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It is unclear whether mesothelin expression in triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) is an independent prognostic marker for survival. To the best of our knowledge, no systematic review or meta-analysis has ever been done on this topic. The present systematic review aims to evaluate the role of mesothelin as a prognostic marker for TNBC. The primary objective of this review is to synthesize available evidence on the association between the expression of mesothelin and overall survival (OS) of patients with TNBC. The secondary objectives include determining the relationship between the expression of mesothelin and disease-free survival (DFS), distant metastases, and mortality. Despite some limitations, this study shows a significant association between mesothelin expressions and long-term OS rate as well as DFS rate and mortality rate in patients with TNBC. Mesothelin has a prognostic significance for patients with mesothelin based on our findings. Patients with mesothelin-positive TNBC could benefit from mesothelin-targeted immunotherapies in development.
ABSTRACT

Background and Objectives:

Mesothelin, identified as a tumor-associated biomarker, is more often overexpressed in triple receptor-negative breast cancer (TNBC) than in common luminal breast tumor subtype or normal tissues. The objective of this systematic review is to determine the association between the expressions of mesothelin with survival outcomes in patients with TNBC.

Methods

We searched the following electronic databases: MEDLINE, EMBASE, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, PubMed, and Web of Science with no time or language restriction till May 19, 2016. Any prospective or retrospective longitudinal studies that investigate the prognosis of TNBC with mesothelin baseline measurement were selected. Two reviewers independently assessed every article for inclusion, extracted data, and assessed the methodological quality of every eligible trial. Pooled measures of associations were summarized with meta-analyses.

Results and conclusions
Among the 592 patients with TNBC included in the four eligible studies, 269 patients (45.4%) demonstrated mesothelin expression. For the primary outcome OS, we found the trend toward decreased survival for patients with mesothelin-positive TNBC than those without mesothelin expression. We also found that for long-term OS, the association was statistically significant (OR = 0.46; 95% CI= 0.30 to 0.73; P < 0.001). For the secondary outcomes, we found that mesothelin expression in patients with TNBC was associated with lower DFS and higher overall mortality than those without mesothelin expression. Despite the limitations of sample size, this present study shows a significant association between mesothelin expressions and survival outcomes in patients with TNBC. Patients with mesothelin-positive TNBC could benefit from mesothelin-targeted immunotherapies recently in the development.
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CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) is an aggressive subtype of breast neoplasms. It is characterized by a lack of expression of estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR) and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2). TNBC has a relatively higher rate of relapse and worse overall survival rate than other forms of breast cancer. Targeted therapies on specifically overexpressed antigens have been successful in the treatment of some malignant diseases. Targeted therapies offer the potential of better selecting patients that will benefit from treatment, and with potentially less toxic therapy. Mesothelin, a membrane-bound glycoprotein, is identified as a biomarker for TNBC. Overexpression in TNBC and limited expression in the typical luminal breast tumor subtype and healthy tissues, mesothelin becomes a potential candidate for targeted therapies for TNBC. Although TNBC is sensitive to chemotherapy, cytotoxic agents often result in numerous adverse side effects in patients. Therefore, a better understanding of the prognostic value of mesothelin in TNBC may lead to improving health outcomes of patients with TNBC. Li et al. and Tozbikian et al. showed that the level of mesothelin expression in TNBC was an independent prognostic marker.
associated with low survival rates\textsuperscript{5, 6}. However, two other studies failed to demonstrate such an association between the presence of mesothelin and poor clinical outcome\textsuperscript{7, 8}.

We performed the first systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature to evaluate the frequency of mesothelin expression and the value of mesothelin as a prognostic marker for TNBC.

**OVERALL OBJECTIVES**

The overall objective of this systematic review is to determine the association between the expressions of mesothelin with survival outcomes in patients with TNBC. The primary objective of this project is to synthesize available evidence on the association between the expression of mesothelin and overall survival of patients with TNBC. The secondary objectives include determining the relationship between the expression of mesothelin and disease-free survival (DFS), relapse-free survival (RFS), distant metastases, and mortality in patients with TNBC.

**SCOPE**
The scope of this thesis is as follows: Chapter 2: Protocol, which gives out the plan and guidelines of the project. Chapter 3: Results, which includes the details of final data we got from our systematic review. Chapter 4: Conclusions. Which explains the key findings, implications, comparison with similar studies, and limitations.
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Abstract

Background: Mesothelin is a membrane-bound glycoprotein. Although the biologic function of mesothelin is not clear, researchers have found that it plays a role in the survival, proliferation, and migration of tumor cells. In some studies, Mesothelin has been identified as a tumor-associated biomarker, and is more often overexpressed in triple receptor-negative breast cancer (TNBC) than in common luminal breast tumor subtype or normal tissues. The objective of this review is to determine the association between the expression of mesothelin and overall survival in patients with TNBC.

Methods/design: We will search the following electronic databases: MEDLINE, EMBASE, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, PubMed, and Web of Science with no time or language restriction. Prospective or retrospective longitudinal studies that investigate mesothelin expression in TNBC or the prognosis of TNBC with mesothelin baseline measurement will be selected. Two reviewers will independently assess every abstract or full text for inclusion. Data on clinical outcomes, as well as on study design, research setting, study population, demographic characteristics of the participants, and methodological quality, will be extracted using a structured codebook developed by the authors. A pooled measure of associations will be assessed through meta-analyses if appropriate. Heterogeneity across the included studies will be
evaluated using the I² statistics. Findings will be reported according to the Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) guidelines and the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) Statement. The quality of evidence and risk of bias of the studies will be evaluated.

**Discussion:** The aim of this systematic review is to synthesize the evidence regarding the association between the expression of mesothelin and the survival outcomes of patients with TNBC. A better understanding of the prognostic value of mesothelin in TNBC will be essential to identifying a novel therapeutic target.

