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Abstract

Hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) are critical to the development of the hematopoietic system during ontogeny and maintaining hematopoiesis under steady-state. Several genes implicated in asymmetric cell division (ACD) have been found to influence HSC self-renewal in normal hematopoiesis and various leukemias. From a separate survey of genes associated with ACD, I now present the results from dedicated functional studies on two genes – Arhgef2 and Staufen1 – in HSCs and identify their potential contributions to benign hematopoietic disorders. Specifically, I present evidence that demonstrates a conserved role of Arhgef2 in orienting HSC division, the loss of which leads to HSC exhaustion that may underlie and contribute to the pathogenesis of Shwachman-Diamond syndrome. I also identify Staufen1 as a critical RNA-binding protein (RBP) in HSC function, downregulation of which elicits expression signatures consistent with clinical anemias reminiscent of aplastic anemia and/or paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria. I end by reviewing how RBPs function in HSCs and discuss future research directions that could further elucidate how bone marrow failure syndromes arise at the stem cell level.
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## List of Abbreviations and Symbols

*(Excludes all gene and technique references in the Chapter 4 review – please see associated chapter contents and Table 1 for details)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7-AAD</td>
<td>7-aminoactinomycin D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>293FT</td>
<td>Fast-growing, highly transfectable clonal human embryonal kidney cell line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>293GPG</td>
<td>Retroviral packaging human embryonal kidney cell line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actb</td>
<td>Actin beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACD</td>
<td>Asymmetric cell division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adar1</td>
<td>Adenosine deaminase, double-stranded RNA-specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alu</td>
<td>Short interspersed nuclear element; repetitive and transposable DNA among primates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AML</td>
<td>Acute myeloid leukemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AML1-ETO</td>
<td>Fusion protein adjoining RUNX1 and RUNX1T1 genes; used as a genetically defined and driven type of leukemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anln</td>
<td>Anillin actin binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arhgef2</td>
<td>Rho/Rac guanine nucleotide exchange factor 2 (same gene reference as Lfc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B20</td>
<td>Protein tyrosine phosphatase, receptor type, C (also known as CD45R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2m</td>
<td>Beta-2-microglobulin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6.SJL</td>
<td>Congenic mouse model carrying pan-leukocyte CD45.1 marker backcrossed to C57Bl/6 background</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcl11a</td>
<td>B-cell lymphoma/leukemia 11a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCR-ABL</td>
<td>Chimeric mutation of two genes: the breakpoint cluster region protein and the Abelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1; used as a genetically defined and driven type of leukemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicoid</td>
<td>Segment polarity protein providing positional cues for <em>Drosophila</em> head and thoracic segment development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bora</td>
<td>Protein aurora borealis; aurora kinase A activator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C57Bl/6</td>
<td>Inbred strain of laboratory mouse; carries the pan-leukocyte CD45.2 marker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Cluster of differentiation (e.g. CD4, CD8, CD45, CD150, CD48, CD34, CD38, CD55, CD59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdk5rap2</td>
<td>Cdk5 regulatory subunit associated protein 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDS</td>
<td>Coding sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFU</td>
<td>Colony-forming unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chd7</td>
<td>Chromodomain-helicase-DNA-binding protein 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cit</td>
<td>Citron Rho-interacting serine/threonine kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c-Kit</td>
<td>Tyrosine-protein kinase (also known as CD117 or mast/stem cell factor receptor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clec7a</td>
<td>C-type lectin domain containing 7a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CML</td>
<td>Chronic myeloid leukemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP</td>
<td>Common myeloid progenitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMV</td>
<td>Cytomegalovirus (used in reference to its promoter sequence)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cntrl</td>
<td>Centriolin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cre</td>
<td>Type 1 topoisomerase from bacteriophage P1; causes recombination of loxP sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyba</td>
<td>Cytochrome B-245 alpha chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAVID</td>
<td>Database for annotation, visualization and integrated discovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEAD</td>
<td>Amino acid sequence: Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp; used in reference to family of RNA helicases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEG</td>
<td>Differential expressed gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>Deoxyribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsRBD</td>
<td>Double-stranded RNA-binding domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsRBP</td>
<td>Double-stranded RNA-binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsRNA</td>
<td>Double-stranded RNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynlt1</td>
<td>Dynein light chain Tctex-type 1 (same gene reference as Tctex-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2f1</td>
<td>E2F transcription factor 1 (E2 recognition site: 5’-TTTC[CG]CGC-3’)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFP</td>
<td>Enhanced green fluorescent protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eif4e</td>
<td>Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eif4h</td>
<td>Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emsy</td>
<td>BRCA2-interacting transcriptional repressor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>Endoplasmic reticulum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBS</td>
<td>Fetal bovine serum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fbx05</td>
<td>F-box only protein 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fc</td>
<td>Fragment, crystallizable region; receptor on surface of many leukocytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fcgr</td>
<td>Fc fragment of IgG receptor (e.g. Fcgr2a, Fcgr3a, Fcgr3b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Fetal liver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flt3</td>
<td>Fms related tyrosine kinase 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fpr1</td>
<td>Formyl peptide receptor 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gapdh</td>
<td>Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gata</td>
<td>DNA sequence “GATA”; used in reference to transcription factor family that binds to this sequence (e.g. Gata1, Gata2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEF</td>
<td>Guanine nucleotide exchange factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEMM</td>
<td>Colonies derived from multipotent progenitors (granulocytic, erythroid, monocyte, macrophage)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Gfi1  Growth factor independent 1 transcriptional repressor
GO     Gene ontology
GP+E-86 Ecotropic retroviral packaging murine fibroblast cell line
Gr-1   Granulocytic marker comprised of both Ly6C and Ly6G membrane molecules
Gurken Determinant of anterior-posterior and dorsal-ventral axes of Drosophila egg
H2B    Histone H2B
Hlp2   Homeodomain-interacting protein kinase 2
Hlf    Hepatic leukemia factor
HSC    Hematopoietic stem cell
HSPC   Hematopoietic stem and progenitor cell
Hunchback Gap class segmentation protein controlling Drosophila head development
Ifn-γ (or -g) Interferon gamma
Ifngr  Interferon gamma receptor (e.g. Ifngr1, Ifngr2)
Igf2bp Insulin-like growth factor 2 mRNA-binding protein; RBP family
IgG    Immunoglobulin G
IL     Interleukin (e.g. murine and human IL3, IL6, IL1B)
IPA    Ingenuity pathway analysis
IRES   Internal ribosome entry site
Irf7   Interferon regulatory factor 7
Ki-67  Nuclear antigen encoded by Mki67 gene; cellular marker of proliferation
LAMA-84 Human immortalized chronic myeloid-megakaryocytic leukemia cell line
Lfc    Lbc’s first cousin (same gene reference as Arhgef2)
Lin    Lineage
Lis1   Lissencephaly 1 (same gene reference as PAFAH1B1)
LoxP   Locus of x-over P1; a 34-base pair site derived from bacteriophage P1
LK     Lin^-Sca-1^-c-Kit^+, denoting myeloid progenitor
LS     Lin^-Sca-1^-c-Kit^-, denoting lymphoid progenitor
LSC    Leukemic stem cell
LSK    Lin^-Sca-1^+c-Kit^+
Ltbr   Lymphotoxin beta receptor
LT-HSC Long term-hematopoietic stem cell (i.e. LSK SLAM: Lin^-Sca-1^-c-Kit^+
        CD150^-CD48^-)
Ly9    Lymphocyte antigen 9
K562   Human immortalized erythro-myeloid leukemia cell line
m6a    N^6^-methyladenosine
Mac-1  Macrophage-1 antigen, a complement receptor consisting of CD11b and CD18
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAPK</td>
<td>Mitogen activated protein kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mCherry</td>
<td>Monomeric red fluorescent protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meis1</td>
<td>Myeloid ecotropic viral integration site 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEP</td>
<td>Megakaryocyte-erythroid progenitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mIL</td>
<td>Murine interleukin (e.g. mIL-3, mIL-6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR</td>
<td>MicroRNA (e.g. miR-30, miR-223)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-E</td>
<td>Optimized microRNA-30 backbone restoring conservation of 3’ element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLP</td>
<td>Multi-lymphoid progenitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPP</td>
<td>Multipotent progenitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRNA</td>
<td>Messenger RNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrtf</td>
<td>Myocardin-related transcription factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mSCF</td>
<td>Murine stem cell factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSCV</td>
<td>Murine stem cell virus (used in reference to its long terminal repeat promoter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mTPO</td>
<td>Murine thrombopoietin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myb</td>
<td>Proto-oncogene, transcription factor family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myc</td>
<td>Proto-oncogene, BHLH transcription factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanos</td>
<td>Maternal RNA-binding protein controlling posterior development and is required for <em>Drosophila</em> germ cell proliferation and self-renewal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanos1</td>
<td>Translational repressor essential for <em>Xenopus</em> primordial germ cell development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nfe2l2</td>
<td>Nuclear factor, erythroid 2 like 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nlrp3</td>
<td>NLR family pyrin domain containing 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMD</td>
<td>Nonsense-mediated decay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOD</td>
<td>Non-obese diabetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSG</td>
<td>NOD/SCID/IL2Rγ−/−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oskar</td>
<td>Maternal effect protein that organizes germ plasm and directs posterior localization of <em>Nanos</em> mRNA and Staufen in <em>Drosophila</em> egg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p54mb</td>
<td>Non-POU domain-containing octamer binding protein (also known as NonO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pafah1b1</td>
<td>Platelet activating factor acetylhydrolase 1b regulatory subunit 1 (same gene reference as Lis1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pbrm1</td>
<td>Polybromo 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piga</td>
<td>Phosphatidylinositol glycan class A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>Polymerase chain reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKR</td>
<td>Protein kinase R; eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 alpha kinase 2 (also known as Eif2ak2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prkdc</td>
<td>Protein kinase, DNA-activated, catalytic subunit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptger2</td>
<td>Prostaglandin E receptor 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pum1  Pumilio RNA binding family member 1
Pum2  Pumilio RNA binding family member 2
qPCR  Quantitative polymerase chain reaction
Rab20 Ras-related protein; functions in apical endocytosis/recycling
RAS Small GTPase family of related proteins
RBC  Red blood cell
RBP  RNA-binding protein
RhoA Ras homolog family member A; small GTP binding protein
RhoB Ras homolog family member B; small GTP binding protein
Rho lo Rhodamine-123, mitochondrial dye (low levels indicating efficient cellular efflux)
rMATS Replicate multivariate analysis of transcript splicing
RNA  Ribonucleic acid
RNAi RNA interference
RNA-seq RNA-sequencing
RNP Ribonucleoprotein
rRNA Ribosomal RNA
Rtel1 Regulator of telomere elongation helicase 1
Sca-1 Stem cell antigen-1 (also known as Ly6a)
SCID Severe combined immunodeficient
scRNA-seq Single cell RNA-sequencing
SDS Shwachman-Diamond syndrome
shRNA Short hairpin RNA
SLAM Signaling lymphocyte activation molecule (reference to family of receptors, most often CD150^CD48^ combination for HSCs)
SMD Staufen-mediated decay
snoRNA Small nucleolar RNA
Srf Serum response factor
Stat1 Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1
Stau1 Staufen double-stranded RNA binding protein 1
Stau2 Staufen double-stranded RNA binding protein 2
Tctex-1 Light chain of cytoplasmic dynein (same gene reference as Dynlt1)
Ter-119 Erythroid-specific antigen (also known as Ly-76)
THP-1 Human immortalized monocytic leukemia cell line
Thy-1 Thy-1 cell surface antigen/membrane glycoprotein (also known as CD90)
TMT Tandem mass tag
Tuba Alpha tubulin (same gene reference as α-tubulin)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upf1</td>
<td>Up-frameshift 1; monomeric RNA helicase and ATPase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPLC</td>
<td>Ultra-performance liquid chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTR</td>
<td>Untranslated region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vamp8</td>
<td>Vesicle associated membrane protein 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VegT</td>
<td>Transcription factor required for <em>Xenopus</em> mesendoderm formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vera</td>
<td>Vegetal-1 mRNA-binding protein; regulates <em>Xenopus</em> mRNA transport and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>localization (ortholog to Igf2bp RBP family)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vg1</td>
<td>Vegetal-1; maternal growth signalling factor with vegetal localization in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Xenopus</em> blastomeres important for dorsal mesoderm specification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBM</td>
<td>Whole bone marrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>Wildtype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xbp1</td>
<td>X-box-binding protein 1; transcription factor that regulates the unfolded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>protein response during ER stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xdazl1</td>
<td>RNA-binding protein required for <em>Xenopus</em> primordial germ cell differentiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZsGreen</td>
<td>Zoanthus green fluorescent protein</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Chapter 1: Introduction

On the origins of blood formation in the bone marrow

The discovery that adult blood formation originated within the bone marrow first emerged in 1868, at a time when the advent of microscopes permitted early observations of cells within living organisms. Ernst Neumann and Giulio Bizzozero, both pathologists, curiously peered down their microscopes during this new window of opportunity and identified nucleated red blood cells as the precursors of erythropoiesis in the bone marrow after eliciting its contents from the human, rabbit, chicken and pigeon (Neumann, 1868; Bizzozero, 1868). Both individuals recognized that the bone marrow also produced white blood cells, which led Neumann to postulate that a common cell of origin may exist within the hematopoietic system (Bizzozero, 1869; Neumann, 1870). Incidentally, the term ‘stem cell’ (or ‘stammzelle’) was coined by the biologist Ernst Haeckel around this time, who transferred this concept from his drawings of phylogenetic trees (referred to as ‘stammbäume’ for family or stem trees) to describe the unicellular ancestor of all multicellular organisms (Haeckel, 1868; Haeckel, 1874). Later on, the introduction of aniline dye staining revealed further morphological differences between blood cells (Ehrlich, 1877; Pappenheim, 1898a; Pappenheim, 1898b), fueling speculation on the maturation sequence of blood cell lineages from a common ancestor. Yet while the notion of a common blood stem cell drew support from others during this time, the lack of further evidence for its unifying existence led to intense debate that continued well into the 1900s.
The discovery and characterization of hematopoietic repopulating cells

World War II (1939–1945) arrived and with it a new era of highly focused war-related research. Following the detonation of atomic bombs over Hiroshima and Nagasaki, it became clear that the lethal effects of whole body irradiation were due to the elimination of a functional hematopoietic system that led to bone marrow failure. Lead shielding of the bones or spleen prevented irradiation deaths in mice, as did bone marrow transplants from non-irradiated donors (Jacobson et al., 1951). When transplanted cells were tracked by way of unique chromosomal markers or enzymes present among donor strains, it was found that radiation sickness was not rescued by secreted factors that repaired radiation lesions in the affected host, but rather by donor cell-driven engraftment and regeneration of hematopoiesis (Ford et al., 1956; Nowell et al., 1956).

Based on these insights, James Till, a radiation physicist, and Ernest McCulloch, a hematologist-oncologist, teamed up to quantify the effects of irradiation on the loss of hematopoietic cells. In the process of transplanting a graded number of bone marrow cells into irradiated recipient mice, they autopsied those that received doses insufficient to confer radioprotection and noticed discrete nodules in their spleens (Till and McCulloch, 1961). Histological examinations revealed that each nodule consisted of a rapidly proliferative colony of mainly undifferentiated cells, but also cells within the erythroid, monocyte, granulocyte and megakaryocyte lineages. After multi-staging their irradiation procedures around transplants and identifying that trackable chromosomal abnormalities in a colony were found throughout most of its constituent cells in situ, their teams importantly demonstrated that each colony was clonal (Becker et al., 1963). Subsequent work showed that these colony-forming units in the spleen were renewable (Siminovitch et al., 1963) and that they also gave rise to lymphocytes (Wu et al., 1968), providing
the first clear line of evidence to support the existence of a common ancestral cell of origin and importantly solidifying the unitarian theory of hematopoiesis.

**Prospective isolation of hematopoietic stem cells**

Inspired by this work, and aided by the development of hybridoma-produced monoclonal antibodies (Kohler and Milstein, 1975) and the fluorescence-activated cell sorter (Hulett et al., 1969), Irving Weissman, a pathologist, then led a group of researchers to derive several limiting dilution-based clonal progenitor assays. With studies that began in lymphocytes, their group first identified a subset of bone marrow cells with a Thy-1– cell surface profile that efficiently homed to mouse thymus and generated T cells (Lepault and Weissman, 1981; Lepault et al., 1983). They found that Thy-1lo bone marrow populations gave rise to long term B lineage *in vitro* cultures (Muller-Sieburg et al., 1986) and that by using other additional lineage (Lin) markers (B220, Gr-1, Mac-1, CD4, CD8) to refine this population by way of negative selection, their group could enrich a population of Lin–Thy-1lo cells that produced all major hematopoietic lineages (multipotency) and conferred long-term survival to irradiated mice over serial transplantations (self-renewal) (Muller-Sieburg et al., 1986). With the addition of the Sca-1 marker, which was originally found to mark bone marrow precursors of thymus lymphocytes among other hematolymphoid subsets (Aihara et al., 1986), they prospectively enriched a population of Lin–Thy-1loSca-1+ cells with an estimated hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) frequency of 1 in 30 (representing ~0.05% of mononuclear bone marrow cells) that could rescue 50% of irradiated recipients (Spangrude et al., 1988). With further refinements, including the addition of erythroid Te-119 marker for Lin– negative selection (Ikuta et al., 1990), and the addition of the c-Kit+ surface receptor (which was found to bind the important stem cell factor required for HSC maintenance),
their group further enriched for HSCs as marked by the Lin−Thy-1loSca-1+c-Kit+ profile at a HSC frequency range of ~1 in 5–10 (Ikuta and Weissman, 1992; Morrison and Weissman, 1994). About a decade later, Sean Morrison, a stem cell biologist who carried some of this earlier work in Weissman’s group, led his own group to further HSC purification efforts by identifying a separate family of signaling lymphocyte activation molecule (SLAM) markers of the CD150+CD48− combination that yielded a HSC frequency of ~1 in 4.8 cells. Notably, when used together in combination, Lin−Sca-1+c-Kit+ CD150+CD48− (LSK SLAM) cell populations yielded a HSC frequency of ~1 in 2.1, significantly enriching HSCs to near purity (Kiel et al., 2005).

