ION IMPLANTED

5.3° en

SOLAR CELLS

by

THEODORE M. VANDERWEL, B.ENG.

PART A: MCMASTER (ON-CAMPUS) PROJECT\*

A Report Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies

in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements

for the Degree

Master of Engineering

Department of Engineering Physics

Έ.

McMaster University

Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

# April 1978

\*One of two project reports: The other part is designated PART B: OFF-CAMPUS PROJECT.

÷ •

MASTER OF ENGINEERING (1978) Department of Engineering Physics

MCMASTER UNIVERSITY Hamilton, Ontario

TITLE: Ion Implanted Solar Cells

AUTHOR: Theodore M. Vanderwel, B.Eng. (McMaster)

SUPERVISOR:

τ

Dr. J. Shewchun

NUMBER OF PAGES: iv, 96

# ABSTRACT

Ion implantation is investigated as a technique to fabricate solar cells on monocrystalline silicon. The electrical properties of the implanted layer, as determined using the Hall Effect, and solar cell performance have been studied for varying implant species (As and P), implanted dopant concentration  $(10^{18} - 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-3})$ , implanted substrate temperature (55° to 300°K) and annealing temperature (700° to 900°C). Some progress has been made toward the optimization of the various parameters.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank my Supervisor, Dr. J. Shewchun for his advice and support during the course of my research. I would also like to express my gratitude to J.B. Neilson for his ideas and assistance in all phases of the work, and to Dr. R.S. Walker and Dr. D.A. Thompson for advice and assistance in the implantation techniques. Finally, I would like to thank the technicians and graduate students in the Engineering Physics Department for many stimulating and often rewarding discussions. TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

	<u>Page No</u> .								
ABSTRACT	ii								
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS									
INTRODUCTION									
EXPERIMENTAL: SOLAR CELLS									
A Sample Preparation B Implantation C Final Processing D Testing	4 6 7 8								
EXPERIMENTAL: HALL SAMPLES									
A Sample Preparation B Implantation C Final Processing D Testing	9 9 10 11								
RESULTS									
A Implant Temperature B Anneal Temperature C Implanted And Substrate Dopant Concentration D Junction Depth E Spectral Response	12 13 15 16 18								
SUMMARY	20								
APPENDIX I									
Hall Effect Calculations and Program	41								
APPENDIX II	54								
Ine Automated Hall Effect Apparatus	97 05								
E Spectral Response SUMMARY APPENDIX I Hall Effect Calculations and Program APPENDIX II The Automated Hall Effect Apparatus REFERENCES									

-

iv

#### INTRODUCTION

In this day of energy crises and fuel embargoes, it is becoming increasingly important to find an alternate energy source for the future. Photovoltaic cells, semiconductor devices which convert sunlight directly to electrical power, either alone or in conjunction with thermal conversion units, may be such a viable energy alternative. There are also several other applications for photovoltaics, such as isolate or orbital power supplies requiring long lives under a variety of environmental conditions. It is unlikely that a single type of solar cell would fill the widely varying requirements of these diverse applications. It is therefore necessary to investigate the advantages and disadvantages of different designs and fabrication techniques.

There are several different types of solar cells being presently studied. Shallow single crystal p-n junctions seem to be the mainstay of the photovoltaics field with the best efficiencies (i.e. best power area ratio) and longest life. Competing structures include the thin film heterojunction which promises better power: cost and power: weight ratios and the newer MOS devices. The purpose of this project is to investigate the use of ion implantation as the doping mechanism in single crystal cells. Conventional cells rely on diffusion from either a gas source or a spin-on emulsion to turn over the type of the surface layer; thereby forming the p-n

junction, but variations in doping profile, junction depth, and dopant gradient near the junction are all limited by the diffusion process. Greater control over junction formation is possible using implantation and hence better solar cell performance may be possible provided no less obvious disadvantages appear.

Much work has been done in the use of implantation doping in the fabrication of devices and integrated circuits. However, little has been done to investigate its potential in the production of solar cells (1,2). Several advantages of the use of ion implantation are apparent. There is greater control of the dopant distribution than with conventional diffusion techniques. In particular, very shallow functions are possible, allowing the formation of the "blue-shifted"  $cell^{(3)}$ , which shows an enhanced response to the short wavelength If this is not at the cost of some red response, end of the spectrum. this should result in increased efficiency, in particular for AMO (orbital) applications although it is hoped that terrestrial applications should also benefit. Also, the lateral geometry control available with the implantation technique facilitates the fabrication of grating cells<sup>(4)</sup> which also show an enhanced blue response due to the increased effective diffusion length as a result of bringing the higher carrier lifetime of bulk material to the surface, and should also show correspondingly increased efficiency.

The aim of this project, then, is to isolate the characteristics of implantation doping that are of particular concern in the manufacture of solar cells. To achieve this, several simple planar geometry solar cells, similar in design to the conventional diffused

cells, were prepared by implanting p-type silicon wafers with n-type dopants (Arsenic or Phosphorus) at energies from 20keV to 120keV, with the substrate temperature held fixed at from 40°K to 300°K during the implant. The samples were vacuum annealed to remove the bombardment damage, aluminum ohmic contacts were evaporated on and the resultingsolar cell characteristics were determined using a solar simulator and automated I-V plotter (See Figure 1 and 2).

To help identify the implantation parameters having the most effect on cell behaviour, several key implantations, as chosen according to cell performance, were duplicated in a geometry suitable for Hall measurements (see Figure II-1) and these were electrically characterized.

'n

## EXPERIMENTAL: SOLAR CELLS

#### A Sample Preparation

The substrates used for this work were commercial p-type (B doped) silicon wafers, (111) orientation, with resistivities in the range 0.1 to 10 ohm cm. It was possible that there were variations in quality, but judging from the results these were not significant. Before use the samples were scribed and cleaved into 1 cm by 1.5 cm sections, which were then subjected to a standard cleaning procedure as follows:

> 15 minutes in boiling  $H_2SO_4 + H_2O_2$  (2:1) Cool 5 minutes Rinse thoroughly with de-ionized water 2 minutes in HF +  $H_2O$  (1:10) Rinse 15 minutes in boiling  $H_2O$  + HCl +  $H_2O_2$  (4:1:1) Rinse and blow dry with N<sub>2</sub> gas.

Throughout this procedure the samples were kept submerged in a quartz beaker, to avoid oxidation or contamination by contact with the air. The HCl etch stabilizes the surface after the HF etch and reduces the adsorption of atmospheric contaminants.

Energies and doses for the implants were calculated to give a uniformly doped surface layer using the data from Gibbons et al<sup>(5)</sup>. The lowest energy ion beam that was easily stabilized was found

to be 20 keV. As can be seen from Figure 4 this leaves a very low dopant concentration near the surface, making good ohmic contact to the device difficult. Two possible solutions were found. After the implant a thin surface layer could be removed by growing an oxide 300Å thick and stripping it in 10% HF, or a "through-theoxide" implant could be used to obtain an appreciable impurity concentration at the silicon surface. By comparing the stopping powers of silicon and silicon dioxide, it was found that an oxide thickness of 130Å would be adequate. This thin oxide could be removed after the annealing procedure. Both methods were tried and were found equivalent, but as the oxidation rate of implanted silicon varies depending on the implant, it was decided that the through-the-oxide implant was preferable. The next step in preparation was therefore to grow an oxide 130A thick on the sample. This was done, immediately after cleaning, in a guartz tube three element furnace at 800°C in a steam atmosphere. The oxidation time was 19 minutes 10 seconds, which gives an oxide 130Å thick according to the graph given in  $Sze^{(6)}$ , page 81. Sample oxides were measured by ellipsometry and had thicknesses of  $140 \pm 10A$ .

After oxide growth the samples were moved to the implantation facility, while being handled as little as possible. As sample preparation and implantation were done in different buildings, there is some concern that the time interval and exposure between final oxidation and evacuation in the implantation target chamber may lead to some surface contamination of the sample. It is hoped that the

130Å oxide is sufficient protection for the silicon surface, particularly since this layer is removed in the final processing and contacting, but the possibility of recoil implantation of any adsorbed contaminants cannot be dismissed. It is recommended, therefore, that an evacuable desicator be used for sample shuttling in any future work.

# B Implantation

The implantations were done with the 150keV ion accelerator<sup>(7)</sup> in the Tandem Accelerator Lab at McMaster. The ion beam, from a Danfysik 911A Universal Ion source, is accelerated, focussed through a 2 mm aperture, then electrostatically swept across a second 2 mm aperture to ensure a uniform implant, and the two apertures were sufficiently off axis to avoid the implantation of neutrals, which would not be swept and hence cause a hot spot. The current on target was 10 to 200 nanoamps swept over an oval of 1 cm<sup>2</sup> area (see Figure 3). Dosimetry was by means of a current digitizer and scalar connected to integrate the target current. In a preliminary study, the calculated dosimetry was checked using the in situ backscattering facility and good agreement was found.

To calculate implanted impurity depth profiles, the distributions were approximated by gaussians, with moments as prepared by Gibbons et al<sup>(5)</sup> using LSS theory. Each sample was aligned in a "random" direction to avoid channeling, and implanted with several different energies, at doses calculated to give uniform concentrations

from the silicon surface to the peak of the deepest implanted layer. The junction depth was taken as the intersection of the approximated implant distribution with the background dopant level. An example plot is given in Figure 4. Table 1 lists calculated average concentrations and junction depths for various implant conditions.

#### C Final Processing

The samples require annealing and deposition of aluminum contacts before the solar cells are complete. Annealing was done in a quartz tube three element vacuum furnace at a pressure of  $10^{-6}$  torr, at temperatures ranging from 700°C to 900°C. Ten minute isochronal anneals were chosen as sufficient to reach the first annealing plateau commonly observed in silicon annealing<sup>(8)</sup>.

After the anneal, the 130Å oxide window was etched off in 10% HF and aluminum contact pads were evaporated on the top and bottom in a vacuum evaporator, through a brass mask in the desired design (see Figure 3). Finally, the contacts were sintered for 10 minutes at 400°C under vacuum in the annealing furnace. Contacts made to an unimplanted sample were tested and showed an ohmic characteristic. Tests made on the Hall samples (next section) confirmed that all contacts made to both implanted and substrate sides of the cell were ohmic.

#### D Testing

. .

The cell efficiencies were determined by measuring the illuminated I-V characteristics. Contact was made to the aluminum contact pads by an indium block below and a copper wire probe held in a micromanipulator on top. Illumination was provided by an Air Mass 2 solar simulator consisting of four 300 watt tungsten-iodine lamps with dichroic filters. The output spectrum is shown in Figure 1. Input power to the cell at AM2 is  $75 \text{mW/cm}^2$ . The I-V characteristic was measured on a system based on a Hewlett-Packard HP 9820 computer and including a digital voltmeter, programmable power supply, and xy plotter. Figure 2 shows a block diagram of the system and a typical output plot is given in Figure 5. Significant values are the open circuit voltage ( $V_{oc}$ ), the shortcircuit current  $(I_{sc})$ , and the maximum output power  $(0_{mp})$ . The fill factor  $C_{ff}$ , defined as  $O_{mp}/V_{oc}I_{sc}$  is a useful quantity, and the efficiency,  $0_{mp}$  divided by total incident power, is the parameter being maximized in this study. The cells were illuminated only in the area of the contact grid (see Figure 3) to avoid edge effects and to define the input power.

Dark I-V characteristics were also measured, to give an indication of the quality of the p-n junctions formed.