**Systematic review registration:** PROSPERO CRD42016036212

**Keywords:** Triple negative breast cancer, mesothelin, meta-analysis, Survival, systematic review

**BACKGROUND**

**Description of the condition**

TNBC, which is characterized by a lack of expression of estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR) and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2), is an aggressive subtype of breast neoplasms. About 15% of breast cancers are TNBC¹, and they tend to be more
common in younger women. TNBC has a relatively higher rate of relapse and worse overall survival rate than other forms of breast cancer.

**Description of the prognostic factor**

Mesothelin is a membrane-bound glycoprotein. Its expression is limited to mesothelial cells and some malignant neoplasms. The biological function of mesothelin is not very clear. However, researchers have found that it has a role in the survival, proliferation, and migration of tumor cells.

**How the prognostic factor may be related to health outcomes**

Mesothelin is identified as a biomarker for TNBC because it is overexpressed in TNBC while its expression is limited in the typical luminal breast tumor subtype and normal tissues. As such, it is hypothesized that the expression of mesothelin in TNBC is of relevance for patients’ outcome.

Although TNBC is more sensitive to chemotherapy than other types of breast cancer, cytotoxic agents often result in numerous adverse side effects, and disease progression and recurrence can still occur despite treatment with cytotoxic agents. Targeted therapies on specifically overexpressed antigens have been successful in the treatment of some malignant diseases. These therapies allow for greater specificity (treating only patients that will benefit) and generally have fewer toxicities.
TNBC lacks effective targeted therapies that can improve its chemotherapy. Mesothelin is a potential candidate for targeted therapies for TNBC because of its overexpression in TNBC cells and limited expression in normal tissues. Therefore, a better understanding of the expression levels of mesothelin and its prognostic value in TNBC may provide evidence necessitating the role of mesothelin as a therapeutic target, thus leading the path of improving health outcomes of patients with TNBC.

Why it is important to do this review

Li et al. and Tozbikian et al. showed that the levels of mesothelin expression in TNBC was an independent prognostic marker that associated with low survival rates \(^8, 9\). However, two other studies failed to demonstrate such an association between the presence of mesothelin and poor clinical outcome \(^10, 11\). These controversial results may result from insufficient samples and different study designs. To the best of our knowledge, no systematic review or meta-analysis has ever been done on this topic. The present systematic review aims to evaluate the frequency of mesothelin expression and the value of mesothelin as a prognostic marker for TNBC.

OBJECTIVES
The primary objective of this review is to synthesize available evidence on the association between the expression of mesothelin and overall survival of patients with TNBC. The secondary objectives include determining the association between the expression of mesothelin and disease-free survival (DFS), relapse-free survival (RFS), distant metastases, and mortality. Where feasible, to combine the results of original studies using meta-analysis, critically evaluate the literature and identify future research needs.

METHODS

Study registration

This project has been registered with the international prospective register of systematic reviews (PROSPERO) as number CRD42016036212. This systematic review protocol has been designed and reported using Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement\textsuperscript{12} and the Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) guidelines\textsuperscript{14}.

Eligibility criteria

Types of study designs

We will include both prospective and retrospective longitudinal studies in this systematic review. The studies will be eligible if they
evaluated mesothelin expression in TNBC or the prognosis of TNBC with mesothelin at baseline measurement. We will consider both exploratory and confirmatory prognostic factor investigation studies. When overlapping data of the same patient population are included in more than one publication, only the most recent or complete study will be used in the meta-analysis.

**Types of participants and setting**

Studies would be included in this systematic review if the participants had TNBC. No age, intervention or setting restrictions will be placed.

**Exposure variable**

For the prognostic studies, our exposure will be mesothelin expression measured by immunohistochemical analysis.

**Types of outcome measures**

Our primary outcome will be overall survival (OS). If the OS is not reported in the study, we will collect its relevant surrogate outcomes, which include Disease-free survival (DFS) or relapse-free survival (RFS), distant metastases, and mortality.

**Timeframe**
The prognostic studies should be at least 1-year duration for follow-up. We will group outcome data into three time periods for analysis purposes: short-term (≤3 years), medium-term (3-5 years), and long-term follow-up (≥5 years).

**Hypothesis**

The research hypothesis of this systematic review is that the expression of mesothelin in patients with TNBC is associated with adverse health outcomes.

**Primary outcome**

The primary outcome of interest is the OS in patients with mesothelin-positive TNBC, which were estimated from the date of surgery to the date of the final follow-up visit, or the date of the end of the study, or the date of death.

**Secondary outcomes**

DFS and RFS—time-to-event data which were measured from the date of surgery to the date of first documented local or distant recurrence. Patients who died or lost to follow-up before experiencing the relevant events were considered censored at their dates of the last follow-up.

Distant metastases, estimated by subsequent distant metastases, interval to metastases.

Mortality, measured by mortality rate.
Search methods for identification of studies

Electronic searches

A comprehensive literature search will be done for original articles analyzing the expression and prognostic value of mesothelin in TNBC. The electronic database sources we will search are MEDLINE, EMBASE, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), PubMed, and Web of Science with no restrictions on time and languages. As there may be limited published research on mesothelin in TNBC, we will increase our search sensitivity by finding any articles related to TNBC and mesothelin. The search terms will be “triple negative breast cancer”, “triple negative breast carcinoma”, “triple negative breast neoplasm”, “TNBC” and “mesothelin”.

A three-step search strategy will be utilized in this review. First, we will explore database subject headings and text words. An initial pilot search in MEDLINE will be undertaken followed by analysis of the text words contained in the title and abstract and of the subject headings used to describe the article. Secondly, we will search using all identified keywords and index terms across all included databases. And finally, the reference list of all identified reports and articles will be searched for additional studies. Studies published in all languages will be considered for inclusion in this review. (Please refer to Appendix 1 for the full search
strategy and Appendix 2 for the primary literature screening form we will use during this stage.)

**Searching other resources**

We will search for additional references by cross-checking bibliographies of retrieved studies or relevant reviews. We will also contact researchers in the field to identify additional trials that may have been eligible for inclusion. Mediconf (www.mediconf.com/) will be used to search conference abstracts.

