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## Lay Abstract

Aspergillus fumigatus is a common fungal mold that can be found throughout the environment, both indoors and outdoors. Inhalations of the spores can cause infections in humans, which is referred to as aspergillosis, and severe invasive infections primarily affect the immunocompromised. For treatment, antifungal drugs such as triazoles (voriconazole and itraconazole) and amphotericin B are used. However, rising reports of triazole resistance and the emergence of amphotericin B resistant $A$. fumigatus strains has become a major public health concern. My thesis aims to investigate mechanisms associated with triazole and amphotericin B resistance by analyzing the relationships between genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphisms and antifungal drug susceptibilities of environmental and clinical A. fumigatus isolates. By identifying candidate genes and mutations associated with resistance, our findings will contribute to developing quick and effective diagnostic markers for clinical screening of antifungal resistance in $A$. fumigatus strains.

## Abstract

Aspergillus fumigatus is a filamentous saprophytic mold that is found abundantly in the biosphere. A. fumigatus is also an airborne human pathogen and is considered the major cause of aspergillosis, infections caused by inhalation of conidia. In immunocompetent individuals, the spores rarely cause any harm as they are cleared by innate pulmonary defences; however, in immunocompromised patients, the host immune system can fail to clear the inhaled conidia and aspergillosis may develop. Indeed, aspergillosis represents a major cause of morbidity and mortality in these populations. Aspergillosis is commonly treated using triazole and amphotericin $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{AMB})$ antifungal agents. However, the increasing prevalence of triazole resistant strains and emergence of AMB resistance has become a challenge in treatment. To further expand our knowledge on the mechanisms of antifungal resistance in the species, we tested previously known or associated genes for antifungal resistance as well as investigated novel mechanisms via multiple genome-wide association studies (GWAS), which used a total of 211 genomes from $A$. fumigatus strains in 12 countries. Our results identified many novel mutations related to triazole and AMB resistance. Specifically, using stepwise GWAS analyses, we identified 6 and 18 missense variants to be significantly associated with itraconazole and voriconazole resistance, respectively. A linkage disequilibrium analysis identified six additional missense variants associated with triazole resistance, with two of these six being consistently associated with pan-azole resistance across subsets of samples. Furthermore, examination of known mutation sites and genes overexpressed with triazole exposure found a total of 65 SNPs implicated in triazole resistance. For the AMB study, we identified a total of 34 mutations associated with AMB tolerance using a GWAS. Subsequent analysis with 143 progeny strains, generated from a laboratory cross and genotyped with PCR-RFLP, identified epistatic interactions between five of these SNP sites that impacted growth in different concentrations of AMB. With the expanding immunocompromised population and increasing frequency of antifungal resistance, our results will help in investigating novel resistance mechanisms in A. fumigatus and in expanding the molecular diagnostic toolset in resistance screening, to enable rapid and accurate diagnosis and treatment decision-making.
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## Chapter 1

## General Introduction

### 1.1. Fungal Infections

Fungi are among the oldest known causes for infection in humans and are highly prevalent in human populations. Their impact on both plant and animal life is widely recognized; being involved in topics including food security, plant disease epidemics, and biodiversity loss (Fisher et al., 2012). However, fungal disease in humans is generally a neglected topic and often overlooked by public health authorities, research funding bodies, and governments. Until the second half of the $20^{\text {th }}$ century, systematic fungal infections were not seen as a major medical problem and were relatively rare (Casadevall, 2018). However, medical advancement in the mid- $20^{\text {th }}$ century led to an expanding immunocompromised population. In combination with factors such as the HIV epidemic in 1980s, travel, and commerce, fungal diseases in humans have increased dramatically (Casadevall, 2018). Although currently fungal infections contribute substantially to human mortality and global burden of fungal diseases is increasing, their impact on human health is not widely appreciated. On the other hand, bacterial, viral, and protozoan diseases have been accepted as important public health threats for centuries (Rodrigues and Nosanchuk, 2020). In the past decade, the Canadian Institutes for Health Research (CIHR) has invested around \$50 million towards total funding in fungal research, meanwhile approximately $\$ 500$ million had been provided for bacterial research (Horianopoulos et al., 2021). In addition, no licensed vaccine exists yet against any fungal disease and the World Health Organization conducted their first meeting for determining fungal pathogens of public health importance only recently in 2020.

Superficial dermatophytic fungal infections affect about 20 to $25 \%$ of the global population, with incidence rates continuing to increase (Kalita et al., 2019). Over 300 million people are affected by serious fungal infections and an annual estimation of 1.6 million
deaths are caused by fungal diseases (Jermy, 2017). Furthermore, over $90 \%$ of deaths caused by fungal infections are caused by species belonging to four genera: Candida, Pneumocystis, Cryptococcus, and Aspergillus (Brown et al., 2012).

Among species in the Aspergillus genus, Aspergillus fumigatus is the predominant cause of human Aspergillus infections, being responsible for $\sim 60 \%$ of infections, and is the most common airborne opportunistic fungal pathogen (Brandres et al., 2021; Mousavi et al., 2016).

### 1.2. Aspergillus fumigatus and Aspergillosis

Aspergillus fumigatus is a ubiquitous saprophytic mold that plays a major role in recycling carbon and nitrogen. The mold is commonly found in soil and decaying vegetation, but can also be found throughout the environment as they adapt well to a broad range of environmental conditions (Dagenais \& Keller, 2020). The widespread nature of $A$. fumigatus has been attributed to multiple factors. A. fumigatus is known for its thermotolerance, being able to grow at temperatures of $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and survive even at a high temperature of $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Abad}$ et al., 2010). On the opposite spectrum, the mold can grow at a low temperature of $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and its conidia can tolerate trauma from prolonged freezing, surviving liquid nitrogen storage for at least 18 years (Kwon-Chung \& Sugui, 2013). The conidia also have a highly hydrophobic layer of rodlets on the cell wall surface, which facilitates high air dispersibility (Valsecchi et al., 2017). A. fumigatus conidia are also much more hydrophobic than other Aspergillus spp. and disperses in the atmosphere more efficiently that most other molds (Kwon-Chung \& Sugui, 2013; Van De Veerdonk et al., 2017). This contributes to A. fumigatus conidia being the dominant fungal component found through air sampling (Van De Veerdonk et al., 2017). The cell wall of $A$. fumigatus conidia also contains melanin, which provide protection against various environmental and have also been implicated with pathogenicity. Melanin in the conidial wall is involved in protection against stressors including UV irradiation, high temperatures, reactive oxygen species (ROS) as well as lysis by host cells (Kwon-Chung \& Sugui, 2013).

With the ubiquitous atmospheric presence of $A$. fumigatus, humans are estimated to inhale hundreds of conidia daily (Van De Veerdonk et al. 2017). Inhalation of A. fumigatus spores can cause a broad range of diseases, depending on the immunological status of the
human host, and can extend from allergic reactions to severe invasive mycoses. The group of diseases is defined together under the umbrella term "aspergillosis". In terms of non-invasive manifestations, $A$. fumigatus is a major aeroallergen, possessing 21 known and 25 predicted allergenic proteins (Mousavi et al., 2016). Moreover, A. fumigatus is the most prevalent cause of severe pulmonary allergic diseases (Chaudhary \& Marr, 2011). Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis (ABPA) is the most severe allergic pulmonary complication cause by A. fumigatus and is more common in patients with underlying lung conditions such as asthma and cystic fibrosis (Latgé, 1999). ABPA is estimated to affect over 4 million people worldwide, with prevalence rates of $1-40 \%$ in chronic asthma patients, $\sim 38 \%$ in acute severe asthma patients, and $7-15 \%$ in cystic fibrosis patients (Brown et al., 2012; Chaudhary \& Marr, 2011). On the other end of the spectrum, invasive aspergillosis is the most severe form of aspergillosis and primarily affects immunocompromised hosts. A. fumigatus is considered the primary cause of invasive aspergillosis and over 300,000 cases of invasive aspergillosis occur globally each year (Bongomin et al., 2017). However, this global estimate is most likely an underestimation, representing only about 50 to $65 \%$ of actual cases (Brown et al., 2012).

### 1.3. Triazoles and Amphotericin B

For treatment, triazole antifungals such as voriconazole and itraconazole are used in first-line therapy against aspergillosis. These agents inhibit the biosynthesis of ergosterol, a major sterol of the fungal cell membrane and essential in fungal growth and maintenance. Triazoles work by inhibiting the enzyme 14 -lanosterol demethylase (Cyp51) that converts lanosterol to ergosterol (Sharpe et al., 2017). The antifungal binds to the heme iron atom of Cyp51 using one of its nitrogen atoms, thereby inhibiting enzyme activity (Sandhu et al., 2014). This inhibition prevents the production of ergosterol and leads to an accumulation of toxic sterol intermediates in the fungal cell membrane (Sandhu et al., 2014). These composition changes ultimately interfere with the cell membrane function, disrupts the structure, and alters the activity of membrane bound enzymes, including those associated with nutrient transport, chitin synthesis, fungal cell growth and proliferation (Mazu et al., 2017).

The polyene antifungal amphotericin $B(A M B)$ is also often used in the treatment of severe fungal infections such as invasive aspergillosis. AMB is a fungicidal agent that has the broadest spectrum of activity, being effective against most clinically relevant fungi (Mazu et al., 2017). Unlike many antifungal classes that target vital enzymes, AMB targets ergosterol and alters the fungal membrane permeability (Carolus et al., 2020). Although the process of sterol sequestration has not been fully elucidated, multiple models of action for AMB have been proposed over the years (Carolus et al., 2020). These are grouped into four models: ionchannel model, surface absorption model, sterol sponge model, and oxidative damage model (Carolus et al., 2020). Three of these models propose that the binding and/or sequestering of ergosterol by AMB results in disruption of the cell membrane and impacts various ergosterol-dependent cellular processes (Carolus et al., 2020). Meanwhile, the oxidative damage model suggests an additional mode of action involving ROS accumulation and oxidative stress (Carolus et al., 2020).

### 1.4. Mechanisms of Resistance to Triazoles

Mechanisms for triazole resistance in $A$. fumigatus can be broadly grouped into two categories which are Cyp51A-mediated and non Cyp51A-mediated. A. fumigatus contains two cyp51-related genes, which produce two isoforms of the enzyme: cyp $51 A$ and cyp51B. However, studies suggest that cyp $51 A$ plays the dominant role in regulation of $14 \alpha$-lanosterol demethylase activity, while $g y p 51 B$ is either a redundant gene that functions when $c y p 51 A$ is absent or has functions under unknown conditions (Nash and Rhodes, 2018; Garcia-Rubio et al., 2017). Recently, a mutation in $c y p 51 B$ has been found in an azole-resistant strain to be potentially associated with triazole resistance, however, the majority of known mutations related to triazole resistance are found in the $c y p 51 A$ gene (Gonzalez-Jimenez et al., 2020).

Studies have shown that the main mechanism of triazole resistance in $A$. fumigatus can be attributed to point mutations in $\operatorname{cyp} 51 \mathrm{~A}$. Depending on the position and amino acid change, mutations in Cyp51A can result in reduced susceptibility to all or a subset of triazoles. Although numerous cyp $51 A$ mutations conferring resistance have been documented in A. fumigatus, there are four commonly reported mutation sites (Sharma et al., 2020). The four most frequently noted mutations are hot spot amino acid substitutions at glycine-54
(G54), glycine-138 (G138), methionine-220 (M220), and glycine-448 (G448) (Sharma et al., 2020). These mutations have also been confirmed to directly confer triazole resistance in $A$. fumigatus in studies that replaced the wild-type $c y p 51 A$ gene with alleles containing the amino acid substitutions (Sharma et al., 2020). These studies determined that the amino acid substitutions each by itself were sufficient to confer reduced triazole susceptibility (DiazGuerra et al., 2003; Mann et al., 2003; Mellado et al., 2004; Natesan et al., 2012; Albarrag et al., 2011). Overall, the mutations in $q$ pp $51 A$ are believed to either decrease the binding affinity of triazole drugs to Cyp51A, thus allowing replacement by the native sterol substrate, or impact the structure of Cyp 51 A , resulting in conformational changes that favor the native substrate (Chen et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2016). The second major Cyp51A-mediated mechanism for triazole resistance is overexpression. The expression of cyp $51 A$ is regulated through interactions between transcription factors and environmental conditions (Rybak et al., 2019). There is evidence for positive cyp $51 A$ regulation in $A$. fumigatus by the sterol element binding protein SrbA (Hagiwara et al., 2016). This relationship has been noted by decreased $c y p 51 A$ expression level in srb $A$ deletion mutants (Hagiwara et al., 2016). SrbA binds to the promoter region of cyp $51 A$ at two binding sites as a homodimer (Rybak et al., 2019). One of these sites is negatively regulated by the heterotrimeric CCAAT-binding complex (CBC) and the transcription factor HapX (Rybak et al., 2019). It is thought that under conditions favoring ergosterol biosynthesis repression and thus decreased cyp 51 A expression, CBC and HapX will both bind directly downstream of the single SrbA binding site to decrease positive regulation of $c p p 51 A$ by SrbA (Rybak et al., 2019). However, two separate mechanisms for $\operatorname{cyp} 51 A$ overexpression have been found in triazole resistant clinical A. fumigatus strains. The first and most prevalent one is tandem repeats in the $c y p 51 A$ promoter region (Rybak et al., 2019). There have been reports of three common tandem repeat versions, which are a 34,46 and 53 base-pair tandem repeat or $\mathrm{TR}_{34}, \mathrm{TR}_{46}$ and $\mathrm{TR}_{53}$ (Rybak et al., 2019). Although these repeats differ in length, they all produce two additional SrbA binding sites that aren't effectively regulated by CBC and HapX (Rybak et al., 2019). Strains with these tandem repeats have a reported 2-fold or greater increase in $c y p 51 A$ expression and about a 4-fold increase in triazole minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) (Rybak et al., 2019). The $\mathrm{TR}_{34}$ and $\mathrm{TR}_{46}$ variations are also almost always found accompanied
by non-synonymous mutations in cyp $51 A$ (Rybak et al., 2019). For $\mathrm{TR}_{34}$, this is a single amino acid substitution from lysine to histidine at codon 98 (Rybak et al., 2019). This combination, known as $\mathrm{TR}_{34} / \mathrm{L} 98 \mathrm{H}$, induces up to an 8 -fold increase in the expression of $g y p 51 A$ (Berger et al., 2017). The second combination is known as $\mathrm{TR}_{46} / \mathrm{Y} 121 \mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{T} 289 \mathrm{~A}$, a 46 base-pair tandem repeat along with two amino acid substitutions, which are tyrosine to phenylalanine at codon 121 and threonine to alanine at codon 289 (Rybak et al., 2019). The second mechanism leading to cyp $51 A$ overexpression are mutations in the bapE gene. CBC is a heterotrimeric protein, comprised of HapB, HapC and HapE subunits and a P88L amino acid substitution in hapE has been shown to directly increase cyp $51 A$ expression levels (Rybak et al., 2019). This mutation significantly impairs CBC's binding affinity to its target site, which is the promoter region of $c y p 51 A$ (Gsaller et al., 2016). With CBC being a negative regulator for $c y p 51 A$ expression, this mutation results in a 2 -fold or greater increase in $c y p 51 A$ expression (Rybak et al., 2019).

The second major group of triazole resistance mechanisms is non-Cyp51A mediated, which mostly includes overexpression of drug efflux pumps and other mutations outside of cyp 51 A . Overexpression of drug efflux pumps reduces the intracellular accumulation of triazoles by pumping them out of the cell. Compared to susceptible strains, resistant $A$. fumigatus strains show over 5 to 30 -fold increase in certain efflux transporters (Chen et al., 2020). Many important transporters related to azole resistance belong to the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters or major facilitator superfamily (MFS) transporters. Overall, numerous in vitro studies have proven that overexpression of drug efflux pumps is tightly associated with triazole resistance. In the past decade, multiple mutations outside of $c y p 51 A$ have also been found linked to resistance. For example, mutations in hmg1 and cox10 have been reported to confer triazole resistance (Rybak et al., 2019). The gene bmg1 encodes a 3-hydroxy-3-methyl-glutaryl (HMG) coenzyme A (CoA) reductase and is a key enzyme involved with the start of the ergosterol biosynthesis pathway, catalyzing HMG-CoA to mevalonate. Meanwhile, the cox10 gene in yeast is involved in the heme biosynthetic pathway, catalyzing heme $B$ to heme $O$ through farnesylation (Wei et al., 2017). Although the role and function of these genes in triazole resistance are currently unknown, the emergence of non-canonical resistance mechanisms may prove to be a problem in resistance screening
and thus treatment. Furthermore, the prevalence of non-Cyp51A mediated mechanisms for triazole resistance in $A$. fumigatus strains throughout the world is increasing. Although these rates vary depending on the study, there have been reported rates of $6.5 \%$ in the United Kingdom, $25 \%$ in the United States, and $44 \%$ in Germany for resistant A. fumigatus strains with no cyp $51 A$ mutations (Macedo et al., 2021).

### 1.5. Mechanisms of Resistance to Amphotericin B

In addition to triazole resistance, recently amphotericin B (AMB) resistance in $A$. fumigatus has also been reported. However, little is known about the mechanisms for AMB resistance in A. fumigatus and they remain largely unexplored. Routes for AMB resistance have been proposed in related Aspergillus species as well as other pathogenic yeasts, and these proposed mechanisms seem to be species dependent.

A common AMB resistance mechanism found in Candida spp. is alterations to sterol composition of the fungal cell membrane and specifically, mutations in the genes erg2, erg3, erg6 and erg11 (Ruiz-Baca et al., 2021). In Candida albicans, AMB resistance stemmed from loss of function in both erg3 and erg11 genes, which encode for a C-5 sterol desaturase and lanosterol $14 \alpha$-demethylase, respectively (Ruiz-Baca et al., 2021). AMB resistance in Candida lusitaniae seemed to involve deletion of erg6 and in Candida glabrata, was associated with mutations in erg2 and erg6 - encoding a C-8 sterol isomerase and C-24 methyltransferase, respectively (Ahmad et al., 2019; Young et al., 2003). The erg mutations are associated with changes to the sterol composition of the cell membrane, with an accumulation of sterol intermediates - which are not targets of AMB - in exchange for ergosterol. Members of the Candida baemulonii species complex - C. baemulonii, C. baemulonii var. vulnera and C. duobushemulonii - that are known for AMB tolerance, also have been shown to have altered cell membrane sterol profiles that are similar to those seen in resistant strains with erg2, erg3, erg6, and erg11 mutations (Carolus et al., 2020).

The second AMB resistance mechanism is related to protection against oxidative stress induced by AMB. In comparison to susceptible $A$. fumigatus strains, intrinsically resistant $A$. terreus had comparable levels of ergosterol membrane content but had significantly higher catalase activity (Blum et al., 2008). Furthermore, this mechanism may
play a role in AMB resistant C. albicans strains as they showed reduced susceptibility to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and increased catalase activity (Mesa-Arango et al., 2012). The mitochondrial respiratory chain also plays a key role in ROS production as well as ergosterol biosynthesis, providing NADPH for squalene dimerization as well as the fact that in the ergosterol biosynthesis pathway, conversion of squalene to 2,3-oxidosqualene pathway is an oxygen-dependent step (Silva et al., 2020). Therefore, mitochondrial involvement may also play a role in many of the mechanisms for AMB resistance. For example, the disruption of mitochondrial respiratory function resulted in reduced ergosterol levels and increased AMB tolerance in C. albicans (Mesa-Arango et al., 2012). This pattern was also seen in the $C$. baemulonii species complex as disruption of mitochondrial function increased AMB tolerance and was also linked to decreased ergosterol content (Silva et al., 2020).

Additional mechanisms through alterations to the cell wall and the involvement of molecular chaperones have also been frequently described in other fungal species. In Aspergillus flavus, a highly AMB resistant strain derived from subcultures of increasing AMB concentration had similar ergosterol content to its susceptible progenitor but showed an altered cell wall composition (Posch et al., 2018). The mutant showed growth up to 100 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ AMB but lost its tolerance as a protoplast. Focusing on cell wall composition, the strain had a significantly higher ß-1-3 glucan content (Posch et al., 2018). This resistance mechanism was also found in Candida tropicalis and Candida albicans. In C. tropicalis, AMB resistant isolates had increased B-1-3 glucan content as well as thicker cell walls (Posch et al., 2018). However, the strains also exhibited changes in mitochondrial potential and respiration defects which may have played a role in the observed resistance (Posch et al., 2018). A study conducted on C. albicans also found the removal of $1-1-3$ glucan to increase AMB susceptibility (Posch et al., 2018). However, this pattern was not seen in the intrinsically resistant $A$. terreus as cell wall-free protoplasts were equally resistant (Blum et al., 2008). In terms of molecular chaperons, the heat shock protein $90(\mathrm{Hsp} 90)$ and heat shock protein 70 (Hsp70) have been implicated with AMB resistance. In C. albicans, inhibition of Hsp90 prevented growth of AMB resistant strains both in the presence and in the absence of AMB exposure (Vincent et al., 2013). Hsp90 facilitates maturation of numerous substrate proteins involved in stress response pathways (Vincent et al., 2013). It was hypothesized that
mutations in the ergosterol biosynthetic enzymes associated with resistance in these strains depended on Hsp90 function to survive (Vincent et al., 2013). Hsp90 was also found to be involved with resistance in $A$. terreus. Hsp 90 inhibitors decreased AMB minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values in AMB resistant isolates, with a decrease from $32 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ to 0.38 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (Blum et al., 2013). Furthermore, a higher basal level of Hsp90 in both resistant and susceptible $A$. terreus strains was seen in comparison to susceptible $A$. fumigatus strains (Blum et al., 2013). However, results in vivo, through a murine model, determined that Hsp90 inhibitors did not reduce fungal burden nor improve survival (Blum et al., 2013). This outcome was suggested as being related to the immunosuppressive side effects of these drugs and most likely led to reduced immune response (Blum et al., 2013). Furthermore, when comparing susceptible and resistant $A$. terreus strains, use of Hsp70 inhibitors increased AMB susceptibility in vitro and was more pronounced in resistant strains (Blatzer et al., 2015). Similarly, the Hsp70 inhibitors did not improve the in vivo outcome in a murine infection model, which again could have been related to the immunosuppressive drug side effects (Blatzer et al., 2015). A majority of Hsp70 genes were also immediately expressed at the transcriptional level in the resistant $A$. terreus strains when exposed to AMB while susceptible strains showed delayed responses (Blatzer et al., 2015).

### 1.6. Genome-Wide Association Studies

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) are used to examine the genome of multiple individuals and identify genotype-phenotype associations. In the past decade, GWAS analyses have facilitated numerous discoveries in determining the genetic basis for a wide variety of complex diseases and other phenotypic traits. In terms of antifungal resistance, microbial GWAS is a new field of research that focuses on examining microbial genome variability to identify genetic variations impacting traits such as drug resistance, virulence, host specificity, and patient outcome (San et al., 2020). GWAS have already been used to identify mutations associated with antifungal tolerance or sensitivity in multiple fungal species, including Rhynchosporium commune (Mohd-Assaad et al., 2016), Fusarium graminearum (Talas et al., 2016), and Parastagonospora nodorum (Pereira et al., 2020). Furthermore, a recent GWAS conducted by Zhao and colleagues investigated mutations
associated with itraconazole sensitivity in $A$. fumigatus clinical strains from Japan. The study identified a SNP in the $A f u 2 g 02220$ gene to be significantly associated with itraconazole sensitivity. They were also able to functionally validate the gene's involvement using the CRISPR-Cas9 system - with knockout strains showing a minor and consistent reduction in growth at an itraconazole concentration of $0.15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (Zhao et al., 2021).

Overall, these studies demonstrate the promising use of GWAS in understanding underlying mechanisms for antifungal resistance in a variety of fungi, including our species of interest $A$. fumigatus.

### 1.7. Objectives

The objective of my thesis was to examine resistance mechanisms for triazole drugs, specifically itraconazole and voriconazole, and AMB antifungal in A. fumigatus. This work has been separated into two chapters: The first chapter, Chapter 2, will focus on studying mutations associated with itraconazole and voriconazole resistance through the use of a genome-wide association study (GWAS) and 195 A. fumigatus whole-genome sequences. Despite the known mutations conferring triazole resistance, we want to confirm the significance and extent these mutations play in triazole resistance at a population level. In addition, with the increasing prevalence of non-Cyp51A mediated resistance, the second objective was to identify novel mutations by focusing on resistant $A$. fumigatus strains that did not contain $c y p 51 A$ mutations. The second chapter, Chapter 3, will focus on the topic of AMB resistance in $A$. fumigatus. To facilitate identification of potential genes of interest, we will investigate mutations associated with AMB resistance through a GWAS using $98 A$. fumigatus sequences. In addition, variant genotyping at select mutation sites of interest was conducted on 143 progeny strains, obtained from a laboratory cross.
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## Chapter 2

## Genome-wide association analysis for triazole resistance in Aspergillus fumigatus

### 2.1. Preface

This study has been published in the journal "Pathogens" on June 4, 2021. The authors of this paper are: YuYing Fan, Yue Wang, Gregory Korfanty, Meagan Archer, and Jianping Xu. I am a co-first author with Yue Wang in this publication. Yue Wang provided the scripts for the initial data preparation steps, which comprised of quality control of raw reads, trimming, alignment \& mapping, variant calling, and annotation; while variant filtering, association analysis and linkage disequilibrium analysis were conducted by me. Yue Wang conducted the phylogenetic tree, clade identification and strain distribution sections of this study. The genome-wide association analysis, linkage disequilibrium analysis, and Fisher's exact test sections were conducted by myself. Writing of the manuscript was predominantly completed by me, with great help from Yue Wang, Gregory Korfanty, Meagan Archer, and Jianping Xu. Jianping Xu also designed the experiments, supervised the work, and edited the manuscript.

The journal link for the supplementary files in Chapter 2 can also be found and downloaded from "https://www.mdpi.com/2076-0817/10/6/701".

### 2.2. Abstract

Aspergillus fumigatus is a ubiquitous fungus and the main agent of aspergillosis, a common fungal infection in the immunocompromised population. Triazoles such as itraconazole and voriconazole are the common first-line drugs for treating aspergillosis. However, triazole resistance in $A$. fumigatus has been reported in an increasing number of countries. While most studies of triazole resistance have focused on mutations in the triazole target gene gyp 51 A ,
$>70 \%$ of triazole-resistant strains in certain populations showed no mutations in cyp 51 A . To identify potential non-cyp $51 A$ mutations associated with triazole resistance in $A$. fumigatus, we analyzed the whole genome sequences and triazole susceptibilities of 195 strains from 12 countries. These strains belonged to three distinct clades. Our genome-wide association study (GWAS) identified a total of six missense mutations significantly associated with itraconazole resistance and 18 missense mutations with voriconazole resistance. In addition, to investigate itraconazole and pan-azole resistance, Fisher's exact tests revealed 26 additional missense variants tightly linked to the top 20 SNPs obtained by GWAS, of which two were consistently associated with triazole resistance. The large number of novel mutations related to triazole resistance should help further investigations into their molecular mechanisms, their clinical importance, and the development of a comprehensive molecular diagnosis toolbox for triazole resistance in $A$. fumigatus.

### 2.3. Introduction

Aspergillus fumigatus is an opportunistic human fungal pathogen that is found in a broad range of substrates and is capable of surviving and growing in numerous environmental conditions. A. fumigatus is the primary cause of invasive aspergillosis, a lifethreatening mold infection with high morbidity and mortality rates in immunocompromised patients. Its high sporulating capacity contributes to the environmental prevalence of $A$. fumigatus, leading to the high likelihood of infection in at-risk populations [1]. Globally, it is estimated that over 200,000 cases of invasive aspergillosis occur annually [2]. However, this number may represent only one-half of actual cases due to under- and mis-diagnoses [2]. Depending on factors such as population of patients, site of infection and antifungal management, mortality rates associated with invasive aspergillosis range from 60 to $90 \%$ [3].

Currently, there are four main classes of antifungals for aspergillosis treatment: azoles, polyenes, echinocandins, and allylamines. Among all antifungal agents, aspergillosis is commonly treated with triazole antifungals as the first choice because their use has been associated with better clinical response, less infusion-related toxicity, less nephrotoxicity and increased survival [4]. For aspergillosis treatment, itraconazole and voriconazole are among
some of the commonly used triazole antifungals. Triazole antifungals work by inhibiting a vital enzymatic step in the synthesis of ergosterol, a major sterol and crucial part of the fungal cellular membrane [5]. Ergosterol plays a key role in membrane fluidity, membrane permeability, the activity of membrane proteins, and cell growth [5]. The triazoles work by inhibiting the demethylation of precursor sterols by binding to $14 \alpha$-lanosterol demethylase (also known as Cyp51), a crucial enzyme involved in the ergosterol biosynthesis pathway. Triazoles act as competitive Cyp51 inhibitors through the binding of the N4 in their azole ring with the heme iron atom at the center of Cyp51 [5]. This binding prevents access of precursor sterols to the active site where demethylation occurs. Disruption of this enzymatic step causes significant damage to the cell membrane and results in the accumulation of toxic sterol intermediates, eventually leading to cell lysis and death [6]. However, the emergence of triazole-resistant $A$. fumigatus strains throughout the world has been a growing public health concern and a problem in the treatment of patients with aspergillosis.

Triazole-resistant strains have been extensively documented and characterized within multiple countries around the world. The majority of these studies have focused on the prevalence of resistant strains in a clinical setting. Furthermore, most analyses of the mechanisms of triazole resistance have focused on mutations in $9 P p 51 A$, the gene coding for the triazole target enzyme [7]. The most common mutations in cyp $51 A$ among clinicalresistant strains, that develop during aspergillosis treatment, occur in amino acid sites G54, G138, M220, and G448 [7,8,9,10]. Meanwhile, the most common triazole drug resistant mutations in the global $A$. fumigatus population are $\mathrm{TR}_{34} / \mathrm{L} 98 \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{TR}_{46} / \mathrm{Y} 121 \mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{T} 289 \mathrm{~A}$, with many of these resistant strains originating from the environment [11,12].

The global population structure of $A$. fumigatus is shaped by high levels of gene flow between different populations $[13,14]$. Triazole-resistant $A$. fumigatus genotypes can rise and spread as a result of local selection due to elevated antifungal pressure within the environment. Clonal expansion of these highly fit triazole-resistant genotypes has been suggested to have led to their high abundance across the world [15,16]. Two main factors could have facilitated the spread of $A$. fumigatus genotypes and drug-resistant genes among geographic populations: the high dispersal ability of its asexual spores by wind and
contemporary anthropogenic influences such as travel and trade [1]. Additionally, as aspergillosis is one of the leading causes of fungal deaths in avian species, bird migration may also be a factor in $A$. fumigatus dispersal $[17,18]$. The study by Ashu and colleagues further noted a large number of triazole-resistant genotypes and determined certain resistance genotypes were more commonly found in certain population genetic clusters than others [13]. Specifically, their analyses of 2026 A. fumigatus strains from 13 countries revealed that certain-resistant genotypes were mostly clustered into one genetic population and it was suggested that clonal expansion might have contributed to such a distribution.

Many research laboratories and hospitals around the world have been tracking the distribution of triazole-resistant clinical and environmental strains [19]. When examining prior epidemiological data, an increasing trend of triazole-resistant strains and infections has been reported. For example, within the Netherlands, the number of triazole-resistant infections has increased from $7.6 \%$ in 2013 to $14.7 \%$ in 2018 [20]. Another study in the Netherlands has also reported an increasing resistance rate in Radboud University Medical Center, from $0.79 \%$ between 1996 and 2001 to 7.04\% between 2012 and 2016 [21]. These upward trends have also been reported in $\operatorname{Iran}(3.3 \%$ in 2013 to $6.6 \%$ in 2015), the United Kingdom ( $0.43 \%$ between 1998-2011 to $2.2 \%$ between 2015-2017), and in Texas, United States ( $7.2 \%$ between 1999-2002 to $22.6 \%$ between 2003-2015) [22,23,24]. The increasing prevalence of triazole-resistant $A$. fumigatus strains has become a major burden to many health institutions.

Triazole resistance in $A$. fumigatus is typically separated into two main categories, Cyp51A-mediated and non-Cyp51A-mediated mechanisms of resistance. In addition, studies on triazole resistance have mainly focused on three molecular mechanisms: (i) mutations in the Cyp51A protein, (ii) overexpression of the Cyp51A protein, and (iii) upregulation of drug efflux pumps. Alterations in Cyp51A are the most commonly studied mechanism for triazole resistance. Until 2008, all reported triazole resistance focused on the context of mutations in $c y p 51 A$. However, from 2008 onwards, the frequency of resistant strains with no mutations in $9 p 51 A$ was increasing [25,26]. At present, most epidemiological studies of
triazole resistance in $A$. fumigatus only investigate mutations at the $c y p 51 A$ gene. Consequently, mutations in other genes remain largely uncharacterized.

Microbial genome-wide association studies (GWAS) are a relatively new but powerful tool in understanding the relationships between genetic variations and microbial phenotypes. There have been several successful GWAS applications in identifying novel genomic markers responsible for antifungal drug resistance, with several studies focused on examining azole resistance in plant fungal pathogens $[27,28]$. In A. fumigatus, Zhao and colleagues recently conducted a genome-wide association study for itraconazole sensitivity in non-resistant clinical isolates from Japan [29]. In the current study, a GWAS was performed for itraconazole and voriconazole resistance in $A$. fumigatus based on a global population of published genomes. The aim of the study was to determine the genetic variants associated with triazole resistance using genome-wide SNP data, with the focus placed on novel noncyp $51 A$ related mutations, as well as conduct a phylogenetic analysis with 195 strains to examine the phylogenetic distributions of itraconazole and voriconazole resistance in $A$. fumigatus.

### 2.4. Results

### 2.4.1. Phylogenetic Tree

Phylogenetic analysis of the whole-genome SNPs grouped the 195 samples and the reference strain Af293 into three large clades based on pairwise SNP differences between all 196 strains (Figure 2.1). Within each clade, whole-genome SNP differences were identified as $\leq 35,112$ in Clade I, $\leq 45,160$ in Clade II, and $\leq 48,670$ in Clade III. Among the analyzed strains, 15 were in Clade I, 134 strains and the reference Af293 were in Clade II, and 46 strains were in Clade III. Geographically, the Clade II strains were from 10 countries, with 16 strains found in Canada, 4 in India, 1 in Ireland, 27 in Japan, 16 in Netherlands, 7 in Portugal, 1 in Singapore, 14 in Spain, 9 in the United Kingdom, and 37 in the United States. Furthermore, two strains were collected from the International Space Station. Clade III strains were obtained from the following seven countries: Canada ( $n=1$ ), India ( $n=8$ ),

Netherlands ( $n=8$ ), Spain ( $n=6$ ), the United Kingdom ( $n=16$ ), Germany ( $n=1$ ), and the United States ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ). Finally, the 15 Clade I strains were from four countries: Canada ( $\mathrm{n}=$ 1), Peru ( $n=1$ ), Portugal ( $n=1$ ), and Spain ( $n=12$ ). Within each clade, the samples were predominantly from infected patients, with $93.33 \%(14 / 15)$ in Clade I, $82.84 \%(111 / 134)$ in Clade II, and $82.61 \%$ (38/46) in Clade III of the analyzed samples were from clinical sources. The overall percentage of isolates from patients in the whole sample-set was $83.59 \%$. According to the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST), the MIC breakpoints for susceptible strains was set at $\leq 1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and the area of technical uncertainty (ATU) was set at $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ for both itraconazole and voriconazole antifungals. Among the samples with available MIC information and using an MIC $\geq 2$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ as the resistance cut-off value for both antifungals, $61.48 \%(75 / 122)$ were itraconazole resistant and $43.90 \%(54 / 123)$ were voriconazole resistant. At a clade-level, the percentages of itraconazole-resistant strains were as follows: $0 \%$ in Clade I, $57.75 \%$ in Clade II, and $82.93 \%$ in Clade III. For voriconazole, the percentage of resistant strains were $0 \%$ in Clade I, $37.50 \%$ in Clade II, and $65.85 \%$ in Clade III. Using MICs $\geq 4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ as the resistance cut-off value for both antifungals, the itraconazole-resistant rate remained the same in our sample set, at $61.48 \%(75 / 122)$. However, the voriconazole-resistant rate dropped to $34.96 \%$ $(43 / 123)$. The percentages of itraconazole-resistant strains in each clade remained the same while the voriconazole-resistant rates were as follows: $0 \%$ in Clade I, $27.78 \%$ in Clade II, and $56.10 \%$ in Clade III. Information on the 195 strains and clade divisions can be found in Appendix A.


