

**PREDICTORS OF PHYSICAL FUNCTIONING FOLLOWING
INTRAMEDULLARY NAILING OF TIBIAL SHAFT FRACTURES**

**PREDICTORS OF PHYSICAL FUNCTIONING FOLLOWING
INTRAMEDULLARY NAILING OF TIBIAL SHAFT FRACTURES**

By FAWAZ FINDAKLI, MBBS

A Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

for the Degree Master of Science

McMaster University

ABSTRACT

Tibia fractures are common injuries that have overwhelming consequences on patients in terms of pain and function. Tibia fracture fixation can deliver excellent outcomes; however, the physical function of this patient population could vary. The purpose of my thesis was to explore modifiable and non-modifiable factors that influence the physical health-related quality of life in this patient population, thus, allowing patients and surgeon tailor management accordingly.

McMaster University MASTER OF SCIENCE (2018) Hamilton, Ontario (HRM)

TITLE: Predictors of Physical Functioning Following Intramedullary Nailing of Tibial Shaft Fractures

AUTHOR: Fawaz Findakli MBBS (McMaster University), BHSc (McMaster University)

SUPERVISOR: Professor Mohit Bhandari

NUMBER OF PAGES: vii, 23

Acknowledgments

There are a number of individuals without whom this thesis – and my journey in the HRM program – would not be possible: I am forever indebted to an outstanding group of mentors and supervisors: Dr. Mohit Bhandari, Dr. Eva Lonn, and Dr. Jason Busse, who have provided me invaluable support throughout this thesis and - over the past two years - have truly opened my mind to thinking big; Dr. Emil Schemitsch and Dr. Forough Farrokhyar for their collaboration and contribution to this thesis; the Center for Evidence-based Orthopedics, including Dr. Sheila Sprague and Paula McKay for their collaboration on this thesis and other papers; and the Health Research Methodology Program at McMaster University for giving me the opportunity to take on this journey; my parents Faris and Ban, and brother Fadi, and my late Uncle Faisal Rahimo for their never failing love and support.

DECLARATION OF ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

I, Fawaz Findakli, hereby declare that I was significantly involved in the design, analysis, interpretation of data, and drafting manuscripts of the enclosed thesis, titled Predictors of Physical Functioning Following Intramedullary Nailing of Tibial Shaft Fractures. I have completed this thesis under the supervision of Dr. Mohit Bhandari.

My co-authors also made important contributions to this thesis and have been acknowledged and listed.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1: Predictors of Physical Functioning Following Intramedullary Nailing of Tibial Shaft

Fractures

ABSTRACT.....	3
INTRODUCTION.....	4
METHODS.....	4
RESULTS.....	7
DISCUSSION.....	8
TABLES.....	12
REFERENCES.....	14
APPENDIX.....	20

Predictors of Physical Functioning Following Intramedullary Nailing of Tibial Shaft Fractures

Fawaz Findakli¹ MBBS,
Jason W. Busse^{1,2,3,4} DC, PhD
Emil H. Schemitsch⁵ MD, FRCSC
Eva Lonn⁶ MD, MSc, FRCPC
Forough Farrokhyar¹ MPhil, PhD
Mohit Bhandari^{1,7} MD, PhD, FRCSC
on behalf of the TRUST investigators*

1. Department of Health Research Methods, Evidence, and Impact, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada
2. Department of Anesthesia, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada
3. Michael G. DeGroot Institute for Pain Research and Care, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada
4. Michael G. DeGroot Centre for Medicinal Cannabis Research, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada
5. Department of Surgery, University of Western Ontario, London, ON, Canada
6. Population Health Research Institute, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada
7. Division of Orthopaedic Surgery, Department of Surgery, McMaster University

Correspondence:
Mohit Bhandari MD, PhD, FRCSC
Centre for Evidence-based Orthopedics
Division of Orthopedics
McMaster University
293 Wellington St. N, Suite 110,
Hamilton, ON L8L 8E7, Canada
e-mail: bhandam@mcmaster.ca
Phone: (905) 521-2100 x 44131

*Status: Prepared for Submission

Source of funding: The TRUST trial was an investigator-initiated trial, supported by grants from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) (MCT 67815, Co-PIs: GH Guyatt, M Bhandari), and an industry grant from Smith & Nephew. The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the current study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; or decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

ABSTRACT

Background: Tibial fractures are associated with prolonged recovery. The aim of this study was to identify predictors of long-term physical functioning after tibial shaft fracture.

Methods: We used data from the Trial to Re-evaluate Ultrasound in the Treatment of Tibial Fractures (TRUST) to determine, in patients with unilateral, open or closed tibial shaft fracture, the association between baseline factors and physical functioning at 1-year. All fractures were fixed using intramedullary nails. Physical functioning was measured using the 100-point Short Form-36 (SF-36) Physical Component Summary (PCS) score; higher is better; minimally important difference is 2 to 5 points.