#### EXPERIMENTAL: HALL SAMPLES

#### A Sample Preparation

The same substrate material was used for the Hall samples as for the solar cells, and the same standard cleaning procedure. Sample size was changed to  $7mm \times 10mm$ . In order to measure the Hall coefficient and resistivity a bar shaped implanted region was required (Figure 6). The implanted region was defined by protecting the area not to be implanted with a thick oxide during implantation. Immediately after cleaning the samples were placed in the oxidation furnace at 900°C in a steam atmosphere for 105 minutes, growing an oxide  $4000\text{\AA}$  thick according to Sze<sup>(6)</sup> page 81. A film of Shipley AZ 1350 photoresist was then spun onto the samples at 4000 RPM and pre-baked 5 minutes at 100°C. The photoresist was then exposed through an image of the implant pattern, developed, and baked for 1 hour at 100°C. The sample was etched for 4 minutes in 50% HF solution to etch the implant window, the remaining photoresist removed with acetone, and the sample rinsed in de-ionized water. Finally a thin oxide was grown, as for the solar cells, in 800°C steam for 19 minutes 10 seconds.

#### B Implantation

The Hall samples were implanted on the same equipment and in the same manner as the solar cells.

## C Final Processing

The annealing and contact deposition procedures were identical with those used for the solar cells, except that a different brass mask was used for the contact evaporation (see Figure 6). Note that the oxide etch prior to contact deposition removed only the thin oxide over the Hall bar, leaving the thick oxide over the unimplanted region as an insulator over the substrate. This considerably reduced the alignment requirements for the contacts.

A back contact to the substrate was also added at this point. As the assumption that the implanted bar-shaped region is isolated from the substrate<sup>(9)</sup> is necessary to the evaluation of the raw Hall data, the I-V characteristics of the bar-to-substrate interface were checked to ensure that it was a good diode. Also, as a check on the applicability of the Hall tests to the previously done solar cell measurements, the photovoltaic characteristics of these samples were also determined, and found to be comparable to the earlier work.

Following the 400°C sintering step, gold wires were bonded to the contact pads with an ultrasonic wirebonder to facilitate Hall testing.

## D Testing

. .

The resistivities and Hall coefficients of the samples were measured at room temperature on a computerized system described in detail by Shewchun et al<sup>(10)</sup>. The system, controlled by a computer sequencer, switched the sample current and the magnetic field through positive and negative values while measuring the Hall voltage. It also measured the conductivity voltage in each direction, the current, the magnetic field, and the sample temperature. Readings can be made automatically at fixed intervals of up to 20 minutes. The data is typed or punched on paper tape as it is measured, and later analysed on a CDC 6400 computer to give calculated values of the Hall coefficient, Hall mobility, carrier concentration, and resistivity. The calculations and program are described in more detail in Appendix I and the apparatus is discussed in Appendix II.

## RESULTS

Several implantation parameters were varied, and the dependence of the cell performance was studied. Results are given here primarily with cell efficiency as the dependent variable, but  $I_{SC}$ ,  $V_{OC}$ , and  $C_{FF}$  are included to help clarify the physical mechanism responsible for the observed behaviour. The standard cells in the following studies, determined in a preliminary examination to have "reasonable" photovoltaic characteristics, are 10 ohm-cm, arsenic implanted at room termperature to maximum energy of 80 keV, have an average implanted concentration of  $10^{20}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, and were annealed at 800°C. In each case, all the above parameters were constant except the observed variable.

# A Implant Temperature

Earlier published results<sup>(11)</sup> have suggested that better electrical activity is obtained in silicon by total amorphization of the surface layer during implantation followed by epitaxial regrowth of the damaged region in the anneal stage. It was therefore expected that by holding the substrate at low temperatures during the implant, allowing little or no annealing, a greater amount of damage would accummulate and a more completely amorphous layer would result, improving the subsequent epitaxial regrowth during the anneal. This effect was not observed, however, as shown in

Figure 7, where it can be seen that the best efficiencies were obtained with the room temperature implant.

The dominant effect in this case was the variation of  $I_{SC}$  from 20 ma/cm<sup>2</sup> for the room temperature sample. Relatively constant  $V_{OC}$  and  $C_{FF}$  indicate that the barrier formation and sheet resistivity of the surface layer were not greatly affected by the lower implant temperature, but that the carrier generation rate or lifetime has degraded. This could be due to increased surface contamination from condensation on the sample at these low temperatures (e.g. mercury from the mercury vapour pump) possibly resulting in contaminants in the implanted region due to a recoil mechanism.

# B Anneal Temperature

The temperature at which the damaged layer of the sample is regrown in the anneal step should be critical to cell performance. As reported in the literature (8,12) both the electrically active fraction of the implanted ions and the carrier mobility are strong functions of anneal temperature. Several standard cells were evaluated after anneals at temperatures ranging from 700°C to 900°C. As shown in Figure 8, from 700°C to 800°C cell performance improves with increasing anneal temperature, but over 800°C, V<sub>OC</sub> drops off accompanied by a less rapid drop in C<sub>FF</sub>, resulting in a dramatic decrease in efficiency.

A degradation of carrier lifetimes and diffusion length was at first expected to occur at higher anneal temperatures, but this would result in a decrease in  $I_{SC}$ , which was not observed. The drop in  $V_{OC}$  suggests a decrease in junction barrier height, perhaps attributable to an enhanced diffusion resulting in a less abrupt junction, or a decrease in dopant concentration.

Hall measurements on duplicate samples determined that the latter was the functional mechanism. As shown in Figure 9, the carrier concentration versus anneal temperature behaves similar to the solar cell efficiency curve, while the mobility peaks at 750°C and degrades very slightly for higher anneal temperatures (Figure 10). Also, the resistivity of the implanted material increases for the higher temperatures, accounting for the lower  $C_{\rm FF}$ .

The reason for the lower dopant concentrations with the hot anneals is not immediately obvious. It has been suggested that compensation occurs. That is, the electrically active fraction of arsenic atoms continues to increase, consistent with the work of Baldo et al<sup>(8)</sup>, but that athigher temperatures, some inactive p-type dopants go substitutional, dropping the net n-dopant concentration. Another possibility is that diffusion does become significant, and the implanted impurities diffuse deeper into the substrate resulting in a thicker but less concentrated surface n-layer.

The photovoltaic response of the Hall samples was tested under the solar simulator and was found to behave similar to the solar cell samples (Figure 11).

## C Implanted and Substrate Dopant Concentration

The effect of both the implanted and the background impurity concentrations on cell efficiency was examined using arsenic implanted cells to a maximum energy of 80 keV at room temperature and annealed at 800°C. The results are shown in Figure 12. It was expected that for greater dopant concentrations on both sides of the junction, the increased barrier height would result in a greater  $V_{oc}$ . It is clearly seen in Figure 12, however, that the efficiency drops off dramatically when the substrate resistivity is reduced to 0.1 ohm cm. This is probably due to a reduction in carrier lifetimes as was indicated by a decrease in  $I_{sc}$ .

In a shallow junction cell, the majority of the minority carriers are generated in the bulk material, and so the bulk lifetimes and the surface layer resistivity should be the dominant factors in cell behaviour. The best results would therefore be expected for a cell with a high substrate resistivity, giving long lifetimes, and a high implanted surface concentration to give a low sheet resistance. This is found to be true for diffused cells<sup>(13)</sup> and, as shown in Figure 12, also for implanted cells. There may be some depth dependence hidden in these results (see Table 1), but the magnitude of this effect over the range of depths here (600Å to 1000Å) should be negligible. Hall tests on the duplicates of these samples showed, as should be expected, a lower carrier concentration and higher mobility in samples which have not been as heavily implanted (Figures 13, 14).

## D Junction Depth

Junction depth is a very critical parameter in the design of solar cells. The first and most obvious criterion is to maximize the number of carriers generated within a diffusion length of the junction. If  $\alpha$  is the absorption coefficient then:

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \phi_0 \exp(-\alpha \mathbf{x})$$

is the photon flux at a depth x. For simplicity, assume one absorbed photon generates one carrier. The problem is then to maximize

$$\phi_{abs} = \phi(d_j + L_p) - \phi(d_j - L_n)$$
$$= \phi_0 \exp(d_j) [\exp(L_p) - \exp(-L_n)]$$

where  $d_j$  is junction depth and  $L_p$  and  $L_n$  are minority carrier diffusion lengths in the p-type substrate and the implanted n-layer, respectively.

For the implanted layer however,  $L_n$  is very short, leading to impossibly shallow junctions at which point the series collection resistance due to the thin n-layer causes  $I^2R$  losses, observed as a decreased  $C_{FF}$  and  $I_{SC}$ . Also, with very shallow junctions, effects such as surface recombination and inhomogeneities in junction depth and concentration become significant.

Implantation to energies of 120 keV restricts us to shallow junctions (1500Å with As) compared to conventional diffused cells with junction depths of 5000 to 10,000Å typically, and the latter arguments above seem to be the more significant. This behaviour is observed in Figure 15, where monotonic increase in efficiency with depth is observed. The improved performance of the deeper junctions was due primarily to an increase in  $C_{FF}$ indicating that the sheet resistance of the surface layer was the dominant effect. This was also discussed in the previous section.

The behaviour of the arsenic implanted cells lead to the use of phosphorus to obtain a deeper junction. Arsenic had originally been chosen because of its covalent radius match to silicon, which would allow a greater dopant concentration without too much crystal strain or reduced diffusion lengths. Phosphorus, however, with its smaller radius, seems to give a better cell, even at the same calculated junction depth, and even higher efficiencies are possible with the deeper junctions (Figure 15). It is understandable that the carrier lifetime of the implanted layer should not have a great effect on efficiency, but the improved efficiency at the same depth is difficult to explain.

Hall measurements of the depth study samples (Figures 16, 17) show constant concentration at all depths for arsenic implants, indicating good reproducability in the implant conditions. The

phosphorus samples, however, show a concentration variation for doses calculated to give constant concentration, indicating that either the gaussian dopant profiles are not a good enough approximation for phosphorus (14,15) or the electrically active fraction of the implanted phosphorus is depth dependent. The Hall mobilities of all samples were fairly constant at about  $40 \text{ cm}^2/\text{v.s.}$ 

# E Spectral Response

The simple equation for the previous section can be slightly improved by indicating explicitly that  $\alpha$  is a function of wavelength,  $\lambda$ , of the incident photons. That is,

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\lambda} d\lambda [\phi_0(\lambda) \exp(\alpha(\lambda)\mathbf{x})]$$

This implies that the spectral response of the cell will be dependent on junction depth. Since for most materials,  $\alpha$  is larger for the smaller wavelength (blue) end of the visible spectrum<sup>(16)</sup>, most of these higher energy photons will be absorbed very near the silicon surface. Therefore, the closer the junction is to the surface, the better the collection efficiency for carriers generated by the blue light will be. That is, shallow junction cells should be "blue-shifted". This result was indeed observed as shown in Figure 18. For purposes of demonstration, the spectral responses of the four arsenic implanted cells of the depth study were determined and are presented in Figure 18 normalized to coincide at  $\lambda = 7,000$ Å. It should be noted that although the shallower junctions showed a higher relative blue response, the overall performances were as shown in Figure 15. That is, the deeper junctions had higher collection efficiencies.

One possible way to achieve both the enhanced blue response and still maintain the advantages of the deeper junction is the grating structure solar cell (Figure 19) as discussed by Loferski<sup>(4)</sup>. By implanting deep stripes and leaving the surface between stripes undamaged, the carriers generated near the surface by the blue light can still be collected efficiently as the collecting junction is always within a diffusion length of the generating site. In this way the longer bulk diffusion lengths are used to best advantage. Preliminary work in this area has shown that the resolution attainable using conventional photoresist techniques to make thick oxide implantation masks is sufficient for grating cells in silicon, but may be difficult for other materials with shorter diffusion lengths such as GaAs.

#### SUMMARY

The use of ion implantation as a solar cell fabrication technique has been investigated. Most of the parameters which could be adjusted were studied, and some progress was made toward the optimization of cell efficiency. It was found that:

- Low temperature implants do not improve the electrical characteristics of the cell.
- Optimum annealing was found at 800°C. Below 800°C, incomplete activiation occurs, and above 800°C compensation begins to take place.
- 3. The best doping combination was found to be a high implanted concentration  $(10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3})$  for low sheet resistivity, and a low substrate impurity concentration  $(10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3})$  for long carrier lifetimes.
- 4. As junction depth is increased to 3000Å, cell efficiency increases, but the enhanced blue response of the shallow junction cells is lost.