Figure 2.1. Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree detailing the strain characteristics. Branches with a red dot represent those with over $75 \%$ bootstrap support, based on 500 bootstrap iterations. The inner-most circle denotes the clade affiliation of strains with strain names corresponding to those in Supplementary Table S2.1. The second inner-most circle represents country of origin for individual strains with different colors representing different countries as shown in the left "Country" panel. The third circle from the inside denotes strain ecological niche, with hollow squares representing strains from the natural environment, solid red squares representing strains from the clinical environment, and the source for the remaining strains (unmarked) were unknown. The itraconazole and voriconazole minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) were represented in the two outer circles with different colors representing different MIC values as shown in the left "TriazoleMIC" panel. The white boxes in the two outer circles represent strains with no MIC data. The branch length separating Clade I from the two other clades was manually truncated to make relationships in the other two clades more visible.

### 2.4.2. Known Mutations Associated with Triazole Resistance

The MIC data for triazole drugs in this population identified 122 and 123 strains with known itraconazole and voriconazole MIC values respectively. We first examined the statistical association between mutations at 44 amino acid sites that had been previously found to be related to triazole resistance in $A$. fumigatus. The 44 known sites were mainly identified based on epidemiological surveys and are listed in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1. The 44 known mutation sites previously reported to be associated with triazole resistance and results of the Fisher's Exact tests using 122 A. fumigatus strains with known itraconazole and voriconazole MICs.

| Gene | Codon | Amino <br> Acid <br> Change | Chromosome - <br> Position (bp) | Fisher's Exact Test (pvalues), MIC $\geq 2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | Fisher's Exact Test (pvalues), MIC $\geq 4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Itraconazole | Pan-Azole | Itraconazole | Pan-Azole |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { cyp51A } \\ \left(A F U A \_4 G 06890\right) \end{gathered}$ | N22 |  |  | NA ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | [30] |
|  | *F46 | Y | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CHR 4- } \\ & 1,781,686 \end{aligned}$ | $4.50 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.54 \times 10^{-2}$ | $4.50 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.96 \times 10^{-2}$ | [30] |
|  | S52 |  |  | NA ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | [31] |
|  |  | V, E | $\begin{gathered} \text { CHR } 4 \text { - } \\ 1,781,662 \end{gathered}$ | $1.55 \times 10^{-1}$ | 1.00 | $1.55 \times 10^{-1}$ | 1.00 |  |
|  | G54 | W, R | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CHR } 4 \text { - } \\ & 1,781,663 \end{aligned}$ | $8.16 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.72 \times 10^{-2}$ | $8.16 \times 10^{-2}$ | $8.90 \times 10^{-3}$ | [30] |
|  | Q88 |  |  | NA ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | [31] |
|  | L98 | H | $\begin{gathered} \text { CHR } 4 \text { - } \\ 1,781,459 \end{gathered}$ | $1.19 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.33 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.19 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.47 \times 10^{-5}$ | [30] |
|  | V101 |  |  | NA ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | [31] |
|  | Y121 | F | $\begin{gathered} \text { CHR } 4 \text { - } \\ 1,781,390 \end{gathered}$ | $2.81 \times 10^{-1}$ | $2.42 \times 10^{-1}$ | $2.81 \times 10^{-1}$ | $9.71 \times 10^{-2}$ | [30] |
|  | N125 |  |  | NA ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | [31] |
|  | G138 | C | $\begin{gathered} \text { CHR } 4 \text { - } \\ 1,781,340 \end{gathered}$ | $8.09 \times 10^{-2}$ | 1.00 | $8.09 \times 10^{-2}$ | 1.00 | [31] |
|  | Q141 |  |  | NA ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | [31] |
|  | H147 | Y | $\begin{gathered} \text { CHR } 4 \text { - } \\ 1,781,313 \end{gathered}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | [31] |
|  | F165 |  |  | NA ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | [30] |
|  | *M172 |  |  | NA ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | [30] |
|  | P216 | L | $\begin{gathered} \text { CHR } 4 \text { - } \\ 1,781,105 \end{gathered}$ | $5.23 \times 10^{-1}$ | $4.95 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.23 \times 10^{-1}$ | $2.19 \times 10^{-1}$ | [30] |
|  | F219 | S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CHR } 4 \text { - } \\ & 1,781,096 \end{aligned}$ | $2.91 \times 10^{-1}$ | $2.44 \times 10^{-1}$ | $2.91 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.04 \times 10^{-1}$ | [30] |
|  |  | I | $\begin{gathered} \text { CHR } 4 \text { - } \\ 1,781,092 \end{gathered}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
|  | M220 | V | $\begin{gathered} \text { CHR } 4 \text { - } \\ 1,781,094 \end{gathered}$ | $2.87 \times 10^{-1}$ | 1.00 | $2.87 \times 10^{-1}$ | 1.00 | [30] |
|  | M236 |  |  | NA ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | [31] |
|  | *N248 |  |  | NA ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | [30] |
|  | *D255 | E | $\begin{gathered} \text { CHR } 4 \text { - } \\ 1,780,987 \end{gathered}$ | $6.30 \times 10^{-1}$ | 1.00 | $6.30 \times 10^{-1}$ | 1.00 | [30] |
|  | D262 |  |  | NA ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | [30] |
|  | A284 |  |  | NA ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | [30] |
|  | T289 | A | $\begin{gathered} \text { CHR } 4 \text { - } \\ 1,780,887 \end{gathered}$ | $2.91 \times 10^{-1}$ | $2.44 \times 10^{-1}$ | $2.91 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.04 \times 10^{-1}$ | [30] |


|  | S297 | T | $\begin{gathered} \text { CHR } 4 \text { - } \\ 1,780,863 \end{gathered}$ | $5.26 \times 10^{-1}$ | 1.00 | $5.26 \times 10^{-1}$ | 1.00 | [31] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | P394 |  |  | NA ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | [31] |
|  | *E427 | K | $\begin{gathered} \text { CHR } 4 \text { - } \\ 1,780,473 \end{gathered}$ | $5.00 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.69 \times 10^{-2}$ | $5.00 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.11 \times 10^{-2}$ | [30] |
|  | Y431 |  |  | NA |  |  |  | [30] |
|  | G432 |  |  | NA |  |  |  | [30] |
|  | G434 |  |  | NA |  |  |  | [30] |
|  | T440 |  |  | NA |  |  |  | [30] |
|  | G448 | S | $\begin{gathered} \text { CHR } 4 \text { - } \\ 1,780,410 \end{gathered}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | $4.71 \times 10^{-1}$ | [30] |
|  | N479 |  |  | NA |  |  |  | [30] |
|  | Y491 |  |  | NA |  |  |  | [30] |
|  | F495 | I | $\begin{gathered} \text { CHR } 4 \text { - } \\ 1,780,269 \end{gathered}$ | $5.22 \times 10^{-1}$ | 1.00 | $5.22 \times 10^{-1}$ | 1.00 | [31] |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { cyp51B } \\ (\text { AFUA_7G03740) } \end{gathered}$ | G457 |  |  | NA |  |  |  | [32] |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { hapE } \\ \text { (AFUA_6G05300) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | P88 |  |  | NA |  |  |  | [30] |
|  | F262 |  |  | NA |  |  |  | [33] |
| hmg1 | S305 | P | CHR 2-985,959 | $5.22 \times 10^{-1}$ | $4.95 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.22 \times 10^{-1}$ | $2.14 \times 10^{-1}$ | [33] |
| (AFUA_2G03700) | P309 | L | CHR 2 - 985,972 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | [33] |
|  | I412 | T, S | CHR 2-986,281 | $1.56 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.17 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.56 \times 10^{-1}$ | $4.34 \times 10^{-2}$ | [33] |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { erg6 } \\ \left(A F U A \_4 G 03630\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | A350 |  |  | NA |  |  |  | [34] |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \operatorname{cox10} \\ (\text { AFUA_4G08340) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | R243 |  |  | NA |  |  |  | [35] |
| AFUA_7G01960 | L167 | Stop <br> Gained | CHR 7-531,582 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | $4.66 \times 10^{-1}$ | [36] |
| AFUA_2G10600 | E180 | D | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CHR } 2 \text { - } \\ 2,714,188 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $6.39 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.33 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.39 \times 10^{-2}$ | $8.79 \times 10^{-3}$ | [37] |

* The reference strain Af293 contains the cyp51A mutations F46Y, M172V, N248T, D255E, and E427K.
${ }^{1}$ The mutation sites were not found in the soft filtered genotype file, prior to multiallelic site removal.

Among these 44 known amino acid sites, 22 SNPs at 20 amino acid positions were found in our sample-set using the filtered vcf file, prior to multiallelic site removal (Table 2.1). Fisher's Exact tests were conducted on these sites to determine their statistical associations with triazole resistance (Table 2.1). For these tests, using the 122 strains with known MIC values for both antifungals, we identified SNPs significantly associated with itraconazole and pan-azole resistance (Table 2.1).

According to EUCAST guidelines, MIC breakpoints for susceptible strains are set at $\leq 1$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ with an ATU of $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ for both itraconazole and voriconazole. To accommodate this buffer region, two resistance criteria were used and tested in this study. The first test defined resistant strains as having MIC values $\geq 2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and the second test set the
resistance values at MIC $\geq 4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. A Bonferroni-corrected $p$-value threshold of $4.07 \times$ $10^{-4}(0.05 / 122)$ was used to evaluate associations between the 22 SNPs and triazole resistance. Of the 22 known SNPs tested, only one in the Lysine-98 amino acid site, located in the gene cyp $51 A$, was found to be significantly associated with itraconazole and pan-azole resistance in both Fisher's Exact tests (Table 2.1).

We further sought to conduct Fisher's Exact tests using subgroups consisting of solely itraconazole resistant (i.e., resistant to itraconazole but susceptible to voriconazole) or solely voriconazole resistant (i.e., resistant to voriconazole but susceptible to itraconazole) strains groups. However, the sample sizes of these subgroups were all below the requirement needed to achieve the desired Bonferroni-corrected $p$-value threshold. Thus, these subgroups were omitted from testing.

To unmask the effect of all these listed known mutation sites in $c y p 51 A$ associated with triazole resistance, our study conducted a stepwise analysis of these sites using Fisher's Exact tests. First, additional Fisher's Exact tests were conducted after strains with the welldocumented L 98 H mutation in cyp 51 A , which alone with its accompanying tandem repeat $\mathrm{TR}_{34}$ can confer triazole resistance, were removed. From the 122 strains with known MIC values, 21 strains contained the $\mathrm{TR}_{34} / \mathrm{L} 98 \mathrm{H}$ mutation (Table S2.1). Using both MIC resistance thresholds and a Bonferroni-corrected threshold of $4.95 \times 10^{-4}(0.05 / 101)$, the additional Fisher's Exact tests identified no SNPs significantly associated with itraconazole and/or pan-azole resistance among these 22 known mutations.

To unmask the effect of other known $c y p 51 A$ mutations associated with triazole resistance, additional Fisher's Exact tests were also conducted after removal of strains containing any of these known mutations (Table S2.1). From the strains with known MIC values, 64 strains contained the known mutations in these cyp $51 A$ sites (Table S2.1). After removal of the 64 strains and using a Bonferroni-corrected threshold of $8.62 \times 10^{-4}(0.05 / 58)$, the additional Fisher's Exact tests identified no SNPs significantly associated with itraconazole and/or panazole resistance among these 22 mutation sites.

A final set of Fisher's Exact tests were conducted focusing on a clade-level. Clade II was chosen for these additional analyses as the cluster contained the greatest number of strains and none of the Clade II strains contained the L98H mutation in cyp51A. The strains from Clade II with both itraconazole and voriconazole MIC values ( $\mathrm{n}=71$ ) were used in this final set of the Fisher's Exact tests. Using a Bonferroni-corrected threshold of $7.04 \times 10^{-4}$ $(0.05 / 71)$, no SNPs were found to be significantly associated with itraconazole and/or panazole resistance from these 22 mutations sites.

Together, the stepwise analyses results revealed that these well-characterized mutation sites do not account for the observed triazole resistance in our sample sets. Therefore, additional modes of action and uncharacterized novel mutations should be investigated for their possible involvement with triazole susceptibility in A. fumigatus.

### 2.4.3. Genes Overexpressed with Triazole Exposure

We further examined the potential overlap between the genome-wide population level SNPs identified here with previously identified genes not listed in Table 2.1 but were related with triazole resistance in $A$. fumigatus. Specifically, we extracted information about specific genes that were overexpressed in $A$. fumigatus during exposure to itraconazole and/or voriconazole. Table 2.2 summarizes the genes that were overexpressed upon exposure to each antifungal. The overexpression of these genes under triazole stress were determined using RT-qPCR and RNA-seq information [25,38,39]. Supplementary Table S2.2 describes the details on the experimental conditions and setup associated with each gene listed in Table 2.2. Specifically, previous work demonstrated that ten ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters ( $a b c A-1, a b c A-2, a b c B, a b c C, a b c D, a b c E$, $a t r F, m d r 1, m d r 4$, and $A F U A \_5 G 02260$ ), four major facilitator superfamily (MFS) transporters (AFUA_2G11580, mfs56, mfs $A$ and $m f s C$ ), the 14-alpha sterol demethylase $c y p 51 A$, and 16 transcription factors (ace1, AFUA_1G02870, AFUA_1G04140, AFUA_1G16460, AFUA_2G01190, AFUA_3G09130, AFUA_4G06170, AFUA_4G13600, AFUA_5G02655, AFUA_5G06350, AFUA_5G07510, AFUA_6G01960, AFUA_6G03430, AFUA_7G03910, AFUA_8G07360, and fumR) were overexpressed following itraconazole exposure [21,35]. Similarly, five ABC transporters ( $m d r 1, a b c B, a b c C, a b c D$ and $a b c E$ ), three

MFS multidrug transporters ( $m f_{s} A$, $m f s B$ and $m f S C$, a F-box domain protein $(f b p A$ ), an AAAfamily ATPase (aaaA), a C6 zinc finger domain protein (finA), a BZIP transcription factor ( $c p c A$ ), and a putative C 2 H 2 zinc-finger transcription factor ( $s / p A$ ) were overexpressed with voriconazole exposure [38].

Table 2.2. Overexpressed genes associated with triazole exposure in $A$. fumigatus from previous RT-qPCR and RNA-seq studies.

| Overexpressed Gene Name | Encoded Protein | Fold Change When Exposed to Itraconazole | Fold Change When Exposed to Voriconazole | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} a b c A-1 \\ (\text { AFUA_1G17440) } \end{gathered}$ |  | 7.1 | NA | [25] |
| $\begin{gathered} a b c A-2 \\ \left(A F U A \_2 G 15130\right) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\sim 6.50$ | NA | [25] |
| $\begin{gathered} a b c B \\ \left(A F U A \_1 G 10390\right) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\sim 4.50$ | $\sim 5.00-13.00$ | [25,38] |
| $\begin{gathered} a b c C \\ \left(A F U A \_1 G 14330\right) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\sim 5.50$ | $\sim 5.00$ - >20.00 | [25,38] |
| $\begin{gathered} \bar{a} b c D \\ \left(A F U A \_6 G 03470\right) \end{gathered}$ | ABC multidrug transporter | $\sim 4.50$ | $\sim 2.00$ - >20.00 | [25,38] |
| $\begin{gathered} a b c E \\ \left(A F U A \_7 G 00480\right) \end{gathered}$ |  | ~1.00 | $\sim 2.00->20.00$ | [25,38] |
| $\begin{gathered} a t r F \\ \left(A F U A \_6 G 04360\right) \end{gathered}$ |  | 31.7 | NA | [25] |
| $\begin{gathered} m d r 1 \\ \left(A F U A \_5 G 06070\right) \end{gathered}$ |  | ~5.00 | $\sim 2.00-5.00$ | [25,38] |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { mdr4 } \\ (\text { AFUA_1G12690) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\sim 4.70$ | NA | [25] |
| AFUA_5G02260 | ABC multidrug transporter, putative | $\sim 4.90$ | NA | [25] |
| AFUA_2G11580 | MFS multidrug transporter, | 14.2 | NA | [25] |
| $\begin{gathered} m f s 56 \\ (\text { AFUA_1G05010) } \end{gathered}$ | putative | $\sim 4.50-700.00$ | NA | [25] |
| $\begin{gathered} m f_{s} A \\ \left(A F U A \_8 G 05710\right) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\sim 4.70$ | $\sim 1.50-11.00$ | [25,38] |
| $\begin{gathered} m f_{s} B \\ \left(A F U A \_1 G 15490\right) \end{gathered}$ | MFS multidrug transporter | NA | $\sim 4.00-18.00$ | [38] |
| $\begin{gathered} m f_{s} C \\ \left(A F U A \_1 G 03200\right) \end{gathered}$ |  | ~7.90 | $\sim 2.50-30.00$ | [25,38] |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { cyp51A } \\ \text { (AFUA_4G06890) } \end{gathered}$ | 14-alpha sterol demethylase | 21.00-550.90 | NA | [25] |
| $\begin{gathered} f b p A \\ (\text { AFUA_1G14050) } \end{gathered}$ | F-box domain protein | NA | $\sim>50.00-600.00$ | [38] |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { aaaA } \\ \left(A F U A \_7 G 06680\right) \end{gathered}$ | AAA-family ATPase, putative | NA | $\sim 2.00-90.00$ | [38] |
| $\begin{gathered} \overline{\text { finA }} \\ (\text { AFUA_8G05800) } \end{gathered}$ | C6 zinc finger domain protein | NA | $\sim 4.00-40.00$ | [38] |
| AFUA_1G02870 | Transcription factor involved in oxidative stress response, putative | 2.48-2.61 | NA | [39] |
| AFUA_1G04140 | C6 finger domain protein, | 2.04-2.94 | NA | [39] |
| AFUA_6G01960 | putative | 2.01-3.02 | NA | [39] |


| AFUA_6G03430 |  | 2.78-2.93 | NA | [39] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { fumR } \\ \text { (AFUA_8G00420) } \end{gathered}$ | C6 zinc finger transcription factor | 4.00-4.70 | NA | [39] |
| AFUA_5G07510 |  | 2.39-3.50 | NA | [39] |
| AFUA_3G09130 | ranscription factor, putative | 1.73-2.22 | NA | [39] |
| AFUA_8G07360 | ranscription factor, putative | 1.90-1.92 | NA | [39] |
| $\begin{gathered} c p c A \\ (\text { AFUA_4G12470) } \end{gathered}$ | BZIP transcription factor | NA | >1.50-~5.50 | [38] |
| AFUA_1G16460 | BZIP transcription factor (LziP), putative | 1.75-2.12 | NA | [39] |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { AFUA_7G03910 } \\ \text { ace1 } \end{gathered}$ | C 2 H 2 zinc finger protein | 2.50-2.86 | NA | [39] |
| (AFUA_3G08010) |  | 1.66-2.32 | NA | [39] |
| AFUA_4G13600 <br> $z f p A$ | C 2 H 2 zinc-finger transcription factor, putative | 2.30-2.71 | NA | [39] |
| (AFUA_8G05010) |  | NA | $\sim 1.50-60.00$ | [38] |
| AFUA_2G01190 | Cu-dependent DNA-binding protein, putative | 1.30-2.10 | NA | [39] |
| AFUA_4G06170 | Predicted DNA-binding | 3.79-3.89 | NA | [39] |
| AFUA_5G02655 | transcription factor | 2.75-3.84 | NA | [39] |
| $\begin{gathered} a d a \\ \left(A F U A \_5 G 06350\right) \end{gathered}$ | DNA repair and transcription factor, putative | 1.23-2.05 | NA | [39] |

A summary of the overexpressed genes and specific fold changes, revealed in previous studies by RT-qPCR and RNA-seq during triazole exposure, are detailed in Table 2.2. Using these studies, a total of 37 overexpressed genes with triazole exposure were identified for further investigation. However, subsequent analysis excluded cyp $51 A$-related mutations as they have already been extensively searched and discussed in Section 2.4.2.

We identified SNPs in these 37 overexpressed genes and their neighbouring intergenic regions using the soft-filtered vcf file. A total of 3230 SNP sites were identified in these overexpressed genes from our dataset. Using the same procedure as that used for the 22 known mutation sites, we identified SNPs significantly associated with itraconazoleresistance and pan-azole resistance in these 36 overexpressed genes. Multiple Fisher's Exact tests, with a Bonferroni-corrected threshold of $1.55 \times 10^{-5}(0.05 / 3230)$, were conducted on these sites.

Using a MIC threshold of $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and all 122 strains, we found 57 SNPs and 11 SNPs to be significantly associated with itraconazole and pan-azole resistance, respectively (Table S2.3). For itraconazole, these SNPs were located in or beside 14 genes: $a b c C(n=9), a b c D(n=$ 1), $a b c E(\mathrm{n}=8), f b p A(\mathrm{n}=1), f u m \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{n}=1), m f s A(\mathrm{n}=5), m f s B(\mathrm{n}=1), m f s C(\mathrm{n}=1)$,

AFUA_1G16460 (n = 6), AFUA_2G01190 ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ), AFUA_4G13600 (n = 3), AFUA_5G02655 (n = 5), AFUA_6G01960 (n = 9), and AFUA_6G03430 (n = 6). Among these 57 SNPs, 46 were found in intergenic or intronic regions, two were non-coding transcript variants, eight were synonymous variants, and one was a missense variant (Table S2.3). For pan-azole resistance, the 11 associated SNPs were located in or beside six genes: $m f s A(\mathrm{n}=1), m f s B(\mathrm{n}=1), A F U A \_2 G 01190(\mathrm{n}=1), A F U A \_4 G 06170(\mathrm{n}=1)$, AFUA_4G13600 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ), and AFUA_6G03430 ( $\mathrm{n}=4$ ). The 11 SNPs comprised of 10 intergenic variants and one missense variant. Next, using the MIC threshold of $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ as the resistance cut-off, we found 57 SNPs and 10 SNPs to be significantly associated with itraconazole and pan-azole resistance, respectively (Table S2.3). When compared to the previous results obtained using a MIC threshold of $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, two variants were no longer significantly associated with pan-azole resistance: a missense variant in $m f s A$ and an intergenic variant in $m f s B$. Furthermore, a newly found synonymous variant in AFUA_1G04140 was significantly associated with pan-azole resistance using the MIC threshold of $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (Table S2.3).

Fisher's Exact tests were also conducted after removal of the 21 strains containing the L 98 H mutation in cyp 51 . Using both MIC resistance thresholds of $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, three SNPs were found to be significantly associated with itraconazole resistance (Table S2.3). The three SNPs consisted of the previously found intergenic variant in $m f s B$, and two novel intergenic variants-one in AFUA_6G01960 and the second in AFUA_6G01960 (Table S2.3). No SNPs were found to be significantly associated with pan-azole resistance.

A third set of Fisher's Exact tests were conducted after removal of the 64 strains containing the mutations in $c y p 51 A$ and using both MIC thresholds. Using the MIC resistance thresholds of $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, one SNP was found to be significantly associated with pan-azole resistance. This SNP was found in the intergenic region of $a b c A$ (Table S2.3).

A final set of Fisher's Exact tests was completed and focused solely on strains from Clade II ( $\mathrm{n}=71$ ). Using both MIC resistance thresholds, $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, no SNPs were found to be significantly associated with itraconazole and/or pan-azole resistance in this sample set.

### 2.4.4. Genome-Wide Association Study

In addition to examining known triazole resistance mutations and SNPs in genes overexpressed during triazole exposure, a genome-wide association study (GWAS) was performed on the 122 and 123 strains with known itraconazole and voriconazole MIC values to investigate potential novel mutations associated with triazole sensitivity. The results of our analyses are summarized in Figure 2.2. Specifically, the itraconazole GWAS Manhattan plot can be found in Figure 2.2A and for voriconazole, in Figure 2.2B. The generated quantilequantile plots for the GWAS results displayed no systematic inflation in our samples (Figure S2.1A,B).

(B)

Figure 2.2. The Manhattan plot showing genome-wide SNPs associated with triazole resistance in $A$. fumigatus. (A) SNPs associated with itraconazole resistance in $A$. fumigatus isolates ( $\mathrm{n}=122$ ) and (B) SNPs associated with voriconazole resistance in A. fumigatus isolates ( $\mathrm{n}=123$ ). The top 20 SNPs in each analysis are separated out by the red dashed line. The plot is depicted with chromosome position on the X -axis and the $-\log _{10}(\mathrm{p}$-value) on the Y -axis.

We further examined the top 20 significant SNPs identified by the GWAS analysis. Among the 20 SNPs obtained from the itraconazole GWAS, 13 (65\%) were located in intergenic regions and 7 ( $35 \%$ ) within protein-coding regions (Table 2.3). These seven SNPs consisted
of five missense variants, one synonymous variant, and one non-coding transcript variant (Table 2.3). In terms of the top 20 SNPs found from the voriconazole GWAS, 10 (50\%) were found in intergenic regions and the remaining 10 in coding regions (Table 2.4). These 10 coding-region SNPs consist of four missense variants, five synonymous variants, and one non-coding transcript variant (Table 2.4). Among the top 20 SNPs associated with each of the two drugs, only one was shared. This variant was a missense A to C mutation at the position 2,538,614 on chromosome 1, in the gene AFUA_1G09780. The remaining 38 SNPs were unique to each of the two triazole drugs.

Table 2.3. Top 20 significant SNPs obtained from the GWAS that were associated with itraconazole resistance, arranged based on their $-\log _{10}(\mathrm{p}$-values) from the highest to lowest.

| Chromosome | Position (bp) | Change | $\begin{aligned} & -\log _{10}(p- \\ & \text { value }) \end{aligned}$ | Gene ID | Annotation | Predicted Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2,538,614 | A to C | 6.15 | AFUA_1G09780 | Stomatin family protein | Missense Variant (Asp418Ala) |
| 2 | 1,845,323 | C to T | 5.14 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AFUA_2G06330- } \\ \text { AFUA_2G07340 } \end{gathered}$ | Ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase, putative-COP9 subunit 3, putative | Intergenic Region |
| 2 | 1,899,353 | C to T | 4.58 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AFUA_2G07430- } \\ \text { AFUA_2G07440 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | DDHD domain protein - Thioesterase family protein | Intergenic Region |
| 3 | 2,408,041 | T to C | 4.29 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AFUA_3G09400- } \\ \text { AFUA_3G09450 } \end{gathered}$ | MFS transporter (Hol1), putativeAlpha/beta fold family hydrolase, putative | Intergenic Region |
| 8 | 623,331 | G to T | 4.09 | AFUA_8G02330 | Endoglucanase, putative | Non-coding <br> Transcript Variant |
| 4 | 3,737,973 | C to T | 3.66 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { AFUA_4G14300- } \\ & \text { AFUA_4G14310 } \end{aligned}$ | Dynamin family GTPase, putative- <br> APH domain-containing protein | Intergenic Region |
| 5 | 2,063,521 | C to A | 3.42 | AFUA_5G08150 | ABC bile acid transporter, putative | Missense Variant (His105Gln) |
| 5 | 2,069,483 | G to A | 3.23 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AFUA_5G08160- } \\ \text { AFUA_5G08170 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Cyclin, putative-Autophagy-related protein 3 (Atg3) | Intergenic Region |
| 3 | 1,953,910 | G to A | 3.14 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AFUA_3G07730- } \\ \text { AFUA_3G07740 } \end{gathered}$ | Uncharacterized proteinUncharacterized protein | Intergenic Region |
| 6 | 3,054,001 | C to G | 3.08 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AFUA_6G12145- } \\ \text { AFUA_6G12150 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Uncharacterized protein-BZIP transcription factor (Atf7), putative | Intergenic Region |
| 3 | 1,266,358 | A to G | 3.08 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_3G04310- } \\ & \text { AFUA_3G05320 } \end{aligned}$ | SnoRNA binding protein, putativeC 2 H 2 finger domain protein, putative | Intergenic Region |
| 5 | 2,069,698 | A to G | 3.03 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { AFUA_5G08160- } \\ & \text { AFUA_5G08170 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Cyclin, putative- <br> Autophagy-related protein 3 (Atg3) | Intergenic Region |
| 2 | 1,781,938 | G to A | 2.99 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_2G06205- } \\ & \text { AFUA_2G06220 } \end{aligned}$ | Yippee family protein-Zinc knuckle domain protein | Intergenic Region |
| 6 | 1,353,971 | T to C | 2.98 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AFUA_6G06350- } \\ \text { AFUA_6G06360 } \end{gathered}$ | Proteasome subunit alpha type 3, putative-Mating alpha-pheromone (PpgA) | Intergenic Region |
| 4 | 1,363,615 | T to C | 2.96 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AFUA_4G04820- } \\ \text { AFUA_4G05830 } \end{gathered}$ | ```C-4 methyl sterol oxidase (Erg25), putative-Methylthioribose-1- phosphate isomerase (Mri1)``` | Intergenic Region |


| 8 | 635,137 | A to G | 2.94 | AFUA_8G02350 | Polyketide synthase, putative | Missense Variant <br> (Thr1206Ala) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $2,316,978$ | A to G | 2.91 | AFUA_3G09090 | RING finger domain protein | Missense Variant <br> (Glu298Gly) |
| 6 | $2,508,121$ | A to G | 2.91 | AFUA_6G10140 - <br> AFUA_6G10150 | C6 transcription factor, putative- |  |
| Uncharacterized protein | Intergenic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $2,074,852$ | A to C | 2.89 | AFUA_2G08060 | Involucrin repeat protein | Missense Variant <br> (Lys779Thr) |
| 2 | $2,080,579$ | T to C | 2.89 | AFUA_2G08060 | Involucrin repeat protein | Synonymous <br> Variant <br> (His2640His) |

Table 2.4. Top 20 significant SNPs obtained from the GWAS that were associated with voriconazole resistance.

| Chromosome | Position (bp) | Change | $\begin{aligned} & -\log _{10}(p- \\ & \text { value }) \end{aligned}$ | Gene ID | Annotation | Predicted Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1,870,902 | G to A | 4.69 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AFUA_2G06330- } \\ \text { AFUA_2G07340 } \end{gathered}$ | Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase-COP9 subunit 3, putative | Intergenic Region |
| 1 | 975,914 | G to A | 4.02 | AFUA_1G03370 | Uncharacterized protein | Missense Variant (Ser174Asn) |
| 8 | 613,458 | G to A | 3.99 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_8G02290- } \\ & \text { AFUA_8G02300 } \end{aligned}$ | Uncharacterized protein-FMNdependent dehydrogenase family protein | Intergenic Region |
| 3 | 4,040,199 | T to C | 3.64 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AFUA_3G15350- } \\ \text { AFUA_3G15380 } \end{gathered}$ | Short chain dehydrogenase family protein, putative-MFS multidrug transporter, putative | Intergenic Region |
| 2 | 4,689,008 | C to T | 3.33 | AFUA_2G17600 | Conidial pigment polyketide synthase (Alb1) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Synonymous } \\ \text { Variant } \\ (\text { Val357Val }) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 | 564,519 | A to C | 3.29 | AFUA_5G02210 | Uncharacterized protein | Missense Variant (Met287Arg) |
| 1 | 2,538,614 | A to C | 3.29 | AFUA_1G09780 | Stomatin family protein | Missense Variant (Asp418Ala) |
| 2 | 1,851,010 | G to A | 2.91 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AFUA_2G06330- } \\ \text { AFUA_2G07340 } \end{gathered}$ | Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase-COP9 subunit 3, putative | Intergenic Region |
| 8 | 611,467 | C to A | 2.85 | AFUA_8G02280 | C6 transcription factor, putative | Missense Variant (Glu79Asp) |
| 2 | 2,087,757 | C to A | 2.79 | AFUA_2G08060 | Involucrin repeat protein | Non-coding <br> Transcript Variant |
| 6 | 3,648,516 | T to C | 2.78 | AFUA_6G14330 | 5-oxo-L-prolinase, putative | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Synonymous } \\ \text { Variant } \\ \text { (Glu131Glu) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 1,337,273 | A to G | 2.77 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AFUA_1G04700- } \\ \text { AFUA_1G04710 } \end{gathered}$ | Ras guanyl-nucleotide exchange factor (RasGEF), putativeCytoplasmic tRNA 2-thiolation protein 1 | Intergenic Region |
| 2 | 4,805,099 | C to T | 2.77 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { AFUA_2G18070- } \\ \text { AFUA_2G18100 } \end{gathered}$ | Neutral protease 2-Telomereassociated RecQ helicase, putative | Intergenic Region |
| 2 | 426,803 | C to T | 2.75 | AFUA_2G01740 | Sulfate transporter, putative | Synonymous <br> Variant <br> (Ala141Ala) |


| 3 | 269,388 | G to T | 2.74 | AFUA_3G01150 - <br> AFUA_3G01160 | GPI anchored cell wall protein, <br> putative-Choline monooxygenase, <br> chloroplastic | Intergenic Region |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $2,383,015$ | C to T | 2.70 | AFUA_6G09745- <br> AFUA_6G09760 | Uncharacterized protein- <br> Cytochrome P450 monooxygenase, <br> putative | Intergenic Region |
| 2 | 420,712 | T to C | 2.68 | AFUA_2G01710 | GPI anchored protein, putative | Synonymous <br> Variant <br> (Ile294Ile) |
| 7 | $1,182,007$ | A to C | 2.68 | AFUA_7G05020- <br> AFUA_7G05030 | Uncharacterized protein-Pectin <br> lyase B | Intergenic Region |
| 5 | 184,363 | G to A | 2.68 | AFUA_5G00650- <br> AFUA_5G00660 | Uncharacterized protein- <br> Uncharacterized protein | Intergenic Region |
| 2 | 441,695 | C to T | 2.67 | $A F U A \_2 G 01780$ | Small nucleolar ribonucleoprotein <br> complex subunit (Utp15), putative | Synonymous <br> Variant <br> (Val184Val) |

Additional GWAS analyses were conducted in the same stepwise manner seen in the previous Fisher's Exact tests. Firstly, to alleviate any potential masking effect caused by the L98H mutation in cyp 51 A, the 21 strains with the L 98 H mutation were removed. A second GWAS, using the same previous pipeline, was then conducted. The results of the second GWAS are summarized in Figure 2.3A,B as Manhattan plots for itraconazole and voriconazole, respectively. The generated quantile-quantile plots for both GWAS results displayed no genomic inflation (Figure S2.2A,B).


Figure 2.3. The Manhattan plot showing genome-wide SNPs associated with triazole resistance in $A$. fumigatus after removal of strains containing the L98H mutation in cyp 51 A. (A) SNPs associated with itraconazole resistance in A. fumigatus isolates ( $\mathrm{n}=101$ ) and $(\mathbf{B})$ SNPs associated with voriconazole resistance in A. fumigatus isolates ( $\mathrm{n}=102$ ). The top 20 SNPs in each analysis are separated out by the red dashed line. The plot is depicted with chromosome position on the X -axis and the $-\log _{10}(\mathrm{p}$-value) on the Y -axis.