Results: There were 299 tibial fracture patients with complete data available for analysis. In an adjusted analysis, the factors associated with lower physical functioning at 1-year were: (1) current smokers (mean difference [MD] -2.55, 95% confidence interval [95%CI] -4.63 to -0.46, $p=0.017$), (2) body mass index >30 kg/m² (MD -2.57, 95% CI -4.86, -0.27, $p = 0.029$), and (3) patients who were receiving disability benefits or involved in litigation, or planned to be (MD -2.65, 95% CI -4.58 to -0.72, $p = 0.007$). Patients who were employed at the time of their fracture reported significantly higher physical functioning at 1-year (MD 4.56, 95% CI 2.32 to 6.80, $p < 0.001$) and those who were allowed to partial or full weight-bear post-operatively (MD 1.98, 95% CI 0.13 to 3.82, $p=0.036$). Neither age, sex, fracture severity or receipt of physical therapy were associated with long-term physical functioning.

Conclusions: Among patients undergoing surgical repair of tibial fractures, partial or full weight-bearing post-operatively and employment at the time of injury predict better long-term functioning, whereas smoking, obesity, and receipt of disability benefits or involvement in litigation (or plans to be) predict worse long-term functioning.

BACKGROUND

Forty percent of adult long bone fractures involve the tibia [1], with an estimated incidence of 17/100,000/year in the developed world [2, 3]. The incidence of tibial fractures is expected to rise in countries with developing economies due to the growth in loosely-regulated and unsafe public transport [4]. Patients undergoing tibia fracture fixation may experience adverse events, such as prolonged knee pain [5, 6], muscle weakness [7], reoperation [8], and angular malalignment [9]. Moreover, a number of studies have reported variable outcomes regarding health-related quality of life (HRQL) following tibial fractures [10-12].

For example, a cross-sectional study of 49 tibial fracture patients, one-year after injury, found significant limitations in activities and restrictions in quality of life when compared to a reference population [Larsen, 2016]. Another investigation of 1319 tibial fracture patients found that functional outcomes at one year, as measured by the short-form 36 (SF-36) physical component summary (PCS) score, were significantly below pre-injury scores in patients with reamed (42.8 vs. 52.4, 95% CI of the difference -10.8 to -8.4, $P < 0.001$) and unreamed tibias (43.7 vs. 52.9, 95% CI, -10.5 to -7.9, $P < 0.001$) [13]. The identification of prognostic factors associated with long-term physical function could help inform optimal treatment after fracture. We aimed to identify baseline, surgical, and treatment characteristics associated with long-term physical function after tibial fracture repair.

METHODS

Study Design

This study utilized data from the Trial to Re-evaluate Ultrasound in the Treatment of Tibial Fractures (TRUST) [14]. A randomized, blinded, sham controlled clinical trial of 501 participants who were allocated centrally to self-administer daily low intensity pulsed ultrasound

(LIPUS) or a sham device, and were followed for one year. The primary outcomes were time to radiographic healing and short form-36 (SF-36) physical component summary (PCS) scores. A blinded interim analysis showed no differences in SF-36 PCS scores and time to radiographic healing between treatment groups, and the study sponsor terminated the study early due to futility. The TRUST trial protocol was approved by the McMaster University research ethics board (REB#08-171) and local boards at 42 participating trauma centers in Canada and United States. This trial was registered at www.clinicaltrials.gov with the identifier: NCT00667849.

Skeletally mature patients with an open (Gustilo type I – III B) or closed (Tscherene grade 0-3) tibial fracture amenable to intramedullary nail fixation were eligible for enrollment in the TRUST trial. The exclusion criteria were: 1) pilon fractures, 2) tibial shaft fractures extending into the knee or ankle joint and requiring reduction, 3) pathologic fractures, 4) bilateral tibial fractures, 5) segmental fractures, 6) spiral fractures >7.5 cm in length, 7) concomitant injuries that were likely to impair function for at least as long as the patients tibial fracture, 8) tibial fractures that showed less than 25% cortical contact and >1 cm gap after intramedullary nail fixation, 9) likely problems with maintaining follow-up, 10) patients with cognitive impairment or language difficulties, 11) women who were pregnant or nursing or planned to become pregnant during their enrollment period, 12) patients with osteobiologics or implants at the site of their tibial fracture or with active implanted devices such as cardiac pacemakers.

Measuring Physical Functioning

We measured physical function via SF-36 PCS scores at 1-year post-surgery. The SF-36 is a widely accepted, well-validated [15, 16] instrument which measures quality of life across eight domains, including: physical functioning; role limitations due to physical health; role

limitations due to emotional problems; energy/fatigue; emotional well-being; social functioning; pain; general health. These scores can be aggregated into physical and mental summary scores. SF-36 PCS scores range from 0 (worst possible function) to 100 (best possible function). The minimal important difference (MID) for PCS scores in an orthopedic population have been estimated to range from 2-5 points [16-18].

