FLUORINE, CHLORINE, AND BROMINE IN CARBONATE ROCKS.

-

.

.

.

.

# FLUORINE, CHLORINE, AND BROMINE IN CARBONATE ROCKS IN RELATION TO THE CHEMICAL HISTORY OF OCEAN WATER AND DOLOMITIZATION

Ву

HAROLD H. WILLIAMS, B.Sc., M.Sc.

# A Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements

for the Degree

Doctor of Philosophy

McMaster University

May 1969

# DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY (1969) (Geology)

### McMASTER UNIVERSITY Hamilton, Ontario

TITLE: Fluorine, Chlorine, and Bromine in Carbonate Rocks in Relation to the Chemical History of Ocean Water and Dolomitization

AUTHOR: Harold H. Williams, B.Sc. (University of Alberta at Calgary) M.Sc. (University of Calgary)

SUPERVISOR: Dr. J. R. Kraner

NUMBER OF PAGES: x11, 173

SCOPE AND CONTENTS:

The purpose of this thesis is to establish possible changes in the CL/Br ratio of ancient oceans over the past  $2 \times 10^9$  years. Variations in the CL/Br ratio of carbonate rocks are used to indicate these changes.

A secondary objective of this thesis is to determine the distribution patterns of F in limestones and dolomites. Variations in the F content of dolomites are shown to be related to environment of deposition and can be used to establish the genesis of dolomite.

The contents of the thesis include; 1) a comprehensive review of pertinent literature, 2) a discussion of the evolution of the Cl/Br ratio of the oceans, 3) application of F in paleoenvironmental reconstruction, and 4) suggestions for further research.

ii

### ABSTRACT

The geochemistries of F, Cl, and Br in the sedimentary carbonate systems from Recent to pre-Cambrian ( $2 \ge 10^9$  years) age have been investigated. The investigation has shown:

1. The Cl/Br ratio in the water soluble and acid soluble (0.48N HNO<sub>3</sub>) extracts show progressive increases from 15/1 in the pre-Cambrian to approximately 90/1 in Recent carbonates. This is interpreted as indicating an increase in the Cl/Br ratio of the ocean throughout geologic time. A geochemical mass balance has shown that the fractionation has occurred in the sedimentary cycle.

2. The Cl and Br occur largely in the water soluble phase, whereas, F occurs as a water insoluble phase. The order of water solubility is Cl>Br>F.

3. Absolute Cl and Br abundances in limestones and dolonites are not significantly different; however, aragonites contain significantly more Cl and Br. Fluorine is enriched in aragonites and dolonites, but is not concentrated in limestones.

4. Fluorine distributions in dolomite have been shown to be environmentally controlled. Refluxing brines and precipitation of  $CaF_2$  in specific zones are suggested as the controlling mechanisms. Interpretation of depositional environments and dolomite genesis on the basis of F content are possible if used in conjunction with other geological data.

iii

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to acknowledge the following individuals for generously providing many of the samples used in this study:

Dr.	M. L. Keith	Dr.	H.	s.	Ladd
Dr.	H. P. Schwarcz	Dr.	E.	Α.	Shinn
Dr.	T. T. Quirke, Jr.	Dr.	R.	С.	Harriss
Dr.	Gale K. Billings	Dr.	А.	D.	Baillie
Dr.	C. Moore	Dr.	F.	т.	Mackenzie

Dr. E. R. Jamieson and Dr. D. M. Kent of the Department of Mineral Resources, Government of Saskatchewan, assisted the author in obtaining core samples of Ordovician and Silurian carbonates.

Dr. A. D. Baillie, Dr. A. N. Petracca, and the research staff of Gulf Oil Canada Limited, Calgary, are acknowledged for their many discussions of the environmental history of the Zana dolomite.

Dr. R. C. Harriss' guidance and supervision during the early phases of the study are acknowledged.

Dr. J. R. Kramer supervised the final stages of research and thesis writing. His many useful suggestions regarding research and constructive criticisms of the manuscript were invaluable to the successful completion of the study. A large portion of the research was financially supported by Dr. Kramer.

Acknowledgements are extended to Dr. A. Corsini, Dr. C. Lock, and Dr. J. H. Crocket for critically reviewing the manuscript and acting in the capacity of committee members.

iv

I wish to thank my wife for her patience and understanding, as well as invaluable assistance in computer programming technicalities. She also typed most of the thesis and proof read most of the manuscript.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

73 A	00
PΩ	1 - 11.

List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	xi
INTRODUCTION	1
The Problem	1
Source of Excess Cl and Br	4
Oxidation State of Br in the Oceanic System	7
PREVIOUS GEOCHEMICAL DATA FOR THE HALOGENS	9
Geochemistry of the Halogens in Carbonate Rocks	11
Geochemistry of the Halogens in Igneous Rocks	12
Biogeochemistry of the Helogens	14
Evidence of Changes in the Chemical Composition of Sca Water	17
SAMPLING AND SAMPLE PREPARATION	20
Sampling	20
Selection of Samples	21
Sample Proparation	22
ANALYTICAL METHODS	23
Halide Analyses	23
Instrumentation	23
Electrode Theory	23
Halide Ion Concentration Determinations	24
Electrode Selectivity, Sensitivity, and Interferences	26
Halogens in the Acid Leach	29
Halogens in the Water Leach	31
vi	

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)	PAGE
Phosphate Analyses	32
Reagents	32
Procedure	32
Calculations	33
Precision Estimates	33
RESULTS AND INTERPRETATIONS	35
Introduction	35
Halogens in Carbonate Rocks	45
DISCUSSION	61
Incorporation of the Halogens in Carbonates	61
Inorganic Incorporation	61
Organic Incorporation	63
Fresh Water Organisms	64
Marino Organisms	67
Diagenesis	70
Variations in Cl/Br Ratios of Carbonates During Geologic Time	75
Fractionation Across the Mineral-Water Interface	75
Fractionation of Cl and Br Throughout Geologic Time	76
Effects of Major Periods of Evaporite Deposition on	87
the Cl/Br Ratios	
GEOLOGIC APPLICATION OF $F_{T}$ IN ENVIRONMENTAL RECONSTRUCTION	91
Dolomitization and Environmental Interpretations	91
Suggestions for Further Research	107
CONCLUSIONS	110

vii.

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)	PAGE
BIBLIOGRAPHY	113
APPENDIX I SAMPLE DESCRIPTIONS	123
APPENNTY TT AMALYTTCAL DESILTS	1 <i>5</i> 7

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	Single ion activity coefficients of Cl. and Br as	25
	a function of the total ionic strength.	
Figuro 2	Effect of pH on fluoride electrode response.	28
Figure 3	Polyethylene apparatus for acid dissolution of	30
	carbonates.	
Figure 4	Histograms of $\operatorname{Cl}_{\operatorname{T}}$ and $\operatorname{Cl}_{\operatorname{W}}$ in limestones and	46
	dolomites.	
Figure 5	Histograms of $\operatorname{Br}_T$ and $\operatorname{Br}_W$ in limestones and	49
	dolomites.	
Figure 6	Histograms of $F_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $F_{\mathrm{W}}$ in linestones and dolomites.	51
Figure 7	Relationship of $\operatorname{Cl}_W$ to $\operatorname{Br}_W$ for all samples.	52
Figure 8	Relationship of $Cl_T$ to $Br_T$ for all samples.	53
Figure 9	% aragonite vs ppm F.	72
Figure 10	Relationship of Cl/Br ratio of limestones vs time.	77
Figure 11	Relationship of Cl/Br ratio of dolomites vs time.	78
Figuro 12	Relationship of log10 Cl/Br vs time.	<b>7</b> 9
Figure 13	Relationship of observed Cl/Br ratios of carbonato	81
	rocks to proposed ocean Cl/Br ratios.	
Figure 14	Geochemical cycle of the halogens.	82
Figure 15	Cl/Br <sub>T</sub> ratio vs time (m.y.) - dolomites.	<b>8</b> 8
Figure 16	Environmental interpretations and correlations -	93
	Zama member.	
Figure 17	Devonian stratigraphy of Alberta.	94

іx

.

Figure	18	Location map - Zama Lake area, Alberta.	Page 95
Figure	19	Typical sabkha-wadi cyclic carbonate fabrics.	97
Figure	20	F distribution in the Zama member and correlations.	<b>9</b> 8
Figure	21	Schematic relationship between refluxing brines,	100
		fluorine distributions, and depositional environments	

# LIST OF TABLES

PAGE

Table	1	Halogen abundances in meteorites.	5			
Table 2		Equilibrium reactions controlling pH and distribution				
		of Br spocies in sea water.				
Table	3	pH, PO2, PCO2, and predominant Br species.	7			
Table	4	Halogen abundances in various materials of the earth's	10			
		crust.				
Table	5	F content of carbonate rocks: Comparison of averages	12			
		of various investigators.				
Table	6	Halogen abundances in various organisms.	15			
Tablo	7	Analytical precision estimates.	34			
Table	8	Basic statistics.	36			
Table	9	Linear regression analyses.	42			
Table :	10	Ratio of water soluble halogen content/acid soluble	44			
		halogen content.				
Table :	<u>11</u>	Helogen distribution during diagenesis and metamorphis	n. 47			
Table 1	12	R-mode factor analysis of limestones.	54			
Table :	13	R-mode factor analysis of dolomites.	<b>5</b> 5			
Table 1	L4	Water and acid soluble F contents of phyllosilicates.	<u>5</u> 8			
Table ]	15	Calculated solubility of F minerals compared to $F_W$ of	60			
		carbonates.				
Table :	16	Correlation matrix - fresh water shells.	65			
Table 1	1.7	R-mode factor analysis of fresh water shells.	66			

xi

Table	18	Correlation matrix - marine shells.	<b>6</b> 8
Table	19	R-mode factor analysis of marine shells.	69
Table	20	Halogen contents of Recent marine pelecypods and	74
		Pleistocene analogs.	
Table	21	Geochemical mass balance for Cl and Br.	83
Table	22	Br in the lithosphere-hydrosphere system.	84
Table	23	Yearly budget of Br in the modern ocean.	86
Table	24	Effect of the Permian Zechstein evaporites on the	90
		Cl/Br ratio of sea water.	
Table	25	Well locations and cored intervals - Zama member dolon	1-92
		ites.	
Table	2,6	F in supretidal sulfates.	102
Table	27	Solubility products of CaF, in various equeous media.	106

PAGE

#### INTRODUCTION

### The Problem

Rubey (1951), Livingstone (1963a), and Horn and Adams (1966) have shown that the principle cations of sea water (Na, K, Ca, Mg, etc.) and the major elements in sedimentary rocks (Si, Al, Fe, Ca, Mg, etc.) can be derived from the weathering of igneous rocks; while the water of the oceans, the principle anions of sea water (Cl,  $SO_{44}$ , Br, and F), and a considerable part of the atmospheric gasses (N<sub>2</sub>,  $CO_2$ ,  $O_2$ ) must have been derived from a different source. The "other source" has been attributed to degassing of the earth's interior.

The duration and degassing rate of the volatiles from the depths of the earth are still unresolved; however, it is generally accepted that the oceans have accumulated by slow degassing and that the composition of the ocean has been relatively uniform since the beginning of pre-Cambrian time. The implication is that the ocean is, and has been, in dynamic equilibrium for some time, with input balanced by removal (Holland, 1965). However, in recent years, several lines of evidence have indicated that the chemical composition of the ocean has changed. Krasintseve (1964) reported Cl/Br ratios of 50/1 for sedimentary rocks of L. Cambrian age from the Russian Flatform and values of 290/1 for the Fliocene Red Beds of Turkmenia, and interprets this as representing a change in the Cl/Br ratio of sea water since Cambrian time. If the Cl/Br ratio of sea water has increased from some unknown value to the present value of 292/1, how has this change been brought

about and what was the Cl/Br ratio of the pre-Cambrian ocean?

It is proposed in this study that the Cl/Br ratio of the pre-Cambrian ocean was equal to the CL/Br ratio of the degassing materials, which is estimated to be approximately 60/1. The value of 60/1 was selected as this value is representative of the Cl/Br ratio of igneous rocks. During crystallization of a magma, the volatile constituents accumulate in the late stage fractionates and are expelled in this gaseous and liquid residue. Assuming that magma is the only source of material for the volatiles, the ratio of Cl/Br in the primitive ocean would be equal to the CL/Br ratio of the late stage fractionates. As discussed in a later section, geological evidence indicates that the CL/Br ratio of igneous rocks does not vary during crystallization of a magna. It is a reasonable assumption that the ratio of 60/1 in ignorus rocks is representative of the late stage fractionates, which presumably represent the degassing materials. Other models using the Cl/Br ratio of chondrite metcorites could assume a different initial Cl/Br ratio in the pro-Cambrian sea.

Several assumptions must be made: 1) the Cl/Br ratio of the degassing materials has remained constant, 2) the volume of the original ocean was small (Rubey (1951) estimates the volume to be 10% of the present ocean) and/or the Cl/Br ratio of the primitive ocean was similar to that of the degassing material, and 3) a uniform rate of degassing.

The question now arises as to how and when the fractionation occurred and how to demonstrate this fractionation in the geologic record. If the Cl/Br ratio of the ocean has increased over the past  $2 \times 10^9$  years or longer, it would seem reasonable to expect the Cl/Br

ratio of interstitial water or fluid inclusions in authigenic sediments to have changed throughout geologic time. The carbonate system has been selected to define changes in the CL/Er ratio of sea water over the past 2 x  $10^9$  years.

Carbonates are authigenic sedimentary rocks which apparently do not tend to fractionate CL and Br, thus changes in the halogen composition of sea water should be reflected in the halogen ratios of these rocks. It is assumed that, if diagenetic alterations have not been too extensive, the CL/Br ratio of ancient carbonates is related to the ancient seas from which they were deposited.

During deposition, sediments are slightly enriched in Br with respect to the surrounding water because many marine organisms accumulate Br which is contributed to the sediment upon their death. However, only part of the Br in organic matter is released into solution. Most of the Br remains bound in the organic matter (Shishkine, 1961). The organic matter content is further reduced by dilution with sediment and oxidation of the organic matter at the time of deposition, which will tend to minimize the enrichment of Br. The water soluble extract should give a better estimate of the CL/Br ratio of the waters of deposition than the acid soluble fraction.

The charistries of CL and Er are similar and there is no mineralogical control over the distribution of these two elements in carbonates. Of the halogens, CL is completely free of any buffering system, and Er is probably only slightly affected by organisms.

All carbonates have been subjected to varying degrees of alteration and diagenesis. The effects of diagenesis on the GL/Br ratio of carbonates must be known if the relationship of these ratios to sea water is to be correctly interpreted. This problem is considered in the present study by examining carbonates which have been subjected to various degrees of diagenesis.

A geochemical mass balance of Cl and Br in the sedimentary environment is presented to evaluate the possibility of fractionation within the normal sedimentary cycle.

Throughout the text, the subscripts T and W refer to the acid soluble and water soluble fractions respectively.

### Source of Excess Cl and Br

'It has been implied that the CL and Br originated from the earth's interior (mantle and core). Is there a sufficiently large reservoir of CL and Br in the mantle and core to supply the excess in the lithosphere-hydrosphere system?

Urey (1952) proposed that the earth accumulated from planetesimals of silicates and iron objects with approximately the same composition as present day meteorites. Urey's concept of planetesimal accumulation is the basis for estimating primordial earth halogen abundances.

Assuming that iron meteorites and siliceous meteorites (particularly carbonaceous chondrites) are representative of the material making up the earth's core and mantle respectively, the availability of Cl and Br may be estimated. With these assumptions, average halogen abundances in carbonaceous chondrites and iron meteorites presented in Table 1. and masses of  $4 \times 10^{27}$  g and  $4 \times 10^{27}$  g for the core

and mantle respectively, one arrives at the following halogen abundances:

	Core	Mantle *
C1.	$1600 \times 10^{20} g$	2000 x 10 <sup>21</sup> g
Br	$4 \times 10^{20} g$	$62 \times 10^{21} g$

\* Data of Reed and Allen (1966) and Goles et al.(1967). The masses of Cl and Br present in the lithosphere-hydrosphere system are 290 x  $10^{20}$  g and 170 x  $10^{20}$  g respectively (Table 21). The amounts of Cl and Br contributed to the lithosphere-hydrosphere system by weathering of igneous rocksare  $5 \times 10^{20}$  g Cl and  $8 \times 10^{18}$  g Br, resulting in an excess of 290 x  $10^{20}$  g Cl and 160 x  $10^{18}$  g Br. It would take less than 3% of the mass of the mantle to supply all the excess Cl and Br. The choice of carbonaceous chondrites or ordinary chondrites as representative of the mantle has no effect on the amounts of Cl and Br available.

natogen Abumances in Recedities						
Туре	N	Cl ppm	Br ppm	Cl/Br	Reference	
Carbonaceous chondrites	2	285	65	4.4	Reed and Allen (1966)	
Type 1 carbonaceous	4	772	4.0	197	Goles <u>et al</u> . (1967)	
Type 2 carbonaceous	4	335	1.9	180	Goles <u>et</u> <u>al</u> . (1967)	
Enstatite chondrites	20	131	0.9	152	Goles <u>et</u> <u>al</u> . (1967)	
Iron meteorites	4	40	0.09	426	Goles <u>et al</u> . (1967)	

#### TABLE 1

Halogen Abundances in Meteorites

N = number of samples.

	-	•••		· · ·
-	Reaction	T (°C)	Equilibrium Constant *	Reference
1.	$3Br_{2(g)} + 3H_20 $	25	$K = \frac{\left[Br^{-}\right]^{5} \left[Br0_{3}^{-}\right] \left[H^{+}\right]^{6}}{\left[P_{Br2}\right]^{3}} = 10^{-33.19}$	Latimer (1952)
2.	$2Br_{2(g)} + 2H_{2}0 \iff$ $4Br^{-} + 4H^{+} + O_{2(r)}$	25	$K = \frac{\left[Br^{-}\right]^{4}\left[H^{+}\right]^{4}\left[P_{02}\right]}{\left[P_{Br_{2}}\right]^{2}} = 10^{9.9}$	Latimer (1952)
3.	$CaCO_3 \iff Ca^{2+} + CO_3^{2-}$	5	$K_{c} = [Ca^{2+}][CO_{3}^{2-}] = 10^{-8.09}$	Kramer (1964)
4.	$CO_{2(g)} + H_2O \iff CO_{2(aq)} + H_2O$	5.	$K_{o} = \frac{\left[CO_{2(aq)}\right]}{\left[P_{CO_{2(g)}}\right]} = 10^{1.19}$	Kramer (1964)
5.	$HCO_3^- \iff H^+ + CO_3^{2-}$	5	$K_2 = \frac{[H^+][co_3^2]}{[Hco_3^2]} = 10^{-10.6}$	Kramer (1964)
6.	$CO_2(aq) + H_2O \longrightarrow HCO_3 + H^+$	5	$K_{1} = \frac{\left[i^{+}\right]\left[co_{3}^{2}\right]}{\left[co_{2(aq)}\right]} = 10^{-6.52}$	Kramer (1964)

TABLE 2

Equilibrium Reactions Controlling pH and Distribution of Br Species in Sea Water

\* All concentrations in moles/litre except  $O_{2(g)}$ ,  $Br_{2(g)}$ , and  $CO_{2(g)}$  which are given in atmospheres.

Oxidation State of Br in the Oceanic System

The chemical behavior of Br in the oceanic system is of considerable importance in the discussion of the CL/Br ratio in the pre-Cambrian seas. Because Br is present as a minor constituent, small changes in its absolute abundance will have a significant effect on the Cl/Br ratio, particularly if the conditions are favorable for the existance of Br<sub>2</sub>. The species present in the aqueous phase are dependent upon reactions of Br with H<sup>+</sup>, H<sub>2</sub>O, and O<sub>2</sub>. It is critical to establish the limits of pH and P<sub>O2</sub> in the system under consideration, and to determine the relative abundances of the various Br species.

, The reactions controlling the pH of sea water and the oxidation state of Br are summarized in Table 2. The upper and lower limits of pH,  $P_{O_2}$ , and  $P_{CO_2}$  are summarized in Table 3.

### TABLE 3

	~	~			
	P <sub>CO2</sub> (atm)	P <sub>O2</sub> (atm	) pH	Predominant Br Species (> 99%)	Reaction (Table 2)
Recent	10-3.5	10 <sup>-0.7</sup>	8.20	Br	2
pre-Cambrian	1	?	*6.07	Br03 and Br	1
pre-Cambrian	1	10 <sup>-68</sup>	6.07	Br	2
* [H This repl.	$\frac{1}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{K_2 H}{2}}$ model assu aced by ([c	$\frac{\frac{2}{K_{1}^{2}(P_{CO_{2}})^{2}}}{\frac{2}{K_{0}^{2}K_{c}}}$ mes no oth $O_{3}^{2-}[H^{+}]/2$	(React er ions 2) K <sub>2</sub> .	tions 3, 4, 5, and 6 present, therefore	5 of Table 2). , $\left[\operatorname{Ca}^{2+}\right]$ is

рH,	P.,	P <sub>C02</sub> ,	and	Predominant	$\mathbf{Br}$	Species
-----	-----	--------------------	-----	-------------	---------------	---------

The upper limit of 1 atmosphere  $P_{CO_2}$  is the limit determined by the gravitational constant and the limit at which life forms can exist. The abundance of algae in the time interval considered indicates that the  $P_{CO_2}$  was probably lower than 1 atmosphere. The lower limit of  $P_{O_2}$ has been taken as the  $P_{O_2}$  necessary to oxidize magnetite to hematite  $(10^{-68} \text{ atmospheres})$ . The pre-Cambrian iron ores indicate a  $P_{O_2}$  of at least  $10^{-68}$ . The pH of the pre-Cambrian seas is calculated to be 6.07 at a  $P_{CO_2}$  of 1 atmosphere.

The predominant species of Br present under varying conditions of pH and  $P_{O_2}$  are summarized in Table 3. The species of Br<sub>2</sub> is important only below a pH of about 5.9. The Br in the present ocean is in the form of Br, which is also the predominant form of Br in the pre-Cambrian seas.

### PREVIOUS GEOCHEMICAL DATA FOR THE HALOGENS

The published data on halogen geochemistry are briefly reviewed. Only the data which are pertinent to the models and concepts developed in the present study are discussed. This includes halogen data on the following: 1) carbonate rocks, 2) igneous rocks, and 3) biogeochemistry. A review of the previous investigations of chemical changes in the ancient oceans is also included as these are pertinent to the concept of a steady state oceanic system since pre-Cambrian time.

Investigations of the geochemistry of the halogens up to 1961 have been summarized by Correns (1961). Fleischer and Robinson (1963) reviewed the geochemistry of F. The most recent summary of the geochemistry of CL has been presented by Johns and Huang (1967).

The percentage abundances of the halogens in the earth's crust, in order of abundance, are Cl (0.20%), F(0.02%), and Br(0.001%) (Walters, 1967). Abundances of the halogens in various materials of the earth's crust are given in Table 4.

The geochemical cycle of F is essentially transportation to the sea and deposition as  $CaF_2$  or  $CO_2$ -F-apatite (Rankama and Sahama, 1950). The bulk of the CL carried to the sea remains in solution as the CL<sup>-</sup> ion. Br remains largely in solution in sea water with partial re-incorporation into sediments by means of organic mechanisms. The concentrations of F, CL, and Br in sea water are 1.35 ppm, 19,000 ppm, and 65 ppm respectively (Mason, 1964).

# TABLE 4

Rock Type	F (ppm)	Cl (ppn)	Br (ppm)	Reference
Deep-Sea Sediments	693	<u></u>	<u> </u>	Shepherd (1940)
Sandstones and Quartzites	357	60	2.0	Hansen (1961) Correns (1961)
Globigerina Ooze	387			Correns (1961)
Greywacke	211	63	6.2	Seraphim (1951) Fleischer and Robinson (1963)
Bentonite	<i>5</i> 980			Fleischer and Robinson (1963)
Shalo	732	255	Ц <sub>е</sub> Ц	Correns (1961) Barth and Bruun (1945)
Coal	80	77	4.7	Crossley (1944)
Phosphorites	20950			Kuroda and Sandell (1953)
Bauxite	90	686	2.3	Behne (1953)
Gypsum	658	300		Stewart (1963) Correns (1961)
Anhydrite	500	10000	20	Stewart (1963) Correns (1961)
Soa Water	1.4	19000	65	Hoering and Parker (1961) Mason (1964)

Halogen Abundances in Various Materials of the Earth's Crust

# Geochemistry of the Halogens in Carbonate Rocks

The geochemistry of Cl in calcareous sediments is largely that of soluble chloride salts (Correns, 1961). Correns reports averages of 745 ppm and 152 ppm Cl in dolomites and limestones respectively. Johns and Huang (1967) report averages of 659 ppm and 131 ppm Cl for dolomites and limestones respectively. Sample sizes in both cases are less than ten, and both authors use essentially the same sources of data. Correns (1961) suggested that the difference in Cl content may give an indication of the nature of the solutions active in bringing about dolomitization. Johns and Huang (1967) point out that it is still not known whether the Cl in carbonates is present in fluid inclusions, within the carbonate lattice, or in the clay minoral impurities.

The mode of occurence of Br and F in carbonates is not known. The average ofreported Br values in dolomites and limestones are 11.3 ppm and 4.8 ppm respectively (Correns, 1961).

The average of reported F values in dolomites and limestones are 244 ppm and 345 ppm respectively (averages from Table 5). Averages reported by various workers are summarized in Table 5.

Considerable overlap exists between the limestones and dolomites. The variation is not surprising in view of the fact that little information is given about the mineralogy of the samples. Averages given are arithmetic means which may not represent the best estimate of the F content. The large variations are difficult to explain without more details regarding the nature of the samples analyzed. It is not known if the samples labelled "limestone" contain appreciable amounts of clay mineral, or how much dolomite is present in the carbonate fraction.

## TABLE 5

heid <u>e a Linnagen</u> , en en en en en en en	Reference	Sample Size	Average F (ppm)
Limestono	Fleischer and Robinson (1963)	98	220
	Danilova (1949)	9	227
	Jeffries (1951)	28	340
	Kokubu (1956)	30	98
	Koritnig (1951) Eberius and Kowalski (1952)	12	240
	Michael and Blume (1952)	7	940
	Seraphim (1951)	6	90
Dolorite	Fleischer and Robinson (1963)	14	200
	Danilova (1949)	10	250
	Jeffrics (1951)	2	390
	Koritrig (1951)	2	180

F Content of Carbonate Rocks:

# A Compariaon of Averages of Various Investigators

# Goochemistry of the Halogens in Igneous Rocks

The majority of the published data for the halogens in igneous rocks has been for F and Cl. Johns and Huang (1967) report an average of 185 ppm Cl in igneous rocks, based on 2 parts felsic and 1 part mafic. Kuroda and Sandell (1953) report averages of 220 ppm, 210 ppm, and 140 ppm Cl in granitic rocks, gabbros, and basalts and diabases respectively. Earley (1958) reports an average of 200 ppm Cl in igneous rocks including gabbres, basalts, and diabases. The range reported by these authors is from 50 ppm Cl to greater than 3,000 ppm Cl. The Br contont of igneous rocks reported by Correns (1961) is 3.0 ppm. Kuroda and Sandell (1953) and Greenland and Lovering (1966) have shown that there is no marked trend for Cl in differentiation of common igneous rocks. Escause of the similarity of the chemical characteristics of Cl and Br, it is reasonable to assume that Br follows Cl in its behavior in igneous rocks. Although there is no apparent halogen fractionation within the solid phases, there must be a fractionation between the solid and liquid phases resulting in increasing halogen concentration in the residual fluids. It is assumed that there is no fractionation of Cl and Br in the liquid phase, and that the Cl/Br ratio in the solid phase is the same as the Cl/Br ratio in the liquid phase.

