Exposure to Wastewater Effluent Disrupts Hypoxia Responses in Killifish (*Fundulus heteroclitus*)
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Abstract

Hypoxia (low oxygen) often occurs in aquatic ecosystems that receive effluent from municipal wastewater treatment plants (WWTP). The combination of hypoxia and WWTP effluent could impair fish health, because WWTP effluent contains multiple contaminants that could disrupt the physiological pathways fish use to cope with hypoxia, but the interactive effects of these stressors on fish physiology are poorly understood. We have examined this issue by exposing mummichog killifish (*Fundulus heteroclitus*) to hypoxia (5 and 2 kPa \(O_2\)) and/or 100% WWTP effluent for 21 days in a full factorial design. We then measured hypoxia tolerance, whole-animal metabolism, gill morphology, haematology, and tissue metabolites. In clean water, killifish responded to chronic hypoxia with improvements in hypoxia tolerance, as reflected by increases in time to loss of equilibrium at 0.5 kPa \(t_{LOE}\). These improvements occurred in association with increases in the exposed surface of gill lamellae that resulted from a regression of interlamellar cell mass (ILCM). Concurrent exposure to wastewater attenuated the increases in \(t_{LOE}\) and gill remodeling in chronic hypoxia, and nearly depleted brain glycogen stores. Therefore, exposure to WWTP effluent can disrupt the physiological mechanisms fish use to cope with chronic hypoxia and impair hypoxia tolerance. Our research suggests that the combination of stressors near WWTPs can have interactive effects on the physiology and health of fish.
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Capsule

- Combinations of stressors near wastewater treatment plants can have interactive effects on metabolism, low oxygen tolerance, and health of fish.
1. Introduction

Environmental hypoxia (low oxygen availability), is a common feature of many aquatic ecosystems, and it can occur as a result of natural causes as well as from anthropogenic pollution (Diaz, 2001; Friedrich et al., 2014). Many tide pools, estuarine habitats, stratified or ice-covered lakes and ponds are characterized by naturally low or fluctuating oxygen levels (Diaz and Rosenberg, 2008). In some other cases, the depletion of dissolved oxygen can be linked to eutrophication events due to nutrient loading from fisheries, agricultural run-off, or discharge from wastewater treatment plants that leads to the proliferation of primary producers (Breitburg et al., 2009; Brooks et al., 2006; Carey and Migliaccio, 2009; Diaz, 2001; Diaz and Rosenberg, 2008; Friedrich et al., 2014). The occurrence of such hypoxic events is predicted to increase with further progression of climate change, urbanization, and pollution (Friedrich et al., 2014).

Fish exhibit a suite of behavioural, physiological, and biochemical responses to hypoxia that contribute to maintaining the balance between oxygen supply and demand and to thus cope with low oxygen conditions (Bickler and Buck, 2007; Perry et al., 2009; Pollock et al., 2007; Richards, 2011). Such responses have been well described in the literature and often act to improve \(O_2\) extraction from the environment (e.g., by increasing gill ventilation and surface area), reduce activity and metabolism, and/or increase anaerobic energy production (Borowiec et al., 2015; Kramer and McClure, 1982; Perry and Tzaneva, 2016; Regan et al., 2017; Sollid et al., 2003). However, hypoxia often occurs concurrent with other environmental stressors, including fluctuations in temperature and/or salinity or pollution (Friedrich et al., 2014). The potential interactions between hypoxia and many other types of stressors are poorly understood, and whether anthropogenic stressors can disrupt the natural adaptive responses to hypoxia remains unresolved.

Effluents discharged from municipal wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) are complex contaminant mixtures that can contain excess nutrients, pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs), and industrial chemicals (Brooks et al., 2006). The investigation of these contaminants of emerging concern (CECs) are necessary due to their increasing usage and potential for having significant effects on fish and other aquatic life (Corcoran et al., 2010; Kolpin et al., 2002; Metcalfè et al., 2003; Zenker et al., 2014). Exposure to WWTP effluent can have a number of physiological effects on fish, having been shown to disrupt reproductive function (Bahamonde et al., 2015) and metabolic rate (Du et al., 2019, 2018; Mehdi et al., 2018). Hypoxia exposure is also known to affect metabolic rate and its underlying physiological determinants (Fu
et al., 2011; Hochachka et al., 1996; Richards et al., 2009). The eutrophication of aquatic environments, including wastewater dominated ecosystems, can reduce oxygen levels and potentially lead to hypoxic conditions, but the effects of combined exposure to wastewater effluent and hypoxia are largely unknown.