Selection of Prognostic Factors

We identified 10 factors potentially associated with PCS scores at 1-year from data collected as part of the TRUST trial, based on biological and clinical rationale and reference to previous literature [35-37, 40]: (1) age, by decade as a continuous variable; (2) gender [male vs. female]; (3) current smoker [yes vs. no]; (4) employment status [employed at time of injury vs. not]; (5) body mass index (BMI) [18-25 kg/m² vs. 25-30 kg/m² vs. > 30 kg/m²]; (6) fracture type [open vs. closed]; (7) post-operative weight bearing status [non-weight-bearing vs. partial or full weight bearing]; (8) receiving disability benefits or lawyer/litigation involvement [yes or likely I will vs. no or its possible I will]; (9) receipt of physiotherapy by 6 weeks [yes vs. no]. We also adjusted for interventions administered in the TRUST study: LIPUS and sham therapy [14].

Statistical Analysis

We reported the mean and standard deviation of continuous variables, and the frequency of occurrences and percentages for categorical variables. We constructed a linear regression model to explore the association between our independent factors and SF-36 PCS scores at 1-year post-surgery. All participants with incomplete data were excluded from the analysis, and all potential prognostic factors were entered simultaneously into the model. We calculated that we

would require at least 100 patients with complete data in order to avoid over-fitting our regression model (10 respondents for each level of independent variable considered) [19]. We excluded independent variables with fewer than 50 observations, unless we were able to collapse them with other related variables to exceed this threshold.

We tested for multicollinearity between included variables using the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) statistic, and excluded variables with a $VIF \geq 5$. We reported mean differences (MDs) with associated 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and p-values for all predictors. Overall goodness-of-fit of the model was assessed using the R^2 value. This value reflects the amount of variance in the dependent variable that is explained by the predictors in the model. In addition, F-test was performed and overall significance of the model was determined. All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Macintosh, version 25 (IBM Corp., Armonk, N.Y., USA) using 2-tailed tests with a significance level of $\alpha=0.05$.

RESULTS

Of 501 eligible patients enrolled in TRUST, 73 patients were followed up for fewer than 12 months as a result of the industry sponsor's decision to stop the study early. Of the remaining 428 patients, 299 (69.9%) provided complete baseline data and completed the SF-36 questionnaire at 1-year follow-up, and were included in our analysis. Study patients were in their 30s and 40s (mean [SD], 39.4 [13.9]) and predominantly male (65.6%; 196 of 299). The incidence of current smokers was 29.1% (87 of 299) with a 4.7% rate of diabetes (14 of 299). The majority of included patients were employed (75.9%, 227 of 299). One in five tibial fractures were open (20.4%, 61 of 299), of which, 13 patients suffered Gustilo-Anderson types IIIA and IIIB. Baseline characteristics were similar between patients eligible for our study and all patients enrolled in the TRUST trial. (**Table 1**)

In our adjusted model, partial or full weight-bearing post-operatively (MD 1.98, 95% CI 0.13 to 3.82) and employment at the time of injury (MD 4.56, 95% CI 2.32 to 6.80) were associated with greater physical functioning at 1-year follow-up. Three factors were associated with lower SF-36 PCS scores at 1-year: (1) current smoker (MD -2.53, 95% CI -4.62 to -0.44); (2) obesity (MD for BMI >30 kg/m² -2.42, 95% CI -4.75, -0.10); and (3) receiving, or very likely to receive, disability benefits or engage in litigation (MD -2.69, 95% CI -4.62 to -0.75) (**Table 2**). Standardized residual plots showed no violation of model assumptions. The variance inflation factor was less than 5 for each independent variable, suggesting no issues with multicollinearity. Our model explained approximately 15% of the variation (adjusted R² = 0.152) in SF-36 PCS scores at 1-year postsurgical fixation. The F-test showed that the model is significant p<0.001 at 11 and 286 degrees of freedom (the total number of independent values estimated), thus, our model provides a better fit than the intercept-only model.

DISCUSSION

We found that current smokers, obese patients (BMI >30 kg/m²), and receiving disability benefit or being involved with a lawyer (or plans to be) were associated with lower physical function one year after surgical fixation of tibial fractures. Being employed at the time of injury and partial or full weight-bearing post-operatively were significantly associated with higher physical function at 1-year. Fracture severity (open vs. closed), age, sex or receipt of physical therapy was not associated with long-term physical functioning.

Our participants' characteristics are comparable to those of other studies on patients with tibial shaft fractures in terms of age, gender distribution, and smoking status [20, 9]. Our findings are consistent with other studies that have found smoking negatively impacts outcomes of

orthopedic patients due to impaired wound healing [21], higher rates of surgical site and deep wound infection [22], and increased risk of nonunion [23]. Moreover, smoking is associated with lower HRQL in other disease groups [24, 25] and in the general population [26, 27].

In the absence of comorbidities, patients with higher BMI do not seem to have higher postoperative complication rates when compared to those with normal weight [28]. However, similar to previous studies [29-31], we also found that obesity is associated with lower physical functioning after surgery, suggesting that BMI is a modifiable factor that surgeons could emphasize its importance to patients at risk.