Similar early initiatives in human HSC isolation began with the Lin−Thy-1+CD34+ population of fetal cells that were found to be enriched for their ability to initiate long-term co-cultures on supportive stromal cells while maintaining outputs of both B-lymphoid and myeloid cells (Baum et al., 1992). This population could reconstitute human thymuses and engraft in transplanted bones within severe combined immunodeficient (SCID) recipient mice that carried a Prkdc<sup>SCID</sup> mutation (Baum et al., 1992), rendering B and T cells of the host mouse dysfunctional due their inability to rearrange antigen presenting receptor genes to mount an adaptive humoral and cellular immune response (McCune et al., 1988). Subsequent work demonstrated that further HSC enrichment could be achieved with the addition of CD38− to Lin−CD34+ cells from cord blood and bone marrow (Terstappen et al., 1991; Hao et al., 1995; Bhatia et al., 1997; Hao et al., 1998; Miller et al., 1999), repopulating multilineage hematopoiesis in non-obese diabetic (NOD)/SCID recipient mice at a HSC frequency of ~1 in 600 (Bhatia et al., 1997) (This NOD/SCID mouse strain provided additional functional deficits in NK cells, absence of circulating complement and immature macrophages (Shultz et al., 1995) to the SCID-mediated dysfunctions in B and T cells, allowing for increased uptake of xenografts). Groups led by
Weissman and separately by John Dick, another renowned stem cell biologist, improved on this work again, further enriching for HSCs in cord blood with the addition of a CD90+CD45RA− profile (CD90 is also known as Thy1) that yielded a frequency ranging from 1 in 10–20 cells (Majeti et al., 2007; Notta et al., 2011). Addition of the integrin marker CD49f+ with efficient efflux of the mitochondrial dye rhodamine-123 (RhoLo) rendered Dick’s group able to readout HSCs at single cell resolution in NOD/SCID/IL2Rγc−/− (NSG) mice (Notta et al., 2011), a strain which provided an even more sensitive background for xenografts given the additional defects of absent lymphocytes, NK cells and other aspects of innate immunity (Yahata et al., 2002; Ito et al., 2002; Traggiai et al., 2004; Shultz et al., 2005; Ishikawa et al., 2005).

The classical model of the hematopoietic hierarchy

Initiatives that overlapped with these annotative efforts to enrich for HSCs also identified what marked downstream progenitors, their sequence during repopulation, and their lineage outputs. By the year 2000, these efforts led to an early depiction of a hierarchical hematopoietic tree, where the first branch point downstream of HSCs segregated lymphoid outputs apart from myeloid potential before going down additional bifurcations that led into unipotent progenitors. Later refinements with additional cell surface markers modified this hierarchy, keeping myeloid and lymphoid potentials together further down the tree (Adolfsson et al., 2005; Doulatov et al., 2010), moving megakaryocytic branching higher up (Yamamoto et al., 2013; Sanjuan-Pla et al., 2013) and subdividing the multipotent progenitor compartment into distinct populations (Oguro et al., 2013; Cabezas-Wallscheid et al., 2014; Pietras et al., 2015). Evident within this stream of work was an appreciation that the HSC compartment was more functionally and molecularly heterogeneous than previously recognized (Müller-Sieburg et al., 2002; Müller-Sieburg et al., 2002).
An emerging landscape of continuous hematopoietic differentiation

In the last decade, technological advancements permitting single cell analyses introduced opportunities to detail HSC heterogeneity at an unprecedented clonal resolution. Expression profile correlations using single cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq) with HSC self-renewal have uncovered a predominant representation of genes that negatively regulate cell cycle, consistent with work that have previously indicated that quiescent HSCs are also those that harbor enduring ability to drive and contribute to hematopoiesis in transplanted settings (Wilson et al., 2008). Analyses from scRNA-seq have also demonstrated that a majority of cells within the HSC compartment progress through a gradual continuum of low lineage priming (Velten et al., 2017) before adopting distinct and nearly exclusive modules as unipotent progenitors (Yamamoto et al., 2013; Notta et al., 2016). These results could importantly explain lineage-biased outputs seen in functional experiments earlier on, and are at least validated in the example of megakaryocyte-biased HSCs in both settings of transplantation (Sanjuan-Pla et al., 2013; Grover et al., 2016; Carrelha et al., 2018) and in native hematopoiesis (Rodriguez-Fraticelli et al., 2018). An emerging model depicts human HSCs as a fluid cloud state that directly gives rise to committed progenitors without much hierarchy in between. Interestingly, some of these lineage-biased or restricted stem cells appear to be able to regain other lineage potentials in serial transplantations (Carrelha et al., 2018; Yamamoto et al., 2018), highlighting a certain level of plasticity that exists within HSCs. More broadly, scRNA-seq analyses have detailed trajectories of lymphoid, erythroid and granulocytic/monocytic lineages and are now refining the different pathways that lead to the
production of the various terminally differentiated hematopoietic cells, providing complementary data relatively consistent with *in situ* barcoding studies to date (Sun *et al.*, 2014; Pei *et al.*, 2017; Rodriguez-Fraticelli *et al.*, 2018). However, a complete understanding of the hematopoietic system structure still remains to be achieved, as limitations with current scRNA-seq technologies preclude detection of lowly expressed genes and foregoes equivalent degrees of data integration with protein levels, epigenetic status and metabolic profiles among other considerations.

**Asymmetric cell division in development and hematopoiesis**

In redefining the hematopoietic system and the various differentiation trajectories that flow from HSCs, one approach that has provided insights into their biology involves examining what occurs during HSC division when two resultant daughter cells are produced that either maintain stemness (symmetric self-renewal), differentiate into more committed progenitors (symmetric commitment), or alternatively give rise to one HSC and one more committed progenitor (asymmetric cell division (ACD)). A conceptual advantage with this axis of study, and specifically regulators of ACD, is that understanding how cell polarity is established to differentially influence cell fate decisions during division runs largely independent from how the hematopoietic system may be structured, its differentiation trajectories and/or clonal dynamics.

Initial evidence highlighting the importance of proper cell polarization to developmental outcome originated from early studies in ascidians, amphibians and insects, where it was found that localization mechanisms and polarity establishment were tightly coordinated during embryonic patterning, cellular morphogenesis and cellular migration (Zernicka-Goetz, 2002; Knoblich, 2008; de la Torre-Ubieta and Bonni, 2011; Woodham and Machesky, 2014). However, this concept was
not linked to hematopoiesis until the early 1990s, when Peter Lansdorp, a hematologist and geneticist, led a group that cultured individual human cord blood HSCs and progenitor cells (HSPCs), and characterized the lineage outputs of the resulting daughter cells by colony forming assays to demonstrate that roughly 3–17% of divisions were functionally asymmetric (Mayani et al., 1993). Subsequent studies reiterated this finding, with work that favoured either intrinsic (Mayani et al., 1993; Brummendorf et al., 1998, Huang et al., 1999) or extrinsic (Punzel et al., 2003; Takano et al., 2004) influences that could alter the frequency of ACDs in HSPCs. While the identification of asymmetrically segregated proteins among HSPCs followed (Beckmann et al., 2007), insights on the linkage of cell division to molecular aspects of cell fate decision-making in this process were not made until a genetic reporter mouse of the Notch signaling pathway was generated (Wu et al., 2007). This model permitted the visual identification of ACD among HSPCs in real time and importantly demonstrated that both intrinsic and extrinsic cues are able to alter divisional preferences and daughter cell fates. With advances made in genetics that permitted modulation of gene expression levels by way of short hairpin RNAs to knock down transcripts and/or to constitutively overexpress genes, a formalized and more systematic approach to testing whether putative intrinsic regulators of ACD functionally regulated HSC activity was taken by a several members of a group led by Guy Sauvageau, an immunologist and virologist, whose work identified several ‘hit’ genes that altered HSC activity (Faubert et al., 2004; Hope et al., 2010; Ting et al., 2012). Some of these ACD-associated genes have since led to translational insights into both normal HSCs and in diseases like acute myeloid leukemia (AML) (Kharas et al., 2010; Ito et al., 2010; Heidel et al., 2013; Park et al., 2014; Zimdahl et al., 2014; Park et al., 2015; Taggart et al., 2016; Rentas et al., 2016; Naudin et al., 2017; Hattori et al., 2017; Mizukawa et al., 2017; Mohr et al., 2018). Other work has linked altered levels of ACD in HSCs with specific metabolic pathways (Ito et al., 2012), contractile forces (Shin et al., 2014) and aging (Florian et al., 2012). Most
recently, Timm Schroeder and Dirk Loeffler, a biosystems duo, led an approximate decade-long project that continually observed single HSC daughter cells to integrate markers of ACD with markers indicative of HSC activation and differentiation (Loeffler et al., 2019). With the use of advanced imaging platforms, they could reproducibly measure signal intensity differences within a two-fold range, producing a model of asymmetric inheritance of degradative lysosomal components (which includes CD63 and Numb) and Notch1, where the recipient of higher levels of these determinants maintained markers of HSC function and quiescence (autophagy, mitochondrial clearance), whereas the other daughter cell underwent differentiation (increased translational, metabolic activity and upregulation of CD71) (Loeffler et al., 2019). Taken together, these longstanding strides have directly demonstrated that HSCs participate in ACDs and that several ACD-related genes influence HSC activity in various settings and in malignancies like AML.
Summary of Intent

The former of the previously mentioned successes (Chapter 1) inspired the main objective of this work, which was to study how other ACD-associated genes may functionally regulate HSCs and to be able to understand their role in hematopoiesis. In the following chapters, I detail distilled stories on how a mitotic spindle orientation factor previously described to alter ACDs in neural cortical precursors is essential to establishing hematopoiesis in vivo with direct implications with the bone marrow failure syndrome Shwachman-Diamond syndrome (Chapter 2); how a mammalian RNA-binding protein (RBP) paralog previously described to asymmetrically segregate during division in other model organisms is critical to HSC activity, that when downregulated, reveals expression signatures potentially reflective of clinical anemias such as aplastic anemia and/or paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria (Chapter 3); and review the current understanding of RBPs in hematopoiesis to date, which also includes their role in leukemias and the emerging technologies relevant to studying post-transcriptional regulation (Chapter 4). I finally conclude by discussing implications arising from this work and future directions worth taking to build on these findings (Chapter 5). Notably, in contrast to other lines of work that have focused on malignancies like AML, both the overarching theme and novel connection found in this thesis therefore links ACD-associated genes with the understudied area of bone marrow failure syndromes as benign blood disorders for the first time. As such, I hope that you will enjoy reviewing this work as I have done in thinking about these genes for quite some time.
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Abstract

How hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) coordinate their divisional axis relative to supportive niche cells and whether or not their divisional orientation is important for stem cell-driven hematopoiesis is poorly understood. Single cell RNA sequencing data from patients with Shwachman-Diamond syndrome (SDS), an inherited bone marrow failure with high risk of transformation to myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) and leukemia, shows that ARHGEF2, a RhoA-specific guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) and determinant of mitotic spindle orientation, is specifically downregulated in SDS hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs). We demonstrate that transplanted Lfc/Arhgef2−/− bone marrow yields impaired hematopoietic recovery and a production deficit of long-term HSCs, phenotypes that are not due to differences in numbers of transplanted HSCs, their cell cycle status, level of apoptosis, progenitor output or homing ability. Using live imaging of dividing HSPCs, we show an increased frequency of misoriented divisions in the absence of Lfc/Arhgef2. ARHGEF2 knockdown in human HSCs also impairs their ability to regenerate hematopoiesis, culminating in significantly smaller xenografts. Together, these data demonstrate a conserved role for Lfc/Arhgef2 in orienting HSPC division and suggest that HSCs divide in certain orientations to establish hematopoiesis, the loss of which may underlie HSC dysfunction in certain bone marrow failure syndromes.
Introduction

Stem and progenitor cells across diverse species and organ systems can use both symmetric and asymmetric modes of division to achieve balanced expansion and differentiation during development. During embryogenesis, hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) emerge in response to an asymmetric signal within the hemogenic endothelium\(^1\) and transit through both the fetal liver and spleen before reaching and colonizing the perinatal bone marrow.\(^2\) HSCs rapidly proliferate in this niche before becoming mostly quiescent,\(^3\) allowing their more proliferative downstream progeny, which follow complex lineage pathways,\(^4-6\) to productively drive native hematopoiesis.\(^7-12\) Numerous regulators of HSC activity that are either cell-autonomous\(^13\) or produced extrinsically by the niche\(^2\) have been identified to date; however, little is known about how HSCs divide and how their cell fate decisions are coupled to a divisional axis when establishing hematopoiesis in either native or transplant settings.

Dividing stem cells in other tissue types are known to couple their cell polarity axis with a properly oriented mitotic spindle to allow for appropriate acquisition of intracellular stem versus commitment fate determinants among daughter cells.\(^14\) Orientation of the mitotic spindle relative to stem cell-supportive niche signals is therefore critical for establishing cell polarity as well as directing fate decisions through the placement of prospective daughter cells in niche-proximal versus niche-distal conformations. For example, neural precursors in the developing brain undergo an early expansion phase, during which fate decisions are largely symmetric as a result of divisions occurring parallel to the ventricular zone apical neuroepithelium.\(^15,16\) A switch occurs in the subsequent neurogenic phase when the divisions of these expanded precursors become oblique and/or perpendicular, leading to the production of a differentiated progenitor cell that
further contributes to proper cortical neurogenesis and layering.\textsuperscript{17} Within the intestine, dividing crypt base columnar stem cells perpendicularly align their mitotic spindles to the apical lumen, generating asymmetric daughter cell fates, whereas dividing cells in positions higher up along the crypt assume parallel orientations.\textsuperscript{18} Similarly, in early epidermal development, perpendicular mitotic divisions are employed to properly specify epithelial stratification and differentiation.\textsuperscript{19}

Whether similar principles hold true for the hematopoietic system remains to be determined. In the zebrafish, hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs) are found anchored to a mesenchymal stromal cell and achieve asymmetry of cell fates when they divide so as to displace one daughter cell away from this niche.\textsuperscript{20} Mammalian adult hematopoietic precursors are also known to divide in specific ways depending on their surrounding signaling milieu; a pro-renewal environment promotes symmetric expansion and a pro-differentiation environment biases for asymmetric division.\textsuperscript{21–23} We and others have shown that HSC maintenance and activity are influenced by several known intrinsic effectors of polarity establishment and asymmetrically associated cell fate determinants.\textsuperscript{24–32} However, in distinct cell types and species, not all such factors appear to have the same mechanism or degree of action as initially described in model organisms.\textsuperscript{32,33} Nonetheless, one study to date that supports the importance of proper spindle orientation regulation in mammalian HSCs involves Lis1/Pafah1b1, a dynein-binding microtubule capturing protein that influences murine HSPC divisions and the inheritance of cell fate determinants in both normal hematopoietic and leukemia contexts.\textsuperscript{34} In this study however, neither the functional importance of LIS1/PAFAH1B1 in human HSPCs nor an accounting of whether its altered expression is observed in non-malignant hematopoietic disorders were explored.
Here, we describe Lfc/Arhgef2, a RhoA-specific GEF and determinant of mitotic spindle orientation, as an important regulator of mammalian hematopoiesis in vivo. We present evidence that hematopoiesis driven in the Lfc/Arhgef2\textsuperscript{-/-} background heavily relies on LT-HSCs and primitive progenitors and that a productive deficit is present at these levels within the hematopoietic hierarchy. We validate this functional link in human HSC-derived xenografts and directly show that Lfc/Arhgef2 regulates spindle orientation in HSPCs. We further show that ARHGEF2 is specifically downregulated in HSC and primitive progenitor subsets from bone marrow samples of patients diagnosed with Shwachman-Diamond syndrome (SDS). Our findings demonstrate the importance of mitotic spindle orientation in HSPC function and suggest that depletion of ARHGEF2 in humans may contribute to clinical bone marrow failure.

**Materials and Methods**

**Colony forming unit, proliferation, cell cycle and apoptosis assays**

$1.2 \times 10^4$ whole bone marrow (WBM) from Lfc/Arhgef2\textsuperscript{fl/fl} and Lfc/Arhgef2\textsuperscript{-/-} mice were plated in biological triplicate in MethoCult GF M3434 (STEMCELL Technologies) and colonies scored at 12–14 days. Lin Sca-1\textsuperscript{+}c-Kit\textsuperscript{+} (LSK) HSPCs were sorted and cultured for up to 7 days in HyClone Dulbecco’s High Glucose Modified Eagle Medium (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco), 100 ng/mL mSCF, 100 ng/mL mTPO, 10 ng/mL mIL-3 and 10 ng/mL mIL-6. K562 cells were cultured in IMDM (Thermo Fisher) supplemented with 10% FBS (Wisent Bioproducts) and 100 U/mL Pen/Strep (Thermo Fisher). Transductions were carried out by adding lentiviruses with 5 µg/mL polybrene (Sigma) for 3 days prior to in vitro assays. For cell cycle analyses, cells were fixed with Cytofix/Cytoperm solution (BD), permeabilized with Perm/Wash buffer (BD Biosciences) and stained with Ki-67-PE-Cy7 (BD) and 7-AAD (BD).
Apoptosis was measured through staining with Annexin V-FITC (BD) in binding buffer (BioLegend) and 7-AAD.

**Mouse bone marrow and fetal liver transplantation**

Non-competitive hematopoietic transplants were carried out in lethally irradiated (1100 cGy, Gammacell 40 Exactor, Best Theratronics) 8- to 12-week-old B6.SJL recipient mice. 1 x 10⁶ WBM or 3 x 10⁵ E14.5 fetal liver (FL) cells from Lfc/Arhgef2fl/fl or Lfc/Arhgef2−/− CD45.2+ donor mice were injected via tail vein. Competitive transplants were carried out using the same parameters but involved injecting either a 1:1 or 2:1 mixture of Lfc/Arhgef2−/−:C57Bl/6 WBM cells. Donor engraftment levels were serially monitored by tail vein blood collection and flow cytometry analysis using antibodies described below. Bone marrow transplant periods were ≥16 weeks in duration; secondary transplants were performed with doses of 1.5 x 10⁶ primary WBM cells. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was calculated on the non-competitive transplant cohorts. Homing experiments were conducted by injecting 5 x 10⁴ Lin− cells into lethally irradiated recipients and reisolating recipient bone marrow 16 hours later for flow cytometry analysis.

**Isolation of primary human HSCs and flow cytometry**

Patient samples were obtained with informed consent and approved by the research ethics board at McMaster University. Umbilical cord blood cells were collected, lineage depleted and flow cytometrically analyzed as previously published. Antibodies against mouse antigens included: CD45.2 v450 (BD); Lineage eFluor 450 (eBioscience), Alexa Fluor 700 (BioLegend); Sca-1 APC (eBioscience), PerCP-Cy5.5 (eBioscience); c-Kit PE-Cy7 (BD); CD150 PE (BioLegend); CD48 FITC (BD), APC (eBioscience); and CD11b PE, Gr-1 APC-Cy7, B220 APC, CD4 PE, CD8a APC-
Cy7 (BD). Sorting was performed on a MoFlo XDP (Beckman Coulter), routine acquisition on an LSRII (BD) and flow analysis completed using FlowJo v10.0.7 (Tree Star Inc.).

