject to evaluation and approval prior to their nomination in July 2020. This meeting report represents exclusively the views and opinions of the TAG members and does not represent the decisions or policies of WHO.
Full systematic	Strategies to overcome vaccine hesitancy: A systematic review (pre-print)
reviews	Background: Vaccination, albeit a necessity in the prevention of infectious diseases, requires appropriate strategies for addressing vaccine hesitancy at an individual and community level. However, there remains a glaring scarcity of available literature in that regard. Therefore, this review aims to scrutinize globally tested interventions to increase the vaccination uptake by addressing vaccine hesitancy at various stages of these interventions across the globe, and help policymakers in implementing appropriate strategies to address the issue. Methods: A systematic review of descriptive and analytic studies was conducted using specific keyword searches to identify literature containing information about interventions directed at vaccine hesitancy. The search was done using PubMed, Global Health and Science Direct databases. Data extraction was based on study characteristics such as author details, study design, and type, duration, and outcome of an intervention. Results: A total of 105 studies were identified of which 33 studies were included in the final review. Community-based interventions, monetary incentives, and technology-based health literacy demonstrated significant improvement in the utilization of immunization services. On the other hand, media-based intervention studies did not bring about a desired change in overcoming vaccine hesitancy. Conclusion: This study indicates that the strategies should be based on the need and reasons for vaccine hesitancy for the targeted population. A multidimensional approach involving community members, families and individuals is required to address this challenging issue.
	Abstract Objectives: To evaluate the effects of interventions to improve vaccine uptake among adolescents. Search methods: In October 2018, we searched the following databases: CENTRAL, MEDLINE Ovid, Embase Ovid, and eight other databases. In addition, we searched two clinical trials platforms, electronic databases of grey literature, and reference lists of relevant articles. For related systematic reviews, we searched four databases. Furthermore, in May 2019, we performed a citation search of five other websites. Main results: We included 16 studies (eight individually randomized trials, four cluster randomized trials, three non-randomized trials, and one controlled before-after study). Twelve studies were conducted in the U.S.A., while there was one study each from Australia,

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	Sweden Tanzania and the U.K. Ten studies had unclear or high risk of higs. We categorized interventions as recipient-oriented
	provider-oriented, or health systems-oriented.
	Conclusion: Various strategies have been evaluated to improve adolescent vaccination including health education, financial incentives, mandatory vaccination, and class-based school vaccine delivery. However, most of the evidence is of low to moderate certainty. This implies that while this research provides some indication of the likely effect of these interventions, the likelihood that the effects will be
	in low- and middle-income countries where there are limited adolescent vaccination programs. In addition, it is critical to understand
	the factors that influence hesitancy, acceptance and demand for adolescent vaccination in different settings. This is the topic of an ongoing Cochrane qualitative evidence synthesis, which may help to explain why and how some interventions were more effective than others in increasing adolescent HPV vaccination coverage.
	Interventions to increase influenza vaccination rates of those 60 years and older in the community
	Abstract
	To assess access, provider, system, and societal interventions to increase the uptake of influenza vaccination in people aged 60 years and older in the community. We included three new RCTs for this update (total 61 RCTs; 1,055,337 participants). Trials involved people aged 60 years and older living in the community in high-income countries. Heterogeneity limited some meta-analyses. We assessed studies as at low risk of bias for randomization (38%), allocation concealment (11%), blinding (44%), and selective reporting (100%). Half (51%) had missing data. We assessed the evidence as low quality. We identified three levels of intervention intensity: low (e.g., postcards), medium (e.g., personalized phone calls), and high (e.g., home visits, facilitators). We identified interventions that demonstrated significant positive effects of low (postcards), medium (personalized phone calls), and high (home visits, facilitators) intensity that increase community demand for vaccination, enhance access, and improve provider/system response. The overall GRADE assessment of the evidence was moderate quality. Conclusions are unchanged from the 2014 review. Patient reminder and recall interventions to improve immunization rates
	Abstract To evaluate and compare the effectiveness of various types of patient reminder and recall interventions to improve receipt of immunizations. The 75 included studies involved child, adolescent and adult participants in outpatient, community-based, primary care, and other settings in 10 countries. Patient reminder or recall interventions, including telephone and autodialer calls, letters, postcards, text messages, combination of mail or telephone, or a combination of patient reminder or recall with outreach, probably improve the proportion of participants who receive immunization (risk ratio (RR) of 1.28, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.23 to 1.35; risk difference of 8%) based on moderate-certainty evidence from 55 studies with 138,625 participants. Patient reminder and recall systems in primary-care settings are likely to be effective at improving the proportion of the target population who receive immunizations.
	Community pharmacies as sites of adult vaccination: A systematic review
	Abstract Vaccine preventable deaths among adults remain a major public health concern, despite continued efforts to increase vaccination rates

Vaccine-preventable deaths among adults remain a major public-health concern, despite continued efforts to increase vaccination rates in this population. Alternative approaches to immunization delivery may help address under-vaccination among adults. This systematic

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	
	review assesses the feasibility, acceptability and effectiveness of community pharmacies as sites for adult vaccination. We searched five electronic databases (PubMed, EMBASE, Scopus, Cochrane, LILACS) for studies published prior to June 2016 and identified 47 relevant articles. We found that pharmacy-based immunization services (PBIS) have been facilitated by state regulatory changes and training programs that allow pharmacists to directly provide vaccinations. These services are widely accepted by both patients and pharmacy staff, and are capable of improving access and increasing vaccination rates. However, political and organizational barriers limit the feasibility and effectiveness of vaccine delivery in pharmacies. These studies provide evidence to inform policy and organizational efforts that promote the efficacy and sustainability of PBIS.
	Impact of pharmacists as immunizers on vaccination rates: A systematic review and meta-analysis
	Abstract To complete a systematic review of the literature on the impact of pharmacists as educators, facilitators and administrators of vaccines on immunization rates. We identified 2,825 articles searching the following databases from inception until October 2015: PubMed, EMBASE, Cochrane Libraries, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature, International Pharmaceutical Abstracts, and Google Scholar. Grey literature was identified through use of the Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technology in Health "Grey Matters" search tool. Content from relevant journals and references of included studies were also searched. Inclusion criteria were clinical or epidemiologic studies in which pharmacists were involved in the immunization process. Studies were excluded if no comparator was reported. Two reviewers independently completed data extraction and bias assessments using standardized forms. Thirty-six studies were included in the review; 22 assessed the role of pharmacists as educators and/or facilitators, and 14 assessed their role as administrators of vaccines. All studies reviewed found an increase in vaccine coverage when pharmacists were involved in the immunization process, regardless of role (educator, facilitator, administrator) or vaccine administered (e.g., influenza, pneumococcal), when compared to vaccine provision by traditional providers without pharmacist involvement. Limitations of the results include the large number of non-randomized trials and the heterogeneity between study designs. Pharmacist involvement in immunization, whether as educators, facilitators, or administrators of vaccines, resulted in increased uptake of immunizations.
	Vaccination programs: Requirements for child care, school, and college attendance
	AbstractThe Community Preventive Services Task Force recommends vaccination requirements for child care, school, and college attendance based on strong evidence of effectiveness in increasing vaccination rates and in decreasing rates of vaccine preventable disease (VPD) and associated morbidity and mortality. These findings are based on studies demonstrating effectiveness of vaccination requirements for attendance in a variety of settings, for an array of recommended vaccines, and in populations ranging in age from early childhood to late adolescence.Interventions to reduce inequalities in vaccine uptake in children and adolescents aged <19 years: A systematic review
	Abstract Background: In high-income countries, substantial differences exist in vaccine uptake relating to socio-economic status, gender, ethnic group, geographic location and religious belief. This paper updates a 2009 systematic review on effective interventions to decrease

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	
	vaccine uptake inequalities in light of new technologies applied to vaccination and new vaccine programs (e.g., human papillomavirus in adolescents).
	Methods: We searched MEDLINE, Embase, ASSIA, The Campbell Collaboration, CINAHL, The Cochrane Database of Systematic
	Reviews, Eppi Centre, Eric and PsychINFO for intervention, cohort or ecological studies conducted at primary/community-care level in children and young people from birth to 19 years in OECD countries, with vaccine uptake or coverage as outcomes, published between 2008 and 2015
	Results: The 41 included studies evaluated complex multicomponent interventions ( $n=16$ ) reminder/recall systems ( $n=18$ ) outreach
	programs ( $n=3$ ) or computer-based interventions ( $n=2$ ). Complex, locally designed interventions demonstrated the best evidence for
	effectiveness in reducing inequalities in deprived, urban, ethnically diverse communities. There is some evidence that postal and
	telephone reminders are effective, however, evidence remains mixed for text-message reminders, although these may be more effective
	in adolescents. Interventions that escalated in intensity appeared particularly effective. Computer-based interventions were not
	conclusions: Locally designed multicomponent interventions should be used in urban, ethnically diverse, deprived populations. Some
	evidence is emerging for text-message reminders, particularly in adolescents. Further research should be conducted in the U.K. and
	Europe with a focus on reducing specific inequalities.
	Effectiveness of interventions that apply new media to improve vaccine uptake and vaccine coverage
	Abstract
	immunization coverage is relatively high. However, in many countries coverage rates of routinely recommended vaccines are still below.
	the targets established by international and national advisory committees. Progress in the field of communication technology might
	provide useful tools to enhance immunization strategies. Objective: To systematically collect and summarize the available evidence on
	the effectiveness of interventions that apply new media to promote vaccination uptake and increase vaccination coverage. Design: We
	conducted a systematic literature review. Studies published from January 1999 to September 2013 were identified by searching
	electronic resources (Pubmed, Embase), manual searches of references and expert consultation. Study setting: We focused on interventions that targeted recommended vaggingtions for children, adelescents and edults and; (1) simple at increasing community.
	demand for immunizations: or (2) were provider-based interventions. We limited the study setting to countries that are members of the
	Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). Main outcome measures: The primary outcome was a measure
	of vaccination (vaccine uptake or vaccine coverage). Considered secondary outcomes included willingness to receive immunization,
	attitudes and perceptions toward vaccination, and perceived helpfulness of the intervention. Results: Nineteen studies were included in
	the systematic review. The majority of the studies were conducted in the U.S. (74%, $n = 14$ ); 68% ( $n = 13$ ) of the studies were
	experimental, the rest having an observational study design. Eleven (58%) reported results on the primary outcome. Retrieved studies applications ( $a = 1, 50$ ). You Tube videos ( $a = 1, 50$ ). Each $a = 1, 50$
	targeted websites and portals (n.4, 21%); software for physicians and health professionals (n.4, 21%); and email communication (n.1,