Our finding that receipt of disability benefits or involvement in litigation, or plans to do so, were associated with worse long-term function is consistent with a systematic review on this topic [32]. Specifically, a meta-analysis of 129 studies revealed that the odds of an unsatisfactory outcome in surgical patients receiving disability benefits or engaged in litigation was 3.79 times greater (95% CI 3.28 to 4.37) versus similar patients not in receipt of disability benefits or pursuing litigation. We also found that being employed was associated with higher 1-year SF-36 PCS scores. Reasons for this are not clear; however, many TRUST patients were recruited from US trauma centers and most US workers have access to health care insurance through their employer [33]. Most personal bankruptcies in the US are the result of medical expenses [34], and fear of losing health insurance is likely a powerful incentive to pursue recovery and return to work.

In keeping with our findings, neither age nor gender has shown an association with long-term functional outcomes in previous studies of tibial fracture patients [35 - 37]. Our finding that fracture severity is not associated with functional recovery is not consistent with prior studies, which have found worse function at 1-year with open vs. closed fractures [35, 36]. Our results

showed a near-significant trend in this direction, and lack of significant may be due to the limited number of patients with open fractures available for analysis (n=61). A study by Houben *et al.* concluded that delayed weight bearing increases the risk of impaired healing in patients with tibial shaft fractures [38]. Similarly, we found that participants who were advised to fully or partially weight-bear post operatively reported higher one-year physical function than those who did not.

Our study has a number of strengths. First, our study patients were part of a randomized controlled trial in which the surgical procedure and postoperative care were standardized, which limits the impact that these variables may have had on functional outcomes. Second, recruiting from multiple trauma centers increases the generalizability of our findings to North American tibial fracture patients. Third, we used a well-validated instrument (the SF-36) to assess physical functioning [16, 18, 39]. Fourth, adjustment of our regression model for clinically relevant patient and injury characteristics strengthens inferences from our results.

There are limitations to our study. Although our study cohort was similar to the full TRUST population, our findings are restricted to 70% of enrolled patients. Moreover, the results of this analysis are limited to the variables that were collected as part of the TRUST trial, and do not include all potential prognostics factors (e.g. anxiety). Furthermore, the generalizability of our findings to tibial fracture patients outside of North America is uncertain.

In summary, the current study found that employment at the time of injury predicts better long-term functioning, whereas smoking, obesity, and receipt of disability benefits or involvement in litigation (or plans to be) predict worse long-term functioning. Surgeons should be aware of the effect of these factors on recovery when managing tibial fractures and inform

patients about their impact on postoperative outcomes. Future research should explore strategies to improve functional recovery among high-risk patients.

Table 1: Patient Characteristics

Characteristics	Current Cohort (N=299)	All TRUST Patients (N=501)
	Incidence of Predictors n (%)*	
<i>Baseline Patient Characteristics</i>		
Age, mean (SD)	39.4 (13.9)	38.1 (13.9)
Male	196 (65.6)	345 (68.9)
Current smoker	87 (29.1)	165 (32.9)
Diabetic	14 (4.7)	30 (6.0)
Employed	227 (75.9)	368 (74.0)
Body Mass Index		
18 – 25 kg/m ²	112 (37.5)	197 (39.3)
25-30 kg/m ²	106 (35.5)	170 (33.9)
>30 kg/m ²	81 (27.1)	129 (25.7)
<i>Fracture Characteristics</i>		
Open fracture	61 (20.4)	114 (22.8)
AO classification		
Class A	201 (67.2)	337 (67.3)
Class B	90 (30.1)	148 (29.5)
Class C	8 (2.7)	15 (3.2)
Gustilo-Anderson classification		
Type I	34 (11.4)	51 (10.2)
Type II	14 (4.7)	34 (6.7)
Types IIIA & IIIB	13 (4.3)	29 (5.8)
Tscherne Classification		
0	77 (25.8)	126 (25.1)
1	138 (46.2)	220 (43.8)
2	22 (7.4)	37 (7.4)
3	2 (0.7)	5 (1.0)
<i>Treatment Characteristics</i>		
Randomization group:		
LIPUS	152 (50.8)	250 (49.9)
Sham	147 (49.2)	251 (50.1)
Post-operative weight bearing status:		
Non-weight-bearing	141 (47.2)	220 (43.9)
Partial or full weight-bearing	158 (52.8)	281 (56.1)
Receiving physiotherapy at 6 weeks	88 (29.4)	141 (29.5)
<i>Benefits</i>		
Receiving disability benefit or lawyer/litigation involvement:		
No or it is possible I will	185 (61.9)	299 (62.6)
Yes or likely I will	114 (38.1)	175 (36.6)

LIPUS: Low Intensity Pulsed Ultrasound

* = unless otherwise specified

Table 2: Multivariable linear regression with PCS scores as the dependent variable