**Lentiviral constructs, knockdown and overexpression validation, and virus production**

Third generation shRNA sequences against human ARHGEF2 and SBDS were selected based on high sensor assay rankings\(^3\)\(^5\) and cloned into lentiviral vectors pZIP-SFFV-ZsGreen-Puro (TransOMIC Technologies) or pZIP-SFFV-tNGFR-Puro re-adapted to contain a miR-E delivery scaffold.\(^3\)^\(^5\) qPCR validation of knockdown was performed on leukemia cell lines with the following primers: ARHGEF2 (left 5'-TACCTGCGGCGAATTAAGAT-3', right 5'-AAACAGCCCGACCTTCTCTC-3'; Roche Universal ProbeLibrary #22); EIF4H (left 5'-CGTGGATCCAACATGGATTT-3', right 5'-GGAGTCGTGGTCTCTGTGCT-3'; Roche Universal ProbeLibrary #35); and ACTB (Assay ID: Hs01060665_g1, Thermo Fisher). Human ARHGEF2 cDNA (NM_001162384.1) was subcloned into the pUMG-LV5 lentiviral expression vector (a gift from Maria Mesuraca). Western blot validation of knockdown was performed using antibodies against human ARHGEF2 (Abcam, ab201687) and ACTB (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-81178). Lentiviruses were produced by co-transfection of the vector with viral packaging plasmids pMD2.G and psPAX2 (a gift from Didier Trono, Addgene #12259 and #12260) into 293FT cells (Fisher Scientific).

**Cord blood infection and xenotransplantation experiments**

5 x 10^4 CD34^+ human cord blood HSPCs were cultured in StemSpan SFEM II (STEMCELL Technologies) supplemented with 100 ng/mL hSCF, 100 ng/mL hFLT3, 20 ng/mL hTPO and 20 ng/mL hIL-6 for 16–20 hours. Lentivirus encoding shRNAs against Luciferase or ARHGEF2 were added and transduced cultures kept another 3 days before gene transfer values were measured by
flow cytometry and 1/5 of day 0 equivalent cultures transplanted intrafemorally into each sublethally-irradiated (315 cGy) NSG recipient mouse. Bone marrow aspirates were taken from the opposite femur between 8–10 weeks post-transplant; bilateral iliac crests, femurs and tibias were processed to evaluate the bone marrow ≥16 weeks post-transplant using flow cytometry.

**Live cell imaging of mitotic spindle orientation**

For fluorescent labeling, H2B-EGFP and mCherry-α-tubulin were cloned from pLKO-H2B-EGFP (a gift from Daniel Schramek) and mCh-alpha-tubulin (a gift from Gia Voeltz, Addgene #49149) vectors into a MSCV retroviral backbone. These constructs were cotransfected with pGP1 and pHCMV-G packaging plasmids into 293GPG packaging cells. Viral supernatant was added to the GP+E-86 packaging line and EGFP⁺mCherry⁺ producers sorted for co-culture with mouse LSKs over 3 days in equally mixed HyClone DMEM (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) and StemSpan SFEM (STEMCELL Technologies) media supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco) and the aforementioned murine cytokines. Sorted CD45.2⁺EGFP⁺mCherry⁺ cells were plated onto retronectin coated chambered glass bottom µ-slides (Ibidi, 80447 and 80827). Live cell fluorescence images were acquired using a scanning laser confocal microscope with a 60x oil immersion objective (Nikon Eclipse Ti2). 15 µm stacks were obtained over 21 steps at 0.7 µm per step. Images were processed using ImageJ2 on the Fiji platform where they were cropped to focus on dividing cells and rotated such that the plane of division was parallel to the X-axis of the image. Once aligned, the angle of division was visualized using an orthogonal view of the XZ plane. The angle of division was measured between the X-axis and the uppermost centrosome with the lowermost centrosome being used as the vertex of the angle.
Results

**ARHGEF2 is significantly downregulated in HSCs within patients with SDS**

Defects at the stem and progenitor level underlie aspects of the severe paucity of hematopoiesis characteristic in inherited bone marrow failure syndromes. In exploring possible molecular mechanisms underpinning these disorders, we analyzed a recently generated dataset of single cell RNA sequencing on CD34+ bone marrow HSPCs of patients diagnosed with SDS and observed that ARHGEF2 was downregulated in SDS HSC/MPPs (FDR = 0.0029) and common myeloid progenitors (CMPs) (FDR = 0.0087) when compared to the same cell subsets found in healthy individuals (Figure 1A-C). ARHGEF2 represented 1 of 229 genes found to be significantly and differentially reduced in expression in the HSC/MPPs of patients with SDS out of approximately 11,000 detected genes. Previous reports have demonstrated Lfc/Arhgef2 as a unique GEF that associates with, helps assemble and orients the mitotic spindle. In the mammalian neural system, downregulation of Lfc/Arhgef2 led to impaired neurogenesis and maintained precursors in a cycling state. In cord blood (CB) CD34+ cells, capitalizing on shRNA-mediated knockdown of SBDS, a known pathogenic driver in SDS, we show that the ARHGEF2 transcript levels mirror the reduction in SBDS mRNA (Figure 1D, E). Moreover, while overexpression of ARHGEF2 did not alter early apoptosis levels in K562 cells targeted with shLuciferase, a trend of enhanced cell survival at the day 3 time point was observed with SBDS knockdown and ectopic ARHGEF2 expression, suggesting that ARHGEF2 may be dependent on and/or an important effector of disrupted SBDS activity (Figure 1D, F). Based on these combined data, we hypothesized that as it does in neural progenitors, Lfc/Arhgef2 similarly regulates HSC function and division within the hematopoietic system.
Lfc/Arhgef2−/− mice undergo compromised embryonic development and exhibit mild hematopoietic alterations at native steady-state

To directly investigate the effects of loss of function of Lfc/Arhgef2 in mammalian hematopoiesis, we capitalized on a previously validated Lfc/Arhgef2−/− mouse model49 to first characterize the nature of steady-state hematopoiesis in its absence (Figure 2A). While Lfc/Arhgef2−/− mice were viable, litter sizes were noticeably reduced and serial heterozygous crosses yielded significantly fewer Lfc/Arhgef2−/− mice than expected (Figure 2B, Top). In developing embryos, we observed an overall decrease in the percentage and absolute number of Lfc/Arhgef2−/− fetal liver HSCs relative to controls (Figure 2B, Bottom). Among viable adult Lfc/Arhgef2−/− mice, native peripheral blood analysis revealed approximately 25% fewer circulating platelets (Figure 2C), but no other significant differences in the number of leukocytes. These parameters were unchanged in both younger (4 months) and maturing adult (8 months) mice. Immunophenotyping of Lfc/Arhgef2−/− adult bone marrow revealed a higher myeloid-to-lymphoid ratio that was maintained at the terminal end of the hierarchy (Figure 2D). There were significantly fewer lineage-negative cells (Figure 2E), characterized by fewer restricted (Lin−CD150−CD48+) and lymphoid (Lin−Sca-1+c-Kit−, LS) progenitors (Figure 2F) and a corresponding relative increase in myeloid (Lin−Sca-1−c-Kit+, LK) progenitors within the lineage-negative compartment (Figure 2F). However, neither HSPCs (Lin−Sca-1+c-Kit+, LSK) nor long-term HSCs (LSK CD150−CD48−, LT-HSCs) were significantly different between knockout and control adult bone marrow samples (Figure 2G). Overall, these data indicate that while Lfc/Arhgef2−/− embryonic development is compromised and signs of thrombocytopenia are present in viable Lfc/Arhgef2−/− mice, adult steady-state hematopoiesis is stable and only mildly altered in mice where the blood system is sufficiently established.
Lfc/Arhgef2<sup>−/−</sup> bone marrow HSPCs do not show significant alterations in their total myeloid colony output, proliferation, cell cycle or apoptosis status

To measure progenitor outputs, we performed colony forming unit (CFU) assays of whole bone marrow. While we did notice a slight decrease in the proportion of CFU-G, the remainder of all myeloid progenitors including CFU-GEMMs were present in similar numbers in Lfc/Arhgef2<sup>−/−</sup> bone marrow as compared to Lfc/Arhgef2<sup>fl/fl</sup> controls (Figure 3A). When measured at two distinct time points in culture, Lfc/Arhgef2<sup>−/−</sup> LSK HSPCs did not differ in their proliferation rates (Figure 3B, Left), cell cycle status (Figure 3B, Middle, Right), or levels of early and late apoptosis (Figure 3C). These results argue against severe defects in either spindle stability (e.g. lack of and/or multipolar spindles) and DNA damage (e.g. aneuploidy, chromatin bridges) in these cells and show that apart from defects in granulocyte colony numbers, Lfc/Arhgef2<sup>−/−</sup> HSPCs are functionally comparable to control HSPCs in their overall myeloid progenitor outputs, division kinetics, apoptosis and growth.

Lfc/Arhgef2<sup>−/−</sup> fetal liver and bone marrow insufficiently reconstitute the blood system, more heavily relies on and shows production deficits in HSCs

We next sought to verify that the decrease of phenotypic fetal liver HSCs was also apparent at the functional level by transplanting matched doses of E14.5 fetal liver cells isolated from Lfc/Arhgef2<sup>fl/fl</sup> and Lfc/Arhgef2<sup>−/−</sup> embryos into lethally-irradiated congenic recipients (Figure 4A). Within two weeks, the vast majority of recipients of Lfc/Arhgef2<sup>−/−</sup> cells became moribund, while all mice having received Lfc/Arhgef2<sup>fl/fl</sup> cells survived until the experimental 16-week post-transplant endpoint (Figure 4B). In 2 of the 6 Lfc/Arhgef2<sup>−/−</sup> recipients that survived until 10 days post-transplant, we noted a relative decrease in the percentage of peripheral CD45.2<sup>+</sup> and Gr-1<sup>+</sup> granulocytic engraftment, with the lowest of engrafted of these becoming moribund shortly after
this sampling (Figure 4C). Together, this data indicates a significant impairment in functional repopulating HSCs within the fetal liver of Lfc/Arhgef2−/− mice.

To functionally test the hematopoietic reconstitution capacity of Lfc/Arhgef2−/− bone marrow, we performed competitive and non-competitive serial transplantation assays in vivo (Figure 4A). In noncompetitive transplants, the majority of mice receiving Lfc/Arhgef2−/− bone marrow showed evidence of anemia and became moribund, whereas recipients of Lfc/Arhgef2fl/fl bone marrow did not display signs of hematopoietic insufficiency and/or delayed recovery (Figure 4D, E). Similar phenotypes and increased mortality were also evident in secondary transplant settings (Figure 4E). Importantly, this post-transplant failure phenotype was not due to compromised homing abilities, since as early as 16 hours post-transplantation, Lfc/Arhgef2−/− Lin− cells homed to recipient bone marrow with an efficiency comparable to Lfc/Arhgef2fl/fl Lin− cells (Figure 4F). However, within the grafts of the recipients of Lfc/Arhgef2−/− bone marrow that remained at the end of secondary transplants, Lin−CD150+CD48− HSCs were significantly exhausted in comparison to those found in control grafts (Figure 4G). Competitive primary transplants of Lfc/Arhgef2−/− bone marrow against wildtype bone marrow further demonstrated significantly impaired reconstitution at both equivalent (1:1) doses and when biased (2:1) to give an advantage to Lfc/Arhgef2−/− bone marrow (Figure 4H). Interestingly, LT-HSCs were found to be significantly overrepresented in the few remaining Lfc/Arhgef2−/− cells compared to Lfc/Arhgef2fl/fl controls within grafts of secondary transplants (Figure 4I), highlighting a clear production deficit of downstream cells at the most primitive level. These findings demonstrate that in the absence of Lfc/Arhgef2, transplant-driven hematopoiesis heavily relies on LT-HSCs and primitive progenitors and that functional deficits existing at these levels lead to reduced hematopoietic engraftment and a subsequent increased mortality within recipient mice.
**Lfc/Arhgef2−/− HSPCs exhibit a significantly increased frequency of misoriented divisions**

Since Lfc/Arhgef2 has been uniquely characterized to function by orienting the mitotic spindle, we used a previously published live cell imaging method to measure the angle of division of mouse HSPCs. LSK cells were labelled with H2B-EGFP and mCherry-α-tubulin and plated on retronectin covered chambered slides. By acquiring confocal z-stacks of dividing cells and generating orthogonal projections of cell division events at telophase, we verified that wildtype LSK HSPCs preferentially divide parallel (between 0 and 10°) to this underlying substrate (Figure 5A). However, while Lfc/Arhgef2−/− LSK HSPCs also yielded parallel division events, we observed a significantly increased frequency of non-parallel angles that reached as high as 60° (Figure 5B). These results indicate that while altered divisional preferences do not largely compromise HSPC survival and division kinetics *in vitro*, the post-transplant failure phenotypes measured *in vivo* could potentially be explained by dysregulated fate decisions as a result of misoriented divisions. Our results are thus consistent with the concept that Lfc/Arhgef2 is essential for regulating HSC divisional orientation and effective lineage differentiation within their niche during the establishment of hematopoiesis.

**ARHGEF2 knockdown in human HSCs compromises hematopoietic xenografts**

To elucidate if ARHGEF2 function is conserved in human hematopoiesis, we performed immunofluorescence staining on several myeloid leukemia cell lines and confirmed that ARHGEF2 localizes at the microtubule apparatus during division (Figure 6A). To determine the functional effects of ARHGEF2 downregulation in human hematopoiesis, shRNAs against either ARHGEF2 or a Luciferase control were introduced into cord blood CD34+ HSPCs (Figure 6B, C). Similar to results derived from Lfc/Arhgef2−/− mice, cells with reduced ARHGEF2 proliferated
comparably or was slightly dampened relative to controls (Figure 6D). Myeloid CFU assays yielded no significant differences in multipotent progenitor colonies and significantly decreased monocytic progenitors, while the total colony number remained equivocal across settings (Figure 6E). These data suggest that ARHGEF2 knockdown in human hematopoietic progenitors imparts only mild defects at the lineage-restricted level. Finally, using two separate and efficient shRNAs against ARHGEF2, in vivo analyses of intrafemorally xenotransplanted NSG recipient mice at 16 weeks post-transplant showed significantly diminished hematopoietic grafts with a paucity of CD15+ myeloid output observed in the residual xenografts of mice receiving ARHGEF2 knockdown cells compared to controls (Figure 6F, G). Considered with our murine data, this clear in vivo phenotype in the human context demonstrates the cross-species importance of ARHGEF2 to the regenerative and productive capacity of HSCs and may implicate ARHGEF2-regulated spindle orientation in human hematopoiesis (Figure 6H).

Discussion

In addition to its role in modulating RhoA activity at mitotic spindles, two studies to date have shown that Lfc/Arhgef2 associates with microtubules through the dynein light chain Dynlt1/Tctex-1 and participates in a positive feedback loop in RAS transformed cells to potentiate MAPK signaling independent of its RhoGEF activity. While we cannot rule out the MAPK-regulatory function of Lfc/Arhgef2 underlying our observed phenotypes, the role of this pathway here is unlikely given that MAPK inhibition has been previously shown to have the opposite effect of improving HSC growth and output in vitro and in vivo. Global RhoA dependence has also been tested in the hematopoietic system, however only in the context of conditional deletion within well-established chimeric grafts, where loss of the entire cellular pool...
of RhoA does not alter steady-state HSCs, but rather induces bone marrow failure due to significant progenitor loss.\textsuperscript{55} Our data using multiple transplant models highlights HSC but not pronounced progenitor deficiencies, suggesting that regulation of RhoA activity at the mitotic spindle by Lfc/Arhgef2 represents an important axis for productive HSC divisions during the critical window over which hematopoiesis is established that may not be obvious at steady-state. In further support of this point, we note that in examining the above-mentioned single cell RNA-sequencing data, ARHGEF2, but not RHOA, is downregulated in primitive pediatric SDS cells (data not shown).\textsuperscript{45}

Our finding of decreased fetal liver HSC function in Lfc/Arhgef2\textsuperscript{−/−} mice indicates that fetal hematopoiesis defects may underlie the reduced fraction of Lfc/Arhgef2\textsuperscript{−/−} embryos that reach post-natal viability. Indeed, proper establishment of the hematopoietic system during development requires a minimum number of productive HSC divisions in the fetal liver.\textsuperscript{56,57} Thus, only some Lfc/Arhgef2\textsuperscript{−/−} embryos may generate enough effective HSC divisions to allow for sufficient downstream production of functional progenitors to populate the hematopoietic system. Our results \textit{in vivo} using adult HSCs interrogated in two distinct transplant models provide further important insight into the mechanism of Lfc/Arhgef2 loss on HSC decision-making in different bone marrow states. In recipients of competitively transplanted Lfc/Arhgef2\textsuperscript{−/−} cells, wildtype HSCs regenerated hematopoiesis more effectively, placing less of the reconstitution burden on Lfc/Arhgef2\textsuperscript{−/−} HSCs and allowing read-out of their preferred tendency to divide in a manner that promotes accumulation of primitive cells. This elevated HSC frequency may be due to an uncoupling of the cell polarity axis from their orientation of division, leading to a relative retention of stemness determinants in daughter cells. Alternatively, their compromised ability to adopt particular divisional orientations may result in Lfc/Arhgef2\textsuperscript{−/−} daughter cells being
localized in more niche-proximal locations where they would receive enhanced HSC maintenance cues. In the non-competitive transplant setting, where in contrast the long-term regeneration of hematopoiesis is entirely dependent on Lfc/Arhgef2−/− HSCs, the paucity of progenitors generated as a result of the spindle orientation defects leads to a heavier reliance on Lfc/Arhgef2−/− HSC divisions. In this latter context, which importantly mimics the dependencies on HSCs in developmental hematopoiesis, the observed outcome of stem cell loss is likely due to an exhaustion of Lfc/Arhgef2−/− HSCs.

Our observation of similarly defective hematopoietic reconstitution in vivo upon transplant of ARHGEF2-depleted human HSCs suggests a conserved function of Lfc/Arhgef2 across species. Downregulation of ARHGEF2 and acutely upon SBDS repression in CD34+ cells points to the possibility that repression of ARHGEF2 in SDS patients may contribute to defective HSC-driven hematopoiesis. It is interesting to note that in our Lfc/Arhgef2−/− mouse model we observe native thrombocytopenia, which may indicate an additional role for Lfc/Arhgef2 in regulating megakaryocyte maturation,58,59 defects in neutrophil chemotaxis,60 and while not formally characterized yet, bone malformations and clear neurological abnormalities (data not shown), the latter of which may be consistent with other reports outlining cognitive impairments and intellectual disability in patients with ARHGEF2 loss-of-function mutations.61,62 Importantly, all of these features can be found in patients diagnosed with SDS,63,64 encouraging future efforts to understand if the loss of ARHGEF2 function contributes to the etiology and pathogenesis of SDS.

