Appendix Table 1. Study characteristics

Study ID	Values and preferen ces category	Instrument	Study design	Description of health states	Age: Mean (SD) or other format	Country or countries of Origin	Setting	Gender (Male/Fem ale)	Sample size	Sampling Strategy	Response rate	Funding Sources
Agh 2011	Utility	Time trade	Cross-sectional survey	EQ-5D	63.83 years (SD 11.24); 40–50 years 16 (9.5%) 51–60 years 57 (33.5%) 61–70 years	Hungary	outpatient	Males 71 (41.8%) Females 99 (58.2%)	170	Consecut ive	77.5%	Unclear

					48 (28.2%) ≥71 years 49 (28.8%)							
Alcazar 2012	Utility	VAS	Cross-sectional survey	EQ-5D	67.3 (8.7)	Spain	hospital centres	119(93.7%) /8(6.3%)	127	Unclear	Unclear	industry (GlaxoSmit hKline)
Allen- Ramey		SF-6D	Cross-sectional				self- reported	559 (57.63)/411		Random	Unclear	industry
2012 Antoniu	Utility		survey	SF-6D	63.24 (10.90)	USA	inpatient, the Pulmonary Disease Universtiy Hospital in	62/18 (77.5%/22.	970	Consecut	-	The authors have no relevant affiliations or financial involvemen t with any organizatio n or entity with a financial
2014	Utility	VAS	Cohort study	EQ-5D	67.03 (10.12)	Romania	Romania	5%)	80	ive	unclear	interest in

											Va"rmland
Berkius 2013	Utility	VAS, EQ-5D	Cohort study	EQ-5D	69.7 (8.7) completed; dead or lost 70.7 (9.0)	Sweden	secondary	12/19 completed; dead or lost 6/14	Consecut ive	58.9% for ICU patients at 6 months after discharge ; 60.7% for COPD patients	

											at 24 months	
Boland 2014	Utility	VAS, EQ-5D	Cross-sectional survey	EQ-5D	68 (11) - average	the Netherland s	primary	Men 56%/Wome n 44%	611	Other: based on a database	43% (611 out of 1431)	Stichting Achmea, a Dutch Healthcare Insurance Company, and the Netherland s Organisatio n for Health Research

												and Developme nt (Zon- MW), subprogra mme Effects & Costs (project number 171002203)
Boland 2015	Utility	EQ-5D, mapping	Cross-sectional survey (data from 3 cllinical trials)	EQ-5D	68 (11)	the Netherland s	primary, secondary	men 55.0; women 45%	1303	Other: trial based	Unclear	Unclear
Borge 2014	Uncatego rized survey	Illness perception scale	Cross-sectional survey	Booklet/car	64.6 (10.2); in 36, max 87	Norway	outpatient	male 79 (51.3) Female 75 (48.7)	154	Consecut ive	40%	Unclear
Boros 2012	Utility	VAS	Cross-sectional survey	EQ-5D, VAS	64.41 (9.86)	Poland	primary, secondary	men 64%; women	8537	Other: asking	92%	industry support

								36%		physician s to provide enrolled patients		
Bourbeau 2007	Utility	VAS	Cohort study	EQ-5D	mean 66 (range 41–88)	Canada	primary, secondary	male: 239 (57)/female 182 (43%)	421	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear
Bratas 2010	Direct choice	Preferred treatment: treatment	Cross-sectional survey	Narrative explained by interviewer , Booklet/car d	rehab 65.0 (9.1)/outpatie nts 67.2 (10.2)	Norway	secondary	male 110/female 95	205	Consecut ive	57%	
Brophy 2008	Direct choice	forced choice: inhaler	Randomized controlled trial	No description	68 (SD 7)	UK	secondary	male 13/female 12	25	Unclear	89% complete d	Unclear
Bulcun 2014	Direct choice	Conjoint analysis/Dis crete choice	Cross-sectional survey	Booklet/car	60.8 (SD 8.6)	Turkey	secondary	male 45/female 3	49	Consecut ive	Unclear	Unclear

		analysis										
Chakrabarti 2009	Direct choice	forced choice: treatment	Cross-sectional survey	Narrative explained by interviewer , Decision aid	Median 69, IQR: 14 years	UK	Hospitalize d patients	34/16 68%/32%	50	Consecut ive	82.0% (50/61)	Unclear
					•						, , ,	Asthma
												Society of
												Canada and
												by
												educational grants from
												Claxo
												Canada
												and 3M
												Pharmaceu
												ticals,
				Narrative								United
		forced		explained								States.
Chapman	Direct	choice:	Cross-sectional	by	70.8 (SD 5.4);			men 41;		Voluntar		Manuscript
1993	choice	inhaler	survey	interviewer	range 63-85	Canada	outpatients	women 39	80	y sample	Unclear	received

												December 3, 1992;
		forced		Narrative explained by interviewer								
Chapman	Direct	choice:	Randomized	Booklet/car		Canada,		male 60%,				Industry -
2011	choice	inhaler	controlled trial	d	63.9 (SD 9.21)	USA	UNCLEAR	female 40%	82	Unclear	Unclear	Novartis
Chen 2014	Utility	VAS, EQ- 5D, and SF- 6D	Cross-sectional survey	EQ-5D, SF- 12/SF-36	72.9 (8.1)	China	outpatient	male 152(98.7%) /female 2 (1.3%)	154	Consecut ive	92.77%	University of Hong Kong Technology and Innovation seed funding
Chrystyn 2014	Utility	EQ-5D	Cross-sectional survey	EQ-5D	65.2 (range 40-90)	France, Germany, Italy, Spain and the UK	primary,	male 1035 (71.8)/408 (28.2)	1443	Other: "pragmat ic"	49%	Almirall S.A., Barcelona, Spain
Claessens	Direct	Forced	Cohort study	no	median 70	USA	Hospitalizat	517/491	1008	Consecut	Unclear,	SUPPORT

2000	choice	choice:	1	description		ion	(51.3%/48.	ive	for both	was made
		treatment					7%)		lung	possible by
									cancer	grants from
									and	the Robert
									COPD/	Wood
									Response	Johnson
									rates for	Foundation
									patient	. Dr.
									interview	Claessens
									s were	was
									87% for	supported
									Week 1	by a
									and 72%	Veterans
									for Week	Administrat
									2	ion
									interview	Ambulatory
									s for the	Care
									56% and	Fellowship,
									67% of	White River
									patients,	Junction,
									respectiv	Vermont,
									ely, who	and a

											were not comatos e, intubate d, or otherwis e incapable of response.	Fellowship in Palliative Medicine, Ottawa, Ontario.
Cleland			Cross-sectional		67.80 (SD			Male 57 (51.8)/ Female 53		Consecut		Aberdeen City Collective, Grampian Primary Care Trust and by an unconditio nal educational
2007	Utility	VAS	survey	EQ-5D, VAS	10.59)	UK	primary	(48.2)	110	ive	47.6%	grant from

												Glaxo Smith Kline
											70.5%,	
											527	
											recruited	
							All				, 748	
							participants				consent	
							hospitalize				requeste	
							d at the				d. 83.1%	
							beginning.				followed	
							But within				up (99	
							the follow-				participa	
							up duration				nts	
							of 6	MCP arm,			without	Governmen
					()		months,	143/115	/		response	tal/ NHS
					Mean (SD)		the study	55.43%/	522 (MCP); 70.7%	Health
					MCP arm		included	44.57%; no	arm 258,		followed	Technology
					69.08 (9.85);	111/2 / 4	both	MCP arm,	no MCP		up, out	Assessment
			Decident of		No MCP arm	UK (4	inpatient	155/109,	arm	6	of 526,	(HTA)
6		\/AC EQ ED	Randomized	50.50	69.58 (9.51)/	centers in	and	58.71% /	264)/ 526	Consecut	372	research
Cross 2010	Utility	VAS, EQ-5D	controlled trial	EQ-5D	34–91 years	the UK)	outpatient	41.29%)	enrolled	ive	participa	funding

											nts provided evaluable data.	
Dacosta Dibonavent			Cross-sectional	SF-12/SF-	all participants 65 to 69 years 2269/70 to 74 years 770/75 to 79 years 239/80 years or older		web-based		all 3358/CO			
ura 2012	Utility	SF-6D	survey	36	80	USA	panel	male 1851	PD 297	Random	Unclear	industry
	Direct	Probability	Repeated	Narrative explained by interviewer , Decision aid, Audiobookl	66 years (range, 42 to 84 years; quartile 57-		outpatient (pulmonary function laboratory, as well as ambulatory respiratory	10men/10		Consecut		Ontario Thoracic
Dales 1999	choice	trade off	surveys	et	74)	Canada	and	women	20	ive	0.9	Society