targeted websites and portals (n.4, 21%); software for physicians and health professionals (n.4, 21%); and email communication (n.1, 5%). There is some evidence that text messaging, accessing immunization-campaign websites, using patient-held web-based portals and computerized reminders increase immunization coverage rates. Insufficient evidence is available on the use of social networks, email communication and smartphone applications. Conclusion: Although there is great potential for improving vaccine uptake and vaccine

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	
	coverage by implementing programs and interventions that apply new media, scant data are available and further rigorous research -
	including cost-effectiveness assessments - is needed.
	Parents' and informal caregivers' views and experiences of communication about routine childhood vaccination: A synthesis of
	<u>qualitative evidence</u>
	Abstract
	The specific objectives of the review were to identify, appraise and synthesize qualitative studies exploring: parents' and informal
	caregivers' views and experiences regarding communication about childhood vaccinations and the manner in which it is communicated;
	and the influence that vaccination communication has on parents' and informal caregivers' decisions regarding childhood vaccination.
	We searched MEDLINE (OvidSP), MEDLINE In-process and Other Non-Index Citations (Ovid SP), Embase (Ovid), CINAHL
	(EbscoHOST), and Anthropology Plus (EbscoHost) databases for eligible studies from inception to 30 August 2016. We developed
	search strategies for each database, using guidelines developed by the Cochrane Qualitative Research Methods Group for searching for
	qualitative evidence, as well as modified versions of the search developed for three related reviews of effectiveness. There were no date
	or geographic restrictions for the search. We have high or moderate confidence in the evidence contributing to several review findings.
	Further research, especially in rural and low- to middle-income country settings, could strengthen evidence for the findings where we
	had low or very low confidence. Planners should consider the timing for making vaccination information available to parents, the
	settings where information is available, the provision of impartial and clear information tailored to parental needs, and parents'
	perceptions of health workers and the information provided.
	Strategies for addressing vaccine hesitancy: A systematic review
	Abstract
	The purpose of this systematic review is to identify, describe and assess the potential effectiveness of strategies to respond to issues of
	vaccine hesitancy that have been implemented and evaluated across diverse global contexts. Methods: A systematic review of peer
	reviewed (January 2007-October 2013) and grey literature (up to October 2013) was conducted using a broad search strategy, built to
	capture multiple dimensions of public trust, confidence and hesitancy concerning vaccines. This search strategy was applied and
	adapted across several databases and organizational websites. Descriptive analyses were undertaken for 166 (peer reviewed) and 15
	(grey literature) evaluation studies. In addition, the quality of evidence relating to a series of PICO (population, intervention,
	comparison/control, outcomes) questions defined by the SAGE Working Group on Vaccine Hesitancy (WG) was assessed using
	Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) criteria; data were analyzed using Review
	Manager. Results: Across the literature, few strategies to address vaccine hesitancy were found to have been evaluated for impact on
	either vaccination uptake and/or changes in knowledge, awareness or attitude (only 14% of peer reviewed and 25% of grey literature).
	I ne majority of evaluation studies were based in the Americas and primarily focused on influenza, human papillomavirus (HPV) and
	childhood vaccines. In low- and middle-income regions, the focus was on diphtheria, tetanus and pertussis, and polio. Across all
	Thirteen relevant studies were used for the GRADE assessment that indicated evidence of moderate quality for the use of social

Thirteen relevant studies were used for the GRADE assessment that indicated evidence of moderate quality for the use of social mobilization, mass media, communication tool-based training for healthcare workers, non-financial incentives, and reminder/recall-based interventions. Overall, our results showed that multicomponent and dialogue-based interventions were most effective. However,

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	
	given the complexity of vaccine hesitancy and the limited evidence available on how it can be addressed, identified strategies should be carefully tailored according to the target population, their reasons for hesitancy, and the specific context.
	Increasing appropriate vaccination: Client reminder and recall systems
	Abstract The Community Preventive Services Task Force recommends client reminder and recall interventions based on strong evidence of effectiveness in improving vaccination rates: (1) in children, adolescents and adults; (2) in a range of settings and populations; (3) when applied at different levels of scale - from individual practice settings to entire communities; (4) across a range of intervention characteristics (e.g., reminder or recall, content, theoretical basis and method of delivery); and (5) whether used alone or with additional components.
	Increasing appropriate vaccination: Home visits to increase vaccination rates
	The Community Preventive Services Task Force recommends client or family incentive rewards, used alone or in combination with additional interventions, based on sufficient evidence of effectiveness in increasing vaccination rates in children and adults. The Community Preventive Services Task Force recommends home visits based on strong evidence of their effectiveness in increasing vaccination rates. The task force notes, however, the economic evidence showing that home visits can be resource-intensive and costly relative to other options for increasing vaccination rates. Evidence on effectiveness was considered strong based on a body of evidence that included studies of home visits delivered to all clients or to those unresponsive to other interventions, home visits focused on vaccination alone or in combination with other health concerns, and home visits that provided vaccinations on-site or referred clients to vaccination services outside the home.
	Increasing appropriate vaccination: Standing orders
	Abstract The Community Preventive Services Task Force recommends standing orders for vaccinations on the basis of strong evidence of effectiveness in increasing vaccination rates among adults and children, when used alone or with additional interventions, and across a range of settings and populations.
	Increasing appropriate vaccination: Client or family incentive rewards
	AbstractThe Community Preventive Services Task Force recommends client or family incentive rewards, used alone or in combination with additional interventions, based on sufficient evidence of effectiveness in increasing vaccination rates in children and adults.A systematic review of interventions for reducing parental vaccine refusal and vaccine hesitancy
	Abstract Unvaccinated individuals pose a public-health threat to communities. Research has identified many factors associated with parental vaccine refusal and hesitancy toward childhood and adolescent immunizations. However, data on the effectiveness of interventions to address parental refusal are limited. We conducted a systematic review of four online databases to identify interventional studies. We

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	and a site is a second a literate with Ole Structure in A laise on Course of English and in successful (SACE) for all a literates to
	of studies. Intervention categories and outcomes were evaluated for each body of evidence, and confidence in overall estimates of effect was determined. There is limited evidence to guide implementation of effective strategies to deal with the emerging threat of
	knowledge.
	Increasing coverage of appropriate vaccinations: A community guide systematic economic review
	Abstract
	Context: Population-level coverage for immunization against many vaccine-preventable diseases remains below optimal rates in the U.S. The Community Preventive Services Task Force recently recommended several interventions to increase vaccination coverage based on systematic reviews of the evaluation literature. The present study provides the economic results from those reviews. Evidence acquisition: A systematic review was conducted (search period, January 1980 through February 2012) to identify economic evaluations of 12 interventions recommended by the task force. Evidence was drawn from included studies; estimates were constructed for the population reach of each strategy, cost of implementation, and cost per additional vaccinated person because of the intervention. Analyses were conducted in 2014. Evidence synthesis: Reminder systems, whether for clients or providers, were among the lowest-cost strategies to implement and the most cost-effective in terms of additional people vaccinated. Strategies involving home visits and combination strategies in community settings were both costly and less cost-effective. Strategies based in settings such as schools and MCOs that reached the target population achieved additional vaccinations in the middle range of cost-effectiveness. Conclusions: The interventions recommended by the task force differed in reach, cost and cost-effectiveness. This systematic review presents the economic information for 12 effective strategies to increase vaccination coverage that can guide implementers in their choice of interventions to fit their local needs, available resources and budget.
	Increasing appropriate vaccination: Community-based interventions implemented in combination
	Abstract The Community Preventive Services Task Force recommends community-based interventions implemented in combination to increase vaccinations in targeted populations, on the basis of strong evidence of effectiveness in increasing vaccination rates. The conclusion of strong evidence was based on findings from 18 studies that evaluated coordinated interventions to: increase community demand, enhance access to vaccination services, and reduce missed opportunities by vaccination providers In 13 of the 18 studies, the community-based effort combined one or more interventions to increase community demand for vaccinations with one or more interventions to enhance access to vaccination services. The task force notes that implementing manual outreach and tracking or home visits can be resource-intensive and costly, relative to other options for increasing vaccination rates. Such interventions should be used only when there is demonstrated need, and resources are available. Increasing appropriate vaccination: Vaccination requirements for child care, school, and college attendance
	Abstract The Community Preventive Services Task Force recommends vaccination requirements for child care, school, and college attendance based on strong evidence of effectiveness in increasing vaccination rates and in decreasing rates of vaccine preventable disease (VPD)