Given the molecular targets of many pharmaceuticals and other contaminants found in WWTP effluents, exposure to these effluents could disrupt the physiological pathways fish use to cope with hypoxia. For example, the pharmaceuticals in wastewater have molecular targets involved in oxygen sensing (e.g., serotonin reuptake inhibitors), homeostatic regulation by the sympathetic nervous system (e.g., beta blockers), and control of metabolic pathways (e.g., anti-diabetics, lipid lowering drugs) (Arlos et al., 2015; Corcoran et al., 2010; Kolpin et al., 2002; Metcalfe, 2013; Metcalfe et al., 2003). Indeed, exposure to the serotonin reuptake inhibitor, fluoxetine, has been shown to attenuate the cardiovascular and ventilatory responses to hypoxia in Gulf toadfish (Opsanus beta) (Panlilio et al., 2016). Several industrial contaminants (e.g., polychlorinated biphenyls, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons) may also disrupt hypoxia signaling pathways that underlie the physiological adjustments to chronic hypoxia, such as the hypoxia inducible factor (HIF) pathway (Chan et al., 1999; Kraemer and Schulte, 2004; Vorrink and Domann, 2014; Silva et al., 2017). However, it is largely unknown whether the concentrations of these contaminants in wastewater effluent is sufficient to disrupt the ability of fish to respond and cope with hypoxia.

The objective of this study was to help address these knowledge gaps in the literature by determining whether exposure to WWTP effluent disrupts the adaptive physiological responses of mummichog killifish (Fundulus heteroclitus) to hypoxia. We examined the effects of chronic exposure to hypoxia and/or municipal wastewater effluent in a full factorial design on metabolism, hypoxia tolerance, and several underlying respiratory and metabolic traits. Killifish are an ideal model organism for this study as they naturally experience hypoxia and fluctuations in various other environmental conditions in their estuarine habitat (Burnett et al., 2007). This species can also be found in heavily polluted estuarine environments containing high levels of persistent organic pollutants, including dioxins, polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and heavy metals, and some wild populations from such environments have evolved exceptional tolerance of pollution exposure (Bugel et al., 2010; Reid et al., 2016; Weis
and Weis, 1989). We hypothesized that the response of killifish to chronic hypoxia would be disrupted by exposure to municipal wastewater, such that fish would be less tolerant of hypoxia.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Study animals and housing

Adult mummichog killifish (*Fundulus heteroclitus*) were wild caught by a commercial supplier (Aquatic Research Organisms, Hampton, New Hampshire, USA) and shipped to McMaster University in Hamilton, Ontario, Canada in the autumn of 2018. Killifish were kept in well-aerated brackish water (4 ppt), produced by mixing artificial sea salt (Reef Pro Mix Redline Complete Sea Salt, Fritz Aquatics, Mesquite, Texas) with dechlorinated City of Hamilton tap water. Holding tanks were kept at room temperature (~18°C), and killifish were fed 5 days a week to satiation with commercial pellets (AgloNorse Complete Fish Feed, 0.6-0.9 mm, Tromsø, Norway). The photoperiod was 12 h:12 h light:dark between 0700 and 1900 local time. All animal protocols were developed in accordance with guidelines established by the Canadian Council on Animal Care and were approved by the McMaster University Animal Research Ethics Board.

2.2 Wastewater effluent collection

Wastewater effluent was collected from Woodward Avenue Wastewater Treatment Plant (43°15'15.6"N; 79°46'25.4"W) in Hamilton, Ontario in March-May of 2019. Woodward WWTP is a secondary conventional activated sludge treatment plant with sludge dewatering and digestion and has a maximum daily capacity of 409 million litres (City of Hamilton, 2019). Effluent was collected every Tuesday and Saturday during the exposure period between the hours of 0930 and 1130 local time. Using a submersible sump-pump, wastewater effluent from the final clarifier chimney was collected into 20 L carboys (Reliance Rectangular AquaPak Containers, BPA Free) for transportation to McMaster University. Effluent was stored in a dark and refrigerated cold room at 4°C for at most three days before use in chronic exposures (see below). We obtained water quality data of 24 h composite samples from each day of effluent collection from the City of Hamilton Environmental Laboratory (Figs. S1-3). For each effluent collection, we also preserved a sample of effluent (500 mL) in pre-cleaned amber glass bottles containing sodium azide (1 g/L) and ascorbic acid (50 mg/L) and stored these samples at 4°C for up to 2 days until extractions for
analytical chemistry, and there were no systematic differences between treatment groups in the
duration of storage before extraction.