							general medicine clinics of the Ottawa General					
							Hospital, affiliated with the University of Ottawa, Canada)					
Decramer 2001	Utility	VAS	Randomized controlled trial	EQ-5D, Pictorial description s of risk (pictogram)	63 (SD 8)	10 Europen Countries	unclear	male 413 (78%)/fema le110 (22%)	523	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear
DiBonavent ura 2012	Utility	SF-6D	Cross-sectional survey	SF-12/SF- 36	40–64 years	USA	UNCLEAR	male 53.4%	(COPD 1112)	Random	Unclear	Kantar Health, Pfizer
Downey 2009	Uncatego rized survey	End of life Priority Score	Cross-sectional survey (9 - interview with	No description	(mean (SD)) 1. Total COPD sample	United States	Outpatient/ hospitalize d (not	(% - female) 1. Total	1. Total COPD sample	Unclear	Unclear	National Institutes of Health,

	quantitative	(n=156): 62.4	specified)	COPD	(n=156)	National
	survey	(13.4)	for COPD	sample	2. COPD	Cancer
		2. COPD	patients;	(n=156):	patient	Institute
		patient	community	45.5%	sample	grant #5
		sample	for	2. COPD	(n=96)	R01
		(n=96): 66.7	nonpatient	patient	3. COPD	CA106204;
		(9.2)	S	sample	nonpatie	an
		3. COPD		(n=96):	nt	American
		nonpatient		28.1%	sample	Lung
		sample		3. COPD	(family	Association
		(family		nonpatient	member	Career
		member or		sample	or friend	Investigator
		friend from		(family	from	Award; the
		subset of the		member or	subset of	Robert
		COPD		friend from	the COPD	Wood
		patients)		subset of	patients)	Johnson
		(n=60): 55.5		the COPD	(n=60)	Foundation
		(16.0)		patients)		; and the
				(n=60):		Lotte &
				73.3%		John Hecht
						Memorial
						Foundation

											•
ncatego r	(from 1 definitely no to 4 definitely	Cross-sectional survey	Booklet/car	68.6 (9.6)	USA	primary	male 100%	196	Unclear	93%	
	, ,	•	Narrative	, ,		,					
	_	Cross-sectional survey	explained by interviewer	Mean (SD): 71.3 (7.2)	New Zealand	inpatients	16/23	39	Consecut ive	83.0% 39/47	Unclear
			Narrative explained by								
	_		interviewer								
C	of		importance								
0		C	of COPD				formal.		Mal ata		
			-	66 2 (10 6)	LICA			65	_	700/	
ire no no	ecatego ed vey ect bice	Rating (from 1 definitely no to 4 definitely yes) ect ranking: treatment The perceived importance of COPD selfcare on a 5-	Rating (from 1 definitely no to 4 definitely yes) catego ed definitely yes) catego ranking: Cross-sectional survey The perceived importance of COPD selfcare on a 5- Cross-sectional	Rating (from 1 definitely no to 4 definitely yes) Survey Dect ranking: Cross-sectional survey Dect reatment Survey Dect Dect Dect December 2 December 2 December 2 December 2 December 2 December 3 December 2 December 3 December 2 December 3 De	Rating (from 1 definitely no to 4 definitely yes) ect ranking: Cross-sectional survey bice treatment The perceived importance of COPD self-care on a 5- Cross-sectional Cross-sectional Booklet/car d 68.6 (9.6) Narrative explained by interviewer Other: perceived importance of COPD self-care on a 5- Cross-sectional Booklet/car d 68.6 (9.6) Narrative explained by interviewer Other: perceived importance of COPD self-care on a 5- Cross-sectional Booklet/car d 68.6 (9.6)	Rating (from 1 definitely no to 4 definitely yes) ect ranking: Cross-sectional survey bice treatment The perceived importance of COPD self-care on a 5- Cross-sectional Cross-sectional Booklet/car do 68.6 (9.6) Booklet/car do 68.6 (9.6) Narrative explained by interviewer of COPD self-care on a 5- Cross-sectional Booklet/car do 68.6 (9.6) Narrative explained by interviewer of COPD self-care on a 5- Cross-sectional Booklet/car do 68.6 (9.6) Narrative explained by interviewer of COPD self-care of COPD self-care on a 5- Cross-sectional Booklet/car do 68.6 (9.6) USA New 71.3 (7.2) Zealand	Rating (from 1 definitely no to 4 definitely yes) catego and definitely yes) catego ranking: Cross-sectional survey catego treatment The perceived importance of COPD self-care on a 5- Cross-sectional self-care (1	Rating (from 1 definitely no to 4 definitely yes) Sect ranking: Cross-sectional since treatment survey The perceived importance of COPD self-care on a 5- Cross-sectional sect catego Catego of Copd self-care on a 5- Cross-sectional self-care (1 self-	Rating (from 1 definitely no to 4 definitely yes) Robber The perceived importance of COPD self-care on a 5- Cross-sectional Survey Rating (from 1 definitely no to 4 definitely yes) Booklet/car d 68.6 (9.6) Booklet/car d 68.6 (9.6) Wean (SD): New Zealand inpatients 16/23 39 Narrative explained by interviewer Other: perceived importance of COPD self-care on a 5- Cross-sectional Survey Rating (from 1 definitely no to 4 definitely yes) Robbet Cross-sectional Booklet/car definitely (68.6 (9.6)) Mean (SD): New Zealand inpatients 16/23 39	Rating (from 1 definitely no to 4 definitely yes) Rect ranking: Cross-sectional since treatment The perceived importance of COPD self-care of a Catego COPD self-care on a 5- Cross-sectional self-care (1 Rooklet/car d 68.6 (9.6) Booklet/car d 68.6 (9.6) Wean (SD): New Zealand inpatients Tour self-care (1 Rooklet/car d 68.6 (9.6) USA primary male 100% 196 Unclear New Zealand inpatients The perceived importance of COPD self-care on a 5- Cross-sectional self-care (1 Rooklet/car d 68.6 (9.6) Wean (SD): New Zealand inpatients The perceived importance of COPD self-care on a 5- Cross-sectional self-care (1 Rooklet/car d 68.6 (9.6) USA primary male 100% New Zealand inpatients The perceived importance of COPD self-care on a 5- Cross-sectional self-care (1	Rating (from 1 definitely not 04 definitely vey yes) survey d d 68.6 (9.6) USA primary male 100% 196 Unclear 93% Narrative explained by Mean (SD): The perceived importance of COPD self-care on a 5- Cross-sectional self-care (1 self-care (

				important, 5 = extremely important)								
Egan 2012	Utility	EQ-5D	Trial, non- randomized or non-controlled	EQ-5D	UNCLEAR	Ireland, the Netherland	secondary	UNCLEAR	47	Consecut ive	72%	
Eskander		EQ-5D, VAS, Standard		EQ-5D, Computer program or	BODE 0-4: 58 (7) BODE 5-6: 57 (8) BODE 7-10:		utpatients at the Toronto General Hospitla and St. Michael's Hospital in	male/femal e: n, percentage BODE 0-4: 7/2 78%/22% BODE 5-6: 24/34 42%/58% BODE 7-10: 28/32		Consecut		Governmen tal, Private not for profit/ Canadian Institutes of Health Research, Physicians of Ontario through the PSI Foundation
2011	Utility	gamble	Cohort study	Software	57 (8)	Canada	Toronto	47%/53%	112	ive	93.3%	, Canadian

												Lung Transplant Study Group, University of Toronto— Comprehen sive Research Experience for Medical Students (CREMS) and the Nelson Arthur Hyland Foundation
Ferreira		EQ-5D, and	Cross-sectional	EQ-5D, SF-				Female		Consecut	Unclear	
2014	Utility	SF-6D	survey	12/SF-36	68.6 (9.5)	Portugal	secondary	2.8%	72	ive		
Fishwick			Cross-sectional				primary,	male 92			Unclear	
2014	Utility	EQ-5D	survey	EQ-5D	69.4 (8.2)	UK	comunit	(62.2)	148	Random		

							care					
Fletcher 2011	Utility	EQ-5D, VAS	Cross-sectional survey	EQ-5D	45-54: 1029 [42]; 55-64: 971 [40]; 65-67: 426 [18]	Brazil, China, Germany, Turkey, US, UK	community	male 49%	2426	Random	80% of those eigible and willing to take part	
Fox 1999	Direct choice	Forced choice: treatment	Cross-sectional survey	Narrative explained by interviewer	unclear	USA	hospitalize d	unclear	1016	Consecut ive	89% (11% died)	Robert Wood Johnson Foundation
Fried 2002	Direct choice	Probability trade off	Cross-sectional survey	Narrative explained by interviewer , Pictorial description s of risk (pictogram)	72.2±7.0	USA	inpatients and outpaitents	male 49%	81	Consecut ive	82% participat ion rate	
	Direct	Probability	Repeated	Narrative explained	UNCLEAR for		hospitalize	UNCLEAR		Consecut	81% complete	grants from the
Fried 2007	choice	trade off	surveys	by	COPD	USA	d	for COPD	64	ive	d three	Departmen

		interviewer , Pictorial description s of risk (pictogram)				or more interview s, and 65% complete d four or more	t of Veterans Affairs Health Services Research and Developme nt Service, from the National Institute on Aging (NIA), from the Claude D. Pepper Older Americans Independe nce Center
							nce Center
							at Yale and a Paul