Optimizing the above criteria as outlined allows the fabrication of reproducable cells of 7% efficiency, without the use of an anti-reflection coating or an improved bottom contact, which is comparable to current commercial standards.

TAB	LE	Ι
the second se	_	_

Species	С <sub>В</sub> (ст-3)	E (keV)	φ * (μc-cm-2)	E	. <b>\$</b> .	E	¢	E	ф	C <sub>AVE</sub> (cm <sup>-3</sup> )	Dj (Å)
As	10 <sup>15</sup>	20	0.15	40	0.25	80	0.42			10 <sup>18</sup>	870
As	10 <sup>15</sup>	20	1.5	40	2.5	80	4.2			1019	930
As	10 <sup>15</sup>	20	. 15	40	25	80	42			10 <sup>20</sup>	1000
As	2x10 <sup>16</sup>	20	0.15	40	0.25	80	0.42			10 <sup>18</sup>	775
As	2x10 <sup>16</sup>	20	1.5	40	2.5	80	4.2			1019	850
As	2x10 <sup>16</sup>	20	15	40	25	80	42			1020	910
As	5x10 <sup>17</sup>	20	0.15	40	0.25	80	0.42		·	10 <sup>18</sup>	600
As	5x10 <sup>17</sup>	20	1.5	40	2.5	80	4.2			1019	740
As	5x10 <sup>17</sup>	<sup>.</sup> 20	15	40	25	80	42			1020	825
As	10 <sup>15</sup>	20	15							1020	340
As	10 <sup>15</sup>	20	15	40	25					1020	570
As	10 <sup>15</sup>	20	15	40	25	80	42			1030	1000
As	10 <sup>15</sup>	20	15	40	25	80	42	120	55	1020	.1400
Р	10 <sup>15</sup>	20	40							1020	700
Р	10 <sup>15</sup>	20	25	40	62					1020	1300
Р	10 <sup>15</sup>	20	25	40	28	60	88			10 <sup>20</sup>	1800
Р	1015	20	25	40	48	80	115			10 <sup>20</sup>	2400
Р	10 <sup>15</sup>	20	25	40	35	60	62	100	135	10 <sup>20</sup>	3000

Table 1: List of Implants

's

 $C_B$  is substrate carrier concentration.  $C_{AVE}$  is average implanted concentration.  $D_j$  is junction depth.



Figure 1: Solar Simulator Spectrum



FIGURE 2: Solar Cell Testing System





FIGURE 5 : SOLAR CELL TEST I-V PLOT



Aluminum Contacts Implanted region 4000Â Oxide S. 1. ...... 25

FIGURE 6: Hall Sample Configuration












FIGURE 11: Hall Sample O<sub>mp</sub> vs Anneal Temperature Samples as in figure 9.









FIGURE 14: Hall Mobility vs Dopant Concentration 80 keV arsenic into silicon. Implant temperature 20°C. Anneal temperature 800°C.





Substrate 10 ohm-cm silicon. Implant Temperature 20°C. Anneal temperature 800°C. Depths from Gibbons et al





FIGURE 18: Spectral Response at Various Junction Depths Concentration  $10^{20}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>; Arsenic in 10 ohm-cm silicon; Implant temperature 20°C; Anneal temperature 800°C. Depths from Gibbons et al<sup>(7)</sup>.

Figure 19: proposed Grating Cell



#### APPENDIX I

#### Hall Effect Calculations and Program

It is assumed that the user has aquainted himself with the Hall Effect before attempting to use the automated system. If not, a brief report can be found in  $Sze^{(6)}$ , and a more complete discussion can be found in McKelvey<sup>(17)</sup> or Smith<sup>(18)</sup>. As the program is written using only the simplified theory, that is all that is included here.

The basic setup is shown in Figure I-1 where an electric field is applied along the x-axis and a magnetic field along the z-axis. If the majority carriers are positive (i.e. p-type material), carrier motion due to the electric field will be in the -x direction. The Lorentz force  $(q\bar{v}_x \times \bar{B}_z)$  will exert a net downward force where the holes will collect until the charge separation on the y-axis sets up a field,  $\bar{E}_y$ , such that the electrostatic force exactly counters the Lorentz force. This is the Hall field given by:

$$E_y = (V_y/W) = R_H J_X B_z$$
 I.1

where  $R_{H}$  is the Hall coefficient given by:

$$R_{H} = r \frac{1}{q} \frac{p - b^{2} n}{(p + bn)^{2}} ; b \equiv \mu_{n} / \mu_{p}$$
  
;  $r \equiv \langle \tau^{2} \rangle / \langle \tau \rangle^{2}$  I.2

where  $\tau$  is the mean free time between carrier collisions. For simplicity, let r = 1. From I.2, if n>>p,

.

$$R_{\rm H} = r(\frac{-1}{qn})$$
 I.3

and is p>>n,

$$R_{\rm H} = r(\frac{+1}{qn})$$
 I.4

When conductivity information is also available, as with the automated system, we also have, for n>>p:

$$\mu = \frac{\sigma}{qn} = |R_{H} \sigma|$$
 I.5

and for p>>n:

ί.

**.** .

$$\mu_{p} = \frac{\sigma}{qp} = |R_{H} \sigma| \qquad I.6$$

The last four equations are the basis of the program. Restating these in terms of measurable quantities we have:

$$R_{H} = \frac{V_{H}t}{IB} \times 10^{8} ; V_{H} \text{ in volts}$$
 I.7  
t in cm  
I in Amps  
B in Gauss  
 $R_{H} \text{ in cm}^{3}/\text{Cb}$ 

$$n(or p) = \left|\frac{r}{qR_{H}}\right|$$
;  $n(or p)$  in cm<sup>-3</sup> I.8

$$\sigma = \frac{I\ell}{V_c wt}$$
; *l*,w,t in cm  
V<sub>c</sub> in volts  
 $\sigma$  in mho/cm

$$\mu_{\rm H} = |R_{\rm H} \sigma|$$
;  $\mu_{\rm H} \, \text{in cm}^2/\text{v.s}$  I.10

The following section contains a flowchart and parameter list for the program and is included here for completeness. For information regarding the use of the program see Appendix II.



Figure I-1: Basic setup to measure the Hall Effect



\*Reference (19).

'n



-

. .



# PROGRAMME PARAMETER DEFINITIONS

AAA	array used in LESQ programme
AJIM	used in degeneracy check
ALHI .	data point near RECLEE
ALLO	data point near RECLEE
ALNLEE	natural log of absolute value of compensation
ALNN	natural log of absolute value of carrier concentration
ALNX	In [N <sub>D</sub> -N <sub>A</sub> ]
AMOBCN	conductivity mobility of electrons in mixed conduction
AMOBCP	conductivity mobility of holes in mixed conduction
AN .	electron concentration in mixed conduction
AP	hole concentration in mixed conduction
APETER	In of normalized concentration
APNT	same as ALNN
API	normations defined in Loois norm
AP3	parameters der med in tee s paper .
AT	average temperature
AVC	average conductivity voltage
AVH	average hall voltage
В	magnetic field
BBB(1)	novementary of 1550 fit for ionization energy
BBB(2)	parameter of LESQ IIL for fontzation energy
COMPEN	compensated impurity density
CONC	concentration

		48
		•
	CONCN	same as AN
	CONCP	same as AP
	СОИД	conductivity
-	DELTA	range in 1/T where ionization energy is determined
•.	EFMASN	$\frac{m_e^*}{m_o}$ density of states effective mass
•	EFMASP	$\frac{m_h^*}{m_o^*}$ density of states effective mass
•	EGO	Ego
·	ENERGY	ionization energy in electron volts
•	ERLE	used in check on magnetic field
: · · · ·	FLIM -	ratio of two conductivity voltages
•	GAMMA	constant in intrinsic concentration
Ì	GEOM	(width x length)÷(thickness)
	I	current
•	IEXP	current expressed as exponential
•	IVEX	same as VEX in integer form
•	LENGTH	conductivity length
	MASS	either $\frac{m_e^*}{m_o^*}$ or $\frac{m_h^*}{m_o^*}$
· .	MOBC	conductivity mobility
	MOBH	Hall mobility
	MOBRAT	μΗ/μc
	MB	mobility
	NP	number of data points used in LESQ for ionization energy
• ·		

	•					
OVS	number of data points used in LESQ for determining Ego					
R	scattering parameter (r)					
RECHI	data point near RECLEE					
RECIP	1/T					
RECLEE	(1/TLEE)					
RECLO	data point near RECLEE					
RECMAX RECMIN	temperature range over which E <sub>A</sub> or E <sub>D</sub> is determined					
RH	Hall coefficient					
RHO .	resistivity					
RHOMAX	resistivity which corresponds to R <sub>max</sub>					
RMAX	maximum resistance that the Hall system can handle					
RRECIP	same as RECIP					
SLOPE	slope of ALNN with respect to RECIP					
SS	see section on mixed conduction					
Т	temperature before averaging					
THICK	thickness of sample					
TLEE -	temperatrue where LESQ straight line has a value ALNX					
TMAX	1/RECMAX					
TMIN	temperature that corresponds to RMAX					
TRANS	array used for LESQ for Ego					
TUK (1)	namentanc of 1550 fit for Eas					
TUK(2)	parameters of LESQ fit for Ego					

VC	conductivity voltage
VEX	voltage read proportional to sample current
VGE	germanium thermometer voltage
VH	Hall voltage
NIDTH	width of sample

## Information Necessary for Calculations

Card #1 Title [Format (13A6)] Card #2 Width Length Thickness ICHK\* [Format (3F 10.3, 50A1)] Card #3 EFMASP, EFMASN, MOBRAT, RMAX, DELTA [Format (5E 10.3)] Card #4 Name and Account [for plot heading] DATA is entered in nonstandard format from the tape. \* ICHK if plots are desired write PLOT

·

. .

if punched cards are desired write PUNCH

A subroutine exists to calculate the scattering parameter (r) at all temperatures. At present this subroutine assigns the value 1 to r at all temperatures. If a more complex dependence is required, a new subroutine must be written by the user.

Semi- con- ductor	Energy	/gap,	Mobility cm <sup>2</sup> /volt (T=300	y, <sup>a</sup> sec °K)	Mobilit variati μαΤ	cy - on n	Band structure <sup>b</sup>	Effectiv m/n	e mass O	Dielectric constant ɛ/ɛo	Refractive index
	300°K	0°K	Electrons	Holes	Electrons	Holes	· .	Electrons	Holes		
Ge <sup>C</sup>	Ø.67	0.75	3,950 (3,900) <sup>d</sup>	3,400 (1,900) <sup>d</sup>	1.66	2.33	A	m <sub>l</sub> =1.6 m <sub>l</sub> =0.082	0.3, 0.4 <sup>e</sup>	16	4.0
Si <sup>C</sup>	1.106	1.153	1,900 (1,350) <sup>d</sup>	425 (480) <sup>d</sup>	2.5	2.7	B	m <sub>l</sub> =0.97 m <sub>+</sub> =0.19	0.5, 0.16 <sup>e</sup>	12	3.42
InSb	0.16	0.26	78,000	750	1.6	2.1	C	0.013	0.6	17 ·	3.96
InAs	0.33	0.46	33,000	. 460	1.2	2.3	C	0.02	0.41	14.5	3.42
InP	1.29	1.34	4,600	150	2.0	2.4	C	0.07	0.4	14	3.26
GaSb	0.67	0.80	4,000	1,400	2.0	0.9	C	0.047	0.5	15	3.74
GaAs	1.39	1.58	8,500	400	1.0	2.1	C	0.072	0.5	12.5	3.30
GaP	2.24	2.40	110	75	1.5	1.5	В			10	2.91
Alsb	1.63	1.75	200	420	• • •	1.8	Not C	••••	0.4	11	3.18
3 <b>P</b>	2			• • • • •	• • •	• • •	Not C				

ELECTRICAL PROPERTIES OF VARIOUS SEMICONDUCTORS

<sup>a</sup>The values of mobility are those obtained in the purest and most perfect material available to date. In most circumstances the actual mobilities are less than the value listed.

