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#### Abstract

In the natural environment, bacteria spend the majority of their existence in periods of starvation. While some Gram positive bacteria, such as Bacillus subtilis respond to this stress by forming highly heat-resistant spores, others, such as $E$. coli, enter a senescent state known as stationary phase. The stationary phase response is characterized by an increased cellular resistance to stresses associated with dormancy such as heat-shock, oxidative stress and osmotic challenge. These confer the cells the ability to survive prolonged periods of nutrient deprivation. The expression of these genes is regulated in part by $\sigma^{s}$, the stationary phase-specific, alternative sigma factor, encoded by the gene rpoS. Several methods have been used to identify these genes including two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (the expression of more than 30 proteins is absent in a rpoS mutant) and the identification of mutants possessing lacZ fusions that respond to a given related stimulus, such as carbon starvation. On the basis of these methods, the $\sigma^{s}$-regulon is predicted to be quite large. However, only fifteen or so genes have been characterized to date. To identify other $\sigma^{\text {s }}$-regulated functions, we have introduced a rpoS::Tn10 null allele into a library of 5000 random lacZ fusion mutants and determined the effects of the null allele upon lacZ expression. One-hundred and five mutants were isolated and the $\sigma^{s}$-dependent phenotype confirmed by complementing the rpoS function. Seventy-three strains were complemented, four were not and complementation results were not obtained for twenty-eight strains. Growth-phaseexpression assays performed on the strains demonstrated induction of fusions at the onset of stationary phase, and this induction was reduced or abolished in an isogenic rpoS mutant. Furthermore, each mutant exhibited its own characteristic pattern of induction, consistent with the diversity of factors regulated by $\sigma^{s}$. Identification of selected $\sigma^{s}$-dependent functions was accomplished by sequencing the fusion junctions and comparing the sequence obtained with published $E$. coli sequence databanks. Results show that six fusions map to open reading frames


encoding proteins of unknown function and two do not map to any known region in the $E$. coli chromosome. One fusion maps to a gene encoding a putative lysine decarboxylase. This enzyme is implicated in the maintenance of medium pH and generation of carbon dioxide for cellular growth. The other maps to a recently identified talB gene. talB encodes a transaldolase protein involved in the pentose phosphate cycle, which generates precursors of nucleic acids, aromatic amino acids, and vitamins.
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## INTRODUCTION

In natural environments, bacteria often encounter temporal variations in nutrient availability and must endure prolonged periods of starvation. While some Gram positive bacteria such as Bacillus subtilis respond to this stress by forming highly stress resistant spores, non-differentiating bacteria such as the Gram negative Escherichia coli enter a period of reduced cell growth or stationary phase (reviewed in Hengge-Aronis, 1993b; Kolter et al., 1993). The study of stationary phase gene regulation gained interest when it was discovered that the regulatory mechanisms that function during stationary phase are considerably different from those that function in exponential phase. Transition from growth to stationary phase requires a highly ordered process involving changes in physiology and morphology, which allows the cell to retain viability for long periods. Transition into stationary phase involves changes in the composition of the cellular membrane, morphology and in DNA supercoiling (reviewed in Siegele and Kolter, 1992). E. coli cells assume a smaller, more spherical shape compared with the larger rod shape, characteristic of exponential phase cells. The synthesis of enzymes begins such as acid phosphatase, storage compounds (eg. glycogen) and protective substances (eg. trehalose). Stationary phase is characterized by the development of a general resistance to several stresses associated with dormancy. This general resistance confers a greater protection than the development of resistance conferred by the adaptive response in exponentially growing cells (Jenkins et al., 1988). Furthermore, this protection develops in cells that have never been previously exposed to the specific stress. Some examples include heat shock, oxidative stress, osmotic challenge (Jenkins et al., 1988; Jenkins et al., 1990), and near-UV exposure (Sammartano et al., 1986). Although bulk protein synthesis is turned off due to the lowered cellular metabolism in stationary phase, approximately $30-50$ proteins are induced
during this transition (Groat et al., 1986). A core subset of 15 proteins is induced despite the limiting nutrient (Groat et al., 1986; Matin, 1991). Some stationary phase proteins are probably not immediately required, but their expression upon the cessation of growth may help the cell survive acute exposure to stress conditions that may arise when the cell has a reduced capacity for de novo protein synthesis.

Several factors have been implicated in the involvement of the global regulatory switch in gene expression and protein synthesis that occurs as cells enter stationary phase. Dimers of ribosomal monomers can form, affecting protein translation (Wada et al., 1990). Structural changes occur in core RNA polymerase that may also contribute to the selective binding of the sigma subunit (Ozaki et al., 1991). Switching between different sigma factors for core RNA polymerase occurs, resulting in modifications of expression from different promoters. Sigma factors are protein subunits that bind to core RNA polymerase, conferring specificity for certain promoters. The main sigma factors for $E$. coli and Bacillus subtilis ( $\sigma^{70}$ and $\sigma^{43}$, respectively) are involved in the transcription of many genes, alone or with additional transcriptional factors. Interchanging between different sigma factors in response to an environmental stimulus or changes in growth conditions is a common mechanism for the global switching of gene expression specific to a signal. The sporulation process of Bacillus subtilis, for example, requires the involvement of several alternative sigma factors acting in sequence to mediate spore formation (reviewed in Errington, 1993). Physiological similarities between sporulation and the stationary phase response suggested the involvement of alternative sigma factors in gene regulation. To date, one stationary phase sigma factor has been identified and designated $\sigma^{s}$ (for starvation), encoded by the rpoS gene.

Several allelic forms of rpoS have been identified. As a stationary-phase specific, alternative sigma factor, it is observed to be involved in the regulation of a large subset of genes with very diverse functions. Although not an essential factor, since rpoS mutants are viable up several days into stationary phase, it plays a primary role in the development of general stress resistance encountered by cells in dormancy.

## IDENTIFICATION OF $\sigma^{5}$ AS A CENTRAL REGULATOR

The regulatory nature of $\sigma^{s}$ was identified in several contexts by independent investigators over a period of 15 years. Consequently, $\sigma^{s}$ has also been called katF, nur, appR, csi-2, and abrD. It was initially reported in 1979 when a gene, nur (Tuveson and Jonas, 1979) in Escherichia coli was found to confer increased near-UV (NUV) (wavelengths of $300-400 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) resistance to cells upon entry into stationary phase. A mutation in this gene renders cells sensitive to NUV but does not sensitize cells against far-UV (Tuveson and Jonas, 1979).

The katF gene was first reported to be involved in the synthesis of one of two catalases or hydroperoxidases in Escherichia coli (Loewen and Triggs, 1984). Mapped to 59 min on the chromosome, katF was observed to affect the expression of katE-encoding hydroperoxidase II (HPII) but was not required for katG-encoding hydroperoxidase I (HPI) (Loewen and Triggs, 1984). Further analysis regarding the relationship between katE and katF revealed that katF is the regulator of katE (Sak et al., 1989, Schellhorn and Stones, 1992), the structural gene for HPII, and both are required for HPII expression (Loewen et al., 1985a). Pretreatment of $E$. coli or $S$. typhimurium cells with sublethal concentrations of hydrogen peroxide results in protection against subsequent lethal doses of hydrogen peroxide and NUV (Christman et al., 1985). Based on this observation, the possibility of a relationship between the catalase and nur genes was suggested. Subsequent mapping of the nur gene confirmed it to be an allele of katF (Sammartano et al., 1986). Further confirmation of katF as a positive regulator of xthA-encoded exonuclease III provided the link to the involvement of katF to NUV resistance (Sak et al., 1989).

Concurrently, mutants of a gene called $a p p R$, identified as a positive regulator for $a p p A-$ encoded acid phosphatase (Touati et al., 1986), exhibited phenotypes similar to katF::Tn10 mutants, such as sensitivity to hydrogen peroxide and reduced levels of acid phosphatase (Touati et al., 1991). appR and katF were later found to be alleles (Touati et al., 1991). Analysis of the katF DNA sequence revealed a significant homology with rpoD, the gene encoding the RNA polymerase sigma factor, $\sigma^{70}$. Homology of rpoS was also found at the protein level to several other sigma factors, providing strong evidence of KatF as a novel sigma factor involved in cellular protection
and repair (Mulvey and Loewen, 1989). However, its role as a sigma factor was later confirmed using footprinting binding studies and in vitro transcription assays (Tanaka et al., 1993; Nguyen et al., 1993).

A search for carbon-starvation-inducible (csi) genes using lacZ fusions have identified several genes induced during transition into stationary phase. One operon fusion, csi2:\%/acZ that confers a pleiotrophic phenotype was studied in detail. Exhibiting growth-phase-dependence, the $c s i 2: / / a c Z$ strain is sensitive to hydrogen peroxide, impaired in stationary phase thermotolerance, incapable of long term survival, and exhibits reduced glycogen and acid phosphatase synthesis (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1991a). Furthermore, using two-dimensional gels, 16 proteins that were normally expressed in a wild-type strain were absent in the csi2::lacZ mutant. csi2 is an allele of katF and the apparent role of KatF as sigma factor led the suggestion that the gene be renamed rpoS and the gene product, $\sigma^{s}$, with " $s$ " for starvation (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1991a).

Finally, the study of aidB as one of several genes induced in response to DNA alkylation damage identified two different factors involved in its induction. One was Ada-dependent and the systematic search for the other identified a suppressor mutation designated abrD1, which was an allele of rpoS (Volkert et al., 1994).

## CHARACTERIZATION OF rpoS

## Genetic and Biochemical Characterization

The cloning and characterization of the rpoS gene reveal an open reading frame of 1086 base pairs encoding a protein of 362 amino acids (Mulvey et al., 1988). The molecular weight of this protein is approximately 41.5 kDa (Mulvey and Loewen, 1989). Subsequent analysis identified differences in the published rpoS sequence (Ivanova et al., 1992), shortening the open reading frame of 1026 bp to encode a protein, 342 amino acids in length, with an estimated molecular weight of 38 kDa . Hence $\sigma^{5}$ is now also referred to as $\sigma^{38}$ (Ivanova et al., 1992; Tanaka et al., 1993).

Extensive analysis of 31 sigma factors from various bacteria identified three groups on the basis of evolutionary relationships. Group one contains the primary sigma factors including the $E$.
coli $\sigma^{70}$; group two contains the alternative sigma factors that diverged slightly from group one, of which $\sigma^{5}$ is a member; and group three contains alternative sigma factors that diverged more extensively from $\sigma^{70}$. Sequences of group one and group two sigma factors are highly similar, suggesting similar recognition of promoter consensus sequences (Lonetto et al., 1992).

The examination of the DNA and protein sequence of rooS show homology to $\sigma^{70}$, but direct evidence of sigma factor activity for the rpoS gene product was not established until 1993. Free $\sigma^{s}$ was observed to bind core RNA polymerase using a glycerol density gradient (Nguyen et al., 1993). Experiments with the reconstituted holoenzyme, Eos, showed the production of run-off transcripts of predicted sizes using two known $\sigma^{s}$-dependent genes, xthA (Saporito et al., 1988) and bolAp1 (Aldea et al., 1989). Both promoters were also shown to be recognized by $\mathrm{E} \sigma^{70}$. However, with the bolAp1 promoter, the size of transcripts and relative amounts varies between $E \sigma^{70}$ and $E \sigma^{s}$ mediated transcription as observed on agarose gels, suggesting different promoter specificities between the two holoenzymes (Nguyen et al., 1993). Additional promoters examined by other researchers led to the classification of promoters into three groups based on preferential selectivity of $E \sigma^{70}$ and E $\sigma^{s}$ holoenzymes. Type I consist of promoters effectively transcribed by both holoenzymes, while Type II and Type III promoters are transcribed exclusively by E $\sigma^{70}$ and $E \sigma^{s}$, respectively. The promoter of the fic-pabA operon (Kawamukai et al., 1989) is the only promoter yet identified to be transcribed exclusively by E $\sigma^{s}$ (Tanaka et al., 1993). The ability of E $\sigma^{s}$ to discriminate among the three types of promoters suggests the presence of additional factors that may affect promoter recognition by E $\sigma^{\text {s }}$.

## Variability of the rpoS sequence

Sequence variability of the rpoS gene has been reported independently by several labs.
For example, an appR mutant, identified based on its reduced acid phosphatase levels does not exhibit reduced catalase activity, contrary to the katF::Tn10 fusion mutant, although appR and rpoS are alleles. (Touati et al., 1989). Expression levels of lacZ fusion mutants differ between a laboratory collection of wild-type strains (Wang and Cronan, 1994; Chang and Cronan, 1994).

These allelic differences, however, may not be manifested in all expected phenotypes given the diversity of the rpoS regulon, the requirement of additional factors involved in their expression, and the apparent overlap between promoters recognized by both $E \sigma^{70}$ and $E \sigma^{s}$.

The most significant variation in the rpoS sequence, other than single point mutation is a mutation that arises spontaneously in stationary phase cells (Ivanova et al., 1992: Zambrano et al., 1993). Analysis of the sequence reveals that the mutant strains carry a 46 base pair duplication at the $3^{\prime}$ end of the coding region, resulting in an elongated rpoS gene. The phenotype of stationary phase cells exhibited by this genetic variation is manifested in morphology changes, levels of HPII synthesis, and increased sensitivity to acid and heat (Ivanova et al., 1992). In addition, these strains exhibit a competitive advantage in their ability to survive prolonged periods in starvation, an unexpected observation since a 10-day exposure in these starvation conditions is generally required for the development of a stationary phase growth advantage (Zambrano et al., 1993). This property of competitive advantage is restricted to stationary-phase cells, and was shown by incubating a mixed population of young (1-day-old) and aged (10-day-old) cultures. Despite the initial population ratio, mutants from the aged cells can take over the culture resulting in the subsequent death of the young cells (Zambrano et al., 1993). The nature of this mutation has not been further characterized. It has been suggested, however, that the additional residues lie close to the helix-turn-helix motif common to all sigma factors known to recognize the -35 region of promoters (Loewen and Hengge-Aronis, 1994). Thus, the variability of phenotypes observed in cells containing the mutation may be due to changes in the recognition of promoters of the $\sigma^{s}$-regulon.

## REGULATORY CONTROL OF rpoS

Transcriptional control:
Transcription from the rpoS promoter using lacZ fusions is observed to be low in exponential phase, but increases substantially as cells entered stationary phase (Mulvey et al., 1990; Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1991a; Schellhorn and Stones, 1992). Levels and time-course of rpoS induction differ between laboratories. For example, using rich media, induction occurs in early
exponential phase and increases across growth into stationary phase (Mulvey et al., 1990), whereas other researchers report no significant induction until the transition into stationary phase (Schellhorn and Stones, 1992). Similarly, suspension of cells in nutrient limiting (minimal) media containing a carbon source results in the immediate induction of rpoS early in exponential phase (Mulvey et al., 1990). In contrast, others report rpoS induction in minimal media only upon entry into stationary phase. Such contrasting results may be attributed to differences in growth conditions, media composition, strain variations, and the nature of lacZ fusions, encoded on multicopy plasmids (Mulvey et al., 1990) or on single-copy chromosomes (Schellhorn and Stones, 1992).

## The roos promoter and upstream sequences:

The promoter sequence of the rpoS gene spans the region 1400 bp upstream from the putative transcriptional start site containing four promoters, P1, P2, P3, and P4 (Takayanagi et al., 1994). Using primer extension analysis, P 2 is shown to account for $75 \%$ of transcripts and is assumed to be the major promoter for rpoS expression. The four promoters exhibit similar patterns of growth-phase induction, and their combined activity contribute to the full level of rpoS expression (Takayanagi et al., 1994). Recently, however, an independent study detected only two mRNA transcripts originating from the rpoS promoter, the stronger upstream rpoSp1, previously identified as P2, and the second weaker start site further downstream. Both are within the n/pD gene (Lange et al., 1995).

The nlpD gene, upstream of rpoS, is transcribed in the same counterclockwise direction as rpoS (Ichikawa et al., 1994; Lange et al., 1994b) and encodes a lipoprotein involved in morphological changes (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1994b). nlpD is not stationary phase-induced and no putative termination sequence is located between nipD and rpoS, suggesting the possibility of a single mRNA transcript arising from a polycistronic operon (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1994b). Deletion of the nIpD promoter region (eliminating two nIpD promoters) results in a $40 \%$ reduction in rpoS expression during exponential-phase but does not have an effect in stationaryphase expression. In contrast, 5' deletion analysis, encompassing rpoSp1 results in the reduction of
rpoS expression by 20 -fold during stationary phase, confirming that rpoSp1 is the major promoter (Lange et al., 1995). Taken together, the nIpD promoters are responsible for basal level expression of rpoS, and the major rpoSp1 promoter, found within the nlpD gene, controls stationary-phase induction of rpoS (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1994b).

## Post-transcriptional control

Inconsistent results obtained from katE and rpoS lacZ fusion studies led to the proposal of other regulatory mechanisms besides rpos transcriptional control. For example, expression studies of katE and rpos transcriptional fusions reveal that, though transcriptional induction of rpos precedes katE, it only accounts for one-third the level of katE expression (Mulvey et al., 1990). This could be explained by the presence of other regulators involved in katE expression, or the effect of post-transcriptional control mechanisms of rpoS expression, which in turn, affect the expression of katE. Several other observations support this proposal. Using translation and transcriptional lacZ fusions to rpos, it was shown that induction occurs during growth and during glucose starvation (McCann et al., 1993). A two-fold increase of both fusions occurs during exponential phase, but upon entry into stationary phase, induction from the protein fusion continues for an additional three hours resulting in an 8 -fold increase in rpoS expression (McCann et al., 1993). Others report a similar pattern of rpoS induction (Loewen et al., 1993). These phenomena concerning katE expression were resolved when it was observed that rpoS translation closely parallel katE transcription (Loewen et al., 1993). Similarly, a rpoS transcriptional fusion is not induced during osmotic challenge, though a subset of rpoS-dependent genes is activated under the similar conditions (Hengge-Aronis et al., 1993). Subsequent experiments resolved this issue by demonstrating that rpoS translation is osmotically regulated (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1994a). Furthermore, the addition of benzoic acid into the media results in full induction of the rpoS transcriptional fusion, contrary to the partial induction of the translational fusion (Loewen et al., 1993). These results suggest the possibility of differential control of certain stages of rpos regulation in response to specific environmental or nutrient conditions. Levels of the $\sigma^{s}$ protein
increase during entry into stationary phase, an observation confirmed using Western analysis (Gentry et al., 1993).

The stabilization of the protein product, demonstrated by pulse-chase experiments, can also account for increased levels of $\sigma^{s}$ detected upon entry into stationary phase. The $\sigma^{s}$ protein of exponentially growing cells is unstable, having a half-life of 1.4 minutes. At the onset of glucose starvation, however, this half-life increases to more than 7 -fold (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1994a). In summary, rpoS is regulated at the level of transcription, translation, and protein stability during entry into stationary phase. The combinations of these regulatory mechanisms account for the increased levels of $\sigma^{s}$ seen during entry into stationary phase, consistent with previous reports that $\sigma^{s}$ is responsible for the expression of approximately 32 genes during carbon starvation (McCann et al., 1991). This complex system of regulation permits the fine tuning of levels of the $\sigma^{s}$ protein in the cell.

## SIGNALS OF rpoS INDUCTION

$\sigma^{3}$ is required for the transcriptional initiation of a large subset of stationary phase specific genes (McCann et al., 1991; Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1991a). Apart from $\sigma^{s}$ induction in response to stress conditions (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1994a), the expression of rpoS is itself induced upon entry into stationary phase under normal growth conditions (Mulvey et al., 1990; Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1991a; Schellhorn and Stones, 1992). Although the intracellular signal giving rise rpoS induction is still unknown, several possible inducers have been identified.

## Acetate, intracellular pH , metabolic component in spent medium

The induction of a rpoS transcriptional fusion at the beginning of growth in minimal media and during transition into stationary phase in rich media suggests a link with the starvation response (Mulvey et al., 1990). A metabolic component of carbon starvation, perhaps accumulating during entry into stationary phase, was suggested to be a possible signal for rpoS induction (Mulvey et al., 1990). Early exponential phase cells resuspended in spent media from stationary phase cultures
results in the immediate induction of rpoS (Schellhorn and Stones, 1992). Dialysis of the stationary phase spent medium before the addition of cells results in little expression, suggesting the possibility of the diffusion of the active component out of the dialysis bag (Mulvey et al., 1990). Several compounds known to accumulate in stationary phase cells have been tested for the ability to induce rpoS expression. Compounds associated with the induction of katE, such as lactate, acetate and succinate are ineffective inducers of rpoS (Mulvey et al., 1990). Other investigators, however, found acetate to be an effective inducer of both katE and rpoS transcriptional fusions (Schellhorn and Stones, 1992), suggesting a possibility that the inducing effect of weak acids is linked to the intracellular pH of the cell. E . coli is permeable to protonated forms of low molecular weight weak acids, therefore weak acids such as acetate may lower the intracellular pH of the cell via proton accumulation (Schellhorn and Stones, 1992). Generally, weak acids that are protoionophores (Slonczewski et al., 1987) are effective inducers of rpoS (Schellhorn and Stones, 1992). Such a suggestion comes considering the discovery of a pH -sensitive regulon induced by the presence of weak acids (Slonczewski et al., 1987). Apart from the possibility of pH -dependent induction of rpoS by the presence of the acetate, its function as an inducer is suggested to account for stationary phase induction of rpoS. Secreted into the medium as a metabolic by-product at the end of exponential growth, acetate can also be taken up and used as a sole carbon source in stationary phase (reviewed in Tempest and Neijssel, 1987). In the form of acetyl-CoA, acetate is an entrance molecule to the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle that generates $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and supplies the cell with energy and amino acid precursors (Nimmo, 1987).

## cAMP/Crp complex

Synthesized by a cya-encoded adenylate cyclase, cAMP levels are regulated by glucose metabolism in the bacterial cell. In the presence of glucose, cAMP levels are low, yet upon its depletion, for example when starved for energy sources, concentrations increase. The regulatory property of cAMP requires the binding to a cAMP receptor protein (CRP), encoded by crp, forming a complex shown to activate several operons. Thus when the preferred carbon source (glucose) is
limiting, levels of cAMP rises, which activate operons, including the lactose operon, to replenish intracellular glucose levels.
cAMP is a negative regulator of rpoS expression (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1991a). Studies in minimal media show that a strain carrying a deletion in the adenylate cyclase gene ( $\Delta c y a$ ) exhibit increased levels of rpoS. The addition of exogenous cAMP to the media represses rpoS expression. cAMP is also a repressor for several rpoS-dependent genes, including bolA (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1991a), osmY (csi5) (Weichart et al., 1993), and glgS (Hengge-Aronis and Fischer, 1992). The mechanism of action in each case is not explicitly known but repression may occur by direct binding of the cAMP/CRPcomplex to the $\sigma^{\text {s}}$-dependent gene promoter, or indirectly by effects on rpoS itself. Contrary to these reports, other labs do not observe a significant cAMP effect. A decrease in rpoS expression during growth and a lack of induction at the onset of glucose starvation occurs in a $\Delta c y a$ background (McCann et al., 1993). Furthermore, the $\sigma^{s}-$ dependent gene, poxB exhibits a decrease in expression in a $\Delta c y a$ background (Chang et al., 1994). A possible explanation for divergent results seen in crp and cya mutants may, however, be due to differences between strains used, and the composition of media in expression studies.

## Guanosine tetraphosphate (ppGpp)

Guanosine $3^{\prime}$, $5^{\prime}$-bispyrophosphate (ppGpp) is a positive and negative regulator of many stringently controlled genes (reviewed in Cashel and Rudd, 1987; Gentry et al., 1993). Its cellular levels increase in response to bacterial carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus and amino acid. The accumulation of ppGpp is dependent upon the expression of two genes, relA and spoT. The ppGpp synthetic activity of the RelA protein during amino acid starvation is activated by uncharged tRNA's, and the accumulation of ppGpp in response to carbon starvation occurs by blocking its degradation catalysed by the spo $T$ gene. Deletion of the reIA and spo $T$ genes result in the complete absence of ppGpp. Such mutants exhibit, to a lesser degree, pleiotrophic phenotypes similar to that of a rpoS mutant, thus providing a possible link between ppGpp and $\sigma^{\text {s }}$ (Gentry et al., 1993). Examples of such phenotypes include the ability to synthesize acid phosphatase (Gentry et al., 1993),
morphological alterations, and salt sensitivity (reviewed in Hengge-Aronis, 1993). Analysis of the csi genes isolated by Lange and Hengge-Aronis reveal that a csi2::/acZ (rpoS::/acZ) in a relA background exhibit reduced levels of $\beta$-galactosidase activity, which suggests that ppGpp is necessary for normal rpoS expression during stationary phase. Using Western blot analysis, $\sigma^{s}$ levels in a relAspo $T$ mutant are reduced during transition into stationary phase. Artificial elevation of ppGpp levels obtained by a mutation in spo $T$ results in parallel increases in $\sigma^{s}$ levels and transcription initiation from the major rpoSp1 promoter is not altered in a relAspoT mutant (Lange et al., 1995). It was suggested that ppGpp may act at rpoS transcription elongation or mRNA stability, resulting in reduced levels of mRNA seen (Lange et al., 1995).

## Homoserine lactone

Homoserine lactone (HSL) was first implicated as a positive regulator of rpoS expression when screening a chromosomal library (cloned into high-copy plasmids) for genes involved in the repression of expression from the $\sigma^{s}$-dependent bolA::/acZ fusion (Huisman and Kolter, 1994). Strains harboring one recombinant plasmid failed to induce rpoS expression during transition into stationary phase. This gene, designated $r s p A$, is mapped to 35.6 min on the $E$. coli chromosome. The gene product is homologous to a catabolic enzyme (chloromuconate cycloisomerase) consistent with the idea that a metabolic component is a potential inducer of rpoS expression (Mulvey et al., 1990; Schellhorn and Stones, 1992). The RspA amino acid sequence exhibits homology to a lactonizing enzyme suggesting that the metabolite could be a lactone, thus the implication of homoserine lactone (HSL) as positive regulator of $\sigma^{s}$ expression. Since precursors of HSL, possibly homoserine (HS) and homoserine phosphate (HSP) are intermediates in the threonine biosynthetic pathway, mutations in the enzymes blocking the synthesis of HSL results in reduced levels of rpoS (assayed by catalase activity). Expression is restored by the addition of HSL into the medium. Similar results were obtained when detecting for $\sigma^{s}$ levels using immunoblot analysis (Huisman and Kolter, 1994).

## UDP-Glucose

To identify additional factors involved in $r p o S$-dependent genes, transposon insertional mutations affecting the expression of the osmotically-inducible osm $Y$ gene, were isolated (Bohringer et al., 1995). One mutation increases the osm $Y$ basal level expression in exponential phase and during entry into stationary phase (Bohringer et al., 1995). Furthermore, strains carrying this transposon insertion mutation are unable to grow on glucose minimal media, a common indicator of a possible defect in the synthesis of glycolytic enzymes. A defect in growth on glucose minimal media is due to the build up of toxic phosphorylated metabolic intermediates in the presence of glucose (Bohringer et al., 1995). The mutation maps to the pgi-encoding phosphoglucose isomerase (PGI) which, along with pgm and galU, encode glycolytic enzymes catalyzing the reactions of precursor substrates to UDP-glucose. Since mutations in pgm and galU also exhibit the same phenotype as a pgi mutant, a role for UDP-glucose as a negative repressor for $\sigma^{3}$-dependent genes was suggested. Furthermore, immunoblot assays of $\sigma^{s}$ levels in pgi, pgm, and galU mutants reveal an approximate four-fold increase in levels during growth, suggesting that the effects on $\sigma^{s}$-dependent genes occur through the influence of the synthesis of $\sigma^{s}$ itself (Bohringer et al., 1995).

## SprE

The screening of components involved in rpoS regulation was based on the repression of ompF by $\sigma^{s}$ (McCann et al., 1991; Pratt and Silhavy, in press). OmpF is a major membrane porin protein regulated by the two component regulatory system, EnvZ-OmpR (reviewed in Csonka, 1989). The screening was based on the identification of genes that regulate ompF, independent of $\sigma^{s}$ and the EnvZ-OmpR system. One mutation was mapped to a previously reported, uncharacterized open reading frame at 27 min on the $E$. coli chromosome. It was subsequently designated sprE for stationary phase regulatory element. Mutations in sprE confer pleiotrophic phenotypes similar to rpoS mutants, though not as severe. These include defects in glycogen synthesis, catalase activity, and morphology changes during entry into stationary phase (Pratt and

Silhavy, in press). Immunoblot assays show that $s p r E$ interferes with the accumulation of $\sigma^{s}$ at the translational level. Transposon IS (insertion sequence) insertions into sprE results in the upregulation of $r p o S$ expression suggesting $S p r E$ functions as a negative regulator. This negative regulation was also observed at the translational level (Pratt and Silhavy, in press). SprE is suggested to relay the stationary phase trigger signal from the environment to rpoS since it exhibits DNA sequence similarity to a response regulator protein (Pratt and Silhavy, in press).

## H-NS

H-NS is a DNA-binding protein involved in the compact organization of the chromosome and the direct or indirect negative regulation of the expression of genes (Ueguchi and Mizuno, 1993). As a regulator of rpoS expression, using studies on the $\sigma^{s}$-dependent $c b p A$ gene (Yamashino et al., 1994), H-NS is also implicated in the repression of other $\sigma^{\text {s}}$-dependent $\operatorname{csg} A B$ and hdeAB promoters (Arnquist et al., 1994). Expression from the cbpA::lacZ fusion in an hns mutant is largely dependent on the function of $\sigma^{s}$ (Yamashino et al., 1995). Cellular levels of $\sigma^{s}$ are substantially elevated in an hns mutant, particularly during exponential phase. This elevation is independent of the accumulation of ppGpp. An hns mutant exposed to heat shock $\left(55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ exhibits stationary phase thermotolerance, even in exponentially growing cells, suggesting that the accumulation of $\sigma^{s}$ in the $h n s^{-}$background plays a physiological role. The effects of H-NS on rpoS expression are at the post-transcriptional level. The hns mutation enhances both transiation of rpoS mRNA and stabilization of the $\sigma^{s}$ protein, accounting for increased levels in growing and stationary phase cells. An interesting observation was the lack of induction from the cbpA promoter during exponential phase (in hns background) even with $\sigma^{s}$ at levels sufficient to cause an induction. These results show that $\sigma^{s}$ alone is not the primary factor involved in the induction members of its regulon, but rather the state of $\sigma^{s}$ under certain physiological conditions (Yamashino et al., 1995).

## MEMBERS OF THE $\sigma^{s}$ REGULON

A variety of stresses that exert deleterious effects on bacterial cells occur through the generation of active oxygen species which causes direct DNA damage (Storz et al., 1990). Oxidative respiration and near-UV irradiation, which are implicated in the production of hydrogen peroxide (McCormick et. al., 1976), have been shown to cause single-strand breaks in DNA (Anathaswamy and Eisenstark, 1976). Some of these protective and repair mechanisms are regulated by $\sigma^{s}$.

Catalases and peroxidases break down hydrogen peroxide into water and oxygen before it can inflict cellular damage or lead to more reactive oxygen radicals. Two species of catalase exist in E. coli, hydroperoxidase I (HPI) and hydroperoxidase II (HPII), which differ in structure, subcellular location, biochemical properties, responses to metabolic changes, and regulatory mechanisms. HPII, encoded by katE, is at 37.8 min on the chromosome (Loewen, 1984), and is capable of catalase activity only (Loewen and Switala, 1985a). HPI, encoded by katG, is chromosomally mapped to 89.2 min (Loewen et al., 1985b). It is bifunctional, having both catalase and peroxidase activities (Clairborne et al., 1979). katG is regulated at the transcriptional level by OxyR (Morgan et. al., 1989), a member of the LysR family of bacterial regulator proteins (Christman et al., 1986), which is responsible for the expression of a subset of proteins induced in the presence of hydrogen peroxide (Christman et al., 1985). katG expression is induced when the cell is exposed to hydrogen peroxide via the OxyR-dependent mechanism (Christman et al., 1985). In contrast, katE expression does not respond to fluctuations of the oxidant (Schellhorn and Hassan, 1988). HPI induction is understood as a protective response given the function of catalases, however, the unresponsiveness of katE is unclear. It has been proposed that katE induction during entry into stationary phase may reflect the need for catalase protective responses that may arise during dormancy when de novo protein synthesis is not possible. It has also been suggested that catalases do not protect individual cells from oxidative stress but is only advantageous to the survival of a dense population or colony (Ma and Eaton, 1992). Compartmentalization of the two catalases also differs. HPI is located in the periplasmic and cytoplasmic membrane, probably involved in the defense against exogenous oxidative stress
whereas HPII is found in the cytoplasm (Heimberger and Eisenstark, 1988).
$\sigma^{s}$ is involved in the transcriptional regulation of both katE (Sak et al., 1989; Schellhorn and Stones, 1992) and katG (Mukhopadhyay and Schellhorn, 1994; Ivanova et al., 1994) providing a common regulatory link between the two catalases. Using lacZ reporter fusions, katE transcription is demonstrated to increase approximately 30 -fold upon entry into stationary phase (Schellhorn and Hassan, 1988), whereas only a 6 -fold increase was observed for katG transcription (Mukhopadhyay and Schellhorn, 1994; Ivanova et al., 1994). $\sigma^{s}$ is required for both basal expression and stationary phase induction of katE (Mulvey et al., 1990; Schellhorn and Stones, 1992), but is only involved in the stationary phase induction of katG (Mukhopadhyay and Schellhorn, 1994). An increase of HPII synthesis is correlated to the accumulation of tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) intermediates (Loewen et. al., 1985a) which may arise because of higher respiratory activity as cells experience metabolic changes during entry into stationary phase. Resuspending exponentially growing cells in stationary phase culture supernatant, which induces rpoS expression, is also an effective inducer of both katE (Schellhorn and Hassan, 1988) and katG expression (Mukhopadhyay and Schellhorn, 1994). This is consistent with the notion that an extracellular metabolite(s), possibly produced during growth is responsible for growth phase-dependent expression of HPII and HPI after accumulating to high enough levels. Weak acids such as acetate, produced during growth, and subsequently taken up as a carbon source, also induce katE (Schellhorn and Hassan, 1988; Schellhorn and Stones, 1992) and katG expression (Mukhopadhyay and Schellhorn, 1994). Intracellular pH is a suggested signal for inducing katE expression (Schellhorn and Hassan, 1988), but aerobic or anaerobic conditions have no effect (Mulvey et al., 1990).

The $d p s$ gene (DNA-binding protein from starved cells) is regulated by three independent factors, $\sigma^{\text {s }}$ (Almiron et. al., 1992), OxyR and IHF, a small DNA-binding protein involved in gene expression (Altuvia et al., 1994; reviewed in Friedman, 1988). OxyR-dependent induction only occurs during exponential phase but $\sigma^{s}$ and IHF control is exerted during stationary phase (Altuvia et al., 1994). Both $\sigma^{s}$ and IHF function as positive regulators of dps expression. Also known as PexB (Lomovskaya et al., 1994), the dps gene product is a histone-like protein with a molecular mass of

18 kDa that forms highly structured complexes with DNA in vitro (Almiron et. al., 1992). dps maps to 18 minutes on the $E$. coli chromosome and is induced under osmotic and oxidative stress (Lomovskaya et al., 1994). As a major protein synthesized in late stationary phase (Almiron et al., 1992), its production starts after growth ceases and continues for several days. Dps is transcriptionally regulated by $\sigma^{s}$ during entry into stationary phase, and is slightly induced by the addition of $0.009 \%$ acetate (Lomovskaya et al., 1994). Apart from its role in starvation-mediated protection against exposure to oxidative stress via the OxyR mechanism (Altuvia et al., 1994), it is also a global regulator of gene expression after prolonged periods of starvation (Almiron et al., 1992). Dps induction during oxidative stress is independent of $\sigma^{s}$ but induction during osmotic stress requires $\sigma^{s}$ (Lomovskaya et al., 1994). The dps -10 promoter region resembles the -10 consensus for $\sigma^{70}$-dependent promoters, but its -35 region does not exhibit homology to any known promoters. It does, however, resemble the corresponding region in the fic and osm $Y$ promoters, also observed to be under $\sigma^{s}$ control (Altuvia et al., 1994).
xth $A$ encodes the major apurinic/apyrimidinic (AP) endonuclease of $E$. coli, exonuclease III, removing nucleoside $5^{\prime}$-monosphosphates near apurinic and apyrimidinic sites in damaged DNA (Saporito et al., 1988). xthA mutants are sensitive to inactivation by hydrogen peroxide (Demple et al., 1983) and broad spectrum UV (Sammartano et al., 1986). Its expression is completely dependent on $\sigma^{s}$ function (since exonuclease III activity is not detected in $r$ po $S^{-}$cells) but its expression pattern differs from that of most $\sigma^{s}$-dependent genes (Sak et al., 1989). xthA expression begins early in exponential phase and declines shortly after reaching stationary phase (Sak et al., 1989). This observation may suggest a physiological role for $\sigma^{s}$ in exponential phase (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1994a), or more likely, dual regulation by $\sigma^{70}$ during exponential phase since the $x$ th $A$ promoter is recognized by both $E \sigma^{70}$ and $E \sigma^{s}$ (Nguyen et al., 1993). The -10 and -35 regions upstream of the transcriptional start sites for xthA (Saporito et al., 1988) are similar to that of katE (von Ossowski et al., 1991)

The E. coli aidB gene is one of several genes induced in response to DNA alkylation damage caused by exposure to a methylating agent (Landini et al., 1994). Encoding an enzyme
with a high degree of homology to several enzymes involved in leucine metabolism in mammalian cells (Ikeda et al., 1983) the aidB gene is regulated by two independent mechanisms. The Ada protein in E. coli is known to mediate the response to alkylation damage, and is activated by methylation to become a regulator for aidB promoter transcription (Landini et al., 1994). The second pathway for aidB induction occurs during growth under anaerobic conditions and requires $\sigma^{s}$ (Volkert et al., 1994). aidB expression responds only to the aeration state of the cell and not to growth-rate reduction, since induction can be blocked throughout stationary phase by aeration (Volkert et al., 1994). The function of aidB under $\sigma^{s}$ regulation is unknown. Evidence suggests other regulators are involved in aidB expression during oxygen limitation since its gene product is detectable in a rpos-background.

## Genes involved in cellular morphology: bolA, pbp-6, pbp-3, fic

E. coli morphology alters as the cell enters stationary phase. Unlike exponential phase cells that are rod-shaped and elongated, stationary-phase cells are spherical (Lange and HenggeAronis, 1991b). The bolA gene is involved in this morphological change. Mapped to 10 min on the E. coli chromosome (Aldea et al., 1988), bolA encodes a regulatory protein with a molecular weight of 13.5 kDa , containing a potential helix-turn-helix motif. bolA expression is induced 12 -fold during entry into stationary phase and is completely abolished in an isogenic rpos- strain suggesting a high dependency on the presence of $\sigma^{s}$ (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1991b). Overexpression of the bolA gene product results in a spherical phenotype for exponentially-growing cells, if a wild-type $f t s Z$ allele is present (Aldea et al., 1988). The fts $Z$ gene product plays a central role in septum formation during cell division, and although growth-phase controlled, the gene is not $\sigma^{s}$-dependent (Aldea et al., 1990). The phenotype of bolA ${ }^{-}$mutants have not been explicitly determined, but rpoS mutants exhibit heterogeneous cell lengths ranging from coccobacillary to rod-shaped forms in stationary-phase cultures (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1991b). The bolAp1 promoter contains a "gearbox sequence," but this promoter element is not recognized by $\sigma^{s}$ (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1991b).
bolA is also required for the stationary phase induction of the penicillin-binding protein (PBP) 6, a carboxypeptidase involved in septum formation resulting in coccobaciliary cell shapes in stationary phase (Buchanan et al., 1982; Aldea et al., 1989). Strains mutated in rpoS exhibit decreased expression of the PBP6 protein, probably due to a decrease in bolA expression. Thus, $\sigma^{s}$ is involved in determining both cell shape and size. In addition, the decline in PBP3 compared with the decline of other PBPs in stationary phase is much less in a rpos ${ }^{-}$background, suggesting that $\sigma^{s}$ functions to downregulate the expression of PBP3 (Dougherty and Pucci, 1994). Despite the dependence of PBP6 and PBP3 expression on $\sigma^{s}$, a disruption of rpoS does not appear to cause a specific phenotype associated with their function (Dougherty and Pucci, 1994).

The fic gene is located at 75 min of the $E$. coli chromosome and has been identified as a regulatory factor involved in cell division (Kawamukai et al., 1989). The fic reading frame lies 30 bp upstream from the pabA gene, and the two genes comprise an operon. The fic-pabA operon is the only type III promoter known to date that is recognized preferentially by Eos (Tanaka et al., 1993). lacZ fusions to the fic promoter exhibit growth-phase-dependent expression that is totally abolished in a rpoS mutant (Utsumi et al., 1993). Mutants of fic require para-aminobenzoic acid (PABA) or folate for growth (Komano et al., 1991), exhibit the morphology of short rods in contrast to the longer rod-shapes of wild-type strains (Kawamukai et al., 1988) and cannot grow at $43^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Utsumi et al., 1993). The pfic promoter sequence reveals a conserved -10 region to the $\sigma^{70}$ consensus, while the - 35 does not exhibit a similar recognition sequence (Utsumi et al., 1993).

## Glycogen Synthesis: glaS

$\sigma^{s}$ is involved in the control of glgS, a gene involved in glycogen synthesis (Hengge-Aronis and Fischer, 1992). Although glycogen is also synthesized by enzymes encoded by the glgCAP operon, which is not under $\sigma^{s}$ control, rpos mutants are as strongly defective in glycogen synthesis as $g l g C$ and $g l g A$ mutants (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1991a). glgS maps to 66.6 min on the $E$. coli chromosome and encodes a 7.9 kDa protein. A lacZ fusion to the glgS gene demonstrates growth-phase-dependent expression positively regulated by $\sigma^{s}$ and cAMP (Hengge-Aronis and

Fischer, 1992). Induced 30 to 50 -fold in stationary phase, it is the most highly expressed gene in the $\sigma^{s}$-regulon. glgS is also slightly induced by increased medium osmolarity (Hengge-Aronis et al., 1993) and expression during entry into stationary phase is reduced by $60 \%$ in a rpoS mutant (Hengge-Aronis and Fischer, 1992). Two transcriptional start sites are identified in the glgS promoter region, one transcribed by $\sigma^{s}$, and the other by cAMP. The combined activity of the two differentially regulated promoters is suggested to ensure maximal glgS expression.

## Genes involved in the control of Acid Phosphatase: appY, appA

and a Third Cytochrome Oxidase: $\operatorname{crx} A B$
appA, the structural gene for a periplasmic acid phosphatase, has an optimum enzyme activity at pH 2.5 (Touati et al., 1987). Mapped to 22 min on the $E$. coli chromosome, appA expression is induced under several conditions. In rich media, cells accumulate the enzyme during entry into stationary phase that is maintained for more than two to three days. Expression is also induced under conditions of oxygen and $P_{i}$ (inorganic phosphate) limitation, the latter being the most effective stimulus (Touati et al., 1987). appA expression is positively regulated by $\sigma^{s}$ (Touati et al., 1986) and negatively regulated by cAMP (Touati et al., 1987). Overproduction of a protein, AppY from multicopy plasmids also stimulates appA production (Atlung et al., 1989). Two genes called $a p p C$ and $a p p B$, located upstream from appA are regulated by the same factors as appA and the three comprise the appCBA operon. appC and appB (also known as $c y x A$ and $c y x B$, respectively) encode one of three cytochrome oxidases in E. coli (Dassa et al., 1992).

The appY gene is mapped to 13 min on the E.coli chromosome. Besides its positive regulatory effect on appA expression, overproduction of AppY affects the rate of synthesis of more than 30 cellular proteins as a function of growth-phase (Atlung et al., 1989). lacZ fusions to appY are reduced in rpoS mutants (Atlung et al., 1989). Thus the regulation of the appCBA operon by $\sigma^{s}$ may be indirect, mediated through AppY (Hengge-Aronis, 1993a).

Osmotically Regulated Genes: osmB, osmY (csi5), ompF, otsBA, proP, treA
rpoS mutants are more sensitive to increased medium osmolarity than wild-type cells (McCann et al., 1991). Two-dimensional gel analysis of proteins induced during osmotic upshift ( $>300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$ ) revealed 18 proteins that are under $\sigma^{s}$ control (Hengge-Aronis et al., 1993). Some of these are probably important in stationary phase osmoprotection.

The expression of the osm $Y$ (csi5) gene is strongly induced in cells during entry into stationary phase (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1991a) and is also regulated by medium osmolarity (Yim and Villarejo, 1992). Mapped to 99.3 min on the E. coli chromosome (Yim and Villarejo, 1992), it encodes a periplasmic protein of unknown function (Lange et al., 1993), but has been suggested to be involved in capsule formation (Yim and Villarejo, 1992). osm $Y$ is transiently expressed, transcribed to maximum levels in osmotically stressed cultures and returning to preinduction states within two hours (Yim and Villarejo, 1992). Growth-phase expression of osm $Y$ in a rpoS strain is almost completely abolished compared with an isogenic rpoS ${ }^{+}$strain (Hengge-Aronis et al., 1993). In contrast, osmotic induction of $c s i 5:$ :IacZ is still observed in a rpoS mutant, suggesting that additional factors other than $\sigma^{s}$ are involved in osmotic induction (Lange et al., 1993). osm $Y$ mutants do not exhibit a specific phenotype apart from a slight sensitivity to hyperosmotic stress (Yim and Villarejo, 1992). Besides $\sigma^{s}$, at least three other regulators are involved in the control of osm $Y$ transcription. These are Lrp, a regulator protein involved in the induction of genes required after nutritional downshift (eg. amino acid biosynthetic genes), IHF, and cAMP. In contrast to $\sigma^{s}$, Lrp, IHF, and cAMP act as negative regulators and are not required for osm $Y$ expression during osmotic induction. All repressing regulators are suggested to act independently of one another (Lange et al., 1993). Molecular characterization of the osm $Y$ promoter suggests that $\sigma^{s}$ is the principal regulator of osm $Y$ expression during osmotic stress and entry into stationary phase (Yim et al., 1994). The -10 region of the osmY promoter reveals similarity to the $\sigma^{70}$ consensus, but the -35 region does not exhibit homology to the $\sigma^{70}$ consensus (Yim et al., 1994).

The osmB gene encodes a lipoprotein located in the outer membrane of $E$. coli (Jung et
al., 1990). Under $\sigma^{5}$ control (Hengge-Aronis et al., 1991), osmB expression is growth-phasedependent and responds to increases in medium osmolarity (Jung et al., 1990). Levels of OsmB are reduced in a rpoS mutant (Hengge-Aronis et al., 1991). The addition of sodium chloride to actively growing cultures results in an induction pattern that can be divided into two phases. The first phase of induction begins at the onset of osmotic upshift and the second induction during entry into stationary phase. osmB expression does not appear to respond to factors associated with stationary phase such as starvation for carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, or sulfur, oxygen tension, and pH decreases (Jung et al., 1990). The only phenotypic change for an osmB mutant is the lack of cell aggregation normally seen with stationary phase cells under osmotic stress (Jung et al., 1990). osmB is transcribed from two promoters, P1 and P2. Transcription is initiated from the downstream P2 promoter at the onset of stationary phase when cells are grown under low osmolarity conditions. Transcripts initiate at both P1 and P2 during high osmolarity at stationary phase, P2 being the more active. The -10 and -35 consensus sequences for $\sigma^{70}$ promoters are present in P2 but the corresponding regions are less prominent in P1 (Jung et al., 1990).
E. coli contains two major outer membrane protein channels, OmpF and OmpC that permit the passive diffusion of molecules into the periplasm (reviewed in Csonka, 1989). The productions of these porins are regulated by several environmental factors such as osmolarity, carbon sources and temperature. Cellular levels of the two porins remain constant but their relative proportions are inversely regulated. For example, factors that promote the production of OmpF such as low osmolarity, poor carbon sources and low temperature diminish the production of OmpC , and viseversa. The transcription of ompF and ompC are dependent on the OmpR and EnvZ proteins that comprise a two-component regulatory pathway, EnvZ as the cytoplasmic membrane sensor of environmental signals and OmpR as the transcriptional activator of the porin-encoding genes. OmpF porin levels are also regulated by an antisense mRNA, designated micF. Highly complementary to the 5 ' region of the ompF mRNA, micF is involved in the negative regulation of $o m p F$ at the translational level by binding to the 5 ' region of the ompF mRNA, preventing ribosome binding (reviewed in Csonka, 1989). Although the nature of $\sigma^{s}$ control over ompF is not extensively
documented, two-dimensional gels reveal increased levels of the OmpF protein in a rpoS mutant (McCann et al., 1991).
E. coli accumulates endogenously synthesized trehalose, a compatible solute that serves as an osmoprotectant when cells are exposed to conditions of high osmolarity (reviewed in Csonka, 1989). Mutants defective in trehalose accumulation can grow as well as the parental strain when exposed to 0.2 M NaCl , but cannot grow on high media osmolarity ( 0.5 M NaCl ). This sensitivity can be alleviated by the addition of other osmoprotectants such as glycine betaine (Giaever et al., 1988). The synthesis of trehalose is dependent upon the expression of two genes, ots $A$ and ots $B$, located at 41.6 min on the E. coli chromosome (Kaasen et al., 1992). Exposure to high medium osmolarity results in expression from the otsBA regulon, encoding trehalose-6-phosphate phosphatase and trehalose-6-phosphate synthase, respectively (Giaever et al., 1988; Kaasen et al., 1992). Trehalose is also used as a sole carbon source for $E$. coli. Broken down by a periplasmic trehalase encoded by the gene treA, the glucose component of trehalose is transported to the cytoplasm and used as an energy source. treA maps to 26 min on the $E$. coli chromosome (Boos et al., 1987) and is osmotically induced (reviewed in Csonka, 1989). Using lacZ fusions to otsA, ots $B$ and tre $A$, the genes are growth-phase-dependent and osmotically induced in a $\sigma^{s}$-dependent manner. A Tn10 insertion into rpoS completely abolish ots $A$ and ots $B$ expression and reduces tre $A$ expression. ots $A:: / a c Z$ and ots $B:: l a c Z$ fusions are induced when osmotically challenged even in exponential phase cells, indicating that $\sigma^{s}$ may be important in growing as well as stationary phase cells (Hengge-Aronis et al., 1991; Hengge-Aronis et al., 1993).

The gene proP encodes a transporter of proline and glycine betaine, compatible solutes that play important roles in protecting cells during osmotic stress (reviewed in Csonka, 1989). proP is located at 94 min on the $E$. coli chromosome and is positively regulated by $\sigma^{s}, \mathrm{cAMP}$ and Fis, a DNA-binding protein and regulator of gene expression (Xu and Johnson, 1995b). proP expression is reduced eight to 10 -fold in a rpos mutant. Transcribed from two independently controlled promoters, P 1 and P 2 , proP exhibits a two-phased expression pattern. proP1 is transiently induced during exponential phase (phase I) which quickly declines after two hours while proP2 expression
(phase II) begins during entry into stationary phase. Expression from both promoters is upregluated in cells exposed to increases in medium osmolarity but only the osmotic induction of P2 is dependent on Fis and $\sigma^{5}$. The -10 region of the P 2 promoter displays similarity to those of the $\sigma^{70}$ promoters but no resemblance in the corresponding - 35 region ( Xu and Johnson, 1995c).