												Beeson Physician Faculty Scholars Award, from the National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoske letal and Skin Diseases.
Gaber 2004	Direct choice	Forced choice: treatment	Repeated surveys	Narrative explained by interviewer	Mean (range) 74.1 (48-92)	UK	outpatients	41/59	100	Unclear	Unclear	
Galaznik	CHOICE	treatment	Cross-sectional	SF-12/SF-	Current smokers (n = 1685) 57.18	- OK	self-report of a physician	Current smokers (n = 1685):	100	Officieal	Officieal	
2013	Utility	SF-6D	survey	36	(9.66)	USA	diagnosis of	689/996	5189	Random	unclear	Pfizer, Inc

García-Polo		EQ-5D,	cross-sectional	Narrative	Quit 0-5 years (n = 923) 61.74 (9.88) Quit 6-10 years (n = 649) 64.19 (9.21) Quit >11 years (n = 1932) 66.71 (9.30)		COPD in a random population of adults in USA	(40.9%/59. 1%) Quit 0–5 years (n = 923): 458/465 (49.6%/50. 4%) Quit 6–10 years (n = 649): 332/317 (51.2%/48. 8%) Quit >11 years (n = 1932): 996/936 (51.6%/48. 4%)		Consecut	unclear	
2012	Utility	VAS	survey	explained	66.9 (8.7)	Spain	Unclear	107/8	115	ive	for	

				by				1			response	
				interviewer							rate, for	
				, EQ-5D							follow up	
											83.9%,	
											137	
											patients	
											were	
											recruited	
											and 115	
											complete	
											d	
											the	
											necessar	
											y data to	
											be	
											included	
											in the	
											study	
												Governmen
												tal and
Gillespie			Randomized				general					Private for
2013	Utility	EQ-5D	controlled trial	EQ-5D	Unclear	Ireland	practices	unclear	350	Unclear	Unclear	Profit/ This

												project was funded by the Health Research Board of Ireland (grant number NMRPS/07/01) and by an unconditio nal educational grant from Pfizer.
Goossens 2011	Utility	EQ-5D, VAS	Cohort study	EQ-5D	Mean age 61.1 (10.4)	USA	outpatients	67.8%/ 32.2%, 40/19	59 (65 in total)	Unclear	unclear how many participa nts seeked,	Governmen tal/Netherl ands Organisatio n for Health Research

										65 enrolled and 59 followed. 90.8%	and Developme nt
Direct choice	Willingness to pay, Conjoint analysis/Dis crete choice analysis	Cross-sectional	Other: Discrete choice experiment questionnai	Mean 68.1	Neitherland	inpatient (hospitaliza tion as usual vs early discharge)	66/41 62%/38%	107	Other: Trial based	77.0% 107 of 139	Governman tal/ Netherland s Organisatio n for Health Research and Developme nt
	Standard gamble,	Randomized	Decision board, Quality of	Mean (SD) 66		rehabilitati on or convention al community	44/45 49.4%/50.6		Consecut	70.6% (89/126); and for the follow up,	Governmen tal and Private not for profit/ West Park Hospital Foundation
	Direct choice	to pay, Conjoint analysis/Dis crete Choice choice Standard gamble,	to pay, Conjoint analysis/Dis crete Choice choice choice analysis survey Standard gamble, Randomized	to pay, Conjoint analysis/Dis crete Direct choice Direct choice Standard gamble, Randomized Other: Discrete choice experiment questionnai re Decision board, Quality of	to pay, Conjoint analysis/Dis crete Direct choice choice Standard gamble, Randomized Other: Discrete choice experiment questionnai re Mean 68.1	to pay, Conjoint analysis/Dis crete Choice choice choice choice Standard gamble, Randomized Cother: Discrete choice experiment questionnai re Mean 68.1 Neitherland Decision board, Quality of Mean (SD) 66	to pay, Conjoint analysis/Dis crete Choice c	to pay, Conjoint analysis/Dis crete Choice c	to pay, Conjoint analysis/Dis crete Choice choice choice choice choice choice choice choice choice Cross-sectional analysis Standard gamble, Randomized Cother: Discrete choice	Willingness to pay, Conjoint analysis/Dis crete Choice choice choice analysis survey Standard gamble, Randomized Other: Discrete choice experiment questionnai re Mean 68.1 Mean (SD) 66 Mean (SD) 66 Trial based Trial ba	Willingness to pay, Conjoint analysis/Dis crete choice experiment choice analysis survey re Mean 68.1 Neitherland discharge) Standard gamble, Randomized Quality of Mean (SD) 66 Willingness to pay, Conjoint analysis/Dis crete choice experiment questionnai al 44/45 community 49.4%/50.6 Consecut up,

											finished the follow up (78/89)	, Ontario Ministry of Health grant 02196, and the Respiratory Health Network of Centres of Excellence
				Narrative explained								
Gvozdenovi			Cross-sectional	by	Mean (SD) 58		outopatient					
c 2007	Utility	15D	survey	interviewer	(12)	Serbia	S	46/39	85	Unclear	Unclear	
							Departmen	First				Private/
					First survey:		t of	survey:	First			Departmen
					73.6 (7.1)		Respiratory	52/5,	survey:			t of
					range: 53-87		Medicine	91.2%/8.8	57			Respiratory
		Forced			Second		and	%	Second			Medicine
Hanada	Direct	choice:	Repeated	no	survey: 73.1		Allergology	Second	survey:			and
2015	choice	treatment	surveys	description	(7.3)	Japan	at Nara	survey:	39	Unclear	Unclear	Allergology,

							Hospital, Kinki University Faculty of Medicine, Ikoma, Japan between August 2010 and May 2011	37/2, 94.9%/5.1 %				Nara Hospital, Kinki University Faculty of Medicine
		Forced										
Hansen	Direct	choice:	Randomized	no	Mean (range)							
1990	choice	treatment	controlled trial	description	66 (45-83)	Denmark	outpatients	24/24	48	Random	Unclear	
Hansen 1994	Utility, Direct	VAS, Forced choice: inhaler	Trial, non- randomized or non-controlled	no	Mean (range)	Donmark	outpatients		25	Random	Unclear	
1994	choice			description	66 (54-81)	Denmark	outpatients		<u> </u>		Officieat	
l la cabasa.	Dinast	Conjoint	Cross-sectional	Do aldat/ass		France,				Camanana		
Haughney	Direct	analysis/Dis	survey (A	Booklet/car		Germany,		00/40	405	Consecut		
2005	choice	crete	fractional	d	66	Spain,	outpatients	82/43	125	ive	Unclear	

		choice analysis	factorial design)			Sweden and the UK						
Harper			Construction of		Many (SD) 67						74.4%, First follow-up 128	
1997	Utility	VAS	Cross-sectional survey	EQ-5D	Mean (SD) 67 (10,4)	UK	outpatients	76/80	156	Unclear	patients	
Hernández	Uncatego rized	Impact of	Cross-sectional	Narrative explained by interviewer , Booklet/car				7.55		Consecut		
2013	survey	of breath	survey	d	Mean 68,7	Canada	outpatients	491/440	931	ive	Unclear	
Heyworth 2009	Utility	EQ-5D, VAS	Cross-sectional survey	EQ-5D	Age unclear exclusively for COPD	UK	outpatients	Unclear exclusively for COPD	280	Unclear	46.9%	
Hoogendoo			Randomized		Mean (SD) Intercom 66 (9); Usual			Intercom 30/72, 29%, 71%; Control			Unclear, of the 199 participa	Governmen tal and Private for profit/ the
rn 2010	Utility	EQ-5D	controlled trial	EQ-5D	care 67 (9)	Neitherland	outpatient	28/69	199	Unclear	nts, 158	Netherland

												(PICASSO) for COPD (Capelle aan den IJssel, the Netherland s)
Hwang 2011	Direct choice	Forced choice: treatment	Cross-sectional survey	no description	Age group: Percentage 40~49: 2.3% 50~59: 13.3% 60~69: 35.3% 70~79: 40.0% ≥80: 9.0%	Korean	university- affiliated hospital	256/44 85.3%/14.7 %	300	Unclear	unclear	
Janssen 2011	I I thillite.	EQ-5D,	Cross-sectional		Mean (SD)	Noitherland		65/40, 61.9%/38.1	105	Unclear	62.09/	Governmen tal/ Proteion Thuis, Horn, The Netherland s; CIRO+,
2011	Utility	VAS	survey	EQ-5D	66.3 (9.2)	Neitherland	outpatient	%	105	Unclear	62.9%	Horn, The

									Netherland s; Grant 3.4.06.082 of the Netherland s Asthma Foundation , Leusden, The Netherland s; Stichting Wetenscha psbevorder ing Verpleeghu iszorg (SWBV), Utrecht, The Netherland s.
Janssen	Direct	Probability	Cross-sectional	Other:			Unclear	62.9%	

