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ABSTRACT:
The crystal structures of $V \mathrm{VP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}, \mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3},(\mathrm{VO})_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ have been determined with the help of $x$-rays, and are compared with the known structures in the $V-P-A s-0$ system. All the vanadium atoms in the mixed oxides are octahedrally coordinated and the $V^{+4} O_{6}$ and $V^{+5} 0_{6}$ octahedra are all characterized by one short vanadyl bond. $\mathrm{V}^{+3} 0_{6}$ groups are nearly regular. The tetrahedral phosphorus is found in structural elements ranging from infinite metaphosphate chains ( $\left.\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{PO})_{3}, \mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, to pyrophosphate groups $\left((\mathrm{VO})_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right)$ to isolated tetrahedra $\left(\mathrm{VAsO}{ }_{5}\right.$ and $\mathrm{VOP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$ ). Both structural and substitutional disordering is evident in the V-P-As-0 system, and is discussed together with a detailed model for stacking faults in $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$.

Some of the phases in the V-P-As-0 system are known to catalyze the oxidation of butene to maleic anhydride, and certain structural features of the compounds are related to this catalytic activity. $\alpha-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ can be related to (VO) $2_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ through the formation of shears in a manner similar to shear formation in $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, and such a mechanism is proposed as a means whereby an $\alpha-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ catalyst can change into (VO) $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$, the known composition of the spent catalyst.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Dr. Crispin Calvo for suggesting this research, and for his patience, help and understanding during the course of my work. I would also like to thank the members of my supervisory committee, Drs. I. D. Brown, J. A. Morrison, and C. V. Stager, for their invaluable assistance in preparing this thesis.

The many worthwhile discussions with Dr. H. N. Ng, and the technical assistance of R. Faggiani have helped to produce a successful conclusion to this work. Thanks are due to Dr. F. C. Hawthorne for interesting discussions and data on the $V\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$ structure.

The financial support from the Government of Ontario through Ontario Graduate Scholarships, and from McMaster University are gratefully acknowledged.

Finally, l would like to thank my husband, Keith, for his moral support and understanding during this research, and for his assistance in preparing this manuscript.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
CHAPTER 1
Introduction
§ 1.1 Scope and Perspective ..... 1
§ 1.2 The Vanadium Oxides ..... 1
§ 1.3 The Phosphorus 0xides ..... 9
§ 1.4 The Arsenic 0xides ..... 9
§ 1.5 The V-P-As-0 System ..... 10
§ 1.6 Reduction of Vanadium Oxides ..... 16
§ 1.7 Vanadium Phosphate Catalysts ..... 19
CHAPTER 2
The Theory of X-Ray Diffraction
§ 2.1 Scattering of X-Rays ..... 23
§ 2.2 Space Groups and Crystal Symmetry ..... 26
§ 2.3 Deviations from Translational Periodicity ..... 27
§ 2.4 Effect of Domain Size on Intensities ..... 32
§2.5 Intensity Corrections ..... 33
§ 2.6 The Phase Problem ..... 36
§ 2.7 Methods of Solving the Phase Problem ..... 36
§ 2.8 Crystal Structure Refinement ..... 38
§ 2.9 Weighting Schemes ..... 41
Page
CHAPTER 3
The Preparation and X-Ray Intensities Collection for the Crystals
§3.1 Crystal Preparation ..... 42
§ 3.2 Measurement of X-Ray Diffraction Intensities ..... 49
§3.3 Solution of Crystal Structures ..... 50
CHAPTER 4
The Crystal Structure and Substitutional Disorder of $\mathrm{VOP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$
51
§4.1 Solution of the Crystal Structure
52
§4.2 Description of the Structure
57
§ 4.3 Substitutional Disorder
CHAPTER 5
Crystal Structure and Disorder in $\mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$
§ 5.1 Solution of Structure ..... 62
§ 5.2 Description of the Structure ..... 68
§ 5.3 Substitutional Disorder ..... 71
§ 5.4 Positional Disorder ..... 71
CHAPTER 6
The Crystal Structure and Thermal Behaviour of $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$
§ 6.1 Solution of the Crystal Structure ..... 76
§ 6.2 Description of the Structure ..... 80
§ 6.3 Thermal Behaviour of $V\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$ ..... 88
CHAPTER 7
Crystal Structure of (VO) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$
§ 7.1 Solution of the Structure ..... 91
§ 7.2 Description of the Structure ..... 99
§7.3 Validity of the Structure ..... 101
CHAPTER 8
Crystal Structure and Disorder for $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$
§8.1 Solution of the Structure ..... 102
§8.2 The Basic Structure of $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ ..... 103
§ 8.3 Theory of Diffraction from Stacking Faults ..... 108
§ 8.4 Stacking Faults of $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ ..... 118
§8.5 The Solid Solution $V(A s, P) O_{5}$ ..... 123
§ 8.6 Thermal Behaviour of $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ ..... 125
CHAPTER 9
Discussion and Conclusion
§ 9.1 The V-P-As-0 System ..... 127
§9.2 Reduction of $\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ ..... 134
§9.3 Vanadium Phosphate Catalysts ..... 139
5 9.4 Conclusions ..... 145
APPENDIX
Structure Factor Tables ..... 146
REFERENCES ..... 173

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure Page
1-1 Structural elements found in vanadium oxides. ..... 3
1-2 Octahedral chains. ..... 4
1-3 Octahedral ribbons. ..... 6
1-4 Octahedral sheets. ..... 7
1-5 Regions in the vanadium phosphorus oxide system as ..... 12 proposed by Nador.
1-6 The crystal structure of $\alpha-V \mathrm{PO}_{5}$ as projected down ..... 13 the c-axis.
1-7 The crystal structure of $\beta-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ projected down the ..... 15 a-axis.
1-8 Schematic diagram of $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ forming $\mathrm{V}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{13}$. ..... 17
1-9 Formation of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ from $\mathrm{V}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ through the cooperative ..... 18 movement of octahedra.
1-10 Redox mechanism occurring during catalysis as suggested ..... 22 by Nakamura.
3-1 Relationship between the preparations of the various ..... 43 vanadium phosphorus oxides.
4-1 A half-cell projection of the structure of $\mathrm{VOP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$ ..... 55 down the c-axis.
4-2 The structure of $\mathrm{VOP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$ as projected on the $1 \overline{10}$ plane. ..... 56
5-1 The crystal structure of $\operatorname{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ projected down the
c-axis. ..... 69 c-axis.
5-2 The crystal structure of $\operatorname{vo}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ projected along ..... 70 the b-axis.
5-3 A schematic representation of the hol projection of ..... 73 $\mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ showing the diffuse streaks.
6-1 The average structure of $V\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$ projected down the ..... 81 b-axis.
Figure Page
6-2 The environment about the vanadium atoms in $V\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$. ..... 82
6-3 The ratio of the intensity at various temperatures ..... 89 compared to room temperature for $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}{ }^{\circ}$
7-1 The crystal structure of $(v O)_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ as projected down ..... 100 the $\underline{b}$-axis.
8-1 The Okl diffraction pattern of $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$. ..... 104
8-2 The structure of $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ as projected along the c-axis. ..... 106
8-3 The structures of $\alpha-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ as projected on ..... 107 the ac plane.
8-4 The arrangement of layers with two different kinds ..... 111 of stacking faults.
8-5 Four possible stacking faults for $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$, Model 1. ..... 119
8-6 Model Il stacking faults for $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$. ..... 121
8-7 Observed and calculated intensities for the $02 \ell$ line ..... 122 in $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$.
8-8 Cell parameters for VAs ${ }_{x} \mathrm{P}_{1-x} 0_{5}$. ..... 124
9-1 Relationship between a 5 coordinate ribbon and a ..... 130 meta-chain.
9-2 Valence for mixtures of $\mathrm{V}^{+4}$ and $\mathrm{V}^{+5}$. ..... 133
9-3 Reduction of $\alpha-V O_{5}$ to (VO) $2_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}$. ..... 137
9-4 Reduction of $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ to (VO) $2_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$. ..... 139
9-5 Proposed structure of $\mathrm{VPO}_{4} .75$ derived from the incom- ..... 141 plete reduction of $\alpha-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$.
9-6 Proposed structure of $\mathrm{VPO}_{4} .75$ derived from the incom- ..... 142 plete reduction of $\beta-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$.
9-7 Suggested mechanism for the diffusion of oxygen vacan- ..... 143 cies during catalysis.

## LIST OF TABLES

Table Page
3-1 Crystal data. ..... 46
4-1 Positional and thermal coordinates of $\mathrm{VOP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$, ..... 53 crystal 1.
4-2 Positional and thermal coordinates of $\mathrm{VOP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$, ..... 54 crystal II.
4-3 Bond angles and distances for $\mathrm{VOP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$. ..... 58
4-4 Lattice parameters for $\mathrm{VOP}_{2} \mathrm{Si}_{x} \mathrm{~V}_{1-x} \mathrm{O}_{8}$. ..... 60
5-1 Positional and thermal coordinates for $\operatorname{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$, ..... 65
5-2 Positional and thermal coordinates for $\operatorname{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$, ..... 66 crystal 11.
5-3 Bond angles and distances for $\operatorname{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$. ..... 67
6-1 Positional and thermal coordinates for $V\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$. ..... 78
6-2 Bond geometry in $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$. ..... 83
6-3 Average bond geometry in $V\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$. ..... 86
6-4 Distances between corresponding atoms in each third ..... 87 of a cell for $V\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$.
7-1 Predicted bond lengths in the phosphate tetrahedra ..... 93 of (VO) $2_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$.
7-2 Positional and isotropic thermal coordinates for ..... 95 (Vo) $2_{2}{ }_{2}{ }_{7}$.
7-3 Bond geometry for (V0) $2_{2}{ }_{2}{ }_{7}$. ..... 97
8-1 Positional and thermal coordinates for $V A s 0_{5}$. ..... 105
8-2 Bond lengths and angles in $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$. ..... 109
8-3 Terms in the generalized expression for the $n$th ..... 114 intensity component when considering two stacking faults.
Table Page
9-1 Coordinations and experimental valences for $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ..... 129 compounds in the $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{O}$ system.
9-2 Structural elements and valences for $\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ compounds ..... 131 in the V-P-0 system.
9-3 Valences and structural elements of $\mathrm{M}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ compounds ..... 135 in the V-P-Si-O system.136

## CHAPTER 1

## Introduction

## §1.1 Scope and Perspective

Vanadium oxides and vanadium phosphorus oxides are known to act as heterogeneous catalysts in certain organic reactions such as the oxidation of butene to maleic amhydride ${ }^{1}$. Such catalysis must depend on the crystal structures of these oxides and a study of their crystallography is therefore essential in order to understand the mechanism of the catalytic processes.

The crystal structures of most vanadium oxides are known ${ }^{2-10}$
and will be briefly reviewed. The crystal structures of three vanadium phosphorus oxides, $\mathrm{V}^{+4} 0\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{~V}^{+3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$ and $\left(\mathrm{V}^{+4} 0\right)_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$, will be presented and compared to the known crystal structures of the two forms of VPO ${ }_{5}^{11,12 \text {. }}$ Many oxide catalysts are supported on silica gel and the crystal structure of $\mathrm{V}^{+4} \mathrm{OP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$, readily formed from $\mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SiO} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, will be described in order to determine the possible influence of a supporting medium. The question of possible substitution of arsenic for phosphorus in some of the phases of the $V-P-0$ system will be raised, and the crystal structure of $V^{+5} \mathrm{AsO}_{5}$ will be presented as well as evidence for a solid solution of the form VP ${ }_{x} \mathrm{As}_{1-x}{ }^{0} 5$ over the range $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Certain features of these structures will be related to catalytic activity.
§ 1.2 The Vanadium Oxides
Systems containing vanadium and oxygen form a very diverse series of compounds. Not only can the vanadium exist in different oxidation
states, it is also found in a variety of coordinations. Pentavalent vanadium can be found tetrahedrally coordinated in isolated tetrahedra (i.e. the $\mathrm{VO}_{4}$ tetrahedra are not connected to other $\mathrm{VO}_{4}$ groups), but in vanadium oxides this coordination is usually found in infinite corner-sharing metavanadate chains, $\left(\mathrm{VO}_{3}\right)_{\infty}$, (fig.1-1(a)) (e.g. $\mathrm{NaVO}_{3}{ }^{13}$ ) and in pyrovanadate groups, $V_{2} 0_{7}^{-4}$, (fig. 1-1 (b)) consisting of two corner-sharing tetrahedra (e.g. $\mathrm{Zn}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}{ }^{14}$ ). Both $\mathrm{V}^{+5}$ and $\mathrm{V}^{+4}$ can exist in 5-fold and octahedral coordination. These groups usually have one V-O bond, often called the vanadyl bond, which is shorter than the other V-O bonds in the group, and which distorts the idealized polyhedral symmetry. The V-O bond trans to the vanadyl bond is generally very long (octahedral coordination, fig.1-1(c)), or missing (5-fold coordination, fig. 1-1(d)). This long bond is often formed to a vanadyl oxygen in another polyhedron. Trivalent vanadium also exists in octahedral coordination, but does not usually have the vanadyl geometry, the octahedra being nearly regular (fig. 1-1(e)). The 5 or 6-fold coordination polyhedra can be found isolated or condensed in 1,2 , or 3 dimensions.

Dickens and Wiseman ${ }^{15}$ have reviewed the crystallography of oxide bronzes and related mixed valence oxides, and the crystallography of the vanadium oxides can best be described using their terminology. $\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ octahedra can'be found in corner-sharing chains (fig.1-2(a)), edgesharing chains (rutile chains, fig.1-2(c)), or double chains composed of two edge-sharing octahedra sharing corners with two adjacent sets of edge-sharing octahedra (fig.1-2(b)). Adjacent octahedra can also share edges in a zigzag pattern to form a single ribbon as shown viewed from three perpendicular directions in figure 1-3(a). Double ribbons can be


Figure 1-1. Structural elements found in vanadium oxides. The unconnected dot represents the position of the vanadium atom, and oxygen atoms are found at the apices of the polyhedra.
(a) metavanadate chain, (b) pyrovanadate group,
(c) octahedron with short vanadyl bond, (d) 5-fold coordinate group with vanadyl bond, (e) regular octahedron.

(C)

Figure 1-2. (a) Single corner-sharing octahedral chain
(b) Double corner-sharing octahedral chain
(c) Edge-sharing or rutile octahedral chain
formed by two such single ribbons sharing edges as shown in figure 1-3(b). If parallel adjacent single ribbons share corners they can form single sheets as shown head-on in figure 1-4(a). In a similar fashion double ribbons can share edges to form double sheets (figure 1-4(b)) or corners to form zig-zag sheets (fig. 1-4(c)).

Most vanadium oxides can be considered members of the series $V_{n} 0_{2 n+1}$ or $V_{n} 0_{2 n-1}$ with $n=2,3,4,6$ for the former and $n=2-7$ for the latter. $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ is one important vanadium oxide which does not fall in either of these series but bridges the two. Most of these oxides are mixed oxidation state compounds and, while it is often possible to assign integer oxidation numbers to individual vanadium atoms using the bond-valence parameters of Brown ${ }^{16}$, some $V$ atoms appear to have partial valences.
$V_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, the first member of the $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}$ series, is known to exist in two polymorphic forms. $\alpha-V_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}{ }^{2}$, stable under ordinary conditions, consists of single octahedral sheets (fig.1-4(a)) stacked so that the vanadyl oxygens within one sheet form the long axial $\vee-0$ bonds of the octahedra in an adjacent sheet. $\beta_{2} V_{2} 5^{17}$, found at high pressures, consists of zig-zag sheets (fig.1-4(c)) which are not noticeably bonded together and thus readily slip to introduce stacking faults, giving rise to diffuse scattering in the diffraction pattern.

$$
\mathrm{V}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{3} \text { contains alternating double (fig.1-2(b)) and single (fig.1-2(a)) }
$$

corner-sharing chains which are linked to each other at the corners by single ribbons (fig.1-3(a)). The single ribbons are essentially 5-fold rather than octahedrally coordinated.
$V_{4} 0 \cdot 9^{4}$ contains single ribbons (fig.1-3(a)) which link to each other and to double ribbons (fig. $1-3(b)$ ). Like $V_{3} 0_{7}$, the polyhedra of the single


Figure 1-3. (a) Single octahedral ribbons and (b) Double octahedral ribbons as viewed from three perpendicular directions.


Figure 1-4. (a) Single octahedral sheet
(b) Double octahedral sheet
(c) Zig-zag octahedral sheet
ribbons are 5 -fold coordinated. A second form of $V_{4}{ }^{0}$ g has been reported ${ }^{18}$ but its structure is unknown.

The last structure of the $V_{n}{ }_{2 n+1}$ series, $V_{6}{ }^{0} 13$, contains alternating single (fig.1-4(a)) and double sheets (fig.1-4(b)) sharing corners at the vanadyl oxygens of the double sheets.

Vanadium dioxide, $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$, exists in at least two polymorphic forms. One structure consists of double sheets (fig.1-4(b)) sharing corners at the vanadyl oxygens of one sheet ${ }^{6}$, and is thus related to the $V_{n}{ }^{0} 2 n+1$ series. The other form is a distorted rutile structure containing edge-sharing (fig.1-2(c)) octahedral chains connected by corner-sharing ${ }^{7}$. As will be seen, this form is related to the $V_{n} 0_{2 n-1}$ series.

The $v_{n} 0_{2 n-1} 8,9,10$ structures contain two parts. A corundum type structure, containing two octahedra sharing a face and each sharing an edge with neighbouring octahedra, is joined to the next corundum part by rutile chains. The length of the chains depends on $n$. When $n=2$, these chains are not present ${ }^{8}$, and they increase monotonically in length as $n$ increases from $3^{9,10}, \mathrm{VO}_{2}{ }^{7}$ contains only rutile chains and may be regarded as a structure where $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Vanadium bronzes are compounds which in general have formulae $M_{x} V_{y}{ }^{0}$ where $M$ is a metal, $y$ and $z$ are integers and $x$ can be non-integral. The average oxidation state of the vanadium for particular $y$ and $z$ values changes over a range of $x$ values without changing the basic structure. These bronzes have structural features similar to those of the vanadium oxides. $\quad \gamma-\mathrm{Li}_{\times} \mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}{ }^{19}$ has $\alpha-\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$-like octahedral sheets, $\delta-\mathrm{Ag}_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}{ }^{20}$ has the double sheets seen in $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$, and $\theta-\mathrm{Al}_{x} \mathrm{~V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}{ }^{21}$ has alternating single and double sheets as in $V_{6}{ }^{0} 3^{\circ} \quad \beta-\mathrm{Na}_{x} \mathrm{~V}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{15}{ }^{22}$ has double octahedral ribbons
sharing one corner each with two neighbouring double ribbons and being bridged to two more double ribbons by single ribbons. $\mathrm{Li}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{V}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8}{ }^{23}$ has alternating single and double ribbons.
§1.3 The Phosphorus 0xides
Like vanadium, phosphorus exhibits multiple valences, but the $\mathrm{P}^{+5}$ form is the most stable form and does not reduce readily. Pentavalent phosphorus is only found tetrahedrally coordinated, but the tetrahedra can link to produce a variety of structural elements. As is the case for $\mathrm{V}^{+5} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ tetrahedra, $\mathrm{P}^{+5} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ groups can share corners to produce infinite metaphosphate chains (e.g. $\mathrm{NaPO}_{3}{ }^{24}$ ) and pyrophosphate groups (e.g. $\mathrm{Zn}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{25}$ ). Rings of corner-sharing tetrahedra are also prevalent (e.g. $\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9}{ }^{26}$ has rings of three phosphate groups, and $\mathrm{Na}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{4}{ }^{0} 122^{27}$ has rings of four phosphate groups). Substitution of vanadium for phosphorus (and vice versa) might be expected to occur in compounds where the coordination is tetrahedral. However, since the vanadium oxides have vanadium in 5-fold or octahedral coordination, the phosphorus oxides, or mixed phosphorus-vanadium oxides, are expected to have different structures from the corresponding vanadium oxides.

Phosphorus pentoxide exists in three crystalline forms. The H-Form ${ }^{28}$ is the most common and contains four phosphate tetrahedra each of which shares a corner with each of the other three tetrahedra to form discrete $\mathrm{P}_{4}{ }^{0}{ }_{10}$ groups of tetrahedral symmetry. The 0 -Form ${ }^{29}$ contains rings of ten phosphate tetrahedra linked in a three-dimensional network. The $0^{\prime}-$ Form $^{30}$ contains sheets of linked six-membered rings.

## § 1.4 The Arsenic 0xides

Like phosphorus and vanadium, arsenic displays variable oxidation
states, but is most commonly found in the +5 state. Pentavalent arsenic is found tetrahedrally in structural elements similar to those of phosphorus. Meta-arsenate chains can be found in $\mathrm{NaAsO}_{3}{ }^{31}$, rings in $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{As}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9}{ }^{32}$, and pyroarsenate groups in $\mathrm{Mg}_{2} \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{33}$. $\mathrm{As}^{+5}$ is also found octahedrally coordinated, but, unlike vanadium, the octahedra are usually quite regular and contain no bond analogous to the vanadyl bond. The $\mathrm{AsO}_{6}$ octahedra are not found as highly condensed as the $\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ octahedra, corner-sharing being the prevalent mode of joining neighbouring $\mathrm{AsO}_{6}$ groups.

Although the crystal structure of hydrated arsenic pentoxide has been known for some time ${ }^{34}$, the structure of anhydrous $\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ has only been determined very recently ${ }^{35}$. It consists of zig-zag chains of corner-sharing $\mathrm{AsO}_{6}$ octahedra which are joined to neighbouring chains by $\mathrm{AsO}_{4}$ tetrahedra in such a way that each tetrahedron shares corners with two octahedra in each of two chains. This intricate arrangement avoids edge-sharing polyhedra by having both tetrahedral and octahedral coordinations present. Both kinds of polyhedra are quite regular in shape. § 1.5 The V-P-As-0 System

Pentavalent $P, V$ and $A s$ can all be found tetrahedrally coordinated, and substitution of $\mathrm{P}^{+5}$ for $\mathrm{V}^{+5}$ in $\mathrm{NaVO}_{3}{ }^{36}$ and $\mathrm{As}^{+5}$ for $\mathrm{P}^{+5}$ in $\mathrm{NaPO}_{3}{ }^{37}$ has been found. However, substitution of one for any other does not often occur. This can be due to the preferred octahedral and 5-fold coordination of $\mathrm{V}^{+5}$, thereby preventing P substitution, and the abundance of condensed octahedra and of vanadyl groups found for $V$ and not for As. Mixed oxides can usually be expected to have different crystal structures from the single oxides of $V, P$ and $A s$, although to date very little is known about them.

In the early sixties, Nador ${ }^{38}$ investigated the $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ system and concluded that, on melting mixtures of these oxides, oxygen is liberated and some vanadium is reduced from $\mathrm{V}^{+5}$ to oxidation states of +4 and +3 . A study of the electron spin resonances of samples with different stoichiometries allowed him to conclude that the $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ system consisted of a single two-component system and two three-component systems with upper and lower boundaries as shown in figure 1-5. The boundaries established the existence of $(\mathrm{VO})_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$, but no indication was given about the composition of other phases.

Ladwig ${ }^{39}$ also noticed a reduction of all forms of $\mathrm{V}^{+5} \mathrm{PO}_{5}$ (formed from a $1: 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ) at temperatures greater than $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, forming a $\mathrm{V}^{+4}$ compound. This observation was recently verified by Bordes and Courtine ${ }^{40}$ and the reduction product was identified as (VO) $2_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$. Bordes and Courtine ${ }^{40}$ established the presence of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{-4}$ and vanadyl groups in this compound from $I R$ and UV spectra. The reduction of $\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ is contrary to the observations of Jordan and Calvo ${ }^{11}$, who report no appreciable mass loss of $\alpha-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ up to $1140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, well beyond its melting point.
$\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ itself is found in several forms and has been rather extensively studied. The structures and properties of $\alpha-V O_{5}{ }^{11,39,41, ~} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{VPO} \mathrm{D}_{5}^{12,39,41}$ and the hydrates of $\mathrm{VPO}_{5}{ }^{39,41}$ have all been reported. Since these compounds are fundamental to the $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{As}-0$ system, they will be briefly described.

The structure of $\alpha-V \mathrm{PO}_{5}{ }^{11}$ consists of layers containing alternating corner-sharing $\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ octahedra and $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ tetrahedra (fig.1-6). The V0 6 octahedra contain the typical vanadyl oxygens perpendicular to the plane of figure $1-6$, which form long bonds to $\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ groups in the next layer. This is the only connection between layers. The layers may be disordered by


Figure 1-5. Regions in the vanadium phosphorus oxide system as proposed by Nador ${ }^{38}$.


Figure 1-6. The crystal structure of $\alpha-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ as projected down the c-axis. The disordered positions of the oxygens are $\bar{a}$ t the apices of the dashed parallellogram.
a slight rotation about the direction of the vanadyl bonds as indicated in figure $1-6$ by the dashed lines. Because of the layered structure, crystals of $\alpha-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ grow in mica-like sheets. Evidence for some vanadium substitution at the phosphorus site has been found ${ }^{42}$.

$$
B-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}{ }^{12} \text { (figure } 1-7 \text { ) contains chains of } \mathrm{VO}_{6} \text { octahedra parallel }
$$ to a arranged with the vanadyl oxygen of one group forming the long axial $V-0$ bond of the next group. The psuedo 4 -fold axes of the $\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ groups are alternately tilted by $+30^{\circ}$ and $-30^{\circ}$ with respect to the direction of the chain. This tilting makes it possible for a phosphate group to share an oxygen with each of two $\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ octahedra in one chain. The other two phosphate oxygens are shared with a $\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ group in each of two other chains.

Ladwig ${ }^{39}$ has described the production of $\mathrm{VPO}_{5} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ from a $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$-phosphoric acid mixture and has shown that, on heating it slowly, $V P O_{5} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is formed at $60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \alpha-V P O_{5}$ is formed. He also noticed an expansion of the $\underline{c}$-axis of $\alpha-V \mathrm{PO}_{5}$ on hydration. This led him to conclude that the structure of the hydrates must be the layered structure of $\alpha-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ with water molecules interstitially between the layers. No hydrates are known to form from $\beta-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$, nor is there any evidence for an $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ transition.

