

THREE ESSAYS:

O N

PICTURESQUE BEAUTY;

O N

PICTURESQUE TRAVEL;

$A \ N \ D \quad O \ N$

SKETCHING LANDSCAPE:

TO WHICH IS ADDED A POEM, ON

LANDSCAPE PAINTING.

BY WILLIAM GILPIN, M. A.

PREBENDARY OF SALISBURY; AND

VICAR OF BOLDRE IN NEW FOREST, NEAR LYMINGTON.

London:

PRINTED FOR R. BLAMIRE, IN THE STRAND. M.DCC.XCII.

WILLIAM LOCK, Esq;

O F

2

NORBURY-PARK, in SURREY.

DEAR SIR,

 $T_{\rm H\,E}$ following effays, and poem, I beg leave to inferibe to you. Indeed I do little more, than return your own: for the beft remarks, and obfervations in them, are yours. Such as may be cavilled at, I am perfuaded, muft be mine.

A published work is certainly a fair object of criticism: but I think, my dear fir, we picturesque people are a little misunderstood with regard to our general intention. I have A feveral

feveral times been furprized at finding us reprefented, as fuppoling, all beauty to confift in picturesque beauty-and the face of nature to be examined only by the rules of painting. Whereas, in fact, we always speak a different language. We fpeak of the grand fcenes of nature, tho uninteresting in a picturesque light, as having a strong effect on the imaginationoften a ftronger, than when they are properly difpofed for the pencil. We every where make a diffinction between fcenes, that are beautiful, and amufing; and feenes that are picturesque. We examine, and admire both. Even artificial objects we admire, whether in a grand, or in a humble ftile, tho unconnected with picturesque beauty-the palace, and the cottage-the improved garden-fcene, and the neat homeftall. Works of tillage alfo afford us equal delight-the plough, the mower, the reaper, the hay-field, and the harvest-wane. In a word, we reverence, and admire the works of God; and look with benevolence, and pleafure, on the works of men.

In what then do we offend? At the expence of no other fpecies of beauty, we merely endeavour to illustrate, and recommend one fpecies more; which, tho among the most interesting, hath never yet, so far as I know, been made the fet object of investigation. From scenes indeed of the picturesque kind we exclude the appendages of tillage, and in general the works of men; which too often introduce preciseness, and formality. But excluding artificial objects from one species of beauty, is not degrading them from all. We leave then the general admirer of the beauties of nature to his own purfuits; nay we admire them with him: all we defire, is, that he would leave us as quietly in the poffeffion of our amusements.

Under this apology, my dear fir, I have ventured, in the following effays, to inlarge a little both on our theory, and practice. In the first effay (that we may be fairly understrong) the *diftinguifhing characteriftic* is marked, A 2 of

(iv)

of fuch beautiful objects, as are fuited to the In the fecond, the mode of amufepencil. ment is pointed out, that may arife from viewing the fcenes of nature in a picturefque light: and in the third, a few rules are given for sketching landscape after nature. I have practifed drawing as an amufement, and relaxation, for many years; and here offer the refult of my experience. Some readinefs in execution indeed, it is fuppofed, is neceffary, before these rules can be of much service. They mean to take the young artift up, where the drawing-mafter leaves him .--- I have only to add farther, that as feveral of the rules, and principles here laid down, have been touched in different picturefque works, which I have given the public, I have endeavoured not to repeat myfelf: and where I could not throw new light on a fubject, I have hastened over it :---only in a work of this kind, it was ne-ceffary to bring all my principles together.

With

(v)

With regard to the poem, annexed to thefe effays, fomething more fhould be faid. As that fmall part of the public, who perforally know me; and that ftill fmaller part, whom I have the honour to call my friends, may think me guilty of prefumption in attempting a work of this kind, I beg leave to give the following hiftory of it.

Several years ago, I amufed myfelf with writing a few lines in verfe on landfcapepainting; and afterwards fent them, as a fragment (for they were not finished) to amufe a friend.* I had no other purpose. My friend told me, he could not fay much for my *poetry*; but as my *rules*, he thought, were good, he wished me to finish my fragment; and if I should not like it as a *poem*, I might turn it into an *effay in profe*.—As this was only what I expected, I was not disappointed; tho not encouraged to proceed. So

^{*} Edward Forster esq; of Walthamstow.

(vi)

I troubled my head no farther with my verfes.

Some time after, another friend,* finding fault with my mode of defcribing the lakes, and mountains of Cumberland. and Westmoreland, as too poetical, I told him the fate of my fragment; lamenting the hardship of my cafe----when I wrote verfe, one friend called it profe; and when I wrote profe, another friend called it verfe. In his next letter he defired to fee my verfes; and being pleafed with the fubject, he offered, if I would finish my poem (however carelefsly as to metrical exactness) he would adjust the verification. But he found, he had engaged in a more arduous affair, than he expected. My rules, and tecnical terms were ftubborn, and would not eafily glide into verfe; and I was as stubborn, as they, and would not relinquish the fcientific part for the poetry. My friend's

* Rev. Mr. Mafon.

good-nature therefore generally gave way, and fuffered many lines to ftand, and many alterations to be made, which his own good tafte could not approve.* I am afraid therefore I must appear to the world, as having spoiled a good poem; and must shelter myself, and it under those learned reasons, which have been given for putting *Propria quæ maribus*, and *As in præsenti*, into verse. If the rules have injured the poetry; as *rules* at least, I

* Extract of a letter from Mr. Mason.

" word, and phrafe, you have altered; except the awkward " word clump, which I have uniformly difcarded, whenever it " offered itfelf to me in my Englifh garden, which you may " imagine it did frequently: in it's flead I have always " ufed tuft. I have ventured therefore to infert it adjectively; " and I hope, I fhall be forgiven. Except in this fingle " inftance, I know not that I have deviated in the leaft from " the alterations, you fent.—I now quit all that relates to " the poem, not without fome felf-fatisfaction in thinking it is " over: for, to own the truth, had I thought you would have " expected fuch almost mathematical exastitude of terms, as I " find you do; and in confequence turned lines tolerably " poetical, into profaic, for the fake of precision, I should " never have ventured to give you my affistance."

hope

(viii)

hope, they will meet your approbation. I am, dear fir, with the greatest esteem, and regard,

Your fincere,

and most obedient,

humble fervant,

WILLIAM GILPIN.

Vicar's-bill, Oct. 12, 1791.

ESSAY I.

ē.

O N

PICTURESQUE BEAUTY.