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	
	and associated morbidity and mortality. These findings are based on studies demonstrating effectiveness of vaccination requirements
	late adolescence.
	Do interventions containing risk messages increase risk appraisal and the subsequent vaccination intentions and uptake? - A systematic
	review and meta-analysis
	Abstract
	Purpose: There is good evidence that for many behaviours, increasing risk appraisal can lead to a change in behaviour, heightened
	when efficacy appraisals are also increased. The present systematic review addressed whether interventions presenting a risk message
	Method: A systematic search identified randomized controlled trials of interventions presenting a risk message and measuring risk
	appraisal and intentions and uptake post-intervention. Random-effects meta-analyses investigated the size of the effect that interventions had on vaccination risk appraisal and on vaccination behaviour or intention to vaccinate, and the size of the relationship between vaccination risk appraisal and vaccination intentions and uptake
	Delween vaccination fisk appraisal and vaccination intentions and uptake.
	Results: Eighteen studies were included and 16 meta-analysed. Interventions overall had small significant effects on risk appraisal (d = $0.161$ , p = $.047$ ) and perceptions of susceptibility (d = $0.195$ , p = $.025$ ), but no effect on perceptions of severity (d = $-0.036$ , p = $.828$ ). Interventions showed no effect on intention to vaccinate (d = $0.138$ , p = $.195$ ) and no effect on vaccination behaviour (d = $0.043$ , p = $.826$ ). Interventions typically did not include many behaviour-change techniques (BCTs), with the most common BCT unique to intervention conditions being 'Information about Health Consequences'. Few of the included studies attempted to, or successfully
	increased, efficacy appraisals.
	Conclusions: Overall, there is a lack of good-quality primary studies, and existing interventions are suboptimal. The inclusion of additional BCTs, including those to target efficacy appraisals, could increase intervention effectiveness. The protocol (CRD42015029365) is available from http://www.crd.vork.ac.uk/PROSPERO/
	Process interventions for vaccine injections: Systematic review of randomized controlled trials and quasi-randomized controlled trials
	Trocess interventions for vacence injections, bystemate review of faildonized controlled thats and quasi faildonized controlled thats
	Abstract
	Background: This systematic review evaluated the effectiveness of process interventions (education for clinicians, parent presence,
	education of parents [before and on day of vaccination], and education of patients on day of vaccination) on reducing vaccination pain,
	tear and distress, and increasing the use of interventions during vaccination.
	Design/methods: Databases were searched using a broad search strategy to identify relevant randomized and quasi-randomized
	controlled trials. Critical outcomes were pain, fear, distress (when applicable), and use of pain management interventions. Data were
	A palvases were conducted using standardized mean differences (SMD) and risk ratios ( <b>B</b> R)
	Results: Thirteen studies were included Results were generally mixed. On the basis of low- to very-low-quality evidence, the following
	specific critical outcomes showed significant effects suggesting: (1) clinicians should be educated about vaccine-injection pain
	management (use of interventions: SMD 0.66; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.47, 0.85); (2) parents should be present (distress
	preprocedure: SMD -0.85; 95% CI: -1.35, -0.35); (3) parents should be educated before the vaccination day (use of intervention

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	
	preprocedure: SMD 0.83; 95% CI: 0.25, 1.41 and RR, 2.08; 95% CI: 1.51, 2.86; distress acute: SMD, -0.35; 95% CI: -0.57, -0.13); (4)
	parents should be educated on the vaccination day (use of interventions: SMD 1.02; 95% CI: 0.22, 1.83 and RR, 2.42; 95% CI: 1.47,
	3.99; distress preprocedure+acute+recovery: SMD -0.48; 95% CI: -0.82, -0.15); and (5) individuals 3 years of age and above should be
	Conclusions: Educating individuals involved in the vaccination procedure (clinicians, perents of children being vaccinated, individuals
	above 3 years of age) is beneficial to increase use of pain-management strategies, reduce distress surrounding vaccination, and to reduce
	fear. When possible, parent presence is also recommended for children undergoing vaccination.
	Vaccination programs: Healthcare system-based interventions implemented in combination
	Abstract
	The Community Preventive Services Task Force recommends health care system-based interventions implemented in combination on
	the basis of strong evidence of effectiveness in increasing vaccination rates in targeted client populations. Based on findings from 37 of
	the 64 included studies, the task force further recommends a combination that includes the following.
	At least one intervention to increase client demand for vaccinations, such as:
	Client reminder and recall systems
	Clinic-based client education
	Manual outreach and tracking
	And one or more interventions that address either, or both of the following strategies:
	<ul> <li>Interventions to enhance access to vaccinations</li> </ul>
	• Expanded access in healthcare settings
	<ul> <li>Reduced client out-of-pocket costs</li> </ul>
	o Home visits
	• Interventions directed at vaccination providers or systems:
	o Provider reminders
	• Standing orders
	• Provider assessment and feedback
	Interventions listed as examples for each strategy were those that showed the greatest effect on vaccination rates.
	Economic review of immunization information systems to increase vaccination rates
	Abstract
	Context: A recent systematic review found that use of an immunization information system (IIS) is an effective intervention to increase
	vaccination rates. The purpose of this review was to evaluate costs and benefits associated with implementing, operating, and

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	
	participating with an IIS. The speed of technology change has had an effect on costs and benefits of IIS and is considered in this review.
	Evidence Acquisition: An economic evaluation for IIS was conducted using methods developed for Community Guide systematic reviews. The literature search covered the period from January 1994 to March 2012 and identified 12 published articles and two government reports.
	Evidence Synthesis: Most studies involving cost data evaluated (1) system costs of building an IIS, and (2) cost of exchanging immunization data; most economic benefits focused on administrative efficiency.
	Conclusions: A major challenge to evaluating a technology-based intervention is the evolution that comes with technology improvements and advancements. Although the cost and benefit data may be less applicable today due to changes in system technology, data-exchange methods, availability of vendor support, system functionalities, and scope of IIS, it is likely that more up-to-date estimates and comprehensive estimates of benefits would support the findings of cost savings in this review. More research is needed to update and address limitations in the available evidence and to enable assessment of economic costs and benefits of present-day IIS.
	The purpose of this study was to evaluate costs and benefits associated with implementing, operating, and participating with an immunization information system.
	Vaccination programs: Schools and organized child-care centers
	Abstract
	• The Community Preventive Services Task Force (CPSTF) recommends school and organized child-care-centre-located vaccination programs based on strong evidence of effectiveness in increasing vaccination rates, and in decreasing rates of vaccine-preventable disease and associated morbidity and mortality.
	• The updated CPSTF recommendation is based on findings from 27 studies in which vaccination programs in schools or child-care
	centres:
	<ul> <li>Provided vaccinations on site</li> <li>Were administered by a range of providers including school health personnel, health-department staff, and other vaccination providers</li> </ul>
	• Were delivered in a variety of different school and organized child-care settings
	<ul> <li>Delivered one or more of a range of vaccines recommended for children and adolescents, and</li> <li>Included additional components such as education, reduced client out-of-pocket costs, and enhanced access to vaccination services</li> </ul>
	School- and organized child-care-centre-located vaccination programs may be most useful in improving immunization rates among
	children and adolescents for new vaccines, and vaccines with new, expanded recommendations (such as the annual immunization for
	seasonal influenza) where background rates are likely to be very low and improvements in coverage are needed.