A preparation for $\mathrm{V}^{+4} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$, its blue colour and its insolubility in most solvents were reported by Ladwig ${ }^{43}$ in 1968. Preparations for this compound and $V\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$ have also been reported recently and separately by Lavrov, et al..$^{44}$ and Tofield, et al..$^{45}$. From $I R$ data, Lavrov concluded that $\mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ is a metaphosphate and Tofield interpreted the diffuse transmission spectrum to show the existence of vanadyl groups. Both conclude $V\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$


Figure 1-7. The crystal structure of $\beta-V \mathrm{PO}_{5}$ projected down the a-axis. The second half of the cell can be generated from the one shown by a centre of symmetry at $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.
contain metaphosphate chains.
The crystal structure of $\mathrm{VOP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$ was solved independently by ourselves and Rice,et al ${ }^{46}$ but their work appeared in print prior to ours ${ }^{47}$.

Very little is known about the crystalline vanadium arsenic and phosphorus arsenic oxides. The existence of $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ and its hydrate has been reported ${ }^{48}, 49$, and a powder pattern of the anhydrous material appears to be the same as that of $\alpha-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$. No other vanadium arsenic oxides are known. Crystals of the form $\mathrm{As}_{x} \mathrm{P}_{2-x} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ have been studied ${ }^{50}$ and were found to be isostructural with $\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ for $1 \leq x \leq 2$ from powder data. § 1.6 Reduction of Vanadium 0xides
$\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ consists of single octahedral sheets, $\mathrm{V}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ consists of alternating single and double octahedral sheets, and $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ consists of double octahedral sheets. If one sheet in the $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ structure is cooperatively moved along a shear plane as in figure 1-8(a), then a double sheet will be formed. By this mechanism, geometrically at least, conversion of $V_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ into $\mathrm{V}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{13}{ }^{51}$ (fig.1-8(b)) can be accomplished by moving every third sheet of $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in such a fashion, and conversion. Into $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ can be accomplished by moving every second sheet along the shear plane. Studies have been done to determine if such a reduction mechanism does in fact occur. Electron microscope studies, whereby carbon is deposited on the surface of a crystal and is thus capable of aiding reduction by forming CO and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, have failed to show $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ production from $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, but have confirmed the conversion of $\mathrm{V}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ into $\mathrm{VO}_{2}{ }^{52}$ and a cooperative movement of octahedra as depicted in figure 1-9 has been suggested for the mechanism of this reduction. The reduction of $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to $\mathrm{V}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ under these conditions has been the subject of some controversy. Fiermans and Vennik ${ }^{53}$ have noted


Figure 1-8. Schematic diagram of $V_{2}{ }^{0}$ (a) forming $V 6^{0}{ }_{13}$ (b) by a shear in the direction ${ }^{2}$ indicated by the arrows.


Figure 1-9. Formation of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ (c) from $\mathrm{V}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ (a) through the cooperative movement of octahedra ((a) and $\left.{ }^{6}(\mathrm{~b})\right)$
and studied this transition by low energy electron diffraction, but Tilley and Hyde ${ }^{54}$ have found no evidence for such a shear using transmission electron microscopy. These authors did find a reduced vanadium oxide phase produced which was later identified as a new $V_{4}{ }^{0} 9$ phase by Grymonprez ${ }^{18}$, et al.

The possibility of $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ reducing through the formation of shear structures and/or vacancy formation appears likely but has not been conclusively established. In each of the cases studied, the vanadyl oxygen is the oxygen which is removed. This is exactly the mechanism which is suggested for the action of a $V_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ catalyst in the oxidation of hydrocarbons by sachtler ${ }^{55}$ to account for the production of $V^{+4}$ in spent catalysts. He suggested that the vanadyl oxygens exposed on the surface of $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ were removed during the catalytic process. The vacancies so created can diffuse into the bulk of the $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ catalysts. This is an easy process since the $\mathrm{V}^{+4} 0_{5}$ groups so established can have many configurations. When the vacancies are at the appropriate oxygen sites for a shear to occur, the $\mathrm{V}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ configuration is formed. Although no direct structural evidence is available, there is evidence that the vanadium is reduced in the catalytic process and that the vanadyl oxygen is released 56,57 . § 1.7 Vanadium Phosphate Catalysts

A great number of patents in the US, Japan, and Germany exist for the use of vanadium phosphate catalysts in the commercial production of maleic anhydride from butene, butane and other hydrocarbons, but very little academic literature is available. The oxidation of butene ( either 1-butene or 2-butene) over vanadium phosphates is thought to proceed by the following steps $58,59,60$.

crotonaldehyde
Seeboth et al. ${ }^{59,60}$ found that crotonaldehyde was often an intermediate in step 2 as shown above.
$A i^{58}$ found that, although the activity (i.e. the number of sites active in catalysis) decreased from pure vanadium oxide catalysts to vanadium phosphates, the selectivity of the butene $\rightarrow$ maleic anhydride reaction (or the preferred production of the desired product rather than side-products) increased with phosphorus content. This has also been observed by several other workers $40,56,59,61$. Ai considered each step in the reaction and found that phosphorus addition increased the selectivity in step 1 and step 2 and decreased it in step 3.

Ai and Suzuki ${ }^{62}$ found that the affinity of $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ catalysts for basic (i.e. electron donating) olefins decreases with $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ content, and hence the acid strength of vanadium phosphate catalysts must be smaller that that of $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. They suggest that this lower acid strength enhances the probability of maleic acid production rather than side-products such
as acetic acid, acetaldehyde and carbon dioxide.
$\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$, when used as a catalyst, reduces to $\left(\mathrm{V}^{+4} 0\right)_{x}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{+5} 0\right)_{1-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{PO}_{4-\mathrm{x} / 2}^{40,59,60}$
with the end-product being $\mathrm{VOPO}_{3.5} \equiv(\mathrm{VO})_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$. Bordes and Courtine ${ }^{40}$ found that on slow heating of $\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ to around $750^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a metastable intermediate, $\mathrm{VPO}_{4.75}$, was formed which reduced on further heating to $\mathrm{VPO}_{4.5^{\circ}}$ In addition, both $\alpha$ and $\beta-V \mathrm{VO}_{5}$ were found to reduce to $\mathrm{VPO}_{4.5}$, but only $\alpha-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ was formed on re-oxidation.

Nakamura ${ }^{61}$ found that the yield of a catalyzed reaction decreased rapidly as the average oxidation number of the vanadium became less than 4.0. He concluded that the redox cycle between $V^{+5}$ and $v^{+4}$ is mainly responsible for the catalysis, and that the vanadyl bond was important in the process. He suggested a mechanism whereby oxygen from the air would add to the vanadium at the side opposite the vanadyl bond, thereby forming a sixth oxygen bond which is available for oxidation of the butene (fig. 1-10). One supposes that at the surface the long $V-0$ bond is missing, a not unreasonable assumption since charge conservation would require something of this sort. This mechanism was suggested for the $P: V$ $=2: 1$ catalyst since Nakamura found it to be the most selective. Bordes and Courtine ${ }^{40}$ however, found that $\operatorname{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ was not catalytically active.


Figure 1-10. Redox mechanism occuring during catalysis using a vanadium phosphate catalyst as suggested by Nakamura ${ }^{61}$. The equatorial oxygen atoms are bonded to vanadium and phosphorus atoms. The axial atoms are only bonded to vanadium.

## CHAPTER 2

The Theory of X-Ray Diffraction

## § 2.1 Scattering of X-Rays

$X$-rays fall in the short wavelength, high energy region of the electromagnetic spectrum, and, like all electromagnetic radiation, can be scattered from matter inelastically (Compton scattering) with a change in wavelength or elastically, without a change in wavelength. It is the elastic scattering that enables one to determine crystal structures.

Many good books have been written describing the theory of x-ray scattering*; only the main ideas and those particularly relevant to this thesis will be summarized here.
 elastically from an electron at an arbitrary origin and one at a distance $\underline{r}$ away, the amplitude of the resultant wave-vector $\underline{k}$ will be proportional to $\exp (i \Delta \underline{k} \cdot \underline{r})$ where $\Delta \underline{k}={\underset{-k}{0}}_{-k}^{-k}$. If instead the scattering occurs from an electron distribution with electron density $\rho(\underline{r})$, then the resulting amplitude will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\Delta \underline{k})=\int \rho(\underline{r}) \exp (i \Delta \underline{k} \cdot \underline{r}) d V, \tag{2-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integral is over the volume containing the charge density.
An ideal crystal has three-dimensional translational symmetry. If the repeating unit, i.e. the unit cell, is defined by the vectors $\underline{a}, \underline{b}$ and $\underline{c}$, then for an ideal crystal, the electron density at a point $\underline{r}$ is $\rho(\underline{r})=\rho(\underline{r}+x \underline{a}+y \underline{b}+z \underline{c})$ for all integers $x, y$ and $z$. Using this relationship, *see for example books by Zachariasen ${ }^{63}$, Cowley ${ }^{64}$, James ${ }^{65}$ or Warren ${ }^{66}$.
the amplitude in equation 2-1 now becomes, for an ideal crystal,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\Delta \underline{k})=\sum_{x y z} \exp (i \Delta \underline{k} \cdot \underline{R}) \int_{u . c .} \rho(\underline{r}) \exp (i \Delta k \cdot r) d V, \tag{2-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\underline{R}=x a+y b+z \underline{c}$, and the integration is carried out over the volume of the unit cell, and the sum is over the dimension of the crystal. For a crystal containing $N_{x}, N_{y}$ and $N_{z}$ unit cells in the $x, y$ and $z$ directions,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{x y z} \exp (i \Delta \underline{k} \cdot \underline{R})=\sum_{x=1}^{N_{x}} \exp (i \Delta \underline{k} \cdot x a) \sum_{y=1}^{N_{y}} \exp (i \Delta \underline{k} \cdot y \underline{b}) \sum_{z=1}^{N_{z}} \exp (i \Delta \underline{k} \cdot z \underline{c}) \\
& =\frac{\left(1-\exp \left(i N_{x} \Delta k \cdot a\right)\right)}{(1-\exp (i \Delta k \cdot a))} \cdot \frac{\left(1-\exp \left(i N_{y} \Delta k \cdot b\right)\right)}{(1-\exp (i \Delta \underline{b} \cdot \underline{b}))} \cdot \frac{\left(1-\exp \left(i N_{z} \Delta k \cdot c\right)\right)}{(1-\exp (i \Delta k \cdot c))} . \tag{2-3}
\end{align*}
$$

The intensity of the scattered wave is

$$
\begin{align*}
I & =A(\Delta \underline{k}) A^{*}(\underline{\Delta k}) \\
& =\sum_{x y z} \exp (i \Delta \underline{k} \cdot \underline{R}) \sum_{x y z} \exp (-i \Delta \underline{k} \cdot \underline{R}) \int_{u . c .} \rho(\underline{r}) \exp (i \Delta \underline{k} \cdot \underline{r}) d V \int_{u . c .} \rho(\underline{r}) \exp (-i \Delta \underline{k} \cdot \underline{r}) d V, \tag{2-4}
\end{align*}
$$

where the designation (*) implies the complex conjugate of the expression. Upon substitution of equation 2-3 into 2-4 and simplification of the sums,

$$
\begin{align*}
I= & \int_{u . c .} \rho(\underline{r}) \exp (i \Delta k \cdot \underline{r}) d V \int_{u . c .} \rho(\underline{r}) \exp (-i \Delta k \cdot r) d V \\
& \cdot \frac{\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta k \cdot N_{x} a\right)\right)}{\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}(\overline{\Delta k} \cdot a)\right)} \cdot \frac{\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}(\Delta k \cdot N y b)\right)}{\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}(\Delta k \cdot \underline{b})\right)} \cdot \frac{\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta k \cdot N_{z} c\right)\right)}{\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}(\Delta k \cdot \underline{c})\right)} . \tag{2-5}
\end{align*}
$$

Because $N_{x}, N_{y}$ and $N_{z}$ are very large numbers, this expression has sharp maxima at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \underline{k}=2 \pi \underline{H}=2 \pi\left(h \underline{a}^{*}+k \underline{b}^{*}+\ell \underline{c}\right) \tag{2-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h, k$ and $\ell$ are integers and $\underline{a}^{*}, \underline{b}^{*}$ and $\underline{c}^{*}$ are called reciprocal lattice vectors and are defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{a}^{*}=\frac{\underline{b} \times \underline{c}}{\underline{a} \underline{b} \times \underline{c}} \quad \underline{b}^{*}=\frac{\underline{c} \times \underline{a}}{\underline{a} \underline{b} \times \underline{c}} \quad \underline{c}^{*}=\frac{\underline{a} \times \underline{b}}{\underline{a} \underline{b} \times \underline{c}} \tag{2-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For very large $N_{x}, N_{y}$ and $N_{z}$, the maxima are so sharp that the intensity is non-zero only for $\underline{k}=2 \pi \underline{H}$, and has a value $N^{2}$ where $N=N_{x} N_{y} N_{z}$. This is one formulation of Bragg's law, and shows that $x$-ray scattering from ideal crystals, rather than being a continuum, consists of a distinct number of "reflections" defined by condition 2-6.

Using Bragg's law, equation 2-2 now becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
A(\Delta \underline{k})=A(\underline{H}) & =N \int_{u \cdot c .} \rho(\underline{r}) \exp (2 \pi i \underline{H} \cdot \underline{r}) d V \\
& =N F, \tag{2-8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $N$ is the number of unit cells in the crystal and $F$, the structure factor, defined as equal to the integral in equation 2-8, is the Fourier transform of the electron density distribution $\rho(\underline{r})$.

In a crystal, the electron density can be considered as the sum of electron densities of individual atoms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { i.e. } \rho(\underline{r})=\sum_{j} \rho_{j}\left(\underline{r}^{\prime}+\underline{r}_{j}\right) \tag{2-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\underline{r}_{j}$ is the position vector of the centre of the $j \frac{\text { th }}{}$ atom and $\underline{r}^{\prime}$ is a vector within this atom. Consequently, the structure factor for a crystal considering the different atoms in the unit cell separately becomes,

$$
\begin{align*}
F(\underline{H}) & =\sum_{j} \rho_{j}\left(\underline{r}^{\prime}\right) \exp \left(2 \pi i \underline{H} \cdot\left(\underline{r}^{\prime}+\underline{r}_{j}\right)\right) d V \\
& =\sum_{j} f_{j} \exp \left(2 \pi i \underline{H} \cdot \underline{r}_{j}\right), \tag{2-10}
\end{align*}
$$

where the integral is now over the volume of one atom. $f_{j}$, the atomic scattering factor, is the Fourier transform of the electron density of the $\mathrm{j} \frac{\mathrm{th}}{}$ atom.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{j}=\int \rho_{j}\left(\underline{r}^{\prime}\right) \exp \left(2 \pi i \underline{H} \cdot \underline{r}^{\prime}\right) d V \tag{2-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Atomic scattering factors have been calculated for theoretical free atoms using various types of wave-functions such as Hartree-Fock ${ }^{67}$ and Slater ${ }^{68}$ functions and are tabulated in the literature.

## §2.2 Space Groups and Crystal Symmetry

Not only do crystals have three-dimensional translational symmetry with respect to the unit cell distances, the atoms within an individual unit cell can also be related by rotational and reflection symmetry. It can be shown ${ }^{63}$ that all possible combinations of such symmetry operations can be classified in 230 space groups.

Some symmetry operations can restrict the values of $h, k$ and $\ell$ for the vector $\underline{H}$ for which the intensity has a non-zero value. For example, a body-centred space group has an atom at $x+\frac{1}{2}, y+\frac{1}{2}, z+\frac{1}{2}$ for every atom at $x, y, z$. The structure factor for such a space group will be

$$
\begin{align*}
F(\underline{H}) & =\sum_{j} f_{j} \exp \left(2 \pi i \underline{H} \cdot \underline{r}_{j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{m} f_{m} \exp (2 \pi i(h x+k y+\ell z))+f_{m} \exp \left(2 \pi i\left(h x+\frac{1}{2} h+k y+\frac{1}{2} k+\ell z+\frac{1}{2} \ell\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{m} f_{m} \exp (2 \pi i(h x+k y+\ell z))\left(1+\exp \left(2 \pi i\left(\frac{1}{2} h+\frac{1}{2} k+\frac{1}{2} \ell\right)\right),\right. \tag{2-12}
\end{align*}
$$

where the sum over $m$ is over pairs of atoms related by body-centred symmetry. The last term in the expression will be zero if $h+k+\ell \neq 2 n$ where $n$ is an integer. Thus if all $h+k+\ell \neq 2 n$ reflections for a particular crystal have zero intensity, that crystal has a body-centred space group.

Sets of reflections with zero-intensity (such as the $h+k+\ell \neq 2 n$ ones for body-centred crystals) are called systematic extinctions. Systematic extinctions can be found for glide planes, screw axes and centering conditions. A careful examination of the systematic extinctions
for a particular crystal will often yield the space group for the crystal, or reduce the possible space groups to two or three that have the same extinctions.

## § 2.3 Deviations from Translational Periodicity

So far, it has been assumed that crystals have perfect translational symmetry in three dimensions. Real crystals in fact deviate from this periodicity in several ways. Atoms vibrate about an equilibrium position (thermal motion), and need not always be in phase with corresponding atoms in other unit cells; certain atoms may randomly occupy one of several possible positions in different unit cells (positional disorder), and sometimes different kinds of atoms may occupy the same position in different cells (substitutional disorder). In addition, unit cells may not be aligned exactly with respect to neighbouring cells. This misalignment can occur between blocks of perfectly aligned cells (mosaic spread), layers of cells (one-dimensional disorder or stacking faults), or chains of cells (two-dimensional disorder). Thermal motion occurs in all crystals. The other kinds of disorder may or may not occur to a significant extent depending on the crystal. Disorders considered in this thesis will be briefly discussed.
(a) Thermal motion

Atoms at any instant in time are located at $\underline{r}^{\prime}=\underline{r}_{j} \underline{\delta}_{j}$ where $\underline{r}_{j}$ is the position vector for the average position of atom $\boldsymbol{j}$ and $\underline{\delta}_{\mathbf{j}}$ is the instantaneous displacement from this position. It is a function of time and of temperature, increasing as the latter increases. The structure factor thus becomes, formally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\underline{H})=\sum_{j} f_{j} \exp \left(2 \pi i \underline{H} \cdot\left(\underline{r}_{j}+\underline{\delta}_{j}\right)\right), \tag{2-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the intensity is

$$
\begin{align*}
1 & =N^{2} \sum_{i, j} f_{j} f_{i}^{*} \exp \left(2 \pi i \underline{H} \cdot\left(\underline{r}_{j}+\underline{\delta}_{j}\right)\right) \exp \left(-2 \pi i \underline{H} \cdot\left(\underline{r}_{i}+\underline{\delta}_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =N^{2} \sum_{i, j} f_{j} f_{i}^{*} \exp \left(2 \pi i \underline{H} \cdot\left(\underline{r}_{j}-\underline{r}_{i}\right)\right) \exp \left(2 \pi i \underline{H} \cdot\left(\underline{\delta}_{j} \underline{-}_{i}\right)\right) \tag{2-14}
\end{align*}
$$

This is the instantaneous intensity at any one time. Experimentally, the time average of this value is observed. This time average is indicated by $\langle\cdot$. . $\rangle$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\langle 1\rangle=N^{2} \sum_{i, j} f_{j} f_{i}^{*} \exp \left(2 \pi i \underline{H} \cdot\left(\underline{r}_{j}-\underline{r}_{i}\right)\right)<\exp \left(2 \pi i \underline{H} \cdot\left(\underline{\delta}_{j}-\underline{\delta}_{i}\right)\right)\right\rangle \tag{2-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For small displacements,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\simeq 1-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle 2 \pi \underline{H} \cdot\left(\underline{\delta}_{j}-\underline{\delta}_{i}\right)\right)^{2}\right\rangle \\
& =\exp \left(-\pi<\left(\underline{H} \cdot\left(\underline{\delta}_{j}-\underline{\delta}_{i}\right)\right)^{2}>\right) \\
& =\exp \left(-\pi<\left(\underline{H} \cdot\left(\underline{\delta}_{j}-\underline{\delta}_{i}\right)\right)^{2}\right) \exp \left(-\pi<\left(\underline{H}^{*} \cdot\left(\underline{\delta}_{j}-\underline{\delta}_{i}\right)\right)^{2}>\right) \\
& \cdot \exp \left(2 \pi<\left(\underline{H}_{-}^{\delta_{j}}\right)\left(\underline{H} \cdot \underline{\delta}_{i}\right)>\right), \tag{2-16}
\end{align*}
$$

since odd powers average to zero and large powers in the Taylor series are negligible.

Thus the average intensity

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1=N^{2} \sum_{i, j} f_{j} \exp \left(2 \pi i\left(\underline{H} \cdot \underline{r}_{j}\right)\right) \exp \left(\pi<\left(\underline{H} \cdot \underline{\delta}_{j}\right)^{2}-f_{i}^{*} \exp \left(2 \pi i\left(\underline{H} \cdot \underline{r}_{i}\right)\right) \exp \left(\pi<\underline{H}_{i} \underline{\delta}_{i}\right)^{2}>\right) \\
& \cdot\left(1+\exp \left(2 \pi<\left(\underline{H}_{-}^{\delta}\right)\left(\underline{H}_{j} \underline{\delta}_{i}\right)>\right)-1\right) \\
& =N^{2}\left|\sum_{j} f_{j} \exp \left(2 \pi i\left(\underline{H} \cdot \underline{r}_{j}\right)\right) \exp \left(-2 M_{j}\right)\right|^{2} \quad+\quad \text { second term containing } \quad\left(\exp \left(2 \pi<\left(\underline{H}_{j}\right)\left(\underline{H}_{j} \underline{\delta}_{i}\right)>\right)-1\right) \quad . \tag{2-17}
\end{align*}
$$

$2 M_{j}$ is called the Debye-Waller factor and is equal to $\left\langle\left(\underline{H}_{-} \underline{\delta}_{j}\right)^{2}\right\rangle \pi$.

The first term in expression 2-17 has a sharp maximum intensity at the Bragg reflection. The second term will give rise to a small diffuse background called thermal diffuse scattering which is negligible in intensity compared to the Bragg reflection.

Thus, when taking thermal motion into account, the structure factor must be modified to

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\underline{H})=\sum_{j} f_{j} \exp \left(2 \pi i\left(\underline{H}^{-} \underline{r}_{j}\right)\right) \exp \left(-2 M_{j}\right) . \tag{2-18}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is customary to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 M_{j}=\beta_{11}^{j} h^{2}+\beta_{22}^{j} k^{2}+\beta_{33^{\ell}}^{j}{ }^{2}+\beta_{12}^{j} h k+\beta_{13}^{j} h \ell+\beta_{23}^{j} k \ell, \tag{2-19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\beta$ are known as the anisotropic thermal coordinates and describe the ellipsoid of vibration of atom $j$ at the temperature considered.
(b) Positional and Substitutional Disorder

Bragg's law will no longer be completely valid when disordering occurs, and equation 2-1 becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
A(\Delta \underline{k}) & =\sum_{x y z} \exp (i \Delta \underline{k} \cdot \underline{R}) \int_{U . c .} \rho(\underline{r}) \exp (i \Delta \underline{k} \cdot \underline{r}) d V \\
& =\sum_{x y z} \exp (i \Delta \underline{k} \cdot \underline{R}) \sum f_{j}(\Delta \underline{k}) \exp \left(i \Delta \underline{k} \cdot \underline{r}_{j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j} \exp (i \Delta \underline{k} \cdot \underline{R}) F^{\prime}(\Delta \underline{k}), \tag{2-20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\underline{R}$, as before; is $\times \underline{a}+y \underline{b}+z \underline{c}$, and where the $F^{\prime}$ may be different for each unit cell. They can differ in magnitude due to a difference in $f_{j}$ values (substitutional disorder) or in phase due to a difference in $\underline{r}_{\text {j }}$ values (positional disorder) or both.

Equation 2-20 can be re-arranged as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\Delta \underline{k})=\sum_{x y z}\left(F_{p}+F_{n p}\right) \exp (i \Delta \underline{k} \cdot \underline{R}) \tag{2-21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $F^{\prime}$ have been divided into a periodic part ( $F_{p}$ ) which represents the "average" structure factor for the cells, and stays the same for all the cells, and a non-periodic part ( $F_{n p}$ ) which varies from cell to cell and represents the deviations from the average cell. The intensity using equation 2-21 now becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
I= & \left|\sum_{x y z} \exp (i \Delta \underline{k} \cdot \underline{R}) F_{p}\right|^{2}+\sum_{x y z}^{\left.\sum \exp (i \Delta \underline{k} \cdot \underline{R}) F_{n p}\right|^{2}} \\
& +\sum_{x y z} \exp (i \Delta \underline{k} \cdot \underline{R}) F_{p} \sum_{x y z} \exp (-i \Delta \underline{k} \cdot \underline{R}) F_{n p}^{*} \\
& +\sum_{n y} \exp (-i \Delta \underline{k} \cdot \underline{R}) F_{p}^{*} \sum_{x y z} \exp (i \Delta \underline{k} \cdot \underline{R}) F_{n p} . \tag{2-22}
\end{align*}
$$

 xyz
and has a non-zero value only at the Bragg points defined by $\Delta \underline{k}=2 \pi H$. The intensity arising due to the first term is thus the Bragg intensity associated with the average cell. The sum of the non-periodic parts normally cancels out in the last two terms if the average cell has been properly chosen. The second term can be non-zero for any value of $\Delta \underline{k}$, its magnitude depending on the kind and extent of disorder. In general, this term represents a diffuse background for the Bragg reflection. (c) Stacking Faults

When a crystal consists of a layered structure in such a way that one layer may be displaced from or be different in contents from the other layers, a one-dimensional disorder in the direction perpendicular to the layers is present. This disorder can be recognized in the diffraction pattern by the existence of streaks through the Bragg peaks in the $c^{*}$ direction for disorder in the c direction. The diffraction intensities have been calculated generally for such disorders by Jagodzinski ${ }^{69 \text {, }}$

Kakinoki and Komura ${ }^{70}$ and Cowley ${ }^{71}$. The resulting expressions and their derivations will not be reproduced here, but may readily be found in the literature. The intensity expressions usually do not yield to convenient calculations except for special cases where simplifications can be made. One such case will be discussed in chapter 8 , and the intensity expression for it will be derived at that time. In general, the intensity can be broken up into terms of intensity produced due to layers stacked without faults, with one fault, with two faults, etc., and the total intensity is the sum of all these terms.
(d) Mosaic Spread

Crystals normally consist of many small "mosaic" blocks which contain cells in perfect alignment, but which are slightly misaligned with respect to each other. The amount of misalignment is called the mosaic spread of the crystal. The mosaic blocks are larger in dimension than the coherence length of $x$-rays, thus each block produces a diffracted beam independent of the others, and the total intensity of the diffracted beams is equal to the sum of the individual beams from each block. Since the mosaic spread is usually small compared to the reciprocal cell dimensions, the effect of the blocks is a slight broadening of the Bragg peak in the diffraction pattern.