2.3 Chronic exposures of fish

Killifish were exposed to wastewater and/or hypoxia in a full factorial design in 40 L
aquaria for 21 days at room temperature (~18°C). Conditions of normoxia (well aerated to ~20
kPa O₂), moderate hypoxia (5.0 ± 0.1 kPa), or severe hypoxia (2.0 ± 0.1 kPa) were maintained in
clean water (Hamilton dechlorinated tap water adjusted to 4 ppt with artificial sea salt) or in 100%
wastewater effluent. Each of these 6 chronic exposure groups was replicated 2-3 times over the
period of wastewater collection (24 fish per tank). Wastewater effluent was brought up to room
temperature and 4 ppt salinity immediately before use. For hypoxic treatments, oxygen tension
(PO₂) was reduced from 20 kPa to the treatment setpoint over the first three days of exposure, and
was maintained by injecting N₂ gas using O₂ controllers that we have previously described
(Borowiec et al., 2015). Bubble wrap was held on the water surface in the hypoxic treatments to
reduce O₂ diffusion from the air and to prevent aquatic surface respiration. Water was gently mixed
with a submersible aquarium pump and filtered through a sponge filter (but not a charcoal filter).
Every 72 hours, faeces and other debris were removed, physicochemical parameters were
measured (pH, conductivity, total dissolved solids, salinity, temperature, and NH₃, NO₂, NO₃
levels; Table S1), and the appropriate tank water was renewed (clean water or wastewater).
Because effluent was stored for ~3 days after collection before use, which we anticipated would
lead to the degradation of some contaminants found in the water, we took water samples (125 mL)
for analytical chemistry from each tank replicate in each wastewater treatment group to provide
an indication of the level of exposure. We also anticipated that the concentrations of some
contaminants may change over the 3 days between water changes, so paired water samples (125
mL) were performed once for each wastewater tank replicate – one immediately after a water
change and the second immediately prior to the next water change. Water samples were preserved
using sodium azide and ascorbic acid as described above. After completing the 21-day exposure,
one subset of fish in each tank was immediately euthanized for tissue sampling, and two other
subsets of fish were used for respirometry and hypoxia tolerance measurements (see below). We
were not able to make all measurements in all individuals, so the number of individuals used for
each measurement is clearly indicated in each figure and table. In all cases, we used individuals from every tank replicate for every measurement.

2.4 Analytical chemistry of water samples

Preserved water samples were analyzed for twenty-two PPCPs and other CECs using previously established methods (Arlos et al., 2015; Mehdi et al. 2021). The analyzed chemicals included lipid regulators and metabolites, anti-epileptics, analgesics, antibacterials, antibiotics, antidepressants, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents, herbicides, and industrial chemicals (Table 1). Wastewater effluent samples were first divided into 100 mL aliquots, and tank samples were divided into 50 mL aliquots. Each sample was individually spiked with 100 µL of 100 µg/L of isotopically labelled standards prior to extraction. Solid phase extraction (SPE) was performed using Agilent Bond Elute Plexa cartridges (6cc, 500 mg) on samples adjusted to pH 2, with final extracts reconstituted in 500 µL methanol with internal standards. These extracts were then stored at -20°C until analysis using an Agilent 1260 HPLC with 6460 triple quad mass spectrometer (LC-MS/MS) with Agilent Jet Stream (AJS) electrospray ionization in both positive and negative modes (Mehdi et al. 2021). Of all the preserved water samples, technical issues precluded the analysis of three samples of wastewater tank replicates. Some individual data points for acetaminophen and caffeine were excluded due to problems with matrix effects for these particular compounds.

2.5 Sampling of fish tissues

The sampled fish were first euthanized with a sharp blow to the head followed by spinal transection, and the tail was severed for blood collection. A portion of blood (5 µL) was stored in Drabkin’s reagent for determining haemoglobin concentration according to product instructions (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). The remaining blood was collected in a heparinized capillary tube and centrifuged at 12,700 g for 5 min to determine haematocrit. Brain, liver, and axial white muscle were excised, weighed, freeze-clamped in liquid nitrogen, and then stored at -80°C for later analysis of metabolites. Gills were dissected, placed in 0.2 M PBS (274 mmol/L NaCl, 30.4 mmol/L Na₂HPO₄, 5.4 mmol/L KCl, 3.0 mmol/L KH₂PO₄; pH 7.8) containing fixative (2% paraformaldehyde and, 2% glutaraldehyde) for at least 48 h, then stored in PBS at 4°C for later analysis of gill morphometrics.
2.6 Respirometry and hypoxia tolerance

Killifish were subjected to two series of measurements of metabolism and hypoxia tolerance. In one subset of fish from each chronic exposure group, we used stop-flow respirometry in clean water at 4 ppt to measure standard metabolic rate (SMR) and critical oxygen tension ($P_{crit}$). Fish were fasted for the last 48 h of chronic exposures and were then transferred at ~1700-1900 local time to 90 mL cylindrical acrylic chambers containing normoxic water, which were covered in dark plastic to minimize visual disturbance. Measurements of O$_2$ consumption rate (MO$_2$) were made overnight in normoxia using an automated respirometry system (AutoResp, Loligo Systems, Viborg, Denmark) that has been previously described in detail (Borowiec et al., 2015, 2020). MO$_2$ was determined from the depletion of water oxygen content over time, and is expressed relative to body mass ($\mu$mol/g/h). SMR was calculated as the average of the lowest 10 MO$_2$ measurements that were made overnight during the inactive phase. Starting at ~1100-1200 the following day, resting metabolic rate (RMR) was calculated as the average MO$_2$ measured at 100% air saturation. MO$_2$ was continuously measured throughout a stepwise hypoxia protocol, during which the water O$_2$ level was reduced by 10% air saturation every 10 min, as previously described (Borowiec et al., 2015, 2020). Critical PO$_2$ ($P_{crit}$) was calculated using the ‘Respirometry’ package in R. In a second subset of fish from each chronic exposure group, we measured time to loss of equilibrium ($t_{LOE}$) by exposing fish to an O$_2$ tension of 0.5 kPa in 5 L aquaria. Fish were monitored continuously, and $t_{LOE}$ was determined as the duration of time at 0.5 kPa until the fish was unable to maintain an upright position in the water column and was unresponsive to a gentle tail pinch.

