SOME PROBLEMS OF BIAS

IN

MAILED QUESTIONNAIRES

By

GEORGE WILLIAM REPAR

140

A Thesis

Submitted to the Department of Sociology
in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements
for the Degree
Bachelor of Arts

McMaster University
March 1963

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The present study would not have been possible without the help of Professor Ernest Q. Campbell, Research Associate at the Institute for Research in Social Science, University of North Carolina, who suggested the problem and from whose larger youth study the data was drawn.

Likewise, I am grateful to Professor Richard L. Simpson of the University of North Carolina for the invitation to work as an undergraduate research assistant last summer. In addition, I would like to acknowledge the help of Professor Edmund Vaz, my faculty advisor, who carefully read and reread early drafts of this report. Finally, I wish to thank my friend, Robert Scott who typed out the initial drafts of this study.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

. . .

	Page
TTCM (AS) MADIT DC	iii
LIST OF TABLES	111
INTRODUCTION	1
RELATED LITERATURE	3
SAMPLE AND RESEARCH DESIGN	8
ANALYSIS OF DATA DND FINDINGS	12
RETURNS BY SELECTED SOCIAL AND DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS	12
RETURNS ACCORDING TO EDUCATIONAL LEVEL OF RESPONDENT	18
Parents' Educational Level and Father's Occupation	
Educational Level of Parent and Student's Perception of Family's Financial Status	
Educational Level of Parents and Religious Affiliation	
RETURNS BY CONTENT AREA OF QUESTIONNAIRE	23
Parents' Educational Level and Their Position on Child's Use of Alcohol	
Parents Educational Level and Actual Drinking Experience of the Student	
RETURNS BY COLLEGE INTENTIONS OF STUDENT	28
College Intentions of Student	
Parents' Education and College Intentions of Student	
BIBLIOGRAPHY	37
APPENDIX	

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
LIST OF TABLES iii
INTRODUCTION
RELATED LITERATURE
SAMPLE AND RESEARCH DESIGN
ANALYSIS OF DATA AND FINDINGS
SUMMARY
ANALYSIS OF DATA ACCORDING TO EDUCATIONAL LEVEL OF RESPONDENT 18  Parents' Educational Level and Father's Occupation  Educational Level of Parent and Student's Perception of Family's Financial Status
Educational Level of Parents and Religious Affiliation  RETURNS BY CONTENT AREA OF QUESTIONNAIRE
Parents Educational Level and Actual Drinking Experience of the Student  RETURNS BY COLLEGE INTENTIONS OF STUDENT
Parent's Education and College Intentions of Student

### LIST OF TABLES

Table			Page
1.	Breakdown of Parental Questionnaire Returns and Non-Returns		10
2.	Percentage of Parental Returns and Non-Returns from 1961 Questionnaire		12
3.	Percentage Returning Questionnaires by Selected Social and Demographic Characteristics	-	13
4.	Percentage of Parental Returns by Father's Occupation and Parents' Educational Level		18
5•	Percentage of Parental Returns by Student's Perception of the Family Financial Status in the Community and the Educational Level of the Parents		20
6.	Percentage of Returns by Selected Religious Affiliations and Educational Level of Parents		21
7•	Percentage of Returns by Parents' Educational Level and Their Position on Child's Use of Alcohol		23
8.	Percentages of Parental Returns by Educational Level and Actual Drinking Experience of the Student		25
9•	Percentage of Parental Returns by College Intentions of Student		28

#### INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this investigation is to analyse some of the objective differences between individuals who reply to self-administered mail questionnaires and those who do not. Since studies have shown that the rate of returns to mailed questionnaires varies between twenty percent to seventy percent<sup>1</sup>, the question of who replies to a mail questionnaire requires further attention. Easily research assumed that it was simply a matter of chance whether one individual or another answered mailed questionnaires, however, various investigators<sup>2</sup> soon began to doubt the validity of this assumption. Current research makes it quite clear that mail questionnaires are only reaching certain types of respondents. Thus Franzen and Lazersfeld write: "Mail questionnaires are answered more often by people who, due to their educational and occupational background more easily express themselves in writing than by people who are more interested in the topic under discussion".

The present study continues this type of investigation and attempts an examination of four related problems. We would like to know:

W. J. Goode, and Paul K. Hatt, Methods in Social Research, Toronto: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1952, p. 173.

For a discussion of these researchs see: Frank Stanton, "Notes on the Validity of Mail Questionnaire Returns. "Journal of Applied Psychology, Vol. XXIII, No. 1, February 1939.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>P. Lazarsfeld and R. Franzen, "Mail Questionnaires as a Research Problem", Journal of Psychology, (1945)

- (a) The social and demographic factors which allegidly distinguish repliers from non-repliers to self-administered mail questionnaires.
- (b) Whether an individual's educational level is a primary variable which differentiates between respondents and non-respondents.
- (c) Whether the content of the questionnaire influences the rate of returns.
- (d) Whether the rate of questionnaire returns is effected by one specific variable of this study "college intentions of the student".

### Related Literature

One investigator, 4 reporting on research conducted by the Market Research Department of <u>Time</u> magazine, states that there were no significant differences between repliers and non-repliers, to mail questionnaires or interviews, on a 76 item schedule sent to a sample of subscribers.

Later, this same writer<sup>5</sup> suggests that the <u>Time</u> subscriber universe was not really representative of the general population but, that it was highly homogeneous with regard to education and income. Hence he concludes, "the mail response will overstate the frequency of behaviour characteristics of higher level persons".6

Carl F. Reuss, in a follow-up study? of a freshman university class, compared repliers and non-repliers on the following selected set of indices: intelligence, background characteristics, length of stay in school and, sociability. This study showed that superior students (judged from class records), as well as students that remained in college three years or more, were more likely to return their questionnaire than others. Students from rural backgrounds also tended to respond more frequently, a finding, biased no doubt, by the large rural sample which was used.

A survey of veterans, conducted by the Veteran's Administration

David Wallace, "Mail Questionnaires Can Produce Good Samples of Homogeneous Groups", <u>Journal of Marketing</u>, July, 1947, p. 33.

David Wallace, "The Case For And Against Mail Questionnaires", Public Opinion Quarterly, Vol. 18, 1954-55, p. 41.

<sup>6&</sup>lt;u>Ibid</u>., p. 42.

<sup>7</sup>Carl F. Reuss, "Differences Between People Responding and Not Responding to a Mailed Questionnaire", American Sociological Review, Vol. 8, 1943, p. 433.

(1946), 8 sought to analyse the source of bias among non-respondents based on a few characteristics (from outside sources) of this group. A 92 percent return was reported from a sample drawn from the files of a 200,000 universe of veterans who had previously applied for certification of eligibility for training under the Serviceman's Readjustment Act. High education level and personal interest in the questionnaire, were characteristics found to be associated with early returners; neither parenthood nor age was significant variable. The fact that the universe comprised those who, by their application for training, reflected an interest in their future education leads one to question the impressive return rate, but the findings on educational level and on the respondent's interest in questionnaire content were consistent with other works. However, Manfield has cautioned against interpreting data from surveys conducted among veterans by a federal agency which administers benefits to veterans.

Another study<sup>10</sup> was conducted in conjunction with a National Science Talent Search. Certain objective characteristics of the subjects were known before they became candidates of a mailed questionnaire follow-up study. In order to ensure a high level of competition among contestants, applicants were screened by a battery of intelligence and aptitude tests. After the competition was over the "winners", "honorable

<sup>8</sup>E. J. Bauer, "Response Bias in Mail Surveys", P. O. Q., Vol. 12, 1948-49, p. 492.

<sup>9</sup>M. N. Manfield, "A Pattern of Response Mail Surveys", P. O. Q., Vol. 12, 1948, p. 495.

<sup>10</sup>H. Edgerton, S. H. Britt, and R. D. Norman, "Objective Differences Among Various Types of Respondents to a Mailed Questionnaire", Am. Soc. Rev., Vol. 12, 1947.

mentions" and "also rans" were sent a questionnaire (relating to the National Science Talent Competition) for three consecutive years.

Results of the study were consistent with the findings from other studies. The more intelligent, "superior" students returned the highest number of questionnaires; the "honorable mentions" returned fewer and the "also rans" returned the least number over the three year period.

A recent study, cited above, 11 attempted to discover some of the limitations of surveys using mailed questionnaires. Using two cross-section panels - one drawn from a universe of Time subscribers the other, a random sample from the city directory - a comparison was made between the responses from the general population and the responses from the Time subscribers whose characteristics were generally known. The panel was sent four waves of questionnaires. Each differed in content and presumed sender at different time periods. By constructing a table of expected and actual response rates and comparing multiple-repliers with less-frequent repliers on a number of items, Wallace found that certain variables especially education, were correlated with the frequency of replying. Moreover, the more an individual was interested in the questionnaire content the more readily an opinion was advanced.

Contemporary research, whether studying respondent returns from single questionnaires or from repeated questionnaire "waves", had high-lighted the level of education as a significant social characteristic that influences the rate at which respondents reply. In short, the better educated the higher the returns. Hatt and Goode have suggested that the

<sup>11</sup> David Wallace, op. cit., 1954.

degree of literacy is an additional source of bias. They write:

A minimum necessity is the ability to read and write. Further, the amount of reading attention and writing skill required for most questionnaires is much greater than is usually implied by a minimum definition of "literate". For many who are able to read and write, neither burden is assumed willingly or easily."12

Whatever the reasons, the educational level remains an important distinguishing characteristic between repliers and non-repliers to mailed self-administered questionnaires. Research has also demonstrated that occupation and income level are important variables distinguishing respondents from non-respondents. Another important finding is that respondents who are more interested in the subject matter of the enquiry tend to respond earlier and more of them tend to do so. Long overdue is a thorough study of the social and demographic characteristics of repliers and non-repliers to mail questionnaires.

An impressive study by M. Donald<sup>13</sup> reports an attempt to study the motivational differences between repliers and non-repliers by using special questionnaire items which were deliberately inserted into the questionnaire itself. A sample of 2768 members of the League of Women were sent a questionnaire relating to the organization of the League. Three follow-up methods to elicit returns were made: (a) by letter, (b) letter and questionnaire, and, (c) personal telephone call. Because there was a time element involved, the investigator was interested in

<sup>12</sup>W. J. Goode and Paul K. Hatt, Methods In Social Research, McGraw-Hill Toronto, 1952, p. 173.

<sup>13</sup>M. N. Donald, "Implications of Non-Response for the Interpretation of Mailed Questionnaire Data", P. O. Q., Vol. 24, 1960.

nothing differences among repliers throughout the various waves of responses.