"A: germanium-like; conduction band minimum in <111> direction; valence band maximum at <000>.

B: silicon-like: conduction band minimum in <100> direction; valence band maximum at <000>.

C: GaAs-like: conduction band minimum at <000>; valence band maximum at <000>.

There are significant variations among the various semiconductors in details of the band structure beyond our A, B, C classification.

č

E. M. Conwell, Properties of Silicon and Germanium, Proc. IRE, vol. 46, no. 6, June, 1958, has a fairly comprehensive discussion of the properties of silicon and germanium.

. . . . . . . . .

## Calculated and experimental mobilities for III-V

compounds at room temperature

Compound	Carrier		e <mark>*</mark> /e	ω <sub>1</sub> x10 <sup>13</sup> (sec <sup>-1</sup> )	0] (°K)	<pre>Polar mobility (cm<sup>2</sup>/V sec)</pre>	Observed mobility (cm2/y sec)
InSb	Electron	0.013	0.13	3.5	260	200,000	78,000
. · ·	Hole	0.6	• •	·	•	600	750
InAs	Electron	0,02	0.22	4.4	340	40,000	33,000
	Hole	0.41	•			500	460
InP	Electron	0.07	0.24	6.3	480	6,800	4,600
	Hole	0.4.			· · ·	500	150
GaSb	Electron	0.047	0.11	4.4	340	43,000	4,000
۰ ·	Hole	0.5	a		•	1,200	1,400
GaAs	Electron	0.072	0.17	5.35	410	11,000	8,500
	Hole	0.5				600	400
AISb	Hole	0.4	0.19	6.3	480	800	420
		•				•	

Scattering Mechanisms

Mechanism	μ	∝ (m*)×⊺Y	τ∝Ez	R <sub>H</sub> = -r/ne
	x	Y	Z.	r
Acoustic	-5/2	-3/2	-1/2	3π/8
Polar T < 01	-3/2	Exponential	Independent	1.00 to 1.14
Ionized impurity	-1/2	3/2	~3/2	315π/512
Neutral impurity	1	Independent	Independent	1
Electron-hole	-1/2	3/2	-3/2	315π/512

C. Hilsum and A. C. Rose-Innes, "Semiconducting III-V Compounds" Pergamon Press, New York

#### APPENDIX II

#### The Automated Hall Effect Apparatus

The electrical characterizations done in this report were carried out using the automated Hall system in SSC 137. It has been fully reported on by Shewchun et al (10) regarding the nature of the system, but as yet, no "operator's manual" exists to aid beginners in the use of the machine. The purpose of this appendix is to provide enough information to act as a guide for anyone wishing to learn how to use the system.

First of all it should be noted that there exists a large green 3-ring binder containing detailed information on the construction of the controller and the dewar assembly which should be used as a reference in case of difficulty. Included there is a program listing, a brief photographic inventory, logic diagrams for the controller sequencer, and manufacturers leaflets and manuals for the various components including the vacuum system and the low temperature dewar. Also, data output is through the HP Coupler to a teletype which will probably have to be located and returned if the system has been down for any length of time. For assistance in this matter see the departmental technician (Mr. G. Leinweber) in BSB/B101.

The system is presently set up to accept samples in a slightly modified version of the standard six point Hall test bar configuration (Figure II-1). The double Hall voltage contacts on

54

. .

one side allow the user to remove the unwanted conductivity voltage contribution (due to misalignment of the contacts or film inhomogeneities) that tend to swamp the low Hall voltage of high carrier concentration samples. There are several sample preparation methods. An ultrasonic cutting head is available for cutting Hall bars from commercially available wafers, but the modified version is not yet available. In implanted samples, the junction formed by implanting into a substrate of opposite type is enough to isolate the bar. The author has also had experience with thin films and has found that evaporating through a suitable brass mask onto an insulating substrate is also quite acceptable. Sample size is variable, but the mounting area on the sample holder allows a maximum overall size of about 1 cm × 2 cm.

Using heat sink compound, and an insulator if necessary, the sample is mounted on the copper block at the end of a 1 meter rod that is inserted into the top of the dewar. Electrical connection to the metallized contacts on the sample is through the six pins and one extra wire found on the mounting block as in Figure II-2. The author has found that gold wire silver painted at both ends to pin and sample contact pad is quite sufficient provided the silver paint is allowed to dry thoroughly before evacuating the sample chamber.

When the sample is mounted, replace the copper and teflon shield over the sample and insert the assembly into the dewar making sure the O-ring at the top end of the assembly is securely in position

. .

in the dewar mouth. Reconnect the thermocouple jack to the small box at the right side of the equipment rack and submerge the reference thermocouple in liquid nitrogen. The sample chamber is evacuated by closing the bleed valve located on the rear wall behind the magnet power supply and switching on the rotary pump (#2 in Figure II-3). When the cable is reattached to the connector at the top of the mounting assembly, measurements can begin. The following is a step by step account of how to run the system. Thanks to Ara Kazandzian for his aid in compiling this.

ŝ,

. .

## Using The System To Measure Hall Effect At Room Temperature

- 1. Turn the water supply on for the magnet.
- Start the magnet by pressing on "Norm" switch. Red "on" light along with "Norm" amber light will come on.
- Adjust the current using "current adjust" knobs to desired current e.g. 10 amp. and turn on switch for the GAUSSMETER on the panel.
- 4. Turn DVM to MAN/EXT mode.
- 5. Set the interval to a desired time e.g. 4 minutes.
- 6. Turn the main power on "on the panel".
- 7. Turn the teletype to (external) left hand side "push on" teletype is ready, Note: let the tape punch on "Here is" for about 5 feet.
- 8. Switch to "auto" mode.

. .

- Push "start" button, by doing this. 26 sequential steps will be executed by the control unit.
- 10. Now the control unit takes over and it will automatically measure the  $VC_1$ ,  $VH_1$ ,  $TC_1$ , TG, I.
- 11. If the four Hall voltages appear to have a high condutivity component as in Figure I-4a, return to manual mode and cycle through to step 13. Set DVM to INT mode and zero the measured Hall voltage by use of the two potentiometers at the top of the mount assembly. Return DVM to MAN/EXT mode, and the controller

to semi-auto. The four Hall voltage should appear as in Figure I-4b. Goto step 8 and repeat.

12. At the end of the run switch teletype to "internal mode" push "here is" button and punch tape for 5 feet.

13. After the run is over the magnet will shut itself off.

14. Turn off water cooling supply.

Using The System to Measure Hall Effect at LN, Temperatures

Initial condition, sample is inside the sample chamber

- Turn pump #2 on (located on the floor) make sure valve #6 (vent valve) is open and valve #5 closed. Fill cold trap for pump #2 with LN<sub>2</sub>.
- Turn mechanical pump #1 (located on the shelf) then open valve
   #3 and #12 to evacuate the vacuum chamber (make sure the air release valve for pump #1 is closed).
- 3. Turn on power switch located on thermocouple gauge panel.
- Open vavle #1 to evacuate sampler chamber and also He vent, read TC<sub>3</sub> gauge on panel, pressure indicator will approach 1 micron.
- 5. Put  $LN_2$  in the  $LN_2$  fill of the Cryostat, wait for at least one hour to stabilize the temperature before starting the run.
- 6. Proceed as for Room Temperature measurement.

#### Using The System To Measure Hall Effect At Liquid He Temperatures

- Cool down the Cryostat with LN<sub>2</sub> for at least one day following steps #1-4 on page #2.
- 2. Make sure valves #7, #13, #5, #4, #8, #14 are closed.
- 3. Open valves #1, #2, #3, until Gl gauge reads -30 psi.
- Close valve #1, #2, #3.
- 5. Shut down mechanical pump #2.
- 6. Open He gas tank (next to the door) adjust pressure to 5 psi.
- 7. Open valve #4 then open valves #3, #2, #1 until Gl gauge reads+5 psi (this is an indication that He gas is filled).
- 8. Close He gas tank.

#### Transfer of liquid He

9. Contact technician in SSC/B141 regarding LHe dewar and He return.

10. Open valves #8, #2, #3 and flush to transfer tube with He gas.

- 11. Connect the outlet of valve #14 to liquid He transfer tube (a U shape tube with a valve) i.e. connect the valve to make sure valve #3 is closed.
- 12. Insert the transfer tube, one end in the LHe fill located on the Cyrostat, and other end in a liquid He tank which is obtained from room B141 S.S.C.
- 13. Note (ping pong ball will rise and fall down).
- 14. Wait until the ping pong ball falls down, then open valve #14, pressurize until G2 gauge reads 20 psi.

- 15. When the ping pong ball rises and falls down for the second time, the Cryostat will be full of liquid He, and the brass tube will be frozen at this point.
- 16. Take out the transfer tube and insert a rubber stopper on the He fill.
- 17. Defreeze the brass tube with hot air gun.
- 18. Close valve #2.
- 19. Gl gauge pressure should read about 5 psi.
- 20. Open throttle valve (c.c.w.) direction 70° 80°. liquid. He flows through the sample chamber, and the sample is cooled down. The flowmeter will read (probably overscale). Wait for 10-15 minutes or more till -emperatures reaches 4.2°K.
- 21. To read the temperature, set DVM in (internal mode). DC mode trigger rate fast. The temperature at 4.2°K is -0.980mv in order to double check, switch the GE switch on control to upward position to read GE resistance 19.954 mv at 4.2K.
- 22. Close throttle valve.
- 23. Turn  $V_{VH}$  dial to 380 (3.8 on heater).
- 24. Open throttle value to the 18th position relatively. The flow rate should be about 75 on the flowmeter (heavy ball is the reference point).
- 25. Wait for 10 minutes until temperature stabilizes.
- 26. Turn DVM to MAN/EXT mode.
- 27. Turn on the sample heater.

. ,

- 28. Set interval at 4 minutes for initial run, switch to 7 minutes after 30 runs (depends on how stable the temperature is).
- 29. Turn the teletype to (external) left hand side, push on button teletype will be read. Note: let the tapepunch punch on "here is" for about 5 feet.
- 30. Switch to "auto" mode.
- 31. Turn main power on.
- 32. Push "start" button.
- 33. Now the control takes over and it will automatically measure the VC<sub>1</sub> VH<sub>1</sub> TC<sub>1</sub> TG I.
- 34. At the end of the run, switch the teletype to "internal mode" and push "here is" button.
- 35. Check whether there is bubbling in the oil bubbler indicating the flow of He.
- 36. Check Gl gauge pressure.

. .

37. Close valve #8. Note: insert last part of tape first and make a mark on the front side at the beginning.

÷ 5

- 38. At the end of the run, switch teletype to "internal mode". Push "here is" button and punch tape for 5 feet.
- 39. After the run is over, the magnet will shut itself off.
- Let the magnet cool down, then turn off water cooling supply.

Note: TC wires are connected in such a way that it should read positive at room temperature.

To Take the Sample Out When Cryostat is Cold

- Close valve #8 (this valve should be closed when there is no He bubble in the glass tube and no reading on Gl gauge.
- 2. Open He tank.
- 3. Open valves #4, #3, #2, and #1.
- 4. Release pressure by removing rubber stopper from He fill.
- 5. Take out the sample, put a rubber stopper in place.
- 6. Take out TC wire.