2.7 Gill histology

Gill histology was performed for the first gill arch for the normoxic and severe hypoxic exposure groups in both clean water and wastewater. We followed methods that have been previously described in detail for cryoprotection, embedding, sectioning at 5 $\mu$m in a cryostat, and haematoxylin and eosin staining (Du et al., 2018). For each individual, 10-15 brightfield images were taken of sections throughout the whole gill arch tissue using a Nikon Eclipse E8000 microscope (Nikon Instruments, Melville, New York, USA). Gill morphometrics were analyzed using ImageJ (v1.52a) software (Rasband, 2008).
2.8 Tissue metabolite assays

Lactate, free-glucose, and glycogen levels were determined in brain, muscle, and liver. For muscle and liver samples, tissues were first ground into fine powder using insulated mortar and pestle that were pre-cooled using liquid nitrogen and were then stored at -80°C. Approximately 20 mg of these powdered tissues and whole brain samples were homogenized in ~300 µL of ice-cold 6% HClO₄. Homogenate was then vortexed, an aliquot of 100 µL was immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C for later analysis of glucose and glycogen, and the remaining unfrozen homogenate was used for analysis of lactate. Assays were carried out using standard protocols that have been previously described in detail (Borowiec et al., 2018).

2.9 Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the lme4 package (Bates et al., 2015) in R (version 3.6.2, R Core Team, 2019). We tested for the main and interactive effects of chronic hypoxia and chronic wastewater exposure using linear mixed effects models. We included body mass and sex as additional fixed independent variables. Furthermore, to account for any differences in the potency of wastewater as the exposures proceeded, start date of each tank replicate was included in the model as a covariate. Although absolute values of metabolic rate (SMR and RMR in µmol/h) was analyzed statistically with body mass as a covariate, these data are reported normalized to body mass (µmol/g/h) to facilitate comparison with previous literature. Data were log or square root transformed if necessary, to meet the assumptions of the model. Tukey HSD post-hoc tests were used to identify significant pairwise differences (a) between clean water and wastewater within each chronic oxygen treatment, (b) between normoxia and hypoxia within the clean water groups, and (c) between normoxia and hypoxia within the wastewater groups. Data are reported as means ± standard error (SEM) unless otherwise stated, and in all analyses, α was set to 0.05.

3. Results

3.1 Water quality and contaminant concentrations

Several indices of water quality during the exposures were comparable between clean and wastewater treatments (Table S1). Although ammonia and nitrate levels were high in the collected wastewater effluent (Fig. S1), nitrogenous wastes were reduced by the in-tank filters during
exposures and did not vary between treatments or as a function of time. However, killifish were exposed to the complex mixture of contaminants present in the wastewater effluent. Of the twenty-two contaminants that were measured, all but one (monensin) were detected in tank water from the wastewater treatment groups (Table 1). Over the course of our chronic exposures, the quality of water collected from the treatment plant seemed to improve and the concentrations of target chemical contaminants declined or remained stable, and the magnitude of changes for some contaminants appeared to vary between normoxia and hypoxia. This was supported by measurements of several water quality parameters in 24-hour composite samples of final effluent that were taken on the dates of collection (Figs. S1-S3), and from measurements of target chemical contaminants in the wastewater collected for exposures (Figs. S4-S8). Nevertheless, we used a staggered tank replicate design to help minimize undesired systematic variation between treatment groups, and we accounted for any such variation that did exist by including start date of each tank replicate as a covariate in our statistical models. However, the concentrations of target contaminants were higher in wastewater at the time of collection (Figs. S4-S8) compared to those measured after the three-day storage period when wastewater was added to tanks after water changes (Table 1). Degradation of some (but not all) contaminants continued during the tank exposures (Table S2). Therefore, the data in Table 1 provides a relative indication of the exposure conditions in wastewater, but only approximate the variable concentrations that were likely experienced during chronic exposures.