The top 20 significant SNPs identified by the second GWAS analyses were examined. Among the 20 SNPs obtained from the itraconazole GWAS, 13 (65\%) were located in
intergenic regions and 7 ( $35 \%$ ) within protein-coding regions (Table 2.5). These seven SNPs comprised of four missense variants, one synonymous variant, and two non-coding transcript variants (Table 2.5). In terms of the top 20 SNPs obtained from the second voriconazole GWAS, $10(50 \%)$ were found in intergenic regions and the remaining 10 in coding regions (Table 2.6). These 10 coding-region SNPs consist of 5 missense variants, 3 synonymous variants, and 2 non-coding transcript variants (Table 2.6). Among the top 20 SNPs associated with each of the two drugs, none were shared between the two triazole drugs.

Table 2.5. Top 20 significant SNPs obtained from the second GWAS associated with itraconazole resistance, arranged based on their $-\log _{10}(\mathrm{p}$-values) from the highest to lowest.

| ChromosomePosition <br> (bp) | Change | -log10 $\mathbf{p -}$ <br> value) | Gene ID | Annotation | Predicted Effect |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $2,538,614$ | A to C | 5.37 | AFUA_1G09780 | Stomatin family protein | Missense Variant <br> (Asp418Ala) |
| 2 | $1,845,323$ | C to T | 4.14 | AFUA_2G06330- <br> AFUA_2G07340 | Ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase, <br> putative - COP9 subunit 3, putative | Intergenic Region |


| ${ }^{* 3}$ | $1,883,390$ | C to A | 2.78 | AFUA_3G07510- <br> AFUA_3G07520 | Uncharacterized protein - <br> Exo-beta-1,3-glucanase, putative | Intergenic Region |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $2,316,978$ | A to G | 2.77 | AFUA_3G09090 | RING finger domain protein | Missense Variant <br> (Glu298Gly) |
| 3 | $1,266,358$ | A to G | 2.76 | AFUA_3G04310- <br> AFUA_3G05320 | SnoRNA binding protein, putative - <br> C2 finger domain protein, putative | Intergenic Region |

Unique SNP sites are denoted by asterisks ""*" ( $n=8$ ).

Table 2.6. Top 20 significant SNPs obtained from the second GWAS associated with $^{\text {a }}$ voriconazole resistance, arranged based on their $-\log _{10}(\mathrm{p}$-values) from the highest to lowest.

| Chromosome | Position (bp) | Change | $\begin{gathered} -\log _{10}(p- \\ \text { value) } \end{gathered}$ | Gene ID | Annotation | Predicted Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 975,914 | G to A | 3.97 | AFUA_1G03370 | Uncharacterized protein | Missense Variant (Ser174Asn) |
| 2 | 1,870,902 | G to A | 3.83 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_2G06330- } \\ & \text { AFUA_2G07340 } \end{aligned}$ | Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase - COP9 subunit 3, putative | Intergenic Region |
| 8 | 613,458 | G to A | 3.71 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_8G02290- } \\ & \text { AFUA_8G02300 } \end{aligned}$ | Uncharacterized protein - FMNdependent dehydrogenase family protein | Intergenic Region |
| *7 | 195,144 | A to G | 3.67 | AFUA_7G00740 | Protein kinase, putative | Missense Variant (Ile188Val) |
| *2 | 3,345,583 | A to G | 3.53 | AFUA_2G13030 | Phenylalanyl-tRNA synthetase | Missense Variant (Asp343Gly) |
| *2 | 416,242 | C to T | 3.49 | AFUA_2G01700 | Carbon catabolite derepressing protein kinase (Snf1), putative | Non-coding <br> Transcript Variant |
| 6 | 3,648,516 | T to C | 3.41 | AFUA_6G14330 | 5-oxo-L-prolinase, putative | Synonymous <br> Variant <br> (Glu131Glu) |
| 6 | 2,383,015 | C to T | 3.29 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_6G09745- } \\ & \text { AFUA_6G09760 } \end{aligned}$ | Uncharacterized protein Cytochrome P450 monooxygenase, putative | Intergenic Region |
| *8 | 237,297 | T to G | 3.28 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_8G01030- } \\ & \text { AFUA_8G01050 } \end{aligned}$ | Uncharacterized protein Lipase/esterase, putative | Intergenic Region |
| *8 | 379,123 | C to T | 3.28 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_8G01480- } \\ & \text { AFUA_8G01490 } \end{aligned}$ | Potassium channel, putative Endoglucanase, putative | Intergenic Region |
| *4 | 776,628 | A to G | 3.14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_4G02800- } \\ & \text { AFUA_4G02805 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Haemolysin-III family protein - Asp hemolysin-like protein | Intergenic Region |
| 2 | 4,689,008 | C to T | 3.12 | AFUA_2G17600 | Conidial pigment polyketide synthase (Alb1) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Synonymous } \\ \text { Variant } \\ \text { (Val357Val) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 | 2,087,757 | C to A | 3.12 | AFUA_2G08060 | Involucrin repeat protein | Non-coding Transcript Variant |
| *8 | 292,607 | C to T | 2.99 | AFUA_8G01250 | GNAT family acetyltransferase, putative | Missense Variant (Arg134Cys) |
| 5 | 564,519 | A to C | 2.94 | AFUA_5G02210 | Uncharacterized protein | Missense Variant (Met287Arg) |
| *7 | 1,019,801 | A to G | 2.94 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_7G04470- } \\ & \text { AFUA_7G04480 } \end{aligned}$ | Uncharacterized protein - DNA mismatch repair protein (Msh3) | Intergenic Region |


| *3 | 341,035 | T to A | 2.92 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_3G01370- } \\ & \text { AFUA_3G01400 } \end{aligned}$ | MFS transporter, putative - ABC multidrug transporter, putative | Intergenic Region |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *3 | 386,560 | T to C | 2.90 | AFUA_3G01520 | MFS multidrug transporter, putative | Synonymous Variant (Val170Val) |
| *8 | 1,631,284 | G to A | 2.87 | AFUA_8G06690- <br> AFUA_8G06700 | Cytochrome P450 alkane hydroxylase - Annexin | Intergenic Region |
| *6 | 2,940,890 | T to C | 2.86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { AFUA_6G11780- } \\ & \text { AFUA_6G11790 } \end{aligned}$ | Uncharacterized protein Uncharacterized protein | Intergenic Region |

A third set of GWAS analyses was also done to alleviate any potential masking effect caused by the known mutations in cyp51A, previously listed in Table 2.1. The 64 strains with $c y p 51 A$ mutations were removed and the third GWAS, using the same previous pipeline, was then conducted. The results of the third GWAS are summarized in Figure 2.4A,B as Manhattan plots for itraconazole and voriconazole, respectively. The generated quantilequantile plots for both GWAS results displayed no genomic inflation (Figure S2.3A,B).
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Figure 2.4. The Manhattan plot showing genome-wide SNPs associated with triazole resistance in $A$. fumigatus after removal of strains containing the mutations in $g$ g $51 A$. (A) SNPs associated with itraconazole resistance in $A$. fumigatus isolates ( $\mathrm{n}=58$ ) and (B) SNPs associated with voriconazole resistance in $A$. fumigatus isolates ( $\mathrm{n}=59$ ). The top 20 SNPs in each analysis are separated out by the red dashed line. The plot is depicted with chromosome position on the X -axis and the $-\log _{10}(\mathrm{p}$-value) on the Y -axis.

The top 20 significant SNPs identified by the third GWAS analyses were examined. Among the top 20 SNPs obtained from the itraconazole GWAS, 11 (55\%) were located in intergenic
regions and 9 ( $45 \%$ ) within protein-coding regions (Table 2.7). These nine SNPs comprised of three missense variants, two synonymous variants, three non-coding transcript variants and one intragenic variant (Table 2.7). In terms of the top 20 SNPs obtained from the third voriconazole GWAS, $10(50 \%)$ were found in intergenic regions and the remaining 10 in coding regions (Table 2.8). These 10 coding-region SNPs consist of six missense variants and four synonymous variants (Table 2.8). Among the top 20 SNPs associated with each of the two drugs, two SNPS were shared between the two triazole drugs. The first variant was a synonymous C to A mutation at the position $2,539,714$ on chromosome 4 , in the gene AFUA_4G09770. The second mutation was a synonymous T to C mutation at position 2,131,740 of chromosome 5, in the gene AFUA_5G08390.

Table 2.7. Top 20 significant SNPs obtained from the third GWAS associated with itraconazole resistance, arranged based on their $-\log _{10}(\mathrm{p}$-values) from the highest to lowest.

| Chromosome | Position (bp) | Change | $\begin{aligned} & -\log _{10}(p- \\ & \text { value) } \end{aligned}$ | Gene ID | Annotation | Predicted Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 635,137 | A to G | 3.72 | AFUA_8G02350 | Polyketide synthase (PKS), putative | Missense Variant (Thr1206Ala) |
| 8 | 623,331 | G to T | 3.50 | AFUA_8G02330 | Endoglucanase, putative | Non-coding <br> Transcript Variant |
| 5 | 2,069,698 | A to G | 3.26 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_5G08160- } \\ & \text { AFUA_5G08170 } \end{aligned}$ | Cyclin, putative-Autophagy-related protein 3 (Atg3) | Intergenic Region |
| *5 | 419,750 | A to G | 3.11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_5G01640- } \\ & \text { AFUA_5G01650 } \end{aligned}$ | Ankyrin repeat protein-bZIP transcription factor (JlbA), putative | Intergenic Region |
| 1 | 2,538,614 | A to C | 3.06 | AFUA_1G09780 | Stomatin family protein | Missense Variant (Asp418Ala) |
| *8 | 629,524 | G to T | 3.01 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_8G02340- } \\ & \text { AFUA_8G02350 } \end{aligned}$ | Uncharacterized protein-Polyketide synthase, putative | Intergenic Region |
| *8 | 576,158 | T to C | 2.96 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_8G02210- } \\ & \text { AFUA_8G02220 } \end{aligned}$ | Alpha-ketoglutarate-dependent taurine dioxygenaseUncharacterized protein | Intergenic Region |
| *5 | 2,131,740 | T to C | 2.94 | AFUA_5G08390 | Response regulator, putative (Ssk1) | Synonymous <br> Variant (Lys532Lys) |
| 4 | 3,737,973 | C to T | 2.83 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_4G14300- } \\ & \text { AFUA_4G14310 } \end{aligned}$ | Dynamin family GTPase, putativeAPH domain-containing protein | Intergenic Region |
| *4 | 2,539,714 | C to A | 2.77 | AFUA_4G09770 | Velvet domain-containing protein | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Synonymous } \\ \text { Variant } \\ \text { (Leu193Leu) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 3,885,980 | G to A | 2.74 | AFUA_1G14540 | Oxidoreductase, short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase family | Non-coding <br> Transcript Variant |
| *3 | 1,256,445 | T to A | 2.74 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { AFUA_3G04310- } \\ & \text { AFUA_3G05320 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | SnoRNA binding protein, putativeC 2 H 2 finger domain protein, putative | Intergenic Region |


| *6 | 2,141,290 | T to C | 2.72 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_6G09000- } \\ & \text { AFUA_6G09010 } \end{aligned}$ | PHD finger domain protein, putative-U1 snRNP splicing complex subunit (Luc7), putative | Intergenic Region |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 2,389,222 | G to A | 2.70 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AFUA_3G09400- } \\ \text { AFUA_3G09450 } \end{gathered}$ | MFS transporter (Hol1), putativeAlpha/beta fold family hydrolase, putative | Intergenic Region |
| *5 | 810,835 | T to C | 2.67 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { AFUA_5G03020- } \\ & \text { AFUA_5G03030 } \end{aligned}$ | 6 0S ribosomal protein L4, putativeC6 transcription factor, putative | Intergenic Region |
| *6 | 2,891,637 | A to G | 2.65 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AFUA_6G11620- } \\ \text { AFUA_6G11630 } \end{gathered}$ | Formyltetrahydrofolate deformylase, putative-FAD-dependent isoamyl alcohol oxidase, putative | Intergenic Region |
| 5 | 2,063,521 | C to A | 2.64 | AFUA_5G08150 | ABC bile acid transporter, putative | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Missense Variant } \\ & \text { (His105Gln) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| *8 | 1,069,676 | A to G | 2.63 | AFUA_8G04680 | Oxidoreductase, short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase family, putative | Non-coding Transcript Variant |
| *1 | 1,585,001 | C to T | 2.62 | AFUA_1G00410 | C6 transcription factor, putative | Intragenic Variant |
| *4 | 3,891,318 | A to C | 2.59 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { AFUA_4G14751- } \\ & \text { AFUA_4G14770 } \end{aligned}$ | Uncharacterized proteinProtostadienol synthase (HelA) | Intergenic Region |

Table 2.8. Top 20 significant SNPs obtained from the third GWAS associated with voriconazole resistance, arranged based on their $-\log _{10}(\mathrm{p}$-values) from the highest to lowest.

| Chromosome | Position (bp) | Change | $\begin{aligned} & -\log _{10}(p- \\ & \text { value }) \end{aligned}$ | Gene ID | Annotation | Predicted Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 613,458 | G to A | 2.99 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_8G02290- } \\ & \text { AFUA_8G02300 } \end{aligned}$ | Uncharacterized protein-FMNdependent dehydrogenase family protein | Intergenic Region |
| *5 | 3,732,385 | G to A | 2.59 | AFUA_5G14315 | Uncharacterized protein | Synonymous <br> Variant (Phe212Phe) |
| *3 | 246,050 | C to A | 2.59 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_3G01060- } \\ & \text { AFUA_3G01070 } \end{aligned}$ | Uncharacterized proteinTyrosinase, putative | Intergenic Region |
| *8 | 388,274 | G to A | 2.58 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_8G01510- } \\ & \text { AFUA_8G01520 } \end{aligned}$ | Uncharacterized proteinPectinesterase | Intergenic Region |
| *5 | 794,519 | G to T | 2.57 | AFUA_5G02970 | LCCL domain protein | $\begin{gathered} \text { Synonymous } \\ \text { Variant } \\ \text { (Thr24Thr) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| *4 | 2,494,977 | G to C | 2.55 | AFUA_4G09580 | Major allergen (Aspf2) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Missense Variant } \\ \text { (Gly276Ala) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| *8 | 293,836 | G to A | 2.52 | AFUA_8G01260 | Uncharacterized protein | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Synonymous } \\ \text { Variant } \\ \text { (Pro383Pro) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| *6 | 2,379,483 | T to C | 2.45 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_6G09745- } \\ & \text { AFUA_6G09760 } \end{aligned}$ | Uncharacterized proteinCytochrome P450 monooxygenase, putative | Intergenic Region |
| *6 | 2,424,223 | C to A | 2.44 | AFUA_6G09870 | C6 transcription factor, putative | Missense Variant (Val360Phe) |
| *8 | 791,268 | A to G | 2.44 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_8G02870- } \\ & \text { AFUA_8G03870 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Uncharacterized proteinUncharacterized protein | Intergenic Region |


| ${ }^{*} 6$ | $2,480,554$ | C to T | 2.43 | AFUA_6G10050- <br> AFUA_6G10060 | Small oligopeptide transporter, OPT <br> family-F-actin-capping protein <br> subunit alpha | Intergenic Region |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

A final set of GWAS was completed to focus our analysis on a clade-level, using strains from Clade II. The strains from Clade II with itraconazole ( $\mathrm{n}=71$ ) and voriconazole ( $\mathrm{n}=72$ ) MIC values were used for the fourth GWAS, using the same previous pipelines. The results of this GWAS are summarized in Figure 2.5A,B as Manhattan plots for itraconazole and voriconazole, respectively. The generated quantile-quantile plots for both GWAS results displayed no genomic inflation (Figure S2.4A,B).


Figure 2.5. The Manhattan plot showing genome-wide SNPs associated with triazole resistance in $A$. fumigatus in Clade II (A) SNPs associated with itraconazole resistance in A. fumigatus isolates $(\mathrm{n}=71)$ and (B) SNPs associated with voriconazole resistance in $A$. fumigatus isolates $(\mathrm{n}=72)$. The top 20 SNPs in each analysis are separated out by the red dashed line. The plot is depicted with chromosome position on the X -axis and the $-\log _{10}(\mathrm{p}$-value) on the Y -axis.

The top 20 significant SNPs identified by the GWAS analyses on strains from Clade II were examined. Among the top 20 SNPs obtained from the itraconazole GWAS, 15 ( $75 \%$ ) were
located in intergenic regions and $5(25 \%)$ within protein-coding regions (Table 2.9). These five SNPs comprised of two missense variants, two synonymous variants, and one noncoding transcript variant (Table 2.9). In terms of the top 20 SNPs obtained from the voriconazole GWAS, $6(30 \%)$ were found in intergenic regions and the remaining 14 in coding regions (Table 2.10). These 14 coding-region SNPs consist of seven missense variants, five synonymous variants, one non-coding transcript variant and one intragenic variant (Table 2.10). Among the top 20 SNPs associated with each of the two drugs, no mutation sites were shared between the two triazole drugs.

Table 2.9. Top 20 significant SNPs obtained from the fourth GWAS associated with itraconazole resistance, arranged based on their $-\log _{10}(\mathrm{p}$-values) from the highest to lowest.

| ChromosomePosition <br> (bp) | Change | -log10(p- <br> value) | Gene ID | Annotation | Predicted Effect |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $2,538,614$ | A to C | 4.86 | $A F U A \_1 G 09780$ | Stomatin family protein | Missense Variant <br> (Asp418Ala) |
| 2 | $1,845,323$ | C to T | 3.21 | AFUA_2G0633- <br> $A F U A \_2 G 07340$ | Ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase, <br> putative-COP9 subunit 3, putative | Intergenic Region |


| *3 | 2,456,111 | A to G | 2.53 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_3G09630- } \\ & \text { AFUA_3G09640 } \end{aligned}$ | Asparaginyl-tRNA synthetase Slm5, putative-Camp independent regulatory protein | Intergenic Region |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *3 | 247,848 | G to A | 2.53 | AFUA_3G01060- <br> AFUA_3G01070 | Uncharacterized protein-Tyrosinase, putative | Intergenic Region |
| *3 | 220,452 | G to A | 2.52 | AFUA_3G00930 | C6 transcription factor, putative | $\begin{gathered} \text { Synonymous } \\ \text { Variant } \\ \text { (Ile259Ile) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | 2,408,041 | T to C | 2.50 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AFUA_3G09400- } \\ \text { AFUA_3G09450 } \end{gathered}$ | MFS transporter (Hol1), putativeAlpha/beta fold family hydrolase, putative | Intergenic Region |
| 3 | 2,414,011 | A to G | 2.48 | AFUA_3G09480 | 15-hydroxyprostaglandin dehydrogenase (NAD(+)) | Synonymous <br> Variant (Ser60Ser) |

Table 2.10. Top 20 significant SNPs obtained from the fourth GWAS associated with voriconazole resistance, arranged based on their $-\log _{10}(\mathrm{p}$-values) from the highest to lowest.

| Chromosome | Position <br> (bp) | Change | $\begin{gathered} -\log _{10}(p- \\ \text { value }) \end{gathered}$ | Gene ID | Annotation | Predicted Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 613,458 | G to A | 3.82 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_8G02290- } \\ & \text { AFUA_8G02300 } \end{aligned}$ | Uncharacterized protein-FMNdependent dehydrogenase family protein | Intergenic Region |
| 8 | 611,467 | C to A | 3.22 | AFUA_8G02280 | C6 transcription factor, putative | $\begin{gathered} \text { Missense Variant } \\ \text { (Glu79Asp) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | 246,050 | C to A | 2.93 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_3G01060- } \\ & \text { AFUA_3G01070 } \end{aligned}$ | Uncharacterized protein-Tyrosinase, putative | Intergenic Region |
| *4 | 12,352 | G to A | 2.80 | Chr Start AFUA_4G00100 | Rhamnogalacturonase, putative | Intergenic Region |
| *8 | 641,537 | T to C | 2.79 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_8G02380- } \\ & \text { AFUA_8G02390 } \end{aligned}$ | FAD-dependent monooxygenase, putative-Uncharacterized protein | Intergenic Region |
| 4 | 2,539,714 | C to A | 2.75 | AFUA_4G09770 | Velvet domain-containing protein | Synonymous <br> Variant (Leu193Leu) |
| *8 | 331,435 | C to A | 2.62 | AFUA_8G01340 | MFS sugar transporter, putative | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Missense Variant } \\ \text { (Leu239Met) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| *5 | 295,677 | C to T | 2.62 | AFUA_5G01180 | RAN small monomeric GTPase (Ran), putative | $\begin{gathered} \text { Synonymous } \\ \text { Variant } \\ \text { (Ser74Ser) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| *5 | 256,650 | T to C | 2.61 | AFUA_5G01000 | Oxidoreductase, 2OG-Fe(II) oxygenase family, putative | $\begin{gathered} \text { Missense Variant } \\ \text { (Ser110Pro) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| *2 | 417,623 | C to T | 2.60 | AFUA_2G01700 | Carbon catabolite derepressing protein kinase (Snf1), putative | $\begin{gathered} \text { Missense Variant } \\ \text { (Arg188Gln) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 | 426,803 | C to T | 2.59 | AFUA_2G01740 | Sulfate transporter, putative | Synonymous <br> Variant <br> (Ala141Ala) |
| *8 | 503,790 | C to G | 2.59 | AFUA_8G01940 | C6 finger domain protein, putative | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Missense Variant } \\ \text { (Pro261Arg) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 | 420,712 | T to C | 2.55 | AFUA_2G01710 | GPI anchored protein, putative | Synonymous <br> Variant (Ile294Ile) |


| ${ }^{*} 1$ | $1,138,713$ | A to G | 2.53 | AFUA_1G00410 | C6 transcription factor, putative | Intragenic Variant |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 441,695 | C to T | 2.53 | AFUA_2G01780 | Small nucleolar ribonucleoprotein <br> complex subunit (Utp15), putative | Synonymous <br> Variant <br> (Val184Val) |
| ${ }^{* 5}$ | $3,788,892$ | C to T | 2.53 | AFUA_5G14610 | Carboxypeptidase Y, putative | Missense Variant <br> (Val254Met) |
| ${ }^{* 5}$ | $1,815,994$ | A to G | 2.50 | AFUA_5G07300- <br> AFUA_5G07310 | Electron transfer flavoprotein, beta <br> subunit-DUF500 domain protein | Intergenic Region |
| ${ }^{* 1}$ | $3,306,670$ | A to C | 2.50 | AFUA_1G12540 | TMEM1 family protein, putative | Missense Variant <br> (Phe879Cys) |
| ${ }^{* 4}$ | $1,285,247$ | G to A | 2.50 | AFUA_4G04570 | Uncharacterized protein | Non-coding <br> Transcript Variant |
| 8 | 388,274 | G to A | 2.50 | AFUA_8G01510- <br> AFUA_8G01520 | Uncharacterized protein- <br> Pectinesterase | Intergenic Region |

### 2.4.5. Linkage Disequilibrium Analysis

Linkage disequilibrium analyses were conducted using the top 20 SNPs obtained by the four GWAS analyses and all 314,999 SNPs in the soft-filtered vcf file to search for SNPs highly linked $\left(R^{2}>0.85\right)$ to these significantly associated SNPs. Specifically, we focused on highly linked non-synonymous mutations. The results of this association analysis are presented in Table 2.11 for itraconazole and in Table 2.12 for voriconazole. In total, for itraconazole resistance, we identified 15 additional highly linked missense variants located in 13 (putative) protein-coding genes (Table 2.11). For voriconazole resistance, this analysis revealed 11 additional missense SNPs located in 11 different (putative) protein coding genes (Table 2.12). None of these additional missense SNPs were shared between the two drugs.

Table 2.11. Additional non-synonymous SNPs found to be highly linked to the 46 SNP sites obtained by GWAS analyses for itraconazole.
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}\hline \text { Chromosome } & \text { Position } & \text { Gene ID } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Predicted Effect } \\
\text { (Amino Acid Substitution) }\end{array}
$$ \& Description <br>
\hline 2 \& 2,079,605 \& AFUA_2G08060 \& \begin{array}{c}Missense Variant <br>

(Ala2316Ser)\end{array} \& Involucrin repeat protein\end{array}\right]\)| Involucrin repeat protein |
| :---: |


| 3 | $1,604,491$ | AFUA_3G06490 | Missense Variant <br> (Gln531Arg) | Uncharacterized protein |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $1,629,278$ | AFUA_3G06570 | Missense Variant <br> (Gln77Pro) | Uncharacterized protein |
| 3 | $1,693,467$ | AFUA_3G06800 | Missense Variant <br> (Arg615Thr) | Uncharacterized protein |
| 3 | $1,700,605$ | AFUA_3G06820 | Missense Variant <br> (Lys540Arg) | Oxidoreductase, FAD-binding |
| 3 | $2,155,356$ | AFUA_3G08400 | Missense Variant <br> (Glu393Lys) | SNF2 family helicase/ATPase, putative |
| 3 | $2,304,691$ | AFUA_3G09040 | Missense Variant <br> (Ser13Leu) | Cell cycle regulatory protein (Srw1), |
| putative |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.12. Additional non-synonymous SNPs found to be highly linked to the 62 SNP sites obtained by GWAS analyses for voriconazole.

| Chromosome | Position | Gene ID | Predicted Effect <br> (Amino Acid <br> Substitution) | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 976,070 | AFUA_1G03370 | Missense Variant <br> (Ser226Leu) | Uncharacterized protein |
| 1 | $4,754,138$ | AFUA_1G17380 | Missense Variant <br> (Leu226Pro) | 3-oxoacyl-(Acyl-carrier-protein) reductase, <br> putative |
| 2 | 437,241 | AFUA_2G01760 | Missense Variant <br> (Thr1812Ala) | NACHT domain protein |
| 2 | 541,777 | AFUA_2G02170 | Missense Variant <br> (Ser67Pro) | Nuclear condensin complex subunit (Smc4), <br> putative |


| 5 | 205,924 | AFUA_5G00730 | Missense Variant <br> (Va1814Phe) | H/K ATPase alpha subunit, putative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | $3,290,025$ | AFUA_5G12670 | Missense Variant <br> (Phe390Ser) | Nucleoporin (Nup192), putative |
| 6 | $3,252,789$ | AFUA_6G12890 | Missense Variant <br> (Arg878Gly) | Vacuole-associated enzyme activator complex <br> component (Vac14), putative |
| 6 | $3,330,314$ | AFUA_6G13180 | Missense Variant <br> (Ala529Thr) | CECR1 family adenosine deaminase, putative |
| 7 | $1,457,904$ | AFUA_7G05960 | Missense Variant <br> (Arg1037Gln) | C2H2 finger domain protein, putative |
| 7 | $1,541,519$ | AFUA_7G06290 | Missense Variant <br> (Gln666Leu) | NACHT domain protein, putative |
| 8 | 332,292 | AFUA_8G01340 | Missense Variant <br> (Met524Ile) | MFS sugar transporter, putative |

Fisher's Exact tests, with a Bonferroni-corrected $p$-value threshold of $4.07 \times 10^{-4}(0.05 / 122)$, were conducted to examine associations among these highly linked mutations to itraconazole and pan-azole resistance (Table 2.13). MIC resistance thresholds of $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ were both tested for these 26 sites and using all 122 strains. Both MIC thresholds identified four SNPs to be significantly associated with itraconazole resistance as well as two of these SNPs also being associated with pan-azole resistance (Table 2.13).

Table 2.13. Highly linked significant SNP sites associated with triazole resistance determined using Fisher's Exact tests ( $\mathrm{n}=122$ ).

| Chromosome | Position (bp) | Gene ID | Predicted Effect <br> (Amino Acid Substitution) | Fisher's Exact Test (p-values), Fisher's Exact Test (p-values), MIC $\geq 2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ <br> MIC $\geq 4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Itraconazole | Pan-azole | Itraconazole | Pan-azole |
| 1 | 976,070 | AFUA_1G03370 | Missense Variant (Ser226Leu) | $2.37 \times 10^{-5}$ * | $8.10 \times 10^{-6 *}$ | $2.37 \times 10^{-5}$ * | $5.48 \times 10^{-6 *}$ |
| 1 | 4,754,138 | AFUA_1G17380 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Missense Variant } \\ \text { (Leu226Pro) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $8.40 \times 10^{-6}$ * | $5.57 \times 10^{-5}$ * | $8.40 \times 10^{-6 *}$ | $9.21 \times 10^{-5}$ * |
| 3 | 2,304,691 | AFUA_3G09040 | Missense Variant (Ser13Leu) | $3.92 \times 10^{-4 *}$ | $3.82 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.92 \times 10^{-4 *}$ | $2.41 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 3 | 2,311,362 | AFUA_3G09070 | Missense Variant (Ile406Thr) | $3.74 \times 10^{-4 *}$ | $1.99 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.74 \times 10^{-4 *}$ | $2.41 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 3 | 2,409,306 | AFUA_3G09450 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Missense Variant } \\ & \text { (Pro220Leu) } \end{aligned}$ | $2.79 \times 10^{-4 *}$ | $7.05 \times 10^{-5}$ * | $2.79 \times 10^{-4 *}$ | $1.64 \times 10^{-4 *}$ |

[^0]Additional Fisher's Exact tests were also conducted after the removal of the 21 strains with the L98H mutation in cyp51 $A$ and using a Bonferroni-corrected $p$-value threshold of $4.95 \times 10^{-4}(0.05 / 101)$ (Table 2.14). For both MIC resistance thresholds, the results showed that the three previously noted SNPs, in AFUA_1G17380, AFUA_3G09040 and $A F U A \_3 G 09070$, were again significantly associated with itraconazole resistance. After removal of the 21 strains and using both MIC thresholds, two of these SNPs, AFUA_3G09040 and AFUA_3G09070, were now also significantly associated with pan-azole resistance. Another shared SNP with the previous analysis is the missense variant in AFUA_1G03370, which was found to be significantly associated with panazole resistance at both MIC resistance thresholds. Furthermore, using the MIC threshold of $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, a novel missense variant in AFUA_7G06290 was found to be associated with pan-azole resistance (Table 2.14).

Table 2.14. Highly linked significant SNP sites associated with triazole resistance determined using Fisher's Exact tests after removing the 21 strains with the L98H mutation in cyp $51 A(\mathrm{n}=101)$.

| Chromosome | Position (bp) | Gene ID | Predicted Effect (Amino Acid Substitution) | Fisher's Exact Test (p-values), Fisher's Exact Test (p-values), MIC $\geq 2 \mathbf{m g} / \mathrm{L}$ MIC $\geq 4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Itraconazole | Pan-azole | Itraconazole | Pan-azole |
| 1 | 976,070 | AFUA_1G03370 | Missense Variant (Ser226Leu) | $3.20 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.79 \times 10^{-4 *}$ | $3.20 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.84 \times 10^{-4 *}$ |
| 1 | 4,754,138 | AFUA_1G17380 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Missense Variant } \\ & \text { (Leu226Pro) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $3.15 \times 10^{-4 *}$ | $2.12 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.15 \times 10^{-4 *}$ | $1.41 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 3 | 2,304,691 | AFUA_3G09040 | Missense Variant (Ser13Leu) | $1.06 \times 10^{-5}$ * | $7.39 \times 10^{-5}$ * | $1.06 \times 10^{-5}$ * | $6.19 \times 10^{-5}$ * |
| 3 | 2,311,362 | AFUA_3G09070 | Missense Variant (Ile406Thr) | $5.07 \times 10^{-6 *}$ | $3.47 \times 10^{-5}$ * | $5.07 \times 10^{-6 *}$ | $6.19 \times 10^{-5}$ * |
| 7 | 1,541,519 | AFUA_7G06290 | Missense Variant (Gln666Leu) | $1.08 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.35 \times 10^{-4 *}$ | $1.08 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.29 \times 10^{-3}$ |

* Statistically significant association between SNP and antifungal resistance.

A third set of Fisher's exact tests were conducted after removal of the 64 strains containing known $c y p 51 A$ mutations and using a Bonferroni-corrected $p$-value threshold of $8.62 \times 10^{-4}(0.05 / 58)$ (Table 2.15). For both MIC resistance thresholds, the tests determined three previously identified SNPs to be significantly associated with both itraconazole and pan-azole resistance. These three SNPs were a missense variant in AFUA_1G17380, AFUA_3G09040, and AFUA_3G09070. Using both MIC
thresholds, the tests also identified the previous $A F U A \_7 G 06290$ missense variant to be significantly associated with pan-azole resistance.

Table 2.15. Highly linked significant SNP sites associated with triazole resistance determined using Fisher's Exact tests after removing the 64 strains with the mutations in cyp $51 A(\mathrm{n}=58)$.

| Chromosome | Position(bp) $\quad$ Gene ID | Predicted Effect <br> (Amino Acid <br> Substitution) | Fisher's Exact Test (p-values), Fisher's Exact Test (p-values), MIC $\geq 2 \mathbf{m g} / \mathrm{L}$ MIC $\geq 4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Itraconazole | Pan-azole | Itraconazole | Pan-azole |
| 1 | 4,754,138 AFUA_1G17380 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Missense Variant } \\ \text { (Leu226Pro) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $1.87 \times 10^{-5}$ * | $1.25 \times 10^{-4 *}$ | $1.87 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.91 \times 10^{-4 *}$ |
| 3 | 2,304,691 AFUA_3G09040 | Missense Variant (Ser13Leu) | $8.33 \times 10^{-5}$ * | $2.33 \times 10^{-5}$ * | $8.33 \times 10^{-5}$ * | $3.10 \times 10^{-5}$ * |
| 3 | 2,311,362 AFUA_3G09070 | Missense Variant (Ile406Thr) | $8.33 \times 10^{-5}$ * | $2.33 \times 10^{-5}$ * | $8.33 \times 10^{-5}$ * | $3.10 \times 10^{-5}$ * |
| 7 | 1,541,519 AFUA_7G06290 | Missense Variant (Gln666Leu) | $1.18 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.64 \times 10^{-4 *}$ | $1.18 \times 10^{-3}$ | $5.03 \times 10^{-4 *}$ |

* Statistically significant association between SNP and antifungal resistance.

Lastly, another set of Fisher's Exact test was conducted to focus solely on strains from Clade II and used a Bonferroni-corrected threshold of $7.04 \times 10^{-4}(0.05 / 71)$ (Table 2.16). For both MIC resistance thresholds, the tests determined three previously identified SNPs to be significantly associated with both itraconazole and pan-azole resistance. These SNPs were a missense variant in AFUA_1G17380, AFUA_3G09040 and $A F U A \_3 G 09070$. Furthermore, using both MIC thresholds, the tests also identified the previously noted missense variant in $A F U A \_7 G 06290$ to be significantly associated with pan-azole resistance (Table 2.16).

Table 2.16. Highly linked significant SNP sites associated with triazole resistance determined using Fisher's Exact tests and strains in Clade II ( $\mathrm{n}=71$ ).

| Chromosome | Position (bp) | Gene ID | Predicted Effect (Amino Acid Substitution) | Fisher's Exact Test (p-values), Fisher's Exact Test (p-values), MIC $\geq 2 \mathbf{m g} / \mathrm{L}$ MIC $\geq 4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Itraconazole | Pan-azole | Itraconazole | Pan-azole |
| 1 | 4,754,138 | AFUA_1G17380 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Missense Variant } \\ \text { (Leu226Pro) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $1.68 \times 10^{-6 *}$ | $4.81 \times 10^{-5}$ * | $1.68 \times 10^{-6 *}$ | $4.83 \times 10^{-5}$ * |
| 3 | 2,304,691 | AFUA_3G09040 | Missense Variant (Ser13Leu) | $2.59 \times 10^{-5}$ * | $8.51 \times 10^{-5}$ * | $2.59 \times 10^{-5}$ * | $1.73 \times 10^{-5}$ * |
| 3 | 2,311,362 | AFUA_3G09070 | Missense Variant (Ile406Thr) | $1.17 \times 10^{-5}$ * | $3.48 \times 10^{-5}$ * | $1.17 \times 10^{-5}$ * | $1.73 \times 10^{-5}$ * |
| 7 | 1,541,519 | AFUA_7G06290 | Missense Variant (Gln666Leu) | $3.21 \times 10^{-3}$ | $5.88 \times 10^{-4 *}$ | $3.21 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.85 \times 10^{-4 *}$ |

* Statistically significant association between SNP and antifungal resistance.