۱

• •

7. Close valve #4 then pump down again.



Hall Measurement System

STEP	EVENT
1	Select sample current indicator
2	Record sample current
-3	Select sample thermometry
4	Record sample temperature
5	Select conductivity contacts
6	Record V <sub>c</sub> (I <sup>+</sup> )
7	Reverse sample current
8	Record V <sub>c</sub> (I <sup>-</sup> )
9	Turn on magnet, select gaussmeter contacts
10	Record magnetic field (B <sup>+</sup> )
11	Reverse sample current, select thermometry
12	Record sample temperature
13	Select Hall voltage contacts
14	Record V <sub>h</sub> (I <sup>+</sup> ,B <sup>+</sup> )
15	Reverse sample current (I_)
16	Record V <sub>h</sub> (I,B)
17	Shut down magnet
18	Turn on magnet with reversed field, reverse sample current $(I^{+})$
19	Select Hall voltage contacts
20	Record V <sub>h</sub> (I <sup>+</sup> ,B <sup>-</sup> )
21	Reverse sample current (I <sup>-</sup> )
22	Record V <sub>h</sub> (I <sup>-</sup> ,B <sup>-</sup> )
23	Shut down magnet
24	Select thermometry
25	, Record sample temperature
26	Adjust sample current. Wait 0 to 20 minutes.

Hall System Step Sequence

## Data Format

The printed output should appear as in Figure II-4, with 12 numbers (1 line of 5, 1 line of 7) in the format  $\pm$  XXXXXP. The voltages ( $V_{GE}$ , $V_{TC}$ , $V_{C}$ , $V_{H}$ ) are interpreted as XXXXXX \* 10<sup>-P</sup> volts, (e.g. +0002216 becomes +221 \* 10<sup>-6</sup> volts). The current range, I, (second datum) is decoded as:

$$\log_{10} I = (\frac{XXXXXX * 10^{6-P}}{10^{+4}}) - 9$$

where the quotient on the left side of the equation is truncated to the nearest integer. For example, if the second datum is +0377516, the current is  $I = 10^{-6}$  amp.

The magnetic field, B, (first datum of the second line) is decoded as:

 $B = + XXXXXX * 10^{4-P}$  Gauss.

For example, if the sixth datum is +0825195, then the field is B = 8.2519 kG.

## Sample Calculation

To clarify the principles and methods involved in handling the system output, a sample calculation is given. The data set of Figure II-4-b is used in this example. From the first data set:

$$V_{TC} = \frac{1}{3} (3979 + 3975 + 3979) \times 10^{-6} = 3978 \mu v$$

This corresponds to a temperature of about 300°K.

$$I = 10^{(3-9)} = 10^{-6} \text{ Amp}$$

$$V_{c} = \frac{1}{2} (V_{c}(I^{+}) - V_{c}(I^{-}))$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (.096119 + .096321) = .09622 \text{ volt}$$

$$B = 78653 \times 10^{4-5} = 7865.3 \text{ Gauss}$$

$$V_{H} = \frac{1}{4} (V_{H}(I^{+}B^{+}) - V_{H}(I^{-}B^{+}) - V_{H}(I^{+}B^{-}) + V_{H}(I^{-}B^{-}))$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} (123 - 96 - 127 + 110) \times 10^{-6} = 2.5\mu v$$

It should be noted here that the sign of the Hall voltage is the reverse of what it should be due to an idiosyncrasy of the machine. This is indicated here by a positive Hall voltage for an n-type (CdS) film.

Sample dimensions are:

έ.

& = .5 cmw = .2 cm t = .0014 cm

Therefore, using the equations of the previous Appendix:

$$R_{\rm H} = \frac{V_{\rm H}t}{1B} \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^3/\text{Cb}$$
$$= \frac{(2.5 \times 10^{-6})(.0014)}{(10^{-6})(7.9 \times 10^3)} \times 10^8 = \frac{44 \text{ cm}^3/\text{Cb}}{44 \text{ cm}^3/\text{Cb}}$$
$$n = \frac{1}{q} R_{H} cm^{-3}$$

$$= 1 / [1.602 \times 10^{-19} \times 44] = 1.4 \times 10^{17} cm^{-3}$$

$$\sigma = \frac{I}{V_{c}} \times (\frac{2}{wt}) mho/cm$$

$$= \frac{10^{-6}}{.096} \times (\frac{.5}{.2 \times .0014}) = .019 mho/cm$$

$$\mu_{H} = |R_{H}\sigma| cm^{2}/v.s$$

$$= 44 \times .019 = .82 cm^{2}/v.s$$

## Data Evaluation Using CDC 6400

If the system output has been put on tape (with 5 ft. of blank tape before and after data) the calculations of the previous section can be done on the computer using two available fortran decks.

The first, called CONVT, is used to convert the data on tape to cards. It also edits the data to a degree, but this feature is more of an annoyance than a benefit, asit is very sensitive to teletype errors and will simply reject a data set, not correct it. To use this routine, the tape should be wound on a spool (as in Figure II-5) available from the computing centre. The tape spool and program, with control cards as in Figure II-6, are then submitted together and result in an output as in Figure II-7 and a punched data deck. When the first two labelling cards and the end-of-record cards at the end of the data deck are removed, four data introduction cards should be added to the front and two blank cards at the end as indicated by CONVT. The data is now ready for the Hall evaluation program.

There are two versions of the Hall program available. HALL1, the lengthier version, includes coding to drive the Benson-Lehner Plotting system for publishable output, but HALL2, which produces a printer plot, is faster and more portable, particularly in its binary form. To use the HALL program, simply attach the data deck to the rear of the program between the last end-of-record and the end-of-file cards. Typical output is shown in Figure II-8.

# <u>Conclusions</u>

. .

While the system in its present form greatly simplifies the electrical evaluation of semiconductor materials, many improvements can yet be made. As indicated in the previous Appendix the calculations required in the data evaluation are elementary and do not require the large computing facilities of the CDC 6400. A more practical approach would be to use a small programmable calculator on line to evaluate the data real time, thereby entirely bypassing the teletype, tape, and program running time. This concept has already been discussed with the departmental technician (Mr. G. Leinweber) but work has not yet begun on this project.





'n





+0001096 +0557646 +0039236 +0715236 -0716436 +0826955 +0039206 +0013106 -0013116 +0019136 -0019066 +0039236 . +0001106 +0557686 +0039246 +0714976 -0716236 +0826685 +0039206 +0013106 -0013086 +0019076 -0019116 +0039236 +0001096 +0557606 +0039226 +0714726 -0715996 +0826995 +0039186 +0013106 -0013106 +0019126 -0019076 +0039186

Figure II-4-a: System output showing high  $V_C$  contribution to  $V_H$ .

Figure II-4-b: System output with  $V_C$  component of  $V_H$  reduced.

(NOTE: Different samples were used

for a. and b. )

Figure II-4: Data format

#1... Have at least 6 ft. of leader on each end of the tape.

#2... Place the tape on the spool as follows:



#3... Lable the tape with:

a) START

b) Arrow indicating direction of tape travel

c) Name

d) Reel number



#4... Use Request card (immediately after JOB card) REQUEST(TAPE1,TR,RTLL) SPOOL/VANDER(03)

Figure II-5 : Paper tape use

HMU M( 13.58.49 13.58.49 13.58.49 13.58.49 13.58.49	HASTER GUGS099 IP DO GNGS	-SCOPE 3.4.4R 9 F=04 100640 WORDS	ELEASE420 0 - FILE INPU VA	1/07/77 T , DC 00 NDERWEL TM
13.58.50 13.58.50 14.00.34 14.00.51 14.00.51	NDERWEI ( TR47 FTN.	(VANDER) ASSIGNED) DECM_STORAL	GE USED	POOL 05/44
14.00.53 $14.00.54$ $14.01.30$ $14.01.31$ $14.01.31$	LGO. ST	795 CP SECON 5293 Words Ne 341 CP Secon	US COMPILAT VER USED DS_EXECUTIO	ION IIME
14.01.31. 14.01.31. 14.01.31. 14.01.31. 14.01.31. 14.01.31.	0P 00 0P 00 MS CPA	103200 WORDS 100768 WORDS 168 WORDS 7.281 SEC. 14.917 SEC.	- FILE OUTP - FILE PUNC ( 17920 M 3.2	UT, DC 40 H, DC 10 AX USED) 76 ADJ.
14.01.31 14.01.31 14.01.31 14.01.31	CM SS PP CQMPUT	464.588 KWS. 20.316 SEC. NG COST AT 3	4.8 9.6 DATE U 378/HOUR	60 ADJ. 29 3/12/77 \$1.85
14.01.31. 14.01.31. 14.01.31.	ESTIMA ESTIMA EJ ENI	TED TOTAL COS D OF JOB, **	1 Ka (021	\$2.66

GUGS 833 //// END OF LIST ////

Figure II-6: Dayfile for program CONVT showing

control cards used.

		73/73 TS		FTN 4.6+428	03/12/77	14.004
1		PROGPAN CONVICU COMMON B(150,80	TPUT, PUNCH, TAPE1, TAPE6=OUTPUT	TAPE7=PUNCH)	· .	
Ľ		JATA 0(1)/1H0/,0 1 D(6) /1H5/,D(7), 0ATA IEOF /28/,	(2)/1H1/,0(3)/1H2/,0(4)/1H3/,0 /1H6/,0(8)/1H7/,0(9)/1H8/,0(1) /LUS/1H+/,FMIN/1H-/,BLK/1H /	0(5)/1H4/, 0)/1H9/		
	201	VRITE(6,201) FORMAT(1H1 ,26HE	VERYTHING READ FROM TAPE /1H	23(1H+) ///)		
10	. 1	CALL TRINIT(1, ISTAT = 0 CALL TRREAD(A, CALL TRSTAT(L,	3) 9) ISTAT)			
15		IF(L.£0.0)GO TO J=J+1 IF(J.£0.151)GO T GOOD(J)=L L!=!+10	10 5 40	<b>a</b>		
20	2 D 2	DECODE(10,20,A)( FORMAT(10A1) WRITE(6,2)J,(A(I FORMAT(1), 13,3X	B(J,K),K=1,LL) ),I=1,L) .4410		2	
	ĩo	IF ((ISTAT.AND.I PPINT_999,ISTAT	ÉÓF).EQ.0) GO TO 1		e m	
25	3 Q 9 9 9	FCRMAT(*-TERHIN WRITE(6,30) FORMAT(1H1) JM=J	ATION STATUS = +,02,5X,16H(07	IS EXPECTED) )	e II	
30	· .	00 60 J=1,JH L=G00D(J) IX=10+L/9+9-1 IX=10+L/9+9-8			-7: c	
35	200	IZ=10+L/9+9-9 00 56 K=1,IX 00 58 KK=1,IY,9 IF(K,E0,KK) GO T	5 59		ONVT o	
	58	CONTINUE DO 62 ML=9,IZ,9 TE(K,E0,KL) 60 T	D. 61		outi	
40	52	CONTINUE DO 57 KM=1,10 IF(B(J,K).=0.D(K	1))GO TO 56		put,	
, <b>F</b>	57	GO TO 52 TE (S(1 K) EO PLU	- OF R(I.K) FO FNIN GOTO FF		pag	
42	· 29	GO TO 52 IF(9(J,K).EQ.BL GOOC(J)=0.	() GO TO 56		ф ц	:
50	56 60	GO TO 60 CONTINUE CONTINUE	· · · ·			
55	107	FORFAT(1H1,57HT 1UNCHED //) HSET=0 JJ=JM-1	IE FOLLOWING DATA SETS WERE FO	OUND ERROR FREE AND	Ρ	•
		J=1				i

.

.

.