Of all the osmotically inducible genes mentioned (osmY, osmB, ompF, treA, proP) only lesions in ots $A B$ results in a sensitive phenotype. This may suggest that although they are induced under osmotic upshift not all are essential for osmoprotection but may serve other vital functions in the cell (Giaever et al., 1988).

## Genes Involved in Thermotolerance: ots BA, htrE

Sensitivity to heat-shock ( $>50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) has been previously observed with rpoS mutant strains, a phenotype that is independent of the $\sigma^{32}$-family of heat shock proteins (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1991a; McCann et al., 1991). Fourteen polypeptides are absent in a rpoS mutant after exposure to $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (McCann et al., 1991), but only a few genes have been identified to be involved in stationary phase thermotolerance under $\sigma^{s}$ regulatory control.

Besides its role in osmoprotection, trehalose is also involved in stationary phase thermotolerance at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and is suggested to contribute to the increased thermal stability of proteins (Back et al., 1979). Trehalose is not required for adaptive thermotolerance in growing cells (Hengge-Aronis et al., 1991), but is not the only factor involved in $\sigma^{s}$-dependent thermotolerance, since ots $A B$ mutants are more viable than rpoS mutants (Hengge-Aronis et al., 1991).

The product of the $h$ trE gene encodes a 95 kDa protein highly homologous to the pilin porin, PapC, involved in pilin transport and assembly (Raina et al., 1993). Mapped to 3.3 min on the E. coli chromosome, transcription of the $h$ trE operon requires $\sigma^{s}$ and IHF. Transcription is initiated from the htrE following a temperature shift from $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and is independent of the $\sigma^{32}$-heat shock regulon. A Tn10 insertion into rpoS results in a substantial reduction of $h$ tre transcription, suggesting a positive regulatory function for $\sigma^{\text {s }}$. htrE mutants exhibit three phenotypes (i) the inability to form colonies above $43.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (ii) reduced colony formation on media containing more than 0.7 M
of sodium chloride, (iii) and lethality when exposed to normally sublethal heat-shock at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Raina et al., 1993).

## CFA (Cyclopropane Fatty Acid) synthase: cfa

In E. coli, a unique post-synthetic modification of the preexisting phospholipid bilayer results in the formation of cyclopropane fatty acids (CFA) that occurs predominantly during entry into stationary phase. The reaction is catalysed by CFA synthase, a soluble enzyme found in the cytoplasm encoded by the cfa gene (Wang et al., 1992). Under $\sigma^{s}$ regulatory control, CFA synthase activity rapidly increases during late exponential phase but rapidly declines as stationary phase progresses, due to the short half-life and instability of the CFA protein (Wang and Cronan, 1994). CFA synthase is not completely dependent on $\sigma^{s}$ since its synthesis reaches half the wild-type levels in a rpoS mutant (Wang and Cronan, 1994). Northern analysis of CFA synthase mRNA levels in rpos ${ }^{+}$and rpos ${ }^{\circ}$, exponential and stationary phase cultures identify two promoters. The upstream promoter, P 1 , responsible for the longer transcript is active throughout growth-phase. The downstream promoter, $\mathbf{P} 2$ is active only upon entry into stationary phase and is under $\sigma^{s}$ regulatory control (Wang and Cronan, 1994). Analysis of both promoter sequences reveals a good match to the $\sigma^{70}$ consensus for the -10 and -35 region of $P 1$. However, only a reasonable match to the $\sigma^{70}$ consensus is observed for the P2-10 region.

## Pyruvate Oxidase: poxB

The E. coli pyruvate oxidase (PoxB) is a flavoprotein dehydrogenase that catalyzes the decarboxylation of pyruvate to acetate and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (Gennis and Hager, 1976). poxB expression is induced during entry into stationary phase and is highly dependent on $\sigma^{5}$ control. Pyruvate oxidase activity is completely abolished in a rpoS mutant. lacZ fusions to poxB reveal a 14 -fold induction in a wild-type strain and almost no activity from a rpos derivative. Cultures exposed to anaerobiosis exhibit a 40-50\% decrease in poxB transcriptional activity (Chang et al., 1994). Since E. coli possesses two other genes involved in the production of acetate, the metabolic role of PoxB
remains unclear (Chang et al., 1994).

## Microcin C7: macC7

Microcin C 7 (MccC7) is a 1 kDa peptide antibiotic known to inhibit protein synthesis in $E$. coli cells (Garcia-Bustos et al., 1985). It is produced by E. coli strains that contain a 43 kb conjugative plasmid (pMccC7) carrying the mcc genes (Novoa et al., 1986). The plasmid genes responsible for the production of MccC 7 have been mapped to a segment of the plasmid that is induced 100-fold during transition into stationary phase. Mutations isolated by screening for the loss of MccC7 production were mapped to rpoS. Screening for MccC7 activity in rpos ${ }^{+}$and rpos ${ }^{-}$ derivatives (Diaz-Guerra et al., 1989) confirmed the role of $\sigma^{s}$ as a positive regulator of microcin C7 production. The production of microcins is suggested to be a method of competing against microcin-sensitive strains during nutrient limitations (Hengge-Aronis, 1993).

## Genes Involved in Virulence and Pathogenesis: spvABCD, csgAB

The Salmonella plasmid virulence ( $s p v$ ) genes are required by a number of Salmonella species to confer lethal disease (Krause et al., 1991). Encoded on large plasmids 50 to 100 kb in size, the regulatory gene $s p v R$ and structural genes, $s p v A B C D$ form a single operon which exhibit induction during entry into stationary phase (Krause et al., 1992). Transcription from the spvAp is $\sigma^{3}$-dependent, both in S. typhimurium (Fang et al., 1992) and E. coli (Norel et al., 1992) and is modulated by SpvR, a member of the LysR family of transcriptional activators (Henikoff et al., 1988). Using S. dublin, increases in the concentration of $\sigma^{s}$ during entry into stationary phase leads to the $\sigma^{s}$-dependent induction of $s p v R$. SpvR and $\sigma^{s}$ then act in concert to induce the $\operatorname{spvABCD}$ regulon (Chen et al., 1995). Strains of S. typhimurium harboring a defect in rpoS are more susceptible to oxidative challenge, acid stress, DNA damage and inability to survive prolonged periods in starvation (Fang et al., 1992), conditions to which the bacterium is exposed within phagosomes of host phagocytic cells. Using lacZ fusions to the spvB gene, it is demonstrated that a mutation in rpoS decreases the expression of spvB by 86\% (Fang et al., 1992).

The expression of thin, aggregated, surface fibers called curlin confer some $E$. coli strains the ability to bind fibronectin and laminin in eukaryotic tissue (Olsen et al., 1989). The formation of these fibers confer a selective advantage in the colonization of organic matter in the extra-intestinal environment and in the gastrointestinal tract. Curlin fibers are formed in response to low temperatures $\left(26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, low osmolarity, and stationary phase growth conditions (Olsen et al., 1989). Subunits of these curlin fibers are encoded by $\operatorname{csg} A$ and $\operatorname{csg} B$, and the two comprise an operon expressed during stationary phase (Arnquist et al., 1994). lacZ fusions to the $\operatorname{csg} \operatorname{BA}$ promoter reveal increased expression during stationary phase and almost no activity in a rpos- background (Arnquist et al., 1994). Similarly, the cell is unable to produce curlin or bind fibronectin in a rpoS mutant (OIsen et al., 1993). Expression from the csgA gene is repressed by H-NS, since the production of curlin fibers from $E$. coli K -12 curlin-deficient strains occurs in a hns background. Furthermore, a mutation in hns relieves the dependence of curlin production on $\sigma^{s}$ since a rpoShns double mutant can express curlin fibers under low temperature and low osmolarity (Olsen et al., 1993). The $c s g B A$ promoter can be recognized by $E \sigma^{70}$ (Arnqvist et al., 1994).

## Chaperonin analogue: $\operatorname{cbp} A$

The product of the cbpA gene is a DNA-binding protein that preferentially recognizes curved DNA sequences. $\operatorname{cbp} A$ is an analogue of the $E$. coli, heat-shock inducible, chaperone protein, DnaJ (Ueguchi et al., 1994) and the protein is suggested to function as a molecular chaperone to proteins expressed during an environmental stress other than heat-shock manner (Yamashino et al., 1994). cbpA expression is not induced upon heat-shock but is induced upon entry into stationary phase in a $\sigma^{s}$-dependent. Expression of $\operatorname{cbpA}$ in response to phosphate starvation (but not of carbon or nitrogen) is also $\sigma^{s}$-dependent. Studies of the promoter region reveals a curved DNA structure (Yamashino et al., 1994), suggested to be a structural determinant for the recognition or binding of $\sigma^{s}$ (Espinosa-Urgel and Tormo, 1993). The cbpA promoter reveals similarity to the consensus sequences for the $\sigma^{70}$-type promoter (Yamashino et al., 1994).

## Integration Host Factor (IHF): himA, himD/hip

IHF is a small DNA-binding protein composed of two different subunits, $\alpha$ and $\beta$, encoded by the genes himA and himD/hip, respectively. Involved in a number of cellular processes including the positive and negative regulation of many functions (reviewed in Friedman, 1988), IHF is a known regulator of two $\sigma^{s}$-dependent genes, dps (Altuvia et al., 1994) and osm $Y$ (Lange et al., 1993). Expression from both himA and himD/hip is growth-phase induced and $\sigma^{s}$-dependent. Transcription from both genes is not completely abolished in a rpoS mutant and the two do not have the same level of $\sigma^{\text {s }}$-dependence since the himA promoter shows a greater reduction in expression (Aviv et al., 1994). The himA promoter is positively regulated by ppGpp, a control mediated through rpoS. Both himA and himD/hip transcription is autoregulated. The himA -35 promoter region is homologous to the fic-pabA promoter but both promoter sequences deviate from the $\sigma^{70}$ consensus (Aviv et al., 1994).

## Members of Fis regulated genes: aldB, glnQ, mglA, sdhA, xylF, frg-502, frg-541, frg-734

Fis is a small DNA-binding protein containing a helix-turn-helix motif known to bind to various promoter regions of the E. coli chromosome (Finkel and Johnson, 1992). As a member of the general nucleoid-associated proteins that include IHF, H-NS, LRP, and Dps, Fis functions as a regulator of gene expression. The identification of members of the fis regulon using lacZ fusion insertions reveal a subset of genes within the regulon that is negatively and positively regulated by $\sigma^{s}(\mathrm{Xu}$ and Johnson, 1995a). Some have been mapped to known regions of the $E$. coli chromosome. Eight protein fusions observed to be negatively repressed by Fis have been analyzed. Five have been mapped to known genes and the remainder designated frg for "Fis regulated gene". These are summarized below.

| Gene | Map Location | Expression | Effect of $\sigma^{s}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| frg-502 | 65 | stationary | activates |
| frg-541 | $31-33$ | stationary | inhibits slightly |
| frg-734 | $43-45$ | stationary | activates |
| aldB-731 | $80-83$ | stationary | activates |
| glnQ-732 | 18 | mid log | inhibits slightly |
| mgIA-543 | $45-47$ | mid log | inhibits |
| sdhA-133 | 16 | mid log | inhibits |
| xylF-103 | 80 | late log | inhibits |

Modified from Xu and Johnson, 1995a.
$x y I F$ and $m g / A$ encodes for $D-x y l o s e-b i n d i n g$ protein and $\beta$-methyl-galactoside transporter, respectively. $g / n Q$ encodes a glutamine permease and $\operatorname{sdh} A$ encodes a succinate dehydrogenase. Each of these genes encode proteins that are components associated with the cell membrane or periplasm (Xu and Johnson, 1995a). The sdhA-encoding succinate dehydrogenase is an important component of the TCA cycle. Its expression is also negatively regulated by other regulators such as Fnr and ArcA (luchi et al., 1994).

The aldB gene is negatively regulated by Fis but is positively regulated by $\sigma^{s}$ at the posttranscriptional level (Xu and Johnson, 1995b). aldB is growth-phase-dependent and exhibits a 28fold decrease in a rpoS mutant background. It is also positively regulated by cAMP. Mapped to 80.8 to 80.9 min on the E. coli chromosome ( Xu and Johnson, 1995a), the aldB gene encodes an enzyme, aldehyde dehydrogenase ( 56.3 kDa ), that functions to oxidize aldehydes to their corresponding acid forms (Xu and Johnson, 1995b). aldB expression is not affected by medium osmolarity and exhibits only slight induction in the presence of ethanol, suggesting a potential role for AldB in the detoxification of alcohols and aldehydes present in stationary phase cells. The promoter sequences of the aldB gene reveal similarity to the $-10 \sigma^{70}$-consensus but no reasonable similarity to a $\sigma^{70}-35$ consensus (Xu and Johnson, 1995b).

The Salmonella Multiple-Nutrient Starvation-Inducible Genes: stiA, stiB, stiC
The sti genes encode factors involved in the multiple-nutrient starvation-inducible loci in Salmonella typhimurium (O'Neal et al., 1994). Three genes identified as stiA, stiB, and stiC are essential for bacterial survival during simultaneous phosphate, carbon and nitrogen starvation conditions. Mutations in any of these loci result in 50 to 75 -fold reduction in survival of cells under multiple-nutrient starvation conditions (Spector and Cubitt, 1992). lacZ fusions to stiA and stiC demonstrate that the normal induction observed during carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus starvation is abolished a rpoS mutant. In contrast, the stiB locus is induced two to three-fold in the absence of rpoS during phosphate and carbon starvation (O'Neal et al., 1994).

## Genes of Unknown Function: csiD, csiE, csiF, hdeAB

The $c s i 12:: / a c Z, c s i 16:: / a c Z$ and $c s i 32:: / a c Z$ genes, initially isolated as carbon-starvationinducible (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1991a), are $\sigma^{s}$-dependent whose functions are unknown (Weichart et al., 1993). Located at $57.6 \mathrm{~min}, 54.8 \mathrm{~min}$, and 8.8 min on the $E$. coli chromosome, respectively, these genes were subsequently designated csiD, csiE and csiF. Slightly higher levels of $\beta$-galactosidase activity is detected for $c s i E$, and $c s i F$ in a $\Delta c y a$ mutant. csiF expression is positively regulated by cAMP and is tested to be partially impaired for glycogen synthesis, but does not map to the glycogen producing glgA gene (Weichart et al., 1993).

The search for other promoters with regulatory features similar to the promoter of $\operatorname{csg} A B$ led to the identification of a 12 kD a periplasmic protein expressed with the fibronectin-binding curli protein. The 12 kDa protein is missing from a curli-deficient strain and a rpoS mutant and is highly expressed in a hns mutant (Arnquist et al., 1994). The 12 kDa protein is encoded by hdeA, which, along with hdeB, comprise the hdeAB operon (Yoshida et al., 1993). The HdeA and HdeB proteins are not involved in curli synthesis. Similar to pcsgBA, the hdeAB operon is completely dependent on $\sigma^{3}$, and this dependency is relieved in a hns mutant. The hde $A B$ operon is also expressed in exponentially growing cells, but at lower levels. The hdeAB promoter sequence exhibits high homology to the $\sigma^{70}$ promoter consensus sequence (Arnquist et al., 1994).

## $\sigma^{8}$ PROMOTER RECOGNITION

The exchange of sigma factors for core RNA polymerase is one primary mechanism of the global switching of gene regulation. Alternative sigma factors synthesized or activated in response to an environmental or growth stimulus can temporarily displace the main sigma subunit as the transcriptional activator. Since $\sigma^{5}$ shares considerable homology to $\sigma^{70}$, it suggests a common consensus sequence for the promoters they recognize. Confirmation of promoter similarity is provided by evidence that $\sigma^{5}$ can initiate transcription from $\sigma^{70}$-dependent promoters such as the lacUV5 (mutated for lactose metabolism), trp (tryptophan biosynthesis), and dnaQp2 (subunit of DNA polymerase III) promoters (Tanaka et al., 1993). Some promoters are transcribed exclusively by $\sigma^{70}(m c b A)$ or $\sigma^{s}(f i c-p a b A)$. However, analysis of the available promoters does not reveal a likely consensus sequence for $\sigma^{3}$ recognition (Tanaka et al., 1993). Sequence comparisons of the first few $\sigma^{s}$-dependent genes identified revealed a potential consensus in the xth $A$ and katE promoters (Saporito et al., 1988; von Ossowski et al., 1991). However, analysis of promoter regions of additional members of the $\sigma^{s}$-regulon revealed deviations from the previous consensus.
"Gearbox promoter" is a term designated for a subset of promoters which exhibit expression rates inversely proportional to the growth rate and contain distinctive sequences around their -10 and - $\mathbf{3 5}$ regions (Aldea et al., 1990). Initially thought to be the recognition sequences for $\sigma^{s}$, the suggestion was unlikely based on observations that most $\sigma^{s}$-dependent genes do not contain the gearbox promoter sequence. Furthermore, the gearbox-containing mcbA promoter is not recognized by $\sigma^{5}$ but is recognized by $\sigma^{70}$ (Tanaka et al., 1993).

More than 30 rpoS-dependent genes or operons have been identified to date. Many of these exhibit differential regulation, or are involved in a multilayered, cascade-regulation mechanism within the rpoS-regulon. Some genes are highly dependent on $\sigma^{s}$, and some exhibit induction (although at a lower level), in a rpos` background. Consequently, a subset of promoters may not be directly recognized by $\sigma^{5}$. With the similarity to $\sigma^{70}$ promoters, and the involvement of additional regulatory factors such as IHF, Fis, cAMP, H-NS, and OxyR, the derivation of the rpoS consensus sequence has become more difficult. The failure to identify a common consensus has
led to the search for other structural features specific to $\sigma^{s}$-dependent promoters. It has been suggested that DNA bending may help in the recognition or binding of $\sigma^{s}$ to its promoters. Computer prediction analysis has revealed four $\sigma^{3}$-dependent promoters located in curved DNA regions, a structural feature absent in the $\sigma^{70}$-dependent $m c b A$ promoter (Espinosa-Urgel and Tormo, 1993). In addition, the pcsgBA and phdeAB promoters are speculated to contain a bend in the DNA structure. Furthermore, the binding of H-NS is suggested to promote specific bending of the promoter region, orienting DNA topology better to accommodate the binding of either Eos or $E \sigma^{70}$, perhaps in response to growth phase signals (Arnqvist et al., 1994). Many $\sigma^{\text {s }}$-dependent promoters have a $\sigma^{70}$ consensus at the -10 region, but lack a $\sigma^{70}$ consensus at the -35 element. Recent evidence has shown using reconstituted promoter elements that the -10 promoter region is the determinant in Eq ${ }^{s}$ recognition (Tanaka et al., 1995). However, in vitro experiments may be an imperfect model of in vivo conditions, since additional regulatory factors involved in the regulation of the gene, and a possible requirement for DNA-bending as a recognition factor, are not accounted for. Furthermore, due to the diversity of regulatory features of members of the $\sigma^{s}$ regulon, the observation of trends using several promoters may not be applicable to the entire regulon as a whole.

## SUBFAMILIES AND CASCADE REGULATION WITHIN THE $\sigma^{3}$-REGULON

The identification of $\sigma^{s}$-dependent genes exhibiting a specific phenotype set the basis for the systematic screening for additional members of the regulon. As the number of genes under $\sigma^{s}$ control increased, subfamilies apparently exist within the regulon that responds to additional regulatory or environmental factors. This is the case with the otsBA, treA, and osmB genes (Boos et al., 1987; Giaever et al., 1988, Jung et al., 1990), first identified as osmotically inducible genes, and later, as members of the $\sigma^{s}$-regulon. Two-dimensional gel analysis of proteins induced under osmotic upshift revealed a subset of which required $\sigma^{s}$ for expression. Within this subset of osmotically-inducible genes, expression is characteristic of each. For example, glgS is induced at least 30 to 50 -fold during entry into stationary phase but is only induced 2-fold by osmotic upshift. in
contrast, growth-phase expression and expression during osmotic induction is approximately the same for osm $Y$ and bolA, (Hengge-Aronis et al., 1993). Furthermore, at least one gene (csgA) is expressed under low osmolarity (Olsen et al.,1993). However, not all members of the $\sigma^{s}$-regulon are induced under these conditions (Hengge-Aronis et al., 1993). Several lines of evidence suggest that rpoS alone may not be sufficient for the induction of several members of the osmoticallyinducible genes. For example, osmotic induction of osm $Y$ expression is still observed (although at a reduced level) in a rpoS' mutant (Lange et al., 1993). Gene expression from the two promoters of proP ( P 1 and P 2 ) is osmotically inducible though $\sigma^{s}$ can only initiate transcription from P 2 ( Xu and Johnson, 1995c).

A few $\sigma^{s}$-dependent genes encode regulatory proteins that are responsible for the expression of several genes. The bolA gene, when overexpressed is involved in the regulation of pbp6 (Aldea et al., 1989). AppY, a positive regulator of the appA-encoding acid phosphatase and $\operatorname{cy} \times A B$ is also required for the expression of approximately 30 other polypeptides (Atlung et al., 1989). Dps, an abundant protein still synthesized in late (3-day-old) stationary phase cells form highly structured complexes with DNA in vitro, and is a global regulator of gene expression after long periods in starvation (Almiron et al., 1992). Genes regulated by these secondary regulators are under indirect control by $\sigma^{s}$, leading to the suggestion that $\sigma^{s}$ exerts its influence in stationary phase gene expression by a branched regulatory cascade (reviewed in Hengge-Aronis, 1993b).

Additional global regulators are involved in the control of members of the $\sigma^{s}$-regulon, thus, $\sigma^{3}$ is a component in a larger regulatory network in gene expression throughout growth-phase as suggested by Loewen and Hengge-Aronis (Loewen and Hengge-Aronis, 1994). OxyR is involved in the oxidative induction of katG (Christman et al., 1989) and dps (Altuvia et al., 1994). The cAMPCRP complex is a positive and negative regulator of a large subset of $\sigma^{s}$-dependent genes and Fis has recently been implicated in the regulation of nine genes (Xu and Johnson, 1995a, 1995c). Even within these subfamilies, several members can be categorized into others. The proP gene regulated by Fis is also partially osmotically induced (Xu and Johnson, 1995c). The osmotically induced genes, ots $B$ and ots $A$, are also involved in stationary phase thermotolerance (Hengge-

Aronis et al., 1993). These cases clearly define the complexity of regulatory control in the $\sigma^{s}$ regulon.

## PURPOSE OF CURRENT STUDY

Several approaches have been used to enumerate and identify members of the $\sigma^{s}$ regulon. Twodimensional gel electrophoresis studies of proteins expressed in rpos ${ }^{+}$and rpoS mutants suggest that expression of a large number of proteins requires a functional rpoS gene. Though the numbers obtained is likely an underestimation since proteins of genes expressed at low levels may not be detected. Furthermore, another limitation of this method is the complicated process of identifying the gene from a protein product, particularly when dealing with large numbers. Mutagenesis techniques using random lacZ insertions coupled with the screening for rpos-associated phenotypes have also been employed. However, due to the diversity of unrelated functions of members of the $\sigma^{s}$-regulon, no unifying characteristic or differentiating common phenotype can be easily exploited in such mutation strategies. At most, only subfamilies within the $\sigma^{5}$ regulon can be identified using these phenotypic screening methods.

To avoid the problems associated with the above methods, we have employed a genetic screen to identify members of the $\sigma^{s}$ regulon. The introduction of a rpoS null allele into a library of rpoS ${ }^{+}$stains containing random lacZ fusions should, in theory, allow the ready identification of $\sigma^{s}$ dependent functions. Expression from the reporter gene relies solely on the dependency of the target gene promoter on a functional rpos. This procedure does not rely on a single differentiating phenotype, and can be easily conducted on a large scale.

Table 1. Summary of members of the $\sigma^{2}$-regulon.

| Gene | Function/phenotype | Map (min) | Mechanism of Regulation/ Additional Regulators | Environmental Inducers | Promoter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aidB | -involved in leucine metabolism -defence against DNA methylated damage | 95.1* | -induced by $\sigma^{8}$ during limiting $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ <br> -Ada-dependent during alkylation damage | -acetate-pH inducible <br> -not induced by benzoate or starvation <br> -induced during oxygen limitation |  |
| aldB | -encodes aldehyde dehydrogenase which oxidizes aldehydes to acid forms | 80-83 | -regulated by $\sigma^{5}$ at posttranscriptional level <br> -negatively regulated by Fis -positively regulated by CAMP <br> -expressed during stationary phase | -slight induction in presence of ethanol |  |
| appBC <br> A <br> (cy) ${ }^{\text {B }}$ <br> A) | -appA encodes periplasmic acid phosphatase, optimum activity at pH 2.5 <br> -appBC encodes one of three cytochrome oxidases in E. coli | 22 | -stimulated by appY <br> -negatively regulated by cAMP | -induced during oxygen limitation, starvation for Pi, entry into stationary phase |  |
| appY | -involved in synthesis of polypeptides -reduction in growth rate on poor carbon sources | 13 | -stimulated 100-fold in exponential phase -stimulated 10 to 40 -fold in stationary phase |  |  |
| bolA | -morphogene -confers round morphology -regulator of pbp6 | 10 | -negatively regulated by cAMP | -expressed in stationary phase |  |
| $\operatorname{cbp} A$ | -encodes an analogue of $E$. coli chaperonin, DnaJ |  |  | -induced during phosphate limitation (but not carbon or nitrogen) in a $\sigma^{s}$ dependent manner | -has $\sigma^{70}$ consensus for -10 and -35 region |
| cfa | -encodes CFA (cyclopropane fatty acid) synthase -modifies phospholipid bilayer | 22.8* |  | -stationary phase induced -transient expression, activity quickly lost after progession into stationary phase | -P1 $\sigma^{70}$-dependent -P2 $\sigma^{s}$-dependent and growth-phase dependent |
| $\operatorname{csg} B A$ | -encodes curlin fibres <br> -involved in pathogenesis <br> -mutants are not pathogenic |  | -completely dependent on $\sigma^{5}$, relieved by mutation in H-NS <br> -negatively regulated by H-NS <br> -hnsrpoS mutant can express curlin fibres | -expressed at low temp $\left(26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, low osmolarity, stationary phase | -can be recognized by $0^{70}$ |


| Gene | Function/phenotype | $\begin{gathered} \text { Map } \\ (\mathbf{m i n}) \end{gathered}$ | Mechanism of Regulation/ Additional Regulators | Environmental Inducers | Promoter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { csi-12 } \\ & \text { (csiD) } \end{aligned}$ | -slight heat sensitive phenotype -mutation results in changes of protein synthesis patterns | 57.6 |  | -carbon starvation inducible |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { csi-16 } \\ & \text { (csiE) } \end{aligned}$ | -function unknown | 54.8 | -negatively regulated by cAMP | -carbon starvation inducible |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { csi-32 } \\ & \text { (csiF) } \end{aligned}$ | -slightly impaired for glycogen synthesis | 8.8 |  | -carbon starvation inducible |  |
| dps (pexB) | -encodes DNA-binding protein -protection against oxidative stress -regulator of gene expression | 18 | -expressed up to 3 days in stationary phase | -induced in the presence of acetate, carbon and nitrogen starvation, osmotic and oxidative stress | -similar to $\mathrm{o}^{70}$ consensus for -10, not for - 35 region -resemble fic-pabA and osmY promoters |
| ficpabA | -involved in cell division <br> -involved in PABA synthesis <br> -temperature-sensitive phenotype past <br> $43^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 75 | -expression completely abolished in rpos mutant |  | -has $\sigma^{70}$ consensus for -10 , not for - 35 region -type III promoter |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{frg} \\ & 502 \end{aligned}$ | -function unknown | 65 | -negatively regulated by Fis |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{frg-} \\ & 541 \end{aligned}$ | -function unknown | 31-33 | -slight negative regulation by $\sigma^{\circ}$ and Fis |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & f r g- \\ & 734 \end{aligned}$ | -function unknown | 43-45 | -negatively regulated by Fis |  |  |
| glgS | -involved in glycogen synthesis -no glycogen produced in mutant | 66.6 | -30 to 50 -fold induction by $\sigma^{5}$ -positively regulated by cAMP | -induced 2-fold by osmotic upshift | -transcribed from 2 overlapping promoters, one for $\sigma^{s}$, one for CAMP |
| $g / n Q$ | -encodes glutamine permease | 18 | -slightly inhibited by $\sigma^{5}$ -negatively regulated by Fis -expressed at mid-log phase |  |  |
| hde $A B$ | -function unknown | 78.8* | -same mechanism of expression as csgBA operon |  |  |


| Gene | Function/phenotype | Map (min) | Mechanism of Regulation/ Additional Regulators | Environmental Inducers | Promoter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| himA <br> himD- <br> hip | -encodes subunits of IHF which functions as a regulator of gene expression <br> -mutation results in absence of IHF production | $\begin{gathered} 38.6 \\ (\text { himA }) \\ 20.7 \\ (\text { himD }) \end{gathered}$ | -positively regulated by ppGpp mediated through $\sigma^{5}$ -negatively regulated by IHF (autoregulation) |  |  |
| htrE | -confers thermotolerance <br> -mutants sensitive to heat-shock and high osmolarity | 3.3 | -positively regulated by IHF -highly dependent on $\sigma^{s}$ |  |  |
| katE | -encodes cytoplasmic HPII -mutants sensitive to exposure to hydrogen peroxide | 37 | -highly dependent on $\sigma^{3}$ | -induced by presence of TCA cycle intermediates and acetate | -similar xthA promoter |
| katG | -encodes periplasmic HPI <br> -mutants sensitive to growth on media containing hydrogen peroxide | 89.2 | -positively regulated by OxyR $-\sigma^{5}$ involved in stationary phase expression | -induced by hydrogen peroxide via OxyR-dependent manner |  |
| mcc7 | -encodes microcin C7 <br> -inhibits protein synthesis -suggested to give competitive advantage over microcin ${ }^{-}$strains during nutrient limitation | $p$ | -not completely abolished in rpoS mutant |  |  |
| mgla | -encodes $\beta$-methyl-galactoside transporter | 45-47 | -negatively regulated by $\sigma^{5}$ and Fis -expressed at mid-log phase |  |  |
| ompF | -membrane porin protein | 21.2* | -negatively regulated by $\sigma^{s}$ and micFencoding anitsense RNA -regulated by EnvZ and OmpR | -induced by low osmolarity, low temperature, poor carbon sources |  |
| osmB | -outer membrane lipoprotein <br> -mutants do not form aggregates in elevated medium osmolarity | 28.9* | -biphasic expression in high media osmolarity | -osmotically inducible | -P1 \& P2 osmotically inducible but more transcripts originate from P2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { osmY } \\ & \text { (csi-5) } \end{aligned}$ | -encodes periplasmic protein | 99.3 | ```-transient expression -negatively regulated by cAMP, LRP, IHF``` | -osmotically inducible | $-\sigma^{70}$ consensus for -10 , not for - 35 region |


| Gene | Function/phenotype | Map (min) | Mechanism of Regulation/ Additional Regulators | Environmental Inducers | Promoter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| otsBA | -encodes trehalose -involved in osmoprotection and thermoprotection | 42 |  | -osmotically inducible |  |
| pbp6 | -encodes carboxypeptidase -involved in septum formation -confers coccobacillary shapes |  | -regulated indirectly by $\sigma^{2}$ through bolA |  |  |
| pbp3 | -involved in cell division (septum formation) |  | -slight positive regulation by $\sigma^{\text {s }}$ |  |  |
| poxB | -encodes pyruvate oxidase which produces free acetate |  | -highly dependent on $\sigma^{s}$ <br> -minimal effects with cAMP | -decreased expression under anaerobic conditions |  |
| proP | -encodes transporter of proline and glycine betaine -involved in osmoprotection | 94 | -positively regulated by Fis ( 50 -fold) -negatively regulated by cAMP | -osmotically inducible | -P1 osmotically inducible <br> -P2 transcribed by $\sigma^{s}$ and Fis -P2 osmotically and growthphase induced |
| $\operatorname{sdh} A$ | -encodes succinate dehydrogenase, a component of the TCA cycle | 16 | -negatively regulated by $\sigma^{3}$, Fis, Fnr, ArcA <br> -expressed at mid-log phase |  |  |
| spvRA $B C D$ | -confers virulence in Salmonella sp. -mutants are non-pathogenic | $p$ |  | -stationary phase induced |  |
| stiABC | -multiple-nutrient starvation-inducible genes of Salmonella typhimurium -required for survivial during combined C, N, P starvation |  | -stiA, stiC positively regulated by $\sigma^{3}$ -stiB negatively regulated by $\sigma^{3}$ | -induced during starvation for carbon, nitrogen or phosphorus |  |
| treA | -encodes trehalase which functions to hydrolyse trehalose extracellularly | 26 |  | -osmotically inducible |  |
| xthA | -encodes exonuclease III <br> -confers NUV resistance <br> -mutants are sensitive to NUV | 38 | -induction begins in exponential phase <br> -levels decrease in stationary phase | -NUV inducible | -similar to katE promoter |
| xylF | -encodes D-xylose-binding protein | 80 | -negatively regulated by $\sigma^{5}$ and Fis |  |  |

Unless otherwise stated, genes and/or operons are positively regulated by $\sigma^{5}$.
" p " represent genes encoded on plasmids.
Astericks represent map locations obtained from the Escherichia coli databank. All other map locations are collected from the literature

Figure 1. Chromosomal locations of members of the $\sigma^{s}$-regulon. Black dots represent 5 minute intervals of the $E$. coli map. The genes cfa, $\operatorname{csg} \cos , p b p 6, p b p 3$, and poxB were omitted from the map since their general locations were not available in the literature and the $E$. coli databases. Plasmid encoded genes, spvRABCD,mccC7, and the Salmonella stiABC genes are also not included. The figure is modified and updated from previous literature (Hengge-Aronis, 1993).


## MATERIAL AND METHODS

Chemicals. All chemicals were supplied by either Fisher Scientific Ltd. (Toronto, On., Canada), Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, Mo, USA), or Gibco BRL (Burlington, On., Canada). Antibiotics and other non-autoclavable stock solutions were filter sterilized using Gelman Sciences (Ann Arbor, MI, USA) "Acrodisc" sterile filters, pore size $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Media. Liquid and solid media used were prepared as previously described (Miller, 1992) with modifications. Cultures were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) rich media ( 10 g tryptone, 5 g yeast extract, and 10 g NaCl per liter) and adjusted to pH 7.0 . Solid media was prepared by adding 15 g of agar per liter to liquid media. Minimal media used was M 9 containing per liter, $6 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na} \mathbf{N a}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$ (sodium phosphate, dibasic) $3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (potassium phosphate, monobasic) 1 g NH (ammonium chloride), $0.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaCl} ; 0.2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and $0.4 \%$ glucose (added after autoclaving). R-plates, used for the propagation of P1 phage, contained per liter, 10 g tryptone, 1 g of yeast extract, 8 g of $\mathrm{NaCl}, 15 \mathrm{~g}$ of agar, 2 mM of $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ and $0.1 \%$ glucose (added after autoclaving). R-top agar contained per liter, 8 g of agar as opposed to the 15 g in R-plates. The concentrations of antibiotics used were as follows, kanamycin ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ), streptomycin ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ), tetracycline $(12.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$, ampicillin ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). X-gal (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- $\beta$-Dgalactoside) was used at a concentration of $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$.

Bacterial strains, phage, plasmid. The bacterial strains, plasmids and $\lambda$ phage constructs are listed in Table 2.

Growth conditions. Cultures were grown overnight in LB media containing appropriate antibiotics on a shaker bed (Gyrotory shaker-model G2, New Brunswick Scientific Co. Inc., Edison, NJ, USA) or in test tubes on a rotator (Rollordrum, model-TC7, New Brunswick Scientific Co., Edison, NJ, USA). Cell growth was monitored spectrophotometrically (UV-VIS Spectrophotometer, model UV-

Table 2. E. coli strains and plasmids.

| Strains | Genotype | Source/Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A) Strains |  |  |
| MC4100 | (argF-lacZ)205 araD139 fibB5301 relA1 rpsL150 thi flbB5301 ptsF25 | G. Weinstock |
| GC4468 | $\triangle / a c U 169$ rpsL | D. Touati |
| K-12 | wild-type | B. N. Ames |
| KL16 | Hfr(PO45) relA1 spot1 thi-1 | K. B. Low |
| HS180 | Like KL16 but rpoS::Tn10 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P} 1(\mathrm{NC} 122) \mathrm{x} \\ & \text { tet }^{\mathrm{R}} \end{aligned}$ |
| NC4468 | As GC4468 but $\phi($ (katE::IacZ')131 | lab collection |
| HS143 | As GC4468 but $\phi\left(k a t F:: 1 a c Z^{+}\right) 143$ | lab collection |
| GC202 | As GC4468 but $\phi$ (katG: Tn 10 ) 131 | lab collection |
| 13 C 10 | As MC4100 except lysogenized with $\lambda$ p/acMu53 | lab collection |
| B) Phage |  |  |
| $\lambda$ placMu53 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { imm }{ }^{\prime} \text { 'trp'lacZ+lacY+lacA"uvrD" Xho::kan Mu c/ts62 } \\ & \text { ner + A + 'S } \end{aligned}$ | G. Weinstock |
| $\lambda p \mathrm{Mu} 507$ | c/ts857 Sam7 Mu c/ts62ner+A+B+ | G. Weinstock |
| C) Plasmids |  |  |
| pMMkatF3 | carries rpos (katF) | P. Loewen |

1201, Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) at 600 nm .
Bacterial mating. Hfr-mediated bacterial mating was performed as previously described (Miller, 1992). Both donor and recipient strains were grown overnight in LB containing appropriate antibiotics and subcultured the next morning into fresh LB (without antibiotics). Cultures were grown to a cell density between $\mathrm{OD}(600 \mathrm{~nm})$ of 0.2 to 0.3 . Donor cultures were aerated on a rotator or shaker bed at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the recipient cultures were not aerated. An equal volume of donor and recipients were mixed in a test tube and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The mating was interrupted by vortexing for 10 s and a $100 \mu$ aliquot spread onto LB-agar containing the appropriate antibiotics for the selection of transconjugants.
$\beta$-galactosidase assay. $\beta$-galactosidase activity was assayed in duplicate as described previously (Miller, 1992). Aliquots from a culture were transferred in duplicate to 2 ml microtubes containing Zbuffer (the assay medium). For cells predicted to have high levels of enzyme activity, $100 \mu$ of the culture was added to $900 \mu$ of $Z$-buffer. For samples possessing low $\beta$-galactosidase activity, 500 $\mu l$ of both culture and $Z$-buffer was added to the microtube. $\mathrm{OD}(600 \mathrm{~nm})$ was taken for each sample assayed. Two drops of chloroform (100 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ ) and one drop of $0.1 \%$ SDS (sodium-dodecylsulfate) were added and vortexed vigorously for 10 s to disrupt cells. Tubes were prewarmed in a $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water bath. An aliquot of $200 \mu$ of ONPG (o-nitrophenyl- $\beta$-D-galactoside, in 100 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.0 , stock concentration of $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) was added and the tubes gently shaken. The reaction was stopped by the addition of $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of a $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution. Microtubes were centrifuged ( $10000 \mathrm{rpm}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$ ) and the absorbance measured at $\mathrm{OD}(420 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Units of activity was calculated as $\left[1000 \times \mathrm{OD}_{420}\right] /\left[\right.$ time $(\mathrm{min}) \times$ volume $\left.(\mathrm{ml}) \times \mathrm{OD}_{600}\right]$. Activity was expressed in Miller units (Miller, 1992).

Transformation. Transformation was performed using the TSS (transformation and storage solution) method of preparing competent cells (Chung et al., 1989). TSS consists of LB broth containing $10 \%$ (wt/vol) polyethylene glycol (PEG, m.wt. 8000), $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgSO}{ }_{4}$, and $5 \%$ (vol/vol) dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), adjusted to pH 6.5. DMSO was added after autoclaving ( $20 \mathrm{~min}, 15$ $\mathrm{kPa}, 121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). For the preparation of competent cells, the TSS solution and empty tubes were pre-
cooled on ice. Two different approaches were used. Cultures were grown in LB broth ( 5 ml ) to midexponential phase (OD600 nm 0.3-0.4). Bacterial cells were pelleted by centrifugation ( 3500 rpm , $15 \mathrm{~min}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and resuspended in $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 1 xTSS . The second approach did not require the centrifugation procedure. An aliquot of $250 \mu$ of the bacteria culture was directly added to an equal volume of $2 \times$ TSS. An aliquot of $100 \mu$ l of cells of $1 \times$ TSS or $2 \times$ TSS was transferred to cold polypropylene tubes, mixed with a $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume of $6.6 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ of pMMkatF3 and left on ice ( 30 min , $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). LB broth containing 20 mM glucose was added to cells to a final volume of 1 ml . Tubes were incubated $\left(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, with shaking at $\left.200 \mathrm{rpm}, 1 \mathrm{~h}\right)$ to allow expression of the plasmid-borne ampicillin resistance gene. A $100 \mu$ aliquot was plated onto LB-agar plates and incubated overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For the selection of $r p o S^{+}$recipients transformed with the plasmid, LB-agar plates containing kanamycin, streptomycin, and ampicillin were used. Transformed rpos- transconjugants were selected on the same media supplemented with tetracycline.

Transformation efficiency using TSS (Chung et al., 1989) was reported to be between $10^{8}$ and $10^{7}$ transformants/ $\mu \mathrm{g}$ DNA. Although our frequencies were not as high, TSS was a simple and quick method that produced enough transformants for our purposes. Given that approximately 50 transformants on average appeared using 6.6 ng of plasmid DNA, the transformation efficiency was calculated to be approximately $7.6 \times 10^{3}$ transformants per $\mu \mathrm{g}$ of plasmid DNA.

Preparation of P1 lysate, titering and transduction. Preparation of lysates, titering of lysate and transduction procedures were followed as previously documented (Miller, 1992) with some modifications.

Preparation of P1 lysate. An overnight culture of the donor strain was subcultured into LB media containing $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl} 2_{2}$ and grown to a density of $1 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{cells} / \mathrm{ml}$. One ml of the bacterial culture was incubated with $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of a P1 lysate (greater than $10^{8} \mathrm{PFU}$ [plaque forming units] $/ \mathrm{ml}$ ) in a glass tube in a water bath $\left(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~min}\right)$ to allow adsorption of the phage. Modified R-top agar ( 2.5 ml ) containing 4 g of agar per liter and an additional 5 mM of $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ (as opposed to 8 g and 2 mM $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$, as specified in Miller) was added to the test tube, vortexed, and poured onto R-plates (containing 5 mM CaCl$)$ ). Plates were incubated face-up for 5 h , after which 1 ml of MC buffer ( 100
$\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgSO}_{4}, 5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}$ ) was spread onto the surface. We found that decreasing the amount of agar (allowing more diffusion of phage into the surrounding media) and adding MC buffer onto the plates before harvesting (allowing for the diffusion of phage into liquid media) increased the yield of total number of PFU's. Plates were incubated for an additional 3 h . To prevent the growth of resistant bacteria, P1 lysates were harvested after 8 h . R-top agar was scraped into 15 ml polypropylene tubes, $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of chloroform added, vortexed for 30 s and cellular debris centrifuged ( $3500 \mathrm{rpm}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~min}$ ). The supernatant containing lysates were transferred to 2 ml cryogenic tubes and stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of chloroform.

Titering of lysate. P1 lysates were titered on overnight cultures of CSH109 grown in LB media containing $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}{ }_{2}$. Serial dilutions were made of the phage lysate in MC buffer ( 100 mM $\left.\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}, 5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}\right)_{2}$. An aliquot of $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ from at least two dilution series was added to $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the overnight bacterial culture and incubated $\left(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~min}\right)$ to allow adsorption of the phage. R-top agar ( $2-3 \mathrm{ml}$ ) was added, poured on R-plates, and incubated overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. An aliquot of $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the bacterial culture was plated simultaneously to allow comparison with plates containing P1 plaques. Plaques were counted the following morning. Titers obtained on average was between $10^{8}$ and $10^{10}$. Lysates with titers more than $10^{8} \mathrm{PFU} / \mathrm{ml}$ were used for transduction. Transduction with P1 lysate. An overnight culture of the bacterial strain to be transduced was centrifuged and resuspended in the same volume of MC buffer. An aliquot of $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of bacteria (with $10^{10} \mathrm{CFU}$ [colony forming units] $/ \mathrm{ml}$ ) was added to an equal volume of P1 lysate (at least $10^{8}$ $\mathrm{PFU} / \mathrm{ml})$. The bacterial strain to be transduced was incubated in parallel to serve as controls for revertants. P1 lysates were also incubated to ensure no contamination with strains capable of growing under the same conditions as the transductants. Tubes were incubated $\left(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~min}\right)$ after which $200 \mu$ of 100 mM citrate buffer $(9.6 \mathrm{~g}$ of citric acid, 4.4 g of NaOH or 14.69 g of sodium citrate salt per 500 ml of $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; adjusted to pH 5.5 with 10 N NaOH , autoclaved). Citrate buffer inhibits readsorption of the phage to bacteria by chelating with $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$, reducing bacterial killing by virulent phage (Miller, 1992). For the selection of the tet ${ }^{R}$ marker, (in the construction of HS180), $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the lysate-citrate buffer mix was plated directly onto LB media containing tetracycline. For
the selection of the $\operatorname{kan}^{\mathrm{R}}$ marker (when $\sigma^{\mathrm{s}}$-dependent lacZ fusions were transduced into a new background), the lysate-citrate buffer mix was incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional hour to allow expression of the marker before plating onto LB-kanamycin plates.

Bacterial conjugation using Hfr-mediated transfer. Bacterial conjugation is a mechanism of DNA transfer from a donor to a recipient cell that involves cell-to-cell contact (reviewed in Willetts and Skurray, 1987). The best known example of genetic transfer is the F -mediated process in $E$. coli. F is a small circular plasmid ( 94.5 kb ) that can replicate autonomously in the cell or integrate into the host chromosome. Encoded on the F plasmid, a series of genes is involved in its conjugative transfer to neighboring cells that begin at a fixed point in a process similar to the rolling circle replication system of $\lambda$ phage (reviewed in Furth and Wickner, 1983). Cell-to-cell contact is mediated through sex pili, threadlike structures present on the donor in numbers of 2-3 per cell. Single-stranded DNA from the F plasmid is transferred through the pili that enters the recipient through a pore in the cell membrane in the $5^{\prime}$ to $3^{\prime}$ direction. The replication system of both the donor and recipient synthesizes the second strand completing the conjugation process (reviewed in Willetts and Skurray, 1987).

When the F plasmid integrates into the host chromosome, it retains its conjugative abilities and allows the transfer of host chromosomal markers into the recipient (Miller, 1992). Purification of this recombinant results in the generation of a population of cells capable of transferring host genetic markers into a recipient in at a high frequency, thus the designation of Hfr for "high frequency of recombination." Each Hfr generated will begin the conjugation process at a specific point in the circular chromosome (depending on the point of integration into the host) and DNA transfer will continue at a fixed order. A collection of Hfr's (with points of transfer at different locations in the $E$. coli chromosome) have been generated and are used extensively for transferring genes from one strain to another. Overall, host chromosomal markers closer to the point of integration will be transferred at a higher frequency than more distally located markers (Miller, 1992). We have employed the use of Hfr KL16 for the transfer of the rpoS::Tn10 mutation into $F^{-}$

Figure 2. Schematic representation of transcriptional and translational lacZ gene fusions to Gene X. (A) A translational fusion is created when the promoterless lacZ gene is expressed from the exogenous promoter ( Px ). Since the lacZ gene does not contain its own Shine-Dalgarno sequence (represented by a black circle), expression of the protein product is dependent on the translational machinery of the target gene, resulting in a hybrid mRNA and hybrid protein. (B) A transcriptional (operon) fusion is created when a promoterless lacZ gene, containing its own Shine-Dalgarno sequence (black circle) is fused in frame to a target gene. Two protein products are produced, a protein from Gene $X$ that may be functional or non-functional, and a functional $\beta$-galactosidase protein.
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recipient strains. DNA transfer for KL16 initiates at approximately 62 ' on the $E$. coli chromosome and transfer continues in a counterclockwise direction.

Thus, the rpoS::Tn10 mutation is introduced shortly after mating.
Construction of HS180. The phenotypic screen for rpoS was based on the dependence of the katE gene on $\sigma^{s}$ expression. E. coli colonies evolve gas bubbles when flooded with hydrogen peroxide due to the activity of catalase HPII. Catalases break down hydrogen peroxide to water and oxygen, thus cells mutated in HPII activity do not evolve oxygen. Since HPII, encoded by katE is largely $\sigma^{s}$-dependent, and since a mutation in $r p o S$ results in reduced katE expression, the presence of $r$ poS was detected by testing for catalase activity. The rpoS13::Tn10 mutation (provided by P. Loewen) was introduced into Hfr KL16 from NC122 (rpoS13::Tn10; katE::lacZ) by P1-mediated transduction. Transductants were selected on media containing tetracycline. Transductant colonies were flooded with $30 \%$ hydrogen peroxide to determine if the rpoS13::Tn10 mutation was transduced successfully. The strain was designated HS180 and is catalase negative. Hfr transfer capabilities of the rpoS::Tn10 construct in HS180 was tested on control strains, NC4468 (katE::lacZ) and MC4100 ( wt , lac ${ }^{-}$). A time-dependent mating ( $0,5,10,20,30,60,120$ min ) was performed to determine the optimal time of incubation required for null allele transfer. Since KL16 begins chromosomal transfer approximately 61 min and $r p o S$ is located at 59 min , transfer of the Tn10 construct was observed to be very efficient. Transconjugants were obtained as early as 1 to 5 min and no changes were seen at subsequent incubation time points past 10 min . The incubation period for transfer of the rpoS null allele was 15 min . All transconjugants, as expected, were catalase negative. The transconjugant of NC4468 also showed reduced $\beta$ galactosidase activity as predicted.

Gene Regulation using lacZ fusions, and the $\lambda$ placMu system. Fusion of promoterless lacZ to bacterial promoters provides a useful tool for studying the regulatory mechanisms of gene expression. The lac operon can be fused to a target promoter in two different ways. Transcriptional (operon) fusions are constructs in which a promoterless lacZ gene, containing its own translational

Figure 3. The $\lambda p l a c M \mathrm{Mu} 53$ system for creating transcriptional lacZ fusions. (A) Mature $\lambda$ placMu53 phage. MuS and cends are represented as black boxes. Bacterial DNA is represented by a wavy line, $\lambda$ sequences by a thin line and lac genes by stripped boxes. When $\lambda$ placMu53 ( $A$ ) enters the bacterial cell, it circularizes $(B)$ and inserts into the correct orientation and reading frame of target Gene $X$ (represented by a thick line) creating a transcriptional fusion (C). lacZ is expressed from the promoter, Px. The kan gene (stippled box) serves as a selectable marker, conferring kanamycin resistance to the bacterial cell when expressed from Px. (Figure is adapted from Bremer et al., 1984.)
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start site, is fused to the open reading frame of the target gene. Expression from the lacZ gene becomes dependent on the proximal promoter, and the level of expression is a proportional measure of the target gene's transcriptional activity. Two protein products result from transcriptional fusions. The truncated target gene product can be either functional or nonfunctional depending on where the lacZ construct is fused (near the $5^{\prime}$ end of the reading frame or the $3^{\prime}$ end). The second protein product is the functional $\beta$-galactosidase protein, encoded by the lacZ gene (Figure 2B). The second type of fusion is translational (protein) fusions, constructs in which lacZ, lacking both its transcriptional and translational initiation signals, is fused in frame to the coding region of a target gene. Expression of the lacZ gene is a direct measure of the proximal transcriptional and translational signals. The result is a hybrid protein where the N -terminus of the target gene is fused to the enzymatically active $\beta$-galactosidase (Figure 2A).