Independent Variable	Unadjusted mean difference (95% CI)	P-value	Adjusted mean difference (95% CI)	P-value
<i>Baseline Patient Characteristics</i>				
Age (per 10-year increase)	-0.05 (-0.12, 0.18)	0.145	-0.37 (-1.05, 0.09)	0.285
Sex				
Female	Reference category		Reference category	
Male	-0.71 (0.271, 1.23)	0.487	-0.42 (-2.44, 1.60)	0.681
Smoking status				
Non-smoker or prior smoker	Reference category		Reference category	
Current smoker	-2.86 (-4.93, -0.80)	0.007	-2.55 (-4.63, -0.46)	0.017
Employment status				
Unemployed	Reference category		Reference category	
Employed	4.36 (2.19, 6.53)	<0.001	4.56 (2.32, 6.80)	< 0.001
Body Mass Index				
18 – 25 kg/m ²	Reference category		Reference category	
25-30 kg/m ²	-1.33 (-3.55, 0.897)	0.241	-1.78 (-3.94, 0.37)	0.105
>30 kg/m ²	-1.78 (-4.17, 0.61)	0.144	-2.57 (-4.86, -0.27)	0.029
<i>Fracture Characteristics</i>				
Fracture type				
Open fracture	Reference group		Reference category	
Closed fracture	2.21 (-0.14, 4.57)	0.065	2.08 (-0.23, 4.38)	0.078
<i>Treatment Characteristics</i>				
Randomization group:				
Sham	Reference category		Reference category	
LIPUS	1.55 (-0.34, 3.45)	0.107	1.22 (-0.58, 3.02)	0.182
Post-operative weight bearing status:				
Non-weight-bearing	Reference category		Reference category	
Partial or full weight-bearing	1.67 (-0.23, 3.56)	0.084	1.98 (0.13, 3.82)	0.036
Receiving physiotherapy at 6 weeks	-1.28 (-3.37, 0.80)	0.227	-1.23 (-3.21, 0.75)	0.249
<i>Benefits</i>				
Receiving disability benefit or lawyer/litigation involvement:				
No or it is possible I will	Reference category		Reference category	
Yes or likely I will	-2.25 (-4.18, -0.31)	0.023	-2.65 (-4.58, -0.72)	0.007

LIPUS: Low Intensity Pulsed Ultrasound

REFERENCES

- 1- Court-Brown CM, Caesar B. Epidemiology of adult fractures: A review. *Injury*. 2006 Aug;37(8):691-7. Epub 2006 Jun 30.
- 2- Larsen P, Elsoe R, Hansen SH, Graven-Nielsen T, Laessoe U, Rasmussen S. Incidence and epidemiology of tibial shaft fractures. *Injury*. 2015 Apr;46(4):746-50.
- 3- Weiss RJ, Montgomery SM, Ehlin A, Al Dabbagh Z, Stark A, Jansson KA. Decreasing incidence of tibial shaft fractures between 1998 and 2004: information based on 10,627 Swedish inpatients. *Acta Orthop*. 2008 Aug;79(4):526-33.
- 4- Global Status Report on Road Safety 2013 - Supporting a Decade of Action. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization. 2013. Available at: http://www.who.int/violence_injury_prevention/road_safety_status/2013/en. Accessed April 15, 2018.
- 5- Song SY, Chang HG, Byun JC, Kim TY. Anterior knee pain after tibial intramedullary nailing using a medial paratendinous approach. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2012 Mar;26(3):172-7.
- 6- Lefavre KA, Guy P, Chan H, Blachut PA. Long-term follow-up of tibial shaft fractures treated with intramedullary nailing. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2008 Sep;22(8):525-9.
- 7- Väistö O, Toivanen J, Kannus P, Järvinen M. Anterior knee pain and thigh muscle strength after intramedullary nailing of a tibial shaft fracture: an 8-year follow-up of 28 consecutive cases. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2007 Mar;21(3):165-71.
- 8- Sathiyakumar V, Thakore RV, Ihejirika RC, Obremskey WT, Sethi MK. Distal tibia fractures and medial plating: factors influencing re-operation. *Int Orthop*. 2014 Jul;38(7):1483-8. doi: 10.1007/s00264-014-2345-7. Epub 2014 Apr 27.

- 9- Vallier HA, Cureton BA, Patterson BM. Randomized, prospective comparison of plate versus intramedullary nail fixation for distal tibia shaft fractures. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2011 Dec;25(12):736-41.
- 10- Skoog A, Söderqvist A, Törnkvist H, Ponzer S. One-year outcome after tibial shaft fractures: results of a prospective fracture registry. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2001 Mar-Apr;15(3):210-5.
- 11- Vallier HA, Cureton BA, Patterson BM. Factors influencing functional outcomes after distal tibia shaft fractures. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2012 Mar;26(3):178-83.
- 12- Larsen P, Elsoe R, Laessoe U, Graven-Nielsen T, Eriksen CB, Rasmussen S. Decreased QOL and muscle strength are persistent 1 year after intramedullary nailing of a tibial shaft fracture: a prospective 1-year follow-up cohort study. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. 2016 Oct;136(10):1395-402.
- 13- Lin CA, Swiontkowski M, Bhandari M, Walter SD, Schemitsch EH, Sanders D, Tornetta P 3rd. Reaming Does Not Affect Functional Outcomes After Open and Closed Tibial Shaft Fractures: The Results of a Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2016 Mar;30(3):142-8.
- 14- TRUST Investigators writing group, Busse JW, Bhandari M, Einhorn TA, Schemitsch E, Heckman JD, Tornetta P 3rd, Leung KS, Heels-Ansdell D, Makosso-Kallyth S, Della Rocca GJ, Jones CB, Guyatt GH. Re-evaluation of low intensity pulsed ultrasound in treatment of tibial fractures (TRUST): randomized clinical trial. *BMJ*. 2016 Oct 25;355:i5351.