2011b	choice	trade off	survey	questionnai re with description of scenarios								
												This project
												was part of
												an
												internation al research
												fellowship
												supported
								Dutch				by CIRO+
								patients:				(Centre of
								75/47,				Expertise
								61.5%/38.5				for Chronic
								%	Dutch			Organ
					Dutch			US	patients:			Failure,
					patients: 66.7			patients:	122			Horn, the
		Forced			(9.3)			360/31	US	Consecut		Netherland
Janssen	Direct	choice:	Cross-sectional	no	US patients:			92.1%/7.9	patients:	ive and		s). The
2011c	choice	treatment	survey	description	68.7 (10.0)	Dutch, US	outpatient	%	391	other	unclear	original

						Dutch
						study was
						supported
						by:
						Proteion
						Thuis
						(Horn, the
						Netherland
						s); CIRO+;
						grant
						3.4.06.082
						from the
						Netherland
						s Asthma
						Foundation
						(Leusden,
						the
						Netherland
						s); and
						Stichting
						Wetenscha
						psbevorder

			ing Verpleeghu iszorg (Utrecht, The Netherland s). The original US studies were supported by the Health Services Research and Developme nt, Dept of Veterans Affairs (grant IIR
			(grant IIR 02-292)

						Expertise
						for Chronic
						Organ
						Failure,
						Horn, The
						Netherland
						s; The
						Netherland
						s Lung
						Foundation
						, Leusden,
						The
						Netherland
						s (Grant
						number
						3.4.06.082)
						; The
						Weijerhorst
						Foundation
						,
						Maastricht,
						The

												Netherland s; and Stichting Wetenscha psbevorder ing Verpleeghu iszorg (SWBV), Utrecht, The Netherland s.
Jarvis 2007	Direct choice	Forced choice: inhaler	Cross-sectional	Narrative explained by interviewer	Mean (range) 73,5 (65-89)	UK	outpatients	36/17	53	Random	Unclear	
Jaivis 2007	choice	Forced choice: Preference	survey	Other: questionnai res on	73,3 (03-89)	OK .	outpatients	19/25	33	Nandom	Officieal	
Jordan 2014	Direct choice	s of Informatio	Cross-sectional survey	patient preference	Mean (SD) 60 (1.16)	Argentina	outpatient	43.2%/56.8 %	44	Random	unclear	

		n		regarding information desired from their doctors								
Katajisto 2012	Utility	15D	Cross-sectional survey (cross- sectional study in a cohort)	Other: 15 D questionnai re	Mean 63.4 (7.0)	Finland	both inpatient and outpatient	419/280 60%/40%	719	Other: Cohort based sampling (all cohort participa nts)	87% (719/827	
K. J. 2004	Uncatego rized	physical function and perceived importance	Randomized	Other: questionnai	Mean/95% CI short term group 66.9(65.5- 68.3), long- term group 68.4 (67.0-	lica.		short term group: 39/31, 55.7%, 44.3%; long term group: 39/31,	442	Consecut	84.3% 118/142 complete d the	
Katula 2004	survey	items	controlled trial	re	69.8)	USA	outpatient	55.7/44.3%	142	ive	study	

Kounto	Direct	Willingness to pay, Conjoint analysis/Dis crete	Cross sectional	decision aid on the Discrete Choice Experiment	Mean (SD)		Unclear/ reached through emails to patients	230/285		Other: voluntary	57% responde s (n=2930); 24% eligible; while the majority of these 74% (n=515, 74%) complete
Kawata 2014	Direct choice,	choice analysis	Cross-sectional survey	Questionna ires	62.3 (9.99); Range 40-88		diagnosed with COPD	44.66% 55.34%	515	online survey	d the survey
Kessler 2006	Uncatego rized survey	Impact of exacerbatio	Cross-sectional survey	Narrative explained by interviewer	Mean (SD) 664, (8,5)	France, Germany, Spain, Sweden and UK (Europe)	outpatients	82/43	125	Consecut	Unclear
Khdour	Utility	EQ-5D	Randomized	EQ-5D	Mean (SD)	UK	outpatient	Education	127: 64	Consecut	73.4%

2011			controlled trial		education self- management 66.2 (9.8); usual care 66.6 (9.1)			self- manageme nt group 27/37 42.2%/57.8 %; Usual care group 28/35, 45%/55%	in educatio n self- manage ment group, 63 in usual care group	ive	(127/173	
Kim 2014	Utility	EQ-5D,VAS	Cross-sectional survey	EQ-5D	Mean (SD) 68.5 (9.1); Number (proportion): less than 60, 25 (12.5%); 60-69, 74 (37.0%); 70- 79, 85 (42.5%), 80 and more, 16 (8%)	Korea	outpatient	183/17 (91.5% / 8.5%)	200	Consecut ive	Unclear	
Kontodimo	Utility	EQ-5D, SF-	Cross-sectional	EQ-5D, SF-	unclear	Greece	Outpatients		29	Consecut	90.1%	Unclear

poulos 2012		6D, 15 D	survey	6D and SF- 15D						ive	(319 out of 354)	
Koskela 2014 a and Koskela 2014b	Utility	15D	Cohort study	15D	Mean (SD): 64 (7)	Finland	All patients with COPD	473/266 (64%/36%)	739	Other: consecuti ve	27%	
Kotz 2009	Utility	EQ-5D	Randomized controlled trial	EQ-5D	Mean (SD): 53.7 (7.0) in the experimental group and 54.9 (8.0) in the control group	Dutch and Belgian Limburg	primary care	71/45 (61.2%/38. 8%) in the experiment al group and 74/38 (66.1%/33. 9%) in the control group	228	Consecut ive	unclear	University/ Education: University Maastricht (UM), CAPHRI Research Institute (The Netherland s)
Kruis 2013	Utility	EQ-5D, VAS	Randomized controlled trial	EQ-5D	68.3 (11.2)	Netherland s	general practices	585/501 (53.9%/46. 1%)	1086	Consecut ive	unclear	Governmen tal and Private for profit/ Netherland

												s Organisatio n for Health Research and Developme nt (Zon- MW), subprogra m Effects & Costs (project number 171002203), and Stichting Achmea, a Dutch Healthcare insurance company
Kuyucu	Uncatego	Expectation	Cross-sectional	No	(mean (SD)	Turkey	Secondary	91% male;	514	Unclear	UNCLEAR	Astra-

2011	rized survey	of treatment	survey	description	(range)): 64.1 (9.5) (41-92)		and tertiary care centres; primary physician offices	9% female				Zeneca Turkey
Lemmens			Cross-sectional		Mean (SD) 63		general practice/	156/122				Private for profit and Private not for profit /an unrestricte d grant from PICASSO for COPD, an initiative of Pfizer B.V. and Boehringer Ingelheim
2008	Utility	VAS	survey	EQ-5D	(11)	Neitherland	home care	56%/44%	278	Unclear	74%	B.V. in

												cooperatio n with research institute Caphri (Care and Public Health Research Institute) of Maastricht University
Lemmens 2010	Utility	VAS	Trial, non- randomized or non-controlled	EQ-5D	Mean (SD) 66 (11)	Neitherland	general practice/ home care	122/67 65%/35%	189	Unclear	73% (189 of 259) consente d to participat e, 79.4% 150/189	Private for profit and Private not for profit /an unrestricte d grant from PICASSO for COPD,

												an initiative of Pfizer B.V. and Boehringer Ingelheim B.V. in cooperatio n with research institute Caphri (Care and Public Health Research Institute) of Maastricht University
					median			in both				Governmen
					interquartile			group:				tal/ EU
			Randomized		range			10/10		Consecut	51.9%	grant
Lewis 2010	Utility	EQ-5D	controlled trial	EQ-5D	telemonitorin	UK	outpaitent	50%/50%	40	ive	40/77	(C046225)

					g group 70 (61, 73); control 73 (63, 79)							
			Cross-sectional		Mean (SD) Total sample	USA (seven		387/283 57.8%/42.2				Governmen tal/Nationa I Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI RC2
Lin 2014	Utility	EQ-5D, VAS	survey	EQ-5D	68.5 (10.4);	sites)	Unclear	%	670	Random	36%	HL101618).
					Median			Died during				SUPPORT
					(25th, 75th			index				was made
					percentile)			hospitalizat				possible by
					Died during		Hospitalizat	ion (n=116)				grants from
					index		ion for	64/52,				the Robert
					hospitalizatio n (n=116) 73		exacerbatio n of COPD	55%/45% Died after	416 died			Wood Johnson
		Forced			(68, 80)		at five US	index	among	Other:		Foundation
	Direct	choice:		no	Died after		teaching	hospitalizat	1016	cohort		. Dr.
Lynn 2000	choice	treatment	Cohort study	description	index	USA	hospitals	ion (n=300)	enrolled	based	unclear	Claessens

					hospitalizatio n (n=300) 72 (66, 79) Alive at 1 year (n=600) 69 (61, 76)		150/150, 50%/50% Alive at 1 year (n=600) 309/291, 52%/48%		was supported by a Veterans Administrat ion Ambulatory Care Fellowship, White River Junction, Vermont, and a Fellowship in Palliative Medicine, Ottawa, Ontario. Boehringer
Mahler	Direct	Forced choice:	Randomized	no			5/15		Ingelheim, GlaxoSmith
2014	choice	CHOICE.	Randonnized	1 ''0		UK	25%/75%		Gianosillitii