In closing, our work highlights implications for how mitotic spindle orientation itself may more broadly affect stem cell division during development and disease. During brain development, centrosomal protein loss-of-function events that influence spindle orientation disrupt the balance
of symmetric and asymmetric divisions leading to microcephaly. Conceptual parallels may therefore also exist between spindle-regulating genes and bone marrow failure syndromes within and beyond SDS. Indeed, the loss of Cdk5rap2, a centrosomal spindle-orienting protein, results in a macrocytic, hypoproliferative anemia and leukopenia (“Hertwig’s anaemia”) in a heavily irradiated mouse model. With the identification of several other spindle-regulating genes implicated in microcephaly, it may be interesting to determine if any of these genes also have roles within bone marrow failure or in disorders that result in tissue insufficiency elsewhere. Finally, the fact that SDS progresses with high frequency to myeloid malignancies where expansion of transformed HSCs is known to be early pathogenic events suggests that spindle orientation dysregulation may also be interesting to explore as a possible contributor to the larger group of disorders that include clonal hematopoiesis, myelodysplastic syndrome and/or leukemia.
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Figures

Figure 1. **ARHGEF2 is significantly downregulated in SDS patient HSCs.** (A) **ARHGEF2** transcript expression within single HSC, common myeloid progenitors (CMP), megakaryocyte-erythroid progenitors (MEP) and multi-lymphoid progenitor (MLP) cells from CD34⁺ patient samples from normal (.N, black) or Shwachman-Diamond syndrome (.S, blue) backgrounds. (B–C) **ARHGEF2** transcript expression from individual patient samples within HSC/MPP (B) (**, FDR=0.0029) and CMP (C) (**, FDR=0.0087) populations. ND represents normal donor and SDS represents Shwachman-Diamond syndrome samples respectively. Each data point represents a single cell. (D) (Top) Western blot validation of shRNAs targeting SBDS and (Bottom) **ARHGEF2** overexpression. (E) qPCR assessment of SBDS and **ARHGEF2** expression in human CD34⁺ HSPCs following SBDS knockdown (n = 2 cord blood samples). (F) Flow cytometric evaluation of early apoptosis levels upon concomitant **ARHGEF2** overexpression and SBDS knockdown in K562 cells; n = 3 biological replicates evaluated at day 3 of in vitro cultures.
Figure 2. Native Lfc/Arhgef2 heterozygous mice exhibit mildly altered hematopoietic parameters.
(A) Genomic locus of murine Lfc/Arhgef2 annotated with exon 2 flanked with loxP sites. (B) (Top) Non-Mendelian ratios observed from heterozygous Lfc/Arhgef2 heterozygous crosses across 205 born pups. (Bottom) Relative percentage and absolute number of fetal liver HSCs (Lin− CD150−CD48−CD11b+) are decreased in Lfc/Arhgef2 embryos. (C) Decreased circulating platelets in Lfc/Arhgef2 mice (n = 4 mice per age group). (D) Higher myeloid-to-B lymphoid ratios, (E) fewer lineage-negative cell populations and (F) less restricted (Left) lymphoid (Middle) progenitors in Lfc/Arhgef2−/− bone marrow. (F) (Right) Relative increase in myeloid progenitors within lineage-negative compartment of Lfc/Arhgef2−/− bone marrow. (G) (Left) LSK HSPCs and (Right) LSK+SLAM LT-HSCs are not statistically different in Lfc/Arhgef2−/− mice. (D–G) n = 7 mice per group. (C–G) * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01; error bars represent standard error of the mean.
Figure 3. Lfc/Arhgef2−/− bone marrow HSPCs are not altered in their total myeloid colony output, proliferation, cell cycle or apoptosis status. (A) Myeloid colony-forming units from 1.2 x 10^4 whole bone marrow cells plated in biological triplicate enumerated at 12–14 days; (B) (Left) LSK HSPCs cultured in vitro enumerated for proliferation, (Middle) proportional G1 and (Right) S/G2/M cell cycle status; and (C) (Left) early and (Right) late apoptosis at days 4 and 7 in culture. (B–C) n = 6 biological replicates; error bars represent standard error of the mean.
Figure 4. Lfc/Arhgef2^{−/−} fetal liver and bone marrow insufficiently reconstitute the blood system and show productive deficits at the HSC level. (A) Experimental schematic of non-competitive and competitive transplantations. (B) Kaplan-Meier survival curves demonstrating higher mortality among recipients of Lfc/Arhgef2^{−/−} E14.5 fetal liver cells; n = 6 recipients with n = 2 biological Lfc/Arhgef2^{0/1} and n = 3 Lfc/Arhgef2^{−/−} fetal liver donors. (C) Decreased levels of peripheral blood engraftment and granulocytic populations as measured in the two remaining recipient mice of Lfc/Arhgef2^{−/−} E14.5 fetal liver cells at Day 10 post-transplant. (D) Insufficient and/or delayed hematopoietic recovery in the peripheral blood of primary transplanted mouse recipients of Lfc/Arhgef2^{−/−} bone marrow. (E) Kaplan-Meier survival curves demonstrating higher mortality among recipients of non-competitively
transplanted Lfc/Arhgef2−/− bone marrow. (F) Comparable homing efficiencies from 5 x 10⁴ Lin− Lfc/Arhgef2−/− bone marrow cells. (G) Lower levels of Lfc/Arhgef2−/− Lin− CD150⁺CD48⁻ HSCs in secondary non-competitively transplanted bone marrow grafts. (H) Poorer engraftment levels from competing 1:1 and 2:1 Lfc/Arhgef2−/−:wildtype doses of bone marrow among primary recipients. (I) Increased proportion of LSK CD150⁻CD48⁻ LT-HSCs within secondary grafts among competitively transplanted recipients. Primary non-competitive transplants and homing experiments were with n = 6 recipients/condition using n = 3 biological replicate bone marrow samples. Secondary non-competitive transplants were completed with n = 7 Lfc/Arhgef2−/− and n = 4 Lfc/Arhgef2−/− recipients, n = 2 experiments. Primary competitive transplants initiated with n = 3 recipients/dose and secondary competitive analyses conducted with n = 3 recipients from n = 2 primary mice. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01; error bars represent standard error of the mean.

Figure 5. Loss of Lfc/Arhgef2 function disrupts HSPC mitotic spindle orientation.
(A) Representative z-stack stitched images of LSK HSPCs retrovirally transduced with both H2B-EGFP and mCherry-α-tubulin imaged under live cell fluorescence microscopy to capture telophase events. (B) Quantification of cytokinesis events indicate that Lfc/Arhgef2−/− LSK HSPCs exhibit a significantly increased frequency of random divisional orientations whereas wildtype HSPCs preferentially divide parallel to an underlying retronectin substrate. (n = 55 and 56 cells for wildtype and Lfc/Arhgef2−/− backgrounds respectively).
Figure 6. Loss of ARHGEF2 function in CD34+ HSPCs results in significantly diminished xenografts.

(A) Immunofluorescent staining showing co-localization of ARHGEF2 and TUBA at the mitotic spindle in THP-1 and LAMA-84 cell lines. (B) Schematic of shRNA knockdown of ARHGEF2 in CD34+ HSPCs in vivo and in vitro. (C) Protein level knockdown validation of shRNAs against ARHGEF2. (D) Proliferation of CD34+ HSCs in vitro over 7 days. (E) Colony output of ARHGEF2 knocked down HSPCs. (F) Decreased engraftment and output of CD15+ myeloid cells (G) derived from CD34+ HSPCs with comparable gene transfer levels receiving shRNAs targeting ARHGEF2, n = 5 recipients each derived from n = 1 cord blood sample. (H) Model summarizing the role of Lfc/Arhgef2 (red dots) actively orienting the HSC mitotic spindle within the niche when establishing hematopoiesis, the loss of which leads to bone marrow failure at the stem cell level. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01; error bars represent standard error of the mean.
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Abstract

Proper regulation of RNA localization, fidelity and translation are fundamental developmental mechanisms that depend on the function of associated RNA-binding proteins (RBPs). Staufen, a member of double-stranded RBPs, mediates asymmetric cell division in neural precursors; however, its role in the hematopoietic system remains unclear. Here, we studied the role of both mammalian paralogs of Staufen and identify that Stau1, but not Stau2, is essential to hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) activity in vivo. We also confirm another RBP family known to localize RNAs, Pumilio, as critical regulators of HSC function. Unbiased global profiling of transcriptome and proteome changes with Stau1 downregulation in the primitive hematopoietic compartment reveal expression signatures predictive of an immune/inflammatory-associated anemia, with post-transcriptional pathway analyses highlighting concordant loss of erythroid-promoting transcription factors and cell cycle regulators, gain of an expression state consistent with interferon-γ activity, and discordant regulatory axes coalescing on translational regulation and ribosomal RNA processing. These findings position Stau1 as a guardian of HSC-driven erythropoiesis, with implications potentially relevant to the pathogenesis of aplastic anemia and/or paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria, and provides a rational foundation for related future investigations in human HSCs and clinical anemias.
Introduction

RNA localization and translational regulation are key mechanisms that directly influence developmental outcomes. In *Drosophila melanogaster*, the precise spatiotemporal translation of mRNA transcripts encoding the cell fate determinants *gurken*, *bicoid*, *oskar* and *nanos* ensures appropriate formation and patterning of dorsoventral and anteroposterior axes in the embryo (Becalska and Gavis, 2009). Similarly, in *Xenopus laevis* oocytes, vegetal pole translation of the determinants *Nanos1*, *Xdazl*, *Vg1* and *VegT* mRNAs are critical for germ cell specification and mesendoderm patterning (King *et al.*, 2005). Recent global analyses have shown that nearly 70% of all tested mRNAs show some pattern of localization in the early *Drosophila* embryo (Lécuyer *et al.*, 2007), with subsequent studies confirming that subcellular RNA localization appears to be the norm rather than the exception for both coding and non-coding RNA species (Jambor *et al.*, 2015; Wilk *et al.*, 2016), indicating that spatiotemporal targeting of transcripts is a widespread means to diversify and/or execute function.

Studies on polarized segregation of mRNAs during asymmetric cell division (ACD) demonstrate that this process depends on the asymmetric distribution and binding capacities of RNA-binding proteins (RBPs). In *Drosophila*, localization of *bicoid* and *oskar* mRNAs requires the binding capacity of the double-stranded RBP (dsRBP) Staufen (St Johnston *et al.*, 1991, Li *et al.*, 1997; Broadus *et al.*, 1998), whereas localized translational repression of *hunchback* mRNA during pattern specification requires the RBP Pumilio (Wreden *et al.*, 1997). In *Xenopus*, vegetal Vg1 mRNA localization depends on the function of the RBP Vera/Igf2bp (Schwartz *et al.*, 1992). Other members of these historically characterized maternal effect genes, largely categorized into anterior, abdominal or posterior groups based on their localization, are importantly RNA-centric,
with roles that have since been revealed to regulate multiple aspects of RNA processing, stability and/or translation.

In mammals, the roles of Staufen have been more broadly described to not only mediate ACD (Vessey et al., 2012; Kusek et al., 2012), but also elicit RNA decay (Park and Maquat, 2013), regulate splicing (Ravel-Chapuis et al., 2012), initiate adaptive stress responses (Thomas et al., 2009) and alter translational control (Ricci et al., 2014). These additional functions are thought to have arisen from an evolutionary acquisition of genomic Alu elements within primates that are recognized and bound by Staufen (Lucas et al., 2018). Interestingly, both mammalian Staufen paralogs – Stau1 and Stau2 – regulate primitive brain development in different ways, as Stau1 containing ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes use RNA decay to balance neural stem cell pools with cortical neurogenesis (Moon et al., 2018), while Stau2 RNP complexes localize important fate determinants during ACD to maintain a similar balance between neural precursors and downstream cell types (Vessey et al., 2012; Kusek et al., 2012).

Stau1 and Stau2 both independently and cooperatively elicit RNA decay by recruiting Upf1 to various 3′-untranslated regions (UTRs) (Kim et al., 2005; Park et al., 2013). In some cases, long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs) harbouring repetitive Alu elements bridge and pair with others present in 3′-UTRs to trigger Staufen-mediated decay (SMD) (Gong et al., 2013). Relative expression levels of Stau1 and Stau2 dictate the extent of SMD in competition with the canonical nonsense-mediated decay (NMD) pathway, and together may act as a rheostat in maintaining and/or directing mRNA quality control and fate (Park and Maquat, 2013). In contrast, certain structured 5′-UTRs that recruit Stau1 binding result in enhanced translation (Dugré-Brisson et al., 2005). Stau1 binding to coding sequence (CDS) regions that have greater GC content and/or
propensity to form secondary structures also increase ribosomal loading (Ricci et al., 2014) and enrich for genes that encode for various transcriptional regulators and cell cycle factors.

In situations of oxidative and/or endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, cap-independent internal ribosome entry site (IRES)-mediated translation of Stau1 functions to promote cellular recovery by stabilizing polysomes (Dugré-Brisson et al., 2005), dissolving stress granules (Thomas et al., 2009; Ravel-Chapuis et al., 2016) and promoting splicing of mRNAs such as Xbp1 that protect against ER stress (Sugimoto et al., 2015). Other work has shown RNA binding competition between Stau1 and other dsRBPs, including p54nrb that works to retain RNAs in the nucleus, and protein kinase R (PKR) that acts as a dsRNA sensor to mediate global translational shutdown in settings of viral infection (Elbarbary et al., 2013). Recent studies also detail antagonistic and competitive binding of mRNA targets that are enriched for genes related to apoptosis between Stau1 and adenosine deaminase (Adar1), another dsRBP known for its RNA editing activity (Sakurai et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2017).

Here, we demonstrate that Stau1, but not Stau2, is important for HSC activity in vivo. We also confirm recent findings that another RBP family known for localizing RNAs, Pumilio, critically regulates HSC function (Naudin et al., 2017). Interestingly, expression signatures of Stau1 downregulation in the primitive hematopoietic compartment reflect a molecular signature predictive of anemia consistent with an activated immune/inflammatory state, with several altered post-transcriptional direct and/or indirect pathways that may explain this outcome. Our findings suggest possible roles for Stau1 in the pathogenesis of aplastic anemia and/or paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria, providing rationale for future studies in the human setting to better understand how anemia may arise at a primitive cellular level.
Materials and Methods

Functional assays of HSCs and progenitors

A previously described in vitro to in vivo series of functional assays for HSC and progenitor activity was followed (Hope et al., 2010) with minor modifications. Briefly, bone marrow was collected from bilateral femurs, tibias and iliac crests from 6- to 8-week-old, sex-balanced B6.SJL mice, lineage-depleted and flow-sorted to obtain a Lin^-CD150^CD48^- population. Cells were pre-stimulated overnight in StemSpan SFEM media (STEMCELL Technologies) supplemented with mSCF (R&D, 100 ng/mL), mTPO (Peprotech, 100 ng/mL), mL-3 (Peprotech, 10 ng/mL) and mL-6 (Peprotech, 10 ng/mL) prior to being transduced with ultracentrifuge-concentrated and filtered lentiviruses for short hairpins (shRNAs) targeting Luciferase, Stau1, Stau2, Pum1 or Pum2 (see following table) expressed in a pZIP-mEF1α-ZsGreen-miR-E backbone (TransOMIC technologies) at a multiplicity of infection of 100 for 3 days. Half-well equivalents were transplanted into each lethally irradiated (1100 cGy, Gammancell 40 Exactor, Best Theratronics) C57Bl/6 recipient mouse with 1 x 10^5 unfractionated recipient bone marrow competitor cells. Peripheral blood analysis was conducted every 4 weeks post-transplant to monitor engraftment levels by measuring CD45.1^ cells using flow cytometry. ZsGreen^ cells were sorted out of transduced wells and seeded into myeloid-promoting colony-forming unit (CFU) assays using Methocult GF M3434 (STEMCELL Technologies). Colonies from technical duplicates were scored 10–14 days after plating. Antibodies against mouse antigens included the following: CD45.2 v450 (BD); Lineage eFluor 450 (eBioscience), Alexa Fluor 700 (BioLegend); Sca-1 APC (eBioscience), PerCP-Cy5.5 (eBioscience); c-Kit PE-Cy7 (BD); CD150 PE (BioLegend); CD48 FITC (BD), APC (eBioscience); CD11b PE (BD); Gr-1 APC-Cy7 (BD); B220 APC (BD); CD4 PE (BD); and CD8a APC-Cy7 (BD). Bone marrow samples obtained from recipient mice were pre-
treated with Fc block (BD Biosciences). During flow cytometry, cells were discriminated on the basis of size, granularity and viability depending on 7-AAD exclusion as needed. Sorting was performed on a MoFlo XDP (Beckman Coulter), while routine acquisition was performed on a LSRII instrument equipped with FACSDiva software (BD Biosciences). Flow analysis was completed using FlowJo v10.0.7 (Tree Star Inc.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>shRNA</th>
<th>97-mer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>shLuciferase</td>
<td>TGCTGTGACAGTGAGGCAGGCAGCAGCATATGGGCTGAATACAAATTAGTGAGCCACAGGTTATATTTGTATCAGGCCATATCTGTCCTAAGCTGCTCGGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shStau1.1481</td>
<td>TGCTGTGAGTGAGCCAGCGACGACATTATGAGAACGAGCTATGAGCCACAGGTTATATTTGTATCAGGCCATATCTGTCCTAAGCTGCTCGGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shStau1.1584</td>
<td>TGCTGTGACAGTGAGCCAGCGACGACATTATGAGAACGAGCTATGAGCCACAGGTTATATTTGTATCAGGCCATATCTGTCCTAAGCTGCTCGGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shStau1.1585</td>
<td>TGCTGTGACAGTGAGCCAGCGACGACATTATGAGAACGAGCTATGAGCCACAGGTTATATTTGTATCAGGCCATATCTGTCCTAAGCTGCTCGGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shStau1.2864</td>
<td>TGCTGTGACAGTGAGCCAGCGACGACATTATGAGAACGAGCTATGAGCCACAGGTTATATTTGTATCAGGCCATATCTGTCCTAAGCTGCTCGGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shStau2.643</td>
<td>TGCTGTGACAGTGAGCCAGCGACGACATTATGAGAACGAGCTATGAGCCACAGGTTATATTTGTATCAGGCCATATCTGTCCTAAGCTGCTCGGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shStau2.811</td>
<td>TGCTGTGACAGTGAGCCAGCGACGACATTATGAGAACGAGCTATGAGCCACAGGTTATATTTGTATCAGGCCATATCTGTCCTAAGCTGCTCGGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shPum1.4806</td>
<td>TGCTGTGACAGTGAGCCAGCGACGACATTATGAGAACGAGCTATGAGCCACAGGTTATATTTGTATCAGGCCATATCTGTCCTAAGCTGCTCGGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shPum1.4877</td>
<td>TGCTGTGACAGTGAGCCAGCGACGACATTATGAGAACGAGCTATGAGCCACAGGTTATATTTGTATCAGGCCATATCTGTCCTAAGCTGCTCGGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shPum2.3110</td>
<td>TGCTGTGACAGTGAGCCAGCGACGACATTATGAGAACGAGCTATGAGCCACAGGTTATATTTGTATCAGGCCATATCTGTCCTAAGCTGCTCGGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shPum2.4843</td>
<td>TGCTGTGACAGTGAGCCAGCGACGACATTATGAGAACGAGCTATGAGCCACAGGTTATATTTGTATCAGGCCATATCTGTCCTAAGCTGCTCGGA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sample preparation for next-generation analyses**

Bilateral femurs, tibias and iliac crests were collected from 6- to 8-week old, sex-balanced C57Bl/6 mice, crushed, filtered, lysed for RBCs and lineage depleted. Cells were pre-stimulated overnight in StemSpan SFEM II (STEMCELL Technologies) basal media, supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco), mSCF (R&D, 100 ng/mL), mTPO (Peprotech, 100 ng/mL), mIL-3 (Peprotech, 10 ng/mL) and mIL-6 (Peprotech, 10 ng/mL) prior to being transduced with ultracentrifuge-concentrated and filtered lentiviruses prepared above at a multiplicity of infection of 100 for 5 days. ZsGreen+
cells for each sample were then flow-sorted in the ranges of $1.5 - 3 \times 10^6$ cells, washed and snap frozen.