When an x-ray beam is scattered from a reflecting plane, energy is transferred to the diffracted wave, thus reducing the incident beam intensity. When this effect occurs within one mosaic block, the integrated intensity of that block is lessened. This is known as primary extinction. Secondary extinction occurs when the incident beam is attenuated between the blocks before it reaches a particular block. The mosaic blocks are
normally small enough, or can be made small enough, so that the effect of primary extinction is negligible, but secondary extinction often has an appreciable effect on the intensities and is most noticeable with strong reflections. Zachariasen ${ }^{72}$ has worked out the value of the secondary extinction correction and Larson ${ }^{73}$ has suggested it may be used to relate the observed and calculated intensities by means of the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\text {obs }}^{2}=F_{c a l c}^{2}\left(1-g B F_{c a l c}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2-23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{\text {obs }}$ and $F_{\text {calc }}$ are the observed and calculated structure factors respectively, $\beta$ is an effective absorption coefficient as derived by Zachariasen ${ }^{72}$ and $g$ is a measure of the amount of secondary extinction. It is normally an experimentally determined parameter.

## §2.4 Effect of Domain Size on Intensities

When disorder is present in a crystal, it becomes important to consider the distance between each kind of unit cell. If each kind of unit cell is grouped in a domain which has dimensions small compared to the coherence length of the $x$-rays, then the total scattering amplitude will be the sum of the amplitudes of each of the cell kinds. If the domain dimensions are much greater than the $x$-ray coherence length, the total intensity will be the sum of the intensities of each of the cell kinds. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{\text {total }} & =F_{a}+F_{b},  \tag{2-24}\\
\text { or }\left.\quad\right|_{\text {total }} & =\left|F_{a}+F_{b}\right|^{2} \tag{2-25}
\end{align*}
$$

for a domain consisting of cells of type "a" and one consisting of type " $b$ " cells, when the domain size is much smaller than the coherence length,
and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\text {total }}=\left|F_{a}\right|^{2}+\left|F_{b}\right|^{2} \tag{2-26}
\end{equation*}
$$

when the domain size is much greater than the coherence length. Equations 2-25 and 2-26 may be combined to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\text {total }}=\left|F_{a}\right|^{2}+\left|F_{b}\right|^{2}+\alpha\left\{F_{a} F_{b}^{*}+F_{a}^{*} F_{b}\right\} \tag{2-27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.
Coherence lengths of $x$-rays are of the order of one micron ${ }^{64}$. Thus $\alpha=1$ when the domain dimensions are much less than a micron and $\alpha=0$ when the domain dimensions are much greater than a micron. It follows that, for domains about the length of a micron, $\alpha$ must have a value intermediate between 0 and 1 .

### 52.5 Intensity Corrections

Intensities will show the effects of absorption of some intensity by the crystal, polarization of the reflected $x$-rays, extinction, and in addition will be affected by the geometry of the apparatus used to diffract the $x$-rays from a crystal.
(a) Absorption

A certain amount of energy can be absorbed from the $x$-ray beam to produce electronic excitations and to remove electrons from atoms. Such absorption decreases the intensity of the scattered beam.

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 / I_{0}=\exp \left(-\mu_{\lambda} t\right) \tag{2-28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 1 is the transmitted intensity, $I_{0}$ is the incident intensity, $t$ the path length, and $\mu_{\lambda}$ the linear absorption coefficient of the material for radiation of wave-length $\lambda$. This absorption coefficient can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\lambda}=d \sum_{n} x_{n} \mu_{n}, \tag{2-29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$ is the density of the material, $x_{n}$ is the fraction of atoms of type $n$ in the unit cell, and $\mu_{n}$ is the mass absorption coefficient of atom $n$. It is listed in the International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography, Volume $1^{74}$ for all atoms. The atomic absorption coefficient increases with wavelength, atomic masses of the atoms, and density. Corrections for absorption become increasingly important as these effects increase in size. In practice, it is often useful to grind crystals into spheres or cylinders of known radii, since for such simple geometries it is easy to calculate the path length for various scattering angles.
(b) Polarization

An x-ray beam has an associated electric field which can be resolved into a component parallel $\left(E_{\|}\right)$and a component perpendicular ( $E_{\perp}$ ) to the reflection plane. The intensity of the beam is equal to the square of the electric field. l.e. $I=E^{2}=E_{\|}^{2}+E_{\perp}^{2}$. The parallel component of the electric field reflects with $100 \%$ efficiency, but the perpendicular component reflects with an efficiency of $\cos 2 \theta$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(E_{\|}\right)_{\text {reflected }}=\left(E_{\|}\right)_{\text {incident }} \\
& \left(E_{\perp}\right)_{\text {reflected }}=\left(E_{\perp}\right)_{\text {incident }} \cos 2 \theta \tag{2-30}
\end{align*}
$$

If the initial beam was unpolarized, then $E_{\|}^{2}$ and $E_{\perp}^{2}$ are each equal to $\frac{1}{2} E^{2}$. and the intensity of the reflected beam is

$$
\begin{align*}
1=E_{\text {reflected }}^{2} & =\left(E_{\|}\right)_{\text {reflected }}^{2}+\left(E_{\perp}\right)_{\text {reflected }}^{2} \\
& =\left(E_{\|}\right)_{\text {incident }}^{2}+\left(E_{\perp}\right)_{\text {incident }}^{2} \cos 2 \theta \\
& =I_{\text {incident }}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \cos 2 \theta\right) \tag{2-31}
\end{align*}
$$

If the initial beam was crystal monochromatized, then $E_{\|}^{2}: E_{\perp}^{2}=1: \cos ^{2} 2 \theta_{c}$
where $\theta_{c}$ is the Bragg angle for the monochromating crystal. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{\|}^{2}=E\left(1+\cos ^{2} 2 \theta_{c}\right) \quad \text { and } \\
& E_{\perp}^{2}=E \cos ^{2} 2 \theta_{c}\left(1+\cos ^{2} 2 \theta_{c}\right) \tag{2-32}
\end{align*}
$$

This gives a reflected intensity of

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=I_{\text {incident }}\left(\frac{1+\cos ^{2} 2 \theta_{c} \cos ^{2} 2 \theta}{1+\cos ^{2} 2 \theta_{c}}\right) \tag{2-33}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) Lorentz effect

Since mosaic spread introduces a finite breadth to the Bragg peak, and since an $x$-ray beam is never perfectly collimated, intensities are usually integrated over a volume large enough to include deviations from the point at which the Bragg peak occurs. To do this integration, the crystal is usually rotated about an axis parallel to the reflecting plane and normal to the incident $x$-ray beam so that the total intensity from the whole range of possible contributions can be measured. This total intensity is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\text {integrated }}=\iint R^{2} I d t d A \tag{2-34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d A$ is the element of area of the surface receiving the reflected beam at a distance $R$ from the crystal. This surface will be normal to the reflected beam. $d t$ is the time during which the crystal is in a reflecting position while it is being rotated through distance dl. dl must be perpendicular to the incident beam and in the plane of both the incident and reflected beams.

If the angular velocity is $\omega$, then $d t=\omega d$. dl makes an angle of $\pi / 2-2 \theta$ with the reflected beam, and thus $d 1=(\cos (\pi / 2-2 \theta))^{-1} d x=(\sin 2 \theta)^{-1} d x$ where $d x$ is an element of length along the reflected beam. We thus have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{dtd} A=\omega \mathrm{dld} \mathrm{~d} A=\omega(\sin 2 \theta)^{-1} \mathrm{dxdA}=\omega(\sin 2 \theta)^{-1} \mathrm{dV}, \tag{2-35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the element of volume $d V$ is now independent of the Bragg angle. The integrated intensity now is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\text {integrated }}=\iint R^{2} I \omega(\sin 2 \theta)^{-1} d V=I_{\text {measured }}(\sin 2 \theta)^{-1} \tag{2-36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the total intensity depends upon $(\sin 2 \theta)^{-1}$ for this kind of crystal rotation. The factor $(\sin 2 \theta)^{-1}$ is known as the Lorentz factor. For different kinds of crystal rotations, the Lorentz factor will vary.

## §2.6 The Phase Problem

Structure factors can be written in the form $F_{H}=\left|F_{H}\right| \exp \left(\alpha_{H}\right)$ where $\alpha_{H}$ is called the phase angle and $\left|F_{H}\right|$ is the amplitude of the structure factor. Since $\sqrt{1}_{H}=\left|F_{H}\right|$, only the amplitude can be determined from intensity measurements. The structure factor is the Fourier transform of the electron density. Therefore the electron density is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(\underline{r})=V_{\underline{H}}^{-1} \sum_{H} F_{H} \exp (-2 \pi i \underline{H} \cdot \underline{r}) . \tag{2-37}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression cannot be evaluated, and hence $\rho$ cannot be calculated unless $\alpha_{H}$ is known. This is known as the "phase problem" of crystallography. Likely phases can be calculated by proposing models for the possible structure of the unit cell and comparing the moduli of the structure factors of such models with those measured experimentally.

## §2.7 Methods of Solving the Phase Problem

(a) Isostructural Crystals

If the reflections of a particular crystal are similar in intensity to those of another crystal which has the same unit cell dimensions and a similar chemical formula (e.g. $\mathrm{NaVO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{LiVO}_{3}$ ), then the crystals are likely isostructural, and the coordinates for one crystal represent a good model for the other crystal.
(b) The Patterson Function

The general Patterson function $P(\underline{u})$, is defined as the convolution of the electron density with itself.

$$
\begin{align*}
P(\underline{u}) & =\rho(\underline{u}) * \rho(\underline{-u}) \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho(\underline{R}) \rho(\underline{R}+\underline{u}) d \underline{R}, \tag{2-38}
\end{align*}
$$

where the convolution (*) is defined as shown.
For a crystal with translational symmetry, this becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& P(\underline{u})=N \int_{u . c .} \rho(\underline{R}) \rho(\underline{R}+\underline{u}) d R  \tag{2-39}\\
& =N \int_{u . c .} \underline{H}^{\prime} \quad \sum_{\underline{H}}^{F_{H}}{ }^{\prime} \exp \left(-2 \pi i \underline{H}^{\prime} \cdot \underline{u}\right){\underset{V}{\mid}}^{H^{\prime}} \exp (-2 \pi i H \cdot(\underline{R}+\underline{u}) d \underline{R} \\
& =\frac{N}{V^{2}} \sum_{\underline{H} \underline{X}^{\prime} \underline{H}} F_{H} \prime^{\prime} F_{H} \exp (-2 \pi i \underline{H} \cdot \underline{u}) \int_{U . C} \exp 2 \pi i\left(\underline{H}^{\prime}+\underline{H}\right) \cdot \underline{R} d \underline{R} . \tag{2-39}
\end{align*}
$$

The integral is zero unless $H^{\prime}=-H$ when it has a value equal to the volume of the unit cell. The volume of the unit cell multiplied by the number of unit cells is equal to the volume of the crystal. The Patterson simplifies to

$$
\begin{align*}
P(\underline{u}) & =V^{-1} \sum_{\underline{H}} F_{H} F_{-H} \exp (-2 \pi i \underline{H} \cdot \underline{u}) \\
& =V^{-1} \sum_{H}\left|F_{H}\right|^{2} \exp (2 \pi i \underline{H} \cdot \underline{u}) \cdot\left(\text { since } F_{-H}=F_{H}^{*}\right) \tag{2-40}
\end{align*}
$$

The variable $\underline{u}$ represents the distance between two points, and when this distance is the distance between two atoms in the unit cell, the value for $P(\underline{u})$ will be large. Thus, by examining a map of a Patterson function distances between atoms may be determined, and these distances in turn may suggest possible structural models.
(c) Other Methods

Models may also be determined by direct methods, which involve the statistical evaluation of phases, or through the use of anomalous dispersion,
which introduces a shift in the peaks of the Patterson function for different radiations. This shift is related to the absorption of x-rays by the individual atoms and thus varies with the kind of atom. These methods were not used in this work and will not be discussed further. §2.8 Crystal Structure Refinement
(a) Electron Density and Difference Maps.

An initial model of a crystal structure often includes only some of the atoms, or includes atoms in approximate positions. From such a model, however, structure factors may be calculated and hence phases may be proposed for the experimental structure factors. An electron density distribution can now be calculated, and, if the proposed phases are close to the correct ones, this distribution will have peaks at the atomic positions of all the atoms. These positions may now be used to calculate new structure factors which should be closer to the experimental ones. This procedure can be repeated until no further improvement in the agreement is found.

Instead of an electron density map, a difference map is often used. This is a plot of the function $\sum_{H} \Delta F \exp (i \alpha) \exp (-2 \pi i H \cdot r)$ where $\Delta F$ is the difference between the amplitude of the observed and calculated structure factors, and $\alpha$ is the phase determined from the model. This function is an electron density function which has the electron density of the model subtracted from the total electron density, and should show peaks which reflect positions of new atoms, or a combination of a positive and negative peak to reflect a corrected and incorrect position of an atom respectively.
(b) Least Squares Refinement

Improvement of a crystal structure model by repeated evaluation of electron density maps, or difference maps, is tedious and will not as a rule give thermal coordinates or small variations of atomic positions. A more convenient method of improving models is through the least squares refinement of the fit of the experimental structure factors to the calculated ones.

If a model for a crystal structure is described by the variables $p_{i}, i=1, \ldots$ no. of variables ( $n$ ), which may be positional, temperature or scale parameters, then a better structure factor (i.e. one which is closer to the experimental one) can be expanded in terms of a Taylor series about the structure factors with parameters $p_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
k F_{c}=k F_{c}\left(p_{i}\right)+\sum_{i}\left(\frac{\partial k F_{c}}{\partial p_{i}}\right)_{p_{i}} \Delta p_{i}+\ldots, \tag{2-41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is a scale factor which brings the structure factor on the same scale as the intensity.

This expansion is substituted into the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\sum_{H} w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-k\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2}, \tag{2-42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w$ is a weighting function which will be discussed in the next section. If the model is reasonably close to the "true" structure, then second and subsequent terms in the Taylor expansion of $\mathrm{kF}_{\mathrm{c}}$ are very small and may be neglected. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\sum_{H} w\left(\left|F_{0}\right|-k\left|F_{c}\left(p_{i}\right)\right|+\Sigma\left(\frac{\partial k F_{c}}{\partial p_{i}}\right)_{p_{i}} \Delta p_{i}\right)^{2} . \tag{2-43}
\end{equation*}
$$

D is now minimized with respect to all the variables.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial D}{\partial p_{i}}=0 \quad i=1, \ldots n . \tag{2-44}
\end{equation*}
$$

This produces upon simplification a set of $n$ equations in the shifts $p_{i}$ of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{i 1} \Delta p_{1}+a_{i 2} \Delta p_{2}+\ldots+a_{i n} \Delta p_{n}=v_{i},  \tag{2-45}\\
& \text { where } \quad a_{i j}=\sum_{H} w \frac{\partial\left|k F_{c}\right|}{\partial p_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial\left|k F_{d}\right|}{\partial p_{j}}, \quad v_{i}=\sum_{H} w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-k\left|F_{c}\right|\right) \frac{\partial\left|k F_{d}\right|}{\partial p_{i}} .
\end{align*}
$$

The set of these equations can be written in matrix form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \Delta \underline{P}=\underline{V}, \tag{2-46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $a_{i j}$ are the elements of matrix $A$, the $p_{i}$ elements of vector $\underline{P}$, and the $v_{i}$ elements of vector $\underline{V}$.
Equation 2-46 can be solved:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \underline{P}=A^{-1} \underline{V} \tag{2-47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A^{-1}$ is the inverse matrix of $A$. The values of $\Delta p_{i}$ so found can be used as a correction to the model and the above procedure can be repeated with the corrected variables until further corrections are negligible.

If the elements of the inverse matrix $A^{-1}$ are denoted by $b_{i j}$, the standard deviation for any parameter $p_{i}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{p i}=\left(b_{i i}\left(\sum_{H} w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2}(m-n)^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\right. \tag{2-48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is the number of reflections.
The correlation between variables is measured by the correlation coefficient

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{i j}=b_{i j} / b_{i i}^{\frac{1}{2}} b_{j j}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2-49}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can vary between -1 and +1 . For values close to +1 or -1 , serious problems in refinement can result. These may be circumvented to a certain extent by treating one correlated variable as a constant during one cycle of refinement and the other variable as a constant during the next cycle
of refinement. Scheringer ${ }^{75}$ discusses some of the correlation problems in detail.

The goodness of fit of the calculated structure factors compared to the observed structure factors is measured by the residual

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{\Sigma| | F_{0}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right|}{\left|F_{0}\right|}, \tag{2-50}
\end{equation*}
$$

or the weighted residual

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{W}=\left(\frac{\Sigma|W| F_{o}\left|-\left|F_{c}\right|^{2}\right.}{\left|F_{o}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2-51}
\end{equation*}
$$

§2.9 Weighting Schemes
All reflections are not measured with the same accuracy and they should be weighed in a structure refinement. These weights cannot be determined a priori since the errors in measurement are not well defined and, as a consequence, they present a rather controversial topic for crystallographers. One train of thought suggests that reflections should be weighted by the standard deviation of the photon count for the reflection. This scheme does not take into account machine errors, and is likely to give too large a weight to the very intense reflections. Cruickshank ${ }^{76}$ suggests that a scheme of the form $\left(a+b\left|F_{0}\right|+c\left|F_{o}\right|^{2}\right)^{-1}$ be used. He argues that the variation of the difference between the observed and calculated structure factors is usually quadratic with respect to the observed structure factor, and hence, the weighting scheme should reflect this form. Too large a weight is usually given to the very weak reflections by these kind of schemes. In this work, weights of the form $\left(a+b\left|F_{0}\right|+c\left|F_{0}\right|^{2}+d\left(\sigma /\left|F_{0}\right|\right)^{2}\right)^{-1}$ have been used, incorporating both of the above ideas.

## CHAPTER 3

The Preparation and X-Ray Intensities Collection for the Crystals

## § 3.1 Crystal Preparation

$V P O_{5}$ in either the $\alpha, \beta$, or hydrated form can be used as a precursor for the growth of $\mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}, V\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}, V O P_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$ and $(\mathrm{VO})_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ crystals. The first two can be prepared from any form of $\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ by varying the temperature and the amount of phosphoric acid required as illustrated in figure 3-1. (VO) $2_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ is a direct reduction product of $\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ heated to about $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an inert atmosphere. The $V O P_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$ crystals can be prepared from $\mathrm{VPO}_{5}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ and quartz, al though they are more easily prepared by vapour phase transport in iodine from $\mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}{ }^{77}$. Also, this material is very often found as a byproduct when $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ are mixed and heated in an evacuated quartz tube. The vanadium invariably appears to reduce under these conditions. $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ has no direct preparative relationship to the other compounds, but its study was undertaken to see the similarities and/or differences between the vanadium phosphate and vanadium arsenate compounds. Its preparation is similar to the preparation of $\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ from the melt. Although most of the crystals can be grown readily, preparations often produce glasses as byproducts and in fact crystals of both $\operatorname{VOP} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$ and $\mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ have been found which show some vitreous character on precession photographs.
(a) Preparation of $\mathrm{VOP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}, \mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$

A 1:1 $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ mix was heated in a sealed, evacuated quartz tube to about $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The sample was then cooled at a rate of $3^{\circ} / \mathrm{hr}$. to


Figure 3-1. Relationship between the preparations of the various vanadium phosphorus oxides.
$500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The furnace was turned off at this point and the sample was allowed to cool to ambient temperature. The resulting crystalline mixture contained crystals identified as being $\mathrm{VOP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}, \mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$, by comparison of their crystal parameters obtained from single crystal precession photographs to those of Tofield, et al. ${ }^{45}, 77$. At least one and possibly more than one unidentified crystalline phase was also present as indicated by the presence of lines not belonging to any of the identified crystals in a powder pattern taken of a bulk sample of the mixture. These crystals probably have a V:P ratio greater than one since the identified crystals are all phosphorus rich and the mixture was originally made with $V: P$ being 1:1. Unfortunately, none of these unidentified crystals was suitable for x-ray structure analysis. It is likely that they contain reduced vanadium since no $V \mathrm{VO}_{5}$ was found in the mixture and the colours of the crystals were all blue or green, which are the colours usually associated with $\mathrm{V}^{+4}$ and $\mathrm{V}^{+3}$. A yellow colour is usually noted for $\mathrm{V}^{+5}$. Crystals of $\mathrm{VOP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$ and $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$ from this mixture were found to be the best available crystals of their kind and were ground to spheres and used for structure determination.

The same preparation as described above was repeated with a cooling rate of $15^{\circ} / \mathrm{hr}$. The resulting product consisted mostly of a glass and small crystals of $\mathrm{VOP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$ embedded on the surface away from the quartz container wall. Small crystals of $\operatorname{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ were found in the bottom of the tube. No other crystals were found. It was thought that the crystals of $\mathrm{VOP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$ and $\mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ could be vanadium rich with vanadium either replacing some of the silicon with $\mathrm{V}^{+4}$ or replacing some of the phosphorus with $\mathrm{V}^{+5}$, since the lattice parameters for both these
crystals were slightly larger than found in other crystals of their kind. An analysis of the crystals and the glass was attempted by electron microprobe. Results (which will be discussed later) were inconclusive in showing non-stoichiometry in the crystals, and showed the glass to have a V:P ratio of $1: 1$ with at most $1 \%$ silicon.

Crystals of $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$ were also prepared using the method of Lavrov, et al..$^{44}$ and Tofield, et al.${ }^{45}$, by heating a $10: 1$ phosphoric acid: $V_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ mixture to $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The crystals which precipitated were of unequal dimensions and could not be ground easily into good spheres. They were not used to collect intensity measurements for solving the structure, but were used for all other experiments.

Crystals of $\mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ were prepared following the method of Tofield, et al. ${ }^{45}$ using a 10:1 phosphoric acid: $V_{2} 0_{5}$ mixture heated overnight to $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting crystals were very often twinned or compacted. Better crystals were obtained by heating $\mathrm{VSO}_{5}$ in the stoichiometric amount of phosphoric acid required to give $V: P=1: 2$. These crystals were ground to spheres and used for intensity measurements. A powder pattern of $\mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ reported by Lavrov, et al..$^{44}$ could not be indexed for the cell parameters of the crystals produced above.

Details of the crystal appearance, crystal data and intensity measurements for these crystals are listed in table 3-1. (b) Preparation of (VO) $2_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}$

Bordes and Courtine ${ }^{40}$ report that (VO) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ can be prepared by the reduction of $\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ (all forms) under nitrogen atmosphere at $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ was prepared by grinding together thoroughly a $1: 1, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{P}$ mixture of $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, and heating it in a platinum crucible at a rate of

Table 3-1. Crystal Data

| Crystal | $\mathrm{v}^{+4} \mathrm{OP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{v}^{+4} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{v}^{+3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | $\left(\mathrm{V}^{+4} 0\right)_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{v}^{+5} \mathrm{AsO}_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Appearance | blue rectangular plates - max 3mm long, 0.3 mm thick | blue rods with diameters up to 0.2 mm , lengths up to 1.5 mm | green rods with diameters up to 0.5 mm , lengths up to 3 mm | green, rhombic roughly equidimensional up to 1 mm in length | yellow, mica-like layers of indefinite length, max. thickness 0.05 mm |
| Crystal used for Intensity Measurements | ground sphere <br> radius 0.125 mm | ground sphere radius 0.1 mm | ground sphere radius 0.1 mm | ground sphere radius 0.15 mm | rod length 0.12 mm width 0.05 mm |
| Crystal System | tetragonal | tetragonal | monoclinic | orthorhombic | monoclinic |
| ```Lattice Parameters a(A) b (A) c(A) \beta(or \gamma)( (} Z``` | $8.723(1)$ $8.151(1)$ 4 | $10.960(1)$ $4.2529(4)$ 4 | $\begin{gathered} 10.615(2) \\ 19.095(4) \\ 9.432(1) \\ \beta=97.94(1) \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | $9.571(4)$ $7.728(5)$ $16.568(6)$ 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.35(3) \\ & 6.32(3) \\ & 8.256(5) \\ & \gamma \simeq 90(1) \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| Systematic Extinctions | hkO, $h+k \neq 2 n$ <br> Oke, $\ell \neq 2 n$ <br> hhe, $\ell \neq 2 n$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Okl, } k+\ell \neq 2 n \\ & \text { h0l, } h+\ell \neq 2 n \\ & 00 \ell, \ell \neq 4 n \\ & h+k+\ell \neq 2 n \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 k \ell, k \neq 2 n \\ & h 0 \ell, \ell \neq 2 n \\ & h+k+\ell \neq 2 n \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Okl, } & k \neq 2 n \\ \text { hol }, & \ell \neq 2 n \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & h k 0, \quad h+k \neq 2 n \\ & 00 \ell, \ell \neq 2 n \end{aligned}$ |
| Possible Space Groups | P4/ncc | $142 d$ or 14 , md | le or 12/c | Pbcm or $\mathrm{Pbc}^{2} 1$ | $P 21 / n$ <br> (c unique) 市 |

table 3-1. cont.

$50^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{hr}$. to about $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After two hours, the product was removed from the furnace, allowed to cool and ground to a fine powder. This mixture was placed in a platinum dish and heated to $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight in a nitrogen atmosphere. The temperature was then raised to $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (i.e. above the melting point of $(V)_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ ), kept there for about an hour and then lowered at a rate of $6 \% \mathrm{hr}$. to $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The furnace was shut off at this point and the crystals allowed to cool to room temperature at which time the nitrogen atmosphere was removed. The resulting product consisted of a mixture of glass and (VO) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ crystals. A roughly equidimensional crystal was ground to a sphere and used for x-ray intensity measurements. (c) Preparation of $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ (and $V \mathrm{VAs}_{x} \mathrm{P}_{1-x_{5}} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ )

Equimolar amounts of $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ were weighed and ground together. This sample was heated to about $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for several hours and then allowed to cool. The ground mixture was heated in a platinum crucible to $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then allowed to cool through the melting point of $V A s O_{5}$, estimated by comparison with $\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ to be about $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, at a rate of $5^{\circ} / \mathrm{hr}$. There was no sample in the crucible when cooled, and investigation showed that the material volatilized before melting. A sample was prepared the same way as before but sealed under air in a quartz tube before heating to $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Air was kept in the tube in an effort to prevent possible reduction of the vanadium, as occurs for vanadium-phosphorus oxide mixes in vacuo. The sample was cooled at a rate of $5^{\circ} / \mathrm{hr}$. to $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after which the furnace was switched off and the sample allowed to cool by itself to room temperature. The resulting crystals existed in thin, mica-like sheets. It was not possible to grind a crystal to a sphere since the sheets were too thin, so a rod was used for $x$-ray intensity measurements.