												Novartis, and Sunovion
Martínez 2012	Direct choice	Forced choice: treatment	Cross-sectional survey	Narrative explained by interviewer , Booklet/car	Males Mean (SD) at time of survey 73,1 (8,3)	USA	outpatients	273/295	568	Random	7.2%	
Menn 2010	Utility	EQ-5D, and SF-6D	Cross-sectional survey	Narrative explained by interviewer , EQ-5D, SF- 12/SF-36	Stage III Mean (SD) 67 (8) Stage IV: 68 (8)	Germany	Hospitalize d	Stage III :59%/41 % Unclear	117	Unclear	Unclear	
Miller 1999	Utility	ниі	Cross-sectional survey	HUI	Mean (SD): 62.8 (7.5)	Canada	university- affiliated hospital	M/F: 17/7	24	Consecut ive	unclear	Governmen tal and Private for profit: Ontario Thoracic

												Society, Toronto, Onatrio, Autosuture Company Canada, St Laurent, Quebec and Bio- Vascular Inc. St Paul, Minnesota
Milne 2014	Utility	EQ-5D, Mapping	Randomized controlled trial	Narrative explained by interviewer , Health state utility	Unclear	New Zealand	Unclear	Unclear	87	Random	Unclear	
Miravitlles	Uncatego rized	Ideal characterist ics of a	Cross-sectional	Narrative explained by	%Patients age	Germany, France, Italy, Spain						
2007	survey	COPD	survey	interviewer	>51= 51%	and UK and	Outpatients	39%/61%	1100	Random	Unclear	

		therapy		, Computer program or Software, Audiobookl et		USA						
Miravitlles 2011a	Utility	EQ-5D, VAS	Cross-sectional survey	Narrative explained by interviewer , EQ-5D	Mean (SD) 68,5 (9,5)	Spain	Ambulatory patients	90,7%/9,3 %	346	Consecut ive	81.4%	
								715/112		Other (randoml y selected GPs. Participa nts were requeste d to include	68% (248	
Miravitlles 2009	Utility	EQ-5D, VAS	Cross-sectional survey	EQ-5D	Mean (SD) 69 (10)	Spain	General practice	86.5%/13.5 %	827	the first five	in 360 GPs)	Unclear

I			İ	İ			İ]		consecuti		İ
										ve		
										unselecte		
										d COPD		
										patients)		
				Narrative								
				explained								
				by				3802(83,79				
Miravitlles			Cross-sectional	interviewer	Mean (SD)			%)/772(16.				
2011b	Utility	EQ-5D, VAS	survey	, EQ-5D	67,06 (10,04)	Spain	Ambulatory	3%)	4574	Random	93.5%	
				Narrative								
				explained								
				by								
Miravitlles			Cross-sectional	interviewer	Mean (SD)			713(83%)/1				
2014a	Utility	EQ-5D, VAS	survey	, EQ-5D	68,3 (9,3)	Spain	Ambulatory	33(17%)	836	Unclear	68.1%	
				Narrative								
				explained								
				by								
Miravitlles			Cross-sectional	interviewer	Mean (SD)			296(85,5%)		Consecut		
2014b	Utility	EQ-5D, VAS	survey	, EQ-5D	67,9 (9,7)	Spain	Outpatient	/50(14,5%)	346	ive	81.4%	
Mittmann			Cross-sectional		age group,			8058/9568				Governmen
1999	Utility	HUI	survey	HUI	number and	Canada	community	457.7%/54.	17626	Random	83%	tal/

		frequency:		3%		Statistics	
		12 to 19:				Canada.	
		1847, 10.5%					
		20 to 29:					
		2982, 16.9%					
		30 to 39:					
		3704, 21.0%					
		40 to 49:					
		2891, 16.4%					
		50 to 59:					
		2116, 12.0%					
		60 to 69:					
		1904, 10.8%					
		70 to 79:					
		1547, 8.8%					
		80: 635, 3.6%					

										The	
										longitudi	
										nal	
										response	
										rate for	
										cycle 2	
										was	
										93.6%.	
										For cross-	
										sectional	
										purposes	
										, the	
										response	
										rate for	
										the	
										health	
										compone	
										nt was	
										93.1% for	Governmen
										the	tal/
Mittmann			Cross-sectional							longitudi	Statistics
2001	Utility	HUI	survey	HUI	unclear	Canada	community	274	Random	nal	Canada.

Mo 2004	Utility	HUI	Cross-sectional survey	HUI	unclear	Canada	Community	653/722 47.5%/52.5 %	1375	Random	non- response, but not only for COPD)	Unclear
											80% (20%	
											nts and 75.8% for the RDD portion among responde nts aged 12 or older, for an overall response rate of 79.0%.	
											responde	

		Conjoint analysis/Dis		Computer program or Software, Sawtooth Software's adaptive choice based conjoint analysis and choice-based						Unclear		Private for
		crete		conjoint		US, UK,						profit/
Molimard	Direct	choice	Cross-sectional	analysis		Germany,						Novartis
2005	choice	analysis	survey	product	Mean 60.7	France	Unclear	Unclear	245		unclear	Pharma

Moore 2004	Direct choice	Forced choice: inhaler	Cross-sectional survey	questionnai re	Mean: German 58, Dutch 61	Germany and Netherland s	Outpatients	120/136 46.9%/53.1 %	256	Unclear	Unclear	
Mutterlein 1990	Direct choice	Forced choice: device	Cross-over study	questionnai re	Unclear	Germany	Ambulatory patients	Unclear	60	Unclear	unclear	Unclear
Naberan 2012	Utility	EQ-5D, VAS	Cross-sectional survey	EQ-5D, EQ- 5D VAS	Mean (SD) 67.1 (10)	Spain	unclear	3792/740; 83.3%/16.7 %	4552	Consecut	4891 were recruited , 317 (6.5%) were excluded because they met one or more exclusion criteria	
Nilsson	Utility	VAS	Repeated	EQ-5D, SF-	Age >65 56%,	Sweden	outpatients	women	70 before	Unclear	70	

2007			surveys	12/SF-36	no mean was reported			54%/ men 46%	/60 after measure ments in project; 61 before/ 51 after measure ments in study		patients included in the study with COPD, 60 patient that fulfilled question naries before and after the	
											intervent ions	
Nishimura			Cross-sectional	Narrative explained by	Mean age							
2008	Utility	QWB	survey	interviewer	70±6 years	Japan	unclear	100% male	161	Unclear	unclear	
	Direct	Forced	Cross-sectional	questionnai	Mean (SD)			81/30		Consecut		Private not
Norris 2005	choice	choice:	survey	re	67.2 (9.5)	US	outpatient	73.0%/27.0	111	ive	76%	for profit

		treatment						%				and
												Governmen
												tal/ Clinical
												Research
												Trainee
												Award in
												Critical
												Care from
												the CHEST
												Foundation
												/K24 Award
												from the
												National
												Heart Lung
												and Blood
												Institute
												(K24
												HL68593)
									39751			
							study on		(597			
Nyman		Time trade	Cross-sectional				population		diagnose			University
2007	Utility	off	survey	unclear	unclear	USA	of USA	unclear	d with	Unclear	unclear	grant

									emphyse ma)			
											29	
											included/	
				Narrative							28	
		Forced	Trial, non-	explained							complete	
	Direct	choice:	randomized or	by				male/femal			d follow	
Ohno 2014	choice	treatment	non-controlled	interviewer	75,7±7,0	Japan	outpatients	e = 26/2	28	Unclear	up	
											51.2%	
											88.5%	
								31/29		Other	(54/61,	Governmen
								51.6%/48.4		(Recruit	six	tal and
								% in total;		ment	patients	unclear/
								15/15		into the	failed to	Part of the
								50%/50% in		study	complete	funding of
							inpatient at	convention		was	the trial,	this study
							the	al arm and		carried	one	was
					Mean 70.1 in		beginning,	16/15		out from	patient	obtained
					conventional		either	53.3%/47.7		Monday	did not	from East
		Forced			arm and 69.7		hospital or	% in the		to	provide	Yorkshire
	Direct	choice:	Randomized	no	in domicilary		at home	domiciliary		Thursday	preferen	Hospitals
Ojoo 2002	choice	treatment	controlled trial	description	arm	UK	after	arm	61	.)	ce	NHS Trust.

											informati on)	
Oliver 1997	Direct choice	Ranking: treatment	Cross-over study	unclear	unclear	UK	unclear	Unclear	20	unclear	Unclear	unclear
Olszanecka- Glinianowic z 2014	Uncatego rized survey	Brief Illness Perception Questionna ire	Cross-sectional survey	No description	Mean (SD) 60.0 (13.5)	Poland	general practice	1491/1111 57.3%/42.7 %	2602	Consecut ive	Unclear	Unclear
Osman 2008	Utility	VAS	Cross-sectional survey	EQ-5D	69 (SD - 8,2)	UK	patients living in home	Male 67 (45%), female (55%)	206	Unclear	47.5% 534 invited, 148 after initial survey	Funded by Eaga Partnership Charitable Trust
O'Reilly 2007	Utility	EQ-5D, VAS	Repeated surveys	Narrative explained by interviewer , EQ-5D	69,89 (SD=8,59)	UK	hospitalize d patients	Female 81 (54%), male (46%)	149	Consecut ive	69% follow up sample n=39	