E S S A Y L

ISPUTES about beauty might perhaps be involved in lefs confusion, if a diffinction were established, which certainly exifts, between fuch objects as are beautiful, and fuch as are picturesque-between those, which pleafe the eye in their natural *ftate*; and those, which please from fome quality, capable of being illustrated in painting.

Ideas of beauty vary with the object, and with the eye of the fpectator. Those artificial forms appear generally the most beautiful, with which we have been the most conversant. Thus the stone-mason sees beauties in a well-jointed wall, which escape the architect, who furveys the building under a different idea. And thus the painter, who B 2

compares

compares his object with the rules of his art, fees it in a different light from the man of general tafte, who furveys it only as fimply beautiful.

As this difference therefore between the beautiful, and the picturefque appears really to exift, and must depend on fome peculiar construction of the object; it may be worth while to examine, what that peculiar construction is. We inquire not into the general fources of beauty, either in nature, or in representation. This would lead into a nice, and fcientific difcussion, in which it is not our purpose to engage. The question simply is, What is that quality in objects, which particularly marks them as picturefque?

In examining the *real object*, we fhall find, one fource of beauty arifes from that fpecies of elegance, which we call *fmoothnefs*, or *neatnefs*; for the terms are nearly fynonymous. The higher the marble is polifhed, the brighter the filver is rubbed, and the more the mahogany fhines, the more each is confidered as an object of beauty: as if the eye delighted in gliding fmoothly over a furface.

In the class of larger objects the fame idea prevails. In a pile of building we wish to fee (5)

fee neatnefs in every part added to the elegance of the architecture. And if we examine a piece of improved pleafure-ground, every thing rough, and flovenly offends.

Mr. Burke, enumerating the properties of beauty, confiders *(moothnefs* as one of the most effential. " A very confiderable part of the effect of beauty, fays he, is owing to this quality: indeed the most confiderable: for take any beautiful object, and give it a broken, and rugged furface, and however well-formed it may be in other refpects, it pleafes no longer. Whereas, let it want ever fo many of the other conftituents, if it want not this, it becomes more pleafing, than almost all the others without it."*-----How far Mr. Burke may be right in making fmoothnefs the most confiderable fource of beauty, I rather doubt+. A confiderable one it certainly is.

Thus

^{*} Upon the fublime and beautiful, p. 213.

⁺ Mr. Burke is probably not very accurate in what he farther fays on the connection between *beauty*, and *diminutives*. —Beauty excites love; and a loved object is generally characterized by diminutives. But it does not follow, that all objects characterized by diminutives, tho they may be fo B 3 becaufe

Thus then, we suppose, the matter stand with regard to beautiful objects in general. Bι in picturesque representation it feems fomewhat odd, vet we shall perhaps find it equal true, that the reverse of this is the cafe and that the ideas of neat and fmooth, infter of being picturesque, in fact disqualify th object, in which they refide, from any pretenfions to picturefque beauty.----- Nay farthe we do not fcruple to affert, that roughne forms the most effential point of difference between the beautiful, and the picturefque as it feems to be that particular quality which makes objects chiefly pleafing in paint ing .-- I use the general term roughnes; bu properly fpeaking roughnefs relates only t

becaufe they are loved, are therefore beautiful. We ofte love them for their moral qualities; their affections; the gentlenefs; or their docility. Beauty, no doubt, awake love; but it alfo excites admiration, and refpect. This con bination forms the fentiment, which prevails, when we loc at the Apollo of Belvidere, and the Niobe. No man nice difcernment would characterize thefe flatues by diminu tives.—There is then a beauty, between which and dim nutives there is no relation; but which, on the contrar excludes them : and in the defcription of figures, poffeffe of that frecies of beauty, we feel for terms, which recommend the furfaces of bodies: when we fpeak of their delineation, we use the word *ruggednefs*. Both ideas however equally enter into the picturesque; and both are observable in the similar, as well as in the larger parts of nature—in the outline, and bark of a tree, as in the rude summit, and craggy sides of a mountain.

Let us then examine our theory by an appeal to experience; and try how far these qualities enter into the idea of *picturefque beauty*; and how far they mark that difference among objects, which is the ground of our inquiry.

A piece of Palladian architecture may be elegant in the laft degree. The proportion of it's parts—the propriety of it's ornaments—and the fymmetry of the whole, may be highly pleafing. But if we introduce it in a picture, it immediately becomes a formal object, and ceafes to pleafe. Should we wifh to give it picturefque beauty, we must use the mallet, instead of, the chiffel : we must beat down one half of it, deface the other, and throw the mutilated members around in heaps. In short, from a *fmooth* building we must turn it into a B 4 rough rough ruin. No painter, who had the choice of the two objects, would hefitate a moment.

Again, why does an elegant piece of gardenground make no figure on canvas? The shape is pleafing; the combination of the objects, harmonious; and the winding of the walk in the very line of beauty. All this is true; but the *fmoothnels* of the whole, tho right, and as it should be in nature, offends in picture. Turn the lawn into a piece of broken ground: plant rugged oaks inftead of flowering fhrubs: break the edges of the walk : give it the rudenefs of a road: mark it with wheel-tracks: and fcatter around a few ftones, and brufhwood; in a word, inftead of making the whole *fmooth*, make it *rough*; and you make it also picture/que. All the other ingredients of beauty it already poffeffed.

You fit for your picture. The mafter, at your defire, paints your head combed fmooth, and powdered from the barber's hand. This may give it a more ftriking likenefs, as it is more the refemblance of the real object. But is it therefore a more pleafing picture? I fear not. Leave Reynolds to himfelf, and he will make it picturefque: he will throw the hair difhevelled about your fhoulders. Virgil would have have done the fame. It was his usual practice in all his portraits. In his figure of Ascanius, we have the *fusos crines*; and in his portrait of Venus, which is highly finished in every part, the artist has given her hair,

_____ diffundere ventis.*

That lovely face of youth fimiling with all it's fweet, dimpling charms, how attractive is it in life! how beautiful in reprefentation! It is one of those objects, that please, as many do, both in nature, and on canvas. But

* The roughness, which Virgil gives the hair of Venus, and Ascanius, we may suppose to be of a different kind from the squalid roughness, which he attributes to Charon:

Portitor has horrendus aquas, et flumina fervat Terribili fqualore Charon, cui plurima mento Canities inculta jacet.