Donald reported no clear and decisive demographic trends over the three time periods. 14

However, this study showed clearly that a member's committment was directly related to her motivation to complete and return the mail questionnaire. Three indices of involvement in the organization were (a) degree of participation in organizational affairs; (b) understanding of and information about organizational policies and functions and, (c) loyalty to the organization. Significant differences among those holding office, working on committees, and so forth were noted; e.g. the more active members tended to respond more often and earlier. 15 Moreover, despite the fact that more stimuli were required to elicit repliers, poorer returns were received from the less-involved members. Of the 629 who failed to return the questionnaire, most were found to be marginal organizational members. This paper made some excellent statements about previously unexplored variables that might well differentiate repliers and non-repliers. A study which would investigate objective social characteristics, as well as the subtle motivational factors distinguishing repliers from non-repliers, seems the most promising line of approach for future research.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup><u>Ibid</u>., p. 103.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>Ibid., p. 105.

### Research Design

The data for this report were gathered in connection with a larger research project of the socialization experiences of adolescents sponsored by The National Institute of Mental Health\*. The general research design was a longitudinal study including a time series and panel analysis.

In the spring of 1961, research assistants administered questionnaires to approximately 5,200 high school seniors in over 60 high schools in the Piedmont and Tidewater regions of North Carolina. Students who reported, at that time, that they would be attending college in the fall of that year were given an additional questionnaire. Later, in the spring of 1961, self-administered questionnaires were mailed to approximately 3,500 parents of the students who had completed the earlier questionnaire.

In this paper our attention is focused exclusively on that large proportion of parents to whom self-administered questionnaires were sent in the spring of 1961. Specifically, we want to know something about those parents who <u>failed</u> to reply to this questionnaire. Hence our data on parental attitudes, expectations and values, and the social background characteristics of parents stem from selected items used on the questionnaire administered to <u>students</u>. It is assumed that since adolescents often have intimate knowledge of the characteristics, attitudes, and values of their parents, they can serve as reliable reporters of such information.

<sup>\*</sup> Specifically, the study was entitled: "Socialization Experiences of Late Adolescance: The Relation of the use of Alcohol by College Students to Parental Expectations and Controls". The principal investigator of this research project was Dr. Ernest Q. Campbell, Assistant Professor of Sociology and Research Associate at the Institute for Research in Social Science, University of North Carolina.

The studies previously reviewed suggest some doubt about the sources of information of those respondents who did not reply to mailed self-administered questionnaires. Therefore, we can ask of these studies: "How do you know that non-respondents have the characteristics that you claim they have?" "From where did you get your information if they (non-repliers) did not return their questionnaires?". Of the six studies already reviewed, three (Wallace 1947, Wallace 1954, M. Donald 1960) offer little information about the non-repliers since their designs do not lend themselves to analysis in these terms. Little more than background characteristics obtained from a directory or telephone call was used. Reuss' study16 used data that was at least five years old obtained from high school records and the registrar's office. Such information told us nothing of the students once they had left college. Manfield 17 has warned of the biased nature of records kept by federal agencies and their unreliability as a source of data. Even the impressive study conducted in connection with the National Science Talent Search used a sample necessarily biased in favour of the "superior" student. The significant fact of the present study is that we can say almost as much about the parents who did not reply as we can of those who did. The present study gathered data on the social characteristics of the family as a unit, as well as specific information on parental and student values and attitudes regarding the use of alcohol, required for the larger research project. All this information was obtained from the initial questionnaire administered to the students. Parents were sent

<sup>16&</sup>lt;sub>Carl F. Reuss, op. cit.</sub>, p. 433.

<sup>17&</sup>lt;sub>M. N. Manfield, op. cit.</sub>, p. 492.

their questionnaires a month afterwards. Whether or not they returned the questionnaire we still know who they were and something about them.

Specifically, the questionnaire covered a wide range of items;\*
e.g. sex, religion, number and age of siblings, father's occupation,
educational level of parents, students' perception of family social
standing, students' perception of family income. Other items were
designed to elicit data regarding the student's college aspirations,
parental attitudes towards the use of alcohol, and parental sanctions
for violations of rules governing alcohol use besides items on the precollege drinking habits of students.

Information gathered from the senior schedule was coded and punched on IBM cards. A record was kept of these parents sent the 1961 parent questionnaire. Record was also kept of whether or not the questionnaire was returned, and if so, by whom.

## TABLE I

Breakdown of Parental Questionnaire Returns and Non-F	<u>leturns</u>
Sent to both parents and returned by both parents	1702
Sent to both parents and returned by neither parent	1365
Sent to one parent and returned by same	243
Sent to one parent and not returned	180
Total	3390

The above table shows that the largest number of questionnaires was sent to both parents. A much smaller number was sent to a single

<sup>\*</sup> See Appendix for questionnaire.

parent. Our analysis will focus exclusively on the larger (3067) a sub-sample of questionnaires sent to both parents.

## Analysis of Data and Findings

In this section we attempt to examine a few selected social and demographic characteristics which help distinguish repliers from non-repliers. Table II, shows the initial breakdown of our sample into parents who returned the questionnaire and those who failed to return the questionnaire. This general breakdown into return and non-return categories shall be used throughout the analysis.

TABLE II

Percentage of Parental Returns and Non-Returns
from 1961 Questionnaire

	Percent	Total
Returns	55•5	1702
Non-returns	44.5	1365
Total	100.0	3067

Fifty-five percent of the 3067 parents completed their questionnaires and returned them to the investigators. Forty-five percent failed to return their questionnaires.

Table III shows the breakdown of repliers and non-repliers according to, (a) social characteristics, and (b) demographic characteristics. Selected social characteristics are: parents level of education, father's occupation, the student's judgement of the family's status in the community and religious affiliation. The demographic characteristics include: the sex of the student, his/her position in the family vis-a-vis the other siblings, and the size of the community in which the family lives.

TABLE III

# Percentage Returning Questionnaires by Selected Social and Demographic Characteristics

Characteristic	Percent Returns	R	R	<u>Total</u>
Parents' Educational Level:				
Neither graduated from high school At least one graduated from high school At least one had some college	41.2 57.1 68.7	381 584 705	437	925 1021 1025
Father's Occupation:				
Upper white collar Lower white collar Skilled blue collar Semi-skilled or unskilled Agricultural	63.4 60.6 48.4 53.7 45.7	367	_	1162 414 758 136 488
Student's Judgement of Family's Status in Community:				
Above average About average Below average	60.3 49.2 52.4	1031 627 33	680 647 <b>3</b> 0	1711 1274 63

cont'd . .

Characteristic	Percent Returns	<u>R</u>	R	<u>Total</u>
Selected Religious Affiliation:				
Baptists (Southern and Others) Methodists Presbyterian Episcopal Moravians Others-Jewish, Catholic,	49.6 60.9 60.1 67.7 69.7	673 397 233 44 69	683 255 155 21 30	1356 652 388 65 99
Lutherans, etc.	57•5	243	180	423
Sex of Student:				
Male Female	56.4 54.6	862 666	840 699	1702 1365
Student's Position in the Family:				
Only child Younger Siblings-same sex Older Siblings-same sex All Siblings of opposite sex	61.4 60.5 48.4 56.1	197 592 476 429	386 507	321 9 <b>7</b> 8 983 765
Size of Community: (population size)				
City: 50,000 or over City: 5,000 to 50,000 Towns up to 5,000 Rural area; farms	59.8 63.6 54.3 48.6	522 365 255 557	351 208 215 587	873 573 470 1144

Seven educational categories, ranging from elementary school to post-graduate college training were used. However, maternal and paternal educational level were collapsed into the following three categories, thought to be presentative of the general population:

(a) neither parent graduated from high school; (b) at least one parent graduated from high school; (c) at least one parent had some college training.

It is immediately clear from the data that families in which neither parent graduated from high school, replied less frequently to the mail questionnaire than parents from high educational levels. Thus 41.2 percent of parents neither of whom had graduated from high school returned the questionnaire, while 68.7 percent of parents with some college education, responded. These findings are consistent with previous studies, some of which have been cited above.

Upper white collar families (e.g., professional, executive, managerial) replied more frequently then any other occupational group.

Sixty-three percent of the upper white collar group in contrast to 60.6 percent of the lower white collar group (including clerical, sales, etc.) returned their questionnaires. Forty-eight percent of the skilled, blue collar group returned the questionnaire. The rate of returns from semi-skilled parents was slightly higher, 53.7 percent. Agricultural occupations were considerably lower, returning 45.7 percent of the schedules. In general we found that higher ranking occupations are significantly related to educational level and both are related to questionnaire returns. There findings coincide with previous research.

Students were asked to judge the relative status of their family in the community. Specifically they were asked, "In general what kind

of standing do you think your family has in the community? Our data showed that parents, whose children judged their status to be "above average" returned their questionnaires more often then others. Of those families categorized "about average" 49.2 percent returned the questionnaire, while those families judged "below average" returned 52.4 percent of the schedules.

The item which considers the distribution of repliers and non-repliers by community size is also shown in Table III. Reuss' study suggested that rural persons tended to respond more frequently to mail questionnaires than non-rural persons. Our data contradicts Reuss' findings. We can only offer some suggestions why this should be so. In larger cities there seems to be a great number of more highly educated people. Similarly higher ranking jobs tend to be found in cities, while our low returns from agricultural occupations fit neatly with the low rate of returns from rural areas. Moreover, city people are more likely be to familiar with university sponsered social research and thus would probably be more likely to reply to questionnaires. Again, urban areas tend to be characterized by a high degree of literacy and this we have noted, tends to bias the returning rate.

Table III also includes the percentage of returns by selected religious affiliation. Our analysis includes only those religious groups which had over 50 followers. Smaller religious groups were categorized, "others".

The data show that the dominant, Baptist, religious body in North Carolina, replied much less frequently (49.6 percent) than all other religious groups, while Episcopal and Moravian groups ranked highest in questionnaire returns. Methodists returned 60.9 percent of the schedules

while Presbyterians returned 60.1 percent of their questionnaires. Research 18 has shown that religious affiliation is highly correlated with socio-economic standing, which helps explain the high returning rate of the high ranking Episcopalian group. The question of the high Moravian questionnaire returns will be considered in a later section when educational level is used as control.

Our data also show that parental returns were not greatly influenced by the sex of the student. Parents with male students returned 56.4 percent of the questionnaires, those with female students returned 54.6 percent of their schedules. Parents with one child replied 61.4 percent of the time. If the student was the oldest of his sex the returning rate was an impressive 60.5 percent whereas if the student had older siblings of the same sex, only 48.6 percent of those parents returned the questionnaire.