### 2.5. Discussion

In this study, we analyzed the genomic polymorphisms among 195 A. fumigatus isolates collected from 12 countries as well as the International Space Station to investigate the potential associations between genomic SNPs and triazole resistance. Phylogenetic analyses of the whole-genome SNPs identified three main clades in this sample, with Clade I being very divergent from the other two clades. Most strains in this clade were from Spain and they likely represent a cryptic species within A. fumigatus sensu stricto. Among these 195 strains, the minimum inhibitory concentrations of two triazoles, itraconazole and voriconazole, were reported for 122 and 123 strains, respectively. Over the past two decades, an increasing number of studies have been conducted to investigate the genetic diversity and population structure of $A$. fumigatus using different molecular markers [14,40,41,42,43]. A previous study exploring global population genetic variation by Ashu et al. identified 8 genetic clusters by examining nine short tandem repeats in 2026 A. fumigatus isolates from 13 countries [13]. However, a more recent study analyzing the same short tandem repeats of 4049 A. fumigatus isolates identified two broad genetic clusters [14]. The whole-genome SNP analyses here revealed three divergent clades and within both Clades II and III, several subclades with significant bootstrap supports were also found. Therefore, the true number and composition of the genetic clusters in the global $A$. fumigatus population remain uncertain and depend on how clades and genetic clusters are defined. However, based on previous studies, most genetic clusters and clades contain geographically and ecologically diverse strains, consistent with frequent gene flow and great adaptability of $A$. fumigatus genotypes [14,26].

Among geographic and ecological populations, different frequencies of triazole resistance have been reported, likely reflecting their variations in strain source, clinical antifungal usage, agricultural fungicide usage, and surveillance techniques [44,45,46,47,48,49,50,51]. In 2017, Garcia-Rubio et al. reviewed previously published literature and reported that the global triazole-resistant rate ranged from $0.55 \%$ to $30 \%$ [30]. In the samples analyzed here and using an MIC threshold of $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}, 61.48 \%$ of all isolates with available MIC data were itraconazole resistant and $43.90 \%$ were voriconazole resistant.

Furthermore, $63.46 \%$ and $43.81 \%$ of the clinical isolates were itraconazole and voriconazole resistant, respectively. Similarly, there was a high frequency of environmental isolates resistant to itraconazole and voriconazole, at $50.00 \%$ and $44.44 \%$, respectively. Using the MIC threshold of $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, resistance frequencies for itraconazole remained the same, however, these values changed for voriconazole. The resistance rate for voriconazole in clinical strains decreased to $35.24 \%$ and for environmental strains, it changed to $33.33 \%$. The high rates of resistance among strains analyzed here could be attributed to the biases among research groups in preferentially submitting drug-resistant strains for whole-genome sequencing. However, the broad range of triazole MIC values among the large number of sequenced strains allowed us to infer potential novel genetic variants not identified in previous studies.

Indeed, in this study, GWAS for both itraconazole and voriconazole resistance identified novel genes and mutations linked to triazole resistance. We conducted four GWAS analyses. The first analysis used all strains with known MIC values for itraconazole ( $\mathrm{n}=122$ ) and voriconazole $(\mathrm{n}=123)$. Meanwhile, the following two analyses investigated novel mutations associated with itraconazole and voriconazole resistance at other SNP loci, unrelated to cyp $51 A$. Specifically, the second GWAS removed the 21 strains containing the L98H mutation in cyp $51 A$ and the third GWAS removed the 64 strains containing known mutations in $\operatorname{cyp} 51 A$ related to triazole resistance. The last GWAS was done on a clade-level, focusing the analysis on strains from Clade II with known triazole MIC values ( $\mathrm{n}=71$ ). For each GWAS, we focused our investigation on the top 20 SNPs obtained via the GWAS analyses for each of the two drugs. We identified a total of six missense variants to be putatively associated with itraconazole resistance. These six missense variants were located in six genes: AFUA_1G09780, AFUA_2G08060, AFUA_3G09090, AFUA_5G08150, AFUA_6G01860, and AFUA_8G02350. The first mutation was in AFUA_1G09780, which encodes for a stomatin family protein. The stomatin proteins belong to a highly conserved family of integral membrane proteins. In humans, stomatin interacts with various ion channels and modulates their activity. The proteins are also thought to perform specific scaffolding functions in membranes. However, the functional information of stomatin proteins in fungi is scarce. The ortholog of AFUA_1G09780 in the closely related Aspergillus
nidulans species is $A N 1287$ (stoB). The AN1287 protein is located in the inner mitochondrial membrane [52]. In addition to targeting ergosterol biosynthesis, triazole exposure also causes production of deleterious mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (ROS). Therefore, since triazoles promote ROS accumulation, the mitochondrial membrane complexes represent another group of targets when studying resistance [53]. Of note, this mutation in AFUA_1G09780, was found to be significantly associated with itraconazole resistance in all four GWAS analyses. The second mutation was in $A F U A \_5 G 08150$, which encodes for a putative ABC bile acid transporter. Although this specific gene has not been previously linked to triazole resistance, other ABC transporter members are known to modulate triazole extrusion. Previous studies have found multiple ABC transporter members to be overexpressed with triazole exposure and that expression levels of various ABC transporter members were higher among triazole-resistant isolates [23,37,54]. In most cases, overexpression in this family of transporters seems to prevent intracellular drug concentrations from reaching levels needed to be effective at impacting ergosterol biosynthesis. The next variant was found in $A F U A \_8 G 02350$, encoding a putative polyketide synthase. The polyketide synthases are involved in the biosynthesis of polyketides, which are a large and structurally diverse group of secondary metabolites [55]. These compounds have a wide range of biological activities that are important for ecological and evolutionary adaptation in fungi [55]. Furthermore, the gene $A F U A \_8 G 02350$ is within a terpene hybrid cluster [56]. The fourth gene, AFUA_3G09090, encodes for an uncharacterized protein. The fifth missense mutation related to itraconazole resistance was found in AFUA_2G08060, which encodes an involucrin repeat protein. This protein has been found to be involved in tethering Woronin bodies to septal pores [57]. In multicellular fungi such as $A$. fumigatus, cells are connected to each other via intercellular bridges called septal pores and Woronin bodies plug these pores upon injury to avoid excessive loss of cell content. Deletion mutants with impaired Woronin bodies have shown to have impaired stress resistance and delayed hyphal wounding response [57]. The missense mutation identified here may enhance the strains' ability to respond quickly to triazole drugs. The last missense mutation was in $A F U A \_6 G 01860$, which encodes a putative MFS lactose permease. This class of protein plays a role in transmembrane transport and is an MFS family
member, a superfamily of transport proteins that are mainly responsible for antifungal resistance development through drug efflux activity.

Interestingly, our itraconazole GWAS analysis results differ from those in a GWAS conducted by Zhao and colleagues who examined SNPs associated with itraconazole sensitivity [29]. They completed a GWAS using 76 clinical $A$. fumigatus isolates collected from Japan. Our comparisons revealed no overlap between our top 20 SNPs and the SNPs they found to be highly associated with itraconazole sensitivity. Two factors might have contributed to the different observations. In the first, the study by Zhao et al. focused on itraconazole sensitivity in non-resistant clinical isolates of $A$. fumigatus, with itraconazole MIC ranging from 0.125 to $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ among their 76 isolates [29]. In contrast, the itraconazole MICs for our 122 strains with itraconazole MICs ranged from 0.13 to $32 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. Secondly, all the strains analyzed by Zhao et al. were from one country, Japan [29]. In contrast, our itraconazole GWAS included 122 strains from eight countries, Canada ( $\mathrm{n}=12$ ), India ( $\mathrm{n}=$ 12), Japan ( $\mathrm{n}=8$ ), Netherlands ( $\mathrm{n}=21$ ), Spain ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ), Germany ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ), the United Kingdom ( $\mathrm{n}=24$ ), and the United States $(\mathrm{n}=25)$. Together, these results suggest that additional novel SNPs associated with itraconazole sensitivity or resistance will likely be present in other geographic and/or ecological populations of $A$. fumigatus. Another recent preprint by Rhodes and colleagues also conducted a GWAS on itraconazole resistance using treeWAS, a phylogenetic tree-based GWAS approach [58]. A comparison between the top 20 SNPs from our GWAS analyses and their significantly associated SNPs to itraconazole resistance found no overlap in SNP sites. This difference in results is most likely related to sample selection, as their sample set focused on strains obtained from the United Kingdom and Republic of Ireland. Another potential reason for the discrepancy is that our study used a quantitative phenotype, based on MIC values, for our GWAS while Rhodes and colleagues used a binary phenotype, separating strains into susceptible and resistant strains by defining resistance as MIC $\geq 2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. However, their results are consistent with our general conclusion that a large number of additional novel mutations, un-related to $c y p 51 A$, are significantly associated with triazole resistance in $A$. fumigatus.

The voriconazole GWAS analyses identified a total of 17 missense variants to be putatively associated with resistance. These variants were found in 17 different genes: AFUA_1G03370, AFUA_1G09780, AFUA_1G12540, AFUA_1G17410,

AFUA_2G01700, AFUA_2G13030, AFUA_4G09580, AFUA_5G01000, AFUA_5G02210, AFUA_5G14610, AFUA_6G09870, AFUA_7G00740, AFUA_7G05960, AFUA_8G01250, AFUA_8G01340, AFUA_8G01940, and AFUA_8G02280. Two of these missense variants were found in AFUA_1G03370 and AFUA_5G02210, which encodes for putative proteins of unknown functions. A third gene, $A F U A \_1 G 12540$, encodes a putative TMEM1 family protein with uncharacterized function. The next seven variants were found in members of enzyme families with roles across a large number of biological processes, which comprised of the genes

AFUA_1G17410 that encodes a putative beta-glucosidase, $A F U A \_2 G 01700$ that encodes a putative serine/threonine protein kinase, $A F U A \_2 G 13030$ that encodes a phenylalanyltRNA synthetase, AFUA_5G01000 that encodes a putative oxidoreductase of the 2oxoglutarate (2OG)-Fe(II) oxygenase superfamily, AFUA_5G14610 that encodes a putative carboxypeptidase Y, $A F U A \_7 G 00740$ that encodes a putative protein kinase, and AFUA_8G01250 that encodes a putative GNAT family acetyltransferase. The next variant was found in AFUA_7G05960, which encodes a putative C 2 H 2 finger domain protein. Three significantly associated missense variants also encoded for putative C6 zinc cluster transcription factors, which were found in AFUA_6G09870, AFUA_8G01940 and AFUA_8G02280. Other members of this transcription factor family have been linked to triazole resistance. For example, a previous transcriptome study had found fin A, a C6 zinc finger domain protein, displayed increased mRNA levels during adaptation to voriconazole exposure [38]. In addition, another C6 zinc-cluster transcription factor, AtrR, had been found to be associated with triazole resistance by regulating expression of genes related to ergosterol biosynthesis [59]. However, the Zn cluster family is the largest family of transcription factors known in eukaryotes and thus additional testing is required [60]. Interestingly, a missense mutation in $A F U A \_1 G 09780$ was also found significantly associated to voriconazole and was the same SNP found to be associated with itraconazole resistance. The remaining two genes were $A F U A \_8 G 01340$ that encodes a putative MFS
sugar transporter and $A F U A \_4 G 09580$, which encodes the major allergen Aspf2. Although our study focused on examining missense variants, significantly associated SNPs obtained by GWAS also included synonymous, intergenic and intronic variants. These variants can have biological consequences and contribute to functional changes in the protein. For example, synonymous mutations can affect critical cis-regulatory sequences, alter mRNA structure, and impact translational speed [61]. Furthermore, non-coding variants can be found within potential regulatory sequences such as enhancers, promoters, and 5' and 3' UTRs [62]. Through these regulatory roles, non-coding variants can influence processes such as transcription, translation and splicing.

The results of the itraconazole and voriconazole GWAS showed few overlaps between significant SNPs; the first GWAS having one SNP overlap in the gene AFUA_1G09780 and the third GWAS having two shared SNPs, a synonymous mutation in AFUA_4G09770 and a synonymous mutation in $A F U A \_5 G 08390$. The remaining two GWAS found no overlapping SNPs between the two antifungal drugs. Several reasons could have contributed to the low number of shared SNPs. Although all azoles operate using the same common mode of action, decreasing ergosterol synthesis by inhibiting the fungal enzyme $14 \alpha$-sterol demethylase, there are differences between itraconazole and voriconazole in terms of their mechanisms of action. Voriconazole also inhibits 24-methylene dihydrolanasterol demethylation in Aspergillus and its antifungal activity is likely a result of a combination of effects in addition to inhibition of ergosterol synthesis [63]. Secondly, our sample set consisted of a large number of strains that had different susceptibilities to the two drugs and were only resistant to one of the two antifungals. Finally, our sample set was not a natural randomly mating population but were selected strains sequenced by different laboratories based on their own specific objective and purpose. As a result of the diversity of strains and their originating populations, some of the shared azole-resistance related mutations may have been less frequent in our studied sample set and were, thus, likely filtered out during quality control.

Linkage disequilibrium was also evaluated using the top 20 SNPs obtained by each of the four GWAS analyses to identify additional highly linked missense SNPs. Four sets of

Fisher's Exact tests were conducted: using all 122 strains, after removal of the 21 strains with the L98H mutation, after removal of the 64 strains with the cyp $51 A$ mutations, and using only the 71 Clade II strains. Interestingly, the last three tests identified two SNPs, missense variants in AFUA_3G09040 and AFUA_3G09070, to be significantly associated with itraconazole as well as pan-azole resistance using both MIC thresholds (Table 2.14, Table 2.15 and Table 2.16). In the first test, conducted using all 122 strains and at both MIC thresholds, these two missense variants were also found to be significantly associated with itraconazole resistance (Table 2.13). Another SNP in AFUA_1G17380 was also found in three tests to be significantly associated with itraconazole and pan-azole resistance using both MIC thresholds (Table 2.13, Table 2.15, and Table 2.16). Furthermore, in the remaining test, the SNP site was significantly associated with itraconazole resistance (Table 2.14). In terms of the function of these three genes, $A F U A \_1 G 17380$ encodes a putative 3-oxoacyl-(acyl-carrier-protein) reductase, $A F U A \_3 G 0904$ encodes for an uncharacterized protein and AFUA_3G09070 encodes a putative carboxylesterase.

In addition, our study examined 20 previously known amino acid sites associated with triazole resistance. Fifteen of these known amino acid sites were in the $c y p 51 A$ gene. Of these 15 sites, several have been functionally validated in previous studies and found to directly contribute to triazole resistance. These validated mutation sites consisted of G54, L98, Y121, G138, M220, T289 and G448 [8,64]. However, hot-spot SNP sites that confer triazole resistance by itself only include five of the seven sites, at G54, Y121, G138, M220 and G448. Meanwhile, for L98 and T289, a combination with a tandem repeat is required for triazole resistance, specifically $\mathrm{TR}_{34} / \mathrm{L} 98 \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{TR}_{46} / \mathrm{Y} 121 \mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{T} 289 \mathrm{~A}$ [65]. Mutations in the G138 site has also been validated to cause multi-azole resistance [66]. Lastly, SNP sites P216 and F219 both confer resistance to itraconazole when mutated [67]. Specifically, there have been two amino acid substitutions in F219 in triazole-resistant strains, F219I and F219L [67]. However, interestingly, we have instead identified a different substitution F219S in our sample set; although it was not significantly associated with resistance (Table 2.1). We have also included the amino acid sites F46, M172, N248, D255, and E427; although only 3 of these sites could be found in our filtered genotype file, specifically F46, D255, and E427. Strains with a combination of these five mutations, as F46Y/M172V/D255E or

F46Y/M172V/D255E/N248T/E427K, have shown higher triazole MICs than the wild-type strains [30]. The three remaining cyp 51 A mutation sites, H147, S297 and F495, have been identified to be associated with resistant isolates but have not been functionally validated. Mutations in H 147 were found to coincide with isolates with G448 mutations and were not found to be associated with resistance by itself and is thought to only increase protein stability [9,68]. Similarly, S297 and F495 mutations are found in some $\mathrm{TR}_{34} / \mathrm{L} 98 \mathrm{H}$ mutant $A$. fumigatus strains but have not been proven to be sufficient for triazole resistance by themselves [12,69]. However, these two amino acid positions, S297 and F495, are located near the triazole binding pocket of Cyp51A [70]. The remaining five known amino acid sites associated with triazole resistance are non-cyp $51 A$ mutations. Three of these SNP sites (I412, P309, and S305) are in bmg1, which encodes a 3-hydroxy-3-methyl-glutaryl-coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase. Mutation at these three sites have been identified in triazole-resistant isolates and their association with triazole resistance has been functionally validated by inserting each SNP into the $h m g 1$ gene of the laboratory strain akuB ${ }^{\text {KU80 }}$ [33]. These three sites, I412, P309, and S305, are predicted to be within the conserved sterol-sensing domain of $b m g 1$ [33]. Furthermore, akuB ${ }^{\text {KU80 }}$ mutants with the substitution I412S and S305P possessed significantly different cellular sterol profiles compared to the unaltered akuB ${ }^{\text {KU80 }}$ strain; independent of $c y p 51 A$ and $c y p 51 B$ expression [33]. Another known mutation site is L167 in the uncharacterized AFUA_7G01960 gene. The nonsense mutation L167* was first identified in the multi-azole-resistant clinical isolate V157-62, and subsequently functionally validated by inserting the specific SNP into an azole-susceptible clinical isolate, V130-15 [36]. At this amino acid position, a nonsense mutation was generated, which increased resistance to itraconazole [36]. Furthermore, this SNP was also associated with decreased ergosterol in the fungal membrane [36]. Interestingly, overexpression of the AFUA_7G01960 gene itself has also been correlated with increased voriconazole resistance [38]. Taken together with bioinformatic analysis, $A F U A_{-} 7 G 01960$ is predicted to be a putative transcription factor involved in ergosterol biosynthesis and mutation at L167 likely prevents its activity, thus leading to increased resistance [36]. The last known SNP site we investigated was E180 in AFUA_2G10600, a gene encoding the mitochondrial 29.9 KD NADH oxidoreductase subunit of respiratory complex I. The amino acid substitution E180D is present in
itraconazole-resistant clinical isolates of $A$. fumigatus [37]. Furthermore, restriction enzymemediated insertion of this mutation in the $A F U A \_2 G 10600$ gene led to increased itraconazole resistance [71]. This insertion was at a Xhol site, 534 bp from the start codon of the gene. This increase in resistance indicates that intact $A F U A \_2 G 10600$ may confer azole susceptibility through mitochondrial NADH metabolism or NAD/NADH redox stress [71]. Complete deletion of the coding region for this 29.9 KD subunit was also found to result in itraconazole resistance in the laboratory strain A1163 KU80, increasing from an MIC of 0.25 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ to $>8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, which further supports its contribution to itraconazole resistance [37].

Using a Fisher's Exact test on these 22 mutations, with all 122 strains and using both MIC resistance thresholds ( $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ), we found only one mutation, L98H in $c y p 51 A$, to be highly associated with itraconazole and pan-azole resistance (Table 2.1). Examining all samples with known triazole MIC data, this L98H mutation was found in 21 strains. We also determined using coverage data across the promoter region of $y p 51 A$ that all 21 strains with the L98H mutation were accompanied with the common 34-bp tandem repeat (Figure S2.5). A subsequent Fisher's Exact test was, thus, done after removing strains with the L98H mutation in cyp $51 A(\mathrm{n}=21)$. Additional Fisher's exact tests were also conducted after removal of all strains containing the cyp 51 A mutations ( $\mathrm{n}=64$ ) and conducted again with only Clade II strains ( $\mathrm{n}=71$ ). However, these additional tests identified no SNPs significantly associated with itraconazole and/or pan-azole resistance. A potential reason why the previously functionally validated sites were not highly associated with triazole resistance in our study is that strain counts for mutation genotypes at these sites were low in our 122-strain sample set and only ranged between 1 and 6 strains, making them unable to meet our criteria ( $>5 \%$ frequency in the population) for inclusion (Table S2.4).

We further examined the distribution of mutation phenotypes in these functionally validated sites in our three clades, using all 195 strains. Interestingly, for cyp $51 A$, the mutation L98H was only present in strains of Clade III ( $\mathrm{n}=22$ ). For the T289 site, three strains had the mutation T289A and this mutation always accompanied with the substitution Y121F. Furthermore, these three strains were all from Clade III. Mutations in the site G54, specifically G54V $(\mathrm{n}=5)$, G54E $(\mathrm{n}=1)$, G54W $(\mathrm{n}=3)$ and G54R $(\mathrm{n}=2)$, were found
mostly in Clade II strains with a rate of $90.91 \%$ (10/11). Mutations M220I ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ), M220V $(\mathrm{n}=1)$, P216L $(\mathrm{n}=4)$, and F219S ( $\mathrm{n}=1)$ were also only found in Clade II strains. For the gene hmg1, mutations in this gene were only seen in Clade II strains ( $\mathrm{n}=7$ ).

Fisher's Exact tests were also conducted on 37 genes previously found to be overexpressed with triazole exposure using 3230 SNP sites (Table S2.3). The tests were conducted using both MIC resistance thresholds, $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. The test conducted with all 122 strains and the MIC threshold set at $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ found 57 SNPs in or beside 14 genes to be associated with itraconazole resistance. For pan-azole resistance, 11 significantly associated SNPs were found. These SNPs were located in or beside six genes. The test conducted with the MIC threshold of $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ found the same 57 SNPs in or beside 14 genes to be significantly associated with itraconazole resistance. However, there were slight changes in the SNPs significantly associated with pan-azole resistance when using the $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ MIC threshold. The $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ MIC threshold determined 10 SNPs in or beside five genes to be associated with pan-azole resistance. Furthermore, to focus on novel mutations associated with triazole resistance not linked to $c y p 51 A$, two additional Fisher's Exact tests were also completed after removing the 21 strains containing the L98H mutation and again after removing the 64 strains with well-known mutations in cyp $51 A$ (Table S2.3). Using both MIC thresholds, the results after removal of the 21 strains found three SNPs to be significantly associated with itraconazole resistance. One SNP had already been noted as associated with itraconazole resistance by the first set of Fisher's Exact test, done prior to the 21-strain removal, which was an intergenic variant in $m f s B$. However, two novel intergenic variants, one in AFUA_6G01960 and the second in AFUA_1G16460, were found to be significantly associated with itraconazole resistance as well. Furthermore, after removal of the 21 strains, no SNPs were found to be significantly associated with pan-azole resistance (Table S2.3). In terms of the results after removal of the 64 strains, one novel SNP site was found to be significantly associated with triazole differences. Using the MIC threshold of $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, the test identified an intergenic variant in $a b c A$ to be associated with itraconazole resistance (Table S2.3). A final set of Fisher's Exact tests were conducted on a clade-level, using only strains in Clade II ( $n=71$ ). However, no SNPs sites were significantly associated with triazole resistance using this sample set. The result differences between tests could be due to
genetic hitchhiking alongside the resistance polymorphism L 98 H in $g \neq 51 A$ as well as to the reduced sample size, thus decreasing the sample count of certain SNPs and making them unable to meet the Bonferroni-corrected critical $p$-value threshold criteria in these tests.

In about $20 \%$ to $70 \%$ of triazole-resistant clinical $A$. fumigatus strains, no mutations related to $c y p 51 A$ were observed [29,72]. Molecular assays for the detection of $A$. fumigatus and its $c y p 51 A$ alterations have been produced to provide rapid detection of $c y p 51 A$-mediated triazole resistance in clinical samples of $A$. fumigatus [72]. However, as shown in our analyses, in many of the triazole-resistant strains, relying on assays targeting only the $c y p 51 A$ mutations would lead to misidentification of these strains as triazole susceptible and cause inappropriate treatment strategies. Indeed, over the last decade, novel triazole resistance mechanisms have been increasingly reported including mutations in bapE, hmg1, yap1, and cox 10 genes [10,34,35,73]. The wide and growing range of resistance mechanisms seen in $A$. fumigatus demonstrates the high potential this fungus has for stress adaptation, including adaptation to antifungal drug resistance. Delays in the initiation of appropriate antifungal therapy are associated with overall increased mortality. Thus, tools enabling direct detection of resistance using rapid molecular methods can greatly facilitate optimal therapy for individual patients. Together, the putative variants found in this study represent promising candidates for future studies to investigate emerging mechanisms of triazole resistance in A. fumigatus. Moreover, these candidate SNPs hold great potential for developing additional diagnostic markers for accurate and rapid identification of triazole resistance in a clinical setting.

### 2.6. Materials and Methods

### 2.6.1. Whole Genome Sequences and Strains

Whole-genome sequences for 195 A . fumigatus isolates were used in this study. This sample set comprised of 184 whole-genome sequences obtained from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive and an additional 12 isolates that were sequenced from our previous study [63]. This strain collection spans 12 countries, across four continents consisting of 61 strains from North America, 1 from South America, 91 from Europe, 40 from Asia, as well as two strains from the International Space Station. In
total, 163 of the 195 strains were isolated from a clinical environment, 29 from the natural environment and 3 of unknown sources. Among them, 122 and 123 samples had antifungal susceptibility profiles to itraconazole and voriconazole, respectively. These profiles are recorded as the minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) and are presented in Table S2.1.

### 2.6.2. Variant Calling

For genome sequence analysis, a modified pipeline from our previous study was used [74]. In brief, FastQC v0.11.5 was used to check for read quality and low-quality sequences were trimmed using Trimmomatic v0.36 [75,76]. The reads were then mapped to the reference $A$. fumigatus strain Af293 (GenBank accession GCA_000002655.1) using the BWA-MEM algorithm v0.7.17 [77]. Duplicate reads were removed using MarkDuplicates in the Picard tool and variants were called using FreeBayes v0.9.21-19 [78,79]. The initial variant filtering was done via vcftools to remove indels, variants with a quality score below 15 , and variants with a call rate less than 0.90 [80]. A second filtering step removing multiallelic sites was also conducted using vcftools and this resulting vcf file was named the "soft-filtered" file, which contained 314,999 SNP sites.

### 2.6.3. Phylogenetic Analysis

To infer evolutionary relationships among the 195 samples, nucleotides of SNP sites were concatenated for each sample and the invariant sites of sequence alignment were removed using RAxML ascertainment bias correction [81]. The maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree was constructed based on 314,999 SNP sites, using the ASC_GTRCAT nucleotide substitution model and 500 bootstrap replicates in RAxML v8.0.25 [81]. The phylogeny was then visualized using iTOL [82]. Strains were assigned into clades based on pairwise SNP comparisons, with a threshold set at 50,000 SNPs.

### 2.6.4. Genome-Wide Association Study and Linkage Disequilibrium

Variants were annotated with SnpEff v5.0 using the Af293 reference genome annotation to determine functional effects of genetic variants [83]. Highly linked (VIF > 2) SNP markers were removed using PLINK 1.90 beta to ensure uniform sampling of the genome [84].

Association analysis via a mixed linear model was done in TASSEL 5 using two parameters: a population structure defined by 5 principal component vectors, determined based on the scree plot, and a kinship matrix calculated using the Identity by State method (Centered IBS) [85]. To avoid biases due to imbalanced allele frequencies, the minimum allele frequency was also set to 0.05 using TASSEL 5. A total of 21,432 SNP sites remained for conducting the itraconazole GWAS and a total of 21,226 SNP sites for the voriconazole GWAS. A second GWAS was conducted after the removal of strains that contained the L98H mutation in cyp $51 A(\mathrm{n}=21)$. For the second association analysis, a total of 22,411 and 21,214 SNP sites remained for conducting the itraconazole and voriconazole GWAS, respectively. A third GWAS was also conducted after the removal of strains that contained well-known mutations associated with in $c y p 51 A(\mathrm{n}=64)$. For the third association analysis, a total of 20,176 and 20,278 SNP sites remained for conducting the itraconazole and voriconazole GWAS, respectively. Lastly, we conducted a GWAS examining only the strains from Clade II for itraconazole $(\mathrm{n}=71)$ and voriconazole ( $\mathrm{n}=72$ ). For the analysis focusing solely on strains from Clade II, a total of 16,702 SNP sites remained for conducting the itraconazole GWAS and a total of 16,782 SNP sites remained for the voriconazole GWAS. Using the results of the GWAS, further association mapping between the top 20 SNPs and all SNPs in the softfiltered vcf file was conducted using TASSEL 5 to determine additional highly linked SNPs of interest.
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Table S2．1．Additional information on the total 195 Aspergillus fumigatus genomes．