Charon's roughnefs is, in it's kind, picturefque alfo; but the roughnefs here intended, and which can only be introduced in elegant figures, is of that kind, which is merely oppofed to hair in nice order. In defcribing Venus, Virgil probably thought hair, when *fireaming in the wind*, both beautiful, and picturefque, from it's undulating form, and varied tints; and from a kind of life, which it affumes in motion; tho perhaps it's chief recommendation to him, at the moment, was, that it was a feature of the character, which Venus was then affuming.

would

would you fee the human face in it's higheft form of *picturefque beauty*, examine that patriarchal head. What is it, which gives that dignity of character; that force of expression; those lines of wisdom, and experience; that energetic meaning, so far beyond the rosy hue, or even the bewitching smile of youth? What is it, but the forehead furrowed with wrinkles? the prominent cheek-bone, catching the light? the muscles of the cheek strongly marked, and losing themselves in the shaggy beard? and, above all, the austere brow, projecting over the eye—that feature which particularly struck Homer in his idea of Jupiter*, and which he

^{*} It is much more probable, that the poet copied *forms* from the fculptor, who must be supposed to understand them better, from having studied them more; than that the fculptor should copy them from the poet. Artists however have taken advantage of the pre-possible of the world for Homer to fecure approbation to their works by acknowledging them to be reflected images of his conceptions. So Phidias assured his countrymen, that he had taken his Jupiter from the defcription of that god in the first book of Homer. The fact is, none of the features contained in that image, except the brow, can be rendered by fculpture. But he knew what advantage fuch ideas, as his art could express, would receive from being connected in the mind of the spectator with those furnished by poetry; and from the just partiality of men for fuch a poet.

he had probably feen finely reprefented in fome ftatue? in a word, what is it, but the *rough* touches of age?

As an object of the mixed kind, partaking both of the *beautiful*, and the *picturefque*, we admire the human figure alfo. The lines, and furface of a beautiful human form are fo infinitely varied; the lights and fhades, which it receives, are fo exquifitely tender in fome parts, and yet fo round, and bold in others; it's proportions are fo juft; and it's limbs fo fitted to receive all the beauties of grace, and contraft; that even the face, in which the charms of intelligence, and fenfibility refide, is almost lost in the comparison. But altho the human form, in a quiescent state, is thus

poet. He feems therefore to have been as well acquainted with the mind of man, as with his fhape, and face.—If by *zvarenois emoqevoi*, we underftand, as I think we may, a projecting brow, *which cafts a broad*, and *deep fhadow over the eye*, Clarke has rendered it ill by *nigris fuperciliis*, which moft people would conftrue into *black eye-brows*. Nor has Pope, tho he affected a knowledge of painting, translated it more happily by *fable brows*.—But if Phidias had had nothing to recommend him, except his having availed himfelf of the only feature in the poet, which was accommodated to his art, we fhould not have heard of inquirers wondering from whence he had drawn his ideas; nor of the compliment, which it gave him an opportunity of paying to Homer.

beautiful;

beautiful; yet the more it's *fmooth furface is* ruffled, if I may fo fpeak, the more picturefque it appears. When it is agitated by paffion, and it's mufcles fwoln by ftrong exertion, the whole frame is fhewn to the moft advantage.—But when we fpeak of mufcles fwoln by exertion, we mean only natural exertions, not an affected difplay of anatomy, in which the mufcles, tho juftly placed, may ftill be overcharged.

It is true, we are better pleafed with the ufual reprefentations we meet with of the human form in a quiescent state, than in an agitated one: but this is merely owing to our feldom feeing it naturally reprefented in ftrong Even among the beft mafters we fee action. little knowledge of anatomy. One will inflate the muscles violently to produce fome trifling effect: another will fcarce fwell them in the production of a laboured one. The eye foon learns to fee a defect, tho unable to remedy it. But when the anatomy is perfectly just, the human body will always be more picturefque in action, than at reft. The great difficulty indeed of reprefenting ftrong muscular motion, feems to have struck the ancient masters of fculpture: for it is certainly much harder to model

model from a figure in ftrong, momentary action, which muft, as it were, be fhot flying; than from one, fitting, or ftanding, which the artift may copy at leifure. Amidft the variety of ftatues transmitted from their hands, we have only three, or four in very spirited action.* Yet when we see an effect of this kind well executed, our admiration is greatly increased. Who does not admire the Laocoon more than the Antinous?

Animal life, as well as human, is, in general, beautiful both in nature, and on canvas. We admire the horfe, as a *real object*; the elegance of his form; the flatelines of his

^{*} Tho there are only perhaps two or three of the first antique flatues in very fpirited action—the Laocoon, the fighting gladiator, and the boxers—yet there are feveral others, which are in action—the Apollo Belvidere—Michael Angelo's Torfo— Arria and Pætus—the Pietas militaris, fometimes called the Ajax, of which the Pasquin at Rome is a part, and of which there is a repetition more intire, tho fill much mutilated, at Florence—the Alexander, and Bucephalus; and perhaps fome others, which occur not to my memory. The paucity however of them, even if a longer catalogue could be produced, I think, shews that the ancient fculptors confidered the representation of *fpirited action* as an atchievement. The moderns have been lefs daring in attempting it. But I believe connoiffeurs universally give the preference to those flatues, in which the great mafters have fo fuccefsfully exhibited animated action.

tread; the fpirit of all his motions; and the gloffinefs of his coat. We admire him alfo in *reprefentation*. But as an object of picturefque beauty, we admire more the worn-out cart-horfe, the cow, the goat, or the afs; whofe harder lines, and rougher coats, exhibit more the graces of the pencil. For the truth of this we may examine Berghem's pictures: we may examine the fmart touch of Rofa of Tivoli. The lion with his rough mane; the briftly boar; and the ruffled plumage of the eagle*, are all objects of this kind. Smoothcoated

* The idea of the *ruffled plumage of the eagle* is taken from the celebrated eagle of Pindar, in his first Pythian ode; which has exercised the pens of feveral poets; and is equally poetical, and picturefque. He is introduced as an inflance of the power of music. In Gray's ode on the progress of poefy we have the following picture of him.

Perching on the fceptered hand Of Jove, thy magic lulls the feathered king With ruffled plumes, and flagging wing: Quenched in dark clouds of flumber lie The terror of his beak, and lightening of his eye.

Akenfide's picture of him, in his hymn to the Naiads, is rather a little ftiffly painted.

While now the folemn concert breathes around, Incumbent coated animals could not produce fo picturefque an effect.

But when the painter thus prefers the carthorfe, the cow, or the afs to other objects *more beautiful in themfelves*, he does not certainly recommend his art to thofe, whofe love of beauty makes them anxioufly feek, by what means it's fleeting forms may be fixed.

Suggestions of this kind are ungrateful. The art of painting allows you all you wish. You defire to have a beautiful object painted your horse, for instance, led out of the stable

Incumbent on the fceptre of his lord Sleeps the ftern eagle; by the numbered notes Poffeffed; and fatiate with the melting tone; Sovereign of birds.