**Data collection and analysis**

**Selection of studies**

Our selection of studies will follow the four-phase flow diagram (Figure 1) referring to the PRISMA Statement. The literature search results will be uploaded to EndNote X7 Software, which will facilitate the collaboration among reviewers during the study selection process.

The screening will be conducted using a pretested screening form. Titles and abstracts will first be screened for relevance and presence of the eligible criteria listed above (the second phase). Full-text articles for potentially eligible titles or abstracts will be downloaded for further assessment. Articles will be classified as 1) included, 2) excluded or 3) uncertain. For each phase of screening, the Kappa statistic will be
performed to calculate inter-rater agreement. Two authors (MW and AL) will independently carry out the filtering of the selected pieces of literature. Disagreements will be resolved by consensus or by contacting a third author when consensus cannot be reached (LM or LT).

**Data extraction and management**

Data will be collected using a predesigned data collection form which will be pilot tested beforehand (Appendix 3). The following details will be extracted: study characteristics (such as the first author, the country, the year of publication, the study design, and the sample size); participants’ characteristics (the age, the stage of breast cancer, and the interventions); study exposure (the technique used to quantify mesothelin, the cut-off to determine mesothelin positivity, and the number of mesothelin positivity and controls); and outcome variables (median OS, median disease-free survival (DFS) or relapse-free survival (RFS), number of distant metastases, number of deaths, and 95% confidence intervals, as well as events rates and numbers at risk during short-term (≤3 years), medium-term (3-5 years), and long-term follow-up (>5 years more) interval. If a study has insufficient details to extract the number of events, Hazard Ratio (95% CI) or Odds Ratio (95% CI), but provides the survival curves, we will use the survival curve approach to extract required data to obtain estimates of association.15
If necessary, modifications will be done to the data collection form after testing the reliability of data abstraction on a random sample. The review authors (WM and AL) will independently extract the data, resolve discrepancies by discussion or refer to the third reviewer (LM or LT).

Breast carcinoma was defined as TNBC when nuclear staining is < 1% for both ER and PR, and membranous staining is < 10% of HER2 on immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining or negative gene amplification was found by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)\textsuperscript{16, 17}. An H-score is used to quantify the mesothelin staining. H-score (final score ranging between 0 and 300) is the combination of the percentage of tumor cells with positive staining (0-100%) and the intensity of staining (1+, 2+, and 3+)\textsuperscript{18}. We will choose H-score ≤ 10 as mesothelin-negative and H-score > 10 as mesothelin-positive\textsuperscript{18}. The survival data will be extracted from the tables or texts of eligible articles or estimated from Kaplan - Meier curves where it is applicable\textsuperscript{19}. If we encounter any missing or unclear data, study authors will be contacted for additional details.

**Quality assessment of included studies**

The Newcastle-Ottawa Assessment Scale (NOS)\textsuperscript{18} will be employed by two independent reviewers (MW and AL) to assess the methodological quality of all eligible trials. The adapted version of the NOS will be used to meet the unique needs of this systematic review\textsuperscript{20}. Included
studies will be assessed on three perspectives: the selection of the study arms; the comparability of the arms; and the ascertainment of either the exposure or outcome of interest for case-control or cohort studies respectively\textsuperscript{20}. Reviewers will classify the risk of biases in the studies on a scale from 0 to 3, where 0 indicates a high risk of bias and 3 indicates low risk. The adapted version of the NOS includes seven questions through four domains of evaluation; selection bias - methods for selecting study participants, performance bias - methods to control for confounding, detection bias - statistical methods, and information bias - methods for measuring exposure and outcome variables. These scales will be used to gauge the risk of bias in every study (see Appendix 4)\textsuperscript{21}. Reviewers will resolve disagreements by discussion, and one arbitrator will adjudicate unresolved disputes (LM or LT).

**Data synthesis and statistical analysis**

The statistical reporting will be performed according to the guidelines proposed by the Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology group\textsuperscript{22}. Descriptive statistics will be used to describe the characteristics of all eligible studies. Data will be presented as mean (standard deviation) or median (first quartile, third quartile) for both continuous variables and frequencies (percent) for categorical variables. If there are a sufficient number of studies (at least three) suitable for pooling, we will perform meta-analyses of the studies to obtain a pooled estimate.
of the association between the expression levels of mesothelin and the survival of TNBC expressed as odds ratio (at similar follow-up points) or hazard ratio with 95% confidence interval (CIs). This analysis will be conducted using STATA. When heterogeneity is present, a random effect model (Der Simonian and Laird method) will be applied, while the fixed effect model will be used in the absence of between-study heterogeneity (P>0.10 or I² <50%). We will consider the clinical heterogeneity of included studies based on outcome measurements, follow-up length, and methodological heterogeneity due to study design (prospective versus retrospective).

We will synthesize data within these clinically-relevant subgroups. Between-study heterogeneity will be measured using the Cochrane’s Q test and Higgins I-squared statistic (P value of <0.10 or I² > 50% will be considered as statistically significant heterogeneity). If there is a large number study, we will use meta-regression or subgroup analyses to explain the heterogeneity by lymph node metastasis, pathological stage, and adjuvant chemotherapy.

**Dealing with missing participant data, sensitivity analyses, and publication bias**

For missing data, we will contact study authors for clarification and attempt to retrieve any missing information. We will conduct a complete
case analysis (excluding those with missing data) as the primary analysis\textsuperscript{24}, and a sensitivity analysis will be performed to determine the influence of articles with more than 25% missing data on the overall result. If feasible, we will also perform a sensitivity analysis by excluding trials with a high risk of bias to test the robustness of our results.

If the number of eligible studies is too small (<10), publication bias will not be assessed. If there are 10 or more eligible studies, publication bias will be examined for each meta-analysis by visually examining asymmetry of funnel plots and testing for asymmetry at the 10% level, using Egger’s test for hazard ratios, and Peters’ test for odds ratios\textsuperscript{25}.