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {Anifímgal }}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {Hmg1 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {cypsiA }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AFUA＿7G01960 <br> L167＊ <br> （Stop Gained） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alias | Run | Project | Collection Date | Country | Type | Linegee | ${ }_{\text {（mgL）}}$ | （mgL） | Sources For MIC Data | Susceptibility Testing | ${ }^{53055}$ | P3092 |  | Cu4ss ${ }^{\text {T2 }}$ | T289A M | M2201 M | M220V | ${ }^{\text {F2195 }}$｜ | ${ }^{\text {P2162 }}$｜G | $\mathrm{cisc}^{\text {c }}$－ | ${ }_{\text {r21F }}$ | LT8H 6 | Gsiv， | Gsar，${ }^{\text {m }}$ | K427E |  | Y46F |  |
| A 635 | DRR146814 | PRIDB720 |  | Germany | Clinical | Clade III | ${ }^{0.5}$ | 0.94 |  | ISI M ${ }^{\text {8 }}$－A2 | 。 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255 | Y64F | 0 |
| ${ }^{\text {8523 }}$ | ERR2863830 | PREE28819 | 1985 | United Sates | Clinical | Clade III | ${ }^{0.5}$ | ${ }^{0.5}$ | DOI：10．1128／AAC．00129－ | CISI M3s－A2 | 。 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255D | Y46F | 0 |
| PIMR | ERR286483 |  | 2016 | Netherlands | Clinical | Clade III | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | L198H | 0 | 0 | K127E | E255D | Y46F | 0 |
| ${ }_{\text {PIMS }}$ | ERR2864844 |  | ${ }^{2016}$ | Netherlands | Clinical | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | E25sp | Y46F | 0 |
| P2CS | ERR286485 |  | 2018 | Netherlands | Clinical | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255D | 465 | 0 |
| Af65 | ERR76499 | PRIEB8623 | 1997 | United Kingdom， Manchester，England | Clinical | Clade III | ${ }^{0.5}$ | 0.125 |  | Cis M M ${ }^{\text {d／A2 }}$ | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255 | Y46F | 0 |
| 12.750546 | ERR765500 |  | 2012 | United Kingdom，Leeds | Clinical | Clade III | 316 | ， |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | LP8 | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255D | y 46 F | 0 |
| 127706220 | ERR765501 |  | 2012 | United Kingdom，Leeds | Clinical | Clade III | 316 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1298 | ， | 0 | K427E | E255D | Y46F | 0 |
| 097508806 | ERR769502 |  | 2009 | United King dom，Leeds | Clinical | Clade II | 1 | 025 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255D | Y46F | 0 |
| 12．750462 | ERR769503 |  | 2012 | United Kingdom，Leeds | Clinical | Clade III | 1 | 0.5 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255］ | Y46F | 0 |
| 12.750462 | ERR765504 |  | 2012 | United Kingdom，Leeds | Clinical | Clade II | 0.5 | 0.125 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K4272 | E255D | Y46F | 0 |
| 12．7506564 | ERR765505 |  | 2012 | United Kingdom，Leeds | Clinical | Clide III | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255D | Y46F | 0 |
| ${ }_{0}^{0-12-121213}$ |  |  | 2003 | Netherlands，Nimmegen | Clinical | Chade III | ${ }^{216}$ | 1 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{\text {Legh }}$ | － | 0 | K＜272 | ${ }^{12555}$ | ${ }^{\text {Y 4 } 66}$ | 0 |
| 0 08．2－60325 | ERR765507 |  | 2005 | Netherlands，Nimmegen | Cilinial | Clde III | ${ }^{16}$ | 1 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Lesh | － | － | K427E | E25sp | Y46F | 0 |
| （083－31－289］ | ERR7655088 |  | 2004 | Netherlands Sivmegen | Clinical | Clde III | 316 | 4 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{\text {Lesh }}$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{\text {K4272 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {E2555 }}$ | 2466\| | 0 |
|  |  |  | 2008 <br> 208 | Netherlands，Nimegen | Environmental | Clade III | $\stackrel{16}{16}$ | $\stackrel{2}{0 .}$ |  |  | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 198H | $0$ | 0 | ${ }_{\text {K4272 }}$ | ${ }^{22555}$ | $2466$ | $0$ |
| ${ }^{08-19-12.30}$ | ${ }_{\text {ERR7\％}}^{\text {ER5511 }}$ |  | ${ }_{2}^{2008}$ | $\frac{\text { Netherlands Nipmegen }}{\text { Netherands } \mathrm{Nimmgen}}$ | $\underset{\text { Enviromental }}{\text { Clincal }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Clade If III }}$ | ${ }_{216}^{0.25}$ | $\frac{0.5}{4}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{\text {Losh }}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{\text {K4272 }}^{\text {K27E }}$ | ${ }_{\text {E255D }}^{\text {E25 }}$ | Y46F | 0 |
| 0 0819，12：－46 | ERR769512 |  | 2008 | Nethertands，Nipmegen | Environmental | Clde III | 216 | 4 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 L | ${ }^{198 H}$ | － | 0 | K427E | E255D | Y46F | 0 |
| $0^{08-19,-2 \cdot 2-10}$ | ERR769513 |  | 2008 | Netherlands，Nipmegen | Environmental | Clade III | 316 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Le8h | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255D | Y46F |  |
| Afu92909 | ${ }_{\text {ERR769514 }}$ |  | 2009 | India，Delhi | Clinical | Clade III | 316 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 198 H | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255］ | Y46F | 0 |
| Afu 1022／89 | ERR769515 |  | 2009 | India，Delhi | Clinical | Clade III | 316 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 198 H | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255D | Y46F | 0 |
| Afu 339／7／11 | ${ }_{\text {ERR769516 }}$ |  | 2011 | India，Delhi | Clinical | Clde III | 316 | 8 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{198 \%}$ | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255 | ${ }^{\text {Y } 46 F}$ | 0 |
| Afu 59／／2 | ${ }_{\text {ERR769517 }}$ |  | 2012 | India，Delhi | Clinical | Clide III | 316 | 8 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 198 H | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255D | Y46F | 0 |
| Afu 124 El1 | ERR769518 |  | 2011 | India，Delhi | Environmental | Clade III | 316 | 8 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{\text {Lesh }}$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{\text {K427 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {E2555 }}$ |  |  |
| Aft 186 fil1 | ${ }_{\text {ER27\％9519 }}{ }_{\text {ERR76520 }}$ |  | ${ }_{2011}^{2011}$ | India Delhi | Environmental | Clde IIII | ${ }^{16}$ | ${ }^{16}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 198H | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255 | Y46 | 0 |
| Afu 257E11 |  |  | ${ }_{2011}^{2011}$ | India Delhi | Environmental | ${ }_{\text {Clde III }}$ | 316 | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{\text {Lesh }}$ | ， | 0 | K427E | ${ }^{\text {E2555 }}$ |  | 0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Afu 218/E11 } \\ \hline \text { CM3262 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\frac{2011}{2005}$ | $\frac{\text { India Delhi }}{\text { Spain Madid }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {Clade III }}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { P100／1．0 }}$ | ¢0．500．5 |  |  | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | $0$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | 0 | ${ }^{0}$ | ${ }_{\text {Lesh }}^{0}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $0$ | $\frac{\text { K427E }}{0}$ | ${ }^{\text {E255D }}$ | ${ }^{\text {P46F }}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| см2733 | SRR7418923 | PrINA47519 | 2004 | Spain，Madrid | Clinical | Clade I |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0.707 / 0.5- \\ 1.0 \end{array}$ |  | Eucast | 。 | 。 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | － | － | 。 | 0 | 0 | 。 | 。 | 0 | E255D | 0 | 。 |
| см2730 | SRR7418924 |  | 2004 | Spain，Madrid | Clinical | Clde I | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0.354 / 0.25- \\ 0.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0.707 / 0.5- \\ 1.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | － | － | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | E255D | 0 | 0 |
| CMT560 | SRR741895 |  | 2014 | Spain，Madrid | Clinical | Clde I | $\begin{array}{\|c} 0.0 .770 .5-5 \\ 1.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \substack{0.077 .5 .5 \\ 1.0 \\ \hline} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | E255D | 0 | 0 |
| CM3249 | SRR741826 |  | 2005 | Spain，Madrid | Clinical | Cldel 1 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|} \hline 0.070 .5 \\ 1.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.000050-2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 。 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | E255D | 0 | 0 |
| T33 | ${ }^{\text {SRR7418996 }}$ |  | 2010 | Spain，Madrid | Clinical | de I | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0.630 / 0.25- \\ 1.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0.397 / 0.25- \\ 1.0 \end{array}$ |  |  | 。 | 。 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | － | 。 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | E255 | 0 | 0 |
| CMA602 | SRR7419288 |  | 2006 | Spain，Madrid | Clinical | Clade I | 02500．25 | 0．500／．5 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | E255D | 0 | 0 |
| смя966 | SRR7418988 |  | 2007 | Spain，Madrid | Clinical | Clade I | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0.397 / 0.125 \\ -1.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.79405-1 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 0 | － | － | 。 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 。 | 。 | 。 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | E255 | 0 | 0 |
| CM2995 | SRR7418930 |  | 2022 | Spain，Madrid | Clinical | Chade I | 0.2500 .25 | 0．50）／．5 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | E255D | 0 | 0 |
| AakuBklso | SRR741892 |  | 2003 | Spain，Madrid | Clinical | lade II | $\begin{gathered} 0.1750 .06-1 \\ 0.25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2970 .05- \\ 0.5 \end{array}$ |  |  | 。 | － | － | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | － | 。 | 0 | 。 | 。 | 。 | K427E | E255D | 464 | 。 |
| cм750 | SRR741893 |  | 2014 | Spain，Madrid | Clinical | Clde I | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.630 / 0.5-1 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0．500／．5 |  |  | － | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | E255D | 0 | 0 |
| ${ }^{\text {cbs14．489／4163 }}$ | SRR741894 |  | 2008 | Spain，Madrid | Clinical | Clade II | $\begin{gathered} 0.2100 .125 \\ 0.0 .25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0．5000．5 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K127E | 255D | 465 | 0 |
| ${ }_{\text {TP12 }}$ | SRR741990 |  | 2001 | Spain，Madrid | Clinical | Clade II | $0^{0.5000 .5}$ | 1．00\％／．0． |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| cM7632 | SRR7418941 |  | 2015 | Spain，Madrid | Clinical | Clade II | ${ }_{0}^{0.7940 .5-1 .}$ | $1.000 / 1.0$ |  |  | 。 | 。 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 。 | 。 | 。 | 。 | 0 | 。 | 。 | 。 | 。 | 0 | 0 | 。 |
| CM237 | SRR741892 |  | 1996 | Spain Madrid | Clinical | Clade II | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255D | Y46F | 0 |
| ATCC 203035 | SRR741893 |  | 1995 | ${ }_{\text {Spain Madrid }}$ | Clinical | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | ［255］ | Y46F |  |
| CM5419 | SRR7418944 |  | 2008 | 5 Spain，Madrid | Clinical | Clade III | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255D | Y46F | 0 |
| $\mathrm{CN}^{2} 248$ | SRR7418995 |  | 2005 | Spain，Madrid | Clinical | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255D | Y46F | 0 |
| CM2141 | SRR7418977 |  | 198 | Spain，Madrid | Clinical | Clade III | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | － | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ， | K427E | E255D | Y46F | 0 |
| CM5757 | SRR7418949 |  | 2009 | Spain，Madrid | Clinical | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255D | Y46F |  |
| смз720 | SRR741897 |  | 2005 | Spain，Madrid | Clinical | Cldel | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | E255D | 0 | 0 |
| CM1229b | SRR741829 |  | 2005 | Spain Madrid | Clinical | Chade I | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | E255D | 0 | 0 |
| ${ }^{\text {ATCC } 4645}$ | ${ }_{\text {SRR7418935 }}$ |  | ${ }^{2008}$ | Spain，Madrid | Clinical | Clade III | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | ${ }^{\text {E25s5 }}$ |  | 0 |
| CM6458 | SRR7418966 |  | 2011 | Spain，Madrid | Clinical | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255］ |  | 0 |
| ${ }^{\text {CMV126 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {SRR74118977 }}$ |  | 2010 | ${ }_{\text {Saine Madrid }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Clinical }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Clade II }}$ | NA | NA |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{12555}$ |  |  |
| CMF555 | SRR7418988 |  | 2014 | ${ }_{\text {Spain，Madrid }}$ | Clinical | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | T | 0 | 0 |  | － | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0$ |  | ${ }^{12555}$ | ${ }^{\text {Y } 46 F}$ |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {SRR7418999 }}$ |  | ${ }_{2}^{2014}$ | ${ }_{\text {Spain Madrid }}^{\text {Unite States North }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Clinical }}^{\text {Cinical }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Clade II }}$ Clade II | $\stackrel{\text { NA }}{\text { NA }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { NA }}{\text { NA }}$ |  |  | － | ${ }^{\text {P3xal }}$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }^{0}$ | － | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | ${ }_{\text {K2727E }}$ | ${ }^{12555}$ | ${ }^{\text {y } 466}$ | 0 |
| AFM5ISo－D |  |  |  | United Sates，．orth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MS\％o－d | SRR613998 | PRINA127336 | 2014 | United States，North Carolina | Clinical | Clade II | NA | 025 | $\begin{gathered} \text { govpmomararidesPMC } 17 \\ 5610 / \end{gathered}$ | NA | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ， | 0 | K427E | E255D | $Y_{46 F}$ | 0 |
| MO6S507EXP | SRR6675866 | Prinasal60 | 2012 | Portugal Porto | Clinical | Clade II | NA | NA |  | NA | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | K427E | E255D | Y46F | 0 |
| MOS4656EXP | 5RR5676887 |  | 2011 | Portugal Porto | Clinical | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255D | Y46F | 0 |
| MO76959EXP | SRR5676588 |  | 2013 | Portugal Porto | Clinical | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255D | ${ }^{4} 46$ | 0 |
| MOG9250EXP | SRR677559 |  | 2013 | Portugal Porto | Clinical | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255D | ${ }^{2} 46 \mathrm{~F}$ | 0 |
| MO99587EP | ${ }_{\text {SRRS6567590 }}$ |  | ${ }_{2013}^{2013}$ | ${ }_{\text {Portugal Porto }}^{\text {Portuen Porto }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Clinical }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Clude }}$ Clid | NA | NA |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{\text {E2555 }}$ |  |  |
| MO78722EXP | SRR6675971 |  | 2013 | Portugal Porto | Clinical | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255D | ${ }^{2} 46 \mathrm{~F}$ |  |
| MOOI298SB | ${ }^{\text {SRR5676592 }}$ |  | 2014 | Portugal．Porto | Cinical | ${ }^{\text {Clade II }}$ I | NA | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MOS9223 | SRR667593 |  | 2014 | Portugal Porto | Clinical | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K＜27E | ${ }^{2255}$ | ${ }^{\text {Y46F }}$ | 0 |
| IFM5935－1 | DRRR2996 | ${ }_{\text {PrJPB3as4 }}$ | 2009 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Jopan, Chiba Univesity } \\ \text { Hopptal } \end{array}$ | Clinical | de II | 0.5 | 1 |  | CIIS M38－A2 | － | － | － | － | 0 | 0 | 。 | － | － | 。 | 0 | － | 。 | 。 | K427E | E255 | 466 | 0 |
| те ${ }^{\text {F99355－2 }}$ | DRRO2997 |  | 2009 |  | Clincal | de II | ${ }^{0.5}$ | 0.5 |  |  | － | － | － | － | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 。 | 。 | 0 | 0 | 。 | 。 | K427E | NA | r46F | 0 |
|  | DRRO2999 |  | 2009 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Japan, Chiba University } \\ \text { Hospital } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Clincal | Iade II | ${ }^{0.5}$ | 0.5 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255D | Y4FF | 0 |
| IFM59356－2 | DRRO2292 |  | 209 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Jopan, Chiba Univestiy } \\ \text { Hosppal } \end{array}$ | Clinical | Clade II | 0.5 | ${ }^{0.5}$ |  |  | － | － | 0 | na | NA | NA | NA | NA | Na | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 。 | K427E | NA | NA | 0 |
| ${ }^{\text {FPM } 59356-3}$ | DRRO2294 |  | 2009 | Japan，Chiba University Hospital | Clinical | Clade II | 1 | 0.5 |  |  | 0 | － | － | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 。 | 。 | K427E | E255D | Y6F | 0 |
| ІРM 59961－1 | DRRO2295 |  | 209 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Jopan, Chiba Univestity } \\ \text { Hosppal } \end{array}$ | Clinical | Clade II | 1 | 1 |  |  | － | － | 0 | － | ${ }^{0}$ | 0 | 0 | － | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 。 | K427E | E255 | $\mathrm{Y}_{46 \mathrm{~F}}$ | 0 |
| ${ }^{\text {IFM } 99961-2 ~}$ | DRRO2226 |  | 2009 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Japanan Chiba Univesity } \\ \text { Hosphal } \end{array}$ | Cinical | ＂ | 1 | 2 |  |  | － | － | － | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 。 | 。 | K427E | E255 | $\mathrm{Y}_{46 \mathrm{~F}}$ | 0 |
| IFM ${ }^{\text {c2037 }}$ | DRRR2297 |  | 2011 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Japan, Chiba University } \\ \text { Hospital } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Clinical | Clade II | 4 | ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | － | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{2162}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255 | $\mathrm{Y}_{46 \mathrm{~F}}$ | 0 |
| IFM5369 | DRROLI587 | PRIDB151 | 2009 | Japan，ILhikava | Clinical | Clade II | NA | NA | NA | na | $\bigcirc$ | － | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255］ | ${ }^{1} 46 \mathrm{~F}$ | 0 |
| IPM 59056 | DRRILSN3 |  | 2009 | Japan，Chiba | Clinical | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | ${ }^{\text {E25sb }}$ | ${ }^{2} 46 \mathrm{~F}$ | 0 |
|  | DRROL5096 |  | 2007 | Japan，Chiba | Clinical | ${ }_{\text {Clade }}$ I | NA | NA |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {K4272 }}$ | ${ }^{12555}$ |  | 0 |
| IFM 59361 | DRROLI599 |  | 2009 | Japan，Chiba | Clinical | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | ${ }^{12555}$ | Y46F | 0 |
| （1FM 6 614 |  |  | 2011 2009 |  | ${ }_{\text {Clinical }}$ Clinical | ${ }_{\text {Clade }}$ Clate II | NA | NA |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | ${ }_{\text {K2272 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {E255D }} \mathrm{E} 25 \mathrm{5}$ | ${ }^{\text {Y46F }}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| （1FM 59073 |  |  | 209 | $\underset{\text { Japana，Chiba }}{ }$ | ${ }_{\text {Clinical }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Clade I }}$ Clate | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }^{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | ${ }_{\text {K }}^{\text {K2727E }}$ | ${ }^{\text {E2555 }}$ E25 | ${ }^{\text {Y } 446}$ | 0 |
| ${ }_{\text {IF M } 61610}$ | ${ }^{\text {DRROILII2 }}$ |  | 2012 | Japan，Tokyo | ${ }^{\text {Clinical }}$ | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | － | － | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255b | ${ }^{2} 46 \mathrm{~F}$ | 0 |
| IPM $\mathrm{SSO2} 26$ | DRRII737 |  | 2009 | Japan，Totori | Clinical | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | K427E | E255 | ${ }^{\text {4 } 6 / 6}$ | 0 |
| IFM 58029 | DRRRIT550 |  | 2009 | Japan，Nagasaki | Clinical | ${ }_{\text {Clade }}$ It | NA | NA |  |  | $\cdots$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{\text {K4272 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {E2555 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Y } 465}$ |  |
| IfM 58401 | DRRRIV533 |  | 2009 | Japan，Chiba | Clinical | ${ }^{\text {Clade II }}$ | NA | NA |  |  | NA | 0 | － | － | － | － | ， | 0 | － | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | ${ }^{\text {E255D }}$ | Y46F | 0 |
| ${ }_{\text {IF }}^{\text {IFM } 9365}$ | ${ }^{\text {DRROI7546 }}$ |  | ${ }_{2010}^{2010}$ | ${ }_{\text {Japan }}^{\text {Japan Chiba }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Clinical }}^{\text {Clinical }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Clade I }}$ Clate II | NA | ${ }^{\text {NA }}$ |  |  | 0 | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{NA}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{\text {K227E }}$ | ${ }^{\text {E2555 }}$ | Yat | 0 |
| IFM 61118 |  |  | 2012 | Japan，Chiba | Clinical | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | NA | ， | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | Na | Na | ${ }_{\text {K2427 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {E255D }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Y } 46}$ | 0 |
| IFM 61578 | DRR017355 |  | 2012 | Japan，Oaka | Clinical | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | P2 | P216L | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255］ | Y46F | 0 |
| IFM 22115 | DRRRI73588 |  | 2013 | Japan，Alichi | ${ }_{\text {Clinical }}$ | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA | E255D | Y46F | 0 |
| ${ }_{\text {IFM } 2516}$ | DRRO17599 |  | 2014 | Japan，Tokyo | Clinical | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K427E | E255］ | Y46F｜ | 0 |


| CNM－CMss9 | SRR1052629 |  | NA | Spain，Madrid | Cinial | Clade II | 1 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K＜27E | E250 |  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CNM－CMS886 | SRR1059260 |  | NA | Spain，Madrid | Clinial | Clade II | 025 | ${ }^{0.25}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K272］ | ${ }^{\text {E255D }}$ Y | Y66F | 0 |
| CNM．CMSS 12 | SRR10592631 | PRINA59332 | NA | Spain，Madrid | Clinkal | Clade III | 0.25 | 0.5 | ne．2020．0059 | fluast | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K272 |  |  | 0 |
| CNM－CM8874 | SRR10592622 |  | NA | Spain，Madrid | Clinical | Clade III | ${ }^{28}$ | 4 |  |  |  | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98H | 0 | 0 | K127E | R25 | Y46 | 0 |
| CNM－CNSES7 | SRR1592633 |  | NA | Spain Madrid | Clinial | Clade III | $\times 8$ | ${ }^{*}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | T289A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1217 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K127E | E2550 | Y66F | 0 |
| Lmb－35aa | RR29s8803 | PRINA29863 | 2005 | Remote Tingo Maria forest，Huanuco，Peru | Environmental | Clade I | NA | NA | NA | na | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | E250 | 0 | 0 |
| ISSFT－021 | R400243 | PrJNA31939 | 2015 |  | ronmental | Clade II | NA | va | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K227E | E2550 r | Y46F | 0 |
| ［FISWFA | SRR4024 |  | 2015 |  | Envirommental | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | K27E | 122550 | Y66F | 0 |
| Di15－11 | SRR7811976 | PrINA9123 | ${ }^{2013}$ | United States | Clinical | Clade II | ${ }^{16}$ | ${ }_{0} 0.25$ | Do： 10.1128 15cm．02478－ | $\mathrm{ClISI}_{\text {M } 3 \text { Pa2 }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | G54R | K272 | ${ }^{\text {E2550 }}$ Y | Y46F | 0 |
| Di15－10 | SRR784977 |  | 2013 | United States，Comenetiat | Clinical | Clade II | ${ }^{316}$ | 8 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{\text {K227E }}$ | ${ }_{\text {E25s］}}$ | Y46F | 0 |
| Di15－105 | SRR7819900 |  | 2012 | United Staes | Clinical | Clade II | 32 | 8 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Di15．103 | SRR7841981 |  | 2010 | United Sates，Ohio | Clinical | Clade II | 316 | 0.5 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{\text {M2201 }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K227E | ${ }_{\text {E25sD }}$ | Y46F | 0 |
| Dil 15107 | ${ }_{\text {SRR881992 }}$ |  | 2012 | United States，Callifmia | Clinial | Clade II | ${ }^{16}$ | ${ }^{0.5}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | K272］ | ${ }^{\text {E2555 }}$ Y | ${ }_{\text {Y Y } 6 \text { F }}$ | 0 |
| D115－109 | SRR7841994 |  | 2012 | United States，Washingron | Clinial | Clade II | ${ }^{116}$ | 0.25 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Cav | 0 | ${ }_{\text {K2727 }}$ | ${ }^{122550}$ ． | Y46F | 0 |
| D115．99 | SRR78419966 |  | ${ }^{2008}$ | United Slates，Maryland | Clinial | ${ }_{\text {Clade I }}$ | ${ }^{116}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.5}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0$ |  |  |  | csaw | ${ }_{\text {K272F }}$ | ${ }^{122550}$ ． |  | 0 |
| D115．98 | SRR7841987 |  | 2008 | United Slates | Clinial | Clade II | 16 | ${ }^{16}$ |  |  | 5 sasp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{K}_{127 \mathrm{~F}}$ | E2550 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | Y46F | 0 |
| d115．97 | SRR7841992 |  | 2008 | United States，North Carolina | Clinial | Clade II | 4 | 4 |  |  | S303P | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | K227E | E2550 Y | Y46F | 0 |
| D115－118 | SRR7881996 |  | ${ }^{2014}$ | United Sales | Clinial | lade II | ${ }_{16}$ | 2 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{\text {K227E }}$ | E2550 $~$ Y | Y66F | 0 |
| Dil 15.14 | SRR7841998 |  | 2014 | United States Calformia | Clinical | Clade II | ${ }^{16}$ | 4 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{CSF}^{\text {S }}$ | K272 |  |  | 0 |
| Di15．115 | SRR7841998 |  | ${ }^{2014}$ | United Sales | Clinial | Clade II | ${ }^{16}$ | ${ }^{0.5}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{\text {M2201 }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K＜27E | E2550 Y | Y64F | 0 |
| D115－102 | SRR7811978 |  | 2010 | United States， <br> Pennsylvania | Clinial | Clade II | ${ }^{332}$ | ${ }^{8}$ |  | 151 M3．A2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ls\％ | 0 | 0 | K27E | E255 | Y 4 6F | 0 |
| Di15－100 | SRR784999 |  | 2008 | United States | Clinial | Clade II | 332 | ${ }^{8}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{14125}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K272］ | E2550 | Y46F | 0 |
| ${ }^{\text {D1 } 15.106}$ | SRR7849933 |  | 2012 | United States | Clinical | Clade III | 4 | 332 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | T289A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Y121F | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{\text {K2727 }}$ | E2550 Y | Y66F | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Dil}^{\text {D15．108 }}$ | SRR7841995 |  | 2012 | United S Sates，Califoria | Clinical | Clade II | 332 | 16 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | cisc | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K277E | E2550 | Y64 | 0 |
| D 115.96 | SRR7841993 |  | 2008 | United States，Arizona | Clinical | Clade III | 4 | ${ }^{232}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{\text {T289A }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{\text {r121F }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | K227E | ${ }^{\text {E2550 }}$ Y | Y64F | 0 |
| Di15．95 | SRR881994 |  | 2007 | United States，Connectiout | Clinical | Clade II | 332 | 16 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1122 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{\text {K272 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {E25sD }}$ Y | Y46F | 0 |
| ${ }^{\text {D1 15－120 }}$ | SRR881997 |  | 2015 | United Sates，Ohio | Clinial | Clade II | ${ }^{332}$ | ${ }^{332}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | Cuss | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{\text {K227E }}$ | E2550 Y | Y46F | 0 |
| Di 15－116 | SRR882200 |  | 2014 |  | Clinical | Clade | ${ }^{33}$ | 8 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Lses | 0 | 0 | K127E | E255 | ${ }_{\text {Y } 66}$ | 0 |
| Di 15－17 | SRR7820091 |  | 2014 | United States，Maryland | Clinical | Clade II | 332 | 2 | NA |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{\text {F2198 }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{\text {K272］}}$ | ${ }^{\text {E2550 }}$ Y | Y66F |  |
| ${ }^{\text {D1 } 16.8}$ | SRR7819988 |  | NA | United States | Clinial | Clade II | NA | NA |  | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K127E | ${ }^{\text {E255 }}$ P | Y46F | 0 |
| ${ }^{\text {D1 } 16.7}$ | SRR881989 |  | NA | Uniled States | Clinical | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | ． | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K277E | ${ }^{\text {E25sD }}$ Y | Y46F | 0 |
| ${ }^{\text {D } 116.6}$ | sRrzsh990 |  | NA | United Sates | Clinial | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K127E | ${ }^{\text {E2255 }}$ ． | Y66F | 0 |
| D116．5 | SRr884991 |  | NA | United States | Clinial | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K227E | ${ }^{\text {E25s }}$ P P | Y66F | 0 |
| D116．9 | SRr884995 |  | NA | United States | Clinial | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K272］ | ${ }^{12550}$ Y | Y64F | ， |
| Bs5s2 | SRR331334 | prINag7101 | ${ }^{1998}$ | United S Sates，Callformia | Clinial | Clade II | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K＜27E | E2550 $\times$ | Y64 | 0 |
| ${ }^{\text {B5S54 }}$ | SRR33135 |  | 1998 | United States，Calliformia | Clinial | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K272］ | E2550 | Y46F | 0 |
| ${ }_{\text {BSS } 86}$ | SRR331366 |  | 1998 | United S Sates，Califomia | Cinial | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{K}_{627 \mathrm{~F}}$ | $122550 \times$ | Y66F | 0 |
| B5899 | SRR333137 |  | ${ }^{1998}$ | United States，Califomis | Clinial | Clade III | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K227E | ${ }^{\text {E2550 }}$ Y | Y66F | 0 |
| ${ }^{\text {B55663}}$ | SRR331388 |  | 1998 | United Sates，Callfomib | Envirommental | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K27\％ | E2550 $\times$ | Y66 | 0 |
| B5s6 6 | SRR331399 |  | 1998 | United Sates，Callfomia | Evironmental | ${ }_{\text {Clade }}$ I | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{1027}$ | E255 Y | Yaf | 0 |
| ${ }^{\text {B5scse }}$ | SRR333140 |  | 1998 | United Satees，Callfomis | Envirommental | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{\text {K227］}}$ | ${ }^{\text {E255 }}$ ． 1 | Y46F | 0 |
| ${ }^{\text {B6OG9 }}$ | SRr331414 |  | 2002 | Canada | Clinial | ${ }_{\text {Clade }}$ I | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{\text {K127E }}$ K27e | ${ }^{\text {E2555 }}$ Y |  | 0 |
| ${ }^{\text {B6074 }}$ | SRR333142 |  | 2022 | Canade | Clinkal | Clade ${ }^{\text {I }}$ | NA | ${ }^{\mathrm{Na}}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{\text {K2727E }}$ | ${ }^{\text {E2555 }}$ Y | ${ }_{\text {Y }}$ | 0 |
| B6078 | SRR23314 |  | 2022 | Canads | Envirommental | Clade If | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K277E | E2550 Y | Y46F | 0 |
| B6099 | ${ }^{\text {SRR333145 }}$ |  | 2002 | Canads | Envionmental | ${ }_{\text {Clade }}$ H | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{\text {K127E }}$ K27 | ${ }^{\text {E2555 }}$ ． | ${ }_{\text {Y }}^{\text {Y }}$ | 0 |
| ${ }^{\text {B60se }}$ | SRR333146 |  | 2022 | Canada | Envirommental | Clade II | NA | ${ }^{\mathrm{NA}}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K＜27E | ${ }^{\text {E2555 }}$ ． | ${ }^{\text {Y46F }}$ | 0 |
| F17729 | SRR61739 |  | NA | United King dom | Clinial | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K227E | ${ }^{\text {E255D }}$ Y | Y66 | 0 |
| ${ }_{\text {F15927 }}$ | SRR617334 |  | NA | Canada | Clinial | Clade 1 | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | － | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{\text {E25s }}$ | 0 | 0 |
| F11628 | SRR33319 |  | 204 | United King dom | Clinial | Clade III | ${ }^{2} 8$ | ${ }^{3}$ | DOI 10．1128／AAC． 10517 － | eucast | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Gisc | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K227E |  |  | 0 |
| F12865 | SRR339150 |  | 204 | United Kingrom | Clinial | Clade III | ${ }^{28}$ | 8 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | G138C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{\text {K2727 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {E25s5 }}$ Y | Y46F | 0 |
| ${ }_{122041}$ | SRR61723 |  | 204 | United Kingdom | Clinial | Clade III | $\stackrel{>}{8}$ | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | G138C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K227E | ${ }_{\text {E25SD }}$ | Y66 | 0 |
| ${ }_{\text {F13355 }}$ | SRR61726 |  | 2005 | United Kingdom | Clinial | Clade III | ${ }^{2}$ | 8 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | G138C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{\text {K227］}}$ | ${ }^{\text {E2550 }}$ ． | Y66F | 0 |
| ${ }_{151577}$ | SRR333152 |  | NA | United K ingdom | Clinical | Clade II | 0.25 | ${ }^{0.5}$ | httpss／／doi．org／10．1111／f． 14 <br> $69-0691.2009 .02911 . x$ | mucast | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 。 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | K227E | E255 Y | Y66F | 0 |
| AF41 | ${ }_{\text {SRR661720 }}$ |  | ${ }^{1988}$ | United States | ${ }^{\text {Clinical }}$ | Clade ${ }^{\text {I }}$ | $0.13$ | 0.5 |  | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{0}$ | 0 | ${ }^{\text {K2727E }}$ | ${ }^{122555}$ | ${ }^{\text {Y Y } 6 \text { fa }}$ | 0 |
| AF72 | SRR617271 |  | 1989 | United Sates | ${ }_{\text {Clinial }}$ | Clade II | $8$ | ${ }_{0} 0.5$ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | － | 0 | ， | csiv | 0 | ${ }_{\text {K }}$ K277E | ${ }^{122550}$ ． | Y64F |  |
| AF90 | SRR61772 |  | 1989 | United States | Clinial | ${ }_{\text {Clade }} \mathrm{I}$ | ${ }^{8}$ | $\frac{1}{0} 1$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{\text {M220V }}$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{\text {K }}^{\text {K } 2276}$ | ${ }_{\text {L2555 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Y Y }}$ | 0 |
| ${ }_{\text {F12219 }}$ | SRR61724 <br> SRR1725 |  | NA | $\frac{\text { United Kingdom }}{\text { Unite K Kingom }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Clinkal }}$ Clinial | ${ }_{\text {Clade It }}$ Clide III | $\stackrel{>8}{>8}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.125}$ |  | mucast | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{\square}^{0}{ }^{\text {ask }}$ | $0$ | ${ }_{\text {k }} \begin{aligned} & \text { K2727 } \\ & \text { K27E }\end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{\text {E2255 }}^{\text {E25 }}$ ） |  | 0 |
| ${ }_{13619}$ | SRR617727 |  | NA | United Kingdom | Clinkal | Clade III | ${ }^{8}$ | ${ }^{8}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{CH}^{\text {chs }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{\text {K } 2727 E}$ | E2550 Y | Yat | 0 |
| F13922 | SRR61727 |  | NA | United Kingdom | Clinial | Clade III | ${ }^{7}$ | ${ }^{38}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | G138C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K2727 | E2550 Y | Y46F | ， |
| ${ }_{14403}$ | SRRR1729 |  | NA | United Kingrom | Clinial | Clade II | $\geqslant 8$ | 0.5 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Gsaw | K272］ | E2550 1 | ${ }_{\text {Y } 64}$ | 0 |
| F14332 | SRR617331 |  | NA | United Kingdom | Cilinal | Clade III | ${ }^{*}$ | 1 |  |  | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K277E | E255D Y | Y66F | 0 |
| ${ }^{\text {F15390 }}$ | SRR617733 |  | NA | United Kinggom | Clinial | Clade III | $\times 8$ | 4 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K127E | ${ }^{\text {E255 }}$ ． | ${ }^{\text {Y } 46}$ | 0 |
| F16134 | SRR617335 |  | NA | Canda | Clinical | Clade II | $>8$ | 4 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K272 | ${ }^{\text {E2550 }}$ Y | Y46F | 0 |
| F16216 | SRR61736 |  | NA | United Kingrom | Clinial | Clade III | $>8$ | 8 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ls\％ | 0 | 0 | K272 | ${ }^{12550}$ Y | Y46F | 0 |
| F16311 | SRR61737 |  | NA | United Kingdom | Clinial | Clade II | ${ }^{2}$ | 2 |  |  | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{14127}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | ${ }_{\text {K277E }}$ | ${ }^{\text {E2550 }}$ ． | Y46F |  |
| F7763 | SRR61774 |  | NA | United King dom | Clinical | Clade II | ${ }^{*} 8$ | 2 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 |
| F17764 | SRR617745 |  | NA | Canada | Clinical | Clade II | 025 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K227E | E2550 $\times$ | Y46F | － |
| ${ }_{\text {F17882 }}$ | SRR617738 |  | NA | United Kingdom | Clinial | Clade III | ＊ 8 | 1 |  | fucast | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K127E |  | Y46F | 0 |
| ${ }^{\text {F18085 }}$ | SRR617742 |  | NA | United Kingdom | Clinkal | Clade II | ${ }^{28}$ | 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { scw: } 164264 \text { \&datastreamId } \\ =\text { FULL-IEXT.PDF } \end{gathered}$ |  | 0 | 0 | 412T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K227E |  | Y66F | 0 |
| 15994 | 1099724 | PrRNA53185 | 2015 | $\underset{\text { PA }}{\substack{\text { United Slates Pltsburgh．} \\ \text { Ph }}}$ | NA | ［ 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | K227E | 12250 ${ }^{\text {Y }}$ | Y64F | 0 |
| H781027 | SRR109972 |  | 2015 | United Slates Pitsburgh． PA | NA | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | － | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | － | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | K427E | 122550 | Y64F | 0 |
| 925 | SRR10997260 |  | 2015 | $\underbrace{}_{\text {PA }}$ | NA | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | ． | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 。 | 。 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 。 | 。 | 0 | 。 | K227E | E2550 Y | Y46F | 0 |
| vPCII 155Prli3 | SRR9263315 | PRINASS224 | 2017 | India | Clinial | Clade II | 16 | 0.25 |  | CIII M 3 SA2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | casv | 0 | ${ }_{\text {K272］}}$ | ${ }^{\text {E25s］}}$ Y | Y46r | 0 |
| VPCC1882／4 | ${ }_{\text {SRRPr } 6316}$ |  | ${ }^{2017}$ | ${ }_{\text {India }}^{\text {In }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {Clade }}$ | ${ }^{16}$ | 8 |  |  | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |  | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $0$ |  | 0 | ${ }_{\text {K }}^{\text {K27］}}$ | ${ }_{\text {E2555 }}$ |  | 0 |
| VPCC 40 F／［13， | ${ }_{\text {SRRP926317 }}^{\text {SRR26318 }}$ |  | 2017 2017 | ${ }_{\text {India }}^{\text {India }}$ | Envirommental | ${ }_{\text {Clade I }}$ Clate II | $\stackrel{16}{16}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.25}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | Gsav | $\bigcirc$ | K127E | ${ }^{\text {E2555 }}$ Y | ${ }^{\text {Y }{ }^{\text {P6F }}}$ | 0 |
| SCAirch13 | SRR9667511 | PRINA38S57 | 2016 | Singapore | Envirommental | Clade II | NA | ${ }_{\text {NA }}$ | NAhttps：／／doi．org／10．1016／j．fgb．2018．02．003 | NA | $\bigcirc$ | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | － | 0 | － | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{\text {к22 }}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 |
| V130．54 | SRRR75967 | PRINA528939 | 2011 | Netherelands | Clinial | Clade If | ${ }^{316}$ | 1 |  | Eucast | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K2727E | E255］ | Y46F | 0 |
| V130－18 | SRR87566\％ |  | 2011 | Netherelands | Clinial | Clade II | 4 | 4 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K272］ | ${ }^{\text {E2550 }}$ Y | Y66F | 0 |
| V130－14 | SRR8736999 |  | ${ }^{2011}$ | Netherelands | Clinial | Clade II | 1 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{\text {K } 227 E}$ | F2555 | ${ }_{\text {Y Y } 4 \text { aff }}$ |  |
| V130－15 | SRR8595700 |  | 2011 | Netherands | ${ }^{\text {Clinizal }}$ | Clade II | 1 | 1 |  |  | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $0$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{\text {K227E }}$ | E2555 | ${ }_{\text {Y Y } 4 \text { arf }}$ | 0 |
| ${ }_{\text {V157－48 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {SRRR8597011 }}$ |  | ${ }_{2013}^{2013}$ | $\frac{\text { Netherlands }}{\text { Netherands }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Clinial }}$ Clinial | ${ }_{\text {Clade I }}$ Cladell | ${ }_{316}$ | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{\text {K }}^{\text {K．27E }}$ | ${ }^{\text {E2255 }}$（ | ${ }_{\text {Y }}^{\text {Y } 46 F}$ | 0 |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 15770}$ | ${ }_{\text {SRR873972 }}$ |  | 2013 2013 | Netherlands | ${ }^{\text {Cininal }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Clade If II }}$ | ${ }_{316}^{316}$ | 1 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | ${ }^{\text {C54E }}$ | Csaw | ${ }_{\substack{\text { K } \\ \text { K2727E }}}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {Y Y }}^{\text {Y4FF }}$ | 0 |
| V157－59 | SRR8759704 |  | 2013 | Netherlands | Clinial | Clade II | ${ }^{16}$ | 4 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K272 | ${ }^{\text {E255D }}$ Y | Y66F | 0 |
| V157－47 | SRR8759N5 |  | 2013 | Netherlands | Cinial | Clade II | ${ }^{16}$ | 2 |  |  | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{\text {P216L }}$ | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | E255D Y | Y66F | 0 |
| V157．62 | SRR855976 |  | 2013 | Netheremands | Clinial | Clade II | ${ }^{16}$ | 8 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K2727 | ${ }^{\text {E255］}}$ Y | Y46F | L167＊ |
| V157．61 | SRR855907 |  | ${ }^{2013}$ | Netherands | Clinial | Clade II | ${ }^{16}$ | － |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{\text {K2727 }}$ | ${ }^{12555}$ ． | Y64F | 0 |
| V157．60 | SRR87597\％ |  | ${ }^{2013}$ | Netherinans | Clinial | Clade II | ${ }^{16}$ | 4 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{\text {K227E }}$ | ${ }^{122555}$ | ${ }_{\text {Y Y } 6 \text { F }}$ | 0 |
| V157．80 | SRR8759709 |  | ${ }^{2013}$ | Netherinads | Clinial | Clade II | ${ }^{16}$ | 1 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{\text {P216L }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{\text {K277E }}$ | E2550 Y | Y64F | 0 |
| AAA | DRR23752 | PRIDB1024 | 006 | apan，Nagsakk，Sakamoto | Clinical | Clade II | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | K27\％ | E2550 Y | Y 4 ¢ | 0 |
| AAA2 | DRR23753 |  | 2016 | Japan，Nagasaki，Sakamoto | Clinical | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | K27\％ | ${ }^{12550}$ ． | Y46F | 0 |
| Cova | SRR1294204 | PrINA67176 | 2220 | Hamilon，Canda | Envirommental | Clade II | 1 | 1 | $-\begin{gathered} \text { Do: } \\ \text { 10.339/micorgaisms1 } \\ 11673 \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{ClISI}_{\text {M } 38 . \mathrm{A}^{2}}$ | 0 | 0 | － | ， | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{\text {Kı27E }}$ | ${ }^{\text {E25S5 }}$ ． | Y46F | 0 |
| CM21 | SRR12842033 |  | 2220 | Hamilon，Canada | Envirommental | Clade III | 1 | 0.125 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{\text {Kı27E }}$ | ${ }_{\text {E2555 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Y Y } 46}$ | 0 |
| CM65 | SRR123922000 |  | 2200 | $\xrightarrow{\text { Hamilton，Canada }}$ | Envionmental | ${ }_{\text {Clade }}$ Clidell | 1 | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |  | ${ }_{\text {E2555 }}$ |  | 0 |
| ${ }_{15}^{\text {P80 }}$ 1 | SRR12991199 |  | ${ }_{2020}^{2020}$ | ${ }_{\text {Hemen }}^{\text {Hamiton Canda }}$ | $\underset{\text { Enviromental }}{\text { Clinial }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Clade }}$ Clide II | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | 0.5 0.5 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{\text {K }}^{\text {K277E }}$ | ${ }_{\text {E25s5 }}^{\text {E2 }}$ ） |  | 0 |
| Avs | SRR1294197 |  | 2220 | Hamilon，Canada | Envirommental | Clade II | 1 | 0.5 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{\text {K2727 }}$ | E255D Y | Y46F | 0 |
| 15.33 | SRR1294116 |  | 2220 | Hamilon，Canada | Clinial | Clade II | 1 | 0.125 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | － | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | K2727 | E2550 Y | Y46F | 0 |
| P20 | sRR1294195 |  | 2220 | Hamilton，Canada | Enviromental | Clade II | 1 | 0.5 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | K272］ | ${ }^{\text {E2550 }}$ Y | Y66F |  |
| cM38 | sRR1294194 |  | 2220 | Hamilton，Candad | Enviromental | Clade II | 1 | 0.25 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ， | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K272］ | ${ }^{122550}$ Y | Y66F | 0 |
| CM11 | SRR12841193 |  | ${ }^{2220}$ | Hamilon，Canada | Enviromemal | Clade II | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |  | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{\text {K2727 }}$ | ${ }^{122550}$ ． | Y46F | 0 |
| ${ }_{\text {AFbl } 2-1}$ | SR12394202 |  | ${ }^{2220}$ | San Antonio，United States | Clinial | Clade II | NA | ${ }^{\mathrm{Na}}$ | NA | NA | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{\text {K227］}}$ | ${ }^{122550}$ ． | Y46F | 0 |
| AFRR28 | SRR12894201］ |  | 2220 | Dubilin，Irelind | Envirommental | Clade II | NA | NA |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | K2276 | 12255］${ }^{\text {P }}$ | Y46F｜ | 0 |

Table S2.2. Overexpressed genes with itraconazole and voriconazole exposure determined through previous RT-qPCR and RNA-seq analyses.