3.2 Metabolic rate

Concurrent exposure to wastewater effluent and chronic hypoxia reduced standard metabolic rate (SMR) (Fig. 1; Table S3). There was a significant interaction between chronic hypoxia and wastewater ($p = 0.02$) across all oxygen treatments in clean water and wastewater. Hypoxia acclimation did not affect SMR among fish held in clean water, but concurrent exposure to hypoxia and wastewater reduced SMR by 37% and 25% in the moderate and severe hypoxic groups, respectively (Fig. 1A) and likely drove the significant hypoxia effect ($p = 0.03$). Resting metabolic rate (RMR), which was measured during the daytime in normoxia, did not show the same patterns observed in SMR. There were no statistically significant effects of chronic hypoxia or wastewater on RMR across treatment groups (Hypoxia effect, $p = 0.42$; Wastewater effect, $p = 0.96$; Fig. 1B), suggesting that the relationship between SMR and RMR may be altered by chronic
hypoxia and/or wastewater exposure. The data did not appear to result from variation in body mass, as there were no significant differences in body mass across groups, nor were there any differences in indices of condition (Fulton’s K, hepatosomatic index; Table S4).

3.3 Hypoxia tolerance

In general, killifish regulated MO$_2$ across a range of higher PO$_2$, and MO$_2$ declined at lower PO$_2$ (Fig. S9). The data for MO$_2$ as a function of PO$_2$ for each individual were used to calculate the critical O$_2$ tension ($P_{crit}$). There was a reduction in critical oxygen tension ($P_{crit}$) after chronic exposure to severe hypoxia, as reflected by a significant main effect of hypoxia ($p = 0.048$), but this trait was unaffected by wastewater exposure ($p = 0.84$; Fig. 2A; Table S3).

Wastewater exposure impeded the improvement with hypoxia acclimation in a key metric of hypoxia tolerance, the time to loss of equilibrium ($t_{LOE}$) in near anoxia (0.5 kPa) (Fig. 2B; Table S3). There was a significant main effect of chronic hypoxia on $t_{LOE}$ ($p<0.001$), driven largely by fish in clean water, which increased $t_{LOE}$ by 1.79-fold on average after acclimation to moderate hypoxia and 3.56-fold after acclimation to severe hypoxia. There was also a significant effect of wastewater on $t_{LOE}$ ($p<0.01$), which completely eliminated improvements in $t_{LOE}$ in fish acclimated to moderate hypoxia and appeared to reduce the improvements in those acclimated to severe hypoxia.

3.4 Gill morphology

Wastewater exposure disrupted changes in gill morphology that were associated with hypoxia acclimation (Fig. 3; Table S3). The total height, width, and density of lamellae did not vary significantly across treatment groups (Fig. 3B-D), but there was a significant interaction ($p = 0.03$) between hypoxia and wastewater on the proportion of lamellae that were covered by interlamellar cell mass (ILCM; Fig. 3E). Chronic exposure to severe hypoxia in clean water led to a large reduction in the proportional height of the ILCM, which appeared to drive the significant main effect of hypoxia on this trait ($p<0.01$). In contrast, among wastewater exposed fish, the proportional ILCM height was unchanged by chronic hypoxia.

3.5 Haematology
Wastewater exposure also altered haematology (Tables 2, S3). Fish in clean water responded to chronic hypoxia with increases in haematocrit (hypoxia effect, \( p < 0.001 \)) and decreases in mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration (MCHC; hypoxia effect, \( p = 0.01 \)), such that there was no significant change in blood haemoglobin content (hypoxia effect, \( p = 0.88 \)). Wastewater affected these haematological responses, increasing haematocrit in normoxia and/or moderate hypoxia and increasing blood haemoglobin in moderate hypoxia (hypoxia×wastewater, \( p = 0.01 \)).

### 3.6 Tissue metabolites

Exposure to wastewater affected glycogen stores in normoxia and hypoxia (Fig. 4; Table S3). Wastewater had a particularly detrimental effect on glycogen stores in brain tissue, for which there was significant hypoxia×wastewater interaction (\( p = 0.04 \)) and concurrent exposure to wastewater and severe hypoxia led to nearly complete glycogen depletion (Fig. 4A). There was also a significant hypoxia×wastewater interaction on glycogen content in liver (\( p = 0.03 \)), but in this tissue, wastewater exposure appeared to minimize glycogen depletion in severe hypoxia (Fig. 4B), although the decrease in liver glycogen in severe hypoxia compared to normoxia in clean water was not quite significant (\( p = 0.075 \)). In contrast, there was no significant variation in muscle glycogen content, nor were there significant variations in concentrations of glucose or lactate in any tissue (Tables S3, S5).