**DISCUSSION**

The lack of expression of conventional prognostic markers (estrogen, progesterone, and Her2 receptors) in TNBC denies those patients the benefit of targeted therapy against these receptors. The search for a molecular therapeutic target for TNBC is ongoing. Mesothelin is identified as a biomarker for TNBC because some authors have identified its overexpression in TNBC and limited expression in the common luminal breast tumor subtype and normal tissues\textsuperscript{6}. A better understanding of the expression frequency and prognostic value of mesothelin in TNBC will be essential to identify a novel therapeutic target with the goal of improving health outcomes of patients with TNBC.
This proposed review will serve several purposes. First, we hope to identify the expression level of mesothelin in TNBC. Secondly, we will document survival rates linked to the levels of mesothelin in TNBC. Our results will inform disease prognosis and potentially highlight better therapeutic and diagnostic timing strategies. There are ongoing investigations of agents that target mesothelin-expressing tumors. Even if we find mesothelin has little prognostic value in patients with TNBC, it may still be a promising target for novel drug therapies in other cancers. Finally, we hope the results of this review will encourage future research in identifying mesothelin as a novel target for improving the outcomes for patients with TNBC.

Our systematic review will be conducted according to recommended standards including explicit eligibility criteria, duplicate independent assessment of eligibility and a comprehensive search. We will use the NOS approach to assessing methodological quality including duplicate independent assessment of the validity of the individual studies. Our results are only likely to be restricted by limitations in the primary studies. To our knowledge, this review will be the first systematic review about the association between the expression levels of mesothelin and clinical outcomes in patients with TNBC.
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Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram\textsuperscript{14}.
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ABSTRACT

**Background:** Mesothelin is a tumor-associated biomarker that is more often overexpressed in triple receptor-negative breast cancer (TNBC) than in common luminal breast tumor subtype or normal tissues. The objective of this systematic review is to determine the association between the expressions of mesothelin with survival outcomes in patients with TNBC.

**Methods:** We searched the following electronic databases: MEDLINE, EMBASE, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), PubMed, and Web of Science with no time or language restriction till May 19, 2016. Any prospective or retrospective longitudinal studies that investigated the prognosis of TNBC with mesothelin baseline measurement were selected. Two reviewers independently assessed every article for inclusion, extracted data, and assessed the methodological quality of every eligible trial. A pooled measure of association was assessed through meta-analyses.

**Results:** Among the 592 patients with TNBC included in the four eligible retrospective cohort studies, 269 patients (45.4%) demonstrated mesothelin expression. For the primary outcome OS, we found a trend toward decreased survival for patients with mesothelin-positive TNBC than those without mesothelin expression. And we found for long-term OS, the association is statistically significant (OR 0.46; 95% CI, 0.30 to 0.73; P <0.001).
**Conclusion:** Despite the limitations of small sample size, this present study shows a significant correlation between mesothelin expressions and long-term OS rate as well as DFS rate in patients with TNBC. Patients with mesothelin-positive TNBC could benefit from MSLM-targeted immunotherapies recently in the development.

**Funding:** We do not have any funding for this project.

**Systematic review registration:** PROSPERO CRD42016036212

**Keywords:** Triple negative breast cancer, mesothelin, meta-analysis, Survival, systematic review

**INTRODUCTION**

Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) is an aggressive subtype of breast neoplasms. It is characterized by a lack of expression of estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR) and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2).\(^1\) TNBC has a relatively higher rate of relapse and worse overall survival rate than other forms of breast cancer\(^2\).

Targeted therapies on uniquely overexpressed antigens have been successful in the treatment of some malignant diseases\(^3\). Mesothelin, a membrane-bound glycoprotein, is identified as a biomarker for TNBC. Being Overexpressed in TNBC and limited in the common luminal breast
tumor subtype and normal tissues, mesothelin becomes a potential candidate for targeted therapies for TNBC. Although TNBC is very sensitive to chemotherapy, cytotoxic agents often result in numerous adverse side effects in patients. Therefore, a better understanding of the mesothelin expressions and its prognostic value in TNBC may lead the path of improving health outcomes of patients with TNBC.

Mesothelin may involve in the prognosis of several human tumors. Servais et al. reported that mesothelin plays a role in promoting tumor invasion and matrix metalloproteinase-9 expression in mesothelioma. Rump et al. indicated that mesothelin is a novel binding protein to CA125, which might contribute to the metastasis of ovarian cancer. Bharadwaj et al. indicated that overexpression of mesothelin in pancreatic cancer induced expression of cyclin E and cyclin binding complex, which resulted in promoting tumor cell proliferation and tumor cell cycle progression.

There is controversy about whether mesothelin can play a prognostic marker for TNBC. Li et al. and Tozbikian et al. showed that the levels of mesothelin expression in TNBC was an independent prognostic marker that correlates with low survival rates. However, two other studies failed to demonstrate such an association between the presence of mesothelin and poor clinical outcome.
We performed the first systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature to evaluate the frequency of mesothelin expression and the value of mesothelin as a prognostic marker for TNBC.

**OBJECTIVES**

The primary objective of this review is to synthesize available evidence on the association between the expression of mesothelin and overall survival of patients with TNBC. The secondary objectives include determining the association between the expression of mesothelin and disease-free survival (DFS), relapse-free survival (RFS), distant metastases, and mortality in patients with TNBC.

**METHODS**

**Data source**

This systematic review followed the recommendations of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA). Two reviewers conducted a search of MEDLINE, EMBASE, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), PubMed, and Web of Science with no restrictions on time and languages. Bi-weekly email alerts were used until May 19, 2016, to identify any newly published trials. Search terms included “triple negative breast cancer/ triple negative breast carcinoma/ triple negative breast neoplasm/ TNBC” and “mesothelin”. We searched for additional references by cross-checking bibliographies of retrieved studies or relevant reviews. We also contacted
researchers in the field to identify additional trials that may have been eligible for inclusion.