West's picture, especially the two last lines, is a very good one.

The bird's fierce monarch drops his vengeful ire, Perched on the fceptre of th' Olympian king, The thrilling power of harmony he feels And indolently hangs his flagging wing; While gentle fleep his clofing eyelid feals, And o'er his heaving limbs, in loofe array, To every balmy gale the ruffling feathers play. in all his pampered beauty. The art of painting is ready to accommodate you. You have the beautiful form you admired in nature exactly transferred to canvas. Be then fatisfied. The art of painting has given you what you wanted. It is no injury to the beauty of your Arabian, if the painter think he could have given the graces of his art more forcibly to your cart-horfe.

But does it not depreciate his art, if he give up a beautiful form, for one lefs beautiful, merely becaufe he could have given it *the* graces of his art more forcibly—becaufe it's fharp lines afford him a greater facility of execution? Is the fmart touch of a pencil the grand defideratum of painting? Does he difcover nothing in *picturefque objects*, but qualities, which admit of being rendered with fpirit?

I fhould not vindicate him, if he did. At the fame time, a free execution is fo very fafcinating a part of painting, that we need not wonder, if the artift lay a great ftrefs upon it.—It is not however intirely owing, as fome imagine, to the difficulty of maftering an elegant line, that he prefers a rough one. In part indeed this may be the cafe; for

for if an elegant line be not delicately hit off, it is the most infipid of all lines: whereas in the defcription of a rough object, an error in delineation is not eafily feen. However this is not the whole of the matter. Α free, bold touch is in itfelf pleafing.* In elegant figures indeed there must be a delicate outline-at least a line true to nature : yet the furfaces even of fuch figures may be touched with freedom; and in the appendages of the composition there must be a mixture of rougher objects, or there will be a want of contraft. In landscape universally the rougher objects are admired; which give the freeft fcope to execution. If the pencil be timid, or hefitating, little beauty refults. The execution then only is pleafing, when the hand firm, and yet decifive, freely touches the characteristic parts of each object.

^{*} A firoke may be called *free*, when there is no appearance of confiraint. It is *bold*, when a part is given for the whole, which it cannot fail of fuggefting. This is the laconifm of genius. But fometimes it may be free, and yet fuggeft only how eafily a line, which means nothing, may be executed. Such a firoke is not *bold*, but *impudent*.

If indeed, either in literary, or in picturesque composition you endeavour to draw the reader, or the spectator from the subject to the mode of executing it, your affectation* difgufts. At the fame time, if fome care, and pains be not bestowed on the execution, your flovenlinefs difgufts, as much. Tho perhaps the artist has more to fay, than the man of letters, for paying attention to his execution. A truth is a truth, whether delivered in the language of a philosopher, or a peasant: and the intellect receives it as fuch. But the artift, who deals in lines, furfaces, and colours, which are an immediate address to the eye, conceives the very truth itfelf concerned in his mode of reprefenting it. Guido's angel, and

^{*} Language, like light, is a medium; and the true philofophic ftile, like light from a north-window, exhibits objects clearly, and diffinctly, without foliciting attention to itfelf. In painting fubjects of amufement indeed, language may gild fomewhat more, and colour with the dies of fancy: but where information is of more importance, than entertainment, tho you cannot throw too *frong* a light, you fhould carefully avoid a *coloured* one. The ftile of fome writers refembles a bright light placed between the eye, and the thing to be looked at. The light fhews itfelf; and hides the object: and, it muft be allowed, the execution of fome painters is as impertinent, as the ftile of fuch writers.




(19)

the angel on a fign-poft, are very different beings; but the whole of the difference confifts in an artful application of lines, furfaces, and colours.

It is not however merely for the fake of his execution, that the artift values a rough object. He finds it in many other respects accommodated to his art. In the first place, his composition requires it. If the historypainter threw all his draperies fmooth over his figures, his groups, and combinations would be very awkward. And in landscapepainting fmooth objects would produce no composition at all. In a mountain-scene what composition could arise from the corner of a fmooth knoll coming forward on one fide, interfected by a fmooth knoll on the other; with a fmooth plain perhaps in the middle, and a fmooth mountain in the diffance. The very idea is difgufting. Picturesque compofition confifts in uniting in one whole a variety of parts; and these parts can only be obtained from rough objects. If the fmooth mountains, and plains were broken by different objects, the composition might be good, on a fupposition the great lines of it were fo before.

Variety

Variety too is equally neceffary in his compolition: fo is contraft. Both these he finds in rough objects; and neither of them in smooth. Variety indeed, in some degree, he may find in the outline of a smooth object: but by no means enough to fatisfy the eye, without including the surface also.

From rough objects also he feeks the effect of light and shade, which they are as well difpofed to produce, as they are the beauty of composition. One uniform light, or one uniform shade produces no effect. It is the various furfaces of objects, fometimes turning to the light in one way, and fometimes in another, that give the painter his choice of opportunities in maffing, and graduating both his lights, and shades .- The richnels alfo of the light depends on the breaks, and little receffes, which it finds on the furfaces of bodies. What the painter calls richnels on a furface, is only a variety of little parts; on which the light fhining, shews all it's fmall inequalities, and roughneffes; and in the painter's language, inriches it.----The beauty also of catching lights arises from the roughness of objects. What the painter calls a catching light is a ftrong touch of light on

on fome prominent part of a furface, while the reft is in shadow. A smooth furface has no such prominences.

In colouring alfo, rough objects give the painter another advantage. Smooth bodies are commonly as uniform in their colour, as they are in their furface. In gloffy objects, tho fmooth, the colouring may fometimes vary. In general however it is otherwife; in the objects of landscape, particularly. The fmooth fide of a hill is generally of one uniform colour: while the fractured rock prefents it's grey furface, adorned with patches of greenfward running down it's guttered fides; and the broken ground is every where varied with an okery tint, a grey gravel, or a leadencoloured clay: fo that in fact the rich colours of the ground arife generally from it's broken furface.

From fuch reafoning then we infer, that it is not merely for the fake of his *execution*, that the painter prefers *rough* objects to *fmooth*. The very effence of his art requires it. As picturefque beauty therefore fo greatly depends on rough objects, are we to exclude every idea of *fmoothnefs* from mixing with it? Are we ftruck with no pleafing image, when the lake is fpread upon the canvas; the marmoreum æquor, pure, limpid, fmooth, as the polifhed mirror?