Pallin 2012	Direct choice	Willingness to pay, Forced choice: treatment	Cross-sectional survey	Narrative explained by interviewer	64,4 ±6,7	Ireland	outpatient, or hospitalizae d on the day of discharge	male 26 (46,4%), female (53,6%)	146 patient approach ed/ 142 complete d survey	Consecut ive	97%	
Patridge 2011	Uncatego rized survey	perception of disease severity	Cross-sectional survey	No description	Mean (SD) 62.4 (8.6)	UK, Germany, France, Italy and Spain	Unclear	406/313 56.5%/43.5 %	719	Random	Exact data on response rates following random selection (from	Private not for profit/ Chiesi Foundation

					among	
					the	
					asthma	
					and	
					COPD	
					patients	
					listed in	
					each	
					country	
					as part of	
					the pre-	
					recruited	
					panel of	
					1,835,00	
					0	
					individual	
					s) and	
					invitation	
					to	
					participat	
					e are	
					unavailab	

											le Approxim ately 50%	
Paterson 2000	Utility	EQ-5D, VAS	Repeated surveys	Narrative explained by interviewer , EQ-5D	61	Scotland, UK	outpatients	male/femal e - 37(46%)/43 (53%)	81	Consecut ive	83.9%	Funding by Glaxo Wellcome Research and Developme nt
Persson 2005	Uncatego rized survey	Importance of life values	Cohort study	Narrative explained by interviewer	64,7 (min- max – 54-71)	Sweden	hospitalize d and outpatients	Male 43 (63%)/ Female 22 (37%)	65	Consecut ive	46 (29% drop out rate)	Financially supported by the Medical Faculty, University of Goteborg
Peters 2014a	Utility	EQ-5D, VAS	Repeated surveys	EQ-5D	unclear	UK	outpatients	unclear	279 (respons	Unclear	38.4%	Funded by the

									e rate 49,2%).			Departmen t of Health (England)
Pickard 2011	Utility	EQ-5D, VAS	Cross-sectional survey	Narrative explained by interviewer , EQ-5D	71,2 (SD - 10,3)	UK	outpatients and hospitalize d patients	Male - 118 (98,3)/ Female 2 (1,7%)	120	Unclear	Unclear	
	Direct	Conjoint analysis/Dis crete choice	Cross-sectional	Narrative explained by	years: 1. 40- 50 - 32%; 2. 51-60 - 43%; 3. 61-70 - 25%; Agerage age - 55,3			Male/ female:				funded by Novartis Pharma
Pisa 2013	choice	analysis	survey	interviewer	years	Germany	unclear	63%/37%	300	Unclear	Unclear	GmbH

Polati 2012	Uncatego rized survey	Expectation of treatment	Cross-sectional survey	Narrative explained by interviewer	63,3 (SD - 9,3)	Turkey	outpatients	male/ female - 89,9%/10,1 %	497	Unclear	Unclear	Funded by AstraZenec a Turkey
Price 2013a	Utility	EQ-5D	Cross-sectional	EQ-5D	65.7 (10.5)	France, Germany, Italy, Spain, UK	outpatients	Male/ female - 69,9%/ 30,1%	2807	consecuti ve	unclear	

Price 2013b	Direct choice	Forced choice: treatment	Cohort study	no description	Mean (SD) 70.4 (9.8)	UK (England or Scotland)	general practice	1058/980 54.2%/45.8 %	2138	Other: based on a database	42%	Private for profit
				Narrative explained		Switzerland		Male/ Female - 43				
Puhan 2004	Utility	VAS	Cross-sectional	by	60.0 (7.2)	, Germany, Austria		(65,5%)/18	80	Consecut ive	76.25%	
Puhan 2007	Utility	Standard gamble, VAS, HUI	Cross-sectional survey	interviewer Narrative explained by interviewer , EQ-5D	69,0 (7,2) 69,0 (8,7)	Canada, USA	hospitalize d	(34,5%) males/ females - 59%/41%	281	Unclear	177	
				Narrative explained by	7- 3-7	USA, France, Germany,		Male/				
Punekar 2007	Utility	EQ-5D	Cross-sectional survey	interviewer , EQ-5D	66 (SE 0,29)	Italy, Spain, UK	outpatients	female - 66/ 34%	1381	Random	>75%	

		Forced		Narrative explained by interviewer , In-person contact with someone who has experience d the				male/femal e –				
Reinke 2011	Direct choice	choice: treatment	Cross-sectional survey	health event	69,4 (sd=10,0)	USA	outpatient	96,8%(333) /3,2%	376	Consecut ive	29.2%	
Reinke 2013	Uncatego rized survey	Forced choice: treatment	Cross-sectional survey	No description	Mean (SD) 69.4 (10.0	USA	Unclear	97%/3%	376	Other: Trial based sample	29.2%	
Ringbaek			Repeated	Narrative explained by interviewer				male/ female – 31,9%/68,1				
2008	Utility	EQ-5D, VAS	surveys	, EQ-5D	69,1 (8,1)	Denmark	unclear	%	229	Unclear	95.1%	

		Preference s of decision		Narrative explained					84 (what was the 84% of whole populatio n with		
Rinnenburg	Direct	making	Repeated	by			hospitalize		other		
er 2012	choice	mode	surveys	interviewer	unclear	Italy	d	unclear	ilnesses)	unclear	

Rocker	Uncatego	Questionna ire with 28 elements that addressed importance of five	Cross-sectional	HUI, questionnai	Mean (SD)		tertiary referral teaching	62/54/2 mising, 52.5%/45.8				Governmen tal/the National Health Research and Developme nt Program	
2008	survey	domains	survey	re	73.27 (7.84)	Canada	hospitals	%/1.7%	118	Unclear	Unclear	of Canada.	l

Rocker 2013	Uncatego rized survey	Reasons to continue (or not) with opioids	Cohort study	no description	74 (51-89 YEARS)	Canada	unclear	Male/ female – 19 (42%)/ 26 (58%)	55 enrolled/ 32 finished the study	Unclear	85.5% 45 patients, 31 finished study	This study was funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research
Rodriguez Gonzalez-	Utility, Uncatego	VAS, importance of family habits changes because of	Cross-sectional	Narrative explained by interviewer	67,8 (67,3-	Callaua	unclear	Male/ female –	the study	Unclear	study	Research
Moro 2009	survey	COPD	survey	, EQ-5D	68,3)	Spain	outpatient	88%/12%	1596		Unclear	
Rutten van						USA, Czech Republic, Spain,	Male/femal e – 902					
Molken 2006	Utility	EQ-5D, VAS	Cross-sectional survey	EQ-5D	64,5 (8,4)	Denmark, Germany,	(73%)/333 (27%)		1235	Consecut ive	Unclear	

Sassi-	Utility	QWB	Randomized	Other:Healt	(mean (SD))	United	Community	Total:	Initial: 98	Voluntar	Unclear	grant
Rutten van Molken 2009	Utility	VAS, Time trade off	Cross-over study	Narrative explained by interviewer	45 (16)	The Netherland s	Male/ Female – 48%/52%		239	Unclear	84%	was provided by Boehringer Ingelheim Internation al and Pfizer Global Pharmaceu ticals
						France, Hungary, Russia, Belgium, Australia						Financial support for this study
						Poland, the Netherland s, Italy,						

					=
					51)group
					s,ninedro
					pped out
					before
					treatmen
					t, one
					from the
					treatmen
					t and
					eight
					from the
					control
					group.Re
					asons for
					dropping
					included
					ilnes(trea
					tment=
					1,control
					= 1),time
					conflict(c

											ontrol= 4),and lack ofi nterest (control= 3).	
Scharf 2011	Utility	HUI	Cross-sectional survey	Narrative explained by interviewer	65,9 (11,7)	Israel	hospitalize d	male/femal e - 140 (77,8%)/ 40 (22,2%)	180	Unclear	90%	The study was funded by a grant from the Dean's office, Faculty of Health Sciences, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beersheba, Israel
Schuneman	Utility	Standard	Randomized	HUI, other:	66 (7) With	Canada	rehabilitati	46/38	84	Consecut	84/130=6	Governmen

n 2003		gamble, VAS	controlled trial	marker states	marker states 66.8 (7.6); without marker states 64.7 (7.5)		on or convention al community care	54.8%/45.2 %		ive	4.6%	tal/ Medical Research Council of Canada
Schuneman		Standard gamble,	Cross-sectional	HUI, other: clinical marker		Canada, the	respiratory rehabilitati on programs at four centers in Canada and the United	54/37 (59.3%/40.		Consecut		Private for profit/ an unrestricte d grant from AstraZenec
Seymour	Utility	VAS	Randomized	states	UC group 65 (10); PEPR 67	US	Hospitalizat ion patients and 3-month	7%) UC group: 14/16 46.7%/53.3 %; PEPR group: 13/17 43.3%/56.7	91	ive	unclear; 60 of 61 randomiz	a, Inc. Governmen tal/ JMS was funded by a British Lung Foundation Project
2010	Utility	VAS	controlled trial	EQ-5D	(10)	UK	follow up	%	60	Unclear	ed	Grant