### 4. Discussion

Aquatic hypoxia is prevalent in many ecosystems that are heavily burdened by contaminants, including those that receive effluent discharge from municipal wastewater treatment plants, but the interactive effects of hypoxia and wastewater effluent on fish physiology and health are poorly understood. This study helps address this knowledge gap, showing that exposure to wastewater effluent can disrupt many key physiological adjustments for coping with chronic hypoxia. Wastewater exposure had relatively modest effects on killifish in normoxia, but it impeded the improvements in hypoxia tolerance in response to chronic hypoxia and disrupted the plasticity of several associated physiological traits. This suggests that the ability of fish to cope with other natural or anthropogenic stressors may be impaired in environments contaminated with wastewater effluent.
4.1 Wastewater exposure had modest physiological effects in normoxia

The evidence emerging from our findings here in killifish and those from previous studies suggest that the metabolic impacts of wastewater exposure may differ between species. The lack of any effect on metabolic rate in killifish from exposure to wastewater in normoxia is similar to previous findings in round goby that were cage exposed to the receiving waters near a WWTP (McCallum et al., 2017). In contrast, some other species exhibit a prominent increase in metabolic rate in response to wastewater exposure, including bluegill sunfish (*Lepomis macrochirus*) (Du et al., 2019, 2018) and rainbow darter (*Etheostoma caeruleum*) (Mehdi et al., 2018). These differences between studies are unlikely to have been caused by differences in exposure conditions, because the approximate range of contaminant concentrations observed here are generally comparable to those detected in wastewater effluents and surface waters near municipal WWTPs in previous studies (McCallum et al., 2017; Du et al., 2019; Fick et al., 2017; Metcalfe et al., 2010; Verlicchi et al., 2012). This could indicate that some species are more sensitive and experience a greater metabolic cost of wastewater exposure than others. Killifish are often found in contaminated sites and are considered to be a relatively tolerant species, and several populations have evolved especially high resistance to persistent organic pollutants (Crawford et al., 2020; Reid et al., 2016; Weis, 2002). However, it is possible that degradation of some contaminants within exposure tanks weakened the magnitude of exposure here, but exposure had several physiological effects in hypoxia and there did not appear to be any overall difference between normoxia and hypoxia in the level of degradation (Table S2). Killifish may therefore experience less metabolic stress than other less tolerant species when exposed to wastewater effluent, and thus avoid increases in whole-animal energy demands.

4.2 Wastewater exposure disrupts responses to chronic hypoxia

One of the most significant impacts of wastewater exposure was the attenuation of plastic improvements in hypoxia tolerance, as reflect by time to loss of equilibrium (t_{LOE}) in near anoxia (0.5 kPa), which normally occur in response to chronic hypoxia (Fig. 2B). Killifish in clean water responded to chronic hypoxia with increases in t_{LOE}, consistent with previous findings in several killifish species (Borowiec et al., 2020, 2015), which could reflect an improved ability to match O_2 supply and O_2 demand during hypoxia. The effect of wastewater that reduced t_{LOE} in chronic
hypoxia could not be explained by a metabolic cost of exposure that increased O$_2$ demands, because combined exposure to wastewater and hypoxia reduced rather than increased SMR (Fig. 1). Wastewater exposure could have instead reduced t$_{LOE}$ by impairing tissue O$_2$ supply. This possibility is supported by our observation that wastewater exposure blunted the plastic responses of gill morphology to chronic hypoxia, eliminating the regression of the ILCM that occurred in response to chronic hypoxia in clean water (Fig. 3). The regression of the ILCM is considered advantageous in hypoxia by increasing the surface area of the gills for O$_2$ diffusion (Solidd et al., 2003). Wastewater exposure could have also reduced t$_{LOE}$ by reducing the ability of killifish to use anaerobic metabolism. Glycogen stores in the brain are a key fuel for anaerobic metabolism that help determine the ability to maintain ATP levels and avoid losing equilibrium in severe hypoxia (Speers-Roesch et al., 2013). Therefore, the low brain glycogen reserves in killifish that were chronically exposed to wastewater and hypoxia (Fig. 4A) likely reduced the ability to fuel anaerobic metabolism and thus constrained t$_{LOE}$.