We acknowledge it to be picturefque: but we must at the fame time recollect, that, in fact, the fmoothness of the lake is more in *reality*, than in *appearance*. Were it fpread upon the canvas in one fimple hue, it would certainly be a dull, fatiguing object. But to the eye it appears broken by shades of various kinds; by the undulations of the water; or by reflections from all the rough objects in it's neighbourhood.

It is thus too in other gloffy bodies. Tho the horfe, in a *rough* flate, as we have juft obferved, or worn down with labour, is more adapted to the pencil, than when his fides fhine with brufhing, and high-feeding; yet in this latter flate alfo he is certainly a picturefque object. But it is not his fmooth, and fhining coat, that makes him fo. It is the apparent interruption of that fmoothnefs by a variety of fhades, and colours, which produces the the effect. Such a play of muscles appears, every where, through the fineness of his skin, gently swelling, and finking into each other he is all over so *lubricus afpici*, the reflections of light are so continually shifting upon him, and playing into each other, that the eye never confiders the smoothness of the surface; but is amused with gliding up, and down, among these endless transitions, which in some degree, supply the room of *roughness*.

It is thus too in the plumage of birds. Nothing can be fofter, nothing fmoother to the touch; and yet it is certainly picturefque. But it is not the fmoothnefs of the furface. which produces the effect-it is not this we admire: it is the breaking of the colours: it is the bright green, or purple, changing perhaps into a rich azure, or velvet black; from thence taking a femitint; and fo on through all the varieties of colour. Or if the colour be not changeable, it is the harmony we admire in these elegant little touches of nature's pencil. The fmoothnefs of the furface is only the ground of the colours. In itfelf we admire it no more, than we do the fmoothnefs of the canvas, which receives the colours of the picture. Even the plumage of the fwan, which C 4

(24)

which to the inaccurate obferver appears only of one fimple hue, is in fact varied with a thousand fost shadows, and brilliant touches, at once discoverable to the pictures que eye.

Thus too a piece of polished marble may be picturefque; but it is only, when the polish brings out beautiful veins, which in *appearance* break the furface by a variety of lines, and colours. Let the marble be perfectly white, and the effect vanishes. Thus also a mirror may have picturefque beauty; but it is only from it's reflections. In an unreflecting state, it is infipid.

In ftatuary we fometimes fee an inferior artift give his marble a glofs, thinking to atone for his bad workmanship by his excellent polish. The effect shews in how small a degree smoothness enters into the idea of the picturesque. When the light plays on the shining coat of a pampered horse, it plays among the lines, and muscles of nature; and is therefore founded in truth. But the polish of marble-fless is unnatural*. The lights therefore

^{*} On all human flefh held between the eye and the light, there is a degree of polifh. I fpeak not here of fuch a polifh as

therefore are falfe; and fmoothnefs being here one of the chief qualities to admire, we are difgufted; and fay, it makes bad, worfe.

After all, we mean not to affert, that even a fimple fmooth furface is in no fituation picturefque. In *contraft* it certainly may be: nay in contraft it is often neceffary. The beauty of an old head is greatly improved by the fmoothnefs of the bald pate; and the rougher parts of the rock muft neceffarily be fet off with the fmoother. But the point lies here: to make an object in a peculiar manner picturefque, there *muft be* a proportion of *roughnefs*; fo much at leaft, as to make an oppofition; which in an object fimply beautiful, is unneceffary.

Some quibbling opponent may throw out, that wherever there is fmoothnefs, there must also be roughnefs. The fmoothest plain confists of many rougher parts; and the roughest rock of many fmoother; and there is fuch a variety of degrees in both, that it is hard to

as this, which wrought marble always, in a degree, poffeffes, as well as human flefh; but of the higheft polifh, which can be given to marble; and which has always a very bad effect. If I wanted an example, the buft of arch-bifhop Boulter in Weftminfter-abbey would afford a very glaring one.

fay, where you have the precife ideas of rough, and fmooth.

To this it is enough, that the province of the picturefque eye is to *furvey nature*; not to *anatomize matter*. It throws it's glances around in the broad-caft ftile. It comprehends an extensive tract at each fweep. It examines *parts*, but never defcends to *particles*.

Having thus from a variety of examples endeavoured to shew, that roughness either real, or apparent, forms an effential difference between the beautiful, and the picturesque; it may be expected, that we should point out the reason of this difference. It is obvious enough, why the painter prefers rough objects to smooth*: but it is not so obvious, why the quality of roughness should make an effential difference between the objects of nature, and the objects of artificial representation.

To this queftion, we might anfwer, that the picturefque eye abhors art; and delights folely in nature: and that as art abounds with *regularity*, which is only another name

^{*} See page 19, &c.

for *fmoothnefs*; and the images of nature with *irregularity*, which is only another name for *roughnefs*, we have here a folution of our queftion.

But is this folution fatisfactory? I fear not. Tho art often abounds with regularity, it does not follow, that all art must necessarily do fo. The picturesque eye, it is true, finds it's chief objects in nature; but it delights also in the images of art, if they are marked with the characteristics, which it requires. A painter's nature is whatever he imitates; whether the object be what is commonly called natural, or artificial. Is there a greater ornament of landscape, than the ruins of a caftle? What painter rejects it, because it is artificial ?-----What beautiful effects does Vandervelt produce from fhipping? In the hands of fuch a mafter it furnishes almost as beautiful forms, as any in the whole circle of picturefque objects ?---- And what could the hiftory-painter do, without his draperies to combine, contrast, and harmonize his figures? Uncloathed, they could never be grouped. How could he tell his ftory, without arms; religious utenfils; and the rich furniture of banquets? Many of these contribute tribute greatly to embellish his pictures with pleasing shapes.

Shall we then feek the folution of our question in the great foundation of picturesque beauty? in the happy union of fimplicity and variety; to which the rough ideas effentially contribute. An extended plain is a fimple object. It is the continuation only of one uniform idea. But the mere *fimplicity* of a plain produces no beauty. Break the furface of it, as you did your pleafure-ground; add trees, rocks, and declivities; that is, give it roughnefs, and you give it alfo variety. Thus by inriching the parts of a united whole with roughnefs, you obtain the combined idea of *fimplicity*, and *variety*; from whence refults the picturesque.—--Is this a fatisfactory answer to our queftion?

By no means. Simplicity and variety are fources of the beautiful, as well as of the picturefque. Why does the architect break the front of his pile with ornaments? Is it not to add variety to fmplicity? Even the very black-fmith acknowledges this principle by forming ringlets, and bulbous circles on his tongs, and pokers. In nature it is the fame; and your plain will juft as much be be improved *in reality* by breaking it, as *upon canvas.*——In a garden-fcene the idea is different. There every object is of the neat, and elegant kind. What is otherwife, is inharmonious, and *roughnefs* would be diforder.