Although several mechanisms have been previously employed, we have used the $\lambda \mathrm{p} / a \mathrm{cMu}$ system for generating lacZ fusions into target genes. $\lambda p l a c M u$, a plaque-forming lac - phage hybrid, is capable of creating transcriptional (Bremer et al., 1985) and translational fusions (Bremer et al., 1984) in a single step. The $\lambda$ phage is flanked by the Mu attachment sites, 117 bp from the $\mathrm{Mu} S$ end (Casadaban and Chou, 1984) and approximately 2.8 kb from the Mu c end (Leathers et al., 1979). These attachment sites allow $\lambda$ placMu, the recA-independent transposition properties characteristic of Mu bacteriophage, provided that the MuA and $B$ genes are present. The MuA and B genes are required for Mu transposition (Toussaint and Resibois, 1983) and are carried on a helper phage that is coinfected with the lacZ fusion generating phage. Insertion of the $\lambda$ placMu into the bacterial chromosome by the Mu transposition mechanism simultaneously generates lacZ fusions to the target gene. Since Mu transposition is generally nonspecific, lacZ fusions to any part of the chromosome can be created. $\lambda p l a c M u$ is temperature resistant and stable, having a transposition frequency of approximately $10^{-8} / \mathrm{CFU}$ as opposed to $10^{-5} / \mathrm{CFU}$ for its predecessor, Mudll301(Ap lac), in the absence of the helper phage (Bremer et al., 1985). It may be noted that $l a c Z$ fusion studies use lac host strains so the appearance of lac ${ }^{+}$colonies is the result of correct insertion of the lacZ containing vehicle.

When mature $\lambda$ placMu is introduced into the bacterial cell, it circularizes and inserts into the bacterial chromosome. If insertion occurs in the correct reading frame and orientation, the lacZ gene will be expressed by the proximal promoter. In this work, we have screened a library of MC4100 lacZ operon fusion mutants created by $\lambda$ placMu53 (a derivative containing a kanamycin marker) and $\lambda \mathrm{pMu507}$ (helper phage) for $\sigma^{s}$-dependency (Figure 3).

Insertion of the rpoS::Tn10 into $F^{\prime}$ recipients. Five thousand independent transcriptional lacZ mutants were picked and stored in microtiter wells containing $200 \mu$ l of LB broth and $15 \%$ glycerol $\left(-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ (Schellhorn and Stones, 1992). These frozen library stocks were slightly thawed and replica-plated into microtiter plates containing fresh LB media supplemented with kanamycin and streptomycin and grown overnight without shaking at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cultures were replica-plated into fresh media (without antibiotics) in microtiter plates the next day and grown to an $\mathrm{OD}(600 \mathrm{~nm})$ of 0.2 on a shaker bed at 200 rpm . An overnight culture of HS180 in LB with tetracycline was grown in parallel and subcultured the next morning into fresh LB (without antibiotics). For bacterial mating, $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of HS180 was inoculated directly into each microtiter well and incubated ( $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$ ). Cells were then replica-plated onto media containing kanamycin, streptomycin, tetracycline and X-gal for the selection of rpoS::Tn10 transconjugants only. Since transfer of HS180 begins at approximately 62 $\min$ in a clockwise direction, there was a possibility that the lacZ $Z^{+}$gene (located at 8 min on the $E$. coli chromosome) from HS180 could be transferred into the lacZ recipient strains. This would not normally be a concern using standard mating procedures since conjugation is interrupted by vortexing. However, the likelihood of conjugal transfer continuing for 50 min is low since conjugal pairs are naturally disrupted over time. This was tested by mating 18 clones of MC4100 with HS180 in microtiter wells. Conjugal transfer was allowed to proceed for 2 h , and without vortexing, cultures were replica-plated onto media selecting for transconjugants. None of the transconjugants received the lacZ ${ }^{+}$allele from the donor strain. Colonies were flooded with $30 \%$ hydrogen peroxide to test for the inactivation of rpoS in the transconjugant.

Figure 4. UV induction of the $\lambda$ prophage. (A) From Figure $3 C$, the $\lambda$ prophage. Upon UV induction (B), a variable number of target gene base pairs from either side of the Mu sequences are excised and the ends recircularized. (C) A thick arrow represents the primer constructed for the Mucend and direction of primer extension. Thin opposing arrows represent the 48 bp inverted sequences of the Mu S end. The 5'--AATACA--3' sequence of the Mu c end was used as a marker to confirm the beginning of the target gene sequences when sequencing the fusion junctions. (Figure is adapted from Roy et al., 1985.)
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Identification of $\sigma^{s}$-dependent lacZ fusion promoters. Excision of the wild-type $\lambda$ prophage by UV induction occurs by inactivation of the repressor of $\lambda$ lytic functions encoded by the cl gene. This inactivation is indirect since it is dependent upon the bacterial recA gene, which, in an irradiated cell, cleaves the $\lambda$ repressor and destroys its ability to bind to the operators. As a result, repression of the prophage is lost and lytic growth begins (Hendrix, 1983). This involves excision from the host chromosome, replication of DNA and the production of phage progeny followed by cell lysis.

UV excision of the $\lambda$ placMu prophage occurs by the same method as wild-type $\lambda$ prophage.
An illegitimate recombination event at variable endpoints takes place, resulting in the recircularization of the phage with a variable length of insert DNA from the target gene attached to the Mu ends (Bremer et al., 1984). Although the size of proximal DNA excised is not known, UV induced $\lambda$ placMu can carry entire genes (Bremer et al., 1984). The number of base pairs excised are also dependent on the packaging of the $\lambda$ head, allowing for the isolation of specialized transducing phages using the $\lambda$ placMu system (Bremer et al., 1984). We used this property for the identification of $\sigma^{s}$-dependent genes by constructing primers to the Mu cend, and sequencing the fusion junctions as described previously (Roy et al., 1995). We have not obtained primers for the MuS end due to a 48 bp inverted repeat preventing efficient primer extension (Roy et al., 1985). A diagrammatic representation of the mechanism of UV induction is seen in Figure 4.

Isolation of $\lambda$ DNA. Isolation of $\lambda$ DNA and sequencing of the fusion junction was performed as previously described with some modifications (Sambrook, 1989).

Preparation of $\lambda$ lysates. Cultures were incubated overnight in 3 ml LB media containing kanamycin and streptomycin. Cells were subcultured the next morning into 50 ml of fresh LB (no antibiotics) at $1 / 10$ dilution and grown to an $\mathrm{OD}(600 \mathrm{~nm})$ of 0.5 . Cultures were transferred into 200 ml polypropylene bottles, centrifuged ( $5000 \mathrm{rpm}, 20 \mathrm{~min}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), and resuspended in 10 ml of 10 mM $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Cultures were evenly distributed in large Petri dishes ( $150 \times 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) and irradiated (under two 15 W germicidal bulbs, 50 cm distance, $7 \mathrm{~s}, 31 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ total fluence, covers off) (Roy et al., 1995). Following irradiation, 5 ml 3 xLL broth (containing per liter, 90 g tryptone, 45 g yeast extract, 45 g $\mathrm{NaCl}, 60 \mathrm{mg}$ of adenine, cytosine, guanine, thymine, adjusted to pH 7.25 ) was added. Irradiated
cultures were incubated with vigorous shaking ( $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3-5$ hours or until cell lysis, 200 rpm ). Lysed cultures were transferred to 100 ml polypropylene tubes, vortexed for 30 s with a few drops of chloroform to lyse the remainder of cells and centrifuged ( $5000 \mathrm{rpm}, 20 \mathrm{~min}$ ) to get rid of cellular debris. Supernates were then transferred to 30 ml Corex tubes and recentrifuged (10 $000 \mathrm{rpm}, 20$ $\mathrm{min})$. The supernatants were titered and the average sample was calculated to be between $10^{5}$ to $10^{6} \mathrm{PFU} / \mathrm{ml}$.

Isolation of $\lambda$ phage. The isolation of phage particles was done using two methods, (I) pelleting phage by ultracentrifugation ( $35000 \mathrm{rpm}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$, using a Beckman SW41Ti rotor) as previously described (Roy et al., 1995) or (ii) polyethylene glycol (PEG) precipitation (working concentration 10\% PEG [m.wt. 8000]; 1 M NaCl ) as described in Sambrook. PEG was added to supernatants, mixed by inversion, and allowed to equilibrate on ice for 30 min . The PEG-phage suspension was centrifuged ( $13000 \mathrm{rpm}, 20 \mathrm{~min}$ ) leaving a white precipitate on the bottom of the tube.

Supernatants were discarded and phage pellets gently washed with distilled deionized water ( $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) and resuspended in $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgSO} . \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ is required for maintaining the structural integrity of the phage head and is recommended to be present when working with, and storing $\lambda$ phage (Arber et al., 1983). RNase was added at a concentration of $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ and incubated in a water bath ( $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, at least 1 h ) to degrade bacterial RNA.

Isolation of $\lambda$ DNA. Standard phenol-chloroform methods were used for the isolation of $\lambda$ DNA as described (Sambrook). Due to its large size (approximately 50 kb ), precautionary measures were taken to prevent its shearing. Microtubes were gently rocked to mix solvents, not vortexed. Tips of pipet tips were removed to prevent shearing and to reduce excessive suction of protein debris separated by the phenol layer. Samples were kept at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and never frozen. An equal volume of Tris-HCl-equilibrated phenol ( $\mathrm{pH}>8.0$ ) was added to the resuspended lysate and gently rocked to digest the phage coat proteins. The sample was centrifuged (13000 rpm, 5 min ) and the top aqueous layer transferred to a new microtube, leaving the protein debris on the surface of the phenol phase undisturbed. Additional drops of $10 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ was added to the phenol and the procedure repeated. This was done to retrieve as much $\lambda$ DNA remaining in the aqueous layer. A
second extraction was done using an equal volume of phenol-chloroform (24:1 ratio of chloroform and isoamyl alcohol) followed by an equal volume of chloroform to remove trace amounts of phenol. Precipitation of DNA was done using $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of ammonium acetate (7.5 M; pH 6.5) and 2 x volume of ice-cold absolute ethanol (Grossberger, 1987). The microtube was kept at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and the DNA pelleted ( $13000 \mathrm{rpm}, 20 \mathrm{~min}$ ). Pellets were washed twice with $70 \%$ ethanol, dried and resuspended in $40 \mu \mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
$\lambda$ DNA was visualized on $0.6 \%$ agarose gel in electrophoresis buffer, TBE ( $0.5 \mathrm{x}: 0.045 \mathrm{M}$ Tris-borate, 0.001 M EDTA) and stained with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ethydium bromide. A sample from a purified $\lambda$ DNA stock ( $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ per run), served as a control. Visualizing the $\lambda$ DNA on gel was necessary since we have experienced constant problems with the presence of excessive amounts of RNA that the RNase digestion procedure could not eliminate. Quantification of DNA and sample purity was measured spectrophotometrically. Readings were taken at $O D(260 \mathrm{~nm})$ to measure nucleic acid. Calculation of DNA concentration was performed, assuming an $\mathrm{OD}(260 \mathrm{~nm})$ of 1.0 corresponding to $50 \mu \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{ml}$ for double-stranded DNA (Sambrook, 1989). An estimate of the purity of nucleic acid was determined by taking the ratio of readings at $\mathrm{OD}(260 \mathrm{~nm})$ (nucleic acid) over $\mathrm{OD}(280 \mathrm{~nm})$ (protein). Acceptable parameters of the $260 \mathrm{~nm} / 280 \mathrm{~nm}$ ratio is between $1.8<x<2.0$.

Contamination with protein or phenol resulted in values significantly less than 1.8. (Sambrook, 1989). An example of the calculation of DNA concentration is as follows:


$$
x=2.63 \mu \mathrm{~g} \times 1000 \text {-fold dilution }
$$

$=2630 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ in $1000 \mu \mathrm{l}$
$\frac{2630 \mu \mathrm{~g}}{1000 \mu \mathrm{l}}=\frac{\mathrm{x} \mu \mathrm{g}}{40 \mu / \mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}}$
$\mathrm{x}=105 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ in $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of ddH2 O
therefore, DNA concentration $=105 \mu \mathrm{~g} / 40 \mu \mathrm{l}=2.63 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{l}$

Sequencing of the fusion junction. Sequencing of the fusion junction was performed by automatic
sequencer (Applied Biosystems Automatic Sequencer), and manually, using the Perkin Elmer Amplicycle, CS' sequencing kit (Roche Molecular Systems, Branchburg, NJ, USA) as specified by the manufacturer. DNA synthesis was primed using a Mu c end primer (5'-

CCCGAATAATCCATGTCCTCCCGG-3') (Roy et al., 1985) 5 ' end-labelled with [ $\alpha-{ }^{35}$ S]dATP (1000 $\mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Cycle sequencing was performed in 25 cycles: $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~s} ; 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~s} ; 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60 \mathrm{~s}$ using a Techne GeneE thermal cycler with heated lid (Techne Incorporated, Princeton, NJ). Approximately 1-2 $\mu \mathrm{g}$ of DNA was sequenced directly.

## Screening for rpos-associated phenotypes.

Apart from sequences obtained from the fusion junctions, phenotypic screening of the recipient lacZ fusion strains were done as a general method to identify mutants that exhibit a known rpoS-associated phenotype. For replica-plate screenings, three clones of each $\sigma^{s}$-dependent lacZ fusions transduced into a new GC4468 background were inoculated into microtiter wells containing LB, kanamycin and streptomycin. Cultures were replica-plated onto plates containing several known inducers of putative $\sigma^{s}$-dependent genes, such as in NaCl and acetate, which is known to induce katE (Schellhorn and Stones, 1992), and under conditions in which rpoS mutants are known to be sensitive.

Starvation induction. Cells were replica-plated onto M9 minimal plates containing $0.4 \%$ glucose (non-starved conditions) and $0.04 \%$ glucose (starvation conditions). Plates were supplemented with streptomycin and X-gal and incubated overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Strain HS143 (rpoS::Tn10) was used as a positive control for starvation induction. GC4468 was used to monitor growth under both starved and non-starved conditions. No appropriate negative control was available.

Acetate induction. Cells were replica-plated onto M9 media (plus $0.4 \%$ glucose) containing 25 $\mathrm{mM}, 50 \mathrm{mM}$ and 75 mM of acetate supplemented with streptomycin, X-gal and $0.4 \%$ glucose. Plates were incubated overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. NC4468 and HS143 were used as positive controls. No appropriate negative controls were used and GC4468 was used to compare growth patterns.

NaCl induction. Cells were replica-plated onto M9 media (plus $0.4 \%$ glucose) containing
streptomycin, X-gal and increasing amounts of NaCl . Concentrations used were $250 \mathrm{mM}, 500 \mathrm{mM}$ and 750 mM . One set of control plates contained no NaCl , and another contained the regular requirement of NaCl in M 9 minimal media $(8.6 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$ or 0.5 g per liter). Plates were incubated overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. NC4468 was use as a positive control and HS143 as a negative control (Schellhorn, unpublished results). GC4468 served as a control to compare growth patterns. Hydrogen peroxide sensitivity. Cells were replica-plated onto LB media containing 0.6 mM and 1.0 mM of hydrogen peroxide. Plates were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight along with a set of control LB plates containing no hydrogen peroxide. GC202 (katG::Tn10), which is known to be sensitive, served as a positive control and GC4468 (wild-type) as a negative control.

Screening for the survival deficient phenotype. Methods were followed as previously described to identify mutants incapable of surviving prolonged periods in starvation (Tormo et al., 1990). For the preliminary screening of all 105 strains in GC4468 background, each mutant was inoculated into 3 ml LB media supplemented with kanamycin and streptomycin in test tubes for long term incubation. Cultures were kept aerated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a wheel at 60 rotations per min. After $\mathbf{7}$ days, 10 $\mu$ l was spread on LB-agar containing the above antibiotics plus X -gal. Strains that exhibited a general decrease in colony counts were selected for dilution studies. Colony counts for the selected strains were obtained for overnight cultures (at $10^{-8}$ and $10^{-7}$ dilutions), and compared with values obtained from 7-day-old cultures (at $10^{-6}$ and $10^{-7}$ dilutions). HS143 and GC4468 were inoculated during both times as positive and negative controls.

Growth-phase-dependent expression of fusion mutants. Growth-phase expression was determined for all 105 recipient ( rpos $^{+}$) and transconjugant (rpoS ${ }^{\circ}$ ) strains in GC4468 background. For the recipients, overnight cultures grown in LB containing kanamycin and streptomycin were subcultured into LB media the next day ( $1 / 500$ dilution) and grown to an $\mathrm{OD}(600 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) no greater than 0.3 . The starting $\mathrm{OD}(600 \mathrm{~nm})$ was 0.03 at the beginning of the experiment. Duplicate samples were taken for $\beta$-galactosidase assay every half-hour for three hours followed by samples every hour for an additional 3 hours, in addition to an overnight sample. The "induction ratio" value was calculated for each as the highest activity in stationary phase divided by the lowest observed in
exponential phase. All mutants exhibited from a two-fold to over 400 -fold induction. Since we did not expect growth-phase-dependent expression of the transconjugants, only two time points were taken, one at $\mathrm{OD}(600 \mathrm{~nm}) 0.3$ (exponential phase) and the second reading at $\mathrm{OD}(600 \mathrm{~nm}) 1.5$ (stationary phase). Several exhibited a more than five-fold induction ratio during entry into stationary phase, a result not completely unexpected since some $\sigma^{s}$-dependent genes are known to require additional factors for their expression. These were further investigated to determine whether they exhibit growth-phase-induction in the absence of $\sigma^{s}$. Additional time points were performed on these $r p o S$ mutants (as for the rpoS ${ }^{+}$recipients) to determine if this was the case.

## RESULTS

## ISOLATION OF $\sigma^{8}$-DEPENDENT FUSION MUTANTS.

To identify $\sigma^{s}$-dependent genes in a random transcriptional fusion library, we compared the levels of $\beta$-galactosidase expression of the fusions in a rpoS ${ }^{+}$background and isogenic rpoS strains. A diagrammatic representation of the screening procedure for the isolation of $\sigma^{s}$ dependent lacZ fusions is depicted in Figure 5. Five thousand $F^{-}$independent transcriptional lacZ fusion mutants were previously generated and stored in microtiter wells (Schellhorn and Stones, 1992). F- strains were replica-plated onto media containing streptomycin, kanamycin, and X-gal as a control for $\beta$-galactosidase comparison. To introduce the rpoS null mutation, strain HS180 was mated with $\mathrm{F}^{-}$recipients in microtiter wells for 30 min and replica-plated onto plates containing the above antibiotics with added tetracycline. To select for the rpoS::Tn10 mutation, transfer of the $r p o S$ null allele was confirmed by testing the resulting rpos transconjugants for catalase activity. Putative $\sigma^{s}$-dependent fusions were identified by comparing the level of $\beta$-galactosidase expression of the fusion between rpos ${ }^{+}$recipients and rpos- transconjugants on LB plates containing X-gal. From this preliminary screen, 479 rpos transconjugants exhibited reduced levels of $\beta$ galactosidase activity compared with the rpos ${ }^{+}$recipients. Recipients were streaked out to check for purity and one colony from each retested for $\sigma^{s}$-dependency. A $\sigma^{s}$-dependent katE::/acZ fusion strain, NC4468, served as a positive control, and a randomly selected $\sigma^{\text {s}}$-independent strain, 13C08 was used as a negative control in subsequent mating procedures. Two-hundred and forty strains were selected as presumptive $\sigma^{s}$-dependent strains after the second mating. Since factors such as plate thickness and media composition made $\beta$-galactosidase activity comparisons difficult for strains not showing significant $\sigma^{\text {s}}$-dependency, both recipients and transconjugants were streaked on the same plate to facilitate comparison. One-hundred and forty $\sigma^{s}$-dependent fusion mutants

Figure 5. Schematic representation of the screening for $\sigma^{\text {s}}$-dependent genes. Recipients ( $R$ ) were selected on LB-agar containing streptomycin, kanamycin and X-gal (SKX). Transconjugants (TCJ) were selected on the same media as the above with the addition of tetracycline (SKTX). TCJ(F3) represents transconjugants transformed with the pMMkatF3 plasmid (p). OD(600 nm) of growth in microtiter wells was not explicitly measured. Density of the cultures was visually approximated.

$5000 \lambda$ placMu53 operon fusions were replica-plated into fresh microtiter wells and grown to an OD (600 nm) of 0.2 .

An overnight culture of HS180 (Hfr rpoS::Tn10) was subcultured into fresh LB and grown to OD (600 nm) of 0.2.


remained after $\beta$-galactosidase comparisons on the same plate, many of which exhibited varying degrees of dependency (consistent with the published literature). Four rpoS ${ }^{+}$strains and their rposderivatives did not evolve oxygen upon the addition of hydrogen peroxide, suggesting that the lacZ fusion may be located within the katE coding region.

To confirm that the decrease in $\beta$-galactosidase activity of transconjugants was due to the rpoS mutation, transconjugants were transformed with pMMkatF3 to test for complementation (Figure 6). Recipients were transformed in parallel, serving as controls for any variation in $\beta$ galactosidase levels due to the presence of the vector. In many cases, the transformed rpos ${ }^{+}$and rpos- strains exhibited higher levels of $\beta$-galactosidase activity than the non transformed derivatives. This may be due to the increased levels of rpoS expression on multicopy plasmids, an observation reported by other investigators (Sak et al., 1989). Complementation of the rposphenotype was determined by observing for the restoration of $\beta$-galactosidase activity and catalase activity (Table 3).

Although the $\lambda$ placMu53 library was generated using a low $\mathrm{MOI}(0.1)$, each putative $\sigma^{\text {s }}$ dependent lacZ fusion was P1 transduced into GC4468 background to ensure the likelihood that each cell contained only one fusion. Six independent (non-purified) transductants of each of the above 132 strains (excluding the eight omitted) were inoculated into microtiter wells and retested for $\sigma^{s}$-dependency in the new background. Strains that exhibited a $\sigma^{s}$-dependent phenotype for all six isolates were restreaked for purity, and three independent colonies inoculated into microtiter wells and retested.

Of the eighty-two mutants in MC4100 background complemented with respect to $\beta$ galactosidase activity and catalase function, only nine were excluded from further studies after transduction into the new background. Many non-complemented mutants, or others that were difficult to handle (eg. did not grow well, could not be complemented or transduced for unknown reasons) were not $\sigma^{s}$-dependent in the new background, suggesting some problems with the lacZ insertion. One-hundred and five mutants showed a distinct $\sigma^{s}$-dependent phenotype after the transduction experiment (Figure 7, Table 3). These strains were studied further (sequencing of

Table 3. Results of transformation with pMMkatF3 in MC4100 background and transduction into GC4468 background.

| Status of Strains | Number of Strains |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MC4100 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | GC4468 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | omitted ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Complemented with respect to $\beta$-galactosidase and HPII activity. These include the four catalase negative recipients. | 82 | 73 | 9 |
| Not complemented with respect to $\beta$-galactosidase or HPII activity. The majority of these strains were difficult to handle in terms of aberrant growth patterns and/or difficulties in transforming. Transformations were repeated at least twice. | 11 | 4 | 7 |
| Exhibited 2 transconjugant phenotypes originating from a purified recipient. Each mating was done at least twice. The basis of this is unknown. | 18 | 11 | 7 |
| Inconclusive due to poor growth of transconjugants or failure to transform. | 21 | 17 | 4 |
| Difficult to determine whether their transconjugants were complemented. Although there were apparent differences between recipient and transconjugant in terms of $\beta$-galactosidase levels, they were too similar for our purposes. These were not considered to be $\sigma^{3}$ dependent and were omitted from further studies. | 8 | ND | ---- |
| Total number of strains remaining | 140 | 105 | 27 |

(ND) represent strains not transduced into GC4468 background. (a) Complementation results of strains in MC4100 background. (b) Number of strains tested to be $\sigma^{s}$-dependent after transduction of lacZ fusions into GC4468 background. (c) Total number of strains omitted after transduction results. These were not tested to be $\sigma^{s}$-dependent in GC4468 background.

Figure 6. Representative complementation of a mutant containing a $\sigma^{s}$-dependent fusion. (A) Three derivatives from strain HS1033 [12A10] are shown here on a LB-agar plate supplemented with streptomycin, kanamycin, and X-gal. The recipient (R), transconjugant (TCJ), and transconjugant transformed with the pMMkatF3 plasmid (TCJ[F3]) were streaked side-by-side to facilitate comparison of $\beta$-galactosidase activity on plate. (B) An enzymatic assay of $\beta$ galactosidase activity was performed on a single overnight colony of the strains, R, TCJ, TCJ[F3], and the recipient strain transformed with the pMMkatF3 plasmid (R[F3]). The enzymatic assay verified the results seen on plates.
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Figure 7. The collection of 105 recipient ( $\mathrm{rpos}^{+}$) and transconjugant (rpos $)$) pairs in GC4468 background. Strains were plated on M9 minimal media supplemented with $0.4 \%$ glucose, $0.05 \%$ thiamine- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{X}$-gal and the antibiotics streptomycin and kanamycin. $\sigma^{s}$-dependent and independent control strains, NC4468 (katE::IacZ) and 13C10, respectively, are on the top row with their rpos • derivatives adjacent. rpos ${ }^{+}$and $r p o S^{-}$derivative pairs are adjacent to one another in rows, starting from the top left. The rpoS status of each column is shown on the top as + (rpoS ${ }^{+}$) and - $\left(r p o S^{-}\right)$. Strain designations are listed on the left and right sides.
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fusion junctions and growth expression studies).

## IDENTIFICATION OF rpos-ASSOCIATED PHENOTYPES.

Replica-plate screenings of the recipients were done as a general method in an attempt to identify qualitatively rpos-associated phenotypes exhibited by mutants as a result of the interrupted genes. Three isolates of each fusion mutant was replica-plated onto several known inducers and grown under conditions to which rpoS mutants are known to be sensitive.

## Amino Acid requirements.

Most of these plate assays used M9 minimal media containing a carbon source with no supplemented amino acids. All mutants grew under these conditions except strain HS1072 that did not grow in minimal media containing only $0.4 \%$ glucose and $0.05 \%$ thiamine-hydrochloride. Growth was observed on LB-agar indicating that a component(s) in LB media is required for viability. Induction of the fusion in HS1072 during carbon starvation and osmotic challenge could not be tested as a result.

## Catalase Activity and Hydrogen Peroxide Sensitivity.

The two genes encoding catalases, katE and katG, both regulated by $\sigma^{s}$, are very different in other respects. The katG gene is induced by an OxyR-dependent mechanism in response to hydrogen peroxide (Christman et al., 1985) and is the most important factor for cellular hydrogen peroxide resistance. The gene katE, on the other hand, is not induced by the presence of hydrogen peroxide but is expressed during entry into stationary phase (Loewen, 1984). HPII was shown to contribute more to catalase levels on agar plates than HPI (Loewen and Triggs, 1984). Thus the screening for catalase deficient mutants are based on either their sensitivity to mutation to hydrogen peroxide (for the isolation of HPI-encoded katG mutants), or reduced gas evolution in colonies flooded with hydrogen peroxide (for the isolation of HPII-encoded katE deficient mutants).

Since $\sigma^{s}$ is known to regulate katE expression at the transcriptional level (Schellhorn and

Hassan, 1988), katG (Mukhopadhyay and Schellhorn, 1994; Ivanova et al., 1994), and dps (pexB) (Almiron et al., 1992) we tested whether any of the fusion mutants were deficient in catalase production or sensitive to hydrogen peroxide. Four fusion mutants (HS1006, HS1007, HS1014, and HS1062) were catalase deficient when flooded with $30 \%$ hydrogen peroxide and thus are presumptive catalase mutants. The controls, NC4468 (katE::/acZ) and HS143 (rpoS::/acZ) failed to evolve gas in relation to the catalase positive wild-type, MC4100. Transformation of the recipient with the plasmid pMMkatF3 did not restore catalase activity but did restore $\beta$-galactosidase expression. Furthermore, transduction of the lacZ fusion into a new background (GC4468) also did not restore catalase activity suggesting that the fusion junction probably lies within the coding region of katE, or an unidentified $\sigma^{s}$-dependent gene affecting catalase activity (Table 5). It should be noted that Dps has only been documented to confer cells resistance to hydrogen peroxide (Almiron et al., 1992). Its role in catalase expression is unknown.

None of the mutants exhibited sensitivity to 0.6 mM hydrogen peroxide. However, six mutants were sensitive to higher concentrations of hydrogen peroxide, including the four that is catalase deficient (Table 5). Strain GC202 (katG::lacZ) was sensitive to both 0.6 and 1.0 mM hydrogen peroxide, a likely indication that none of the fusions lie in katG. Resistance of NC4468 and HS143 to hydrogen peroxide is consistent with the idea that katG is more important for cellular protection against hydrogen peroxide than katE. Although $\sigma^{s}$ is required for the stationary phase expression of katG and $d p s, \mathrm{HPI}$ and Dps-inducible protection against hydrogen peroxide is dependent on OxyR and not on $\sigma^{s}$. Therefore, HS143 (rpos) and NC4468 (katE) are not hydrogen peroxide sensitive. Since the four catalase deficient strains, initially thought to be katE, are sensitive to 1.0 mM hydrogen peroxide, it may suggest other $\sigma^{s}$-dependent factor(s) contribute a role in both catalase activity and resistance to growth on hydrogen peroxide. It is difficult to determine from these results whether one fusion resides in dps since its sensitivity to 1 mM hydrogen peroxide has not been documented. Previous investigators have used concentrations as high as 15 mM . These differences in growth conditions between investigators complicate data comparisons.

## Osmotic Induction.

For the understanding of the osmotic regulation of $\sigma^{s}$-dependent genes, the regulation of rpoS itself in response to osmotic upshift was of considerable interest. The slight induction of rpos expression from a transcriptional fusion was not enough to account for the rapid increase of a subset of its regulon (Hengge-Aronis et al., 1993), some of which include the ots $A B$ regulon (Hengge-Aronis et al., 1991), bolA and osmY (csi-5) (Hengge-Aronis et al., 1993). This was later resolved when observed that osmotic upshift results in the post-transcriptional induction of rpoS (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1994a). Furthermore, using two-dimensional gels, an additional 18 proteins induced under osmotic stress require $\sigma^{s}$ (Hengge-Aronis et al., 1993). To identify fusion mutants induced by osmotic upshift, the strains were replica-plated onto M9 minimal media with increasing sodium chloride concentrations. $\beta$-galactosidase expression was compared with two sets of controls on M9 media, one containing no sodium chloride, and the other containing the regular requirements as specified in Miller ( 8.56 mM ). No differences in $\beta$-galactosidase expression and growth were observed with the two sets of controls. As sodium chloride concentrations increased to 500 mM , growth was compromised. No growth occurred in the presence of 750 mM . Hengge-Aronis and colleagues also observed this trend, reporting that maximal induction of the otsBA and treA genes occurred between salt concentrations of 200 to 400 mM . Concentrations exceeding this amount resulted in a growth reduction and decreased expression (Hengge-Aronis et al., 1991). Induction characteristics were noted after overnight incubation and after another day at room temperature. Strain NC4468 was previously observed to be induced four-fold in the presence of 500 mM sodium chloride (Schellhorn, unpublished results) and was used as a positive control. Induction, however, was not observed during osmotic upshift, which may imply that four-fold induction was not enough to be observed qualitatively on plate assays. Strain HS143 (transcriptional fusion) served as a negative control and was not induced as expected (HenggeAronis et al., 1993), while GC4468 was used to observe for variations in growth patterns. Results in Table 4 show that 43 mutants were repressed by 250 mM NaCl and 13 were induced. One was severely repressed and one exhibited very high levels of induction to the point where the agar
surrounding the colonies was deeply stained. This was observed independently three times.

## Carbon Starvation.

A subset of genes induced during carbon starvation was previously isolated using random lacZ mutagenesis, during which, an allele of rpoS (csi2::lacZ) was identified (Lange and HenggeAronis, 1991a). Further analysis of several csi fusion mutants resulted in a subset identified to be members of the $\sigma^{s}$-regulon (Watchword et al., 1993). To identify members from our $\sigma^{s}$-dependent gene collection that are carbon-starved-inducible, cells were plated onto media containing limiting glucose and compared with plates containing the normal concentration. All the fusion mutants were slightly induced, but 13 exhibited very apparent induction (Table 4). HS143, which served as a positive control, was induced. Strain NC4468 was not induced (since katE is not known to be induced under carbon starvation) and GC4468 served as a control for variations in growth patterns.

## Acetate Induction.

As a by-product of cellular metabolism, acetate has been implicated as a signal for the stationary phase response (reviewed in Tempest, 1987). Reported to accumulate during growth in minimal and LB media (Mukhopadhyay and Schellhorn, 1994), acetate is an inducer of some $\sigma^{s}$ dependent genes, such as katE (Schellhorn and Stones, 1992), katG (Mukhopadhyay and Schellhorn, 1994), and aidB (Volkert et al., 1994). Its involvement in the induction of rpoS itself is still controversial. Some report no apparent induction (Mulvey et al., 1990) while others observe induction (Schellhorn and Stones, 1992). Based on the observation that acetate does induce rpoS expression, we tested its effect on the levels of $\beta$-galactosidase on $\sigma^{s}$-dependent fusion mutants. Cells were replica-plated onto media containing increasing concentrations of acetate. The growth of all mutants was compromised as acetate concentrations increased to 100 mM . Plates containing 50 mM of acetate produced the most reliable results. The expression of the fusions in three mutants was induced while nine were repressed, three of which showed significant decrease in $\beta$ galactosidase activity (Table 4). Both HS143 and NC4468 were not induced, contrary to previously

Table 4. Phenotypic screening of RpoS-dependent lacZ gene fusions in GC4468 background.

| Strain | [location] | Catalase <br> Activity | H2O2 Sensitivity |  | Carbon Starvation | Acetate Induction | Salt Induction |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0.6 mM | 1.0 mM |  |  | 250 mM | 500 mM |  |
| HS1001 | 01 004 | + |  |  | + |  | - | -- |  |
| HS1002 | 01 E 04 | + |  |  | + |  | - | -- |  |
| HS1003 | 01H01 | + |  |  | + |  | - | - |  |
| HS1004 | 02C08 | + |  |  | + |  | - | -- |  |
| HS1005 | 02D11 | + |  |  | + | - | - | - |  |
| HS1006 | 02E11 | - | + | - | + |  |  |  | Sensitive to 1 mM hydrogen peroxide |
| HS1007 | 02E12 | - | + | - | + |  |  |  | Sensitive to 1 mM hydrogen peroxide |
| HS1008 | 02H06 | $+$ |  |  | $+$ | - | - | - |  |
| HS1009 | 03C06 | + |  |  | + |  |  | - |  |
| HS1010 | 03F05 | + |  |  | ++ |  |  | -- |  |
| HS1011 | 04C07 | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| HS1012 | 04D09 | + |  |  | + |  | - | -- |  |
| HS1013 | 04F08 | + |  |  | ++ | - |  | -- |  |
| HS1014 | 05A10 | - | $+$ | - | + |  |  |  | Sensitive to 1 mM hydrogen peroxide |
| HS1015 | 05C08 | + |  |  | ++ |  | - | - |  |
| HS1016 | 06A12 | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| HS1017 | 06C03 | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| HS1018 | 06E01-d | + |  |  | + |  | - |  |  |
| HS1019 | 06E01-1 | + |  |  | + |  | - |  |  |
| HS1020 | 07A08 | + |  |  | $+$ |  | - |  |  |
| HS1021 | 07B07 | + |  |  | ++ |  | - | - |  |
| HS1022 | 07B09 | + |  |  | ++ |  | - | - |  |
| HS1023 | 07D07 | + |  |  | + |  | - |  |  |
| HS1024 | 07E04 | + |  |  | ++ |  |  |  |  |
| HS1025 | 07F09 | + |  |  | + | + | +(NC) | (NC) | 2 TCJ morphologies |
| HS1026 | 07F10 | $+$ |  |  | $+$ | + | $+(\mathrm{NC})$ | (NC) | 2 TCJ morphologies |
| HS1027 | 07 F 11 | + |  |  | + | + | $+(\mathrm{NC})$ | (NC) |  |
| HS1028 | 08H08 | + |  |  | ++ | - | - | - |  |
| HS1029 | $09 \mathrm{B11}$ | + |  |  | + | - | - |  |  |
| HS1030 | $09 \mathrm{C07}$ | + |  |  | + |  | - |  |  |


| Strain | [location] | Catalase Activity | H 2 O 2 Sensitivity |  | Carbon <br> Starvation | Acetate <br> Induction | Salt Induction |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0.6 mM | 1.0 mM |  |  | 250 mM | 500 mM |  |
| HS1031 | 09E10 | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| HS1032 | 09H12 | + |  |  | + |  | + |  |  |
| HS1033 | 12A10 | + |  |  | + |  | - | -- |  |
| HS1034 | 12C09 | + |  |  | + |  | - |  |  |
| HS1035 | 13B08 | + |  |  | + | - | - | - |  |
| HS1036 | 13 C 08 | + |  |  | + |  | - | - |  |
| HS1037 | 14C03 | + |  |  | + |  | - |  |  |
| HS1038 | 14D08 | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| HS1039 | 15G03 | + |  |  | ++ |  |  |  |  |
| HS1040 | 16E03 | + |  |  | + | -- |  |  |  |
| HS1041 | 16 F 07 | + |  |  | + |  |  |  | 2 TCJ morphologies |
| HS1042 | 21804 | + |  |  | + |  | ---- |  |  |
| HS1043 | 21F08 | + |  |  | + | - | -- |  |  |
| HS1044 | 22E03 | + |  |  | + |  |  |  | 2 TCJ morphologies |
| HS1045 | 22F08 | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| HS1046 | 22F09 | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| HS1047 | 22G10 | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| HS1048 | 23 E 01 | + |  |  | + |  | - |  |  |
| HS1049 | 24 B 12 | + |  | +/- | + |  | - |  | 2/3 colonies sensitive to 1 mM hydrogen peroxide |
| HS1050 | 24C07 | + |  |  | $+$ |  | - |  |  |
| HS1051 | 25A11 | + |  |  | +++ |  | ++ | (NC) |  |
| HS1052 | 25B04 | + |  |  | +++ |  | - | -- |  |
| HS1053 | 26A06 | + |  |  | + |  | - | -- |  |
| HS1054 | 26E05 | + |  |  | + |  | +(NC) | (NC) |  |
| HS1055 | 28 F 07 | + |  |  | + |  | +(NC) | (NC) |  |
| HS1056 | 29G11 | + |  |  | + |  |  |  | $2 / 3$ colonies sensitive to 1 mM hydrogen peroxide |
| HS1057 | 31B04 | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| HS1058 | 31809 | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| HS1059 | 31D07-d | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| HS1060 | 31D07-1 | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| HS1061 | 31F08-n | + |  |  | + | - | - | --- |  |


| Strain | [location] | Catalase Activity | H 2 O 2 Sensitivity |  | Carbon <br> Starvation | Acetate Induction | Salt Induction |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0.6 mM | 1.0 mM |  |  | 250 mM | 500 mM |  |
| HS1062 | 31F09 | - | $+$ | - | + |  |  |  | Sensitive to 1 mM hydrogen peroxide |
| HS1063 | $32 \mathrm{D07}$ | $+$ |  |  | +++ |  | - |  |  |
| HS1064 | 32D11 | $+$ |  |  | $+$ |  | - | -- |  |
| HS1065 | $33 \mathrm{B07}$ | $+$ |  |  | $+$ |  | +++ | (NC) |  |
| HS1066 | $33 \mathrm{C09}$ | $+$ |  |  | $+$ |  |  | - |  |
| HS1067 | 34C08-n | $+$ |  |  | $+$ |  | - |  |  |
| HS1068 | 34C08-m | $+$ |  |  | $+$ |  | - |  |  |
| HS1069 | 34E11 | $+$ |  |  | $+$ |  |  |  |  |
| HS1070 | 35B06 | $+$ |  |  | $+$ |  | -- | -- |  |
| HS1071 | 35B09 | $+$ |  |  | $+$ |  |  |  |  |
| HS1072 | 35 C 12 | + |  |  | $+$ |  |  |  | no growth on M9 minimal media |
| HS1073 | 35F12 | $+$ |  |  | ++ |  |  | -- |  |
| HS1074 | 35G05 | $+$ |  |  | $+$ |  | - | --- |  |
| HS1075 | 35G11 | $+$ |  |  | ++ |  | -- |  |  |
| HS1076 | 35G12 | $+$ |  |  | ++ |  |  |  |  |
| HS1077 | 36 A05 | $+$ |  |  | $+$ |  |  | --- |  |
| HS1078 | 36 A 11 | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| HS1079 | $36 \mathrm{B03}$ | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| HS1080 | $36 \mathrm{B07}$ | $+$ |  |  | $+$ | - | - |  |  |
| HS1081 | 36G06 | $+$ |  |  | $+$ |  |  |  |  |
| HS1082 | 39 C 08 | $+$ |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| HS1083 | 39 E 02 | $+$ |  |  | $+$ |  | $+$ | (NC) | 2 TCJ morphologies |
| HS1084 | 39 E 12 | $+$ |  |  | $+$ |  | - |  |  |
| HS1085 | 39 F 11 | $+$ |  |  | $+$ |  |  |  |  |
| HS1086 | 39 H 08 | $+$ |  |  | $+$ |  | - |  |  |
| HS1087 | 41F10 | $+$ |  |  | $+$ |  |  |  |  |
| HS1088 | $42 \mathrm{B07}$ | $+$ |  |  | $+$ |  |  |  |  |
| HS1089 | 42 H 10 | $+$ |  |  | $+$ |  | - |  |  |
| HS1090 | 43E02 | $+$ |  |  | $+$ |  | - |  |  |
| HS1091 | 43G05-d | $+$ |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| HS1092 | 43G05-1 | $+$ |  |  | + |  | - |  |  |
| HS1093 | 43G11 | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |


| Strain | [location] | Catalase Activity | H 2 O 2 Sensitivity |  | Carbon <br> Starvation | Acetate Induction | Salt Induction |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0.6 mM | 1.0 mM |  |  | 250 mM | 500 mM |  |
| HS1094 | 44A08 | + |  |  | + |  | ++ |  |  |
| HS1095 | 44C09 | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| HS1096 | 45B11 | + |  |  | + |  | ++++ |  | very dark blue ring around colony on NaCl plates |
| HS1097 | 45F04 | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| HS1098 | 46A08 | + |  |  | + |  | ++ |  |  |
| HS1099 | 46D12 | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| HS1100 | $47 \mathrm{B12}$ | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| HS1101 | 47D08 | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| HS1102 | 48B01-n | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| HS1103 | 48B01-m | + |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| HS1104 | 48E04 | + |  |  | + |  | + |  |  |
| HS1105 | 49 F 03 | + |  |  | + |  |  |  | 2 TCJ morphologies |

(TCJ) represents transconjugants. (NC) represents no observable change. [location] is the strain designation identifying the location of the strain in the lacZ fusion library. Observations for osmotic induction at 500 mM was taken after a two-day incubation. Cells were incubated overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after which plates were allowed to sit at room temperature for an additional 24 hours. Colonies replica-plated onto plates limited for carbon exhibited a bluish-tint relative to control plates containing the regular requirement of carbon. This made comparison relatively difficult since all fusions appeared to be induced.
published data (Schellhorn and Stones, 1992). Several reasons may account for this. The two-fold induction documented for rpoS may not be detected qualitatively, however, a six-fold induction of the katE reporter fusion (Schellhorn and Stones, 1992) should be observed. Secondly, the plate assay for acetate induction is not the same method used previously (Schellhorn and Stones, 1992), which may account for the lack of observable induction for the katE gene fusion. As with other experiments involving qualitative fusion induction on plates, not all cells may be exposed to the same concentrations of the inducer, and the method can only detect several magnitudes of induction.

## Screening for the sur phenotype.

The sur (A-D) genes, initially identified by random lacZ mutagenesis, are not required for growth at logarithmic phase but are essential for long-term stationary phase survival (Tormo et al., 1990). Little is known about the physiological function of surC and surD, but surA and surB have been studied in detail. The surB mutation results in a phenotype similar to a temperature-sensitive strain; cells fail to form colonies at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after prolonged incubation but can grow at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Siegele et al., 1993). In contrast, cells that carry a mutation in surA are incapable of surviving prolonged periods in starvation, since lethality is observed within four to five days of incubation (Tormo et al., 1990). Other than their stationary phase-specific function, the relationship between rpoS and sur became apparent when demonstrated that rpos` mutants exhibit the sur phenotype (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1991a). Their screening procedure involved incubating 20000 random insertion mutants at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and testing for viability over several days by spot plating $10 \mu$ of culture onto LB medium containing the appropriate antibiotics. Since this initial screening does not use serial dilutions, only mutations leading to a decrease of several orders of magnitude can be detected. Our initial screening for the sur phenotype followed a similar procedure except $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of a seven-day-old culture was spread onto the surface of the plate. Twenty-three cultures showed a slight decrease in colony counts. However, using the criteria of selection of Tormo and colleagues, none of the mutants would be considered a sur mutant. Their results from quantitative serial dilutions of

Figure 8. Screening of the sur phenotype. Twenty-three strains observed to be reduced in their ability to survive long-term starvation were selected for serial dilution studies. GC4468 and HS143, along with two strains that did not exhibit defects in long-term growth (HS1019 and HS1103) were assayed as controls. A subset of the results is graphed as an example of the trend seen throughout.
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sur mutants show that only a few hundreds of cells remained per ml after five days of incubation, a number that is easily counted on a single plate. Nonetheless, to confirm our results, the twenty-four recipients that appeared to show a reduction in growth were retested using quantitative serial dilutions. Duplicate counts of cells of overnight cultures were compared with 7-day-old cultures (Figure 8). All the strains, including a GC4468 control showed a 10 -fold decrease from $10^{-8}$ cells in overnight cultures to $10^{-7}$ after seven days of incubation. HS143, however, exhibited a 100-fold decrease, from $10^{-8}$ to $10^{-6}$, a viability loss that is consistent with published data (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1991a).

## Growth-phase expression curves of lacZ isogenic rpoS${ }^{+}$and rpoS` strains.

Since rpoS is a stationary phase sigma factor known to be responsible for the growth phase induction of many members of its regulon, the growth-phase-expression curves of the rpoS ${ }^{+}$ and rpos' fusion mutants were determined. Results showed that although the pattern of induction varied between strains (consistent with the diversity of expression within members of the regulon) a general trend was observed. The majority of strains exhibited induction at approximately $O D(600$ $\mathrm{nm}) 0.3$ and increased steadily to differing maximum levels in stationary phase. The range of the induction ratio (calculated as the ratio of the maximum levels of $\beta$-galactosidase attained in stationary phase and the minimum levels in exponential phase) varied between two-fold to over 400 -fold, as determined from results of 101 recipients. The plot of induction ratios on a scale in ascending order did not reveal any particular subset to which growth-phase-dependent expression of rpos ${ }^{+}$strains can be categorized (Figure 9B).
rpos' strains also exhibited varying induction ratios, ranging from less than one-fold to over 5-fold. From the 101 transconjugants assayed, 64 exhibited less than two-fold induction, 19 of which showed higher levels of $\beta$-galactosidase activity in exponential phase than in stationary phase, suggesting that the rpoS mutation completely abolished expression from the fusion. An induction ratio of less than one may suggest that during exponential phase, basal levels of the gene may be sustained by another regulator (eg. $\sigma^{70}$ ) and the decrease into stationary phase is a result

Figure 9. $\sigma^{s}$-dependency and induction ratios. (A) Values of $\sigma^{s}$-dependency of the lacZ fusion mutants over the number of strains are plotted in ascending order. (B) The induction ratios of both $r p o S^{+}$(recipients) and rpoS- (transconjugants) are plotted similarly to identify any subsets or categories of gene expression.
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of the degradation of preexisting protein due to the absence of $\sigma^{s}$ that is responsible for stationary phase induction of the gene. More likely, lower $\beta$-galactosidase levels in stationary phase are due to the diluting out of residual activity during the start of the experiment. Nonetheless, these results suggest that the rpoS mutation completely abolished expression from the fusion junction. Twentythree strains had induction ratios between two and five-fold and 15 strains exhibited over five-fold induction (Table 5). As with the rpos ${ }^{+}$strains, the induction ratios of rpos derivatives when plotted in ascending order did not reveal any observable categories (Figure 9C).

For rpos-derivatives that were significantly induced, the question was addressed whether their expression was growth-phase-dependent without $\sigma^{\text {s }}$. Strains that exhibited over five-fold induction in a rpos- background were reassayed with the complete range of time points as used for the recipients. Recipients were also reassayed as a control. Results obtained for 12 of the 15 strains showed growth-phase-dependence of the gene fusions in a rpos- background, implicating other factors involved in growth-phase expression other than $\sigma^{s}$ (Figure 10). Curiously, the quantitative induction ratio values of both the reassayed recipients and transconjugants were different from the values of the results obtained in the first induction study (Appendix D). The reasons for these discrepancies are unknown but several possibilities are outlined in the Discussion. It should be noted that although numerical values may appear very different, nine of the 12 recipients reassayed showed similar patterns of induction when observed graphically.