In brief, our findings tend to confirm the results of previous research with respect to selected characteristics which distinguish repliers from non-repliers to mail questionnaires. The evidence suggests a relationship between educational level and the likelihood of returns to mail questionnaires. Moreover, the more prestigeful the father's job and the higher the family's status in the community the greater the tendency to return their schedules. Our data also suggests that: urban people tend to reply more frequently to questionnaire than those in rural areas; higher returns are made by parents with only on child; the sex of the student fails to distinguish parental repliers from non-repliers

<sup>18</sup> See: Liston, Pope, "Religion and the Class Structure", The American Academy of Political and Social Science, 256:1948, p. 84-91; Thomas Hoult, "The Sociology of Religion" New York: Dryden Press, 1958, p. 302.

and, religious affiliation varies in the response rate to mail questionnaires.

### Analysis of Data According to Educational Level of Respondent

### Parents' Educational Level and Father's Occupation

The data suggest that the educational level of the parent is an important variable influencing the response rate to mail questionnaires. In order to establish that educational level is a primary characteristic influencing the returning rate, in this section we pursue the analysis further by examining selected variables when the level of education is held constant. First we look at the relationship between educational level and father's occupation.

Percentage of Parental Returns by Father's Occupation and

Parents' Educational Level

Father's Occupation	Neither Parent Graduated from High School	At least <u>one</u> Parent Graduated from High School	At least <u>one</u> Parent had some College trainin
Upper White Collar	44.4 (178)	60.4 (333)	71.3 (634)
Lower White Collar	46.7 (60)	62.0 (163)	65.1 (186)
Skilled Blue Collar	40.5 (338)	54•2 (299)	63.9 (97)
Unskilled Blue Collar	42.9 (56)	61.2 (49)	70.0 (20)
Agricultural	38.2 (251	54 <b>.</b> 0 (150)	61.9 (63)

Upper white collar families show a distinct influence according to the number of questionnaires returned. When at least one parent has some college training the rate of returns is 71.3 percent. This is one of the significant findings in the report. It means that of 634 upper, white collar, highly educated parents who were sent questionnaires, 71.3 returned them. Only 28.7 percent of this category failed to return their schedules. The influence of education is highlighted when we look at the upper white collar, low educational level. Of the 178 parents of this group to whom questionnaires were sent, only 44.4 percent replied. The lower white collar group shows a similiar response pattern--the higher the education, the higher the return rate--which seems to be consistant for every occupational category. When educational level is controlled there is some variation in the return rate according to occupation. For example, among the higher educated people, more upper white collar workers (71.3 percent) tend to reply than parents who worked in agriculture (61.9 percent). With a few exceptions, this pattern seems to hold-the more prestigeful the job, the greater the returns.

# Educational Level of Parent and Student's Perception of Family's Financial Status

The students were asked to estimate their family's financial status relative to their friends' families. Three response categories, "above average", "average", and "below average", were used to sort the data.

Percentage of Parental Returns by Student's Perception
of the Family Financial Status in the Community and the
Educational Level of the Parents

TABLE V

Student's Perception of Family Financial Status	Neither Parent Graduated from High School	At least <u>one</u> Parent Graduated from High School	At least <u>one</u> Parent had some College training
Above average	39.9 (210)	58.6 (295)	73.0 (482)
About average	41.0 (610)	62.5 (586)	67.1 (482)
Below average	45.1 (82)	58.4 (77)	64.9 (57)

Again we note that with each increase in education level there is an increase in the parental return rate of questionnaires. Of the 482 highly educated parents whose offspring perceived their family's financial status being "better off" 73 percent returned the questionnaire. In contrast, only 39.9 percent of the questionnaires were received from "above average", less-educated parents. Even in the "below average" category; where the sample sizes were notably smaller, the educational level of parents differentiates the rate of returns. Among higher educated families 64.9 percent returned questionnaires while only 45.1 percent of the lower educated group did so. As Table V illustrates, the returning rate shows little variation by student's perception of family financial status, except in the high education group where the rate of returns were 73 percent from the "above average" category and 64.9 percent from the "below average". Education has been shown to bear some relation to income

level, although not a one to one relationship. Thus, we can account for the high returning rate from the less-affluent but highly educated parents.

### Educational Level of Parents and Religious Affiliation

The following table attempts to show the relationship between educational level and religious affiliations in terms of the returning rate of mail questionnaires.

TABLE VI

Percentage of Returns by Selected Religious Affiliations and

Educational Level of Parents

Religious Affiliation	Neither Parent Graduated from High School	At least <u>one</u> Parent Graduated from High School	At least <u>one</u> Parent had some College training
Baptists (Southern and others)	39.9 (523)	60.1 (401)	63.3 (338)
Methodists	46.5 (129)	58.5 (241)	71.5 (270)
Presbyterian	38.0 (79)	61.5 (117)	68.4 (190)
Moravian	43.8 (16)	76.3 (42)	<b>76.</b> 9 (39)
Episcopal	66.7 (3)	71.4 (14)	68.1 (47)
Others*	<i>3</i> 0.6 (186)	63.0 (127)	74.1 (135)

Table III showed that the Baptist group, the major religious body of North Carolina, replied less frequently to mail questionnaires than other religious groups. However, the level of education seems to be an influencial variable governing the response rate of the Baptists.

Among college educated Baptists, 63.3 percent returned questionnaires; while of the Baptists with less than high school education only 39.9 percent responded. However, the Baptist rate at the highest educational level is the lowest of all the other religious denominations.

Our data also indicates similar uniform response patterns for Methodists, Presbyterians and Episcopalian groups. Of these Protestant denominations, the Methodists show the highest response rate at the highest educational level with 71.5 percent responding. Presbyterians responded with a frequency of 68.4 percent, while of the highest educated Episcopalians, 68.1 percent returned their schedules.

The Moravian denomination shows a similarly high response rate on all educational levels. While the differences in response rate between the two highest educational levels is minimal, the difference in rate of response between the lowest educational level and the next highest is the largest of all religious groups. This means that while poorly educated Moravians respond frequently, once they have received high school training, this experience seems to have a relatively greater influence on determining whether they will participate in research using mail questionnaires. However, it should be noted that the sample size of this group is small, and interpretation requires caution.

Of the "others" category which includes a number of smaller sects and denominations interpretations must be strictly speculative. Briefly, because Jewish, Lutheran and Congregational denominations tend to be of higher social status and therefore likely to include better educated members, this would help account for the highest response rate among the higher educational levels.

## Returns by Content Area of Questionnaire

The data below will attempt to relate repliers and non-repliers to two aspects of the content of the questionnaire. We attempt to examine the question whether the study itself significantly differentiates repliers from non-repliers that is, whether the parents' position with regard to the student's use of alcohol distinguishes between those who return and those who fail to return questionnaires. We shall also examine whether the drinking behaviour of the student effects the parental response rate.

### Parents' Educational Level and Their Position on Child's Use of Alcohol

The student's perception of their parent's preference regarding the use of alcohol is reported in Table VII the question was asked:
"So far as your mother (and father) is concerned, does she prefer that you learn to drink, that you not drink, or doesn't she care one way or the other?" The "don't care" category was taken as a measure of parental indifference and was in the "learn to drink" category. Again we compared the rate of returns by parents' educational level.

Percentage of Returns by Parents' Educational Level and Their

Position on Child's Use of Alcohol

Parents' Position on Child's Use of Alcohol	Neither Graduated from High School	At least <u>one</u> Graduated from High School	At least <u>one</u> had some College training
Mother:			
Learn to drink; Don't care Not drink	45.5 (11) 40.9 (903)	60.0 (15) 57.2 (1004)	79.8 (84) 67.5 (931)

### Father:

Learn to drink;
Don't care 34.8 (32) 50.0 (44) 79.1 (129)
Not drink 41.3 (882) 57.5 (975) 74.8 (1154)

The results show a familiar pattern. When we control for the educational level of parents, we again see the influence of education upon the parental rated returns. Whether we examine the category "learn to drink" or "not drink" education seems to account for the variation in the rated returns. In each case the higher the education level the higher the rate of parental returns. Table VII suggests that mothers who hold a more permissive attitude toward their child's use of alcohol tend to reply slightly more than rathers holding similar views. Because differences are not great and the sample sizes are small, meaningful interpretation is difficult. With the exception of the higher educational levels, no striking differences in response rate were found between mothers or fathers with respect to their position on their offspring's use of alcohol.

Here there is a slight difference in the returning rate among highly educated parents who encourage abstinence—74.8 percent of the fathers tend to reply while 67.5 percent of the mothers returned the questionnaire.

### Parents Educational Level and Actual Drinking Experience of the Student

Does the drinking behaviour of the student perhaps, determine whether a parent will reply to a questionnaire on alcohol? The response rate of parents was examined according to pre-college drinking behaviour of the adolescent. We were interested in analysing the response rate in terms of parents knowledge of their childrens' drinking behaviour; also, according to whether students drank with their parents.

Percentage of Parental Returns by Educational Level and
Actual Drinking Experience of the Student

Drinking Behaviour of Student	Neither Parent Graduated from High School	At least <u>one</u> Graduated from High School	At least <u>one</u> had some College training
Do you now drink? Yes No	40.4 (161) 40.9 (754)		71.4 (252) 67.9 (770)
Do your parents know you drink Yes No	38.5 (39) 40.5 (121)		78.6 (112) 64.4 (135)
Do you drink with your parents? Yes No	52 <b>.</b> 9 (19) 39 <b>.</b> 2 (143)	÷	85.3 (68) 65.9 (182)

With educational level of parents controlled, Table VIII highlights the importance of educational level in distinguishing between
repliers and non-repliers. Among drinking students, 71.4 percent of
those whose parents had the highest education returned the questionnaire.

Of those with parents graduated from high school, 55.5 percent returned
their questionnaire. Parents with the least education returned the
smallest percentage of schedules. Even the rate of returns for nondrinking students varied with educational level that is, the returns came
from the more highly educated parents. However, differences are very
small between repliers and non-repliers according to the actual drinking
behaviour of the student.

Among students who drink with their parents' knowledge, educational level of parents remains the most distinguishing variable influencing the return rate of questionnaires. The higher the educational Level, the higher the percentage of questionnaire returns. A similar pattern holds among students who drink without parental knowledge. Again, the higher the educational level the greater the returns. At the highest educational level, one striking difference occurs in response to the question, "Do your parents know you drink?" Those parents who know that their children drink tend to respond more frequently than those who are unaware of their offspring's drinking. We suggest that high status parents, unaware of their child's drinking habits, are less likely to see the relevance of a study designed to gather information about parental attitudes and expectations regarding their own student's drinking behaviour. However, parents who have offsprings that drink have something of a stake in the topic of the inquiry: such parents might be more likely to reply since their children's behaviour poses a potential 'problem' for them. Furthermore, such parents are likely to be uncertain about adolescent drinking norms and therefore will be genuinely interested in finding out what they are.