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	
	Does policy change to allow pharmacist provision of influenza vaccination increase population uptake? A systematic review
	Abstract
	to assess whether any effect differs for at-risk sub-groups compared with the general population. Methods: A systematic review was undertaken, adhering to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. Databases were searched during July 2019 and included Medline (Ovid), Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), Scopus and the Cochrane Library. Results: The largest difference reported in overall population vaccination rates associated with pharmacists undertaking influenza vaccinations was an increase of 10%; the smallest showed no discernible effect. The effect was
	graduated: pharmacists with the most autonomy demonstrated the largest rate increases. There was evidence of substitution by pharmacists, but the effect size was small. Conclusions: The effect of allowing pharmacists to administer influenza vaccinations appears
Rapid reviews	pharmacists, but the effect size was small. Conclusions: The effect of allowing pharmacists to administer influenza vaccinations appears positive, but small. Given that pharmacists are likely to provide vaccinations at a lower cost than doctors, there may be cost-savings to the health system and consumers. Future research may include evaluating pharmacist-provided vaccinations compared with (or in combination with) other strategies, such as advertising, to increase access and uptake across the range of providers, as well as ongoing research to address vaccine hesitancy. What is known about the topic? In Australia, and many other countries, community pharmacies provide an alternative and accessible option for influenza vaccination; however the effect on overall vaccination rates remains unclear. What does this paper add? This systematic review of the international literature suggests that pharmacist-provided vaccinations increase uptake; substitution of doctors by pharmacists may result in cost savings. What are the implications for practitioners? The findings of this study are important for health policymakers and health workforce researchers aiming to maximize population vaccination rates and workforce efficiency. In the absence of available Australian data, data from the international experience of legislating pharmacists to vaccinate against influenza are summarized and critiqued. Results can be used when determining the best health workforce and policy mix with regard to the vaccination workforce. <u>COVID-19: vaccination for women who are pregnant or lactating</u> <b>Abstract</b>
	Guidelines note the lack of clinical evidence on the safety or effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines in women who are pregnant, breastfeeding, or attempting to conceive. Two major US specialty societies recommend shared decision-making to best balance the risks of vaccination with the risks of remaining unvaccinated. They do not consider pregnancy or breastfeeding to be an absolute contraindication to COVID-19 vaccination. Most US medical centers that have taken a position on COVID-19 vaccination endorse the US societies' recommendations for shared decision-making and will offer vaccination to women who are pregnant or breastfeeding. Organizations in the United Kingdom consider pregnancy and breastfeeding to be contraindications to COVID-19 vaccination. <u>A Rapid Systematic Review of Public Responses to Health Messages Encouraging Vaccination against Infectious Diseases in a</u> Pandemic or Epidemic
	Abstract Public health teams need to understand how the public responds to vaccination messages in a pandemic or epidemic to inform successful campaigns encouraging the uptake of new vaccines as they become available. A rapid systematic review was performed by
Type of	Abstract and link to full text
----------	--
document	
	searching PsycINFO, MEDLINE, healthevidence.org, OSF Preprints and PsyArXiv Preprints in May 2020 for studies including at least one health message promoting vaccine uptake of airborne-, droplet- and fomite-spread viruses. Included studies were assessed for quality using the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) or the Assessment of Multiple Systematic Reviews (AMSTAR), and for patient and public involvement (PPI) in the research. Thirty-five articles were included. Most reported messages for seasonal influenza (n = 11; 31%) or H1N1 (n = 11; 31%). Evidence from moderate to high quality studies for improving vaccine uptake included providing information about virus risks and vaccination safety, as well as addressing vaccine misunderstandings, offering vaccination reminders, including vaccination clinic details, and delivering mixed media campaigns across hospitals or communities. Behavioural influences (beliefs and intentions) were improved when: shorter, risk-reducing or relative risk framing messages were used; the benefits of vaccination to society were emphasised; and beliefs about capability and concerns among target populations (e.g., vaccine safety) were addressed. Clear, credible, messages in a language target groups can understand were associated with higher acceptability. Two studies (6%) described PPI in the research process. Future campaigns should consider the beliefs and information needs of target populations in their design, including ensuring that vaccine eligibility and availability is clear, and messages are accessible. More high quality research is needed to demonstrate the effects of messaging interventions on actual vaccine uptake.
	Confidence and receptivity for COVID-19 vaccines: A rapid systematic review Abstract While COVID-19 continues raging worldwide, effective vaccines are highly anticipated. However, vaccine hesitancy is widespread. Survey results on uptake intentions vary and continue to change. This review compared trends and synthesized findings in vaccination receptivity over time across U.S. and international polls, assessing survey-design influences and evaluating context to inform policies and practices. Data sources included academic literature (PubMed, Embase, and PsycINFO following PRISMA guidelines), news and official reports published by 20 October 2020. Two researchers independently screened potential peer-reviewed articles and syndicated polls for eligibility; 126 studies and surveys were selected. Declining vaccine acceptance (from >70% in March to <50% in October) with demographic, socio-economic, and partisan divides was observed. Perceived risk, concerns over vaccine safety and effectiveness, doctors' recommendations, and inoculation history were common factors. Impacts of regional infection rates, gender, and personal COVID-19 experience were inconclusive. Unique COVID-19 factors included political party orientation, doubts toward expedited development/approval process, and perceived political interference. Many receptive participants preferred to wait until others have taken the vaccine; mandates could increase resistance. Survey wording and answer options showed influence on responses. To achieve herd immunity, communication campaigns are immediately needed, focusing on transparency and restoring trust in health authorities.
	COVID-19: Accessibility of mass vaccination Abstract Abstract not provided
	Covid-19: Models of mass vaccination in non-healthcare settings Abstract Abstract provided
	Abstract not provided

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	Covid-19: Communication to address concerns and encourage vaccine uptake
	ovid 17. Communeation to address concerns and encourage vacence uptake
	Abstract
	Abstract not provided
	Covid-19: Recruiting and training a skilled workforce to deliver mass vaccination
	Abstract
	Abstract not provided.
	Covid-19: Sale management of post vaccination recovery in non-nealthcare settings
	Abstract
	Abstract not provided
	COVID-19 vaccine deployment: Behaviour, ethics, misinformation, and policy strategies
	Abstract
	The rapid review focuses on behavioural aspects of deployment, suggesting government should begin to tackle these challenges
	immediately to ensure effective vaccine coverage. It makes the following policy recommendations:
	and provide clarity on the longer timescale of vaccination roll-out to build support and understanding
	Make vaccinations convenient and build on existing immunization programs, such as ensuring they are available at weekends and
	evenings at GP surgeries and other appropriate sites, where GPs could identify those with comorbidities, log vaccinations or issue
	reminders. Centralized mass sites and roving teams are likely to be less effective.
	tailored appealing visual and multi-language messages to reach diverse populations and mobilize local communities. Phased and
	ethical vaccine deployment, adopting transparent principles of priority groups and ensure these are sufficiently debated with the public
	to build understanding – starting with age- and comorbidity-based priority groups, health and care workers, but also look beyond those
	groups to high-risk occupations (e.g., teachers, bus drivers, retail workers) and vulnerable groups in crowded situations (e.g., homeless,
	prisons).
	Counter misinformation and fill real knowledge voids by empowering the public to spot and report misinformation, ensuring
	accountability for media companies to remove harmful information, and punish those who spread misinformation.
	Deployment and vaccination plan for COVID-19
	Abstract
	No abstract provided
	How might expectations be managed among groups not prioritised for early vaccination?
	ADSTRACT

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	
	Despite extensive searching of databases and grey literature no U.K. sources or recent sources were identified. One qualitative focus
	group study was identified which was conducted in Canada. The following key points were identified from the source: 1) clearly
	communicate the reasons why different groups have been prioritized for vaccination to help increase public support; and 2) ensure
	vaccination priorities are strictly observed to avoid bad sentiment amongst non-priority groups.
	COVID-19 and child vaccination: A systematic approach to closing the immunization gap
	Abstract
	The COVID-19 pandemic threatens to set back major successes that have been achieved in global vaccine initiatives. We conducted a
	rapid review and synthesis of the literature on immunization provision and utilization since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic. A
	total of 11 papers comprising peer-reviewed articles and key policies and guidelines, published between January 1 and June 15, 2020,
	were analyzed. Widespread disruptions of routine immunization and vaccination campaigns were reported, leaving millions of children
	worldwide at risk of measles outbreaks. We present an expanded model of the World Health Organization's Global Routine
	Immunization Strategic Plan (GRISP) action areas as a tool to help countries quickly adapt to immunization challenges in the presence
	of COVID-19, and close the emerging immunization coverage gaps.
	What might be effective methods of communicating with the public (including healthcare professionals) to address concerns about the
	vaccine and encourage uptake?
	Abstract
	No abstract provided
	How could post vaccination recovery (other than chinical management of adverse reactions and anaphylaxis) be safely managed in non-
	incarticare mass vacemation settings:
	Abstract
	No abstract provided
	Communicating with the public about vaccines: Implementation considerations
	Abstract
	No abstract provided
	Vaccination communication between healthcare workers and older adults: implementation considerations
	Abstract
	No abstract provided
	Effects of digital interventions for promoting vaccine uptake
	Abstract
	No abstract provided