**RNA-sequencing and analysis**

RNA extraction using Trizol LS (Thermo Fisher) was performed on $1 \times 10^5$ ZsGreen$^+$ sorted cells for each short hairpin. Samples were purified on RNeasy micro columns (Qiagen), quantified by QuBit fluorometer (Thermo Fisher) and assessed for RNA quality with the RNA 6000 pico assay on the BioAnalyzer 2100 (Agilent). Libraries were prepared with the KAPA Stranded mRNA-Seq Kit (KAPA) using Illumina adaptors for PCR amplification of cDNA libraries. Libraries were diluted to 10 nM and normalized by qPCR using the KAPA Library Quantification Kit (KAPA). Libraries were pooled to equimolar concentration and subjected to sequencing on the NextSeq 500 (Illumina) at a depth of 50 million reads per sample (150 cycles, paired-end, 80 base pair length). For data processing, output sequences were trimmed for sequencing adaptors and low quality 3’ bases using Trimmomatic v0.35 (Bolger et al., 2014) and aligned to the mouse reference genome mm10 using STAR v2.5.1b (Dobin et al., 2013). Gene and transcript level expressions were obtained both STAR read counts and computed using RSEM (Li and Dewey, 2011) for FPKM and TPM values. Differential expression analyses were carried out using DESeq2 v1.18.1 (Love et al., 2014) to normalize gene read counts. Alternative splicing analyses were conducted using rMATS v4.0.2 (Shen et al., 2014). RNA-seq data was validated by quantitative PCR (qPCR) of select genes using primers designed from the Universal Probe Library (Roche).

**Proteomic profiling and analysis**

Frozen cell pellets of sorted, biological duplicates of shLuciferase, shStau1.2864 and shStau1.1481 with ≥90% sort purities of ~20 µg were lysed in 50 µL of 8M urea (Sigma-Aldrich) and 100 mM
ammonium bicarbonate (Sigma-Aldrich) each. Lysates were vortexed at 2,800 rpm briefly for 10 seconds, incubated on ice for 10 seconds and repeated for 6 times. Samples were centrifuged at 21,000 x g for 5 minutes at 4°C. Proteins were then reduced using 5 mM tris (2-carboxyethyl) phosphine (Sigma-Aldrich) for 45 minutes at 37°C and alkylated with 10 mM iodoacetamide (Sigma-Aldrich) for 45 minutes at room temperature in the dark. Samples were diluted to lower the urea concentration by 5-fold with 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate (Sigma-Aldrich) and treated with sequencing grade modified trypsin (Promega) at a trypsin:protein (w:w) ratio of 1:50 for overnight digestion at 37°C. Trifluoroacetic acid (Thermo Scientific) was added to reduce pH and samples were desalted with SOLA Solid Phase Extraction 2 mg 96-well plates (Thermo Scientific). Processed peptides were eluted using 200 μL of 80% acetonitrile – 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid solution and speed-vacuum dried using Labconco CentriVap Benchtop Vacuum Concentrator (Kansas City, MO). Tandem Mass Tag (TMT) 6-plex isobaric labelling reagent (Thermo Fisher) was resuspended in liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry grade anhydrous acetonitrile (Sigma-Aldrich) following manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, 0.8 mg of TMT reagent was resuspended in 41 μL of acetonitrile and incubated at room temperature for 10 minutes, during which processed peptide samples were resuspended in 100 mM triethylammonium bicarbonate (Sigma-Aldrich) to 1 μg/μL concentration. Both were mixed with a 4:1 TMT:peptide ratio and incubated at room temperature for 1 hour. Each TMT reaction was quenched with 8 μL of 5% hydroxylamine (Sigma-Aldrich) for 15 minutes at room temperature. TMT-labeled samples were pooled together in equal ratios, separated on inhouse-made traps and analytical columns and delivered by an Ultimate 3000 RSILCNano UPLC system (Thermo Fisher) coupled to a QExactive HF quadrupole–Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher). Raw output data was processed on Proteome Discoverer v2.2 (Thermo Fisher) to assign and quantify protein identifications; contaminants were filtered out in generated lists.
Post-transcriptional overlay and pathway analyses

Post-transcriptional overlay analyses of genes detected at both the transcript and protein level in shStau1 samples were assembled by ranking genes in each dataset in order of decreasing fold change compared to shLuciferase controls in R v3.5.1, inverted and normalized to a range of 0 to 1. Equivalent values were assigned equivalent ranks. Biological duplicates were consolidated by the average of normalized values. Ranks in both datasets were paired by official gene symbol matching in two-dimensional overlay and used to calculate a Pearson correlation coefficient for gene expression at both detected transcript and protein levels. Genes on this overlay were fractionated by establishing thresholds of 1 standard deviation from the mean along each axis. Gene lists were subjected to gene ontology (GO) analysis of biological process enrichment by both percentage and Benjamini false discovery rates on a Mus musculus gene background using DAVID (Dennis et al., 2003). Differential expressed genes (DEGs) (non-adjusted p < 0.05) compared to shLuciferase from transcriptomes and proteomes of shStau1.2864 and shStau1.1481 were analyzed using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (Qiagen) to assess for disease and function associations, upstream regulator analysis, predicted regulator networks and match analysis to compare predictions across all samples.

Results

Stau1 is more highly expressed in HSC populations than committed progenitors

To determine the expression profile of Staufen in HSC and progenitor subsets, we first evaluated mouse Lin^-Sca-1^+c-Kit^+ (LSK) and Lin^-c-Kit^+ (LK) cell populations by quantitative PCR (qPCR) and found that Stau1 was highly expressed and enriched by 1.7-fold in LSK HSC and progenitors
(HSPCs) over LK progenitors, while Stau2 was minimally detected in LSK cells at ~2% of Stau1 expression levels (Figure 1A). Interestingly, whole transcriptome splicing analyses in wildtype mouse HSCs show that among Stau1 transcript variants, isoforms that maintain an intact and critical RNA-binding domain (dsRBD3) are more highly expressed in HSCs compared to others that have this element disrupted (Figure 1B) (Goldstein et al., 2017). In the human setting, STAU1 is ~2-fold more highly expressed in HSPC populations compared to lineage-restricted progenitors, whereas STAU2 exhibits a basal level of expression in HSPCs that does not significantly differ in downstream progenitors (Figure 1C) (Bagger et al., 2016).

**Stau1, Pum1 and Pum2 – but not Stau2 – are essential to primary HSC functions in vivo**

We characterized the function of Staufen in HSCs by performing RNAi-mediated knockdowns of Stau1 or Stau2 in Lin⁻CD150⁺CD48⁻ sorted populations and measured their ability to competitively reconstitute lethally-irradiated recipients (Figure 2A). While mid-level (45–75%) knockdown of Stau1 gave inconsistent results (data not shown), high-level (>90%) knockdown led to significantly lower engraftment levels that dropped to approximately 25% of graft levels present in shLuciferase controls at 16 weeks post-transplant, indicating that effective Stau1 downregulation compromises primary HSC repopulation in vivo (Figure 2B). Endpoint lineages in these grafts were not significantly skewed between myeloid, B and T cell outputs (Figure 2C), although *in vitro* colony-forming unit (CFU) assays showed an increased total myeloid progenitor output with Stau1 knockdown (Figure 2D). Suspension cultures of Lin⁻CD150⁺CD48⁻ cells with downregulated Stau1 also led to a more rapid acquisition of Lin⁺ markers (Figure 2E) and a deficit in cell proliferation (Figure 2F). In contrast, recipients of Lin⁻CD150⁺CD48⁻ HSCs that received high-level (85–95%) knockdown of Stau2 were only mildly impaired or had increased repopulation activity at the end of 18 weeks in primary transplant settings (Figure 2B),
highlighting functional differences in HSC activity between these two paralogs. Among other hits from the same series of functional experiments, we also found that knockdown (50–95%) of the mammalian paralogs of Pumilio, Pum1 and Pum2, also compromised HSC activity in primary recipients (Figure 2B), while both had no significant differences in their myeloid progenitor colony outputs (Figure 2D) or proliferation (Figure 2F), with these latter results contrasting from what has been reported (Naudin et al., 2017).

**Stau1 downregulation compromises HSC-erythroid transcriptional networks and upregulates an immune/inflammatory response signature**

Given our phenotype revealing the essentiality of Stau1, but not Stau2, in HSCs and the reporting of a mechanism operating downstream of Pumilio in HSCs (Naudin et al., 2017), we decided to focus efforts on understanding mechanisms underlying Stau1 downregulation in cells within the primitive hematopoietic compartment. Using an unbiased approach, we performed high levels (80–90%) of Stau1 knockdown in mouse Lin⁻ cells, flow-sorted transduced populations and subjected these cells to global RNA-sequencing and proteomic measurements (Figure 3A). DEG analyses among mRNA transcripts with strict statistical cut-offs (Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted p-values < 0.05) identified a significant >3-fold depletion of Myb, a transcription factor essential for adult erythropoiesis (Mucenski et al., 1991) and HSC self-renewal (Lieu and Reddy, 2009) (Figure 3B). Thy1, an early B-cell surface marker used to enrich for HSCs (Muller-Sieburg et al., 1986), was also significantly depleted by >2.8-fold in Stau1 knockdown samples compared to shLuciferase controls (Figure 3B). Gene ontology (GO) analyses using DAVID (Dennis et al., 2003) performed on significantly downregulated genes (non-adjusted p-value < 0.05) revealed an enrichment of DNA transcription factors, many of which are known to be critical to HSC function (Figure 3C), including Hlf (depleted >3.4-fold; Komorowska et al., 2017), Bcl11a (depleted >2.2-
fold; Luc et al., 2016), Gfi1 (depleted >1.9-fold; Zeng et al., 2004; Hock et al., 2004), Meis1 (depleted >1.6-fold; Kocabas et al., 2012; Unnisa et al., 2012; Ariki et al., 2014; Miller et al., 2016) and Gata2 (depleted >1.5-fold; Menendez-Gonzalez et al., 2019) (Figures 3C,D). Regulators of cell cycle (Fbxo5, Bora) and cell division (Anln, Cit, Cntrl) were also significantly depleted in this setting (Figures 3D). In contrast, pathways enriched in genes significantly upregulated (non-adjusted p-value < 0.05) included those involved in transport (Rab20, Rhob, Vamp8), immune (Ly9, B2m) and inflammatory (Clec7a, Cyba, Fpr1, Ltbr) responses (Figure 3D). Alternative splicing analysis using rMATS (Shen et al., 2014) also revealed predominant significant splicing alterations to genes that regulate DNA transcription, covalent chromatin modification (Emsy, Chd7, Pbrm1) and DNA repair (Rtel1) (Figure 3E).

The post-transcriptional regulatory axis of Stau1 strongly enriches for translation and ribosomal RNA processing pathways

To identify post-transcriptional pathways regulated by Stau1, we overlaid the transcriptome and proteome by matching genes detected in both datasets and assigned gene rankings by their normalized expression level. This overlay revealed that approximately 75% of variation in protein levels could not be explained by mRNA levels in the setting of Stau1 downregulation (Pearson correlation coefficient 0.26) (Figure 4A). We stratified relatively concordant, post-transcriptional and discordant expression changes by setting thresholds of one standard deviation from expression means of both datasets and performed GO analyses for term enrichment within each stratum (Figure 4B). Consistent with transcript analyses, concordantly depleted pathways from this overlay included genes that regulated cell cycle, cell division, DNA transcription and DNA repair. Upregulated genes again revealed enrichments for pathways regulating transport, immune and inflammatory responses, along with several components of the immunoproteasome. Post-
transcriptional changes were defined by relatively unchanged levels of mRNA expression but particularly high or low ranked protein expression. Genes particularly elevated at the protein level compared to their corresponding mRNA level enriched for pathways affecting translational regulation (eukaryotic translation initiation factors, ribosomal proteins) and protein transport (Rab family members, adaptor complexes), while those particularly depleted at the protein level significantly enriched for ribosomal RNA (rRNA) processing and ribosome biogenesis (DEAD box polypeptides) as well as RNA splicing. Taken together, this integrated analysis suggests that Stau1 normally may post-transcriptionally function in two different ways: 1) By ensuring appropriate transcript fidelity of several critical hematopoietic transcription factors and cell cycle regulators, while suppressing those that mediate an immune and/or inflammatory response; and 2) more broadly regulating ribosomal maturation alongside translational outputs.

**Stau1 downregulation elicits RNA and protein signatures consistent with immune- and inflammatory-associated anemias**

To elucidate the significance of this expression landscape and identify possible associations with other factors, we employed Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (Krämer et al., 2014) on DEGs (non-adjusted $p < 0.05$) derived from both transcriptome and proteome datasets. Interestingly, among all disease associations, “anemia” was among the top 5 associations for changes at the transcript level ($z$-score 2.297; p-value $4.68 \times 10^{-5}$) (Figure 5A), mainly driven by upregulation of the interferon regulatory factor 7 ($Irf7$), low affinity Fc receptor for multimeric IgG ($Fcgr2a$, $Fcgr3a/3b$), and downregulation of several key erythroid promoting transcription factors ($Gata1$, $Gata2$, $Myb$) (Figure 5B). Interestingly, $Piga$, loss of which is associated with paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria, was also on this list. Predicted regulator networks highlighted a signature of activated type II interferon gamma ($Ifn-\gamma$) signalling along with downregulated
activity of homeodomain-interacting protein kinase 2 (Hipk2), activity for which would otherwise promote type I interferon responses (Figure 5C) (Cao et al., 2019). Predicted activation of Ifn-γ was also present among DEGs at the protein level, and unsupervised hierarchical clustering placed Ifn-γ activity closely with its downstream transcriptional effector Stat1; microRNA-223 (miR-223), declining levels of which are normally necessary for erythroid commitment (Felli et al., 2009); and the serum response factor (Srf) – myocardin-related transcription factor (Mrtf) axis, which has been reported to regulate cellular adhesion, migration and chemokine signalling (Ragu et al., 2010; Costello et al., 2015) (Figure 5D, middle window). Clustered predictions of repressed regulators included Myc, a concordantly expressed target of Myb (Cogswell et al., 1993; Kumar et al., 2003); and the prostaglandin E receptor 2 (Ptger2), a known positive regulatory axis of HSC function (Hoggatt et al., 2009; Cutler et al., 2013) (Figure 5D, left window). Interestingly, some regulators predicted to be discordant between the transcriptome and proteome datasets also arose. These included those with mild activation at the transcriptome but repression at the proteome level: E2f1, a member of a transcription factor family essential for red blood cell maturation (Li et al., 2003), and Nfe2l2, loss of which is known to result in an immune-mediated hemolytic anemia (Lee et al., 2004)) (Figure 5D, right window). In contrast, those predicted to be mildly repressed at the transcriptome but elevated at the proteome level included the Nlrp3-Ii1b axis, known contributors toward an inflammatory-related anemia (Wang et al., 2017). Overall, this expression landscape reflects an anemia signature that mirrors activated Ifn-γ signalling, which is a known detriment to HSCs (de Bruin et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2015) and clinically associated with aplastic anemia (Zoumbos et al., 1985; Sloand et al., 2002; Solomou et al., 2006). Taken together, this data positions Stau1 as a post-transcriptional modulator of processes in HSCs and primitive progenitors that may underlie anemia pathogenesis, and importantly provides a rational foundation for future phenotypic and mechanistic work in the human setting.
Discussion

In this study, we characterized the function of mammalian Staufen and Pumilio paralogs in HSCs and identified Stau1, Pum1 and Pum2 – but not Stau2 – as essential RBPs to HSC function in vivo. By downregulating Stau1 in the primitive hematopoietic compartment, we identified an immune and/or inflammatory-mediated anemia expression signature with several directional gene expression changes reminiscent of aplastic anemia and/or paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria, both of which remain relatively understudied and poorly understood.

Loss of Stau1 function has been previously modelled in a genetically modified mouse with truncated Stau1 expression, where an IRESβgeo cassette disrupts a critical double-stranded RNA-binding domain (dsRBD3). Affected mice exhibit mild impairments of synaptic development in vitro without obvious deficits in viability, development and/or behaviour (Vessey et al., 2008). Studies in the primitive neural compartment have since showed that Stau1 modulates the balance between neural progenitor self-renewal and neurogenesis by eliciting SMD of neurogenic mRNAs (Moon et al., 2018). These findings suggest that while loss of Stau1 function may not prohibit development, its functional relevance may be better appreciated and understood in particular scenarios. Our work in the hematopoietic system parallel these findings by identifying functional defects at the HSC level that lead to downstream processes that may predispose to anemia, which may not intuitively arise from processes present during early development (e.g. chronic anemia of inflammation) and/or elicited unless challenged (e.g. infectious or autoimmune trigger).