Crystals of VAs ${ }_{x} P_{1-x^{0}}{ }_{5}$ were grown in a quartz tube under air as above using samples prepared from the appropriate amounts of $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, $\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$. No crystals suitable for single-crystal work were prepared but powder patterns were taken of these samples and showed the structures to be similar to, if not the same as, that of $\alpha-V \mathrm{PO}_{5}$. § 3.2 Measurement of X-Ray Diffraction Intensities

For each crystal, preliminary photographs (precession or Weissenberg) were taken to determine the lattice parameters and possible space groups (through the use of the International Tables, vol. $1^{78}$ ) from the diffraction symmetry. The crystal was then centred on an automatic diffractometer and accurate lattice parameters were determined by least squares fitting to 15 reflections accurately centred at both positive and negative $2 \theta$ values, with the average taken to be the diffraction angle.

The uncorrected intensity measurements were corrected for background, Lorentz, polarization and absorption effects. Only intensities with values greater than the background were considered. Spherical absorption corrections (International Tables, Vol. $11^{74}$ ) were applied to all intensity measurements except those for $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$. The latter had cylindrical ${ }^{74}$ absorption corrections applied. Standard deviations were estimated automatically by the computer based on the counting statistics of the intensity measurements. The intensities of three standard reflections were measured every fifty reflections in order to make sure that the crystal was still in its proper position and that the intensity of the x-ray source was constant. After all corrections were applied, equivalent reflections were averaged, and the square roots of the intensities were taken in order to give the absolute value of the structure factors.

## §3.3 Solution of Crystal Structures

Refinement of atomic and thermal coordinates was carried out using full-matrix least-squares methods for all crystals. A secondary extinction parameter, as suggested by Zachariasen ${ }^{72}$ and Larson, ${ }^{73}$ was refined for each crystal. Atomic scattering factors for free atoms were obtained from Cromer and Mann ${ }^{67}$. Unless otherwise indicated, substitutional and positional disorder was treated by refining the parameters (co-ordinates and occupation) for an average cell. I.e. only the first term in equation $2-22$ is considered significant.

## CHAPTER 4

The Crystal Structure and Substitutional Disorder of $\mathrm{VOP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$

## §4.1 Solution of the Crystal Structure

Two isostructural crystals of $\mathrm{VOP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$ were studied. The first had lattice parameters $a=8.723(1) \AA, c=8.151(1) \AA$ and was found together with other crystalline phases grown from a $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ melt in an evacuated silica tube. The second crystal, found at the surface of a $V-P$ oxide glass prepared in an evacuated silica tube, had lattice parameters of $a=8.733(2) \AA$ and $c=8.185(2) \AA$. The structure was solved for crystal 1 , and the final atomic and thermal co-ordinates obtained were used as starting parameters in the least-squares refinement for crystal 11.

From the systematic extinctions, (hk0, h+kキ2n; Okl, $\ell \neq 2 n ; h h \ell$, $\ell \neq 2 n$ ) the space group was uniquely determined to be $P 4 / n c c$. Since general positions in this space group are sixteen-fold, and there are only 4,8 and 4 atoms of $V, P$, and Si respectively in a unit cell, these atoms must lie on special positions of 4,8 and 4 -fold symmetry respectively. From the Patterson function, a peak at $(0.36,0.36,0)$ was assigned to the distance between two phosphorus atoms at positions $x, x, \frac{1}{4}$ and $-x,-x, \frac{1}{4}$ with $x=0.18$. A peak at $(0.32,-0.18,0.10)$, determined to be the $P-V$ vector, placed $V$ at $\frac{1}{2}, 0,0.35$, and thus $S i$ had to be at the origin as verified by the Patterson map. The remaining oxygen atoms were found from a difference Fourier map. All coordinates were refined using full-matrix leastsquares methods. Anisotropic thermal coordinates were refined after the positional coordinates stopped changing significantly.

The refined coordinates for crystal II were not much different from those for crystal 1 , but the $\mathrm{Si}-0$ distance was found to be slightly larger. This suggested vanadium was substituting at the silicon site, and the occupation number of silicon was varied in the least-squares refinement of both crystals to allow for some substitution of vanadium. No substitution was indicated for crystal 1, but the refinement for crystal 11 showed $5 \%$ substitution of $V$ for Si . The substituted vanadium is most likely to be $\mathrm{V}^{+4}$ (although this oxidation state is not normally found tetrahedrally coordinated) or $\mathrm{V}^{+5}$. The latter would require charge compensation at the other sites, probably $V^{+3}$ substituted at the $V^{+4}$ site or $\mathrm{Si}^{+4}$ substituted at the $\mathrm{P}^{+5}$ site. None of the above substitutions could be substantiated by refinement of the occupation of $V$ and $P$, since the scattering factors for Si and P and for $\mathrm{V}^{+4}$ and $\mathrm{V}^{+3}$ are not sufficiently different to distinguish such small amounts of substitution.

Weighting schemes for both crystal refinements were chosen so that $\left\langle W \Delta F^{2}\right\rangle$ was essentially independent of $\left\langle F_{0}>\right.$. The final $R$-factors were $R=0.032$ and $R_{W}=0.026\left(W=\left(0.62-0.0175|F|+0.000315|F|^{2}+775(\sigma / F)^{2}\right)^{-1}\right)$ for crystal 1 and $R=0.048$ and $R_{w}=0.038\left(w=\left(1.26-0.034|F|+0.0007|F|^{2}\right.\right.$ $\left.+800(\sigma / F)^{2}\right)^{-1}$ ) for crystal II. Final coordinates are listed in table 4-1 (crystal I) and table 4-2 (crystal 11). \$4.2 Description of the Structure

Like $\alpha-V \mathrm{PO}_{5}{ }^{11}$ and the isostructural $\alpha-V \mathrm{SO}_{5}{ }^{79}$, the structure consists of chains of $\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ octahedra along four-fold axes which are bridged to neighbouring chains by corner-sharing $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ tetrahedra (fig. 4-1 and 4-2). The vanadium atom is displaced $0.37 \AA$ from the equatorial plane of the $\mathrm{V}^{+4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ octahedron, thereby forming a short axial (vanadyl) and a long axial

Table 4-1. Positional and thermal coordinates of $\mathrm{VOP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$ (crystal 1). Values for the thermal coordinates are multiplied by $10^{4}$. Standard deviations are given within parentheses.

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $+U_{11}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $U_{22}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $U_{33}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $U_{12}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $U_{13}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $U_{23}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $V$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $0.35298(7)$ | $60(3)$ | $U_{11}$ | $92(2)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $P$ | $0.17901(9)$ | $\times$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $61(3)$ | $U_{11}$ | $85(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $0(3)$ | $0(3)$ |
| Si | 0 | 0 | 0 | $80(4)$ | $U_{11}$ | $68(3)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $0(1)$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $0.5480(3)$ | $134(12)$ | $U_{11}$ | $113(9)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $0(2)$ | $0.6523(2)$ | $0.1620(2)$ | $0.3077(2)$ | $89(6)$ | $74(5)$ | $174(5)$ | $-23(4)$ | $-8(5)$ | $46(5)$ |
| $0(3)$ | $0.6390(2)$ | $0.4392(2)$ | $0.3889(2)$ | $105(5)$ | $126(5)$ | $113(5)$ | $3(4)$ | $27(5)$ | $-33(5)$ |

$\dagger$ The $U_{i j}$ and $\beta_{i j}$ are related by $\beta_{i j}=2 \pi^{2} b_{i} b_{j} U_{i j}$ where the $b_{i}$ are the magnitudes of the corresponding
reciproal latice vectors.

Table 4-2. Positional and thermal coordinates of VOP $\mathrm{SiO}_{8}$ (crystal 11 ). Values for the thermal coordinates are multiplied by $10^{4}$. Standard deviations are given within parentheses.

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{11}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $U_{22}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $U_{33}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $U_{12}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $U_{13}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $U_{23}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $V$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $0.3527(1)$ | $65(5)$ | $U_{11}$ | $110(4)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $P$ | $0.1795(1)$ | $\times$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $62(5)$ | $U_{11}$ | $87(4)$ | $1(3)$ | $0(3)$ | $0(3)$ |
| Si | 0 | 0 | 0 | $79(9)$ | $U_{11}$ | $62(6)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $0(1)$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $0.5464(5)$ | $127(18)$ | $U_{11}$ | $117(14)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $0(2)$ | $0.6529(2)$ | $0.1614(2)$ | $0.3068(2)$ | $102(10)$ | $77(9)$ | $178(8)$ | $-27(6)$ | $-10(7)$ | $41(7)$ |
| $0(3)$ | $0.6395(2)$ | $0.4386(2)$ | $0.3886(2)$ | $107(8)$ | $133(9)$ | $113(7)$ | $3(7)$ | $26(7)$ | $-35(7)$ |



Figure 4-1. A half-cell projection of the structure of $\mathrm{VOP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$. The remainder of the cell can be generated by 2 c gilde plane parallel to the face diagonal.


Figure 4-2. The structure of $V O P_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$ as projected on the $1 \overline{10}$ plane.
$V-0$ bond. The oxygens around $V^{+4}$ form an almost regular octahedron with $0-0$ distances of 2.743. 2.757 and $2.871 \AA$ (equatorial-equatorial, short axial-equatorial, long axial-equatorial distances respectively)

Linked $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ groups lie in planes perpendicular to $\underline{c}$. These planes are joined by the axial vanadyl bonds as well as $\mathrm{SiO}_{4}$ tetrahedra which join adjacent planes by corner-sharing with two phosphate groups in each plane (fig. 4-2). This is in contrast to $\alpha-\mathrm{VPO} 0_{5}$ where distinct layers exist, held together only by the long and short axial bonds.

The phosphate group has $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ symmetry with the longer bonds involving the $\mathrm{P}-0-\mathrm{Si}$ bridge and the shorter ones the $\mathrm{P}-0-\mathrm{V}$ bridge. Baur's $\mathrm{s}^{94}$, bond order distance relationships and Brown's ${ }^{16}$ bond-valences predict this trend but predict differences of 0.036 and $0.146 \AA$ respectively compared with the actual value of $0.088 \AA$.

The $\mathrm{SiO}_{4}$ group forms an almost perfect tetrahedron with an si-0 bond-length in the range normally reported for silicon containing minerals ${ }^{80}$. Compounds of this type of structure with phosphorus in the silicon position are not expected to occur since phosphate groups are not known to link four other phosphate groups in this fashion.

Rice, et al. ${ }^{46}$ independently reported essentially the same structure for $\mathrm{VOP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$. Their bond lengths and angles and those of crystal 1 and crystal II do not differ significantly (table 4-3). §4.3 Substitutional Disorder

Substitutional disorder is one possible explanation for the discrepancy in the lattice parameters shown in table 4-4. The two crystals in this work were compared with respect to composition (from electron

Table 4-3. Bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ and distances ( $\AA$ ) for $V O P_{2} S_{x} V_{1-x} 0_{8}$. Estimated standard deviations are indicated in parentheses.
$\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ group

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { crystal } 1 & \text { crystal } 11 \\
x=1.00(1) & x=0.95(1)
\end{array}
$$

Rice, et al ${ }^{46}$.
bond

| $V-0(1)^{i}$ | $1.590(3)$ | $1.585(4)$ | $1.591(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $V-0(1)^{i i}$ | $2.486(3)$ | $2.508(4)$ | $2.492(1)$ |
| $V-0(2)^{i}$ | $\times 4$ | $1.974(2)$ | $1.978(2)$ |

angle

| $0(1)^{i}-V-0(2)^{i}$ | $100.77(7)$ | $100.97(7)$ | $100.81(3)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0(2)^{i}-V-0(2)^{i} i \mathrm{i}$ | $88.00(8)$ | $87.93(8)$ | $87.98(2)$ |
| $0(2)^{i}-V-0(2)^{i v}$ | $158.45(7)$ | $158.07(9)$ | $158.37(7)$ |
| SiO $_{4}$ group |  |  |  |
| bond |  |  |  |

Si-0(3) $\quad \mathrm{x} \quad 1.604(2)$
$1.614(2) \quad 1.610(1)$
angle
$0(3)^{v}-5 i-0(3)^{v i i}$
$111.23(9)$
111.17(11)
111.58(7)
$0(3)^{v}-5 i-0(3)^{v i}$
$108.60(9)$
108.63(11)
108.43(4)
$\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ group
bond

| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(2)^{\mathrm{V}}$ ( x 2 | 1.483(2) | 1.483(2) | 1.492 (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}-0(3)^{\text {V }}$ ( ${ }^{2}$ | 1.571 (2) | 1.572 (2) | 1.572(1) |
| angle |  |  |  |
| $0(2)^{\boldsymbol{i} i}-\mathrm{P}-0(2)^{\mathrm{V}}$ | 113.23(9) | 113.07(12) | 113.04(8) |
| $O(2)^{i \boldsymbol{i}}-\mathrm{P}-0(3)^{i \boldsymbol{i}}$ | 110.52(9) | 110.69(10) | 110.48 (5) |
| $0(2)^{i i i}-p-0(3)^{v}$ | 109.47 (9) | 109.35(12) | 109.44 (5) |
| $0(3)^{i i}{ }^{\text {i }}-\mathrm{P}-0(3)^{v}$ | 103.17(9) | 103.25(11) | 103.56(8) |

Table 4-3 cont.
angles at bridging oxygens

| angle | Crystal I | Crystal II | Rice, et al. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $V-0(2)-P$ | $146.56(8)$ | $146.40(10)$ | $146.36(6)$ |
| P-0(3)-Si | $142.36(8)$ | $142.50(10)$ | $142.21(6)$ |

Symmetry code

| i) | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |  | iv) | $-x$ | $-y$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ii) | $-x$ | $y$ | $\frac{1}{2}+z$ |  | $z$ |  |  |
| iii) | $\frac{1}{2}-y$ | $\frac{1}{2}+x$ | $z$ |  | v) | $\frac{1}{2}+x$ | $\frac{1}{2}-y$ |
|  |  |  | vi) | $\frac{1}{2}-z$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | vii) | $\frac{1}{2}-y$ | $\frac{1}{2}-x$ | $\frac{1}{2}-x$ | $\frac{1}{2}+z$ |
|  |  |  | $\frac{1}{2}-z$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 4-4. Lattice parameters for $\operatorname{VOP}_{2} \mathrm{Si}_{x} \mathrm{~V}_{1-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{O}_{8}$

| a | c | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.723(1) | 8.151 (1) | This work, crystal I for $x=1.00(1)$ |
| 8.733(2) | 8.185 (3) | This work, crystal II for $x=0.95(1)$ |
| 8.697 (8) | 8.119(8) | Tofield, et al. 77 from powder pattern |
| $8.747(2)$ | 8.167(2) | Rice, et al..$^{46}$ from single crystal |

microprobe analysis), lattice parameters and bond lengths. The electron microprobe analysis gave molar ratios of $\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{Si}: \mathrm{P}=1.0(1): 1.0(1): 2.0$ for crystal 1 and ratios of $V: S i: P=1.05(10): 0.95(9): 2.0$ for crystal 11. The lattice parameters for the second crystal are larger as are the $\mathrm{Si}-0$ bond-lengths (table 4-3). This evidence, together with the least-squares refinement of the occupation number of the silicon for crystal 11 , suggests vanadium substitution at the silicon site. The electron microprobe data alone are inconclusive, but the additional information available leads one to suggest that the errors in these data may perhaps be smaller than estimated and the differences may be significant.

Crystals of the form VOP $x_{x} \mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{y}}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{z}_{8}{ }_{8}$ can probably be prepared with various values of $x, y$ and $z$. However, the solid solution behaviour of this system remains to be investigated; the presently available data merely suggest that substitution and/or vacancy formation is possible.

## CHAPTER 5

Crystal Structure and Disorder in VO( $\left.\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$
§5.1 Solution of Structure
The structure was determined for two isostructural tetragonal crystals. Crystal I was prepared from $\mathrm{VSO}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (p.45) and has cell parameters $a=10.960(1) \AA, c=4.253(1) \AA$. Crystal 11 , grown in a vanadium rich atmosphere ( $p .44$ ) has cell parameters $a=10.975$ (3) $\AA, c=4.253(1) \AA$. The structure was first solved for crystal 1 , and the coordinates obtained were used as starting coordinates in the least-squares refinement for crystal 11.

The space group was established as either 141 md or 142d from the systematic extinctions (0kl, $k+\ell \neq 2 n$; h0l, $h+\ell \neq 2 n ; 00 \ell, \ell \neq 4 n$; $h+k+\ell \neq 2 n$ ). Since there are only four vanadium atoms per unit cell, they must necessarily lie on the $4_{1}$ or $\overline{4}$ axes for the respective space groups. From the Patterson function, the phosphorus atom can be placed ( $\frac{1}{4}, 0.16,0$ ) from $V$, and an oxygen atom (denoted $O(V)$ ), bonded axially to $V$, at a point ( $0,0,0.38$ ) away. This gives the following trial models:

A: for $14, \mathrm{md}, \quad V$ at $0,0,0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P \text { at } 0.25,0.16,0.0 \\
& 0(V) \text { at } 0,0,0.38 \text { or } 0,0,-0.38
\end{aligned}
$$

The phosphorus position is sixteen-fold and, since there are only eight phosphorus atoms, these atoms will have to be disordered, each position having half occupancy.

B: for $142 \mathrm{~d}, \quad V$ at $0,0,0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P \text { at } \frac{1}{4}, 0.16,0 \\
& O(V) \text { at } 0,0,0.38
\end{aligned}
$$

Again the phosphorus has to be disordered as for model $A$, and so does the $O(V)$ atom.

C: for 142d, an alternative model is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V \text { at } 0,0,0.12 \\
& P \text { at } \frac{1}{4}, 0.16,-1 / 8 \\
& O(V) \text { at } 0,0,0.5
\end{aligned}
$$

This alternative will require the $V$ and $O(V)$ atoms to be disordered, occupying with half-occupancy positions at $0,0, \pm z$. The phosphorus atom here will not be disordered since it sits on an 8-fold special position.

All the foregoing models were tried. The remaining oxygen atoms were found from difference maps, and were disordered for each model where the phosphorus positions were disordered. The completely refined (by full-matrix least-squares) models gave R-factors of 0.23 , 0.21 and 0.097 for models $A, B$ and $C$ respectively. Model $C$ was therefore assumed to be the correct one. Since the two disordered positions for $O(V)$ were $\frac{1}{4} \AA$ or less apart, only the isotropic thermal coordinate was refined for this atom, and the position was determined by successively placing the atom at $z=0.47,0.475,0.48,0.485,0.49$ and 0.495 , and picking the position which yielded the lowest R-factor. Since the R-factor for model C was still high, refinement of this model was tried in each of the sub-groups of $142 \mathrm{~d}(14, \mathrm{Fdd}$, and $12,2,2$, ). The first sub-group would require the glide-plane extinctions to be accidental, while the last two sub-groups, which are orthorhombic, would require the equivalence
of $\underline{a}$ and $\underline{b}$ to be accidental. None of these lower symmetry sub-groups improved the agreement significantly.

A final difference map gave residual peaks at $0.16, \frac{1}{4},-1 / 8$ and its symmetry related points. This, together with the quite high R-factors, suggests further disordering. Possible models for this disordering will be discussed later but, when they were refined, no significant improvement was noted in the agreement. Final coordinates for crystal I are in table 5-1, and for crystal 11 in table 5-2.

Since the second crystal has a larger value for $a$, and the $P-0(2)$ bond length is $0.02 \AA$ larger than that for the first crystal (table 5-3), the possibility of vanadium substitution at the phosphorus site was investigated. The occupation for the phosphorus atom in both crystals was varied in the least-squares refinement to allow for vanadium substitution. The refinement showed no significant change for crystal 1 , and $6 \%$ substitution of $V$ for $P$ in crystal 11 . This amount is suggestive, but far from conclusive, of substitution of $V$ for $P$ in crystal ll. The occupational refinement is probably not very accurate since the phosphate chain may be disordered, thereby making the occupation of any individual phosphorus position less than one.

The $0,2,2$ reflection had a large difference between $F_{o}$ and $F_{c}$ and, since it was'by far the strongest reflection, it was assumed to be greatly affected by extinction and was given zero weight in the refinement for both crystals. A weighting scheme ((19.9-0.906|F|+0.0154|F| ${ }^{2}$ $\left.+1000(\sigma / F)^{2}\right)^{-1}$ ) was used with crystal 1 to give final $R=0.097$ and $R_{w}=0.114$. The $R$-values for crystal II are $R=0.12$ and $R_{w}=0.14$ ( $w=(15.5$ $\left.\left.-0.15|F|+0.0162|F|^{2}+1000(\sigma / F)^{2}\right)^{-1}\right)$.

Table 5-1. Positional and thermal coordinates for $\mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (crystal 1). Values for the thermal coordinates are multiplied by $10^{4}$. Estimated standard deviations are in parentheses.

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{11}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $U_{22}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $U_{33}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $U_{12}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $U_{13}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $U_{23}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $V$ | 0 | 0 | $0.0960(20)$ | $130(20)$ | $110(20)$ | $130(20)$ | $20(20)$ | 0 | 0 |  |
| $P$ | $1 / 4$ | $0.1614(3)$ | $-1 / 8$ | $100(20)$ | $70(20)$ | $120(20)$ | 0 | $40(20)$ | 0 |  |
| $0(1)$ | $0.1901(9)$ | $1 / 4$ | $5 / 8$ | $110(40)$ | $150(40)$ | $160(40)$ | 0 | 0 | $10(40)$ |  |
| $0(2)$ | $0.1486(7)$ | $0.0934(7)$ | $0.0300(20)$ | $170(30)$ | $170(30)$ | $250(40)$ | $-80(30)$ | $-60(30)$ | $10(30)$ |  |
| $0(V)$ | 0 | 0 | $0.4750(50)$ | $140(30)^{\dagger}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]Table 5-2. Positional and thermal coordinates for $V 0\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (crystal 11 ). Values for the thermal coordinates are multiplied by $10^{3}$. Estimated standard deviations are in parentheses.

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{11}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $U_{22}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $U_{33}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $U_{12}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $U_{13}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $U_{23}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $V$ | 0 | 0 | $0.089(2)$ | $17(3)$ | $11(3)$ | $12(2)$ | $7(2)$ | 0 | 0 |
| $0(1)$ | $0.190(1)$ | $1 / 4$ | $5161(1)$ | $-1 / 8$ | $11(2)$ | $9(2)$ | $13(2)$ | 0 | $7(2)$ |
| $0(2)$ | $0.149(1)$ | $0.093(1)$ | $0.039(3)$ | $22(5)$ | $20(5)$ | $22(5)$ | $-4(4)$ | $-7(4)$ | 0 |
| $0(V)$ | 0 | 0 | $0.475(5)$ | $18(5)^{\dagger}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]Table 5-3. Bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ and distances $(\AA)$ for the two crystals of $\mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$. Estimated standard deviations are indicated in parentheses.
$\xrightarrow{V 0_{6} \text { group }}$

| bond | crystal I | crystal II | angle | crystal I | crystal II |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $V-0(V)$ | $1.62(1)$ | $1.64(1)$ | $0(V)-V-0(V)^{i}$ | 180 | 180 |
| $V-0(V)^{i}$ | $2.63(1)$ | $2.61(1)$ | $0(V)-V-0(2)$ | $98.3(3)$ | $96.4(4)$ |
| $V-0(2) \times 2$ | $1.944(8)$ | $1.94(1)$ | $0(V)-V-0(2)^{i}$ | $105.6(3)$ | $105.7(4)$ |
| $V-0(2)^{i} \times 2$ | $1.997(8)$ | $2.00(1)$ | $0(2)-V-0(2)^{i}$ | $87.8(3)$ | $88.3(5)$ |
|  |  |  | $0(2)-V-0(2)^{i i}$ | $163.5(5)$ | $167.2(7)$ |
|  |  | $0(2)^{i}-V-0(2)^{i i i}$ | $148.8(5)$ | $148.5(6)$ |  |

$\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ group

bridging angles

| angle | crystal I | crystal II |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $V-0(2)-P$ | $162.0(4)$ | $158.9(6)$ |
| $V-0(2)^{V i}-P^{V i}$ | $138.2(4)$ | $136.8(6)$ |
| $P-0(1)-P$ | $131.0(4)$ | $130.9(5)$ |

## Symmetry operations

i) $x \quad-y \quad-z$
iv) $\frac{1}{2}-x$ y $3 / 4-z$
ii) $-x \quad-y \quad z$
v) $\frac{1}{2}-x \quad \frac{1}{2}-y \frac{1}{2}+z$
$\mathbf{i i} i)-x \quad y \quad-z$
vi) $-y \quad x \quad-z$

Like $V O P_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$ and $\alpha-V \mathrm{VO}_{5}$, the vanadium atom has one long and one short axial bond directed along a 4 -fold axis. These bonds join adjacent $\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ groups to form chains in the $\underline{c}$ direction. The $\mathrm{V}^{+4}$ atom is displaced by about $0.4 \AA$ along the 4 axis from the centre of the $\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ octahedron. Unlike $\mathrm{VOP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$ and $\alpha-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$, the equatorial oxygen atoms do not lie in a plane. 4 symmetry places two diagonally opposite oxygen atoms about $1 / 8 \AA$ above the $z=0$ plane, and the other two about $1 / 8 \AA$ below this plane. Neighbouring $V_{6}$ chains are parallel, but corresponding octahedra are displaced by $+\frac{1}{4}$ ć or $-\frac{1}{4}$ c from neighbouring groups.