Kogarko and Gulyayeva (1965), in a study of the alkalic rocks of the Lovozero massif, found the halogens to occur in the following modes; 1) independent minerals (villiaumite, sodalite), 2) isomorphous substitution of F and Cl for OH and  $0^{2-}$  in silicate minorals, 3) Br substitution for Cl in sodalite and eudialyte, and 4) F substitution for OH and  $0^{2-}$  in amphiboles and apatite. The governing factor is ionic radius, which are (for six-fold coordination) (Pauling, 1960):

Ion	Radius (Å)
o <sup>2-</sup>	1.40
OH	1.40
F	1.36
C1	1.81
Br	1.95

Greenland and Lovering (1966) have also demonstrated the isomorphous substitution of Cl<sup>-</sup> and F<sup>-</sup> for OH<sup>-</sup> and  $O^{2-}$  in a differentiated

tholeiltic magma. No fractionation of CL was found; however, F was found to be enriched in the more mafic differentiates.

The F concentration of igneous rocks is variable, generally being enriched in basalts and ultramafic rocks (Correns, 1961), reflecting F enrichment in ferromagnesian silicates. Correns reports an average F content of 700 ppm for igneous rocks.

### Biogeochemistry of the Halogens

Organisms are known to concentrate the halogens, the degree of concentration being dependent on:1) species, 2) halogen content of soil, and 3) halogen content of surrounding media (gaseous and liquid). Data of halogen abundances in various organisms are summarized in Table 6.

The mode of occurrence of F in the skeletal material is thought to be  $CaF_2$  or  $Ca_{10}(FO_4)_6F_2$ . The carbonate shells of marine organisms are generally poor in phosphate. Shells of 17 species of lamellibranchs from the Black Sea contained between 0.004% and 0.02%  $P_2O_5$  (Glagoleva, 1961). On the basis of these low  $P_2O_5$  contents,  $Ca_{10}(FO_4)_6F_2$  may not be the most important form of F in shells. Lowenstam and McConnell (1968) have identified  $CaF_2$  in statholiths of Recent marine mysid crusteceans and tectibranch gestropods.  $CaF_2$  may be the predominant form of F in skeletal material for the major taxonomic groups.

Fluorine occurs as a salt of fluoroacetic acid or as fluo-oleic acid in <u>Dichapetalum cymosum</u>; however, the form of F in plants is otherwise unknown (Fleischer and Robinson, 1963).

Chlorine is present to some extent in most plants and animals, being more concentrated in organisms from the marine environment. Only

nalogen Abbildandes in Various Organisms				
Organi.sm	F (ppm)	Cl (ppm)	Br (ppm)	Reference
Theacea (tea plant)	758-3060			Rankama and Sahama (1950)
*Dichapetomcymosum	150			Rankama and Sahama (1950)
**Molluscs				•
lingula	15200			Correns (1961)
Ostrea	30-120			Chatin and Muntz (1895)
Mytilus	30-120			Chatin and Muntz (1895)
Limnaea stagia	lis		25.8	Selivanov (1939)
**Helix (land snail)	30			Chatin and Muntz (1895)
**Corals		400-2000 (dry matter)	2300- 26000 (soft parts)	Vinogradov (1953), McCanco and Masters, (1937), Low (1951), Correns (1961)
Sponges		4 - 5% (ash)		Vinogradov (1953)
Seaweed		.e.	480-1200	Vinogradov (1953)
Algae			46-1300	Walters (1967)

Halogen Abundances in Various Organisms

TABLE 6

\* - a plant.

\*\* - data are for skeletal material unless otherwise noted.

limited data are available on the CL contents of the soft parts of shelled organisms, and data are non-existant for CL in calcareous skeletal material. The soft parts of marine organisms contain 0.05% to 1.0% CL in the living matter (Vinogradov, 1953; McCance and Masters, 1937).

The occurrence of the halogens in the soft parts of various organisms is well established; however, the mode of occurrence is not. Craigie and Gruenig (1967) identified 3,5-dibrono-p-hydroxybenzyl alcohol and 2,3-dibrono-4,5-dihydroxybenzyl alcohol in both <u>Ondonthalia</u> <u>dentata</u> and <u>Rhodomela confervoides</u>. Ashworth and Cormier (1967) isolated 2,6-dibromophenol from the marine hemichordate <u>Balenoglassus biminiensis</u>. Dibromophenols appear to be important organic compounds in the soft parts of marine organisms. Bromine occurs in the form of 6,6-dibromoindigo in the skin of the Mediterranean gastropod <u>Murex brandaris</u>, in <u>Purpura aperta</u> from the west coast of Mexico, and in widely distributed species of <u>Purpura lapillus</u> (Friedlander, 1909; 1922).

The mode of occurrence of Br in hard parts of shelled organisms has not been definitely established, although its occurrence as bromoorganic compounds has been suggested by Vinogradov (1953). Walters (1967) found that a large portion of the Br and lesser amounts of Cl in several marine organisms are insoluble in dilute  $NH_{4}NO_{3}$  and distilled water. The tyrosines and phenols of Br are very soluble in water which would suggest that the soluble organic compounds are not present to any large extent in the shells. However, insoluble Br compounds may be important.

### Evidence of Changes in the Chemical Composition of Sea Water

Several lines of evidence suggest small, but real, changes in the chemical composition of the oceans throughout geologic time.

Lane (1908) thought that connate waters of sediments would represent the composition of the original water in which the sediments were deposited. However, many physical and chemical processes have been modifying sediments since deposition, modifying the connate waters, and tending to establish sediment-water equilibrium. These processes include base exchange, dolomitization, evaporation, sulphate reduction, hydration and recrystallization of clay minerals, adsorption, and mixing of foreign waters.

Chave (1960) investigated the chemistry of connate brines of ancient basins as indicators of the chemical composition of ancient sea water. The dissolved solid content of subsurface brines ranges from 0 ppm to more than  $3 \times 10^5$  ppm. Chave investigated only those brines containing more than 19,000 ppm dissolved solids, as these brines would probably not have been diluted by meteoric waters. Chave concluded that there is no evidence from subsurface waters to suggest changes in the relative proportions of the major dissolved ions in sea water since pre-Cambrian time. Reactions of carbonate, sulfate, and clay minerals with water can explain the observed differences from sea water. He also concluded that no evidence concerning the absolute concentrations of ions in ancient sea water could be obtained from subsurface waters.

Clayton et al. (1966) studied the isotopic composition of oilfield brines from Illinois, Michigan, Alberta, and the Gulf Coast.

They concluded that most of the brines were probably of local meteoric origin and did not represent true fossil sea water.

Sedimentary rocks of certain ages appear to have distinctive chemical and mineralogical characteristics over wide areas. The most notable is the abundance of stratiform siliceous iron formations of pre-Cambrian age and their general absence in post pre-Cambrian rocks. Govett (1966) suggests that the pre-Cambrian banded iron formations were formed in a lacustrine or closed-basin environment. Weathering processes and a change in the character of the earth's crust are suggested as being responsible for the formation of the iron formations of pre-Cambrian age and their absence in post pre-Cambrian.

Vinogradov and Ronov (1956) investigated the changes in the chemcial composition of clays from the Russian Flatform with geologic age. They report a two-fold decrease in K and a slight increase in Na in younger rocks, an increase in the Ca/Mg ratio, and a decrease in Si and Ti since pre-Cambrian time. Weaver (1967) found the same general trend for K and Na in clays, and suggested that a change from K to Na fixation occurred at the end of the Paleozoic. Weaver attributes this change to an increase in Na and possibly Mg in sea water, caused by the rapid development of plant life and an increase in soil acidity.

Holser and Kaplan (1966) have summarized previous sulfur isotope data and have shown that the isotopic composition of oceanic sulfate has varied since late pre-Cambrian time. Their  ${}^{34}\text{S}/{}^{32}\text{S}$  vs. time curve shows a general trend toward increasing  ${}^{34}\text{S}$  in the oceanic system with younger rocks.

Keith and Weber (1963) investigated the changes  $in \delta c^{13}$  and  $\delta o^{18}$ 

vs geologic age in marine limestones. They reported that "no great change has occurred in the carbon isotopic composition of marine bicarbonate over geologic time, and that oxygen isotopic compositions of marine limestones exhibit a progressive increase in average  $\leq 0^{18}$ content from the oldest to youngest age groups." Scatter in their data is due mainly to post-depositional recrystallization and oxygen exchange in the presence of waters different from those of the original environment. Mean  $\leq 0^{18}$  varied from -9.7 per mil to -1.2 per mil for Cambrian and Quaternary respectively.

Weber (1967) attempted to show, "small but possibly real variations in the carbon isotopic composition of the active exchange reservoir throughout geologic time". The development and distribution of land plants are suggested as being responsible for this fractionation.

Krasintseva (1964) proposed that the CL/Br ratio of oceanic water has increased throughout geologic time. Release of excess amounts of CL during volcanic activity and CL/Br fractionation in soils are the mechanisms proposed by Krasintseva to bring about the increased CL/Br ratio of the oceans. Krasintseva found the CL/Br ratio of Fliocene Red Beds to be 270/1 (two analyses) compared to a ratio of 52/1 for Lower Cambrian rocks. However, only limited data are available.

The investigations cited above demonstrate that changes in the chemical composition of the oceans over the past  $2 \times 10^9$  years are possible, and have probably occurred. The ocean may not be in dynamic equilibrium with respect to all its chemical constituents. Each chemical component must be considered separately. Mineral-water reactions will have little effect on the contents of CL and Br in sea water.

### SAMPLING AND SAMPLE PREPARATION

## Sampling

Recent marine and fresh water shelled organisms were collected from a variety of widespread locations in the eastern United States and along the Atlantic coast. All the fresh water organisms were collected alive; however, several of the marine shells were collected after the organism had died. The <u>Halimeda</u> sp. was collected alive. Sample descriptions are given in Appendix I.

The carbonate rocks were obtained from several sources and include outcrop and core samples from widespread geographic locations. About 70% of the samples analyzed were collected by the author. During outcrop sampling, only fresh and visibly unweathered samples were taken. Sample size ranged from 500 g to 1000 g.

All the core samples, except those of the X-series, were chip samples. Chips were taken approximately every foot over the core interval recorded for each sample. Samples were approximately 100 g in size.

Core samples of the X-series were sampled by means of a specially constructed grinding wheel which cut a continuous groove over the core interval recorded for each sample. The 5 - 10 g sample obtained was -300 mesh in grain size. Each sample was blended in a Wig-L-Bug blender for 10 minutes to ensure homogeneity.

Seventy control samples of dolomites from the X-series representing supratidal, intertidal, turbulent subtidal, and quiet lagoonal

environments have been selected to determine the environmental control on F distribution in carbonates. The dolomites selected were dolomitized by refluxing brines, similar to processes forming recent dolomite (Geological staff, Gulf Oil Canada, Calgary; personal communication).

Sources of samples, other than those collected by the author, are as follows:

Recent - Pleistocene shell material - Dr. F. T. Mackenzie Recent carbonates - Dr. A. D. Baillio and E. A. Shinn X-series core samples and <u>Halimeda</u> sp. - Dr. A. D. Baillie Midway core material - Dr. H. S. Ladd Cambrian and Ordovician outcrop samples - Dr. M. L. Keith pre-Cambrian D-series samples - Dr. H. P. Schwarcz pre-Cambrian marbles - Dr. T. T. Quirke, Jr. Cretaceous samples - Dr. Galo K. Billings and Dr. C. Moore Shell material - Dr. R. C. Harriss.

Complete details of all samples are presented in Appendix I.

### Selection of Samples

The major criterion was to obtain a single phase (calcite or dolomite) so as to observe fractionation of Cl and Br in the one phase only. The carbonate samples investigated were divided into two groups: dolomites and limestones. Those samples classified as dolomites contain greater than 90% dolomite, and the samples classified as limestones contain greater than 90% calcite in their respective carbonate fractions. No quantitative mineralogy determinations were made. Mineral abundance estimates were based on visual examination of X-yay diffractograms and

### are qualitative.

## Sample Preparation

The samples were first crushed to approximately 66 mm size in a Chipmunk grinder. Each shell and carbonate sample was then ground in a Specs Shatter Box grinder and sieved to remove particles larger than +200 mesh grain size. Core samples of the X-series were prepared by a different method as discussed under the section on sampling. No further treatment was made after grinding. The marine shells were rinsed in distilled water before grinding to remove obvious sea salt contamination.

#### ANALYTICAL METHODS

### Halide Analyses

Instrumentation

Bromine and F activities were determined by means of Orion Specific Ion Electrodes coupled with a Fisher double junction reference calomel electrode. Chloride activity measurements were made with a Beckman Ag/AgCl electrode and a Fisher double double junction calomel reference electrode. A Carey vibrating reed electrometer was used to detect EMF changes.

Electrode Theory

The halide electrodes develop a potential defined by the Nernst equation:

 $E = E_a + 2.3 \frac{RT}{F} \log A$ 

where E = the measured potential of the system

 $E_a = a$  constant at constant temperature and includes the potential of the reference electrode

2.3  $\frac{RT}{F}$  = the Nernst factor (59.16 mv at 25°C)

R =the gas constant (1.98726 cal/deg mole)

F = the Faraday constant (23052 cal/volt equiv)

T = temperature in degrees Kelvin

A = the activity of the ion in the solution being measured. The potential developed is proportional to the logarithm of the activity of the halide ion for which the particular electrode is sensitive.
A comprehensive discussion of the theory of anion electrode response is presented by Frant and Ross (1966), Rechnitz and Kresz (1966), Rechnitz <u>et al.</u> (1966), Elsenman (1967), Pungor (1967), and Rechnitz (1967).

Halide Ion Concentration Determinations

Halide ion concentrations can be determined directly by comparison of the EMF of an unknown solution to a calibration curve of EMF vs concentration prepared from a series of standard solutions of known concentrations.

Halide ion activity is related to concentration by the relation-

 $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}^{-}} = \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{x}^{-}} \cdot \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}^{-}}$ 

where  $A_{r-}$  = activity of the halide ion

 $\gamma_{x}$  = activity coefficient

 $C_{x-}$  = concentration of halide ion

and  $\gamma_{x^{-}}$  is related to the total ionic strength of the solution. The relationship of activity to ionic strength is shown in Fig. 1. As activity depends on ionic strength in dilute solutions, it is critical that the ionic strength of the unknown solution be equal to that of the standards to which it is being compared. Alternatively, if the ionic strength of the unknown solution is determined, then the appropriate correction factor  $\gamma$  need only be applied (i.e.  $A_{x^{-}} = \gamma_{x^{-}} \cdot C_{x^{-}}$ ).

The ionic strength of most natural fresh waters is sufficiently low (( $10^{-3}$ ) that activity corrections are not necessary. This has been assumed to be the case for the water leach solutions analyzed in



SINGLE ION ACTIVITY COEFFICIENTS OF CI<sup>-</sup> AND Br<sup>-</sup> (IN SODIUM HALIDE SOLUTIONS) AS A FUNCTION OF THE TOTAL IONIC STRENGTH.

Fig. 1

this study. Maximum error introduced by this assumption is  $\pm 3\%$  (see Fig. 1).

Shell material and carbonate rocks were analyzed for acid soluble halogen content by using concentration vs EMF curves. Ionic strength of the sample solutions was calculated on the basis of two g  $CaCO_3$  in 100 ml HNO<sub>3</sub> (0.24 N for shell material and 0.48 N for carbonate rocks). The ionic strength of the standards was adjusted by adding two g  $CaCO_3$ per 100 ml solution to equal the ionic strength of the sample solutions, thus enabling direct concentration determinations.

Electrode Selectivity, Sensitivity, and Interferences

The selectivities of the particular anion electrode over other anions are listed below. Selectivity ratios are used to quantitatively measure the electrode's preference for its common anion compared to other anions. For example, the bromide Specific Ion Electrode's

Interfering Ion	Br Electrode	F Electrode	Cl Electrode
Br			0.003
* OH	$3 \times 10^4$	10 <sup>-9</sup>	
Cl_	400		
I	$2 \times 10^{-4}$		$5 \times 10^{-7}$
s <sup>2-</sup>	must be absent		must be absent
CN	$8 \times 10^{-5}$		$2 \times 10^{-7}$
HCO3			3000
so <sub>4</sub> 2-			3000
** H <sup>‡</sup>		10-4	

Table of Selectivity Ratios

- Note Ratios are expressed as molar concentration of interfering ion / molar concentration of X (halide).
- \* OH reacts with the F electrode in a manner analagous to F (Fig. 2).
- \*\* H<sup>+</sup> reacts with F<sup>-</sup> to form the HF<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> complex in acidic solutions (Fig. 2).

selectivity ratio relative to chloride is 400/1, which means that the bromide electrode is 400 times more selective to Br than to Cl.

Electrode potentials are affected by changes in temperature, as illustrated by the factor 2.3 RT/F in the Nernst equation. The absolute potential of the electrode changes with temperature because of the change in solubility equilibria which are the basic mechanisms governing the operation of the electrodes.

т (°с)	*AgCl	*AgBr	F Electrode (2.3 RT/F)
0	$4.5 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.8 \times 10^{-7}$	54.20
25	$1.4 \times 10^{-5}$	$7.1 \times 10^{-7}$	59.16
50	$4.5 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.8 \times 10^{-6}$	64.11
75	$7.9 \times 10^{-5}$	$8.9 \times 10^{-6}$	-
100	$1.6 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.0 \times 10^{-5}$	74.04

Effect of Temperature on Electrode Potential

\* - Solubility in moles per liter.

Thus it is critical to maintain a constant temperature for standard and sample solutions.

Detectability for the F, Cl, and Br electrodes are 0.02 ppm,



Fig. 2

0.1 ppm, and 0.04 ppm respectively. The electrodes follow Nernstian behavior up to concentrations in excess of 10,000 ppm. However, since activity vs EMF is a logarithmic function, small changes in halide activity cannot be accurately detected at high activities. A precision of  $\pm 0.5$  mv can be expected with a high precision electrometer.

Halogens in the Acid Leach

The technique used to analyze acid soluble halogen contents in the shells and carbonate rocks was developed by modifying the methods of Nardozzi and Lewis (1961) and Shapiro (1960) for F analyses in rocks. The apparatus used is illustrated in Fig. 3.

Reagents used are:

0.24 N HNO<sub>3</sub> - dilute 100 ml of 70% reagent grade HNO<sub>3</sub> to a volume of 1000 ml.

Standard Stock Solutions - solutions of 1000 ppn X (X = F, Cl, and Br) are prepared.

Standards - Prepare a series of standards from the stock solutions by diluting with distilled, deionized water to cover the expected range of concentration. Dilute 25 ml of each standard to 50 ml by adding 25 ml of solution A.

Solution A - dissolve 20 g reagent grade  $CaCO_3$  in 500 ml 0.24 N HNO<sub>3</sub>. The procedure is as follows.

A 2.0 g portion of finely ground sample (-200 mesh) was placed in the vacuum flask and 25 ml of distilled, deionized water were added. Twenty-five ml of distilled, deionized water were placed in the graduated cylinder. Fifty ml of HNO3 from the burett were then released



SAMPLE + 25 ml WATER

# POLYETHYLENE APPARATUS FOR ACID DISSOLUTION OF CARBONATES

Fig. 3

into the flask. The acid strength was 0.24 N HNO<sub>3</sub> for shell material and 0.48 N HNO<sub>3</sub> for carbonate rocks (standards and reagents are adjusted accordingly). By having the system entirely closed, the released  $CO_2$ could be bubbled through the water in the graduated cylinder, allowing any halogen escaping with the  $CO_2$  to be collected in the water. An airstone was used to decrease bubble size. Elank runs indicated no contamination from the airstone. When the reaction ceased, the two solutions were mixed and the final solution filtered through 0.45  $\mu$ filter paper. Samples were stored in polyethylene bottles for subsequent analyses.

#### Halogens in the Water Leach

The water soluble halide fraction was determined by leaching a 0.5 g sample (-200 mech) in 25 ml distilled, deionized water for 12 hours. A wrist-action shaker was used to gently agitate the slurry to ensure thorough leaching. The solution was then filtered through 0.45/4 filter paper. The samples were stored in polyethylene bottles for subsequent analyses.

#### Phosphate Analyses

A modification of the method of Shapiro and Brannock (1962) was used for phosphate analyses of the acid soluble fraction in the carbonate rocks and calcareous marine shells. The  $P_{25}$  content was determined by measuring the light absorbed at 420 m  $\mu$  by a solution containing the yellow molybdivanado-phosphoric acid complex. A Bausch and Lomb Spectronic 20 was used to measure transmittance. Reagents

Molybdivanadate solution - Dissolve 1.2 g of sodium metavanadate in 400 ml of 1 + 1 (volume) HNO3. Dissolve 75.0 g of sodium molybdate in 400 ml of distilled, deionized water. Mix the two solutions and dilute to 2 liters.

Standard P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> solution - Weigh 1.9290 g of  $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$  (potassium metaphosphate) into a beaker. Add 40 ml 1 + 1 (volume) HNO<sub>3</sub> and 32 ml 1 + 1 (volume) H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Dilute to 1 liter. The concentration of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> is 0.10%. Standards may be made by appropriate dilutions of this standard stock solution. Use a solution of 40 ml 1 + 1 (volume) HNO<sub>3</sub> and 32 ml 1 + 1 (volume) H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> diluted to a volume of 1 liter with distilled, deionized water to prepare diluted standards. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution - Mix 136 ml 1 + 1 (volume) HNO<sub>3</sub> and 109 ml 1 + 1 (volume) H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and dilute to 2 liters.

#### Procedure

Approximately 2 g of carbonate rock sample (-200 mesh) were weighed out into a tared beaker. The weight was adjusted to 100 g by adding 0.48 N HNO<sub>3</sub> and the mixture was allowed to stand until the reaction ceased, generally 30 minutes, then filtered through  $0.45 \,\mu$  millipore filter paper. The filter paper was washed with dilute HNO<sub>3</sub> before filtering to minimize phosphate contamination from filter paper. Solutions were stored in polyethelene bottles for subsequent analyses.

Ten ml of each sample solution were transferred to a series of beakers. Fifteen ml of the  $H_2SO_4$  -  $HNO_3$  solution and 25 ml of molybdivanadate solution were added to each sample. Each sample was mixed and allowed to stand for 5 minutes. This was repeated for 2 or 3 aliquots of water which were used as blanks. The percent transmittance was determined at 420 m  $\mu$  for each sample and for a series of standards.

#### Calculations

Percent transmittance was converted to absorbance by the relationship:

absorbance = 2 - log (transmittance).

A graph of absorbance vs  $\% P_2O_5$  for the standards was constructed. The absorbance of the sample was compared directly to the working curve to obtain  $\% P_2O_5$  in the sample solution. The  $P_2O_5$  content of the rock was obtained by multiplying the  $P_2O_5$  content of the sample solution by the appropriate dilution factor.

#### Precision Estimates

Precision for Br by the acid treatment is 6.6%. Recovery tests indicate that Br recovery is 105%, which is well within the analytical error. Time tests indicated that 12 hours were sufficient to thoroughly water leach the samples. Precision estimates for the materials analyzed are given in Table 7.

A sample of phosphate rock previously analyzed at  $37.4\% P_2O_5$ (Kramer, personal communication) was analyzed to estimate the accuracy and precision of the method. A value of  $37.8\% P_2O_5$  was obtained, in good agreement with the previous independent analysis.

#### ADDENDUM

The possibility of Cl interference with the bromide electrode was checked by the following tests:

1) Standard chloride solutions containing up to 50 ppm Cl were analyzed with the bromide electrode and compared to a set of standard bromide solutions containing no detectable Cl. No measurable interference was detected.

2) A 50 ppm Cl solution was spiked with 0.40 ppm Br and analyzed for Br. The measured Br was 0.43 ppm, which is within the limits of analytical error. The Cl/Br ratio of this solution was 125/1.

No further checks were made as the Cl/Br ratios of 97% of the solutions analyzed were less than 100/1. The limit of 50 ppm Cl<sup>-</sup> was selected as the solutions analyzed were diluted to maintain Cl<sup>-</sup> below 50 ppm, generally in the range of 1 - 10 ppm.

### TABLE 7

Analytical Precision Estimates

Element	Method	x (ppn)	s <sub>x</sub>	N	c.v.(%)	Sample
FT	Electrode	15.7	0.43	4	0.3	22
F W	Electrode	3.8	0.17	4	4.5	22
Br <sub>T</sub>	Electrode	55.4	3.69	6	6.6	13
Br <sub>W</sub>	Electrode	15.5	2.85	3	18.0	22
Cl <sub>T</sub>	Electrode	114.0	11.00	4	9.7	13
Cl	Electrode	28.0	1.40	3	5.0	22
N.	Precisi	on for Carbo	onate Rock	Analy	ses	
Elemont	Method	x (ppm)	s <sub>x</sub>	N	c.v.(%)	Sample
F T	Electrode	56.8	4.73	6	8.3	9

2.3

3.5

120.4

2875

101.7

0.02

9.97

0.41

2.37

199.4

6

6

6

6

6

0.7

8.3

11.6

6.9

2.3

15

9

15

9

15

Precision for Shell Analyses

x arithmetic mean

F W

 ${}^{\mathrm{Br}}\mathrm{_{T}}$ 

 $Br_{_{U}}$ 

 $\operatorname{cl}_{\mathrm{T}}$ 

сı<sub>w</sub>

 $\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{x}}$  standard deviation

Electrode

Electrode

Electrode

Electrode

Electrode

N number of scaples

C.V. coefficient of variation (%) at one  $s_{\rm x}$  given by ( $s_{\rm x}/\bar{x})$  x 100

#### RESULTS AND INTERPRETATIONS

#### Introduction

The results of the analyses of water soluble and acid soluble F, Cl, and Br in all samples analyzed are shown in Appendix II. The data are arranged in groups according to geologic age. Minoralogy is denoted by Ar, C, D, and Ay for aragonite, calcite, dolomite, and anhydrite respectively.

Correlation coefficients and linear regression analyses were used to study the interrelations between the halogens. Simple linear regression was used to establish relationships between pairs of variables. Linear equations of "best fit" were calculated by the reduced major axis method proposed by Imbrie (1956). Scatter diagrams of the data verified the assumption of linearity.

Results of the regression analysis are presented in Tables 8 and 9. The number of samples (N), arithmetic mean  $(\bar{x})$ , median  $(\bar{x})$ , and standard deviation  $(S_{\bar{x}})$  of each group are given in Table 8. The pertinent correlation coefficients (r) and regression equations are listed in Table 9. The ratio of water soluble halide / acid soluble halide in the various groups are shown in Table 10.

The complex interactions between the variables were determined by means of R-mede factor analysis using a varimax rotation criterion (Miller and Kahn, 1962).