Exposure to wastewater effluent had no effects on P$_{crit}$. Killifish responded to chronic hypoxia with decreases in P$_{crit}$, consistent with previous findings in killifish and other species (Borowiec et al., 2020, 2015; Fu et al., 2011), but this plastic response was unaffected by wastewater exposure (Fig. 2A). This contrasts recent findings in three-spined stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus), in which waterborne copper exposure (20 μg/l) impaired improvements in P$_{crit}$ that result from hypoxia acclimation (Fitzgerald et al., 2019). P$_{crit}$ is often considered to reflect the ability to extract oxygen from the environment during hypoxia, and is considered to be a useful indicator of hypoxia tolerance in many species (Regan et al., 2019; Rogers et al., 2016; Speers-Roesch et al., 2013). However, in hypoxia-tolerant species such as killifish that readily depress metabolic rate (Borowiec et al., 2018), the reductions in MO$_2$ below P$_{crit}$ (Fig. 59) could reflect a facultative reduction in O$_2$ demands rather than a hypoxia-induced constraint on tissue O$_2$ supply. This may explain why wastewater exposure impaired gill plasticity but had no effect on the decreases in P$_{crit}$ in response to chronic hypoxia. Furthermore, this leads to the possibility that effects of contaminant exposure on gill morphology will only affect P$_{crit}$ for species in which MO$_2$ depression below P$_{crit}$ results from O$_2$ supply limitation. For example, many populations of threespine stickleback do not employ metabolic depression in hypoxia (Regan et al., 2017), which may make P$_{crit}$ more susceptible to contaminant exposure in stickleback than it is in killifish.
The disruption of hypoxia responses could be attributed to several of the chemical contaminants in wastewater effluent. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) like fluoxetine could inhibit serotonin signaling by oxygen sensing neuroepithelial cells in the gills, which may have disrupted key cardiorespiratory adjustments to hypoxia exposure. Indeed, in gulf toadfish, hypoxia exposure during acute intraperitoneal treatment of 20 or 50 µg/g of fluoxetine have been shown to accentuate oxyconformation (i.e., decreased regulation index) and reduce the ventilatory response, respectively (Amador et al., 2018; Panlilio et al., 2016). Serotonin also regulates glycogenolysis in the brain of rainbow trout (Pérez-Maceira et al., 2012), so SSRI exposure could have also contributed to the effects of hypoxia and wastewater exposure on glycogen levels that were observed here. β-blockers such as propranolol can affect heart rate in zebrafish larvae at waterborne exposure concentrations of 0.05 mM (Frayssse et al., 2006), can disrupt oxygen chemoreception by neuroepithelial cells in the gills of rainbow trout at concentrations of 1-2 mM in the gill perfusate (Burleson and Milsom, 1990), and can disrupt blood-flow distribution through the gills of rainbow trout at 0.1 mM in gill perfusate (Payan and Girard, 1977). Ligands of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR), such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and other persistent organic pollutants, could also disrupt cellular responses to hypoxia (Fleming et al., 2009; Kraemer and Schulte, 2004). This is because many of the biochemical and physiological responses to hypoxia result from activation of the hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF) pathway (Pelster and Egg, 2018), and there can be cross-talk between HIF-α and AHR signaling as they require the same dimerization partner (HIF-1β, also called the AHR Nuclear Translocator or ARNT) to drive the expression of target genes. Given that many of the cellular responses to hypoxia lead to adjustments in flux through metabolic pathways, it is also possible that such changes could be disrupted by the various pharmaceuticals that target metabolic pathways (e.g., statins such as atorvastatin, diabetes medications such as metformin, etc.). Although exposure to wastewater makes it difficult to disentangle the relative effects of each of these contaminants, it is nevertheless important to understand the emergent effects of the complex real-world contaminant mixtures that enter the environment and how they might interact with other abiotic stressors like hypoxia.

4.3 Conclusions
Our findings suggest that the interaction between the chemical contaminants in wastewater and the other abiotic stressors near WWTPs may have significant detrimental effects on the physiology and health of fish. Wastewater exposure disrupted the physiological adjustments employed by killifish to cope with chronic hypoxia and thus impaired hypoxia tolerance. Chronic exposure to the combination of wastewater and hypoxia also led to the near depletion of brain glycogen reserves, which could put animals at extreme risk if faced with an additional metabolic stress (e.g., heat waves). Our results thus demonstrate that the real-world complex mixtures of contaminants in wastewater can have significant emergent effects on health and the ability to cope with environmental variability, at least in part by disrupting respiratory and metabolic processes. Further studies should prioritize the elucidation of mechanisms underlying the interactive effects of wastewater and other abiotic stressors, including hypoxia and other stressors that are projected to become more prevalent with climate change (warming temperature, reduced pH). Such mechanistic approaches can improve our capacity to predict the impacts of aquatic pollution at organismal and population levels.
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Table 1: Average concentrations of various classes of contaminants and some associated metabolites measured in tank water during wastewater exposures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Concentration (ng/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lipid Regulator</td>
<td>Gemfibrozil</td>
<td>10.0 (±1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atorvastatin</td>
<td>10.5 (±3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p-hydroxy Atorvastatin</td>
<td>26.6 (±5.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o-hydroxy Atorvastatin</td>
<td>20.5 (±6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiepileptic</td>
<td>Carbamazepine</td>
<td>44.4 (±3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analgesic</td>
<td>Acetaminophen</td>
<td>27.2 (±26.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulant</td>
<td>Caffeine</td>
<td>266.1 (±150.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibacterial</td>
<td>Triclosan</td>
<td>12.6 (±2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sulfamethazine</td>
<td>16.0 (±1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotic</td>
<td>Monensin</td>
<td>&lt;DL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trimethoprim</td>
<td>22.6 (±4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lincomycin</td>
<td>7.0 (±1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sulfamethoxazole</td>
<td>63.9 (±5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidepressant</td>
<td>Fluoxetine</td>
<td>2.2 (±0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Norfluoxetine</td>
<td>2.2 (±0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Venlafaxine</td>
<td>89.2 (±6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Desvenlafaxine</td>
<td>155.3 (±12.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSAID</td>
<td>Ibuprofen</td>
<td>152.5 (±51.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Naproxen</td>
<td>131.2 (±41.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diclofenac</td>
<td>154.4 (±9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbicide</td>
<td>Atrazine</td>
<td>4.6 (±0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>Bisphenol A</td>
<td>138.3 (±48.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data above represent measurements of samples taken once from each tank replicate in each wastewater treatment group immediately after the renewal of tank water with fresh effluent (see Materials and Methods), shown as means (± SEM) of all measurements made in wastewater (n = 13-14). <DL indicates measurement that was below the detection limit. NSAID: Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug.
Table 2: The effects of hypoxia and/or wastewater exposure on haematological traits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normoxia (20 kPa)</th>
<th>Moderate hypoxia (5 kPa)</th>
<th>Severe hypoxia (2 kPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hct (g/dl)</td>
<td>Clean 24.32 ± 0.98</td>
<td>Clean 26.55 ± 1.90</td>
<td>Clean 35.00 ± 1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WW 30.51 ± 3.70*</td>
<td>WW 34.91 ± 1.73*</td>
<td>WW 37.50 ± 1.74 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Hb] (g/dl)</td>
<td>Clean 9.09 ± 0.64</td>
<td>Clean 7.78 ± 0.46</td>
<td>Clean 10.46 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WW 9.39 ± 0.67</td>
<td>WW 10.08 ± 0.53*</td>
<td>WW 9.89 ± 0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCHC (g/dl)</td>
<td>Clean 37.48 ± 2.46</td>
<td>Clean 29.73 ± 1.04</td>
<td>Clean 29.41 ± 0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WW 43.33 ± 11.99</td>
<td>WW 29.93 ± 2.24</td>
<td>WW 25.98 ± 1.21†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clean, clean water; WW, wastewater; Hct, haematocrit; [Hb], blood haemoglobin content; MCHC, mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration. Data are expressed as means ± SEM (n).