**Study selection**

We included both prospective and retrospective longitudinal studies in this systematic review. The studies are eligible if they evaluated the prognosis of TNBC with mesothelin at baseline measurement, in addition to the eligibility criteria below:

1) Participants: Studies were included in this systematic review if the participants had TNBC.

2) Exposure variable: The exposure is mesothelin expression measured by immunohistochemical analysis.

3) Outcomes: Our primary outcome is overall survival (OS). We also collected its relevant surrogate outcomes, which include Disease-free survival (DFS) or relapse-free survival (RFS), distant metastases, and mortality as our secondary outcomes.

4) Timeframe: The prognostic studies should be at least 3-year duration for follow-up. We grouped outcome data into three time periods for analysis purposes: short-term (3 years), medium-term (5 years), and long-term follow-up (≥5 years).

No age, intervention, setting or language restrictions are placed.
Titles and abstracts were first screened for relevance by two independent reviewers (WM and AL) and full-text articles with potential eligibility were downloaded for further assessment. Disagreements were resolved by consulting a third author when consensus cannot be reached (LM or LT). The Kappa statistic was performed to calculate inter-rater agreement. 13

**Data extraction**

The review authors (WM and AL) independently extracted the data, resolved discrepancies by discussion or referral to a third reviewer (LM or LT). The following data items were recorded for each study: 1) study characteristics (such as the first author, the country, the year of publication, the study design, and the sample size). 2) Participants’ characteristics (the age, the stage of breast cancer, and the interventions). 3) Study exposure (the technique used to quantify mesothelin expression, the cut-off to determine mesothelin positivity, and the number of mesothelin positivity). 4) Survival data (e.g., OS, DFS, mortality and distant metastasis as long as they are available). Two independent reviewers (MW and AL) employed the Newcastle-Ottawa Assessment Scale (NOS) to assess the methodological quality of all eligible trials. 14

**Statistical analysis**

We performed meta-analyses of the studies to obtain a pooled estimate of the association between the expression levels of mesothelin,
and the OS (as well as DFS and mortality) of TNBC expressed as odds ratio (at similar follow-up points) with 95% confidence interval (CIs).

Between-study heterogeneity was measured using the Cochrane’s Q test and Higgins I-squared statistic (P value of <0.10 or I^2 > 50% was considered as statistically significant heterogeneity). When heterogeneity was present, a random effect model (Der Simonian and Laird method) was applied, while the fixed effect model was used in the absence of between-study heterogeneity (P>0.10 or I^2 < 50%). We performed a sensitivity analysis to confirm the robustness of our results using random effects model when fixed effects model was performed. Because the number of eligible studies is too small (<10), publication bias was not assessed. We did not do the subgroup analysis and meta-regression for the same reason.

RESULTS

Literature search results

The literature search identified the following number of studies from the various databases: PubMed (n=8), Medline (n=201), Embase (n=1134), the Cochrane Library (n=0), and Web of Science (n=14), giving a total of 1357 potentially relevant records. An additional five records were identified from other sources. After de-duplication, 1214 studies were left (Figure 1). By reading titles and abstracts we excluded 1199 articles that both review authors considering 15 references to needing closer scrutiny,
and the full text of the papers or conference abstracts of these papers were obtained. By reading full-text versions, we eliminated four articles from the 15 based on our inclusion/exclusion criteria. Ultimately, 4 articles were included in the final analysis (weighted kappa of 0.83. 95% confidence interval (CI), 0.52 to 1). The primary reasons for exclusion of studies were ineligible populations, ineligible exposure variable, as well as duplicate conference abstracts of full publications. (See Table 1. for characteristics of sample of excluded studies).

**Description of study characteristics**

There are four cohort design studies included in the review. The study of Ibrahim et al.\textsuperscript{11} was conducted in Turkey, but the other three were conducted in U.S.A. The total sample size is 592. Among the four trials included, two compared the prognosis of mesothelin-positive expression with that of mesothelin negative expression in patients with TNBC\textsuperscript{8,10}, and the other two compared that in patients with breast cancer (including TNBC \textsuperscript{9,11}. We only extracted data related to patients with TNBC, and we obtained validated data of one of the trials by contacting the authors\textsuperscript{11}. The most commonly assessed clinical outcomes were OS and DFS. All studies have more than three years' follow-up. (See Table 2 for characteristics of included studies).

An H-score was used to quantify the mesothelin staining. H-score (final score ranging between 0 and 300) is the combination of the
percentage of tumor cells with positive staining (0-100%) and the intensity of staining (1+, 2+, and 3+)\textsuperscript{16}. We choose H-score \leq 10 as mesothelin-negative and H-score > 10 as mesothelin-positive \textsuperscript{16}. Among the 592 patients with TNBC included in eligible studies, 269 patients (45.4%) had mesothelin expression (mesothelin-positive).

**Risk of bias assessment**

We evaluated bias for all four included studies.\textsuperscript{16} The risk of bias was low (see details in Table 4). All the studies were judged to be at unclear risk of bias as no calculation of sample size were performed and there was no mention of dealing with missing data. In the outcome measurement domain, in the outcome measurement domain, there was a potential bias as pathologists were not blinded for determining the prognostic factors. In the Tozbikian et al. study\textsuperscript{2} here is a limitation as confounders were not clearly identified.

**Primary outcome**

We extracted data by analyzing the original context or Kaplan-Meier curve in the articles or contacted the authors.\textsuperscript{17}

**Three-year OS**

The heterogeneity of OS at 3-years between studies was statistically significant [Cochran’s Q, Chi\textsuperscript{2}=8.29, DF=3(P = 0.04), I\textsuperscript{2} =64%]. Then a random effect model (Der Simonian and Laird method) was applied. In the patients with TNBC, compared with tumors without
mesothelin expression, those with mesothelin expression had a tendency toward reduction in OS (OR, 0.46; 95% CI, 0.17 to 1.23; P =0.12), but the association is not statistically significant (See Figure 2).