There are two interesting results in answer to the question,
"Do you drink with your parents?" Parents from the highest and lowest
educational levels tend to reply more frequently if the student who
drinks does so with his parents. Of the 68 students who drink with
their parents, 85.3 percent of these parents returned their schedules,
while only 65.9 percent of parents whose children did not drink with them
returned the questionnaire. It seems likely that drinking among

adolescents in either lower or upper classes, in unlikely to be approved by parents. However, those parents who, for whatever reason, do permit their children to drink with them, might conceivably experience anxiety over their permissiveness. This high return rate of questionnaires concerned with adolescent drinking habits might well be a reflection of their anxiety about their own children.

With the lowest educational category the rate of parental returns was highest in those families where student and parent drank together. The returning rate of 52.9 percent is significantly greater than the returning rate of 39.2 percent from parents whose offspring did not drink with them. However, the small sample of only 19 students who actually do drink with their parents makes interpretation considerably less reliable.

# Returns by College Intentions of Student

## College Intentions of Student

We noted earlier that Donald19 was able to make some substantive conclusions about the replier's involvement or interest in the content area of the questionnaire which served to differentiate returners from non-returners.

While no "indicators of questionnaire involvement" were deliberately introduced into the larger study, we will attempt, nevertheless, to relate repliers and non-repliers of mail questionnaires to one part of the larger research. We recall that the initial contact was made with 5,200 high school students; subsequently, a sample of 3,400 parents was sent questionnaires by mail. At the time of the first contact with the students (spring 1961) many were senior students who anticipated entering college in the fall of that year. A cover letter was sent to the parents explaining that the research was to be continued among those students planning to enter college. It was felt that the educational plans of the student would somehow influence a parents decision to cooperate and participate in university sponsored research. Thus we attempted to analyse the rate of parental returns according to the college intentions of the student. Let us look at the data and see if this is so.

#### TABLE VIII

# Percentage of Parental Returns by College Intentions of Student

<sup>19</sup> Donald, Marjorie N., op. cit., (1960).

College Intentions of Student	Percent	R	<u>R</u>	<u>Total</u>
Yes, definitely	71.6	1068	423	1491
Uncertain	43.3	439	559	998
No, definitely not	33•5	193	383	576

This spare data demonstrates that whether or not a senior student intends to enter college has a bearing on the response rate of the parents. The 71.6 percent of questionnaire returns from parents of college-bound students suggests this is an important variable differentiating repliers from non-repliers in this study. Only 35.5 percent of the parents whose offsprings were "definitely" not going to college returned the questionnaire. Even were there exists uncertainty about a students college intentions the response rate is well below the general 55.5 percent return rate. Of this group only 43.3 percent return their schedules. Where the student is certain he will not enter college the rate of return were 33.5 percent. The response rate clearly parallels the likelihood of college attendance by the student.

Research<sup>20</sup> has made it clear that parents do have a very significant influence upon the college intentions of students, and that they are very much involved in the plans, events and decisions surrounding

For some recent researches see: Bordua, David J. "Educational Aspirations and Parental Stress on College" Social Forces, 38 (March 1960); Simpson, Richard L. "Parental Influence and Social Mobility" Am. Soc. Rev. Vol. 27, August 1962; Kahl, Joseph A. "Educational and Occupational Aspirations of 'Common-Man' Boys", Harvard Educational Review, Vol. 23, Summer 1953.

their children's future education. While this study has no independent measure of parental "involvement" in the project the above results may well be interpreted in these terms. In short, we suggest that the variation in response rate is partially due to the parents interest in the study. If our interpretation is correct, then our finding are in accord with the results of Donald's study cited above.

### Parent's Education and College Intentions of Student

When we control for educational level of the parents, a number of interesting results become evident. Table IX below illustrates the data.

Percentage of Parental Returns by College Intentions of Student

(Fall '61) and Parent's Education

College Intentions of Student	Neither Parent Graduated from High School	At least <u>one</u> Parent Graduated from High School	At least <u>one</u> Parent had some College training
Yes, Definitely	65.1 (192)	70.3 (495)	74 <b>.</b> 5( <b>7</b> 92)
Uncertain	38.6 (427)	47.1 (346)	52.9 (180)
No, I will not go	29.5 (305)	40.6 (180)	36 <b>.</b> 5 (52)

Among college bound students the highest educational category returned 74.5 percent of the questionnaires. The next highest category returned 70.3 percent while the lowest educational category returned

68.1 percent. The same trend occurred among parents of students "uncertain" about their college intentions. Here the highest educational group returned 52.9 percent of the questionnaires. Forty-seven percent of the middle educational group returned the questionnaire while the lowest educational group returned 38.6 percent of the schedules. Of those students <u>not</u> college bound the highest return rate was found in the <u>middle</u> educational category. This is the only inconsistent result throughout the table. Here 40.6 percent returned the questionnaires while the highest educational category returned 36.5 percent. However sample size was small which might account for the discrepancy. The least educated group returned the least number (29.5 percent) of questionnairnes.

More impressive, however, is the distribution of returns with regards college intentions of students. Here the response rate of questionnaires increases with the likelihood of the student attending college. Holding education constant, among the least educated group the return rate increases from 29.5 percent for those <u>not</u> college bound to 39.6 percent for the "uncertain" category, to 65.1 percent for boys definitely planning to enter college. Similarly for the middle educational level held constant, the smallest percent of returns (40.6 percent) came from parents whose children were <u>not</u> college bound. Forty-seven percent of parents replied whose children were "uncertain" about college intentions while 70.3 percent of parents whose children were college bound returned the questionnaire. Within the highest educational category, parents of those not planning to go to college reply least frequently, only 36.5 percent. Parents whose children are "uncertain" returned 52.9 percent of schedules, while parents of students definitely planning to enter college

returned 74.5 percent of the schedules.

As Table IX indicates the most discriminating differences exist with respect to the college intentions of the student. Wide differences in questionnaire rates of returns of parents occurred within each educational level. However it is also evident that both variables -educational level and college intentions of student- influence the parental return rate of questionnaires.

We have argued earlier that the parents' interest in this research project roughly parallels the college intentions of the students. We may now suggest a link between educational level of parents and their motivation to return mailed questionnaires. The more highly educated groups probably have the greater number of college bound children. Moreover, parents with children entering college will likely be interested in future education of their children. Hence such parents will more likely concern themselves in college activities and therefore take a greater interest in college oriented research.

#### SUMMARY

The aim of this report has been to examine the problem of response bias when mail questionnaires are used in social survey research. Previous research has shown that certain objective characteristics differentiate repliers from non-repliers in surveys employing this technique. Mailed questionnaires are only reaching certain types of individuals e.g., the more highly educated, the higher statused and, the more interested, to mention the main groups. Such studies have usually been limited because of information loss from respondents who have failed to return the schedules. However, unlike previous studies, our study is able to make as many statements about the characteristics of non-respondents as respondents. To a large extent, we were able to minimize information loss even though a large proportion of the original sample did not return their questionnaires.

Specifically, we were concerned with four problems: first, we were interested in a general description of the repliers and non-repliers according to certain objective characteristics. Confirming earlier investigations our data showed that individuals who returned mailed questionnaires are characterized by <a href="https://doi.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/journal.new.org/10.1001/jo

We then examined selected variables known to be related to education. In an effort to establish the primacy of education as an influencing variable. By controlling for education we examined

(a) father's occupation (b) the student's perception of the family's financial status in the community and, (c) religious affiliation. The data left little doubt that the educational level of the parent was a major factor influencing the returning rate of self-administered mailed questionnaires.

Third, we asked if the content of the questionnaire which, in this case had to do with parental attitudes and expectations with respect to their offsprings use of alcohol, would significantly influence the rate of returns. No striking differences appeared either by the parents' position on alcohol use by children or the actual drinking behaviour of the student. Higher status parents, whose children drank with the family, tended to reply more often than parents who were unaware of their childrens' practices or, parents whose students did not drink with the family.

Finally, we sought to compare parental response rates by introducing one variable -"college intentions of student"- specifically related to this study. Our findings indicate that the rate of question-naire returns is markedly influenced by the college intentions of the student, that is, the greater the likelihood of college attendance on the part of the student, the higher the percentage of questionnaire returns from the parents. When we controlled for educational level, the data indicated that the more highly educated parent with a college-bound student was most likely to return the mailed questionnaire.

While our data tends to support the findings of previous studies, the present research is not without its own limitations. For example, our basic source of data was senior high school students in the

state of North Carolina. Since the formal educational experience of the student is known to be correlated with the socio-economic position of the family this suggests that our sample is somewhat biased in the direction of higher status families. A more random sample would have been preferrable, although more difficult to obtain.

Item selection is often a problem in survey research. Items for this report were selected from a larger project whose research objectives were altogether different from our own. However, while we selected items designed to elicit "objective" background information, we also had to use items which involved the respondent's judgement or perception of particular issues. The use of such items, in this type of research, is always open to considerable doubt as to their validity and reliability.

The question of who returns mail questionnaires in social research is an important issue in interpreting research results. For example, we might ask what are the theoretical and practical implications for the interpretation of social data with only a 55 percent response rate of questionnaire returns? Such considerations do make a difference in analysis. If those who returned mail questionnaires were a representative sample of the original sample no serious problems would arise. But such is not the case. The less complete the returning rate, the more likely it is that the returners are higher educated, higher statused and, probably more actively involved in the subject matter of the questionnaire. In the light our results and, those of other studies which demonstrate sources of bias in the returning rate, we may have to seriously reconsider the analysis and interpretation of objective, behavioural and attitudinal data from studies employing the technique of mailed question-maires.

At the practical level, the use of stratified sampling techniques ought to be considered in order to offset some of the problems of bias in return rates which emerge with the use of random techniques. Furthermore, the question of employing costly follow-up procedures should be re-examined in the light of what has been learned about non-respondents. Depending upon the nature of the study, it may be more economical, in time and money to establish "cut-off" points in order to eliminate that segment of the population whose basic social characteristics would be known.