- 15- Garratt A, Schmidt L, Mackintosh A, Fitzpatrick R. Quality of life measurement: bibliographic study of patient assessed health outcome measures. *BMJ*. 2002 Jun 15;324(7351):1417.
- 16- McHorney CA, Ware JE Jr, Lu JF, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36): III. Tests of data quality, scaling assumptions, and reliability across diverse patient groups. *Med Care*. 1994 Jan;32(1):40-66.
- 17- Angst F, Aeschlimann A, Stucki G. Smallest detectable and minimal clinically important differences of rehabilitation intervention with their implications for required sample sizes using WOMAC and SF-36 quality of life measurement instruments in patients with osteoarthritis of the lower extremities. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2001 Aug;45(4):384-91. -17
- 18- Ware JE Jr, ed. *SF-36 Physical & Mental Health Summary Scales: A User's Manual*. Quality Metric Inc, 1997.
- 19- Peduzzi P, Concato J, Kemper E, Holford TR, Feinstein AR. A simulation study of the number of events per variable in logistic regression analysis. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 1996 Dec;49(12):1373-9.
- 20- Study to Prospectively Evaluate Reamed Intramedullary Nails in Patients with Tibial Fractures Investigators, Bhandari M, Guyatt G, Tornetta P 3rd, Schemitsch EH, Swiontkowski M, Sanders D, Walter SD. Randomized trial of reamed and unreamed intramedullary nailing of tibial shaft fractures. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2008 Dec;90(12):2567-78.
- 21- Duchman KR, Gao Y, Pugely AJ, Martin CT, Noiseux NO, Callaghan JJ. The Effect of Smoking on Short-Term Complications Following Total Hip and Knee Arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2015 Jul 1;97(13):1049-58.

- 22- Singh JA, Schleck C, Harmsen WS, Jacob AK, Warner DO, Lewallen DG. Current tobacco use is associated with higher rates of implant revision and deep infection after total hip or knee arthroplasty: a prospective cohort study. *BMC Med.* 2015 Nov 19;13:283.
- 23- Hernigou J, Schuind F. Smoking as a predictor of negative outcome in diaphyseal fracture healing. *Int Orthop.* 2013 May;37(5):883-7.
- 24- Rumsfeld JS, Ho PM, Magid DJ, McCarthy M Jr, Shroyer AL, MaWhinney S, Grover FL, Hammermeister KE. Predictors of health-related quality of life after coronary artery bypass surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2004 May;77(5):1508-13.
- 25- Mitra M, Chung MC, Wilber N, Klein Walker D. Smoking status and quality of life: a longitudinal study among adults with disabilities. *Am J Prev Med.* 2004 Oct;27(3):258-60.
- 26- Vogl M, Wenig CM, Leidl R, Pokhrel S. Smoking and health-related quality of life in English general population: implications for economic evaluations. *BMC Public Health.* 2012 Mar 19;12:203.
- 27- Dube SR, Thompson W, Homa DM, Zack MM. Smoking and health-related quality of life among U.S. Adolescents. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2013 Feb;15(2):492-500.
- 28- Finkelstein EA, Chen H, Prabhu M, Trogdon JG, Corso PS. The relationship between obesity and injuries among U.S. adults. *Am J Health Promot.* 2007 May-Jun;21(5):460-8.
- 29- Dey M, Gmel G, Mohler-Kuo M. Body mass index and health-related quality of life among young Swiss men. *BMC Public Health.* 2013 Oct 30;13:1028.
- 30- Truthmann J, Mensink GBM, Bosy-Westphal A, Hapke U, Scheidt-Nave C, Schienkiewitz A. Physical health-related quality of life in relation to metabolic health and

obesity among men and women in Germany. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2017 Jun 10;15(1):122.

- 31- Heo M, Allison DB, Faith MS, Zhu S, Fontaine KR. Obesity and quality of life: mediating effects of pain and comorbidities. *Obes Res*. 2003 Feb;11(2):209-16.
- 32- Harris I, Mulford J, Solomon M, van Gelder JM, Young J. Association between compensation status and outcome after surgery: a meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2005; 293: 1644–1652.
- 33- Congressional Budget Office: Federal sub-sidies for health insurance coverage for people underage 65: 2016 to 2026.
- 34- Himmelstein DU, Thorne D, Warren E, et al: Medical bankruptcy in the United States, 2007: Results of a national study. *Am J Med* 122:741-746, 2009.
- 35- Busse JW, Bhandari M, Guyatt GH, et al. Development and Validation of an Instrument to Predict Functional Recovery in Tibial Fracture Patients: The Somatic Pre-Occupation and Coping (SPOC) Questionnaire. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2012; 26: 370-8.
- 36- Khan JS, Devereaux PJ, LeManach Y, Busse JW. Patient coping and expectations about recovery predict the development of chronic post-surgical pain after traumatic tibial fracture repair. *Br J Anaesth*. 2016; 117(3): 365-70.
- 37- Busse JW, Heels-Ansdell D, Makosso-Kallyth S, et al. Patient Coping and Expectations Predict Recovery Following Major Trauma. Accepted for publication in the *British Journal of Anesthesia*.
- 38- Houben IB, Raaben M, Van Basten Batenburg M, Blokhuis TJ. Delay in weight bearing in surgically treated tibial shaft fractures is associated with impaired healing: a cohort analysis of 166 tibial fractures. *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol*. 2018 Apr 9.