												(P04/8). CJJ was funded by the Medical Research Council UK. JSS was funded by the European Respiratory Society. WDCM was funded by the Medical Research Council UK and the National Institute for Health.
Sharafkhan	Uncatego	Primary	Cross-sectional	no	Age group:	USA	COPD	140/260	400	Random	10.4%	Private for

eh 2013	rized survey	disadvanta ges of	survey	description	n(%) 18–24: 4 (1)	households compiled	(35%/65%)		(800 of 7691)	profit/ Mylan	
	,	nebulizatio			25–34: 5 (1)	from a			,	Specialty	
		n therapy			35-44: 23 (6)	variety of				L.P.	
					45-64: 168	sources					
					(42)	(i.e., direct					
					≥65: 200 (50)	outreach,					
						magazine,					
						and					
						publication					
						subscriptio					
						ns)					l

		Patient's expectation of			Overall: 61.5 (8.68) Indacaterol/p lacebo: 62.2 (10.29) Placebo/inda			Overall: 27/13 68%/32% Indacaterol /placebo: 11/9 55%/45% Placebo/in dacaterol:				
	Direct	treatment	Randomized	no	caterol: 60.8			16/4				Private for
Siler 2014	choice	adherence	controlled trial	description	(6.90)	USA	unclear	80%/20%	40	Unclear	unclear	profit

e tl is n o Uncatego a rized g	and own efforts that the patient is willing to mobilize in order to achieve greater health) Cross-section survey	nal no description	Age group: number (%) -40 years: 4 (2.7%) 41-60 years: 71 (48.3%) 61- years: 72 (49.0%)	Hungary	six out of the seven pulmonary centers of Hungary	74/73 50.3%/49.7 %	147	convenie nce sample	unclear	commercial or financial relationship s that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.
Solem 2013 Utility E	Cross-section EQ-5D survey	eQ-5D	68.0 (9.6), severe COPD:	US	Practice of pulmonolo	161/153 (51.3%/	314	Random	unclear	Private not for profit/

		67.4 (9.8),	gist and	48.7%)		Forest
		very severe	primary	severe		Research
		COPD: 68.8	care	COPD:		Institute
		(9.2)	physicians:	94/96		
			A stratified	(49.5%/50.		
			random	5%)		
			quota	very severe		
			sample of	COPD:		
			100	67/57		
			physicians	(54.0%/46.		
			(with a	0%)		
			target of			
			equal			
			representat			
			ion by			
			pulmonolo			
			gists and			
			primary			
			care			
			physicians			
			drawn in			
			equal			

							proportions from the four major census regions of the United States)					
Spencer 2013	Uncatego rized survey	importance of exercise and support, and the importance of seeing the same person each time	Randomized controlled trial	no description	IG: 65 (8); CG: 66 (8)	Australia	Outpatients	IG: 9/10; CG: 10/7	48	Unclear	36/48	Unclear
Stahl 2005	Utility	EQ-5D, VAS	Cross-sectional survey	EQ-5D	Mean (range): 64.3 (28-80)	Sweden	subjects with COPD from the general population	98/70 58.3%/41.7 %	168	Unclear	unclear	Private for profit (Astra Zeneca)

							in Northen Sweden					
							End of life					
							care/					
							ambulatory					
							pulmonary					
							clinics in					
							three					
							hospitals					
							(university,					
							county, and					
							Veterans					
							Affairs					
							Medical					
							Center) and					
					Median		through an					
		Forced			(interquartile		oxygen				34.2%	
Stapleton	Direct	choice:	Cross-sectional	Booklet/car	range): 67.4		delivery	/		Consecut	(101/295	
2005	choice	treatment	survey	d	(59.4–74.3)	USA	company	78/23	101	ive)	
1					()	444 centers					Unclear	
Starkie		EQ-5D,	Cross-sectional		Mean (SD)	in 42	l	2586/1054		l	for the	
2011	Utility	mapping	survey	EQ-5D	64.7 (8.4)	countries	Unclear	(71%/29%)	3640	Unclear	response	

	1	i	1	İ	ı	ı	i.	i	ı	1	ı .	1
											rate, and	
											for the	
											response	
											rate of	
											the EQ-	
											5D from	
											TORCH	
											trial:	
											59.6%	
											(3640/61	
											12)	
		Standard		Narrative								
		gamble,		explained								
Stavem		Time trade	Cross-sectional	by	Mean (SD) 57					Consecut	76.6%	
1999	Utility			interviewer		Norman	autmatiants	34/25	59	ive	(59 in 77)	
1999	Othicy	off, 15D	survey	interviewer	(9.1)	Norway	outpatients	34/23	39	ive	(39 111 77)	
							outpatients					
							, identified	24/25				
Charre		Time a time of a	Connectional	Danisian	Man (CD) 57		the Central	34/25		C	20.00/	
Stavem		Time trade	Cross-sectional	Decision	Mean (SD) 57	l	Hospital of	57.6%/42.4		Consecut	29.8%	l .
2002a	Utility	off	survey	board	(10)	Norway	Akershus,	%	59	ive	(59/198)	Unclear

							Norway					
Stavem 2002b	Utility, Direct choice	Time trade off, Standard gamble, VAS, 15 D, willingness to pay	Cross-sectional survey	EQ-5D, a script and a payment card with a range of 13 amounts						Consecut		Unclear
		Standard	Cross-sectional	Booklet/car d (The COPD vignettes were based on the	Mean (SD)		General	54/58 48.2%/51.2			2.1% (Overall, 5,320 people were contacte	Governmen tal/ NHS R&D Programme ; National Institute for
Stein 2009	Utility	gamble	survey	Chronic	48.2(13.3)	UK	population	%	112	Random	d	Health and

	R	Respiratory				through	Clinical
	D	Disease				the	Excellence
	0	Questionna				electoral	(NICE); NHS
	ir	re (CRDQ),				roll. Only	Quality
	a	as used in a				1215	Improveme
	tr	rial of				(23%) of	nt Scotland
	C	community				those	(NHSQIS)
	-t	based				approach	
	р	oulmonary				ed	
	re	ehabilitati				responde	
	0	on)				d to the	
						initial	
						invitation	
						letter. Of	
						this	
						group,	
						286	
						(23.6%)	
						expresse	
						d	
						willingne	
						ss to	

					participat	1
					e in the	
					project	
					and 112	
					(39% of	
					those	
					who	
					agreed)	
					attended	
					a training	
					session.	
					Only	
					people	
					who	
					attended	
					a training	
					session	
					were	
					considere	
					d part of	
					the	
					panel.	

											Thus, the net final recruitm ent was 2.1% of those initially approach ed.)	
Steuten 2006	Utility	VAS	Trial, non- randomized or non-controlled	EQ-5D	mean (SD) 61 (14)	Netherland s	university hospital and 16 general practices	56/44%	317 (1062 in total)	Consecut ive	Unclear 685/1062 (317 are COPD)	Unclear
Sutherland 2009	Direct choice	Forced choice:	Randomized controlled trial	Narrative explained by interviewer	Mean (SD) 62 (10)	USA	outpatients	49/50 50%/50%	99/109	Unclear	73.2% (109 of 149) enrolled; 85.3% (93 of 109) followed	Private for profit/ Dey LP

Svedsater 2013	Direct choice	Forced choice: inhaler	Cross-sectional survey	Narrative explained by interviewer	Mean: 61	USA	Unclear	Unclear	42	Other: Trial based	unclear	Private for profit/ GlaxoSmith Kline
Szende		EQ-5D, SF-	Cross-sectional	EQ-5D, SF-	Mean (SD) 64			74/102	176	Other: based on two cross- sectional		
2009	Utility	6D	survey	12/SF-36	(12.3)	Sweden	Unclear	(42%/58%) All: 12/12,	176	surveys	unclear 29% for	Governmen tal/ NL Agency, a division of
Tabak 2014	Utility	EQ-5D, VAS	Randomized controlled trial	EQ-5D	Mean (SD) Telehealth group 64.1 (9.0); Usual care 62.8 (7.4)	Netherland s	Outpatients	50%/50% Telehealth: 6/6 50%/50%, Usual care: 6/6, 50%/50%	24	Unclear	response rate, while 24/29 finished the follow up	the Dutch Ministry of Economic Affairs (grant CALLOP908 9)
Taylor 2012	Utility	EQ-5D	Randomized	EQ-5D	Mean (SD)	UK	10 primary	Interventio	116	Consecut	22.9%,	the

			controlled trial		Intervention: 69.0 (9.8); control: 70.5 (10.0)		care teams or from a community respiratory clinic	n: 40/38, 51.3%/48.7 %; Control: 13/25, 34.2%/65.8 %		ive	116/507	National Institute for Health Research (NIHR)
										Unclear		
	Utility,	HUI,			Mean (SE) ciprofloxacin: 54.9 (1.46);			ciprofloxaci n: 44/71 38%/62%; Usual care:				Private for
Torrance	Direct	willingness	Randomized		Usual care:			53/54	222 in			profit/
1999	choice	to pay	controlled trial	HUI	55.8 (1.36)	Canada	outpatients	50%/50%	240		unclear	Bayer Inc.