## TABLE 8

Basic Statistics

Variable	N	x	ĩ	sx	Variable	N	Ī	ĩ	s <sub>x</sub>
Recent F.	resh	Water S	hells		Recent M	arin	e Shells		
$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	21	4.6	4.6	2.4	$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	18	474	42.0	495
$\mathtt{Cl}_{\mathrm{T}}$		58.5	60.0	36.3	CLT		2062	820	2277
$\operatorname{Br}_{\widetilde{T}}$		22.9	21.0	9.4	$\operatorname{Br}_{\mathrm{T}}$		48.4	36.0	44.4
Cl/Br <sub>T</sub>		2.5	2.3	1.4	Cl/Br <sub>T</sub>		35.0	35.7	18.4
$\mathbf{F}_{W}$		2.9	2.6	1.8	$\mathbf{F}_{W}$	17	13.1	9.4	10.9
$\operatorname{Cl}_W$		44.9	42.0	21.5	CLW		1824	820	2150
Br <sub>W</sub>		5.9	6.0	2.2	Br <sub>W</sub>		26.4	19•5	21.8
$cl/Br_{W}$		10.0	6.8	17.4	Cl./Br <sub>W</sub>		49.6	42.1	25.2
Recent A	rago:	nites			Recent D	0 <b>1.0</b> m	ites .		
FT	2	1279		122	$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	2	675		100
$cl_{T}$		3681		2356	CL <sub>T</sub>		13750		1750
$\operatorname{Br}_{\mathrm{T}}$		69.3		26.3	$\operatorname{Br}_{\mathbf{T}}$		180		20.0
$Cl/Br_T$		47.0		16.2	Cl/Br <sub>T</sub>		76.3		1.3
$\mathbf{F}_{W}$		23.5		4.0	$\mathbf{F}_{W}$		11.0		2.0
Cl <sub>W</sub>		2875		1750	Cl		12625		875
$\operatorname{Br}_W$		29.1		17.1	$\operatorname{Br}_W$		100		7.5
Cl/Br <sub>W</sub>		96.9		3.2	Cl/Br <sub>W</sub>		126		0.8
Pleistoco	one l	Limeston	es		Miocene .	Arag	onites		
F <sub>T</sub>	3	321		107	$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	2	900		150
$\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathrm{T}}$		106		52.6	Clr		3519		1394
Br <sub>r</sub>		20.5		26.2	$\operatorname{Br}_{T}$		57.1		10.1

TABLE 8 (continued)

Variable	N	x	ĩ	s <sub>x</sub>	Variable	N	x	ĩ	s <sub>x</sub>
Pleistoc	ene :	Limestone	es (con	tinued)	Miocene .	Arag	onitos (	contir	ued)
Cl/Br <sub>T</sub>	3	26.5		18.3	$Cl/Br_T$	2	59.6		14.4
F <sub>W</sub>		11.6		3.0	FW		26.1		10.3
Cl <sub>W</sub>		84.6		34.3	CLW		3213		1288
$\operatorname{Br}_W$		4.2		2.1	$\operatorname{Br}_W$		37.5		10.0
$cl/Br_W$		21.4		6.1	CL/Br <sub>W</sub>		83.4		13.4
Miocene	Line	stones			Mi.ocene l	Dolo	mites		
$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	2	138		46.8	$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	2	426		239
cl <sub>T</sub>		4426		<u>5</u> 80	cl <sub>T</sub>		5002		3546
$\operatorname{Br}_{\mathrm{T}}$		54.2		6.6	${}^{\mathrm{Br}}\mathrm{T}$		55.3		38.1
$Cl/Br_{T}$		81.6		0.8	CL/ $\operatorname{Br}_{\mathrm{T}}$		88.2		3.5
F <sub>W</sub>		11.2		2.2	$\mathbf{F}_W$		9.7		2.4
ст <sup>М</sup>		3788		463	CL		4594		31.56
$\operatorname{Br}_W$		38.4		4.9	$\operatorname{Br}_W$		44.8		30.3
$cl/Br_W$		98.6		0.2	Cl/Br <sub>W</sub>		101		2.1
Cretaceo	us L	imestones	5		Cretaceo	us I	olomites		
$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	10	42.5	35.0	12.6	$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	2	392		10.2
Cl <sub>T</sub>		61.3	50.9	22.6	$\mathtt{cl}_{\mathrm{T}}$		2535		2348
${}^{\check{\mathrm{B}}\mathbf{r}}\mathbf{T}$		5.9	5•1	2.8	$\operatorname{Br}_{\Upsilon}$		117		96.5
$cl/Br_{ m T}$		13.5	9.5	10.7	$cl/Br_T$		15.9		6.8
$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{W}}$		2.7	2.6	1.1	F <sub>W</sub>		5.6		1.7
Cl		22.4	14.0	20.9	Cl		1946		<b>1</b> 854
$\operatorname{Br}_W$		0.8	0.6	0.6	$\operatorname{Br}_W$		18.7		18.4
$cl/Br_W$		36.7	20.0	24.8	$cl/Br_W$		205		102

TABLE 8 (continued)

Variable	Ν	x	Ĩ	s <sub>x</sub>	Variable	N	x	ĩ	s <sub>x</sub>
Triassic	Lin	iestones			Triassic	Do]	omites		
$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	9	173	170	71.7	$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	7	827	869	443
$\mathtt{Cl}_{\mathrm{T}}$		504	140	508	cl <sub>T</sub>		1733	1245	1546
${}^{\mathrm{Br}}{}_{\mathbf{T}}$		8.4	4.7	6.2	$\operatorname{Br}_{\mathbf{T}}$		24.9	16.2	18.2
$cl/Br_T$		51.7	50.0	18.7	$Cl/Br_{T}$		69.9	78.6	22.3
F W	8	4.4	2.5	2.9	$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{W}}$	6	10.7	5.8	5.9
Cl <sub>w</sub>		444	140	397	CI W		1317	825	1153
$\mathtt{Br}_{W}$		6.9	4.7	3.6	$\operatorname{Br}_W$		20.2	17.5	11.9
$Cl/Br_W$		53.1	45.0	23.2	$cl/Br_W$		60.5	45.7	28,4
U. Devoni	.an	Linesto	nes		Mississip	pia	n Dollomi	tes	
$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	6	54.5	46.0	29.3	$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	10	348	371	130
$cl_{T}$		<b>15</b> 35	1093	824	$cl_{T}$		330	357	112
${}^{\mathrm{Br}}\mathbf{T}$		49.5	21.3	39.8	$\operatorname{Br}_{\mathbf{T}}$		13.6	13.5	4.0
$Cl/Br_T$		37.4	32.7	11.0	$cl/Br_{T}$		24.9	21.7	8.1
$\mathbf{F}_{W}$		5.3	3.5	3.1	$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{W}}$		10.0	8.1	4.3
СЛ		1255	830	731	Cl		219	220	69.2
${}^{\mathrm{Br}}_{W}$		20.2	18.5	8.6	Br W		8.0	8.0	2.3
$Cl/Br_W$		59.0	59.3	9.9	Cl/Br W		30.Ò	28.2	11.7
M. Devoni	an 1	Linestor	20S		M. Devoni	an I	Dolomite	S	
$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	11	113	115	33.6	$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	3	263		167
$cl_{T}$		1795	1750	488	$cl_{T}$		385		219
$\operatorname{Br}_{\mathrm{T}}$		31.0	31.5	7.1	${}^{\mathrm{Br}}{}_{\mathrm{T}}$		15.5		3.9
$cl/Br_{T}$		57.6	58.7	5.6	$Cl/Br_T$		25.7		12.2
F <sub>W</sub>		12.9	10.0	6.7	г <sub>W</sub>		2.7		0.9

•

Variable	N	ž	x	s <sub>x</sub>	Variable	N	x	ŵ	s <sub>x</sub>
M. Devor	i.an	Limesto	nes (con	tinued)	M. Devoni	.an	Dolcmite	s (cont	inued)
CL	11	1791	1700	307	Cl.	3	215		120
Br		23.2	23.5	1.9	Brw		6.7		4.5
Cl/Br <sub>W</sub>		76.9	76.3	9.6	Cl/Br <sub>W</sub>		35.5		7.6
M. Ordov.	icia	n Limes	tones		L. Ordovi	.cia	an Dolomi	tes	
$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	8	39.6	28.6	32.8	$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	4	30.9		7.0
$cl_{T}$		23.0	18.2	13•1			107		31.4
Br		4.7	4.3	1.4	Br		7.8		2.0
Cl/Br <sub>T</sub>		4.7	4.5	1.1	Cl/Br <sub>T</sub>		13.7		1.5
F		8.0	4.9	6.2	F		6.3		2.7
CL		24.6	23.8	8.1	CL		66.3		14.8
Br		2.1	2.0	0.3	$\operatorname{Br}_W$		2.6		0.7
Cl/Br		11.9	10.8	4.2	Cl/Br		26.3		5.4
Cambrian	lin	lestones			L.U. Orde	ovi	cian Dolo	mites	
$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	3	56.9		4.1	$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	7	318	335	<b>1</b> 16
cl <sub>T</sub>		264		63.6	Cl <sub>T</sub>		2640	1521	2808
Br		23.9		6.5	${}^{\mathrm{Br}}{}_{\mathbf{T}}$		35.0	23.3	28.5
cl/Br <sub>T</sub>		11.1	-	0.6	cl/Br <sub>T</sub>		67.1	65.2	11.4
pre-Camb	oriar	n (Water	ton) Liu	iestones	U. Silur	ian	Dolomite	əs	
$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	8	36.8	28.1	15.5	F <sub>T</sub>	7	145	131	43.6
Cl		134	76.1	107	Cl <sub>T</sub>		2966	2770	791
Br <sub>r</sub>		6.0	4.5	2.5	$\operatorname{Br}_{\mathrm{T}}$		43.8	41.8	10.9
- Cl/Br <sub>T</sub>		21.3	20.1	10.5	Cl/Br <sub>T</sub>		70.4	70.8	4.9
F <sub>W</sub>		5.8	1.6	7.6					
Cl.W		33.0	34.0	7.6					

Variable	N	ž	ĩ	S	Variable	N	X	~x	S
pre-Cambr (cont	i.an i.nue	(Watert	on) Lime	x estones	ne yn mwer a llyffard y llyf blyfaryno fararae '	-47.0× 103.844	26-376,577994 2 62 Aadd bo an		<u>x</u>
Br <sub>W</sub>	8	2.1	1.9	0.7					
Cl/Br <sub>W</sub>		17•1	17.5	5.1					
pre-Cambr	ian	(Bruce)	Linesto	ones	M. Siluri	.an	Dolomite	es	
$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	3	62.9		6.5	Fr	8	143	151	42.4
cı <sub>r</sub>		33.8		3.8	CL		1480	1459	572
$\operatorname{Br}_{\mathbf{T}}$		7.3		0.6	$\operatorname{Br}_{\mathbf{T}}$		40.6	33•5	15.4
$Cl/Br_{T}$		4.6		0.4	Cl/Br <sub>T</sub>		42.8	42.0	20.0
F		2.1		0.3					
CI. W		13.3		0.9					
Br		1.9		0.6					
Cl/Br		6.7		1.8					
pre-Cambr	ian	(Watert	on) Dole	omites	L. Siluri	.en	Dolomite	es	
$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	5	61.8		14.5	$F_{T}$	9	<b>1</b> 68	170	47.4
Cl <sub>T</sub>		<b>16</b> 8		63.8			<b>53</b> 8	420	177
Br <sub>T</sub>		9.3		4.4	$\operatorname{Br}_{\mathbf{T}}$		20.5	18.5	6.4
Cl/Br <sub>T</sub>		20.3		8.5	Cl/Br <sub>T</sub>		26.5	26.7	4.3
FW		3.1		1.4	FW	2	5.9		1.0
Cl W		42.4		20.0	CL		487		137
$\operatorname{Br}_W$		2.7		1.2	$\operatorname{Br}_{W}$		20.8		11.8
Cl/Br <sub>W</sub>		15.4		2.3	Cl/Br <sub>W</sub>		29.1		9.9

TABLE 8 (continued)

475.8 35 -317 2.44

1.50

Variable	N	X	x	Sx	Variable	N	x	x	s <sub>x</sub>
pre-Canbr	rian	Marbles	1. 1977 ann prùsan an Antoire 1 1	an na gana ang karatik na ting karatik na kar	pre-Camb	rian	Dolomit	es - D	series
F <sub>T</sub>	6	28.5	25.5	18.6	$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	7	120	112	61.0
Cl		833	695	659			184	133	110
Br <sub>T</sub>		37•5	24•3	26.5	${}^{\mathrm{Br}}\mathbf{r}$		12.4	10.2	5.6
$Cl/Br_T$		21.0	21.4	5.6	$Cl/Br_{T}$		13.9	14.3	2.9
Aragonite	95				Limeston	les			
F	5	<b>9</b> 95	1050	282	F	64	90.4	60.4	82.0
T Cl_	2	6096	4912	5285	T Cl_		720	140	1035
T Br <sub>m</sub>		86.5	67.2	50.2	T Br <sub>o</sub>		17.9	8.2	21.0
CL/Br <sub>m</sub>		60.5	63.1	20.7	Cl/Br		31.0	25.0	24.0
F <sub>U</sub>		22.5	19.5	8.4	т F <sub>M</sub>	59	6.8	4.0	6.1
CL		4785	4500	3747	CL		647	84.0	951
Br <sub>W</sub>		49.6	46.2	35.2	$\operatorname{Br}_W$		9.6	3.0	10.8
Cl/Br <sub>W</sub>		92.5	96.8	11.6	$Cl/Br_{W}$		41.7	32.5	29.5
Limeston	os a	nd Arago	mites		Dolomite	s			
$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	69	156	66.0	259	$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	307	381	240	359
$Cl_{T}$		<b>1</b> 110	175	2227	Cl <sub>T</sub>	75	1589	494	2656
$\operatorname{Br}_{\mathrm{T}}$		22,8	10.6	30.2	${}^{\mathrm{Br}}{}_{\mathbf{T}}$		31.0	18.3	38.1
Cl/Br $_{ m T}$		33.2	30.8	25.0	Cl/Br <sub>T</sub>		40.5	30.5	26.0
$\mathbf{F}_{W}$	64	8.0	4.5	7.6	FW	46	8.7	7.2	5.3
Cl		971	105	1779	Clw		1492	260	2801
$\operatorname{Br}_W$		12.7	3.5	17.9	${}^{\mathrm{Br}}_{W}$		17.1	9.0	22.3
$Cl/Br_W$		45.7	35.0	31.6	Cl/Br <sub>W</sub>		60.0	37.8	54.6

Group	Variat	oles	r*	N	Linear Regression Equation**
Recent Fresh Water	Cl. <sub>T</sub>	Br <sub>T</sub>	0.63	21	$Br_{T} = 1.31 + 0.10 Cl_{T}$
SNELLS	$\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{T}}$	$\mathtt{Cl}_{\mathrm{T}}$	0.61	21	$CL_{T} = 7.75 + 0.26 F_{T}$
Recent Merine	$\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathrm{T}}$	$\operatorname{Br}_{\mathrm{T}}$	0.87	18	$Br_{T} = 8.19 + 0.02 CL_{T}$
DIELLS	CI_W	$\mathtt{Br}_{W}$	0.99	17	$Br_W = 7.91 + 0.01 Cl_W$
Cretaceous Limortopos	CL <sub>T</sub>	${}^{\operatorname{Br}}_{\operatorname{T}}$	0.78	10	$Br_{T} = -1.69 + 0.12 Cl_{T}$
Limestones	CLW	$\operatorname{Br}_W$	0.84	10	$Br_{W} = 0.16 + 0.03 CL_{W}$
Triassic	CL <sub>T</sub>	$\operatorname{Br}_{\mathrm{T}}$	0.97	9	$Br_{T} = 2.25 + 0.01 Cl_{T}$
DIRESCOTES	$\operatorname{Cl}_W$	$\operatorname{Br}_W$	0.98	8	$Br_{W} = 2.88 \div 0.01 Cl_{W}$
Triassic Dolomites	$\mathfrak{A}_{\mathrm{T}}$	$\mathbf{Br}_{\mathbf{T}}$	0.76	7	$Br_{T} = 4.50 + 0.01 Cl_{T}$
Mississippian Dolomites	$\mathfrak{cl}_{\mathrm{T}}$	$\operatorname{Br}_{\mathrm{T}}$	0.57	10	$Br_{T} = 1.78 + 0.04 CL_{T}$
U. Devonian	$\mathtt{Cl}_{\mathrm{T}}$	${}^{\mathrm{Br}}\mathrm{T}$	0.95	6	$Br_{T} = -24.64 + 0.05 Cl_{T}$
Linescones	CI.W	$\operatorname{Br}_W$	0.98	6	$Br_{W} = 5.44 + 0.01 CL_{W}$
M. Devonian	$cl_{T}$	$\mathtt{Br}_{\mathrm{T}}$	0.91	11	$Br_{T} = 4.86 + 0.01 Cl_{T}$
Drmescones	$\operatorname{Cl}_W$	$\operatorname{Br}_W$	0.74	11	$Br_{W} = 12.10 + 0.01 CL_{W}$
M. Ordovician	$\mathtt{Cl}_{\mathrm{T}}$	$\mathtt{Br}_{\widetilde{T}}$	0.98	8	$Br_{T} = 2.24 + 0.11 Cl_{T}$
	$\operatorname{cl}_W$	$\operatorname{Br}_W$	0.74	8	$Br_{W} = 1.19 + 0.04 CL_{W}$
U. Silurian Dolomite	Cl <sub>T</sub>	$\operatorname{Br}_{\mathrm{T}}$	0.83	7	$Br_{T} = 2.91 + 0.01 Cl_{T}$
L.U. Ordevician Dolomites	Cl.T	$\operatorname{Br}_{\mathbf{T}}$	0.99	7	$Br_{T} = 8.21 + 0.01 Cl_{T}$
pre-Cambrian	Cl <sub>T</sub>	$\operatorname{Br}_{\mathrm{T}}$	0.86	5	$Br_{T} = -2.25 \div 0.07 CL_{T}$

5  $Br_W = 0.16 \div 0.06 CL_W$ 

(Materton) Dolomites

 $\operatorname{Cl}_{W}$ 

Br<sub>W</sub>.

0.98

TABLE 9 Linear Regression Analyses

TABLE	91	(continued)	
-------	----	-------------	--

Group	Variab	les	$\mathbf{r}^{*}$	N	Linear Regression Equation**
pro-Cambrian (Materton)	FT	Cl <sub>T</sub>	0.60	8	$Cl_{T} = 7.99 + 3.43 F_{T}$
Limestones	Cl	${}^{\mathrm{Br}}\mathrm{T}$	0.72	8	$Br_{T} = 2.87 + 0.02 Cl_{T}$
pre-Cambrian (D - series) Dolomites	Cl <sub>T</sub>	Br <sub>T</sub>	0.98	7	$Br_{T} = 3.06 + 0.05 CL_{T}$
pre-Cambrian Marbles	Cl <sub>T</sub>	Br <sub>T</sub>	0.96	6	$Br_{T} = 4.01 + 0.04 CL_{T}$
Aragonites	Cl <sub>T</sub>	$\operatorname{Br}_{\mathrm{T}}$	0.99	5	$Br_{T} = 28.59 + 0.01 Cl_{T}$
	$cl^M$	${}^{\operatorname{Br}}{}_{\operatorname{W}}$	0.99	5	$Br_W = 4.65 \div 0.01 CL_W$
Limestones	$\mathtt{Cl}_{\mathrm{T}}$	$\operatorname{Br}_{T}$	0.77	64	$Br_{T} = 3.29 \div 0.02 Cl_{T}$
	Cl <sub>W</sub>	$\operatorname{Br}_W$	0.97	59	$Br_{W} = 8.27 + 0.01 CL_{W}$
Dolomites	Cl <sub>T</sub>	${}^{\mathrm{Br}}\mathrm{_{T}}$	0.86	75	$Br_{T} = 2.25 \div 0.01 CL_{T}$
	Cl	$\operatorname{Br}_W$	0.97	46	$Br_{W} = 5.22 + 0.01 CL_{W}$

\* - coefficient of correlation.

\*\* - calculated by the reduced major axis method (Imbrie, 1956).

Age	Material	F <sub>W</sub> /F <sub>T</sub> (%)	Cl <sub>w</sub> /Cl <sub>T</sub> (%)	Br /Br (%)
Recent	Fresh water	63.0	76.7	25.8
Recent	Snells Marine shells	2.1	88.5	54.5
Recent	Aragonite	1.1	72.1	42.0
Recent	Dolomite	1.6	91.8	55.6
Pliocene	Limestone	3.6	80.0	20.5
Miocene	Aragonite	2.9	91.3	65.7
Miocene	Limestone	8.1	85.6	70.8
Miocene	Dolomite	2.3	91.8	81.0
Cretaceous	Limestone	6.4	36.5	1.4
Cretaceous	Dolomite	1.4	76.8	16.0
Triassic	Limestone	2.5	88.1	82.1
Triassic	Dolomite	1.3	76.0	81.1
M <b>iss</b> issippian	Dolomite	3.2	66.3	59.0
U. Devonian	Limestone	9.7	81.8	40.8
M. Devonian	Limestone	11.4	<b>99.</b> 8	74.8
M. Devonian	Dolomite	1.0	55.9	43.2
L. Silurian	Dolomite	4.1	75.2	78.8
M. Ordovician	Limestone	2.0	100.0	44.6
L. Ordovician	Dolomite	20.4	61.7	33.3
pre-Cambrian	Limestone	15.8	25.0	35.0
(Waterton) pre-Cambrian	Dolomite	5.0	25.3	29.3
(Waterton) pre-Cambrian (Bruce)	Limestona	<b>3.</b> 3	39.3	26.0

Ratio of Water Soluble Halogen Content / Acid Soluble Halogen Content

#### Halogens in Carbonate Rocks

A total of 64 limestones and 75 dolomites from Recent to pre-Cambrian age were analyzed for acid soluble  $(0.48 \text{ N HNO}_3)$  Cl and Br. The water soluble F, Cl, and Br contents of 46 dolomites and 64 limestones were also determined. A total of 307 dolomites and 64 limestones were analyzed for total F. Data for the acid soluble F, Cl, and Br have been recalculated to 100% carbonate. The acid soluble halide content will be referred to throughout the thesis as total halide.

The F, Cl, and Br contents, both water soluble and acid soluble, were determined for six carbonates with aragonite contents ranging from 5% to 100%. The results are shown in Table 11. The samples included inorganically precipitated ooliths, aragonite muds of probable inorganic origin, and mixed organic-inorganically derived material. Samples were obtained from Recent carbonate sediments, Pleistocene carbonate rocks, and essentially unconsolidated Miocene sediments.

The average  $\text{Cl}_T$ ,  $\text{Cl}_W$ ,  $\text{Br}_T$ , and  $\text{Br}_W$  contents of the limestones and dolomites of all ages are:

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Cl <sub>T</sub> ppm	CL <sub>W</sub> ppm	${}^{\mathrm{Br}}_{\mathrm{T}}$ ppn	Bry ppn
Limestone	1109	971	22.8	12.7
Dolomite	1589	1482	31.0	17.1

Histograms of the  $\operatorname{Cl}_{T}$  and  $\operatorname{Cl}_{W}$  contents are shown in Fig. 4. The distributions of  $\operatorname{Cl}_{T}$  and  $\operatorname{Cl}_{W}$  in both the limestones and dolomites are lognormal, with the mean and median values being higher in the dolomites than in the limestones. The aragonite samples are included in the limestone histogram. The median value gives a better estimate of the most



ppm Cl<sub>T</sub>



Fig. 4

### TABLE 11

## Halogen Distributions During Diagenesis and Metamorphism

		Increasing Diagenesis		> Metamorphis	
•		Aragonite	Calcite	Dolomite	Marbles*
$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	x	995	90.4	381	28.5
	$s_{\rm X}$	282	82.0	359	18.6
	N	5	64	307	6
F <sub>W</sub>	ž	22.5	6.8	8.0	
	s <sub>x</sub>	8.4	6.1	7.6	
	N	5	59	46	
$cl_{T}$	ż	6096	720	1589	833
	s <sub>x</sub>	5284	1035	2656	659
	N	5	64	75	6
Cl	x	4785	647	970	
	s <sub>x</sub>	3747	951	1770	•
	N	5	59	46	
$\operatorname{Br}_{\mathrm{T}}$	x	86.5	17.9	31.0	37.5
	s <sub>x</sub>	50.2	21.1	38.1	26.5
	N	5	.64	75	6
$\operatorname{Br}_W$	x	49.6	9.6	12.7	
	s <sub>x</sub>	35.2	10.8	17.9	
	N	5	59	46	

\* - metamorphosed sedimentary carbonates.

probable value of  $\operatorname{Cl}_{T}$  and  $\operatorname{Cl}_{W}$  in limestones and dolomites. There is no significant difference in the  $\operatorname{Cl}_{T}$  (or  $\operatorname{Cl}_{W}$ ) content of the limestones and dolomites at the 10% confidence level. However, the difference is significant between aragonites and limestones, and between aragonites and dolomites.

There is considerable variation in the amount of  $Cl_T$  which is water soluble (25% - 100%). The pre-Cambrian carbonates contain less  $Cl_W$  than the younger carbonates (Table 10). The  $Cl_T$  contents of the pre-Cambrian carbonates are also correspondingly lower.

The  $Br_T$  and  $Br_W$  distributions in limestones and dolomites of all ages are shown in Fig. 5, the aragonites being included with the limestones. Dolomites, with a mean of 31.0 ppm  $Br_T$ , are enriched in  $Br_T$  relative to limestones which have an average  $Br_T$  content of 22.2 ppm. The difference is not significant at the 10% confidence level, but is significant at the 20% confidence level. Both the  $Br_T$  and  $Br_W$  contents of the aragonites are significantly higher than in the dolomites or limestones. There is considerable variation in the amount of  $Br_W$  in the various age groups (1.4% - 82.1%); however, the  $Br_W$  follows the same pattern as  $Cl_W$  and is less soluble in the pre-Cambrian carbonates (Table 10). Acid soluble Br contents are also lower in the pre-Cambrian carbonates.

The lower percentages of  $CL_T$  and  $Br_T$  which are water soluble may be due to post-lithification leaching. The low  $CL_T$  and  $Br_T$  contents in the pre-Cambrian carbonates could indicate that the pre-Cambrian sea had a lower salinity than modern ocean water as proposed by Kramer (1965).

The average  $F_{\phi}$  content of limestones (including aragonites) is







156 ppm compared to an average of 381 ppm for dolomites. The difference is significant at the 1% confidence level. The  $F_W$  content of limestones (including aragonites) is 8.0 ppm compared to an average of 8.7 ppm for dolomites, the difference not being significantly different. Histograms of the  $F_T$  and  $F_W$  distributions in limestones and dolomites are shown in Fig. 6.

The regression analysis indicates that there is a strong correlation between CL and Br in both the acid and water soluble phases, and that the water soluble contents are dependent upon the acid soluble contents. The F does not show a relationship to  $F_T$  in either the limestones or dolomites.

Relationships between Cl and Br for all samples are shown in Figs. 7 and 8. The linear relationship of  $Cl_W$  to  $Br_W$  is

 $\log Br_{W} = -0.85 + 0.70 \log Cl_{W}$ 

with a coefficient of correlation of 0.94 (N = 103). The relationship of  $Cl_{\rm p}$  to  ${\rm Br}_{\rm p}$  is

 $\log Br_{\rm T} = -0.50 + 0.65 \log Cl_{\rm T}$  with a coefficient of correlation of 0.88 (N = 150).

The results of an R-mode factor analysis of the limestones and delomites are shown in Tables 12 and 13 respectively. The geochemistries of Cl and Br are strongly correlated, while F reacts independently. The behavior of each element is essentially the same in both limestones and delomites.

Factor 1, in both cases, accounts for the major variance of  $Cl_W$ ,  $Cl_T$ ,  $Br_W$ , and  $Br_T$ . This factor is attributed to a combination of fluid inclusions and water soluble intergranular salts. The  $F_T$  distribution





ppm F<sub>T</sub>



RELATIONSHIP OF CIW TO Brw FOR ALL SAMPLES



RELATIONSHIP OF CIT TO Br FOR ALL SAMPLES

in the carbonates is controlled by a single factor independent of  $Cl_T$ and  $Br_T$ . A factor independent of  $F_T$  controls  $F_W$ . This suggests that the  $F_W$  is controlled by a simple mineral-water equilibrium reaction.

#### TABLE 12

R-Mode	Factor	Analysis	of	Limestones
--------	--------	----------	----	------------

	Rotated N	ormalized Fact	or Loadings	
Factor Variate	1	2	3	4
Br <sub>T</sub>	-0.73	0.07	0.09	-0.67
$\operatorname{Br}_W$	-0.92	0.32	0.17	-0.13
Cl <sub>T</sub>	-0.94	0.05	0.22	-0.25
Cl	-0.96	0.12	0.22	-0.13
$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	-0.16	0.98	0.15	-0.04
$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{W}}$	-0.24	0.16	0.96	-0.05
Percent of	variance explair	ed by factors		
	66.65	<b>16.5</b> 8	11.09	3.68
Cumulative	percent of varia	ince		
	66.65	83.23	94.32	93.00

The extremely high water soluble content of Cl in the limestones and dolomites indicates that intergranular salts and/or fluid inclusions are the major modes of occurrence of Cl. The water insoluble Cl is probably contained within the carbonate lattice. The  $Br_T$  is much less water soluble than  $Cl_T$ , being only 54% and 55% water soluble in the limestones and dolomites respectively (Table 10). The water insoluble Er is either contained within the carbonate lattice or in the form of water

insoluble bromo-organic compounds.