	PROGRAM	COF	VT	73/73	TS		•		FTN 4.6+428	8	03/12/77	14.00
		102	- 16= 14		0 4 0 0 4	<b>C</b> 0						
60		100	IF(J- IF(G( J=J+1	200 (J)	.EQ.5.0	•AND•G000(	J+1).EQ.	7.0)GO TO	101			
		101	60 TO 11321	102 =NSET+	1							
65			E 2=60 HE I TE	000(J+ (6,103	1)+91 )NSET,(	8(J,K),K=1	,L1)					
		103	FORM/ WRITE	IT(1H) (6,104	,I3,3X, }(8(JP,	80A1) K),K=1,L2)	,					
70		194	FOR	AT(1H	,6X,80)	A1) ),K=1,L1)						
		105	FORM	41(80 (7,105	A1))(B(JP,	K),K=1,L2)						
		106	F CR J=J+2	4AT (8)	A1)	• • • •						
75		150	GO TO HRITE	102 E(6,12	0)							
		120	F0mm/ D0 130	A7(1H1 ]_J=1,	JM	FOLLOWING	TTY LIN	ES (IF AN	Y) HAVE ERRO	DRS //)		
80			IF (GO) WRITE	00(J). (6,131	)])(B() ME • (• 0)	GO TO 130 K),K=1,80	)					
		$131 \\ 130$	CONTI	INUE	yi Sy SX y N	8UA1)						
		140	FORM	(5,14J AT(1H1	,10(57H	DONT FORG	ET 4 CAR	DS BEFORE	DATA AND 2	BLANK C	AR	•
85			105 AF	53H	FIRST	ARDTIT	LE CARD	NAME DAT	E ETC )		1,	
			L1H ,21	QX, 53H	IN CH.	USING 3F1	0.3 NUMBE	ERS START	ING IN	- 6		
90		41.4	URITE -	(6,141	)	9 II ANU Z	1		·		• .	
		141	111 ,1	1X,534	STA	RTING COL	31, ANY OF THE	COMBINATI	ON OF		/ a	
95			31H 20	OX 53H	PUNCH	-FOR PUNCH	ED OUTPUT GAP CAL	Í ÓF THE	CALCULATION	S	VNV	
			51H 20	0X,534		-(A PERIOD	) AFTER I	AST WORD	)		j. ∺ ∘	
		142	11H	ат ( 5 зн	THIED C	AR05 P	ARAMETERS	S FOR E-G	AP CALCULA	TIONS	, utj	
100			21H ,21	0X,53H 53H	ÚSÍNG F FOURTH	IVE E10.3 CARDLAB	FORMAT NI	UMBERS			, jut	
			51H 21	0X,53H 0X,53H	NĂHE AN	D ACCT TOT	ALLING 1	S CHARS S	STARTING COL	• 1		
105			61H ,2 71H ,2	0X 5 3H DX 5 3H							/ .ag	
		40	STOP	E(6,41	)						0 N	
		41	FORMAT STOP	[[181,	10(33H	TOO MANY L	INES FOR	CORE RES	ERVED /))		.0	
110			END							•		

.

.

	<i>c</i>							
12	+0931916 +0920565	+0557746 +0039606	+0039526	+0726776 -0013406	-0/25216 +0019366	-0019386	+0039606	
34	+0]01046 +0822305	+0557846 +0039566	+0039596 +0013386	+0727036	+0019406	-0019326	+0039576	
5	+0001096	+0556196	+0039636	+0726746	-0728096 +0019386	-0019346	+0039626	
7	+0001096	+0556116	+0039576	+0725166	-0727556	11111	+0039616	******
ĝ	+0000396	+0557856	+0039556	+0725426	-0726856	11111		
10	+0523705	+0039555 +0558176	+00133556	+0724566	-0725916	-0019296	+0034200	
12	+0824025	+0039506 +0556096	+3013286	-0013366 +0723746	+0019256 -0725086	-0019346	+0039536	111111
14	+0824365	+0039456	+0013316	-0013276	+0019316	-0019296	+0039526	
15	+0924665	+0039486	+3013296	-0013276	+0019296	-0019256	+0039496	
17	+0324505	+0039446	+0013246	-0013296	+0019266	-0019266	+0039426	* * * * * * * *
19	+0001066 +0824995	+0558056	+0013236	+0721636 -0013286	-0722996	-0019246	+0039456	* * * * * * * *
21	+0001006	+0557966	+0039366	+0721156	-0722476	11111	+0039406	******
23	+0001136	+0558006	+ 1 1 3 9 3 7 6	+0720636	-0721956	11111	+ 0 0 30 + 36	
25	+0001026	+0557926	+0013306 +0039376	+0720256	-0721586	11111	+0039420	
26	+0925335	+0039346	+1013266	+0.013196 +0.719846	+0019226	-0019206	+0039386	
24	+0525505	+0039336	+ J J 1 32 16	-0013226	+0019206	-0019236	+0039366	* * * * * * * *
30	+0325605	+0039356	+0013205	-0213196	+0019246	-0019166	+0039396	
31 32	+0001396 +0325725	+0557956	+0013206	+0/19116	+0019246	-0019176	+0039366	
3.3	+0001036	+0557966	+0039355	+0718556	-0720166	11111	+0039376	
35	+0001056	+0558036	+1039316	+0719476	-0719936	11111	+0030316	
37	+0001025	+0558016	+0039316	+0715266	-0719646	.11111	+0039310	
38	+0325955 +0001016	+0039296	+0013195	-0013196 +0718146	+0019196	-0019146	+0039326	
40	+0826065	+0039306	+0013186	-0013175	+0019196	-0019156	+0039336	* * * * * * * *
42	+0126195	+0039256	+0013156	-0013186	+0019156	-0019186	+0039276	*****
43 44	+0331056 +0326165	+0552035	+0039275 +0013166	-0013196	+0019166	-0019166	+0039356	******
45	+0001066	+0558145	+0039296	+0717806 -0013136	-0719026	-0019196	+0039316	
47	+0031056	+0558106	+0039356	+ 07 17 6 36	-0718856	11111	+0030206	
48	+0320575	+0557926	+0039256	+0717446	-0718716	11111	+0039290	
50. 51	+0820-35	+0039246	+0013216	-0013116	+0019196	-0019146	+0039316	
52	+0526495	+0039256	+0013166	-0013136	+0019206	-0019096	+0039306	
54	+0326515	+0039226	+3013146	-0013146	+ 0019206	-0019096	+0039336	111111
55	+000110F +0326905	+0957886	+0013136	-0013196	+0.019146	-0019156	+0039246	*****

CONVT output, page

ω

EVERYTHING READ FROM TAPE

+0001076 +0557986 +0039326 +0716826 -0718016 11111 +0326945 +0039276 +0013145 -0013136 +0019166 -0019126 +0039276 111111 +001077 +05575-6 +0013145 -0013166 +0019126 -0019146 +0039276 111111 +0826775 +0039276 +0013145 -0013166 +0019126 -0019146 +0039276 111111 +000126 +0557566 +0013156 -0013096 +0019116 +0039256 1111111 +0001056 +0557766 +0039266 +0716326 -0717786 11111 +0001056 +0557786 +0013156 -0013156 +0019136 -0019116 +0039236 1111111 +0001056 +0557786 +0039266 +0716156 -0717786 11111 +0001056 +0557786 +0039266 +0716156 -0717386 11111 +0001056 +0557786 +0039266 +0716156 -0019136 +0039236 1111111  $\begin{array}{c} +0.101026 +0.577832 +10.39236 +0.715676 -0.716896 +0.1111 \\ +0.926915 +0.039206 +0.013116 +0.019106 -0.019146 +0.039276 +0.019126 \\ +0.926915 +0.039216 +0.013145 -0.013116 +0.019116 -0.019146 +0.039186 +0.019126 \\ +0.926945 +0.039216 +0.013145 -0.013126 +0.019116 -0.019106 +0.039186 +0.019126 \\ +0.926955 +0.039226 +0.013106 -0.013116 +0.019136 -0.019066 +0.039236 +0.019116 \\ +0.926555 +0.039226 +0.013105 -0.013106 +0.019076 +0.019116 +0.039236 +0.019116 \\ +0.926555 +0.039226 +0.013105 -0.013106 +0.019076 +0.019116 \\ +0.925685 +0.039226 +0.013106 -0.013106 +0.019126 -0.019016 \\ +0.9257666 +0.039226 +0.013106 -0.019126 -0.019076 +0.039186 \\ +0.019116 +0.557666 +0.039216 +0.714546 -0.715766 \\ +0.019076 +0.039186 \\ +0.013106 +0.057766 +0.039226 +0.013106 +0.019126 -0.019076 +0.039186 \\ +0.013106 +0.057766 +0.039226 +0.013106 +0.019126 -0.019076 \\ +0.039186 +0.557766 +0.039226 +0.013106 +0.019126 -0.019056 \\ +0.039236 \\ +0.039268 +0.039226 +0.013056 -0.013106 +0.019126 \\ +0.039268 +0.039236 \\ +0.013066 +0.057766 +0.039226 +0.013106 \\ +0.019126 -0.019076 +0.039236 \\ +0.013066 +0.057766 +0.039226 +0.013106 \\ +0.019126 -0.019056 \\ +0.039236 \\ +0.03926 \\ +0.039$ Â7 Âġ Ó Ĩ Ś3 <u>9</u>4 96 ġā 113 114 +0001056 +0557466 +0039116 +0711876 -0713096 +1111 +0926685 +0039086 +0012975 -0013076 +0019046 -0019046 +0039126 \*\*\*\*\* +0001146 +0557516 +0039126 +0711766 -0712946 \*\*\*\*\* 

TAN( output, F

page

# 

#### TERMINATION STATUS = 07 (07 IS EXPECTED)

۰.

CONVT output, page

տ

THE FOLLOWING DATA SETS WERE FOUND ERROR FREE AND PUNCHED

1	+0901016 +0320585	+0557746 +0039606	+0039586 +0013355	¢0726776 ≈0013406	-0728216 +0019366	-0019386	*0039606
2	+0001946 +0522005	+0557846 +0039566	+0039596 +0013386	+0727036 -0013356	-0728426 +0019406	-0019326	+0039576
3	+0991096 +0922685	+0558198 +0039616	+0039636 +0013416	+0720746 -0013366	-0728096 +0019386	-0019346	+0039626
4	+0101095 +0923435	+0558116 +0039576	+0039576 +0013376	+0726166 -0013346	-0727556 +0019366	-0019326	+0039616
5	+0000996 +0823705	+0557856 +0039556	*0039556 *0013356	+0725426 -0013326	-0726856 +0019356	-0019296	+0039566
6	+0031056 +0924025	+0559176 +0039506	+0039566 +0013286	+0724566 -0013366	-0725916 +0019256	-0019346	+0039536
7	+0101036 +0124365	+0558096 +0039456	+0039556 +0013316	+0723746 -0013276	-0725086 +0019316	-0019296	+0039526
ô	+0001116 +0924665	+0558116 +0039486	+0039+86 +JU13296	+0723056 -0013276	-0724296 +0019296	-0019256	+0039496
9	+0301036 +0524605	+0557596 +0139446	+0039436 +0013245	+0722336 -0013296	-0723746 +0019266	-0019266	+0039426
10	+0101066 +0324995	+0554056 +0039396	+0039446 +0013236	+0721636 -0013286	-0722996 +0019246	-0019246	+0039456
11	+0901006 +0825195	+0557966 +0039376	+0039366 +0013236	+0721156 -0013256	-0722476 +0019256	-0019206	+0039406
12	+0001136 +0925155	+0558006 +0039366	+0039376 +0013306	+0720636 -0013176	-0721956 +0019206	-0019256	+0039426
13	+0901026 +0525335	+0557926 +0039346	+0039376 +0013266	+0720256	-0721586 +0019226	-0019206	+0039386
14	+0031056 +0325505	+0556016 +0039336	+JJJ39376 +D013216	+0719846 -0013226	-0721196 +0019206	-0019236	+0039366
15	+0))1046 +0925605	+0555036 +0039356	+0039366 +JJ13206	+0719436 -0013196	-0720816 +0019246	-0019166	+0039396
16	+0001196 +0825725	+0557956 +0039326	+0039376 +0013206	+0719116 -0013196	-0720486 +0019246	-0019176	÷0039366
17	+0001036 +0825655	+0557966 +0039306	+0039356 +0013176	+0718856 -0013196	-0720166 +0019216	-0019166	+0039376
15	+0001056 +0325855	+0558036 +0039296	+0039316 +0013156	+0718476 -0013236	-0719936 +0019146	-0019246	+0039316
19	+0901926	+0556016	+0039316	+0716266	-0719646 +0019196	-0019146	+0039326