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

#### BOOKS

- Reinhard Bendix and Seymour Lipset, <u>Class, Status and Power</u>, Free Press, (1953).
- Hubert M. Blalock, Social Statistics, Toronto: McGraw-Hill, (1960).
- Stuart F. Chapin, Experimental Designs in Sociological Research, New York:
  Harper Bros., (1947).
- Emile Durkheim, The Rules of Sociological Method, Free Press, translated 1938, (1950).
- L. J. Festinger and D. Katz, Research in the Behavioural Sciences, New York: Dryden Press, (1953).
- W. J. Goode and Paul K. Hatt, <u>Methods in Social Research</u>, Toronto: McGraw-Hill, (1952).
- T. F. Hoult, The Sociology of Religion, New York: Dryden Press, (1958).
- H. Hyman, Survey Design and Analysis, Free Press, (1955).
- M. Jahoda, M. Duetsch and S. Cooke, Research Methods in Social Relations
  (1) New York: Dryden Press, (1951).
- P.F. Lazarsfeld and M. Rosenderg, <u>The Language of Social Research: A Reader in the Methodology of the Social Sciences</u>, Free Press 195.
- K. B. Mayer, Class and Society, New York: Random House, (1954).
- E. K. Nottingham, Religion and Society, New York: Random House, (1954).
- M. Parten, Surveys, Polls and Samples, New York: Harper Broas., (1950).
- R. K. Merton, Social Theory and Social Structure, Free Press, (1961).

#### Articles:

- J. F. Arber and J. B. Gerbeich, "A Note on Consistency of Questionnaire Responses", American Sociological Review, Vol. XI (1946) pp. 13-15.
- Read Bain, "Stability in Questionnaire Response", American Journal of Sociology, Vol. 38, (1931) pp. 445-53.
- E. J. Bauer, "Response Bias in a Mail Survey", <u>Public Opinion Quarterly</u>, Vol. XI (1947) pp. 594-600.
- L. E. Benson, "Mail Surveys can be Valuable", Public Opinion Quarterly, Vol. 10, (1946) pp. 234-241.
- K. Bradt, "The Usefulness of a Post Card Technique in Mail Questionnaire Study", Public Opinion Quarterly, Vol. 14 (1955).
- Ruth S. Cavan, "The Questionnaire in a Sociological Research Project", American Journal of Sociology, (1933) Vol. 38, pp. 721-727.
- John A. Clausen, "Controlling Bias in Mail Questionnaires", <u>Journal of</u> the American Statistical Association, No. 240, Vol. 42, (1947) pp. 497-511.
- Irwin Deutscher, "Physicians' Reaction to a Mailed Questionnaire: A Study in 'Resistentialism'", Public Opinion Quarterly, Vol. 20, (1956), pp. 599-604.
- M. N. Donald, "Implications of Non-Response for the Interpretation of Mailed Questionnaire Data", <u>Public Opinion Quarterly</u>, Vol. 24, (1960), pp. 99-115.
- H. A. Edgerton, H. Stewart, and R. D. Norman, "Objective Differences among Various Types of Respondents to a Mailed Questionnaire", American Sociological Review, Vol. XII, (1947), pp. 435-444.
- A. Ellis, "Questionnaire versus Interview Methods in the Study of Human Love Relationships", American Sociological Review, Vol. XII (1947), pp. 541-553, and Vol. XIII (1948), pp. 61-65.
- A. L. Ferness, "A Note on Stimulating Response to Questionnaires", American Sociological Review, Vol. 16, (1951), pp. 247.
- M. H. Hansen and W. N. Hurwitz, "The Problem of Non-response in Sample Surveys", Journal of the American Statistical Association, Vol. XLI (1946) pp. 517-529.
- R. H. Harper, "The Present Status of Questionnaire-derived Opinion Data, Social Forces, pp. 294-297.

- H. Hyman, "Do They Tell the Truth?", Public Opinion Quarterly, Vol. VIII (1944-45), pp. 557-59.
- John B. Knox, "Maximizing Responses to Mail Questionnaires, A New Technique", Public Opinion Quarterly, Vol. 15, (1951) pp. 336-68.
- Paul Lazarsfeld and Raymond Franzen, "Mail Questionnaires as a Research Problem", <u>Journal of Psychology</u>, (1945), pp. 239-320.
- Paul Lazarsfeld and Raymond Franzen, "Validity of Mail Questionnaire in Upper Groups", (Time: Research report No. 940, Oct. 1945).
- M. N. Manfield, "A Pattern of Response to Mail Surveys", <u>Public Opinion</u> Quarterly, Vol. 12 (1948), 493-6.
- C. F. Reuss, "Differences Between Persons Responding and not Responding to a Mailed Questionnaire", <u>American Sociological Review</u>, Vol. VIII, pp. 433-438.
- R. F. Sletto, "Presenting of Questionnaires", American Sociological Review, Vol. V, (April 1940) pp. 193-200.
- Mapheas Smith, "A Note on Stability in Response", American Journal of Sociology, (1933), pp. 713-20, Vol. 38.
- E. A. Suchman and B. McCandless, "Who Answers Questionnaires?", <u>Journal</u> of Applied Psychology, Vol. XXIV (1940), pp. 758-769.
- Frank Stanton, "Notes on the Validity of Mail Questionnaire Returns", Journal of Applied Psychology, Vol. XXIII, No. 1, Feb., (1939).
- D. Wallace, "Mail Questionnaires Can Produce Good Samples of Homogeneous Groups", <u>Journal of Marketing</u>, July, (1947)
- D. Wallace, "The Case for and Against Mail Questionnaires", <u>Public Opinion Quarterly</u>, Vol. 18, (1954-55).

Case No 5200

# STUDY OF YOUNG PEOPLE

You are being asked to give us your help in a scientific study being done in the Institute for Research in Social Science at the University of North Carolina in Chapel Hill. About 5000 high school seniors in many different schools are helping us by completing this questionnaire. Many of the questions deal with your family relationships and with your attitudes toward alcohol. We think you will find them important and interesting.

We think you have a right to know what we are doing and why we need your help. We are interested in people your age. It is an important time of life. The things you believe, do, and want need to be known and understood. The questionnaires are a step in this direction. Today, as soon as you finish, we will seal them in an envelope, carry them back to the University, and begin preparing them for analysis in electronic machines. Eventually the results will be tabulated by the Univac 1105 Computer that you've probably heard about.

No one except research people working on this study will ever see your answers or know what you say. We will respect and protect your confidence completely. Your paper will be sealed as soon as you finish it and hold up your hand.

- 1. Please answer every question, after reading it carefully. Check the answer that comes closest if you do not find the exact answer you want.
- 2. Please use a check-mark (/) to show your answer. Be sure that it is placed neatly by the answer, so that we will not make any mistake in deciding what you meant.
- 3. This is not a test, so there are not any "right" or "wrong" answers. Any answer is the right answer if it is the true answer for you.

8.	If you had a chance to go on to college next year, would you like to go? ("College" does not include trade schools or business and secretarial schools.)					nich is true of you?		
	1. 2. 3.				3. 4.	Engaged Going steady Dating casually Not dating		
	Realistically, fall?	do you expect to go to college	this com	ing 14.	Have you at	tended any other high school besides this one?		
·U	2. 3.	Yes, definitely Yes, probably No, probably not No, I will not go	Ċ	•		No Yes, I attended another public high school Yes, I lived at home and attended a private high school for awhile		
110.		birthday?years old.			4.	Yes, I lived away from home and attended a private high school for awhile		
111.	Your sex?	(month)	(year)	√ <sup>15.</sup>	Are you livi	ing with:  Both real parents		
	1. 2.	<del>-</del>		Col 17	2.	Mother and stepfather Mother only		
112.	What grade	are you in?				Father and stepmother		
		12th, finishing this spring 12th, finishing next January			5.	Father only Other		

IF VO	U ARE LIVING WITH BOTH REAL PARENTS, SKIP	<b>9</b> 2	What is you	r religion? V	Coliz	
	JESTION 19	22.	0.			
				Southern Baptis	t И.І., И	
16 W	as your home broken by:		2.	Other Baptist	Note: Any r group with less the members were p	
Cal 18	as your home broken by:		3.	Methodist	group with less the	۸,
Cat 18				Presbyterian	members were p	wt
	2. Death of both parents			Episcopal	"other "category	
	3. Divorce			Lutheran Moravian	1.1	
	4. Separation of parents		8.			
	5. You left home			Catholic		
			x.			
17. If	your home was broken by death of a parent, divorce,	2.3				
or	separation, how long ago did this happen?	23.		_	nd worship services?	
Colzz	V075: 250			More than twic		
	years ago			About twice a		
				About once a w		
	you are now living with a stepparent, how long ago d your parent remarry?			About once a n		
u.	d your parent remarry:			Several times a		
	years ago			About once a y	•	
				Less than once		
Nome	TO MOVE ARE LIMING MINNEY A CORRESPONDE					
NOTE	: IF YOU ARE LIVING WITH A STEPPARENT,	24.	About how	many hours per	week would you say y	ou
	ANSWER ALL REMAINING QUESTIONS AS IF HE OR SHE WERE YOUR REAL PARENT. ALSO			nurch-related act	ivities?hours	190
	ANSWER FOR STEPBROTHERS AND STEPSIS-		week.			
	TERS AS IF THEY WERE REAL BROTHERS OR		D 1			۰
	SISTERS	25.	home?	e a job, or do p	oart-time work away fr	7111
			1.	No		
10.00	The second of the board of the falls in the				urs a week average	
J 19-20.	How many do you have of each of the following?			5 to 9 hours a		
	(Write number on each line)			10 to 14 hours a		
Col 19	(,		5.	15 to 19 hours a	week	
	1. Older brothers		6.	Yes, 20 or more	hours a week	
	2. Older sisters					
	3. Younger brothers	26.	How many	years of schooling	does your mother have	√
	4. Younger sisters	col	ı3 p1.	0-6 years		
	5. Twin brother			7-9 years		
	6. Twin sister		J3.		d not graduate from h	gh
				school Graduated from	high school	
	What is the age of the oldest male child in your	•		Some college	mgm sensor	
Co120	family?years old.			Graduated from	college	
			2	Post-graduate of		
	What is the age of the oldest female child in your	•	8.	I do not know		
	family?years old.					
Col 8/21 A				years of schooling	does your father have?	٧
√ 21. A	at the present time, do you live in?	col	1.	0-6 years		
			2.	7-9 years		
			3.		d not graduate from hi	gh _
	2. City of 5,000 to 50,000 population		A	school	high school	
	3. Town of 1,000 to 5,000			Graduated from	mgn school	
	4. Town under 1,000			. Some college . Graduated from	college	
	5. Outside of town, but not on a farm			. Post-graduate	_	
	6. On a farm		8	. I do not know		
		, n	nother and	Follow's Education	dusted from High	
	2.1	Z	1 1 1 1	Lances Counce		,
	Col	apse	of the	mese caregon	11/ En Hal	3
		(	1) neither	General had gra	dusted June 19	,0
		(	2) at least	one parent	graduated from Hy	(7:
		(	2) at least	are some t	quadrated from Hy had attended asle	12
		-	a) un uusi	ine permo		