Table S2.3. Significant single-nucleotide polymorphisms located in or near genes overexpressed with triazole exposure.

| Overexpressed Gene | Region | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Position } \\ & \text { (bp) } \end{aligned}$ | Predicted Effect (Amino Acid Substitution) | Using 122 strains |  |  |  | Using 101 strains |  |  |  | Using 58 strains |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Fisher's Exact Test (p-values), MIC $\geq 2$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | Fisher's Exact Test (pvalues), MIC $\geq 4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | Fisher's Exact Test (p-values), MIC $\geq 2$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | Fisher's Exact Test (pvalues), MIC $\geq 4$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | Fisher's Exact Test (p-values), MIC $\geq 2$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | Fisher's Exact Test (pvalues), MIC $\geq 4$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Itraconaz } \\ \text { ole } \end{array}$ | Pan-azole | Itraconaz ole <br> ole | Pan-azole | $\begin{gathered} \text { Itraconaz } \\ \text { ole } \end{gathered}$ | Pan- <br> azole | $\begin{gathered} \text { Itraconaz } \\ \text { ole } \end{gathered}$ | Pan-azole | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Itraconaz } \\ \text { ole } \end{array}$ | Pan- <br> azole | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Itraconaz } \\ \text { ole } \end{array}$ | Pan-azole |
| $\begin{gathered} a b c A \\ \text { (AFUA_1G17440) } \end{gathered}$ | Upstream Intergenic Region | 4771080 | Intergenic <br> Variant | $8.33 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.39 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 8.33E-03 | 3.30E-02 | 1.70E-04 | 5.82E-04 | 1.70E-04 | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 4.85E-05 | 8.71E-06 | $4.85 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 4.20E-05 |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} a b c C \\ \text { (AFUA_1G14330) } \end{array}$ | Upstream Intergenic Region | 3830296 | Intergenic <br> Variant | 1.24E-05 | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.24E-05 | $6.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.10E-04 | 2.10E-03 | 1.10E-04 | $5.21 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 3830605 | Intergenic <br> Variant | 4.03E-06 | 7.33E-05 | 4.03E-06 | $2.93 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 4.38E-05 | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $4.38 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.58 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 5.13E-01 | 5.43E-01 | 5.13E-01 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 3831146 | Intergenic <br> Variant | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $3.05 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.44E-06 | 1.96E-04 | 1.77E-05 | 7.48E-04 | 1.77E-05 | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $4.99 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.21E-01 | 4.99E-01 | 5.36E-01 |
|  |  | 3831171 | Intergenic Variant | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $3.05 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 1.96E-04 | 1.77E-05 | 7.48E-04 | $1.77 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $4.99 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.21 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.99E-01 | 5.36E-01 |
|  |  | 3831268 | Intergenic <br> Variant | $2.73 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 5.26E-05 | $2.73 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.40 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 3.11E-05 | $8.71 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 3.11E-05 | $2.33 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 5.07E-01 | 5.34E-01 | 5.07E-01 | 5.51E-01 |
|  |  | 3831281 | Intergenic <br> Variant | 3.32E-06 | $6.23 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.32 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.64 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.70 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.41 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 3.70E-05 | $2.44 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 5.10E-01 | 5.38E-01 | 5.10E-01 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Gene | 3835967 | Synonymous <br> Variant <br> (Ser1157Ser) | $9.63 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 1.47E-04 | $9.63 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.44 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $9.06 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.97E-03 | $9.06 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 4.95E-03 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Downstream | 3837214 | Intergenic Variant | 1.24E-05 | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.24E-05 | $6.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.10E-04 | 2.10E-03 | 1.10E-04 | $5.21 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Region | 3837626 | Intergenic Variant | $1.36 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.75E-04 | 1.36E-05 | $6.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 2.10E-03 | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.21 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $\begin{gathered} a b c D \\ \text { (AFUA_6G03470) } \end{gathered}$ | Gene | 744904 | Synonymous <br> Variant <br> (Gly424Gly) | 1.36E-05 | $1.97 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.36 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.28 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.21 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Downstream <br> Intergenic Region | 748394 | Intergenic Variant | $2.36 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.28 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 2.36E-02 | $9.62 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.21 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.82 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.21 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.64 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.23 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.56 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.23 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 4.20E-05 |
| $\begin{gathered} a b c E \\ \text { (AFUA_7G00480) } \end{gathered}$ | Gene | 126254 | Synonymous Variant (Asn316Asn) | 3.32E-06 | $6.23 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3.32E-06 | $2.64 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 3.70E-05 | $9.41 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 3.70E-05 | $2.44 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 126699 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Missense } \\ \text { Variant } \\ \text { (Val445Ala) } \end{gathered}$ | $4.03 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $7.33 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 4.03E-06 | $2.93 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 4.38E-05 | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $4.38 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.58 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 126930 | Intron Variant | $4.03 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 7.33E-05 | 4.03E-06 | $2.93 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.38 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.02E-03 | 4.38E-05 | $2.58 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 126943 | Non-coding Transcript Variant | $4.03 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 7.33E-05 | $4.03 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.93 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 4.38E-05 | 1.02E-03 | 4.38E-05 | $2.58 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 126975 | Synonymous <br> Variant <br> (Ala522Ala) | $4.03 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 7.33E-05 | 4.03E-06 | $2.93 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 4.38E-05 | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 4.38E-05 | $2.58 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 127059 | Synonymous <br> Variant <br> (Pro550Pro) | 4.03E-06 | 7.33E-05 | $4.03 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.93 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 4.38E-05 | 1.02E-03 | 4.38E-05 | $2.58 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 127437 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Synonymous } \\ & \quad \text { Variant } \\ & \text { (Arg676Arg) } \end{aligned}$ | 3.69E-06 | 7.12E-05 | 3.69E-06 | $2.64 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 4.21E-05 | 1.04E-03 | 4.21E-05 | $2.44 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 127777 | Non-coding Transcript Variant | $3.32 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $6.23 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3.32E-06 | $2.64 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 3.70E-05 | $9.41 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 3.70E-05 | $2.44 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { fbpA } \\ \text { (AFUA_1G14050) } \end{gathered}$ | Front <br> Intergenic <br> Region | 3753452 | Intergenic Variant | 8.22E-06 | $9.39 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 8.22E-06 | $9.87 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3.27E-04 | 1.20E-02 | 3.27E-04 | $4.21 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.75E-01 | 5.62E-01 | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.84 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| $\begin{gathered} m f s A \\ \text { (AFUA_8G05710) } \end{gathered}$ | Gene | 1357703 | Missense Variant (Ile236Leu) | 1.97E-05 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.97E-05 | 5.09E-05 | $2.92 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.15 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 2.92E-04 | 5.99E-04 | 5.10E-01 | 5.38E-01 | 5.10E-01 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Back <br> Intergenic <br> Region | 1360714 | Intergenic Variant | $1.24 \mathrm{E}-05$$1.24 \mathrm{E}-05$$1.24 \mathrm{E}-05$$1.04 \mathrm{E}-05$$1.04 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.75E-04 | $1.24 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.10E-04 | $2.10 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.10E-04 | $5.21 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 1360749 | Intergenic Variant |  | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.24E-05 | $6.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.10E-04 | 2.10E-03 | 1.10E-04 | $5.21 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 1360948 | Intergenic Variant |  | 1.75E-04 | 1.24E-05 | $6.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.10E-04 | $2.10 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.21 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 1361307 | Intergenic <br> Variant |  | 1.51E-04 | 1.04E-05 | 5.89E-04 | 9.42E-05 | 1.97E-03 | 9.42E-05 | 5.05E-03 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 1361336 | Intergenic <br> Variant |  | 1.51E-04 |  | $5.89 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 9.42E-05 | 1.97E-03 | 9.42E-05 | 5.05E-03 | 1.00E+00 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $\begin{gathered} m f s B \\ \text { (AFUA_1G15490) } \end{gathered}$ | Front <br> Intergenic <br> Region | 4182180 | Intergenic Variant | $3.58 \mathrm{E}-07 \quad 1.17 \mathrm{E}-05$ |  | $3.58 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 5.09E-05 | 6.31E-06 | $4.79 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.31 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 5.99E-04 | 1.40E-01 | $2.85 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.40E-01 | $2.92 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| $\begin{gathered} m f_{s} C \\ \text { (AFUA_1G03200) } \end{gathered}$ | Back <br> Intergenic <br> Region | 923962 | Intergenic Variant | 4.46E-06 | $8.36 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 4.46E-06 | 3.26E-04 | 4.97E-05 | 1.14E-03 | 4.97E-05 | $2.83 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { fumR } \\ \text { (AFUA_8G00420) } \end{gathered}$ | Gene | 94618 | Synonymous <br> Variant <br> (Ser462Ser) | 1.24E-05 | 1.75E-04 | $1.24 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.10E-04 | $2.10 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.10E-04 | 5.21E-03 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AFUA_4G06170 | Back Intergenic Region | 1586706 | Intergenic <br> Variant | 8.85E-05 | 7.94E-06 | 8.85E-05 | $2.26 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $4.86 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.26 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 4.86E-02 | 6.87E-03 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| AFUA_5G02655 | Front <br> Intergenic <br> Region | 693982 | Intergenic Variant | 1.27E-05 | 2.97E-04 | 1.27E-05 | 1.32E-04 | $2.18 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 5.66E-03 | 2.18E-04 | 1.31E-03 | 1.00E+00 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 694342 | Intergenic <br> Variant | 1.27E-05 | 2.97E-04 | 1.27E-05 | 1.32E-04 | $2.18 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 5.66E-03 | $2.18 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.31E-03 | 1.00E+00 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 694623 | Intergenic Variant | 1.27E-05 | 2.97E-04 | 1.27E-05 | 1.32E-04 | $2.18 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 5.66E-03 | $2.18 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.31E-03 | 1.00E+00 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 695087 | Intergenic <br> Variant | 1.04E-05 | 2.62E-04 | 1.04E-05 | 1.17E-04 | $2.03 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 5.57E-03 | $2.03 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.20E-03 | 1.00E+00 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Gene | 695661 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Synonymous } \\ \text { Variant } \\ \text { (Ser163Ser) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1.04E-05 | $2.62 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.17E-04 | $2.03 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 5.57E-03 | $2.03 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.20E-03 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| AFUA_1G04140 | Gene | 1188593 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Synonymous } \\ \text { Variant } \\ \text { (Arg14Arg) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 7.06E-04 | 1.85E-05 | 7.06E-04 | 6.08E-06 | 8.15E-02 | $2.26 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 8.15E-02 | 6.87E-03 | $2.70 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.43 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.70E-01 | 5.43E-01 |
| AFUA_6G03430 | Front <br> Intergenic <br> Region | 732850 | Intergenic Variant | 7.53E-06 | 6.68E-07 | 7.53E-06 | 4.29E-06 | $6.64 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.85E-03 | 6.64E-03 | $2.48 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 5.41E-02 | 7.54E-02 | 5.41E-02 | 2.07E-01 |
|  |  | 732931 | Intergenic <br> Variant | 1.51E-05 | 1.52E-06 | 1.51E-05 | 5.03E-06 | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 3.56E-03 | 1.13E-02 | $2.75 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 5.59E-02 | 7.99E-02 | 5.59E-02 | 2.11E-01 |
|  |  | 732978 | Intergenic <br> Variant | 1.51E-05 | 1.52E-06 | 1.51E-05 | 5.03E-06 | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 3.56E-03 | 1.13E-02 | 2.75E-03 | 5.59E-02 | 7.99E-02 | 5.59E-02 | 2.11E-01 |
|  |  | 733672 | Intergenic Variant | 1.51E-05 | 1.52E-06 | 1.51E-05 | 5.03E-06 | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 3.56E-03 | 1.13E-02 | $2.75 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $5.59 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 7.99E-02 | 5.59E-02 | 2.11E-01 |
|  |  | 734459 | Intergenic Variant | 1.15E-05 | 1.71E-04 | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 5.89E-04 | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 2.11E-03 | 1.06E-04 | 5.05E-03 | 1.00E+00 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 734710 | Intergenic <br> Variant | 1.36E-05 | 1.97E-04 | $1.36 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 6.43E-04 | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 2.28E-03 | 1.23E-04 | 5.21E-03 | 1.00E+00 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| AFUA_4G13600 | Front <br> Intergenic Region | 3552063 | Intergenic Variant | 1.24E-05 | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.24 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.10E-04 | $2.10 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.10E-04 | 5.21E-03 | 1.00E+00 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 3553292 | Intergenic <br> Variant | 1.21E-06 | 4.28E-06 | 1.21E-06 | 7.42E-06 | $2.95 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 6.01E-05 | $2.95 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 5.74E-05 | $4.09 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.08E-02 | 4.09E-03 | $2.09 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
|  | Back <br> Intergenic <br> Region | 3554500 | Intergenic Variant | 5.50E-07 | 8.07E-07 | 5.50E-07 | 1.07E-06 | 3.20E-05 | 1.19E-04 | 3.20E-05 | 5.74E-05 | 4.09E-03 | 1.08E-02 | 4.09E-03 | $2.09 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
|  |  | 3556761 | Intergenic <br> Variant | 3.60E-05 | 2.52E-06 | 3.60E-05 | 1.45E-05 | 1.38E-02 | 4.39E-03 | 1.38E-02 | 6.02E-03 | $2.53 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.67E-01 | 2.53E-01 | 5.14E-01 |
| AFUA_2G01190 | Front Intergenic Region | 277946 | Intergenic Variant | 1.76E-07 | 1.92E-06 | 1.76E-07 | $1.55 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.34E-03 | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.66 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 6.17E-02 | $2.54 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.17E-02 | 2.37E-01 |
| AFUA_6G01960 | Front Intergenic Region | 291654 | Intergenic <br> Variant | 1.99E-04 | 1.49E-03 | $1.99 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.05E-03 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 9.89E-04 | 1.41E-05 | $1.30 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 5.12E-01 | 5.46E-01 | 5.12E-01 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Back <br> Intergenic <br> Region | 298044 | Intergenic Variant | 1.04E-05 | 1.51E-04 | 1.04E-05 | 5.89E-04 | $9.42 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.97E-03 | 9.42E-05 | 5.05E-03 | 1.00E+00 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 300286 | Intergenic <br> Variant | 1.24E-05 | 1.75E-04 | $1.24 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 6.43E-04 | 1.10E-04 | $2.10 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.10E-04 | 5.21E-03 | 1.00E+00 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 300438 | Intergenic <br> Variant | 1.15E-05 | 1.71E-04 | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 5.89E-04 | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.11 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.06E-04 | 5.05E-03 | 1.00E+00 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 300697 | Intergenic <br> Variant | 1.36E-05 | 1.97E-04 | 1.36E-05 | $6.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.28 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 5.21E-03 | 1.00E+00 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 300966 | Intergenic <br> Variant | 1.36E-05 | 1.97E-04 | 1.36E-05 | $6.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.28 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.23E-04 | 5.21E-03 | 1.00E+00 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 301005 | Intergenic Variant | 1.36E-05 | 1.97E-04 | $1.36 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.28 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 5.21E-03 | 1.00E+00 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 301043 | Intergenic <br> Variant | 1.36E-05 | 1.97E-04 | $1.36 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 6.43E-04 | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.28 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.23E-04 | 5.21E-03 | 1.00E+00 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 301157 | Intergenic Variant | 1.24E-05 | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.24 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.10E-04 | $2.10 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 5.21E-03 | 1.00E+00 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 301174 | Intergenic <br> Variant | 1.36E-05 | 1.97E-04 | 1.36E-05 | $6.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.28 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.23E-04 | 5.21E-03 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| AFUA_1G16460 | Front <br> Intergenic <br> Region | 4463383 | Intergenic Variant | 3.69E-06 | 6.23E-05 | 3.69E-06 | $2.64 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 4.21E-05 | $9.41 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 4.21E-05 | $2.44 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.00E+00 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 4464005 | Intergenic <br> Variant | 3.69E-06 | 7.12E-05 | $3.69 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.64 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 4.21E-05 | 1.04E-03 | 4.21E-05 | $2.44 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.00E+00 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 4464180 | Intergenic <br> Variant | 3.32E-06 | 6.23E-05 | 3.32E-06 | $2.64 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 3.70E-05 | $9.41 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 3.70E-05 | $2.44 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.00E+00 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 4464188 | Intergenic <br> Variant | 3.32E-06 | 6.23E-05 | 3.32E-06 | $2.64 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 3.70E-05 | $9.41 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 3.70E-05 | $2.44 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.00E+00 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 4464359 | Intergenic <br> Variant | 3.32E-06 | 6.23E-05 | 3.32E-06 | $2.64 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 3.70E-05 | $9.41 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 3.70E-05 | $2.44 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.00E+00 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  |  | 4464729 | Intergenic <br> Variant | 4.03E-06 | 7.33E-05 | $4.03 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.93 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 4.38E-05 | 1.02E-03 | 4.38E-05 | $2.58 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.00E+00 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Back <br> Intergenic Region | 4467112 | Intergenic <br> Variant | 5.57E-05 | $2.62 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 5.57E-05 | $9.72 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.43 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.50 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.43E-05 | $1.20 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.00E+00 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
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Figure S2.1. Quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plots from the GWAS for (A) itraconazole and (B) voriconazole.


Figure S2.2. Quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plots from the second GWAS analysis, after removal of the 21 strains containing the L98H mutation in cyp 51 A for (A) itraconazole and (B) voriconazole.


Figure S2.3. Quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plots from the third GWAS analysis, after removal of the 64 strains containing the well-known mutations in $\operatorname{cyp} 51 A$, for $\mathbf{( A )}$ itraconazole and (B) voriconazole


Figure S2.4. Quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plots from the fourth GWAS analysis, focusing on strains from Clade II, for (A) itraconazole and (B) voriconazole


Figure S2.5. Coverage at promoter region of cyp $51 A$ from position 1,782,000 to 1,782,200 bp for the 21 strains with L98H mutation and known triazole MIC values.

## Chapter 3

## Analyses of single nucleotide polymorphisms associated with amphotericin B resistance in

## Aspergillus fumigatus

### 3.1. Preface

This study has been submitted to the journal "Frontiers in Fungal Biology" on July 15, 2021. The authors of this paper are: YuYing Fan, Gregory Korfanty, and Jianping Xu. I am the primary contributor of this work. The genome-wide association analysis and lab experiments were conducted by me. Gregory Korfanty helped in the harvesting of the 143 progeny strains. Analyses and writing of the manuscript were predominantly completed by me, with great help from Jianping Xu and Gregory Korfanty. Jianping Xu also designed the experiments, supervised the work, and edited the manuscript.

### 3.2. Abstract

Aspergillus fumigatus is a ubiquitous saprophytic mold that can cause a range of clinical syndromes, from allergic reactions to invasive infections, and is commonly implicated in invasive aspergillosis. Amphotericin B (AMB) is a polyene antifungal drug that has been used to treat a broad range of systemic mycoses since 1958, including as a primary treatment option against invasive aspergillosis in regions with high rates ( $\geq 10 \%$ ) of environmental triazole resistance. However, cases of AMB-resistant A. fumigatus strains have been increasingly documented over the years and high resistance rates were recently reported in Brazil and Canada. The objective of this study is to identify candidate mutations associated with AMB tolerance using a combination of approaches that included a genome-wide association analysis of natural strains and an analysis of progeny from a laboratory genetic
cross. The genome-wide association study (GWAS) included a total of 98 A. fumigatus strains from 9 countries with reported MIC values ranging from 0.06 to $8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. The laboratory cross included 143 progeny that were analyzed for five candidate SNPs identified from GWAS. Together, our results identified a total of 34 candidate SNPs associated with AMB tolerance - comprised of 18 intergenic variants, 14 missense variants, 1 synonymous variant, and 1 non-coding transcript variant. Importantly, progeny from the genetic cross allowed us to identify putative SNP-SNP interactions impacting progeny growth at different AMB concentrations. Our analyses expand on previously identified candidate SNPs for AMB tolerance and resistance. We discuss the implications of these results, including the managements of aspergillosis.

### 3.3. Introduction

The fungal genus Aspergillus is one of the most well-studied fungal genera due to their medical, environmental, commercial, and industrial importance. Aspergillus species are ubiquitous in nature and can survive in a broad range of environmental conditions. Although there are over 350 identified Aspergillus species, only a few are pathogenic to humans (Amchentsev et al., 2008). Among these species, Aspergillus fumigatus is the most common cause of human Aspergillus infections, responsible for more than $90 \%$ of infections (Amchentsev et al., 2008). However, the frequency of aspergillosis caused by A. fumigatus varies among countries and patient groups (Paulussen et al., 2016). Multiple physical characteristics of $A$. fumigatus allows the mold to be an efficient and widespread pathogen. The ubiquitous presence of high $A$. fumigatus conidial atmospheric concentrations (both indoors and outdoors) of up to tens of thousands conidia $/ \mathrm{m}^{3}$ results in an average human inhaling $\sim 100$ conidia daily. $A$. fumigatus can also tolerate temperature ranges of $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and pH from 2.1 to 8.8 (KwonChung and Sugui, 2013). Their hydrophobic cell wall facilitates high dispersibility of conidia via wind and these conidia are small enough ( 2.0 to $3.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter) to reach the lower respiratory tract (Kwon-Chung and Sugui, 2013).

Inhalation of these conidia can develop into aspergillosis, a fungal infection caused by inhalation of $A$. fumigatus spores. Although these spores can cause disease in healthy hosts, for the vast majority of immunocompetent individuals, they are quickly cleared by the innate
immune system (Latgé, 1999). In hosts with a suppressed immune system, however, $A$. fumigatus can germinate, invade tissues through filamentous growth, and disseminate inside the host; resulting in the most severe presentation of aspergillosis, invasive aspergillosis (Paulussen et al., 2016). It is estimated that over 300,000 cases of invasive aspergillosis occur annually with $\sim 10$ million at risk (Bongomin et al., 2017). The mortality rates associated with invasive aspergillosis range from 30 to $95 \%$ based on the patient population and underlying medical conditions (Brown et al., 2012). However, the global burden of invasive aspergillosis is most likely underestimated due to several reasons including lack of surveillance measures and standardization as well as low sensitivity of current diagnostic assays (Vazquez et al., 2016; Arastehfar et al., 2021).

For treatment of aspergillosis, triazole drugs are recommended as first-line therapy. However, extensive and long-term use of these antifungals, in both clinical and agricultural settings, has led to widespread triazole resistance (Garcia-Rubio et al., 2017). Triazole resistance has become an emerging problem over the last two decades and resistant $A$. fumigatus strains have been identified in six of the seven continents (Nywening et al., 2020; Arastehfar et al., 2021). Previous studies have reported varying triazole resistance prevalence rates worldwide such as 5 to $10 \%$ in the Netherlands (reaching $30 \%$ in high-risk medical wards) (Lestrade et al., 2016), $5.5 \%$ in Belgium (Vermeulen et al., 2015), $4.13 \%$ in Poland (Resendiz Sharpe et al., 2018), $5.3 \%$ in Germany (Seufert et al., 2018), $8.2 \%$ in Italy (Prigitano et al., 2017), $1.8 \%$ in France (Choukri et al., 2015), $7.9 \%$ in Taiwan (Wu et al., 2015), $6.6 \%$ in Pakistan (Chowdhary et al., 2017), $11.2 \%$ in Japan (Chowdhary et al., 2017), 3.2 to $4.2 \%$ in Iran (Chowdhary et al., 2017), $3.5 \%$ in Brazil (Chowdhary et al., 2017), 0 to $80 \%$ in China (Deng et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2021), $2 \%$ in Australia (Talbot et al., 2018), 6.6 to $27.8 \%$ in the United Kingdom (Resendiz Sharpe et al., 2018), 0.3 to $4.2 \%$ in Spain (Resendiz Sharpe et al., 2018), 4 to $6 \%$ in Denmark (Resendiz Sharpe et al., 2018), $2.7 \%$ in Greece (Resendiz Sharpe et al., 2018), $10.2 \%$ in Turkey (Resendiz Sharpe et al., 2018), $1.7 \%$ in India (Resendiz Sharpe et al., 2018), $3.2 \%$ in Thailand (Resendiz Sharpe et al., 2018), 0.6 to $11.8 \%$ in the United States (Resendiz Sharpe et al., 2018), and $20 \%$ in Tanzania (Chowdhary et al., 2014). However, it should be noted that reported prevalence rates will vary based on factors such as source (environmental or clinical), underlying patient conditions, and total number of isolates (the
denominator) (Verweij et al., 2016; Resendiz Sharpe et al., 2018). Over the years, increased resistance rates have also been observed: $3.3 \%$ (2013) to $6.6 \%$ (2015) in Iran (Nabili et al., 2016), $7.6 \%$ (2013) to $14.7 \%$ (2018) in the Netherlands (Lestrade et al., 2020), and $0.43 \%$ (1998-2011) to $2.2 \%$ (2015-2017) in the United Kingdom (Abdolrasouli et al., 2018). The prevalence and the observed increasing trend of triazole resistance worldwide is a problem in treatment. Patients with invasive aspergillosis caused by triazole-resistant $A$. fumigatus isolates also have a mortality rate of $88 \%$ (Seyedmousavi et al., 2013). In cases of infection by triazole resistant isolates, amphotericin B (AMB) formulations have been recommended as the followup treatment of choice and in cases of salvage therapy, particularly for refractory aspergillosis. In addition, AMB is suggested as the primary treatment in regions with $\geq 10 \%$ environmental triazole resistance rates (Verweij et al., 2015; Arastehfar et al., 2021).

AMB is a polyene drug that was introduced in the late 1950s and was the first antifungal agent used for treatment against invasive mycoses (Chang et al., 2017; Cavassin et al., 2021). Despite 70 years of investigation and use, AMB's mechanism(s) of action have not been fully elucidated and multiple models of action have been suggested. The majority of these models include the involvement of ergosterol, a major lipid component and most abundant sterol found in fungal cell membranes (Alcazar-Fuoli and Mellado, 2013). The oldest and most accepted mechanism of action is the ion-channel model, where AMB binds to ergosterol and aggregates to form barrel-type pores in the fungal lipid bilayer (Kamiński, 2014). These pores increase the permeability of the fungal cell membrane to $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ions and other small cations, thereby allowing for the rapid depletion of intracellular ions that are vital for cell function (Kamiński, 2014). The second model focuses on AMB's ability to generate oxidative stress in cells by inducing intracellular formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Kamiński, 2014). The accumulation of ROS causes oxidative damage to different macromolecules (lipids, proteins, and DNA). Although ROS is known to have a detrimental effect on fungal cells, their specific role in the fungicidal activity of AMB remains unknown. The third model involves surface absorption in which AMB orients parallel to the membrane and sequesters ergosterol to the membrane surface thus destabilizing the membrane (Kamiński, 2014). The final model is known as the sterol sponge model in which AMB primarily exists in the form of large extramembranous aggregates that extract ergosterol from the lipid bilayer (Kamiński, 2014).

AMB is still frequently and widely used in medical therapy due to its broad spectrum of activity (Chang et al., 2017). Furthermore, resistance to AMB, a fungicidal agent, is less common than resistance to fungistatic agents such as triazoles (Zavrel et al., 2017). However, recent studies have identified high rates of AMB resistance in two geographic populations of A. fumigatus. A study in Campinas, Brazil reported AMB resistance (MIC $\geq 2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) prevalence rates of $27 \%$ for $A$. fumigatus isolates and $43 \%$ in patients (Reichert-Lima et al., 2018). A high resistance rate of $96.4 \%$ was also reported in Hamilton, Canada and is the highest reported rate to date (Ashu et al., 2018). At present, the reasons behind the emergence of high AMB resistance rates in these two geographic populations are unknown. Moreover, the proposed mechanisms for AMB resistance in $A$. fumigatus have mostly come from studies on human pathogenic and non-pathogenic yeasts. In studies of drug resistance among human fungal pathogens, species often differ in their intrinsic drug susceptibility patterns and possess species-specific mechanisms for drug resistance. Thus, it's important to understand the mechanisms of resistance for individual species. Currently, there is little information about the mechanism(s) of AMB resistance in $A$. fumigatus and mutations that confer resistance remain largely unexplored. In our recent investigation, we conducted a genome-wide association study (GWAS) using 71 isolates and identified over 60 candidate SNPs associated with AMB susceptibility (Fan et al., 2020). The objective of this paper is to further investigate genetic variations associated with AMB tolerance in $A$. fumigatus using a combination of GWAS of a larger sample set and the analysis of progeny from a genetic cross. Specifically, genetic variants of interest were first determined by GWAS based on 98 A. fumigatus strains and their genome sequences. A mating cross was then conducted between two AMB-resistant strains, CM11 (MIC $=8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ from Hamilton, Ontario, and the supermater AFB62-1 (MIC $=4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$. The resulting 143 progeny strains from this cross were genotyped at SNP sites of interest to determine potential patterns of inheritance for AMB susceptibility between these two strains.

### 3.4. Materials and Methods

### 3.4.1. Whole Genome Sequences and Variant Calling

A total of 98 A. fumigatus whole-genome sequences were used in this study, of which 86 sequences were downloaded from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive and the remaining 12 sequences were obtained from our previous study (Fan et al., 2020). The strain sample set was collected from 9 countries, which consisted of 10 strains from Canada, 5 strains from Germany, 7 strains from India, 1 strain from Ireland, 31 strains from Japan, 10 strains from the Netherlands, 18 strains from Spain, 11 strains from the United Kingdom, and 5 strains from the United States. The geographical location, source, and AMB MIC values for all 98 strains are listed in Table S3.1.

Sequence mapping, assembly and variant calling were done using the pipeline from our previous study (Fan et al., 2021). Briefly, read quality was checked with FastQC v0.11.5 and trimmed using Trimmomatic v0.36 (Bolger et al., 2014). Reads were mapped and aligned using the A. fumigatus reference genome Af293 (GenBank accession GCA_000002655.1) via the BWA-MEM algorithm v0.7.17 (Li, 2013). The MarkDuplicates (Picard) tool was used to identify and remove duplicate reads. Variant calling was done using FreeBayes v0.9.21-19 and variant filtering was done using vcftools to remove indels, variants with a quality score below 15, and variants with a call rate less than 0.90 (Danecek et al., 2011; Garrison and Marth, 2012). A second filtering step was done using vcftools to remove multiallelic sites. This resulting filtered VCF file was denoted as the "soft-filtered" file and contained 277,669 SNP sites. Variant annotation and functional effect predictions were done using SnpEff v5.0 and the reference genome Af293 (Cingolani et al., 2012). Variant pruning was conducted using PLINK 1.90 beta to remove highly-linked variants (VIF > 2) (Purcell et al., 2007).

### 3.4.2. Genome-Wide Association Study and Linkage Disequilibrium

Association analysis was done in TASSEL 5 by implementing the mixed linear model approach, which included a population structure defined by 5 principal component vectors, determined based on the scree plot, and a kinship matrix calculated using the Identity by State (Centered IBS) method (Bradbury et al., 2007). To avoid biases in the association analysis due to imbalanced allele frequencies, a minor allele frequency threshold of 0.05 was set using TASSEL 5. A total of 20,929 SNP sites were used in the AMB association analysis.

Linkage disequilibrium analysis was also conducted on the resulting 20 SNPs with the lowest p-values and all 277,669 SNP sites from the soft-filtered file to identify highly-linked $\left(R^{2}>\right.$ $0.85) \mathrm{SNPs}$ of interest.

### 3.4.3. Mating and Ascospore Collection

Further investigation was performed for progeny from a cross on selected SNP sites of interest, obtained by the GWAS and linkage disequilibrium analysis, to determine their association with AMB tolerance. Mating crosses were conducted between the A. fumigatus strains CM11 and AFB62-1. CM11 was selected due to its high AMB MIC of $8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, while AFB62-1 was selected due to it being a supermater of the opposite mating type (MAT1-1) that is highly virulent and able to complete the sexual cycle in a relatively short period of time (Sugui et al., 2011). A total of five SNPs were analyzed for this cross (For details, please see Results). The five SNPs were selected from the top 20 SNPs obtained by the GWAS and from highly-linked SNPs of interest as determined by linkage disequilibrium analysis. In cases where the SNP site did not produce distinct banding patterns using commercially available restriction enzymes, a neighboring SNP within $1,000 \mathrm{bp}$ distance was genotyped instead. This was done for SNP 2 and SNP 5, using a representative SNP site 656 bp downstream and 723 bp downstream, respectively. Furthermore, the parental strains CM11 and AFB62-1 had differing genotypes at these five SNP sites.

Mating and harvesting of $A$. fumigatus cleistothecia was conducted using a modified protocol from Ashton and Dyer (Ashton and Dyer, 2019). The cross was conducted on oatmeal agar medium, sealed with parafilm, wrapped in aluminum foil, and incubated inverted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After one month, single ascospore progenies were harvested from the cleistothecium. Underneath a dissecting microscope, single cleistothecia were isolated using a fine-point sterile syringe. The cleistothecia were washed from any adhering conidia by rolling them on a $4 \%$ water agar medium. Two washed cleistothecia were then placed in $0.01 \%$ TWEEN 20 solution and crushed using a fine-point sterile syringe to release the ascospores. The solution was vortexed to ensure the cleistothecia had been sufficiently broken and all ascospores were released. Using a hemocytometer, the ascospore solutions were adjusted to a concentration of $\sim 2.00 \times 10^{3}$

CFU/mL using TWEEN 20. The solutions underwent heat treatment at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour to kill any remaining conidia. $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the ascospore suspension were plated on malt agar plates and incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 to 3 days. After incubation, single ascospore-derived colonies were picked using a sterile loop and each was transferred to new medium. In total, 143 ascospore progeny were obtained from the CM11 and AFB62-1 cross.