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	
	What are the barriers and facilitators to individuals' willingness to be vaccinated for COVID-19? (not yet available online)
	Abstract
	• There is a growing body of evidence documenting individuals' willingness to receive a COVID-19 vaccine and the factors underlying their willingness.
	• Eighteen surveys conducted across the globe from March to July 2020 found individuals' willingness to receive a COVID-19 vaccine varied greatly. The proportion of respondents positively inclined towards receiving a COVID-19 vaccine ranged from 58% in a U.Sbased sample to 93% in an Indonesian-based sample.
	• Facilitators associated with increased willingness to receive a COVID-19 vaccine include greater perceived risk from COVID-19, various population characteristics (e.g., being male, older, educated, with higher income), and valuing healthcare providers' recommendations.
	• Barriers to willingness included low-perceived risk from COVID-19, being of Lantino or Black racial/ethnic background, and concerns about vaccine safety.
	• Barriers and facilitators mapped most commonly to several theoretical domains including: beliefs about consequences; social/professional role and identity; emotion; knowledge; social influences; environmental context and resources; and behavioural regulation.
	• Communication strategies may consider various behaviour change techniques to address determinants of individuals' willingness to receive a COVID-19 vaccine, including information about health consequences, social support or encouragement, prompts/cues, among others.
Guidance	Vaccinating Pregnant and Lactating Patients Against COVID-19
developed using	
some type of	Abstract
evidence synthesis	After an explicit, evidence-based review of all available data, the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) issued interim
and/or expert	recommendations for use of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine in persons aged $\geq$ 16 years for the prevention of COVID-19
opinion	(CDC 2020) and the use of the Moderna-1273 COVID-19 vaccine in persons aged $\geq$ 18 years (CDC 2020). ACOG recommends that
	COVID-19 vaccines should not be withheld from pregnant individuals who meet criteria for vaccination based on ACIP-
	they meet criteria for receipt of the vaccine based on prioritization groups outlined by the ACIP
	EAACI statement on the diagnosis, management and prevention of severe allergic reactions to COVID-19 vaccines
	Abstract
	The first approved COVID-19 vaccines include Pfizer/BioNTech BNT162B2, Moderna mRNA-1273 and AstraZeneca recombinant
	adenoviral ChAdOx1-S. Soon after approval, severe allergic reactions to the mRNA-based vaccines that resolved after treatment were
	reported. Regulatory agencies from the European Union, Unites States and the United Kingdom agree that vaccinations are
	dose. This position paper of the European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology (EAACI) agrees with these recommendations

there is no contraindication to administer these vaccines to allergic patients who do not have a history of an allergic
f the vaccine components. Importantly, as is the case for any medication, anaphylaxis may occur after vaccination in
history of allergic disease. Therefore, we provide a simplified algorithm of prevention, diagnosis and treatment of
actions and a list of recommended medications and equipment for vaccine centres. We also describe potentially
nogenic components of the approved vaccines and propose a workup to identify the responsible allergen. Close
ween academia, regulatory agencies and vaccine producers will facilitate approaches for patients at risks, such as
ng of the second injection or desensitisation. Finally, we identify unmet research needs and propose a concerted
amap towards precision diagnosis and management to minimise the risk of allergic reactions to COVID-19 vaccines
ine surveillance strategy
ne survenance strategy
rovides a high-level oversight of the post-implementation surveillance strategy that PHE will be implementing, in
h the MHRA, NHSEI and academic partners, to monitor and evaluate a future COVID-19 vaccination programme.
this surveillance will be reported as soon as they become available to the JCVI, to support vaccine policy
s, and to SPI-M to support dynamic modelling to understand the impact of the vaccination programme on the need
eutical interventions.
ol for COVID-19 Vaccine AstraZeneca (ChAdOx1-S [recombinant])
for the administration of COVID-19 Vaccine AstraZeneca (ChAdOx1-S [recombinant]) by appropriately trained
rk for COVID-19 vaccine allocation and distribution in the U.S.
his report is to offer an ethics framework that can be used to make decisions about the allocation of a SARS-CoV-2
e initial period of scarcity in the U.S., and make related suggestions about vaccine distribution. Our approach
such as medical risk, public health, ethics, equity, economic impact, and logistics. We note where our approach aligns
om the 2018 CDC guidance for vaccine allocation in a severe influenza pandemic, which is the most recent pandemic
from the U.S. government. The framework places emphasis on promoting the common good by promoting public
abling social and economic activity. It also emphasizes the importance of treating individuals fairly and promoting
who have been overlooked in previous allocation schemes. The framework includes a third othical value not often
the third elevate in the second of the second
of community ownership over vaccine policy –while respecting the diversity of values and beliefs in our pluralist
ider the ethical principles that should guide COVID-19 vaccine allocation and identify specific policy goals and

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	objectives that should be based on these athical principles. In this report, we compare the implications of our frequences to the prior
	CDC guidance for prioritization of pandemic influenza vaccine allocation, and suggest candidate groups who should be given serious consideration for inclusion in the top allocation tier when vaccine availability is limited, and in a second tier (those also prioritized before the general public) if/when more vaccine supply becomes available. We also discuss how COVID-19 vaccines should be distributed so as to reach these two tiers.
	The public's role in COVID-19 vaccination: Human-centered recommendations to enhance pandemic vaccine awareness, access, and acceptance in the United States
	<b>Abstract</b> Given the social and economic upheavals caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, political leaders, health officials, and members of the public are eager for solutions. One of the most promising, if they can be successfully developed, are vaccines. While the technological development of such counter-measures is currently underway, a key social gap remains. Past experience in routine and crisis contexts demonstrates that uptake of vaccines is more complicated than simply making the technology available. Vaccine uptake, and especially the widespread acceptance of vaccines, is a social endeavour that requires consideration of human factors. To provide a starting place for this critical component of a future COVID-19 vaccination campaign in the United States, the 23-person <i>Working Group on Readying Populations for COVID-19 Vaccines</i> was formed. One outcome of this group is a synthesis of the major challenges and opportunities
	associated with a future COVID-19-vaccination campaign and empirically informed recommendations to advance public understanding of access to and acceptance of vaccines that protect against SARS-CoV-2. While not inclusive of all possible steps that could or should be done to facilitate COVID-19 vaccination, the working group believes that the recommendations provided are essential for a successful vaccination program.
	Key aspects regarding the introduction and prioritisation of COVID-19 vaccination in the EU/EEA and the U.K.
	Summary This degree provides an everying of the law expects related to the initial phases following the introduction of
	one or more COVID-19 vaccines in the European Union and European Economic Area (EU/EEA) and the United
	Kingdom (U.K). The aim is to support but not define EU policy on COVID-19 vaccination.
	The key components for a successful national and EU-level COVID-19 vaccine deployment are:
	<ul> <li>a fobust COVID-17 disease surveinance system,</li> <li>post-marketing studies on effectiveness and impact;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>post-marketing studies on effectiveness and impact,</li> <li>active and passive monitoring of adverse events following immunization:</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>robust and timely vaccination coverage data;</li> </ul>
	• evidence-based decision-making:
	• legal and regulatory frameworks for vaccines deployment;
	• vaccine-delivery infrastructure and supply-chain management;
	• monitoring of vaccine acceptability and behavioural research;

Type of document	Abstract and link to full text
uooumont	• communication plans;
	• ethical and equitable access to vaccination.
	These components are those usually adopted when a new vaccine is available on the market and integrated into national vaccination schedules.
	COVID-19, caused by the virus SARS-CoV-2, is a new disease, and no vaccine is yet available for it, posing great challenges to the early development of national vaccination strategies. Patterns of exposure to SARS-CoV-2, as well as the incidence, burden and geographical distribution of COVID-19, will influence choices about vaccine deployment. There is currently a lack of certainty and knowledge about the characteristics of COVID-19 vaccines that could become available in the EU/EEA and the U.K., as well as remaining gaps in the scientific knowledge of the virus and the disease. Vaccination plans and strategies will therefore need to be adapted as more information becomes available.
	Once vaccines against COVID-19 are available, their supply is likely to be limited, at least initially. Supply capacity, both initially and over time, will thus determine vaccine usage and delivery prioritization. Deployment will need to be adjusted accordingly to promptly optimize vaccine allocation and ensure vaccine availability to those most in need.
	<ul> <li>The following non-mutually exclusive approaches for vaccine deployment can be considered when building vaccination strategies, taking into account different levels of vaccine supply and stages of the pandemic:</li> <li>focusing on selected groups (e.g., individuals at risk of severe COVID-19, essential workers, vulnerable groups);</li> <li>vaccinating according to age strata (e.g., all individuals above a certain age);</li> <li>targeting groups with an increased risk of exposure and onward transmission of SARS-CoV-2 (e.g. exposure in professional settings, vounger adults):</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>prioritizing geographical regions with high incidence of COVID-19;</li> <li>deploying the vaccine to control active outbreaks;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>performing adaptive approaches to be modulated according to circumstances;</li> <li>conducting a universal vaccination strategy.</li> </ul>
	Given the anticipated initial shortage, countries will need to identify priority groups for vaccination. A broader characterization of these groups will need to further categorize them into different priority tiers. The identification of the priority groups, and of the tiers within them, will depend on several factors, including the disease's epidemiology at the time of vaccine deployment, the evidence of risk of severe disease and of exposure to COVID-19, the preservation of essential societal services and equity principles, among others. In the process of developing an iterative approach for vaccine deployment with varying supply, mathematical modelling may aid public health experts in identifying priority groups for vaccination, and in assessing different scenarios