	1,23		
	45		
. 10	6, <b>%</b> 9,70		
ه او	7, X		
	ch of the following phrases most nearly describes the decide)	kind	nd of work your father does? (The examples are just to help
PIPE		, sci	cientist, engineer, architect, doctor, teacher, etc.
- Coller	2. Is a manager, official, or executive in a busi	iness	ss, government agency, or other organization.
	3/ Runs a business of his own, like store owner		
	_		overnment agency or other organization; for example, book-
coller	keeper, accountant, postal clerk.	, G- ·	. ,
Coller	5. Is a sales worker, such as manufacturer's re	pres	esentative, salesman, or clerk in store.
-: Skilled	6-7 Works at a skilled trade or craft, such as ca	rper	enter, electrician, printer.
	Operates a machine or does mechanical wo factory.	rk, :	, such as garage mechanic, or machine operator in shop or
kulled: semi	9.7 Is a service worker who performs services s	uch	h as barber, waiter, letter carrier, or household servant.
lled Blue-ca	lor	er. l	hod carrier, restaurant helper, or other unskilled work.
	x. Is tenant farmer, farm laborer, or farm ren		
	y. Is retired or unemployed.		•
beer	how many of the past six years has your mother a employed outside the home?	34.	4. During the summers, have you ever had a regular job for which you received pay?
(10	0. None		1. Yes, one summer
	1. Less than one year		2. Yes, two or more summers
	2. One to two years		3. No
	3. Two to three years	0.5	r D L
	4. Three to four years	35.	5. Do you have a driver's license?
			1. Yes
			2. No
30. Is sl	he employed now?	36	6. Have you ever owned your own car?
	1. No	٠٠.	
	2. Yes		
₩31. In g	eneral, what kind of a standing do you think your		
	lly has in your community?	37.	7. Can you use your parents' car?
Average 1			1. Yes, when I want it
	2. Above average; looked up to		
1914			
House F	4. Just so-so		4. No
Micay			
		38.	3. Graduating from high school and going on to college
fami	well off financially is your family compared with lies of other young people you know?		or work carries a lot more freedom and responsibility than you have ever had before. Do you feel that you are fully ready for this?
Hrecase 1.			
	2. Some better off		
all c	3. About average		
	4. A little below average		4. I have many doubts about it
	5. A good deal below average		
	t rank do you have in your class so far as your es are concerned?	39.	What is the longest period of time you have ever spent away from both your parents at a single time?
			1. Never spent a night away
	2. My grades are better than average but not at the top		
			4. Two or three weeks
	4. My grades are below the average of my		5. A month
	class		6. More than one month

40.	You sometimes hear it said of a young person that because of his standards and the way he looks at life he should enter some kind of religious work. Are you the kind of person this would be said of?	46.	Suppose a student had to choose between, on the one hand, being an excellent student but not taking part very much in club and social activities, and on the other hand, being only an average student but very active in clubs and other social activities. Which do you think is more desirable in the case of a person your age?
	2. Probably		
	3. Possibly		2. The serious student type
	4. Definitely not		3. Some of both, but more the active, popular type
			4. Some of both, but more the serious student
41.	What would your parents do to keep you from drinking		type
3	alcoholic beverages if you seemed inclined to do so? (Check the most extreme thing they would do)	47.	Which of the following statements comes the closest to describing you?
V			
			business.
	4. Order me not to		2. A person who enjoys other people when
			I'm with them but prefers to spend much of my time doing things alone of my own choosing
42.	Some parents expect their children to obey immediately when they tell them to do something. Others don't think		things with other people and enjoys it; being with others is very important to me.
	it is terribly important for a child to obey right away.  In years past, have your parents insisted on strict obedi-	48	What is the single most important reason why you do the
	ence from you?	10.	things your parents would approve of?
			1. I want to please them
	2. Yes, when I was small		
			4. I'm afraid of what they'll do if I don't
43	Do they now insist on strict obedience from you?		5. Children are supposed to obey their parents
10.	Do said town hands on bases obtained from you.	40	At what stage in a person's life are questions about
	1. Yes, definitely	10.	what's right and what's wrong the hardest to figure out?
	2. Yes, as much as they can		1. When you're younger than I am now
	3. No, not really		2. When you're about the age I am now
44-	45. Here is a set of circles. Suppose that being right in the center (circle No. 1) means being guided and watched very carefully by your parents, and being on the edge (circle No. 5) means being free to do anything you want	50.	As your best guess, how many of the senior boys here in your high school use alcohol (beer or whiskey) to some extent?
	to and your parents won't object.		
			2. Over half of them
	Which circle are you in?		
	Which circle would you like to be in?		4. Less than half of them
	which circle would you like to be in:		5. Just a few of them
			6. None of them
		51.	As your best guess, how many of the senior girls here in your high school use alcohol (beer or whiskey) to some extent?
	$\{\{\{\{(\alpha\}\}\}\}\}\}$		1. Almost all of them
			2. Over half of them
	11 3/4/-/		3. About half of them
	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		4. Less than half of them5. Just a few of them
			6. None of them
			** *** ****

52-58	. Do you a	gree or disagree	that:							
(1) <b>Agre</b>	, ,	` •								
			If a fellow wants to be par against his principles	rt of the leading crowd around here he sometimes has to go						
			If a girl wants to be part o her principles	a girl wants to be part of the leading crowd around here she sometimes has to go again principles						
			Drinking is wrong as a m	atter of principle; people ought not to drink						
			A boy who doesn't disobey	his parents occasionally is a sissy						
			If a person decides to do s	something that he knows his parents don't want him to do, he						
			owes it to his parents to t A young person with the r eggs" sometimes	right kind of upbringing won't be hurt by associating with "bad						
			A person my age should al times he may not agree th	ways do what his parents want him to do, even though some- at this is the best thing						
			udly of her own high school to talk about? (Check one)	THE NEXT FOUR QUESTIONS ARE FOR BOYS ONLY. GIRLS SKIP TO QUESTION 67.						
		How she was a	_							
4		to	clubs and such she belonged	63. In terms of his ability to get along with people, would you be pleased to be like your father when you are an adult?						
,		parties	ime she had on dates and	1. Yes, I would be						
	4.	How she was a	leader in many activities	2. No, not particularly						
	6.	She never talks days	much about her high school	3. No, I would not be						
60. W		She didn't go to father talks prou	high school  dly of his own high school	64. In terms of the knowledge he has, how well informed he is, would you be pleased to be like your father when you are an adult?						
ďa	ays, what i	s he most likely	to talk about? (Check one)							
		How he was a go								
		How he was a								
-		How he wowed How he was alw	yays into some kind of mis-							
•		chief The number of	school activities he took	65. Thinking now of the common sense he has, would you be pleased to be like your father when you are an adult?						
	6	part in	nuch about his high school							
		days	nuch about his high school							
	7.	He didn't go to	high school							
ta: se:	in time co: lves. On <b>dr</b>	mes they can the inking alcohol, wl	children that when a cer- en decide things for them- hich of the following repre-	66. In terms of his temper and emotional control, would you be pleased to be like your father when you are an adult?						
36.		y your parents for	want to while I am in high							
	******************	school	want to while I am in high							
		from high school		3. No, I would not be						
			want to when I am 18	THE NEXT FOUR QUESTIONS ARE FOR GIRLS ONLY.						
			want to when I am 21 want to after I set up a	BOYS SKIP TO QUESTION 71.						
		to use alcohol	ve their permission for me	67. In terms of her ability to get along with people, would you be pleased to be like your mother when you are an adult?						
o2. Is the	this a clear ey <b>fee</b> l abo	r, definite position out it?	or just the way you think							
	1.	A clear, definite	position	2. No, not particularly						
	_	The way I think		3. No. I would not be						

68.	In terms of the knowledge she has, how well informed she is, would you be pleased to be like your mother when you are an adult?	1810. Do your parents' opinions mean as much to you now as they did several years ago, or do you tend to value their opinions less now?
	l. Yes, I would be	
	2. No, not particularly	2. Tend to value them less now
	3. No, I would not be	3. Mean more to me now than ever
69.	Thinking now of the common sense she has, would you be pleased to be like your mother when you are an adult?	B11. How many evenings a week, on the average, do you spend at home without going out? Write the number in
	l. Yes, I would be	here:
		B12. Do you ever wish that your parents would let you be more independent?
70.	In terms of her temper and emotional control, would you be pleased to be like your mother when you are an adult?	
	2. No, not particularly	4. No
	3. No, I would not be	
71.	By the time a person is in the tenth grade, do you think his parents should enforce definite study hours for him?	B13. If you were going to college, and money were no prob- lem, would you rather go away to college or to a col- lege where you could live at home?
		1. Away to college
	2. Yes, this is a contribution his parents can make to his education	2. Live at home
		B14. As the person you would be if all your dreams could
72.	By the time a person is a senior in high school, should	come true, would you: (check one)
	his parents enforce definite study hours for him?	
	1. No, it's time to let him "sink or swim" on his own in his studies	
	2. Yes, this is a contribution his parents can make to his education	
73.	Do you think a person your age has the right to decide for himself whether he is going to drink alcohol socially or not?	B15. Some parents go out of their way to help their child when he runs into any problem, whereas other parents are more likely to let the child handle the situation
	1. Yes 2. I'm not sure	himself. What are your parents more likely to do?
	3. No	1. Help me out as soon as I run into difficulty
		2. Wait to see if I can handle the situation
74	The way you see things, by what age in a child's life have	myself
•	his parents taught him just about all they can about his	710.0
	basic standards and how to conduct himself? Write in the	B16. Some young people seem to think their parents are somewhat old fashioned or out of step in their ways of
	age here:	looking at things. Are your parents like this?
P3.	How do you feel about leaving home when you finish	Glz
	school?	2. Yes, quite often
	2. I have mixed feelings about it 3. I don't want it to happen	4. No, never
		B17. Is it easier or harder to get along with your parents
<b>B</b> 3.	Are you as close to your parents now as when you were	now than it was two or three years ago?
	several years younger, or have you grown away from	1. Much easier
	them to some extent?	2. Somewhat easier
	1. I'm as close to them now as ever	3. Somewhat harder
	2. I've grown away from them some	4. Much harder
	3. I'm closer to them now than ever	5. No change