E. Roedder (personal communication) believes that, although fluid inclusions will contribute part of the  $Cl_W$  and  $Br_W$ , intergranular salts will be more important. Lamar and Shrode (1953) studied the nature of water soluble salts in limestones and dolomites and concluded that the soluble ions are contained largely in fluid inclusions. The relative importance of these two mechanisms cannot be resolved in the present study.

#### TABLE 13

	Rotated N	7		
		formalized Fact	or Loadings	
Factor	1	2	3	4
Br	-0.71	0.22	-0.24	0.62
cı. <sub>W</sub>	-0.87	0.24	-0.32	0.30
cı. <sub>T</sub>	<u>-0.91</u>	0.24	-0.24	0.25
Br	-0.97	0.17	-0.15	0.04
F T	-0.23	0.95	-0.18	0.10
FW	-0.25	0.19	-0.94	0.11
Percent of varia	ance explair	ned by factors		
	72.25	12.87	9.68	3.35
Cumulative perce	ent of varia	ance		
	72.25	85.12	94.80	98.15

R-Mode Factor Analysis of Dolomites

The occurrence of F as a water insoluble phase in the carbonates suggests the possibility of separate phases of  $CaF_2$ ,  $CO_2$ -F-apatite,

or solid solution of  $\operatorname{CaF}_2$  in the  $\operatorname{CaCO}_3$ . If the F is in the form of  $\operatorname{CO}_2$ -F-apatite, there should be a strong correlation of F to  $\operatorname{P}_2O_5$ , with a  $\operatorname{P}_2O_5/\operatorname{F}$  molar ratio of 10/1 (Correns, 1961). Analyses of the  $\operatorname{P}_2O_5$  content of 20 of the Zama dolomites indicated an average of 0.00529%  $\operatorname{P}_2O_5$  resulting in a  $\operatorname{P}_2O_5/\operatorname{F}$  weight ratio of 0.16/1. The  $\operatorname{P}_2O_5$  contents of 48 other Zama dolomites were on the same order of magnitude but were not determined precisely. Analysis of sample B-5.2, a Recent dolomite, yielded a value of 0.0775%  $\operatorname{P}_2O_5$  which agrees very well with the values of 0.06% - 0.08% reported by Friedman (1968) for Recent carbonates from the Trucial Coast. It is concluded that F does not occur primarily in the form of  $\operatorname{CO}_2$ -F-apatite in the carbonates analyzed.

X-ray analyses were unable to detect  $\operatorname{CaF}_2$  in the samples. If all the F were present as  $\operatorname{CaF}_2$ , less than 0.3 weight percent  $\operatorname{CaF}_2$  would be present in the carbonates; well below the detectability of the x-ray technique.

Indirect evidence on the form of the F may be obtained from a consideration of the  $F_W$ . There are several possible sources of the  $F_W$ , the following being the most important: 1) F exchange from clay mineral impurities, 2) fluid inclusions, and '3) F dissolved from a fluoride mineral such as CaF<sub>2</sub> or CO<sub>2</sub>-F-apatite.

A small amount of the water soluble halogens may be contributed by exchange reactions with clay minerals. The limited data available in the literature and the pH dependence of the amion exchange capacity of clay minerals makes any estimate of contamination from clay mineral exchange reactions tenuous. Weiss <u>et al.</u> (1956) determined the amion exchange capacity of haolinite and montmorillonite to be 1 - 12 meq

F / 100 g (approximately 200 - 2000 ppm) in concentrated F solutions (up to 1.5 molar). Bower and Hatcher (1967) studied the reactions of soils and minerals with diluto F solutions which more closely resemble the F concentrations of sea water. They report that two different kaolinites adsorbed 70 and 100 ppm F from solutions containing 2 ppm F. A bentonite adsorbed only traces of F from a 16 ppm F solution. Carpenter (1963) has shown that F exchange between sea water and clay minerals is negligible.

The average content of insoluble residue in all the samples analyzed for  $F_T$  is 6.4 weight percent. Samples analyzed for  $F_W$  averaged 7.3 weight percent insoluble residue. Scatter diagrams indicated that there is no correlation between either  $F_T$  or  $F_W$  and insoluble residue content.

Samples of API illite, montmorillonite, and kaclinite as well as a sample of mica from pegmatitic granite were treated by the methods outlined for the acid and water leach treatments of carbonates to obtain an estimate of the F contamination from clay minerals. The results are shown in Table 14.

Kaolinite and montmorillonite are not present in sufficient quantity in any of the carbonates analyzed to make an significant contribution to the  $F_T$  or  $F_W$ . Illite would not be expected to be a source of F contamination on the basis of the experimental results. The acid leach does not appear to have removed much F from the clays. The  $F_W$  is not the result of exchange from clay mineral impurities in the carbonates.

TΛ	BL	E	11	ŀ
	ومطوره الم	1.1.4	- <u>-</u> -	

Water and Acid Soluble F Contents of Phyllosilicates

Sample	F <sub>V</sub> (ppn)*	F <sub>T</sub> (ppm)**
Kaolinite	25.0	25.0
Illite	n.d.	n.d.
Montmorillonite	80.0	33.3
Mica	140.0	17.0

Note - 2 g of sample were treated seperately in 100 ml of water and in 100 ml 0.48 N HNO3.

\* A 12 hour water leach.

\*\* A 30 minute acid leach.

Description of Samples:

- 1. Kaolinite #5 Lamar pit, Bath, South Carolina.
- 2. Montmorillonite #23 (bentonite) Chambers, Arizona.
- 3. Illite #36 Morris, Illinois.

4. Mica Preisseue-Lacorne Batholith, Abitibi Co., Quebec.

If the  $F_W$  were contributed by fluid inclusions, there should be a great deal of scatter in the data. The relatively small amount of scatter in the data suggests that fluid inclusions are not an important source of  $F_W$ .

The other possible source of  $F_W$  is from the solution of fluorine bearing minerals, of which  $CaF_2$  and  $CO_2$ -F-apatite are the most probable. The solubility of  $CaF_2$  and  $CO_2$ -F-apatite are given by the following reactions:
$CaF_{2} \xrightarrow{} Ca^{2+} + 2F^{-}$ (1)  $K_{sp} = 4.0 \times 10^{-11} \text{ (Butler, 1964)}$   $Ca_{9.9}(PO_{4})_{5.65}(CO_{3})_{0.35}F_{2.16} \xrightarrow{} 9.9Ca^{2+} + 5.65PO_{4}^{3-} + 0.35CO_{3}^{2-} + 2.16F^{-} (2)$   $K_{sp} = 1.0 \times 10^{-107} \text{ (Kramer, 1965).}$ 

The  $F_W$  contents of the carbonate phases analyzed and the equilibrium  $F_W$  contents predicted by the above reactions are shown in Table 15. It can be seen that the water leach is saturated in F with respect to  $CO_2$ -F-apatite in the limestones, dolomites, and aragonites. The water leach of aragonites is saturated in F with respect to  $CaF_2$ ; however, the limestones and dolomites have  $F_W$  contents predicted by equilibrium reaction (1). A simple mineral equilibrium between water and  $CaF_2$  is suggested as the factor controlling the  $F_W$  content in the carbonates.

TABLE 15

# Calculated Solubilities of Fluoride Minerals Compared to the Observed ${\rm F}_{\rm W}$ of Carbonates

		m/1 F
$CaF_2 \xrightarrow{2+} Ca^2 + 2F$		
$K_{\rm sp} = 4.0 \times 10^{-11}$		
predicted F =		$4.3 \times 10^{-4}$
$Ca_{9.9}(PO_{4})_{5.65}(CO_{3})_{0.35}F_{2.16}$		
$9.9ca^{2+} + 5.65PO_4 + 0.35CO_3 + 2.16F$		
$K_{\rm sp} = 1.0 \times 10^{-107}$		· .
predicted F =		$0.19 \times 10^{-l_{\rm P}}$
Limostones - observed F <sub>W</sub>	1)	$3.6 \times 10^{-2}$
	2)	$2.1 \times 10^{-4}$
Dolomites - observed $F_W$	1)	$4.6 \times 10^{-4}$
	2)	$2.4 \times 10^{-4}$
Aragonites - observed $F_W$	1)	11.8 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>
	2).	$10.2 \times 10^{-4}$

1) - calculation based on mean  ${\rm F}_{\rm W}^{}.$ 

2) - calculation based on median  $F_{W}$ .

## DISCUSSION

## Incorporation of the Halogens in Carbonates

The incorporation of F, Cl, and Br into carbonates can take place by inorganic mechanisms or indirectly through biogenic contributions.

Inorganic Incorporation

The incorporation of CL and Br in the carbonates is predominantly by fluid inclusions and intergranular salts derived from pore waters. The difference  $X_{\rm T} - X_{\rm W}$  (where X = CL or Br), is interpreted as an estimate of the CL and Br contained in the carbonate lattice. However, it must be remembered that fluid inclusions in the -300 mesh fraction are possible. The amount of CL in the lattice amounts to about 70 -100 ppm in the limestones and dolomites and about 1200 ppm in the aragonites. Approximately 8 - 15 ppm.Br would occur in the lattice of limestones and dolomites compared to 30 - 40 ppm Br in the lattice of aragonite. A co-precipitation mechanism of CaCL<sub>2</sub> and CaBr<sub>2</sub> is probably responsible for high CL and Br incorporation in the aragonite lattice with partial loss upon subsequent alteration to calcite and/or dolomite.

There is an anomalously high  $F_T$  content in the aragonites (corals and sediments) compared to the limestones and dolomites. The high  $F_T$  content of the aragonitic corals may be attributed to co-precipitation of CaF<sub>2</sub> intracellularly, but an inorganic mechanism must be responsible for the high  $F_T$  in the coliths and inorganic aragonite muds.

Ooliths are believed to form inorganically (Illing, 1954: Ginsberg et al., 1963).

In inorganic precipitation, neither orthorhombic aragonite nor rhombohedral calcite should show a preference for incorporation of body centered cubic fluorite as fluorite is not isomorphous with either of these two minerals. If the solid phase were pure  $CaF_2$ , the activity of  $CaF_2$  would be equal to 1. If it were a solid solution of  $CaF_2$  and  $CaCO_3$ , the activity of  $CaF_2$  would be equal to its mole fraction  $(X_{CaF_2})$  times its activity coefficient  $(\gamma_{CaF_2})$ . This is illustrated for aragonite as follows:

 $A_{CaF_2} = X_{CaF_2} \times \sqrt[4]{CaF_2}$ (1) where  $A_{CaF_2}$  = the activity of CaF<sub>2</sub>  $X_{CaF_2}$  = the mole fraction of CaF<sub>2</sub> in the CaCO<sub>3</sub>  $\gamma_{CaF_2}$  = the activity coefficient of CaF<sub>2</sub>.  $A_{CaF_2}$  = ion product of  $(Ca^{2+})(F^{-})^2$  in sea water/ Ksp  $= \frac{8.8 \times 10^{-12}}{4.0 \times 10^{-11}} = 2.2 \times 10^{-1}$ 

X<sub>CaF2</sub> = 6.0 x 10<sup>-4</sup> for 300 ppm F and 3.0 x 10<sup>-3</sup> for 1600 ppm F. Activities of 75 and 370 for F concentrations of 1600 ppm F and 300 ppm F respectively calculated from (1) above, are unrealistic (Garrels and Christ, 1965). The F does not likely occur as CaF<sub>2</sub> in solid solution in the CaCO<sub>3</sub>.

It is proposed that  $CaF_2$  co-precipitates as a seperate phase when the aragonite precipitates during the formation of coliths. Coliths are typical of beach sands and form in areas subjected to strong tidal

currents. The warm waters in the intertidal zone are supersaturated with respect to  $CaCO_3$  which facilitates the precipitation of aragonite on moving particles. It is in this zone that wide variations in salinity occur and concentration of sea water would favor the co-precipitation of  $CaF_2$  with aragonite.

Carbonate - F mineral-water equilibrium reactions carried out over a period of one year have shown that aragonite (-300 mesh) from ground skeletal material removed F<sup>-</sup> (an increase of 20 - 100 ppm F in the aragonite) from solutions undersaturated with respect to  $CaF_2(2 ppm F^-)$ . Reagent grade calcite (precipitated) in contact with F<sup>-</sup> solutions of the same concentration used for the aragonite had no effect on the F<sup>-</sup> content of the solution. In both cases  $CaF_2$  should not have precipitated, suggesting that an adsorption reaction between the aragonite and F<sup>-</sup> must have taken place. The mechanism involved is not known; however, the results indicate that F<sup>-</sup> adsorption is possible. More experimental work on the uptake of F by carbonates is needed.

## Organic Incorporation

One of the factors controlling the distribution of the elements in carbonate rocks is the goodhemical activity of shelled organisms, in particular their selective capacity to accumulate specific elements. The role of various shelled organisms in the formation of sedimentary rocks of biogenic origin is well established. Species and environmental variations in skeletal composition are well known for the cation trace elements (Glagoleva, 1961; Pilkey and Harriss, 1966); however, no such studies have been reported for the halides.

The geochemistry of F, Cl, and Br in several species of Recent marine and fresh water shelled organisms was investigated to determine: 1) the environmental effects on the concentration of F, Cl, and Br, 2) the mode of occurrence of the halides in the shells, 3) the role of shelled organisms in fractionating the halogens, particularly with respect to carbonate rocks, and 4) the role of shelled organisms in incorporating halides in carbonates.

Twenty-one fresh water lamellibranchs collected from the Finger Lakes, New York included five species; <u>Nitocris carinata</u>, <u>Andonta</u> <u>cataraeta</u>, <u>Elliptio complanatus</u>, <u>Goniobasis liviscens</u>, and <u>Lampsilis</u> <u>radiata</u>. All the shells were composed of aragonite.

Seventeen Recent calcareous marine organisms analyzed included 6 species of corals, 5 species of pelecypods, 2 species of gastropods, and 1 species of algae.

Complete details of all samples, including mineralogy, are given in Appendix I.

Shells of Fresh Water Organisms

Results of the analyses of the fresh water shells are presented in Appendix II. All three halogens are enriched in the shells relative to their concentrations in fresh water(0.26 ppm F, 8.3 ppm Cl, and 0.006 ppm Br; Livingstone, 1963b). The water leach analyses indicate that 97.6% of the  $Cl_T$ , 63.0% of the  $F_T$ , and 25.8% of the  $Br_T$  are in a water soluble form. The average  $Cl/Br_T$  ratio of the shells is 2.5/1 compared to a value of 1200/1 for average fresh water. The  $Cl/Br_W$  ratio of the shells is 10/1, reflecting the higher solubility of Cl over Br. A complete variable correlation matrix is shown in Table 16.

* <u></u>	*	Correlation	Matrix -	Fresh Wat	er Shells	
FT	1.00	99				
$\mathtt{Cl}_{\mathrm{T}}$	0.69	1.00				
${}^{\mathrm{Br}}_{\mathrm{T}}$	0.42	0.75	1.00			
F W	0.78	0.75	0.41	1.00		
CL	0.65	0.86	0.76	0.66	1.00	
Br. <sub>W</sub>	-0.39	-0.14	0.07	0.53	-0.13	1.00

TABLE 16

\*  $\log_{10}$  transformation on raw data. Sample size is 21. Several points are significant: 1) Cl<sub>T</sub> and Br<sub>T</sub> are highly correlated; however, they show no strong relationship to F<sub>T</sub>, 2) of the water soluble extracts, only Cl<sub>W</sub> and F<sub>W</sub> are related to their corresponding total element contents.

Results of an R-mode factor analysis are shown in Table 17. The first four factors account for 95.5% of the total variance. The most significant points are the general coherence of CL and Br and the independence of F.

Both Cl and Br are essential for the metabolic functions of the organisms and their concentrations in the shells must be largely dependent on an organic uptake. The high concentrations of Cl and Br in the shells relative to fresh water demonstrates that organic functions are important in the incorporation of the halogens in the skeletal material.

Cl and Br appear to occur in different forms in the shells, Cl.

TABLE	17
-------	----

	intated 1	Iormalized Fact	tor Loadings	
Factor	1	2	3 .	4
	-0.66	0.04	-0.33	-0.67
Cl. <sub>W</sub>	-0.76	0.05	-0.30	-0.58
Br	<b>-0.</b> 98	-0.05	-0.16	-0.14
F.W	-0.24	0.42	-0.47	-0.73
$\operatorname{Br}_{W}$	-0.06	-0.98	0.16	0.12
F <sub>T</sub>	-0.29	0.23	-0.87	-0.33
Percent of va	riance explain	ned by factors		
	63.38	21.63	6.50	4.01
Cumulative pe	rcent of varia	ance		
	63.38	85.01	91.50	95 <b>.</b> 51

Reado Factor Analysis of Fresh Water Shells

being more soluble than Br. The Br may be in the form of water insoluble organic sompounds. Chlorine is probably contained in small fluid inclusions or as soluble organic compounds such as chlorophenols. The concentration of Cl in body fluids of shelled organisms is high (Vinogradov, 1953) and inclusions of such fluids in the shell could account for the Cl.

The acid soluble F is not as concentrated in the shells relative to its average content in fresh water as are  $Cl_T$  and  $Br_T$ . The high water solubility of F cannot be explained as a simple mineral solubility equilibrium and cannot be explained on the basis of the data obtained in this study.

# Shells of Marine Organisms

Results of the analyses of the marine organisms are given in Appendix II. In contrast to the fresh water shells, the halogens are not enriched in the shells of the marine organisms relative to sea water. The ratios of  $CL/Br_T$  and  $CL/Br_W$  are 35/1 and 49.6/1 respectively compared to the ratio of 300/1 in sea water. The percentages of  $CL_T$ ,  $Br_T$ , and  $F_T$  which are water soluble are 88.5%, 54.5%, and 2.1% respectively. Chlorine is less water soluble than in the fresh water shells, whereas, Br is approximately 2 times more water soluble in the marine shells than in the fresh water shells. The F in the marine shells is very insolube in water compared to the fresh water shells.

Acid soluble halogen concentrations are higher in the marine shelled organisms than in the fresh water shells, reflecting environmental influences. The absolute Br contents are not very different considering the large salinity gradient between fresh water and sea water, indicating the metabolic control of shelled organisms in incorporating Br in the skeletal material. The higher percentage of water soluble Br in the marine shells can be attributed to the higher Br concentration of 65 ppm in sea water. A large portion of the  $Cl_T$  is present in an insoluble form, possibly incorporated in the carbonate lattice as a result of higher Cl contents in the body fluids of the marine organisms.

A correlation analysis indicates a strong coherence between CL and Br and only a weak coherence of Br and Cl to F (Table 18). The water soluble extracts of all three halogens are strongly correlated to their respective total element contents, which is in sharp contrast to the fresh water shells.

C		* Correlat	ion Matrix	: - Marine	Shells	₭₽ <sup></sup> ᠄᠉ᢣᢦ <i>ᡣ᠆ᡛᡆᢓᡳᡧᢗᢓᠽ᠆ᡣᢑᡩᡛᡨ</i> ᠅᠈ᠱ᠂
FT	1.00					
Cl_T	0.65	1.00				
$\operatorname{Br}_{\mathrm{T}}$	0.57	0.95	1.00	• . •		
F	0.90	0.39	0.34	1.00		
Cl <sub>W</sub>	0.62	0.97	0.96	0.33	1.00	-
Br <sub>W</sub>	0.55	0.95	0.95	0.24	0.97	1.00

TABLE 18

\* Log, transformation on raw data. Sample size is 17.

An R-mode factor analysis indicates that 2 factors account for 97.4% of the total variance (Table 19). Factor 1 is a Cl and Br factor and is attributed to the high salinity of sea water. In contrast to the fresh water shells, only one factor controls the Cl and Br distributions. Factor 2 is essentially a F factor accounting for most of the variance of  $F_T$  and  $F_W$ . It is significant that both  $F_T$  and  $F_W$  are controlled by the same factor, which is not the case for the fresh water shells.

Factor 2 is believed to indicate that the F in the marine shells occurs as an insoluble fluoride mineral. The recent discovery of  $CaF_2$ in statholiths of Recent marine mysid crustaceans and tectibranch gastropods substantiates the validity of the occurrence of  $CaF_2$  in the skeletal portions of marine organisms (Lowenstam and McConnell, 1968).

Six corals analyzed contained an average of  $0.0067 \ P_2 O_5$  and 3

## TABLE 19

	Rotated Normalized	Factor Loadings
Factor	anna an Audio Calendaria (anno an Calendaria) a chuir ann an Audio Calendaria (anno 1999) ann an Audio Calendar	anna a shine anna a shine a shine a shine a shine a shine a shine a she a she a she a
Variate	1	2
Cl <sub>y</sub>	-0.94	0.30
Br <sub>T</sub>	-0.96	0.23
Cl <sub>W</sub>	-0.97	0.24
$\operatorname{Br}_W$	-0.98	0.15
FW	-0.11	0.99
$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$	-0.41	0,90
Percent of var	iance explained by fact	or
	75.93	21.45
Cunulative per	cent of variance	
	75.93	97.38

R-Mode Factor Analysis of Marine Shells

pelecypods contained an average of  $0.008\% P_2 O_5$ . If the  $F_T$  were present in the form of  $CO_2$ -F-apatite, the  $P_2 O_5/F$  molar ratio should be 10/1. The ratios observed for the corals and pelecypods are 0.08/1 and 4.3/1respectively. The  $P_2 O_5$  contents of the corals and pelecypods analyzed in this study are within the range of 0.004% to  $0.02\% P_2 O_5$  reported in lamellibranchs by Glagoleva (1961).  $CO_2$ -F-apatite is not the predominant form of F in the marine shells investigated.

Since early Cambrian time, shelled organisms have become increasingly more important contributors to carbonate deposition. These biogenic skeletal contributions can have a definite influence on the relative abundance of the halogens in carbonate rocks. The effect will be relatively insignificant for Cl; however, Br and F distributions could be altered significantly if the biogenic fraction is large. The Cl/Br ratios in carbonates can be altered by the influence of skeletal material.

The environment in which the organism lives will have a strong influence on the uptake of halogens by the organism, and will be reflected in the carbonate rock. Of the various taxonomic groups analyzed, corals and algae concentrate F to a greater extent than the other organisms. Bromine is concentrated to about the same extent by all taxonomic groups, apparently not affected by environment as much as Cl and F.

## Diagenesis

Presumably all marine carbonates were originally aragonites and/or calcites which have undergone recrystallization and diagenesis to the new phases, calcite and/or dolomite. The distribution of the halogens will be affected to varying degrees as diagenesis proceeds. This redistribution will be critical to an understanding of halogen abundances in carbonate rocks.

Five samples of Recent to Miocene carbonates containing variable amounts of calcite and aragonite were analyzed and compared to limestones and dolomites. Six samples of pre-Cambrian marbles derived from metamorphism of sedimentary carbonates were included for comparison. The results are shown in Table 11.

A detailed discussion of the distribution of  $F_{\rm qr}$  in dolomites

will be presented in a later section. The  $\operatorname{Cl}_{T}$  and  $\operatorname{Br}_{T}$  contents are the same in the marbles, limestones, and dolomites, indicating that Cl and Br are not lost during motamorphism of sedimentary carbonates. The marbles are extremely depleted in F, the F either being expelled during diagenesis or initially low in the original carbonate.

The CL and Br contents of the aragonitic samples show no relationship to the abundance of aragonite, although the absolute abundances are higher in aragonites than in limostones or dolomites. During recrystallization of aragonite, a large portion of the CL and Br are removed. The general pattern of distribution of CL and Br during diagenesis is a reduction in the abundance of both water and acid soluble phases during conversion of aragonite to calcite. No further effects were observed. The CL/Br ratio is not significantly altered by diagenesis.

content, as shown in Fig. 9, and is expressed by the equation:

F (ppm) = 523.16 + 9.93 Ar (%). The coefficient of correlation is 0.88 (N = 6). Carpenter (1968) analyzed two Recent coliths (100% aregonite) from Miami and Key West, Florida and found F contents of 1507 and 1640 ppm, in agreement with the value of 1500 ppm F found in pure aragonite (sample R-1) in this study.

Meteoric waters are responsible for altering aragonites to calcite (Ladd <u>et al.</u>, 1967). If the F is present in the aragonites as  $CaF_2$ , the acidic meteoric waters would tend to dissolve the  $CaF_2$ . The reaction is:  $CaF_2 \longrightarrow Ca^{2+} + 2F^{-}$ . An increase in H<sup>+</sup> results in the formation of HF and HF<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> complexes by the reactions:



% ARAGONITE vs ppm F

ppm F

 $H^{+} + F^{-} \xrightarrow{} HF$  $H^{+} + 2F^{-} \xrightarrow{} HF_{2}^{-}.$ 

Complexing of the F results in greater solubility of the  $CaF_2$  with a resulting decrease in the F content of the material as the aragonite alters. No mineralogical control is necessary to explain the F content of aragonite. The same process which alters the aragonite also favors the solution of  $CaF_2$ .

The effects of diagenesis of skeletal material was investigated by analyzing two species of Recent marine pelecypods and their Pleistocene analogs. The results are shown in Table 20.

The Pleistocene sample of <u>Spondylus</u> sp. has been completely altered to calcite from aragonite, while <u>Cittarium pica</u> has only been partially altered. There is an erratic but general trend to increasing  $F_T$  in both species and considerable loss of water soluble Cl and Br with increasing diagenesis. The Br increases slightly in <u>Spondylus</u> sp. T and decreases slightly in <u>Cittarium pica</u> during diagenesis.

The most significant result of diagenesis of skeletal material is the loss of  $Cl_W$ ,  $Cl_T$ , and  $Br_W$ . The  $Cl_W$  is more readily removed than  $Br_W$ . Loss of  $Cl_W$  results in low  $Cl/Br_W$  ratios.

It is possible to lower the Cl/Br ratios in sedimentary carbonates by two fractionations: 1) an initial fractionation by the shelled organism, and 2) fractionation during diagenesis of the skeletal material by preferential loss of Cl. These effects will be important in carbonates only if the biogenic fraction is relatively large. Diagenesis of the non-biogenic fraction has no apparent effect on the Cl/Br ratio.

# TABLE 20

# Halogen Contents of some Recent Marine Pelecypods and their Fleistocene Fossil Analogs

and an	Re	cent*	Pleis	tocene	Re	cent*	Red	cent**	Pleis	tocene
	Spondylus sp. T-1		Spondylus sp. U-94		Cittarium pica Pr-2		Cittarium pica U-133		. Cittarium pica U-48	
	Т	W	T	W	T	W	T	W	Т	W
E.	34.0	9.2	48.0	6.0	21.5	4.8	125	11.0	95.0	19.0
Cl.	250	250	50.0	57•5	850	650	2500	2250	575	450
Br	24.5	2.0	44.0	1.4	25.5	10.8	39.0	30.0	20.0	7.3
Cl/Br	10.2	125	1.1	41.1	33•3	60.5	64.1	75.0	28.8	62.1
Mineralogy	Arag	onite	Cal	cite	Arag	onite	Ara	gonite	Aragonite	>>> Calcite

\* - collected living

\*\* - > 200 years old

74,

## Variations in the Cl/Br Ratios of Carbonates During Geologic Time

The average CL/Br ratio of each age group vs geologic time are shown in Figs. 10 and 11. Both water soluble and acid soluble ratios are shown. For both limestones and dolomites, the  $CL/Br_T$  and  $CL/Br_W$ ratios show a definite trend to increasing values in younger carbonates. The CL/Br ratio remains relatively constant at about 15/1 throughout the pre-Cambrian, then increases to approximately 90/1 in the Recent carbonates.

Two fractionations of Cl and Br have occurred in the carbonate rocks: 1) a fractionation across the mineral-water interface, and 2) a fractionation throughout geologic time.

## Fractionation Across the Mineral-Water Interface

The most likely factors contributing to the fractionation of Cl and Br across the mineral-water interface are contributions of organic matter and skeletal material to the sediment. If organic mechanisms are responsible for the fractionation, the relationship of the Cl/Br ratio of sea water to carbonate rocks may well have changed throughout geologic time because of the increased activity of organisms. It has been previously shown that skeletal material in carbonate rocks can lower the Cl/Br ratio significantly if the biogenic contribution is large. The effects of organic matter are more difficult to evaluate; however, as pointed out in the introduction, these effects are probably small.