CONVT output, page

σ

20	+0901016 +0326065	+0557826 +0039306	+0039316 +0013186	+0718146 -0013176	-0719476 +0019196	~0019156	+0039336
21	+J401066 +0326185	+0558026 +0039286	+]039306 +0013156	+0717946 =0013186	-0719366 +0019156	-0019186	+0039276
22	+00)1056 +0920165	+0555036 +0039276	+0039276 +0013166	+0717876 -0013196	-0719216 +0019166	-0019166	+0039356
23	+0001066 +0926345	+0558146 +0039246	+0039296 +3013196	+0717506 -0013136	-0719026 +0019136	-0019196	+D039316
24	+0901056 +0926675	+0556106 +0039326	+0039356 +0013166	+0717636 -0013136	-0718356 +0019166	-0019146	+0039296
25	+0001066 +0326435	+0557926 +0839246	+0039256 +0013216	+0717446 -0913116	-0718716 +0019196	-0019146	+0039316
26	+0001096 +0526495	+0558126 +0039286	+0039256 *0013126	+0717236 -0013136	-0718446 +0019206	-0019096	+0039306
27	+0001046 +0926515	+0558086 +0039226	0039296 ♦0013146	+0717106 -0013146	+0718356 +0019206	-0019096	+0039336
25	+0301106 +0326505	+0557886 +0039296	+JJ39276 +0013136	+0716946 -0013196	-0718236 +0019146	-0019156	+0039246
29	+0001076 +0326945	+0557986 +0039276	+0039326 +0013146	+0716826	-0718016 +0019166	-0019126	+0039276
30	+0301076 +0326875	*0557876 +0039276	+0039286 +0013146	+0715626 -0013156	-0717916 +0019126	-0019146	¢00392 <b>76</b>
31	+0001056 +0826955	+0557796 +0039236	+0839266 +0013156	+0716326 -0013156	-0717586 +0019136	-0019116	+0039236
32	+0)01056 +0326895	+0557536 +0039236	+0039266 +0013136	+0710166 -0013146	-0717386 +0019076	-0019136	00392 <b>76</b>
33	+0001056 +0326835	+0557936	+0039266 +0013126	+0715926 -0013126	-0717136 +0019156	-0019076	+0039256
34	+0001056 +0326915	+0557676 +0039206	+0039236 +0013116	+0715676 -0013116	-0716896 +0019106	-0019146	<b>0039276</b> ♦
35	+0801086 +0826945	+0557706 +0039216	+0039206 +0013146	+0715436 -0013126	-0716696 +0019116	-0019106	+0039186
36	+0001098 +0326955	+0557646 +0039206	+0039236 +9013106	+0715236 -0013116	-0716436 +0019136	-0019066	+0039236
37	+0311108 +0326885	+0557686 +0039206	+0039246 +0013106	+0714976 -0013086	-0716236 +0019076	-0019116	+0039236
30	+0001096 +0426995	+0557606 +0039156	+1039226 +0013106	+0714726 -0013106	-0715996 +0019126	-0019076	+0039186
39	+00011116 +0526535	+0557656 +0039176	+3039216 +0013056	+0714546 -0013096	⇔0715746 ∻001910€	-0019056	+0039236
<u>ц</u> с	+6911050	+9557786	+1039226	+0714346	-0715566		

CONVI output, page 7

ţ

+0326935 +0039206 +0013056 -0013116 +0019086 -0019096 +0039206 +0901046 +0557786 +0039186 +0714176 -0715356 +0327045 +0039156 +0013086 -0013046 +0019076 -0019086 +0039176 41 +0001086 +0557596 +0019196 +0713896 +0715156 +0027055 +0039146 +0013066 +0013086 +0019086 -0019056 +0039146 42 +60)1076 +0557686 +0039196 +0713776 -0714936 +0326975 +0039166 +0013066 -0013066 +0019056 -0019116 +0039206 43 +0301096 +0557686 +0039166 +0713546 -0714816 +0527055 +0039166 +0013056 -0913076 +0019106 -0019026 +0039186 64 +0001100 +0557606 +0039196 +0713446 -0714626 +0527065 +0039156 +0013076 -0013076 +0019096 -0019006 +0039176 45 +0301086 +0557536 +0039186 +0713346 -0714506 +0327045 +0039146 +0013056 -0013076 +0019046 -0019096 +0039166 LE +0301056 +3557626 +0339166 +0713156 -0714386 +0327125 +0039146 +J013086 -0013016 +0019076 -0019086 +0039166 47 +0331106 +0557476 +0339176 +0712976 -0714176 +0327035 +0039116 +0013036 -0013046 +0019066 -0019056 +0039156 48 +0001166 +0557516 +0039156 +0712846 -0714086 +0826835 +0039126 +0013076 -0013046 +0019126 -0018986 +0039156 49 +0001116 +0557826 +0039176 +0712626 -0713906 +0926945 +0039056 +0013066 -0013026 +0019046 +0039136 50 +0001076 +0557506 +0039136 +0712466 -0713726 +0326935 +0039106 +0013076 -0013046 +0019076 -0019016 +0039136 51 +0]01046 +0557446 +0039136 +0712456 -0713686 +0527035 +0039116 +0013016 -0013066 +0019016 -0019066 +0039116 52 +0031116 +0557426 +0039146 +0712336 -0713556 +0326695 +0039156 +0013056 -0013016 +0019016 -0019046 +0039146 53 +0001096 +0557486 +0039125 +0712216 -0713376 +0327005 +0039116 +0013026 -0013046 +0019066 -0019016 +0039106 54 +0]]1066 +0557526 +0]39126 +0712076 -0713256 55 +0326945 +0039056 +0013076 -0013036 +0019076 -0018996 +0039156 +0001056 +0557536 +0039136 +0711996 -0713236 +0326945 +0039096 +0013066 -0013006 +0019076 -0018996 +0039136 56 +0001066 +0557486 +0039116 +0711876 -0713096 +0326385 +0039086 +0012976 -0013076 +0019046 -0019046 +0039126 57 +00011146 +0557516 +0039126 +0711766 -0712946 +0326965 +0039096 +0013006 -0013026 +0019036 -0019006 +0039136 58 +00011116 +0557606 +0039096 +0711556 -0712736 +0326955 +0039066 +0013026 -0012995 +0019056 -0019016 +0039066 59 +0001096 +0557546 +0039065 +0711426 -0712676 +00010145 +0039116 +0012976 -0013045 +0019046 -0018986 +0039096 60

CONVT output, page 8

#### 61 +0001108 +0557406 +0039146 +0711276 -0712506 +0036595 +0039046 +0013056 -0012976 +0019086 -0018936 +0039056

#### THE FOLLOWING TTY LINES (IF ANY) HAVE ERRORS

# 62 +0326805 +0039286 +0013156 -0013096 +0019116 -009136 +0039256 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

•

CONVT output, page

ڡ

- DONT FORGET - DONT FORGET - DONT FORGET - DONT FORGET	4 CARD 4 CARDS 4 CARDS 4 CARDS 4 CARDS	S BEFOR REFORE BEFORE REFORE	4740 E 1400 1400 1400 1400	AND AND 2 AND 2 AND 2 AND 2	2 BLAN BLANK BLANK BLANK	K CARDS CARDS CARDS CARDS CARDS	S AFTER AFTER AFTER AFTER
00NT F0452T D0NT F0462T D0NT F0462T D0NT F0462T D0NT F0462T D0NT F0462T	4 CARCS 4 CARCS 4 CARCS 4 CARCS 4 CARCS 4 CARCS	BEFORE BEFORE BEFORE BEFORE BEFORE BEFORE	DATA DATA DATA DATA DATA DATA	AND 2 AND 2 AND 2 AND 2 AND 2 AND 2 AND 2	BLAHK BLAHK BLAHK BLAHK BLAHK BLAHK	CARDS CARDS CARDS CARDS CARDS CARDS CARDS	AFTER AFTER AFTER AFTER AFTER AFTER

FIRST CARDTITLE	CAFD (NAME DA	TE ETC )	• .
SECOND CARDWIDTH	LENGTH THICK	NESS OF SAMPLE	
	IN CM. USING	3F10.3 NUMBERS S	TARTING IN
	COLS. 1, 11 /	ND 21	
STAFT	ING COL 31, /	NY CONBINATION OF	
	PL075F0# P	LOTS OF THE DATA	
	PUNCHFOF F	UNCHED OUTPUT OF	THE CALCULATIONS
	GAP FOR EL	ÊRĞY ĞAP CALCULAT	TONS
	(A PE	RIOD) AFTER LAST	WORD
THIRD CARD5 PARA	METERS FOR E	GAP CALCULATIONS	
	USING FIVE EI	0.3 FORMAT NUMBER	S ·
FOURTH CARDLABEL	FOR PEN PLOT		
	NAME AND ACCI	TOTALLING 18 CHA	RS STARTING COL. 1

CONVT output, page 10

RAW TEMPERATURE DATA

GERMANIUN VOLTS .....THEMNOCOUPLE VOLTAGES......

AVERAGE

COUTION RESID SET TO -1028. \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

			007 0	042.0	004 7
1	50 <b>.</b> U	9ŭ(*)	A N L + N	912.0	anc • 7
2	79.0	938,Ū	938.0	949•U	941•/
7	81.6	995.0	997.0	1004.0	998.7
~	62.0	1031.0	1065.0	1073.0	1066.3
7	60 B	12/6.0	1256.0	1261.0	1253.3
5	22.0	124210	12270	4776 0	1766 7
6	24 + U	1301.0	1.303.0	1310+0	1306
7	88.0	1485.0	1488.0	1502.0	1491•7
À	83.0	1633.0	1636.0	1659.0 .	1643.3
à	66.0	19/9.0	1922.0	1954.0	1928.3
4.3	ćc n	2221	2260.0	2246.0	2273.7
10	103.8		2677 0	27 10 0	2642 0
11	102+9	2020+0	20//	57 47 • 9	2002.04
12	105.0	2 3 4 8 . 0	2320.0	<u> </u>	5353+3
13	104.0	3108.0	3118.0	3131.0	3119+0
14	109.0	3240.0	3244.0	3252.0	3245.3
15	107.0	3336.0	3337.0	3356.0	3343.7
ŧĩ.	100.0	3434.0	3436.0	-3661.0	3437.0
15		2237 8	78.16 0	3615.0	3507.0
11	113.6	3547•3	3505.0	321218	3222.44
16	112.0	1924-0	3200.0	3575.0	9202• <u>7</u>
19	108.0	3612.1	3611.0	3521.0	3614.7
20	114.0	3057.0	3655.0	3668.0	3660.0
21	116.0	3694.0	3690.0	3702.0	3695.3
25	169.0	3720.0	3718.0	3725.0	3721.0
27		2720 0	37.1.0	3762.0	3767.7
6.0	107.00	3124 8	37710	2774	3424 4
24	100.0	2727+9	3 (94 + 0	3111+0	3406+3
25	117.0	5794+0	3/05+0	3(94+0	<u> </u>
35	113.0	3635.0	3799.0	3806.0	5803+3
2 <del>4</del>	115.6	3820.0	3813.0	3822.0	3818.3
2.	118.0	3830.0	3A26.0	3830.0	3628.7
		3333.0	3430.0	3811.0	3436.3
53	112 18	30320	78.1.0	3827.0	JALL O
20	110.0	36444	30410	7650 0	7957 7
31	114.4	3638+ N	2 8 <del>6</del> 2 • 1	3652.	3256+3
32	113.0	3856.0	3357+0	3862+0	3032.0
33	117.5	3253.0	3860.0	3871.0	3864.7
34	112.0	3878.0	3371.0	3886.0	3676.3
12	111 6	3846.0	3488.0	3885.0	3685.3
	11~ 0	2634 0	2687 0	3404 0	3896.7
<u>35</u>	111.0	303710		. 7002 0	7601 7
.57	119.0	5 2 3 3 • H	3053+0	3305+0	3634 • /
36	126.0	283340	2933.0	3305.0	2200.0
39	123.0	3910.0	3304.0	3907.0	3907.0
Ľń	121.0	3914.0	3906.0	3912.0	3910.7
24	116.0	3ัจก์จ.ก	3969.0	3915.0	3911.0
	116 6	3016.0	· 3474.7	3418.0	3011.3

Figure II-8: HALL2 output, page

Ч

	VGE	TGE	GIVES V	VTC	RESID	TENP
11111111111222222222222222222222222222			1 \$ 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 +	$\begin{array}{c} 9 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 9 $		$\begin{array}{c} {} {} {} {} {} {} {} {} {} {} {} {} {}$
CŌRE	es ponding "v	OLTAGE'	1028.00 A	BSOLUTE		

. -

.