Shall we then change our ground; and feek an anfwer to our queftion in the nature of the art of painting? As it is an art *ftriEtly imitative*, those objects will of course appear most advantageously to the pictures fue eye, which are the most easily imitated. The ftronger the features are, the ftronger will be the effect of imitation; and as rough objects have the ftrongest features, they will consequently, when represented, appear to most advantage.——Is this answer more fatisfactory?

Very little, in truth. Every painter, knows that a fmooth object may be as eafily, and as well imitated, as a rough one.

Shall we then take an oppofite ground, and fay just the reverse (as men pressed with difficulties will fay any thing) that painting is not an art *strictly imitative*, but rather deceptive —that by an assemblage of colours, and a peculiar art in spreading them, the painter gives a semblance of nature at a proper diftance; which at hand, is quite another thing —that

Juft as much fo, as before. Many painters of note did not use the rough stile of painting; and yet their pictures are as admirable, as the pictures of those, who did: nor are rough objects less pictures on their canvas, than on the canvas of others: that is, they paint rough objects smoothly.

۴

Thus foiled, fhall we in the true fpirit of inquiry, perfift; or honeftly give up the caufe, and own we cannot fearch out the fource of this difference? I am afraid this is the truth, whatever airs of dogmatizing we may affume. Inquiries into *principles* rarely end in fatisfaction. Could we even gain fatisfaction in our prefent queftion, new doubts would arife. The very first principles of our art would be queftioned. Difficulties would ftart up *veftibulum ante ipfum*. We should be asked, What is beauty? What is taste?——Let us step asside a moment, and listen to the debates of the learned on these heads. They will at least shew fhew us, that however we may wifh to fix *principles*, our inquiries are feldom fatisfactory.

One philosopher will tell us, that taste is only the improvement of our own ideas. Every man has naturally his proportion of taste. The feeds of it are innate. All depends on cultivation.

Another philosopher following the analogy of nature, observes, that as all mens faces are different, we may well suppose their minds to be so likewife. He rejects the idea therefore of innate taste; and in the room of this makes *utility* the standard both of taste, and beauty.

Another philosopher thinks the idea of *utility* as abfurd, as the last did that of *innate taste*. What, cries he, can I not admire the beauty of a resplendent fun-set, till I have investigated the *utility* of that peculiar radiance in the atmosphere? He then wishes we had a little less philosophy amongst us, and a little more common fense. Common fense is despised like other common things: but, in his opinion, if we made common fense, we should be nearer the truth.

A fourth

A fourth philosopher apprehends common fense to be our standard only in the ordinary affairs of life. The bounty of nature has furnished us with various other senses fuited to the objects, among which we converse : and with regard to matters of taste, it has supplied us with what, he doubts not, we all seel within ourfelves, a fense of beauty.

Pooh ! fays another learned inquirer, what is a *fenfe of beauty* ? Senfe is a vague idea, and fo is beauty; and it is impoffible that any thing determined can refult from terms fo inaccurate. But if we lay afide a *fenfe of beauty*, and adopt *proportion*, we fhall all be right. Proportion is the great principle of tafte, and beauty. We admit it both in lines, and colours; and indeed refer all our ideas of the elegant kind to it's ftandard.

True, fays an admirer of the antique; but this proportion muft have a rule, or we gain nothing: and a *rule of proportion* there certainly is: but we may inquire after it in vain. The fecret is loft. The ancients had it. They well knew the principles of beauty; and had that unerring rule, which in all things adjufted their tafte. We fee it even in their flighteft vafes. In *their* works, proportion, tho varied through through a thoufand lines, is ftill the fame; and if we could only difcover their *principles* of *proportion*, we fhould have the arcanum of this fcience; and might fettle all our difputes about tafte with great eafe.

Thus, in our inquiries into *first principles*, we go on, without end, and without fatisfaction. The human understanding is unequal to the fearch. In philosophy we inquire for them in vain—in physics—in metaphysics—in morals. Even in the polite arts, where the subject, one should imagine, is less recondite, the inquiry, we find, is equally vague. We are puzzled, and bewildered; but not informed. All is uncertainty; a strife of words; the old contest,

Empedocles, an Stertinii deliret acumen?

In a word, if *a caufe be fufficiently underftood*, it may fuggeft ufeful difcoveries. But if it be *not fo* (and where is our certainty in these difquifitions) it will unquestionably *miflead*.

END OF THE FIRST ESSAY.

A S the fubject of the foregoing effay is rather new, and I doubted, whether fufficiently founded in truth, I was defirous, before I printed it, that it fhould receive the *imprimatur* of fir Jofhua Reynolds. I begged him therefore to look it over, and received the following anfwer.

> London, April 19th, 1791.

DEAR SIR,

The I read now but little, yet I have read with great attention the effay, which you was fo good to put into my hands, on the difference between the *beautiful*, and the *picturefque*; and I may truly fay, I have received from it much pleafure, and improvement.

Without oppofing any of your fentiments, it has fuggested an idea, that may be worth confideration—whether the epithet *picturesque* is not applicable to the excellences of the inferior schools, rather than to the higher. The

The works of Michael Angelo, Raphael, &c. appear to me to have nothing of it; whereas Reubens, and the Venetian painters may almost be faid to have nothing elfe.

Perhaps *picturefque* is fomewhat fynonymous to the word *tafte*; which we should think improperly applied to Homer, or Milton, but very well to Pope, or Prior. I fufpect that the application of these words are to excellences of an inferior order; and which are incompatible with the grand ftile.

You are certainly right in faying, that variety of tints and forms is picturefque; but it must be remembred, on the other hand, that the reverse of this-(uniformity of colour, and a long continuation of lines,) produces grandenr.

I had an intention of pointing out the paffages, that particularly ftruck me; but I was afraid to use my eyes fo much.

The effay has lain upon my table; and Ithink no day has paffed without my looking at it, reading a little at a time. Whatever objections prefented themfelves at first view,* were

^{*} Sir Joshua Reynolds had feen this effay, feveral years ago, through Mr. Mason, who shewed it to him. He then made fome

were done away on a clofer infpection: and I am not quite fure, but that is the cafe in regard to the obfervation, which I have ventured to make on the word *picturefque*.

I am, &c.

JOSHUA REYNOLDS.

To the rev^d. Mr. Gilpin, Vicar's-hill.

THE ANSWER.

May 2d, 1791.