If the role of organisms is the major factor in the fractionation of CL and Br across the sediment-water interface, the amount of  $Br_W$ should be higher in the pre-Cambrian carbonates. The data in Table 10

indicates that this is not the case. It is possible that  $Cl_W$  may have been removed from the pre-Cambrian carbonates by post-lithification leaching with ground water. If secondary leaching were important, a great deal of scatter would be expected in the data. This is not observed in the data.

The problem of Cl and Br fractionation across the mineral-water interface cannot be satisfactorily explained by the data obtained in this study; however, the above evidence suggests that the relationship of the Cl/Br ratio of sea water to the Cl/Br ratio of carbonates has remained constant. This is assumed throughout the remaining discussion.

Fractionation of Cl and Br Throughout Geologic Time

The Cl/Br ratio vs time curves shown in Figs. 10 and 11 have an exponential form. Flots of the  $\log_{10}$  Cl/Br ratio vs time are linear, verifying the exponential form of the curve (Fig. 12).

The variations in the Cl/Br ratios of carbonates throughout geologic time are interpreted as reflecting changes in the Cl/Br ratio of sea water over the past 2 x  $10^9$  years. The relationship of the Cl/Br ratio of sea water to the Cl/Br ratio of carbonate rocks is given by:

$$R_{ot} = R_{rt}/K$$

where  $R_{ot}$  = the (1/Br ratio of the ocean at time t (calculated).

R<sub>rt</sub> = the (1/Br ratio of the carbonate rock at time t. This value

is the analytical value of the Cl/Br ratio of the rock.

K =the distribution coefficient = 0.27.

The constant K is analogous to the distribution coefficient











 $K = \frac{r_c}{r_1} = \frac{Cl/Br_c}{Cl/Br_1}$  (solid phase) (liquid phase)

For the Recent carbonates K = (80/1)/(292/1) = 0.27. For simplicity, it is assumed that the value of K is constant throughout geologic time. The validity of this assumption may be seen in Fig. 13 which shows the relationship of the theoretical changes in the Cl/Br ratio of sea water to the changes in the Cl/Br ratio in the carbonate rocks. The slopes of the Cl/Br ratios in the carbonates lie within the limits of the slope of the oceanic model, indicating no significant change in the value of K.

The only major deviation from the general trend of the Cl/Br ratio vs time curve occurs in the Lower Cretaceous; however, the samples analyzed for this age group consist of biogenic reef material and would be expected to have low Cl/Br ratios. Other samples of the Lower Cretaceous should be investigated before any definite conclusions are made regarding the Cl/Br ratio of the Cretaceous seas.

The fractionation of Cl and Br may occur in several phases in the sedimentary cycle (Fig. 14). The following chemical mass balance is an attempt to account for the masses of Cl and Br in the lithospherehydrosphere system and to explain the processes responsible for the fractionation of Cl and Br in the oceans from a value of 60/1 in the pre-Cambrian to the present value of 292/1.

Weathering of igneous rocks has contributed  $5 \ge 10^{20}$  g Cl and 80  $\ge 10^{17}$  g Br to the lithosphere-hydrosphere system (Rubey, 1951). Table 21 shows that there is a total of 293  $\ge 10^{20}$  g Cl and 170  $\ge 10^{18}$ Br in the lithosphere-hydrosphere system, most of which must have been derived from sources other than weathering of igneous rocks. Table 22

80

g



RELATIONSHIP OF OBSERVED CI/Br RATIO IN CARBONATES TO PROPOSED OCEAN CI/Br RATIOS

GEOCHEMICAL CYCLE OF THE HALOGENS



Fig. 14

TABLE	21
-------	----

•	Cl x 10 <sup>20</sup> g	$Br \ge 10^{18} g$	Reference Cl/Br
Lithosphere	27 *	75	Vinogradov (1959) 36/1
Atmosphere	n.s.	n.s.	Poldervaart (1955)
Oceans	270	90	Correns (1961) 292/1
Fresh water	0.002	n.s.	Livingstone (1963b)
Subsurface water		n <sub>o</sub> s.	White <u>et al</u> . (1963)
Biosphere	n.s.	n.s.	Kalle (1943)
SUBTOTÁL	290	170	
Crustal recycling	100	260	
TOTAL	400	430	93/1

Geochemical Mass Balance for Cl and Br

\* - calculations assumed a value of 1000 ppm Cl in shales (Billings and Williams, 1967).

n.s. - not significant.

gives the Br in the various phases considered in the mass balance.

Assuming that the Cl and Br accumulated from degassing, and in the proportion of 60/1 as discussed earlier,  $490 \times 10^{18}$  g Br should have accumulated. The total mass of Br which can be accounted for in the present hydrosphere-lithosphere system is  $170 \times 10^{18}$  g, thus,  $320 \times 10^{18}$  g Br must have been removed from the system to give the Cl/Br ratio of 292/1 in the present ocean. The mass balance of Table 21

## TABLE 22

	Mass (g)	Br (ppm)	Reference	Mass Br (g )
Shale	$45 \times 10^{22}$	45	Poldervaart (1955) Billings and Williams (1967)	2 x 10 <sup>19</sup>
Sandstone	$1 \times 10^{23}$	2	Poldervaart (1955)	$20 \times 10^{-16}$
Carbonate	23 x 10	15	Poldervaart (1955)	$35 \times 10^{17}$
Pelagic Sediments	11 $\times 10^{23}$	45	Poldervaart (1955)	50 x 10
Subsurface Waters	$5 \times 10^{20}$	36	White <u>et al</u> . (1963)	$20 \times 10^{15}$
Organic Carbon	$250 \times 10^{20}$	10	Kalle (1943)	$25 \times 10^{16}$
SUBTOTAL	₽°.			75. x $10^{18}$
Ocean				90 x 10 <sup>18</sup>
TOTAL				170 x 10 <sup>18</sup>

## Br in the Lithosphere-Hydrosphere System

is unable to account for the missing Br.

Possible sources of unaccounted Br are petroleum deposits and sediments re-incorporated into the crust as igneous and metamorphic rocks. Recycled sediments would be the most important factor.

The ratio of metamorphosed sediments / sediments is between 4/1and 6/1 (Livingstone, 1963a). The total mass of sediments is 2600 x  $10^{21}$  g. Using the ratio of 4/1, one arrives at a mass of  $10600 \times 10^{21}$  g of metamorphic rocks. There are no data on the Br content of metasediments; however, a lower limit of 3 ppm Br (average for igneous rocks) would account for 30 x  $10^{18}$  g Br, or about 1/10 of the total missing Br. Six pre-Cambrian marbles analyzed in this study, have an average 18Br content of 37.5 ppm. Using this value for metasediments,  $360 \times 10^{10}$  g of the 3 x  $10^{20}$  g missing Br can be accounted for. This compares favorably with the estimate of 3 x  $10^{20}$  g Br arrived at by assuming a crustal recycling of four times the total volume of sediments which contain  $75 \times 10^{18}$  g Br; the assumption being that there is no loss of Br during metamorphism.

Approximately  $108 \ge 10^{20}$  g Cl would also have been recycled if we assume no loss of Cl during metamorphism. As shown in Table 21, the ratio of Cl/Br added to the lithosphere-hydrosphere system and re-incorporated in metamorphic rocks is 93/1, which agrees very well with the Cl/Br ratio of 60/1 proposed for the degassing materials.

If the ocean is a steady state system, Br should be removed as fast as it is added. The yearly budget of Br in the oceans has been calculated on the basis of available data in the literature. In many cases data are insufficient or non-existant, necessitating approximations. The results of the balance are shown in Table 23. The balance indicates that the removal of Br from the oceans exceeds input by 16  $\times 10^{10}$  g per year. Over a period of 2  $\times 10^{9}$  years, 320  $\times 10^{8}$  g Br would be removed from the ocean. The ocean is not a steady state system with respect to Br.

Sedimentary processes can account for the fractionation of Cl and Br in the oceanic system over the past  $2 \times 10^9$  years.

TABLE 23

Yearly B	Budget	of	Br	in	the	Modern	<b>Ocean</b>
----------	--------	----	----	----	-----	--------	--------------

		Reference	Mass Br (g)
INP	UT		
1.	Continental Drainage	Livingstone (1963)	$20 \times 10^{10}$
	3.3 x 10 <sup>19</sup> g water/year Average of C.006 ppm Br		
2.	Sediment load (assume 50% shale). Assume 3 ppm Br (average for igneous rock)	Livingstone (1963b)	$2 \times 10^{10}$
	Mass of 1.7 $\times 10^{16}$ g / 2		· · · ·
3.	Volcanic sources - Assume excess is volcanic in origin (4.9 x 10 <sup>20</sup> g / 3 x 10 years)		16 x 10 <sup>10</sup>
REM	OVAL .		
1.	Shales (assume 50% of the sediment load forms shales) Average Br content of shales is 4.4 ppm. Mass of 1.7 x 10 <sup>16</sup> g / 2	Fleischer and Robinson (1963), Correns (1961), Barth and Bruun (1945)	$4 \times 10^{10}$
2.	Carbonates Average of $3^{(1)}$ ppm Br. Mass of 2.3 x $10^{23}$ g / 6 x $10^8$ yr	This study	9 1 x 10
3.	Organic Carbon Reservoir Average of 10 ppm Br. Mass of 250 x $10^{20}$ g / 2 x 10 yr.	Kalle (1943)	<b>1</b> x 10 <sup>8</sup>
4.	Pelagic Sediments Assume 45 ppm. Br. Mass of 11 x 10 <sup>23</sup> g / 10 <sup>8</sup> yr.		50 x 10 <sup>10</sup>
TOI	AL REMOVAL		$54 \times 10^{10}$
TOT	CAL INPUT	<i>2</i>	$_{37 \times 10^{10}}$
NET	DIFFERENCE		$16 \times 10^{10}$

Effect of Major Periods of Evaporite Deposition on the Cl/Br Ratio A close examination of the Cl/Br ratio vs time curves shows that there is cyclic behavior of the curve throughout the Ordovician, Silurian, and Devonian periods. A detailed Cl/Br ratio vs time curve covering this time interval is shown in Fig. 15. Major periods of evaporite deposition are also plotted on the curve and can be seen to correspond to Cl/Br lows. Deposition of evaporites may possibly account for part of this variation. It is also interesting to note that the basal halite in most salt deposits have lower Br contents (30 - 50 ppm) than the value of 75 ppm predicted on the basis of experimental work on the distribution coefficient of Br in halite (Holser, 1965). Holser has suggested that during certain periods more rock salt may have been eroded than deposited, resulting in an increase in the Cl/Br ratio of sea water and consequently a lower Br content in the first halite crystals precipitated. Holser (1966) looked for but was unable to find any conclusive evidence in the Br content of basal halites which would indicate an increase in the Cl/Br ratio of sea water since the Cambrian.

The Zechstein evaporite sequence from continental Europe has been examined to determine the effect of evaporite deposition on lowering the Cl/Br ratio of sea water. Considerable data are available on the extent and composition of this deposit (Borchert and Muir, 1964).

The Zechstein evaporites average 600 m in thickness over an area of  $0.04 \ge 10^8 \text{ km}^2$  and contain a total volume of  $0.024 \ge 10^8 \text{ km}^3$  of salts, or a mass of 58  $\ge 10^{20}$  g of salts (assuming an average density of 2.4; Stewart, 1963). Complete evaporation of the present oceans would produce a layer of salts 60 m thick over an area of 3.6  $\ge 10^8 \text{ km}^2$ , or a total



CI, / Br, RATIO vs TIME (m.y.) - DOLOMITES

TIME (m.y.)

volume of  $0.22 \ge 10^8 \text{ km}^3$  of salts of which 80% would be halite. About 11% of the present ocean salts would have been removed by the Zechstein evaporites in approximately  $5 \ge 10^5$  years (Richter-Bernburg, 1938). Borchert and Muir (1964) estimate that 80% of the Zechstein evaporites consist of NaCl.

If we assume that the Br content of 280 ppm, representative of the kieserite-carnallite phase is an estimate of the Br content of evaporites, and a composition of 80% NaCl, we can estimate the quantities of Cl and Br removed from sea water during the Zechstein period. The total NaCl in the evaporites is  $460 \times 10^{19}$  g, or  $300 \times 10^{19}$  g Cl. The mass of Br amounts to 130 x  $10^{16}$  g. There will also be some input of Cl and Br into the oceans from volcanic activity and continental drainage. Using average Cl and Br contents of 8.3 ppm and 0.006 ppm respectively in river water and a volume of  $3.3 \times 10^{16}$  liters runoff per year (Livingstone, 1963b), a total of 130 x  $10^{19}$  g Cl and 100 x  $10^{15}$  g Br would be added to the ocean during the Zechstein period. An estimate of the input from volcanic activity has been derived by assuming a uniform input of CL and Br by degassing for the past 3 x 10 years. An estimate of the yearly Cl and Br input by volcanic activity is given in Table 4. The total balance of input - removal is presented in Table 24. If a volume of NaCl equal to the NaCl content of the Zechstein deposits were removed from the present oceans, the Cl/Br ratio of the ocean would decrease from 292/1 to 264/1, or a decrease of 9.5%. It is possible for large scale evaporite deposition to account for some fluctuation of the Cl/Br ratio in ancient oceans.

# TABLE 24

	Cl (g)	Br (g)
Present Ocean	$27 \times 10^{21}$	$9 \times 10^{21}$
Removed by Zechstein	$3 \times 10^{21}$	$130 \times 10^{16}$
*Volcanic Input	$50 \times 10^{7}$	$8 \times 10^{16}$
Continental Drainage	$130 \times 10^{21}$	$10 \times 10^{16}$
Net Removal	$30 \times 10^{20}$	$1 \times 10^{18}$
New System	$24 \times 10^{21}$	$9 \times 10^{19}$

Effect of the Permian Zechstein Evaporites on the Cl/Br Ratio of Sea Water

Note - All calculations are based on a time interval of  $5 \times 10^5$  years.

\* Volcanic Cl - 
$$(290 - 5) \times 10^{20}$$
 g/3 x  $10^{9}$  years =  
96 x  $10^{11}$  g/year for 5 x  $10^{5}$  years =  
48 x  $10^{17}$  g.

\* Volcanic Br - see Table 23 16 x  $10^{10}$  g/year for 5 x  $10^{5}$  years = 80 x  $10^{15}$  g. GEOLOGIC APPLICATION OF  $F_{qr}$  IN ENVIRONMENTAL RECONSTRUCTIONS

## Dolomitization and Environmental Interpretations

The origin of dolomite is one of the most perplexing problems in geology. It is now well established that dolomite is being formed today in the supratidal flats of arid and semi-arid regions in association with waters that have been highly concentrated (Shinn <u>et al.</u>, 1965; Deffeyes <u>et al.</u>, 1965). A mechanism of refluxing brines has been invoked to explain the dolomitization of Recent carbonates (Deffeyes <u>et al.</u>, 1965). Adams and Rhodes (1960) explained the dolomitization of the Permian Basin reef complex by refluxing of hypersaline brines.

The distribution of a given trace element within a dolomite sequence formed by a reflucing brine must be dependent on the physical and chemical characteristics of the system. If such a relationship could be established for F, the interpretation of the genesis of other dolomites would be greatly facilitated. Dolomites analyzed in this study contain an average of 381 ppm F with a standard deviation of 359 ppm. The distribution of F in dolomites shown in Fig. 6 appears to be multimodal with peaks occurring at 50 ppm, 200 ppm, 350 ppm, and 450 ppm. The average F content of limestones is 90 ppm with a standard deviation of 82 ppm.

The variable distribution of F in the dolomites and the concistently low F contents in the limestones suggests that the chemistry of the dolomitizing fluids and/or the physical mechanisms involved may be the factors which control the distribution of F in the dolomites.

A suite of 70 samples of dolomites from cores of the Zama member, Middle Devonian Muskeg formation, from four oil wells in Northern Alberta were analyzed for F. Petrologic interpretations of the cores had outlined four depositional environments: 1) supratidal, 2) intertidal, 3) turbulent subtidal, and 4) quiet subtidal to lagoonal. Environmental interpretations and correlations based on the petrologic data are shown in Fig. 16 (Interpretations by V. Jenik, Gulf Oil Canada, Calgary).

The Zama member is a 60 to 120 foot thick carbonate unit of the Middle Devonian Muskeg formation. The detailed stratigraphy of the - Devonian of Alberta is shown in Fig. 17. Details of the four well locations and corred intervals are presented in Table 25. Locations of all the X-series wells are shown in Fig. 18.

### TABLE 25

۰. میں الاف ۲۰۰۰ ، موجد: ۲۰۰۰ میں منطقان در الاقتار میں الاقتار ، میں الاف کر میں مطالب میں معدد ہے کے معرف ہے	
<b>16-19-116-</b> 4476	4761-4883
4-19-116-4006	4840-4950
5-30-116-446	4970-5051
2-25-117-516	4922-4960
	16-19-116-4476 4-19-116-4476 5-30-116-4476 2-25-117-576

Well Locations and Cored Intervals - Zama Member Dolomites

The assumption of dolomitization of the Zama member by a reflux mechanism is supported by several lines of evidence. The cyclic nature of the dolomites is remarkably similar to the sabkha-wadi complex of the Trucial Coast and to the Recent carbonates of the Bahamas where dolomites are forming today. Ordovician Stoney Mountain and Silurian Interlake



(N-S X-SECTION)

Water of a

Fig. 16





Fig. 17


LOCATION MAP - ZAMA LAKE AREA, ALBERTA

# Fig. 18

carbonate analogs of the Bahamian carbonates, dolomitized by refluxing brines, are similar to the Zama dolomites (Rochl, 1967). W. D. Shearman (personal communication, 1966) has confirmed the similarity of the Zama dolomites to the Recent sabkha-wadi complex of the Trucial Coast.

A typical sabkha-wadi type cycle present in the Zama member is shown in Fig. 19. These cycles are characteristically 2 to 3 feet in thickness, but may be up to 120 feet thick. The complete cycle is not always preserved and numerous oscillations within a cycle are common. The cycles represented in wells X-99, X-100, X-101, and X-114 do not show complete cycles on the scale used. Minor variations on a scale of several inches are not shown because each sample covered an interval of 5 feet.

The vertical F distribution in the four wells are shown in Fig. 20. There is a definite relationship of F to environment in all four wells. The average F contents in the four environments are:

Environment	x (ppm)	s <sub>x</sub> (ppm)	N
Supratidal	302	235	21
Intertidal	411	<b>1</b> 88	12
Turbulent Subtidal	556	290	26
Quiet Subtidal to Lagoonal	124	36	11

A Student-t test indicates that the differences between the groups are significant at the 99% confidence level.

It is believed that the F distributions in the dolomites are not a primary feature of their limestone precursors. Two lines of evidence support this view. 1) Of 58 limestones analyzed for F, only 3 had F

#### TYPICAL SABKHA WADI-CYCLIC CARBONATE FABRICS

ENVIRONMENT

SUPRATIDAL

LOW SUPRATIDAL

INTERTIDAL

T URBULENT SUBTIDAL

LAGOONAL



Flat pebble conglomerate and breccia.

Algal mat—stromatolites.

Nodular anhydrite.

Breccia.

Burrows, borings, mudcracks, breccia fragments, laminae,

algal mats, reworking.

Extensively reworked, burrow traces, mud, pellats, bioclasts.

Fine laminae, organic matter, dark.

Fig. 19



F DISTRIBUTION IN THE ZAMA MEMBER AND CORRELATIONS BASED ON F CONTENTS. (N-S X-SECTION)

Fig. 20

contents in excess of 100 ppm. If the F distributions in the dolomites are controlled by primary F contents of the original limestones, more of the limestones should have high F contents. 2) A suite of ten samples of Lower Cretaceous limestones, from environments analogous to the Zama environments, all had low F contents. Two tidal flat dolomites associated with these limestone samples had high F contents, very similar to the F contents in the Zama intertidal dolomites.

If dolomitization of the Zama member is assumed to be the result of refluxing brines, the F distributions must be a function of the hydrologic system and the brine chemistry. An idealized model illustrating the hydrologic system is shown in Fig. 21. With each tidal pulse, sea water is added to the system in the intertidal zone. Evaporation in this zone results in formation of a dense brine. The dense brine sinking through the sediments into the intertidal and subtidal zones is forced down by each tidal influx. Brine moves into the supratidal zone, predominantly by capillary action. Further additions to the supratidal zone are made by storm action and spring tides.

As the sea water concentrates in the intertidal zone,  $CaF_2$  will begin to precipitate. The highest concentrations of F are observed in the turbulent subtidal zone, because of two factors. 1) A kinetic effect. The precipitation of  $CaF_2$  initiated in the intertidal zone may not have had sufficient time to go to completion before the brine moves into the turbulent subtidal zone. The bulk of the precipitation would take place in the turbulent subtidal zone. 2) Tidal influx may dilute the brine sufficiently to retard the precipitation of  $CaF_2$ , resulting in lower F values in the intertidal zone than in the turbulent subtidal zone. The



SCHEMATIC RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN REFLUXING BRINES, FLUORINE DISTRIBUTION, AND DEPOSITIONAL ENVIRONMENT

low F contents in the supratidal zone are the result of two factors. 1) The F would be removed from the brine in the high intertidal - low supratidal zone. This is verified by the high F in the high intertidal samples and a generally high F at the transitional boundary of the intertidal - supratidal zones. 2) There would also be a smaller volume of brine moved by capillary action through the supratidal zone.

The occurrence of significant quantities of anhydrite in the supratidal carbonates may result in a simple dilution effect. Three supratidal anhydrites were analyzed for F (Table 26). Massive anhydrite and anhydrite crystals contained 14.8 ppm and 10.0 ppm F respectively while the replacement anhydrite, containing 20% dolorite, contained 90 ppm F. If the anhydrite in the supratidal sediments is displacement in origin (added without removing any carbonate), a dilution effect would result. However, if the dolomite is replaced by anhydrite, no dilution would result. Textural evidence suggests a replacement origin for most of the anhydrite in the Zama member (Geological staff, Gulf Oil Canada, Research Lab., Calgary, personal communication). The lack of correlation between the anhydrite content and F content in the supratidal dolomites from the Zama member further indicates that the formation of anhydrite does not affect the F distribution.

Dolomitization of the lagoonal or quiet subtidal carbonates must be the result of brines undersaturated with respect to  $CaF_2$ . The F depleted brines refluxing through the supratidal and intertidal zones are the most likely solutions.

The distribution of F within the barrier reef complex was also investigated. Delomites from the reef complex are divided into the reef

TAB	LE	26

Well	Location	Age	Formation	Sample	Mineralogy	F (ppm)
B.A. Zama North	6-33-117-495	M. Devonian	Maskeg Fn.	5-A-4795	Ay (20% D)	94.0
				S-A-5005	Ay	14.8
				S-A-5095	Ay	<u>1</u> 0.0

F in Supratidal Sulfates

Descriptions of Samples -

- S-A-4795 Anhydrite replacing dolomite. Large porphyroblastic masses  $\frac{1}{2}$  2 inches in diameter.
- S-A-5005 Secondary anhydrite. Massive, occurring in 2 inch veins.
- S-A-5095 Secondary anhydrite. Occurs in large crystals up to 3 cm long.

framework and reef flat (back reef debris). The reef flat debris has an average of 642 ppm F compared to an average of 427 ppm F in the reef framework dolomites. The distribution of F in the reef may be the result of two mechanisms. 1) Brines refluxing through the reef may be responsible for the distribution of F. Newell <u>et al.(1953)</u> suggested that the dolomitization of the Permian Reef Complex occurred by brines refluxing through the reef. 2) Corals, which contribute significant quantities of carbonate material to the reef, concentrate F. The major reef builders and binding agents in reef formation are algae. An analysis of the calcareous portion of the Recent algae, <u>Halimeda</u> sp. from the Bahamas, yielded a value of 1500 ppm F. Concentrations of F in the reef material could be the result of F concentration by shelled organisms and algae.

A stratigraphic correlation between the four wells was made on the basis of the F distributions in the cores. The interpretations shown in Fig. 20 agree in all major aspects with the original correlations based on petrologic data (Fig. 16).

The distribution of F in the Zama member dolomites is proposed to be the result of precipitation of  $CaF_2$  during the refluxing of concentrated sea water through marine carbonate sediments. Fluorite is one of the least soluble fluorine compounds. Its solubility in water is represented by the expression:

$$CaF_2 \rightleftharpoons Ca^{2+} * 2F$$
.

The ionic solubility product of CaF2 in a saturated solution is:

 $K_{sp} = \chi_{Ca}^{2*} [Ca^{2*}] \chi_{F}^{2} [F]^{2}$  (Butler, 1964).

The solubility products of  $CaF_2$  in sea water, concentrated brines, hydrothermal waters, and ground waters were calculated (25°C) (Table 27). The calculations have taken into account the ionic strength of the solutions and the common ion effect for  $Ca^{2+}$ . In the case of concentrated brines, MgF<sup>+</sup> complexing has been considered. The following have not been taken into account:

- Reactions of cations and anions with water to produce hydroxide complexes and protonated species. As anion hydrolysis is more severe in acid solutions, it can be neglected here because the pH of the solutions is between 7 and 9. However, cation hydrolysis is more extensive in basic solutions. Both factors tend to increase the solubility of CaF<sub>2</sub> (Butler, 1964).
- 2) The activity of  $CaF_2$  has been assumed to be 1. If the  $CaF_2$  is in solid solution in  $CaCO_3$ , the activity of  $CaF_2$  would be equal to its mole fraction  $(X_{CaF_2})$  times its activity coefficient  $(\gamma_{CaF_2})$ , or

 $A_{CaF_2} = X_{CaF_2} \times \gamma_{CaF_2}$  (Garrels and Christ, 1965). For simplicity, it has been assumed that there is no solid solution of CaF<sub>2</sub> in CaCO<sub>3</sub>.

Single icn activity coefficients have been calculated using the Davies equation of the form:

$$-\log_{1/\frac{1}{2}} = \Lambda z^{2} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{1}}{1 + \sqrt{1}} - 0.21 \right]$$

where A = 0.5085

z =the charge of the ion

I = the ionic strength of the solution.

The ionic strength of sea water (0.72) and the activity coefficient

of Ca<sup>24</sup> in sea water (0.28) were taken from Garrels and Christ (1965). The ionic strength of the other solutions were determined from the linear relationship of conductance vs ionic strength and from the equation

$$I = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}^{2}$$

where  $c_i =$ the concentration of the ion in m/l.

 $z_i = the charge on the ion.$ 

The results of the calculations are shown in Table 27. Sea water and ground waters are undersaturated with respect to  $CaF_2$ . The undersaturation of sea water with respect to  $CaF_2$  is in agreement with Kramer's calculations (1965) and explains the low F content of limestones.

The calculations also verify the precipitation of  $CaF_2$  from sea water concentrated two-fold. This is in accord with the sequence of reactions presented by Borchert and Muir (1964) for the concentration of sea water as shown below.

Concentration Factor	Precipitates	Dissolves
2 - 3 fold	$c_{aF_2}$	
3 - 5 fold	MgF <sub>2</sub>	CaF 2
over 6 fold	CaF <sub>2</sub>	NgF2

A. V. Kasakov (1950) investigated methods of precipitation of excess F in the system CaO-P $_{2}^{O_{5}-F-H_{2}O}$ . His experiments indicated that after the F content in the lattice of phosphate had reached the content of fluorapatite, F continues to precipitate as CaF<sub>2</sub>.

Rhyzhenko (1965) has shown that CaF2 will precipitate and replace

TABLE	27
-------	----

	Solubility Products of CaF2 in Various Aqueous Media						
Medium	Ca (m/l)*	F (m/l)*	$\gamma_{Ca}^{2+}$	YF-	I	Ksp	
Sea Water	$1.0 \times 10^{-2}$	$0.71 \times 10^{-1}$	0.28	0.79	0.72	$2.16 \times 10^{-11}$ $6.10 \times 10^{-12}$	(1) (2)
Sea Water (2x) **	$2.0 \times 10^{-2}$	$0.14 \times 10^{-3}$	0.22	0.68	1.44	$6.80 \times 10^{-11}$	
Brines	$17.6 \times 10^{-3}$	$0.14 \times 10^{-3}$	0.45	0.82	0.34	$7.45 \times 10^{-10}$	
Hydrothermal Waters	$3.3 \times 10^{-3}$	$0.29 \times 10^{-3}$	0.88	0.97	0.098	$8.12 \times 10^{-10}$	
Ground Waters from limestone and dolomite terraine	$1.7 \times 10^{-3}$	$0.02 \times 10^{-3}$	1.00	1.00	0.008	$3.10 \times 10^{-11}$	

(1) - no correction for  $MgF^+$ .

$$(2) - MgF' = 50\%$$
.