**Five-year OS**

The heterogeneity of OS at 5-years between studies is statistically significant [Cochran’s Q, Chi²=14.32, DF=2(P = 0.0008), I² =86%]. Then a random effect model was applied. In the patients with TNBC, compared with tumors without mesothelin expression, those with mesothelin expression still had a tendency toward reduction in OS (OR, 0.69; 95% CI, 0.15 to 3.20; P =0.63), but the association is not statistically significant (See figure 3).

**More than five-year OS**

The heterogeneity of OS at > 5-years between studies is not statistically significant [Cochran’s Q test, Chi²=3.79, DF=2(P = 0.15), I² =47 %]. Therefore, a fixed effect model was applied. In the patients with TNBC, compared with tumors without mesothelin expression, those with mesothelin expression associated with the reduction in OS (OR=0.46; 95% CI=0.30 to 0.73; P <0.001). The association is statistically significant (See figure 4).

**Secondary outcomes**
For DFS or RFS, we can only extract data from 2 studies, which included 335 patients with TNBC. The heterogeneity of DFS between those two studies was decreased as time went by \([I^2 = 85\% \text{ (three years)},\]
\[I^2 = 78\% \text{ (five years)} \text{ and } I^2 = 15\% \text{ (long-term)}]\]. In the patients with TNBC, compared with tumors without mesothelin expression, those with mesothelin expression were associated with reduction in >5 years DFS (OR = 0.37; 95% CI = 0.23 to 0.60; P < 0.0001). The association is statistically significant.

Only one study\(^9\) reported on distant metastasis data in 198 patients with TNBC. In those patients, compared with mesothelin-negative patients, those with mesothelin expression associated with more distant metastasis events (OR=2.86; 95% CI=1.27 to 6.47; P < 0.05), the association is statistically significant.

For the overall mortality of all 592 patients with TNBC, compared with mesothelin-negative patients, those with mesothelin expression were associated with higher mortality (OR=1.88; 95% CI=1.27 to 2.78; P=0.002, using fixed model for heterogeneity is low). The association is statistically significant (see figure 5).

**Additional analysis**

There were not enough studies for us to do the meta-regression analysis. For sensitivity analysis, we compared the pooled estimates
between the fixed effects model (where ever available) and the random effects model. The direction of effect did not change for any estimate (See Figure 6 and Figure 7).

DISCUSSION

Main results

In this study, we evaluated the expression of mesothelin and its prognostic value for patients with TNBC. Among the 592 patients with TNBC included in eligible studies, 269 patients (45.4%) had mesothelin expression (mesothelin-positive). For the primary outcome OS, we found a trend toward decreased survival for patients with mesothelin-positive TNBC than those without mesothelin expression. And we found for long-term OS, the association is statistically significant (OR = 0.46; 95% CI = 0.30 to 0.73; P<0.001). For the secondary outcomes, we also found the mesothelin expression in patients with TNBC associated with lower DFS and high overall mortality than those without mesothelin expression. To our knowledge, this meta-analysis is the first study which systematically estimates the association between mesothelin expression and clinical outcomes in patients with TNBC.

Strengths and limitations

We extracted the data mainly by analyzing the Kaplan-Meier Curve, which may result in inaccuracy of the results.
There are limitations on our review. We found that the proportion of patients with mesothelin-positive TNBC group is 45.4%, and the overall relative hazard (196/592) is 1.18. Given that $\alpha$ (two-tailed) is 0.05, $\beta$ (type II error rate) is 0.20, the entire events we needed to check the difference of the two-group survival analysis is 1,156. Our results should be confirmed in larger studies. Ideally, levels of mesothelin expression should be determined retrospectively from large, prospective clinical trials in breast cancer where patient, treatment and outcome information would be available. This could lead to the development of valuable targeted therapies, with all of their potential benefits. Other limitations include that we did not have enough data to do confounders analysis (e.g. clinical stage of the participants and the treatments of the participants) and this is not a causality result.

We are confident for not missing any trials as the screening strategy was guided by a professional librarian, and we searched any gray literature as much as we can. However, despite an extensive literature search, we were able to include only four studies with 592 eligible participants and 196 events. In the same time, for there are not enough studies, we cannot use meta-regression or subgroup analyses to explain the heterogeneity by any confounder. The relatively small number of studies and their small sample sizes may have influenced the reliability of our results. However, our studies strictly followed the standard for
systematic review methods. The methods of our review included explicit eligibility criteria, duplicate independent assessment of eligibility and a comprehensive search. We used the Newcastle-Ottawa Assessment Scale (NOS) approach to duplicate assessing the methodological quality of the individual studies, which show that all four included studies are at low risk of bias.

Over recent years, overwhelming data have revealed that some cell gene expression (e.g. CD8+ T cell gene expression, CD4+ T cell gene expression) have been associated with prognosis in breast cancer. 20, 21 There are not specific prognostic predictor for patients with TNBC. Despite some inevitable limitations, we are confident that the results of our study can make a valuable contribution to the literature on TNBC.

CONCLUSIONS

There is growing interest in target therapies for mesothelin-expressing tumors in practice. 21 Some of the therapies have shown promising results without apparent toxicities in preclinical or early clinical trials, which should be tested in patients with TNBC. Despite the limitations listed above, the present study shows a significant correlation between mesothelin expressions and long-term OS rate as well as DFS rate in patients with TNBC. Mesothelin may have prognostic significance for patients with mesothelin based on currently obtained data. Patients
with mesothelin-positive TNBC could benefit from mesothelin-targeted immunotherapies recently in development. 23

The evidence reviewed suggests that compared with tumors without mesothelin expression, those with mesothelin expression are associated with worse clinical outcomes in patients with TNBC. Based on the limitations listed above, there is a need for more practical research to confirm the association, especial the possibility of mesothelin as an independent prognostic marker for patients with TNBC.
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Figure 1. PRISMA Study flow diagram (From Moher D, et al. 5)

Records identified through database searching (n = 1357)

Additional records identified through other sources (n = 5)

Records after duplicates removed (n = 1214)
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Studies included in qualitative synthesis (n = 4)

Studies included in quantitative synthesis (meta-analysis) (n = 4)

Records excluded (n = 1199)

- Ineligible population (1)
- Ineligible exposure Variable (3)
- duplicate conference abstracts (3)
- without prognostic data (3)
Figure 2. Forest plots of odds ratios about mesothelin expression compared with no mesothelin expression for three-year overall OS.