In contrast, while Stau2 has been previously identified to regulate the balance of neural stem cell self-renewal and differentiation (Vessey et al., 2012; Kusek et al., 2012), we did not find a similar
dependency of Stau2 in HSCs. Nonetheless, our functional readouts for Stau2 were consistent with other ongoing efforts that include reporting of a genetic loss of Stau2 function mouse model that yields normal hematopoietic parameters (Bajaj et al., 2017). Interestingly, this preliminary work also identified that loss of Stau2 impairs propagation of blast crisis phase chronic myeloid leukemia in vivo by altering the balance of symmetric and asymmetric divisions among leukemic stem cells, highlighting functional differences in post-transcriptional regulation between stem cells in normal hematopoiesis and in related counterparts within myeloid leukemia.

Notably, our transcriptome and proteome based analyses provides an unbiased and comprehensive landscape of the post-transcriptional landscape of Stau1 within the primitive hematopoietic compartment. While high cell number requirements of mass spectrometry-driven proteomics limited integrated analyses within more enriched HSC populations, we were able to uncover a molecular predisposition to anemia at a primitive level to direct future areas of phenotypic focus. Our findings of concordantly downregulated enrichment of erythroid promoting transcription factors and cell cycle regulators with Stau1 downregulation is consistent with previous work that identified similar enrichments in the human setting (Ricci et al., 2014) and suggests that Stau1 importantly safeguards the fidelity of these transcripts to ensure they are appropriately translated. Mechanistically, Stau1 may enact these functions directly by promoting the replacement of 5’ nuclear-cap structures on target mRNAs with the eukaryotic translation initiation factor eIF4E (Jeong et al., 2019) and/or facilitating efficient translation through its interaction with active ribosomal pools to increase ribosomal loading onto bound transcripts (Ricci et al., 2014). Alternatively, given recent understanding that erythropoiesis is particularly sensitive to ribosome levels (Khajuria et al., 2018), our findings of a predominant post-transcriptional enrichment of ribosomal genes and ribosomal RNAs could suggest a broader
indirect compromise of translation programs brought forward by loss of Stau1 function that could similarly result in anemia.

In contrast, upregulated genes that enriched for immune and/or inflammatory responses reflected a predicted activated Ifn-γ signature, even though Ifng and its cognate receptors Ifngr1 and Ifngr2 were not differentially expressed at either the transcript or protein level in our datasets. It remains possible that other cells produced in culture may generate Ifn-γ that feeds back towards the primitive compartment, but only a portion of downstream components classically activated by Ifn-γ signalling was evident in our datasets. A more intriguing explanation may be that Stau1 normally binds and elicits SMD for genes involved in immune/inflammatory pathways and/or indirectly through other dsRNAs thought to be immunoreactive in HSCs, and when downregulated, then allows for such elements to become activated. Interestingly, a similar concept has been suggested in loss of function studies for the dsRBP Adar1, where its deficiency similarly leads to an upregulated global interferon response conserved in both fetal liver HSCs and erythroid, but not myeloid cells (Hartner et al., 2009). Our findings here parallel this data, where downregulated Stau1 impairs HSC maintenance and leads to a molecular predisposition to anemia, but total myeloid progenitor outputs remained relatively unaltered in both colony assays and at endpoint lineage measurements of transplanted recipients in vivo. Given the known association of a particular isoform of Adar1 (p150) that is inducible by interferon, it may be interesting to determine if there also exists a close association of a particular isoform of Stau1 with interferon response, especially since a variant of Stau1 with an intact and critical dsRBD3 is more highly expressed compared to its disrupted form in normal HSCs. In the presence of an immunologic and/or inflammatory signal for example, a disrupted dsRBD3 form of Stau1 could possibly predominate and compound changes that result in anemia.
Finally, this anemia signature reflective of an activated Ifn-γ state is reminiscent and potentially compatible with changes in aplastic anemia and its related entity paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria. In patients diagnosed with aplastic anemia, IFN-γ levels in the blood become elevated with increased numbers of autoreactive T cells that destroy HSPCs (Zoumbos et al., 1985; Sloand et al., 2002; Solomou et al., 2006). Consistent with hematopoietic studies of mice treated with Ifn-γ that result in decreased Gata2 expression in LSK cells (Chen et al., 2015), CD34+ cells isolated from patients diagnosed with aplastic anemia similarly show downregulation of GATA2 and c-MYB expression (Zeng et al., 2004), both of which are among the most significantly downregulated changes during loss of Stau1 expression. In paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria, loss of function mutations of the enzyme encoded by phosphatidylinositol glycan class A (PIGA) renders disrupted cell surface anchoring of complement inhibitors CD55 and CD59, deficiencies of which increases complement-mediated hemolysis of erythrocytes (Takeda et al., 1993). Curiously, significantly downregulated Piga expression also occurs with loss of Stau1 expression. Could loss of Stau1 function therefore represent an independent and/or overlapping pathway underlying the pathogenesis of either of these entities? Does the RNA binding profile of Stau1 shift in the presence of viral dsRNAs in ways that disrupt a normal landscape, eliciting an immune/inflammatory response and/or an altered translation program in settings of anemias associated with viral infection? Is Stau1 aberrantly downregulated in hematopoietic cells in cases of aplastic anemia? And if so, could restoring Stau1 function ameliorate the progression of these disorders? Future work to answer these questions would not only be intriguing, but could provide novel insights into these poorly understood disorders and potentially lead to new ways to effectively treat diagnosed patients.
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**Figures**

**A** qPCR transcript expression of Stau1, Stau2, Pum1 and Pum2 in murine Lin^-^-Sca-1^-^-c^-^-Kit^+ (LK, grey bars) and Lin^-^-Sca-1^-^-c^-^-Kit^+ (LSK, black bars) cells sorted populations normalized to Gapdh.

**B** (Top) Layout of two identified human isoforms of STAU1 from N- (left) to C-terminal (right) with a series double-stranded RNA-binding domains (dsRBDs), a tubulin-binding domain (TBD), and a Staufen-swapping motif (SSM). aa, amino acids. *denotes a 6-aa site disruption of a critical dsRBD3. (Bottom) Multiple paired quantified splice junction reads spanning exons 4 and 5 of Stau1 in murine HSCs; red boxes highlight 3 out of 4 paired comparisons favouring a higher level of expression of Stau1 with an intact dsRBD3 over its disrupted isoform in murine HSCs (Goldstein *et al.*, 2017). (C) BloodSpot expression profiles of STAU1 (Top, probe: 207320_x_at) and STAU2 (Bottom, probe: 204226_at) in normal human hematopoiesis (HemaExplorer) (Bagger *et al.*, 2016).

**Figure 1:** Stau1 is more highly expressed in HSC populations than committed progenitors.

* Stau1 is more highly expressed in HSC populations than committed progenitors.

**A** qPCR expression profiling of Stau1, Stau2, Pum1 and Pum2 in murine Lin^-^-Sca-1^-^-c^-^-Kit^+ (LK, grey bars) and Lin^-^-Sca-1^-^-c^-^-Kit^+ (LSK, black bars) cell sorted populations normalized to Gapdh. **B** (Top) Layout of two identified human isoforms of STAU1 from N- (left) to C-terminal (right) with a series double-stranded RNA-binding domains (dsRBDs), a tubulin-binding domain (TBD), and a Staufen-swapping motif (SSM). aa, amino acids. *denotes a 6-aa site disruption of a critical dsRBD3. (Bottom) Multiple paired quantified splice junction reads spanning exons 4 and 5 of Stau1 in murine HSCs; red boxes highlight 3 out of 4 paired comparisons favouring a higher level of expression of Stau1 with an intact dsRBD3 over its disrupted isoform in murine HSCs (Goldstein *et al.*, 2017). **C** BloodSpot expression profiles of STAU1 (Top, probe: 207320_x_at) and STAU2 (Bottom, probe: 204226_at) in normal human hematopoiesis (HemaExplorer) (Bagger *et al.*, 2016).
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Figure 2: Stau1, Pum1 and Pum2 – but not Stau2 – are essential to primary HSC functions in vivo.

A) Experimental pipeline using sensor-selected miR-E adapted shRNAs for downregulating gene expression in HSC-enriched Lin−CD150+CD48− populations for various functional assays. B) (Left) High level Stau1 knockdown significantly compromises primary HSC repopulation activity in vivo; (Centre) Stau2 knockdown does not compromise primary HSC repopulation in vivo; (Right) Pum1 and Pum2 are essential to primary HSC repopulation in vivo. C) Myeloid and lymphoid lineage percentages in primary recipient grafts at 16 week endpoints. D) Increased total myeloid progenitor outputs from Lin−CD150+CD48− populations with Stau1 knockdown scored 10–14 days after plating. E) Downregulated Stau1 in Lin−CD150+CD48− ZsGreen+ cells results in an increased acquisition of lineage-positive markers at the end of a 7-day suspension culture F) with relatively decreased cell proliferation over a 10-day period.
Figure 3: Downregulated Stau1 compromises HSC-erythroid transcriptional networks and upregulates an immune/inflammatory response signature. A) Experimental schematic of Stau1 knockdown prior to RNA-sequencing and mass spectrometry proteomic measurements. B) Volcano plot of gene expression changes derived from RNA-seq for shStau1.2864 (left) and shStau1.1481 (right); sampling of differentially
expressed genes (DEGs) ($p < 0.05$) that are downregulated (red) or upregulated (green). C) qPCR validation of RNA-seq dataset with gene normalization to Gapdh. D) DAVID gene ontology (GO) analysis of top 10 biological processes enriched from upregulated (top, green) and downregulated (bottom, red) DEGs and E) for genes alternatively spliced (rMATS, FDR < 0.05) in shStau1.2864 samples.

Figure 4: The post-transcriptional regulatory axis of Stau1 strongly enriches for translation and ribosomal RNA processing pathways. A) Two-dimensional overlay of genes detected in both transcriptome (x-axis) and proteome (y-axis) datasets for ranked by level of normalized gene expression, representing low (closer to 0) to high (closer to 1) expressed genes in shStau1.2864 samples compared to shLuciferase controls; x and y Pearson correlation coefficient 0.26. Coloured divisions mark transition points at 1 standard deviation from the mean of each dataset within the overlay. Marked boxes represent relatively concordant upregulated (green), downregulated (red) and post-transcriptionally upregulated (top black) and downregulated (bottom black) genes annotated with top enriching gene ontology biological processes (Benjamini p-values shown). B) Sampling descriptions of genes within top enriching biological processes for each of the four quadrants.
Figure 5: Stau1 downregulation elicits RNA and protein signatures consistent with immune- and inflammatory-associated anemias. A) Ingenuity pathway analysis (IPA) disease associations reveal “anemia” among top 5 global scoring entities and the first ranked association within “hematological disease” (dark orange) from significantly differentially expressed genes (non-adjusted p-value < 0.05) inputted from RNA-seq datasets (z-score 2.297; p-value 4.68E-05). B) Direction gene expression changes in RNA-seq dataset contributing to the predicted anemia signature. C) Predicted regulatory networks of activated IFN-γ (dark orange) and repressed Hipk2 (blue) upstream of directional gene expression changes reflective of predicted anemia (downregulated genes in shades of green, upregulated genes in shades of red). D) Combined IPA upstream regulator cross-analyses of both transcriptome (left two columns in each window display; 8-shStau1 = shStau.2864, 11-shStau1 = shStau.1481) and proteome DEGs (right two columns in each window display), non-supervised and hierarchically clustered, showing predicted regulators either downregulated (left window) or upregulated (middle window) at both levels, as well as those discordant between the transcriptome and proteome (right window).
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Abstract

Normal hematopoiesis is sustained through a carefully orchestrated balance between hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) self-renewal and differentiation. The functional importance of this axis is underscored by the severity of disease phenotypes initiated by abnormal HSC function, including myelodysplastic syndromes and hematopoietic malignancies. Major advances in the understanding of transcriptional regulation of primitive hematopoietic cells have been achieved; however, the post-transcriptional regulatory layer that may impinge on their behavior remains underexplored by comparison. Key players at this level include RNA-binding proteins (RBPs), which execute precise and highly coordinated control of gene expression through modulation of RNA properties that include its splicing, polyadenylation, localization, degradation, or translation. With the recent identification of RBPs having essential roles in regulating proliferation and cell fate decisions in other systems, there has been an increasing appreciation of the importance of post-transcriptional control at the stem cell level. Here we discuss our current understanding of RBP-driven post-transcriptional regulation in HSCs, its implications for normal, perturbed, and malignant hematopoiesis, and the most recent technological innovations aimed at RBP–RNA network characterization at the systems level. Emerging evidence highlights RBP-driven control as an underappreciated feature of primitive hematopoiesis, the greater understanding of which has important clinical implications.
Introduction

The machinery underlying stem cells’ capacity to orchestrate their self-maintenance and differentiation can be represented as integrated circuits that are genetically programmed. As demonstrated by the capacity to reprogram somatic cells to pluripotency using only four key transcription factors (Takahashi and Yamanaka 2006), identification of master regulators that control critical nodes of this circuitry offers incredible opportunities to engineer cell types of interest or to reign in aberrantly renewing cancer stem cells. Of note, later work shed light on the contribution of post-transcriptional regulators to cell fate determination with the demonstration that the RNA-binding protein (RBP) Lin28 can function as a reprogramming factor in combination with the core NANOG, OCT-4, and SOX2 transcription factors (Yu et al. 2007).

The specialized multipotent nature of hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) and their need to rapidly alter cell fate decisions to buffer the hematopoietic system in response to external cues suggests that they are likely subject to precise regulation at multiple levels. Although a wealth of research has revealed the crucial importance of transcriptional regulation in governing HSC activity (Nerlov et al. 2000; Wilson et al. 2010), HSCs are also subject to an additional critical layer of post-transcriptional control. This “RNA centric” level of regulation offers cells a way to precisely and rapidly fine-tune protein expression by modulating mRNA splicing, localization, degradation, or translation (Grech and von Lindern 2012). Key players in this level of regulation include RBPs, which achieve their function through integration with the existing transcriptional networks in the cell (Yuan and Muljo 2013). Based on RNA sequence and structure recognition, RBPs interact with their target mRNAs in RNPs to strongly influence their downstream processing. Similar to the ability of transcription factors to impact on many targets, unique RBPs can link the fates of
many mRNAs through synchronous regulation within so-called RNA operons (Keene and Tenenbaum 2002). The coordinated interplay of functionally connected RNA operons through “post-transcriptional RNA regulons” ensures a highly efficient cellular response to endogenous and environmental stimuli (Keene 2007). Post-transcriptional regulation is emerging as a vital mechanism for governing complex genetic networks and establishing cellular diversity, and more recently, light has been shed on the role of RBPs in stem cell biology specifically (Sampath et al. 2008; Anokye-Danso et al. 2011; Voronina et al. 2011). With respect to HSC control, microRNAs, also key in enacting post-transcriptional control, have been the subject of significant recent efforts. The potential of RBP–mRNA-directed post-transcriptional mechanisms functioning in primitive blood cell homeostasis, however, has been comparatively understudied. This review will outline our current understanding of the functional role played by mRNA regulating RBPs in controlling the biology of primitive hematopoietic cells in normal, perturbed, and malignant settings (see Table 1 for a list of RBPs with roles in these contexts). Finally, with an effort to detail approaches key for dissecting the systems-level mechanics of RBP action in these rare cells, we briefly discuss recent technical advances facilitating the characterization of RBP–mRNA interactomes and global outcomes for targeted RNAs.

Understanding the function of RBPs in hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs)

The mRNA life cycle proceeds through a series of steps within the cell. At each point, a given transcript is subject to a unique set of interactions with RBPs that may serve to modify it, alter its localization, and influence how and when it will be translated into protein. RBP-directed control of HSPCs is an emerging field. Indeed, there is a developing understanding that RBPs can influence stemness properties in these cells by impinging on mRNAs at many of the various points
of their life cycle. Below we provide key examples of some of these known HSPC regulators and detail how they operate at different levels of mRNA metabolism to enforce their effects.

**RBP-directed control of HSC fate regulation via regulated mRNA splicing**

Once generated in the nucleus, the splicing of mRNA transcripts is subject to regulation by RBPs. Enforcement of specific alternative splicing outputs mediated by key spliceosomal RBPs represents an important regulatory mechanism in primitive hematopoietic cells. For example, the RBP Rbm15 mediates splicing of the truncated form of the c-Mpl receptor. In turn, this influences the degree of thrombopoietin signaling and subsequent balancing of HSC quiescence and proliferation (Xiao et al. 2015). Conditional knockout mouse models of the RBP Srsf2 have also demonstrated the essentiality of certain splicing factors to HSPC populations. In these cases, decreased HSC numbers and compromised hematopoietic reconstitution upon transplantation were observed upon Srsf2 depletion (Komeno et al. 2015). Subsequent analyses demonstrated that mutant Srsf2 yielded widespread alternative splicing effects, a common set of which was shared in the Srsf2 knockdown scenario and which coalesced on gene targets that specifically associated with cancer development and apoptosis (Kim et al. 2015; Komeno et al. 2015).

Insights into splicing control have also translated into a better understanding of hematological abnormalities in humans, with the recognition that spliceosomal RBPs act as drivers of clonal hematopoiesis in older individuals (McKerrell et al. 2015). Further experimental modeling approaches have shown that in these scenarios, mutations in SF3B1, another RBP with essential roles in the HSC compartment, likely arise within HSPC subsets (Mian et al. 2015; Mortera-Blanco et al. 2017). RNA sequencing analyses show that SF3B1 mutations globally disrupt splicing
patterns with aberrant 3’ splice site recognition (Obeng et al. 2016; Mupo et al. 2017). This enforces a state that recapitulates many aspects of myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS), such as impaired erythropoiesis and expansion of the HSC pool. Similarly, Kim and colleagues overlapped RNA sequencing profiles of wildtype and various mutant SRSF2-expressing backgrounds and conducted motif identification and structural analysis to demonstrate that MDS-related SRSF2 mutations result in an altered RNA-binding profile rather than a loss of normal splice site recognition activity (Kim et al. 2015).

**RBP s that edit, modify, or alter transcript integrity to influence HSPC function**

Throughout their life cycle, mRNAs can be subject to a variety of different modifications, sequence changes, or alterations to their stability. In primitive hematopoietic cells, RBPs appear to play an important functional role in regulating transcript outcomes in HSPCs. For example, RNA editing activity by the double-stranded RBP Adar1, which mediates adenosine-to-inosine editing of primary transcripts, has been shown through knockout mouse models to be critical for the capacity of HSCs to derive downstream progenitors (Hartner et al. 2009; XuFeng et al. 2009). Intriguingly, global gene expression profiling of Adar1 deficient mouse HSPCs revealed a significant elevation in the expression of genes known to be induced by interferon as well as a host of genes that are interferon regulatory factors. Thus, Adar1 may represent a critical suppressor of HSPC-specific loss in response to interferon activation stimulated by chronic infection or other stress-inducing disease conditions.