\* - data from White et al. (1963).

\*\* - assume 50% of the F is complexed as MgF (Sillen, 1961).

 $CaCO_3$  in weakly acid to alkaline solutions, the critical factor being the ratio of  $(F^-)^2/(CO_3^{2-})$ . Increase in the pH of the refluxing brines would result in a higher content of  $F^-$  and a lower content of  $CO_3^{2-}$ , favoring the precipitation of  $CaF_2$ . Friedman (1968) reports pH values in excess of 8.0 in the waters associated with Recent dolorites in the Gulf of Aqaba.

The geological evidence presented here and the experimental results of other workers indicate that the precipitation of  $CaF_2$  from refluxing brines is the mechanism responsible for the distribution of F in dolomites.

### Suggestions for Further Research

If we are to fully explain the halogen distributions found in the geologic record in terms of natural processes which have taken place, and are still taking place, we must have a thorough knowledge of the chemistry of the halogens and the effects to be expected from various natural processes. In order to predict the effects of natural processes on halogen abundances and mobilities, we must first understand the physics and chemistry of these processes.

The present study on the distributions of the halogens in sedimentary carbonates and the processes bringing about these distributions has clearly demonstrated several "knowledge gaps" which need to be clarified. Some of the more obvious areas of weakness are:

1) The chemistry of the "refluxing brines" is vague and needs to be quantified in terms of chemical compositions and their changes within the framework of the model. Mineral-water reactions controlling the

distributions of specific minerals and elements can only be guessed at until we understand the detailed changes in brine composition in the system under consideration. A detailed and thorough investigation of the halogen composition of the brines in areas of Recent dolorite formation needs to be carried out.

- 2) Dolomites formed by post-lithification processes should be analyzed for F to complete our understanding of the distribution of F in dolomites. This would be an invaluable and in working out the genesis of other ancient dolomites.
- 3) A more detailed investigation of the relationship of the F distribution to penecontemporaneous dolorite formation would strengthen the conclusions reached in the present study. It would also be instructive to determine the F distributions in undoloritized analogs of the Zama dolorites.
- 4) The use of trace elements as environmental indicators in linestones has been suggested by Chester (1965) and Cameron (1968). However, the use of chemical environmental indicators in dolomitized carbonates has not been suggested or previously attempted. The present study of F and an investigation of other selected trace elements by the author has shown that diagenetic processes can leave characteristic element distributions which indirectly reflect the original environment of deposition. These characteristic element distributions are the result of secondary inorganic processes controlled by the geomorphology of the system, physical properties of the sediments, permeability, porosity, and the presence of thin impermeable shale layers. These are primary features of the depositional basin.

If the original characteristic trace element distributions are completely lost during diagenesis, the secondary distributions may be just as useful in reflecting the depositional environment. Detailed investigations of other trace elements in dolomitized carbonates are needed to determine their use as indirect environmental indicators.

It must be emphasized that a knowledge of the physical processes involved is essential. Unfortunately, these are very seldom known.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The Cl/Br ratio of the water soluble and acid soluble phases of marine carbonates show a progressive increase from pre-Cambrian time  $(2 \times 10^9 \text{ years})$  to Recent. The relationship of the Cl/Br ratio of the carbonates to the Cl/Br ratio of ocean water has remained constant for the past  $2 \times 10^9$  years. This relationship holds true for limestones and dolomites, and is of the form:

$$R_{ot} = R_{rt} / K$$

where  $R_{ot}$  = the Cl/Br ratio of the ocean at time t

 $R_{rt} = the CL/Br ratio of the carbonate at time t$ 

K = 0.27.

From the above expression it is possible to estimate the Cl/Br ratio of ancient oceans. A change in the Cl/Br ratio of the oceans from 58/1 in the pre-Cambrian to 270/1 in the Recent oceans is calculated to have occurred, which is close to the predicted change from 60/1 (pre-Cambrian) to the present value of 292/1.

The fractionation of CL and Br throughout geologic time can be explained by Br removal within the sedimentary cycle if crustal recycling is considered. The yearly Br budget in the ocean indicates that Br is not, and could not have been, in dynamic equilibrium with the ocean over the past  $2 \times 10^9$  years.

Marine shelled organisms fractionate CL and Br, and corals and algae concentrate F. Fresh water shelled organisms concentrate F, CL, and Br and fractionate CL and Br relative to fresh water. The effects

of shelled organisms on long term fractionations of Cl and Br are small because of the small mass of skeletal material. The role of marine organisms in fractionating Cl and Br throughout geologic time is small, but may be important in biogenic carbonate deposits.

Diagenesis of carbonate rocks results in the following changes in F, Cl., and Br contents:

Alteration from aragonite to calcite:

1) The  $Cl_{M}$  and  $Br_{M}$  contents decrease.

- Aragonites contain approximately 1200 ppm Cl and 30 40 ppm Br in lattice sites compared to 80 - 100 ppm Cl and 8 - 15 ppm Br in calcite and dolomite lattices.
- 3) During alteration of aragonite skeletal material to calcite, Cl is preferentially removed relative to Br due to the presence of Cl in the shell in a more soluble phase. The original Cl and Br contents are retained until the shell material alters. There are no significant changes in the F content with alteration of the shells.
- 4) The F content of 1500 ppm in aragonite sediments decreases to 90 ppm in calcites.

Alteration from limestone to dolomite:

- 1) There is essentially no difference in the Cl content in limestones and dolomites. The same is true for Br.
- 2) The average F content of limestones is 90 ppm with a small variance; whereas, the average F content of dolomites is 370 ppm with a high variance. The variability of the F distribution in dolomites is explained by the two inorganic mechanisms of refluxing brines and precipitation of CaF<sub>2</sub>. The CaF<sub>2</sub> is preferentially precipitated in

certain environments depending on the chemistry of the refluxing brine. The F distributions have been shown to be characteristic of these environments. It is possible to determine the environment of deposition of the carbonate on the basis of the F content in the dolomite.

Alteration to marbles:

- 1) There is an apparent loss of F during diagenesis of carbonates to marbles.
- 2) The CL and Br contents of the marbles are not significantly different from their respective abundances in limestones and dolomites. This point is significent in the chemical mass balance for the assumption of no loss of C and Br during metamorphism of sediments.

The F in t **arb**onates is believed to be in the form of  $CaF_2$ as a separate **phase** and not as a solid solution substitution of  $CaF_2$  in  $CaCO_3$ . Calculations have shown that solid solution of  $CaF_2$  in  $CaCO_3$ would be very **non-ideal**. It has also been shown that clay minerals and  $CO_2$ -**P-apetite do not** control the F distribution in the carbonates.

The Cl and Br are present in the carbonates largely in the form of intergranular salts and fluid inclusions. However, the Cl is more water soluble than the Br.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

ADAMS J.E. and RHODES M. L. (1960) Dolomitization by seepage refluxion. Am. Assoc. Petroleum Geol. Bull., <u>44</u>, 1912-1920.

ASHWORTH R.B. and CORMIER M. J. (1967) Isolation of 2,6-dibromophenol from the marine hemichordate, <u>Balanoglassus</u> <u>biminiensis</u>. Science, <u>155</u>, 1558-1559.

- BARTH T. F. W. and BRUUN B. (1945) Studies on the igneous rock complexes of the Oslo region. IV. F in the Oslo petrographic - province. Skrif or Norske Videns Kaps - Akad. Oslo, I Mat. naturu. Klasse.
- BEHNE W. (1953) Untersuchungen zur Geochemie des Chlors und Brom. Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta, 3, 186-214.
- BILLINGS G. K. and WILLIAMS H. H. (1967) Distribution of chlorine in terrestrial rocks (a discussion). Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta, <u>31</u>, 2247.
- BORCHERT H. and MUIR O. (1964) <u>Salt Deposits</u>. D. van Nostrand Co. Ltd., London, 338 p.
- BOWER C. A. and HATCHER J. T. (1967) Adsorption of fluoride by soils and minorals. Soil Science, <u>103</u>, 151-154.

BUTLER J. N. (1964) <u>Ionic Equilibrium: a Mathematical Approach</u>. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Inc., Reading Mass., 547 p.

CAMERON E. M. (1968) A geochemical profile of the Swan Hills Reef. Can. Jour. Earth Sciences, 5, 287-309.

- CARPENTER R. (1968) The Marine Geochemistry of Fluorine. Ph.D Thesis, / University of California, 134 p.
- CHATIN A. and MUNTZ A. (1895) Analyse des coquilles des huitres. C.R. Acad. Sci., Paris, p. 531.
- CHAVE K. E. (1960) Evidence on the history of sea water from chemistry of deeper subsurface waters of ancient basins. Am. Assoc. Petroleum Geol. Bull., <u>44</u>, 357-370.
- CHESTER R. (1965) Geochemical criteria for differentiating reef from non-reef facies in carbonate rocks. An. Assoc. Petroleum Geol. Bull., <u>49</u>, 258-276.
- CLAYTON R. N., FRIEDMAN I., GRAF D. L., MAYEDA T. K., MEENTO W. F., and SHIMP N. E. (1966) The origin of saline formation waters I: Isotopic composition. Jour. Geophys. Research, <u>71</u>, 3869-3882.
- CORRENS C. W. (1961) The geochemistry of the halogens, in v. 1, <u>Physics</u> and <u>Chemistry of the Earth</u>, p. 181-233.
- CRAIGIE J. S. and GRUENIG D. E. (1967) Bromophenols from red algae. Science, <u>157</u>, 1058-1059.
- CROSSLEY H. E. (1944) The manner of occurrence of fluorine in coals. Jour. Soc. Chem. Ind., <u>63</u>, 289.
- DANILOVA V. V. (1949) On the content of fluorine in rocks. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Biogeokhim. Lab., Trudy, <u>9</u>, 129-134 (in Russian).
- DEFFEYES K. S., LUCIA F. J., and WEYL P. K. (1965) Dolomitization of Recent and Flio-Fleistocene sediments by marine evaporite waters on Bonnaire, Netherlands Antilles. Soc. Econ. Paleon. and Mineralogists Spec. Pub. no. 13, 71-88.

- EARLEY J. W. (1958) On chlorine in serpentinized dunite. Am. Mineralogist, <u>43</u>, 148-155.
- EBERIUS E. and KOWALSKI W. (1952) Fluorbestimming in Erzen und Schlacken. Zeitschr. Erzbergbau u. Metallhutlenwesen, <u>5</u>, 131-136.
- ELSENMAN G. (1967) ed. <u>Glass Electrodes for Hydrogen and Other Cations</u>. Marcel Decker, Inc., New York, 582 p.
- FLEISCHER M. and ROBINSON W. O. (1963) The geochemistry of fluorine. Studies in Analytical Geochemistry. The Royal Society of Canada Special Pub. no. 6, 58-74.
- FRANT M. S. and ROSS J. W. (1966) Electrode for sensing fluoride ion activity in solution. Science, 154, 1553-1555.

FRIEDLANDER P. (1909) Uber den Farbstoff des Antiken purpurs aus

Murex brandaris. Ber. dtsch. chem. Ges., 42, 765.

- FRIEDLANDER P. (1922) Uber die Farbstoff aus <u>Purpura aperta</u> und <u>Purpura</u> <u>lapillus</u>. Ber. dtsch. chem. Ges., <u>55</u>, 1655.
- FRIEDMAN G. M. (1968) Geology and geochemistry of reef, carbonate sediments, and waters, Gulf of Aqaba. Jour. Sed. Pet., <u>38</u>, 895-919.

GARRELS R. M. and CHRIST C. L. (1965) <u>Solutions, Minerals, and</u> <u>Equilibria</u>. Harper and Row, New York, 450 p.

GLAGOLEVA M. A. (1961) The influence of bottom organisms on the distribution of the elements of the Black Sea. Geochemistry, <u>6</u>, 1091-1093.

GINSBURG R. N., LLOYD R. M., STOCKMAN K. W. and McCALLUM J. S. (1963)

Shallow water carbonate sediments, in <u>The Sea</u>, 3, M. N. Hill ed., Interscience Pub., New York, p. 554-582.

- GREENLAND L. and LOVERING J. F. (1966) Fractionation of fluorine, chlorine, and other trace elements during differentiation of a tholeiitic magma. Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta, <u>30</u>, 963-982.
  GOLES G. C., GREENLAND L. P. and JEROME D. Y. (1967) Abundances of chlorine, bromine, and iodine in meteorites. Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta, <u>31</u>, 1771-1787.
- GOVETT G. J. S. (1966) Origin of banded iron formations. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., <u>77</u>, 1191-1212.
- HANSEN W. C. (1961) Iodine in the environment. Radioecology, Division of Biology and Medicine, U. S. Atomic Energy Comm. Washington, D. C., p. 581-601.

HOERING T. C. and PARKER P. L. (1961) The geochemistry of the stable isotopes of chlorine. Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta, <u>23</u>, 186-199.
HOLLAND H. D. (1965) The history of ocean water and its effects on the chemistry of the atmosphere. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., <u>53</u>, 1173-1183.

- HOLSER W. T. (1965) Bromide geochemistry of salt rocks. Symposium on Salt, 2 nd, Clevland, Ohio, <u>1</u>, 248-275.
- HOLSER W. T. and KAPLAN I. R. (1966) Isotope geochemistry of sedimentary sulfates. Chem. Geol., <u>1</u>, 930135.
- HORN M. K. and ADAMS J. A. S. (1966) Computer derived geochemical balances: and element abundances. Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta, <u>30</u>, 279-297.

ILLING L. V. (1954) Bahaman calcareous sands. Am. Assoc. Petroleum Geol. Bull., <u>38</u>, 1-95.

- IMBRIE J. (1956) Biometrical methods in the study of invertebrate fossils. Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. History, <u>108</u>, article 2, 213-252.
- JEFFRIES C. D. (1951) Occurrence of fluorine in limestones and dolomites. Soil Science, <u>71</u>, 287-289.
- JOHNS W. D. and HUANG W. H. (1967) Distribution of chlorine in terrestrial rocks. Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta, <u>31</u>, 35-49.

KALLE K. (1943) Der Stoffhaushault des Mers, Leipzig.

- KAZAKOV A. V. (1950) Conditions of the formation of fluorite in sedimentary rocks. Trudy Inst. Geol. Nauk Akademii SSSR, <u>114</u>, 22-64.
- KETTH M. L. and WEBER J. N. (1963) Carbon and oxygen isotopic composition of selected limestones and fossils. Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta, <u>28</u>, 1787-1816.
- KOGARKO L. N. and GULYAYEVA L. A. (1965) Geochemistry of the halogens in the alkalic rocks of the Lovozero Massif. Geokhimya, <u>8</u>, 1011-1024.
- KOKUBU N. (1956) Fluorine in rocks. Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. C, Chemistry, 2, 95-149.
- KORITNIG S. (1951) Ein Beitrag zur Geochemie des Fluor. Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta, <u>1</u>, 89-116.
- KRAMER J. R. (1964) Theoretical model for the chemical composition of fresh water with application to the Great Lakes. Pub. no. 11, Great Lakes Research Division, The Univ. of Michigan, p.147-160.

- KRAMER J. R. (1965) History of sea water. Constant temperature-pressure equilibrium models compared to liquid inclusion analysis. Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta, 29, 921-945.
- KRASINTSEVA V. V. (1964) Geochemistry of chlorine and bromine. Geokhimiya, 2, 171-177.
- KURODA P. K. and SANDELL E. B. (1953) Chlorine in igneous rocks. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., <u>64</u>, 879-896.
- LADD H.S., TRACEY J. I. and GROSS M. G. (1967) Drilling on Midway Atoll, Hawaii. Science, <u>156</u>, 1088-1094.
- LAMAR J. E. and SHRODE R. S. (1953) Water soluble salts in limestones and dolomites. Econ. Geol., <u>48</u>, 97-112.

LANE A. C. (1908) Mine waters. Lake Superior Min. Inst. Pr., <u>13</u>, 63-152. LATIMER W. M. (1952) <u>Oxidation Potentials</u>, 2nd ed. Prentice Hall, Inc.,

Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 392 p.

LIVINGSTONE D. A. (1963a) The sodium cycle and the age of the oceans. Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta, <u>27</u>, 1055-1069.

LIVINGSTONE D. A. (1963b) Chemical composition of rivers and lakes. U.S. Geol. Survey Prof. Paper 440-G, 64 p.

- LOW E. M. (1951) Halogenated amino acids of the bath sponge. Jour. Marine Research, <u>10</u>, 239-245.
- LOWENSTAM H. A. andMcCONNELL D. (1968) Biological precipitation of fluorite. Science, <u>162</u>, 1496-1497.
- MASON B. (1964) <u>Principles of Geochemistry</u>, 4th ed. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 310 p.

- McCANCE R. A. and MASTERS M. (1937) The chemical composition and the acid base balance of <u>Archidoris britannica</u>. Jour. Marine Biol. Ass. U.K., <u>2</u>, 273.
- MICHAEL G. and BLUME B. (1952) Uber den Fluorgehalt Thuringer Buntsancistein und Muschelkalkboden, und dessen Bedeutung fur die Loslichkeit der Phosphorsaure. Chem. Erde, <u>17</u>, 27-48.
- MILLER R. L. and KAHN J. S. (1962) <u>Statistical Analysis in the</u> <u>Geological Sciences</u>. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 483 p.
- NARDOZZI M. J. and LEMIS L. L. (1961) Pyrolitic seperation and determination of fluoride in raw materials. Anal. Chem., <u>39</u>, 1261-1264.
- NEWELL N. D. et al. (1953) The Permian Reef Complex of the Guadalupe Mountains region, Texas and New Mexico, in <u>A Study in Paleocology</u>, W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, 236 p.
- PAULING L. (1960) The Nature of the Chemical Bond, 3rd ed. Cornell University Press, 644 p.
- PILKEY O. H. and HARRISS R. C. (1966) The effect of intertidal environment on the composition of calcareous skeletal material. Limnology and Oceanography, <u>11</u>, 381-385.
- POLDERVAART A. (1956) Chemistry of the earth's crust. Geol. Soc. Am. Spec. Paper <u>62</u>, 119-114.
- PUNGOR E. (1967) Theory and application of anion selective membrane electrodes. Anal. Chem., <u>39</u>, 28A-41A.
- RANKAMA K. and SAHAMA G. (1950) <u>Geochemistry</u>. University of Chicago Press, 912 p.

RECHNITZ G. A., KRESZ M. A. and ZAMOCHNICK S. B. (1966) Analytical

study of an iodide-sensitive membrane electrode. Anal. Chem., 38, 973-976.

- RECHNITZ G. A. and KRESZ M. R. (1966) Potentiometric measurements with chloride-sensitive and bromide-sensitive membrane electrodes. Anal. Chem., <u>38</u>, 1786-1788.
- RECHNITZ G. A. (1967) Ion-selective electrodes. Chem. and Eng. News, June 12, p. 146-158.
- REED G. W. and ALLEN R. O. (1966) Halogens in chondrites. Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta, <u>30</u>, 779-800.
- RHYZHENKO B. N. (1965) Determination of the dissociation constant of hydrofluoric acid and the conditions for replacement of calcite by fluorite. Geokhimiya, <u>3</u>, 273-276.
- RICHTER-BERNBERG G. (1938) Gestalt u. tektonische Bewegungen des Niedersachisichen Beckens. Ol u. Kohle, <u>14</u>, 968.
- ROEHL P. O. (1967) Stony Mountain (Ordovician) and Interlake (Silurian) facies analogs of Recent low energy marine and subaerial carbonates, Bahamas. Am. Assoc. Petroleum Geol. Bull., <u>51</u>, 1971-2032.
- RUBEY W. W. (1951) Geological history of sea water. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., <u>62</u>, 1111-1148.
- SELIVANOV L. S. (1939) Geochemistry and biogeochemistry of dispersed bromine. Trav. Lab. Biogeochim. USSR. 5, 113.

SELIVANOV L. S. (1947) Source of chlorine and bromine in ocean water. Byull. vulkanology. stantsii na Kamchatke, <u>11</u>, p.1.

SERAPHIM R. H. (1951) Some Aspects of the Geochemistry of Fluorine.

Ph.D. Thesis, Mass. Inst. of Tech. 96 p.

- SHAPIRO L. (1960) Rapid determination of fluorine in phosphate rocks. Anal. Chem., <u>32</u>, 569-570.
- SHAPIRO L. and BRANNOCK W. W. (1962) Rapid analysis of silicate, carbonate, and phosphate rocks. U.S. Geol. Survey Bull., 1144-A, 56 p.
- SHEPHERD E. S. (1940) Note on the fluorine content of rocks and ocean bottom samples. Ibid., <u>238</u>, 117-128.
- SHEARMAN D.J. (1966) Origin of marine evaporites by diagenesis. Inst. Miming and Metall. Trans. Ser. B, Applied Earth Sciences, <u>75</u>, B208-B215.
- SHINN E. A., GINSBERG R. N. and LLOYD R. M. (1965) Recent supratidal dolomite from Andros Island, Bahamas. Soc. Econ. Paleon. and Mineralogists Spec. Pub. no. 13, 112-123.
- SILLEN L. G. (1961) The physical chemistry of sea water, in <u>Oceanography</u>, M. Sears ed., Amer. Assoc. Adv. Science, Pub. no. 67, 549-581.
- SHISHKINA O. V. (1961) Types of waters formed in sediments during diagenesis, in <u>Modern Sediments of Seas and Oceans</u>
  - STEMART F. H. (1963) Marine evaporites. U.S. Geol. Survey Prof. Paper, 440-Y, 53 p.
  - UREY H. C. (1952) <u>The Flanets: Their Origin and Development</u>. Yale University Press, 245 p.
  - VINOGRADOV A. P. (1953) <u>The Elementary Chemical Composition of Marine</u> <u>Organisms</u>, Sears Foundation for Marine Research, Yale University Press, 653 p.

VINOGRADOV A. P. (1959) The Geochemistry of Rare and Dispersed Elements

in Soils. Consultants Bureau Inc., New York, Chapman and Hall Limited, London, 209 p.

- VINOGRADOV A. P. and RONOV A. B. (1956) Evolution of the chemical composition of the clays of the Russian Platform. Geochemistry, <u>2</u>, 123-139.
- WALTERS L. J. (1967) Bound Halogens in Sediments. Ph.D. Thesis, Mass. Inst. of Tech., 220 p.
- WEAVER C. E. (1967) Potassium, illite, and the ocean. Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta, <u>31</u>, 2181-2196.
- WEBER J. N. (1957) Possible changes in the isotopic composition of the oceanic and atmospheric carbon reservoir over geologic time. Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta, 31, 2343-2351.
- WEISS A., MEHLER A., KOCH G. and HOFFMAN U. (1956) Uber das Anionenaustausch vermogen der Tonmineralen. Z. anorg. u. allgem. Chemie, <u>284</u>, 247-271.
- WHITE D. E., HEM J. D. and WARING G. A. (1963) Chemical composition of subsurface waters. U.S. Geol. Survey Prof. Paper 440-F, 67 p.

# AMBTNOD BAR

APPENDIX I

SAMPLE DESCRIPTIONS

ONOS LEVERONON HINNS ONENCH

Note:

- Ar = Aragonite
- C = Calcite
- D = Dolomite
- Ay = Anhydrite

Sample	Species	Location	Mineralog
CORALS	\$1		
*S-4	Acropora cervicornis	British Hondurus	Ar
<b>S-</b> 3	Acropora palmata	Florida	Ar
*M-1	Montastrea annularis	Bernuda-Florida	Ar
M-2 Porites (sp. ?)		Bernuda-Florida	Ar≈C
*M-3	Siderastrea sidera	Bernuda-Florida	Ar
*M-5	Millepora alcicornis	Bernuda-Florida	С
MOLLUSC	S		
Pele	cypods		
*S-5	Rangia cuneata	Gulf Coast	Ar
* <b>S-</b> 8	Atrina serrata	Gulf Coast	C(10% Ar)
* <b>S-</b> 2	Crassostrea virginica	Atlantic Coast	C
50	Crepidula fornacata	Texas	Ar
51	Crassostrea virginica	Texas	С
<b>5</b> 2	Arca incongrua	Texas	Ar
Gast	ropods		
*S-1	Conus millipunctus	Atlantic Coast	Ar
<b>s-</b> 6	Strombus gigas	Atlantic Coast	Ar
Ceph	alapods		
*S-7	Nautilus pompilius	Atlantic Coast	Ar
ALGAE			
<b>*</b> *	**Halimeda	Bahamas	Ar

Shells of Recent Calcareous Marine Organisms

\* - collected dead.

\*\* - not known if living or dead when collected.
\*\*\* - this sample contined 13.9% insoluble residue.

······			
Sample	Species	Location	Mineralogy
MOLLUSC	5-Pelecypods		
1NC-2a	<u>Nitocris</u> <u>carinata</u>	Chenango R., N.Y.	Ar
9NC-3	<u>Nitocris</u> carinata	Chenango R., N.Y.	Ar
10NC-2c	<u>Mitocris</u> carinata	Chenango R., N.Y.	Ar
11NC-1	<u>Nitocris carinata</u>	Chenango R., N.Y.	Ar
NC-2b	<u>Nitocris carinata</u>	Chenango R., N.Y.	Ar
2AC#1	Andonta cataraeta (9.0-9.4)	Seneca Lake, N.Y.	Ar
3ac#3	Andonta cataraeta (8.0-8.5)	Seneca Lake, N.Y.	Ar
7AC#7	Andonta cataraeta (6.0-6.5)	Seneca Lake, N.Y.	Ar
8ac#4	Andonta cataraeta (7.5-8.0)	Seneca Lake, N.Y.	Ar
AC#6	Andonta cataraeta	Seneca Lake, N.Y.	Ar
5EC-4	Elliptio complanatus (6.5-7.0)	Seneca Lake, N.Y.	Ar
6EC-1	Elliptio complanatus (5.0-5.5)	Seneca Lake, N.Y.	Ar
12EC-6	Elliptio complanatus (7.5-8.0)	Seneca Lake, N.Y.	Ar
13EC-8	Elliptio complanatus (8.5-9.0)	Seneca Lake, N.Y.	Ar
EC-2	<u>Elliptio</u> complanatus	Seneca Lake, N.Y.	Ar
EC-3	Elliptio complanatus	Seneca Lake, N.Y.	Ar
EC-5	Elliptio complanatus	Seneca Lake, N.Y.	Ar
EC-7	Elliptio complanatus	Seneca Lake, N.Y.	Ar
GL-2	Gomiobasis liviscens (med.)	Green Lake, N.Y.	Ar
#13GL-3	Goniobasis liviscens (small)	Green Lake, N.Y.	Ar
AT-5	Lampsilis radiata	Seneca Lake, N.Y.	Ar

Shells of Recent Calcareous Fresh Water Organisms

Numbers in brackets refer to length (cm.) of shell

	Recent Cardonates						
Sample	Location	Age	Mineralogy	Description	% Insoluble Residue		
A217•1	Western tip of Andros Island, Bahamas	Recent*	C=D	High intertidal	0.0		
B5•2	Inagua Island, Bahamas	Recent	C≈D Ar (tr.)	Dolomite crust	0.0		
R-1	Bahamas	Recent	Ar	Oolite sand	0.2		
R-2	Bahamas	Recent	C(30% Ar)	Foraminiferal sand, skeletal	0.7		
R-3	Bahamas	Recent	C(5% Ar)	Carbonate mud. Shallow subtidal	6.8		

\*-0-60 years by C<sup>14</sup> dating (Shinn et al., 1965).