### 3.4.4. AMB Susceptibility Testing

The in vitro susceptibility of all 143 ascospore progeny and the two parental strains were determined using the M38-A2 guideline of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (Rex et al., 2008). Briefly, strains were grown on Sabouraud dextrose agar for 48 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The asexual spores, conidia, were harvested from each strain and spore suspensions were adjusted to an optical density at 530 nm from 0.09 to 0.13 . Using the RPMI-1640 medium, a 1:50 dilution was done to obtain a final concentration of $\sim 0.4 \times 10^{5}$ to $5 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{CFU} / \mathrm{mL}$. Spore suspensions were placed into 96 -well microtiter plates containing varying concentrations of AMB and incubated at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 hours. The AMB concentrations tested were $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}, 0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}, 1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}, 2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}, 4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}, 8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, and 16 $\mathrm{mg} /$ L. Candida parapsilosis (ATCC 22019) and Candida krusei (ATCC 6258) were used as quality controls. The AMB MIC of the total 145 strains were determined based on the procedures as recommended by M38-A2. In addition, the amount of growths at each drug concentration for each of the 145 strains was measured spectrophotometrically at 530 nm . The ratio of fungal growth for strains at various AMB concentrations was calculated by comparing the $\mathrm{OD}_{530}$ value at the start of incubation ( 0 hr ) and at the end of incubation (48 $h r)$. The value difference between the two time points compared to the positive control ( 0 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L} A M B$ ) was taken as a rate of fungal growth over this time period. Antifungal susceptibility testing was performed with three replicates. Outliers for absorbance values were assessed and removed using a Dixon's Q-test $(\alpha=0.1)$. The mean value of three technical repeats was taken to determine the rate of fungal growth for each strain at each AMB concentration.

### 3.4.5. DNA Extraction of the Progeny Strains

DNA extraction of the 143 progeny strains and the two parental strains was done using a modified protocol described by Xu and colleagues (Xu et al., 2000). Conidia were grown in 1 mL of Sabouraud dextrose broth for 48 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After incubation, the tubes were centrifuged at $13,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 10 minutes and the supernatant was discarded. The cells were resuspended in 0.5 mL of protoplasting buffer and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours. The solutions were then centrifuged at $5,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 10 minutes. The supernatant was poured out and 0.5 mL of lysing buffer was added in. The mixture was vortexed and incubated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (24:1) and $125 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 7.5 M ammonium acetate was added to each sample. The tubes were vortexed and centrifuged at $13,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 15 minutes, or until the upper layer was clear. $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ from this clear layer was added to $550 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of ice-cold isopropyl alcohol. The tubes were mixed by inversion, centrifuged at $13,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 2 minutes, and the remaining supernatant was discarded. DNA pellets were washed using $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $70 \%$ ethanol for 2 minutes, dried overnight, and resuspended in $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 1X TE buffer.

### 3.4.6. Polymerase Chain Reaction and Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism

The genotypes for the 143 progeny strains at five SNP sites were determined through the use of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP). The details for the five SNPs can be found below in the Results section. Among the five SNP sites, four were located on chromosome 5 and one on chromosome 6. Primers for the SNP sites were designed using the whole-genome sequences of CM11 and AFB62-1. PCR amplification was conducted using a SimpliAmp Thermal Cycler and PCR products were checked using $1 \%$ agarose gels. Restriction digests that distinguish nucleotide bases at the five SNP sites were performed on the parental and all 143 progeny strains, following the manufacturer's instructions (NEB, UK). The digested products were run on $2 \%$ agarose gels at 80 V for 1.5 hours. Information on the primer sequences, PCR amplification conditions, and restriction enzymes can be found in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1. The primers, amplification conditions, and restrictions enzymes used for distinguishing the five SNP sites between strains CM11 and AFB62-1.

| SNP Site <br> Number |  <br> Position (bp) | Primer Sequence (5' to $3^{\prime}$ ) | Amplification Conditions | Restriction <br> Enzyme |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CHR $5-201,094$ | F: ACAAACGCCCTTGATCGCTA <br> R: TTTGAGCAGGCCGTAGAGTG | $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $10 \mathrm{~min} .40 \mathrm{cycles}: 95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for <br> $30 \mathrm{~s}, 56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min. <br> $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min. | FauI |

### 3.5. Results

### 3.5.1. Genome-Wide Association Study and Linkage Disequilibrium Analysis

A genome-wide association study (GWAS) was conducted to determine candidate mutations associated with AMB tolerance using a total of 98 A. fumigatus whole-genome sequences and their corresponding AMB MIC values. The results of the GWAS are presented in a Manhattan plot (Figure 3.1). The quantile-quantile plot of observed and expected p-values showed no genomic inflation (Figure 3.2).


Figure 3.1. Manhattan plot based on the GWAS results for SNPs associated with Amphotericin B sensitivity in A. fumigatus. The red dashed line indicates the separation for the top 20 SNPs.


Figure 3.2. Quantile-Quantile (QQ) plot of the Amphotericin B GWAS, which compares observed $-\log _{10}\left(\mathrm{p}\right.$-value) to the expected $-\log _{10}(\mathrm{p}$-value $)$.

From the GWAS results, the top 20 significant SNPs with the smallest p -values were further examined. Among these 20 SNPs, 13 ( $65 \%$ ) were located in intergenic regions, 6 (30\%) were
missense variants and $1(5 \%)$ was a synonymous variant (Table 3.2). In terms of their distribution, one ( $5 \%$ ) was located on chromosome 1 , one ( $5 \%$ ) on chromosome 2 , five $(25 \%)$ on chromosome 3 , three ( $15 \%$ ) on chromosome 4 , three ( $15 \%$ ) on chromosome 5 , four $(20 \%)$ on chromosome 6 , three ( $15 \%$ ) on chromosome 7 and none $(0 \%)$ were found on chromosome 8 (Table 3.2).

Table 3.2. The top 20 SNPs associated with AMB sensitivity, arranged based on $-\log _{10}(\mathrm{p}-$ values).

| Chromosome | Position (bp) | Change | $\begin{gathered} -\log _{10}(p- \\ \text { value }) \end{gathered}$ | Gene ID | Annotation | Predicted Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 278,099 | A to G | 4.49 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_7G01030- } \\ & \text { AFUA_7G01040 } \end{aligned}$ | Calcium-transporting ATPaseCytidine deaminase, putative | Intergenic Region |
| 5 | 2,362,267 | G to A | 3.46 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_5G09190- } \\ & \text { AFUA_5G09200 } \end{aligned}$ | ABC bile acid transporter, putative - Ubiquitin conjugating enzyme (UbcC), putative | Intergenic Region |
| 5 | 2,386,509 | T to G | 3.38 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_5G09260- } \\ & \text { AFUA_5G09270 } \end{aligned}$ | Phosphatidylinositol transporter, putative - ER membrane protein complex subunit 1 | Intergenic Region |
| 4 | 3,275,045 | T to A | 3.36 | AFUA_4G12480 | Asparagine synthase related protein | $\begin{gathered} \text { Missense } \\ \text { Variant } \\ \text { (Ser424Cys) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 | 4,385,926 | A to G | 3.20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_2G16500- } \\ & \text { AFUA_2G16510 } \end{aligned}$ | Uncharacterized protein Uncharacterized protein | Intergenic Region |
| 1 | 3,787,543 | A to G | 3.15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_1G00400- } \\ & \text { AFUA_1G00420 } \end{aligned}$ | Uncharacterized protein Carboxypeptidase | Intergenic Region |
| 5 | 3,698,701 | G to T | 3.08 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_5G14160- } \\ & \text { AFUA_5G14170 } \end{aligned}$ | Uncharacterized protein Uncharacterized protein | Intergenic Region |
| 6 | 1,608,813 | C to T | 3.06 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_6G07160- } \\ & \text { AFUA_6G07170 } \end{aligned}$ | IZH family channel protein (Izh3), putative - Uncharacterized protein | Intergenic Region |
| 3 | 1,260,557 | T to C | 2.99 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_3G04310- } \\ & \text { AFUA_3G05320 } \end{aligned}$ | SnoRNA binding protein, putative <br> - C2H2 finger domain protein, putative | Intergenic Region |
| 6 | 3,521,360 | G to A | 2.85 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_6G13770- } \\ & \text { AFUA_6G13780 } \end{aligned}$ | C6 finger domain protein, putative - MFS multidrug transporter, putative | Intergenic Region |
| 6 | 3,141,751 | G to A | 2.83 | AFUA_6G12420 | SprT family metallopeptidase, putative | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Missense } \\ & \text { Variant } \\ & \text { (Glu245Lys) } \end{aligned}$ |


| 6 | 3,149,653 | G to T | 2.83 | AFUA_6G12460 | Uncharacterized protein | $\begin{gathered} \text { Missense } \\ \text { Variant } \\ \text { (Asn213Lys) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 133,642 | T to C | 2.82 | AFUA_3G00600 | Uncharacterized protein | Missense <br> Variant (Val519Ala) |
| 3 | 142,183 | A to C | 2.81 | AFUA_3G00620 | Zinc-containing alcohol dehydrogenase, putative | Missense Variant (His136Pro) |
| 7 | 1,182,007 | A to C | 2.81 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_7G05020- } \\ & \text { AFUA_7G05030 } \end{aligned}$ | Polysaccharide export protein (Cap59), putative - Pectin lyase B | Intergenic Region |
| 7 | 279,416 | T to C | 2.80 | AFUA_7G01050 | Salicylate hydroxylase, putative | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Missense } \\ & \text { Variant } \\ & \text { (Gln396Arg) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | 2,417,511 | A to G | 2.75 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_4G09240- } \\ & \text { AFUA_4G09250 } \end{aligned}$ | Uncharacterized protein Uncharacterized protein | Intergenic <br> Region |
| 4 | 2,417,525 | T to G | 2.75 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_4G09240- } \\ & \text { AFUA_4G09250 } \end{aligned}$ | Uncharacterized protein Uncharacterized protein | Intergenic <br> Region |
| 3 | 3,512,400 | T to C | 2.71 | AFUA_3G13230 | AT DNA binding protein, putative | Synonymous <br> Variant (Pro380Pro) |
| 3 | 3,122,663 | A to C | 2.70 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_3G11850- } \\ & \text { AFUA_3G11860 } \end{aligned}$ | Uncharacterized protein Microtubule associated protein EB1, putative | Intergenic Region |

Using the top 20 SNPs and all 277,669 variants from the soft-filtered file, linkage disequilibrium analysis was conducted to identify highly-linked $\left(R^{2}>0.85\right)$ SNPs of interest. From this analysis, 24 highly-linked variants were found (Table 3.3). The additional 24 variants consisted of 17 intergenic variants, 4 missense variants, 1 synonymous variant and 2 non-coding transcript variants (Table 3.3).

Table 3.3. Additional variants found through linkage disequilibrium analysis to be highlylinked with the top 20 SNPs from the AMB GWAS. Fisher's exact test p-values comparing $\underline{\text { AMB resistant and susceptible strains are listed ( } \mathrm{n}=98 \text { ). }}$

| Chromosome | Position | Gene ID | Predicted Effect (Amino Acid Substitution) | Description | Fisher's Exact Tests (p-value) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3,782,532 | AFUA_1G14160 | Missense Variant (Ser65Phe) | Uncharacterized protein | $1.96 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 1 | 3,787,813 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_1G00400- } \\ & \text { AFUA_1G00420 } \end{aligned}$ | Intergenic Region | Uncharacterized proteinCarboxypeptidase | $3.42 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 1 | 3,796,235 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_1G00400- } \\ & \text { AFUA_1G00420 } \end{aligned}$ | Intergenic Region | Uncharacterized protein Carboxypeptidase | $3.43 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 1 | 3,800,222 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_1G00400- } \\ & \text { AFUA_1G00420 } \end{aligned}$ | Intergenic Region | Uncharacterized proteinCarboxypeptidase | $1.90 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 1 | 3,801,124 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_1G00400- } \\ & \text { AFUA_1G00420 } \end{aligned}$ | Intergenic Region | Uncharacterized proteinCarboxypeptidase | $1.96 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 1 | 3,801,488 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_1G00400- } \\ & \text { AFUA_1G00420 } \end{aligned}$ | Intergenic Region | Uncharacterized protein- <br> Carboxypeptidase | $1.96 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 1 | 3,801,524 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_1G00400- } \\ & \text { AFUA_1G00420 } \end{aligned}$ | Intergenic Region | Uncharacterized protein Carboxypeptidase | $1.96 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 1 | 3,801,974 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_1G00400- } \\ & \text { AFUA_1G00420 } \end{aligned}$ | Intergenic Region | Uncharacterized proteinCarboxypeptidase | $1.96 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 1 | 3,802,717 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_1G00400- } \\ & \text { AFUA_1G00420 } \end{aligned}$ | Intergenic Region | Uncharacterized protein Carboxypeptidase | $1.88 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 1 | 3,803,746 | AFUA_1G14240 | Missense Variant (Glu467Asp) | Uncharacterized protein | $1.99 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 3 | 142,511 | AFUA_3G00620 | Synonymous <br> Variant <br> (Val245Val) | Zinc-containing alcohol dehydrogenase, putative | $6.67 \times 10^{-1}$ |


| 3 | 3,129,756 | AFUA_3G11890 | Non-coding Transcript Variant | Thermolabile Lasparaginase, putative | $1.06 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 2,416,428 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_4G09240- } \\ & \text { AFUA_4G09250 } \end{aligned}$ | Intergenic Region | Uncharacterized protein - <br> Uncharacterized protein | $3.39 \times 10^{-7 *}$ |
| 4 | 2,417,416 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_4G09240- } \\ & \text { AFUA_4G09250 } \end{aligned}$ | Intergenic Region | Uncharacterized protein - <br> Uncharacterized protein | $1.28 \times 10^{-6 *}$ |
| 4 | 2,417,517 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_4G09240- } \\ & \text { AFUA_4G09250 } \end{aligned}$ | Intergenic Region | Uncharacterized protein Uncharacterized protein | $2.96 \times 10^{-4 *}$ |
| 4 | 2,417,806 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_4G09240- } \\ & \text { AFUA_4G09250 } \end{aligned}$ | Intergenic Region | Uncharacterized protein Uncharacterized protein | $2.58 \times 10^{-4 *}$ |
| 5 | 201,094 | AFUA_5G00700- <br> AFUA_5G00710 | Intergenic Region | Uncharacterized protein - GABA permease, putative | $7.12 \times 10^{-4 *}$ |
| 5 | 201,751 | AFUA_5G00710 | Missense Variant (Arg37Lys) | GABA permease, putative | $7.12 \times 10^{-4 *}$ |
| 5 | 2,370,937 | AFUA_5G09220 | Missense Variant (Leu872Val) | BEACH domain protein | $5.15 \times 10^{-4 *}$ |
| 5 | 2,399,121 | AFUA_5G09320 | Non-coding Transcript Variant | Signal transduction protein (Syg1), putative | $7.64 \times 10^{-4 *}$ |
| 6 | 3,132,855 | AFUA_6G12400- <br> AFUA_6G12410 | Intergenic Region | 1,3-beta-D-glucanUDP <br> glucosyltransferase -1,3-betaglucanosyltransferase | $7.28 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 6 | 3,136,524 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_6G12400- } \\ & \text { AFUA_6G12410 } \end{aligned}$ | Intergenic Region | 1,3-beta-D-glucanUDP <br> glucosyltransferase -1,3-betaglucanosyltransferase | $7.27 \times 10^{-1}$ |


|  |  |  |  | Uncharacterized <br> protein - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $3,148,083$ | AFUA_6G12440- <br> AFUA_6G12450 | Intergenic Region | Chaperone/heat shock <br> protein (Hsp12), <br> putative |
| 7 | $1,40 \times 10^{-1}$ |  |  |  |
| 7 | $1,184,553$ | AFUA_7G05030- <br> AFUA_7G05040 | Intergenic Region | Pectin lyase B - <br> Rhamnosidase B, <br> putative |

* Statistically significant SNPs based on a set threshold of $\mathrm{p}<1.39 \times 10^{-3}$

Fisher's exact tests were further conducted on these 24 highly-linked variants to determine SNPs significantly associated with AMB resistance (Table 3.3). In addition, our previous AMB study, with a total of 71 A. fumigatus strains and through the use of Fisher's exact tests, had determined 12 missense variants to be significantly associated with AMB resistance (Fan et al., 2020). The 12 SNPs were located in 6 genes of interest: $\operatorname{erg} 3(n=2), \operatorname{tcs} B(n=4), m p k C$ $(\mathrm{n}=2)$, cat $A(\mathrm{n}=2)$, fos $1(\mathrm{n}=1)$, and $m p k B(\mathrm{n}=1)$. These SNP sites were also examined in this study using our 98 -strain sample set and via Fisher's exact tests (Table 3.4). The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) MIC breakpoint of $>1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ was used to define AMB resistant $A$. fumigatus strains (Arendrup et al., 2020). From the Fisher's tests and using a Bonferroni-corrected significance threshold of $1.39 \times 10^{-3}$ (0.05/36), eight of the 24 highly-linked SNPs identified in the current analyses were significantly associated with AMB resistance (Table 3.3). Among these eight SNPs, four were on chromosome 4 and were intergenic variants found between $A F U A \_4 G 09240$ and AFUA_4G09250. The remaining four SNPs were located on chromosome 5: two were missense variants in AFUA_5G00710 and in AFUA_5G09220, one was a non-coding transcript variant in AFUA_5G09320, and the final SNP was found in the intergenic region between AFUA_5G00700 and AFUA_5G00710 (Table 3.3). The Fisher's exact tests for the previous 12 missense variants of interest found 6 missense variants significantly associated with AMB resistance in the current sample-set (Table 3.4). These six SNPs comprised of missense variants in three genes $t c s B(\mathrm{n}=3$ SNPs), mpleC ( $\mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{SNPs}$ ), and cat $A(\mathrm{n}=1$ SNP) (Table 3.4).

Table 3.4. Fisher's exact tests comparing AMB resistant and susceptible strains on the 12 previously found missense variants associated with AMB resistance ( $\mathrm{n}=98$ ).

| Chromosome | Position (bp) | Gene | Amino Acid Substitution | Fisher's Exact Test (p-value) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 61,543 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AFUA_2G00320 } \\ (\text { erg3 }) \end{gathered}$ | Threonine to Isoleucine | $3.75 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 2 | 62,002 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AFUA_2G00320 } \\ \text { (erg3) } \end{gathered}$ | Tyrosine to Phenylalanine | $3.75 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 2 | 145,934 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AFUA_2G00660 } \\ (\operatorname{tcsB}) \end{gathered}$ | Aspartic acid to Glycine | $6.10 \times 10^{-4}$ * |
| 2 | 146,469 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AFUA_2G00660 } \\ (\operatorname{tcsB}) \end{gathered}$ | Glycine to Serine | $4.27 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 2 | 147,363 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AFUA_2G00660 } \\ (\operatorname{tcsB}) \end{gathered}$ | Arginine to Glycine | $1.32 \times 10^{-3}$ * |
| 2 | 147,396 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AFUA_2G00660 } \\ (\operatorname{tcsB}) \end{gathered}$ | Alanine to Proline | $4.39 \times 10^{-4}$ * |
| 5 | 2,342,264 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_5G09100 } \\ & (m p k C) \end{aligned}$ | Tryptophan to Serine | $4.43 \times 10^{-5}$ * |
| 5 | 2,342,466 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFUA_5G09100 } \\ & (m p k C) \end{aligned}$ | Isoleucine to Threonine | $4.43 \times 10^{-5}$ * |
| 6 | 857,963 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AFUA_6G03890 } \\ (\text { catA }) \end{gathered}$ | Aspartic acid to Asparagine | $5.28 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 6 | 858,366 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AFUA_6G03890 } \\ (\text { catA }) \end{gathered}$ | Serine to Asparagine | $1.48 \times 10^{-4}$ * |
| 6 | 2,533,399 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AFUA_6G10240 } \\ (\text { fos1) } \end{gathered}$ | Alanine to Aspartic acid | $8.17 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 6 | 3,232,955 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AFUA_6G12820 } \\ (m p k B) \end{gathered}$ | Lysine to Arginine | $3.23 \times 10^{-2}$ |

* Statistically significant SNPs based on a set threshold of $\mathrm{p}<1.39 \times 10^{-3}$


### 3.5.2. Mating Cross and AMB Susceptibility of Progeny

We obtained 143 meiotic progenies from the mating cross between CM11 and AFB62-1. The AMB MIC values for the 143 progeny strains and parental strains were listed in Table S3.2. The parental strains CM11 and AFB62-1 had an AMB MIC of $8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$,
respectively. Among the 143 progeny strains, $4(2.80 \%)$ strains had an MIC value of $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, $120(83.92 \%)$ strains had an MIC of $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, and the remaining 19 ( $13.29 \%$ ) strains had an MIC of $8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (Table S3.2).

The amount of fungal growth for the 143 progeny strains and parental strains in the varying concentrations of AMB ( $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}, 0.50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}, 1.00 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}, 2.00 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and $4.00 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) were also measured (Table S3.2). The distribution of growth ratio values for all 145 strains can be found in Figure 3.3. Transgressive phenotypes in the progeny strain were observed in both directions and found at all five AMB concentrations (Figure 3.3).


Figure 3.3. Distribution of growth ratio values for the progeny strains measured at Amphotericin B concentrations of (A) $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{n}=143$ ), (B) $0.50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{n}=143)$, (C) $1.00 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{n}=143)$, (D) $2.00 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{n}=139)$, and (E) $4.00 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{n}=19)$. Dashed lines represent the values of the two parental strains, CM11 (red) and AFB62-1 (blue), as well as the mean value for the progeny (purple).

At an AMB concentration of $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}, 83$ ( $58.04 \%$ ) progeny strains had a higher amount of fungal growth than both parents while $16(11.19 \%)$ progeny had lower growths than both parents (Table S3.2). Furthermore, four ( $2.80 \%$ ) progeny strains also had growth values more than two-fold higher than the faster parent and no progeny had values two-fold lower than the slower parent. At the $0.50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ concentration, 81 (56.64\%) progeny strains had higher
fungal growths than both parents, while $26(18.18 \%)$ progeny had lower growth. Three $(2.10 \%)$ strains also had growth values more than two-fold higher than the faster parent and no strains had values two-fold lower than the slower parent. At a concentration of 1.00 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}, 118(82.52 \%)$ strains had higher growths than both parents while 18 ( $12.59 \%$ ) had lower values than both. Twelve ( $8.39 \%$ ) strains had values more than two-fold higher than the faster parent and one $(0.70 \%)$ strain had a growth value more than two-fold lower than the slower parent. At a concentration of $2.00 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}, 73$ ( $52.52 \%$ ) strains had growths higher than the parental strains while $58(41.73 \%)$ had values lower than both parents. In addition, 8 $(5.76 \%)$ strains had values more than two-fold higher than the faster parent and 17 ( $12.23 \%$ ) strains more than two-fold lower than the slower parent. At the final concentration of 4.00 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}, 16$ ( $84.21 \%$ ) strains had growth values higher than the CM11 parent and 3 (15.79\%) strains with values lower than CM11. 14 (73.68\%) strains had growth values more than twofold higher than CM11 and no strains had values more than two-fold lower than CM11(Table S3.2). Together, these results indicate substantial difference between the two parental strains in the genetic mechanisms of AMB MIC.

At each AMB concentration, Welch's t-tests were also conducted on the progeny strains to compare ratio of fungal growth and AMB MIC values (Figure 3.4). The results of these tests were that statistically significant differences between MIC groups were present at AMB concentrations of $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}, 1.00 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and $2.00 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (Figure 3.4A, 3.4C, 3.4D). No statistically significant differences were present at a concentration of $0.50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (Figure 3.4B). At $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, fungal growths were statistically significantly higher in the $4.00 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ MIC progeny group compared to the $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ MIC progeny group ( $\mathrm{p}=0.017$; Figure 3.4A). At the concentration of $1.00 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, the mean growth of the $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ MIC progeny group was significantly lower than that of both the $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and $8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ MIC progeny groups, at $\mathrm{p}=0.0059$ and $\mathrm{p}=0.00065$, respectively (Figure 3.4C). Lastly, at the $2.00 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ concentration, the mean growth of the $8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ MIC progeny group was statistically significantly higher than those of the $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ MIC progeny group; $\mathrm{p}=0.00022$ (Figure 3.4D). These results suggest that strains with higher AMB MICs typically grow faster than those with low AMB MICs at lower AMB concentrations.


Figure 3.4. Ratio of fungal growth for the 143 progeny strains in Amphotericin B concentrations of (A) $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, (B) $0.50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, (C) $1.00 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, and (D) $2.00 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. Welch's t-test p-values are also denoted to compare the AMB MIC groups of $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{n}=4)$, $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{n}=120)$, and $8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{n}=19)$.

### 3.5.3. Variant Genotyping

From our final 20,929 SNP sites and using a pairwise SNP comparison, 3,960 SNPs were found between the two parental strains AFB62-1 and CM11. We focused on the 28 SNP sites obtained from the AMB GWAS and the linkage disequilibrium analysis. From the 28 SNPs, 5 SNP sites were selected for further investigation in the 143 progeny strains. The five

SNP sites comprised of three intergenic variants, one missense variant and one non-coding transcript variant (Table 3.5).

Table 3.5. Information about the five SNP sites that were genotyped in the progeny strains using PCR-RFLP.

| SNP <br> ID | Chromosome | Position <br> (bp) | Gene ID | Annotation | Predicted <br> Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 201,094 | AFUA_5G00700- <br> AFUA_5G00710 | Uncharacterized protein-GABA <br> permease, putative | Intergenic <br> Region |
| 2 | 5 | $2,362,267$ | AFUA_5G09190- <br> AFUA_5G09200 | ABC bile acid transporter, putative <br> - Ubiquitin conjugating enzyme <br> (UbcC), putative | Intergenic <br> Region |
| 3 | 5 | $2,370,937$ | AFUA_5G09220 | BEACH domain protein | Missense <br> Variant <br> (Leu872Val) |
| 4 | 5 | $2,399,121$ | AFUA_5G09320 | Signal transduction protein (Syg1), <br> putative | Non-coding <br> Transcript <br> Variant |
| 5 | 6 | $1,608,813$ | AFUA_6G07160- <br> AFUA_6G07170 | IZH family channel protein (Izh3), <br> putative - Uncharacterized protein | Intergenic <br> Region |

The progeny genotypes at these five SNP sites were determined using PCR-RFLP analysis and are described in Table S3.2. In terms of genotype distribution: for SNP 1, 71 (49.65\%) progeny strains had the variant genotype of AB62-1 and 72 (50.35\%) had the CM11 variant genotype; for SNP 2, 67 ( $46.85 \%$ ) strains had the AFB62-1 genotype while 76 (53.15\%) had that of CM11; for SNP 3, 69 ( $48.25 \%$ ) strains had the AFB62-1 genotype while 74 (51.75\%) had that of CM11; for SNP 4, 73 (51.05\%) strains had the AFB62-1 genotype while 70 (48.95\%) had that of CM11; for SNP 5, $64(44.76 \%)$ strains had the AFB62-1 genotype while 79 (55.24\%) had that of CM11 (Table 3.6).

Table 3.6. Distribution of variant allele frequencies at five SNP sites among the 143 progeny strains. The variant alleles are separated into two AMB MIC groups (MIC $\leq 4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and MIC of $8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ). Chi-square tests were conducted between MIC groups and the inherited parental allele, with the two-tailed p -values listed.

|  | MIC $\leq 4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | MIC $=8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | p-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Allele 1 | Allele 2 | Allele 1 | Allele 2 |  |
| SNP 1 | 64 | 60 | 7 | 12 | 0.230 |
|  | $(51.60 \%)$ | $(48.40 \%)$ | $(36.80 \%)$ | $(63.20 \%)$ |  |
| SNP 2 | 60 | 64 | 7 | 12 | 0.348 |
|  | $(48.40 \%)$ | $(51.60 \%)$ | $(36.80 \%)$ | $(63.20 \%)$ |  |
| SNP 3 | 61 | 63 | 8 | 11 | 0.565 |
|  | $(49.20 \%)$ | $(50.80 \%)$ | $(42.10 \%)$ | $(57.90 \%)$ |  |
| SNP 4 | 64 | 60 | 9 | 10 | 0.730 |
|  | $(48.40 \%)$ | $(51.60 \%)$ | $(52.60 \%)$ | $(47.40 \%)$ |  |
| SNP 5 | 56 | 68 | 8 | 11 | 0.803 |
|  | $(45.20 \%)$ | $(54.80 \%)$ | $(42.10 \%)$ | $(57.90 \%)$ |  |

Allele 1 = AFB62-1, Allele 2 = CM11

### 3.5.4. Association between Variant SNPs and AMB MIC and Growths at Different AMB Concentrations

Analyses based on individual SNPs: For each of the five SNP sites, a Chi-square test was conducted between the progeny AMB MIC and the inherited parental allele (Table 3.6). The strains with a MIC of $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ were combined into a single group of MIC $\leq 4$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ due to the small sample size in the $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ MIC group. No statistically significant differences were observed between the two MIC groups in their frequencies of alleles at any of the five SNPs (Table 3.6).

In addition to MIC values, the progeny strains' ability to grow in varying AMB concentrations were examined through $\mathrm{OD}_{530}$ measurements. For each of the five SNP sites, Welch's t-tests were conducted to compare ratio of fungal growth in varying AMB concentrations between the genotypes inherited in the progeny (Figure 3.5). Based on these tests, a statistically significant difference ( $\mathrm{p}=0.047$ ) was found at an AMB concentration of $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ for SNP site 5 . Specifically, progeny that inherited the CM11 allele at SNP 5 has statistically significantly higher fungal growth that progeny that inherited the AFB62-1 allele (Figure 3.5).


Figure 3.5. Growths of the 143 progeny strains in varying Amphotericin B concentrations, grouped based on the variant genotype at the sites (A) SNP 1, (B) SNP 2, (C) SNP 3, (D) SNP 4, and (E) SNP 5. Welch's t-test p-values are listed to compare variant genotype. Parental allele 1 denotes the AFB62-1 genotype and parental allele 2 denotes the CM11 genotype. " $\ddagger$ " indicates bar groups with $\mathrm{n} \leq 12$.

Analyses based on pairs of SNP combinations: To analyze the role of SNP-SNP interactions, all possible pairwise SNP combinations between these five sites were also assessed. In terms of MIC values, Fisher's exact tests were conducted using the two previous MIC groups (MIC $\leq 4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and $\mathrm{MIC}=8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) and the pairwise SNP combinations (Table S3.3). No statistically significant differences were found between groups (Table S3.3).

In addition to examining MIC values, Welch's $t$-tests were again conducted using the pairwise genotype combinations to compare ratio of fungal growth in varying AMB concentrations (Figure 3.6). The p-values for all conducted Welch's t-tests of the 10 pairwise SNP combinations can be found in Figure S3.1. The results of this analysis showed statistically significant differences in fungal growth ratio for six of the 10 pairwise combinations: SNP 5 \& 1, SNP $5 \& 2$, SNP $5 \& 3$, SNP $5 \& 4$, SNP $4 \& 1$, and SNP $2 \& 1$ (Figure 3.6).

For the pairwise combination of SNP $5 \& 1$, statistically significant differences were found at an AMB concentration of $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. Progeny strains that inherited the variant alleles from CM11 at both SNP sites had a higher mean fungal growth ratio than progeny strains that inherited both variant genotypes from AFB61-2 (Figure 3.6A).

For SNP 5 \& 2, statistically significant differences were found at AMB concentrations of 0.25 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}, 0.50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, and $1.00 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (Figure 3.6B). At a concentration of $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, progeny that inherited the CM11 genotype at SNP 5 and the AFB62-1 genotype at SNP 2 had higher mean fungal growth ratios than progeny that inherited the AFB62-1 genotype at both SNP sites, progeny that inherited the AFB62-1 genotype at SNP 5 and CM11 genotype at SNP 2, and progeny that inherited the CM11 genotype at both SNP sites. At a concentration of 0.50 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, progeny that inherited the CM11 genotype at SNP 5 and the AFB62-1 genotype at the SNP 2 had higher mean fungal growths than progeny that inherited the AFB62-1 genotype at both SNP sites, and progeny that inherited the CM11 genotype at both SNP sites. Lastly, at an AMB concentration of $1.00 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, progeny that inherited the CM11 genotype at SNP 5 and the AFB62-1 genotype at the SNP 2 had higher mean fungal growth ratios than progeny that inherited the AFB62-1 genotype at both SNP sites (Figure 3.6B). For the pairwise combination of SNP 5 \& 3, statistically significant differences were found at AMB concentrations of 0.25 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and $1.00 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (Figure 3.6C). At both AMB concentrations of $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and 1.00
$\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, progeny that inherited the CM11 genotype at SNP 5 and the AFB62-1 genotype at SNP 3 had higher mean fungal growths than progeny that inherited the AFB62-1 genotype at both SNP sites (Figure 3.6C). For SNP $5 \& 4$, statistically significant differences were found at AMB concentrations of $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}, 0.50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, and $1.00 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (Figure 3.6D). At $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, progeny that inherited the CM11 genotype at SNP 5 and the AFB62-1 genotype at the SNP 4 had higher mean fungal growths than progeny that inherited the AFB62-1 genotype at both SNP sites, and progeny that inherited the AFB62-1 genotype at SNP 5 and CM11 genotype at SNP 4. At an AMB concentration of $0.50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, progeny that inherited the CM11 genotype at SNP 5 and the AFB62-1 genotype at the SNP 4 had higher mean fungal growths than progeny that inherited the CM11 genotype at both SNP sites. Lastly, at an AMB concentration of $1.00 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, progeny that inherited the CM11 genotype at SNP 5 and the AFB62-1 genotype at SNP 4 had higher mean fungal growth ratios than progeny that inherited the AFB62-1 genotype at both SNP sites (Figure 3.6D).

For the pairwise combination of SNP $4 \& 1$, statistically significant differences were found at the AMB concentration of $2.00 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (Figure 3.6E). Progeny strains that had the AFB62-1 genotype at SNP 4 and the CM11 genotype at SNP 1 had a higher mean fungal growth than progeny strains that inherited both variant genotypes from CM11 (Figure 3.6E). For SNP 2 \& 1, statistically significant differences were found at the AMB concentration of $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (Figure 3.6F). Progeny strains with the AFB62-1 genotype at SNP 2 and the CM11 genotype at SNP 1 had a higher mean fungal growth than those with the CM11 genotype at SNP 2 and AFB62-1 at SNP 1 (Figure 3.6F).


Figure 3.6. Growths of the 143 progeny strains in varying Amphotericin B concentrations, grouped based on pairwise variant genotype at (A) SNP 5 \& 1, (B) SNP 5 \& 2, (C) SNP 5 \& 3, (D) SNP $5 \& 4$, (E) SNP $4 \& 1$, and (F) SNP $2 \& 1$. Parental allele of 1 denotes the AFB62-1 genotype and parental allele 2 denotes the CM11 genotype. "*" denotes statistically significant differences (Welch's t -test p -values $<0.05$ ) and " $\ddagger$ " indicates bar groups with $\mathrm{n} \leq$ 12.

Analyses based on linked SNPs: Additionally, a group of SNP sites showed low rates of recombination in the progeny. The group, denoted as Group A, consisted of SNP 2, SNP 3, and SNP 4. Among the 143 progeny strains, $64(44.76 \%)$ strains inherited all three genotypes from AFB62-1, 67 ( $46.85 \%$ ) strains inherited all three genotypes from CM11, and 12 ( $8.39 \%$ ) strains had recombination present at these three sites (Table S3.2). Using this additional grouping, Welch's t-tests were done for the additional combinations of SNP 5 \& Group A, and SNP 1 \& Group A (Figure 3.7).