The $\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ chains are corner-shared with phosphate tetrahedra which are linked together to make up infinite $\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{\infty}$ metaphosphate chains. Each of the metaphosphate chains links four $\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ chains (figs. 5-1 and $5-2$ ). The phosphate groups within a chain are related by a 2 , axis in the (001) direction at $\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, z\right)$. The chains are related to their four neighbouring chains by a 4 axis at the origin parallel to $c$. The two distinct $P-O(-V)$ and $P-O(-P)$ bond lengths of $1.492(9)$ and $1.582(5)$ have a difference of $0.090 \AA$ falling between the values predicted by Brown ${ }^{16}$ ( $0.146 \AA$ ) and Baur ${ }^{94}(0.065 \AA$ ). A complete table of bond-lengths and angles is given in table 5-3.

This structure is different from that of any of the three known polymorphs of $\mathrm{SiP}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{81,82,83}$ even though stoichiometrically the two structures are similar $\left(\mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.$ could be written as $\left.V P_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right)$. All the polymorphs of $\mathrm{SiP}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ consist of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ groups joined by $\mathrm{SiO}_{6}$ octahedra. Each octahedron shares corners with six $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ groups. Structures of the form $\mathrm{MP}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ (isostructural to a cubic polymorph of $\mathrm{SiP}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ according to powder


Figure 5-1. The crystal structure of $V O\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ projected down the $\frac{c}{}$ axis. The vanadium atoms are disordered being located approximately $\pm 0.096$ above and below the $z$-values indicated on the diagram. The positions of residual density are indicated by ( $x$ ).


Figure 5-2. The crystal structure of $\mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ projected along the b-axis The two possible positions of the vanadium atom are indicated as $V$ and $V_{d}$ within one of the octahedra.
patterns) also exist for $M=\mathrm{Si}^{81}, \mathrm{Ge}^{84}, \mathrm{Sn}^{85}, \mathrm{Zr}^{86}, \mathrm{~Pb}, \mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Hf}$, Ce and $U^{87,88}$. It is likely that the difference in structures arises from the characteristic vanadyl and long axial $\mathrm{V}-0$ bonds which occur in all known structures containing $\mathrm{V}^{+4}$, but are unknown for most of the other cations. The short $V-0$ bond has a bond valence of 1.6 , and it would be difficult for a $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ group to share such an oxygen atom. §5.3 Substitutional Disorder

Bordes and Courtine ${ }^{40}$ have reported the preparation of powders all having the same powder pattern and having the composition vo ( $\left.\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{V}_{1-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)_{2}$ over the range $1 \leq x \leq 0.9$. It is reasonable to assume that such crystals are substitutionally disordered with $\mathrm{V}^{+5}$ at the phosphorus site. The crystals studied in this thesis fall within the range found by Bordes and Courtine according to the least-squares refinement of occupations. Other evidence for either crystal being substitutionally disordered is not very good. Electron microprobe analysis gave a value of $x=1.0(1)$ for crystal $\mid$ and $x=0.9(1)$ for crystal 11 . The differences in bond lengths, as shown in table 5-3, are also within the standard error. It is possible that the errors in both structures are over-estimated, but no real conclusion can be drawn as to the extent of substitutional disordering.
§5.4 Positional Disorder
The vanadium atoms with their corresponding vanadyl oxygens can exist in one of two positions as determined from the refinement. The ${ }^{V} 0_{6}$ chains can consequently have the vanadyl bonds pointing either along $\underline{c}$ or $-\underline{c}$. Such disordering would produce diffuse lines through the Bragg reflections parallel to $\underline{a}^{*}$ and $\underline{b}^{*}$. Two-week-long exposures of
the hOl and Okl photographs showed such diffuse lines as illustrated schematically in figure 5-3. They are very weak since only a change in the vanadium atom position contributes significantly to the disordering; the change of position for $O(V)$ being of negligible magnitude. The diffuse streaks appear to be of uniform intensity indicating the disorder is perfectly random. Thus, any one $\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ chain can have the vanadyl bonds point in the $+\underset{c}{ }$ or $-\underline{c}$ direction, and its neighbouring chains all have an equal probability of being oriented in either direction.

The residual peaks found in the final difference map suggest that additional disorder may be present. Three possible models for such disordering were considered.
A. The structure is reflected in the $1 \overline{1} 0$ plane. This would introduce additional disordered vanadium atoms at the points $0, \frac{1}{2}, 3 / 4 \pm 0.096$ and $\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{4} \pm 0.096$.
B. The structure is rotated about $\left( \pm \frac{1}{4}, \pm \frac{1}{4}, z\right)$. This would introduce vanadium atoms displaced by $-\frac{1}{4}$ in $z$ from the original positions.
C. The metaphosphate chains undergo a 4 rotation at ( $\pm \frac{1}{4}, \pm \frac{1}{4}, z$ ). This would require no further disordering of the vanadium positions.

All three of these models were tested as follows. The atoms were assumed to partially occupy the positions in the basic structure and partially the positions in the disordered structure in such a way that the total occupation is equal to the number of atoms in the chemical formula. A least-squares refinement of the occupations (keeping the total occupation constant) using observed and calculated structure factors was then carried out. All such refinements invariably increased the R-factor. It was then felt that perhaps the domain size was large enough to make
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Figure 5-3. A schematic representation of the hol projection of $\mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ showing the diffuse streaks going through the Bragg diffraction spots. The intensity of the streaks has been greatly exaggerated.
the structure factors of the basic and disordered structures no longer additive. A least-squares refinement was then carried out on the parameter $\alpha$ and the occupation, $x$, in $l_{o b s}=\left|F_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|x F_{2}\right|^{2}+\alpha\left(x F_{1} F_{2}^{*}+x F_{1}^{*} F_{2}\right)$ where $F_{1}$ is the structure factor for the basic structure, $F_{2}$ is the structure factor for the disordered positions, $F_{i}^{*}$ is the complex conjugate of $F_{i}$, and $I_{\text {obs }}$ is the observed intensity. The absolute values of $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are nearly the same, but their phases differ. No convergence was found for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ which is the range possible for $\alpha$ (see p.32). The domain size, therefore, does not seem to be a factor preventing a suitable solution of the disordering. One of the problems with the foregoing models is that a reorientation of the $\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ groups is required, which is large enough to introduce considerable strain. Such strain could be avoided if the disorder represents a cooperative reorientation within a complete plane perpendicular to $\mathbf{c}$. Such a model would produce streaks along $\underline{c}^{*}$ which were not observed. Another means of minimizing such a strain is the introduction of an average $V_{6}$ orientation at the boundaries between two structural positions. E.g. if for one structure the bridging $V-0-P$ oxygen is located at $(0.15,0.09,0.03)$ and for the disordered structure it is located at $(0.09,0.15,0.03)$ as in model $C$, then the boundary bridging oxygens could be located at ( $0.12,0.12,0.03$ ) and the corresponding points determined by the 4 operation. This would keep the geometry of the $V_{6}$ group reasonable and would introduce some strain in the metaphosphate chain which can be easily compensated for by a slight rotation. If the domains are small enough, boundary effects can be considerable, and the models tested will not work unless all these effects have been considered. An attempt was made to see if the disordering would disappear at
lower temperatures. hkl reflections were compared at room temperature and at $-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No significant changes in intensities were observed.

## CHAPTER 6

The Crystal Structure and Thermal Behaviour of $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$

## §6.1 Solution of the Crystal Structure

The structure determination was begun by Dr. F.C. Hawthorne with a unit cell of $a=10.615 \AA, b=6.365 \AA, c=9.432 \AA, \beta=97.9^{\circ}$ and space group is 1 c or $12 / \mathrm{c}$. He solved the structure in $12 / \mathrm{c}$ and refined it to an R-factor of about 20\% from the Patterson function. Some of the bondlengths and angles in his solution were unsatisfactory.

Subsequently, I took a week-long-exposure precession photograph of $V\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$ which showed that the b-axis is triple that of Hawthorne, but the possible space groups remain the same. Only $k=3 n$ reflections have strong intensities. The structure must consist of three of Hawthorne's cells, with small structural variations from one to the next. This is only possible in the non-centrosymmetric space group Ic. Tofield, et al. ${ }^{45}$ also selected lc as the space group on the basis of second harmonic generation, and found the lattice parameters of the tripled cell.

A difference map from the $k=3 n$ reflections based on the atomic positions in the large cell (supercell) that correspond to those of Hawthorne's cell (subcell) failed to yield any structural variations from the substructure. The heavy atoms were displaced from the substructure positions by 0.01 in different directions, one at a time, and a leastsquares refinement was carried out varying only the coordinates in the third of the cell containing the atom for which the initial displacement was made. If the R-factor decreased, this displacement was allowed: if
it increased, another displacement was tried. This process was continued in conjunction with a lowering R-factor until all the positions were simultaneously varied, at which point the isotropic thermal coordinates were also included in the least squares refinement. Computer limitations prevented anisotropic thermal coordinates from being refined. Final coordinates are listed in table 6-1. Since there was no a priori reason for assuming any direction for the shifts from the average cell, the possibility of a false minimum in the least squares fit exists.. A $\beta^{89}$ synthesis, which is a Fourier sum of terms of the form ( $\left|F_{d}{ }^{2} /\left|F_{d}\right|\right) \exp \left(i \phi_{c}\right)$, where $F_{o}$ is the observed structure factor, $F_{c}$ the calculated structure factor, and $\phi_{c}$ the calculated phase angle, was calculated based on the vanadium and phosphorus atom positions. This synthesis is an electron density map which applies the weight $F_{o} / F_{c}$ to the structure factors. If $F_{c} \gg F_{0}$, at least some of the atomic co-ordinates which contribute significantly to the value for $F_{c}$ must be wrong. The weight, $F_{o} / F_{c}$, will be small, and hence peaks which would result from incorrectly placed atoms will be depressed. If $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}} \ll \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}$, unknown atomic positions must contribute significantly to $F_{c}$. The weight for such a structure factor will be large and peaks indicating unknown atom positions will therefore be enhanced. No solutions different from the one refined were found from the $\beta$ map. Recently, the structure of $\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$ has been determined ${ }^{90}$. It is isostructural with the model of $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$ proposed here, suggesting that this structure is correct.

The final $R$ and $R_{w}$ were 0.091 and 0.065 respectively ( $w=(46-0.53|F|$ $\left.+0.0023|F|^{2}+1000(\sigma / F)^{2}\right)^{-1}$ ). The agreement for only the $k \neq 3 n$ reflections was $R=0.134$ and $R_{W}=0.094$. The larger values are not unreasonable since

Table 6-1. Positional and thermal coordinates for $V\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$. Estimated standard deviations are indicated in parentheses.

| Atom | x | y | $z$ | $u\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $V(a)$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $0.0798(2)$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $0.0057(5)$ |
| $V(b)$ | 0.2529 (5) | $0.4115(2)$ | 0.2479 (6) | 0.0049 (5) |
| $V(c)$ | $0.2308(3)$ | 0.7549 (2) | 0.2631 (4) | 0.0056 (6) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 0.9756 (6) | 0.1442 (3) | $0.7657(7)$ | $0.0059(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 0.0156 (5) | $0.4803(2)$ | 0.7409 (6) | 0.0063 (8) |
| P(3) | 0.0027(5) | $0.8142(2)$ | 0.7476 (6) | $0.0043(7)$ |
| P(4) | 0.1026 (5) | $0.3018(2)$ | $0.4404(6)$ | 0.0051 (8) |
| P(5) | 0.0967 (5) | $0.6370(2)$ | 0.4420 (6) | 0.0050 (8) |
| P(6) | $0.1168(6)$ | 0.9681 (3) | $0.4363(7)$ | 0.0073(9) |
| $P(7)$ | 0.8791 (6) | $0.6965(2)$ | $0.5748(7)$ | $0.0056(9)$ |
| P(8) | 0.8809 (6) | $0.3629(2)$ | 0.5710 (6) | 0.0036 (8) |
| P(9) | 0.8961 (5) | 0.0267 (3) | $0.5561(6)$ | 0.0056 (8) |
| $0(a, 2)$ | $0.9033(10)$ | $0.5190(6)$ | $0.7784(13)$ | $0.0106(21)$ |
| $0(a, 3)$ | $0.0884(10)$ | 0.1321 (5) | $0.2112(12)$ | 0.0062 (19) |
| $0(a, 6)$ | $0.1501(12)$ | 0.9981 (6) | 0.2994 (14) | $0.0068(22)$ |
| O(a', $6^{\prime}$ ) | $0.2262(13)$ | 0.0544 (7) | $0.0417(16)$ | 0.0120 (26) |
| $0(a, 7)$ | $0.8502(11)$ | $0.6635(6)$ | 0.7091 (13) | $0.0092(20)$ |
| $0(a, 8)$ | $0.7808(10)$ | $0.6097(6)$ | $0.9585(13)$ | $0.0051(20)$ |
| $0(b, 2)$ | 0.1211 (11) | $0.4803(7)$ | 0.1862 (13) | $0.0114(22)$ |
| $0(b, 3)$ | $0.8865(12)$ | 0.8371 (7) | 0.8006 (15) | $0.0145(24)$ |
| $0(b, 4)$ | $0.1266(12)$ | $0.3577(6)$ | 0.3473 (15) | $0.0159(24)$ |

table 6-1 cont.

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0(b, 5)$ | $0.2044(11)$ | $0.3651(6)$ | $0.0631(13)$ | $0.0085(20)$ |
| $0(b, 9)$ | $0.8769(11)$ | $0.9686(6)$ | $0.6562(13)$ | $0.0049(19)$ |
| $0\left(b^{\prime}, 9^{\prime}\right)$ | $0.8011(11)$ | $0.9652(6)$ | $0.9295(13)$ | $0.0045(20)$ |
| $0(c, 1)$ | $0.0930(13)$ | $0.8251(7)$ | $0.2191(15)$ | $0.0112(24)$ |
| $0\left(c^{\prime}, 1^{\prime}\right)$ | $0.8775(11)$ | $0.1913(6)$ | $0.8121(13)$ | $0.0057(21)$ |
| $0(c, 4)$ | $0.2022(12)$ | $0.7099(7)$ | $0.0734(15)$ | $0.0130(24)$ |
| $0(c, 5)$ | $0.1070(10)$ | $0.6876(5)$ | $0.3255(12)$ | $0.0086(19)$ |
| $0(c, 7)$ | $0.7683(11)$ | $0.2840(6)$ | $0.9689(14)$ | $0.0083(21)$ |
| $0(c, 8)$ | $0.8414(10)$ | $0.3298(6)$ | $0.6975(13)$ | $0.0106(21)$ |
| $0(4,3)$ | $0.0835(10)$ | $0.2294(6)$ | $0.3723(12)$ | $0.0068(19)$ |
| $0(4,8)$ | $0.9695(10)$ | $0.6892(6)$ | $0.0056(13)$ | $0.0091(20)$ |
| $0(5,2)$ | $0.0776(10)$ | $0.5603(5)$ | $0.3814(11)$ | $0.0058(18)$ |
| $0(5,7)$ | $0.9679(11)$ | $0.3545(6)$ | $0.0103(14)$ | $0.0136(22)$ |
| $0(6,1)$ | $0.0125(13)$ | $0.9079(7)$ | $0.3906(16)$ | $0.0091(25)$ |
| $0(6,9)$ | $0.0360(11)$ | $0.9765(6)$ | $0.0181(13)$ | $0.0062(21)$ |
| $0(7,3)$ | $0.9673(11)$ | $0.7633(6)$ | $0.6137(13)$ | $0.0095(21)$ |
| $0(8,2)$ | $0.9770(12)$ | $0.4235(7)$ | $0.6178(15)$ | $0.0139(24)$ |
| $0(9,1)$ | $0.9072(12)$ | $0.0973(7)$ | $0.6453(15)$ | $0.0115(25)$ |
| 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |

these are the weaker reflections and have a smaller relative accuracy. \$6.2 Description of the Structure

The structure of the average cell is shown in figure 6-1. It consists of tetrahedral $\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{\infty}$ chains which zig-zag in the $\underline{c}$ direction. $\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ octahedral are found between these chains and are bridged to neighbouring ${ }^{V} 0_{6}$ groups in the a direction by a phosphate tetrahedron in each of two chains, and in the $\underline{c}$ direction by two phosphate groups in each of two chains. The structure differs from $\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$, and $\mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ in the lack of vanadyl bonds, and $\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ chains.

As can be seen from figure 6-2, the major differences between each third of the cell along b lie in the orientations of the polyhedra. There do not appear to be large differences between the corresponding bond lengths and angles for the polyhedra in the three parts of the cell (table 6-2).

The different types of bonds and angles are summarized in table 6-3. The $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(-\mathrm{P})$ bond lengths are consistently larger than the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(-\mathrm{V})$ bond lengths, the average difference of $0.10 \AA$ falling between the values predicted by Baur ${ }^{94}$ ( $0.08 \AA$ ) and Brown ${ }^{16}(0.15 \AA)$. The ( $\left.\mathrm{V}-\right)-0-\mathrm{P}-0(-\mathrm{P})$ angle is consistently the largest angle in all the $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ tetrahedra, and the angle $(P-) 0-P-0(-P)$ is consistently the smallest, although the ( $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}) 0-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(-\mathrm{V})$ angle of one phosphate group is sometimes smaller than the ( $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O} 0-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(-\mathrm{P}$ ) angle in another. The $V 0_{6}$ octahedra are close to being regular since the maximum difference between $V-0$ bonds in any one group is $0.07(2) \AA$, and the axial and equatorial angles at the vanadium atoms are close to $180^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ}$ respectively.

Table 6-4 gives the distances between corresponding atoms in


(b)


Figure 6-2. The environments about the vanadium atoms near $x, z=\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}$ for the three ranges (a) $0 \leq y \leq 1 / 3$, (b) $1 / 3 \leq y \leq 2 / 3$
(c) $2 / 3 \leq y \leq 1$.

Table 6-2. Bond Geometry in $V\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$. The oxygen atoms are listed sequentially counterclockwise as they appear in diagram 5-2. They are labelled according to the atoms they bridge. Estimated standard deviations are in parentheses.
$\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ groups - bonds are of the type $V(x)-0(x, y)$

|  | tanc | es ( | (A) for $V(a) 0_{6}$ | distances $(A)$ for $V(b) 0_{6}$ |  |  |  | distances ( $\AA$ ) for $V(\mathrm{c}) \mathrm{O}_{6}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| x | y |  | distance | x | y |  | distance | x | $y$ |  | distance |
| a | 2 |  | 1.99 (1) | b | 3 |  | 2.02 (1) | $c^{\prime}$ | 11 |  | $1.98(1)$ |
| a | 7 |  | 1.99(1) | $b^{\prime}$ | $9^{\prime}$ |  | 2.00 (1) | c | 8 |  | 2.00(1) |
| $a^{\prime}$ | 61 |  | 2.01 (2) | b | 5 |  | 1.96 (2) | c | 4 |  | 1.97(2) |
| a | 3 |  | 1.98(1) | b | 2 |  | 1.95(1) | c | 1 |  | 1.98(1) |
| $a$ | 6 |  | 1.98(1) | b | 4 |  | 2.02 (1) | c | 5 |  | 1.99(1) |
| a | 8 |  | 2.03(2) | b | 9 |  | 2.00 (2) | c | 7 |  | 2.01 (2) |
| angles are of the type $0(x, y)-V(x)-0(x, z)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ang | les |  | for $V(a) 0_{6}$ |  |  |  | for $V(b) 0_{6}$ |  |  |  | for $V(c) 0_{6}$ |
| $x$ | $y$ | z | angle | $x$ |  |  | angle | $x$ | y | z | angle |
| a | 2 | 7 | 92.6 (5) | b | 3 | $9^{1}$ | 90.5 (5) | c | $1 '$ | 8 | 92.0 (5) |
| a | 2 | $6^{1}$ | 88.9 (6) | b | 3 | 5 | 89.4 (7) | c | $1{ }^{1}$ | 4 | 87.8(6) |
| a | 2 | 3 | $172.6(6)$ | b | 3 | 2 | 176.5(9) | c | 11 | 1 | 175.4.6) |
| a | 2 | 6 | 87.9(5) | b | 3 | 4 | 90.9(5) | c | 11 | 5 | 93.7(5) |
| a | 2 | 8 | $90.8(6)$ | $b$ | 3 | 9 | 93.6(7) | c | $1 '$ | 7 | 84.2 (6) |
| a | 7 | 61 | 90.1 (6) | $b$ | 91 | 5 | 88.3(6) | c | 8 | 4 | 93.1 (6) |
| a | 7 | 3 | $91.1(5)$ | b | 91 | 2 | 89.5 (5) | c | 8 | 1 | 84.3(5) |
| a | 7 | 6 | 177.5(6) | b | $9^{1}$ | 4 | 177.2 (6) | c | 8 | 5 | 174.3(5) |
| a | 7 | 8 | 86.8 (6) | $b$ | 91 | 9 | 89.1 (5) | c | 8 | 7 | 93.2 (6) |
| a | 61 | 3 | 84.6(6) | b | 5 | 2 | 87.1 (7) | c | 4 | 1 | 95.1 (7) |
| a | $6^{1}$ | 6 | 92.3(6) | b | 5 | 4 | 94.2 (6) | c | 4 | 5 | 87.8(5) |
| a | 61 | 8 | 176.9(5) | b | 5 | 9 | 176.0(6) | c | 4 | 7 | $170.0(6)$ |

table 6-2 cont.

|  |  |  |  | for $V(a) 0_{6}$ |  |  |  |  | for $V(b) 0_{6}$ |  |  | $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | for $V(c) 0_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| x | y |  | z | angle | $x$ | y |  | z | angle | $\times$ | y | z | angle |
| a | 3 |  | 6 | 88.7 (5) | b | 2 |  | 4 | 89.3(5) | c | 1 | 5 | 90.0(5) |
| a | 3 |  | 8 | 95.8(5) | b | 2 |  | 9 | 89.9(6) | $c$ | 1 | 7 | 93.3(7) |
| a | 6 |  | 8 | 90.8(6) | b | 4 |  | 9 | 88.4(6) | c | 5 | 7 | $86.8(6)$ |
| $\xrightarrow{\mathrm{PO}_{4} \text { groups }}$ |  |  |  | - bonds are of | type $P(x)-0(y, x)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | s | (A) for $\mathrm{P}(2) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | distances ( $\AA$ ) for $P(3) O_{4}$ |  |  |  |  | distances ( $\AA$ ) for $P(1) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |  |  |  |
| $x$ |  | y |  | distance | $x$ |  | y |  | distance | x |  | y | distance |
| 2 |  | a |  | 1.49(1) | 3 |  | b |  | 1.46 (1) | 1 |  | $c^{\prime}$ | 1.49 (1) |
| 2 |  | 5 |  | 1.60 (2) | 3 |  | 4 |  | 1.59(2) | 1 |  | 6 | 1.55(2) |
| 2 |  | b |  | 1.49 (1) | 3 |  | a |  | 1.48(1) | 1 |  | c | 1.50(1) |
| 2 |  | 8 |  | 1.60(2) | 3 |  | 7 |  | 1.60 (2) | 1 |  | 9 | 1.55(2) |

angles are of the type $0(x, y)-P(y)-0(z, y)$
angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $P(2) O_{4} \quad$ angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $P(3) 0_{4}$
$\times \quad$ y $\quad$ angle
$\times \quad y \quad z \quad$ angle
a $2 \quad 5$ 107.2(8)
b $3 \quad 4$ 107.3(9)
$x \quad y \quad z \quad$ angle
$a \quad 2 \quad b \quad 119.6(7)$
b 3 a $118.5(7)$
c' 166106.1 (9)
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { a } & 2 & 8 & 112.1(9)\end{array}$
b 37109.6 (9)
c' 1 c 119.6(7)
$5 \quad 2$ b $106.7(8)$
43 a 106.9(8)
$c^{\prime} 19 \quad 106.9(9)$
$5 \quad 2 \quad b \quad 106.7(8)$
$\begin{array}{llll}4 & 3 & 7 & 108.1(7)\end{array}$
61 c 110.0(9)
$5 \quad 2 \quad 8 \quad 108.0(8)$
a $3 \quad 7 \quad 106.0(8)$
$\begin{array}{llll}6 & 1 & 9 & 103.3(9)\end{array}$
b $28102.6(8)$
distances ( $\AA$ ) for $P(6) 0_{4} \quad$ distances ( $\AA$ ) for $P(5) 0_{4}$
$x \quad y \quad$ distance
$x \quad y \quad$ distance
$6 \quad a^{\prime} \quad 1.43(2)$
5 b $1.50(2)$
c $199109.7(9)$
distances $(A)$ for $P(4) O_{4}$

6 a $1.50(2)$
$5 \mathrm{c} \quad 1.48(1)$
$x \quad y \quad$ distance
$4 \mathrm{c} \quad 1.48(2)$
4 b
$1.48(2)$
table 6-2 cont.
distances $(\AA)$ for $P(6) 0_{4}$ distances $(\AA)$ for $P(5) 0_{4}$ distances $(\AA)$ for $P(4) 0_{4}$

| $\times$ | $y$ |  | distance | $\times$ | $y$ |  | distance | x | y |  | distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 1 |  | 1.61 (2) | 5 | 2 |  | 1.58(1) | 4 | 3 |  | 1.56(1) |
| 6 | 9 |  | 1.62 (1) | 5 | 7 |  | 1.60(1) | 4 | $\varepsilon$ |  | 1.59(1) |
| ang | les |  | for $P(6) 0_{4}$ |  |  |  | for $P(5) 0_{4}$ | an |  |  | for $\mathrm{P}(4) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |
| x | $y$ | $z$ | angle | $\times$ | $y$ | z | angle | $x$ | y | z | angle |
| $a^{\prime}$ | 6 | a | 115.6(8) | b | 5 | c | 117.2(7) | c | 4 | b | 117.9(9) |
| $a^{\prime}$ | 6 | 1 | 114.7(9) | b | 5 | 2 | 107.4(9) | c | 4 | 3 | 109.0(3) |
| $a^{\prime}$ | 6 | 9 | 106.6(10) | b | 5 | 7 | 107.3(7) | c | 4 | 8 | 105.4(8) |
| a | 6 | 1 | 106.1(10) | c | 5 | 2 | 110.9(9) | b | 4 | 3 | 110.9(9) |
| a | 6 | 9 | $111.3(7)$ | c | 5 | 7 | 113.0 (7) | b | 4 | 8 | 112.4(7) |
| 1 | 6 | 9 | $101.8(6)$ | 2 | 5 | 7 | 99.6(6) | 3 | 4 | 8 | 100.8(6) |
| dis | an | es | (8) for $\mathrm{P}(8) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | di | an |  | (A) for $\mathrm{P}(9) 0_{4}$ | di | tan |  | (A) for $\mathrm{P}(7) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |
| $\times$ | $y$ |  | distance | $\times$ | y |  | distance | $\times$ | y |  | distance |
| 8 | a |  | 1.49 (2) | 9 | b |  | 1.46 (2) | 7 | c |  | 1.48 (2) |
| 8 | c |  | 1.46 (2) | 9 | b |  | 1.49 (1) | 7 | a |  | 1.49 (2) |
| 8 | 2 |  | 1.57(2) | 9 | 1 |  | 1.59 (2) | 7 | 3 |  | 1.60(1) |
| 8 | 4 |  | 1.55(1) | 9 | 6 |  | 1.58(1) | 7 | 5 |  | 1.54(1) |
| ang | es |  | for $P(8) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | an |  | $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | for $\mathrm{P}(9) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | an |  | $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | for $P(7) 0_{4}$ |
| $\times$ | y | z | angle | $x$ | y | z | angle | $\times$ | y | z | angle |
| a | 8 | c | 118.4(7) | $b^{\prime}$ | 9 | b | 117.2(8) | c | 7 | a | 116.3(7) |
| a | 8 | 2 | 108.3(8) | $b^{\prime}$ | 9 | 1 | 109.7(8) | c | 7 | 3 | 109.9(8) |
| a | 8 | 4 | 110.7(9) | $b^{\prime}$ | 9 | 6 | 112.6 (9) | $c$ | 7 | 5 | 111.4(10) |
| c | 8 | 2 | 109.8(10) | $b$ | 9 | 1 | 107.7(9) | a | 7 | 3 | 109.1(10) |
| c | 8 | 4 | 107.7(7) | b | 9 | 6 | 109.3(7) | a | 7 | 5 | 106.1(8) |
| 2 | 8 | 4 | 100.3(6) | 1 | 9 | 6 | 98.7(7) | 3 | 7 | 5 | 103.1 (6) |