To determine the $\sigma^{s}$-dependency of the fusions, the maximum level of $\beta$-galactosidase activity attained by rpos+ strains was divided by the maximum level achieved by the rpos' strains $\left[R_{\max }(s) / T C J_{\max }(s)\right]$. Values obtained correlated well with qualitative values observed on plates, but only for rpos ${ }^{+}$and $r p o S^{-}$pairs that were very similar in activity levels, and $r p o S^{-}$strains which appeared to have a high level of $\beta$-galactosidase activity (Compare values in Table 6 with observations in Appendix B). The $\sigma^{s}$-dependency values for 96 of the 105 strains varied between 1.5 to 55.8 units (Figure 6), but no apparent categories exist (Figure 9A). $\beta$-galactosidase activity assayed on LB plates is a quantitative assay. Though the variation in color difference between $r p o S^{-}$strains are more obvious, the levels between $r p o S^{+}$strains are not. This is because the range

Table 5. Summary of induction ratios of rpoS+ and isogenic rpoS- strains and values of RpoS-dependency.

| Strain | [Location] | Recipients (rpoS + ) |  |  | Transconjugants (rpos-) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | B-galactosidase |  | Ind | B-galactosidase |  | Ind | Rpos |
|  |  | [min] | [max] | Ratio | [min] | [max] | Ratio | Dep |
| HS1001 | 01D04 | 36.7 | 168.0 | 4.6 | 5.7 | 4.3 | 0.8 | 39.34 |
| HS1002 | 01E04 | 35.1 | 187.0 | 5.3 | 8.1 | 5.1 | 0.6 | 36.90 |
| HS1003 | 01H01 | 15.1 | 67.2 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 9.7 | 1.8 | 6.90 |
| HS1004 | 02C08 | 15.2 | 62.4 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 9.4 | 2.7 | 6.61 |
| HS1005 | 02D11 | 14.9 | 101.3 | 6.8 | 1.9 | 14.4 | 7.4 * | 7.04 |
| HS1006 | 02E11 | 0.8 | 178.9 | 223.6 | 1.9 | 4.4 | 2.3 | 40.35 |
| HS1007 | 02E12 | 0.9 | 112.9 | 125.4 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 34.44 |
| HS1008 | 02H06 | 0.8 | 144.0 | 180.0 | 1.7 | 9.2 | 5.6 * | 15.62 |
| HS1009 | $03 \mathrm{CO6}$ | 1.5 | 203.1 | 135.4 | 2.3 | 8.7 | 3.7 | 23.44 |
| HS1010 | 03 F 05 | 0.9 | 393.0 | 436.7 | 1.4 | 12.3 | 8.9 * | 31.97 |
| HS1011 | 04C07 | 15.9 | 241.9 | 15.2 | 16.8 | 20.5 | 1.2 | 11.83 |
| HS1012 | 04D09 | 4.4 | 85.5 | 19.4 | 6.8 | 9.9 | 1.5 | 8.63 |
| HS1013 | 04 F 08 | 1.1 | 45.6 | 41.5 | 1.1 | 5.5 | 5.0 * | 8.28 |
| HS1014 | 05A10 | 1.9 | 130.0 | 68.4 | 0.7 | 3.1 | 4.3 | 42.41 |
| HS1015 | 05C08 | 0.6 | 56.2 | 93.7 | 1.8 | 5.0 | 2.8 | 11.31 |
| HS1016 | 06A12 | 15.4 | 135.0 | 8.8 | 51.8 | 24.6 | 0.5 | 5.49 |
| HS1017 | $06 \mathrm{C03}$ | 23.9 | 123.4 | 5.2 | 49.6 | 26.3 | 0.5 | 4.69 |
| HS1018 | 06E01-d | 9.1 | 143.5 | 15.8 | 13.5 | 18.5 | 1.4 | 7.77 |
| HS1019 | 06E01-1 | 8.7 | 101.8 | 11.7 | 10.6 | 13.0 | 1.2 | 7.81 |
| HS1020 | 07A08 | 32.3 | 94.9 | 2.9 | 59.5 | 64.7 | 1.1 | 1.47 |
| HS1021 | $07 \mathrm{B07}$ | ND | ND | ND | 1.6 | 4.1 | 2.6 | ND |
| HS1022 | 07B09 | 0.7 | 47.3 | 67.6 | - 1.7 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 15.96 |
| HS1023 | 07 D 07 | 33.8 | 152.2 | 4.5 | 60.5 | 45.4 | 0.7 | 3.36 |
| HS1024 | 07E04 | 0.6 | 95.0 | 158.3 | 1.0 | 11.1 | 10.6 * | 8.53 |
| HS1025 | $07 \mathrm{F09}$ | 9.9 | 337.4 | 34.1 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| HS1026 | 07F10 | 10.2 | 400.2 | 39.2 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| HS1027 | 07 F 11 | 12.5 | 241.9 | 19.4 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| HS1028 | 08H08 | 7.1 | 85.6 | 12.1 | 13.1 | 25.5 | 2.0 | 3.35 |
| HS1029 | $09 \mathrm{B11}$ | 1.2 | 158.2 | 131.8 | 2.0 | 7.0 | 3.5 | 22.53 |
| HS1030 | $09 \mathrm{C07}$ | 3.5 | 137.2 | 39.2 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 42.21 |
| HS1031 | 09E10 | 1.1 | 170.5 | 155.0 | 1.5 | 11.4 | 7.6 * | 14.90 |
| HS1032 | 09 H 12 | 8.7 | 140.0 | 16.1 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 1.0 | 9.58 |
| HS1033 | 12A10 | 0.8 | 48.3 | 60.4 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 24.21 |
| HS1034 | 12C09 | 1.5 | 73.9 | 49.3 | 1.1 | 10.2 | 9.4 * | 7.27 |
| HS1035 | $13 \mathrm{B08}$ | 0.7 | 121.5 | 173.6 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 52.92 |
| HS1036 | $13 \mathrm{C08}$ | 3.0 | 93.1 | 31.0 | 3.3 | 7.6 | 2.3 | 12.23 |
| HS1037 | $14 \mathrm{C03}$ | 0.3 | 64.3 | 214.3 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 6.6 * | 23.66 |
| HS1038 | 14D08 | 0.3 | 64.7 | 215.7 | 7.1 | 13.0 | 1.8 | 4.97 |
| HS1039 | 15G03 | 3.2 | 89.0 | 27.8 | 4.6 | 13.7 | 3.0 | 6.51 |
| HS1040 | 16 E 03 | 0.7 | 127.0 | 181.4 | 18.3 | 7.9 | 0.4 | 16.14 |
| HS1041 | $16 \mathrm{F07}$ | 1.7 | 110.8 | 65.2 | 4.0 | 12.0 | 3.0 | 9.25 |
| HS1042 | 21B04 | 2.3 | 106.2 | 46.2 | 6.6 | 7.9 | 1.2 | 13.44 |
| HS1043 | 21 F08 | 0.9 | 59.9 | 66.6 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 11.90 |


| Strain | [Location] | Recipients (rpoS + ) |  |  | Transconjugants (rpoS-) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | B-galactosidase |  | Ind <br> Ratio | B-galactosidase |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ind } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RpoS } \\ \text { Dep } \end{array}$ |
|  |  | [min] | [max] |  | [min] | [max] |  |  |
| HS1044 | 22E03 | 4.5 | 86.4 | 19.2 | 16.5 | 18.4 | 1.1 | 4.69 |
| HS1045 | 22F08 | 8.9 | 88.7 | 10.0 | 15.1 | 15.9 | 1.1 | 5.59 |
| HS1046 | 22F09 | 7.6 | 171.8 | 22.6 | 6.8 | 7.5 | 1.1 | 23.00 |
| HS1047 | 22G10 | 1.4 | 61.3 | 43.8 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 48.73 |
| HS1048 | 23 E 01 | 2.6 | 79.8 | 30.7 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 41.35 |
| HS1049 | 24812 | 2.4 | 87.6 | 36.5 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 32.93 |
| HS1050 | 24C07 | 3.7 | 37.7 | 10.2 | 5.5 | 6.2 | 1.1 | 6.13 |
| HS1051 | 25A11 | 2.4 | 96.2 | 40.1 | 7.5 | 9.0 | 1.2 | 10.75 |
| HS1052 | 25B04 | 2.9 | 103.4 | 35.7 | 3.0 | 8.0 | 2.7 | 12.94 |
| HS1053 | 26A06 | 1.0 | 79.5 | 79.5 | 2.3 | 5.2 | 2.2 | 15.22 |
| HS1054 | 26 E 05 | 11.3 | 305.2 | 27.0 | 6.1 | 7.0 | 1.1 | 43.52 |
| HS1055 | 28F07 | 14.2 | 253.8 | 17.9 | 11.9 | 19.4 | 1.6 | 13.07 |
| HS1056 | $29 \mathrm{G11}$ | 3.7 | 217.4 | 58.8 | 6.8 | 9.1 | 1.3 | 24.02 |
| HS1057 | 31804 | 4.3 | 349.1 | 81.2 | 3.6 | 18.3 | 5.1 | 19.06 |
| HS1058 | 31809 | 4.6 | 487.9 | 106.1 | 4.2 | 20.7 | 4.9 | 23.62 |
| HS1059 | 31D07-d | 5.1 | 163.4 | 32.0 | 2.3 | 6.5 | 2.8 | 25.10 |
| HS1060 | 31 D07-1 | 5.7 | 127.3 | 22.3 | 1.7 | 10.1 | 5.8 | 12.65 |
| HS1061 | 31 F08-n | 1.0 | 142.7 | 142.7 | 1.1 | 9.9 | 8.8 | 14.41 |
| HS1062 | 31 F09 | 0.7 | 89.2 | 127.4 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 37.73 |
| HS1063 | 32D07 | 1.2 | 37.9 | 31.3 | 1.1 | 7.1 | 6.3 | 5.38 |
| HS1064 | 32D11 | 10.1 | 64.3 | 6.4 | 8.9 | 14.3 | 1.6 | 4.49 |
| HS1065 | $33 \mathrm{B07}$ | 2.9 | 17.6 | 6.1 | 7.3 | 11.2 | 1.5 | 1.57 |
| HS1066 | 33C09 | 13.8 | 59.0 | 4.3 | 13.5 | 20.1 | 1.5 | 2.94 |
| HS1067 | 34C08-n | 1.4 | 42.1 | 30.1 | . 1.4 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 18.41 |
| HS1068 | 34C08-m | 1.1 | 36.0 | 32.7 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 17.23 |
| HS1069 | 34 E 11 | 6.1 | 402.4 | 66.0 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 1.1 | 73.03 |
| HS1070 | 35B06 | 6.7 | 54.7 | 8.2 | 26.6 | 16.7 | 0.6 | 3.29 |
| HS1071 | 35B09 | 4.7 | 97.2 | 20.7 | 4.0 | 7.0 | 1.7 | 13.97 |
| HS1072 | 35 C 12 | ND | ND | ND | 28.1 | 21.4 | 0.8 | ND |
| HS1073 | 35F12 | 1.2 | 58.0 | 48.3 | 3.3 | 6.0 | 1.8 | 9.68 |
| HS1074 | 35G05 | 2.4 | 65.4 | 27.3 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 1.2 | 15.66 |
| HS1075 | 35G11 | 2.3 | 64.5 | 28.0 | 2.0 | 10.6 | 5.3 | 6.09 |
| HS1076 | 35G12 | 1.9 | 146.0 | 76.8 | 2.3 | 5.3 | 2.3 | 27.68 |
| HS1077 | 36A05 | 1.0 | 338.2 | 338.2 | 2.9 | 12.7 | 4.4 | 26.55 |
| HS1078 | 36A11 | 8.4 | 83.5 | 9.9 | 10.5 | 13.9 | 1.3 | 6.01 |
| HS1079 | $36 \mathrm{B03}$ | 4.9 | 69.5 | 14.2 | 6.8 | 15.8 | 2.3 | 4.40 |
| HS1080 | $36 \mathrm{B07}$ | 1.4 | 61.3 | 43.8 | 92.6 | 29.2 | 0.3 | 2.10 |
| HS1081 | 36G06 | 1.4 | 110.4 | 78.9 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 55.76 |
| HS1082 | $39 \mathrm{C08}$ | 2.5 | 170.0 | 68.0 | 9.0 | 8.7 | 1.0 | 19.65 |
| HS1083 | 39 E 02 | 16.6 | 429.1 | 25.8 | 10.7 | 28.3 | 2.7 | 15.16 |
| HS1084 | 39 E 12 | 1.7 | 108.2 | 63.6 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 1.2 | 18.06 |
| HS1085 | 39 F 11 | 2.7 | 326.3 | 120.9 | 8.9 | 10.9 | 1.2 | 30.01 |
| HS1086 | 39H08 | 1.7 | 61.7 | 36.3 | 9.3 | 8.4 | 0.9 | 7.31 |
| HS1087 | 41F10 | 1.3 | 109.9 | 84.5 | 2.8 | 7.6 | 2.7 | 14.42 |
| HS1088 | 42B07 | 1.0 | 64.0 | 64.0 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 24.24 |
| HS1089 | 42H10 | 2.3 | 248.2 | 107.9 | 3.3 | 6.3 | 1.9 | 39.21 |


| Strain | [Location] | Recipients (rpoS + ) |  |  | Transconjugants (rpos-) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | B-galactosidase |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ind } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ | B-galactosidase |  | Ind Ratio | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RpoS } \\ \text { Dep } \end{array}$ |
|  |  | [min] | [max] |  | [min] | [max] |  |  |
| HS1090 | 43 E 02 | 5.8 | 114.9 | 19.8 | 7.8 | 7.4 | 1.0 | 15.47 |
| HS1091 | 43G05-d | 14.5 | 775.8 | 53.5 | 6.8 | 9.5 | 1.4 | 81.75 |
| HS1092 | 43G05-1 | 0.8 | 104.7 | 130.9 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 43.38 |
| HS1093 | 43G11 | 2.2 | 123.3 | 56.0 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 51.29 |
| HS1094 | 44A08 | 2.8 | 191.3 | 68.3 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| HS1095 | $44 \mathrm{C09}$ | 19.5 | 206.8 | 10.6 | 12.9 | 7.1 | 0.5 | 29.12 |
| HS1096 | 45B11 | 11.4 | 423.4 | 37.1 | 7.2 | 8.7 | 1.2 | 48.61 |
| HS1097 | 45F04 | ND | ND | ND | 6.5 | 10.6 | 1.6 | ND |
| HS1098 | 46A08 | 3.4 | 259.2 | 76.2 | 1.8 | 8.1 | 4.5 | 31.84 |
| HS1099 | 46D12 | 27.0 | 236.1 | 8.7 | 37.7 | 20.6 | 0.5 | 11.47 |
| HS1100 | 47B12 | 9.7 | 78.5 | 8.1 | 11.9 | 23.7 | 2.0 | 3.31 |
| HS1101 | 47D08 | 3.2 | 115.1 | 36.0 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 38.90 |
| HS1102 | 48B01-n | ND | ND | ND | 6.6 | 4.6 | 0.7 | ND |
| HS1103 | 48B01-m | 4.4 | 57.6 | 13.1 | 7.4 | 4.8 | 0.7 | 11.90 |
| HS1104 | 48 E 04 | 17.1 | 442.6 | 25.9 | 34.7 | 24.9 | 0.7 | 17.76 |
| HS1105 | 49F03 | 4.3 | 200.7 | 46.7 | 20.6 | 36.1 | 1.8 | 5.55 |
| ND | Assays not done. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 10. Growth-phase-dependent expression of lacZ fusion mutants in a rpos- background. Graphs were constructed for 12 of 15 rpos - strains with an induction ratio greater than 5.0. Raw data of graphs are in Appendix C.
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of activity versus color change is near the saturation point. In other words, two rpos ${ }^{+}$strains may appear to have the same level of $\beta$-galactosidase activity on plates but may be several fractions off when measured enzymatically. Dark blue versus blue is harder to detect than distinguishing the difference between white and light blue. This may account for the reason for a higher correlation between low $\sigma^{s}$-dependence with rpoS* that has a high level of $\beta$-galactosidase activity. Varying the concentrations of X -gal in the media might aid in distinguishing between the levels of seen $\beta$ galactosidase.

## IDENTIFICATION OF FUSION JUNCTIONS BY SEQUENCING.

The preparation of $\lambda$ DNA was done as described in Materials and Methods. $\lambda$ DNA was first visualized on an agarose gel to check for bacterial RNA contamination, cut by restriction endonuclease and quantified spectrophotometrically. Ten fusion junctions were sequenced, seven manually and three (HS1028, HS1038, and HS1001) by automatic sequencer. The Mu c end vector sequences, 5'-AATACA-3' (Figure 4) was confirmed for all sequences and the data compared with published $E$. coli sequences (Genbank). Six mapped to open reading frames coding for proteins of unknown function and two did not map to any known region in the $E$. coli genome. Two fusion junctions map to recently identified genes, $I d c C$, coding for a constitutively expressed lysine decarboxylase, and talB, encoding a transaldolase homologue. A summary of the results is listed in Table 6 and a diagrammatic representation of the location of the fusion junctions in the $E$. coli chromosome is reproduced from published literature in Figure 11. Alignment of sequences from the Genbank searches showed that submitted sequences were not perfectly matched to the corresponding regions in the $E$. coli chromosome. Although some matches were adequate, some would require resequencing of the fusion junction. Submitted sequences and alignment to their corresponding region in the $E$. coli chromosome are in Appendix $E$.

The lacZ fusion constructs were designated "rsd" for rpos-dependent fusions and parallels the name of the strain from which it originated. Of the ten sequenced, seven were mapped to putative open reading frames (ORF's) coding for proteins whose functions are currently unknown.

Strains HS1001 and HS1002 are mapped to the same ORF in the chromosome. ORF-o69 codes for a protein of 69 amino acids of unknown function. Residing downstream from the dinF and lexA genes, it is located at approximately 92 min . A putative promoter sequence is found 209 bp upstream of the translational start site within the 3 ' end of the dinF coding region. rsd038 (from strain HS1038) is mapped to ORF-o394, a region similar to an unidentified open reading frame near 47 min on the $E$. coli chromosome. A putative promoter is located 123 bp upstream from the translational start site.
rsd028 from strain HS1028 is mapped to a region spanning two open reading frames and a repetitive DNA sequence is located between. ORF-o361 is the upstream open reading frame and ORF-f500 resides further downstream. Since the sequenced fusion junction reads from the opposite orientation, the $\sigma^{s}$-dependent function is probably the downstream ORF-f500.
rsd042 maps to an open reading frame ORF-f234, oriented in the reverse direction, residing 229 bp downstream of a putative promoter region. Another 476 bp upstream of this promoter is a predicted bend in the DNA structure, a possible determinant for $\sigma^{5}$ recognition (Espinosa-Urgel and Tormo, 1993). The lacZ fusion of strain HS1077 is mapped to ORF-0394, and is under control of its own promoter.
rsd009 (from strain HS1009) is mapped to a gene talB located at 0.2 min, encoding a recently isolated transaldolase homolog of the yeast talA gene (Horecker and Smyrniotis, 1955). The protein is 317 amino acids long, and possibly forms a dimer with identical subunits of molecular mass 35 kDa (Sprenger et al., 1995). Little information is known of this newly characterized gene and protein product in $E$. coli. The gene resides upstream of dnaK and downstream of the thrC gene.

Strain rsd004 (from strain HS1004) mapped to a recently identified IdcC gene encoding a lysine decarboxylase. IdcC resides downstream from the accA gene that encodes a subunit of acetyl-CoA carboxylase (Li and Cronan, 1993). A rho-independent transcriptional terminator is located between the two genes and no putative $I d c C$ promoter region was identified. Expression from the ldcC gene is thought to be constitutively expressed. This proposal is inconsistent with our
current observations since results from growth-phase expression curves (Appendix A) suggests that rsd004 in HS1004 is growth-phase induced, with an induction ratio of 4.1 in a rpos ${ }^{+}$background (Table 5). Thus we propose that since accA is growth-phase induced (Li and Cronan, 1993), the transcriptional terminator between the two adjacent genes may be leaky, resulting in the growthphase expression of $/ d c C$.

Table 6. Summary of results from the sequencing of selected fusion junctions.

| Fusion ${ }^{2}$ | Strain ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $P(N)^{c}$ <br> Probability | Map location (min) | $N T^{\text {d }}$ | Sequence Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rsd001 | HS1001 (01D04) | $6.9 \times 10^{-8}$ | 92 | 124461 | orf 069 downstream from lexA, dinF genes |
| rsd002 | HS1002 (01E04) | $1.0 \times 10^{-15}$ | 92 | 124482 | orf 069 downstream from lexA, dinF genes |
| rsd004 | HS1004 (02C08) | $1.2 \times 10^{-15}$ | 4.3 | 2985 | gene IdcC-encoding a lysine decarboxylase similar to lysine decarboxylase cadA gene |
| rsd009 | HS1009 (03C06) | $6.4 \times 10^{-20}$ | 0.2 | 8244 | talB gene encoding a transaldolase |
| rsd028 | HS1028 (08H08) | $1.2 \times 10^{-108}$ | 96.8 | 179509 | spanning 3' of orf 0361, 5' of orf f500 (opposite orientation) |
| rsd038 | HS1038 (14D08) | $4.6 \times 10^{-6}$ | 82 | 26214 | 0394, similar to orf near 47 min |
| rsd042 | HS1042 (21B04) | $6.7 \times 10^{-15}$ | 78.8 | 127066 | f234 (opposite orientation) |
| rsd077 | HS1077 (36A05) | $3.6 \times 10^{-23}$ | 77.6 | 74895 | orf 01037 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { rsd007 } \\ & \text { rsd073 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HS1011 (04C07) } \\ & \text { HS1073 (35F12) } \end{aligned}$ | no match no match | - |  | - |

(a) represent designations given to the "rpos-dependent" gene fusions, which correspond to the strain names. Designations in parentheses (b) represent the location of the strain in the library strain collection for laboratory purposes. $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{N})$ is a statistical value obtained from the $E$. coli Genbank searches (c) and is the probability of the submitted sequence matching an unrelated sequence in the database by chance. (NT) represents the position of the first nucleotide of the fusion junction sequenced within the ORF.

Figure 11. Diagrammatic representation of the location of fusion junctions in the E. coli chromosome. Approximately two kilobases of flanking regions of seven of the mapped fusion junctions are shown. Putative ORF's and genes are represented by thick black arrows indicating their transcriptional orientation. Thin arrows with open tip and tail are predicted promoter sequences also oriented in the direction of transcription. Stippled thick arrows represent the gene or ORF of the mapped fusion junction. Thin broken arrows indicate the direction of the sequenced fusion junction. Orientation of flanking sequences for HS1004 (whose fusion junction resides in a gene encoding a putative lysine decarboxylase) is currently unavailable.

Calculations of map locations were made based on the reports that 45 kb approximates 1 min on the $E$. coli genome. Diagrams were modified from published sources involved in the $E$. coli genome project.
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## DISCUSSION

## Implications of the screening for $\sigma^{s}$-dependent genes

The large-scale isolation of $\sigma^{s}$-dependent genes was accomplished by introducing a rpoS null allele into a random library of transcriptional lacZ fusion strains and observing for changes in $\beta$ galactosidase activity in rpoS ${ }^{+}$and isogenic rpoS mutants. The rpoS::Tn10 mutation was introduced into a Hfr strain and subsequently mated into $\mathrm{F}^{-}$strains. rpoS ${ }^{+}$and isogenic rpos ${ }^{-}$derivatives were replica-plated onto plates containing X-gal and observed for differences in $\beta$-galactosidase expression.

Previous methods used to identify $\sigma^{s}$-dependent functions included two-dimensional gel analysis of $\mathrm{rpos}^{+}$and isogenic rpos ${ }^{-}$derivatives, which showed changes in the pattern of protein expression of approximately 30 polypeptides affected in a rpos- background (McCann et al., 1991). Identification of the regulon using this procedure has several disadvantages. Analysis using this method may underestimate the actual numbers for two reasons. Proteins not highly expressed would be missed under these conditions, and several $\sigma^{3}$-dependent genes may encode subunits to one protein, the latter of which has already been documented. $\sigma^{\text {s }}$ is required for the expression of the himA and himD/hip genes that encode subunits of the IHF protein (Aviv et al., 1994). Furthermore, the identification of the gene from the protein product is a laborious procedure and is not a logical method to use, particularly when dealing with large numbers. Two-dimensional gel analysis, however, serves an advantage over other methods, such that it does not mutate genes of interest, contrary to the use of lacZ fusions. This would not allow the identification of essential genes, or genes required for viability that is (are) under partial $\sigma^{3}$-control. Although rpoS mutants are viable, it does not eliminate the possibility of a role for $\sigma^{s}$ in the regulation of an essential gene. Considering the regulatory control of some $\sigma^{s}$-dependent functions in the literature, other global
regulators (possibly including the ones already known, such as LRP, IHF, cAMP and H-NS) may contribute to the regulation of the essential factor.

Random mutagenesis techniques using lacZ fusions coupled with the screening for a specific phenotype is an alternative method used for the identification of members of the $\sigma^{3}$ regulon. Although the method has not, in the past, been solely used for the large scale identification of $\sigma^{s}$-dependent genes, it has been useful in the identification of subsets of genes induced under specific growth and environmental conditions. For example, the screening for genes induced under elevated osmolarity ( 300 mM of sodium chloride) reveals that of the many proteins expressed, a subset of these (approximately 18) requires rpoS for osmotic induction (HenggeAronis et al., 1993). Although this method has the potential to identify large or small subsets using other rpoS-associated phenotypes (eg. stationary phase specific heat-shock response, induction under nutrient starvation, and catalase activity), the complexity, diversity and particularly the unrelated function of members of the regulon does not make it a satisfactory method for the largescale elucidation $\sigma^{s}$-dependent functions. Furthermore, mutations in genes that do not exhibit a specific phenotype and/or respond to a specific environmental or growth condition would be overlooked, such as osmB (Jung et al., 1990).

The method we have employed is a novel procedure that does not require any single phenotypic characteristic of rpoS mutants. Although a limitation of this procedure is its inability to detect essential functions due to a lacZ mutagenesis of the gene of interest, observing for changes in $\beta$-galactosidase activity on plates was a simple procedure for our purpose in the large-scale isolation of $\sigma^{s}$-dependent lacZ fusions. Visual comparison of maximum levels of $\beta$-galactosidase expression in rpos ${ }^{+}$and isogenic rpos ${ }^{-}$strains can detect as low as two to three-fold reduction in a rpos` background as confirmed with enzymatic assays (Table 5, see strains HS1020, HS1028 and HS1066). One hundred and five strains bearing $\sigma^{s}$-dependent lacZ fusions were isolated after a series of purifications, steps including remating, complementation with pMMkatF3 and transduction into a new GC4468 background as described in Materials and Methods and Results.

Hfr mating is widely used for the transfer of genetic markers between strains. The closer
the marker to the origin of transfer, the more likely of early gene transfer and recombination of the marker into the host DNA. Transfer of genetic material is efficient during the first 30 min of incubation, and interrupting the mating procedure (generally by vortexing) is unnecessary since mating pairs naturally disrupt over time (Miller, 1992). Since our method of introducing the rpoS::Tn10 mutation cannot use a mating interruptor, we anticipated a potential problem in the transfer of the lac allele from Hfr HS180 into the $\mathrm{F}^{-}$strains. Deletion of the $l a c^{+}$gene, particularly, when dealing with lac expression studies of recipient strains should have been done. However, we do not anticipate a serious problem from this oversight. The reasons are that at most, a $\sigma^{s}$ dependent strain may not be detected since the reduction of $\beta$-galactosidase expression from the $\sigma^{3}$-dependent lacZ fusion would be masked by the expression transferred from the lac from HS180. Furthermore, we have checked for the frequency of transfer into 24 independent matings by allowing the incubation period to continue for more than two hours. No lac- strains were observed to be lac ${ }^{+}$. A better method for the transfer of the rpoS::Tn10 mutation would be the use P1-mediated transduction. P1 transducing particles can carry up to 80 kb of bacterial DNA, equal to approximately 2 min considering 1 min is proportional to 45 kb . This is much less than the amount of donor bacterial DNA introduced into the recipient cell during the conjugation procedure. In addition, lacZ fusions may have been transduced into a cell harboring a rpoS mutation, thus eliminating the additional step of introducing the rpoS::Tn10.

An observation in the mating procedure that warrants mention is the appearance of two $\beta$ galactosidase phenotypes arising from a purified, recipient (rpos ${ }^{+}$) colony. Reproducibly consistent with 18 strains in MC4100 background, it was suspected to be the result of two or more lacZ fusions in the $E$. coli chromosome (see Table 3). To decide if this were the case and as a general practice when using lacZ expression studies, the lacZ fusions were transduced into a GC4468 background and retested for $\sigma^{3}$-dependency. We proposed that if this phenomena is the result of double lysogens, different levels of $\beta$-galactosidase expression of transductants would be seen. However, only three strains exhibited this trend (results not shown), suggesting the involvement of other factors. Furthermore, several strains in the new genetic background exhibited two
tranconjugant phenotypes that were not immediately noticed after an overnight incubation, but appeared over several days of expression at room temperature (Appendix B). Due to the slight differences in expression levels, I am convinced that this observation is the result of variations within parental and daughter strains. No other explanations can be proposed at this time, but it can be noted that the characteristics seen were reproducible and limited only to certain strains. Thus, we suggest that the cause of the results be not a random event but once characteristic to only several genotypes.

Since the mating procedure involves the possible introduction of other factors from the Hfr strain genotype (KL16), and since 30 min of incubation time was allowed for gene transfer, then any function within the 30 min of the donor DNA, approximating 1350 kb ( 1 min equivalent to 45 kb ) can be recombined into the host chromosome. One possible explanation for the variation in phenotypes seen after mating in the rpoS::Tn10 mutation is the transfer of a specific factor(s) from donor to host DNA affecting the level expression of the reporter fusion. Ideally, the best method for gene transfer would be to exclude all other factors except the marker of interest. Thus, the maximum time of incubation for the introduction of the $r p o S:: T n 10$ should have been reduced to 5 to 10 min since a sufficient number of transconjugants were seen at this time. Although this factor(s) is currently unknown, it may influence either expression of the target gene itself or act through rpoS.
ppGpp regulates the expression of rpoS (Gentry et al., 1993). Synthesized in response to amino acid starvation, the accumulation of ppGpp depends on the expression of the relA and spoT gene products. The presence of uncharged tRNA's stimulates ppGpp synthesis and its degradation is blocked by the spoT gene product (Cashel and Rudd, 1983). The relA gene maps to 62.7 min on the E. coli chromosome, and is transferred to the recipient strain from the donor. However, this is not a significant cause for concern in the conjugation process since the Hfr parental strain of HS180 (KL16) is relA ${ }^{\circ}$. The lacZ fusions, however, were transduced from a relA- (MC4100) into a relA ${ }^{+}$(GC4468) background. The effect of ppGpp on rpoS expression is debatable considering two factors. The MC4100 (relA ${ }^{-}$)has been used by several laboratories in rpoS expression studies
before the implication of ppGpp as a positive regulator (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1991a; DiazGuerra et al., 1989; Hengge-Aronis and Fischer, 1992) and has not been observed to cause a reduction in rpoS as significant as claimed by Gentry and colleagues. The activity of $\sigma^{s}$, measured by the $\sigma^{3}$-dependent appA (acid phosphatase) is completely abolished in a relAspoTmutant strain (Gentry et al., 1993). Furthermore, catalase activity in both MC4100 and GC4468 visualized on plates is not significantly different (Schellhorn, unpublished data). This is consistent with observations that relA mutants do not necessarily exhibit a defect in its ability to accumulate ppGpp since lowered levels have been detected, and relA-independent ppGpp synthesis is known to occur in E. coli (Cashel and Rudd, 1983). Transduction of the lacZ fusions into the new background resulted in the subsequent elimination of twenty-seven strains. Though relA is not suspected to be the main factor responsible for this result, it does suggest the likely possibility that an unknown factor(s) absent in the new background is required for $\sigma^{s}$-dependent expression. This can be tested by transducing the $\sigma^{s}$-dependent lacZ fusions into a new MC4100 strain and testing for $\sigma^{s}$ dependency. Since the elimination of twenty-seven strains from the transduction procedure is still unexplained, it may be noted that complementation of rpos derivatives should have been done in GC4468 background.

Overall, despite the problems documented above, we have shown that our method for screening for $\sigma^{s}$-dependent genes in a library of lacZ insertion mutants can generate useful information. This procedure can be applied as a general method in the identification of nonessential functions under regulatory control of a regulator for which selectable null alleles can be obtained.

## Qualitative screening of $\sigma^{*}$-dependent genes and growth-phase expression curves.

The quantitative screening for $\sigma^{s}$-associated phenotypes using replica-plating was used as a general method to determine the phenotypes exhibited by the interrupted gene. Although this section of the thesis, apart from the isolation of $\sigma^{s}$-dependent /acZ fusion mutants and identification of the target gene is not the primary focus, the phenotypic screening does provide a valuable
informative search for characteristics exhibited by the fusion mutants.
Screening for catalase activity and sensitivity to hydrogen peroxide was done by conventional methods. Four catalase negative strains (putative katE mutants) were identified by flooding plates with $30 \%$ hydrogen peroxide and can be further investigated using a quantitative catalase assay where the breakdown of hydrogen peroxide by a culture of the strain is measured spectrophotometrically. Observing for $\beta$-galactosidase expression on plates, is a qualitative screening, the limitations being the ability to detect only mutants exhibiting over a certain magnitude of induction, during carbon starvation, osmotic up-shift, or in the presence of acetate. Carbon starvation inducible genes were previously identified by random lacZ mutagenesis (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1991a), the method employed in our screening. It may be noted that colonies growing on plates under starvation conditions showed a different color tint compared with plates containing the regular carbon requirements making $\beta$-galactosidase activity comparisons difficult. Colonies on starvation plates were blue compared with non-starved colonies that were greenishblue in tint. All fusions appeared to be induced during these starvation conditions. However, on a conservative note, fourteen strains exhibited a significant level of induction (Table 4).

Observing for osmotic induction of lacZ fusion mutants by replica-plating onto media containing increasing levels of sodium chloride has not been the conventional method used. Instead, strains are challenged with an increase in media osmolarity in liquid assays, a more sensitive procedure. Nonetheless, several of our fusions were significantly induced on plates in the presence of $\mathbf{2 5 0} \mathbf{~ m M}$ of sodium chloride. Although this amount may appear close to the specified concentrations in LB media (approximately 243 mM ), LB is known to contain compatible solutes such as glycine betaine and proline (reviewed in Csonka, 1989) which is known to inhibit the expression of osmotically-inducible genes, such as osm $Y$, when challenged with hyperosmotic stress (Yim and Villarejo, 1992).

Although several fusions were significantly induced and repressed in the presence of elevated acetate concentrations, the mutant containing the katE::lacZ fusion (control) was not induced, as previously described in enzymatic assays (Schellhorn and Stones, 1992). Induction on
plates may not be visible due to the limitation in the qualitative screen in that the level of $\beta$ galactosidase induction or repression may not be detectable. Furthermore, not all cells are exposed to the same concentrations of the inducing agent, particularly applicable for acetate since the compound can be used by cells as a carbon source (reviewed in Tempest, 1987).

The observation of a deficiency in the production of glycogen of rpoS mutants (HenggeAronis, 1991a), led to the subsequent identification of a glycogen producing gene, glgS, found to be regulated by $\sigma^{s}$ (Hengge-Aronis and Fischer, 1992). The screen for mutants deficient in glycogen production was previously performed and is a simple procedure (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1991a). Strains replica-plated onto LB-agar are exposed to fumes from iodine crystals for several minutes, and colonies stained brown shows glycogen production (since glycogen binds iodine vapors). Our initial attempts at this procedure were inconclusive due to uneven exposure of the plate to iodine vapors. Furthermore, the procedure did not seem sensitive since a rpoS::Tn10 control (HS143) did not look deficient in glycogen accumulation than other control strains capable of producing its production (eg. MC4100, GC4468).

Near-UV and far-UV exposure to identify genes involved in cellular repair mechanisms cannot be performed directly. This is because the $\lambda$ placMu53 construct can be excised using UV irradiation, the method employed for the isolation of $\lambda$ DNA.

The stationary phase response protects cells against exposure to heat shock, a thermotolerance that increases the further cells progress into stationary phase (Jenkins et al., 1988). rpoS ${ }^{-}$mutants are sensitive to heat challenge at $55-57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1991a; McCann et al., 1991). Though not performed on the isolated $\sigma^{s}$-dependent lacZ fusion mutants, I have tested several control strains including MC4100 (wt), GC4468 (wt), NC4468 (katE::lacZ) and HS143 (katF::lacZ). Aliquots of an overnight culture were resuspended in M9 liquid media and exposed to $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min. Viability was determined by colony counts the next day. As expected, HS143 (katF::/acZ) exhibited a decrease in viability, consistent with previous publications (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1991a; McCann et al., 1991). Future work may include the screening of heat-shock sensitive strains of the $\sigma^{s}$-dependent lacZ fusion mutants.

The growth-phase expression data of fusion mutants in GC4468 background (Appendix A) provided an informative screen of the general pattern of gene expression. Results showed that all mutants showed a strain-specific induction during entry into stationary phase, consistent with what is known of members of the $\sigma^{s}$-regulon. A possible concern regarding the data is the difference in expression when certain strains were reassayed. It can be suggested that the different spectrophotometers used accounts for some fraction of the error besides the fact that the assays were done by independent technicians. However, if this were the case, the maximum and minimum values should be proportionally different and the induction ratios and $\sigma^{s}$ dependence should be similar. Since the induction ratio is calculated by the maximum level obtained in the $\beta$-galactosidase assay divided by the minimum value or basal level at the onset of the experiment, if basal levels are not reached, the value of the induction ratio will be less than the expected. Since $\beta$-galactosidase levels are lower in exponential phase than in stationary phase, slight fluctuations will have a greater effect on induction ratio levels than fluctuations in starvation. In addition, the maximum and minimum values were determined by a single point on the growth induction curve, thus increasing the impact of possible incorrect readings. A better estimation of the induction ratio and $\sigma^{s}$ dependence would be to designate exponential and stationary phase $O D(600 \mathrm{~nm})$ parameters and take the minimum and maximum values as the average of 3 or 4 points. This will decrease the likelihood of spurious results having a great impact on values. A problem with this method is, for the sake of keeping consistency, the rigid parameters set do not provide the flexibility required considering the diversity of the $\sigma^{s}$ regulon, as seen in the induction pattern of the mutants. These growth curve experiments were only assayed once, thus the experiments would have to be duplicated to resolve present discrepancies. Nonetheless, the data provides valuable information regarding the general expression of fusion mutants. The precise growth-curve expression data, for example, the point of induction into stationary phase or maximum levels attained can be examined later when dealing with individual fusion mutants.

## Sequencing of the fusion junctions.

Subsequent sequencing of the fusion junctions have shown $\sigma^{s}$-dependent functions mapping to putative open reading frames coding for yet unknown proteins. These results confirm the general proposal that the several members of the $\sigma^{s}$-regulon have yet to be identified. Although our sequencing results have not been mapped to a known $\sigma^{s}$-dependent gene, the reliability of our procedure has been shown by mapping our phenotypically screened laboratory katE and rpoS mutant strains to their respective regions as previously described (Roy et al., 1995). Two fusions have mapped to putative genes in the $E$. coli chromosome encoding proteins with predicted functions and six others have mapped to ORF's encoding proteins of unknown function. It may be noted that there were no direct matches with sequences from the database. While some showed good a sequence match to a specific locus in the $E$. coli genome, others were questionable to the extent that resequencing of the fusion junction may be required (Appendix E).

The transaldolase (TAL) enzyme is involved in the catabolism of pentose sugars (D-xylose, D-ribose, and L-arabinose) in the pentose phosphate cycle. As one of the central metabolic pathways, the pentose phosphate cycle produces the pentose phosphates that are precursors to ribose and deoxyribose in the formation of nucleic acids (reviewed in White, 1995). Furthermore the catabolic production of erythrose phosphate is the precursor to aromatic amino acids (phenylalanine, tyrosine, and tryptophan), and NADPH is a source of electrons for biosynthetic reduction (reviewed in White, 1995). Our lacZ fusion, rsd009 from strain HS1009, maps to the recently identified talB gene located at 0.2 min on the $E$. coli chromosome (Sprenger et al., 1995). The talB gene encodes an enzyme TAL B of molecular weight 70 kD , and is the second transaldolase protein identified in E. coli. The first isolated transaldolase, TAL A, was initially identified by reacting $E$. coli with TAL antibodies raised against the TAL A yeast enzyme (Sprenger, et al., 1995), but the gene responsible for its production has not been identified. Little is known about the transaldolase enzymes or the mechanism of gene expression in E coli. Furthermore, mutants that lack TAL have not yet been reported, thus a phenotype(s) for TAL-deficient mutants is unknown. Given the function of transaldolase in the pentose phosphate cycle, however, we suspect
that TAL-deficient mutants are incapable of growing on the pentose sugars (D-xylose, D-ribose, Larabinose). The issue is complicated by the fact that the TAL A gene has not been identified, and mutations in each transaldolase-encoding gene will be required to study the relative contributions of each to the pentose phosphate pathway in E. coli.

The mutant HS1004 carrying the lacZ fusion, rsd1004, maps to 4 min on the $E$. coli chromosome to a presumptive, constitutively expressed gene designated $/ d c C$, which encodes a presumptive lysine decarboxylase. Located downstream from the accA-encoding acetyl-CoA carboxylase (Li and Cronan, 1993), information obtained from the nucleotide databases (Genbank) report the presence of a rho-independent transcriptional termination site located between accA and $I d c C$ and similarities of the uncharacterized $I d c C$ gene to the cadA gene, which encodes a lysine decarboxylase. Little is known about the $I d c C$ gene itself, but by homology to the cadA gene, its gene product may suggest a possible function(s) of the $\sigma^{s}$-dependent $/ d c C$ gene.

The cadA gene maps to 93.5 min on the $E$. coli chromosome (Auger et al., 1989), upstream from the cadB gene-encoding a lysine/cadaverine transporter, and the two genes comprise an operon (Meng and Bennett, 1992a). The product of cadA is lysine decarboxylase, 715 amino acids in length (Meng and Bennett, 1992a) which converts L-lysine into cadaverine and carbon dioxide. The expression of the cad operon is induced under several conditions including low external pH, aerobiosis, presence of lysine (Meng and Bennett, 1992a,b), and low carbon dioxide or is derivatives (Takayama et al., 1994). The cadA gene is suggested to play a physiological role of increasing the pH of the medium by converting lysine from the medium into cadaverine. This process involves the shuttling of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ions and lysine from the medium by the cadB-encoding lysine/cadaverine transporter to be converted to cadaverine and carbon dioxide by the cell. The excess cadaverine is removed from the cell into the environment by the transporter (Meng and Bennett, 1992a). Stimulation of expression from the cad operon during anaerobiosis and low carbon dioxide levels may help fulfill a metabolic need for carbon dioxide that, under aerobic conditions, is supplied by the TCA cycle. Given that the TCA cycle is tightly linked to the respiratory chain, it is reasonable that a metabolite is a regulator of the metabolic pathway involved in its
production (Takayama et al., 1994). As a result, the cadA gene product is also suggested to be involved in the control of intracellular carbon dioxide required for bacterial growth (Boeker and Snell, 1972).

Two enzyme forms of lysine decarboxylase is suggested to exist in E. coli, an inducible, thermostable protein (documented above) and a constitutively expressed, thermosensitive protein (Goldemberg, 1980). Only the inducible form has been isolated and characterized. Information obtained from genomic databases (Genbank) suggests that $/ d c C$ is constitutively expressed based on previous reports that a constitutively expressed gene does exist. Results from our growth-phase expression assays of the $\sigma^{s}$-dependent fusion mutants, however, shows a clear induction of the $I d c C$ ::IacZ fusion during entry into stationary phase, characteristic of genes regulated by $\sigma^{s}$ (Appendix A). This may suggest that the information concerning constitutive expression of $/ d c C$ is incorrect, or that our results may be explained in terms of a leaky transcriptional terminator, and induction of expression during entry into stationary phase is the result of read-through transcription from the upstream growth-phase induced accA gene (Li and Cronan, 1993). The latter suggest that growth-phase induction of HS1004 is not the result of $\sigma^{s}$, an unlikely possibility given that a mutation in $r p o S$ resulted in a decrease in expression from the reporter fusion (Table 6). Unfortunately, the phenotype associated with a mutated cadA gene is not precisely known since more than one lysine decarboxylase-encoding gene is presumed to exist in E. coli (Goldemberg, 1980), thus a phenotypic screen for the mutation caused by the fusion insertion is not currently possible. Expression of the fusion, however, in conditions to which the inducible form of lysine decarboxylase is induced may serve as a preliminary screen. Nonetheless, future work is required to confirm the $\sigma^{s}$-dependent functions.

Although it appears to be a systematic approach, we have encountered problems during this final stage of sequencing. $\lambda$ DNA has been isolated, purified, visualized on gels and cut with restriction endonucleases as described in Materials and Methods. However, clean sequence has been difficult to obtain on a systematic basis. Other than problems encountered with technical
work, we have not been able to effectively employ the primer obtained from the MuS end based on a 48 bp duplication during the initial construction of the $\lambda$ placMu53 phage (Roy et al., 1995). Use of this primer might simplify the procedure since PCR amplification would be a possibility, as opposed to cycle sequencing using only the Mu c end primer. Furthermore, upstream sequences would identify the $\sigma^{s}$-dependent function just as well as our method currently employed, and provide additional information of potential upstream elements affecting expression and promoter sequences directing the lacZ fusions. Identifying the gene or open reading frame of the fusion insertion, however, may not explicitly imply that it is the $\sigma^{s}$-dependent function of interest. For the purpose of our general analysis, the immediate upstream promoter is the taken to be the most likely transcriptional activator of the target gene. Nevertheless, the possibility does exist where a $\sigma^{\mathrm{s}}$ dependent promoter of an upstream gene is responsible for the expression of the downstream lacZ target gene. Consequently, the $\sigma^{s}$-dependent gene in which the reporter phage resides may not be the gene of interest. Further analysis, such as screening for a known phenotype associated with a mutant of the particular target gene, or a direct mutagenesis study using a Tn10, would be required. The work presented thus far sets the initial purpose of the project that is far from completion. Undoubtedly, the main bulk of future work involves the sequencing of the remaining $\sigma^{s}$ dependent genes and subsequent verification of $\sigma^{s}$-dependency on a more specific basis.

## CONCLUSION

- The isolation of $\sigma^{s}$-dependent genes from a random library of lacZ fusion mutants was done by mating a rpos:: Tn10 mutation into $F^{`}$ recipients and observing for changes in expression in rpos ${ }^{+}$ and isogenic rpos- derivates.
- 105 mutants were isolated after a series of purifications, rematings and transduction into a new GC4468 background.
- $\sigma^{s}$-dependency was confirmed by complementing the rpoS mutation with a plasmid bearing a functional rpoS gene. Results show that 73 were complemented with respect to $\beta$-galactosidase expression and catalase activity, and 4 were not complemented with either one or the other. Complementation results were not done for 28 strains.
- Growth-phase expression experiments reveal that all strains assayed exhibit induction during entry into stationary phase, and this induction is reduced in a rpos- derivative. Twelve rpos- strains assayed exhibited induction into stationary phase in the absence of $r p o S$ suggesting other factors involved in stationary-phase induction.
- Sequencing of selected fusion junctions showed that two do not map to any known region in the E. coli chromosome, and six map to open reading frames encoding proteins of unknown function.
- One fusion maps to a putative $l d c C$ gene that is located at 4 min , and shows similarity to the cadA gene. By sequence homology, $I d c C$ is suggested to encode a lysine decarboxylase suggested to function as a regulator of medium pH and levels of cellular carbon dioxide
- Another fusion maps to a recently identified talB gene encoding a transaldolase. Located at 0.2 $\min$ on the $E$. coli chromosome, the gene product is involved in the pentose phosphate cycle. Substrates in this cycle are precursors to nucleic acids, vitamins and aromatic amino acids
- The method we have employed in the large-scale identification of the $\sigma^{\mathrm{s}}$-regulon is phenotype-
independent and can be applied to the identification of other regulon members providing that null alleles of the regulator is available.
- The work presented currently sets the foundation for future work in sequencing the remainder of the $\sigma^{\text {s}}$-regulon and specific characterization of the function.