HALL2 output, page Ν

#### 100377 P(100), 10 OHM-CH

# DATA SCREENING

# MORE THAN 10 P.C. VARIATION IN CONDUCTIVITY VOLTAGE FOR FOLLOWING SETS OF DATA

#### SIGN ANDIGUITY IN HALL VOLTAGES

.

1	000984	• 000991	.006457	006470
3	000877	• 000 005 • 000740	.006514 .006599	006521
4	003522	. 000535	006727	006730

### THE FOLLOWING SETS WERE SET EQUAL TO ZERO AS DATA FORMAT NOT ACCEPTABLE .

02377	⊃(100) ,	16	сна-см	
			**	
700	0 # C T D		•	

1277-	=(100) , 10	з сна-см							·	
TENP	RECIP TEMP	1	AC	В	VH	HALL	RHO	NOBC	Мови	CONC
136.19	.73 <u>2</u> −02	.1JE-J2	•13E+00	.325+04	•27E-02	•83E+03	.12E+01	•7 0E+03	.70E+83	•75E+16
135.10	•725 <b>-</b> 02	.1JE-02	.13£+00	•82Ĕ+04	.28E-02	.85E+03	•12E+01	•70E+03	•70E+03	•73E+16
141.52	.712-02	.1JE=02	.13E+00	.82E+0+	•29E-02	.89E+03	.13E+01	•70E+03	•70E+03	.70E+16
143.47	.695+02	•10E-02	.14E+00	.82E+04	•31E-02	.94E+03	•13E+01	•70E+03	•70E+03	•66E+16
190.24	.6~E=02	•10E-02	.165+00	•82E+04	.36E-02	.11£+04	.15E+01	•71E+03	•71E+03	•57E+16
162.54	.612-02	.10 <i>E</i> −02	•18E+00	,82E+04	•39E-02	•12E+04	.17E+01	•71E+03	•71E+03	•53E+16
169.82	.595-02	.1∂£-62	.19E+00	•\$2E+04	•43E-02	•13E+04	.18E+01	•71E+03	•71E+03	•48E+16
177.95	.56E-02	•10 <i>Ξ</i> -02	.21E+00	•82E+04	•48 <i>Ē</i> =02	•15E+04	.20E+01	•72E+03	+72E+03	•43E+16
193.32	.526-02	.10∑-J2	.26 <i>E+</i> J0	•82E+04	.58E-02	.18E+04	.24E+01	•73E+03	•73E+03	•35E+16
211.40	.47E-02	.102-02	.32E+0C	.82E+04	•73E-02	.22E+04	.30E+01	•73E+03	•73E+03	.28E+16
232.38	.435-02	.1JE-02	•40E+00	.32E+04	•92E-02	•28E+04	•38E+01	•73E+03	•73E+03	•22E+16
246.37	. <b>41</b> Ξ=02	·10E-02	•47E+00	• S2E+04	•11E-01	.32E+04	.44E+01	•73E+03	.73E+03	•19E+16
256,37	.39 <i>Ξ−</i> 02	.10±-02	•51E+00	•82E+04	.12E-01	•35E+04	.48E+01	•73E+03	•73E+03	.18E+16
265.54	.385-02	.10£-02	•54E+00	•82E+04	.12E-01	.37E+04	.51E+01	•73E+03	•73E+03	.17E+16
272.67	. <b>37</b> €-02	•1JE=12	•56E+00	•82E+04	.13E-01	.39E+04	.53E+01	•7 3E+0 3	•73E+03	.16E+16
279.44	.365-02	.1JE-02	•59E+00	.82E+04	•13E-01	•41E+04	.56E+01	•73E+03	•73E+03	.15E+16
284.52	.352-02	.10E-02	.61E+00	·82E+04	.14E-01	•42E+04	•57E+01	•73E+03	•73E+03	•15E+16
282.75	.35E-02	.102-02	.62E+00	.822+04	.14E-01	•43E+04	.59E+01	•73E+03	•73E+03	.15E+16
92.33	•34E-02	.1JE=02	.64E+00	• 82E+04	+14E-01	•44E+04	.60E+01	•73E+03	•73E+03	•14É+16
295.52	•34E+02	•10E-02	.65E+00	+82E+04	.15E-01	+45E+04	.61E+01	•73E+03	•73E+03	•14E+16
298.18	.34E-02	•10E-02	.65E+00	.82E+04	.15E-01	+45E+04	.62E+01	•73E+03	•73E+03	•14E+16
560.04	.33=-02	.13E-02	.GAE+00	•82E+04	.15E-01	•46E+04	.63E+01	•73E+03	•73E+03	•14E+16
01.95	.335-02	.102-02	.67E+00	+82E+04	.15E-01	•46E+04	63E+01	•73E+03	•73E+03	•14E+16
393.41	.33ē-02	·105-02	.63E+00	.82E+04	•15E=01	•46E+04	.64E+01	•73E+03	•73E+03	.13E+18
105.93	.332-02	.10E+02	.685+00	.82E+04	.15E-01	.47E+04	•64E+01	•73E+03	•73E+03	•1 3E+16
305.02	•33E+02	•10E-02	•69E+00	•32E+04	.16E-01	•47E+04	.65E+01	•73E+03	•73E+03	•13E+16
307.11	.332-02	.102-02	.692+00	.82E+04	.16E-01	.47E+04	.65E+01	•73E+03	.73E+03	•13E+16

.

• •

							· · ·			
307.50	.32E-02	ri102-02	+69E+00	.325+04	.1€E-01	.47E+04	₀65E+01	+73E+03	•73E+03	•13E+16
308.41	.32≘-ú2	·112-02	₀69E+0J	•82Z+04	•1úE-01	.48E+04	.66E+01	•732+93	•73E+03	<b>1</b> 3E+16
308.97	.325-02	.1û≘−ŭ2	.752+00	.522+04	•1 <i>3E-</i> 01	•48E+04	₀66E+01	₀73E+03	o73E+03	•13E+16
319.57	• 32E-02	■ 10E=02	•70E+00	.32E+04	∘16E-01	.48E+04	066E+01	•73E+03	•73E+03	•13E+16
310.96	.32E-02	.10E=02	.7 CE÷00	.02E+04	.13E-01	•48E+04	.66E+01	•73E+03	•73E+03	•13E+16
310+47	,325-02	•1JZ-02	.70E+00	.82E+04	16E-01	°46Ξ+04	₀66 <b>ċ+01</b>	•73E+03	•73E+03	.13E+16
311.46	.322-02	.10E−02	₀71E+00	.82E+0-	₀16E-01	₀48E+04	.67E+01	•73E+03	o73E+03	•13E+16
311.37	.32E-02	•10 <u>∃</u> -02	₀71Ė+00	•82E+0→	•16E-01	.48E+04	•67E+01	•73E+03	•73E+03	•13E+16
312.35	.32E-02	•10d=02	•71E+00	.82E+04	.16E-01	₀49E+04	₀6₹E+01	•73E+03	•73E+03	.13E+16
312.5-	.325-62	•10 <u>□</u> =02	o71E+00	•82ē+04	•16E-01	°≈9E+04	067E+01	•73E+03	.73E+03	.13E+16
313.03	•32E-02	.10E-02	₀71E+00	+82E+04	.16E-01	₀49E+04	₀67E+01	•73E+03	•73E+03	•13E+16
313.54	.3?5-02	• 10Ξ=02	•71E+00	.822+04	•16E-01	.49E+04	₀67E+01	•73E+03	•73E+03	•13E+16
313.31	.32E-02	.10±-02	•71E+00	.325+04	.16E-01.	°49E+04	<b>₀67E+01</b>	•73E+03	<b>₀73E+03</b>	•13E+16
31,3.83	.3 <i>2E-</i> C2	•192 <b>−</b> 02	•71E+00	•82E+0→	°16E~01	₀49E+04	•68E+01	•73E+03	•73E+03	•13E+16
314.17	.32E-02	•19E-02	.725+00	.82E+04	.16E-01	。49E+04	₀68E+01	•73E+03	•73E+03	•13E+16

HALL2 output, page 5





. ·

•



-



-



.

# REFERENCES

- J.T. Burrill, W.J. King, S. Harrison, P. McNally; IEEE Trans.
   Elect. Dev <u>ED-14</u>, Nol. 1, 10 (1967).
- J.P. Ponpon and P. Siffert; Proc 11th Photovoltaic Spec. Conf., 342 (1975).
- J. Lindmayer and J. Allison; Proc. 9th Photovoltaic Spec. Conf., 83 (1973).
- J.J. Loferski, N. Ramaganathan, E.E. Crisman, and L.Y. Chen; Proc. 9th Photovoltaic Spec. Conf., 19 (1973).
- 5. J.F. Gibbons, W.S. Johnson, and S.W. Nylroie; <u>Projected Range</u> <u>Statistics</u>, 2nd edition, Halstead Press, 1975.
- S.M. Sze; <u>Physics of Semiconductor Devices</u>, Wiley-Interscience, 1969.
- R.S. Walker and D.A. Thompson; Nucl. Instr. and Methods <u>135</u>, 489 (1976).
- E. Baldo, F. Cappellani, and G. Restelli; Rad Effects <u>19</u>, 271
   (1973).
- 9. J.B. Mitchell; "An Investigation into the Electrical and
  - Radiation Damage Properties of Nitrogen Implanted Silicon, Germanium and Silicon-Carbide", Ph.D. Thesis, Elect. Eng., McMaster University (1974).

95

. .

- J. Shewchun, K.M. Ghanakar, R. Yager, H.D. Barber, and D.A. Thompson; The Rev. Sci. Instrum. <u>42</u>, 1797 (1971).
- J.W. Mayer, L. Erikson, and J.A. Davies; <u>Ion Implantation in</u> Semiconductors, Academic Press, 1970.
- 12. J.W. Mayer, O.J. Marsh, G.A. Shifrin, and R. Baron; Can. J. of Phys., Vol. 45, 4073 (1967).
- 13. M. Wolf; Energy Convers., <u>111</u>2, 63 (1971).

. .

- 14. D.A. Davies, Solid State Electron 13, 229 (1970).
- J.F. Gibbons, A. El-Hoshy, K.E. Manchester, and F.L. Vogel;
   Appl. Phys. Lett. <u>8.2</u>, 46 (1966).
- J.J. Loferski; "Principles of Photovoltaic Solar Energy Conversion", 25th Annual Proc. Power Sources Conf. (1972).
- 17. J.P. McKelvey; <u>Solid State and Semiconductor Physics</u>, Harper
  & Row, 1966.
- R.A. Smith; <u>Semiconductors</u>, Cambridge at the Univ. Press, (1959).
- V.P. Tovizi; "Electrical Properties of Nitrogen and Oxygen Ion Implanted Silicon", M.Eng. Project Report, Engineering Physics, McMaster University (1976).