- 39- Busse JW, Bhandari M, Guyatt GH, Heels-Ansdell D, Mandel S, Sanders D, Schemitsch E, Swiontkowski M, Tornetta P 3rd, Wai E, Walter SD; SPRINT Investigators. Use of both Short Musculoskeletal Function Assessment questionnaire and Short Form-36 among tibial-fracture patients was redundant. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2009 Nov;62(11):1210-7.
- 40- Reininga IH, Brouwer S, Dijkstra A, Busse JW, Ebrahim S, Wendt KW, El Moumni M. Measuring illness beliefs in patients with lower extremity injuries: reliability and validity of the Dutch version of the Somatic Pre-Occupation and Coping questionnaire (SPOC-NL). *Injury.* 2015 Feb;46(2):308-14.

Appendix: List of TRUST investigators

TRUST Committee Members

Study Trial Co-Principal Investigators: Jason W. Busse, Mohit Bhandari

Steering Committee: Mohit Bhandari (Chair), Jason W. Busse, Thomas A. Einhorn, James D.

Heckman, Kwok-Sui Leung, Emil H. Schemitsch, Paul Tornetta III, Stephen D. Walter, Gordon H. Guyatt

Central Adjudication Committee: Mohit Bhandari (Chair), Emil H. Schemitsch, David Sanders, Yves Laflamme

TRUST Methods Centre Staff: McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario: Sheila Sprague, Paula McKay, Kim Madden, Nicole Simunovic, Diane Heels-Ansdell, Lisa Buckingham, Helena Viveiros, Qi Zhou, Marilyn Swinton.

TRUST Data Safety and Monitoring Board Members: George A. Tomlinson (Chair), Mark Munro, Rob GHH Nelissen.

TRUST Study Investigators

Greenville Health System – Kyle J. Jeray, J. Scott Broderick, Stephanie L. Tanner, Becky

Snider. Orthopaedics of Indianapolis – Dean Maar, Renn Crichlow, Greg Reveal, David Kaehr,

Joseph Baele, Kevin Douglas Scheid, David Brokaw, Tim Weber, Brad Jelen, Matt Edison,

Anna Clark Temple University Hospital – Saqib Rehman, Alyssa A. Schaffer, Asif M. Ilyas, J.

Milo Sowards, Joanne M. Donnelly. QEII Health Sciences Centre – Chad Coles, Michael

Dunbar, David Alexander, David Amirault, Catherine Coady, Mark Glazebrook, Michael Gross,

David Johnston, Ross Leighton, William Oxner, Gerald Reardon, William Stanish, Glen

Richardson, Michael Biddulph, Kelly Trask, Gwen Dobbin, Shelley MacDonald. Duke

University Medical Center – Steven Olson, Robert Zura, Rachel Reilly, Maria Manson.
University of California, San Francisco/San Francisco General Hospital – Theodore Miclau,
Saam Morshed, Amir Matityahu, Utku Kandemir, Tigist Belaye, Jonathan Kwong. Vancouver
General Hospital – Peter O’Brien, Piotr Blachut, Pierre Guy, Henry Broekhuysse, Kelly A
Lefavre, Raman Johal, Irene Leung. Orthopaedic Speciality Associates – Cory A. Collinge,
Keith Watson, Derek Dombroski, Tara Craig. West Virginia University – David Hubbard,
Michelle A Bramer, John France, E. Barry McDonough, George K. Bal, John P. Lubicky, Brock
Lindsey, Robert Santrock, Scott Daffner, Sheila Rye, Christina Carey, Stacy Skidmore, Nina
Clovis. Rothman Institute – Javad Parvizi, Matt Austin, John A. Abraham, Charlie Getz, James
Purtill, Steven Raikin, Tiffany Morrison, Bora Og. Emory University – Thomas Moore, George
Wright, Allen McDonald, Maria Davila, Lauren Rabach, Whitney Barnes. Cooper University
Hospital – Kenneth Graf, Robert Ostrum, David Fuller, Robert Marburger University of
Missouri Health Care - Gregory J. Della Rocca, Brett D. Crist, Yvonne M. Murtha, David A.
Volgas, James P. Stannard, Toni K. Kliewer, Sharon L. Jarrett, Kelly A. Moore, Kathleen
Markley, Angela Ballew, Abigail K. Stidham. Foothills Medical Centre – Paul Duffy, Robert
Korley, Shannon Puloski, Richard Buckley, Kelly Johnston, Kimberly Carcary, Ross
McKercher. University of Kentucky – Jeffrey Selby, Mauro Giordani, Eric Moghadamian,
Daniel D. Primm, Raymond D. Wright Jr, Brandon Bruce, Justin Perry, Amy Trivette, Regina
Mosley, Melinda M. Dowden-Kruger, Dorothy Ross. Insall Scott Kelly Institute – Craig S.
Radnay, Timothy Reish, Michael Kang, William Long, Michael Nett, Priya Chadha, Elizabeth
H. Jett, Jesie Paniagua. Florida Orthopaedic Institute – H. Claude Sagi, Anthony Infante, David
T. Watson, Daniel Chan, George Haidukewych, Anjan Shah, Barbara Steverson, Veronica
Colon. Eastern Maine Medical Center – David Carmack, Rajendra Tripathi, F. Parke Oldenburg,