## APPENDICES

Appendix A. Raw data of growth-phase expression curves of $102 \mathrm{rpoS}{ }^{+}$recipients in GC4468 background. Three strains (HS1021, HS1097, HS1102) were not assayed.
$[1000 \times 0 D(420 \mathrm{~nm})] /[R \times n$ Time $(\mathrm{min}) \times$ Volume Assayed $(\mathrm{ml}) \times \mathrm{OD}(600 \mathrm{~nm})]$
Calculation for Total Activity:
[Specific Activity $\times$ OD(600 nm)]
Time 7 h represents an overnight cultured assayed. All data in Appendix A was generated by Suzana Gligorijevic.

| Strain | Time [h] | $\begin{gathered} \text { OD600 } \\ {[\mathrm{nm}]} \end{gathered}$ | R×n <br> Time <br> [min] | Cell <br> Vol <br> [ml] | sample1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD420 } \\ & \text { [nm] } \\ & \text { sample2 } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. | Specific <br> Activity <br> [Miller U] | Total <br> Activity <br> [Miller U] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS1001 | 0 | 0.059 | 6 | 2 | 0.049 | 0.052 | 0.045 | 62.9 | 3.7 |  |
| [01004] | 0.5 | 0.092 | 6 | 2 | 0.071 | 0.076 | 0.068 | 61.1 | 5.6 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.181 | 6 | 2 | 0.097 | 0.091 | 0.088 | 40.5 | 7.3 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.294 | 6 | 2 | 0.130 | 0.141 | 0.130 | 36.7 | 10.8 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.480 | 6 | 2 | 0.305 | 0.291 | 0.292 | 50.7 | 24.3 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.940 | 6 | 1 | 0.494 | 0.568 | 0.525 | 93.1 | 87.5 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.200 | 6 | 1 | 0.766 | 0.736 | 0.745 | 103.5 | 124.2 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.290 | 6 | 1 | 1.273 | 1.340 | 1.301 | 168.0 | 216.8 |  |
|  | 5 | 1.610 | 6 | 1 | 1.561 | 1.533 | 1.541 | 159.5 | 256.8 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.090 | 6 | 1 | 1.752 | 1.761 | 1.751 | 139.6 | 291.8 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.850 | 2 | 1 | 0.525 | 0.583 | 0.548 | 71.2 | 274.0 |  |
| HS1002 | 0 | 0.052 | 6 | 2 | 0.054 | 0.054 | 0.048 | 76.9 | 4.0 |  |
| [01E04] | 0.5 | 0.082 | 6 | 2 | 0.070 | 0.075 | 0.067 | 67.6 | 5.5 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.163 | 6 | 2 | 0.095 | 0.094 | 0.089 | 45.2 | 7.4 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.279 | 6 | 2 | 0.125 | 0.122 | 0.118 | 35.1 | 9.8 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.431 | 6 | 2 | 0.225 | 0.349 | 0.281 | 54.3 | 23.4 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.820 | 6 | 1 | 0.522 | 0.539 | 0.525 | 106.6 | 87.4 |  |
|  | 3 | 0.930 | 6 | 1 | 0.863 | 0.830 | 0.841 | 150.6 | 140.1 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.320 | 6 | 1 | 1.372 | 1.260 | 1.310 | 165.4 | 218.3 |  |
|  | 5 | 1.460 | 6 | 1 | 1.655 | 1.642 | 1.643 | 187.5 | 273.8 |  |
|  | 6 | 1.740 | 6 | 1 | 1.811 | 1.667 | 1.733 | 166.0 | 288.8 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.960 | 2 | 1 | 0.585 | 0.551 | 0.562 | 71.0 | 281.0 |  |
| HS1003 | 0 | 0.063 | 6.5 | 2 | 0.049 | 0.047 | 0.042 | 51.3 | 3.2 |  |
| [01H01] | 0.5 | 0.092 | 6.5 | 2 | 0.048 | 0.046 | 0.041 | 34.3 | 3.2 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.192 | 6.5 | 2 | 0.054 | 0.054 | 0.048 | 19.2 | 3.7 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.323 | 6.5 | 2 | 0.069 | 0.070 | 0.064 | 15.1 | 4.9 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.472 | 6.5 | 2 | 0.300 | 0.251 | 0.270 | 43.9 | 20.7 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.760 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.347 | 0.358 | 0.347 | 70.1 | 53.3 |  |
|  | 3 | 0.950 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.412 | 0.379 | 0.390 | 63.1 | 59.9 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.310 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.513 | 0.509 | 0.505 | 59.3 | 77.7 |  |
|  | 5 | 1.630 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.572 | 0.744 | 0.652 | 61.5 | 100.3 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.110 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.766 | 0.630 | 0.692 | 50.5 | 106.5 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.720 | 3 | 1 | 0.733 | 0.778 | 0.750 | 67.2 | 249.8 |  |
| HS1004 | 0 | 0.065 | 7 | 2 | 0.052 | 0.053 | 0.047 | 51.1 | 3.3 |  |
| [02C08] | 0.5 | 0.106 | 7 | 2 | 0.054 | 0.053 | 0.048 | 32.0 | 3.4 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.199 | 7 | 2 | 0.065 | 0.069 | 0.061 | 21.9 | 4.4 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.348 | 7 | 2 | 0.080 | 0.080 | 0.074 | 15.2 | 5.3 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.598 | 7 | 2 | 0.225 | 0.224 | 0.219 | 26.1 | 15.6 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.830 | 7 | 1 | 0.373 | 0.364 | 0.363 | 62.4 | 51.8 |  |
|  | 3 | 0.930 | 7 | 1 | 0.341 | 0.346 | 0.338 | 51.8 | 48.2 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.170 | 7 | 1 | 0.414 | 0.374 | 0.388 | 47.4 | 55.4 |  |
|  | 5 | 1.510 | 7 | 1 | 0.447 | 0.478 | 0.457 | 43.2 | 65.2 |  |
|  | 6 | 1.820 | 7 | 1 | 0.543 | 0.527 | 0.529 | 41.5 | 75.6 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.560 | 3 | 1 | 0.619 | 0.557 | 0.582 | 54.5 | 194.0 |  |
| HS1005 | 0 | 0.050 | 7 | 2 | 0.051 | 0.049 | 0.044 | 62.9 | 3.1 |  |
| [02011] | 0.5 | 0.072 | 7 | 2 | 0.057 | 0.052 | 0.049 | 48.1 | 3.5 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.161 | 7 | 2 | 0.055 | 0.055 | 0.049 | 21.7 | 3.5 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.275 | 7 | 2 | 0.069 | 0.058 | 0.058 | 14.9 | 4.1 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.409 | 7 | 2 | 0.118 | 0.119 | 0.113 | 19.6 | 8.0 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.790 | 7 | 1 | 0.218 | 0.203 | 0.205 | 37.0 | 29.2 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.000 | 7 | 1 | 0.314 | 0.304 | 0.303 | 43.3 | 43.3 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.230 | 7 | 1 | 0.631 | 0.600 | 0.610 | 70.8 | 87.1 |  |
|  | 5 | 1.630 | 7 | 1 | 1.088 | 1.071 | 1.074 | 94.1 | 153.4 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.200 | 7 | 1 | 1.410 | 1.508 | 1.453 | 94.4 | 207.6 |  |
|  | 7 | 4.150 | 2 | 1 | 0.819 | 0.875 | 0.841 | 101.3 | 420.5 |  |


| Strain | Time [h] | $\begin{gathered} \text { OD600 } \\ {[\mathrm{nm}]} \end{gathered}$ | R×n <br> Time <br> [min] | Cell <br> Vol <br> [ml] | sample1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD420 } \\ & \text { [nm] } \\ & \text { sample2 } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. | Specific <br> Activity <br> [Miller U] | Total <br> Activity <br> [Miller U] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS1006 | 0 | 0.043 | 1339 | 2 | 0.242 | 0.246 | 0.238 | 2.1 | 0.1 |  |
| [02E11] | 0.5 | 0.063 | 1339 | 2 | 0.314 | 0.305 | 0.304 | 1.8 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.129 | 1339 | 2 | 0.383 | 0.389 | 0.380 | 1.1 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.256 | 1339 | 2 | 0.547 | 0.571 | 0.553 | 0.8 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.361 | 215 | 2 | 0.349 | 0.377 | 0.357 | 2.3 | 0.8 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.690 | 211 | 1 | 0.595 | 0.630 | 0.607 | 4.2 | 2.9 |  |
|  | 3 | 0.920 | 19 | 1 | 0.795 | 0.843 | 0.813 | 46.5 | 42.8 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.440 | 3 | 1 | 0.568 | 0.520 | 0.538 | 124.5 | 179.3 |  |
|  | 5 | 1.870 | 3 | 1 | 0.884 | 0.919 | 0.896 | 159.6 | 298.5 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.350 | 3 | 1 | 1.285 | 1.249 | 1.261 | 178.9 | 420.3 |  |
|  | 7 | 4.020 | 2 | 1 | 0.930 | 0.991 | 0.955 | 118.7 | 477.3 |  |
| HS1007 | 0 | 0.047 | 1339 | 2 | 0.288 | 0.294 | 0.285 | 2.3 | 0.1 |  |
| [02E12] | 0.5 | 0.077 | 1339 | 2 | 0.415 | 0.375 | 0.389 | 1.9 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.159 | 1339 | 2 | 0.485 | 0.492 | 0.483 | 1.1 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.290 | 1339 | 2 | 0.651 | 0.752 | 0.696 | 0.9 | 0.3 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.417 | 215 | 2 | 0.521 | 0.522 | 0.516 | 2.9 | 1.2 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.700 | 24 | 1 | 0.544 | 0.424 | 0.478 | 28.5 | 19.9 |  |
|  | 3 | 0.850 | 10 | 1 | 0.535 | 0.873 | 0.698 | 82.1 | 69.8 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.210 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.357 | 0.287 | 0.316 | 104.5 | 126.4 |  |
|  | 5 | 1.630 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.450 | 0.482 | 0.460 | 112.9 | 184.0 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.390 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.677 | 0.651 | 0.658 | 110:1 | 263.2 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.890 | 2 | 1 | 0.729 | 0.817 | 0.767 | 98.6 | 383.5 |  |
| HS1008 | 0 | 0.063 | 1311 | 2 | 0.405 | 0.396 | 0.395 | 2.4 | 0.2 |  |
| [02H06] | 0.5 | 0.098 | 1311 | 2 | 0.429 | 0.437 | 0.427 | 1.7 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.207 | 1311 | 2 | 0.480 | 0.503 | 0.486 | 0.9 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.342 | 1311 | 2 | 0.746 | 0.762 | 0.748 | 0.8 | 0.3 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.519 | 187 | 2 | 0.596 | 0.572 | 0.578 | 3.0 | 1.5 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.950 | 4 | 1 | 0.282 | 0.231 | 0.251 | 65.9 | 62.6 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.080 | 3 | 1 | 0.356 | 0.400 | 0.372 | 114.8 | 124.0 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.340 | 3 | 1 | 0.277 | 0.281 | 0.273 | 67.9 | 91.0 |  |
|  | 5 | 1.750 | 3 | 1 | 0.376 | 0.453 | 0.409 | 77.8 | 136.2 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.550 | 3 | 1 | 0.485 | 0.586 | 0.530 | 69.2 | 176.5 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.960 | 2 | 1 | 1.097 | 1.201 | 1.143 | 144.3 | 571.5 |  |
| HS1009 | 0 | 0.062 | 1311 | 2 | 1.187 | 1.190 | 1.183 | 7.3 | 0.5 |  |
| [03C06] | 0.5 | 0.105 | 1311 | 2 | 0.431 | 0.431 | 0.425 | 1.5 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.188 | 298 | 2 | 0.592 | 0.561 | 0.571 | 5.1 | 1.0 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.321 | 298 | 2 | 0.835 | 0.807 | 0.815 | 4.3 | 1.4 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.491 | 120 | 2 | 1.199 | 1.301 | 1.244 | 10.6 | 5.2 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.890 | 3 | 1 | 0.199 | 0.209 | 0.198 | 74.2 | 66.0 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.040 | 2 | 1 | 0.474 | 0.305 | 0.384 | 184.4 | 191.8 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.380 | 2 | 1 | 0.557 | 0.576 | 0.561 | 203.1 | 280.3 |  |
|  | 5 | 1.700 | 2 | 1 | 0.699 | 0.634 | 0.661 | 194.3 | 330.2 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.080 | 2 | 1 | 0.903 | 0.614 | 0.753 | 180.9 | 376.3 |  |
|  | 7 | 4.120 | 2 | 1 | 0.690 | 0.802 | 0.740 | 89.8 | 370.0 |  |
| HS1010 | 0 | 0.059 | 1311 | 2 | 0.343 | 0.369 | 0.350 | 2.3 | 0.1 |  |
| [03F05] | 0.5 | 0.092 | 1311 | 2 | 0.408 | 0.385 | 0.391 | 1.6 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.187 | 1311 | 2 | 0.506 | 0.502 | 0.498 | 1.0 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.310 | 1311 | 2 | 0.798 | 0.727 | 0.757 | 0.9 | 0.3 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.480 | 187 | 2 | 0.509 | 0.533 | 0.515 | 2.9 | 1.4 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.840 | 2 | 1 | 0.335 | 0.352 | 0.338 | 200.9 | 168.8 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.230 | 2 | 1 | 0.663 | 0.662 | 0.657 | 266.9 | 328.3 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.340 | 2 | 1 | 1.070 | 1.051 | 1.055 | 393.5 | 527.3 |  |
|  | 5 | 1.780 | 2 | 1 | 1.201 | 1.215 | 1.202 | 337.6 | 601.0 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.080 | 2 | 1 | 1.380 | 1.143 | 1.256 | 301.8 | 627.8 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.890 | 2 | 1 | 0.931 | 0.865 | 0.892 | 114.7 | 446.0 |  |


| Strain | Time [h] | $\begin{gathered} \text { OD600 } \\ \text { [nm] } \end{gathered}$ | Rxn <br> Time <br> [min] | Cell <br> Vol <br> [ml] | sample1 |  | Avg. | Specific <br> Activity <br> [Miller U] | Total <br> Activity <br> [Miller U] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS1011 | 0 | 0.060 | 146 | 2 | 0.436 | 0.432 | 0.428 | 24.4 | 1.5 |  |
| [04C7-d] | 0.5 | 0.111 | 125 | 2 | 0.597 | 0.489 | 0.537 | 19.4 | 2.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.300 | 63 | 2 | 0.626 | 0.588 | 0.601 | 15.9 | 4.8 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.523 | 22 | 2 | 0.919 | 0.889 | 0.898 | 39.0 | 20.4 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.679 | 2 | 2 | 0.565 | 0.573 | 0.563 | 207.3 | 140.7 | ${ }_{3}^{3_{3}^{300}}$ |
|  | 2.5 | 1.240 | 2 | 1 | 0.517 | 0.695 | 0.600 | 241.9 | 300.0 | ${ }_{2}^{2200}+\cdots{ }^{20}$ |
|  | 3 | 1.720 | 2 | 1 | 0.753 | 0.818 | 0.780 | 226.6 | 389.8 |  |
|  | 4 | 2.040 | 2 | 1 | 0.941 | 0.955 | 0.942 | 230.9 | 471.0 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.700 | 2 | 1 | 1.143 | 1.106 | 1.119 | 207.1 | 559.3 |  |
|  | 6 | 3.110 | 2 | 1 | 1.346 | 1.195 | 1.265 | 203.3 | 632.3 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.560 | 2 | 1 | 0.876 | 1.503 | 1.184 | 166.2 | 591.8 |  |
| HS1012 | 0 | 0.056 | 510 | 2 | 0.364 | 0.395 | 0.374 | 6.5 | 0.4 |  |
| [04D09] | 0.5 | 0.116 | 510 | 2 | 0.591 | 0.515 | 0.547 | 4.6 | 0.5 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.304 | 146 | 2 | 0.410 | 0.390 | 0.394 | 4.4 | 1.3 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.506 | 38 | 2 | 0.869 | 0.957 | 0.907 | 23.6 | 11.9 | 年400 |
|  | 2 | 0.650 | 6 | 2 | 0.599 | 0.571 | 0.579 | 74.2 | 48.3 | 家3000. |
|  | 2.5 | 1.100 | 6 | 1 | 0.601 | 0.540 | 0.565 | 85.5 | 94.1 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.410 | 6 | 1 | 0.667 | 0.644 | 0.650 | 76.8 | 108.3 | $\frac{\square}{4} 150 \times \cdots$ |
|  | 4 | 1.820 | 6 | 1 | 0.711 | 0.769 | 0.734 | 67.2 | 122.3 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.210 | 6 | 1 | 0.759 | 0.716 | 0.732 | 55.2 | 121.9 | $\begin{array}{llll} 0.0 & 0.5 & 1.0 & 1.5 \\ \text { Growht } & 20 & 2.5 & 3.0 \\ (O D 600 \mathrm{~mm}) \end{array} \text { 3.5 } 4.0$ |
|  | 6 | 2.670 | 6 | 1 | 0.814 | 0.812 | 0.807 | 50.4 | 134.5 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.290 | 4 | 1 | 0.515 | 0.443 | 0.473 | 35.9 | 118.3 |  |
| HS1013 | 0 | 0.048 | 1390 | 2 | 0.168 | 0.197 | 0.177 | 1.3 | 0.1 |  |
| [04F8-d] | 0.5 | 0.088 | 1390 | 2 | 0.311 | 0.238 | 0.269 | 1.1 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.248 | 510 | 2 | 0.306 | 0.351 | 0.323 | 1.3 | 0.3 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.413 | 146 | 2 | 0.330 | 0.365 | 0.342 | 2.8 | 1.2 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.563 | 38 | 2 | 0.894 | 0.873 | 0.878 | 20.5 | 11.5 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.960 | 8 | 1 | 0.369 | 0.343 | 0.350 | 45.6 | 43.8 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.370 | 8 | 1 | 0.417 | 0.399 | 0.402 | 36.7 | 50.3 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.700 | 8 | 1 | 0.571 | 0.601 | 0.580 | 42.6 | 72.5 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.230 | 8 | 1 | 0.645 | 0.580 | 0.607 | 34.0 | 75.8 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.540 | 8 | 1 | 0.591 | 0.612 | 0.596 | 29.3 | 74.4 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.070 | 10 | 1 | 0.519 | 0.557 | 0.532 | 17.3 | 53.2 |  |
| HS1014 | 0 | 0.047 | 1390 | 2 | 0.384 | 0.365 | 0.369 | 2.8 | 0.1 |  |
| [05A10] | 0.5 | 0.089 | 1390 | 2 | 0.555 | 0.551 | 0.547 | 2.2 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.230 | 510 | 2 | 0.464 | 0.422 | 0.437 | 1.9 | 0.4 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.391 | 125 | 2 | 0.603 | 0.612 | 0.602 | 6.2 | 2.4 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.554 | 22 | 2 | 0.941 | 0.911 | 0.920 | 37.7 | 20.9 | $\begin{aligned} & E_{300} \\ & E_{350}, \ldots, \ldots \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 2.5 | 0.920 | 4 | 1 | 0.423 | 0.293 | 0.352 | 95.7 | 88.0 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.190 | 4 | 1 | 0.564 | 0.492 | 0.522 | 109.7 | 130.5 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.690 | 4 | 1 | 0.883 | 0.886 | 0.879 | 130.0 | 219.6 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.340 | 4 | 1 | 1.024 | 1.198 | 1.105 | 118.1 | 276.3 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.780 | 4 | 1 | 1.218 | 1.184 | 1.195 | 107.5 | 298.8 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.580 | 3 | 1 | 1.083 | 0.910 | 0.991 | 92.2 | 330.2 |  |
| HS1015 | 0 | 0.056 | 1390 | 2 | 0.172 | 0.182 | 0.171 | 1.1 | 0.1 |  |
| [05C08] | 0.5 | 0.110 | 1390 | 2 | 0.255 | 0.243 | 0.243 | 0.8 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.275 | 1390 | 2 | 0.606 | 0.388 | 0.491 | 0.6 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.504 | 126 | 2 | 0.336 | 0.351 | 0.338 | 2.7 | 1.3 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.620 | 22 | 2 | 0.775 | 0.699 | 0.731 | 26.8 | 16.6 | $\begin{aligned} & { }_{8}^{5} 300 \\ & 5 \\ & 850 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 2.5 | 1.050 | 7 | 1 | 0.419 | 0.397 | 0.402 | 54.7 | 57.4 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.290 | 7 | 1 | 0.521 | 0.506 | 0.508 | 56.2 | 72.5 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.710 | 7 | 1 | 0.588 | 0.526 | 0.551 | 46.0 | 78.7 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.850 | 7 | 1 | 0.601 | 0.324 | 0.457 | 22.9 | 65.2 |  Grown (OD600nm) |
|  | 6 | 2.800 | 7 | 1 | 0.630 | 0.598 | 0.608 | 31.0 | 86.9 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.140 | 10 | 1 | 0.496 | 0.482 | 0.483 | 15.4 | 48.3 |  |


| Strain | Time ［h］ | $\begin{gathered} \text { OD600 } \\ {[\mathrm{nm}]} \end{gathered}$ | Rxn <br> Time <br> ［min］ | Cell <br> Vol <br> ［ml］ | sample1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD420 } \\ & \text { [nm] } \\ & \text { sample2 } \end{aligned}$ | Avg． | Specific <br> Activity <br> ［Miller U］ | Total <br> Activity <br> ［Miller U］ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS4016 | 0 | 0.041 | 108 | 2 | 0.342 | 0.304 | 0.317 | 35.8 | 1.5 |  |
| ［06A12］ | 0.5 | 0.076 | 108 | 2 | 0.375 | 0.393 | 0.378 | 23.0 | 1.8 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.206 | 46 | 2 | 0.491 | 0.474 | 0.477 | 25.1 | 5.2 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.372 | 21 | 2 | 0.779 | 0.750 | 0.759 | 48.5 | 18.1 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.555 | 18 | 2 | 1.212 | 1.206 | 1.203 | 60.2 | 33.4 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.890 | 4 | 1 | 0.495 | 0.473 | 0.478 | 134.3 | 119.5 | 沯200 |
|  | 3 | 1.110 | 4 | 1 | 0.593 | 0.618 | 0.600 | 135.0 | 149.9 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.690 | 4 | 1 | 0.881 | 0.907 | 0.888 | 131.4 | 222.0 | ¢50 ${ }_{0}^{50} 0$ |
|  | 5 | 2.330 | 4 | 1 | 1.015 | 1.035 | 1.019 | 109.3 | 254.7 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.400 | 4 | 1 | 1.193 | 0.966 | 1.074 | 111.8 | 268.4 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.620 | 4 | 1 | 0.655 | 0.651 | 0.647 | 44.7 | 161.8 |  |
| HS1017 | 0 | 0.052 | 109 | 2 | 0.431 | 0.432 | 0.426 | 37.5 | 2.0 |  |
| ［06C03－d］ | 0.5 | 0.100 | 108 | 2 | 0.550 | 0.493 | 0.516 | 23.9 | 2.4 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.245 | 27 | 2 | 0.542 | 0.538 | 0.534 | 40.4 | 9.9 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.434 | 18 | 2 | 0.958 | 0.859 | 0.903 | 57.8 | 25.1 | 年400 |
|  | 2 | 0.583 | 18 | 2 | 1.441 | 1.469 | 1.449 | 69.0 | 40.3 | ${ }_{\text {E }}{ }_{\text {E }}^{3} \mathbf{3 0 0}$ |
|  | 2.5 | 0.920 | 4 | 1 | 0.409 | 0.373 | 0.385 | 104.6 | 96.3 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.160 | 4 | 1 | 0.514 | 0.643 | 0.573 | 123.4 | 143.1 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.690 | 4 | 1 | 0.757 | 0.600 | 0.673 | 99.5 | 168.1 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.000 | 4 | 1 | 0.740 | 0.668 | 0.698 | 87.3 | 174.5 | $\begin{array}{lllllllll} 0.0 & 0.5 & 1.0 & 1.5 & 2.0 & 2.5 & 3.0 & 3.5 & 4.0 \\ \text { Grownn } \\ (006000 \mathrm{~mm}) \end{array}$ |
|  | 6 | 2.900 | 4 | 1 | 0.870 | 0.729 | 0.794 | 68.4 | 198.4 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.040 | 4 | 1 | 0.702 | 0.614 | 0.652 | 53.6 | 163.0 |  |
| HS1018 | 0 | 0.064 | 493 | 2 | 0.588 | 0.567 | 0.572 | 9.1 | 0.6 |  |
| ［06E01－d］ | 0.5 | 0.124 | 109 | 2 | 0.320 | 0.328 | 0.318 | 11.8 | 1.5 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.339 | 93 | 2 | 0.578 | 0.590 | 0.578 | 9.2 | 3.1 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.547 | 27 | 2 | 0.719 | 0.801 | 0.754 | 25.5 | 14.0 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.692 | 8 | 2 | 1.031 | 1.040 | 1.030 | 93.0 | 64.3 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.170 | 4 | 1 | 0.669 | 0.586 | 0.622 | 132.8 | 155.4 | 麔 $2000 \times \ldots$ |
|  | 3 | 1.510 | 4 | 1 | 0.802 | 0.944 | 0.867 | 143.5 | 216.7 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.960 | 4 | 1 | 1.027 | 1.067 | 1.041 | 132.8 | 260.3 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.560 | 4 | 1 | 1.134 | 1.046 | 1.084 | 105.9 | 271.0 | $\begin{array}{llll}0.0 & 05 & 10 & 15 \\ \text { Grown（ } & 20 & 25600 \mathrm{~mm})\end{array}$ |
|  | 6 | 3.290 | 4 | 1 | 1.314 | 1.046 | 1.174 | 89.2 | 293.5 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.980 | 4 | 1 | 0.609 | 0.682 | 0.640 | 53.6 | 159.9 |  |
| HS1019 | 0 | 0.058 | 493 | 2 | 0.447 | 0.561 | 0.498 | 8.7 | 0.5 |  |
| ［06E01－1］ | 0.5 | 0.114 | 109 | 2 | 0.274 | 0.295 | 0.279 | 11.2 | 1.3 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.299 | 93 | 2 | 0.526 | 0.489 | 0.502 | 9.0 | 2.7 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.525 | 27 | 2 | 0.725 | 0.669 | 0.691 | 24.4 | 12.8 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.675 | 8 | 2 | 1.048 | 1.084 | 1.060 | 98.1 | 66.3 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.200 | 4 | 1 | 0.504 | 0.485 | 0.489 | 101.8 | 122.1 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.680 | 4 | 1 | 0.638 | 0.653 | 0.640 | 95.2 | 159.9 |  |
|  | 4 | 2.260 | 4 | 1 | 0.760 | 0.679 | 0.714 | 78.9 | 178.4 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.530 | 4 | 1 | 0.913 | 0.811 | 0.856 | 84.6 | 214.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 000510152.02 .53 .033440 \\ & \text { Onowht } \\ & \hline(00600 \mathrm{~mm}) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 6 | 3.010 | 4 | 1 | 0.965 | 0.919 | 0.936 | 77.7 | 234.0 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.410 | 3 | 1 | 0.751 | 0.879 | 0.809 | 79.1 | 269.7 |  |
| HS1020 | 0 | 0.061 | 93 | 2 | 0.407 | 0.400 | 0.398 | 35.0 | 2.1 |  |
| ［07A08］ | 0.5 | 0.124 | 46 | 2 | 0.370 | 0.379 | 0.369 | 32.3 | 4.0 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.317 | 27 | 2 | 0.659 | 0.658 | 0.653 | 38.1 | 12.1 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.515 | 18 | 2 | 1.028 | 1.047 | 1.032 | 55.6 | 28.7 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.660 | 8 | 2 | 0.884 | 0.863 | 0.868 | 82.1 | 54.2 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.060 | 5 | 1 | 0.554 | 0.464 | 0.503 | 94.9 | 100.6 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.460 | 5 | 1 | 0.537 | 0.586 | 0.556 | 76.1 | 111.1 |  |
|  | 4 | 2.040 | 5 | 1 | 0.591 | 0.686 | 0.633 | 62.0 | 126.5 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.350 | 5 | 1 | 0.675 | 0.726 | 0.695 | 59.1 | 138.9 | $\begin{gathered} 0.00 .5101 .52 .02 .53 .03 .040 \\ \text { Growth (ODCOOMM) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 6 | 2.750 | 5 | 1 | 0.747 | 0.721 | 0.728 | 52.9 | 145.6 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.200 | 10 | 1 | 0.643 | 0.621 | 0.626 | 19.6 | 62.6 |  |


| Strain | Time [h] | $\begin{gathered} \text { OD600 } \\ {[\mathrm{nm}]} \end{gathered}$ | Rxn <br> Time [min] | Cell <br> Vol <br> [ml] | sample1 | $\begin{aligned} & 00420 \\ & {[\mathrm{~nm}]} \\ & \text { sample2 } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. | Specific <br> Activity <br> [Miller U] | Total <br> Activity <br> [Miller U] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS1022 | 0 | 0.035 | 1512 | 2 | 0.080 | 0.091 | 0.071 | 0.7 | 0.0 |  |
| [07B09] | 0.5 | 0.072 | 1512 | 2 | 0.211 | 0.215 | 0.198 | 0.9 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.172 | 1512 | 2 | 0.442 | 0.450 | 0.431 | 0.8 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.357 | 97 | 2 | 0.224 | 0.234 | 0.214 | 3.1 | 1.1 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.593 | 33 | 2 | 0.402 | 0.417 | 0.395 | 10.1 | 6.0 | $\sum_{0}^{300} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ |
|  | 2.5 | 1.070 | 7 | 1 | 0.394 | 0.345 | 0.355 | 47.3 | 50.6 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.450 | 7 | 1 | 0.431 | 0.514 | 0.458 | 45.1 | 65.4 |  |
|  | 4 | 2.220 | 7 | 1 | 0.601 | 0.680 | 0.626 | 40.3 | 89.4 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.620 | 7 | 1 | 0.617 | 0.767 | 0.677 | 36.9 | 96.7 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.870 | 7 | 1 | 0.560 | 0.599 | 0.565 | 28.1 | 80.6 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.570 | 8.5 | 1 | 0.423 | 0.404 | 0.399 | 13.1 | 46.9 |  |
| HS1023 | 0 | 0.047 | 90 | 2 | 0.310 | 0.332 | 0.306 | 36.2 | 1.7 |  |
| [07D07-d] | 0.5 | 0.107 | 62 | 2 | 0.456 | 0.470 | 0.448 | 33.8 | 3.6 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.250 | 30 | 2 | 0.646 | 0.646 | 0.631 | 42.1 | 10.5 | $\square_{\text {- }}^{500} 50$ |
|  | 1.5 | 0.486 | 3 | 2 | 0.319 | 0.364 | 0.327 | 112.0 | 54.4 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.718 | 3 | 2 | 0.684 | 0.657 | 0.656 | 152.2 | 109.3 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.270 | 3 | 1 | 0.528 | 0.442 | 0.470 | 123.4 | 156.7 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.620 | 3 | 1 | 0.555 | 0.571 | 0.548 | 112.8 | 182.7 |  |
|  | 4 | 2.180 | 3 | 1 | 0.615 | 0.580 | 0.583 | 89.1 | 194.2 | $5_{0}^{50} 060$ |
|  | 5 | 2.680 | 3 | 1 | 0.480 | 0.546 | 0.498 | 61.9 | 166.0 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.810 | 3 | 1 | 0.709 | 0.704 | 0.692 | 82.0 | 230.5 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.610 | 5.5 | 1 | 0.487 | 0.450 | 0.454 | 22.8 | 82.5 |  |
| HS1024 | 0 | 0.038 | 1331 | 2 | 0.175 | 0.172 | 0.159 | 1.6 | 0.1 |  |
| [07E04] | 0.5 | 0.090 | 1331 | 2 | 0.226 | 0.273 | 0.235 | 1.0 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.211 | 1331 | 2 | 0.384 | 0.361 | 0.358 | 0.6 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.411 | 110.5 | 2 | 0.283 | 0.321 | 0.287 | 3.2 | 1.3 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.657 | 4 | 2 | 0.430 | 0.408 | 0.404 | 76.9 | 50.5 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.280 | 4 | 1 | 0.426 | 0.577 | 0.487 | 95.0 | 121.6 | ${ }_{\frac{n}{2}}^{2000}$ |
|  | 3 | 1.570 | 4 | 1 | 0.626 | 0.441 | 0.519 | 82.6 | 129.6 |  |
|  | 4 | 2.110 | 4 | 1 | 0.912 | 0.616 | 0.749 | 88.7 | 187.3 | $\bar{Z}_{0}^{50} \ldots . .$. |
|  | 5 | 2.620 | 4 | 1 | 0.679 | 0.414 | 0.532 | 50.7 | 132.9 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.790 | 4 | 1 | 0.672 | 0.786 | 0.714 | 64.0 | 178.5 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.430 | 5 | 1 | 0.715 | 0.730 | 0.708 | 41.3 | 141.5 |  |
| HS1025 | 0 | 0.046 | 171 | 2 | 0.256 | 0.301 | 0.264 | 16.7 | 0.8 |  |
| [07F09] | 0.5 | 0.103 | 103 | 2 | 0.261 | 0.270 | 0.251 | 11.8 | 1.2 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.229 | 75 | 2 | 0.366 | 0.344 | 0.340 | 9.9 | 2.3 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.441 | 13 | 2 | 0.372 | 0.329 | 0.336 | 29.3 | 12.9 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.663 | 1 | 2 | 0.393 | 0.410 | 0.387 | 291.5 | 193.3 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.290 | 1 | 1 | 0.355 | 0.262 | 0.294 | 227.5 | 293.5 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.530 | 1 | 1 | 0.452 | 0.351 | 0.387 | 252.6 | 386.5 |  |
|  | 4 | 2.100 | 1 | 1 | 0.759 | 0.688 | 0.709 | 337.4 | 708.5 |  |
|  | 5 | 3.030 | 1 | 1 | 0.930 | 0.743 | 0.822 | 271.1 | 821.5 |  |
|  | 6 | 3.400 | 1 | 1 | 1.024 | 0.913 | 0.954 | 280.4 | 953.5 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.730 | 1.5 | 1 | 1.237 | 1.301 | 1.254 | 224.1 | 836.0 |  |
| HS1026 | 0 | 0.039 | 174.5 | 2 | 0.257 | 0.236 | 0.232 | 17.0 | 0.7 |  |
| [07F10] | 0.5 | 0.085 | 90 | 2 | 0.244 | 0.256 | 0.235 | 15.4 | 1.3 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.206 | 75 | 2 | 0.319 | 0.340 | 0.315 | 10.2 | 2.1 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.389 | 11.5 | 2 | 0.373 | 0.375 | 0.359 | 40.1 | 15.6 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.632 | 1 | 2 | 0.489 | 0.399 | 0.429 | 339.4 | 214.5 | ${ }_{-350}^{E_{3} 300} \ldots \ldots$ |
|  | 2.5 | 1.190 | 1 | 1 | 0.409 | 0.434 | 0.407 | 341.6 | 406.5 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.430 | 1 | 1 | 0.512 | 0.520 | 0.501 | 350.3 | 501.0 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.990 | 1 | 1 | 0.851 | 0.762 | 0.792 | 397.7 | 791.5 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.620 | 1 | 1 | 1.016 | 1.111 | 1.049 | 400.2 | 1048.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 .510152 .02 .53 .0334 .0 \\ & \text { Growth (OD600mm) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 6 | 2.860 | 1 | 1 | 0.564 | 1.050 | 0.792 | 276.9 | 792.0 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.790 | 2 | 1 | 1.010 | 0.959 | 0.970 | 127.9 | 484.8 |  |


| Strain | Time [h] | $\begin{gathered} \text { OD600 } \\ {[\mathrm{nm}]} \end{gathered}$ | Rxn <br> Time <br> [min] | Cell <br> Vol <br> [ml] | sample1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD420 } \\ & {[\mathrm{nm}]} \\ & \text { sample2 } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. | Specific <br> Activity <br> [Miller U] | Total <br> Activity [Miller U] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS1027 | 0 | 0.037 | 171 | 2 | 0.316 | 0.300 | 0.293 | 23.2 | 0.9 |  |
| [07F11] | 0.5 | 0.078 | 103 | 2 | 0.271 | 0.258 | 0.250 | 15.5 | 1.2 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.175 | 93 | 2 | 0.420 | 0.422 | 0.406 | 12.5 | 2.2 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.373 | 17 | 2 | 0.400 | 0.387 | 0.379 | 29.8 | 11.1 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.623 | 2 | 2 | 0.611 | 0.621 | 0.601 | 241.2 | 150.3 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.180 | 2 | 1 | 0.521 | 0.441 | 0.466 | 197.5 | 233.0 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.440 | 2 | 1 | 0.608 | 0.608 | 0.593 | 205.9 | 296.5 |  |
|  | 4 | 2.030 | 2 | 1 | 0.776 | 0.864 | 0.805 | 198.3 | 402.5 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.620 | 2 | 1 | 1.038 | 0.985 | 0.997 | 190.2 | 498.3 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.980 | 2 | 1 | 0.919 | 1.188 | 1.039 | 174.2 | 519.3 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.590 | 2 | 1 | 1.445 | 1.105 | 1.260 | 175.5 | 630.0 |  |
| HS1028 | 0 | 0.046 | 483 | 2 | 0.379 | 0.348 | 0.349 | 7.8 | 0.4 |  |
| [08H08] | 0.5 | 0.098 | 150 | 2 | 0.240 | 0.246 | 0.228 | 7.8 | 0.8 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.240 | 93 | 2 | 0.318 | 0.349 | 0.319 | 7.1 | 1.7 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.422 | 12 | 2 | 0.354 | 0.389 | 0.357 | 35.2 | 14.9 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.683 | 4.5 | 2 | 0.527 | 0.555 | 0.526 | 85.6 | 58.4 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.200 | 4.5 | 1 | 0.469 | 0.429 | 0.434 | 80.4 | 96.4 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.580 | 4.5 | 1 | 0.528 | 0.596 | 0.547 | 76.9 | 121.6 |  |
|  | 4 | 2.040 | 4.5 | 1 | 0.553 | 0.492 | 0.508 | 55.3 | 112.8 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.660 | 4.5 | 1 | 0.595 | 0.656 | 0.611 | 51.0 | 135.7 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.830 | 4.5 | 1 | 0.596 | 0.730 | 0.648 | 50.9 | 144.0 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.500 | 3.5 | 1 | 0.629 | 0.680 | 0.640 | 52.2 | 182.7 |  |
| HS1029 | 0 | 0.040 | 1513 | 2 | 0.281 | 0.275 | 0.263 | 2.2 | 0.1 |  |
| [09B11] | 0.5 | 0.088 | 1513 | 2 | 0.366 | 0.367 | 0.352 | 1.3 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.204 | 483 | 2 | 0.253 | 0.263 | 0.243 | 1.2 | 0.3 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.413 | 103 | 2 | 0.269 | 0.317 | 0.278 | 3.3 | 1.3 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.647 | 3 | 2 | 0.406 | 0.420 | 0.398 | 102.5 | 66.3 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.120 | 3 | 1 | 0.313 | 0.272 | 0.278 | 82.6 | 92.5 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.640 | 2 | 1 | 0.326 | 0.298 | 0.297 | 90.5 | 148.5 |  |
|  | 4 | 2.020 | 2 | 1 | 0.485 | 0.444 | 0.450 | 111.3 | 224.8 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.560 | 2 | 1 | 0.595 | 0.655 | 0.610 | 119.1 | 305.0 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.760 | 2 | 1 | 0.583 | 0.859 | 0.706 | 127.9 | 353.0 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.140 | 2 | 1 | 1.174 | 0.843 | 0.994 | 158.2 | 496.8 |  |
| HS1030 | 0 | 0.052 | 483 | 2 | 0.427 | 0.401 | 0.399 | 7.9 | 0.4 |  |
| [09C07] | 0.5 | 0.103 | 150 | 2 | 0.209 | 0.201 | 0.190 | 6.1 | 0.6 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.247 | 139 | 2 | 0.217 | 0.287 | 0.237 | 3.5 | 0.9 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.478 | 8.5 | 2 | 0.401 | 0.414 | 0.393 | 48.3 | 23.1 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.698 | 3 | 2 | 0.492 | 0.501 | 0.482 | 115.0 | 80.3 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.240 | 3 | 1 | 0.342 | 0.335 | 0.324 | 87.0 | 107.8 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.560 | 3 | 1 | 0.467 | 0.416 | 0.427 | 91.1 | 142.2 |  |
|  | 4 | 2.150 | 3 | 1 | 0.510 | 0.552 | 0.516 | 80.0 | 172.0 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.660 | 3 | 1 | 0.666 | 0.747 | 0.692 | 86.7 | 230.5 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.860 | 3 | 1 | 0.737 | 0.823 | 0.765 | 89.2 | 255.0 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.330 | 2 | 1 | 1.182 | 0.675 | 0.914 | 137.2 | 456.8 |  |
| HS1031 | 0 | 0.039 | 1512 | 2 | 0.310 | 0.280 | 0.280 | 2.4 | 0.1 |  |
| [09E10] | 0.5 | 0.090 | 1512 | 2 | 0.398 | 0.410 | 0.389 | 1.4 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.199 | 1512 | 2 | 0.674 | 0.671 | 0.658 | 1.1 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.389 | 24 | 2 | 0.271 | 0.298 | 0.270 | 14.4 | 5.6 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.637 | 2 | 2 | 0.286 | 0.305 | 0.281 | 110.1 | 70.1 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.140 | 2 | 1 | 0.290 | 0.375 | 0.318 | 139.3 | 158.8 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.430 | 2 | 1 | 0.439 | 0.532 | 0.471 | 164.5 | 235.3 |  |
|  | 4 | 2.000 | 2 | 1 | 0.758 | 0.636 | 0.682 | 170.5 | 341.0 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.770 | 2 | 1 | 0.646 | 0.852 | 0.734 | 132.5 | 367.0 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.880 | 2 | 1 | 0.527 | 0.937 | 0.717 | 124.5 | 358.5 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.450 | 3.5 | 1 | 0.917 | 0.879 | 0.883 | 73.1 | 252.3 |  |



| Strain | $\begin{gathered} \text { Time } \\ {[\mathrm{h}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { OD600 } \\ {[n m]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R×n } \\ & \text { Time } \\ & {[\text { min] }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cell } \\ & \text { vol } \\ & {[\mathrm{ml}]} \end{aligned}$ | sample 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { OD420 } \\ \text { [nm] } \\ \text { sample2 } \end{gathered}$ | Avg. | Specific Activity [Miller U] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Activity } \\ & \text { [Miller U] } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS1037 | 0 | 0.044 | 1512 | 2 | 0.158 | 0.138 | 0.133 | 1.0 | 0.0 |  |
| [14C03] | 0.5 | 0.086 | 1512 | 2 | 0.081 | 0.173 | 0.112 | 0.4 | 0.0 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.217 | 1512 | 2 | 0.239 | 0.237 | 0.223 | 0.3 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.424 | 174 | 2 | 0.295 | 0.275 | 0.270 | 1.8 | 0.8 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.640 | 6.5 | 2 | 0.342 | 0.356 | 0.334 | 40.1 | 25.7 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.190 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.452 | 0.433 | 0.428 | 55.3 | 65.8 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.440 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.600 | 0.633 | 0.602 | 64.3 | 92.5 |  |
|  | 4 | 2.070 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.669 | 0.561 | 0.600 | 44.6 | 92.3 |  |
|  | 5 | 3.190 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.706 | 0.581 | 0.629 | 30.3 | 96.7 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.850 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.607 | 0.625 | 0.601 | 32.4 | 92.5 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.390 | 6 | 1 | 0.382 | 0.471 | 0.412 | 20.2 | 68.6 |  |
| HS1038 [14D08] | 0 | 0.044 | 1511 | 2 | 0.130 | 0.138 | 0.119 | 0.9 | 0.0 |  |
|  | 0.5 | 0.085 | 1511 | 2 | 0.175 | 0.140 | 0.143 | 0.6 | 0.0 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.201 | 1511 | 2 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.201 | 0.3 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.409 | 174 | 2 | 0.259 | 0.270 | 0.250 | 1.8 | 0.7 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.634 | 6 | 2 | 0.376 | 0.393 | 0.370 | 48.6 | 30.8 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.150 | 6 | 1 | 0.393 | 0.471 | 0.417 | 60.4 | 69.5 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.450 | ${ }^{6}$ | 1 | 0.564 | 0.591 | ${ }^{0.563}$ | 64.7 | 93.8 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.980 | 6 | 1 | 0.736 | 0.582 | 0.644 | 54.2 | 107.3 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.950 | 6 | 1 | 0.621 | 0.500 | 0.546 | 30.8 | 90.9 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.950 | 6 | 1 | 0.604 | 0.558 | 0.566 | 32.0 | 94.3 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.210 | 6 | 1 | 0.350 | 0.482 | 0.401 | 20.8 | 66.8 |  |
| HS1039 [15G03] | 0 | 0.048 | 483 | 2 | 0.377 | 0.343 | 0.345 | 7.4 | 0.4 |  |
|  | 0.5 | 0.100 | 483 | 2 | 0.434 | 0.446 | 0.425 | 4.4 | 0.4 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.231 | 483 | 2 | 0.693 | 0.748 | ${ }^{0.706}$ | 3.2 | 0.7 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.432 | 37.5 | 2 | 0.420 | 0.397 | 0.394 | 12.1 | 5.2 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.631 | 4 | 2 | 0.378 | ${ }^{0.395}$ | ${ }^{0.372}$ | 73.6 | 46.4 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.110 | 4 | 1 | 0.400 | 0.420 | ${ }^{0.395}$ | 89.0 | 98.8 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.370 | 4 | 1 | 0.590 | 0.411 | ${ }^{0.486}$ | 88.6 | 121.4 <br> 114.6 <br> 1.2 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.910 | 4 | 1 | 0.528 | 0.419 | 0.459 | 60.0 524 | 114.6 <br> 15.9 <br> 18.9 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.920 | 4 | 1 | ${ }^{0.628}$ | ${ }^{0.625}$ | ${ }^{0.612}$ | 52.4 | 152.9 |  |
|  | 6 | 3.100 | 4 | 1 | 0.557 | 0.569 | 0.548 | 44.2 | 137.0 |  |
|  | 7 | 3. 150 | 4 | 1 | 0.670 | 0.680 | 0.660 | 52.4 | 165.0 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { HS1040 } \\ & {[16 E 03]} \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0.038 | 1511 | 2 | 0.212 | 0.208 | 0.195 | 1.7 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 0.5 | 0.072 | 483 | 2 | 0.127 | 0.140 | 0.119 | 1.7 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.158 | 1511 | 2 | 0.355 | 0.315 | 0.320 | 0.7 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.305 | 76 | 2 | 0.394 | 0.347 | 0.356 | 7.7 | 2.3 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.498 | 27.5 | 2 | 0.598 | 0.558 | 0.563 | 20.6 | 10.2 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.880 | 4 | 1 | 0.328 | 0.357 | 0.328 | 93.0 | 81.9 |  |
|  |  | 0.980 | 4 | 1 | 0.426 | 0.431 | 0.414 | 105.5 | 103.4 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.280 | 4 | 1 | 0.702 | ${ }^{0.628}$ | ${ }^{0.650}$ | ${ }^{127.0}$ | 162.5 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.080 | 4 | 1 | 0.782 | 0.717 | 0.735 | 88.3 | 183.6 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.320 | 4 | 1 | 0.693 | 0.736 | 0.700 | 75.4 | 174.9 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.110 | 4 | 1 | 0.577 | 0.617 | 0.582 | 46.8 | 145.5 |  |
| HS1041 [16F07] | 0 | 0.046 | 1513 | 2 | 0.404 | 0.446 | 0.410 | 2.9 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 0.5 | 0.087 | 483 | 2 | 0.221 | 0.236 | 0.214 | 2.5 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.196 | 483 | 2 | 0.321 | 0.350 | 0.321 | 1.7 | 0.3 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.403 | 55 | 2 | 0.433 | 0.405 | ${ }^{0} .404$ | 9.1 | 3.7 |  |
|  |  | 0.617 | 3.5 | 2 | 0.410 | 0.399 | 0.390 | 90.2 | 55.6 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.100 | 3.5 | 1 | 0.415 | 0.468 | ${ }^{0.427}$ | 110.8 | 121.9 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.490 | 3.5 | 1 | 0.546 | 0.477 | 0.497 | 95.2 | 141.9 |  |
|  | 4 | 2.070 | 3.5 | 1 | 0.648 | 0.545 | 0.582 | 80.3 | 166.1 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.740 | 3.5 | 1 | 0.579 | 0.690 | 0.620 | 64.6 | 177.0 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.890 | 3.5 | 1 | 0.539 | 0.664 | 0.587 | 58.0 | 167.6 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.450 | 4 | 1 | 0.448 | 0.496 | 0.457 | 33.1 | 114.3 |  |


| Strain | Time [h] | $\begin{gathered} \text { OD600 } \\ {[n m]} \end{gathered}$ | Rxn <br> Time <br> [min] | Cell <br> Vol <br> [ml] | sample1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD420 } \\ & {[\mathrm{nm}]} \\ & \text { sample2 } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. | Specific <br> Activity <br> [Miller U] | Total <br> Activity <br> [Miller U] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS1042 | 0 | 0.043 | 1239 | 2 | 0.284 | 0.217 | 0.245 | 2.3 | 0.1 |  |
| [21B04] | 0.5 | 0.091 | 1239 | 2 | 0.566 | 0.537 | 0.546 | 2.4 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.214 | 171 | 2 | 0.216 | 0.199 | 0.202 | 2.8 | 0.6 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.374 | 80.5 | 2 | 0.402 | 0.429 | 0.410 | 6.8 | 2.5 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.592 | 5.5 | 2 | 0.283 | 0.291 | 0.281 | 43.2 | 25.5 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.040 | 5.5 | 1 | 0.335 | 0.367 | 0.345 | 60.3 | 62.7 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.420 | 5.5 | 1 | 0.377 | 0.318 | 0.342 | 43.7 | 62.1 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.790 | 5.5 | 1 | 0.388 | 0.374 | 0.375 | 38.1 | 68.2 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.360 | 5.5 | 1 | 0.446 | 0.436 | 0.435 | 33.5 | 79.1 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.690 | 5.35 | 1 | 0.486 | 0.511 | 0.493 | 34.2 | 92.1 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.010 | 3 | 1 | 0.961 | 0.965 | 0.957 | 106.0 | 319.0 |  |
| HS1043 | 0 | 0.051 | 1239 | 2 | 0.157 | 0.157 | 0.151 | 1.2 | 0.1 |  |
| [21F08] | 0.5 | 0.103 | 1239 | 2 | 0.227 | 0.224 | 0.220 | 0.9 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.226 | 271 | 2 | 0.120 | 0.127 | 0.118 | 1.0 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.439 | 130.5 | 2 | 0.274 | 0.287 | 0.275 | 2.4 | 1.1 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.638 | 5.5 | 2 | 0.191 | 0.219 | 0.199 | 28.4 | 18.1 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.350 | 5.5 | 1 | 0.199 | 0.210 | 0.199 | 26.7 | 36.1 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.440 | 5.5 | 1 | 0.322 | 0.298 | 0.304 | 38.4 | 55.3 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.860 | 5.5 | 1 | 0.391 | 0.424 | 0.402 | 39.2 | 73.0 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.400 | 5.5 | 1 | 0.588 | 0.634 | 0.605 | 45.8 | 110.0 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.820 | 5.5 | 1 | 0.780 | 0.721 | 0.745 | 48.0 | 135.4 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.700 | 3 | 1 | 0.497 | 0.486 | 0.486 | 59.9 | 161.8 |  |
| HS1044 | 0 | 0.049 | 171 | 2 | 0.174 | 0.192 | 0.177 | 10.6 | 0.5 |  |
| [22E03] | 0.5 | 0.095 | 103.5 | 2 | 0.254 | 0.275 | 0.259 | 13.1 | 1.2 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.231 | 48 | 2 | 0.322 | 0.295 | 0.303 | 13.6 | 3.2 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.428 | 73 | 2 | 0.289 | 0.286 | 0.282 | 4.5 | 1.9 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.646 | 5 | 2 | 0.429 | 0.494 | 0.456 | 70.5 | 45.6 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.010 | 5 | 1 | 0.435 | 0.450 | 0.437 | 86.4 | 87.3 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.460 | 5 | 1 | 0.533 | 0.489 | 0.505 | 69.2 | 101.0 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.960 | 5 | 1 | 0.732 | 0.684 | 0.702 | 71.6 | 140.4 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.620 | 5 | 1 | 0.805 | 0.772 | 0.783 | 59.7 | 156.5 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.920 | 5 | 1 | 0.705 | 0.634 | 0.664 | 45.4 | 132.7 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.420 | 3 | 1 | 0.706 | 0.716 | 0.705 | 68.7 | 235.0 |  |
| HS1045 | 0 | 0.055 | 209 | 2 | 0.220 | 0.202 | 0.205 | 8.9 | 0.5 |  |
| [22F08] | 0.5 | 0.102 | 103.5 | 2 | 0.284 | 0.288 | 0.280 | 13.3 | 1.4 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.243 | 48 | 2 | 0.308 | 0.324 | 0.310 | 13.3 | 3.2 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.458 | 13 | 2 | 0.314 | 0.312 | 0.307 | 25.8 | 11.8 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.661 | 5 | 2 | 0.579 | 0.555 | 0.561 | 84.9 | 56.1 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.350 | 5 | 1 | 0.461 | 0.478 | 0.464 | 68.7 | 92.7 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.360 | 5 | 1 | 0.584 | 0.634 | 0.603 | 88.7 | 120.6 |  |
|  | 4 | 2.130 | 5 | 1 | 0.701 | 0.704 | 0.697 | 65.4 | 139.3 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.330 | 5 | 1 | 0.698 | 0.725 | 0.706 | 60.6 | 141.1 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.710 | 5 | 1 | 0.593 | 0.626 | 0.604 | 44.5 | 120.7 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.970 | 12.5 | 1 | 0.682 | 0.632 | 0.651 | 17.5 | 52.1 |  |
| HS1046 | 0 | 0.049 | 171 | 2 | 0.207 | 0.230 | 0.213 | 12.7 | 0.6 |  |
| [22F09] | 0.5 | 0.102 | 103 | 2 | 0.222 | 0.246 | 0.228 | 10.9 | 1.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.235 | 80.5 | 2 | 0.301 | 0.288 | 0.289 | 7.6 | 1.8 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.448 | 13 | 2 | 0.237 | 0.234 | 0.230 | 19.7 | 8.8 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.645 | 3 | 2 | 0.631 | 0.711 | 0.665 | 171.8 | 110.8 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.460 | 3 | 1 | 0.525 | 0.499 | 0.506 | 115.5 | 168.7 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.430 | 3 | 1 | 0.575 | 0.650 | 0.607 | 141.4 | 202.2 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.920 | 3 | 1 | 0.668 | 0.759 | 0.708 | 122.8 | 235.8 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.300 | 3 | 1 | 0.771 | 0.742 | 0.751 | 108.8 | 250.2 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.770 | 3 | 1 | 0.778 | 0.728 | 0.747 | 89.9 | 249.0 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.840 | 3 | 1 | 0.570 | 0.481 | 0.520 | 61.0 | 173.2 |  |