The additional analyses of SNP 5 \& Group A found statistically significant differences present at AMB concentrations of $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}, 0.50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and $1.00 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (Figure 3.7A). At the AMB concentration of $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, progeny that inherited the CM11 genotype at SNP 5 and AFB62-1 genotype for all Group A SNP sites had a statistically higher mean fungal growth than progeny with the AFB62-1 genotype at all four SNP sites, and progeny with the AFB62-1 genotype at SNP 5 and recombination present in Group A. At $0.50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, progeny with the CM11 genotype at SNP 5 and the AFB62-1 genotype for all Group A sites had a higher mean fungal growth than progeny with the CM11 genotype at all four SNP sites. Lastly, at the AMB concentration of $1.00 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, progeny with the CM11 genotype at SNP 5 and AFB62-1 genotype for Group A SNP sites had a higher mean fungal growth than progeny with the AFB62-1 genotype at all four SNP sites (Figure 3.7A). For the SNP $1 \&$ Group A combination, no statistically significant differences were present at any AMB concentration (Figure 3.7B).


Figure 3.7. Growths of the 143 progeny strains in varying Amphotericin B concentrations, grouped based on the variant genotype combination at the sites (A) SNP 5 \& Group A and (B) SNP $1 \&$ Group A. Parental allele of 1 denotes the AFB62-1 genotype, parental allele 2 denotes the CM11 genotype, and R denotes recombination in the SNP group. "*" denotes statistically significant differences (Welch’s $t$-test $p$-values $<0.05$ ) and " $\ddagger$ " indicates bar groups with $\mathrm{n} \leq 12$.

### 3.6. Discussion

In this study, a GWAS was conducted using 98 A. fumigatus whole-genome sequences from strains across 9 countries with reported AMB MIC values ranging from 0.06 to $8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. From the GWAS analysis, we focused on six missense variants. The six missense variants were located in six genes. The highest scoring missense variant was found in AFUA_4G12480, which encodes for an asparagine synthase related protein. Asparagine synthetase is involved with asparagine biosynthesis and converts aspartate to asparagine in an ATP-dependent reaction (Loureiro et al., 2013). The second highest scoring missense variant was in AFUA_6G12420, a putative SprT family metallopeptidase. The next two missense variants were found in the uncharacterized proteins, AFUA_6G12460 and AFUA_3G00600. The remaining two variants were found in putative oxidoreductases: a missense variant in AFUA_3G00620, encoding a putative zinc-containing alcohol dehydrogenase, and in AFUA_7G01050, encoding for a putative salicylate hydroxylase. These two enzymes are involved in the oxidation-reduction process, a process relevant to AMB resistance in $A$. fumigatus. For example, AMB exposure has been reported to induce production and accumulation of intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) in $A$. fumigatus, thereby resulting in oxidative damage (Shekhova et al., 2017). Alcohol dehydrogenases catalyze the interconversion between alcohols and aldehydes or ketones (Ying and Ma, 2011). Alcohol fermentation is carried out by many microorganisms in hypoxic environments to allow for regeneration of $\mathrm{NAD}^{+}$, ensuring an adequate supply for the continuation of glycolysis (Grahl et al., 2011). However, increased production of intracellular ROS is also seen in A. fumigatus when exposed to oxygen limiting environments, which then triggers the oxidative stress response (Shekhova et al., 2019). In addition, alcohol dehydrogenase can influence hypoxic fungal growth in invasive aspergillosis infections (Grahl et al., 2011). Meanwhile, salicylate hydroxylase is a flavin-dependent monooxygenase that catalyzes the conversion of salicylate into catechol (Costa et al., 2019). Overexpression of salicylate hydroxylase in Aspergillus nidulans is associated with terbinafine resistance, through a putative mechanism of degrading the naphthalene ring in the antifungal, and the enzyme has not been previously linked to AMB tolerance (Graminha et al., 2004). However, terbinafine also induces intracellular ROS
accumulation in $A$. fumigatus (Shekhova et al., 2019). For both antifungal agents, exposure to terbinafine and AMB caused significantly higher levels of mitochondrial lipid oxidation than in unstressed mycelia (Shekhova et al., 2019). Therefore, in addition to naphthalene degradation, salicylate hydroxylase could potentially play a role in antifungal drug resistance through oxidative stress protection.

In comparison to our previous AMB GWAS study, there was no overlap seen between the top 20 SNPs of the two studies (Fan et al., 2020). The difference in results is most likely attributed to changes in sample size and selection criteria; our previous study focused on a clade-level (specifically Cluster 2) comprised of 33 strains, while our current study has expanded this sample-set to a total of 98 strains. Additionally, the software used for association analysis differed between our two studies, PLINK and TASSEL. Different GWAS software often produce dissimilar results, even when using the same input. This was seen in a recent $A$. fumigatus study, which followed up their GWAS analysis by focusing on overlapping SNPs between the two softwares (TASSEL and RoadTrips) as well as validation using knock-out mutants (Zhao et al., 2021). Therefore, confirmation of our resulting 20 SNPs putatively associated with AMB resistance, via additional experiments such as genetic crosses and gene replacements, is still needed.

Linkage disequilibrium analysis, conducted on the top 20 SNPs and the 277,669 SNPs of the soft-filtered VCF file, identified an additional 24 highly-linked $\left(R^{2}>0.85\right)$ variants among the 98 strains. Fisher's exact tests identified eight SNPs to be significantly associated with AMB resistance (Table 3.3). Five of the SNPs were intergenic variants and comprised of four SNPs in the intergenic region between AFUA_4G09240 and AFUA_4G09250, which both encode for uncharacterized proteins, and one intergenic variant between AFUA_5G00700 and AFUA_5G00710, encoding for an uncharacterized protein and a putative gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) permease, respectively. These intergenic variants could impact gene expressions of the surrounding genes and targeted RT-qPCR analyses could help confirm their effects. Two of the eight significantly associated SNPs were missense variants. The first missense variant is in $A F U A \_5 G 00710$ that encodes for a putative GABA permease and the second was found in $A F U A_{-} 5 G 09220$, encoding a BEACH (Beige and Chediak-Higashi) domain protein. The final SNP was a non-coding transcript variant in

AFUA_5G09320, which encodes for a putative signal transduction protein (Syg1) with plasma membrane localization. The non-coding mutation can also impact gene expression or function if located in elements such as enhancers, silencers, promoters or other regulatory roles.

Fisher's exact tests were also done on the 12 missense variants that were found in our previous study to be significantly associated with AMB resistance (Fan et al., 2020). Among these 12 SNPs, 6 were found to be significantly associated with AMB resistance using our current 98 -strain sample set and a Bonferroni-corrected p-value threshold of $1.39 \times 10^{-3}$ $(0.05 / 36)$. These missense variants were in three genes $\operatorname{tcs} B(\mathrm{n}=3)$, mpteC $(\mathrm{n}=2)$, and $\operatorname{cat} A(\mathrm{n}=1)$. As mentioned in the previous study, genes $t c s B$ and $m p k C$ are involved in the high-osmolarity glycerol (HOG) mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling pathway; encoding for a sensor histidine kinase and mitogen-activated protein kinase, respectively (Fan et al., 2020). The third gene $\operatorname{cat} A$, which encodes for a catalase, was also included due to its role in the ROSdetoxifying system (Fan et al., 2020). Missense variants in these genes were examined because of their involvement in oxidative stress response pathways and thus being potentially involved in AMB resistance through protection against oxidative stress. However, the molecular roles of these specific genes in AMB resistance remain unknown.

In this study, we used a genetic cross to validate the association between five significantly associated SNPs against AMB resistance and growth under various AMB concentrations. Interestingly, using the 143 progeny strains, Chi-square tests found no statistically significant differences between the MIC groups in their parental allele distributions (Table 3.6). However, Welch's t-tests revealed a significant difference in fungal growths at an AMB concentration of $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ between alleles at SNP site 5 . In addition, we found significant interactions between SNP sites influencing progeny growths at various AMB concentrations. Specifically, six of the 10 SNP combinations showed significant interaction effects for growths in at least one of the AMB concentrations (Figure 3.6). In several instances, progeny with allele combinations from one parent showed more robust growth than those from a different parent. This can be seen in the combination of SNP 5 \& 1, where progeny that inherited the CM11 genotype at both SNP sites had a higher mean fungal growth ratio at $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ than progeny that inherited both genotypes from AFB61-2 (Figure 3.6A). In other combinations, progeny with recombinant genotypes showed more growths than those with parental genotypes (Figures
3.6B - 3.6D). Examples of this type include combinations of SNP 5 \& 2, SNP $5 \& 3$, and SNP $5 \& 4$, where progeny that inherited the CM11 allele at SNP 5 and the AFB62-1 allele at the second SNP site (SNP 2, SNP 3, and SNP 4, respectively) had a higher fungal growth than others (Figure 3.6B-3.6D). This interaction pattern was also seen after combining SNP sites showing significant linkage disequilibrium (Figures 3.7A). Together, these results revealed that progeny growths in various AMB concentrations were influenced by different but sometimes overlapping SNP combinations. Furthermore, both parental and recombinant genotypes showed positive associations with growths at different AMB concentrations. The results are consistent with the two parental strains being genetically very different and with complementary alleles at different SNP loci related to growths at different AMB concentrations.

Among these five SNP sites, SNP 1 was an intergenic variant between AFUA_5G00700 and $A F U A \_5 G 00710$, which encodes for an uncharacterized protein and a putative GABA permease, respectively. GABA permeases serves as gamma-aminobutyrate transporter proteins and are involved in the utilization of GABA as a nitrogen and carbon source (Kumar and Punekar, 1997). SNP 2 was an intergenic variant found between AFUA_5G09190 and AFUA_5G09200. The gene $A F U A \_5 G 09190$ encodes a putative ABC bile acid transporter, part of the ABC transporter superfamily with many members involved in antifungal drug resistance, while $A F U A \_5 G 09200$ encodes a putative ubiquitin conjugating enzyme, UbcC. Ubiquitin conjugating enzymes are responsible for ubiquitination or ubiquitin-like modifications of proteins, which play a role in many biological processes (Michelle et al., 2009). The next variant, SNP 3, was a missense mutation in $A F U A \_5 G 09220$, a BEACH domain protein sequence. Their exact biological function remains largely unknown, however, BEACH domain proteins have been implicated in membrane dynamics, vesicular transport, and receptor signaling (Jogl et al., 2002). SNP 4 was a missense variant in AFUA_5G09320, encoding a putative signal transduction protein (Syg1) with plasma membrane localization. Although the protein's function is not clear, Syg1 is predicted to be involved in phosphate homeostasis and mediate phosphate export due to its similarity to the mammalian phosphate exporter Xpr1 (Lev et al., 2019). The final variant site, SNP 5, was an intergenic variant located between $A F U A \_6 G 07160$ and $A F U A \_6 G 07170$, encoding for a putative IZH family channel
protein (Izh3) and an uncharacterized protein, respectively. The IZH family consists of membrane proteins with involvement in zinc homeostasis (Lyons et al., 2004). These genes are regulated by exogenous fatty acids, suggesting a role in lipid metabolism, and have been proposed to affect zinc homeostasis by altering sterol metabolism (Lyons et al., 2004). Interestingly, in a previous study on Saccharomyces cerevisiae, ǐ̧h3 deletion mutants were more resistant to AMB than the wild-type strain (Serhan et al., 2014). Furthermore, AMB had no significant effect on ROS production in the deletion mutants but was significantly induced in the wild-type strain (Serhan et al., 2014).

In recent years, the advancement in medical technology and increased usage of immunosuppressive agents has led to an expanding population of immunocompromised as well as a rising incidence of invasive mycoses like aspergillosis. With the recommendation for a shift to AMB use in first-line invasive aspergillosis treatment where triazole resistance rates exceed $10 \%$, the emerging problem of widespread AMB resistance and reports of high resistance rates - $27 \%$ in Campinas, Brazil and $96.4 \%$ in Hamilton, Canada - is becoming a major public health concern (Verweij et al., 2015; Ashu et al., 2018; Reichert-Lima et al., 2018). This study has identified a total of 34 SNP candidates putatively associated with AMB susceptibility and has highlighted the importance of SNP-SNP interactions in AMB tolerance for 5 of these SNPs. The variants and genomic regions we have identified in this study provide promising candidates for future studies exploring molecular mechanisms for AMB resistance in A. fumigatus and for further functional analysis. Furthermore, these candidates can help to accelerate the selection of prospective gene markers for AMB resistance screening to prevent treatment failure.
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### 3.8. Supplemental Materials

Table S3.1. Information on the 98 A. fumigatus strains analyzed in this study. These 98 strains have whole-genome sequences and Amphotericin B MIC values.



| CM21 | SRR12894203 | SRS7582164 |  | Canada | Environmental | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CM65 | SRR12894200 | SRS7582166 |  | Canada | Environmental | 4 |  |
| P80 | SRR12894199 | SRS7582167 |  | Canada | Environmental | 4 |  |
| 15-1 | SRR12894198 | SRS7582170 |  | Canada | Clinical | 4 |  |
| AV88 | SRR12894197 | SRS7582168 |  | Canada | Environmental | 4 |  |
| 15-33 | SRR12894196 | SRS7582169 |  | Canada | Clinical | 4 |  |
| P20 | SRR12894195 | SRS7582171 |  | Canada | Environmental | 4 |  |
| CM38 | SRR12894194 | SRS7582173 |  | Canada | Environmental | 4 |  |
| CM11 | SRR12894193 | SRS7582172 |  | Canada | Environmental | 8 |  |
| AFB62-1 | SRR12894202 | SRS7582163 |  | United States | Clinical | 4 |  |
| AFIR928 | SRR12894201 | SRS7582165 |  | Ireland | Environmental | 4 |  |
| IFM 46074 | SRR11977812 | SRS6816741 |  | Japan | Clinical | 0.5 |  |
| IFM 48051 | SRR11977855 | SRS6816698 |  | Japan | Clinical | 1 |  |
| IFM 49896 | SRR11977853 | SRS6816700 |  | Japan | Clinical | 1 |  |
| IFM 50230 | SRR11977852 | SRS6816701 |  | Japan | Clinical | 1 |  |
| IFM 50916 | SRR11977849 | SRS6816704 |  | Japan | Clinical | 1 |  |
| IFM 50997 | SRR11977847 | SRS6816706 |  | Japan | Clinical | 1 |  |
| IFM 50999 | SRR11977846 | SRS6816707 |  | Japan | Clinical | 2 |  |
| IFM 51357 | SRR11977844 | SRS6816709 | PRJNA638646 | Japan | Clinical | 2 | 10.1016/j.jiac.2015.01.005 |
| IFM 51505 | SRR11977842 | SRS6816711 |  | Japan | Clinical | 0.5 |  |
| IFM 51746 | SRR11977841 | SRS6816712 |  | Japan | Clinical | 2 |  |
| IFM 51977 | SRR11977839 | SRS6816714 |  | Japan | Clinical | 1 |  |
| IFM 51978 | SRR11977838 | SRS6816715 |  | Japan | Clinical | 0.5 |  |
| IFM 55369 | SRR11977835 | SRS6816718 |  | Japan | Clinical | 1 |  |
| IFM 59073 | SRR11977828 | SRS6816725 |  | Japan | Clinical | 2 |  |
| IFM 59359 | SRR11977826 | SRS6816727 |  | Japan | Clinical | 2 |  |
| CAPA-A | SRR12949929 | SRS7620879 |  | Germany | Clinical | 2 to 4 * |  |
| CAPA-B | SRR12949928 | SRS7620880 |  | Germany | Clinical | 2 |  |
| CAPA-C | SRR12949927 | SRS7620882 |  | Germany | Clinical | 2 |  |
| CAPA-D | SRR12949926 | SRS7620881 |  | Germany | Clinical | 2 |  |

[^2]Table S3.2. Amphotericin B susceptibility and genotype information for the two parental and their 143 progeny strains.

| Strain ID | $\begin{gathered} \text { AMB } \\ \text { MIC } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ | Mean Ratio of Fungal Growth in varying AMB Concentrations |  |  |  |  | Genotype at five SNP sites (AFB62-1 = 1, CM11 = 2) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.25 \\ \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.50 \\ \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.00 \\ \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.00 \\ \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4.00 \\ \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SNP } \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SNP } \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SNP } \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SNP } \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SNP } \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| AFB62-1 | 4 | 0.651 | 0.529 | 0.444 | 0.296 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| CM11 | 8 | 0.773 | 0.672 | 0.418 | 0.338 | 0.006 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 1 | 4 | 0.752 | 0.605 | 0.494 | 0.007 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 4 | 0.975 | 1.296 | 0.585 | 0.216 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 4 | 0.799 | 0.681 | 0.668 | 0.187 | NA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 4 | 0.597 | 0.575 | 0.549 | 0.300 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 5 | 4 | 0.591 | 0.439 | 0.383 | 0.127 | NA | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 6 | 4 | 0.612 | 0.646 | 0.613 | 0.086 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | 8 | 0.938 | 0.772 | 0.578 | 0.523 | 0.193 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 8 | 4 | 0.893 | 0.770 | 0.714 | 0.559 | NA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 9 | 4 | 0.956 | 0.791 | 0.825 | 0.588 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 10 | 4 | 0.777 | 0.705 | 0.575 | 0.212 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 11 | 4 | 0.836 | 0.714 | 0.637 | 0.192 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | 4 | 0.835 | 0.586 | 0.584 | 0.106 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 13 | 4 | 0.781 | 0.501 | 0.389 | 0.485 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 14 | 4 | 1.059 | 0.943 | 0.878 | 0.507 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 15 | 2 | 0.712 | 0.606 | 0.369 | NA | NA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 16 | 4 | 0.719 | 0.617 | 0.561 | 0.119 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 17 | 8 | 1.140 | 1.049 | 1.206 | 0.684 | 0.020 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 18 | 4 | 0.859 | 0.531 | 0.422 | 0.188 | NA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 19 | 4 | 0.916 | 0.807 | 0.780 | 0.432 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 20 | 4 | 0.779 | 0.800 | 0.827 | 0.583 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 21 | 4 | 0.874 | 0.742 | 0.821 | 0.699 | NA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 22 | 4 | 0.821 | 0.602 | 0.559 | 0.362 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 23 | 4 | 0.849 | 0.500 | 0.441 | 0.286 | NA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 24 | 4 | 1.327 | 0.836 | 1.024 | 0.295 | NA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 25 | 4 | 0.847 | 0.912 | 1.126 | 0.148 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 26 | 4 | 0.782 | 0.811 | 1.227 | 0.085 | NA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 27 | 4 | 0.828 | 0.598 | 0.905 | 0.011 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 28 | 4 | 1.381 | 1.113 | 0.956 | 0.689 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 29 | 4 | 0.780 | 0.712 | 1.004 | 0.166 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 30 | 2 | 0.783 | 0.706 | 0.504 | NA | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 31 | 2 | 0.626 | 0.426 | 0.304 | NA | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |


| 32 | 4 | 0.898 | 0.766 | 1.068 | 0.414 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33 | 4 | 0.616 | 0.386 | 0.378 | 0.193 | NA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 34 | 4 | 0.547 | 0.310 | 0.205 | 0.053 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 35 | 4 | 0.755 | 0.666 | 0.483 | 0.124 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 36 | 4 | 0.660 | 0.730 | 0.832 | 0.530 | NA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 37 | 4 | 0.977 | 0.826 | 0.740 | 0.557 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 38 | 4 | 0.795 | 0.400 | 0.383 | 0.250 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 39 | 4 | 0.833 | 0.810 | 0.577 | 0.087 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 40 | 4 | 1.006 | 0.731 | 0.637 | 0.427 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 41 | 4 | 0.868 | 0.797 | 0.676 | 0.252 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 42 | 4 | 0.788 | 0.612 | 0.484 | 0.357 | NA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 43 | 4 | 0.750 | 0.663 | 0.577 | 0.319 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 44 | 8 | 0.896 | 0.810 | 0.809 | 0.733 | 0.008 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 45 | 4 | 0.678 | 0.417 | 0.277 | 0.179 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 46 | 4 | 0.712 | 0.636 | 0.505 | 0.286 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 47 | 4 | 0.838 | 0.778 | 0.625 | 0.292 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 48 | 4 | 0.718 | 0.537 | 0.423 | 0.415 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 49 | 4 | 0.652 | 0.394 | 0.278 | 0.170 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 50 | 4 | 0.698 | 0.461 | 0.401 | 0.077 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 51 | 8 | 0.864 | 0.842 | 0.783 | 0.645 | 0.247 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 52 | 8 | 0.682 | 0.715 | 0.586 | 0.616 | 0.088 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 53 | 8 | 0.445 | 0.484 | 0.398 | 0.459 | 0.040 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 54 | 4 | 0.741 | 0.487 | 0.484 | 0.238 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 55 | 8 | 0.831 | 0.837 | 0.753 | 0.566 | 0.005 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 56 | 4 | 0.736 | 0.744 | 0.746 | 0.359 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 57 | 4 | 0.910 | 0.758 | 0.444 | 0.276 | NA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 58 | 4 | 1.077 | 0.719 | 0.767 | 0.128 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 59 | 4 | 0.851 | 0.592 | 0.573 | 0.495 | NA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 60 | 4 | 1.046 | 0.866 | 0.470 | 0.229 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 61 | 8 | 0.923 | 0.903 | 0.855 | 0.733 | 0.074 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 62 | 4 | 0.692 | 0.703 | 0.682 | 0.469 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 63 | 4 | 0.906 | 0.488 | 0.598 | 0.476 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 64 | 4 | 0.739 | 0.594 | 0.460 | 0.287 | NA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 65 | 8 | 1.566 | 1.091 | 0.722 | 0.672 | 0.099 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 66 | 4 | 1.558 | 1.540 | 1.218 | 0.528 | NA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 67 | 4 | 0.844 | 0.861 | 0.837 | 0.653 | NA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 68 | 4 | 0.789 | 0.746 | 0.748 | 0.481 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |


| 69 | 4 | 0.955 | 1.408 | 0.633 | 0.077 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70 | 8 | 0.618 | 0.690 | 0.682 | 0.419 | 0.004 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 71 | 4 | 0.888 | 0.899 | 0.907 | 0.578 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 72 | 4 | 1.759 | 0.762 | 0.822 | 0.240 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 73 | 4 | 1.171 | 1.245 | 0.758 | 0.072 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 74 | 4 | 0.655 | 0.735 | 0.724 | 0.283 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 75 | 4 | 0.749 | 0.794 | 0.707 | 0.572 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 76 | 4 | 0.928 | 0.832 | 0.814 | 0.549 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 77 | 4 | 0.612 | 0.698 | 0.567 | 0.017 | NA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 78 | 8 | 0.594 | 0.645 | 0.740 | 0.307 | 0.017 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 79 | 4 | 0.771 | 0.802 | 0.474 | 0.131 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 80 | 2 | 0.638 | 0.570 | 0.387 | NA | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 81 | 4 | 0.690 | 0.585 | 0.486 | 0.455 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 82 | 4 | 0.877 | 1.020 | 0.691 | 0.389 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 83 | 4 | 1.179 | 0.653 | 0.804 | 0.384 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 84 | 4 | 0.765 | 0.517 | 0.549 | 0.317 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 85 | 4 | 0.753 | 0.675 | 0.613 | 0.198 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 86 | 4 | 0.672 | 0.549 | 0.416 | 0.156 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 87 | 4 | 0.737 | 0.668 | 0.848 | 0.330 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 88 | 4 | 0.833 | 0.796 | 0.482 | 0.291 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 89 | 4 | 0.819 | 0.618 | 0.508 | 0.375 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 90 | 4 | 0.697 | 0.736 | 0.762 | 0.305 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 91 | 4 | 0.816 | 0.708 | 0.575 | 0.252 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 92 | 4 | 0.563 | 0.526 | 0.442 | 0.171 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 93 | 8 | 0.847 | 0.742 | 0.714 | 0.378 | 0.241 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 94 | 8 | 0.822 | 0.779 | 0.446 | 0.492 | 0.081 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 95 | 8 | 0.695 | 0.486 | 0.503 | 0.265 | 0.066 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 96 | 4 | 0.862 | 0.774 | 0.645 | 0.250 | NA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 97 | 4 | 0.808 | 0.659 | 0.637 | 0.166 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 98 | 4 | 0.896 | 0.827 | 0.596 | 0.496 | NA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 99 | 4 | 0.695 | 0.863 | 0.704 | 0.125 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 100 | 4 | 0.718 | 0.683 | 0.700 | 0.494 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 101 | 4 | 0.790 | 0.637 | 0.588 | 0.231 | NA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 102 | 4 | 0.774 | 0.974 | 0.803 | 0.562 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 103 | 4 | 0.854 | 0.764 | 0.572 | 0.453 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 104 | 4 | 0.739 | 0.769 | 0.489 | 0.409 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 105 | 4 | 0.965 | 0.773 | 0.704 | 0.406 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |


| 106 | 4 | 0.849 | 0.684 | 0.870 | 0.453 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 107 | 4 | 0.603 | 0.491 | 0.388 | 0.268 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 108 | 4 | 0.903 | 0.480 | 0.556 | 0.659 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 109 | 4 | 0.732 | 0.670 | 0.641 | 0.653 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 110 | 4 | 0.783 | 0.748 | 0.719 | 0.926 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 111 | 4 | 0.787 | 0.745 | 0.518 | 0.405 | NA | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 112 | 4 | 0.822 | 0.694 | 0.716 | 0.392 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 113 | 4 | 0.699 | 0.407 | 0.444 | 0.251 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 114 | 4 | 0.703 | 0.660 | 0.532 | 0.329 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 115 | 4 | 0.875 | 0.536 | 0.390 | 0.257 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 116 | 4 | 1.157 | 0.928 | 0.790 | 0.607 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 117 | 4 | 0.822 | 0.618 | 0.519 | 0.391 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 118 | 4 | 0.669 | 0.483 | 0.418 | 0.217 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 119 | 4 | 0.742 | 0.911 | 0.536 | 0.288 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 120 | 4 | 0.865 | 1.054 | 0.863 | 0.588 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 121 | 4 | 0.857 | 1.831 | 0.900 | 0.883 | NA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 122 | 4 | 0.679 | 0.590 | 0.325 | 0.333 | NA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 123 | 4 | 1.350 | 0.915 | 0.768 | 0.282 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 124 | 4 | 0.684 | 0.524 | 0.507 | 0.287 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 125 | 4 | 1.015 | 0.866 | 0.748 | 0.498 | NA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 126 | 4 | 1.662 | 0.815 | 0.677 | 0.198 | NA | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 127 | 4 | 1.442 | 0.887 | 0.450 | 0.266 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 128 | 8 | 0.879 | 0.763 | 0.680 | 0.345 | 0.116 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 129 | 8 | 0.789 | 0.566 | 0.422 | 0.467 | 0.351 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 130 | 4 | 0.625 | 0.638 | 0.599 | 0.522 | NA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 131 | 4 | 0.668 | 0.697 | 0.507 | 0.442 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 132 | 8 | 0.756 | 0.889 | 1.224 | 0.827 | 0.124 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 133 | 4 | 0.849 | 0.701 | 0.506 | 0.414 | NA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 134 | 4 | 0.562 | 0.610 | 0.445 | 0.445 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 135 | 4 | 0.694 | 0.541 | 0.557 | 0.391 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 136 | 4 | 0.688 | 0.646 | 0.587 | 0.400 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 137 | 8 | 0.643 | 0.513 | 0.491 | 0.521 | 0.007 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 138 | 8 | 0.700 | 0.522 | 0.414 | 0.286 | 0.006 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 139 | 4 | 0.661 | 0.517 | 0.439 | 0.439 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 140 | 4 | 0.680 | 0.435 | 0.389 | 0.395 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 141 | 4 | 0.667 | 0.513 | 0.469 | 0.403 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 142 | 4 | 0.889 | 0.611 | 0.596 | 0.631 | NA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

143
NA $=$ Not applicable

Table S3.3. Fisher's exact test p -values of the pairwise single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) combinations and Amphotericin B MIC groups in the progeny strains.

|  | MIC $\leq \mathbf{4} \mathbf{~ m g / L}$ |  |  |  | MIC $=8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  |  | Fisher's Exact Tests (p-values) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alleles } \\ & 1 \& 1 \end{aligned}$ | Alleles $1 \& 2$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Alleles } \\ 2 \& 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Alleles } \\ 2 \& 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alleles } \\ & 1 \& 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alleles } \\ & 1 \& 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Alleles } \\ 2 \& 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Alleles } \\ 2 \& 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \& 1 \\ \text { vs } \\ 1 \& 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \& 1 \\ \text { vs } \\ 2 \& 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \& 1 \\ \text { vs } \\ 2 \& 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \& 2 \\ \text { vs } \\ 2 \& 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \& 2 \\ \text { vs } \\ 2 \& 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \& 1 \\ \text { vs } \\ 2 \& 2 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SNP } 5 \\ \& 4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 32 | 24 | 32 | 36 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.76 | 1.00 | 0.73 | 0.75 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SNP } 5 \\ \& 3 \end{gathered}$ | 30 | 26 | 31 | 37 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.75 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.75 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SNP } 5 \\ \& 2 \end{gathered}$ | 29 | 27 | 31 | 37 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 1.00 | 0.71 | 0.54 | 0.70 | 0.75 | 0.33 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SNP } 5 \\ \& 1 \end{gathered}$ | 29 | 27 | 35 | 33 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 0.26 | 0.46 | 0.29 | 0.53 | 0.76 | 0.76 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SNP } 4 \\ \& 3 \end{gathered}$ | 59 | 5 | 2 | 58 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0.56 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SNP } 4 \\ \& 2 \end{gathered}$ | 57 | 7 | 3 | 57 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0.31 | 1.00 | 0.61 | 1.00 | 0.63 | 1.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SNP } 4 \\ \& 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 33 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 0.48 | 0.71 | 0.31 | 0.74 | 1.00 | 0.73 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SNP } 3 \\ \& 2 \end{gathered}$ | 59 | 2 | 1 | 62 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0.31 | 1.00 | 0.46 | 1.00 | 0.41 | 1.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SNP } 3 \\ \& 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 34 | 27 | 30 | 33 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 0.46 | 0.70 | 0.31 | 0.73 | 1.00 | 0.53 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SNP } 2 \\ \& 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 33 | 27 | 31 | 33 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 0.70 | 0.71 | 0.20 | 1.00 | 0.54 | 0.37 |

Allele 1 = AFB62-1, Allele $2=$ CM11


Figure S3.1. Welch's t-test p-values for the 10 pairwise SNP combinations in their associations with fungal growths at different amphotericin $B$ concentrations.

## Chapter 4

## General Conclusion

### 4.1. Conclusion

Overall, my thesis work investigated and expanded on the set of putative mutations associated with antifungal resistance in $A$. fumigatus. In Chapter 2, the focus was placed on studying itraconazole and voriconazole resistance. Fisher's exact tests were conducted to examine potential associations between triazole resistance in our sample set with 22 known mutation sites and with SNPs in or near 37 genes overexpressed with triazole exposure. Using both MIC thresholds ( $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ and $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) as well as sub-sample subsets, a total of 1 known mutation site $(\mathrm{L} 98 \mathrm{H})$ and 64 SNPs in or near the overexpressed genes were found linked to triazole resistance. Stepwise GWAS analyses were also conducted to find novel mutations, which determined that well-characterized and canonical mutation sites did not fully account for the triazole resistance observed in our strain set. The GWAS results found 6 and 18 novel missense variants to be significantly associated with itraconazole and voriconazole resistance, respectively. In addition, linkage disequilibrium analysis was used to identify highly-linked missense variants to the top 20 SNPs obtained from the GWAS analyses, resulting in an additional 26 missense variants of interest. Similar to the previous analyses, a stepwise analysis was then conducted using Fisher's exact tests and sub-sample sets to investigate missense variants significantly associated with itraconazole and pan-azole resistance. The tests found a total of six missense variants to be significantly associated with triazole resistance, of which two were consistently associated with pan-azole resistance in the three sub-sample sets.

In Chapter 3, mutations and SNP-SNP interactions associated with the emerging occurrence of AMB tolerance in A. fumigatus was investigated through a GWAS and laboratory cross. The top 20 SNPs were identified from the GWAS results, of which six were missense variants. Linkage disequilibrium was conducted on the top 20 SNPs to identify an
additional 24 highly-linked SNP sites. Furthermore, Fisher's exact tests at these linked SNP sites determined eight variants to be significantly associated with AMB resistance. Fisher's exact tests were also conducted on 12 missense variants that were found in our previous AMB study, which used smaller sample set of 71 strains and focused on a clade-level, to be significantly associated with AMB resistance (Fan et al., 2020). Among these 12 missense variants and using our current expanded sample set, 6 were found to be significantly associated with resistance. Subsequently, a laboratory cross was conducted to generate 143 progeny strains. To validate the association between mutations and AMB resistance, five SNPs were investigated in these progeny strains - two SNPs were chosen out of the top 20 SNPs of GWAS results and three SNPs from the 8 highly-linked SNPs. Using these five SNP sites and the 143 progeny strains, we determined that epistatic interactions were associated with strain growth in various AMB concentrations and emphasized the fact that SNP-SNP interactions can also have a significant effect on AMB tolerance.

In terms of future research and next steps, further experimental validation (e.g., SNP replacement) of the mutations found in our studies to be significantly associated with triazole resistance and with AMB resistance should be conducted. In addition, many of the identified mutations were non-coding. These variants could regulate gene expression via mechanisms such as modification of important regulatory elements (e.g., enhancer and promoter regions) or disrupt binding sites for transcription factors. Therefore, gene expression analyses (e.g., RT-qPCR) of these novel candidate genes as well as neighbouring genes would aid in investigating their effects and determining underlying regulatory events. In Chapter 3, we also determined the potential importance of epistasis effects on fungal growth in various concentrations of AMB between five candidate SNP sites associated with resistance.

Therefore, expanding the approach to performing gene set analysis (GSA) of our GWAS data would aid in determining SNP sets impacting AMB tolerance.

In recent years, triazole resistant $A$. fumigatus isolates without mutations in $\mathrm{c} p p 51 A$ or in its promoter region have been increasingly prevalent (Camps et al., 2012). A previous study in Manchester, UK had reported that 54\% of patients with triazole resistant strains in 2009 did not have Cyp51A-mediated resistance mechanisms (Bueid et al., 2010). Emergence of AMB resistance in $A$. fumigatus has also complicated the problem of medical management
and treatment. The mechanisms of AMB resistance in $A$. fumigatus are also not well understood. With increasing reports of invasive aspergillosis, as demonstrated in a France study that found a $4.4 \%$ yearly increase per year from 2001 to 2010, determining the underlying mechanisms for antifungal resistance is becoming more essential for treatment (Bitar et al., 2014). It's been demonstrated that despite other evidence of $A$. fumigatus infection (such as microscopy and the presence of species-specific antigens), the recovery of A. fumigatus live culture from infection sites is often low and can vary significantly among patient groups (Zhao et al., 2016). Moreover, invasive procedures are often required to obtain live culture for analyses but that such procedures are not ideal for critically ill patients (Patterson \& Donnelly, 2019). Thus, there has been significant interest towards using nonculture-based diagnostics that can help provide an early diagnosis for drug susceptibility and allow for prompt initiation of the appropriate therapy treatment (Patterson \& Donnelly, 2019). Our findings will help develop molecular markers for detection of non-Cyp51A associated triazole resistance and of AMB resistance in clinical $A$. fumigatus strains, with the goal of improving patient outcome.
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[^0]:    * Statistically significant association between SNP and antifungal resistance.

[^1]:    * Statistically significant association between SNP and antifungal resistance are highlighted in red

[^2]:    * The lower numeric value of a reported MIC range was used in the GWAS