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	
	and the impact of alternative vaccination strategies. Lessons learned from the 2009 H1N1 influenza pandemic should also be considered.
	Overview of COVID-19 vaccination strategies and vaccine deployment plans in the EU/EEA and the UK
	<b>Summary</b> This report provides an initial overview of the national COVID-19 vaccination strategies and vaccine deployment plans in the countries of the European Union and European Economic Area (EU/EEA) and the United Kingdom (U.K.).
	This overview is based on results from an ECDC survey and meeting among members of the EU/EEA National Immunisation Technical Advisory Groups (NITAG) Collaboration in October 2020, and a survey undertaken by the Health Security and Vaccination unit of the European Commission's Directorate-General for Health and Food Safety with members of the EU Health Security Committee (HSC) in November 2020.
	This report provides insights into the main aspects of national deployment plans currently under development in countries. The information presented will continue to evolve in the coming weeks and months as countries further develop their vaccine deployment plans and more information becomes available on the different characteristics of various COVID-19 vaccines.
	<ul> <li>Initial considerations for priority groups and underlying evidence</li> <li>All 31 EU/EEA countries and the U.K. responding to the ECDC survey have started evaluating available information with the goal of establishing interim recommendations for first-priority groups for vaccination. As of 30 November 2020, nine countries had already published interim recommendations for priority groups (Austria, Belgium, Czechia, France, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Spain, Sweden and the U.K.).</li> </ul>
	• Countries responding to the ECDC and the HSC surveys and those that have already published recommendations have primarily prioritized elderly people (with various lower age cut-off across countries), healthcare workers and those persons with certain comorbidities. Some countries have started to prioritize further among the priority groups selected for first vaccination, as it is probable that vaccine doses will be in limited supply in the initial phase of the vaccination campaigns.
	<ul> <li>Prioritization groups may also be modified as more evidence becomes available about the COVID-19 disease epidemiology and characteristics of vaccines, including information on vaccine safety and efficacy by age and target group.</li> <li>Model Wine Vifference in figure information of the vaccine safety and efficacy by age and target group.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Modelling different options for vaccine efficacy for different outcomes (including severe disease, mild disease, infection and infectivity, and death) and vaccine uptake in EU populations, as well as different scenarios for prioritization, is an important step that will inform decisions on vaccination strategies and estimate their possible impact.</li> </ul>
	Logistical considerations

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	
	• For the roll-out of future COVID-19 vaccines, many countries will make use of existing vaccination structures and delivery services
	as much as possible. Responses from the HSC survey showed that some countries were planning to train more people to carry out
	the vaccinations.
	• Several countries indicated that there is a need to procure additional equipment for the cold-chain requirements due to the ultra-low
	temperature required for some of the COVID-19 vaccines.
	• Most countries reported that COVID-19 vaccines will be provided free of charge for their citizens.
	Monitoring systems for vaccine coverage, safety, effectiveness, and acceptance
	Electronic immunization registries for the monitoring of individual and population-level vaccine uptake are available at the national or
	subnational level in 14 countries, and developments towards such national systems are ongoing in 10 further countries. Two countries
	have an insurance-based system that will be used for the monitoring of vaccine uptake. Documentation regarding which vaccine
	product has been administered and when is key to the success of vaccination programs. Such documentation is also important for
	monitoring any safety signals, such as an adverse event following immunization (AEFI) that may arise for any of the vaccine products.
	Information in these registries could serve as the basis for immunisatzon cards.
	Limitation of the information collected
	The information collected in this report is not intended to be exhaustive. Most of the countries are currently in the development phase
	of their deployment plans, and the questions in the surveys were also mostly open-ended in nature, so some information may not have
	been captured. ECDC is planning to issue a new interim report in January.
	Joint Committee on Vaccination and Immunisation: advice on priority groups for COVID-19 vaccination, 30 December 2020
	Abstract
	No abstract provided
	COVID-19 vaccination programme: Information for healthcare practitioners
	Abstract
	No abstract provided
Protocols for	COVID 19 Vaccine hesitancy: A protocol for systematic review and meta-analysis
reviews that are	
underway	Abstract
	The main objective of the study is to determine the pooled hesitancy rate for COVID 19 vaccine uptake globally.
	Review Questions:
	1. What are the proportions of people who are hesitant to take the COVID 19 vaccine globally?
	2. How do race, religion, location, occupation, socioeconomic class, level of education, and gender influence COVID 19 hesitancy
	globally?
	3. How do misinformation and lack of information influence COVID 19 hesitancy globally?
	4. How does social media influence COVID 19 vaccine hesitancy?

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	
	5. How do safety concerns and adverse events influence COVID 19 vaccine hesitancy?
	6. With the pooled hesitancy rate globally, is it possible to achieve herd immunity by vaccination?
	Factors associated with the uptake of COVID-19 vaccines among the general population: A systematic review and meta-analysis
	Abstract
	The proposed review aims to answer the following questions: 1) what sociodemographic factors would be associated with the uptake of
	COVID-19 vaccines? 2) what theory-based psychological factors would be associated with the uptake of COVID-19 vaccines? 3) what
	environmental factors would be associated with the uptake of COVID-19 vaccines?
	Exploring the barriers to vaccine acceptance in racial and ethnic minorities: a systematic review of the literature
	Abstract
	What are the barriers to vaccine acceptance amongst racial and ethnic minority groups?
	What strategies have been adopted to counter these barriers?
	How effective have strategies to encourage vaccination amongst racial and ethnic minority groups been?
Single studies in	Examining the effect of information channel on COVID-19 vaccine acceptance
areas where no	
reviews were	Abstract
identified	Hesitancy towards the COVID-19 vaccine remains high among the US population. Now that the vaccine is available to priority
	populations, it is critical to convince those that are hesitant to take the vaccine. Public health communication about the vaccine as well
	as misinformation on the vaccine occurs through a variety of different information channels. Some channels of information are more
	commonly found to spread misinformation. Given the expansive information environment, we sought to characterize the use of
	different media channels for COVID-19 vaccine information and determine the relationship between information channel and vaccine
	acceptance. We conducted a convenience sample of vaccine priority groups (N=2,650) between December 13 and 23, 2020 and
	conducted bivariate chi-squared tests and multivariable multinomial logistic regression analyses to determine the relative impact of
	channels of information on vaccine acceptance. We found traditional channels of information, especially National TV, National
	newspapers, and local newspapers increased the relative risk of vaccine acceptance. Individuals who received information from
	traditional media compared to social media or both traditional and social media were most likely to accept the vaccine. The
	implications of this study suggest social media channels have a role to play in educating the hesitant to accept the vaccine, while
	traditional media channels should continue to promote data-driven and informed vaccine content to their viewers.
	The effect of frames on COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy
	In order to control the spread of infectious diseases such as COVID-19, it will be important to develop a communication strategy to
	counteract vaccine nesitancy. This paper reports the results of a survey experiment testing the impacts of several types of message
	content: the safety and efficacy of the vaccine itself, the likelihood that others will take the vaccine, and the possible role of politics in
	promoting the vaccine. In an original survey of 1,123 American M-Turk respondents, we provided six different information conditions

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	
	suggesting the safety and efficacy of the vaccine, the lack of safety/efficacy of the vaccine, the suggestion that most others would take the vaccine, the suggestion that most others would not take the vaccine, the suggestion that the vaccine is being promoted to gain greater control over individual freedom, and the suggestion that it is being rushed for political motivations. We compared the responses for those in the treatment groups with a control group who received no additional information. In comparison to the control group, those who received information about the safety/efficacy of the vaccine were more likely to report that they would take the vaccine, those who received information that others were reluctant to take the vaccine were more likely to report that they themselves would not take it, that other Americans would not take it, and that it was not important to get the vaccine, and those who received information about political influences on vaccine development expressed hesitancy to take it. Communication of effective messages about the vaccine will be essential for public-health agencies that seek to promote vaccine take-up.
	Abstract Objective To provide global, regional, and national estimates of target population sizes for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccination to inform country-specific immunization strategies on a global scale.
	Design Descriptive study.
	Setting 194 member states of the World Health Organization.
	Population Target populations for COVID-19 vaccination based on country-specific characteristics and vaccine objectives (maintaining essential core societal services; reducing severe COVID-19; reducing symptomatic infections and stopping virus transmission).
	Main outcome measure Size of target populations for COVID-19 vaccination. Estimates use country-specific data on population sizes stratified by occupation, age, risk factors for COVID-19 severity, vaccine acceptance, and global vaccine production. These data were derived from a multipronged search of official websites, media sources, and academic journal articles.
	Results Target population sizes for COVID-19 vaccination vary markedly by vaccination goal and geographical region. Differences in demographic structure, presence of underlying conditions, and number of essential workers lead to highly variable estimates of target populations at regional and country levels. In particular, Europe has the highest share of essential workers (63.0 million, 8.9%) and people with underlying conditions (265.9 million, 37.4%); these two categories are essential in maintaining societal functions and reducing severe COVID-19, respectively. In contrast, Southeast Asia has the highest share of healthy adults (777.5 million, 58.9%), a