| Strain | Time <br> [h] | $\begin{gathered} \text { OD600 } \\ {[\mathrm{nm}]} \end{gathered}$ | Rxn <br> Time <br> [min] | Cell <br> Vol <br> [ml] | sample1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD420 } \\ & \text { [nm] } \\ & \text { sample2 } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. | Specific <br> Activity <br> [Miller U] | Total <br> Activity [Miller U] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS1047 | 0 | 0.060 | 1239 | 2 | 0.215 | 0.220 | 0.212 | 1.4 | 0.1 |  |
| [22G10] | 0.5 | 0.112 | 1239 | 2 | 0.391 | 0.388 | 0.384 | 1.4 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.219 | 271 | 2 | 0.179 | 0.185 | 0.176 | 1.5 | 0.3 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.442 | 80.5 | 2 | 0.316 | 0.301 | 0.303 | 4.3 | 1.9 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.659 | 12 | 2 | 0.509 | 0.511 | 0.504 | 31.9 | 21.0 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.130 | 12 | 1 | 0.514 | 0.551 | 0.527 | 38.8 | 43.9 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.470 | 12 | 1 | 0.845 | 0.823 | 0.828 | 46.9 | 69.0 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.930 | 12 | 1 | 0.988 | 0.972 | 0.974 | 42.1 | 81.2 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.390 | 12 | 1 | 1.181 | 1.119 | 1.144 | 39.9 | 95.3 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.850 | 12 | 1 | 1.329 | 1.219 | 1.268 | 37.1 | 105.7 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.840 | 3 | 1 | 0.545 | 0.511 | 0.522 | 61.3 | 174.0 |  |
| HS1048 | 0 | 0.053 | 271 | 2 | 0.162 | 0.148 | 0.149 | 5.2 | 0.3 |  |
| [23E01] | 0.5 | 0.103 | 171 | 2 | 0.174 | 0.170 | 0.166 | 4.7 | 0.5 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.238 | 171 | 2 | 0.219 | 0.224 | 0.216 | 2.6 | 0.6 | $\widehat{\underline{n}}_{5}^{500}$ |
|  | 1.5 | 0.438 | 48 | 2 | 0.372 | 0.398 | 0.379 | 9.0 | 3.9 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.665 | 6 | 2 | 0.448 | 0.463 | 0.450 | 56.3 | 37.5 | E 300 0 0 |
|  | 2.5 | 1.210 | 6 | 1 | 0.497 | 0.486 | 0.486 | 66.9 | 80.9 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.660 | 6 | 1 | 0.678 | 0.675 | 0.671 | 67.3 | 111.8 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.860 | 6 | 1 | 0.862 | 0.932 | 0.891 | 79.8 | 148.5 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.560 | 6 | 1 | 1.057 | 1.032 | 1.039 | 67.6 | 173.1 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.970 | 6 | 1 | 0.945 | 0.967 | 0.950 | 53.3 | 158.3 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.160 | 3 | 1 | 0.221 | 0.247 | 0.228 | 24.1 | 76.0 |  |
| HS1049 | 0 | 0.042 | 271 | 2 | 0.173 | 0.161 | 0.161 | 7.1 | 0.3 |  |
| [24B12] | 0.5 | 0.091 | 171 | 2 | 0.162 | 0.168 | 0.159 | 5.1 | 0.5 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.226 | 171 | 2 | 0.198 | 0.182 | 0.184 | 2.4 | 0.5 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.407 | 48 | 2 | 0.395 | 0.360 | 0.372 | 9.5 | 3.9 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.622 | 6 | 2 | 0.421 | 0.395 | 0.402 | 53.9 | 33.5 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.070 | 6 | 1 | 0.471 | 0.440 | 0.450 | 70.0 | 74.9 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.140 | 6 | 1 | 0.598 | 0.612 | 0.599 | 87.6 | 99.8 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.910 | 6 | 1 | 0.828 | 0.890 | 0.853 | 74.4 | 142.2 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.540 | 6 | 1 | 0.862 | 0.971 | 0.911 | 59.7 | 151.8 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.890 | 6 | 1 | 0.821 | 0.896 | 0.853 | 49.2 | 142.1 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.620 | 3 | 1 | 0.687 | 0.908 | 0.792 | 72.9 | 263.8 |  |
| HS1050 | 0 | 0.047 | 271 | 2 | 0.214 | 0.197 | 0.200 | 7.8 | 0.4 |  |
| [24C07] | 0.5 | 0.094 | 171 | 2 | 0.252 | 0.247 | 0.244 | 7.6 | 0.7 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.228 | 162.5 | 2 | 0.286 | 0.278 | 0.276 | 3.7 | 0.8 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.398 | 80.5 | 2 | 0.753 | 0.930 | 0.836 | 13.0 | 5.2 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.636 | 11.5 | 2 | 0.477 | 0.475 | 0.470 | 32.1 | 20.4 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.100 | 11.5 | 1 | 0.434 | 0.415 | 0.419 | 33.1 | 36.4 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.600 | 11.5 | 1 | 0.476 | 0.546 | 0.505 | 27.4 | 43.9 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.890 | 11.5 | 1 | 0.625 | 0.630 | 0.622 | 28.6 | 54.0 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.460 | 11.5 | 1 | 0.651 | 0.642 | 0.641 | 22.6 | 55.7 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.780 | 11.5 | 1 | 0.536 | 0.705 | 0.615 | 19.2 | 53.4 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.430 | 3 | 1 | 0.389 | 0.398 | 0.388 | 37.7 | 129.2 |  |
| HS1051 | 0 | 0.040 | 1239 | 2 | 0.268 | 0.222 | 0.239 | 2.4 | 0.1 |  |
| [25A11] | 0.5 | 0.070 | 271 | 2 | 0.200 | 0.211 | 0.200 | 5.3 | 0.4 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.155 | 171 | 2 | 0.284 | 0.244 | 0.258 | 4.9 | 0.8 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.300 | 80.5 | 2 | 0.471 | 0.367 | 0.413 | 8.6 | 2.6 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.488 | 16.5 | 2 | 0.290 | 0.316 | 0.297 | 18.4 | 9.0 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.920 | 5 | 1 | 0.337 | 0.359 | 0.342 | 74.3 | 68.4 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.140 | 5 | 1 | 0.567 | 0.536 | 0.546 | 95.7 | 109.1 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.540 | 5 | 1 | 0.680 | 0.690 | 0.679 | 88.2 | 135.8 |  |
|  | 5 | 1.830 | 5 | 1 | 0.828 | 0.853 | 0.835 | 91.2 | 166.9 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.350 | 5 | 1 | 1.136 | 1.136 | 1.130 | 96.2 | 226.0 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.400 | 3 | 1 | 0.390 | 0.390 | 0.384 | 53.3 | 128.0 |  |


| Strain | Time [h] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{OD600} \\ {[\mathrm{~nm}]} \end{gathered}$ | Rxn <br> Time <br> [min] | Cell <br> Vol <br> [ml] | sample1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD420 } \\ & {[\mathrm{nm}]} \\ & \text { sample2 } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. | Specific <br> Activity <br> [Miller U] | Total <br> Activity <br> [Miller U] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS1052 | 0 | 0.042 | 1231 | 2 | 0.354 | 0.362 | 0.352 | 3.4 | 0.1 |  |
| [25B04] | 0.5 | 0.093 | 271 | 2 | 0.182 | 0.188 | 0.179 | 3.6 | 0.3 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.222 | 171 | 2 | 0.225 | 0.230 | 0.222 | 2.9 | 0.6 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.423 | 80.5 | 2 | 0.457 | 0.465 | 0.455 | 6.7 | 2.8 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.642 | 3 | 2 | 0.255 | 0.269 | 0.256 | 66.5 | 42.7 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.130 | 3 | 1 | 0.346 | 0.360 | 0.347 | 102.4 | 115.7 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.440 | 3 | 1 | 0.499 | 0.406 | 0.447 | 103.4 | 148.8 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.950 | 3 | 1 | 0.587 | 0.533 | 0.554 | 94.7 | 184.7 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.700 | 3 | 1 | 0.591 | 0.597 | 0.588 | 72.6 | 196.0 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.790 | 3 | 1 | 0.651 | 0.662 | 0.651 | 77.7 | 216.8 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.800 | 7.5 | 1 | 0.978 | 1.135 | 1.051 | 50.0 | 140.1 |  |
| HS1053 | 0 | 0.042 | 2131 | 2 | 0.326 | 0.299 | 0.307 | 1.7 | 0.1 |  |
| [26A06] | 0.5 | 0.090 | 2131 | 2 | 0.396 | 0.398 | 0.391 | 1.0 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.206 | 271 | 2 | 0.194 | 0.189 | 0.186 | 1.7 | 0.3 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.388 | 80.5 | 2 | 0.258 | 0.267 | 0.257 | 4.1 | 1.6 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.628 | 3 | 2 | 0.134 | 0.140 | 0.131 | 34.8 | 21.8 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.070 | 3 | 1 | 0.198 | 0.185 | 0.186 | 57.8 | 61.8 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.340 | 3 | 1 | 0.291 | 0.313 | 0.296 | 73.6 | 98.7 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.980 | 3 | 1 | 0.489 | 0.467 | 0.472 | 79.5 | 157.3 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.360 | 3 | 1 | 0.601 | 0.516 | 0.553 | 78.0 | 184.2 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.790 | 3 | 1 | 0.709 | 0.619 | 0.658 | 78.6 | 219.3 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.980 | 7.5 | 1 | 0.712 | 0.753 | 0.727 | 32.5 | 96.9 |  |
| HS1054 | 0 | 0.043 | 105 | 2 | 0.250 | 0.253 | 0.246 | 27.2 | 1.2 |  |
| [26E05] | 0.5 | 0.095 | 95.5 | 2 | 0.331 | 0.409 | 0.364 | 20.1 | 1.9 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.222 | 72.5 | 2 | 0.368 | 0.374 | 0.365 | 11.3 | 2.5 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.445 | 16 | 2 | 0.513 | 0.489 | 0.495 | 34.8 | 15.5 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.631 | 2 | 2 | 0.575 | 0.581 | 0.572 | 226.6 | 143.0 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.110 | 2 | 1 | 0.587 | 0.563 | 0.569 | 256.3 | 284.5 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.320 | 2 | 1 | 0.661 | 0.773 | 0.711 | 269.3 | 355.5 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.840 | 2 | 1 | 0.882 | 0.790 | 0.830 | 225.5 | 415.0 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.280 | 2 | 1 | 1.075 | 1.063 | 1.063 | 233.1 | 531.5 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.530 | 2 | 1 | 0.920 | 1.042 | 0.975 | 192.7 | 487.5 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.550 | 1.5 | 1 | 1.150 | 1.197 | 1.168 | 305.2 | 778.3 |  |
| HS1055 | 0 | 0.042 | 105 | 2 | 0.250 | 0.307 | 0.273 | 30.9 | 1.3 |  |
| [28F07] | 0.5 | 0.093 | 95.5 | 2 | 0.402 | 0.412 | 0.401 | 22.6 | 2.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.234 | 72.5 | 2 | 0.502 | 0.475 | 0.483 | 14.2 | 3.3 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.421 | 16 | 2 | 0.579 | 0.587 | 0.577 | 42.8 | 18.0 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.630 | 2 | 2 | 0.644 | 0.647 | 0.640 | 253.8 | 159.9 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.320 | 2 | 1 | 0.580 | 0.624 | 0.596 | 225.8 | 298.0 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.470 | 2 | 1 | 0.578 | 0.771 | 0.669 | 227.4 | 334.3 |  |
|  | 4 | 2.070 | 2 | 1 | 0.770 | 0.965 | 0.862 | 208.1 | 430.8 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.460 | 2 | 1 | 0.983 | 1.038 | 1.005 | 204.2 | 502.2 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.690 | 2 | 1 | 0.839 | 0.850 | 0.839 | 155.9 | 419.3 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.740 | 1.5 | 1 | 1.744 | 1.589 | 1.661 | 404.0 | 1107.0 |  |
| HS1056 | 0 | 0.041 | 263 | 2 | 0.290 | 0.268 | 0.273 | 12.7 | 0.5 |  |
| [29G11] | 0.5 | 0.090 | 237 | 2 | 0.247 | 0.272 | 0.254 | 5.9 | 0.5 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.220 | 171 | 2 | 0.278 | 0.288 | 0.277 | 3.7 | 0.8 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.415 | 40 | 2 | 0.607 | 0.583 | 0.589 | 17.7 | 7.4 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.641 | 1.5 | 2 | 0.363 | 0.438 | 0.395 | 205.1 | 131.5 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.150 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.394 | 0.368 | 0.375 | 217.4 | 250.0 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.540 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.525 | 0.486 | 0.500 | 216.2 | 333.0 |  |
|  | 4 | 2.000 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.586 | 0.594 | 0.584 | 194.7 | 389.3 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.440 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.760 | 0.765 | 0.757 | 206.7 | 504.3 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.900 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.679 | 0.583 | 0.625 | 143.7 | 416.7 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.720 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.568 | 0.969 | 0.763 | 186.9 | 508.3 |  |


| Strain | Time <br> [h] | $\begin{gathered} \text { OD600 } \\ {[\mathrm{nm}]} \end{gathered}$ | Rxn <br> Time <br> [min] | Cell <br> Vol <br> [ml] | sample1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD420 } \\ & \text { [nm] } \\ & \text { sample2 } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. | Specific <br> Activity <br> [Miller U] | Total <br> Activity [Miller U] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS1057 | 0 | 0.044 | 237 | 2 | 0.204 | 0.202 | 0.197 | 9.4 | 0.4 |  |
| [31B04] | 0.5 | 0.091 | 171 | 2 | 0.204 | 0.210 | 0.201 | 6.5 | 0.6 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.234 | 105 | 2 | 0.225 | 0.213 | 0.213 | 4.3 | 1.0 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.408 | 40 | 2 | 0.489 | 0.520 | 0.499 | 15.3 | 6.2 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.628 | 1.5 | 2 | 0.613 | 0.564 | 0.583 | 309.2 | 194.2 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.090 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.481 | 0.468 | 0.469 | 286.5 | 312.3 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.450 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.781 | 0.692 | 0.731 | 335.9 | 487.0 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.860 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.931 | 0.897 | 0.908 | 325.4 | 605.3 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.610 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.871 | 0.921 | 0.890 | 227.3 | 593.3 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.790 | 1.5 | 1 | 1.031 | 1.097 | 1.058 | 252.8 | 705.3 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.690 | 1.5 | 1 | 1.418 | 1.411 | 1.409 | 349.1 | 939.0 |  |
| HS1058 | 0 | 0.045 | 237 | 2 | 0.238 | 0.212 | 0.219 | 10.3 | 0.5 |  |
| [31809] | 0.5 | 0.096 | 171 | 2 | 0.219 | 0.231 | 0.219 | 6.7 | 0.6 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.232 | 105 | 2 | 0.231 | 0.226 | 0.223 | 4.6 | 1.1 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.429 | 40 | 2 | 0.382 | 0.407 | 0.389 | 11.3 | 4.9 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.646 | 1 | 2 | 0.369 | 0.386 | 0.372 | 287.5 | 185.7 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.130 | 1 | 1 | 0.406 | 0.403 | 0.399 | 352.7 | 398.5 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.490 | 1 | 1 | 0.704 | 0.722 | 0.707 | 474.5 | 707.0 |  |
|  | 4 | 2.140 | 1 | 1 | 1.069 | 1.031 | 1.044 | 487.9 | 1044.0 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.880 | 1 | 1 | 1.260 | 1.156 | 1.202 | 417.4 | 1202.0 |  |
|  | 6 | 3.310 | 1 | 1 | 1.372 | 1.180 | 1.270 | 383.7 | 1270.0 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.720 | 1.5 | 1 | 1.847 | 1.701 | 1.768 | 433.3 | 1178.7 |  |
| HS1059 | 0 | 0.048 | 237 | 2 | 0.288 | 0.277 | 0.277 | 12.2 | 0.6 |  |
| [31D07-d] | 0.5 | 0.094 | 171 | 2 | 0.269 | 0.277 | 0.267 | 8.3 | 0.8 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.222 | 105 | 2 | 0.253 | 0.234 | 0.238 | 5.1 | 1.1 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.419 | 40 | 2 | 0.581 | 0.584 | 0.577 | 17.2 | 7.2 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.631 | 2.5 | 2 | 0.309 | 0.301 | 0.299 | 94.8 | 59.8 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.180 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.388 | 0.329 | 0.353 | 119.5 | 141.0 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.400 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.412 | 0.475 | 0.438 | 125.0 | 175.0 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.850 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.675 | 0.603 | 0.633 | 136.9 | 253.2 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.350 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.580 | 0.581 | 0.575 | 97.8 | 229.8 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.760 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.610 | 0.562 | 0.580 | 84.1 | 232.0 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.640 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.631 | 0.675 | 0.647 | 163.4 | 431.3 |  |
| HS1060 | 0 | 0.051 | 171 | 2 | 0.284 | 0.281 | 0.277 | 15.9 | 0.8 |  |
| [31D07-1] | 0.5 | 0.112 | 105 | 2 | 0.242 | 0.240 | 0.235 | 10.0 | 1.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.261 | 95.5 | 2 | 0.290 | 0.286 | 0.282 | 5.7 | 1.5 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.466 | 40 | 2 | 0.642 | 0.716 | 0.673 | 18.1 | 8.4 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.677 | 4 | 2 | 0.616 | 0.602 | 0.603 | 111.3 | 75.4 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.250 | 4 | 1 | 0.629 | 0.656 | 0.637 | 127.3 | 159.1 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.500 | 4 | 1 | 0.700 | 0.666 | 0.677 | 112.8 | 169.3 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.970 | 4 | 1 | 0.774 | 0.761 | 0.762 | 96.6 | 190.4 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.630 | 4 | 1 | 0.810 | 0.815 | 0.807 | 76.7 | 201.6 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.900 | 4 | 1 | 1.010 | 1.101 | 1.050 | 90.5 | 262.4 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.660 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.494 | 0.433 | 0.458 | 114.7 | 305.0 |  |
| HS1061 | 0 | 0.046 | 2131 | 2 | 0.332 | 0.329 | 0.325 | 1.7 | 0.1 |  |
| [31F08-n] | 0.5 | 0.098 | 2131 | 2 | 0.399 | 0.411 | 0.399 | 1.0 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.225 | 263 | 2 | 0.189 | 0.188 | 0.183 | 1.5 | 0.3 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.459 | 72.5 | 2 | 0.266 | 0.266 | 0.260 | 3.9 | 1.8 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.638 | 4 | 2 | 0.263 | 0.276 | 0.264 | 51.6 | 32.9 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.100 | 4 | 1 | 0.332 | 0.299 | 0.310 | 70.3 | 77.4 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.380 | 4 | 1 | 0.496 | 0.516 | 0.500 | 90.6 | 125.0 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.880 | 4 | 1 | 0.716 | 0.645 | 0.675 | 89.7 | 168.6 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.330 | 4 | 1 | 0.622 | 0.689 | 0.650 | 69.7 | 162.4 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.690 | 4 | 1 | 0.599 | 0.768 | 0.678 | 63.0 | 169.4 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.000 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.666 | 0.630 | 0.642 | 142.7 | 428.0 |  |


| Strain | Time [h] | $\begin{gathered} \text { OD600 } \\ {[\mathrm{nm}]} \end{gathered}$ | Rxn <br> Time <br> [min] | Cell <br> Vol <br> [ml] | sample1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD420 } \\ & {[\mathrm{nm}]} \\ & \text { sample2 } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. | Specific <br> Activity <br> [Miller U] | Total <br> Activity [Miller U] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS1062 | 0 | 0.033 | 1179 | 2 | 0.078 | 0.079 | 0.057 | 0.7 | 0.0 |  |
| [31F09] | 0.5 | 0.072 | 1179 | 2 | 0.233 | 0.254 | 0.221 | 1.3 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.178 | 1179 | 2 | 0.328 | 0.369 | 0.327 | 0.8 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.336 | 71 | 2 | 2.350 | 0.218 | 1.262 | 26.5 | 8.9 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.593 | 8 | 2 | 0.272 | 0.296 | 0.262 | 27.6 | 16.4 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.220 | 3.5 | 1 | 0.258 | 0.252 | 0.233 | 54.6 | 66.6 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.290 | 3.5 | 1 | 0.415 | 0.409 | 0.390 | 86.4 | 111.4 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.840 | 3.5 | 1 | 0.534 | 0.513 | 0.502 | 77.9 | 143.3 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.320 | 3.5 | 1 | 0.528 | 0.592 | 0.538 | 66.3 | 153.7 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.710 | 3.5 | 1 | 0.837 | 0.899 | 0.846 | 89.2 | 241.7 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.860 | 5 | 1 | 0.770 | 0.718 | 0.722 | 50.5 | 144.4 |  |
| HS1063 | 0 | 0.032 | 1179 | 2 | 0.148 | 0.133 | 0.119 | 1.6 | 0.1 |  |
| [32D07] | 0.5 | 0.078 | 1179 | 2 | 0.242 | 0.236 | 0.217 | 1.2 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.191 | 419 | 2 | 0.231 | 0.227 | 0.207 | 1.3 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.383 | 71 | 2 | 0.316 | 0.305 | 0.289 | 5.3 | 2.0 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.627 | 7 | 2 | 0.348 | 0.356 | 0.330 | 37.6 | 23.6 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.130 | 7 | 1 | 0.282 | 0.342 | 0.290 | 36.7 | 41.4 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.330 | 7 | 1 | 0.375 | 0.374 | 0.353 | 37.9 | 50.4 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.800 | 7 | 1 | 0.427 | 0.523 | 0.453 | 36.0 | 64.7 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.310 | 7 | 1 | 0.480 | 0.529 | 0.483 | 29.8 | 68.9 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.710 | 7 | 1 | 0.459 | 0.541 | 0.478 | 25.2 | 68.3 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.780 | 5 | 1 | 0.335 | 0.293 | 0.292 | 21.0 | 58.4 |  |
| HS1064 | 0 | 0.037 | 419 | 2 | 0.307 | 0.282 | 0.273 | 8.8 | 0.3 |  |
| [32D11] | 0.5 | 0.084 | 145 | 2 | 0.298 | 0.296 | 0.275 | 11.3 | 0.9 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.213 | 71 | 2 | 0.327 | 0.328 | 0.306 | 10.1 | 2.2 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.389 | 24 | 2 | 0.451 | 0.467 | 0.437 | 23.4 | 9.1 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.608 | 6.5 | 2 | 0.541 | 0.520 | 0.509 | 64.3 | 39.1 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.110 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.446 | 0.490 | 0.446 | 61.8 | 68.6 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.400 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.548 | 0.568 | 0.536 | 58.9 | 82.5 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.850 | 6.5 |  | 0.636 | 0.794 | 0.693 | 57.6 | 106.6 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.390 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.589 | 0.532 | 0.539 | 34.7 | 82.8 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.620 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.659 | 0.697 | 0.656 | 38.5 | 100.9 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.860 | 5 | 1 | 0.396 | 0.448 | 0.400 | 28.0 | 80.0 |  |
| HS1065 | 0 | 0.038 | 1179 | 2 | 0.300 | 0.257 | 0.257 | 2.9 | 0.1 |  |
| [33B07] | 0.5 | 0.091 | 145 | 2 | 0.199 | 0.207 | 0.181 | 6.9 | 0.6 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.227 | 71 | 2 | 0.271 | 0.281 | 0.254 | 7.9 | 1.8 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.396 | 24 | 2 | 0.279 | 0.282 | 0.259 | 13.6 | 5.4 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.624 | 11.5 | 2 | 0.262 | 0.271 | 0.245 | 17.0 | 10.6 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.090 | 11.5 | 1 | 0.247 | 0.237 | 0.220 | 17.6 | 19.1 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.320 | 11.5 | 1 | 0.275 | 0.299 | 0.265 | 17.5 | 23.0 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.820 | 11.5 | 1 | 0.349 | 0.318 | 0.312 | 14.9 | 27.1 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.320 | 11.5 | 1 | 0.367 | 0.360 | 0.342 | 12.8 | 29.7 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.660 | 11.5 | 1 | 0.400 | 0.449 | 0.403 | 13.2 | 35.0 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.820 | 5 | 1 | 0.162 | 0.173 | 0.146 | 10.3 | 29.1 |  |
| HS1066 | 0 | 0.039 | 419 | 2 | 0.379 | 0.399 | 0.367 | 11.2 | 0.4 |  |
| [33C09] | 0.5 | 0.086 | 71 | 2 | 0.198 | 0.206 | 0.180 | 14.7 | 1.3 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.239 | 55 | 2 | 0.375 | 0.394 | 0.363 | 13.8 | 3.3 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.415 | 11.5 | 2 | 0.308 | 0.300 | 0.282 | 29.5 | 12.3 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.669 | 5 | 2 | 0.415 | 0.418 | 0.395 | 59.0 | 39.5 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.330 | 5 | 1 | 0.352 | 0.317 | 0.313 | 47.0 | 62.5 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.500 | 5 | 1 | 0.339 | 0.388 | 0.342 | 45.5 | 68.3 |  |
|  | 4 | 2.130 | 5 | 1 | 0.495 | 0.380 | 0.416 | 39.0 | 83.1 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.720 | 5 | 1 | 0.403 | 0.377 | 0.368 | 27.1 | 73.6 |  |
|  | 6 | 3.200 | 5 | 1 | 0.580 | 0.436 | 0.486 | 30.4 | 97.2 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.090 | 5 | 1 | 0.341 | 0.323 | 0.310 | 20.1 | 62.0 |  |



| Strain | Time [h] | $\begin{gathered} \text { OD600 } \\ {[\mathrm{nm}]} \end{gathered}$ | Rxn <br> Time <br> [min] | Cell <br> Vol <br> [ml] | sample1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD420 } \\ & {[\mathrm{nm}]} \\ & \text { sample2 } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. | Specific <br> Activity <br> [Miller U] | Total <br> Activity [Miller U] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS1072 | 0 | 0.039 | 137 | 2 | 0.291 | 0.289 | 0.268 | 25.1 | 1.0 |  |
| [35C12] | 0.5 | 0.087 | 63 | 2 | 0.249 | 0.234 | 0.220 | 20.0 | 1.7 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.171 | 47 | 2 | 0.410 | 0.420 | 0.393 | 24.4 | 4.2 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.357 | 16 | 2 | 0.348 | 0.362 | 0.333 | 29.1 | 10.4 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.574 | 3.5 | 2 | 0.232 | 0.238 | 0.213 | 53.0 | 30.4 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.140 | 3.5 | 1 | 0.261 | 0.276 | 0.247 | 61.8 | 70.4 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.460 | 3.5 | 1 | 0.315 | 0.267 | 0.269 | 52.6 | 76.9 | $\frac{\square}{4} 100{ }^{150} \ldots \ldots \ldots$ |
|  | 4 | 2.060 | 3.5 | 1 | 0.348 | 0.434 | 0.369 | 51.2 | 105.4 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.690 | 3.5 | 1 | 0.356 | 0.397 | 0.355 | 37.7 | 101.3 | $0.005 \quad 10 \quad 1.520 \quad 2.53 .03 .54 .0$ <br> Growit ( 00600 nm ) |
|  | 6 | 3.120 | 3.5 | 1 | 0.322 | 0.296 | 0.287 | 26.3 | 82.0 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.210 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.394 | 0.418 | 0.384 | 47.9 | 153.6 |  |
| HS1073 | 0 | 0.038 | 1171 | 2 | 0.130 | 0.130 | 0.108 | 1.2 | 0.0 |  |
| [35F12] | 0.5 | 0.076 | 1171 | 2 | 0.247 | 0.244 | 0.224 | 1.3 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.149 | 411 | 2 | 0.244 | 0.250 | 0.225 | 1.8 | 0.3 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.308 | 63 | 2 | 0.190 | 0.194 | 0.170 | 4.4 | 1.3 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.533 | 16.5 | 2 | 0.261 | 0.265 | 0.241 | 13.7 | 7.3 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.820 | 5 | 1 | 0.262 | 0.248 | 0.233 | 56.8 | 46.6 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.190 | 5 | 1 | 0.370 | 0.364 | 0.345 | 58.0 | 69.0 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.750 | 5 | 1 | 0.406 | 0.467 | 0.415 | 47.4 | 82.9 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.160 | 5 | 1 | 0.427 | 0.479 | 0.431 | 39.9 | 86.2 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.480 | 5 | 1 | 0.422 | 0.499 | 0.439 | 35.4 | 87.7 |  |
| - | 7 | 2.890 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.338 | 0.367 | 0.331 | 45.7 | 132.2 |  |
| HS1074 | 0 | 0.033 | 1171 | 2 | 0.206 | 0.206 | 0.184 | 2.4 | 0.1 |  |
| [35G05] | 0.5 | 0.068 | 1171 | 2 | 0.469 | 0.484 | 0.455 | 2.9 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.122 | 211 | 2 | 0.305 | 0.280 | 0.271 | 5.3 | 0.6 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.268 | 63 | 2 | 0.188 | 0.194 | 0.169 | 5.0 | 1.3 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.491 | 12.5 | 2 | 0.187 | 0.169 | 0.156 | 12.7 | 6.2 | 䈅300 |
|  | 2.5 | 0.870 | 4.5 | 1 | 0.282 | 0.274 | 0.256 | 65.4 | 56.9 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.150 | 4.5 | 1 | 0.349 | 0.367 | 0.336 | 64.9 | 74.7 |  |
|  | 4 | 4.730 | 4.5 | 1 | 0.440 | 0.451 | 0.424 | 54.4 | 94.1 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.340 | 4.5 | 1 | 0.458 | 0.472 | 0.443 | 42.1 | 98.4 | $\begin{gathered} 00 \text { o. } 1.0 \text { is } 2.02 .53 .0 \text { 3.5 } 4.0 \\ \text { Growth (OD600nm) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 6 | 2.700 | 4.5 | 1 | 0.479 | 0.455 | 0.445 | 36.6 | 98.9 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.850 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.495 | 0.531 | 0.491 | 68.9 | 196.4 |  |
| HS1075 | 0 | 0.037 | 1171 | 2 | 0.336 | 0.352 | 0.322 | 3.7 | 0.1 |  |
| [35G11] | 0.5 | 0.078 | 1171 | 2 | 0.453 | 0.453 | 0.431 | 2.4 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.152 | 411 | 2 | 0.313 | 0.316 | 0.293 | 2.3 | 0.4 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.330 | 63 | 2 | 0.235 | 0.237 | 0.214 | 5.1 | 1.7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sen } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 2 | 0.543 | 4.5 | 2 | 0.232 | 0.228 | 0.208 | 42.6 | 23.1 | E $300 \ldots$ |
|  | 2.5 | 0.990 | 4.5 | 1 | 0.305 | 0.302 | 0.282 | 63.2 | 62.6 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.300 | 4.5 | 1 | 0.387 | 0.412 | 0.378 | 64.5 | 83.9 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.880 | 4.5 | 1 | 0.460 | 0.466 | 0.441 | 52.1 | 98.0 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.450 | 4.5 | 1 | 0.370 | 0.399 | 0.363 | 32.9 | 80.6 | $\begin{array}{llllllll} 0.0 & 0.5 & 1.0 & 1.5 & 2.0 & 2.5 & 3.0 & 3.5 \\ \text { Crowth (OD600mm) } \end{array}$ |
|  | 6 | 2.880 | 4.5 | 1 | 0.455 | 0.366 | 0.389 | 30.0 | 86.3 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.250 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.310 | 0.282 | 0.274 | 33.7 | 109.6 |  |
| HS1076 | 0 | 0.038 | 1171 | 2 | 0.227 | 0.250 | 0.217 | 2.4 | 0.1 |  |
| [35G12] | 0.5 | 0.074 | 1171 | 2 | 0.333 | 0.358 | 0.324 | 1.9 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.153 | 411 | 2 | 0.271 | 0.276 | 0.252 | 2.0 | 0.3 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.316 | 63 | 2 | 0.185 | 0.185 | 0.163 | 4.1 | 1.3 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.515 |  | 2 | 0.073 | 0.072 | 0.051 | 49.0 | 25.2 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.880 | 1 | 1 | 0.157 | 0.144 | 0.129 | 146.0 | 128.5 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.120 | 1 | 1 | 0.189 | 0.172 | 0.159 | 141.5 | 158.5 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.640 | 1 | 1 | 0.198 | 0.215 | 0.185 | 112.5 | 184.5 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.150 | 1 | 1 | 0.247 | 0.269 | 0.236 | 109.8 | 236.0 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.430 | 1 | 1 | 0.315 | 0.260 | 0.266 | 109.3 | 265.5 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.190 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.349 | 0.364 | 0.335 | 41.9 | 133.8 |  |


| Strain | Time [h] | $\begin{gathered} \text { OD600 } \\ {[\mathrm{nm}]} \end{gathered}$ | Rxn <br> Time [min] | Cell <br> Vol <br> [ml] | sample1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD420 } \\ & \text { [nm] } \\ & \text { sample2 } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. | Specific <br> Activity <br> [Miller U] | Total <br> Activity <br> [Miller U] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS1077 | 0 | 0.060 | 1171 | 2 | 0.175 | 0.171 | 0.151 | 1.1 | 0.1 |  |
| [36A05] | 0.5 | 0.087 | 1171 | 2 | 0.223 | 0.241 | 0.210 | 1.0 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.153 | 137 | 2 | 0.242 | 0.237 | 0.218 | 5.2 | 0.8 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.318 | 63 | 2 | 0.199 | 0.198 | 0.177 | 4.4 | 1.4 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.523 | 1 | 2 | 0.040 | 0.042 | 0.019 | 18.2 | 9.5 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.840 | 1 | 1 | 0.157 | 0.164 | 0.139 | 164.9 | 138.5 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.060 | 1 | 1 | 0.349 | 0.412 | 0.359 | 338.2 | 358.5 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.550 | 1 | 1 | 0.393 | 0.443 | 0.396 | 255.5 | 396.0 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.130 | 1 | 1 | 0.701 | 0.596 | 0.627 | 294.1 | 626.5 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.470 | 1 | 1 | 0.485 | 0.538 | 0.490 | 198.2 | 489.5 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.080 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.810 | 0.786 | 0.776 | 100.8 | 310.4 |  |
| HS1078 | 0 | 0.041 | 411 | 2 | 0.345 | 0.351 | 0.326 | 9.7 | 0.4 |  |
| [36A11] | 0.5 | 0.087 | 211 | 2 | 0.346 | 0.318 | 0.310 | 8.4 | 0.7 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.161 | 63 | 2 | 0.247 | 0.268 | 0.236 | 11.6 | 1.9 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.347 | 47 | 2 | 0.478 | 0.471 | 0.453 | 13.9 | 4.8 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.558 | 2.5 | 2 | 0.181 | 0.193 | 0.165 | 59.1 | 33.0 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.980 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.229 | 0.224 | 0.205 | 83.5 | 81.8 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.270 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.193 | 0.260 | 0.205 | 64.4 | 81.8 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.810 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.347 | 0.372 | 0.338 | 74.6 | 135.0 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.370 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.334 | 0.341 | 0.316 | 53.2 | 126.2 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.800 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.407 | 0.449 | 0.406 | 58.0 | 162.4 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.870 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.609 | 0.723 | 0.644 | 89.8 | 257.6 |  |
| HS1079 | 0 | 0.062 | 411 | 2 | 0.270 | 0.269 | 0.248 | 4.9 | 0.3 |  |
| [36B03] | 0.5 | 0.098 | 211 | 2 | 0.295 | 0.285 | 0.268 | 6.5 | 0.6 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.161 | 137 | 2 | 0.359 | 0.370 | 0.343 | 7.8 | 1.3 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.333 | 47 | 2 | 0.385 | 0.370 | 0.356 | 11.4 | 3.8 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.531 | 4 | 2 | 0.201 | 0.218 | 0.188 | 44.1 | 23.4 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.930 | 4 | 1 | 0.294 | 0.267 | 0.259 | 69.5 | 64.6 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.230 | 4 | 1 | 0.351 | 0.342 | 0.325 | 66.0 | 81.1 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.830 | 4 | 1 | 0.422 | 0.378 | 0.378 | 51.6 | 94.5 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.350 | 4 | 1 | 0.447 | 0.429 | 0.416 | 44.3 | 104.0 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.660 | 4 | 1 | 0.344 | 0.397 | 0.349 | 32.8 | 87.1 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.320 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.442 | 0.396 | 0.397 | 47.8 | 158.8 |  |
| HS1080 | 0 | 0.063 | 1171 | 2 | 0.238 | 0.233 | 0.214 | 1.4 | 0.1 |  |
| [36807] | 0.5 | 0.107 | 1171 | 2 | 0.452 | 0.437 | 0.423 | 1.7 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.190 | 137 | 2 | 0.322 | 0.322 | 0.300 | 5.8 | 1.1 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.375 | 47 | 2 | 0.465 | 0.463 | 0.442 | 12.5 | 4.7 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.575 | 6.5 | 2 | 0.201 | 0.177 | 0.167 | 22.3 | 12.8 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.960 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.308 | 0.342 | 0.303 | 48.6 | 46.6 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.270 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.295 | 0.368 | 0.310 | 37.5 | 47.6 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.820 | 3 | 1 | 0.240 | 0.235 | 0.216 | 39.5 | 71.8 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.400 | 3 | 1 | 0.311 | 0.312 | 0.290 | 40.2 | 96.5 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.560 | 3 | 1 | 0.416 | 0.412 | 0.392 | 51.0 | 130.7 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.860 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.436 | 0.484 | 0.438 | 61.3 | 175.2 |  |
| HS1081 | 0 | 0.035 | 1171 | 2 | 0.238 | 0.252 | 0.223 | 2.7 | 0.1 |  |
| [36G06] | 0.5 | 0.078 | 1171 | 2 | 0.240 | 0.300 | 0.248 | 1.4 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.154 | 137 | 2 | 0.301 | 0.301 | 0.279 | 6.6 | 1.0 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.319 | 63 | 2 | 0.249 | 0.243 | 0.224 | 5.6 | 1.8 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.549 | 1.5 | 2 | 0.046 | 0.038 | 0.020 | 12.1 | 6.7 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.960 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.126 | 0.111 | 0.097 | 67.0 | 64.3 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.280 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.242 | 0.226 | 0.212 | 110.4 | 141.3 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.760 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.284 | 0.276 | 0.258 | 97.7 | 172.0 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.250 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.282 | 0.267 | 0.253 | 74.8 | 168.3 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.380 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.353 | 0.363 | 0.336 | 94.1 | 224.0 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.760 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.730 | 0.727 | 0.707 | 102.4 | 282.6 |  |


| Strain | Time [h] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD600 } \\ & {[\mathrm{nm}]} \end{aligned}$ | Rxn <br> Time <br> [min] | Cell <br> Vol <br> [mI] | sample 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD420 } \\ & \text { [nm] } \\ & \text { sample2 } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. | Specific <br> Activity <br> [Miller U] | Total <br> Activity [Miller U] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS1082 | 0 | 0.037 | 1414 | 2 | 0.347 | 0.274 | 0.309 | 2.9 | 0.1 |  |
| [39C08] | 0.5 | 0.090 | 1414 | 2 | 0.620 | 0.651 | 0.634 | 2.5 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.225 | 209 | 2 | 0.247 | 0.257 | 0.250 | 2.7 | 0.6 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.438 | 61.5 | 2 | 0.412 | 0.401 | 0.405 | 7.5 | 3.3 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.651 | 2 | 2 | 0.155 | 0.152 | 0.152 | 58.2 | 37.9 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.130 | 2 | 1 | 0.299 | 0.303 | 0.299 | 132.3 | 149.5 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.310 | 2 | 1 | 0.444 | 0.451 | 0.446 | 170.0 | 222.8 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.850 | 2 | 1 | 0.540 | 0.683 | 0.610 | 164.7 | 304.8 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.160 | 2 | 1 | 0.667 | 0.719 | 0.691 | 160.0 | 345.5 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.340 | 2 | 1 | 0.667 | 0.709 | 0.686 | 146.6 | 343.0 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.680 | 2 | 1 | 0.593 | 0.510 | 0.550 | 102.5 | 274.8 |  |
| HS1083 | 0 | 0.041 | 146 | 2 | 0.299 | 0.280 | 0.288 | 24.0 | 1.0 |  |
| [39E02] | 0.5 | 0.091 | 91 | 2 | 0.383 | 0.395 | 0.387 | 23.4 | 2.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.226 | 61.5 | 2 | 0.432 | 0.496 | 0.462 | 16.6 | 3.8 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.418 | 10 | 2 | 0.536 | 0.582 | 0.557 | 66.6 | 27.9 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.638 | 1.5 | 2 | 0.746 | 0.751 | 0.747 | 390.0 | 248.8 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.110 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.738 | 0.675 | 0.705 | 423.1 | 469.7 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.420 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.823 | 0.989 | 0.904 | 424.4 | 602.7 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.820 | 1.5 | 1 | 1. 184 | 1.163 | 1.172 | 429.1 | 781.0 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.330 | 1.5 | 1 | 1.256 | 1.271 | 1.262 | 360.9 | 841.0 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.700 | 1.5 | 1 | 1.201 | 1.221 | 1.209 | 298.5 | 806.0 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.750 | 2 | 1 | 0.995 | 0.901 | 0.946 | 172.0 | 473.0 |  |
| HS1084 | 0 | 0.030 | 1414 | 2 | 0.123 | 0.168 | 0.144 | 1.7 | 0.1 |  |
| [39E12] | 0.5 | 0.069 | 1414 | 2 | 0.678 | 0.618 | 0.646 | 3.3 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.191 | 146 | 2 | 0.224 | 0.226 | 0.223 | 4.0 | 0.8 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.379 | 35 | 2 | 0.433 | 0.403 | 0.416 | 15.7 | 5.9 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.626 | 4 | 2 | 0.324 | 0.297 | 0.309 | 61.6 | 38.6 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.020 | 4 | 1 | 0.444 | 0.443 | 0.442 | 108.2 | 110.4 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.310 | 4 | 1 | 0.519 | 0.480 | 0.498 | 94.9 | 124.4 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.800 | 4 | 1 | 0.576 | 0.620 | 0.596 | 82.8 | 149.0 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.330 | 4 | 1 | 0.679 | 0.709 | 0.692 | 74.2 | 173.0 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.670 | 4 | 1 | 0.673 | 0.615 | 0.642 | 60.1 | 160.5 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.840 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.452 | 0.501 | 0.475 | 66.8 | 189.8 |  |
| HS1085 | 0 | 0.023 | 1414 | 2 | 0.147 | 0.210 | 0.177 | 2.7 | 0.1 |  |
| [39F11] | 0.5 | 0.058 | 1414 | 2 | 0.836 | 0.892 | 0.862 | 5.3 | 0.3 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.143 | 129.5 | 2 | 0.282 | 0.280 | 0.279 | 7.5 | 1.1 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.314 | 18 | 2 | 0.344 | 0.378 | 0.359 | 31.8 | 10.0 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.562 | 1.5 | 2 | 0.214 | 0.160 | 0.185 | 109.7 | 61.7 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.970 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.417 | 0.368 | 0.391 | 268.4 | 260.3 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.240 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.611 | 0.606 | 0.607 | 326.1 | 404.3 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.800 | 4.5 | 1 | 0.845 | 0.921 | 0.881 | 326.3 | 587.3 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.190 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.886 | 0.891 | 0.887 | 269.9 | 591.0 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.520 | 1.5 | 1 | 1.037 | 1.053 | 1.043 | 275.9 | 695.3 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.860 | 2 | 1 | 0.732 | 0.677 | 0.703 | 122.8 | 351.3 |  |
| HS1086 | 0 | 0.030 | 1414 | 2 | 0.145 | 0.152 | 0.147 | 1.7 | 0.1 |  |
| [39H08] | 0.5 | 0.072 | 1414 | 2 | 0.536 | 0.511 | 0.522 | 2.6 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.186 | 129.5 | 2 | 0.310 | 0.281 | 0.294 | 6.1 | 1.1 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.387 | 35 | 2 | 0.385 | 0.390 | 0.386 | 14.2 | 5.5 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.607 | 7.5 | 2 | 0.394 | 0.424 | 0.407 | 44.7 | 27.1 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.000 | 7.5 | 1 | 0.478 | 0.452 | 0.463 | 61.7 | 61.7 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.260 | 7.5 | 1 | 0.550 | 0.575 | 0.561 | 59.3 | 74.7 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.710 | 7.5 | 1 | 0.647 | 0.604 | 0.624 | 48.6 | 83.1 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.210 | 7.5 | 1 | 0.586 | 0.666 | 0.624 | 37.6 | 83.2 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.610 | 7.5 | 1 | 0.665 | 0.615 | 0.638 | 32.6 | 85.1 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.900 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.346 | 0.306 | 0.324 | 44.7 | 129.6 |  |


| Strain | Time [h] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{OD} 600 \\ {[\mathrm{~nm}]} \end{gathered}$ | Rxn <br> Time [min] | Cell <br> Vol <br> [ml] | sample1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD420 } \\ & {[\mathrm{nm}]} \\ & \text { sample2 } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. | Specific <br> Activity <br> [Miller U] | Total Activity [Miller U] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS1087 | 0 | 0.031 | 1414 | 2 | 0.116 | 0.135 | 0.124 | 1.4 | 0.0 |  |
| [41F10] | 0.5 | 0.069 | 1414 | 2 | 0.272 | 0.302 | 0.285 | 1.5 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.182 | 1414 | 2 | 0.706 | 0.677 | 0.690 | 1.3 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.396 | 91 | 2 | 0.356 | 0.377 | 0.365 | 5.1 | 2.0 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.607 | 7.5 | 2 | 0.312 | 0.324 | 0.316 | 34.7 | 21.1 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.000 | 4 | 1 | 0.347 | 0.388 | 0.366 | 91.4 | 91.4 | $\frac{\mathrm{g}_{2}}{2000}$ |
|  | 3 | 1.290 | 4 | 1 | 0.539 | 0.579 | 0.557 | 107.9 | 139.3 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.690 | 4 | 1 | 0.729 | 0.705 | 0.715 | 105.8 | 178.8 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.250 | 4 | 1 | 0.838 | 0.870 | 0.852 | 94.7 | 213.0 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.500 | 4 | 1 | 0.942 | 0.947 | 0.943 | 94.3 | 235.6 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.700 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.696 | 0.654 | 0.673 | 99.7 | 269.2 |  |
| HS1088 | 0 | 0.030 | 1414 | 2 | 0.086 | 0.085 | 0.084 | 1.0 | 0.0 |  |
| [42B07] | 0.5 | 0.069 | 1414 | 2 | 0.283 | 0.300 | 0.290 | 1.5 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.169 | 1414 | 2 | 0.845 | 0.856 | 0.849 | 1.8 | 0.3 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.389 | 61.5 | 2 | 0.385 | 0.388 | 0.385 | 8.0 | 3.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & \begin{array}{c} 500 \\ \hline \end{array}{ }^{400} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 2 | 0.597 | 6 | 2 | 0.277 | 0.256 | 0.265 | 36.9 | 22.0 | 晨300 |
|  | 2.5 | 1.000 | 6 | 1 | 0.344 | 0.396 | 0.368 | 61.3 | 61.3 | 烤200 |
|  | 3 | 1.230 | 6 | 1 | 0.465 | 0.483 | 0.472 | 64.0 | 78.7 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.850 | 6 | 1 | 0.490 | 0.437 | 0.462 | 41.6 | 76.9 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.210 | 6 | 1 | 0.461 | 0.462 | 0.460 | 34.7 | 76.6 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.530 | 6 | 1 | 0.499 | 0.513 | 0.504 | 33.2 | 84.0 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.090 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.263 | 0.269 | 0.264 | 34.2 | 105.6 |  |
| HS1089 | 0 | 0.030 | 1414 | 2 | 0.250 | 0.247 | 0.247 | 2.9 | 0.1 |  |
| [42H10] | 0.5 | 0.077 | 1414 | 2 | 0.501 | 0.520 | 0.509 | 2.3 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.185 | 146 | 2 | 0.219 | 0.184 | 0.200 | 3.7 | 0.7 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.385 | 35 | 2 | 0.384 | 0.368 | 0.374 | 13.9 | 5.3 | ${ }^{4} 4000{ }^{460} \ldots \ldots \ldots$ |
|  | 2 | 0.620 | 3 | 2 | 0.408 | 0.415 | 0.410 | 110.1 | 68.3 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.010 | 3 | 1 | 0.541 | 0.557 | 0.547 | 180.5 | 182.3 | ${ }_{5}^{500}{ }^{500}$ |
|  | 3 | 1.280 | 3 | 1 | 0.781 | 0.728 | 0.753 | 196.0 | 250.8 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.800 | 3 | 1 | 1.346 | 1.339 | 1.341 | 248.2 | 446.8 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.260 | 3 | 1 | 1.411 | 1.313 | 1.360 | 200.6 | 453.3 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.650 | 3 | 1 | 1.371 | 1.367 | 1.367 | 171.9 | 455.7 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.130 | 2 | 1 | 0.609 | 0.554 | 0.580 | 92.6 | 289.8 |  |
| HS1090 | 0 | 0.035 | 1414 | 2 | 0.807 | 0.791 | 0.797 | 8.1 | 0.3 |  |
| [43E02] | 0.5 | 0.087 | 146 | 2 | 0.221 | 0.208 | 0.213 | 8.4 | 0.7 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.232 | 129.5 | 2 | 0.359 | 0.347 | 0.351 | 5.8 | 1.4 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.455 | 18 | 2 | 0.364 | 0.394 | 0.377 | 23.0 | 10.5 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.665 | 5.5 | 2 | 0.712 | 0.657 | 0.683 | 93.3 | 62.0 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.090 | 5.5 | 1 | 0.693 | 0.689 | 0.689 | 114.9 | 125.3 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.320 | 5.5 | 1 | 0.772 | 0.769 | 0.769 | 105.9 | 139.7 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.810 | 5.5 | 1 | 0.754 | 0.754 | 0.752 | 75.5 | 136.7 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.200 | 5.5 | 1 | 0.766 | 0.775 | 0.769 | 63.5 | 139.7 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.580 | 5.5 | 1 | 0.761 | 0.810 | 0.784 | 55.2 | 142.5 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.900 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.658 | 0.601 | 0.628 | 86.6 | 251.0 |  |
| HS1091 | 0 | 0.032 | 1414 | 2 | 0.809 | 0.800 | 0.803 | 8.9 | 0.3 |  |
| [43G05-d] | 0.5 | 0.071 | 129.5 | 2 | 0.288 | 0.270 | 0.277 | 15.1 | 1.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.184 | 61.5 | 2 | 0.343 | 0.319 | 0.329 | 14.5 | 2.7 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.353 | 10 | 2 | 0.383 | 0.392 | 0.386 | 54.6 | 19.3 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.592 | 1 | 2 | 0.924 | 0.917 | 0.919 | 775.8 | 459.3 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.980 | 1 | 1 | 0.751 | 0.749 | 0.748 | 763.3 | 748.0 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.200 | 1 | 1 | 0.870 | 0.917 | 0.892 | 742.9 | 891.5 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.770 | 1 | 1 | 0.916 | 0.973 | 0.943 | 532.5 | 942.5 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.330 | 1 | 1 | 1.298 | 1.265 | 1.280 | 549.1 | 1279.5 | 0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2 3 30 3.54 Growth (OD600rm) |
|  | 6 | 2.530 | 1 | 1 | 1.198 | 1.178 | 1.186 | 468.8 | 1186.0 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.880 | 2 | 1 | 0.896 | 0.941 | 0.917 | 159.1 | 458.3 |  |