Denise Michaud, Teresa White. University of South Alabama – Jorge Alonso, Kelley Prutzman. University of British Columbia/Royal Columbian Hospital/Fraser Health Authority – Trevor Stone, Kelly Apostle, Dory Boyer, Farhad Moola, Bertrand Perey, Darius Viskontas, H. Michael Lemke, Robert McCormack, Mauri Zomar, Karyn Moon, Raely Moon, Amber Oatt. Shrock Orthopaedic Research – Kevin Shrock, Matthew Wells, Natalie Shrock Denver Health – David Hak, Philip F Stahel, Mark Hammerberg, Cyril Mauffrey, Corey Henderson, Erin Ross, Douglas Gibula, Hannah Gissel. University of Virginia – David B. Weiss, David Kahler, Jacquelyn Sedlock, Vasantha Reddi, Veronica C. Lester-Ballard, Wendy M. Novicoff. Pensacola Research Consultants Inc – Eugene Jean Dabezies, Kurt Morrison, Kirby Turnage, Robert Lurate, Gary Crawley, Donna Lawson, Iris McCants, Anna Brunson, Mary Whitaker, Stefanie White, Michael Ellis. Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre – Hans Kreder, Terry S. Axelrod, Joel A. Finkelstein, Patrick D.G. Henry, Richard Jenkinson, John J. Murnaghan, Diane Nam, Markku Nousiainen, Sebastian Rodriguez-Elizalde, Veronica M.R. Wadey, Robin Richards, David Stephen and Albert Yee, Katrine Milner, Monica Kunz, Melanie MacNevin, Ayushi Dhingra. London Health Sciences Centre – Abdel-Rahman Lawendy, David Sanders, Christina Tieszer. Texas Orthopedic Specialists – O. David Taunton Jr., Jason Ahuero, Amber Morgan. University of Kansas Medical Center – Archie Heddings, John Sojka, Michael Tilley, Sharon Bradshaw. The CORE Institute - Clifford B Jones, Debra L Sietsema Orthopaedic Associates of Michigan – James R. Ringler, Terrence J. Endres, Jane E. Walker, Susan M. Engerman. Lahey Hospital and Medical Center – Andrew Marcantonio, Michael S. Kain, John Garfi. Texas Orthopedics, Sports & Rehabilitation Associates – Christopher M. Danney, Marc DeHart, Scott Smith, Barbara Bergin, Joel Hurt, Tyler Miller, Todd Curry, Karl Adcock. Marshall University – Franklin D. Shuler, Amber Simmons. Southlake Regional Health Centre – Christopher Lindsay, Cleo Rogakou, Pat Gamble,

Debbie Nemtean. KSF Orthopaedic Center– John P. Seaberg, Derrick Clay, Cameron Wood, Todd Curry, Tyler Miller Clinical Research Niagara, Inc. – David Martin, John Song, Robert Josefchak, Paul Robert, Avril McKenna-Norton, Marnie-Lynn Sennett, Olwen McKenna. York Hospital/WellSpan Health – Thomas DiPasquale, Mark Richardson, Paul Muccino, Adrienne Brandon. Mission Hospital – H. Michael Frisch, C. Michael LeCroy, Susan Sutherland, Rachel Alosky, Leslie Elliott, Lynne Hampton, Tracy Nanney, Claudine Cuento, Stephanie Shepard. Louisiana State University – Melissa Gorman, Peter Krause, Ronald Rooney, Jennifer Perilloux. Inova Fairfax Hospital – Robert A. Hymes, Cary Schwartzbach, A. Stephen Malekzadeh, Jeff E. Schulman, Daniel Dziadosz, Laura Thurston, Jihui Li. Texas Sports Medicine Institute – Michael C. Maier, Tyler Miller, Todd Curry. Anthony G. Sanzone, MD, Inc – Anthony Sanzone, Kaelin Schickedanz. Orthopaedic Associates of South Broward, P.A – Steven D. Steinlauf, Richard E. Strain, Daniel Chan, Warren Grossman, Judy Magnum. University Orthopaedics Center – Bradley A. Barter, Douglas Roeshot, Thomas Ellis, Edwin Rogusky, Paula Sensiba, Andrew Marcus, Kenneth Cherry, Sue Jepson, Jennifer Gramley.