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	key target for reducing community transmission. Vaccine hegitancy will probably impact future COVID 19 vaccination programs:
	based on a literature review, 68.4% (95% confidence interval 64.2% to 72.6%) of the global population is willing to receive COVID-19 vaccination. Therefore, the adult population willing to be vaccinated is estimated at 3.7 billion (95% confidence interval 3.2 to 4.1
	billion).
	Conclusions The distribution of target groups at country and regional levels highlights the importance of designing an equitable and efficient plan
	for vaccine prioritization and allocation. Each country should evaluate different strategies and allocation schemes based on local
	epidemiology, underlying population health, projections of available vaccine doses, and preference for vaccination strategies that favour
	direct or indirect benefits.
	Reserving coronavirus disease 2019 vaccines for global access: cross sectional analysis
	Abstract
	Objective
	To analyze the premarket purchase commitments for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccines from leading manufacturers to recipient countries.
	Design
	Cross sectional analysis.
	Data sources
	World Health Organization's draft landscape of COVID-19 candidate vaccines, along with company disclosures to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, company and foundation press releases, government press releases, and media reports.
	Eligibility criteria and data analysis
	Premarket purchase commitments for COVID-19 vaccines, publicly announced by 15 November 2020.
	Main outcome measures
	Premarket purchase commitments for COVID-19 vaccine candidates and price per course, vaccine platform, and stage of research and development, as well as procurement agent and recipient country.
	Results
	As of 15 November 2020, several countries have made premarket purchase commitments totaling 7.48 billion doses, or 3.76 billion
	courses, of COVID-19 vaccines from 13 vaccine manufacturers. Just over half (51%) of these doses will go to high-income countries,
	cases globally (11.02 million cases), whereas Japan, Australia and Canada have collectively reserved more than one billion doses but do not account for even 1% of current global COVID-19 cases globally (0.45 million cases). If these vaccine candidates were all
	not account for even 17% of culton global GOVIES 17 cases globally (0.15 million cases). If these vaccine calledates were all

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	
	successfully scaled, the total projected manufacturing capacity would be 5.96 billion courses by the end of 2021. Up to 40% (or 2.34 billion) of vaccine courses from these manufacturers might potentially remain for low- and middle-income countries – less if high-income countries exercise scale-up options and more if high-income countries share what they have procured. Prices for these vaccines vary by more than 10-fold, from \$6.00 ( $f$ 4.50; €4.90) per course to as high as \$74 per course. With broad country participation apart from the U.S. and Russia, the COVAX Facility – the vaccines pillar of the World Health Organization's Access to COVID-19 Tools (ACT) Accelerator – has secured at least 500 million doses, or 250 million courses, and financing for half of the targeted two billion doses by the end of 2021 in efforts to support globally coordinated access to COVID-19 vaccines.
	Conclusions This study provides an overview of how high-income countries have secured future supplies of COVID-19 vaccines, but that access for the rest of the world is uncertain. Governments and manufacturers might provide much needed assurances for equitable allocation of COVID-19 vaccines through greater transparency and accountability over these arrangements. Inadequate intention to receive COVID-19 vaccination: Indicators for public health messaging needed to improve uptake in UK
	Abstract Data promising effective COVID-19 vaccines have accelerated the U.K.'s mass-vaccination program. The U.K. public's attitudes to the government's prioritization list are unknown, and achieving critical population immunity will require the remaining majority to accept both vaccination and the delay in access of up to a year or more. This cross-sectional observational study sent an online questionnaire to registrants of the U.K. National Health Service's largest personal health record. Question items covered willingness for COVID-19 vaccine uptake and attitudes to prioritization. Among 9,122 responses, 71.5% indicated wanting a vaccine, below what previous modelling indicated as critical levels for progressing towards herd immunity. 22.7% disagreed with the prioritization list, though 70.3% were against being able to expedite vaccination through payment. Age and female gender were, respectively, strongly positively and negatively associated with wanting a vaccine. Teachers and Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic (BAME) groups were most cited by respondents for prioritization. This study identifies factors to inform the public-health messaging critical to improving uptake. <u>A threat- and efficacy-based framework to understand confidence in vaccines among the public-health workforce</u>
	<b>Abstract</b> The Extended Parallel Process Model (EPPM) is an established threat- and efficacy-based behavioural framework for understanding health behaviours in the face of uncertain risk. A growing body of research has applied this model to understand these behaviours among the public-health workforce. In this manuscript, we aim to explore the application of this framework to the public-health workforce, with a novel focus on their confidence in vaccines and perceptions of vaccine injury-compensation mechanisms. We characterize specific connections between EPPM's threat and efficacy dimensions and relevant vaccine policy frameworks, and highlight how these connections can usefully inform training interventions for public-health workers to enhance their confidence in these vaccine policy measures.
	Update on vaccine liability in the United States: Presentation at the national vaccine program office workshop on strengthening the supply of routinely recommended vaccines in the United States, 12 February 2002

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	
	Abstract Two decades ago, a liability crisis brought on by concerns about the safety of diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis vaccine led to supply shortages and calls for rationing of the vaccine. Vaccine prices skyrocketed, and research on new products was threatened. In response, Congress created the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, which is tort reform legislation designed to compensate individuals quickly, easily, and generously. Since 1988, the Vaccine Injury Compensation Program has stabilized the marketplace, as evidenced by high immunization rates, stable pricing, and an increasing number of vaccine candidates in development. Although current vaccine shortages do not appear to be related to issues of liability, a new wave of tort litigation alleging that some vaccines cause autism has led to speculation that history could repeat itself.
	Should the vaccine injury compensation program be expanded to cover adults?
	<b>Abstract</b> In 1996, the National Vaccine Advisory Committee (NVAC) asked for a review of the pros and cons of including adult influenza and pneumococcal vaccines in the Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (VICP). The authors, as staff to the subcommittees charged with undertaking this assessment, looked at the following questions: (a) would inclusion in VICP of these two vaccines, used primarily by adults, increase adult vaccination levels?; (b) is this federal involvement warranted based on the liability burden for these vaccines?; (c) does the risk of adverse events following vaccinations warrant inclusion of these vaccines?; and (d) is there consensus among stakeholders favouring their inclusion? To address these questions, the authors reviewed information on adult vaccines, including data on lawsuits filed and reports of injuries, and sought input from interested groups. They found no evidence that the use of influenza and pneumococcal vaccines would increase if they were included in VICP. They found a low liability burden for these vaccines that serious events were rare, and that no consensus existed among stakeholders. After considering the staff report, NVAC chose, in 1996, not to advise the Department of Health and Human Services to include adult vaccines in VICP.
	Abstract The global spread of COVID-19 has created an urgent need for a safe and effective vaccine. However, even if a safe and medically effective vaccine is developed, hesitancy by citizens to receive it would undercut its effectiveness as a tool for limiting the spread of COVID-19.(1,2,3) A potential driver of hesitancy in the United States is the politicization of a potential vaccine, including when one might be approved with respect to the presidential election and which public figures are endorsing its safety and efficacy.(4,5) Using a pair of randomized survey experiments, we show that announcing approval of a COVID-19 vaccine one week before the election compared to one week after considerably reduces both beliefs about its safety and efficacy and willingness to receive it. However, endorsement by Dr. Anthony Fauci increases reported beliefs about safety and willingness to receive a vaccine among all partisan sub- groups. Further, an endorsement by Dr. Fauci increased uptake and confidence in safety even if a vaccine receives pre-election approval. The results here suggest that perceptions of political influence in COVID-19-vaccine approval could significantly undermine the viability of a vaccine as a strategy to end the pandemic. <u>A global survey of potential acceptance of a COVID-19 vaccine</u>
	Abstract

Type of document	Abstract and link to full text
document	Several coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccines are currently in human trials. In June 2020, we surveyed 13,426 people in 19 countries to determine potential acceptance rates and factors influencing acceptance of a COVID-19 vaccine. Of these, 71.5% of participants reported that they would be very or somewhat likely to take a COVID-19 vaccine, and 61.4% reported that they would accept their employer's recommendation to do so. Differences in acceptance rates ranged from almost 90% (in China) to less than 55% (in Russia). Respondents reporting higher levels of trust in information from government sources were more likely to accept a vaccine and take their employer's advice to do so.
	Influences on Attitudes Regarding Potential COVID-19 Vaccination in the United States
	<b>Abstract</b> The COVID-19 pandemic continues to ravage the world, with the United States being highly affected. A vaccine provides the best hope for a permanent solution to controlling the pandemic. However, to be effective, a vaccine must be accepted and used by a large majority of the population. The aim of this study was to understand the attitudes towards and obstacles facing vaccination with a potential COVID-19 vaccine. To measure these attitudes a survey was administered to 316 respondents across the United States by a survey corporation. Structural equation modelling was used to analyze the relationships of several factors with attitudes toward potential COVID-19 vaccination. Prior vaccine usage and attitudes predicted attitudes towards COVID-19 vaccination. Assessment of the severity of COVID-19 for the United States was also predictive. Approximately 68% of all respondents were supportive of being vaccinated for COVID-19, but side effects, efficacy and length of testing remained concerns. Longer testing, increased efficacy and development in the United States were significantly associated with increased vaccine acceptance. Messages promoting COVID-19 vaccination for the United States as a country would address the second predictive factor. Enough time should be taken to allay concerns about both short- and long-term side effects before a vaccine is released.
	Assessments of heavy lift UAV quadcopter drone to support COVID-19 vaccine cold chain delivery for indigenous people in remote
	Abstract Vaccine delivery is one important aspect needed to be strengthened within health systems. One of the main challenges in COVID-19 vaccine delivery is how to cover Indigenous populations in remote and isolated forests in Southeast Asia. Another issue in COVID-19
	cold-chain delivery is requirement for a carrier that can maintain the suitable storage temperature. Related to this condition, COVID-19 vaccine should be delivered using heavy vaccine cooler box and this demand delivery system equipped with heavy lift capacity. In here, this study proposes and assesses the potential use of heavy lift UAV quadcopter to expand the COVID-19 vaccine delivery to
	villages was dominated by 15%-45% slopes, and the available access is only a 1.5 m width trail. To transport 500 vials with 10 kg carrier along 2 km trail, it requires two persons to walk for one hour. By using drones, a straight-line route with a length of 1.5 km can be developed.
	There were at least three drone types available commercially to lift a 10 kg load, and several drones with payload capacity below 10 kg. For carrying 100 vials to a village using drones, it is estimated the required delivery time was 1.23-1.38 minutes. Around 1.57-1.66-