| Strain | Time [h] | $\begin{gathered} \text { OD600 } \\ {[\mathrm{nm}]} \end{gathered}$ | Rxn <br> Time <br> [min] | Cell <br> Vol <br> [ml] | sample1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { OD420 } \\ {[\mathrm{nm}]} \\ \text { sample2 } \end{gathered}$ | Avg. | Specific <br> Activity <br> [Miller U] | Total <br> Activity <br> [Miller U] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS1092 | 0 | 0.027 | 1392 | 2 | 0.069 | 0.058 | 0.062 | 0.8 | 0.0 |  |
| [43G05-1] | 0.5 | 0.061 | 1392 | 2 | 0.127 | 0.154 | 0.139 | 0.8 | 0.0 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.157 | 1392 | 2 | 0.364 | 0.346 | 0.353 | 0.8 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.340 | 69 | 2 | 0.381 | 0.362 | 0.370 | 7.9 | 2.7 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.579 | 11 | 2 | 0.389 | 0.383 | 0.384 | 30.1 | 17.5 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.950 | 4 | 1 | 0.337 | 0.341 | 0.337 | 88.7 | 84.3 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.150 | 4 | 1 | 0.380 | 0.346 | 0.361 | 78.5 | 90.3 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.820 | 4 | 1 | 0.776 | 0.752 | 0.762 | 104.7 | 190.5 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.360 | 4 | 1 | 0.904 | 0.927 | 0.914 | 96.8 | 228.4 |  |
|  | 6 | 3.010 | 4 | 1 | 0.805 | 1.089 | 0.945 | 78.5 | 236.3 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.120 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.496 | 0.483 | 0.488 | 62.5 | 195.0 |  |
| HS1093 | 0 | 0.030 | 1392 | 2 | 0.280 | 0.266 | 0.271 | 3.2 | 0.1 |  |
| [43G11] | 0.5 | 0.074 | 1392 | 2 | 0.480 | 0.425 | 0.451 | 2.2 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.202 | 187 | 2 | 0.199 | 0.217 | 0.206 | 2.7 | 0.6 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.400 | 39.5 | 2 | 0.379 | 0.399 | 0.387 | 12.2 | 4.9 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.611 | 4 | 2 | 0.463 | 0.433 | 0.446 | 91.2 | 55.8 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.100 | 4 | 1 | 0.552 | 0.537 | 0.543 | 123.3 | 135.6 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.250 | 4 | 1 | 0.506 | 0.571 | 0.537 | 107.3 | 134.1 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.760 | 4 | 1 | 0.685 | 0.631 | 0.656 | 93.2 | 164.0 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.280 | 4 | 1 | 0.689 | 0.731 | 0.708 | 77.6 | 177.0 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.730 | 4 | 1 | 0.719 | 0.757 | 0.736 | 67.4 | 184.0 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.680 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.418 | 0.432 | 0.423 | 63.1 | 169.2 |  |
| HS1094 | 0 | 0.031 | 1392 | 2 | 0.225 | 0.268 | 0.245 | 2.8 | 0.1 |  |
| [44A08] | 0.5 | 0.057 | 1392 | 2 | 0.477 | 0.514 | 0.494 | 3.1 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.148 | 187 | 2 | 0.239 | 0.229 | 0.232 | 4.2 | 0.6 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.352 | 39.5 | 2 | 0.492 | 0.485 | 0.487 | 17.5 | 6.2 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.599 | 4 | 2 | 0.368 | 0.354 | 0.359 | 74.9 | 44.9 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.020 | 4 | 1 | 0.605 | 0.677 | 0.639 | 156.6 | 159.8 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.150 | 4 | 1 | 0.893 | 0.871 | 0.880 | 191.3 | 220.0 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.720 | 4 | 1 | 1.248 | 1.223 | 1.234 | 179.3 | 308.4 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.240 | 4 | 1 | 1.303 | 1.317 | 1.308 | 146.0 | 327.0 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.470 | 4 | 1 | 1.406 | 1.443 | 1.423 | 144.0 | 355.6 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.890 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.777 | 0.805 | 0.789 | 109.2 | 315.6 |  |
| HS1095 | 0 | 0.031 | 187 | 2 | 0.311 | 0.288 | 0.298 | 25.7 | 0.8 |  |
| [44C09] | 0.5 | 0.072 | 124 | 2 | 0.356 | 0.344 | 0.348 | 19.5 | 1.4 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.193 | 39.5 | 2 | 0.342 | 0.330 | 0.334 | 21.9 | 4.2 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.398 | 13 | 2 | 0.413 | 0.385 | 0.397 | 38.4 | 15.3 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.629 | 4 | 2 | 0.647 | 0.768 | 0.706 | 140.2 | 88.2 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.020 | 4 | 1 | 0.781 | 0.770 | 0.774 | 189.6 | 193.4 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.160 | 4 | 1 | 0.928 | 0.995 | 0.960 | 206.8 | 239.9 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.720 | 4 | 1 | 1.133 | 1.143 | 1.136 | 165.1 | 284.0 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.230 | 4 | 1 | 1.287 | 1.277 | 1.280 | 143.5 | 320.0 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.470 | 4 | 1 | 1.579 | 1.577 | 1.576 | 159.5 | 394.0 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.980 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.478 | 0.512 | 0.493 | 66.2 | 197.2 |  |
| HS1096 | 0 | 0.031 | 1392 | 2 | 1.071 | 0.995 | 1.031 | 11.9 | 0.4 |  |
| [45B11] | 0.5 | 0.068 | 124 | 2 | 0.289 | 0.247 | 0.266 | 15.8 | 1.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.204 | 69 | 2 | 0.350 | 0.298 | 0.322 | 11.4 | 2.3 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.388 | 13 | 2 | 0.495 | 0.535 | 0.513 | 50.9 | 19.7 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.639 | 1.5 | 2 | 0.643 | 0.610 | 0.625 | 325.8 | 208.2 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.040 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.683 | 0.642 | 0.661 | 423.4 | 440.3 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.230 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.711 | 0.717 | 0.712 | 385.9 | 474.7 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.680 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.877 | 0.884 | 0.879 | 348.6 | 585.7 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.130 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.871 | 0.860 | 0.864 | 270.3 | 575.7 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.480 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.863 | 0.846 | 0.853 | 229.2 | 568.3 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.720 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.883 | 0.831 | 0.855 | 125.7 | 342.0 |  |


| Strain | Time [h] | $\begin{gathered} \text { OD600 } \\ {[\mathrm{nm}]} \end{gathered}$ | Rxn <br> Time <br> [min] | Cell <br> Vol <br> [mI] | sample1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD420 } \\ & \text { [nm] } \\ & \text { sample2 } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. | Specific <br> Activity <br> [Miller U] | Total <br> Activity <br> [Miller U] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS1098 | 0 | 0.029 | 1392 | 2 | 0.404 | 0.401 | 0.401 | 5.0 | 0.1 |  |
| [46A08] | 0.5 | 0.073 | 1392 | 2 | 0.673 | 0.697 | 0.683 | 3.4 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.191 | 187 | 2 | 0.402 | 0.322 | 0.360 | 5.0 | 1.0 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.383 | 39.5 | 2 | 0.495 | 0.488 | 0.490 | 16.2 | 6.2 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.628 | 3 | 2 | 0.406 | 0.429 | 0.416 | 110.3 | 69.3 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.980 | 3 | 1 | 0.755 | 0.773 | 0.762 | 259.2 | 254.0 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.180 | 3 | 1 | 0.471 | 0.543 | 0.505 | 142.7 | 168.3 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.750 | 3 | 1 | 1.081 | 1.073 | 1.075 | 204.8 | 358.3 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.230 | 3 | 1 | 1.145 | 1.150 | 1.146 | 171.2 | 381.8 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.520 | 3 | 1 | 1.083 | 1.093 | 1.086 | 143.7 | 362.0 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.190 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.692 | 0.712 | 0.700 | 87.8 | 280.0 |  |
| HS1099 | 0 | 0.031 | 124 | 2 | 0.340 | 0.284 | 0.310 | 40.3 | 1.3 |  |
| [46D12] | 0.5 | 0.078 | 107.5 | 2 | 0.494 | 0.416 | 0.453 | 27.0 | 2.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.197 | 69 | 2 | 0.795 | 0.805 | 0.798 | 29.4 | 5.8 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.397 | 13 | 2 | 0.823 | 0.770 | 0.795 | 77.0 | 30.6 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.604 | 3 | 2 | 0.535 | 0.527 | 0.529 | 146.0 | 88.2 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.910 | 3 | 1 | 0.498 | 0.504 | 0.499 | 182.8 | 166.3 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.070 | 3 | 1 | 0.767 | 0.753 | 0.758 | 236.1 | 252.7 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.510 | 3 | 1 | 0.557 | 0.631 | 0.592 | 130.7 | 197.3 |  |
|  | 5 | 1.950 | 3 | 1 | 0.824 | 0.872 | 0.846 | 144.6 | 282.0 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.300 | 3 | 1 | 0.866 | 0.885 | 0.874 | 126.6 | 291.2 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.700 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.361 | 0.403 | 0.380 | 56.3 | 152.0 |  |
| HS1100 | 0 | 0.041 | 1392 | 2 | 0.922 | 0.898 | 0.908 | 8.0 | 0.3 |  |
| [47B12] | 0.5 | 0.096 | 124 | 2 | 0.243 | 0.245 | 0.242 | 10.2 | 1.0 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.243 | 69 | 2 | 0.325 | 0.328 | 0.325 | 9.7 | 2.4 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.442 | 13 | 2 | 0.328 | 0.335 | 0.330 | 28.7 | 12.7 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.654 | 6.5 | 2 | 0.701 | 0.638 | 0.668 | 78.5 | 51.3 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1. 100 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.497 | 0.501 | 0.497 | 69.5 | 76.5 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.260 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.622 | 0.665 | 0.642 | 78.3 | 98.7 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.890 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.851 | 0.847 | 0.847 | 68.9 | 130.3 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.600 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.777 | 1.033 | 0.903 | 53.4 | 138.9 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.740 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.917 | 0.839 | 0.876 | 49.2 | 134.8 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.140 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.366 | 0.374 | 0.368 | 46.9 | 147.2 |  |
| HS1101 | 0 | 0.037 | 1392 | 2 | 0.520 | 0.516 | 0.516 | 5.0 | 0.2 |  |
| [47D08] | 0.5 | 0.081 | 1392 | 2 | 0.713 | 0.748 | 0.729 | 3.2 | 0.3 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.184 | 187 | 2 | 0.251 | 0.254 | 0.251 | 3.6 | 0.7 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.377 | 39.5 | 2 | 0.391 | 0.409 | 0.398 | 13.4 | 5.0 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.619 | 6.5 | 2 | 0.599 | 0.589 | 0.592 | 73.6 | 45.5 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 0.910 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.554 | 0.670 | 0.610 | 103.1 | 93.8 |  |
|  | 3 | 0.980 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.689 | 0.782 | 0.734 | 115.1 | 112.8 |  |
|  | 4 | 1.470 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.859 | 0.886 | 0.871 | 91.1 | 133.9 |  |
|  | 5 | 1.860 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.709 | 0.633 | 0.669 | 55.3 | 102.9 |  |
|  | 6 | 2.220 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.745 | 0.706 | 0.724 | 50.1 | 111.3 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.840 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.413 | 0.462 | 0.436 | 61.3 | 174.2 |  |
| HS1403 | 0 | 0.029 | 1319 | 2 | 0.340 | 0.398 | 0.338 | 4.4 | 0.1 |  |
| [48801-m] | 0.5 | 0.058 | 406 | 2 | 0.350 | 0.341 | 0.315 | 6.7 | 0.4 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.152 | 176 | 2 | 0.417 | 0.425 | 0.390 | 7.3 | 1.1 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.305 | 20.5 | 2 | 0.254 | 0.237 | 0.215 | 17.2 | 5.2 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.536 | 11.5 | 2 | 0.630 | 0.616 | 0.592 | 48.0 | 25.7 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.080 | 5.5 | 1 | 0.367 | 0.379 | 0.342 | 57.6 | 62.2 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.420 | 5.5 | 1 | 0.439 | 0.466 | 0.422 | 54.0 | 76.6 |  |
|  | 4 | 2.000 | 5.5 | 1 | 0.641 | 0.629 | 0.604 | 54.9 | 109.8 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.570 | 5.5 | 1 | 0.717 | 0.664 | 0.660 | 46.7 | 119.9 |  |
|  | 6 | 3.020 | 5.5 | 1 | 0.532 | 0.572 | 0.521 | 31.4 | 94.7 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.660 | 2.4 | 1 | 0.306 | 0.331 | 0.288 | 32.7 | 119.8 |  |


| Strain | Time <br> [h] | $\begin{gathered} \text { OD600 } \\ {[\mathrm{nm}]} \end{gathered}$ | Rxn <br> Time <br> [min] | Cell <br> Vol <br> [mI] | sample1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD420 } \\ & {[\mathrm{nm}]} \\ & \text { sample2 } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. | Specific Activity [Miller U] | Total <br> Activity <br> [Miller U] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS1104 | 0 | 0.038 | 179 | 2 | 0.270 | 0.258 | 0.233 | 17.1 | 0.7 |  |
| [48E04] | 0.5 | 0.067 | 59 | 2 | 0.281 | 0.274 | 0.247 | 31.2 | 2.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.167 | 20.5 | 2 | 0.253 | 0.252 | 0.222 | 32.3 | 5.4 | $\mathrm{S}_{5}^{500} 90$ |
|  | 1.5 | 0.329 | 9 | 2 | 0.404 | 0.412 | 0.377 | 63.7 | 20.9 | ${ }^{4000}{ }^{450} \times 1$. |
|  | 2 | 0.531 | 1.5 | 2 | 0.404 | 0.446 | 0.394 | 247.3 | 131.3 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.110 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.654 | 0.644 | 0.618 | 371.2 | 412.0 | $0_{0}^{2300}$ |
|  | 3 | 1.410 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.996 | 0.938 | 0.936 | 442.6 | 624.0 |  |
|  | 4 | 2.050 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.733 | 0.904 | 0.788 | 256.1 | 525.0 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.710 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.731 | 0.712 | 0.691 | 169.9 | 460.3 | $0.00 .5+0 \quad 15 \quad 20253.03540$ <br> Growth ( 0 D6000m) |
|  | 6 | 3.050 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.891 | 0.650 | 0.740 | 161.6 | 493.0 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.660 | 1.1 | 1 | 0.808 | 0.557 | 0.652 | 161.8 | 592.3 |  |
| HS1105 | 0 | 0.031 | 1319 | 2 | 0.378 | 0.392 | 0.354 | 4.3 | 0.1 |  |
| [49F03] | 0.5 | 0.063 | 406 | 2 | 0.371 | 0.379 | 0.344 | 6.7 | 0.4 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.161 | 176 | 2 | 0.411 | 0.385 | 0.367 | 6.5 | 1.0 | ${ }^{2} 4500$ |
|  | 1.5 | 0.318 | 15 | 2 | 0.274 | 0.272 | 0.242 | 25.4 | 8.1 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.556 | 8.5 | 2 | 0.703 | 0.697 | 0.669 | 70.8 | 39.4 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.120 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.359 | 0.294 | 0.296 | 175.9 | 197.0 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.380 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.450 | 0.443 | 0.416 | 200.7 | 277.0 |  |
|  | 4 | 2.090 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.364 | 0.550 | 0.426 | 135.9 | 284.0 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.700 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.404 | 0.397 | 0.370 | 91.2 | 246.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \text { o. } 1.01 .52 .02 .53 .03 .54 .0 \\ & \text { Growh } 200800 \mathrm{~mm} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 6 | 3.060 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.681 | 0.352 | 0.486 | 105.8 | 323.7 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.400 | 1.9 | 1 | 0.475 | 0.470 | 0.442 | 68.3 | 232.4 |  |

Appendix B. Summary of characteristics of $\sigma^{s}$-dependent lacZ fusion mutants. (a) Status of complementation results are of strains in MC4100 background. (b) From the categories in Table 3, complementation results of 28 strains have not been obtained for strains which exhibited two transconjugant phenotypes and for those in the "status unknown" category. (c) Transduction of lacZ fusions into a new GC4468 background resulted in some strains having two transductant phenotypes. d \& I are abbreviations representing dark and light colonies formed from perhaps two different strains originating from the same well. Many were probably the result of two strains containing independent lacZ fusions that were inoculated into a single well during the storage procedure or by cross-contamination. n and m represent mutants exhibiting normal colony phenotype and mucoid phenotype. A common trend was the loss of the mucoid phenotype upon transduction into the GC4468 background. Levels of $\beta$-galactosidase activity for recipients and transconjugants depicted by the " + " signs are not to the same scale. "Two morphologies over time" represent strains showing a difference in $\beta$-galactosidase expression only after several days.

| STRAIN | [Location] | (a) COMMENTS / COMPLEMENTATION RESULTS (of strains in MC4100 background) | (b) COMPLEMENTATION RESULTS UNKNOWN | (c) TRANSDUCTION <br> 2 PHENOTYPES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS1001 | 01D04 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1002 | 01 E04 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1003 | 01 H 01 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1004 | 02C08-d | complemented |  |  |
| HS1005 | 02D11 | complemented |  | $y$ |
| HS1006 | 02E11 | suspected katE mutant // complemented wnt B-gal |  |  |
| HS1007 | O2E12 | suspected katE mutant // complemented wrt B-gal |  |  |
| HS1008 | 02H06 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1009 | 03C06 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1010 | 03F05-1 | TCJ 2 morphologies | $\underline{ }$ |  |
| HS1011 | 04C07-d | complemented |  |  |
| HS1012 | 04D09 | TCJ 2 morphologies | $\mathbf{x}$ | $y$ |
| HS1013 | 04F08-d | complemented |  | y |
| HS1014 | 05A10 | suspected katE mutant // compemented wit B-gal |  |  |
| HS1015 | 05C08-1 | complemented wrt B-gal |  | $y$ |
| HS1016 | 06A12-d | complemented |  |  |
| HS1017 | 06 C 03 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1018 | 06E01-d |  | X |  |
| HS1019 | 06E01-I |  | X |  |
| HS1020 | 07A08 | TCJ 2 morphologies | $x$ |  |
| HS1021 | 07807 | TCJ 2 morphologies // not complemented wrt B-gal | X |  |
| HS1022 | 07B09 |  | X | $y$ |
| HS1023 | 07D07-d | not complemented wrt B-gal |  |  |
| HS1024 | 07E04 | TCJ 2 morphologies | X |  |
| HS1025 | 07F09 | not complemented wrt catalase |  |  |
| HS1026 | 07F10 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1027 | 07F11 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1028 | 08H08 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1029 | $09 \mathrm{B11}$ | complemented |  |  |


| STRAIN | [Location] | RECIPIENTS (GC4468 BKGRD) | B-gal | cat | TRANSCONJUGANTS <br> (GC4468 BKGRD) | B-gal | cat | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS 1001 | 01D04 |  | $+$ | + |  | + | - |  |
| HS1002 | $01 \mathrm{EO4}$ |  | + | + |  | . | - |  |
| HS1003 | 01H01 |  | $+$ | + | dark partial colonies appear over time | - | - |  |
| HS1004 | 02C08-d |  | + | + |  | + | - |  |
| HS1005 | 02 D 11 |  | + | + | 2 morphologies over time | ++ | - |  |
| HS1006 | 02E11 |  | + | . |  | . | - | suspected katE mutant |
| HS1007 | 02E12 |  | + | - |  | - | - | suspected katE mutant |
| HS1008 | 02H06 |  | + | + | 2 morphologies over time | ++ | - | not highly Rpos-dependent |
| HS1009 | $03 \mathrm{C06}$ |  | $+$ | + |  | - | - |  |
| HS1010 | 03F05-1 |  | $+$ | + |  | $+$ | - | not highly Rpos-dependent |
| HS1011 | 04C07-d |  | ++ | + |  | ++++ | - | not highly RpoS-dependent |
| HS1012 | 04D09 |  | $+$ | + |  | ++ | - |  |
| HS1013 | 04F08-d |  | + | + |  | . | - |  |
| HS1014 | 05A10 |  | ++ | - | small white colonies appear over time | - | - | suspected katE mutant |
| HS1015 | 05C08-1 |  | + | + |  | - | - |  |
| HS1016 | 06A12-d |  | + | + |  | ++++ | - | not highly RpoS-dependent |
| HS1017 | 06C03 |  | + | + |  | ++++ | - | not highly RpoS-dependent |
| HS1018 | O6E01-d | d\&il appear same | + | + | d\&l appear same | +++ | - |  |
| HS1019 | 06E01-1 | d\&l appear same | + | + | d\&l appear same | ++ | - | same as 6E01-d// may omit from studies |
| HS1020 | 07A08 |  | $\pm$ | $+$ |  | ++++ | - | not highly Rpos-dependent |
| HS1021 | 07807 |  | + | + | small white colonies appear over time | - | - |  |
| HS1022 | 07809 |  | + | + |  | - | - |  |
| HS1023 | 07D07-d |  | $+$ | + |  | ++++ | - | not highly RpoS-dependent |
| HS1024 | 07E04 |  | + | + |  | ++ | - |  |
| HS1025 | 07F09 |  | + | + | 2 morphologies // problem strain | +++ | - |  |
| HS1026 | 07F10 |  | + | + | 2 morphologies // problem strain | +++ | - |  |
| HS1027 | 07F11 |  | + | + | 2 morphologies // problem strain | +++ | - |  |
| HS1028 | 08H08 |  | . | + |  | ${ }_{+++}^{+}$ | - | not highly RpoS-dependent |
| HS1029 | 09811 |  | + | + | 2 morphologies over time | + | - |  |


| STRAIN | [Location] | (a) COMMENTS / COMPLEMENTATION RESULTS (of strains in MC4100 background) | (b) COMPLEMENTATION RESULTS UNKNOWN | (c) TRANSDUCTION <br> 2 PHENOTYPES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS1030 | $09 \mathrm{C07}$ | not complemented wrt B-gal |  |  |
| HS1031 | 09E10 | TCJ 2 morphologies | x |  |
| HS1032 | 09H12 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1033 | 12A10-1 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1034 | 12C09 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1035 | 13B08-1 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1036 | 13 C 08 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1037 | 14C03-1 | TCJ 2 morphologies | x | $y$ |
| HS1038 | 14D08 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1039 | 15G03 | TCJ 2 morphologies | x |  |
| HS1040 | 16E03-d | complemented |  |  |
| HS1041 | 16 FO 7 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1042 | 21B04-1 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1043 | 21F08 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1044 | 22E03 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1045 | 22F08-1 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1046 | 22F09-d | complemented |  |  |
| HS1047 | 22G10 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1048 | 23 E 01 |  | x |  |
| HS1049 | $24 \mathrm{B12}$ | complemented |  |  |
| HS1050 | 24C07 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1051 | 25A11-d | complemented |  |  |
| HS1052 | 25B04 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1053 | 26A06 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1054 | 26 E 05 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1055 | 28 F 07 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1056 | 29G11 | complemented |  | y |
| HS1057 | 31804 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1058 | 31B09 | complemented |  |  |


| STRAIN | [Location] | RECIPIENTS (GC4468 BKGRD) | B-gal | cat | TRANSCONJUGANTS (GC4468 BKGRD) | B-gal | cat | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS1030 | $09 \mathrm{C07}$ |  | + | + |  | - | - |  |
| HS1031 | 09E10 |  | + | + |  | $+$ | - |  |
| HS1032 | 09H12 |  | + | + |  | +++ | - |  |
| HS1033 | 12A10-1 |  | $+$ | $+$ | problem strain | - | - |  |
| HS1034 | $12 \mathrm{C09}$ |  | $+$ | + | problem strain | + | - |  |
| HS1035 | 13B08-1 |  | +++ | $+$ |  | - | - |  |
| HS1036 | 13 C 08 |  | + | $+$ | problem strain | + | - |  |
| HS1037 | 14C03-1 |  | - | $+$ |  | - | - |  |
| HS1038 | 14D08 |  | ++ | + |  | ++ | - |  |
| HS1039 | 15G03 |  | + | $+$ |  | + | - |  |
| HS1040 | 16E03-d |  | + | + |  | $+$ | - |  |
| HS1041 | 16 F 07 |  | ++ | + | problem strain | ++ | - |  |
| HS1042 | 21B04-1 |  | + | $+$ |  | + | - |  |
| HS1043 | 21 F08 |  | $+$ | $+$ | 2 morphologies over time | - | - |  |
| HS1044 | 22E03 |  | + | $+$ | problem strain | ++++ | - |  |
| HS1045 | 22F08-1 |  | $+$ | $+$ |  | ++++ | $-$ |  |
| HS1046 | 22F09-d |  | $+$ | $+$ |  | +++ | - |  |
| HS1047 | 22G10 |  | $+$ | $+$ |  | - | - |  |
| HS1048 | 23E01 |  | $+$ | $+$ |  | - | - |  |
| HS1049 | $24 \mathrm{B12}$ |  | + | $+$ |  | $\bullet$ | - |  |
| HS1050 | $24 \mathrm{C07}$ |  | - | $+$ | 2 morphologies when 1 colony restreaked | $+$ | - |  |
| HS1051 | 25A11-d |  | + | $+$ |  | ++ | - |  |
| HS1052 | 25B04 |  | $+$ | $+$ |  | +++ | - |  |
| HS1053 | 26 A06 |  | $+$ | $+$ | problem strain | +++ | - | not highly RpoS-dependent |
| HS1054 | 26 E 05 |  | + | $+$ |  | $+$ | - |  |
| HS1055 | $28 \mathrm{F07}$ |  | $+$ | $+$ | problem strain | ++++ | - |  |
| HS1056 | $29 \mathrm{G11}$ |  | $+$ | + | . | ++ | - |  |
| HS1057 | 31B04 |  | + | + |  | +++ | - | not highly Rpos-dependent |
| HS1058 | 31B09 |  | + | $+$ |  | +++ | - | not highly RpoS-dependent |


| STRAIN | [Location] | (a) COMMENTS / COMPLEMENTATION RESULTS (of strains in MC4100 background) | (b) COMPLEMENTATION RESULTS UNKNOWN | (c) TRANSDUCTION <br> 2 PHENOTYPES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS1059 | 31D07-d |  | x |  |
| HS1060 | 31D07-1 |  | $\mathbf{x}$ |  |
| HS1061 | 31F08-n |  | x |  |
| HS1062 | 31F09 | suspected katE mutant // complemented wrt B-gal |  | y |
| HS1063 | 32D07 | TCJ 2 morphologies | x | $y$ |
| HS1064 | 32D11 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1065 | 33B07 | TCJ 2 morphologies | x |  |
| HS1066 | 33C09 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1067 | 34C08-n |  | $x$ |  |
| HS1068 | 34C08-m |  | $x$ |  |
| HS1069 | 34 E 11 | not complemented wrt B-gal |  | $y$ |
| HS1070 | 35B06 | not complemented wrt B-gal |  | $y$ |
| HS1071 | 35B09 | complemented |  | y |
| HS1072 | 35C12-1 |  | X |  |
| HS1073 | 35F12 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1074 | 35G05 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1075 | 35G11 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1076 | 35G12 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1077 | 36A05-1 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1078 | 36A11-1 |  | x |  |
| HS1079 | 36B03 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1080 | 36B07-1 |  | x |  |
| HS1081 | 36G06 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1082 | $39 \mathrm{C08}$ | complemented |  |  |
| HS1083 | 39E02-1 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1084 | 39 E 12 | complemented |  | $y$ |
| HS1085 | 39 F 11 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1086 | 39H08-1 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1087 | 41F10-d | complemented |  |  |


| STRAIN | [Location] | RECIPIENTS (GC4468 BKGRD) | B-gal | cat | TRANSCONJUGANTS (GC4468 BKGRD) | B-gal | cat | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS1059 | 31D07-d | d\&l appear same | + | + | d\&l appear same | ++ | - |  |
| HS1060 | 31D07-1 | d\&l appear same | $+$ | + | d\& I appear same | ++ | - | same as 31D07-d // may omit from studies |
| HS1061 | 31F08-n |  | $+$ | + | 2 morphologies over time | ++ | - |  |
| HS1062 | 31F09 |  | + | - | few partial dark colonies | ++ | - | suspected katE mutant |
| HS1063 | 32D07 |  | + | + | 2 morphologies over time | - | - |  |
| HS1064 | 32D11 |  | $+$ | $+$ |  | +++ | - | not highly RpoS-dependent |
| HS1065 | $33 \mathrm{B07}$ |  | $+$ | $+$ |  | ++++ | - | Jan 5/96 // not RpoS-dependent // omit |
| HS1066 | $33 \mathrm{C09}$ |  | + | + |  | ++++ | - | not highly RpoS-dependent |
| HS1067 | 34C08-n |  | $+$ | $+$ |  | - | - |  |
| HS1068 | 34C08-m | no longer mucoid | $+$ | + | no longer mucoid | - | - | same as 34C08-n // may omit from studies |
| HS1069 | 34 E 11 |  | ++ | $+$ |  | - | - |  |
| HS1070 | 35B06 |  | + | $+$ |  | ++ | - | not highly RpoS-dependent |
| HS1071 | 35B09 |  | $+$ | $+$ | problem strain | ++ | - |  |
| HS1072 | 35C12-1 |  | $+$ | $+$ |  | +++ | - | not highly RpoS-dependent // can't grow on minimal |
| HS1073 | 35F12 |  | $+$ | $+$ | problem strain | - | - |  |
| HS1074 | 35G05 |  | $+$ | $+$ |  | - | - |  |
| HS1075 | 35G11 |  | $+$ | $+$ |  | ++ | - | not highly RpoS-dependent |
| HS1076 | 35G12 |  | $+$ | $+$ |  | - | - |  |
| HS1077 | 36A05-1 |  | $+$ | $+$ |  | $+$ | - |  |
| HS1078 | 36A11-I |  | + | $+$ | problem strain | ++ | - |  |
| HS1079 | $36 \mathrm{B03}$ |  | $+$ | $+$ | few dark partial colonies | +++ | - | not highly RpoS-dependent |
| HS1080 | 36B07-1 |  | $+$ | + | problem strain | ++ | - |  |
| HS1081 | 36G06 |  | $+$ | $\pm$ | problem strain | - | - |  |
| HS1082 | 39 C 08 |  | $+$ | $+$ |  | $-$ | - |  |
| HS1083 | 39E02-1 |  | +++ | $\pm$ | problem strain | +++ | - |  |
| HS1084 | 39 E 12 |  | ++ | $+$ |  | $+$ | - |  |
| HS1085 | 39 F 11 |  | +++ | $+$ | . | ++ | - |  |
| HS1086 | 39H08-1 |  | + | $+$ |  | ++ | - |  |
| HS1087 | 41F10-d |  | + | + |  | - | $\bullet$ |  |


| STRAIN | [Location] | (a) COMMENTS / COMPLEMENTATION RESULTS (of strains in MC4100 background) | (b) COMPLEMENTATION RESULTS UNKNOWN | (c) TRANSDUCTION <br> 2 PHENOTYPES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS1088 | 42B07 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1089 | 42H10 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1090 | 43E02 | TCJ 2 morphologies | x |  |
| HS1091 | 43G05-d | complemented |  | $y$ |
| HS1092 | 43G05-I | complemented |  |  |
| HS1093 | 43G11 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1094 | 44A08 | complemented |  | $y$ |
| HS1095 | 44C09 | complemented |  |  |
| HS1096 | 45B11 | complemented |  | $y$ |
| HS1097 | 45F04-d | complemented |  |  |
| HS1098 | 46A08-n |  | x |  |
| HS1099 | 46D12-I | complemented |  |  |
| HS1100 | $47 \mathrm{B12}$ | complemented | x |  |
| HS1101 | 47008 |  | $x$ |  |
| HS1102 | 48B01-n | complemented | x |  |
| HS1103 | 48B01-m | complemented | x |  |
| HS1104 | 48E04 | complemented |  | $y$ |
| HS1105 | 49F03 | complemented |  |  |


| STRAIN | [Location] | RECIPIENTS (GC4468 BKGRD) | B-gal | cat | TRANSCONJUGANTS (GC4468 BKGRD) | B-gal | cat | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS1088 | 42B07 |  | +++ | + |  | . | . |  |
| HS1089 | 42H10 |  | + | + |  | - | - |  |
| HS1090 | 43E02 |  | + | + |  | - | - |  |
| HS1091 | 43G05-d | d\&l appear same | +++ | + | darker than 43G05-1// problem strain | ++ | - |  |
| HS1092 | 43G05-I | d\&l appear same | + | + | lighter than 43G05-d // problem strain | - | - |  |
| HS1093 | $43 \mathrm{G11}$ |  | + | + |  | - | - |  |
| HS1094 | 44A08 |  | + | + | problem strain | +++ | - |  |
| HS1095 | 44C09 |  | + | + |  | ++ | - |  |
| HS1096 | 45B11 |  | + | + | problem strain | ++ | - |  |
| HS1097 | 45F04-d |  | ++ | + | problem strain | ++ | - |  |
| HS1098 | 46A08-n |  | + | + | problem strain | ++ | - |  |
| HS1099 | 46D12-1 |  | + | + |  | ++++ | - | not highly RpoS-dependent |
| HS1100 | 47 B 12 |  | + | + | problem strain | ++++ | - | not highly RpoS-dependent |
| HS1101 | 47D08 |  | + | + |  | - | - |  |
| HS1102 | 48B01-n |  | + | $+$ |  | - | . |  |
| HS1103 | 48B01-m | no longer mucoid | $+$ | + | no longer mucoid | - | - | same as 48B01-n // may omit from studies |
| HS1104 | 48E04 |  | + | + |  | ++++ | - |  |
| HS1105 | 49F03 |  | + | + | 2 morphologies over time | ++++ | . |  |

Appendix C. Raw data of the growth-phase-dependent expression of rpos transconjugants. Twelve of fifteen rpos strains with an induction ratio greater than 5.0 were reassayed with the entire range of time points used for the rpos ${ }^{+}$recipients in Appendix A. Data was plotted two different ways, the first row of graphs on a time scale and the second. Time 7 h represents an overnight sample.

Calculation of Specific Activity:
[1000 x OD(420 nm)]/ [Rxn Time (min) x Volume Assayed (ml) $\times$ OD(600 nm)]




Appendix D. Comparisons of two sets of growth-phase induction of rpoS ${ }^{+}$recipients assayed independently. $\beta$-galactosidase activity is plotted against growth at $\mathrm{OD}(600 \mathrm{~nm})$. The data set on the left was the reassayed results and on the right is the original assay done by Suzana Gligorijevic. The overnight sample is represented by 7 h .

Calculation for Specific Activity:
[1000 $\times$ OD(420 nm)]/[Rxn Time (min) $\times$ Volume Assayed (ml) $\times \mathrm{OD}(600 \mathrm{~nm})$ ]
Calculation for Total Activity:
[Specific Activity x OD(600 nm)]

| Reassayed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Original Data Otained |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strain | Time (h) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { OD600 } \\ (\mathrm{nm}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { OD420 } \\ (\mathrm{nm}) \\ \text { avg } \end{array}$ | Rxn <br> Time <br> (min) | Vol <br> (ml) | Specific Activity (Miller U) | Total <br> Activity (Miller U) |  |  |  |  | Time <br> (h) | $\begin{array}{r} 00600 \\ (\mathrm{~nm}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { OD420 } \\ (\mathrm{nm}) \\ \text { avg } \end{array}$ | Rxn <br> Time <br> (min) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Vol } \\ \text { (mi) } \end{gathered}$ | Specific <br> Activity <br> (Miller U) | Total Activity (Miller U) |  |
| HS1008 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 475 | 2 | 7.4 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1311 | 2 | 2.4 | 0.2 |  |
| [02H06] | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 305 | 2 | 2.9 | 0.4 |  |  | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1311 | 2 | 1.7 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 80 | 2 | 2.7 | 0.8 |  |  | 1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1311 | 2 | 0.9 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 20 | 2 | 25.6 | 10.5 |  |  | 4.5 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1311 | 2 | 0.8 | 0.3 |  |
|  | 2 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 5 | 2 | 59.4 | 64.2 |  |  | 2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 187 | 2 | 3.0 | 1.5 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 7 | 1 | 89.7 | 125.6 |  |  | 2.5 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 4 | 1 | 65.9 | 62.6 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 6 | 1 | 106.5 | 187.5 |  |  | 3 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 3 | 1 | 114.8 | 124.0 |  |
|  | 5 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 5 | 1 | 94.6 | 274.4 |  |  | 4 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 3 | 1 | 67.9 | 91.0 |  |
|  | 6 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 2 | 1 | 107.7 | 323.0 |  |  | 5 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 3 | 1 | 77.8 | 136.2 |  |
|  | 7 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 1 | 1 | 218.2 | 593.5 |  |  | 6 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 3 | 1 | 69.2 | 176.5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 2 | 1 | 144.3 | 571.5 |  |
| HS1010 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 475 | 2 | 2.8 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1311 | 2 | 2.3 | 0.1 |  |
| [03F05] | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 305 | 2 | 1.5 | 0.3 |  |  | 0.5 | 0.1 |  |  | 0.4 | 1311 | 2 | 1.6 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 80 | 2 | 2.2 | 0.8 |  |  | 1 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.5 | 1311 | 2 | 1.0 | 0.2 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 20 | 2 | 60.0 | 28.2 |  |  | 1.5 | 0.3 |  |  | 0.8 | 1311 | 2 | 0.9 | 0.3 |  |
|  | 2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 5 | 2 | 93.9 | 108.0 |  |  | 2 | 0.5 |  |  | 0.5 | 187 | 2 | 2.9 | 1.4 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 7 | 1 | 85.7 | 120.0 |  |  | 2.5 | 0.8 |  |  | 0.3 | 2 | 1 | 200.9 | 168.8 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 6 | 1 | 130.0 | 230.2 |  |  | 3 | 1.2 |  |  | 0.7 | 2 | 1 | 266.9 | 328.3 |  |
|  | 5 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 5 | 1 | 92.7 | 274.3 |  |  | 4 | 1.3 |  |  | 1.1 | 2 | 1 | 393.5 | 527.3 |  |
|  | 6 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 2 | 1 | 95.5 | 302.8 |  |  | 5 | 1.8 |  |  | 1.2 | 2 | 1 | 337.6 | 601.0 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 1 | 1 | 97.3 | 330.0 |  |  | 6 | 2.1 |  |  | 1.3 | 2 | 1 | 301.8 | 627.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 3.9 |  |  | 0.9 | 2 | 1 | 114.7 | 446.0 |  |
| HS1024 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 475 | 2 | 2.4 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1331 | 2 | 1.6 | 0.1 |  |
| [07E04] | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 305 | 2 | 1.3 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.5 | 0.1 |  |  | 0.2 | 1331 | 2 | 1.0 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 80 | 2 | 1.5 | 0.6 |  |  | 1 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.4 | 1331 | 2 | 0.6 | 0.1 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 20 | 2 | 40.5 | 21.9 |  |  | 1.5 | 0.4 |  |  | 0.3 | 110.5 | 2 | 3.2 | 1.3 |  |
|  | 2 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 5 | 2 | 79.2 | 98.2 |  |  | 2 | 0.7 |  |  | 0.4 | 4 | 2 | 76.9 | 50.5 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 7 | 1 | 80.8 | 123.6 |  |  | 2.5 | 1.3 |  |  | 0.5 | 4 | 1 | 95.0 | 121.6 |  |
|  | 3 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 6 | 1 | 77.2 | 1460 |  |  | 3 | 1.6 |  |  | 0.5 | 4 | 1 | 82.6 | 129.6 |  |
|  | 5 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 5 | 1 | 54.6 | 162.7 |  |  | 4 | 2.1 |  |  | 0.7 | 4 | 1 | 88.7 | 187.3 |  |
|  | 6 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 2 | 1 | 54.5 | 166.3 |  |  | 5 | 2.6 |  |  | 0.5 | 4 | 1 | 50.7 | 132.9 |  |
|  | 7 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 1 | 1 | 61.7 | 214.0 |  |  | 6 | 2.8 |  |  | 0.7 | 4 | 1 | 64.0 | 178.5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 3.4 |  |  | 0.7 | 5 | 1 | 41.3 | 141.5 |  |

## Reassayed

Original Data Otained


Reassayed


| Reassayed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Original Data Otained |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strain | Time <br> (h) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { OD600 } \\ (n \mathrm{~nm}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { OD420 } \\ (\mathrm{nm}) \\ \text { avg } \end{array}$ | Rxn <br> Time <br> (min) | Vol <br> (ml) | Specific <br> Activity <br> (Miller U) | Total <br> Activity (Miller U) |  |  |  |  | Time <br> (h) | $\begin{array}{r} 0 D 600 \\ (\mathrm{~nm}) \end{array}$ | OD420 ( nm ) avg | Rxn Time (min) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vol } \\ & (\mathrm{ml}) \end{aligned}$ | Specific <br> Activity <br> (Miller U) |  |
| [31F08] | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 225 | 2 | 1.4 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 2131 | 2 | 1.0 | 0.1 |
|  | 1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 175 | 2 | 1.4 | 0.6 |  |  | 1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 263 | 2 | 1.5 | 0.3 |
|  | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 50 | 2 | 9.9 | 8.4 |  |  | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 72.5 | 2 | 3.9 | 1.8 |
|  | 2 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.5 | 72.3 | 89.6 |  |  | 2 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 4 | 2 | 51.6 | 32.9 |
|  | 3 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 5 | 0.5 | 120.0 | 230.4 |  |  | 2.5 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 4 | 1 | 70.3 | 77.4 |
|  | 4 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.5 | 157.4 | 425.0 |  |  | 3 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 4 | 1 | 90.6 | 125.0 |
|  | 5 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.5 | 121.8 | 389.7 |  |  | 4 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 4 | 1 | 89.7 | 168.6 |
|  | 6 | 3.5 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.5 | 113.4 | 392.3 |  |  | 5 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 4 | 1 | 69.7 | 162.4 |
|  |  |  | 0.4 | 3 | 0.5 | 70.9 | 288.7 |  |  | 6 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 4 | 1 | 63.0 | 169.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 1 | 142.7 | 428.0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { HS1063 } \\ & \text { [32D07] } \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 230 | 2 | 1.4 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1179 | 2 | 1.6 | 0.1 |
|  | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 225 | 2 | 0.9 | 0.1 |  |  | 0.5 | 0.1 |  |  | 0.2 | 1179 | 2 | 1.2 | 0.1 |
|  | 1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 175 | 2 | 1.7 | 0.6 |  |  | 1 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.2 | 419 | 2 | 1.3 | 0.2 |
|  | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 50 | 2 | 14.6 | 10.5 |  |  | 1.5 | 0.4 |  |  | 0.3 | 71 | 2 | 5.3 | 2.0 |
|  | 2 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.5 | 57.6 | 63.4 |  |  | 2 | 0.6 |  |  | 0.3 | 7 | 2 | 37.6 | 23.6 |
|  | 3 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 5 | 0.5 | 60.4 | 110.0 |  |  | 2.5 | 1.1 |  |  | 0.3 | 7 | 1 | 36.7 | 41.4 |
|  | 4 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.5 | 68.7 | 182.7 |  |  | 3 | 1.3 |  |  | 0.4 | 7 | 1 | 37.9 | 50.4 |
|  | 5 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.5 | 49.4 | 161.0 |  |  | 4 | 1.8 |  |  | 0.5 | 7 | 1 | 36.0 | 64.7 |
|  | 6 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.5 | 44.0 | 152.3 |  |  | 5 | 2.3 |  |  | 0.5 | 7 | 1 | 29.8 | 68.9 |
|  | 7 | 4.1 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.5 | 23.2 | 95.7 |  |  | 6 | 2.7 |  |  | 0.5 | 7 | 1 | 25.2 | 68.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 2.8 |  |  | 0.3 | 5 | 1 | 21.0 | 58.4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { HS1075 } \\ & \text { [35G11] } \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 430 | 2 | 2.9 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1171 | 2 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
|  | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 425 | 2 | 2.4 | 0.3 |  |  | 0.5 | 0.1 |  |  | 0.4 | 1171 | 2 | 2.4 | 0.2 |
|  | 1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 135 | 2 | 2.1 | 0.7 |  |  | 1 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.3 | 411 | 2 | 2.3 | 0.4 |
|  | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 25 | 2 | 13.4 | 10.2 |  |  | 1.5 | 0.3 |  |  | 0.2 | 63 | 2 | 5.1 | 1.7 |
|  | 2 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 10 | 0.5 | 49.8 | 53.3 |  |  | 2 | 0.5 |  |  | 0.2 | 4.5 | 2 | 42.6 | 23.1 |
|  | 2.5 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 10 | 0.5 | 47.2 | 69.8 |  |  | 2.5 | 1.0 |  |  | 0.3 | 4.5 | 1 | 63.2 | 62.6 |
|  | 3 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.5 | 45.2 | 77.7 |  |  | 3 | 1.3 |  |  | 0.4 | 4.5 | 1 | 64.5 | 83.9 |
|  | 4 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 5 | 0.5 | 49.1 | 100.6 |  |  | 4 | 1.9 |  |  | 0.4 | 4.5 | 1 | 52.1 | 98.0 |
|  | 5 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.5 | 57.1 | 153.0 |  |  | 5 | 2.5 |  |  | 0.4 | 4.5 | 1 | 32.9 | 80.6 |
|  | 6 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.5 | 136.1 | 422.0 |  |  | 6 | 2.9 |  |  | 0.4 | 4.5 | 1 | 30.0 | 86.3 |
|  | 7 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.5 | 9.8 | 47.6 |  |  | 7 | 3.3 |  |  | 0.3 | 2.5 | 1 | 33.7 | 109.6 |

Appendix E. Nucleotide sequences of selected fusion junctions and alignment results from Blastn searches. Ten sequences were submitted for nucleotide search of the databases (Genbank, NCBI Blastn). Sequences in lower case letters represent the confirmed 5' end of the Mu c vector region. Submitted sequences are represented by upper case letters starting from the first nucleotide of the fusion junction for all except HS1038 (14D08) where the beginning of the submitted sequence is represented by an asterick. Strains HS1001 (01D04), HS1028 (08H08) and HS1038 (14D08) were sequenced by automatic sequencer and the remainder manually. All were submitted to the Genbank database in January 26, 1996.

```
HS1001 (01D04)
88 letters
5' -- aataca
GGNATCAGTTTACTGGTCAGGNGACAGAGCAATGGGGAANGCTNNACGGNGNTCATNTGAGGACNATGGTAGNTAACNGNA
ATCA -- 3'
```



```
HS1002 (01E04)
88 letters
5' -- aataca
AATAAAGATGAAGCCGGCGGTAACTGGAAACAGTTTAAAGGTAANGTGAAAGAGCATNGGGCANCTGACCGTGTGTATGCG
TCATTGN -- 3'
```



```
>gb|M96622|DDIRASB Dictyostelium discoideum rasB mRNA, complete cds.
            Length = 672
    Plus Strand HSPS:
    Score = 111 (30.7 bits), Expect = 4.1, P = 0.98
    Identities = 31/42 (73%), Positives = 31/42 (73%), Strand = Plus / Plus
```



```
HS1004 (02C08)
99 letters
5' -- aataca
GAGTGTTCATGACGCCACATCAGGCATGGCAACGACAAATTTAAAGGCGAAGTAGAAACCATTGCAGCTGGNNCAACTGGT
CGGTAGAGTATCGNCAAT -- 3'
```



```
Query: 4 TGTTCATGACGCCACATCAGGCATGGCAACGACAAATTTAAAG 46
    || |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| || |
Sbjct: }185\mathrm{ TGATCATGACGCCACATCAGGCATGGCAACGACAAATTAAAGG }22
Score = 139 (38.4 bits), Expect = 1.2e-15, Sum P(2) = 1.2e-15
Identities = 29/32 (90%), Positives = 29/32 (90%), Strand = Plus / Plus
```

Query: 67 GCTGGNNCAACTGGTCGGTAGAGTATCGNCAA 98

Sbjct: 246 GCTGGAACAACTGGTCGGTAGAGTATCGGCAA 277
Score $=131(36.2$ bits), Expect $=5.3 e-11$, Sum $P(2)=5.3 e-11$
Identities $=27 / 28(96 \%)$, Positives $=27 / 28(96 \%)$, Strand $=$ Plus / Plus
Query: 38 AATTTAAAGGCGAAGTAGAAACCATTGC 65
|| ||||||||||||||||||||
Sbjct: 218 AAATTAAAGGCGAAGTAGAAACCATTGC 245
>dbj|D49445|ECODNAE Escherichia coli dnaE gene for DnaE, DNA polymerase III holoenzyme catalytic subunit, AccA, alpha subunit of acetyl-coA carboxylase, LdcC, probably constitutive lysine decarboxylase, YaeR, YaeN, YaeO, YaeP, YaeQ, YaeJ, NlpE, lipoprotein, YaeF, ProS. Length $=8550$

Plus Strand HSPs:
Score $=188(51.9$ bits $)$, Expect $=3.5 e-15$, Sum $P(2)=3.5 e-15$
Identities $=40 / 43$ (93\%), Positives $=40 / 43$ (93\%), Strand $=$ Plus / Plus
Query: 4 TGTTCATGACGCCACATCAGGCATGGCAACGACAAATTTAAAG 46
Sbjct: 2989 TGATCATGACGCCACATCAGGCATGGCAACGACAAATTAAAGG 3031

```
Score = 139 (38.4 bits), Expect = 3.5e-15, Sum P(2) = 3.5e-15
Identities = 29/32 (90%), Positives = 29/32 (90%), Strand = Plus / Plus
```

Query: 67 GCTGGNNCAACTGGTCGGTAGAGTATCGNCAA 98
||||| ||||||||||||||||||||
Sbjet: 3050 GCTGGAACAACTGGTCGGTAGAGTATCGGCAA 3081

```
Score = 131 (36.2 bits), Expect = 1.5e-10, Sum P(2) = 1.5e-10
Identities = 27/28 (96%), Positives = 27/28 (96%), Strand = Plus / Plus
```

Query: 38 AATTTAAAGGCGAAGTAGAAACCATTGC 65
|| ||||||||||||||||||||
Sbjct: 3022 AAATTAAAGGCGAAGTAGAAACCATTGC 3049

```
HS1009 (03C06)
131 letters
5' -- aataca
GTGGACTTGTATCAGCAACAAGGCGTTGAGAAATCACGCATTCTGATCAAGCTGGCTTCGACCTGGGAAGGAATTCGCGCG
GCAGAAGAGCTGGAAANAGAAGGTATTAACTGCAACCTGACGCTGCTGTN -- 3'
```



```
HS1011 (04C07)
108 letters
5' -- aataca
ACCGTTAAGTCTGGCGCACTCTGAGTGCCATTTCCAAACAGGTCTACGGTAACGCTAATCTGTACAATAAAATCTTCGAAG
CGAATAAACCGATGCTAAAMASCCCGG -- 3'
```