DEAR SIR,

I am much obliged to you for looking over my effay at a time, when the complaint in your eyes muft have made an intrufion of this kind troublefome. But as the fubject was rather novel, I wifhed much for your fanction; and you have given it me in as flattering a manner, as I could wifh.

With regard to the term *picturefque*, I have always myfelf ufed it merely to denote *fuch objects*, as are proper *fubjects* for painting:

fome objections to it: particularly he thought, that the term *picturefque*, thould be applied only to the *works of nature*. His conceffion here is an inftance of that candour, which is a very remarkable part of his character; and which is generally one of the diffinguifhing marks of true genius.

fo that, according to my definition, one of the cartoons, and a flower-piece are equally pic-turefque.

I think however I underftand your idea of extending the term to what may be called *tafte in painting*—or the art of fafcinating the eye by fplendid colouring, and artificial combinations; which the inferior fchools valued; and the dignity of the higher perhaps defpifed. But I have feen fo little of the higher fchools, that I fhould be very ill able to carry the fubject farther by illuftrating a difquifition of this kind. Except the cartoons, I never faw a picture of Raphael's, that anfwered my idea; and of the original works of Michael Angelo I have little conception.

But the I am unable, through ignorance, to appreciate fully the grandeur of the Roman fchool, I have at leaft the pleafure to find I have always held as a principle your idea of the production of greatness by *uniformity* of colour, and a long continuation of line: and when I speak of variety, I certainly do not mean to confound it's effects with those of grandeur.

I am, &c.

WILLIAM GILPIN.

To fir Joshua Reynolds, Leicester-square.

ESSAY II.

ON

PICTURESQUE TRAVEL.

ESSAY II.

E NOUGH has been faid to fhew the difficulty of *affigning caufes*: let us then take another courfe, and amufe ourfelves with *fearching after effects*. This is the general intention of picturefque travel. We mean not to bring it into competition with any of the more ufeful ends of travelling: but as many travel without any end at all, amufing themfelves without being able to give a reafon why they are amufed, we offer an end, which may poffibly engage fome vacant minds; and may indeed afford a rational amufement to fuch as travel for more important purpofes.

In treating of picturesque travel, we may confider first it's *object*; and fecondly it's fources of *amufement*.

It's

It's object is beauty of every kind, which either art, or nature can produce: but it is chiefly that species of picture/que beauty, which we have endeavoured to characterize in the preceding effay. This great object we purfue through the scenery of nature; and examine it by the rules of painting. We feek it among all the ingredients of landscape-trees-rocks -broken-grounds---woods---rivers--lakes-plains-vallies---mountains---and diftances. These objects in themselves produce infinite variety. No two rocks, or trees are exactly the fame. They are varied, a fecond time, by combination; and almost as much, a third time, by different lights, and (hades, and other aerial effects. Sometimes we find among them the exhibition of *a whole*; but oftener we find only beautiful parts.*

That we may examine picturesque objects with more ease, it may be useful to class them into the *fublime*, and the *beautiful*; tho, in fact, this distinction is rather inaccurate.

Sublimity

^{*} As fome of these topics have been occasionally mentioned in other picturesque works, which the author has given the public, they are here touched very flightly: only the subject required they should be brought together.

Sublimity alone cannot make an object picturefque. However grand the mountain, or the rock may be, it has no claim to this epithet, unlefs it's form, it's colour, or it's accompaniments have fome degree of beauty. Nothing can be more fublime, than the ocean: but wholly unaccompanied, it has little of the picturefque. When we talk therefore of a fublime object, we always underftand, that it is alfo beautiful: and we call it fublime, or beautiful, only as the ideas of fublimity, or of fimple beauty prevail.

The curious, and fantastic forms of nature are by no means the favourite objects of the lovers of landscape. There may be beauty in a curious object; and fo far it may be picturesque: but we cannot admire it merely for the fake of it's curiofity. The lufus natura is the naturalist's province, not the painter's. The fpiry pinnacles of the mountain, and the caftle-like arrangement of the rock, give no peculiar pleafure to the picturesque eye. It is fond of the fimplicity of nature; and fees most beauty in her most usual forms. The Giant's caufeway in Ireland may ftrike it as a novelty; but the lake of Killarney attracts it's attention. It would range with fupreme delight

delight among the fweet vales of Switzerland; but would view only with a transfient glance, the Glaciers of Savoy. Scenes of this kind, as unufual, may pleafe *once*; but the great works of nature, in her fimplest and purest ftile, open inexhausted springs of amusement.

But it is not only the *form*, and the *composition* of the objects of landscape, which the picturesque eye examines; it connects them with the atmosphere, and seeks for all those various effects, which are produced from that vast, and wonderful storehouse of nature. Nor is there in travelling a greater pleasure, than when a scene of grandeur burst unexpectedly upon the eye, accompanied with some accidental circumstance of the atmosphere, which harmonizes with it, and gives it double value.

Befides the *inanimate* face of nature, it's *living forms* fall under the picturefque eye, in the courfe of travel; and are often objects of great attention. The anatomical fludy of figures is not attended to: we regard them merely as the ornament of fcenes. In the human figure we contemplate neither *exactnefs* of form; nor *exprefion*, any farther than it is fhewn in *action*: we merely confider general fhapes, dreffes, groups, and occupations; which we we often find *cafually* in greater variety, and beauty, than any felection can procure.

In the fame manner animals are the objects of our attention, whether we find them in the park, the foreft, or the field. Here too we confider little more, than their general forms, actions, and combinations. Nor is the picturefque eye fo faftidious as to defpife even lefs confiderable objects. A flight of birds has often a pleafing effect. In fhort, every form of life, and being has it's ufe as a picturefque object, till it become too fmall for attention.

But the picturefque eye is not merely reftricted to nature. It ranges through the limits of art. The picture, the ftatue, and the garden are all the objects of it's attention. In the embellished pleasure-ground particularly, tho all is neat, and elegant—far too neat and elegant for the use of the pencil; yet, if it be well laid out, it exhibits the *lines*, and *principles* of landscape; and is well worth the study of the picturefque traveller. Nothing is wanting, but what his imagination can supply—a change from study for the rough.*

* See page 8.

But

But among all the objects of art, the picturefque eye is perhaps most inquisitive after the elegant relics of ancient architecture; the ruined tower, the Gothic arch, the remains of castles, and abbeys. These are the richest legacies of art. They are consecrated by time; and almost deferve the veneration we pay to the works of nature itself.

Thus univerfal are the objects of picturefque travel. We purfue *beauty* in every fhape; through nature, through art; and all it's various arrangements in form, and colour; admiring it in the grandeft objects, and not rejecting it in the humbleft.