Type of document	Abstract and link to full text
uocument	minute delivery times were required to transport 250 vials. For carrying the maximum and full loads of 500 vials or equals to 10 kg load, a drone requires an average of 3.13-minute delivery times. This required drone delivery time is significantly below the required time by walking that is almost one hour. Drones were limited by flight operational times. All required delivery times for each drone assessed in this study were still below the drone operational time. The lowest drone operational time was 16 minutes and this is still higher than the time required for a drone to deliver the vaccine. Considering the effectiveness and anticipating vaccination, the UAV quadcopter drone is a feasible option to support COVID-19 vaccine delivery to reach Indigenous people in isolated areas.  Towards intervention development to increase the uptake of COVID-19 vaccination among those at high risk: Outlining evidence-based and theoretically informed future intervention content
	<b>Abstract</b> Objectives: Development of a vaccine against COVID-19 will be key to controlling the pandemic. We need to understand the barriers and facilitators to receiving a future COVID-19 vaccine so that we can provide recommendations for the design of interventions aimed at maximizing public acceptance. Design: Cross-sectional U.K. survey with older adults and patients with chronic respiratory disease. Methods: During the U.K.'s early April 2020 'lockdown' period, 527 participants (311 older adults, mean age = 70.4 years; 216 chronic respiratory participants, mean age = 43.8 years) completed an online questionnaire assessing willingness to receive a COVID-19 vaccine, perceptions of COVID-19, and intention to receive influenza and pneumococcal vaccinations. A free text response (n = 502) examined barriers and facilitators to uptake. The Behaviour Change Wheel informed the analysis of these responses, which were coded to the Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF). Behaviour change techniques (BCTs) were identified. Results: Eighty-six per cent of respondents want to receive a COVID-19 vaccine. This was positively correlated with the perception that COVID-19 will persist over time, and negatively associated with perceiving the media to have over-exaggerated the risk. The majority of barriers and facilitators were mapped onto the 'beliefs about consequences' TDF domain, with themes relating to personal health, health consequences to others, concerns of vaccine safety, and severity of COVID-19. Conclusions: Willingness to receive a COVID-19 vaccination is currently high among high-risk individuals. Mass-media interventions aimed at maximizing vaccine uptake should utilize the BCTs of information about health, emotional, social and environmental consequences, and salience of consequences.
	Web-Based Tailored Messaging to Increase Vaccination: A Randomized Clinical Trial         Abstract         Background         To increase vaccine acceptance, we created a web-based "Vaccines and Your Baby" intervention (VAYB) that provided new parents with vaccine information messages tailored to vaccine beliefs and values. We evaluated the effectiveness of the VAYB by comparing timely uptake of infant vaccines to an untailored version of the intervention (UT) or usual care intervention (UC) only.         Methods
	Between April 2016 and June 2019, we conducted a randomized clinical trial. Pregnant women and new parents were randomly assigned to the VAYB, UT, or UC arms. In the VAYB and UT arms, participants were exposed to interventions at four time points

Type of	Abstract and link to full text
document	
	from pregnancy until their child was 15 months of age. The primary outcome was up-to-date status for recommended vaccines from birth to 200 days of age. A modified intent-to-treat analysis was conducted. Data were analyzed with logistic regression to generate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs).
	Results We enrolled 824 participants (276 VAYB, 274 UT, 274 UC), 143 (17.4%) of whom were lost to follow-up. The up-to-date rates in the VAYB, UT, and UC arms were 91.44%, 92.86%, and 92.31%, respectively. Infants in the VAYB arm were not more likely to be up to date than infants in the UC arm (OR = $0.89$ ; 95% CI, $0.45$ – $1.76$ ) or in the UT arm (OR = $0.82$ ; 95% CI, $0.42$ – $1.63$ ). The odds of being up to date did not differ between UT and UC arms (OR = $1.08$ ; 95% CI, $0.54$ – $2.18$ ).
	Conclusions Delivering web-based vaccine messages tailored to parents' vaccine attitudes and values did not have a positive impact on the timely uptake of infant vaccines.

## Appendix 6: Documents excluded at the final stages of reviewing

Type of document	Hyperlinked title
Guidelines developed using a robust process	None identified
(e.g., GRADE)	
Full systematic reviews	Safety, tolerability, and immunogenicity of COVID-19 vaccines: A systematic review and meta-analysis
Rapid reviews	None identified
Guidance developed using some type of	The safety of COVID-19 vaccines when given in pregnancy
evidence synthesis and/or expert opinion	
Protocols for reviews that are underway	COVID 19 vaccine hesitancy: A protocol for systematic review and meta-analysis
Titles/questions for reviews that are being	None identified
planned	
Single studies in areas where no reviews	The potential public health and economic value of a hypothetical COVID-19 vaccine in the United States:
were identifieds	Use of cost-effectiveness modeling to inform vaccination prioritization
	Interim Results of a Phase 1-2a Trial of Ad26.COV2.S Covid-19 Vaccine
	Safety and efficacy of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (AZD1222) against SARS-CoV-2: an interim
	analysis of four randomised controlled trials in Brazil, South Africa, and the UK

Type of document	Hyperlinked title
	Safety and immunogenicity of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine administered in a prime-boost regimen in
	young and old adults (COV002): a single-blind, randomised, controlled, phase 2/3 trial
	Safety and immunogenicity of an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine, BBV152: a double-blind, randomised,
	phase 1 trial
	Safety, tolerability, and immunogenicity of an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine in healthy adults aged 18–
	59 years: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 1/2 clinical trial
	Safety and efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine
	Efficacy and safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 vaccine
	Vaccination patterns of the northeast Ohio Amish revisited
	Associations of COVID-19 risk perception with vaccine hesitancy over time for Italian residents
	Predictors of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in the UK Household Longitudinal Study
	Epidemiological impact of prioritizing SARS-CoV-2 vaccination by antibody status: Mathematical
	modeling analyses
	Analyzing the impact of vaccine availability on alternative supplier selection amid the COVID-19
	pandemic: A cFGM-FTOPSIS-FWI Approach
	Complexity analysis of cold chain transportation in a vaccine supply chain considering activity inspection
	and time-delay
	Impact of immediate and preferential relaxation of social and travel restrictions for vaccinated people on the enceding denominant COVID 10 A readel based endering
	the spreading dynamics of COVID-19: A model-based analysis
	Analysis of the potential efficacy and timing of COVID-19 vaccine on morbidity and mortality
	<u>The potential public health and economic value of a hypothetical COVID-19 vaccine in the United States:</u>
	Optimal SARS-CoV-2 vaccine allocation using real-time seroprevalence estimates in Rhode Island and
	Massachusetts
	National interest may require distributing COVID-19 vaccines to other countries
	The importance of non-pharmaceutical interventions during the COVID-19 vaccine rollout
	High rates of COVID-19 vaccine besitancy and its association with conspiracy beliefs: A study in Iordan
	and Kuwait among other Arab countries
	Optimal policies for vaccine campaign: The case of COVID-19
	A decision support system for prioritised COVID-19 two-dosage vaccination allocation and distribution
	The social experience of participation in a COVID-19 vaccine trial: Subjects' motivations, others' concerns
	and insights for vaccine promotion
	Predictors of intention to vaccinate against COVID-19. Results of a nationwide survey
	Modeling the effect of vaccination strategies in an Excel spreadsheet: The rate of vaccination, and not only
	the vaccination coverage, is a determinant for containing COVID-19 in urban areas
	Community structured model for vaccine strategies to control COVID19 spread: a mathematical study

Type of document	Hyperlinked title
	Converting the maybes: Crucial for a successful COVID-19 vaccination strategy

Wilson MG, Bain T, Wang Q, Al-Khateeb S, Bhuiya A, Alam S, DeMaio P, Gauvin FP, Ahmad A, Drakos A, Sharma K, Whitelaw S, Lavis JN. Appendices for COVID-19 living evidence profile #1 (version 1.2): What is known about anticipated COVID-19 vaccine roll-out elements? Hamilton: McMaster Health Forum, 31 January 2021.

The COVID-19 Evidence Network to support Decision-making (COVID-END) is supported by an investment from the Government of Canada through the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR). To help Canadian decision-makers as they respond to unprecedented challenges related to the COVID-19 pandemic, COVID-END in Canada is preparing rapid evidence responses like this one. The opinions, results, and conclusions are those of the evidence-synthesis team that prepared the rapid response, and are independent of the Government of Canada and CIHR. No endorsement by the Government of Canada or CIHR is intended or should be inferred.



>> Contact us c/o McMaster Health Forum 1280 Main St. West, MML-417 Hamilton, ON, Canada L8S 4L6 41.905.525.9140 x 22121 forum@mcmaster.ca

>> Find and follow us COVID-END.org @@COVID\_E\_N\_D