Sequences producing High-scoring Segment Pairs: | Smallest |
| ---: | :--- |
| Sum |

```
HS1028 (08H08)
493 letters
5' -- aataca
GGCGGGAAGAAAGATGGTGTGTGCAAACAATGGCCAAAGCGCCGNTCGCCAAGTGACGAATCAGATTGTACGCGGGATGTT
GGGGAGTTTGCGGGGGGGGAGAAGAAGGTAAGTCAGGCGAGATTTGNCTTTGCCTGATGCGACGCTGGCGCGTCTNATCAT
GCCCACCCCACTGCAATATATNGAATTTTAATTATTTTCCAGGAATGAACGANGCACACTTTGCTAACAATAATAAAGGGA
GCTTTCGTTCCCTNNATTCGTTCANTCGGTTANGATTTNCCATTNCCAGCCACAGGCTNNTTANGAAGAAGNTGNCGCTTG
GTATCAGTGCGCCNATGATCGCCGGTATNNCATNTNTCCANCGTCACNNGTCCCCNTCGNTCTTTGNTCCANTACNTTAGNN
CNANAACAACCCCNANNTTNITTTACTCNGNNGAACCACNACCNAATNCCCATCCNNTCNCGTTTTCCGCNNGCGTNNCCCNT
ATCNTTG -- 3'
Sequences producing High-scoring Segment Pairs:
                    Smallest
    High Probability
Score P(N) N
gb|U14003|ECOUW93 Escherichia coli K-12 chromosomal re... 1145 1.2e-108 4
gb|K02498|ECOALKA E. coli alkA gene encoding 3-methyla... 182 7.9e-05 1
gb|U00008 | ECOHU49
    E. coli alkA gene encoding 3-methyla... 
gb|J01706|ECOTHR E.coli threonine operon with thrA, t... 155 9.4e-05 2
>gb|u14003|ECOUW93 Escherichia coli k-12 chromosomal region from 92.8 to 00.1
minutes.
    Length = 338,534
    Minus Strand HSPs:
Score = 1145 (316.4 bits), Expect = 1.2e-108, Sum P(4) = 1.2e-108
    Identities = 237/252 (94%), Positives = 237/252 (94%), Strand = Minus / Plus
Query: 305 GNAAATCNTAACCGANTGAACGAATNNAGGGAACGAAAGCTCCCTTTATTATTGTTAGCA 246
    | |||| |||||| |||||||| ||||| ||||||||||||||||||||||
Sbjct: }179225\mathrm{ GAAAATCGTAACCGAATGAACGAATAAAGGGAGCGAAAGCTCCCTTTATTATTGTTIAGCA
179284
Query: 245 AAGTGTGCNTCGTTCATTCCTGGAAAATAATTAAAATTCNATATATTGCAGTGGGGTGGG 186
    |||||| |||||||||| ||||||||||||| ||||||||||||||||
Sbjct: 179285 AAGTGTGCTTCGTTCATTCCTGAAAAATAATTAAAATTCAATATATTGGAGTGGGGTGGG
179344
Query: 185 CATGATNAGACGCGCGAGCGTCGCATCAGGCAAAGNCAAATCTCGCCTGACTTACCTTCT 126
    ||||| ||||||||||||||||||||||| ||||||||||||||||||||
Sbjct: 179345 CATGATAAGACGCGCCAGCGTCGCATCAGGCAAAGACAAATCTCGCCTGACTTACCTTCT
179404
Query: 125 TCTCCCCCCCCGCAAACTCCCCAACATCCCGCGTACAATCTGATTCGTCACTTGGCGANC 66 \|\|\|\|\|\|\| \|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|
Sbjct: }179405\mathrm{ TCTCCCCCCCAGCAAACTCCCCAACATCCCGCGTACAATCTGATTCGTCACTTGGCGAGC
179464
Query: 65 GGCGCTTTTGGCC 54
                                |||||| |
Sbjct: 179465 GGCGCTTTTGGC 179476
Score = 271 (74.9 bits), Expect = 1.2e-108, Sum P(4) = 1.2e-108
Identities = 63/79 (79%), Positives = 63/79 (79%), Strand = Minus / Plus
Query: 379 ATGNNATACCGGCGATCATNGGCGCACTGATACCAAGCGNCANCTTCTTCNTAANNAGCC 320
\|\| \| \|\| \|\|\|\|\| \|\|\|\|\|\| \| \|\|\|\|\| \| \|\|\|\|\| |\| ||||
Sbjct: 179150 ATGGCATCCCGCCGATCATCGGCGCACTGITGCCAAGCGCCAGCTTCTTCTTAATCAGCC
179209
Query: \(\quad 319\) TGTGGCTGGNAATGGNAAA 301
\|\|\|\|\| \|\|\| \|\|
Sbjct: 179210 TGTGGCTGTTAATGAGAAA 179228
```

```
Score = 162 (44.8 bits), Expect = 1.5e-17, Sum P(2) = 1.5e-17
Identities = 36/41 (87%), Positives = 36/41 (87%), Strand = Minus / Plus
Query: 195 GTGGGGTGGGCATGATNAGACGCGCCAGCGTCGCATCAGGC 155
    || ||| ||||||| |||||| ||||||||||||||
sbjct: 324023 GTTTGGTAGGCATGATAAGACGCGGCAGGGTCGCATCAGGGC 324063
Score = 159 (43.9 bits), Expect = 2.7e-17, Sum P(2) = 2.7e-17
Identities = 50/75 (66%), Positives = 51/75 (68%), Strand = Minus / Plus
Query: 190 GTGGGCATGATNAGACGCGCCAGCGTCGCATCAGGCAAAGNCAAATCTCGCCTGACTTAC 131
    || || ||| ||||| |||||||||| ||| | |||| | | | ||
sbjct: 240616 GTAGGCCAGATAAGACGCGTCAGCGTCGCATCTGGCATAAACAAAGCGCACTTTGCTGGT
240675
Query: 130 сттсттстсcccccc 116
    |1 + | | | 111
Sbjct: 240676 CTGTYCCCCTCACCC 240690
Score = 151 (41.7 bits), Expect = 0.0018, Sum P(3) = 0.0018
Identities = 33/37 (89%), Positives = 33/37 (89%), Strand = Minus / Plus
Query: 190 GTGGGCATGATNAGACGCGCCAGCGTCGCATCAGGCA 154
    || ||| |||| ||||||| ||||||||||||||
Sbjct: 16830 GTAGGCCTGATAAGACGCGCAAGCGTCGCATCAGGCA 16866
Score = 151 (41.7 bits), Expect = 0.0018, Sum P(3) = 0.0018
Identities = 33/37 (89%), Positives = 33/37 (89%), Strand = Minus / Plus
Query: 190 GTGGGCATGATNAGACGCGCCAGCGTCGCATCAGGCA 154
    || ||| ||| ||||||| ||||||||||||||
Sbict: 16930 GTAGGCCTGATAAGACGCGCAAGCGTCGCATCAGGCA 16966
Score = 151 (41.7 bits), Expect = 0.0018, Sum P(3) = 0.0018
Identities = 33/37 (89%), Positives = 33/37 (89%), Strand = Minus / Plus
Query: 190 GTGGGCATGATNAGACGCGCCAGCGTCGCATCAGGCA 154
    || ||| |||| ||||||| |||||||||||||
Sbjct: 17030 GTAGGCCTGATAAGACGCGCAAGCGTCGCATCAGGCA }1706
Score = 151 (41.7 bits), Expect = 0.0018, Sum P(3) = 0.0018
Identities = 33/37 (89%), Positives = 33/37 (89%), Strand = Minus / Plus
Query: 190 GTGGGCATGATNAGACGCGCCAGCGTCGCATCAGGCA 154
    || ||| |||| ||||||| |||||||||||||
Sbjct: }17130\mathrm{ GTAGGCCTGATAAGACGCGCAAGCGTCGCATCAGGCA 17166
Score = 147 (40.6 bits), Expect = 0.0037, Sum P(3) = 0.0037
Identities = 39/52 (75%), Positives = 39/52 (75%), Strand = Minus / Plus
Query: 187 GGCATGATNAGACGCGCCAGCGTCGCATCAGGCAAAGNCAAATCTCGCCTGA }13
    || ||| |||||| ||||||||||||| | | | ||| ||
Sbjct: 16733 GGCCTGATAAGACGCGCGAGCGTCGCATCAGGCAGTCGGCACTGTTGCCGGA 16784
```

```
Score = 105 (29.0 bits), Expect = 1.2e-108, Sum P(4) = 1.2e-108
```

Score = 105 (29.0 bits), Expect = 1.2e-108, Sum P(4) = 1.2e-108
Identities = 21/21 (100%), Positives = 21/21 (100%), Strand = Minus / Plus
Identities = 21/21 (100%), Positives = 21/21 (100%), Strand = Minus / Plus
Query: 43 CACACCATCTTTCTTCCCGCC 23
|||||||||||||||||
Sbjct: 179489 CACACCATCTTTCTTCCCGCC 179509

```
```

Score = 96 (26.5 bits), Expect = 0.0032, Sum P(2) = 0.0032

```
Score = 96 (26.5 bits), Expect = 0.0032, Sum P(2) = 0.0032
Identities = 26/35 (74%), Positives = 26/35 (74%), Strand = Minus / Plus
```

Identities = 26/35 (74%), Positives = 26/35 (74%), Strand = Minus / Plus

```
```

Query: 227 CCTGGAAAATAATTAAAATTCNATATATTGCAGTG }19
||| | ||| | ||||| |||||||||| |
Sbjct: }100010\mathrm{ CCTACATAATCACGCAAATTCAATATATTGCAGAG }10004
Score = 90 (24.9 bits), Expect = 1.2e-108, Sum P(4) = 1.2e-108
Identities = 18/18 (100%), Positives = 18/18 (100%), Strand = Minus / Plus
Query: 60 TTTGGCCATTGTTTGCAC 43
||||||||||||||||
Sbjct: }179471\mathrm{ TTTGGCCATTGTTTGCAC 179488
Score = 85 (23.5 bits), Expect = 4.6, Sum P(4) = 0.99
Query: 167 CGTCGCATCAGGCAAAGNCAAA 146
|| ||||||||| || ||||
Sbjct: 132309 CGCCGCATCAGGCATAGACAAA 132330

```
```

HS1038 (14D08)
535 letters
5' -- aataca
NTNNTANAGAGCTNGTATGGCTACGTGGTNGTCNCAGCGCAGANNTCACCAATGTCTAGCGCANGGCATCATGNCGACTGG
CTCTATNTTTGAAAGCTNA*GNTATATATGGTNGACAGGGGNNTCANCNGAATGGANAAGGCGGCTNCCACTCCATCATNA
AATAATTGAAGCTCACCGCCGCGGTCATTNAAACTCGNCGCACTTTNTGNCGGNCNTNGGGGGCGCTNTTCAGACTCNNAC
CCNNAGTNTATACNTCGCAGATGNNCTGAAAGGCCNNNCTNTGGTGGCNGNTTTCANCTACACCNTNANCGCTCTTATGGN
NATNCTGGNCNNGCAGNTGCTGAAGGGGACTCCAACANCNACNGCGACCGNATCATACTCATTCTGCTATGTGGNNNANTC
GGCCGTNCCCNCCAGNACGATNTTGGGGTCNAACTCNGAAATTATTTCANNCTCCNCTCCACGGGNGTNCTCCTGANANNG
TTGGNTNCACCCNAATTCCNCAATTNTCNGCCCCNCCCGNAAANACTCN -- 3'

```

```

>gb|L10328|ECOUW82 E. coli; the region from 81.5 to 84.5 minutes.
Length = 136,254
Plus Strand HSPs:
Score = 148 (40.9 bits), Expect = 4.6e-06, Sum P(2) = 4.6e-06
Identities = 42/62 (67%), Positives = 42/62 (67%), Strand = Plus / Plus
Query: 1 GNTATATATGGTNGACAGGGGNNTCANCNGAATGGANAAGGCGGCTNCCACTCCATCATN 60
| |||| | | | | || | ||| |||| | || |||| ||||||||||
Sbjct: 26314 GTTATATTTTTTCGCCCGGTGATTCAGAAGAATGCAAAAAACGGCTACCACTCCATCAAA 26373
Query: 61 AA 62
||
Sbjct: 26374 AA 26375
Score = 137 (37.9 bits), Expect = 4.6e-06, Sum P(2) = 4.6e-06
Identities = 41/62 (66%), Positives = 41/62 (66%), Strand = Plus / Plus
Query: 245 GAAGGGGACTCCAACANCNACNGCGACCGNATCATACTCATTCTGCTATGTGGNNNANTC 304
| | || |||| | | | |||||| | |||| |||||||||| | | |
Sbjct: 26555 GCAAGGCACTCCGATAAACAAGGCGACCGTAAATTACTGATTCTGCTATGTTGCTTATTT 26614
Query: }305\mathrm{ GG 306
||
Sbjct: 26615 GG 26616

```
```

HS1042 (21B04)
102 letters
5' -- aataca
CCCGGACTTTCCACAGAGCGTTACACCTGGVTAGTCATCATGAACCTGATTACNACGTTATTBTSTCGACGATTCAAAGTA
GCCAAAGGCGCTGGGACTGG -- 3'

```

>gb|U00039|ECOUW76 E. coli chromosomal region from 76.0 to 81.5 minutes.
        Length \(=225,419\)
    Minus Strand HSPs:
    Score \(=159\) (45.7 bits), Expect \(=6.7 e-15, \operatorname{sum} P(3)=6.7 e-15\)
    Identities \(=33 / 40\) ( \(82 \%\) ), Positives \(=36 / 40\) (90\%), Strand \(=\) Minus / Plus
Query: 68 CGASAVAATANCGTNGTAATCAGGTTSATGATSACTAVCC 29
sbjct: 126998 CGACAAAATATCGTTGTAATCAGGTTGATGATCACTATCC 127037
    Score \(=135(38.8\) bits), Expect \(=6.7 e-15\), \(\operatorname{Sum} P(3)=6.7 e-15\)
    Identities \(=27 / 30(90 \%)\), Positives \(=29 / 30\) ( \(96 \%\) ), Strand \(=\) Minus \(/\) Plus
Query: 30 CCAGGTGTAASGSTCTGTGGAAAGNCCGGG 1

Sbjet: 127037 CCAGGTGTAACGCTCTGTGGAAAGTCCGGG 127066
```

Score = 90 (25.9 bits), Expect = 6.7e-15, Sum P(3) = 6.7e-15
Identities = 18/18 (100%), Positives = 18/18 (100%), Strand = Minus / Plus
Query: }89\mathrm{ CCTTTGGCTACTTTGAAT }7
|||||||||||||||||
Sbjct: 126974 CCTTTGGCTACTTTGAAT 126991
Score = 77 (22.1 bits), Expect = 8.0e-14, Sum P(3) = 8.0e-14
Identities = 17/19 (89%), Positives = 17/19 (89%), Strand = Minus / Plus

```
Query: 101 CCAGTCCCAGCGCCTTTGG 83
sbjct: 126961 CCAGTCCCAGCGCCCTTTG 126979
```

HS1073 (35F12)
120 letters
5' -- aataca
CAGTGACAGAAGATGGCCGTMAAAYAACGGACAGAGGGCAGCGAATTGBTSATGTTCTCACTGGACCCGACGGTYATYYMT
MCGKKGCACCGACGAGCTCCAGTGGGGAATTCACTTAAA -- 3'

```
Sequences producing High-scoring Segment Pairs: \begin{tabular}{c} 
Smallest \\
Sum \\
High Probability \\
P
\end{tabular}
„** NONE ***
```

HS1077 (36A5)
134 letters
5' -- aataca
CTGATGTACATGTCTTGAACCAGTGATGCGGCGGGCAATGCTCTATCACTCTGACCTTCGAGACTGGGACATCTCTGATAT
CGCACAGGTTCAGTGCAAATAAACTGCAACTCGCATGCTTGATTACTGAGCAG -- 3'

```

>gb|U00039|ECOUW76 E. coli chromosomal region from 76.0 to 81.5 minutes. Length \(=225,419\)

Plus Strand HSPs:
Score \(=196(54.2\) bits \()\), Expect \(=3.6 e-23\), \(\operatorname{Sum} P(4)=3.6 e-23\)
Identities \(=40 / 41\) (97\%), Positives \(=40 / 41\) (97\%), Strand \(=\) Plus \(/\) Plus
Query: 1 CTGATGTACATGTCTTGAACCAGTGATGCGGCGGGCAATGC 41
Sbjet: 74895 CTGATGTACATGTCTTCAACCAGTGATGCGGCGGGCAATGC 74935
```

Score = 175 (48.4 bits), Expect = 3.6e-23, Sum P(4) = 3.6e-23
Identities = 35/35 (100%), Positives = 35/35 (100%), Strand = Plus / Plus

```

Query: 41 CTCTATCACTCTGACCTTCGAGACTGGGACATCTC 75

Sbjet: 74936 CTCTATCACTCTGACCTTCGAGACTGGGACATCTC 74970
```

Score = 95 (26.3 bits), Expect = 3.6e-23, Sum P(4) = 3.6e-23
Identities = 19/19 (100%), Positives = 19/19 (100%), Strand = Plus / Plus

```
Query: \(\quad 75\) CTGATATCGCACAGGTTCA 93
    \|I\|।ll|l|l|l|l|l
Sbjct: 74971 CTGATATCGCACAGGTTCA 74989
Score \(=90(24.9\) bits), Expect \(=3.6 \mathrm{e}-23\), Sum \(P(4)=3.6 \mathrm{e}-23\)
Identities \(=18 / 18\) (100\%), Positives \(=18 / 18\) (100\%), Strand \(=\) Plus \(/\) Plus
Query: 98 AAATAAACTGCAACTCGC 115
    |l|l|l|lll|l|ll|
Sbjct: 74996 AAATAAACTGCAACTCGC 75013
```

Score = 74 (20.4 bits), Expect = 6.7e-22, Sum P(4) = 6.7e-22
Identities = 18/22 (81%), Positives = 18/22 (81%), Strand = Plus / Plus

```
Query: 102 AAACTGCAACTCGCATGCTTGA 123
    | 11\|\|lllll| |l|l
Sbjct: 79427 ATACTGCAACTCGCTTAATTGA 79448

\section*{REFERENCES}

Aldea, M., T. Garrido, C. Hernandez-Chico, M. Vicente, and S. R. Kushner. 1989. Induction of a growth-phase-dependent promoter triggers transcription of bolA, an Escherichia coli morphogene. EMBO J. 8:3923-3931.

Aldea, M., T. Garrido, J. Pla, and M. Vicente. 1990. Division genes in Escherichia coli are expressed coordinately to cell septum requirements by gearbox promoters. EMBO J. 9:3787-3794.

Aldea, M., C. Hernandez-Chico, A. G. de la Campa, S. R. Kushner, and M. Vicente. 1988. Identification, cloning, and expression of bolA, an ftsZ-dependent morphogene of Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 170:5169-5176.

Almiron, M., A. J. Link, D. Furlong, and R. Kolter. 1992. A novel DNA-binding protein with regulatory and protective roles in starved Escherichia coli. Genes \& Dev. 6:2646-2654.

Altuvia S., M. Almiron, G. Huisman, R. Kolter, and G. Storz. 1994. The dps promoter is activated by OxyR during growth and by IHF and \(\sigma^{s}\) in stationary phase. Mol. Microbiol. 13:265-272.

Ananthaswamy, H. N., and A. Eisenstark. 1976. Near-UV-induced breaks in phage DNA: sensitization by \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\) (a tryptophan photoproduct). Photochem. Photobiol. 24:439-442.

Arber, W., L. Enquist, B. Hohn, N. E. Murray and K. Murray. 1983. Experimental methods for use with lambda. In: Lambda II, p. 433-466. (Hendrix, R. W., J. W. Roberts, F. W. Stahl, R. A. Weisberg, eds.) Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, N. Y., USA.

Arnqvist A., A. Olsen, and S. Normark. 1994. \(\sigma^{\text {s }}\)-dependent growth-phase induction of the \(\operatorname{csg}\) BA promoter in Escherichia coli can be achieved in vivo by \(\sigma^{70}\) in the absence of the nucleiodassociated protein H-NS. Mol. Microbiol. 13:1021-1032.

Atlung, T., A. Nielsen, and F. G. Hansen. 1989. Isolation, characterization and nucleotide sequence of app \(Y\), a regulatory gene for growth-phase-dependent gene expression in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 171:1683-1691.

Aviv M., H. Giladi, G. Schreiber, A. B. Oppenheim, and G. Glaser. 1994. Expression of the genes coding for the Escherichia coli integration host factor are controlled by growth phase, rpoS, ppGpp and by autoregulation. Mol. Microbiol. 14:1021-1031.

Back, J. F., D. Oakenfull, and M. B. Smith. 1979. Increased thermal stability of proteins in the presence of sugars and polyols. Biochemistry 18:5191-5196.

Badger, J. L., and V. L. Miller. 1995. Role of RpoS in survival of Yersinia enterocolitica to a variety of environmental stresses. J. Bacteriol. 177:5370-5373.

Blattner, F. R., V. Burland, G. Plunkett III, H. J. Sofia, and D. L. Daniels. 1993. Analysis of the Escherichia coli genome. IV. DNA sequence of the region from 89.2 to 92.8 minutes. Nucleic Acids Res. 21:5408-5417.

Boeker, E. A., and E. E. Snell. 1972. Amino acid decarboxylases. In: The Enzymes, 3rd ed., vol. 6, p. 217-253. Boyer (ed). Academic Press, N. Y.

Bohringer, J., D. Fischer, G. Mosler, and R. Hengge-Aronis. 1995. UDP-glucose is a potential intracellular signal molecule in the control of expression of \(\sigma^{s}\) and \(\sigma^{s}\)-dependent genes in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 177:413-422.

Boos, W., U. Ehmann, E. Bremer, A. Middendorf, and P. Postma. 1987. Trehalase of Escherichia coli. J. Biol. Chem. 262:13212-13218.

Bremer, E., T. J. Silhavy, and G. M. Weinstock. 1985. Transposable \(\lambda\) placMu bacteriophages for creating lacZ operon fusions and kanamycin resistance insertions in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 162:1092-1099.

Bremer, E., T. J. Silhavy, J. M. Weisemann, and G. M. Weinstock. 1984. \(\lambda\) placMu: a transposable derivative of bacteriophage lambda for creating lacZ protein fusions in a single step. J. Bacteriol. 158:1084-1093.

Buchanan, C. E., and M. O. Sowell. 1982. Synthesis of penicillin-binding protein 6 by stationary phase Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 151:491-494.

Burland, V., G. Plunkett III, D. L. Daniels, and F. R. Blattner. 1993. DNA sequence and analysis of 136 kilobases of the Escherichia coli genome: organizational symmetry around the origin of replication. Genomics 16:551-561.

Burland, V., G. Plunkett III, H. J. Sofia, D. L. Daniels, and F. R. Blattner. 1995. Analysis of the Escherichia coli genome VI: DNA sequence of the region from 92.8 through 100 minutes. Nucleic Acids Res. 23:2105-2119.

Casadaban, M. J., and J. Chou. 1984. In vivo formation of gene fusions encoding hybrid \(\beta\) galactosidase proteins in one step with a transposable Mu-lac transducing phage. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 81:535-539.

Cashel, M., and K. E. Rudd. 1987. The Stringent Response. In: Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhimurium, cellular and molecular biology, vol 2, p. 1410-1438. (F. C. Neidhardt, J. L. Ingraham, B. Magasanik, K. B. Low, M. Schaechter, H. E. Umbarger, eds.). American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.

Chang, Y-Y, A-Y Wang, and J. E. Cronan, Jr. 1994. Expression of Escherichia coli pyruvate oxidase (PoxB) depends on the sigma factor encoded by the rpoS (katF) gene. Mol. Microbiol. 11:1019-1028.

Chen, C-Y., N. A. Buchmeier, S. Libby, F. C. Fang, M. Krause, and D. G. Guiney. 1995. Central regulatory role for the RpoS sigma factor in expression of Salmonella dublin plasmid virulence genes. J. Bacteriol. 177:5303-5309.

Christman, M. F., R. W. Morgan, F. S. Jacobson, and B. N. Ames. 1985. Positive control of a regulon for defenses against oxidative stress and some heat-shock proteins in Salmonella typhimurium. Cell 41:753-762.

Christman, M. F., G. Storz, and B. N. Ames. 1989. OxyR, a positive regulator of hydrogen peroxide-inducible genes in Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhimurium, is homologous to a family of bacterial regulatory proteins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 86:3484-3488.

Chung, C. T., S. L. Niemela, and R. H. Miller. 1989. One-step preparation of competent Escherichia coli: transformation and storage of bacterial cells in the same solution. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 86:2172-2175.

Clairborne, A., and I. Fridovich. 1979. Purification of the o-dianisidine peroxidase from Escherichia coli B. J. Biol. Chem. 254:4245-4252.

Csonka, L. N. 1989. Physiological and genetic responses of bacteria to osmotic stress. Microbiol. Rev. 53:121-147.

Dassa, J., H. Fsihi, C. Marck, M. Dion, M. Kieffer-Bontemps, and P. L. Boquet. 1991. A new oxygen-regulated operon in Escherichia coli comprises the genes for a putative third cytochrome oxidase and for pH 2.5 acid phosphatase (appA). Mol. Gen. Genet. 229:341-352.

Demple, B., J. Halbrook, and S. Linn. 1983. Escherichia coli xthA mutants are hypersensitive to hydrogen peroxide. J. Bacteriol. 153:1079-1082.

Diaz-Guerra, L., F. Moreno, and J. L. San Millan. 1989. appR gene product activates transcription of microcin C7 plasmid genes. J. Bacteriol. 171:2906-2908.

Dougherty, T. J., and M. J. Pucci. 1994. Penicillin-binding proteins are regulated by rpoS during transitions in growth states of Escherichia coli. Antimicrobial Agents and Chem. 38:205-210.

Errington, J. 1993. Bacillus subtilis sporulation: regulation of gene expression and control of morphogenesis. Microbiol. Rev. 57:1-33.

Espinosa-Urgel, M., and A. Tormo. 1993. \(\sigma^{\text {s}}\)-dependent promoters in Escherichia coli are located in DNA regions with intrinsic curvature. Nuc. Acids Res. 21:3667-3670.

Fang, F. C., S. J. Libby, N. A. Buchmeier, P. C. Loewen, J. Switala, J. Harwood, and D. G. Guiney. 1992. The alternative \(\sigma\) factor KatF (RpoS) regulates Salmonella virulence. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89:11978-11982.

Finkle, S. E., and R. C. Johnson. 1992. The Fis protein: it's not just for DNA inversion anymore. Mol. Microbiol. 6:3257-3265.

Friedman, D. I. 1988. Integration host factor: a protein for all reasons. Cell 55:545-554.
Furth, M. E., S. H. Wickner. 1983. Lambda DNA replication. In: Lambda II, p. 145-173. (Hendrix, R. W., J. W. Roberts, F. W. Stahl, R. A. Weisberg, eds.) Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, N. Y., USA.

Garcia-Bustos, J. F., N. Pezzi, and E. Mendez. 1985. Structure and mode of action of microcin C7, an antibacterial peptide produced by Escherichia coli. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 27:791797.

Gennis, R. B., and L. P. Hager. 1976. Pyruvate oxidase. In:The Enzymes of Biological Membranes, vol 2, p. 493-504. (A. Martonosis, ed.). N. Y.: Plenum.

Gentry, D. R., V. J. Hernandez, L. H. Nguyen, D. B. Jensen, and M. Cashel. 1993. Synthesis of the stationary-phase sigma factor \(\sigma^{s}\) is positively regulated by ppGpp. J. Bacteriol. 175:7982-7989.

Giaever, H. M., O. B. Stryvold, I. Kaasen, and A. R. Strom. 1988. Biochemical and genetic characterization of osmoregulatory trehalose synthesis in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 170:28412849.

Goldemberg, S. H. 1980. Lysine decarboxylase mutants of Escherichia coli: Evidence for two enzyme forms. J. Bacteriol. 141:1428-1431.

Groat, R. G., J. E. Schultz, E. Zychlinsky, A. Bockman, and A Matin. 1986. Starvation proteins in Escherichia coli: kinetics of synthesis and role in starvation survival. J. Bacteriol. 168:486-493.

Grossberger, D. 1987. Minipreps of DNA from bacteriophage lambda. Nucleic Acids Res. 15:6737.

Heimberger, A., and A. Eisenstark. 1988. Compartmentalization of catalases in Escherichia coli. Biochemical and Biophysical Res. Comm. 154:392:397.

Heinkoff, S., G. W. Haughn, J. M. Calvo, and J. C. Wallace. 1988. A large family of bacterial activator proteins. Proc. Natl., Acad. Sci. USA 85:6602-6606.

Hendrix, R. W. 1983. Progress Since 1970. In Lambda II, p. 13-20. (Hendrix, R. W., J. W. Roberts, F. W. Stahl, R. A. Weisberg, eds.) Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, N. Y., USA.

Hengge-Aronis, R. 1993 (a). The role of \(r p o S\) in early stationary-phase gene regulation in Escherichia coli K12. In: Starvation in Bacteria, p. 171-200. (S. Kjelleberg, ed.). Plenum Press, N. Y., USA.

Hengge-Aronis, R. 1993 (b). Survival of hunger and stress: the role of \(r p o S\) in early stationary phase gene regulation in \(E\). coli. Cell 71:165-168.

Hengge-Aronis, R., and D. Fischer. 1992. Identification and molecular analysis of glgS, a novel growth-phase-regulated and rpoS-dependent gene involved in glycogen synthesis in Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol. 6:1877-1886.

Hengge-Aronis, R., W. Klein, R. Lange, M. Rimmele, and W. Boos. 1991. Trehalose synthesis genes are controlled by the putative sigma factor encoded by rpoS and are involved in stationaryphase thermotolerance in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 173:7918-7924.

Hengge-Aronis, R., R. Lange, N. Henneberg, and D. Fischer. 1993. Osmotic regulation of rpoSdependent genes in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 175:259-265.

Horecker, B. L., and P. Z. Smyrniotis. 1955. Purification and properties of yeast transaldolase. J. Biol. Chem. 212:811-825.

Huisman, G. W., and R. Kolter. 1994. Sensing starvation: a homoserine lactone-dependent signalling pathway in Escherichia coli. Science 265:537-539.

Huisman, G. W., and R. Kolter. 1994. Regulation of gene expression at the onset of stationary phase in Escherichia coli. In: Regulation of Bacterial Differentiation , p. 21-40, (P. Piggot, C. P. Moran, Jr., P. Youngman, eds.). American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D. C., USA

Ichikawa, J. K., C. Li, J. Fu, and S. Clarke. 1994. A gene at 59 minutes on the Escherichia coli chromosome encodes a lipoprotein with unusual amino acid repeat sequences. J. Bacteriol. 176:1630-1638.
luchi, S., A. Aristarkhov, J. M. Dong, J. S. Taylor, and E. C. C. Lin. 1994. Effects of nitrate respiration on expression of the Arc-controlled operons encoding succinate dehydrogenase and flavin-linked L-lactate dehydrogenase. J. Bacteriol. 176:1695-1701.

Ivanova, A., C. Miller, G. Glinsky, and A. Eisenstark. 1994. Role of rpoS (katP) in oxyRindependent regulation of hydroperoxidase I in Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol. 12:571-578.

Ivanova, A., M. Renshaw, R. V. Guntaka, and A. Eisenstark. 1992. DNA base sequence variability in katF (putative sigma factor) gene of Escherichia coli. Nucleic Acids Res. 20:54795480.

Jenkins, D. E., J. E. Schultz, and A. Matin. 1988. Starvation-induced cross-protection against heat or \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\) challenge in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 170:3910-3914.

Jenkins, D. E., S. A. Chaisson, and A. Matin. 1990. Starvation-induced cross-protection against osmotic challenge in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 172:2779-2781.

Jung, J. U., C. Gutierrez, F. Martin, M. Ardourel, and M. Villarejo. 1990. Transcription of osmB, a gene encoding an Escherichia coli lipoprotein, is regulated by dual signals. J. Biol. Chem.
265:10574-10581.
Kaasen, I., P. Falkenberg, O. B. Styrvold, and A. R. Strom. 1992. Molecular cloning and physical mapping of the otsBA genes, which encode the osmoregulatory trehalose pathway of Escherichia coli: evidence that transcription is activated by KatF (AppR). J. Bacteriol. 174:889-898.

Kawamukai, M., H. Matsuda, W. Fujii, R. Utsumi and T. Komano. 1989. Nucleotide sequences of fic and fic-1 genes involved in cell filamentation induced by cAMP in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 171:4525-4529.

Kolter, R., D. A. Siegele, and A. Tormo. 1993. The stationary phase of the bacterial life cycle. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 47:855-874.

Komano, T., R. Utsumi, and M. Kawamukai. 1991. Functional analysis of the fic gene involved in regulation of cell division. Res. Microbiol. 142:269-277.

Krause, M., F. C. Fang, and D. G. Guiney. 1992. Regulation of plasmid virulence gene expression in Salmonella dublin involves an unusual operon structure. J. Bacteriol. 174:4482-4489.

Krause, M., C. Roudier, J. Fierer, J. Hawood, and D. G. Guiney. 1991. Molecular analysis of the virulence locus of the Salmonella dublin plasmid pSDL2. Mol. Microbiol. 5:307-316.

Landini, P., L. I. Hajec. And M. R. Volkert. 1994. Structure and transcriptional regulation of the Escherichia coli adaptive response gene aidB. J. Bacteriol. 176:6583-6589.

Lange, R., M. Barth, and R. Hengge-Aronis. 1993. Complex transcriptional control of the \(\sigma^{s}\) dependent stationary-phase-induced and osmotically regulated osm \(Y\) (csi-5) gene suggests novel roles for Lrp, Cyclic AMP (cAMP) receptor protein-cAMP complex, and integration host factor in the stationary-phase response of Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 175:7910-7917.

Lange, R., D. Fischer, and R. Hengge-Aronis. 1995. Identification of transcriptional start sites and the role of ppGpp in the expression of \(r p o S\), the structural gene for the \(\sigma^{s}\) subunit of RNA polymerase in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 177:4676-4680.

Lange, R., and R. Hengge-Aronis. 1991 (a). Identification of a central regulator of stationaryphase gene expression in Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol. 5:49-59.

Lange, R., and R. Hengge-Aronis. 1991 (b). Growth phase-regulated expression of bolA and morphology of stationary-phase Escherichia coli cells are controlled by the novel sigma factor \(\sigma^{\text {s. }}\). J. Bacteriol. 173:4474-4481.

Lange, R., and R. Hengge-Aronis. 1994 (a). The cellular concentration of the \(\sigma^{s}\) subunit of RNA polymerase in Escherichia coli is controlled at the levels of transcription, translation, and protein stability. Genes \& Dev. 8:1600-1612.

Lange, R., and R. Hengge-Aronis. 1994 (b). The nlpD gene is located in an operon with rpoS on the Escherichia coli chromosome and encodes a novel lipoprotein with a potential function in a cell wall formation. Mol. Microbiol. 13:733-743.

Leathers, T. D., J. Noti, and H. E. Umbarger. 1979. Physical characterization of ilv-lac fusions. J. Bacteriol. 140:251-260.

Li, S-J., and J. E. Cronan, Jr. 1993. Growth rate regulation of Escherichia coli acetyl coenzyme A carboxylase, which catalyses the first committed step of lipid biosynthesis. J. Bacteriol. 175:332340.

Loewen, P. C. 1984. Isolation of catalase-deficient Escherichia coli mutants and genetic mapping of katE, a locus that affects catalase activity. J. Bacteriol. 157:622-626.

Loewen, P. C., and R. Hengge-Aronis. 1994. The role of the sigma factor \(\sigma^{s}\) (KatF) in bacterial global regulation. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 48:53-80.

Loewen, P. C., J. Switala, and B. L. Triggs-Raine. 1985 (a). Catalases HPI and HPII in Escherichia coli are induced independently. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics 243:144-149.

Loewen, P. C., and B. L. Triggs. 1984. Genetic mapping of katF, a locus that with katE affects the synthesis of a second catalase species in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 160:668-675.

Loewen, P. C., B. L. Triggs, C. S. George, and B. E. Hrabarchuk. 1985 (b). Genetic mapping of katG, a locus that affects synthesis of the bifunctional catalase-peroxidase hydroperoxidase \(I\) in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 162:661-667.

Loewen, P. C., I. von Ossowski, J. Switala, and M. R. Mulvey. 1993. KatF ( \(\sigma^{s}\) ) synthesis in Escherichia coli is subject to post-transcriptional regulation. J. Bacteriol. 175:2150-2153.

Lomovskaya, O. L., J. P. Kidwell, and A. Matin. 1994. Characterization of the \(\sigma^{38}\)-dependent expression of a core Escherichia coli starvation gene, pexB. J. Bacteriol. 176:3928-3935.

Lonetto, M., M. Gribskov, and C. A. Gross. 1992. The \(\sigma^{70}\) family: sequence conservation and evolutionary relationships. J. Bacteriol. 174:3843-3849.

Ma, M., and J. W. Eaton. 1992. Multicellular oxidant defence in unicellular organisms. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89:7924-7928.

Matin, A. 1991. The molecular basis of carbon-starvation-induced general resistance in Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol. 5:3-10.

McCann, M. P., J. P. Kidwell, and A. Matin. 1991. The Putative \(\sigma\) factor KatF has a central role in development of starvation-mediated general resistance in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 173:41884194.

McCann, M. P., C. D. Fraley, and A. Matin. 1993. The putative \(\sigma\) factor KatF is regulated posttranscriptionally during carbon starvation. J. Bacteriol. 175:2143-2149.

McCormick, J. P., J. R. Fisher, J. P Pachlatko, and A. Eisenstark. 1976. Characterization of a cell-lethal tryptophan photo-oxidation product: Hydrogen peroxide. Science 191:468-469.

Meng, S-Y, and G. N. Bennett. 1992 (a). Nucleotide sequence of the Escherichia coli cad operon: a system for neutralization of low extracellular pH. J. Bacteriol. 174:2659-2669.

Meng, S-Y, and G. N. Bennett. 1992 (b). Regulation of the Escherichia coli cad operon: location of a site required for acid induction. J. Bacteriol. 174:2670-2678.

Miller, J. H. 1992. A Short Course in Bacterial Genetics: a laboratory manual and handbook for Escherichia coli and related bacteria. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, USA.

Morgan, R. W., M. F. Christman, F. S. Jocobson, G. Storz, and B. N. Ames. 1986. Hydrogen peroxide-inducible proteins in Salmonella typhimurium overlaps with heat shock and other stress proteins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 83:8059.

Mukhopadhyay, S., and H. E. Schellhorn. 1994. Induction of Escherichia coli hydroperoxidase I by acetate and other weak acids. J. Bacteriol. 176:2300-2307.

Mulvey, M. R., and P. C. Loewen. 1989. Nucleotide sequence of katF of Escherichia coli suggests KatF protein is a novel \(\sigma\) transcription factor. Nucleic Acids Res. 17:9979-9991.

Mulvey, M. R., P. A. Sorby, B. L. Triggs-Raine, and P. C. Loewen. 1988. Cloning and physical characterization of katE and katF required for catalase HPII expression in Escherichia coli. Gene 73:337-345.

Mulvey, M. R., J. Switala, A. Borys, and P. C. Loewen. 1990. Regulation of transcription of katE and katF in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 172:6713-6720.

Nagasawa, S., S. Tokishita, H. Aiba, and T. Mizuno. 1992. A novel sensor-regulator protein that belongs to the homologous family of signal-transduction proteins involved in adaptive responses in Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol. 6:799-807.

Nguyen, L. H., D. B. Jensen, N. E. Thompson, D. R. Gentry, and R. R. Burgess. 1993. In vitro functional characterization of overproduced Escherichia coli katF/rpoS gene product. Biochemistry 32:11112-11117.

Nimmo, H. G. 1987. The tricarboxylic acid cycle and anaplerotic reactions. In: Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhimurium, cellular and molecular biology, vol 1, p. 156-169. (F. C. Neidhardt, J. L. Ingraham, B. Magasanik, K. B. Low, M. Schaechter, H. E. Umbarger, eds.). American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.

Norel, F., V. Robbe-Saule, M. Y. Popoff and C. Coynault. 1992. The putative sigma factor KatF(RpoS) is required for the transcription of the Salmonella typhimurium virulence gene spvB in Escherichia coli. FEMS Microbiol. Letters 99:271-276.

Novoa, M. A., L. Diaz-Guerra, J. L. San Millan, and F. Moreno. 1986. Cloning and mapping of the genetic determinants for microcin C7 production and immunity. J. Bacteriol. 168:1384-1391.

Olisen, A., A. Arnquist, M. Hammar, S. Sukupolvi, and S. Normark. 1993. The RpoS sigma factor relieves H-NS-mediated transcriptional repression of \(\operatorname{csg} A\), the subunit gene of fibronectin-binding curli in Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol. 7:523-536.

Olsen, A., A. Jonsson, and S. Normark. 1989. Fibronectin binding mediated by a novel class of surface organelles on Escherichia coli. Nature 338:652-655.

O'Neal, C. R., W. M. Gabriel, A. K. Turk, S. J. Libby, F. C. Fang, and M. P. Spector. 1994. RpoS is necessary for both the positive and negative regulation of starvation survival genes during phosphate, carbon, and nitrogen starvation in Salmonella typhimurium. J. Bacteriol. 176:46104616.
von Ossowski, I., M. R. Mulvey, P. A. Leco, A. Borys, and P. C. Loewen. 1991. Nucleotide sequence of Escherichia coli katE, which encodes catalase HPII. J. Bacteriol. 173:514-520.

Ozaki, M., A. Wada, N. Fujita, and A. Ishihama. 1991. Growth phase-dependent modification of RNA polymerase in Escherichia coli. Mol. Gen. Genet. 230:17-23.

Ozaki, M., N. Fujita, A. Wada, and A. Ishihama. 1992. Promoter selectivity of the stationary-phase forms of Escherichia coli RNA polymerase and conversion in vitro of the S1 form enzyme into a log-phase enzyme-like form. Nucleic Acids Res. 2:257-261.

Raina, S., D. Missiakas, L. Baird, S. Kumar, and C. Georgopoulos. 1993. Identification and transcriptional analysis of the Escherichia coli htrE operon which is homologous to pap and related pilin operons. J. Bacteriol. 175:5009-5021.

Roy, R., S. Mukhopadhyay, L. I. C. Wei, and H. E. Schellhorn. 1995. Isolation and sequencing of gene fusions carried by \(\lambda\) placMu specialized transducing phage. Nucleic Acids Res. 23:3076-3078.

Sak, B. D., A. Eisenstark, and D. Touati. 1989. Exonuclease III and the catalase hydroperoxidase II in Escherichia coli are both regulated by the katF gene product. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86:3271-3275.

Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, T. Maniatis. 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, USA.

Sammartano, L. J., R. W. Tuveson, and R. Davenport. 1986. Control of sensitivity to inactivation by \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\) and broad-spectrum near-UV radiation by Escherichia coli katF locus. J. Bacteriol. 168:1321.

Saporito, S. M., B. J. Smith-White, R. P. Cunningham. 1988. Nucleotide sequence of the \(x\) th \(A\) gene of Escherichia coli K12. J. Bacteriol. 170:4542-4547.

Schellhorn, H. E., and H. M. Hassan. 1988. Transcriptional regulation of katE in Escherichia coli K-12. J. Bacteriol. 170:4286-4292.

Schellhorn, H. E., and V. L. Stones. 1992. Regulation of katF and katE in Escherichia coli K-12 by weak acids. J. Bacteriol. 174:4769-4776.

Siegele, D. A., and R. Kolter. 1992. Life after log. J. Bacteriol. 174:345-348.
Silhavy, T. J., M. L. Berman. L. W. Enquist. 1984. Experiments with gene fusions. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, USA.

Slonczewski J. L., T. N. Gonzalez, F. M. Bartholomew, N. J. Holt. 1987. Mu d-directed lacZ fusions regulated by low pH in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 169:3001-3006.

Small, P., D. Blankenhorn, D. Welty, E. Zinser, and J. L. Slonczewski. 1994. Acid and base resistance in Escherichia coli and Shigella flexneri: role of rpoS and growth pH. J. Bacteriol. 176:1729-1737.

Sofia, H. J., V. Burland, D. L. Daniels, G. Plunkett III and F. R. Blattner. 1994. Analysis of the Escherichia coli genome. V. DNA sequence of the region from 76.0 to 81.5 minutes. Nucleic Acids Res. 22:2576-2586.

Sorensen, M. A., K. F. Jensen, and S. Pedersen. 1994. High concentrations of ppGpp decrease the RNA chain growth rate: Implications for protein synthesis and translational fidelity during amino acid starvation in Escherichia coli. J. Mol. Biol. 236:441-454.

Spector, M. P., and C. L. Cubitt. 1992. Starvation-inducible loci of Salmonella typhimurium: regulation and roles in starvation-survival. Mol. Microbiol. 6:1467-1476.

Sprenger, G. A., U. Schorken, G. Sprenger, and H. Sahm. 1995. Transaldolase B of Escherichia coli K-12: cloning of its gene. talB, and characterization of the enzyme from recombinant strains. J. Bacteriol. 177:5930-5936.

Storz, G., L. A. Tartaglia, S. B. Farr, B. N. Ames. 1990. Bacterial defences against oxidative stress. Trends Genet. 6:363-368.

Takayama, M., T. Ohyama, K. Igarashi, and H. Kobayashi. 1994. Escherichia coli cad operon functions as a supplier of carbon dioxide. Molec. Microbiol. 11:913-918.

Takayanagi, Y., K. Tanaka, and H. Takahashi. 1994. Structure of the 5' upstream region and the regulation of the rpoS gene of Escherichia coli. Mol. Gen. Genet. 243:525-531.

Tanaka, K., S. Kusano, N. Fujita, A. Ishihama, and H. Takahashi. 1995. Promoter determinants for Escherichia coli RNA polymerase holoenzyme containing \(\sigma^{38}\) (the rpoS gene product). Nuc. Acids Res. 23:827-834.

Tanaka, K., Y. Takayanagi, N. Fujita, A. Ishihama, and H. Takahashi. 1993. Heterogeneity of the principal \(\sigma\) factor in Escherichia coli: the rpoS gene product, \(\sigma^{38}\), is a second principal \(\sigma\) factor of RNA polymerase in stationary-phase Escherichia coli. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 90:3511-3515.

Tempest, D. W., O. M. Neijssel. 1987. Growth yield and energy distribution. In: Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhimurium, cellular and molecular biology, vol 2, p. 797-806. (F. C. Neidhardt, J. L. Ingraham, B. Magasanik, K. B. Low, M. Schaechter, H. E. Umbarger, eds.). American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC., USA.

Tormo, A., M. Almiron, and R. Kolter. 1990. surA, an Escherichia coli gene essential for survival in stationary phase. J. Bacteriol. 172:4339-4347.

Touati, E., E. Dassa, and P. L. Boquet. 1986. Pleiotropic mutations in appR reduce pH 2.5 acid phosphatase expression and restore succinate utilisation in CRP-deficient strains of Escherichia coli. Mol. Gen. Genet. 202:257-264.

Touati, E., E. Dassa, J. Dassa, and P. L. Boquet. 1987. Acid Phosphatase (pH 2.5) of Escherichia coli: Regulatory Characteristics. In: Phosphate Metabolism and Cellular Regulation in Microorganisms, p. 31-40. (A. Torriani-Gorini, F. G. Rothman, S. Silver, A. Wright, E. Yagil, eds.) Washington DC: American Society for Microbiology.

Touati, E., E. Dassa, J. Dassa P. L. Boquet, and D. Touati. 1991. Are appR and katF the same Escherichia coli gene encoding a new sigma transcription initiation factor? Res. Microbiol. 142:2936.

Toussaint, A., and A. Resibois. 1983. Phage Mu: transposition as a life style. In: Mobile genetic elements, p. 105-158. (J. A. Shapiro ed.) Academic Press Inc., N. Y., USA.

Tuveson, R. W., R. B. Jonas. 1979. Genetic control of near-UV (300-400 nm) sensitivity independent of the recA gene in strains of Escherichia coli K-12. Photochem. Photobiol. 30:667676.

Ueguchi, C., and T. Mizuno. 1993. The Escherichia coli nucleiod protein H-NS functions directly as a transcriptional repressor. EMBO J. 12:1039-1046.

Ueguchi, C., M. Kakeda, H. Yamada, and T. Mizuno. 1994. An analogue of the DnaJ molecular chaperone in Escherichia coli. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 91:1054-1058.

Utsumi, R., S. Kusafuka, T. Nakayama, K. Tanaka, Y. Takayanagi, H. Takahashi, M. Noda, M. Kawamukai. 1993. Stationary phase-specific expression of the fic gene in Escherichia coli K-12 is controlled by the rpoS gene product \(\left(\sigma^{38}\right)\). FEMS Microbiol. Letters 113:273-278.

Volkert, M. R., L. I. Hajec, Z. Matijasevic, F. C. Fang, and R. Prince. 1994. Induction of the Escherichia coli aidB gene under oxygen-limiting conditions requires a functional rpoS (katF) gene. J. Bacteriol. 176:7638-7645.

Wada, A., Y. Yamazaki, N. Fujita, and A. Ishihama. 1990. Structure and probable genetic location of a "ribosome modulation factor" associated with 100S ribosomes in stationary-phase Escherichia coli cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87:2657-2661.

Wang, A-Y, and J. E. Cronan, Jr. 1994. The growth phase-dependent synthesis of cyclopropane fatty acids in Escherichia coli is the result of an RpoS (KatF)-dependent promoter plus enzyme instability. Mol. Microbiol. 11:1009-1017.

Weichart, D., R. Lange, N. Henneberg, and R. Hengge-Aronis. 1993. Identification and characterization of stationary phase-inducible genes in Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol. 10:407-420.

White, D. 1995. Central metabolic pathways. In: The Physiology and Biochemistry of Prokaryotes, p. 155-182. Indiana University, Oxford University Press, N. Y., USA

Willetts, N., and R. Skurray. 1987. Structure and function of the F factor and mechanism of conjugation. In: Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhimurium, cellular and molecular biology, vol 2. p. 1110-1133. (F. C. Neidhardt, J. L. Ingraham, B. Magasanik, K. B. Low, M. Schaechter, H. E. Umbarger, eds.). American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC., USA.

Xu, J., and R. C. Johnson. 1995 (a). Identification of genes negatively regulated by Fis: Fis and RpoS comodulate growth-phase-dependent gene expression in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 177:938-947.

Xu, J., and R. C. Johnson. 1995 (b). Fis activates the RpoS-dependent stationary-phase expression of proP in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 177:5222-5231.

Xu, J., and R. C. Johnson. 1995 (c). aldB, an RpoS-dependent gene in Escherichia coli encoding an aldehyde dehydrogenase that is repressed by Fis and activated by Crp. J. Bacteriol. 177:31663175.

Yamashino, T., M. Kakeda, C. Ueguchi, and T. Mizuno. 1994. An analogue of the DnaJ molecular chaperone whose expression is controlled by \(\sigma^{\text {s }}\) during the stationary phase and phosphate starvation in Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol. 13:475-483.

Yamashino, T., C. Ueguchi, and T. Mizuno. 1995. Quantitative control of the stationary phasespecific sigma factor, \(\sigma^{s}\), in Escherichia coli: involvement of the nucleiod protein H-NS. EMBO J. 14:594-602.

Yim, H. H., and M. Villarejo. 1992. osm \(Y\), a new hyperosmotically inducible gene, encodes a periplasmic protein in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 174:3637-3644.

Yim, H. H., R. L. Brems, and M. Villarejo. 1994. Molecular characterization of the promoter of osmY, an rpoS-dependent gene. J. Bacteriol. 176:100-107.

Yoshida, T., C. Ueguchi, and T. Mizuno. 1993. Physical map location of a set of Escherichia coli genes (hde) whose expression is affected by the nucleiod protein H-NS. J. Bacteriol. 175:77477748.

Yura T., H. Mori, H. Nagai, T. Nagata, A. Ishihama, N. Fujita, K. Isono, K. Mizobuchi, and A. Nakata. 1992. Systematic sequencing of the Escherichia coli genome: analysis of the 0-2.4 min region. Nucleic Acids Res. 20:3305-3308.

Zambrano, M. M., D. A. Siegele, M. Almiron, A. Tormo, R. Kolter. 1993. Microbial competition: Escherichia coli mutants that take over stationary phase cultures. Science 259:1757-1760.```