Figure 3. Forest plots of odds ratios about mesothelin expression compared with no mesothelin expression for five-year overall OS.

Figure 4. Forest plots of odds ratios about mesothelin expression compared with no mesothelin expression for long-term overall OS.
Figure 5. Forest plots of odds ratios about mesothelin expression compared with no mesothelin expression for mortality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or Subgroup</th>
<th>Experimental</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI</th>
<th>Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shropping 2015</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>23.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li 2014</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>28.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pamayantikul 2013</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tozkiran 2014</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (95% CI)</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1.00 [1.27, 2.78]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total events 988

Heterogeneity: Chi² = 5.59, df = 3 (P = 0.44), I² = 44%
Test for overall effect Z = 2.14 (P = 0.032)

Figure 6. The Forest Plot of sensitivity analysis for long-term OS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or Subgroup</th>
<th>Experimental</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Odds Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI</th>
<th>Odds Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bao 2015</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>25.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pamayantikul 2013</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tozkiran 2014</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>42.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (95% CI)</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0.49 [0.26, 0.94]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total events 169

Heterogeneity: Chi² = 3.9, df = 2 (P = 0.19), I² = 47%
Test for overall effect Z = 2.15 (P = 0.03)

Figure 7. The Forest Plot of sensitivity analysis for mortality.
**Table 1:** Characteristics of excluded studies.

Description of reasons for exclusion of studies excluded due to ineligible populations, interventions, or comparators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Reason for Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atik, 2013</td>
<td>Without the interested prognostic factor-mesothelin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li 2014</td>
<td>Duplicate conference abstract of a full publication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rakha 2007</td>
<td>Without the interested prognostic factor-mesothelin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xian 2012</td>
<td>Duplicate conference abstract of a full publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tozbikian 2013</td>
<td>Duplicate conference abstract of a full publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minckwitz &amp; Martin. 2012</td>
<td>Without the interested prognostic factor-mesothelin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordonez 2014</td>
<td>Without the interested prognostic factor-mesothelin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WANG 2012</td>
<td>Not population of interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamberts, 2015</td>
<td>Without prognosis outcomes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Characteristics of included studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (Year) (country)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Study Population</th>
<th>Prognostic factor</th>
<th>The technique used to determine mesothelin-positive</th>
<th>Follow-up period</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tozbikian 2014 (U.S.A)</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>226 TNBC cases from consecutive patients</td>
<td>Mesothelin expression</td>
<td>H score ≥10</td>
<td>Median follow-up time: 5.3 years</td>
<td>OS DFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayoglu 2015 (Turkey)</td>
<td>Retrospective Cohort</td>
<td>71 TNBC cases were included in analysis</td>
<td>Mesothelin expression</td>
<td>H score ≥ 10</td>
<td>Follow-up time ≥ 5 years 9 from 2006)</td>
<td>OS DFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parinyanitikul 2013 (U.S.A)</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort</td>
<td>109 TNBC cases</td>
<td>Mesothelin expression</td>
<td>H score ≥ 10</td>
<td>Median follow-up of 75.8 months</td>
<td>OS RFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li 2014 (U.S.A)</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>186 TNBC cases from consecutive patients</td>
<td>Mesothelin expression</td>
<td>H score ≥ 10</td>
<td>Follow-up time 3 years</td>
<td>OS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: OS for overall survival. RFS for relapse free survival.
Table 3. Risk of bias assessment for each included trial (Bawor M. et al.\textsuperscript{15})

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (Year)</th>
<th>Selection bias</th>
<th>Performance bias</th>
<th>Detection bias</th>
<th>Information bias</th>
<th>Total (out of 21)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gary 2014</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrahim 2015</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napa 2013</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yun 2014</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 4
CONCLUSIONS

Summary of main results

In this study, we evaluated the literature regarding the expression of mesothelin and its prognostic value for patients with TNBC. Among the 592 patients with TNBC included in eligible studies, 269 patients (45.4%) demonstrated mesothelin expression (mesothelin-positive). For the primary outcome OS, we found a trend toward decreased survival for patients with mesothelin-positive TNBC than those without mesothelin expression. For long-term OS, the association was statistically significant (OR = 0.46; 95% CI = 0.30 to 0.72; P =0.0007<0.05). For the secondary outcomes, we also found that the mesothelin expression in patients with TNBC was associated with lower DFS and higher overall mortality than those without mesothelin expression. To our knowledge, this meta-analysis is the first study which systematically estimates the association between mesothelin expression and clinical outcomes in patients with TNBC.

Comparison with similar studies

To the best of our knowledge, we performed the first systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature to evaluate the frequency of mesothelin expression and the value of mesothelin as a prognostic marker for TNBC. Although there are studies reporting the correlation between
mesothelin expression and final clinical outcomes in patients with gastric cancer, ovarian cancer, and pancreatic cancer.\textsuperscript{1, 2, and 3} there is no systematic analysis of those results.

**Implications**

Target therapies for mesothelin-expressing tumors are being investigated in the clinical practice.\textsuperscript{4} Some of the therapies have shown promising results with decreased toxicities in preclinical or early clinical trials, which should be tested in patients with TNBC. Despite the limitations listed above, this present study shows a significant correlation between mesothelin expression and long-term OS rate as well as DFS rate in patients with TNBC. Mesothelin expression may have prognostic significance for patients with TNBC based on currently obtained data. Patients with mesothelin-positive TNBC could benefit from mesothelin-targeted immunotherapies recently in development. \textsuperscript{5}

The evidence reviewed suggests that compared with tumors without mesothelin expression, those with mesothelin are association with worse clinical outcomes in patients with TNBC. Based on the limitations listed above, there is a need for more research to confirm and further study the association, especial the possibility of mesothelin as an independent prognostic marker for patients with TNBC.