Sample	Species	Age	Formation	Location	Mineralogy
U-94	Spondylus sp.	Fleistocene	Belemont	Bermuda Gov't. Quarry	C
T-1	Spondylus sp.	Recent**	and the second	Harrington Sound, Bermuda	Ar
<b>U-48</b>	Cittarium pica	Pleistocene	Devonshire	Fort Devonshire, Bermuda	Ar>>c
*U-133	Cittarium pica	Recent***	0	Bailey's Bay, Bermuda	Ar
PR-2	Cittarium pica	Recent**		Puerto Rico	Ar>>c

\*\*-collected alive.

\*\*\*-> 200 years.

Cretaceous Pelecypod					
Sample	Species	Age	Formation	Location	Mineralogy
*	Cretaceous Oyster	Cretaceous	Belly River	Banff, Alberta	C

NY NO

\*-this sample contained 1.7% insoluble residue.

	Pleistocene Carbonates								
Sample	Location	Formation	Age		Mineralogy	% Insolubl	e Residue		
PP-68	?	1	Pleisto-Pl	Liocene	D	1.0			
P-1	Florida	Miami	Pleistocer	ne	C	0.7			
P-2	Florida	Miami	Pleistocer	10	C (minor Ar)	13.8			
P-3	Florida	Mi.ami.	Fleistocer	10	D≫c	0.2			
			Miocene Carbo	onates					
Sample*	Location	Age	Mineralogy	Descript	ion**		% Insoluble Residue		
R-36-46	Midway Atoll	Post-miocene	55% Mg-C 45% Ar	Unlithif:	ied sediment		0.2		
R-150	Midway Atoll	Post-miocene	50% C,45% Ar 5% Mg-C	Unlithif:	ied sediment		3.3		
R-219	Midway Atoll	Post-miocene	100% C	Partiall	y leached and rec	rystallized	1.3		
R-409	Midway Atoll	Post-miccone	100% C	Partiall	y leached and rec	rystallized	1.1		
R-425	Midway Atoll	Upper Miccone	100% D	Leached a	and recrystallize	d dolomite	3.5		
R-474	Midway Atoll	Upper Miccene	100% D	Leached a	and recrystallize	d dolomite	3.9		

\*-numbers in sample code refer to core depths. \*\*-see Ladd et al.(1967) for a detailed description.

Sample	Location	Age	Formation	Mineralogy	% Insoluble Residue
SH-IV-4-B	Fredricksburg, Pa	. L. Cretaceous	Edwards	D	11.0
BR-II-3-A	Fredricksburg, Pa	. L. Cretaceous	Edwards	D	9.0
LB-II-4-A	Fredricksburg, Pa	. L. Cretaceous	Edwards	C	2.1
S-I-13-B	Fredricksburg, Pa	. L. Cretaceous	Edwards	Ċ	1.5
BR-II-1-E	Fredricksburg, Pa	. L. Cretaceous	Edwards	C	3.6
CR-I-18-A	Fredricksburg, Pa	. L. Cretaceous	Edwards	C	1.7
MR-III-19-A	Fredricksburg, Pa	. L. Cretaceous	Edwards	C	2.8
SH-V-12-A	Fredricksburg, Pa	. L. Cretaceous	Edwards	C (minor D)	4.2
AR-I-2-A	Fredricksburg, Pa	. L. Cretaceous	Edwards	C C	2.0
CR-I-24-A	Fredricksburg, Pa	. L. Cretaceous	Edwards	C	1.1
MR-III-16-A	Fredricksburg, Pa	. L. Cretaceous	Edwards	C	1.7
AR-I-5-B	Fredricksburg, Pa	. L. Cretaceous	Edwards	C	2.2

Cretaceous Carbonates

Note - all samples are from outcrop. - see following page for description of depositional environment of these samples.

## Environmental Interpretation of Cretaceous Carbonates

Sample	Description			
SH-IV-4-B	Tidal flat dolomite.			
BR-II-3-A	Possibly replacement dolomite in tidal flat or shoal			
•	area.			
LB-II-4-A	Barrier trend. Good currents. Possible barrier flank.			
<b>S-I-13</b> -B	High energy - barrier, possibly beach or bar shoal area.			
BR-II-1-E	High energy, good currents, possible channel or break			
	in shoal area. Nose of migrating bar.			
CR-I-18-A	Quiet water, muddy lime bottom. Lagoonal environment.			
MR-III-19-A *	Bedded dolomite? - replacement or diagenetic. Lagoonal			
	area.			
SH-V-12-A	Rudist "mound" - biohermal - deeper, quieter water			
	relative to barrier. Lagoonal environment.			
AR-I-2-A	Local shoal area in lagoonal environment. Possible			
	tongue of barrier extending into lagoonal area.			
CR-I-24-A	Moderate energy currents on seaward side of barrier.			
MR-III-16-A	Shallow area around rudist mound. Possible thin			
	tongue from barrier extending into lagoonal area.			
AR-I-5-B	Rudist, oyster, biostrome. Lagoonal area, possibly			
	intermediate area between "mounds".			

\* X-ray analysis indicated only calcite in the sample analyzed.
Well	Location	Core Interval	Formation	Age	Mineralogy	% Insoluble Residue
Imperial	16-15-85-13W5	4606-10	Halfway	Triassic	Ay >> D	31.9
Boundary		4611-15	32		D	26.4
		4616-20			D	14.1
		4621-25			D(<10% Ay)	13.9
		4626-30		04	C(30% Ay)	7.2
		4631-35		NR I	C	4.0
Pan-Am IOE A-1 Willow	6-27-86-136	4031-32	Baldonnel.	-11-0	D	13.7
		4036-37			D	12.1
		4038-39		X.SV	C(1% D)	3.0
		4040-41			C(1% D)	3.4
		4042-43			C	4.7
Imperial	8-4-84-1316	44444	Charlie Lake		C(5%D, 10%Ay)	5.3
Bdylks		44450	00		C(20%D, 25%Ay)	7.1
Imperial	10-20-82-1215	44409			C	1.5
Pan-Am Bdylks		* 4411			C(<10% D)	5.2
*-fossilifer	ous.	4437			C=D=Ay	6.9

Triassic Carbonates

MISSISSIPPIAN Carbonates										
Well	Location	Sample	Core Interval	Formation	Age	Mineralogy	% Insoluble Residue			
Secony 1-25-11-26-4 Granum	1-25-11-26-4	AC-7	7070-7090	Rundle	Mississippian	D≈C	1.5			
	AC-23	7091-7100			D≫C	6.0				
		AC-6	7101-7130			D≫C	3.1			
		AC-18	7650-7675	Banîf		D≈C	33.7			
Pan-Am	13-17-21-4-5	AC-24	11197-11202	Turner Valley	3. 经	D	5.1			
A-1 Whiskey	Creek	AC-17	11210-11215	= 77	Ca and	D	8.0			
		AC-13	11230-11235	项信子	JAS.	D	2.1			
		AC-14	11245-11250	可以は	113 8	D	4.0			
		AC-26	11275-11280		84 . 19 .	D	1.3			
		AC-20	11290-11316			D	1.7			

C.A.C

			Devonia	an Carbonates			
Well	Location	Sample	Core Interval	Formation	Age	Mineralogy	% Insoluble Residue
Imperial Gibbons	2-16-56-22-4	AC-16	3225-3230	Wabamum	U. Devonian	D	18.1
Imperial	1-22-57-22-4	AC-1	3693-3708	Ireton		C	0.4
Lastgato		AC-10	3709-3724	Leduc		C	1.4
		AC-21	4526-4550	Cooking Lake		C	30.6
		AC-11	4551-4576		元 百	C	26.1
		AC-15	4727-4735	Beaverhill Lake	13 5	C	0.0
		AC-2	4736-4745	31977	Iz R	C	12.7
Banff	4-15-109-8-6	AC-12	5791-5805	Keg River	M. Devonian	D	32.6
Rainbow	West	AC-4	5806-5820	AM MA	A Real	D	10.0
		AC-3	5821-5838	-		D	10.0

		Də	vonian Carbona	tes		
Well	Location	Core Interval	Formation	Age	Mineralogy	% Insoluble Residue
B.A. Zama	12-21-117-416	4725	Muskeg Fm.	M. Devonian	D	3.5
X-92	4726	Zenia member		D	3.8	
	4727	C.		D	1.7	
		4728	62	WAR S.	D	4.6
		4729	B5 /1		D	2.5
		4730	NA for	ANO.	Ď	1.0
		4731	REALES.	12/12	g D	2.5
		4732		H Star	D	2.1
		4733		MAY	D	1.4
	*. 	4734	E		D	1.3
		4735	Rall		D .	4.5
•		4736			D	2.2
		4737			D	2.6
		4738			D	5.8
		4739			D	2.6

		D9	vontan Carbona	.es		
Well	Location	Core Interval	Formation	Δge	Mineralogy	% Insoluble Residue
X-92		4:74:0	Muskeg Fm.	M. Devonian	· D	2.2
		474 <u>1</u>	<u> 22ma mender</u>		D	4.9
		4765			D	2.2
		4766			D	0.3
		4768			D (7% Ay)	0.2
	4769			D	0.6	
	4770			D	0.6	
		4771			d (3% Ay)	0.3
		4772			α	0.4
		4773			D	1.5
		4774			D	0.1
		4775			D	0.3
		4776			D	0.5
		4777			D	0.8
		14778			D (3% Ay)	0.8

Carola m

,

Well.	Location	Core Interval	Formation	Age	Mineralogy	% Insoluble Residue
X-92		4779	Muskeg Fm.	M. Devonian	D (8% Ay)	1.9
		4780	Zema nemoor		D (8% Av)	0.5
		4781			D	0.4
		4782			D	0.5
		4783			D	0.3
		4:784:			d (7% Ay)	1.0
		4785			d (19% Ay)	2.3
		4791			d (37% Ay)	1.6
		4792			D (44% AV)	. 0.9
		4793			$\mathfrak{D}$	2,6
	۰,	4794			D	1.6
		4795	,		D	0.0
		4796	, ,		D	0.2

Devomian Carbonates

.

Note - Intervals 4725-4732 and 4791-4793 - Barrier reef framework. Intervals 4733-4741, 4768-4785, and 4794-4796 - Back reef debris (reef flat). Interval 4765-4766 - Patch reaf material.

Well.	Location	Core Interval	Formation	Age	Mineralogy	% Insoluble Residue				
B.A. Zama.	4-3-11-118-4W5	4782-4785	Upper Keg	M. Devoni.an	D	6.5				
1702 011 V Q4		4786-4790	ಕ್ರಮ ನಿಲ್ಲಿಗೆ		D	5.5				
2 x - y <u>+</u>		4796-4805			D	2.1				
		4806-4816			D	13.9				
		4817-4826			D	2,6				
		4827-4830			D	1.6				
		4831-4835			D	12.6				
		4836-4840			D	18.2				
		484 <u>1</u> -4845			D	17.5				
		4346-4850			D	9.7				
		4851-4860			D (10% Ay)	14.03				
		4861-4865			Ay> D	17.9				
		4866-4870			D = Ay	17.4				
		4871-4880			D (10% Ay)	8,9				
		488 <u>1-4885</u>			D = Ay	9,6				
		4886-4890			$  D \gg Ay$	1.7				

Well	Location	Core Interval	Formation	Age	Mineralogy	% Insoluble Residue
X-91		4891-4895	Upper Keg	M. Devonian	D>> Ay	2.8
		4896-4900	ILL VOL		D = Ay	4.1
		4901-4905			D >> Ay	1.2
		4906-4910			d (10% Ay)	2.0
		4911-4917			d (10% Ay)	1.2
		4918-4924			D (10% Ay)	2.1
		4925-4929			D	2.1
		4930-4934			D	6.3
		4935-4939			D	1.1
B.A. Zama	2-4- <u>11</u> 8-4\\S	4801-4809	Upper Keg	M. Devonian	D (10% Ay)	5.2
North		4822-4826	HLVCJ.		D (tr. Ay)	10.3
X-94		4827-4831	,		D (tr. Ay)	2.0
		4832-4836			D (tr. Ay)	1.3
		4837=48441			D (tr. Ay)	1.2
		4342-4846			D (tr. Ay)	4.1

Wall	Location	Core Interval	Formation	Age	Mineralogy	% Insoluble Residue
X=94		4847-485 <u>1</u>	Upper Keg	M. Devonian	D (tr. Ay)	1.7
		4852-4856	kludi		D (tr. Ay)	1.9
		4857-4861			D (tr. Ay)	3.2
		4867-4871			D (tr. Ay)	3.1
		4872-4876			D (10% Ay)	2.0
		4877-488 <u>1</u>			D	2.2
		4882-4886			D	1.9
		4887-489 <u>1</u>			D	1.4
B.A. Zama	12-22- <u>11</u> 7-4w5	4671-4675	Upper Køg River	M. Devonian	D (10% Ay)	3.9
7-94		4676-4680			D Ly	3.2
المي ميوه معلما		4681-4685			D (10% Ay)	3.7
		4686-4690			D (10% Ay)	1.5
		4691-4695			D	4₀2
		4696-4700			D	0.6
		4701-4705			D	1.6

Devonian Carbonates

.

139

		20	రాజముత్రముడి తారుగు రాజువు	540D		
Well	Location	Core Interval	Formation	Ago	Minoralogy	% Insoluble Residue
X-95		4706-4710	Upper Keg	M. Devonian	D	0.5
		4711-4715	Mraoz.		D	0.5
	4716-4720			D	0.3	
	Ly721-Ly725			D	0.4	
		4726-4730			D	0.5
		4731-4735			d (10% Ay)	1.2
		4736-4740			D	0.7
		4741-4745			D	0.6
		4746-4750			D	0.9
		4753-4759			D	0.9
		4760-4764			D	1.3
		14765-14769			D	0.6
		4770-4774			D	1.6
B.A. Zana	9-5- <u>114</u> -816	4869-4873	Upper Keg	M. Devonian	C	3.2
North X–97		4874-4878	livor		C	4.5

Well	Location	Core Interval	Formation	Ago	Mineralogy	% Insoluble Residue
X-97	X-97	4884-4888	Uppor Keg	M. Devonian	D	4.7
		4889-4893	rltor		D	2.5
		4894-4898			D (tr. C)	2.6
		4899-4903			D (tr. C)	2.1
		4904-4908			D	2.3
		4909-4913			D	2.01
	4914-4918			D	3.1	
		4919-4923			D	1.3
		4924-4928			D	1.6
		5513-5518			D = Ay	14.3
		5521-5525			D = Ay	11.2
		5526-5531			$D = \Delta y$	19.1
		5532-5536			D = Ay	20.7
		5537 <b>-</b> 5544			D = Ay	15.5
		554-2-5546			D = Ay	17.4

•

Well.	Location	Core Interval	Formation	Age	Mineralogy	% Insoluble Residue
x-97		5547-5551	Upper Keg	M. Devonian	Ay> D	25.6
		5552-5556	Terer		Ay > D	15.6
		5557-5561			Ay = D	27.1
		5562-5567			Ay > D	4.4
		5568-5572			Ay > D	2.6
B.A. Zema	A. Zoma <u>13-27-117-4</u> 85 rth 28	4318-4320	Muskog M. Devonia	M. Devo <u>nian</u>	C = D = Ay	20.3
North		4321-4325			C = Ay	24.4
57 <b></b> 5 CT		4326-4330			C	17.1
		4331-4335			C	30.8
		4336-4340			С	8.6
		4341-4345			C.	19.0
		4346-4350			С	7.8
		4351-4355			C (10% D)	4.5
		4356-4360			C = D	0.1
	4361-4365			С	1.3	

Devonian Carbonates

-			VOILLELL VELLOUIR	2003		
Well	Location	Core Interval	Formation	Age	Mineralogy	% Insoluble Residue
X-98		4366-4371	Muskeg	M. Devonian	C	0.9
		4372-4376			D≫ C	1.5
		4377-4381			D>C	1.6
		4382-4386			d (<5% Ay)	3.0
		4387-4391			д (<5% Ау)	1.3
		4392-4396			D	0.8
		4397-4401			d (<5% Ay)	0.9
		42:02-44:06			D	0.8
		44:07-44:11			D	0,8
		4412-4416			D	4.3
		4417-4421			D	1.6
		4422-4426			D	3.1
		4427-4432			D	3.0
		4728=4737	Upper Keg	M. Devonian	D	2.0
		4743-4747	<u>hiver</u>		D	3.7

.

Devonian Carbonates

Well	Losation	Core Interval	Formatilon	Age	Mineralogy	% Insoluble Residue
X-98		4748-4752	Upper Keg	M. Devonian	D	4.2
		4753-4757	tgraei.		D	1.3
		4758-4762			D	2.3
		4763-4767			${f D}$ .	1.5
		4768-4772			D	1.7
		4773-4777			D (<5% Ay)	2.8
		4778=4782			D	0.7
		4783-4787			d (<5% Ay)	2.3
		4788-4792			D (10% Ay)	2°7
		4793-4798			D	1.2
B.A. Zema	16-19-116-4475	4761-4766	Muskog Fm. Zawa wanbar	M. Devonian	D = Ay	12.0
A 60 1/07.00		4768-4771	Tenner menno el		Ay > D	19.9
Lister J J		4772-4776			Ay > D	25.1
		4777-4781			Ay > D	28.0
		4782-4786			D>Ay	3.3

Devonian Carbonates

Nell	Location	Core Interval	Formatiion	Age	Minerelogy	% Incoluble Residue
K-99		4787-4791	Muskeg Fm.	M. Dovonian	D = Ay	6.1
		4792-4796	Zama mende <b>r</b>		D (<5% Ay)	3-8
		4797-4801			D	1.8
		4802-4806			D (<5% Ay)	5.1
		4807-4311			D	2,9
		4817-4821			D	3.2
		4822-4826			d (<5% Ay)	2.4
		4827-4831			D	0.7
		4832-4836			D	0.8
		4837-4841			D	2.1
	·	4842-4846			D	1.2
		4847-4851			D	2.4
		4352-4856			D	3.2
		4857-4861			D = Ay	25.8
		4862-4866			Лу≫ D	20.6

Devonian Carbonatos

.

Vell	Location	Core Interval	Formation	Age	Mineralogy	% Insoluble Residue
B.A. Zama North	4-19-116-415	4840-4844	Muskeg Fm.	M. Devonian	Ay > D	23.1
V 400		4845-4849	E Jug Kong		Ay≫ D	19.1
20-200		4850-43 <i>5</i> 4			Ay≫ D	24.7
		4855-4859			D = Ay	6.8
		4860-4864			D = Ay	20 <u>.1</u>
		4865-4869			D (10% Ay)	1.9
		4870-4874			D (<5% Ay)	2.6
		4375-4879			D = Ay	3.8
		4880-4884			D> Ay	4.8
		4885-4389		~	D (< 5% Ay)	3.1
	۲	4890-4894			D (<5% Ay)	2.8
		4895-4399			D	5.5
		4900-4904			D	5.5
		4905-4909			D (10% Ay)	2.8
	4910-4914			D (<5% Ay)	1.7	
		4915-4919			D (<5% Ay)	1.3

	Devonian Carbonates								
Well.	Location	Core Interval	Formati.on	Age	Mineralogy	% Ins <b>oluble</b> Residue			
X- <u>1</u> 00		4920-4924	Muskog Fm. 1	M. Devonian	D (<5% Ay)	4.8			
		4925-4929	Zenio memoor		D	0.9			
		4930-4934			D	1.1			
		4935-4939			D	1.6			
		4940-4944			D (10% Ay)	4.7			
		4945-4949			Ay $\gg$ D	28.8			
		4950-4951			Ay » D	21.7			
B.A. Zoma	5-30-116-445	4770-49774	Muskeg Fm.	M. Dovonian	Ay» D	29.7			
Norug		4975-4979	7chs Herosl		Ay = D	11.1			
<u>~-101</u>		4980-4984			D≫ Лу	2.4			
		4985-4989			∆y≫ D	16.9			
		4990-4994			d (10% Ay)	2.4			
		4995-4999			D	0.6			
		5000-5004			D	5.7			
		5005-5009			D (<5% Ay)	3.3			

•		De	vonian Carbona	tes		
We <u>ll</u>	Location	Core Interval	Formation	Age	<u>Mineralogy</u>	% Insolubla Residue
X-101		5010-5014	Muskog Fn.	M. Devonian	D	1.6
		5015-5019	Acres measeds.		D	2.7
		5020-5024			C (>10% D)	<u>1</u> .0
		5025-5029			C = D	6.8
		5030-5034			D	2.2
		5035-5039			$\Delta y = D$	15.2
		5040-5044	ς.		Ay≫ D	34.1
		504.5-5049			$\Lambda_{T} \gg D$	14.0
		5050-50 <u>51</u>		, ,	Ay>> D	28.7
B.A. Zama	2-25-117- <i>5</i> %5	4922	Muskog Fm.	M. Devonian	D	5.2
North		4923	Zama. 11671097		D	5.6
X=114		4924-4925			D	0.8
		4926-4930			D	9,2
		493 <u>1</u> -4935			D (10% Ay)	0.9
		4936-4940			D	1.3

Well	Location	Core Interval	Formation	Age	Mineralogy	% Insoluble Residue
X-114		494 <u>1</u> -4945	Muskeg Fa.	M. Devonian	D	1.4
		4946-4950	<u>Zana menosr</u>		D = ∆y	1.5
		49 <u>51</u> -4955			∆y≫ D	34.1
		4956-4960			D = Ay	22.7
		5095-5099	Keg River	M. Devonian	C	0.4
		5100-5104			С	0.6
		5105-5109			C	0.3
		5110-5114			С	0.3
		5115-5119			С	0.0
		<u>5120-5124</u>			C	0.1
	`	5 <u>1</u> 26-5 <u>1</u> 29			C	2.0
		5130-5134			С	1.4
		5135-5139			C (D:Ay=5%)	1.4
		5 <u>1</u> 40-5 <u>1</u> 44			C	0.0
		5145-5150			C (tr. D)	0,0

#### Devonian Carbonates

Woll.	Location	Core Interval	Formation	Age	Minerelogy	% Insoluble Residue
B.A. Taylorton	1-8-2-6W2	8734-8739	Interlake	V. Silurian	D (tr. Ay)	2,5
		8754-8759	Greno		D	4.2
		8766-8769			D	1.4
		8801-8804			D	6.9
		8811-8815			D	5.9
		8820-8825			D	7.2
		8826-8829			D	3.8
Imporial	6-13-2-19W2	9121-9123	Intorlake Group	M. Silurian	D	2.2
an an as an a sub-		9129-9131			D	0.5
		9135-9137			D	1.0
		9139-9141			D	1.5
		9147-9149			D	1.5
		9152-9153			D	0.7
		9157-9159			D	2,9
		9163-9165			D	2.2

Well	Location	Core Interval	Formation	Ago	Mineralogy	% Insoluble Residue
Imporial	8=36=3=4W2	8278-8279	Interlako	L. Silurian	D	0.9
COU2752230		8293-8295	Group		D	0.4
		8277-8278			D	1.3
		8267-8268			D	1.6
		8255-8257			D	10.6
		8286-8289			D	1.5
		8297-8299			D	1.2
Sauplo						
GB-5	Beaver Falls	Cuterop	Lockport	L. Silurian	C (12% D)*	2.2
GB-6	Grinsby, Ont.		Gasport meno	JOL -	D (20% C)*	2.9
GSY-6	Dundas, Ont.				D	6.1

## Silurian Carbonates

1

.

\*-crinoidal.

.

.

,

······································		·				
wo <u>ll</u>	Lecation	Core <u>Interval</u>	Formation	Λge 	Mineral.ogy	& Insoluble Residue
B.A. Cen.	9=34=3=4W2	8 <i>5</i> 63-8568	Uppor Roduitzen	L.U.Ordovicia	n D	0.9
لائتينى قى تەرەپىكى		8569-8573	INSCRIPTION OF		D = C	1.4
		8579-3583			D (tr. Ay)	2.1
		8593-8597			Ay> C	9.2
		8607-8610			D	0.3
C.D.R. Sourry	1-14-1-117W2	10191-10195	Upper Redriver	L. U. Ordovicion	C = D	3.2
S. Lako Alm		10211-10213		olgoolgigu	D	1.1
Sample						
L-72	Marion, Pa.	Outerop	Row Park (St	M. Ordovician	C	2.1
L-77			zade droup)		C	0.8
L-78 .					C	1.9
L=79					C	1.8
L-272	Kaufman, Pa.	Outerop	Newmanicet	M. Ordovician	C	4.6
1-276			vsc Faul Grou	ip)	С	9.2
I-279					C	2.6
L-232					C	2.6

### Ordovician Carbonates

•

-

152

Sample	Location	Core Interval	Formation	Age	Mineralogy	% Insoluble Residue
L-202	Williamsburg,	Outcrop Nittany L. Ord	L. Ordovician	D	8.0	
59-337	Fd.●		Group		D	7.2
59-339					D	6.3
59-343					D	4.5
	•	Car	nbrian Carbona	tes		· 2
Sample	Location	Core Interval	Formation	Age	Mineralogy	% Insoluble Residue
59-1709	Henrietta,	Outcrop	Pleasant	M. Cambrian	C	4.6
59-1728	Diali Co. Fa.				C	3.8
59-1740					С	6.3

•

## Ordovician Carbonates

153

••

•

Sample	Location	Core Interval	Formatilon	Age	Mineralogy	% Insolvble Residue
p-Cutt	Waterton Lakes	s Outerop Waterton	Waterton	Pre-Cambrian	D≫ C	32.7
p-CW#2	alostos.			( <u>1</u> 000 m.y.)	D = C	30,3
p-CW#3					C≫ D	15.5
p-CW#4					$c \gg D$	12.5
p-CM#5					C (tr. D)	14.5
p-CW#6					C (tr. D)	18.0
<u>p</u> -C₩/12					C>> D	20.6
p-CW#13					C (tr. D)	10.5
*p-CW#14		•			C	7.9
p-C2∆%8	Waterton Lakes	Outerop	L. Altyn	Pro-Cambrian (1000 m.y.)	D	20.8
p=C2 <u>\</u> %	Alberta				D	<u>1</u> 8.3
p-C23∦10					D	4.3
p-C2B#11					D	7.8

Pre-Cambrian Carbonates

\*-stronatolite.

Sample	Location	Core Interval	Formation	Age	Mineralogy	% Insoluble Residue
B-1	Cataract Falls Blind River	Outcrop	Bruce Fm. Espanol a Grou	Pre-Cambrian $(1700 \text{ m} \text{ w})$	C	51.1
B-2	Ontario		mphaners aroup		С	58.4
B-3					C	50.8
E-3	Griffin Lake	Outerop	Espanole Fm.	Pre-Cambrian	D	51.5
E-4	nspanota, onte,		Espanola Grouj	p (1700 m.y.)	D	31.3

# Pre-Cambrian Carbonates

#### Pre-Cambrian Carbonates - D Series

•

Sample	Location	Core Interval	Formation	Age	Mineralogy	% Insoluble Residue
D-1	30 miles S.W.	100	Transva <i>a</i> l	Pre-Cambrian	D	17.6
D-3	S. Africa	520		(1900 m.y.)	D	2.2
D-5		970			D	2.0
D-7		1480			D	2.1
D-9		1060			D	2.3
D-11		2500			D	2.1
D-13	····	3040			D	3.7

155

Samplo	Location *	Age	Mineralogy	% Insoluble Residue	Description
<b>T-68-0043</b>	Thompson Mine	Pre-Cambrian	D	13.7	Crystelline marble
'I68-0044	Pipe Mine		D (<5% C)	5.3	Vuggy marble
168 <b>-00</b> 45	Klippor Lake		d (<5% C)	<u>1</u> 6.2	Very fine-grained namble
T68-0046	Thompson Mino area		D	19.2	Marolo
T68-2802	Birchtree area		D	4.2	Marble
T68-2848	Birchtree Mine		D	4.07	Marble

Pre-Cambrian Marbles

Note - core samples, except samples T68-2802 and T68-2848 which are outcrop samples.

.

\*-Thompson, Manitoba

,

## APPENDIX II

ANALYFICAL RESULTS

Note:

.

All values in ppm unless otherwise indicated. Cl/Br ratios expressed as weight ratios.