Table 6-3. Average bond geometry in $V\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$

|  |  | Length $(\AA)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bond | Maximum | Minimum | Average |
| $V-0$ | $2.03(1)$ | $1.95(1)$ | 1.99 |
| $P-0(-P)$ | $1.62(1)$ | $1.54(1)$ | 1.58 |
| $P-0(-V)$ | $1.50(1)$ | $1.46(1)$ | 1.48 |


|  | Angle $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Angle | Maximum | Minimum | Average |
| $0-V-0($ axial) | $177.5(5)$ | $170.0(5)$ | 175.2 |
| $0-V-0$ | $95.8(5)$ | $84.2(5)$ | 90.0 |
| $(P-) 0-P-0(-P)$ | $108.1(6)$ | $98.7(7)$ | 102.6 |
| $(P-) 0-P-0(-V)$ | $114.7(8)$ | $102.6(8)$ | 108.8 |
| $(V-) 0-P-0(-V)$ | $119.6(7)$ | $115.6(8)$ | 117.7 |

Table 6-4. Distances between corresponding atoms in figure 6-2 when those in 6-2 (b) are translated by $-\underline{b} / 3$ and those in 6-2 (c) are translated by $-2 b / 3$. Oxygen atoms for each polyhedron are listed sequentially starting at the oxygen bridging the $P$ and $V$ atoms and going counterclockwise. Values are in $\AA$.
Atom a from

diag. 6-2 $(a)$$\quad$\begin{tabular}{l}
Atom b from <br>
diag. 6-2 $(b)$

$\quad$

Atom c from <br>
diag. $6-2(c)$

 

distance <br>
between <br>
$a$ and $b$

 

distance \begin{tabular}{l}
between <br>
$a$ and $c$

 

distance <br>
$b$ and $c$
\end{tabular}

\end{tabular}

| $V(a)$ | $V(b)$ | $V(c)$ | 0.05 | 0.30 | 0.35 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $P(2)$ | $P(3)$ | $P(1)$ | 0.16 | 0.52 | 0.36 |
| $0(a, 2)$ | $0(b, 3)$ | $0\left(c, 1^{\prime}\right)$ | 0.41 | 0.46 | 0.43 |
| $0(5,2)$ | $0(4,3)$ | $0(6,1)$ | 0.12 | 0.75 | 0.83 |
| $0(b, 2)$ | $0(a, 3)$ | $0(c, 1)$ | 0.49 | 0.51 | 0.53 |
| $0(8,2)$ | $0(7,3)$ | $0(9,1)$ | 0.16 | 0.83 | 0.74 |
| $P(6)$ | $P(5)$ | $P(4)$ | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.10 |
| $0\left(a, 6^{\prime}\right)$ | $0(b, 5)$ | $0(c, 4)$ | 0.54 | 0.47 | 0.24 |
| $0(a, 6)$ | $0(c, 5)$ | $0(b, 4)$ | 0.70 | 0.74 | 0.28 |
| $0(6,1)$ | $0(5,2)$ | $0(4,3)$ | 0.76 | 0.83 | 0.12 |
| $0(6,9)$ | $0(5,7)$ | $0(4,8)$ | 1.12 | 1.12 | 0.06 |
| $P(8)$ | $P(9)$ | $P(7)$ | 0.23 | 0.04 | 0.28 |
| $0(a, 8)$ | $0\left(b ; 9^{\prime}\right)$ | $0(c, 7)$ | 0.62 | 0.20 | 0.61 |
| $0(c, 8)$ | $0(b, 9)$ | $0(a, 7)$ | 0.79 | 0.13 | 0.81 |
| $0(8,2)$ | $0(9,1)$ | $0(7,3)$ | 0.83 | 0.16 | 0.74 |
| $0(4,8)$ | $0(6,9)$ | $0(5,7)$ | 1.12 | 0.06 | 1.12 |

figure 6-2 when those in 6-2 (b) and 6-2 (c) are translated by $-\mathrm{b} / 3$ and $-2 \underline{b} / 3$ respectively. As can be seen, two of the vanadium atoms nearly superimpose, and the third, $V_{c}$, is displaced only by about $1 / 3 \AA$. The phosphorus atoms, similarly, are quite close to superposition, the biggest difference being about $\frac{1}{2} \AA$ between $P(1)$ and $P(3)$. The largest displacement of the oxygen atoms from superposition is $1.2 \AA$, the average is $0.6 \AA$. This is quite a substantial difference when we consider that a $P-0$ bond has an average value of $1.53 \AA$. The angles subtended at the oxygen atoms by the cations to which they bond range from $135^{\circ}$ to $151^{\circ}$ (9 values) when both cations are phosphorus and from $133^{\circ}$ to $158^{\circ}$ (18 values) otherwise. No strong correlation exists between these angles and the distortions of the polyhedra.

It is conceivable that some $V^{+5}$ could substitute for $P^{+5}$ in the phosphate groups, and this could be the source of some of the polyhedral distortions. The occupations for $\mathrm{V}^{+5}$ at the tetrahedral site were not significantly different from zero when varied in the least-squares analysis. 8 6.3 Thermal Behaviour of $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$

In an effort to determine if the differences between the sub and super cells increase or decrease with temperature, the intensities of five strong $k=3 n$ reflections and the 25 strongest $k \neq 3 n$ reflections were measured at room temperature, $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. These intensities were normalized to the room temperature ones. The results, shown in figure 6-3, indicate that, although the errors are large, the $k \neq 3 n$ reflection intensities become substantially weaker with increasing temperature while those for $k=3 n$ only weaken slightly. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the intensity ratios.


Figure 6-3. The ratio of the intensity at various temperatures compared to rocm temperature for (a) 25 strongest reflections with $k \neq 3 n$ and (b) 5 strong reflections with $k=3 n$.

The relative decrease in intensity of the $k \neq 3 n$ reflections indicates a breakdown of the superstructure. Each third of the cell will more closely resemble the other two thirds as the temperature increases. In fact, one might predict that, at about $650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (by extrapolating the graph in figure 6-3), each third of the supercell will have the same structure, which is likely to be different from the room temperature substructure since the latter has some unlikely bond lengths and angles.

A second order phase transition to the subcell structure is predicted to occur around $650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Lavrov, et al.$^{4.4}$ found no evidence for a phase transition between room temperature and $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by DTA; a result which was confirmed by us. However, second order phase transitions will not show up in DTA experiments.
$\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$ is known to exist in six polymorphic forms ${ }^{91}, 92$, one of which has a powder pattern like $V\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$. No evidence has been found that $V\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$ can exist in or change to any other polymorphic form. Trimetaphosphates for the trivalent metal ions of $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Fe}$ and $\mathrm{Mo}^{92,93,95,96}$ have also been reported to be structurally the same (from powder patterns) as $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$. None of these show the extensive polymorphism of $\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$.

The crystal structure and thermal behaviour of $V\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$ has been published in the Canadian Journal of Chemistry ${ }^{97}$.

## CHAPTER 7

Crystal Structure of (V0) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$
§7.1 Solution of the Structure
From the systematic extinctions (h0l, $\ell \neq 2 n$ and $0 k \ell, k \neq 2 n$ ), the space group was determined to be centrosymmetric Pbcm , or non-centrosymmetric $\mathrm{Pbc}_{1}$. The latter is an unconventional setting of $\mathrm{Pca}{ }_{1}$ chosen to make conversion from the centrosymmetric space group easier. Precession photographs showed reflections with $\left(h+\frac{1}{2} l\right) \neq 2 n$ and with $k \neq 2 n$ to be weak, indicating a pseudo-translational symmetry of $\frac{1}{2} \underline{a}+\frac{1}{4} \underline{c}$ and a similarity of structure at $y=\frac{1}{2}$ and $y=0$ respectively. Examination of the Patterson function corroborated these conclusions, and suggested the vanadium positions of $(0.5,0.0,0.1)$ and $(0.0,0.0,0.35)$ for the centrosymmetric space group, as well as the phosphorus positions of $(0.2,0.05,0.0)$ (or $(0.2,-0.05,0.0))$ and $(0.3,0.05,0.25)$ (or $(0.3,-0.05,0.0))$. A Patterson peak at $0.4 \underline{b}$ indicated that the phosphorus atoms are contained in $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ groups with the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$ vectors parallel to $\underline{\mathrm{b}}$.. This placed two more phosphorus atoms at a distance of about $0.4 \underline{b}$ from the previous phosphorus positions. Each of the two distinct $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ groups can be either above or below the plane at $y=0$. All of the four possible combinations of these placements were tried as models and the one with the lowest R-factor was chosen. In the centrosymmetric space group, these models refined to give P-P distances of about $3.6 \AA$, and $R$-factors ranging from 0.52 to 0.48. Refining the same partial models in $\mathrm{Pbc}_{1}$, eliminated the problem of the long $P-P$ distances as well as giving substantially better R-factors
(0.35 for the best model). All further refinements were carried out in the non-centrosymmetric space group. The vanadyl oxygen positions were readily identified from a difference Fourier map based on the phosphorus and vanadium positions, but three oxygen atoms for each phosphate tetrahedron were indicated on this map at positions in the $y=0$ plane which gave $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ distances that were too short. In addition, residual peaks were found at positions related by a mirror plane at $y=0$ to the phosphorus positions. Placing the phosphate oxygen atoms in their most probable positions failed to give acceptable geometries on refinement.

Bordes and Courtine ${ }^{40}$ found antiphase boundaries prevalent in (VO) $2_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ electron micrographs. Such boundaries could explain the phosphorus mirror peaks and would give an average of two possible positions for each phosphate oxygen atom related by the symmetry of the antiphase boundary, If these positions are close to each other and the antiphase boundaries are plentiful. Possible antiphase boundaries will be discussed later.

In addition to the psuedo-symmetry already mentioned, the pyrophosphate, $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$, groups have two pseudo-mirror planes: one in the $\mathrm{P}-0-\mathrm{P}$ plane and one perpendicular to this through the bridging oxygen. The large amount of pseudo-symmetry makes the correlation coefficients between positional coordinates large (values as high as 0.9 were found) and tends to prevent least-squares convergence to the actual atomic coordinates. In an effort to obtain the most accurate starting positions for the refinement of the oxygen coordinates, the $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ group positions were refined by rigid group least-squares refinement. The $P-0$ bond lengths in the phosphate tetrahedra were predicted using Baur's ${ }^{94}$ and Brown's ${ }^{16}$ models (table 7-1). Since the actual bond lengths for the phosphate groups

Table 7-1. Predicted bond lengths in the phosphate tetrahedra of (V0) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}{ }^{0} 7$. $0_{b}$ is the oxygen atom bridging the two tetrahedra in the $P_{2}^{2} O_{7}^{2}$ group, $0_{1}$ is the oxygen atom which is bonded to the two vanadium atoms, and $0_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ are the oxygen atoms bonded to one vanadium atom each.

| Bond | Predicted value <br> based on Brown's 16 <br> model $(\AA)$ | Predicted value <br> based on Baur's | Average <br> model $(\AA)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Predicted |  |  |  |
| value $(\AA)$ |  |  |  |

considered in the previous chapters were mid-way between the predicted values based on Brown's and Baur's models, the averages of these predicted values for the (VO) $2_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ structure were the ones used in the rigid group refinement. In addition, it was assumed that the four oxygen atoms were at the corners of a regular tetrahedron with the phosphorus atom placed to give the calculated bond lengths. The position and orientation of each 'of the tetrahedra were refined until shifts per error ceased to be significant. The R-factor was 0.26 at this point. The resulting coordinates, when used as initial parameters in the least-squares refinement of the individual atomic positions, still failed to converge to values which yielded satisfactory geometries.

Two models for antiphase boundaries were then tried as follows: Model 1 - For each pyrophosphate group, a second group, related by a mirror plane at $y=0$ to the first, was added to the model, and the occupation of the groups was refined so that the total occupancy remained 1.0. Convergence was obtained at an occupancy of 0.5 for each group. The positional and isotropic thermal coordinates were then refined. Model 11 - For each $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ group, a second group related by a mirror plane at $x=0$ to the first, was added to the model, and the occupation of the groups and the coordinates were then refined as for model 1. Both Model I and Model II gave the same structure (within the standard errors), but the estimated standard deviations of the coordinates were very large. Positional and thermal coordinates are given in table 7-2 and bond geometries in table 7-3. The standard deviations of the intensities were used as weights since the models were not accurate enough to determine a weighting scheme based on Cruickshank coefficients. The

Table 7-2. Positional and Isotropic Thermal coordinates for (V0) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ Estimated standard deviations are in parentheses.

| Atom | x | $y$ | z | $U\left(A^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V1 | 0.5150 (9) | 0.035 (1) | 0.963 | 0.013(1) |
| V2 | 0.4991 (8) | -0.044 (1) | -0.0938(8) | 0.006 (1) |
| V3 | 0.0043 (9) | 0.042 (1) | $0.3457(8)$ | $0.009(1)$ |
| V4 | -0.0006(8) | 0.0501 (8) | -0.3437(7) | 0.005 (1) |
| P1 | $0.205(2)$ | $0.030(3)$ | $0.002(2)$ | 0.005 (3) |
| P2 | $0.203(2)$ | 0.422 (3) | $0.003(2)$ | $0.007(3)$ |
| P3 | 0.287(2) | -0.031 (3) | $0.262(2)$ | $0.004(3)$ |
| P4 | 0.295 (2) | 0.576 (3) | 0.254 (2) | $0.006(3)$ |
| 01 | 0.375 (6) | $0.008(10)$ | -0.005 (5) | 0.008(10) |
| 02 | 0.150 (7) | -0.060(9) | -0.078(4) | 0.011 (10) |
| 03 | $0.151(7)$ | -0.068(9) | 0.079 (5) | $0.014(10)$ |
| 04 | 0.166 (6) | 0.230 (8) | -0.006(4) | 0.008(11) |
| 05 | 0.350 (8) | 0.461 (9) | 0.014 (5) | $0.012(11)$ |
| 06 | $0.139(6)$ | $0.484(10)$ | 0.078 (4) | 0.007(11) |
| 07 | 0.145 (7) | $0.499(10)$ | -0.072 (4) | $0.006(12)$ |
| 08 | 0.141 (6) | $0.004(10)$ | $0.253(4)$ | $0.004(11)$ |
| 09 | 0.356 (7) | -0.002(10) | 0.177 (4) | 0.004 (11) |
| 010 | 0.360 (7) | 0.078 (9) | 0.322 (4) | 0.010 (10) |
| 011 | 0.290 (6) | -0.221 (8) | 0.291 (4) | $0.009(10)$ |
| 012 | $0.129(7)$ | 0.544 (8) | 0.252 (5) | $0.006(10)$ |

Table 7-2 cont.

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U\left(A^{2}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 013 | $0.366(7)$ | $0.495(10)$ | $0.323(4)$ | $0.006(11)$ |
| 014 | $0.361(8)$ | $0.589(10)$ | $0.185(5)$ | $0.017(12)$ |
| OV1 | $0.517(4)$ | $0.248(7)$ | $0.093(3)$ | $0.024(8)$ |
| OV2 | $0.536(3)$ | $-0.228(4)$ | $-0.090(2)$ | $0.005(7)$ |
| OV3 | $0.009(6)$ | $0.263(7)$ | $0.341(3)$ | $0.020(9)$ |
| OV4 | $0.021(3)$ | $0.250(5)$ | $-0.339(2)$ | $0.005(7)$ |

Table 7-3. Bond Geometries for (VO) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$. Estimated standard deviations are indicated in parentheses, and all values are in angstroms, or degrees.
Bond Lengths

| Bond | Length | Bond | Length | Bond | Length | Bond | Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V1-01 | 2.15(8) | V2-01 | 1.94 (7) | V 3-08 | 2.04 (7) | V4-08 | 2.14 (7) |
| V1-05 | 1.96 (8) | V2-05 | 2.30 (8) | V3-012 | 2.02 (7) | v4-012 | $2.14(7)$ |
| V1-09 | 2.05 (7) | V2-010 | 1.96 (7) | V3-02 | 1.95 (7) | V4-03 | 1.93 (8) |
| V1-014 | 1.94 (8) | V2-013 | 1.92 (7) | V3-07 | 1.94 (7) | V4-06 | 1.88 (7) |
| V1-0V1 | 1.64 (5) | V2-0V2 | 1.47 (5) | V3-0V3 | 1.71 (6) | V4-0V4 | 1.56 (4) |
| V1-0V1 | 2.24 (5) | V2-0v2 | 2.47 (5) | V3-0v3 | 2.16 (6) | V4-0V4 | $2.33(4)$ |
| P1-01 | 1.64 (7) | P2-05 | 1.46 (8) | P3-08 | 1.43 (7) | P4-012 | 1.61 (7) |
| P1-02 | 1.59(8) | P2-06 | 1.47(8) | P3-09 | 1.57(7) | P4-013 | 1.47 (8) |
| P1-03 | 1.56(8) | P2-07 | 1.48 (8) | P3-010 | 1.48(7) | P4-014 | $1.34(8)$ |
| P1-04 | $1.60(7)$ | P2-04 | $1.53(7)$ | P3-011 | 1.58 (7) | P4-011 | 1.56 (7) |
| P1-P2 | 3.02 (3) |  |  | P3-P4 | 3.05(3) |  |  |

## Bond Angles

| Bonds | Angles | Bonds | Angles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0V1-V1-01 | 95(3) | 0V2-V2-01 | 108(3) |
| 0V1-V1-05 | 105(3) | 0V2-V2-05 | 81 (3) |
| 0V1-V1-09 | 100(3) | 0V2-V2-010 | 75(3) |
| OV1-V1-014 | 79 (3) | 0V2-V2-013 | 113(3) |
| 01-V1-05 | 81 (3) | 01-V2-05 | 78 (3) |
| 01-V1-09 | 92(3) | 01-V2-010 | 174(3) |
| 01-V1-014 | 173(3) | 01-V2-013 | 96 (3) |
| 05-V1-09 | 154(3) | 05-V2-010 | 97(3) |

Table 7-3 cont.

| Bonds | Angles | Bonds | Angles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05-V1-014 | 101 (3) | 05-V2-013 | 167 (3) |
| 09-V1-014 | $89(3)$ | 010-V2-013 | 89 (3) |
| 0V3-V3-08 | 95 (3) | 0V4-V4-08 | 104(3) |
| 0V3-V3-012 | 89(3) | 0V4-V4-012 | 103(3) |
| 0V3-V3-02 | 89(3) | 0V4-V4-03 | 94 (3) |
| 0V3-V3-07 | 100(3) | 0V4-V4-06 | 95 (3) |
| 08-V3-012 | 80 (3) | 08-V4-012 | 75 (3) |
| 08-V3-02 | 170(3) | 08-V4-03 | 93(3) |
| 08-V3-07 | 93(3) | 08-V4-06 | 160(3) |
| 012-V3-02 | 91 (3) | 012-V4-03 | 161 (3) |
| 012-V3-07 | 170(3) | 012-V4-06 | 93(3) |
| 02-V3-07 | 95(3) | 03-V4-06 | 95 (3) |
| 01-P1-02 | 103(4) | 05-P2-06 | 103 (4) |
| 01-P1-03 | 109(4) | 05-P2-07 | 113(4) |
| 01-P1-04 | 109(4) | 05-P2-04 | 116 (4) |
| 02-P1-03 | 110(4) | 06-P2-07 | 115(4) |
| 02-P1-04 | 106(4) | 06-P2-04 | 108 (4) |
| 03-P1-04 | 118(4) | 07-P2-04 | 103(5) |
| 08-P3-09 | 107(4) | 012-P4-013 | 115(4) |
| 08-P3-010 | 115(5) | 012-P4-014 | 118(5) |
| 08-P3-011 | 103(4) | 012-P4-011 | $98(4)$ |
| 09-P3-010 | 109(4) | 013-P4-014 | 119(5) |
| 09-P3-011 | 108(4) | 013-P4-011 | 102 (4) |
| 010-P3-011 | 114(4) | 014-P4-011 | 101 (4) |

final $R$ and $R_{W}$ were both 0.18 .
§7.2 Description of the Structure (figure 7-1)
(Vo) $2_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ contains chains parallel to $\underline{b}$ consisting of two edgesharing $\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ octahedra, corner-sharing axial oxygens to two more octahedra above and below. The axial oxygens form the typical vanadyl bond to one vanadium and long bond to the other vanadium. The two edge-sharing octahedra have vanadyl bonds which point in opposite directions along the $\underline{b}$ axis. Pyrophosphate groups are found parallel to these chains with each half of these groups sharing an edge-shared oxygen of one double chain and an unshared equatorial oxygen of each of two other double chains.

Both pyrophosphate groups are in eclipsed configuration with equal $P-0-P$ angles of $150^{\circ}$. These angles are larger than the normal bridging angle range of $127-138^{\circ}$ for eclipsed configurations ${ }^{98}$. This could be due to the lack of any additional long range bonding to the bridging oxygen atom which is often found for the eclipsed configuration, or due to the $0-0$ spacing in the double $V_{6}$ chains. The $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ groups are very symmetric, containing two pseudo-mirror planes, one perpendicular to $\underline{c}$ through the two phosphorus atoms, and one perpendicular to $\underline{b}$ through the bridging oxygen.

The standard deviations for the bond lengths and angles are too large to allow meaningful comparisons. However, the average $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds for the bridging, two-coordinate and three-coordinate oxygens respectively are $1.57(4), 1.50(3)$ and $1.54(4)$ they equal within the errors the predicted values in table 7-1. The average equatorial $V-0$ bond lengths for the two and three-coordinated oxygens are 1.95(3) and 2.09(3)

$\begin{aligned} \text { Figure } 7-1 . & \text { The crystal structure of ( } \because 0 \text { ) } 2^{P} n^{n} 7 \text { as projected down the b-axis. } \\ & \text { Only half the structure is shown. The remainina part of }\end{aligned}$ the cell may be denerated by a b-glide.

They compare favourably with the predicted values based on Brown's ${ }^{16}$ (1.95 and 2.11) and Baur's ${ }^{94}$ model (1.94 and 2.08). The average vanadyl bond length of $1.60(3)$ is also in the range of those found for $v^{+4} 0_{6}$ octahedra. The $0-V-0$ angles between the vanadyl oxygen and the equatorial oxygen atoms should all be greater than $90^{\circ}$ since the vanadyl bond is by far the strongest bond in the octahedron. The fact that some of these bond angles are substantially smaller than $90^{\circ}$ probably reflects inaccuracies in the structure determination.

## §7-3 Validity of the Structure

Since the R-factors and standard deviations of the positional coordinates are very large, some of these coordinates may be wrong. The general topology of the crystal structure contains no undesirable features, and, as will be seen in chapter 9, accounts for the conversion of $\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ to ( VO$)_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$. In addition, Bordes and Courtine ${ }^{40}$ have confirmed the presence of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ groups. Thus, it is unlikely that the topology is in error. It is possible that one of the $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ groups is actually reflected in a mirror plane perpendicular to $\underline{b}$, but such a reversal would likely introduce more angles less than $90^{\circ}$ in the $V_{6}$ octahedra. Antiphase boundaries possibly exist perpendicular to $\underline{b}$, but they introduce the necessity of three joined $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ tetrahedra at the boundaries. Antiphase boundaries perpendicular to a are more likely since they do not require such a feature.

CHAPTER 8

## Crystal Structure and Disorder for $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$

### 58.1 Solution of the Structure

The unit cell for $V A s O_{5}$ has $a=6.35(3) \AA, b=6.32(3) \AA, c=8.256(5) \AA$, $y \simeq g 0(1)^{\circ}, Z=4$, space group $P 2_{1} / n$ (c-axis unique). The unconventional setting of the space group was chosen to enable direct comparison with $\alpha-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$.

The diffraction pattern of $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ shows streaks through the Bragg spots parallel to $\mathrm{c}^{*}$, and, in addition, shows some diffraction spots slightly displaced along $\mathrm{c}^{*}$ from the Bragg positions (figure 8-1). These features introduce errors in the measured intensities. Thus the structure refinement was not expected to give a low R-factor although the intensities were accurate enough to provide the basic structure. Intensities for displaced reflections were assigned to the nearest Bragg point in the structure determination.