RNA decay and stability in HSPCs can also be directly regulated by RBPs. Weischenfeldt and colleagues, for example, conditionally deleted Upf2 within the hematopoietic system
Upf2 is a core component of the nonsense-mediated decay RNA surveillance pathway that recognizes mRNAs with premature termination codons and targets them for degradation. In this study, it was found that acute UPF2 loss mediated by Mx1-Cre deletion leads to a rapid reduction in the number of HSPCs, which the authors speculated could be due to HSPC exhaustion or clearance by apoptosis (Weischenfeldt et al. 2008). Microarray-based gene expression profiling identified intriguing expression changes including derepression of several snoRNAs and premature termination codon containing alternative splice forms; however, the extent to which these changes contributed to the pronounced HSPC defects remains to be elucidated.

Finally, Naudin et al. took advantage of knockout mouse models and experiments with human hematopoietic cells and demonstrated that PUM1 and PUM2, both members of the PUF family of RBPs, are each independently essential for HSPC growth (Naudin et al. 2017). Using a proteomics approach, the authors identified a significant decrease in expression of the FOXP1 transcription factor when PUM1 or PUM2 was repressed in either the primitive mouse or human hematopoietic context. FOXP1 loss was shown by the authors to phenocopy that of PUM1/2 knockout, indicating that it likely represents one of the major targets underlying their effects. In deciphering the post-transcriptional mechanism at play, it was found that PUM1 and PUM2 bind and promote the stability and/or translation of FOXP1.

**RBP-influenced control of translation in HSPC fate regulation**

When bound to the untranslated regions of mRNAs, RBPs often function to either promote or repress protein translation of their targeted transcripts. Recent work highlights the RBP Musashi-
102 (MSI2), implicated in other nonhematopoietic cell types as a repressor of translation, as an important determinant of HSC self-renewal, cell fate, and lineage bias. Using a Msi2 conditional knockout mouse, Park et al. assayed differential gene expression in HSPCs upon conditional hematopoietic Msi2 deletion and showed particular modulatory effects at various nodes of the TGF- signaling pathway (Park et al. 2014). In the human system, Rentas et al. overexpressed MSI2 and integrated both transcriptome and global MSI2–RNA interaction data to link its translational repression of aryl hydrocarbon receptor pathway targets to aspects of MSI2-induced HSC expansion ex vivo (Rentas et al. 2016).

Renewed interest in the field of epitranscriptomics has also resulted in the identification of the N6-methyladenosine (m6A) forming RBP METTL3 as a critical regulator of human HSPCs (Vu et al. 2017a). When knocked down, METTL3 drives HSC differentiation and reduced proliferation, while when overexpressed, it promotes their growth. By coupling RNA sequencing based methods with ribosome profiling, it has been possible to map the m6A-modified transcripts to those that similarly show an enhanced level of translation. Key target genes included C-MYC, BCL2, and PTEN (Vu et al. 2017a).

**Influence of the translational machinery on HSPC homeostasis**

The ribosome is itself an RBP complex that when dysregulated can cause productivity defects among HSPCs that underlie some of the key features of various bone marrow failures. In certain cases like 5q− syndrome, a subtype of MDS characterized by ineffective hematopoiesis due to mutations incurred in HSCs, RPS14 loss-of-function defects compromise 18S rRNA processing (Ebert et al. 2008). Similarly, in Schwachman–Diamond syndrome, where neutropenia and
defects in erythroid cell production are characteristic, mutations in the ribosome biogenesis
Shwachman–Bodian–Diamond syndrome protein result in dysregulated 60S subunit biogenesis
and impaired ribosome assembly (Ganapathi et al. 2007; Wong et al. 2011). Defects in dyskeratosis
congenita are also caused by mutations in the RBP DKC1 that affect H/ACA RNP-mediated rRNA
pseudouridylation in HSCs (Bellodi et al. 2013).

Altogether, the above studies highlight many key RBP functions that post-transcriptionally
regulate normal hematopoiesis. These studies also provide insights into the global changes that
occur at the levels of both the transcriptome and proteome in response to alterations in the levels
of expression and/or mutations of various RBPs. Related recent efforts have integrated global
transcriptome, proteome, and DNA methylome data of purified primitive HSPC subsets in the
mouse system (Cabezas-Wallscheid et al. 2014). This resource provides a valuable platform from
which to analyze correlative and discordant gene expression levels that will likely inform future
studies of post-transcriptional regulation in the hematopoietic system.

The importance of RBPs and RBP–interactomes in leukemia

Leukemia stem cells and the hierarchical organization of malignant hematopoiesis

Given the complex interplay between transcriptional and post-transcriptional mechanisms
regulating the self-renewal and differentiation axis in normal HSCs, dysregulation of these
mechanisms has the potential to result in leukemic transformation, leading to the genesis of
leukemic stem cells (LSCs). By means of alterations in their self-renewal capacities, LSCs drive
leukemic maintenance, chemoresistance, and relapse and are implicated in the low overall survival
rates observed for leukemia patients (Lapidot et al. 1994; Shlush et al. 2017). Evidence has highlighted the potential of HSCs or early myeloid progenitors to function as the cell of origin for leukemia (Miyamoto et al. 2000; Jamieson et al. 2004; Abrahamsson et al. 2009; Jan et al. 2012; Krivtsov et al. 2013; Shlush et al. 2014). As such, the networks and signaling pathways regulating HSPC self-renewal and differentiation might also be essential for their transformation into LSCs. Given the key role of RBP-directed posttranscriptional regulation for HSPC fates as described above, RBPs represent interesting candidate drivers of leukemic transformation. Although thus far relatively understudied, a handful of RBPs and the networks they regulate have indeed been found associated with the stem cell compartment of leukemia.

### LSC dependencies on RBP-directed post-transcriptional regulatory networks

LSC-related gene expression signatures strongly correlate with an overall poor prognosis in acute myeloid leukemia (AML) patients (Gentles et al. 2010; Eppert et al. 2011; Ng et al. 2016). However, integrative analyses at multiple regulatory levels to connect LSC-specific transcriptional signatures with their corresponding translatome, for example, could reveal more complete insights into the exact regulators of LSC biology and the central networks involved. A handful of recent studies are pioneering the start of a more multilevel approach of characterizing LSCs. Interestingly, these studies collectively hint towards a highly specific dependence of LSCs on post-transcriptional regulatory processes. For instance, in AML, a role for snoRNAs, which catalyze RNA base modifications, was ultimately uncovered by integrative profile analysis of RNA transcript expression, rRNA pseudouridylation, rRNA methylation, and RNA–protein interactions. Here, snoRNA/RNP formation was found to be regulated by the RBP DDX21 as an essential pathway for self-renewal in AML1–ETO-driven leukemogenesis and AML clonogenicity.
Similarly, a multilevel comparative analysis of treatment naïve chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) and normal CD34+ cells identified TP53 and C-MYC as central nodes in an LSC-enriched CML network that is comprised of 30 proteins, indicating a potential differential dependency of CML LSCs on this network (Abraham et al. 2016). Interestingly, 15% of this network is comprised of RBPs, which further suggests an important role for RBP-directed post-transcriptional regulation within this system. Below we present several from amongst only a handful of bona fide RBP regulators of leukemia transformation and LSCs and their defined molecular pathways of action. Together with the above-mentioned studies, these findings hint at the potential for an underappreciated role of RBP-driven post-transcriptional regulation for LSC function. Unraveling novel key RBP-directed networks in LSCs may therefore improve our abilities to selectively study and target this leukemic population in the future.

**RBP s that function as master regulators of LSCs and leukemic transformation**

The MSI2 RBP has been shown to have prognostic relevance in various solid cancers. In addition, its overexpression strongly associates with poor prognosis in acute and chronic leukemias (Ito et al. 2010; Kharas et al. 2010; Mu et al. 2013). Various mechanisms have been proposed to explain the important role that MSI2 plays in leukemia and its stem cell compartment. Through RNA sequencing and RNA immunoprecipitation in AML and CML cell lines, Hattori and colleagues described a direct interaction of MSI2 with FLT3, a receptor tyrosine kinase frequently altered in AML (Hattori et al. 2017). While genetic loss of MSI2 led to a downregulation of FTL3 protein and impairments in leukemic growth, binding of MSI2 was predicted to stabilize FLT3 transcripts, providing evidence for post-transcriptional regulation as a mechanism contributing to leukemogenesis. Comparative analysis of gene expression signatures from LSCs derived from
Msi2-deficient CML and MLL–AF9-driven mouse leukemias revealed that this RBP regulates a large fraction of genes belonging to pathways involved in cell differentiation and apoptosis (Kwon et al. 2015). The analysis also revealed the Msi2 target Tspan3 as a key gene involved in self-renewal of LSCs in murine leukemias and required for propagation of human AML in xenograft assays. In addition, high-throughput sequencing and cross-linking immunoprecipitation experiments in K562 cells revealed that MSI2 directly interacts with targets involved in pathways associated with an MLL–AF9-driven self-renewal gene expression signature shared between leukemic progenitors and HSCs (Park et al. 2015).

A screening effort focusing on MSI2 interacting proteins identified SYNCRIP as another RBP selectively essential for the stem cell compartment of leukemia (Vu et al. 2017b). In murine leukemia models, depletion of Syncrip resulted in reduced colony formation, increased differentiation, and impairment of in vivo engraftment, whereas normal HSPCs were unaffected. Mechanistically, Syncrip was shown to post-transcriptionally maintain steady-state levels of the oncogenic transcription factor Hoxa9, partially explaining its relevance for leukemia. Collectively, these results indicate that the MSI2-driven post-transcriptional regulatory network might play a major role in malignant HSCs, paving the way for novel therapeutic strategies aimed at selective eradication of LSCs.

Besides MSI2 and its interacting partners, a handful of other RBPs have been identified as important putative regulators of LSCs. For example, the methyltransferase METTL14, which catalyzes the m6A modification of target mRNAs, is elevated in expression in human AML relative to normal bone marrow. METTL4 depletion in the leukemic scenario leads to cell differentiation and death, a reduced capacity to propagate the disease in vivo, and a loss of LSC function (Weng
et al. 2018). Global mapping of METTL14-mediated m6A marks showed that it is through this modification on target transcripts including MYC and MYB that METTL14 achieves a heightened translation of these factors, which directly contributes to its proleukemic effects.

**Targeted inhibition of RBPs for selective eradication of LSCs in leukemia patients**

Whereas the therapeutic relevance of RBP networks may not have been extensively studied in the context of LSCs, individual RBPs have been associated with poor clinical outcome in leukemias (Byers et al. 2011; Kechavarzi and Janga 2014; Pan et al. 2017). Although there are few examples, small molecule inhibitors specifically targeting RBPs have successfully entered clinical studies, suggesting that the networks regulated by these RBPs might play an essential and targetable role in cancer maintenance and progression. For instance, altered EIF4E-dependent nuclear export of transcripts was shown to contribute to leukemogenesis, partially by blocking myeloid differentiation (Topisirovic et al. 2003). By inhibiting the mRNA export and translation functions of the protein, the EIF4E inhibitor ribavirin was shown to result in cytoplasmic relocalization of the protein and remissions in poor-prognosis AML patients with increased EIF4E levels. Patients resistant to ribavirin retained EIF4E in the nucleus, indicating a correlation of disease progression and the mRNA export function of EIF4E in leukemia (Assouline et al. 2009). Interestingly, preclinical studies suggest a cooperative effect of ribavirin with several established AML therapies including cytarabine, which is now being tested in Phase I/II clinical trials (Assouline et al. 2015).

Increased expression of the nuclear exporter CRM1/XPO1, which has protein-binding propensities in addition to validated mRNA binding capacity, has been reported in hematopoietic malignancies (Walker et al. 2013). In these cases, dysregulations in the nuclear export of certain
proteins and RNAs have strong oncogenic effects that contribute to poor prognosis and resistance to therapy (Kojima et al. 2013). A first-generation compound, selinexor, which inhibits the interaction of CRM1 with its cargo, thereby inhibiting nuclear export, is currently being tested in several Phase I/II clinical trials for AML and MDS (Savona et al. 2013; Fiedler et al. 2015; Garzon et al. 2017). Disease remissions in patients with chemoresistant or relapsed AML upon treatment with selinexor alone or in combination with chemotherapy have been reported; however, severe side effects have limited the maximum tolerated dose and therefore efficacy of this inhibitor in patients (Hing et al. 2016). A second-generation CRM1 inhibitor, KPT-8602, was recently developed and tested in xenograft models of high-risk human AML. These studies reported a high efficacy in targeting bulk leukemic cells as well as the more stem-like leukemia-initiating cells. Importantly, normal HSPCs were minimally affected upon treatment, which could support usage of this therapy as one that could avoid the toxic side effects of treatment on normal hematopoiesis (Etchin et al. 2017). Altogether, these findings strengthen the idea of specific dependencies of LSCs on RBP-directed post-transcriptional programs and provide a good rationale to pursue the clinical testing of high-confidence RBPs as targets for LSC-focused therapeutic strategies.

**Therapy-induced activation of RBP-directed networks**

Resistance to common chemotherapeutic regimens is one of the main features that distinguish LSCs from their bulk leukemic counterparts, yet the underlying mechanism remains to be identified. Studies centering around targeted treatment of BCR–ABL-driven CML with imatinib indeed hint towards interesting associations between RBPs and treatment response. The BCR–ABL oncogenic fusion gene has been shown to alter the expression and activity of the RBPs La/SSB, multiple hnRNPs, TLS/FUS, and the pathways they regulate (Perrotti et al. 1998; Perrotti
and Calabretta 2002; Trotta et al. 2003; Notari et al. 2006). This results in enhanced activity of proliferation and survival pathways and an arrest in differentiation, aspects of which are required for CML disease progression. However, imatinib-driven inhibition of BCR–ABL also causes specific changes in the expression levels of certain RBPs that could be exploited for their potential synergistic therapeutic relevance. Assessment of protein level alterations in K562 cells treated with imatinib, for instance, revealed that the RBP DDX3X was strongly upregulated (Arvaniti et al. 2014). Interestingly, a genome-wide CRISPR-mediated knockout screen uncovered DDX3X as an essential gene for K562 cells (Wang et al. 2015), and mutations in this gene have been frequently observed in various hematopoietic malignancies, including CLL (Ojha et al. 2015) and natural killer/T-cell lymphomas (Jiang et al. 2015).

Additionally, imatinib has been shown to decrease the total amount of polysome-bound mRNA and mRNA ribosome density in Ba/F3–Bcr–Abl and K562 cells. Simultaneous inhibition of mRNA polysome assembly (CPG57380) and BCR–ABL (imatinib) showed synergistic activity between both compounds and revealed reductions in the levels of the 5’-cap RBP EIF4E and impairment of RPS6 phosphorylation as the main mechanisms underlying the increased cell death observed (Zhang et al. 2008). This effect was also observed in imatinib-resistant cell lines, suggesting that interfering with RBPs involved in cap-dependent translation could represent a novel strategy to overcome imatinib resistance. Interestingly, synergistic antiproliferative effects were shown upon combined ribavirin and imatinib treatment of K562 cells in vitro (Shi et al. 2015). This further supports the concept that combinatorial inhibition of RBPs and BCR–ABL represents a promising therapeutic approach for BCR–ABL-driven leukemias. Although not extensively studied in combination with antileukemic agents, these findings indicate the potential importance of RBPs and the networks they regulate as mechanisms for treatment response and/or
resistance in leukemia. Altogether, the studies discussed so far highlight the emerging key role of RBP-driven post-transcriptional control in the regulation of hematopoietic cell fate.

Techniques and approaches for dissecting RBP-driven regulons

The modified transcriptome

As can be appreciated from the existing literature that has begun to dissect RBP-driven control of both normal and malignant stem cells in the blood system, many techniques and approaches have been developed to facilitate the global integrated view of their functionality. RBPs mediate a diverse set of functions and to deconstruct their mechanism of action, the canvas upon which these events occur is first defined by profiling the global transcriptome.

RNA-seq-based transcriptional profiling has been applied to sorted populations of the hematopoietic hierarchy (Cabezas-Wallscheid et al. 2014; Chen et al. 2014; Klimmeck et al. 2014) and more recently has been carried out in these cell types at single-cell resolution (Kowalczyk et al. 2015; Wilson et al. 2015; Povinelli et al. 2018). Notably, RNA-seq analysis of sorted populations from human umbilical cord blood uncovered significant differential usage of alternative splicing and novel splicing events throughout the hematopoietic hierarchy, emphasizing cell type specific posttranscriptional mechanisms at work (Chen et al. 2014). Single-cell RNA-seq has a limited sequencing depth in comparison to population-level RNA-seq. Nonetheless, it represents a promising technology worth building upon to better dissect regulatory systems underlying cell type specific capacities and is an ideal approach for use with rare cell populations. To this end, coupling single-cell RNA-seq to functional screening approaches has successfully uncovered
molecular circuitries governing cellular behavior in other contexts (Dixit et al. 2016; Jaitin et al. 2016). Through similar coupling with biochemical techniques, RNA-seq is now recognized as a central pillar for systems-level profiling and is a key approach for the decoding of post-transcriptional regulatory networks.

**Mapping transcriptome secondary structure**

The secondary structure of transcripts is a feature that plays critical roles in regulating or directing post-transcriptional processes. Dimethyl sulfate-seq (Rouskin et al. 2014), Structure-seq (Ding et al. 2015; Ritchey et al. 2017), and Mod-seq (Lin et al. 2015) are all approaches that exploit dimethyl sulfate labeling of unpaired adenine and cytosine to inform on the location of RNA duplexes or regions of RNA that are unreactive due to protein association. Although it would be useful to define the structural features unique to specific cell types within the blood system, comparative RNA structural analysis of the hematopoietic hierarchy or malignant hematopoiesis has not yet been done. A major challenge of performing Structure-seq in these cell types is the requirement for large amounts of input sample. Of note, alternative protocols to advance the characterization of RNA secondary structure are being developed and have been discussed in other reviews (Bevilacqua et al. 2016).

**Studying RBP–RNA interactomes**

Transcriptome-wide maps of RNA-binding sites for RBPs are also essential for decoding RNA regulons. Cross-linking immunoprecipitation and high-throughput sequencing (CLIP-seq or HITSCLIP) was first used to interrogate the RNA interactome of the RBP FOXB in human
embryonic stem cells. Here, interacting RNA and proteins are covalently (UV) cross-linked before
the RBP of interest is immobilized by immunoprecipitation and unoccupied strands of
coprecipitated RNA are enzymatically sheared. RNA elements occupied by the RBP are protected
during fragmentation and adaptors or tags are ligated to their ends to facilitate downstream cDNA
library preparation for subsequent RNA-seq (Yeo et al. 2009). The fundamental CLIP biochemical
approach was described well before high-throughput sequencing (Ule et al. 2003, 2005), but its
coupling with RNA-seq rendered it a powerful tool for mapping RBP targets transcriptome-wide.