Statistical results of linear models are as follows. Hct: hypoxia effect, p<0.001; wastewater effect, p=0.063; hypoxia×wastewater, p =0.279. [Hb]: hypoxia effect, p=0.881; wastewater effect, p=0.664; hypoxia×wastewater, p=0.013. MCHC: hypoxia effect, p=0.010; wastewater effect, p=0.754; hypoxia×wastewater, p=0.230. Statistical symbols as in Fig. 1.
**Figure 1:** Chronic exposure to the combination of hypoxia and wastewater reduced standard metabolic rate (MO$_2$) but not resting MO$_2$.

(A) Statistical results of linear models: hypoxia effect, $p=0.030$; wastewater effect, $p=0.066$; hypoxia×wastewater, $p=0.021$. 

(B) Hypoxia effect, $p=0.424$; wastewater effect, $p=0.960$; hypoxia×wastewater, $p=0.166$. *Significant pairwise difference from clean water controls within an oxygen treatment ($p<0.05$). † Significant pairwise difference from normoxic controls within clean water or wastewater groups ($p<0.05$). Data are presented as means ± SEM, with sample sizes indicated within each bar.

**Figure 2:** Wastewater exposure attenuated the improvement in hypoxia tolerance (as reflected by the time to loss of equilibrium during acute exposure to 0.5 kPa O$_2$) in response to chronic hypoxia without affecting critical O$_2$ tension.

(A) Statistical results of linear models: hypoxia effect, $p=0.048$; wastewater effect, $p=0.836$; hypoxia×wastewater, $p=0.561$. 

(B) Hypoxia effect, $p<0.001$; wastewater effect, $p=0.002$; hypoxia×wastewater, $p=0.072$. Other statistical information as in Figure 1.

**Figure 3:** Morphological changes in the gills of killifish in response to exposure to hypoxia and/or wastewater.

(A) Representative images of the gills of killifish exposed to normoxia in clean water, severe hypoxia in clean water, normoxia in wastewater, and severe hypoxia in wastewater. Slides are stained with haematoxylin and eosin. Scale bar represents 50 µm and all images are shown at the same scale.

(B) Statistical results of linear models: hypoxia effect, $p=0.165$; wastewater effect, $p=0.847$; hypoxia×wastewater, $p=0.236$. 

(C) Hypoxia effect, $p=0.451$; wastewater effect, $p=0.502$; hypoxia×wastewater, $p=0.392$. 

(D) Hypoxia effect, $p=0.269$; wastewater effect, $p=0.719$; hypoxia×wastewater, $p=0.244$. 

(E) Hypoxia effect, $p=0.001$; wastewater effect, $p=0.617$; hypoxia×wastewater, $p=0.027$. Other statistical information as in Figure 1.

**Figure 4:** The effects of hypoxia and/or wastewater exposure on glycogen content in brain and liver.

(A) Statistical results of linear models: hypoxia effect, $p=0.149$; wastewater effect, $p=0.706$; hypoxia×wastewater, $p=0.038$. 

(B) Hypoxia effect, $p=0.417$; wastewater effect, $p=0.270$; hypoxia×wastewater, $p=0.028$. Other statistical information as in Figure 1.
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