The positions of the arsenic and vanadium atoms were readily determined from the Patterson function. A difference map, based on the least squares refined positions of these two atoms, showed peaks with maxima up to $\frac{1}{2} \AA$ in diameter for the oxygen positions. More exact positions for the oxygen atoms within the volume from the difference map were calculated assuming approximate $\mathrm{V}-0-(\mathrm{As})$ and $\mathrm{As}-0$ bond lengths of 1.90 and $1.68 \AA$ respectively. The vanadyl oxygen bond was assumed to be $1.6 \AA$. Atomic positions so derived and isotropic temperature factors refined readily to an R-factor of 0.23 . Anisotropic temperature coordinates refinement was
attempted but was unsuccessful.
A difference map at this point showed some residual peaks. Since the diffraction pattern indicated one dimensional disorder and the structure is layered, it was assumed that these peaks were due to stacking faults. A model for such faults will be described in section 8-4. Introduction of these faults into the least squares refinement, by placing atoms in positions defined by the displacement vectors of the faults relative to their "normal" positions, was attempted. The occupation number of these atoms was refined in such a way that the total occupation of any atom in the cell remained at 1 . The refinement indicated that each of two stacking faults occurred $10(1) \%$ of the time. The R-factor at this point was $R=0.192$, and $R_{w}=0.198$. Unit weights were used since it was felt that the systematic errors due to the stacking faults were much larger than the random errors and thus nothing would be gained by any other weighting scheme.

Final co-ordinates are given in table 8-1.

## §8.2 The Basic Structure of $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$

Crystalline $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ contains layers like those of $\alpha-V \mathrm{VO}_{5}$ containing $\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ octahedra sharing equatorial oxygens with four different $\mathrm{AsO}_{4}$ tetrahedra, all of which share corners with four $V 0_{6}$ groups (figure 8-2). The structure differs substantially from that of $\alpha-V P O_{5}$ in the stacking of such layers (figure 8-3). In $\alpha-V \mathrm{VO}_{5}$, the layers are only displaced from each other along the c-axis, being connected at the vanadyl oxygens. In $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$, the layers are displaced horizontally as well as along c , thereby forming double layers in which two $V 0_{6}$ groups share an edge in such a way that an equatorial and a long-bonded axial oxygen of one group form a

$\qquad$

Figure 8-1. The Okl diffraction pattern of $V A s O_{5}$, showing the diffuse streaks due to stacking faults along the $c^{*}$ direction and displacement of some spots from the Bragg points (e.g. the 021 and $02 \overline{1}$ spots)

Table 8-1. Positional and Thermal coordinates for VAsO Estimated standard deviations are indicated in parentheses.

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As | $0.1582(3)$ | $0.4619(3)$ | $0.2480(3)$ | $0.0028(5)$ |
| $V$ | $0.1575(6)$ | $0.9646(6)$ | $0.3288(4)$ | $0.0030(7)$ |
| $0(1)$ | $0.218(3)$ | $0.259(3)$ | $0.364(2)$ | $0.004(3)$ |
| $0(2)$ | $0.109(3)$ | $0.671(3)$ | $0.369(2)$ | $0.010(3)$ |
| $0(3)$ | $0.863(3)$ | $0.008(3)$ | $0.378(2)$ | $0.002(3)$ |
| $0(4)$ | $0.446(3)$ | $0.899(3)$ | $0.364(2)$ | $0.004(3)$ |
| $0(V)$ | $0.141(3)$ | $0.949(3)$ | $0.137(3)$ | $0.014(4)$ |



Figure 8-2. The structure of $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ as projected along the c axis, The dotted polyhedra are Felated to the others by a centre of inversion at the origin.


Figure 8-3. The structures of $\alpha-y P \cap_{5}$ (a) and $V A s n_{5}$ (b) as projected on the ac plane, showing the difference in the stackina of the layers. The VAsn 5 layers can be produced from the $\alpha-V P O_{5}$ layers by a translation of a laver perpendicular to and slightly down along $c$.
long-bonded axial and equatorial oxygen respectively of the other group. The vanadyl bonds of these two edge-sharing groups point in opposite directions, and the vanadyl oxygens are uni-coordinated. Within one layer, the ${ }^{V} 0_{6}$ groups alternately share edges with groups in the layer above and below. The formation of these edge-shared groups accounts for the $\mathrm{VAs}_{5}$ c-axis being slightly less than twice the $\alpha$-VPO ${ }_{5}$ c-axis (8.256 $\AA$ c.f. $8.868 \AA$ ).

As can be seen from table 8-2, the bond distances for the equatorial $V-0$ bonds are slightly larger than the corresponding ones for $\alpha-V \mathrm{PO}_{5}$. This is compensated for by a shorter "long" $V-0$ bond. $0-V-0$ and $0-$ As -0 angles do not differ greatly from the corresponding ones in $\alpha-V P O_{5}$, the greatest difference of $6^{\circ}$ existing for axial-equatorial angles in $\mathrm{VO}_{6}$. These differences are undoubtedly due to the different stacking of the layers. It is surprising that the As-0(3) bond is no longer than the other As-0 bonds as would be predicted by bond valence calculations. However, the total As valence using Brown's ${ }^{16}$ bond-valence parameters is 4.94 and that for Vanadium is 4.99 ; both in good agreement with the expected value.

## §8.3 Theory of Diffraction from Stacking Faults

Although several authors have treated the topic of diffraction from stacking faults 69,70 , the treatment given by Cowley ${ }^{71}$ lends itself most readily to computations. It will be briefly reviewed here for the case of two possible stacking faults with equal probability, which, as will be seen in section 8-4, applies to $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$.

For convenience, and to prevent confusion later on, a layer of unit cells perpendicular to $\underline{c}$ will be called a sheet, to differentiate it

Table 8-2. Bond lengths and angles in $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ with standard deviations in parentheses. The corresponding bond lengths for $\alpha-V P O_{5}$ have been listed for comparison.

| Bond D | Distance ( $\AA$ ) | corresponding $\alpha-V \mathrm{PO}_{5}$ distance | Bond D | Distance ( $\AA$ ) | corresponding $\alpha-V \mathrm{PO}_{5}$ distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V-0(1) | 1.874 (17) | $1.858(7)$ | As-0(1) | 1.690(16) | 1.541 (8) |
| $v-0(2)$ | 1.905 (19) | $1.858(7)$ | As-0 (2) | 1.690 (19) | 1.541 (8) |
| $v-0(3)$ | 1.929(16) | $1.858(7)$ | As-0 (3) | 1.685(15) | 1.541 (8) |
| $v-0$ (4) | 1.896(16) | $1.858(7)$ | As -0 (4) | 1.680(17) | 1.541 (8) |
| $v-0(v)$ | 1.592(21) | 1.580(11) |  |  |  |
| $V-0(3) x^{*}$ | 2.431 (16) | 2.853(11) |  |  |  |
| * $0(3) \times$ refers to $0(3)$ when it forms a long V-0 bond |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bonds | Angle $\left(^{( }\right)$ | corresponding $\alpha-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ angle | Bonds | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | corresponding $\alpha-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ angle |
| $0(1)-V-0(2)$ | 160.7(8) | 156.7(4) | $0(1)-A s-0$ (2) | ) $109.9(9)$ | 109.1 (4) |
| $0(1)-V-0(3)$ | 91.6(7) | 87.7(4) | $0(1)-A s-0$ (3) | ) $108.4(8)$ | 109.7(4) |
| $0(1)-V-0(4)$ | 89.4(7) | 87.7(4) | $0(1)-$ As-0 (4) | ) $107.9(8)$ | 109.7(4) |
| $0(2)-v-0(3)$ | 87.1 (7) | 87.7(4) | $0(2)-$ As -0(3) | ) $111.5(8)$ | 109.7(4) |
| $0(2)-V-0(4)$ | 84.9 (8) | 87.7(4) | $0(2)-$ As-0 (4) | ) $111.7(9)$ | 109.7(4) |
| $0(3)-V-0(4)$ | 158.5(7) | 156.7(4) | $0(3)-$ As-0 (4) | ) $108.2(8)$ | 109.7(4) |
| $0(3) x-v-0(1)$ | (1) $77.6(6)$ | 78.4(2) | $0(V)-V-0(1)$ | 103.3(9) | 101.6(2) |
| $0(3) x-v-0(2)$ | ) $83.5(7)$ | 78.4(2) | $0(V)-V-0(2)$ | 95.9(9) | 101.6(2) |
| $0(3) x-v-0$ (3) | ) $74.4(6)$ | 78.4(2) | $0(\mathrm{~V})-\mathrm{V}-0(3)$ | 99.0 (9) | 101.6(2) |
| $0(3) x-v-0$ (4) | ) $84.9(6)$ | 78.4(2) | $0(V)-V-0(4)$ | 101.7(9) | 101.6(2) |
| $0(3) x-7-0(V)$ | ) $173.3(9)$ | 180 (exact) |  |  |  |

from the structural layer as described in section 8-2. Thus, for $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$, two layers make up a sheet, and the sheet is the repeating unit in the c direction.

When no faults occur, sheets stack along $\underline{c}$ with corresponding points in adjacent sheets related by the vector $\underline{c}$. If a fault of type 1 occurs, these points in the layer immediately before and immediately after the fault will be related by ${\underline{-}+S_{1}}$, or by $\underline{c}^{+} \underline{S}_{2}$ for a fault of type 2 , where $\underline{S}_{1}$ and $\underline{S}_{2}$ are the displacement vectors of the faults. The electron density distribution of the two kinds of faults will be denoted by $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ and will have Fourier transforms denoted by $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. The electron density of the sheet and its Fourier transform will be $\rho$ and $F$ respectively. When a fault of type 1 or 2 occurs, the crystal will have the environment shown in figure 8-4. If the probability of either type of fault occurring is $\alpha$ (i.e. both faults have equal probability, $\alpha$ ), then the probability of no fault occurring after any layer is $1-2 \alpha(=A)$.

Following the general calculations by Cowley ${ }^{71}$ for this specific case, the zeroth component of the generalized Patterson function involves only the intra-sheet vectors and is,

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{0}(\underline{u})=N & \left\{A_{\rho}(\underline{u}) * \rho(-\underline{u})+\alpha\left(\rho(\underline{u})+\Delta_{1}(\underline{u})\right) *\left(\rho(-\underline{u})+\Delta_{1}(-\underline{u})\right)\right. \\
& +\alpha\left(\rho(\underline{u})+\Delta_{2}(\underline{u})\right) *\left(\left(\rho(-\underline{u})+\Delta_{2}(-\underline{u})\right)\right\}, \tag{8-1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $N$ is the number of sheets and $\underline{u}$ is a real space vector. The Fourier transform of this expression gives the zeroth intensity component:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0}=N\left\{A|F|^{2}+\alpha\left|F+G_{1}\right|^{2}+\alpha\left|F+G_{2}\right|^{2}\right\} \tag{8-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first component of the Patterson function involves vectors between nearest neighbour sheets. Since the first sheet can be faulted by $\underline{S}_{1}$ or $\underline{S}_{2}$ or unfaulted, and its neighbour can also be faulted by $\underline{S}_{1}$ or $\underline{S}_{2}$ or unfaulted, the possible


Figure 8-4. The arrangement of layers with two different kinds of stacking faults. The stacking fault with displacement vector $\underline{S}$, adds electron density to the normal sheet, and the one with displacement vector $\underline{S}_{\text {, }}$, subtracts electron density from the normal sheet.
combinations of two sheets is unfaulted-unfaulted, unfaulted-faulted(1), unfaulted-faulted(2), faulted(1)-unfaulted, faulted(1)-faulted(1), faulted(1)-faulted(2), faulted(2)-unfaulted, faulted(2)-faulted(1), and faulted(2)-faulted(2), where the number in brackets indicates the kind of fault. If the probability of finding a faulted layer is $\alpha$ and an unfaulted one is $A$, then the probability of finding a faulted one followed by a faulted one is $\alpha^{2}$, two unfaulted ones is $A^{2}$, and a faulted one with an unfaulted one is $A \alpha$. The first component of the Patterson function thus becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{1}(\underline{u})=N\left\{\delta(\underline{u}-\underline{c}) A^{2} \rho(\underline{u}) * \rho(-\underline{u})+\delta(\underline{u}-\underline{c}) A \alpha \rho(\underline{u}) *\left(\rho(-\underline{u})+\Delta_{1}(-\underline{u})\right)\right. \\
& +\delta(\underline{u}-\underline{c}) A \alpha \rho(\underline{u}) *\left(\rho(\underline{-u})+\Delta_{2}(\underline{-u})\right)+\delta\left(\underline{u}-\left(\underline{c}+\underline{S}_{1}\right)\right) \alpha A\left(\rho(\underline{u})+\Delta_{1}(\underline{u})\right) * \rho(-\underline{u}) \\
& +\delta\left(\underline{\mathrm{u}}-\left(\underline{\mathrm{c}}+\underline{\mathrm{S}}_{1}\right)\right) \alpha^{2}\left(\rho(\underline{\mathrm{u}})+\Delta_{1}(\underline{\mathrm{u}})\right) *\left(\rho(\underline{-\mathrm{u}})+\Delta_{1}(\underline{-\mathrm{u}})\right) \\
& +\delta\left(\underline{\mathrm{u}}-\left(\underline{\mathrm{c}}+\underline{\mathrm{S}}_{1}\right)\right) \alpha^{2}\left(\rho(\underline{\mathrm{u}})+\Delta_{1}(\underline{\mathrm{u}})\right) *\left(\rho(\underline{-\mathrm{u}})+\Delta_{2}(\underline{-\mathrm{u}})\right) \\
& +\delta\left(\underline{u}-\left(\underline{c}+\underline{S}_{2}\right)\right) \alpha A\left(\rho(\underline{u})+\Delta_{2}(\underline{u})\right) *(\rho(-\underline{u})) \\
& +\delta\left(\underline{\mathrm{u}}-\left(\underline{\mathrm{c}}+\underline{\mathrm{S}}_{2}\right)\right) \alpha^{2}\left(\rho(\underline{\mathrm{u}})+\Delta_{2}(\underline{\mathrm{u}})\right) *\left(\rho(-\underline{\mathrm{u}})+\Delta_{1}(-\underline{\mathrm{u}})\right) \\
& \left.+\delta\left(\underline{\mathrm{u}}-\left(\underline{\mathrm{c}}+\underline{\mathrm{S}}_{2}\right)\right) \alpha^{2}\left(\rho(\underline{\mathrm{u}})+\Delta_{2}(\underline{\mathrm{u}})\right) *\left(\rho(\underline{-\mathrm{u}})+\Delta_{2}(\underline{-\mathrm{u}})\right)\right\} \tag{8-3}
\end{align*}
$$

which Fourier transforms to give

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1}= & N\left\{\exp (2 \pi i \underline{h} \cdot \underline{c})\left\{A^{2}|F|^{2}+\alpha A F^{*}\left(F+G_{1}\right)+\alpha A F^{*}\left(F+G_{2}\right)\right\}\right. \\
& +\exp \left(2 \pi i \underline{h} \cdot\left(\underline{c}+\underline{S}_{1}\right)\right)\left\{\alpha A\left(F+G_{1}\right)^{*} F+\alpha^{2}\left|F+G_{1}\right|^{2}+\alpha^{2}\left(F+G_{1}\right) *\left(F+G_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& \left.+\exp \left(2 \pi i \underline{h} \cdot\left(\underline{c}+\underline{S}_{2}\right)\right)\left\{\alpha A\left(F+G_{2}\right)^{*} F+\alpha^{2}\left(F+G_{2}\right)^{*}\left(F+G_{1}\right)+\alpha^{2}\left|F+G_{2}\right|^{2}\right\}\right\}, \tag{8-4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\underline{h}$ is a reciprocal space vector which need not be integral.
In general, the interaction of sheets, $n$ sheets apart, will give rise to the $n \frac{\text { th }}{}$ Patterson component which Fourier transforms to give the $n$th intensity component. When considering sheets, $n$ sheets apart, there are a total of $n+1$ sheets, and the probability of various faulted and unfaulted arrangements must be calculated. Since the interaction is only
between the first and last sheet, there are several arrangements which will give rise to the same term in the intensity. For instance, the arrangement $U F_{1} U U$ and $U U F_{1} U$ where $U$ stands for unfaulted and $F_{1}$ stands for a faulted sheet of type 1 will give rise to identical terms in the intensity expression. The different kinds of terms have been summarized in table 8-3. The probability part contains not only the probability of finding the particular type of layers but also takes into account the number of arrangements possible giving the same term as described above. The full $n$th component of the intensity can thus be found by multiplying the exponential, probability and structure factor parts for each term and then summing the terms.

The total intensity is the sum of all the intensities for both positive and negative $n$. In practice $n$ runs from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ since crystals are usually large enough to neglect termination effects of the series. The $-n$th component of the intensity is mainly the complex conjugate of the $n-$ th component, and can thus readily be evaluated.

Upon summing all the components of intensity, factoring the sums and performing subsequent simplifications, the final intensity becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
1= & \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} I_{n} \\
= & T / D+X  \tag{8-5}\\
\text { where } \quad D= & 1+A^{2}+2 \alpha^{2}-2 A \cos (2 \pi \underline{h} \cdot \underline{c})-2 \alpha \cos \left(2 \pi \underline{h} \cdot\left(\underline{c}+\underline{S}_{1}\right)\right) \\
& -2 \alpha \cos \left(2 \pi h \cdot\left(c+S_{2}\right)\right)+2 \alpha A \cos \left(2 \pi \underline{h} \cdot \underline{S}_{1}\right)+2 \alpha A \cos \left(2 \pi h \cdot \underline{S}_{2}\right) \\
& +2 \alpha^{2} \cos \left(2 \pi \underline{h} \cdot\left(\underline{S}_{1}-\underline{S}_{2}\right)\right) \tag{8-6}
\end{align*}
$$

Table 8-3. Terms in the generalized expression for the $n$th intensity component when considering two kinds of stacking faults.

| exponential | probability | structure factor | explanation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\exp (2 \pi i \underline{h} \cdot \mathrm{nc})$ | $A^{n}$ | $\|F\|^{2}$ | no faults in any sheets |
| 11 | $\alpha A^{n-1}$ | $F^{*}\left(F+G_{1}\right)$ | faults of type 1 in the last (i.e. $(n+1) \xrightarrow{\text { th }}$ ) sheet |
| 11 | $\alpha A^{n-1}$ | $F^{*}\left(F+G_{2}\right)$ | faults of type 2 in the last sheet |
| $\begin{gathered} \exp \left(2 \pi i \underline{h} \cdot\left(n \underline{c}+x S_{-1}\right)\right) \\ x<n \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{(n-1)!\alpha^{x} A^{n-x}}{(n-x-1)!x!}$ | $\|F\|^{2}$ | $x$ faults of type 1 in the inner (i.e. 2 nd to $n$th ) sheets |
| 11 | $\frac{(n-1)!\alpha^{x+1} A^{n-x-1}}{(n-x-1)!x!}$ | $F^{*}\left(F+G_{1}\right)$ | $x$ faults of type 1 in the inner sheets and one in the last sheet |
| 11 | $\frac{(n-1)!\alpha^{x+1} A^{n-x-1}}{(n-x-1)!x!}$ | $F^{*}\left(F+G_{2}\right)$ | $x$ faults of type 1 in the inner sheets and one of type 2 in the last sheet |
| 11 | $\frac{(n-1)!\alpha^{x} A^{n-x}}{(n-x)!(x-1)!}$ | $\left(F+G_{1}\right) * F$ | $(x-1)$ faults of type 1 in the inner sheets and one in the first sheet |
| 11 | $\frac{(n-x)!_{\alpha}{ }^{x+1} A^{n-x-1}}{(n-x)!(x-1)!}$ | $\left\|F+G_{1}\right\|^{2}$ | $(x-1)$ faults of type 1 in the inner sheets and one each in the first and last sheets |
| 11 | $\frac{(n-x)!\alpha^{x+1} A^{n-x+1}}{(n-x)!(x-1)!}$ | $\left(F+G_{1}\right) *\left(F+G_{2}\right)$ | $(x-1)$ faults of type 1 in the inner sheets, one of type 1 in the first sheet and one of type 2 in the last sheet |

Table 8-3 cont.

| exponential | probability | structure factor | explanation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\exp (2 \pi i \underline{h} \cdot(n(\underline{c}+\underline{-}, 1)$ | $\alpha^{n} A$ | $\left(F+G_{1}\right) * F$ | a fault of type 1 in each layer except the last one |
| " | $\alpha^{n+1}$ | $\left\|F+G_{1}\right\|^{2}$ | a fault of type 1 in all layers |
| 11 | $\alpha^{\mathrm{n}+1}$ | $\left(F+G_{1}\right) *\left(F+G_{2}\right)$ | a fault of type 2 in the last layer and of type 1 in all the others |
| $\begin{gathered} \exp \left(2 \pi i \underline{h} \cdot\left(n \underline{c}+x \underline{S}_{1}+y \underline{S}_{2}\right)\right. \\ (x+y)<n \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{(n-1)!\alpha^{x+y} A^{n-x-y}}{(n-x-y-1)!x!y!}$ | $\|F\|^{2}$ | $x$ faults of type 1 and $y$ faults of type 2 in the inner layers |
| 11 | $\frac{(n-1)!\alpha^{x+y+1} A^{n-x-y-1}}{(n-x-y-1)!x!y!}$ | $F^{*}\left(F+G_{1}\right)$ | a fault of type 1 in the last layer and $x$ of type 1 y of type 2 in the inner layers |
| 11 | $\frac{(n-1)!\alpha^{x+y+1} A^{n-x-y-1}}{(n-x-y-1)!x!y!}$ | $F^{*}\left(F+G_{2}\right)$ | a fault of type 2 in the last layer and $x$ of type 1 $y$ of type 2 in the inner layers |
| " | $\frac{(n-1)!\alpha^{x+y} A^{n-x-y}}{(n-x-y)!(x-1)!y!}$ | $\left(F+G_{1}\right)^{*} F$ | a fault of type 1 in the first layer and $x-1$ of type 1 $y$ of type 2 in the inner layers |
| 11 | $\frac{(n-1)!\alpha^{x+y+1} A^{n-x-y-1}}{(n-x-y)!(x-1)!y!}$ | $\left\|F+G_{1}\right\|^{2}$ | Faults of type 1 in the first and last layers and $x-1$ of type $y$ of type 2 in the inner layers |

Table 8-3 cont.

| exponential | probability | structure factor | explanation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \exp \left(2 \pi i \underline{h} \cdot\left(n \underline{c}+x \underline{S}_{1}+y \underline{S}_{2}\right)\right) \\ (x+y)<n \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{(n-1)!\alpha^{x+y+1} A^{n-x-y-1}}{(n-x-y)!(x-1)!y!}$ | $\left(F+G_{1}\right) *\left(F+G_{2}\right)$ | faults of type 1 in the first and type 2 in the last layers. $x-1$ fault of type 1 and $y$ of type 2 in the inner layers |
| " | $\frac{(n-1)!\alpha^{x+y} A^{n-x-y}}{(n-x-y)!(y-1)!x!}$ | $\left(F+G_{2}\right)^{*} F$ | a fault of type 2 in the first layer and $x$ of type 1 $y-1$ of type 2 in the inner layers |
| 11 | $\frac{(n-1)!\alpha^{x+y+1} A^{n-x-y-1}}{(n-x-y)!(y-1)!x!}$ | $\left(F+G_{2}\right) *\left(F+G_{1}\right)$ | a fault of type 2 in the first and type 1 in the last layers. $x$ faults of type 1 and $y-1$ of type 2 in the inner layers |
| " | $\frac{(n-1)!\alpha^{x+y+1} A^{n-x-y-1}}{(n-x-y)!(y-1)!x!}$ | $\left\|F+G_{2}\right\|^{2}$ | faults of type 2 in the first and last layers and $x$ of type 1 $y-1$ of type 2 in the inner layers |
| $\exp \left(2 \pi i \underline{h} \cdot\left(n \underline{c}+x \underline{S}_{1}+(n-x) \underline{S}_{2}\right)\right)$ | $\frac{(n-1)!\alpha^{n} A}{(n-x-1)!x!}$ | $\left(F+G_{2}\right) * F$ | fault of type 2 in the first layer and $x$ of type 1 and $n-x-1$ of type 2 in the inner layers |
| 11 | $\frac{(n-1)!\alpha^{n} A}{(n-x)!(x-1)!}$ | $(F+G) * F$ | fault of type 1 in the first layer and $x-1$ of type 1 and $n-x$ of type 2 in the inner layers |
| " | $\frac{(n-1)!\alpha^{n+1}}{(n-x)!(x-1)!}$ | $\left\|F+G_{1}\right\|^{2}$ | faults of type 1 in the first and last layers and $x-1$ of type $1, n-x$ of type 2 in the inner layers |

Table 8-3 cont.

| exponential | probability | structure factor | explanation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\exp \left(2 \pi i \underline{h} \cdot\left(n \underline{c}+x \underline{S}_{1}+(n-x) \underline{S}_{2}\right)\right)$ | $\frac{(n-1)!a^{n+1}}{(n-x)!(x-1)!}$ | $\left(F+G_{1}\right)^{*}\left(F+G_{2}\right)$ | $x-1$ faults of type 1 and $n-x$ of type 2 in the inner layers, and a fault of type 1 in the first and type 2 in the last layers |
| 11 | $\frac{(n-1)!\alpha^{n+1}}{(n-x-1)!x!}$ | $\left(F+G_{2}\right) *\left(F+G_{1}\right)$ | $x$ faults of type 1 and $n-x-1$ of type 2 in the inner layers, and a fault of type 2 in the first and type 1 in the last layers |
| 1 | $\frac{(n-1) a^{n+1}}{(n-x-1)!x!}$ | $\left\|F+G_{2}\right\|^{2}$ | faults of type 2 in the first and last layers and $x$ of type 1 $n-x-1$ of type 2 in the inner layers |

```
exp}(2\pii\underline{h}\cdot(nc+y\mp@subsup{\underline{S}}{2}{})
    y<n
exp(2\pii\underline{h}\cdot(n(\underline{c}+\mp@subsup{\underline{S}}{2}{}))
```

same as for $\exp \left(2 \pi i \underline{h} \cdot\left(n \underline{c}+x \underline{S}_{1}\right)\right)$ but with subscripts 1 and $2 \overline{\text { reversed }}{ }^{-1}$ and $y$ instead of $x$
same as for $\exp \left(2 \pi i \underline{h} \cdot\left(n\left(\underline{c}+S_{1}\right)\right)\right.$ but with subscripts 1 and 2 reversed

$$
\begin{align*}
T= & 2 \operatorname{Re}\left\{\exp (2 \pi i \underline{h} \cdot \underline{c})\left\{1-A \exp (-2 \pi i \underline{h} \cdot \underline{c})-\alpha \exp \left(-2 \pi i \underline{h} \cdot\left(\underline{c}+\underline{S}_{1}\right)\right)-\alpha \exp \left(2 \pi i \underline{h} \cdot\left(\underline{c}+\underline{S}_{2}\right)\right)\right\}\right. \\
& \left.\cdot\left\{F+\alpha G_{1}+\alpha G_{2}\right\}\left\{A F^{*}+\alpha\left(F^{*}+G_{1}^{*}\right) \exp \left(2 \pi i \underline{h} \cdot \underline{S}_{1}\right)+\alpha\left(F^{*}+G_{2}^{*}\right) \exp \left(2 \pi i \underline{h} \cdot \underline{S}_{2}\right)\right\}\right\} \tag{8-7}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=|F|^{2}+2 \alpha \operatorname{Re}^{*}{ }^{*} G_{1}+2 \alpha \operatorname{Re}^{*} G_{2}+\alpha\left|G_{1}\right|^{2}+\alpha\left|G_{2}\right|^{2} \tag{8-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## §8.4 Stacking Faults of VAsO 5