Tanalia	Single	Forced	Connectional	Narrative explained	median		University Health Center of thE Universtiy of Maryland Hospital and the Baltimore Veterans	29/8				
Travaline 1995	Direct choice	choice: treatment	Cross-sectional survey	by interviewer	(range): 67 (43-81)	USA	Administrat ion Hosptial	78.4%/21.6 %	37	Consecut ive	96.25%	Unclear
Turner 2014	Utility	EQ-5D, VAS	Repeated surveys	EQ-5D	Mean (SD) 68.3 (9.3)	UK	primary and secondary care	90/115 44.1%/55.9 %	205	Consecut	65.7% 205/312 who contacte d the recruime nt helpline	Private not for profit/ Health Foundation (UK)
Utens 2013	Direct	Forced	Randomized	no	Mean (SD)	Netherland	hospitalize	usual	139	Consecut	139 of	Governmen

	choice	choice: place of treatment	controlled trial	description	usual hospital group 67.8 (11.3); early assisted discharge 68.31 (10.34)	S	d patients first and discharge later	hospital: 38/31 55.1%/44.9 %, early assisted discharge: 48/22 68.6%/31.4 %		ive	479 (29.0%)	tal/ Netherland s Organizatio n for Health Research and Developme nt (945-50- 7730)
Utens 2014	Direct choice	Forced choice: place of treatment	Randomized controlled trial	no description	Unclear	Netherland s	hospitalize d patients first and discharge later	usual hospital: 38/31 55.1%/44.9 %, early assisted discharge: 48/22 68.6%/31.4 %	124 (62 caregiver s each in either groups)	Consecut ive	unclear	Governmen tal/ Netherland s Organizatio n for Health Research and Developme nt (945-50- 7730)
Utens 2012	Utility	EQ-5D	Randomized	EQ-5D	Mean (SD)	Netherland	hospitalize	usual	139	Consecut	139 of	Governmen

			controlled trial		usual hospital group 67.8 (11.3); early assisted discharge 68.31 (10.34)	S	d patients first and discharge later	hospital: 38/31 55.1%/44.9 %, early assisted discharge: 48/22 68.6%/31.4 %		ive	479 (29.0%) randomiz ed, 115 of 139 finished the survey	tal/ Netherland s Organizatio n for Health Research and Developme nt (945-50- 7730)
van den Bemt 2009	Utility	EQ-5D	Randomized controlled trial	EQ-5D	monitoring group: 62(10.5); usual care group 64 (10.5)	Netherland s	general practice	monitoring group: 56/26 68.3%/31.7 %; usual care: 47/41, 53.4%/46.6 %	170	Consecut	59.4%, 170/286	Private not for profit/"Part ners in Care Solutions for COPD" (PICASSO)

van der Palen 2013a	Direct choice, Uncatego rized survey	Forced choice: inhaler, willingness to continue inhaler use scale, importance core of inhaler attributes	Randomized controlled trial	No description	Mean (SD) 65.9 (8.6) for the safety population, 65.7 (8.5) for the ITT population	Germany and Netherland s	Unclear	87/42 67.4%/32.6 % for the safety population, and 75/30 (71.4%/28. 6%) for the ITT population	129	Unclear	response rate unclear, 70.5% 91/105 patients indicatin g the preferen ce	Private for profit/ Almirall, S.A., Barcelona, Spain, and Forest Laboratorie s, Inc., New York, USA
van der Palen 2013b	Direct choice, Uncatego rized survey	Forced choice: inhaler , willingness to continue	Randomized controlled trial	Narrative explained by interviewer	Mean (SD) 65.3 (9.8) for overall (both asthma and COPD)	Netherland s	unclear/ Medisch Spectrum Twente Hospital at	52/61 46%/56% for overall study population	113, while 82 for COPD	Unclear	Unclear	Private for profit/ Glaxo Smith Kline, Zeist, the

		inhaler use scale, importance core of inhaler attributes					Enschede, and Gelre Hospital at Zutphen, the Netherland s					Netherland s.
van der		MG	Randomized	50.50	Mean (SD) Flluticasone propionate group: 64.1 (6.8); placebo: 64.0			84.0% 205/39, Fluticasone propionate: 104/19; placebo:			47.9% 244 of	Governmen tal and Private for Profit/ Netherland s Asthma Foundation , Amicon Health Insurance Co., Boehringer Ingelheim, and
Valk 2002	Utility	VAS	controlled trial	EQ-5D	(7.7)	USA	outpatient	101/20	244	Unclear	509	GlaxoSmith

												Kline BV.
Vestbo 2014	Utility	EQ-5D	Cross-sectional survey	EQ-5D	(mean) 1. GOLD category A (n=152): 62.0 2. GOLD category B (n=739): 63.5 3. GOLD category C (n=13): 60.2 4. GOLD category D (n=604): 67.3	Five European countries (France, Germany, Italy, Spain and UK) and United States	Primary (primary care physician and pulmonogis t-referred). Outpatient clinics	UNCLEAR	1508 patients 1. GOLD category A (n=152) 2. GOLD category B (n=739) 3. GOLD category C (n=13) 4. GOLD category D (n=604)	Consecut ive	1508/381 3 = 39.55%	Writing support was funded by Novartis.
Villar Balboa 2014	Utility	VAS	Cross-sectional survey	EQ-5D	Proportion	Spain	NR	NR	98	Not reported	11.00%	Not reported
Walters 2003	Utility	SF-6D	Cohort study	SF-12/SF- 36	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	60	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear

										Consecut ive		
Wildman 2009	Utility, Direct choice	VAS, forced choice: treatment	Cohort study	EQ-5D	unclear 66.2 (9.9) from patient recruited in CMP	UK	hospitalize d patients first and discharge later	316/332 48.8%/51.2 % overall (both asthma and COPD)	752 COPD (832 in total)		39.4% (648 of 1644) in CMP	Governmen tal/ MRC Health Services Research Fellowship
2003	crioice	treatillent	Conort study	EQ-5D, SF-	(mean (SD)):	Netherland	Outpatient	(male - n	totaij	Consecut	Response	Proteion
Wilke 2012	Utility	EQ-5D, VAS	Cohort study	12/SF-36	1. Total	S	clinic	(%)):	105	ive	rate	Thuis,

		sample		1. Total		UNCLEAR	Horn, The
		(n=105): 66.3		sample		. Follow-	Netherland
		(9.2)		(n=105): 65		up	s; CIRO+,
		2. Study		(61.9%)		complete	Horn, The
		completed		2. Study		for 86	Netherland
		(n=86): 65.7		completed		(81.90%)	s; Grants
		(9.3)		(n=86): 54		patients	3.4.10.015
		3. Dropout		(62.8%)		in the	(S. Wilke)
		(n=19): 68.8		3. Dropout		total	and
		(8.2)		(n=19): 11		sample.	3.4.06.082
				(57.9%)		1	(D.J.A.
						1	Janssen) of
						1	the
						1	Netherland
						1	s Asthma
						1	Foundation
						1	, Leusden,
						1	The
						1	Netherland
						Į I	s; Stichting
						 	Wetenscha
						1	psbevorder

												ing Verpleeghu iszorg (SWBV), Utrecht, The Netherland s.
Wilson	Direct choice, Uncatego rized	Forced choice: treatment, importance of mechanical	Trial, non- randomized or	SF-12/SF- 36, Decision	Mean 68.4, range: 37-68 years Mean (SD) Forego MV (n=23) 71.0 (8.6); uncertain/Acc pet MV (n=10): 62.4		Outpatients who participate d in a pulmornary rehabilitati	15/8 (65%/35%) for those forego MV, and 3/7 (30%/70%) for those uncertain/a		Consecut ive	93 of 120 was contacte d, 78%; 38 of the 93 agreed,	Governmen tal/Researc h Developme nt Fund of The Rehabilitati on Centre and by an Ontario Thoracic Society Block Term
2005	survey	ventilation	non-controlled	aid	(15.4)	Canada	on program	ccept MV	33		41%	grant.

Wilson 2007	Direct choice	Forced choice: device	Randomized controlled trial	no description	unclear (>50 years old)	UK	secondary care	Unclear	30	Unclear	unclear	Private for profit/ Glaxo Smith Kline, Zeist, the Netherland s.
Youngmi- 2011	Utility	EQ-5D	Cross-sectional	EQ-5D	UNCLEAR for COPD	Korea	Unclear	UNCLEAR	217	stratified multistag e clustered probabilit y design	unclear	Unclear
Zanini 2014	Utility	VAS	cross-sectional survey	EQ-5D	71 (8)	Italy	in-patient, rehabilitati on center	364/75 (82.9%/17. 1%)	439	Consecut	unclear/ retrospec tive analysis, not sure about the exclusion	No extramural funding was used to support this study