Sample	FT	Cl. <sub>T</sub>	Br <sub>T</sub>	Cl/Br <sub>T</sub>	F.,	Cl <sub>W</sub>	Br <sub>W</sub>	Cl/Br <sub>W</sub>	\$ P205
M-1(a)*	725	8000	90.0	88.9	13.5	6250	68.8	90.8	0.00813
M-1(b)*	725	2600	75.0	34.7	17.0	3200	43.8	73.1	0.00513
M∞1(c)*	675	1600	32.0	42.0	18.0	1,300	21.0	61.9	0.00963
M⇔2	825	3900	85.0	45.9	17.5	3000	38.8	77.3	
M-3	725	5000	100	<i>5</i> 0.0	18.0	5000	<u>5</u> 5.0	90.9	0.00888
M-5	9 <i>5</i> 0	6500	178	36.6	12.0	6750	75.0	89.3	0.00225
S-1	5.0	380	13.0	29.3	3.0	175	6.8	25.7	
5=2	19.0	550	15.0	36.7	4.3	550	15.0	36.7	
S-3	1250	1250	26.5	47.2	29.5	475	<u>1</u> 4.0	33.9	0.00615
s-4	<b>10</b> 00	300	8.5	35.3	46.3	100	3.3	30.3	
S5	<i>5</i> °0	23	2.8	8.4	5.5	23	2.2	10.5	0.01163
s-6	<b>5</b> .0	625	16.5	37.9	4.1	350	8.8	39.8	
s⊸7	42.0	1800	60.0	30.0	6.9	1150	23.8	48.3	0.00 <u>51</u> 3
<b>S</b> ≂8	12.5	485	13.0	37.3	3.1	250	12.5	20.0	0.00900
Halimeda	1511	135	0						
50	14.9	460	36.0	12.8	7.4	290	10.0	29.0	
51	21.05	820	38.0	21.6	6.5	820	19.5	42.1	
52	26.4	2680	75.0	35.7	9.4	1340	31.0	43.2	

Recent Celcareous Marine Organisms

.

.

\* - all three samples from different segments of the skeleton of <u>Monastrea</u> annularis.

.

	Recent	t Calcare	ous tr	osn ware	r orga	nlsms		
Sample	${}^{\mathrm{F}}\mathrm{T}$	cl <sub>T</sub>	$^{ m Br}T$	Cl/Br <sub>T</sub>	FW	CT₩	Br <sub>W</sub>	Cl/Br <sub>W</sub>
1.NC-2a	7.6	52.0	22.4	2.3	5.6	49.0	4.0	12.3
9nc-3	9.8	76.0	27.0	2.8	7.8	85.0	1.0	85.0
10NC-20	4.8	72.0	20.0	3.6	2.2	60.0	8.8	6.8
11NC-1	4.7	69.0	30.0	2.3	2.7	42.0	4.4	9.6
NC-2b	1.3	17.5	14.0	1.3	1.3	17.5	4.8	1.3
2AC#1	8.3	140	20.0	7.0	6.3	45.0	3.5	12.9
3AC#3	6.1	92.0	25.0	3.7	4.1	39.0	4.4	8.9
7AC#7	5.1	60.0	25.0	2.4	3.1	57.5	5.5	10.5
8AC#4	4.6	92.0	39.4	2.3	2.6	47.0	7.0	6.7
AC#6	3.0	18.0	14.5	1.2	1.6	20.0	5.4	1.4
5EC-4	5.1	76.0	2 <u>1</u> .0	3.6	3.1	75.0	6.0	12.5
6≝c⊷1	5.6	107	25.0	4.3	3.6	70.0	7.5	9.3
1200-6	4.2	76.0	22.4	3.4	2.2	57.5	4.0	14.4
13EC-8	4.6	114	55.3	2.1	2.6	90.0	12.0	7.5
EC=2	3.9	25.0	20.0	1.2	1.1	37.0	6.8	1.9
EC-3	2.0	25.0	17.5	1.14	1.7	28.0	7.8	1.6
EÇ5	1.3	25.0	21.5	1.2	1.1	30.0	6.5	1.4
EC-7	6.9	16.5	15.0	1.1	1.6	20.0	6.3	1.3
GL∞2	2.3	23.0	15.0	1.5	1.3	18.7	4.5	1.3
#13GL-3	2.7	38.0	16.0	2.4	3.0	31.7	7.5	1.9
AT-5	1.6	14.5	14.5	1.0	1.6	- 22.5	6.8	1.6

•

•

.

•

.

•

		<b>_</b> 55		2001860	8					
Sauple	F <sub>T</sub>	cj. <sup>L</sup>	$\mathbb{B}^{2n}$ T	cl/Br <sub>T</sub>	F H	Cl. W	Br <sub>W</sub>	Cl/Bi <sub>W</sub>		
R-1	1400	1325	43.0	30.8	27.5	1125	<u>1</u> 2.0	93.7		
R-2	1157	6035	95.6	63.1	19.5	4625	46.2	100		
R3	616	16080	179.6	89.5	13.3	11750	115	102.2		
B=5.2*	575	15500	200	77.5	9.0	13500	107.5	125.5		
A=217°1	775	12000	160	85.0	13.0	11750	92.5	127.1		
* - This sample contained 0.0775% P_0_25°										
	Rocont -	Pleistece	ne Calc	areous l	Varina	Pelecypo	ds			
T-1	34.0	25.0	24.5	10.2	9.2	250	2.0	125		
<b>U-9</b> 4	48.0	50.0	44.0	1.2	6.0	57.5	1.4	41.1		
PR-2	21.5	850	25.5	33.3	4,.8	650	10.8	60.5		
V-133	125	2500	39.0	64.1	11.0	2250	30.0	75.0		
U-48	95.0	575	20.0	28.8	19.0	450	7∘3	62.1		
		Flei	.stocene	Carbon	ates					
PP-68	656	80.8	6.6	12.3						
₽⊶1	453	141	3.0	46.9	15.8	105	3.5	30.0		
P=2	191	31.3	<1	>30	9.3	36.3	2.0	18.2		
P=3	320	145	57.5	2.5	9.8	113	7.0	16.1		
		Mi.	.ocene C	arbonate	<b>e</b> 5					
R=36=46	1050	2125	47.0	45.2	15.8	1925	27.5	70.0		
R-150	750	4912	67.2	73.9	36.3	4500	47.5	95.8		
R-219	185	3846	47.6	80.8	13.3	3325	33.5	98.7		
R=409	91.1	5005	60.7	82.4	9.0	4250	43.2	98 <i>.</i> 4		

·

(Miccone continued)

Sample	Fr	сі <sub>т</sub>	B2°T	cl/Br <sub>T</sub>	FW	C] <sub>W</sub>	Br <sub>W</sub>	Cl/Br <sub>W</sub>
R-425	186	8547	93.3	91.6	7.3	7750	75.0	103
R-474	665	1456	17.2	84.7	12.0	1438	14.5	99.2
		Cret	lcoous	Cerbona	tes			
AR-I-2-A	26.5	42.3	4.9	8.7	2.2	14.0	1.1	12.7
<b>S=I=13</b> =B	26.4	112	12.9	8.7	3.2	14.5	0.9	15.8
CR-I-18-A	34.6	53.4	5.3	10.0	0.0	13.5	0.2	90.0
NR-III-19-A	35.0	50.9	5.1	9.9	2.2	14.5	0.8	18.1
MR-III-16-A	33.1	45.8	<u>1</u> .0	45°0	2.5	12.0	0.6	20,0
SH-V-12-A	58.5	96.6	6.0	16.1	2.9	84.0	2.3	36.5
AR-I-5-B	60.4	65.5	6.9	9.5	4.3	20.0	0.3	66.7
SH=JV=4=B	382	4883	214	22.6	3.9	3800	37.0	103
BR-II-3-A	403	188	20.7	9.1	7.2	92.0	0.3	307
IB=II=4-A	52.6	44.5	4.6	9.7	3.6	13.0	0.4	32.5
BR-II-1-E	41.5	54.5	7.0	7.8	3.2	25.0	1.4	17.9
CR~I=24=A	56.1	4.7.6	5.0	9.5	2.6	13.0	0.3	56.5
		Cre	taccous	s Pelecy	pod			
Cret. Oyste	: 73.6	<i>5</i> 3°3	1.6	<b>3</b> 3.9	2.5	32.5	1.5	21.7
		Tri	assic (	Cerbonat	95			
4605-10	73.4	844	12.5	67.5	5.8	525	11.5	45.7
4611-15	1356	2983	35.3	84.5	13.8	1800	19.5	92.5
4616-20	1164	4947	50°1	98.7	18.0	3625	34.02	105
4621-25	725	1305	16.2	80.6	4.8	825	17.5	47.1

(Triassic continued)

Sample	$F_{\mathrm{T}}$	Cl <sub>T</sub>	Br <sub>T</sub>	cl/Br <sub>T</sub>	FW	Cl	Br <sub>W</sub>	Cl/Br <sub>W</sub>
4626-30	121	1372	18.8	73.0	1.7	937	11.5	81.5
4631-35	93.6	1404	17.2	81.6	1.7	1213	13.2	91.9
4031-32	869	1245	49.2	25.3	17.5	1025	36.0	28.5
4036-37	1250	625	7.9	78.6				
4038-39	170	129	3.6	<u>3</u> 5.8	9.0	140	4.7	29.4
4040-41	171	109	4.7	23.1	9.0	135	3.0	45.0
4042=43	330	126	3.8	33.1				
4444	111	507	10.6	47.8	2.4	425	7.0	60.1
44:50	231	646	12.9	50.1	2.5	500	8.4	59.7
44:09	114	140	2.8	50°0	3.9	95.0	4.3	22.1
4411	216	100	1.4	70.4	4.9	105	3.0	35.0
44:37	354	183	3.4	54.0	4.3	108	2.5	43.0
		Miss	issippi	an Carbo	nates			
AC-7	263	384	17.7	21.7	16.8	260	7.6	34.2
AC-23	371	<u>31</u> 8	15.4	20.7	17.5	220	<b>7</b> .8	28.2
AC-6	464	391	10.3	38.0	11.0	260	5.4	48.1
AC-18	378	<u>1</u> 66	6.3	26.2	13.5	120	8.0	15.0
AC-24	336	<b>2</b> 84	<u>1</u> 6.8	16.9	5.6	140	3.1	45.2
AC-17	180	104	9.1	11.5	8.1	115	11.0	10.5
AC-13	459	408	<u>1</u> 6.8	211.2	7.8	220	11.0	20.0
AC-14	510	494	13.5	35.5	6.4	340	9.0	37.8
AC-26	82.4	391	19.1	20.5	4.9	270	8.0	33.8
AC-20	439	357	11.0	32.4	8.8	240	8.8	27.3

.

	•	U e	NOA OTTERS		0,000			
Sample	F <sub>T</sub>	cl <sub>T</sub>	$^{\mathrm{Br}}\mathrm{T}$	Cl/Br <sub>T</sub>	F <sub>W</sub>	Cl	Br <sub>W</sub>	<b>CJ./</b> Br <sub>W</sub>
AC-16	168	1464	29.2	50.0	8.8	1070	18.5	57.8
AC-1	15.0	475	14.5	32.7	1.7	440	9.0	48.9
AC-10	27.8	859	20.7	41.5	2.3	830	14.0	59.3
AC-21	101	2232	86.4	25.0	10.0	1550	25.0	62.0
AC-11	80.4	1675	<b>3</b> 3.5	50°0	8.4	1180	18.5	63.8
AC-15	56.8	2875	120.4	23.9	3.5	2700	35.0	75.0
AC-2	46.0	1093	21.3	51°4	6.0	830	18.5	Щ.9
		М.	Devonian	Carbon	ates			
AC-12	137	311	10.0	31.1	2.2	135	4.4	30.7
AC-4	500	683	<u>1</u> 8.3	37.3	3.9	385	13.0	29.6
AC-3	153	161	18.3	8.8	2.0	125	2.7	46.3
X114								
5095-99	115	1225	20.0	61.3	21.8	1250	19.3	64.8
5100-04	115	900	17.0	53°0				
5105-09	115	1550	26.5	56.7	15.3	1500	24.8	60.5
5110-14	87.0	1850	31.5	58.7	12.8	1875	23.5	<b>7</b> 9.8
5115-19	140	1750	31.5	55°5	17.5	1700	23.5	72.3
5120-24	150	1550	27.5	56.4	10.0	1540	22.5	68.5
5125-29	94.9	<u>163</u> 2	36.7	44.5	4.0	<u>1</u> 625	21.3	76.3
5130-34	81.0	2732	40.0	68.4	10.0	2250	25.0	90.0
51.35-3 <sup>9</sup>	68.9	2225	36.4	61.1	3.5	1925	22.5	85.6
5140-44	87.0	2125	36.0	59.0	8.8	2075	24,8	83.7
<b>51</b> 45-50	188	2200	37.5	58.8	25.0	2175	25.0	87.0

•

U. Devonian Carbonatos

Saupl	Le	ET	\$ Anhydrite	Sauple	F <sub>T</sub>	% Anhydrite
<b>X-</b> 92	4725	145	0	X-92 4771	340	3
	4726	213	0	4772	904	0
	4727	209	0	4773	659	0
	4728	231	0	4774	840	0
	4729	441	0	14775	1100	0
	4730	369	0	4776	734	0
	4731	544	0	4777	907	0
	4732	332	0	4778	736	Ļ.
	4733	689	0	4779	306	8
	4734	400	0	4780	1106	8
	4735	429	0	4781	984	0
	4736	4.04;	0	4782	1255	0
	4737	293	0	4783	<sup>-</sup> 980	0
	4738	254	0	4784	242	7
	4739	221	0	4785	184	19
	<i>4</i> 740	220	0	4791	711	37
	4741	168	0.	4792	373	4
•	4765	807	0	4793	1130	0.
	4766	1250	0	4794	1056	0
	4768	1100	7	4795	790	0
·	4769	573	0	4796	670	0
	4770	704	0		•	

.

M. Devonian Carbonates

.

,

F T Sample Sample  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{T}}$ Sample  $F_{T}$ 890 X-95 4706-10 x-91 4930-34 53.7 X-91 4782-85 214 4711-15 970 4935-39 35.4 4786-90 286 1200 4716-20 X-94 4801-09 237 4796-05 868 4721-25 1100 4822-26 2788 4805-16 581 4726-30 780 908 4827-31 4817=26 873 577 790 4731-35 4832-36 650 4827-30 600 698 4736-40 4831-35 4837-41 425 4741-45 2100 490 4842-46 4836-40 324 4746-50 111 4847-51 702 4841-45 224 4753-59 75.7 673 4852-56 4846-50 443 4760-64 284 599 4857-61 4851-60 228 190 403 4765-69 4867-71 4861-65 171 4770-74 335 4872-76 673 4866-70 133 X-97 4869-73 30.0 4877-81 440 4871-80 203 4874-78 22.5 4882-86 418 4881-85 98.4 4884-88 35.1 4887-91 436 4886-90 1374889-93 49.3 X-95 4671-75 603 4891-95 288 4894-98 45.2 4676-80 196 4896-00 136 4899-03 51.1 4681-85 343 4901-05 87.0 4904-05 45.0 4686-90 477 4906-10 46.9 39.4 4909-13 4691-95 4911-17 53.6 100 4914-18 38.2 4918-24 49.0 4696=00 780 4919-23 32.5 4925-29 4701-05 1372 71.5

(M. Devonian Carbonatos continued)

Samp	le	FT	Sauple	FT	Sample	F T
X-97	4924-28	<b>5</b> 0 <sub>°</sub> 8	x∞98 4372-76	234	<b>x-98</b> 4793-98	132
	5513-18	77.0	4377-81	147	X-101 4970-74	145
	5521-25	74.3	4382-86	237	4975-79	292
	5526-31	72.9	4387-91	436	4980-84	302
	5532-36	68.1	4392-96	343	4985-89	181
	5537-41	96.6	4397-01	434	<b>4990</b> -91	220
	5542-46	103	4402-06	635	4995-99	470
	5547-51	128	4407-11	<i>ly</i> 7ly	5000 <u>0</u> 4	127
	<b>5</b> 552-56	49.4	4412-16	355	500509	124
	5557-6 <u>1</u>	35.7	4417-21	457	5010~14	539
	5562-67	66.9	4422-26	158	5015-19	977
	5568-72	91.4	44:27=32	278	5020-2 <sup>1</sup> +	434
<b>x</b> -98	4318-20	30.1	4728-37	286	5025-29	665
	4321-25	27.8	4743-47	550	5030-34	337
	432630	19.3	4748-52	100	5035-39	990
	433 <u>1</u> -35	20.2	4753-57	689	5040-44	129
	4336-40	25.2	4758=62	859	5045-49	343
	4341-45	21.0	4763=67	761	<u>5050-51</u>	126
	4346-50	19.7	4768-72	976		
	4351-55	64.9	4773-77	803		
	4356-60	230	4778=82	890		
	4361-65	75°0	4783-87	107		
	4366-71	50.5	4768-92	420		

(M. Devomian Carbonates continued)
(M. Devonian Carbonatos continued)

Sample		F <sub>T</sub> % P <sub>2</sub> <sup>0</sup> 5		Sanple	FT	Sample	F <sub>T</sub>
<b>x</b> -99	4761-66	569	.00300	X-100 4855-59	1073	X-114 4926-30	694
	4768-71	193	。01440	4860=64	250	4931-35	757
	4772-76	1.94	.01105	4865-69	550	4936=40	329
	4777-81	653	.00502	487074	852	4941-45	324
	4782-86	589	.00520	4875-79	738	4946-50	1020
	4787-91	383	°00662	4880-84	242	49 <u>51</u> -55	393
	4792-96	956	.01495	4885-89	124	4956=60	133
	4797-01	520	.00922	. 4890-94	165		
	4802-05	225	。00 <u>1</u> 45	4895-99	102		
	4807-11	160	.00648	4900-0 <sup>1</sup> 4	80.3	i da se	
	4817-21	109	.00650	4905=09.	165		
	4822-26	174	,00471	<b>4910-1</b> 4	163		
	4827-3 <u>1</u>	400	.00838	4915-19	527		
	4832-36	423	.00615	4920-24	1050		
	4837-41	218	.00766	4925-29	404		
	4842-46	455	.00724	4930-34	324		
	4847-51	121	。00784	4935-39	102	•	
	4852-56	754	.00650	4940-44	600		
	4857-61	836	.00420	4945-49	189		
	4862-66	183	°00455	4950-51	128		
<u>x-1</u> 00	4840-44	48.	1	X-114 4922	232		
	4845-49	124		4923	196		
	4850-54	179		4924=25	328		

.

-....

•

Supratidal		Intertidel		Turbulent Subtidal		
Sauplo	F <sub>T</sub>	Sample	F <sub>.p</sub>	Sample	F <sub>T</sub>	
x-99 4768-71	193	x-99 4761-66	569	X-100 4920-24	1050	
X-99 4772-76	194	x=99 4782-86	589	X=100 4930=34	324	
X-99 4777-81	653	x-99 4822-26	174	x-100 4935-39	102	
<b>x-99</b> 4787-91	383	x=99 4827-31	400	X-101 4990-94	220	
<b>x-99</b> 4857-61	836	x-99 4832-36	423	X-101 4995-99	470	
x-99 4862-66	183	x-99 4837-41	<b>21</b> 8	X=101 5010=14	539	
X=100 4845=49	124	x-99 4842-46	455	X-101 5015-19	977	
X-100 4850-54	179	x-99 4847-51	121	X-101 5020-24	434	
x-100 4860-64	250	x-99 4852-56	754	X-101 5025-29	665	
X=100 4945=49	189	x-99 4802-06	225	X=101 5030=34	337	
<b>X-100</b> 4950-51	128	X∞100 4940=44	600	X-114 4922	232	
X=101 4970=74	145	x=100 4925=29	404	X=114 4923	196	
X-101 4975-79	292	·		X-114 4924-25	328	
<b>X-101</b> 4980-84	302	Turbulent Subt	idal	X=114 4926=30	694	
X-101 4985-89	181	x-99 4792-96	956	X-114 4931-35	757	
<b>X-101</b> 5135-39	990	x=99 4797=0 <u>1</u>	520	X=114 4936=40	<b>3</b> 29	
X-101 5140-44	1.29	X-100 4855-59	1073	X-114 4941-45	324	
X-101 5145-49	343	X=100 4865=69	550	X= <u>114</u> 4946=50	1020	
X-101 5150-51	126	X=100 4870=74	852	Ani of Subtudal	*~~	
<b>X-11</b> 4 4951-55	393	X=1.00 4875=79	738	Lagoopal	69	
<b>X-11</b> 4 4956-60	. 1.33	X=100 4881=84	24:2	x-99 4807-11	160	
₩₽1₩ ₩₩7₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩		X-100 4915-19	527	<u>x-99 4817-21</u>	109	

•

M. Devomian Carbonates Grouped According to Depositional Environment

Quiet	Subtidal Lagoonal	ቲo
Sample	9	F
X∞100	4840-44	48.1
X-100	4885-89	124
X-100	489094	165
X⇔100	4895-99	102
X-100	490004	80.3
X-100	4905-09	165
X-100	4910-14	163
X-101	5000-04	127
X-101	5000-09	124

•

.

، ` م

. .

.

-

,

· .

Sample	F <sub>m</sub>	Cl <sub>r</sub>	Br <sub>m</sub>	Cl/Br <sub>op</sub>	F <sub>W</sub>	CJ <sub>T.F</sub>	Br <sub>W</sub>	C1/Br <sub>U</sub>
	164	2770	<u>*</u>	77.2				
8754-59	131	2923	41.8	69.9				
876669	125	4802	63.7	75°4				
8801-04	91.5	2636	<b>53</b> .8	62.8				
8811-15	239	297 <sup>1</sup> +	46.2	64.4				
882025	143	2479	<b>3</b> 5.0	70.8				
8826-29	119	2180	30.1	72.3				
		Mo	Silurian	Carbona	tes			
9121-23	118	<b>1</b> 891	35.3	53.6				
9129-31	165	875	29.0	30.2		·		
9135-37	172	1869	29.3	63.8				
9139-41	193	1930	33.5	57.6				
9147=49	109	2134	<i>5</i> 0.8	42.0				
91.52-53	151	1459	59°4	24.6				
91.57-59	56.7	350	67.0	5.2				
9163-65	179	1329	20.4	65.2				
		L.	Si.luri.an	Carbona	tes			
8255-57	190	470	16.8	28.0				
8267-68	193	394	20.3	19.4				
8277-78	152	710	23.3	30.5				
8278-79	162	7112	27.3	27.2				
8288-89	193	406	15.2	26.7				
8293-95	170	420	12.0	35.0				

U. Silurian Carbonates

Sample	<b>F</b> T	Cl <sub>T</sub>	${}^{\mathrm{Br}}\mathrm{T}$	Cl/Br <sub>T</sub>	F <sub>W</sub>	Cl.	Bx.W	Cl/Br <sub>W</sub>
8297-99	162	405	17.2	23.5		99900	an a	
GB~5	25.5	663	22.4	29.5	2.3	635	<u>1</u> 9.0	33°4
GB-6	51.5	4 <u>1</u> 2	<u>1</u> 8.5	22.2	6.9	350	9.0	38.9
gsi-6	235	883	34.2	25.8	4.9	624	32.5	19.2
		L.U. O	rdovici	an Carb	onates			
8563-68	152	9343	101	92.5				
8569-73	335	1,521	23.3	65.3				
8579-83	51 <sup>4</sup> +	1226	<u>1</u> 8.9	64.9				
8593-97	220	551	8.0	65.2				
8607-10	230	2250	34.5	65.2				
10191-95	392	1060	20.7	51.2				
102 <u>11</u> -13	38 <i>5</i>	2530	38.5	65.7				
		M. Or	dovicia	n Carbo	nates			
L-72	28.6	56:0	8.2	6.9	5.5	37.5	1.9	19.7
L-77	10.1	25.5	4.9	5.3	4.0	<b>3</b> 5.0	2.2	15.9
L-78	13.8	20.4	4. h	4.7	3.6	25.Ż	2.2	11.4
L-79	13.8	21.4	体。快	4.9	4.5	27.5	1.9	14.4
L-272	48.8	15.2	4.1	3.7	5.1	18.5	2.0	9.2
L-276	<u>11</u> 8	<u>1</u> 8°5	4.3	4.2	21.5	<u>1</u> 5.0	2.8	6.3
L-279	43.3	15.5	3.4	4.5	15.0	23.8	2.2	10.8
L-282	40.2	11.8	3.9	3.1	4.9	14.3	1.9	7.5

•

(L. Silurian continued)

.

.

.

		20 621	الما المصحية ولم الا الما ولي	11 60,600.	1.0000			
Semple	F T	Cl_T	Br, T	Cl/Br T	F W	сі "	Br W	Cl/Br <sub>W</sub>
L-202	28.3	125	10.1	12.4	6.3	90.0	3.8	24.0
59-337	42.1	75.6	5.4	14.0	3.0	<b>5</b> 2.5	2.2	23.6
<b>59-3</b> 39	30.0	150	9.4	16.0	10.5	55.0	2.5	22.0
<i>593</i> 43	23.1	78.8	6.3	12.5	5.5	67.5	1.9	<b>3</b> 5.5
		Can	orian C	arbonat	38			
59- <u>1</u> 709	52.4	175	14.8	11.8				
59-1728	62.3	318	28.2	11.1				
59-1740	56.0	299	28.8	10.4				
		pre-Ca	anbrian	. Carbon	ates			
p=C₩#1	69.0	145	3.9	37.1	3.2	19.0	1.5	12.7
p=C₩ <u>#</u> 2	63.8	359	11.1	32.3	8.5	27.0	3.7	7.3
p-C₩#3	39.5	205	5.9	34.9	25.0	21.5	1.9	11.3
p-Cw#4	36.0	217	6.6	32.9	2.6	45.0	2.8	16.1
p=C₩#5	28.1	76.1	3.5	21.7	1.6	40.0	2.0	20.0
p=C₩#6	23.2	76.3	3.8	20.1	1.6	35.0	2.0	17.5
p=C2 <u>/</u> ∦8	54.6	256	14.8	17.3	1.7	38.0	2.0	19.0
p=C2 <u>∧</u> #9	52.0	223	12.9	17.3	3.5	58.0	3.5	16.6
p-C2B#10	46.6	78.5	4.7	16.7	1.7	25.0	1.9	13.2
p-C2B#11	86.7	136	10.3	13.2	5.5	72.0	4.6	15.7
p-C₩#12	59.1	44。0	8.5	5.1	5.0	40.0	1.7	23.5
p-C₩#13	22.9	47.5	3.7	12.8	1.4	34.0	1.6	21.3
p=Civ#14	21.7	48.9	4.5	10.8	<u>1</u> .0	26.0	1.3	20.0

.

.

Sample	$\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{T}}$	CL <sub>L</sub>	${}^{\mathrm{Br}}{}_{\mathbf{T}}$	$cl/Br_T$	F W	ст М	$\operatorname{Br}_W$	Cl/Br <sub>W</sub>
D-1	112	243	17.0	14.3		aran da minan da <b>K</b> ington da da mana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang	an an tha an	******
D-3	118	99.7	9.2	10.8				
D-5	24:0	265	15.3	17.3				
D-7	94.5	133	10.2	13.0				
D-9	169	389	22.5	17.3				
D-11	61.3	99.7	6.4	15.6				
D-13	48.3	57.1	6.2	9.2				
B-1	68.8	37.0	7.1	5.2	2.4	13.0	1.4	7.1
B=2	66.0	36.0	8.2	4。4	2.2	12.5	1.5	8.7
B <b>⊸</b> 3	53.8	28.4	6.7	4.2	1.8	14.5	2.7	4.3
E-3	24.7	0.0	1.0	0.0	4.6	18.0	4.6	3.9
E-4	10.9	0.0	11.9	0.0	3.3	26.0	2.3	11.3
		pre-	-Cambri	an Marbl	.95			
T68-0043	25.5	695	32.4	21.4				
T68-0044	36.4	565	24.3	23.3				
T68-0045	62.7	699	24.3	28.7		•		
<b>T68-00</b> 46	17.3	<b>5</b> 0.8	4.1	12.4				
<u> 168–2802</u>	2.0	783	52.2	15.0				
168-2848	27.3	2205	87.6	25.0				

(pre-Cambrian continued)