Despite its power, prototypical CLIP-seq still suffers from certain limitations such as the readout
of nonspecific sequences (false positives) and low sequence complexity. For these reasons, this
procedure typically requires massive cell inputs that are prohibitive for researchers investigating
rare cell populations. To overcome these barriers and advance its potential, modified protocols
have been developed. Photoactivatable ribonucleoside enhanced CLIP (PAR-CLIP) supplements
cultured cells with a photoactivatable nucleoside analog (e.g., 4sU) and subsequent irradiation of
cells with 365 nm UV light. The resultant point mutations at the site of cross-linking in the reverse
transcribed sequencing library provide one mechanism for assigning nonspecific sequences
(Hafner et al. 2010a, 2010b), but also have an effect of frequently terminating reverse transcription
at the site of cross-linking leading to truncated sequences discarded from downstream analyses.
Individual nucleotide resolution CLIP (iCLIP) likewise leverages cross-linking-induced mutation
sites to elucidate RBP binding sites to single nucleotide resolution and resolves the truncated
sequence problem by making use of iCLIP cDNA library circularization with a cleavable 5’
adaptor. This results in both fully reverse-transcribed and truncated cDNA being flanked by 5’
and 3’ adaptors amenable to sequencing (König et al. 2010; Bahrami-Samani et al. 2015). iCLIP-seq
was further enhanced as eCLIP-seq, which introduced technical optimizations of RNA
fragmentation and adaptor ligation steps to reduce requisite amplification by approximately 1000-fold (Van Nostrand et al. 2016). The method also includes a size-matched input control that enables accurate identification of truly enriched sequences. Overall, these improvements ultimately allow for reduced input cell numbers, an exciting advancement for rendering CLIP accessible for investigations of rare stem and progenitor cell populations.

While CLIP-based protocols take a protein-centric view of deciphering RNA regulons, the reverse procedure, known as mRNA interactome capture, allows for the identification of RBPs (Castello et al. 2012, 2013; Kwon et al. 2013). Polyadenylated RNA is precipitated by oligo(dT) beads and coprecipitated proteins are identified by mass spectrometry. mRNA interactome capture has been instrumental in elucidating novel RBPs, particularly those lacking canonical RNA-binding domains. Identification of specific RNA-binding domains or peptides is now possible due to refinements to this technique, which capitalize on exploitation of structural changes induced by cross-linking (Kramer et al. 2014; Castello et al. 2016) or protease treatment of precipitated RBPs (Castello et al. 2017). Overall, application of interactome capture has the potential to inform globally on aberrant RBP regulons in disease-state hematopoiesis; however, due to the input requirement of tens of millions of cells, this technique has not yet been accessible to HSPC or LSC contexts.

Measuring RNA stability

Temporal regulation of RNA stability and turnover has been traditionally measured by individual transcript (qPCR) or transcriptome profiling after transcription is inhibited using chemicals such as actinomycin D. A major caveat of this approach is these drugs have profound effects on normal
cell signaling. An alternative approach involves metabolic pulse-chase labeling of newly transcribed RNA with 4-thiouracil or 4-thiouridine (4tU or 4sU) followed by high-throughput sequencing (RATE-seq) (Neymotin et al. 2014). Both 4tU and 4sU are nontoxic nucleoside analogs incorporated in place of uridine into nascent transcripts. Biotinylation of the 4t/sU thiol group allows for subsequent highly efficient separation of labeled (newly transcribed) and unlabeled (preexisting) RNA by streptavidin affinity purification. Isolated RNA can be indexed for RNA-seq and a global perspective on RNA half-life can be measured mathematically taking into consideration the ratios between newly transcribed, preexisting, and total RNA (Chen et al. 2008; Pérez-Ortínez et al. 2013; Palumbo et al. 2015).

Profiling translation

In the hematopoietic system, control at the level of protein translation has been inferred through correlation of the transcriptome and proteome (Cabezas-Wallscheid et al. 2014). While comparisons of this nature offer important insights, they overlook the potentially significant effects that mRNA or protein stability may have on concordant or discordant transcript to protein ratios. To conclusively understand translational control, the translatome, meaning the global profile of transcripts being translated, can be measured specifically by profiling transcripts or nascent peptides associated with translational machinery.

Polysome profiling is achieved by gradient isolation of polysomes and RNA-seq of associated transcripts (Sterne-Weiler et al. 2013; Martinez-Nunez and Sanford 2016). Both polysome profiling and Ribo-seq approaches (discussed below) make use of a whole transcriptome input control to accurately assess and quantify enrichment of transcripts within the translational
machinery. However, because polysome profiling does not delineate the precise position of ribosomes or their presence at regular intervals on transcripts, sequenced reads could represent transcripts associated with stalled ribosomes and not those necessarily undergoing productive active translation.

Ribosome profiling or foot printing (Ribo-seq) is the preferred approach to elucidate the specific RNA region occupied by translating ribosomes. Similar to CLIP-procedures, Ribo-seq involves fragmentation of free RNA and isolation of ribosomes and ribosome-protected RNA fragments for RNA-seq (Ingolia et al. 2009, 2012). By virtue of the ribosome “footprint” spanning approximately 30 nucleotides, Ribo-seq decodes the translatome to a high subcodon resolution. This is a powerful tool capable of informing on specific translation sites, such as alternative and near-cognate start sites and translational pause sites, alternative translation of multiple open reading frames within a single transcript, and translational stalling (Michel et al. 2012; Menschaert et al. 2013).

Conclusion

The self-renewal and differentiation axis underlying HSC fate is controlled by a complex and multilayered cascade of intracellular events. Influenced by the wealth of data generated by systems biology focused experimental approaches, our current understanding of the regulatory networks that orchestrate hematopoietic lineage commitment continues to evolve. Recent advances in high-throughput genomic tools and computational models aimed at identifying genome-wide RBP–RNA interactions have paved the way for the exploration of post-transcriptional networks and their functional relevance in stem cell biology. Individual nodes within intricate regulatory
circuitries are being revealed, providing us with a more accurate grasp on the cooperative regulatory mechanisms underlying HSC biology. A remaining challenge, however, is to reliably integrate and organize these highly complex data into our current understanding of HSC behavior. By balancing accurate network modeling algorithms and more low-throughput biochemical approaches, it remains to be unraveled which interactions identified on a global scale are truly functionally relevant for the stem cell in its *in vivo* environment. Variables including combinations of microenvironmental cues, indirect interactors, competitors, and/or multiple feedback and forward loops certainly contribute to ongoing cell intrinsic mechanisms to tailor the ultimate outcome of RBP–RNA interactions. In addition, it remains to be elucidated how these mechanisms are utilized, coopted, or repressed in the malignant setting. LSCs might, for example, rewire or hijack these intricate circuitries at multiple levels. Further experimental dissection of key nodes within post-transcriptional networks could thus pave the way for more efficient LSC-focused therapeutic approaches.

Moving forward, the unmistakably important role of RBP-directed post-transcriptional regulatory networks for the regenerative potential of HSCs should continue to inspire us to achieve a more complete understanding of their collective dynamics and how this can be harnessed for therapeutic means.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RBP</th>
<th>RNA-binding motif</th>
<th>Role in normal hematopoiesis</th>
<th>Role in aberrant hematopoiesis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGO2</td>
<td>DUF1785, PAZ, Piwi</td>
<td>Forms minimal RISC complex; promotes HSC exit from quiescence and regulates both erythropoiesis and lymphopoiesis (O’Carroll et al. 2007)</td>
<td>Regulates LSC self-renewal in AML-ETO1 driven leukemogenesis (Zhou et al. 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDX21</td>
<td>Helicase, DEAD, GUCT</td>
<td>Maintains HSC reservoirs and promotes erythro- and myelopoiesis (Guo et al. 2010; Buza-Vidas et al. 2012)</td>
<td>Frequently mutated in hematopoietic malignancies and upregulated upon imatinib treatment (Jiang et al. 2012; Ojha et al. 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDX3X</td>
<td>Helicase, DEAD</td>
<td>Regulates HSC differentiation through its pseudouridylation activity on small noncoding RNAs (Bellodi et al. 2013)</td>
<td>Mutations found in dyskeratosis congenita result in defective rRNA pseudouridylation (Bellodi et al. 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dicer1</td>
<td>Dicer1, dsm, PAZ, DEAD, Ribonuclease</td>
<td>Maintains HSC reservoirs and promotes erythro- and myelopoiesis (Guo et al. 2010; Buza-Vidas et al. 2012)</td>
<td>Mutations found in dyskeratosis congenita result in defective rRNA pseudouridylation (Bellodi et al. 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dck1</td>
<td>PUA, DEKLD, Truβ</td>
<td>Regulates HSC differentiation through its pseudouridylation activity on small noncoding RNAs (Bellodi et al. 2013)</td>
<td>Mutations found in dyskeratosis congenita result in defective rRNA pseudouridylation (Bellodi et al. 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dppa5</td>
<td>KH-like RBD</td>
<td>Downregulates endoplasmic reticulum stress in HSCs (Miharada et al. 2014)</td>
<td>Downregulates endoplasmic reticulum stress in HSCs (Miharada et al. 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drs2A</td>
<td>Ribonuclease, dsrm</td>
<td>Promotes myelopoiesis by repressing mRNAs through a non-miRNA pathway (Johanson et al. 2015)</td>
<td>Promotes myelopoiesis by repressing mRNAs through a non-miRNA pathway (Johanson et al. 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elp4e</td>
<td>I hel</td>
<td>Radioprotects and maintains fetal HSC self-renewal (Sugawara et al. 2010)</td>
<td>Radioprotects and maintains fetal HSC self-renewal (Sugawara et al. 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUS</td>
<td>zf-RanBP, RRM_1</td>
<td>Radioprotects and maintains fetal HSC self-renewal (Sugawara et al. 2010)</td>
<td>Radioprotects and maintains fetal HSC self-renewal (Sugawara et al. 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hnrna0</td>
<td>RRM_1, RRM_6</td>
<td>Shifts myeloid lineage fate upon functional knockdown (Young et al. 2014)</td>
<td>Shifts myeloid lineage fate upon functional knockdown (Young et al. 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hnrnap1</td>
<td>Hnrnap1, RRM_1</td>
<td>Regulates granulocytic differentiation (Song et al. 2017)</td>
<td>Regulates granulocytic differentiation (Song et al. 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hnrnzk</td>
<td>ROKNT, KH_1</td>
<td>Acts as a tumour suppressor (Gallardo et al. 2013, 2015)</td>
<td>Acts as a tumour suppressor (Gallardo et al. 2013, 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin28b</td>
<td>zf-CCHC, CSD</td>
<td>Expands fetal liver HSC pool by repression of a family of miRNAs (Lee et al. 2013)</td>
<td>Expands fetal liver HSC pool by repression of a family of miRNAs (Lee et al. 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metll3</td>
<td>MT-A70</td>
<td>Regulates HSPC proliferation and differentiation through m^A-mediated translational regulation (Vu et al. 2017a)</td>
<td>Regulates HSPC proliferation and differentiation through m^A-mediated translational regulation (Vu et al. 2017a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metll4</td>
<td>MT-A70</td>
<td>Regulates HSPC proliferation and differentiation through m^A-mediated translational regulation (Weng et al. 2018)</td>
<td>Regulates HSPC proliferation and differentiation through m^A-mediated translational regulation (Weng et al. 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms2</td>
<td>RRM_1</td>
<td>Promotes HSC self-renewal through modulation of several key signaling pathways (Park et al. 2014; Rentas et al. 2016)</td>
<td>Promotes HSC self-renewal through modulation of several key signaling pathways (Park et al. 2014; Rentas et al. 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rkrler</td>
<td>Pkinase</td>
<td>Regulates HSPC proliferation, differentiation, and survival through downstream target phosphorylation (Liu et al. 2013)</td>
<td>Regulates HSPC proliferation, differentiation, and survival through downstream target phosphorylation (Liu et al. 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBP</td>
<td>RNA-binding motif</td>
<td>Role in normal hematopoiesis</td>
<td>Role in aberrant hematopoiesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLUM1</td>
<td>Shadoe, PUF</td>
<td>Regulates HSPC growth by stabilizing key transcription factor expression (Naudin et al. 2017)</td>
<td>Sustains myeloid leukemic growth by the same pathway in HSPCs (Naudin et al. 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLUM2</td>
<td>PUF</td>
<td></td>
<td>RBJM5-MKL1 fusion present in (t1;22)(p13;q13) acute megakaryoblastic leukemias (Ma et al. 2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBM15</td>
<td>RRM_5, RRM_1, SPOC</td>
<td>Regulates balance between HSC quiescence and differentiation through alternative splicing (Xiao et al. 2015)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPS14</td>
<td>Ribosomal_S11</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mutations cause MDS with defects in erythroid differentiation due to compromised rRNA processing (Ebert et al. 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBDS</td>
<td>SBDS_C, SBDS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Defects result in neutropenia and erythroid production deficiencies due to impaired ribosome assembly (Ganapathy et al. 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF3B1</td>
<td>HEAT_2, SF3b1</td>
<td>Maintains HSPCs and normal hematopoiesis through wildtype splicing profile (Mian et al. 2015; Montero-Blanco et al. 2017)</td>
<td>SF3B1 mutations impair erythropoiesis and expand the HSC pool (Obeng et al. 2016; Mupo et al. 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRSF2</td>
<td>RRM_1</td>
<td>Maintains HSPCs and normal hematopoiesis through wildtype splicing profile (Kim et al. 2015; Komeno et al. 2015)</td>
<td>SRSF2 mutations result in myelodysplasia due to altered binding and splicing profiles (Kim et al. 2015; Komeno et al. 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSB</td>
<td>La, RRM_1, RRM_3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Upregulated by BCR-ABL1; enhances proliferation and survival signaling (Trota et al. 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYNGRIP</td>
<td>RRM_1</td>
<td>Not essential for fetal liver hematopoiesis (Vu et al. 2017b)</td>
<td>Regulates LSC gene expression programs in conjunction with MSi2 (Vu et al. 2017b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U2AF1</td>
<td>RRM_5, zf-CCCH</td>
<td>Maintains HSPCs and normal hematopoiesis through wildtype splicing profile (Shirai et al. 2015; Wadugu et al. 2017)</td>
<td>U2AF1 mutations result in leukopenia and progenitor expansion (Shirai et al. 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFF2</td>
<td>MIF4 G, Upf2</td>
<td>Core component of NMD pathway; maintains HSPCs (Weischenfeldt et al. 2008)</td>
<td>Inhibition may result in remissions in chemoresistant or relapsed AML patients by selective targeting of LSCs (Kojima et al. 2013; Savona et al. 2013; Walker et al. 2013; Fiedler et al. 2015; Hing et al. 2016; Etchin et al. 2017; Garzon et al. 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPO1</td>
<td>I2B_N, Xpot, CRM1_C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: RNA-binding motifs adapted from Gerstberger et al. (2014).*
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Chapter 5: Concluding Remarks

The novel connection reported in this thesis between ACD-associated genes with defects in HSCs and the pathogenesis of benign blood disorders uniquely highlights how alterations to critical developmental pathways can effectively compromise hematopoiesis in diverse ways. Indeed, much of the heterogeneity and idiopathic nature of bone marrow failure syndromes remain to be better understood at the molecular level. To date, exemplar pathways classically known to be disrupted in inherited bone marrow failure syndromes include defects in DNA repair in Fanconi anemia (Kottemann and Smogorzewska, 2013; Longerich et al., 2014), defective ribosomal biogenesis and assembly in Diamond-Blackfan anemia (Choesmel et al., 2007; Khajuria et al., 2018) and Shwachman-Diamond syndrome (Burwick et al., 2012), and dysfunctions in telomere maintenance and small noncoding RNAs in dyskeratosis congenita (Mitchell et al., 1999; Vulliamy et al., 2001; Bellodi et al., 2013), among others. However, as suggested by results from this work, there may exist a wider landscape to which other developmentally-linked genes may contribute to and/or explain the pathogenesis of certain bone marrow failure syndromes.

For example, our identification of Arhgef2 as an essential spindle orienting factor in HSCs highlights the importance of integrating extrinsic and intrinsic crosstalk. Interestingly, we also recently learned that unpublished work by another research group has found a broader polarity network disrupted in Shwachman-Diamond syndrome potentially consistent with our findings. In the case of aplastic anemia, while the most favoured model supports a dysregulated immune system that elicits autoreactive T cell destruction of HSPCs, clear lines of evidence also point toward intrinsic defects within affected HSCs that may arise from germline mutations not yet fully appreciated (Kallen et al., 2019). Our data on Stau1 at least supports the concept that disruptions
to a post-transcriptional landscape in HSCs can independently predispose or lead towards anemia, and in combination of a growing body of literature on RBP effects in HSCs, opens up doors to a relatively uncharted territory. Recent sequencing efforts have also identified clonal mutations in paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria, previously thought of as a monogenic disorder, that predate or follow acquisition of canonical PIGA mutations, demonstrating similar architectures to those found in malignant neoplasms, the significance of which has yet to be elucidated (Shen et al., 2014).

These observations of broader network alterations, alternative pathways that potentially lead to similar phenotypes, and overlapping landscapes between benign and malignant hematopoietic diseases suggest a rich and complex landscape intrinsic to HSCs yet to be annotated for significance across the full spectrum of benign to malignant hematopoietic disorders. Efforts at the frontier of bone marrow failure research now include studying earlier defects in fetal hematopoiesis (Kurre, 2018), the role of surrounding microenvironment to both disease process and transplant outcomes (Zha et al., 2019), induced pluripotent stem cell-based modelling strategies (Elbadry et al., 2019), studies of clonal evolution in related settings (Shen et al., 2014; Babushok et al., 2015; Yoshizato et al., 2015), single cell based profiling of rare cell populations (Joyce et al., 2019) and genetic studies of germline mutations (Bluteau et al., 2018), as well as applications of gene editing (Wu et al., 2019; Humbert et al., 2019) and gene therapy (Ribeil et al., 2017; Thompson et al., 2018). Future work should recognize the former on this benign-to-malignant continuum of defective hematopoiesis more fully, not only to better capture deviations from normal hematopoiesis across the developmental lifespan of an organism or individual, but to ultimately leverage and harness such insight for applications that may one day reach and treat even these rarest of diseases.
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