Two possible models for stacking faults were considered. Since expression VIII-5 has the greatest value at points where $D$ is a minimum, D was calculated for both models to see if the minima for $D$ fell at points where the intensity was a maximum in the diffraction pattern. Model I:
$V A s O_{5}$ and $\alpha-V P O_{5}$ appear to form solid solutions over the full composition range (see section (8-5) and hence it would seem possible that, once in a while, a stacking arrangement as in $\alpha-V \mathrm{PO}_{5}$ can be found rather than the edge-sharing arrangement. There are four possible arrangements of such faults (figure 8-5). Figure 8-5(a) and (c) and also (b) and (d) are mirror images of each other, and these faults would thus be expected to occur with the same probability. The denominator for an expression like equation 8-5 was found for the case of four faults with two each of equal probability, and this denominator was evaluated for Ok points. The minima of the denominator did not fall at $k$ and $\ell$ values for which the intensity was a maximum, and hence this model was discarded. Model II:

The $\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ octahedra in $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ alternately share one of two edges. The difference map in the final stages of refinement showed residual electron density in places which suggest that, once in a while, a "mistake" is made and octahedra in two subsequent layers share edges in the same
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Figure 8-5. Four possible stacking faults for $V \mathrm{AsO}_{5}$, Model 1. The $V 0$ octahedra are idealized with the lona oxyoen bonds shown by the dotted lines. For clarity, the phosphate tetrahedra have been omitted.
direction. Two possible faults can be formed as shown in figure 8-6. These faults can be formed geometrically by the removal of an $A$ layer (figure 8-6(b)) or a B layer (figure 8-6(c)) and a shift of the following layers which will give the fault structures indicated. Since the two possible faults are mirror images of each other, and since there is no a priori reason to assume one more probable than the other, each fault is considered to have equal probability. The expressions in the preceding section consider $\underline{S}_{1}$ and $\underline{S}_{2}$ to have the same origin with respect to the unit cell, and hence it is more convenient to consider the fault in fig.8-6(b) to be formed by the addition of a $B$ layer (rather than removal of an $A$ layer). The net result is the same, and vectors $\underline{S}_{1}$ and $\underline{S}_{2}$ now have a common origin with $\underline{c}$ components of $+\frac{1}{2}$ and $-\frac{1}{2}$ and $\underline{b}$ components of about -0.45 and +0.45 respectively. This model gave shifted positions from the Bragg peaks of about the right size and in the right direction for Ok\& points. The best fit appeared to fall at about $\alpha=0.23$. Smaller values of $\alpha$ gave too small a deviation from the Bragg position and much larger values gave deviations that were too large. Small changes in the coordinates of the fault vectors changed the size of the minima in the denominator and the value of the intensities. The full intensity was calculated for $02 \ell$ points over the range $-4.1 \leq \ell \leq 4.1$ for $\alpha=0.23$ and various $\underline{b}$ and $\underline{c}$ components of the fault vectors ranging in absolute value from $0.42-0.48$ and $0.48-0.52$ respectively. The best fit for the intensity for the $02 \ell$ points was found at the $\underline{b}$ and $\underline{c}$ components for $\underline{S}_{1}$ of $(-0.43,0.5)$ and $(0.43,-0.5)$ for $\underline{S}_{2}$. Experimental and calculated values are shown in figure 8-7. The experimental intensities were obtained from a 02 precession photograph (fig. 8-1) using an optical microdensitometer and were corrected for Lorentz and


Figure 8-6. Model II stacking faults for $\operatorname{VAsO}$. (a) shows the two possible fault vectors, and (b) and (c) show the appearance of each of the faults.


Figure 8-7. observed (.....) and calculated (—) intensities for the 02l line. nbserved intensities have been corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects.
polarization effects. The background was estimated from optical density measurements immediately adjacent to the $02 \ell$ line and this background was subtracted from the measured intensities. The fit, though far from perfect, seems good enough to indicate that this model must represent the major stacking faults that occur. When the intensities were calculated using formula 8-5 with $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ both equal to zero (i.e. making no correction for the density modification at the faults) the intensity profile differed by at most and usually considerably less than $10 \%$ from that for the model containing the G-values. This shows that the modification of the electron density at the faults does not significantly affect the intensity profile, and hence a least-squares refinement of $\alpha$ when fitting $F+\alpha G_{1}+\alpha G_{2}$ to the observed structure factor is likely to underestimate its value. This is in agreement with the results ( $\alpha=0.1$ from least-squares and 0.23 from the intensity profile calculation). Cowley ${ }^{99}$ observed this effect as well for stacking faults in magnesium fluorogermanate. The probability of a stacking fault occurring is very high (there is only a $54 \%$ probability of no fault occurring) and it is surprising that the refinement of the basic structure yielded an R-value as low as 0.2. §8.5 The Solid Solution $V(A s, P) O_{5}$

Bordes and Courtine ${ }^{40}$ have found that $\alpha-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ form a solid solution of the form VAs ${ }_{1-x} P_{x} 0_{5}$ over the whole range of $0 \leq x \leq 1$. This result has been confirmed by us. Samples of composition $x=0.1,0.5$ and 0.75 were prepared by the procedure outlined in chapter 3 . All of the crystals were yellow, mica-like sheets similar to those of the end members of the solution range. Single crystals suitable for $x$-ray analysis were not found, but and $\underline{c}$ parameters were determined from powder diffraction


Figure 8-8. Cell parameters for $V_{x} A_{x} P_{1-x}{ }^{0} 5$
data. The results are shown in figure $8-8$. The parameters for $\alpha-V P O_{5}$ were obtained from Jordan, et al ${ }^{11}$ and the $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ parameters are those determined from single crystal diffraction, with the $c$-axis divided by two to correspond to the layer length rather than the actual axis length in order to make comparisons with $\alpha-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ possible. The a parameters fall nicely on a straight line reflecting the change in size of the tetrahedral cation. The $\underline{c}$ parameters do not fit as well on a straight line, although the trend is suggestive of a gradual change from $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ - like stacking to $\alpha-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}-1$ ike stacking as $x$ increases. Perhaps this could be accomplished by stacking faults as in model I section 4 , of increasing frequency as $x$ increases. Single crystal intensities will have to be considered in order to determine the actual change in structure over the whole range of $x$.
§8.6 Thermal Behaviour of VAsO -5
A DTA analysis of $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ shows a strong endotherm at about $560^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on heating, following which the speciman gradually volatilizes until all is gone before the melting point estimated at about $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. When single crystals of $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ are gradually heated, the diffraction pattern does not change significantly until about $560^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, when the crystal invariably crumbles. The nature of the structure of $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ remains to be determined above $560^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, although it seems evident that a structural phase transition takes place at this temperature. Materials such as the H -form of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}{ }^{28}$ which volatilize readily of ten contain discrete molecular units in the crystalline phase. The molecular units are held together by forces which are weaker than those in extended bonded networks. The energy required to remove molecules from molecular crystals is therefore smaller than
that required for network crystals. The molecular crystals can, therefore, be expected to volatilize more readily. Since $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ in the high temperature form does readily volatilize, it might contain molecular units rather than consisting of a network of bonds like the low temperature form.

## CHAPTER 9

## Discussion and Conclusion

§9.1 The V-P-As-0 system
The crystals in this study are all of the type $M_{n} 0_{2 n+1}$ with $n=2,3$, or 4 , and $M$ a combination of any of $V, P$, As or $S i$.

The $n=2$ compound, $V A s O_{5}$, with $M_{2}=V A s$, is structurally related to other $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ compounds in the V-P-As-O system. Vanadium is always found octahedrally coordinated with one long $\mathrm{V}-0$ bond trans to a short vanadyl
 and sometimes forms a long $V-0$ bond to another $V_{6}$ group $\left(\alpha-V V_{2}{ }_{5}{ }^{2}\right.$, $\alpha$ and $\beta-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}{ }^{11,12}$ ). Some of the compounds form layers $\left(\alpha-V \mathrm{VO}_{5}{ }^{11}, V A s O_{5}, \alpha\right.$ and $\beta-V_{2} 0_{5}^{2}, 17$ ), which, except for $\beta-V_{2} O_{5}$, (see p.5) are held together by long V-O bonds. These layers form stacking faults easily, and evidence for such disorder has been found for all except $\alpha-V_{2} 0_{5} \cdot \alpha-V O_{5}$ and $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ vary only in the stacking arrangement of the layers. A solid solution of the form VAs ${ }_{x} P_{1-x} 0_{5}$ exists over the full range $0 \leq x \leq 1$, and crystals throughout this range have the same layer structure as the end members but, presumably, the stacking arrangement changes with composition.

The H form of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}{ }^{28}$ is composed of molecular groups and the other forms of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}{ }^{29,30}$ and $\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}{ }^{35}$ are network crystals which readily rearrange to yield molecular units when volatilizing at increased temperatures. The high temperature form of $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$, as well as the above phosphorus and arsenic oxides, readily volatilizes. Thus the high temperature $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ is probably more closely related in structure to these oxides than to the layered oxides.

All the $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ compounds with $M$ a combination of any of $V$, As and $P$ should have $M$ in the +5 oxidation state. Valences, calculated from Brown's ${ }^{16}$ bond-valence parameters and experimental bond-lengths, and corresponding coordinations are given in table 9-1. The errors on these experimental valences are large since the valence is $(\underline{r} / \underline{R})^{-N}$, where $N$ and $\underline{R}$ are tabulated parameters and $\underline{r}$ is the experimental bond length, and (dr/dR) is large.
$V 0\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ is an $n=3$ compound with $M_{3}=V P_{2}$. Like $V_{3}{ }^{0} 7^{3}$, it contains single chains of corner-sharing $\mathrm{V}^{+4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ octahedra. These octahedra each have the vanadyl oxygen forming a long $V-0$ bond to the next octahedron in the chain. $V O\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ shows disorder in the position of the vanadium atom and the corresponding direction of the vanadyl bond, but no such disorder is known for $\mathrm{V}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}$.

The 5 coordinate groups in the single ribbons in $V_{3} 7^{3}$ are similar to the metaphosphate chains in $\operatorname{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$. If the 5 coordinate groups are changed to tetrahedra in such a way that the removed bond is one which was formed to an edge-sharing oxygen, a metavanadate chain results (fig.9-1). The oxidation state of $P$ in the metaphosphate chain and $V$ in the single ribbon is +5 .

Only the double octahedral $\mathrm{V}^{+4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ chains in $\mathrm{V}_{3} \mathrm{n}_{7}$ do not have a counterpart in $\mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$.

Table 9-2 lists the structural features and oxidation states of vanadium and phosphorus in the two $\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ compounds. The agreement of the valences with the expected integral values is as good as for the $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ groups, and hence there is no reason to expect that any structural elements are likely to have non-integral valences.

Table 9-1. Coordinations and Experimental Valences for $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ compounds in the $V-P-A s-0$ System. Valences were calculated using the bond-valence parameters of Browni 6. .

| Compound | M | Coordination of $M$ | Valence of $M$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{\alpha}^{-}-\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | v | octahedral | 5.11 |
| $\mathrm{B-V} 2 \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | v | octahedral | accurate bond lengths not available |
| $\alpha-V P O_{5}$ | v | octahedral | 5.34 |
| $\alpha-V \mathrm{PO}_{5}$ | P | tetrahedral | 4.98 |
| $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{VPO} 5$ | v | octahedral | 5.26 |
| $\mathrm{B-VPO} 5$ | P | tetrahedral | 5.16 |
| $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ | v | octahedral | 4.99 |
| $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ | As | tetrahedral | 4.94 |
| $\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | As | octahedral | 4.78 |
| $\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | As | tetrahedral | 5.02 |
| $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | P | tetrahedral | 4.89 |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | P | tetrahedral | 5.20 |
| $0-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | P | tetrahedral | 5.24 |



Figure 9-1. Relationship between a 5 coordinate ribbon (a)

Table 9-2. Structural Elements and Valences for $\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ compounds in the V-P-0 System. Valences were calculated using the bond valence parameters of Brown ${ }^{16}$.

| Compound | M | Structural element | Valence of $M$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ | v | single octahedral chain | 4.17 |
| $\mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | V | single octahedral chain | 3.99 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ | v | single 5-fold coord. ribbon | 4.96 |
| 11 | " | 11 | 5.17 |
| 11 | " | 11 | 5.16 |
| $\mathrm{VO}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | P | meta-phosphate chain | 5.08 |
| $V_{3} 0_{7}$ | V | double octahedral chain | 4.24 |

The compounds $\mathrm{VOP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8},(\mathrm{VO})_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$ all are of the type $\mathrm{M}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ with $\mathrm{M}_{4}=V \mathrm{VP}_{2} \mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{VP}_{3}$ respectively. Although they are all three-dimensional network crystals, they are not as closely structurally related to each other and $V_{4} 0_{9}^{4}$ as are the groups with $n=2$ and 3 . VOP $2_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$ contains $\mathrm{V}^{+4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ corner-sharing chains, (VO) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ contains $\mathrm{V}^{+4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ double chains, and $V_{4}{ }_{9}$ contains double octahedral ribbons in which one of the two distinct vanadium atoms has an approximate valence of +5 and the other an average valence of +4.5 . In addition, $V_{4}{ }_{9}$ contains single 5 coordinate ribbons in which two distinct atoms also have average valences of +5 and +4.5 respectively. These valences were estimated through the use of figure 9-2. Bond valences were calculated for each group based on Brown's ${ }^{16}$ bond-valence parameters for $V^{+4}$ and also for $v^{+5}$. These values, when applied to the graph in figure 9-2, gave the approximate percentage of $V^{+5}$ and $V^{+4}$, thereby making the evaluation of an average valence possible. Since the valences calculated for $n=2$ and $\mathrm{n}=3$ compounds were only accurate to within about 0.2 valence units, values that deviated from +4 or +5 by less than this amount were considered to be essentially integral, as were values for $P$ and $S i$. The structural elements above all contain vanadium with the typical vanadyl bond. This bond is not present in the regular isolated $V^{+3} 0_{6}$ octahedra of $V\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$.
$\mathrm{P}^{+5} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ tetrahedra are isolated in $\mathrm{VOP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$ (each connecting to two $\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ and two $\mathrm{SiO}_{4}$ groups), exist as pyrophosphate groups in (VO) $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ and as metaphosphate chains in $V\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot V O P_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$ also contains isolated $\mathrm{SiO}_{4}$ tetrahedra. The single ribbons of $\mathrm{V}_{4}{ }^{0} 9$ are similar to the metaphosphate chains in $V\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$, but do not have much resemblance to the


Figure 9-2. Valence for mixtures of $v^{+4}$ and $v^{+5}$ when calculated using bond-valence parameters for $v^{+5}$ (a) and $v^{+4}$ (b). The values were calculated assuming octahedral coordination.
other tetrahedral groups in $\mathrm{M}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ compounds. Valences and structural elements for these compounds are summarized in table 9-3. Bond lengths for (VO) $2_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$ are numerous and not very accurate, and the valences for the same structural elements in each of these compounds were averaged before inclusion in the table.
$V_{6}{ }^{0} 13$ is the only $n=6$ compound known in the V-P-As-0 system. It contains single ribbons with $V^{+4}$ and double ribbons with the two distinct vanadium atoms having average valences of +5 and +4.5 . The valences and structural elements are summarized in table 9-4.
§ 9.2 Reduction of $\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$
Like the $V_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \rightarrow V_{6} \mathrm{O}_{13} \rightarrow V \mathrm{~V}_{2}$ transition described in chapter 1 , the reduction of $\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ (both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ forms) to (VO) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ can readily be accomplished by the formation of shear planes. These shear planes can form in every second, every third, ... or every $n$th layer, thereby producing a variety of structures intermediate in composition between $\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{VPO}_{4.5^{\circ}}$

Figure 9-3 shows how $\alpha-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ can form shear planes leading eventually to the (VO) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ structure by a cooperative movement of $V 0_{6}$ octahedra along the $1 \overline{10} 0$ direction. During this shift, the phosphate tetrahedra rotate in such a way that the corner, previously shared with one of the octahedra which are to be joined together, points up or down to share an oxygen with a $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ group in the layer above or below. This requires that the corresponding phosphate tetrahedra in adjacent $\alpha-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ layers must rotate in opposite directions in order to be able to form $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ groups. Since the $\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ layers are all identical, there is no a priori reason why one phosphate should rotate differently from any

Table 9-3. Valences and structural elements of $\mathrm{M}_{4}{ }^{0}$ compounds in the V-P-Si-0 system. Valences were calculated using Brown's ${ }^{16}$. valence-bond parameters and average valences were estimated from calculated ones using figure 9-2.

| Compound | M | Structural Element | Valence based on $\mathrm{V}^{+4}$ parameters | Valence based on $\mathrm{V}^{+5}$ parameters | Average valence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{VOP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$ | v | octahedral chains | 4.20 |  |  |
| (vo) $2_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ | V | double octahedral chains | 4.0* |  | 4.0* |
| $V_{4}{ }^{0} 9$ | v | double octahedral ribbons | 4.37 | 4.66 | 4.5 |
| " | " | " |  | 4.86 |  |
| 11 | 11 | single 5 coord. ribbon | 4.43 | 4.70 | 4.5 |
| " | " | 11 | 4.02 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{VOP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$ | P | isolated tetrahedra |  |  | 5.24 |
| 11 | Si | isolated tetrahedra |  |  | 4.20 |
| (vo) $2^{\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}}$ | P | pyrophosphate groups |  |  | 5.20* |
| $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | P | metaphosphate chains |  |  | 5.20* |
| ' | V | isolated regular octahedra |  |  | 3.18* |

Table 9-4. Valences and structural elements of $V_{6}{ }_{13}$.

| Structural Element | Valeqce based on <br> parameters | Valepce based on <br> $V^{+5}$ parameters | Average <br> valence |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| single octahedral <br> ribbons | 4.06 |  |  |
| double octahedral <br> ribbons | 4.82 | 4.5 |  |
| double octahedral <br> ribbons | 4.30 | 4.56 |  |



Figure 9-3. Reduction of $\alpha-V O_{5}(a)$ to (Vn) $P_{2} O_{7}$ (b) through the cooperative movement of octahedra along the direction indicated by the arrows. Phosphate tetrahedra twist to form pyrophosphate groups in the directions shown in (b). (o) indicate possible vacancy sites. Only $\frac{1}{2}$ of these need be formed for the layer to shift.
other, and, hence, it is reasonable to expect that the $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ groups can be found either above or below the ac plane of (VO) $2_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}{ }_{7}$. Such disorder has, in fact, been found (chapter 7). The direction of the vanadyl bond in every second row of double octahedra is reversed from that in $\alpha-V \mathrm{PO}_{5}$. This is likely a result of the rotation of the phosphate groups which now have three corners essentially in the ac plane of (VO) $2_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$.

The proposed transition of $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{VPO} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to $(\mathrm{VO})_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ is shown in figure 9-4. As for the case above, a cooperative movement of octahedra is required, this time in the $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}$ direction. The shift involves the joining of two octahedra that are not connected by phosphate groups, one being at an a coordinate near 0 and the other at an coordinate near $\frac{1}{2}$. The phosphate groups rotate to form pyrophosphate groups with phosphate groups above (or below). In $\beta-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ the phosphate groups share two corners with two octahedra in one chain, and it is one of these corners that most likely shifts to form the $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ group. The polyhedra in $\beta-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ are all linked in a plane perpendicular to $\underline{a}$, but because of the likely shift explained above (and shown in diagram 9-4), the shear will form at a $45^{\circ}$ angle to this plane.

The production of $(\mathrm{VO}){ }_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ can occur in stages starting with the formation of vacancies, and following with the gradual collapse or shearing of planes. The intermediate compositions VPO ${ }_{x}, 4.5 \leq x \leq 5$, will have partially a $\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ structure and partially a (VO) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ structure. The cell dimensions of the two end members of the composition range are sufficiently commensurate that these kinds of domains can readily be formed. Titanium, niobium and tungsten oxides are known to form similar
(a)


$a \simeq 1$
(b)


Figure 9-4. Reduction of $\beta-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ to (VO) $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$. (a) and (b) show the cooperative movements of octahedra required in two halves of the unit cells. The end product is as in figure 9-3(b). (o) indicates possible vacancy formation during catalysis. Only $\frac{1}{2}$ of these vacancies must be formed for the layers to shift. Although all polyhedra are connected in the bc plane, part of layers at different levels along a have been shown to facilitate illustrating the octahedral shifts.
shear structures, and models for these structures have been proposed by comparing the electron microscope images to theoretically calculated ones $100,101,102$. This technique is still in its infancy, but could be useful in determining the exact defect structure of (VO) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$. The structure of the intermediate $\mathrm{VPO}_{4.75}$ found by Bordes and Courtine 40 at high temperature (about $750-800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) during the incomplete reduction of both forms of $\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$, can readily be predicted by joining every other two rows of octahedra in either form of $\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$. The structures produced from $\alpha$ and $\beta-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ respectively are shown in figures $9-5$ and 9-6. Note that of necessity, this model requires polymorphism of $\mathrm{VPO}_{4.75^{\circ}}$

## §9.3 Vanadium Phosphate Catalysts

When $\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ is used as a catalyst in the oxidation of butene to maleic anhydride, (VO) $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ is known to be produced $40,59,60$. The catalyst reduction could produce vacancies at the surface which can then migrate into the bulk of the material, and, when they become sufficiently concentrated and are situated at the appropriate places (such as those marked on diagrams 9-3 and 9-4), the movement of octahedra can occur to produce (VO) $2_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$. One scheme for the diffusion of vacancies through the double octahedral vanadate chains is shown in figure 9-7. A vanadyl oxygen is removed during catalysis, and this is replaced by an equatorial oxygen which is replaced by a vanadyl oxygen and so on, until sufficient vacancies are created at the equatorial oxygens for collapse of the structure. This mechanism can produce intermediate stoichiometries as well.

Other structures in this study, with the exception of $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$, cannot readily undergo a similar rearrangement. $V\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{3}$ has vanadium in the +3 oxidation state and reduction is thus not likely. In addition,


Figure 9-5. Proposed structure of VPO 4,75 derived from the incomplete reduction of $\alpha-y \mathrm{PO}_{5}$. The setond layer is the same as this one with the direction of the phosphate groups forming $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{7}$ groups reversed.


Figure 9-6. Proposed structure of $\operatorname{VPO} \mathrm{S}_{4.75}$ derived from the incomplete reduction of $\beta-y \mathrm{PO}_{5}$. The second layer consists of the second layer of $\mathrm{B}-\forall \mathrm{PO}_{5}$ joining rows of essentially (VO) $2_{2} P^{n} 7^{\text {-like }}$ aroups.


Figure 9-7. Suggested mechanism for the diffusion of oxygen vacancies during catalysis. Only the vanadate chain is shown, and only two oxygen atoms in the equatorial positions are shown. The mechanism only involves the two equatorial oxygen atoms as indicated on figure 9-3.
the vanadate octahedra are too far apart to make their condensation and the diffusion of vacancies possible. Both $V O P_{2} S_{i O}$ and $V O\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ contain octahedral $\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ chains connected by $\mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{SiO}_{4}-\mathrm{PO}_{4}-\ldots$ tetrahedral chains in the former and meta-phosphate chains in the latter. Neither of these connective chains can readily condense to allow the edge-sharing of $\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ groups, since neighbouring connective chains are too far away, and edgesharing of tetrahedra is unlikely. Bordes and Courtine ${ }^{40}$ report that Vo $\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ is catalytically inactive and it seems likely (although not confirmed) that $\mathrm{VOP}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8}$ is also inactive. If this is in fact the case, care must be taken when supporting vanadium phosphate catalysts on silica, that no reaction occurs between the silica and the catalyst to produce $V O P_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{8} . \mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ can undergo a reduction with a mechanism like that of $\alpha-\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$ in principle, although a shifting of layers is required. It is not known if $\mathrm{VAsO}_{5}$ is catalytically active.

Nakamura ${ }^{61}$ found that a $V: P=1: 2$ catalyst was most selective and proposed the mechanism shown in figure 1-8. If his catalyst was in fact Vo $\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (in contradiction to the results of Bordes and Courtine ${ }^{40}$ ) his mechanism seems plausible in view of the structure for vo $\left(\mathrm{PO}_{3}\right)_{2}$. The $\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ chains are disordered in the vanadium and vanadyl bond positions and oxygen can thus be readily added to (or removed from) one of these chains at the surface, thereby reversing the vanadyl bond direction. This would of necessity be a surface reaction only, since migration into the bulk is unlikely.

The increase in selectivity with phosphorus concentration suggests a catalytic mechanism involving equatorial oxygens in the $\mathrm{VO}_{6}$ groups which are bonded to phosphate groups rather than a mechanism which
involves shears at the vanadyl oxygens since the latter do not change significantly in environment from $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to $\mathrm{VPO}_{5}$. Since the $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds are longer than $\mathrm{P}-0$ bonds, one might logically expect the $\mathrm{P}-0$ bond energy to be greater, and more energy is involved in the removal of an oxygen atom bonded to one $V$ and one $P$ atom than an oxygen bonded to two vanadium atoms. If the activation energy of the main reaction in the catalytic process is smaller than that of the side reactions, it follows that the vanadium phosphate catalysts will catalyze fewer side reactions. The decrease in activity of the vanadium phosphate catalysts compared to the vanadium oxide catalysts could be due to the migration of vacancies being "easier" (i.e. energetically more favourable) in the vanadium oxides.
§ 9.4 Conclusions
The structures in the $V-P-A s-0$ system are difficult to determine since vanadium can exist in a variety of condensations, coordinations and oxidation states, and can readily change from one of these to any other. It is therefore not surprising that most of the crystalline phases of this system exhibit structural disorders and many exhibit polymorphism. Also, the ready formation of glasses (an extreme structural disorder of the crystal) is to be expected.

## APPENDIX

Observed (FO) and calculated (FC) structure factor amplitudes.
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