**Strengths and weaknesses of the review**
There are limitations to our review. We found that the proportion of patients with mesothelin-positive TNBC group is 45.4%, and the overall relative hazard (196/592) is 1.18. Given that $\alpha$ (two-tailed) is 0.05, $\beta$ (type II error rate) is 0.20, the entire events we needed to check the difference of the two-group survival analysis is 1,156. However, despite an extensive literature search, we were able to include only four studies with 592 eligible participants and 196 events. As there are not enough studies, we cannot use meta-regression or subgroup analyses to explain the heterogeneity by any confounder. The relatively small number of studies and their small sample sizes may also have influenced the reliability of our results. However, our studies strictly followed the standard for systematic review methods. The methods of our review included explicit eligibility criteria, duplicate independent assessment of eligibility and a comprehensive search. We used the Newcastle-Ottawa Assessment Scale (NOS) approach to duplicate assessing the methodological quality of the individual studies, which show that all four included studies are at low risk of bias. The potential bias is that we extracted the data mainly by analyzing the Kaplan-Meier Curve, which may result in inaccuracy of the results.

Nevertheless, despite some inevitable limitations, we are confident that the results of our study can still make a valuable contribution to the literature on TNBC.
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Appendix 1. Search strategies in MEDLINE, EMBASE and Cochrane

Central Register of Controlled Trials, and web of science databases

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily and Ovid MEDLINE(R) <1946 to Present>
Search Strategy:
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1   ((Triple$ or triple negative) adj6 (breast cancer$ or breast neoplasm$ or breast tumo$ or carcinoma$)).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier] (3999)
2   exp Membrane Glycoproteins/ or exp GPI-Linked Proteins/ or mesothelin.mp. (553957)
3   1 and 2 (181)

Database: Embase <1974 to present>
Search Strategy:
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1   ((Triple$ or triple negative) adj6 (breast cancer$ or breast neoplasm$ or breast tumo$ or carcinoma$)).mp. [mp=title, abstract, heading word, drug trade name, original title, device manufacturer, drug manufacturer, device trade name, keyword] (9404)
2   mesothelin.mp. or exp mesothelin/ (1282)
3   1 and 2 (17)

Cochrane search results: 0 article

Database: web of science
# 1  799 TOPIC: (mesothelin)
Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, CPCI-S, CPCI-SSH, ESCI
Timespan=All years
# 2  14 TOPIC: (mesothelin)
Refined by: TOPIC: (triple negative breast)
Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, CPCI-S, CPCI-SSH, ESCI
Timespan=All years

Database: PubMed
#1 "mesothelin"[Supplementary Concept] OR "mesothelin"[All Fields] (702)
#2 "triple negative breast neoplasms"[MeSH Terms] OR ("triple"[All Fields] AND "negative"[All Fields] AND "breast"[All Fields] AND "neoplasms"[All Fields]) OR "triple negative breast neoplasms"[All Fields] OR ("triple"[All Fields] AND "negative"[All Fields] AND "breast"[All Fields] AND "cancer"[All Fields]) OR "triple negative breast cancer"[All Fields] (5238)
#1 and #3 (8)
Appendix 2: Primary literature screening form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eligible criteria</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Not sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Types of study designs: Measures the relationship between mesothelin expression and an outcome (i.e., not a descriptive study), or measures the mesothelin expression level in TNBC.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Reports original research. NOTE: If the publication appears relevant to the topic, consider whether it should be retained for “review for references”.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Population is patients with triple negative breast cancer.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Exposure variable: For the prognostic studies, our main exposure is mesothelin expression measured by immunohistochemically analysis.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Types of outcome measures: Primary outcome: OS. Relevant surrogate outcomes, which include Disease-free survival (DFS) or relapse-free survival (RFS), distant metastases, and mortality.

6. Time Frame: follow-up time ≥3 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Not sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Retain for:

- Background/Discussion
- Review of references
- Harms data
- Other

Other

COMMENTS:
Appendix 3. Data extraction form

Study ID: ______ Reviewer Initials: ______

STUDY INFORMATION

First Author: ____________________ Year of Publication________

Title of Article: ____________________________________________

________________________________________

Journal Name: _______________________________ Country: _______________________________

METHODS

Study Setting: _____________________________ Study Design: _____________________________

Sample Size: Total________. The number of mesothelin (+) _____________and the # of mesothelin (-) ____________.

Mean Age (SD): ______, the stage of breast cancer______________and the interventions_________________________________________________

.
The technique used to quantify mesothelin____. The cut-off to determine mesothelin positivity____

### RESULTS (survival data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Positive mesothelin expression</th>
<th>Negative mesothelin expression</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>total</td>
<td>≤3 years</td>
<td>3-5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median overall survival (OS) (95%CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median disease-free survival (DFS) (95%CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of distant metastases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of deaths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COMMENTS
Appendix 4. Modified Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) (Bawor M. et al.)\textsuperscript{15}

Legend
0 = definitely no (high risk of bias)  1 = Mostly no
2 = Mostly yes  3 = definitely yes (low risk of bias)

Domain of evaluation: Methods for selecting study participants (i.e. Selection bias)

Is the source population (cases, controls, cohorts) appropriate and representative of the population of interest? (0  1  2  3)

Is the sample size adequate and is there sufficient power to detect a meaningful difference in the outcome of interest? (0  1  2  3)

Domain of evaluation: Methods to control confounding (i.e. Performance bias)

Did the study identify and adjust for any variables or confounders that may influence the outcome? (0  1  2  3)

Did the study use appropriate statistical analysis methods relative to the outcome of interest? (0  1  2  3)
Is there little missing data and did the study handle it accordingly?

(0 1 2 3)

Domain of evaluation: Statistical methods (i.e. Detection bias)

Is the methodology of the outcome measurement explicitly stated and is it appropriate?

(0 1 2 3)

Is there an objective assessment of the outcome of interest?

(0 1 2 3)