	<b>B</b> 18.	If the ques	stion of whether or not you should use al-	B25. How did you feel?
W			up in your family, how would your parents (Check one)	
		nanute it:	(Check one)	
اامــ	27	1.	They would make a decision and tell me what to do	
		2.	We would discuss it as a family and decide	4. It hasn't happened
			together	
		3,	My father and I would discuss it and decide	B26. Have your parents ever told you that you had hurt the
⊒ /V		4.	together  My mother and I would discuss it and decide together	family's reputation by something you had done that wasn't right?
		5.	My parents would say how they feel but	
		6	that what I do about drinking is up to me My parents would not say how they feel;	2. Yes, a few times
			they would leave it all up to me	
				4. No
	B19.	If you did a	something that your parents didn't want you	
		to do, wou	ld you feel bad about it afterwards even if find out about it?	B27. Have your parents ever told you that you had hurt your reputation by something you had done that wasn't
		1.		right?
		2.		1 Vac many times
		3.	Can't decide	
				2. Yes, a few times
	B20.	Have your	parents ever asked you to promise them not	3. Yes, once or twice
		to drink?		4. No
1:2	8	1.	Yes, within the last year	
	-		Yes, but not within the last year	B28. Below is a list of items on which some parents have rules for their teen-age children, while others don't.
		3.	No	Check each item that your parents have definite rules for.
	B21.	Have you e	ver signed a pledge at church not to drink?	Time for being in at night on weekends
		1.	Yes, and I really meant it	Amount of dating
		2.	Yes, but I didn't take it too seriously	
			No, but I've been asked to	Time spent watching TV
		4.	No, I've never been asked to	Time spent on homework
	B22.	Have your	parents ever told you they were disappointed	
		in you for s	something you had done that wasn't right?	
			Yes, many times	Eating dinner with the family
			Yes, a few times	No rules for any of the above items
			Yes, once or twice	
		4,		B29-30. If it became necessary for your parents to punish you now, what is the most effective punishment they could possibly use on you? (Check only one)
	B23.	How did yo	u feel?	
		1.	I felt very bad	Act hurt, upset, or disappointed
			I felt a little bad	Take away the keys to the car
			It really didn't bother me	Give me a good talking to
		4.	It hasn't happened	""Take me out back of the woodshed"
				Cut out my allowance for a time
]			parents ever seemed disappointed in you, ually saying so?	
		1	Yes, many times	Other. What?
			Yes, a few times	Go back and put an X by each punishment your par-
			Yes, once or twice	ents have used on you at one time or another during
		4.	No	the last year.

B31.	Are there any things you don't do that your parents would really like for you to do? (Check all that apply)	B37. If you seemed to be getting too serious about someone you'd been dating, what would your parents do about it?
	Yes, I could run around with a different crowd	
	Yes, I could be more affectionate with them than I am	
	Yes, I could study harder and make better grades in school	
	Yes, I could be more faithful to the church than I am	4. Really crack down; forbid me to see this person like I'd been doing
	Yes, I could come in earlier at night than I do	
	Yes, I could ask their permission to do things more than I do	B38. [This question is for boys only] In raising you, who has your mother tended most to hold up to you as a good example? (Check only one)
		1. My father
	I can't think of anything like that	
1229	Do you do a lot of things, just a few things, or no things	4. One of her brothers
D02.	at all that you know your parents don't want you to do?	
		6. No one in particular
	1. A lot of things	B39. Thinking now of all the things your parents stand for
	2. Just a few things	and want you to believe in, do these things fit in with
	3. No things at all to speak of	the kind of life you want to live?
		1. All of them do
B33.	How close is your relationship to your father?	2. Almost all of them do
		B40. If you could be remembered here at school for one of
		the four things below, which one would you want it to
		be?
D24	Suppose that your parents, without discussing it with	1. Brilliant student
134.	you to find out how you feel about it, simply told you	2. Athletic star
	that you are not to drink alcohol. How would you feel	
	about this?	
	1. I would feel a strong obligation not to drink	B41. Some people say that the way things are now there are many times when, if young people want to get ahead
	2. I would feel some obligation not to drink, but not much	in life, it is better for them to keep quiet and go along
	3. I would feel no obligation not to drink	with the crowd rather than stand up for what they know is right. What do you think of this point of view?
√ B35. Cما ≥9	So far as your father is concerned, does he prefer that you learn to drink, that you not drink, or doesn't he care one way or the other?	
	2 <sup>6</sup> ,	
	1. Learn to drink	but for the most part they are not correct
	2. Not drink	4. These statements do not represent the
	3. Doesn't care	truth; they are wrong
,		B42. Thinking now of the times when you obey your parents
√ B36.	So far as your mother is concerned, does she prefer	even when you really want to do something else: Why
0.130	that you learn to drink, that you not drink, or doesn't she care?	do you obey them in cases like this? (Check one)
Co(.30	28	l. I want to please them
	1. Learn to drink	
	2. Not drink	
	3. Doesn't care	4. Children are supposed to obey their parents

B43. Among high school senior boys who drink, how many of them do you guess feel guilty about it?	B49-58. If you could change things concerning the way your parents treat you, what would you change? (Check each one that applies)
1. Just about all of them	A TO A STATE OF THE STATE OF TH
2. Most of them	1. Treat my opinions with more respect
3. About half of them	
4. Less than half of them	what I do
5. Only a few of them if any	
· · · · · ·	4. Approve of my friends more than they do
B44. When you do something that you know your father	more than they do
doesn't want you to do, how do you usually feel about it afterwards?	
it afterwards?	than just telling me what to do
1 Fool quite quilter about it	
	really not
	fectionate all the time
4. Never do things he doesn't want me to do	10. Punish me more than they do when I
	really need it
B45 Suppose that your parents would not give you permis-	0. I wouldn't change any of these things
sion to do something that you really wanted. What do	
you find it best to do in a case like that?	The Time of the Control of the Contr
	B59. Who sets higher standards for you, your mother or your father?
1. Tell them how I feel about it and we talk	Col.3(
it over from all sides and settle it	
	2. My mother, a little more
trouble	3. No difference
	4. My father, a little more
get the chance and not say anything more	5. My father, much more
to them about it	
4. Decide that they really know what's best for me and forget the whole thing	B60. Would you say you are a part of the leading crowd in this school?
PAG Dana and the same and the s	1. Yes
B46. Does your mother make it a point to stay up until you return home at night?	
rotati nome at mgnt:	
1. Yes, always	IF NO: Would you like to be part of the leading crowd?
	-
	2. Yes
1100 abaa119	3. No
	4. Don't care
B47. When you have been out at night, do you make it a point to let your parents know when you return?	What does it take to get in with the leading crowd in this school?
	***************************************
D40 **	
B48. How many boys in this school, in your opinion, have the	
idea that being willing to drink is part of being a "good sport" or a "right guy"?	
-F or a light buy :	B61. Thinking realistically, do you think you will live in your home town when you are out of school and have a job?
	nome town when you are out of school and have a long
	2. Probably yes
4. Less than half	3. Don't know
	4. Probably not
6. None of the boys have this idea	5. Definitely not

B62-67. Do you agree or disagree that:									
(1) Agree	(2) Disagree	(3) Not Sure							
			This day and time, a person	need	s to be willir	ng to drink to get ahead socially			
			This day and time, a person	nis day and time, a person needs to be willing to drink to get ahead in business					
			Knowing how to drink help						
			-	_	_				
_			come up	person my age owes it to his parents to ask their opinion on important matters that me up a person like myself wants to do something that's important to him but his parents are					
			against it, it's all right for h	im to	do it				
			However strong the moral t kind of friends in order to l			person has received, he has to have the right			
	Suppose that a he feel uncomf			B74.	Have you ed	ver believed that God will punish you if you ol?			
	1. Ye	s, very mucl	n so		1.	Yes			
	2. Yes	s, to some e	extent		2.	Ne			
	3. No	not likely							
B69.	Do the people	who are d	rinking feel uncomfortable	B75.	Do you now drink alcoh	w believe that God will punish you if you ol?			
	about having h	im around:			1.				
	1. Yes				2.	No			
	2. Yes	-	extent						
	3. No	, not likely		B76.		important to you ever said that God will if you drink alcohol?			
		uncomforta	ble—the non-drinker or the		1,	Yes, many have			
	drinkers?				2.	Yes, several have			
	1. Th				3.	No			
	2. Th		· ·						
	3. Th		-	B77.		nts ask a person your age not to drink, but rinking is OK, should he go ahead and drink			
	young people a	round here,	ant party was held for the and mixed drinks would be-		1. 2.				
	served. What d		iner preier?						
	1. Th			B78.	If his parer	nts ask him not to drink, but he goes ahead			
	2. Th			2.0.	and drinks	anyway, should he tell them what he has			
		_	drink if I want to		done?				
	4. It	really would	n't matter much with her		1. 2.				
B72.	What does you	r father pre	efer?						
		_		<b>B</b> 79	Suppose the	at a person like yourself is very tempted to			
	1. Th		not drink	<i>D</i> 10.	do somethi	ng. It really has a lot of appeal to him, but			
			drink if I want to		he wonders	if it's the right thing to do. He thinks that			
		_	n't matter much with him		decides not	ght be wrong. He thinks it over and finally to do it. Think of an experience you've had then you really wanted to do something but			
B73.	In your judgm to begin using		the best time for a person ally?		decided no	t to because it might not be right. What, makes a person decide that he shouldn't do			
		nder parents nool	supervision while in high			His conscience tells him			
			e finishes high school		2.	He thinks of what would happen if he were			
		hile he's in o	_		9	caught  He thinks of what people in general especi-			
			on his own, supporting him-			He thinks of what people in general, especially his friends, would think			
	sel		,		4.	He thinks of what his parents would expect			
	5. No	ever				him to do			

H	drink, beca	n felt that it was very important to him huse of the crowd he ran with, but it was to to his parents that he not drink, what do hould do?	very Cal	.33	1. 2.	Yes, with my father	
	1	. Stay in his group, drink, and tell his par	ents		4.	Yes, with my mother	
	2	. Stay in his group, drink, and not tell parents		4 77			- first drink?
	3.	Stay in his group, but refuse to drink	CI	4. H	ow old w	ere you when you tasted you	. msc uma:
		Get out of this group					
	***************************************	dot out of mis group	CI	5 D:	id von tol	ke your first drink at home?	
			CI	J. D.	-		
		W QUESTIONS REFER TO YOUR			1. 2.		
ט	RINKING BEI	HAVIOR	~-			1 1-4-6	
			CI	6. Do	-	ik on a date?	
					1.	Yes, as a typical thing	
W	<ol><li>Do you no drink alcol</li></ol>	ow (since the beginning of this school ye holic beverages in any form?	ar)		2. 3.	Yes, on special occasions No	
18.	1.	Yes	C11	7 CL	soale tha m	nost extreme effect drinking has	ever had on
	2.	No	CI	yo	u:		ever had on
						No noticeable effect	
						I felt "high" or "gay"	
		WER IS "YES," CONTINUE TO				I became "tight" I became drunk	
Q	DESTION (C	9) IMMEDIATELY BELOW				I passed out	
IF	YOUR ANSV	VER IS "NO," SKIP TO QUESTION C24			***************************************	1 passed out	
(7	OP OF PAGE	E 12)	C18	3. Ho	w many t	times has this most extreme effe	ct occurred?
					0.	No noticeable effect ever	
						Only once	
(	9 Does this is	polydo both been and mhistory or just one	of			Two or three times	
	them?	nclude both beer and whiskey, or just one	OI			Four or five times	
	1.	Roth			4.	More than five times	
		Only beer	/C10	Do	vour nar	ents know that you drink?	
		•			1.		
		Only whiskey	col 34		2.		
Cı	0. How often year?	have you used alcohol during this sch	ool C20			ee best reason why you drink:	
	-	0-1				To be with the crowd	
		Only once				I like the taste	muhlad
		Two or three times				When I'm unhappy, bored, or to Because it's served at home	Odbied
		Maybe half a dozen times				In order not to be shy	
		Eight to ten times				It's the thing to do	
	5.	More than ten times					
CI	1 How often 1	borro mon was disabled during the lock many				ider yourself as a person who	irinks?
0.		have you used alcohol during the last montoner Not at all	.11:		1. 2.		
	_	Only once or twice	<b>C</b> 22.			ighly the total amount of bee your life.	r you have
		About once a week				of cans and bottles)	
	4.	More than once a week					
Cl		describe yourself as an inexperienced or	C23. an	you	ı have coı	ghly the total amount of whisk nsumed in your life.	
	experienced				(Number	of mixed drinks)	*******
	1.	Inexperienced			1	NOW SKIP TO QUESTION C	4
	2.	Experienced				(2nd COLUMN, PAGE 12)	

## IF YOU DO NOT DRINK (YOU SAID "NO" TO QUESTION EVERYONE ANSWER ALL REMAINING QUESTIONS C8), CONTINUE NOW TO THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS

	27. Here are some reasons people give for not drinking. Rank them in order of their importance to you. Put "1" by the most important reason, "2" by the second, "3" by the third, and "4" by the fourth most important reason.	C34. Suppose that, on the question of your using alcohol your parents said that they were not going to say how they feel, but they would leave the decision entirely up to you. How would you feel about this?
		it would show that they trust me
		C35. Some young people depend on their parents a great deafor advice and help in making decisions. Others are relatively independent. How about you?
C28.	Have you ever felt a curiosity to know what it's like to drink?	C36. Have you ever deliberately done something you weren's supposed to do as a way of getting even with your parents?
	1. Yes 2. No	
C29.	Have you ever been urged or teased by your friends to take a drink?	4. No, I never have
		C37. Some parents go out of their way to help their child when he runs into any problem, whereas other parents are more likely to let the child handle the situation himself. Which would you rather your parents do?
C30.	Have you ever felt out of place because you wouldn't drink?	
C31.	Have you ever felt that probably you ought to start	C38. After you and your mother have had a disagreement, how do you usually feel about it?
	drinking sometime?	
C32.	Do you intentionally avoid situations where you might be tempted to drink?	4. We never have a disagreement that amounts to anything
		C39. After you and your father have had a disagreement, how do you usually feel about it?
C33.	Although you don't drink now, would you guess you will start drinking in the future?	
	1. Yes	
		4. We never have a disagreement that
	3. No	amounts to anything

	our parents ever asked you not to do something it would disappoint them?	g C48	. From what one person have you learned most of the things that are necessary to living the kind of life you		
	1. Yes, many times2. Yes, a few times		want to li	ive when you grow up?	
	3. Yes, once or twice		***************************************		
******	4. No				
		C49.	Comparing college:	g drinking in high school and drinking in	
C41. Have y	our parents ever asked you not to do something	3			
	it would hurt the family's reputation?			l. Both are equally bad	
	1. Yes, many times			<ol><li>Both are bad, but drinking in high school is worse</li></ol>	
	2. Yes, a few times			3. Drinking in high school is bad but drinking	
	3. Yes, once or twice 4. No			in college is OK	
*******	, <b>x</b> . 110		4	. Neither is bad	
C42. Have yo because	our parents ever asked you not to do something it would hurt your reputation?	C50.	How hard wrong the	is it for you to decide questions of right and	
*******	1. Yes, many times				
	2. Yes, a few times			. Often it's very hard	
	3. Yes, once or twice			. Sometimes it's very hard	
*******	4. No			. Once in a while it's hard . Practically no trouble at all	
C42 Do			4	. Practically no trouble at an	
each ot	and your mother show your affection toward her quite a bit, or are you fairly reserved with				
each of	ier?	C51.	Which mo	re closely represents how you feel about it?	
	1. Show affection freely		1.	Most people are basically good and want to do the right thing	
	2. Fairly reserved		2.	Most people do the right thing mainly because of rules and social pressure	
each of	and your father show your affection toward er quite a bit, or are you fairly reserved with				
each ot	er?	C52.	When you	do something that you know your mother	
	1. Show affection freely		doesn't war it afterwar	nt you to do, how do you usually feel about	
*******	2. Fairly reserved		1	Feel quite guilty about it	
CAS How als				Feel a little guilty about it	
C45. How ele	se is your relationship to your mother?			Figure this is just part of growing up and	
*******	l. Unusually close			deciding things for myself	
********	.2. Closer that that of most people I know		4.	Never do things she doesn't want me to do	
	.3. About as close as most people I know				
	.4. Probably not as close as in most cases	C53.	Thinking b	ack to the last time your friends wanted you	
	.5. Definitely not as close as in most cases		to do somet what did y	hing you knew your parents disapproved of, ou do?	
father?	eel that you are closer to your mother or your		1.	I did what my friends wanted and didn't think any more about it	
	.1. Much closer to my mother		2.	I did what my friends wanted, but I felt	
********	.2. A little closer to my mother		•	bad about it afterwards	
	.3. A little closer to my father		3.	I didn't do what my friends wanted but I wished afterwards I had	
*********	.4. Much closer to my father		4.	I didn't do what my friends wanted and I was glad I didn't	
c47. Some you what the this to y	ung people feel a strong desire to live up to ir parents want them to be. How important is ou?		5.	I can't remember any time like this	
		C54. S	So far as m	noderate drinking by other people your age	
	<ol> <li>The most important thing in my life</li> <li>One of the most important things</li> </ol>	i	s concerned	i, do you think this is all right if they want	
	3. Important, but other things are more	t	o do it?		
**********	important		1.	No, it's not all right	
	4. Fairly important		2.	All right for boys but not for girls	
	5. Not important at all		3.	Yes, it's all right	

C00-	oo. Do you a	agree or c	nsagree that:							
	(1) Agree I	(2) Disagree	(3) Not Sure							
				This day and time, a	This day and time, a person needs to be willing to drink to be popular in college					
						get along with other people				
						bey her parents occasionally is a chicken				
				in order to get to do	It's all right for a person my age to misrepresent the facts to his parents sometimes, in order to get to do what he wants to do					
				If a child has been ra	ised right, he will	be able to resist the strongest of temptations				
				As long as his parent parents want him to	s are supporting t do on important	nim, a person is obligated to do whatever his matters				
C61. So far as drinking by other people your age is concerned, have you become either more tolerant or less tolerant				your age is concerned, erant or less tolerant		e the taste of liquor?				
	of this than	you used	to be?		1.					
	1,	Less tole	erant		2.	Yes, a little				
	2.	More tol	erant		3.	No, not really				
	3.	Haven't	changed		4.	Never tasted it				
C62.	Is the ques what's wro cuss with y	ng—usuall	ly easy or us	ds—what's right and sually difficult to dis-	C68. Suppose a doesn't ord	person is invited to have a drink, but he inarily drink. What should he do?				
	1.	Usually (	easy to discus	s with both parents	1.	Drink anyway				
	2.	Usually of	easy to discus	ss with my mother	2.	Politely decline				
				ss with my father		Accept the drink, but not drink it				
	4.		difficult to d	iscuss with both		State his objections to drinking				
	5	parents				_				
		The subj	lect somenow	never comes up	J.	Try to persuade the others not to drink				
C63.	3. How important is it to you to keep your mother's love and respect?			ep your mother's love	C69. Some adolescents enjoy having a large number of companions, whereas others would rather cultivate a small					
	1.	Nothing	is more im	portant	circle of fr	iends. Which do you prefer?				
		_	_	ortant things to me	1	I prefer to have a large number of com-				
	3.	Quite im more im		some other things are		panions				
		Fairly in	_			I prefer to have a small number of select friends				
	5.	Doesn't	really matter							
C64.	When you your childre	When you are a parent yourself, will you try to raise your children the way your parents have raised you?			C70. How important is it to you to keep your father's love and respect?					
		Yes, in a			1,	Nothing is more important				
			most ways		2.	Among the most important things to me				
			ge a number ge a lot of th			Quite important, but some other things are more important				
					4.	Fairly important				
C65.			d, where is t the city or t	he best place to raise he country?		Doesn't really matter				
	1.	In the ci	ity is much b	etter						
			ity is some b		C71. What is the	best system for a town or nation to have, in				
				a farm is some better	your opinion	?				
	4.	In the co	ountry or on	a farm is much better	1.	Do not permit sale of alcoholic beverages such as beer or whiskey				
C66.	Do you like		e of beer?		2,	Permit sale of beer but not whiskey				
	1.				3.	Have well-regulated liquor stores run by				
	2.	Yes, a l	ittle			the state				
	3.	No, not	really		4.	Have liquor stores like you have drug stores				
		Never ta	asted it			and grocery stores				

C12.	now than they were doing a year or so ago?	would be a better place or a worse place if there were
		absolutely no beer or whiskey used by or available t the citizens?
C73.	What effect does drinking have on a fellow's reputation in this high school?	
		C78. Do you have any health problems that have kept you home, slowed you down, made you less active, anything like this, compared to other persons your age?
C74.	What effect does drinking have on a girl's reputation in this high school?	2. No
		C79. What students here in school of your own sex do you go around with most often? (Give both first and last names)
C75.	If a boy in this high school drinks, what effect does this have on your opinion of him?	
C76.	If a girl in this high school drinks, what effect does this have on your opinion of her?	
	3. It doesn't make any difference with me	

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR KIND HELP AND COOPERATION