From the *objects* of picturefque travel, we confider it's *fources of amufement*—or in what way the mind is gratified by thefe objects.

We might begin in moral ftile; and confider the objects of nature in a higher light, than merely as amufement. We might obferve, that a fearch after beauty fhould naturally lead the mind to the great origin of all beauty; to the

------ first good, first perfect, and first fair.

But tho in theory this feems a natural climax, we infift the lefs upon it, as in fact we have fcarce ground to hope, that every admirer of *picturefque beauty*, is an admirer alfo of the *beauty of virtue*; and that every lover of nature reflects, that

> Nature is but a name for an effect, Whofe caufe is God.

If however the admirer of nature can turn his amufements to a higher purpofe; if it's great fcenes can infpire him with religious awe; or it's tranquil fcenes with that complacency of mind, which is fo nearly allied to benevolence, it is certainly the better. Apponat lucro. It is fo much into the bargain: for we dare not promife him more from picturefque travel, than a rational, and agreeable amufement. Yet even this may be of fome ufe in an age teeming with licentious pleafure; and may in this light at leaft be confidered as having a moral tendency.

The first fource of amufement to the picturesque traveller, is the *pursuit* of his objectthe expectation of new scenes continually opening, and arising to his view. We suppose the country to have been unexplored. Under this circumstance the mind is kept constantly in an agreeable agreeable fuspence. The love of novelty is the foundation of this pleafure. Every diftant horizon promifes fomething new; and with this pleafing expectation we follow nature through all her walks. We purfue her from hill to dale; and hunt after those various beauties, with which she every where abounds.

The pleafures of the chafe are univerfal. A hare ftarted before dogs is enough to fet a whole country in an uproar. The plough, and the fpade are deferted. Care is left behind; and every human faculty is dilated with joy.

And thall we fuppole it a greater pleafure to the fportfman to purfue a trivial animal, than it is to the man of tafte to purfue the beauties of nature ? to follow her through all her receffes ? to obtain a fudden glance, as the flits path him in fome airy thape ? to trace her through the mazes of the cover ? to wind after her along the vale ? or along the reaches of the river ?

After the purfuit we are gratified with the *attainment* of the object. Our amufement, on this head, arifes from the employment of the mind in examining the beautiful fcenes we have found. Sometimes we examine them under the idea of a *whole*: we admire the composition,

position, the colouring, and the light, in one comprehensive view. When we are fortunate enough to fall in with fcenes of this kind, we are highly delighted. But as we have lefs frequent opportunities of being thus gratified, we are more commonly employed analyzing the parts of scenes; which in may be exquisitely beautiful, tho unable to produce a whole. We examine what would amend the composition; how little is wanting to reduce it to the rules of our art; what a trifling circumftance fometimes forms the limit between beauty, and deformity. Or we compare the objects before us with other objects of the fame kind :---or perhaps we compare them with the imitations of art. From all thefe operations of the mind refults great amufement.

But it is not from this *fcientifical* employment, that we derive our chief pleafure. We are most delighted, when fome grand scene, tho perhaps of incorrect composition, rising before the eye, strikes us beyond the power of thought—when the *vox faucibus hæret*; and every mental operation is fuspended. In this pause of intellect; this *deliquium* of the foul, an enthusiastic fensation of pleasure overspreads E it, it, previous to any examination by the rules of art. The general idea of the fcene makes an imprefiion, before any appeal is made to the judgment. We rather *feel*, than *furvey* it.

This high delight is generally indeed produced by the fcenes of nature; yet fometimes by artificial objects. Here and there a capital picture will raife thefe emotions: but oftener the rough fketch of a capital mafter. This has fometimes an aftonifhing effect on the mind; giving the imagination an opening into all those glowing ideas, which infpired the artift; and which the imagination *only* can transflate. In general however the works of art affect us coolly; and allow the eye to criticize at leifure.

Having gained by a minute examination of incidents a compleat idea of an object, our next amufement arifes from inlarging, and correcting our general flock of ideas. The variety of nature is fuch, that *new objects*, and new combinations of them, are continually adding fomething to our fund, and inlarging our collection: while the *fame kind of object* occurring frequently, is feen under various fhapes; and makes us, if I may fo fpeak, more learned in nature. We get it more by heart. He
He who has feen only one oak-tree, has no compleat idea of an oak in general: but he who has examined thousands of oak-trees, must have feen that beautiful plant in all it's varieties; and obtains a full, and compleat idea of it.

From this correct knowledge of objects arifes another amufement; that of reprefenting, by a few strokes in a sketch, those ideas, which have made the most impression upon us. A few fcratches, like a fhort-hand fcrawl of our own, legible at least to ourfelves, will ferve to raife in our minds the remembrance of the beauties they humbly reprefent; and recal to our memory even the fplendid colouring, and force of light, which exifted in the real fcene. Some naturalists suppose, the act of ruminating, in animals, to be attended with more pleafure, than the act of groffer maftication. It may be fo in travelling alfo. There may be more pleafure in recollecting, and recording, from a few transient lines, the scenes we have admired, than in the prefent enjoyment of them. If the fcenes indeed have peculiar greatnefs, this fecondary pleafure cannot be attended with those enthusiaftic feelings, which accompanied the real exhibition. But. in E 2 general,

general, tho it may be a calmer fpecies of pleafure, it is more uniform, and uninterrupted. It flatters us too with the idea of a fort of creation of our own; and it is unallayed with that fatigue, which is often a confiderable abatement to the pleafures of traverfing the wild, and favage parts of nature.——After we have amufed *ourfelves* with our fketches, if we can, in any degree, contribute to the amufement of others alfo, the pleafure is furely fo much inhanced.

There is ftill another amufement arifing from the correct knowledge of objects; and that is the power of creating, and reprefenting *fcenes of fancy*; which is ftill more a work of creation, than copying from nature. The imagination becomes a camera obfcura, only with this difference, that the camera reprefents objects as they really are; while the imagination, imprefied with the most beautiful fcenes, and chastened by rules of art, forms it's pictures, not only from the most admirable parts of nature; but in the best taste.

Some artifts, when they give their imagination play, let it loofe among uncommon fcenes—fuch as perhaps never exifted: whereas the nearer they approach the fimple ftandard of of nature, in it's most beautiful forms, the more admirable their fictions will appear. It is thus in writing romances. The correct taste cannot bear those unnatural fituations, in which heroes, and heroines are often placed: whereas a story, *naturally*, and of course *affestingly* told, either with a pen, or a pencil, tho known to be a fiction, is confidered as a transcript from nature; and takes possible imagination; which is gratified only with the pure characters of nature.

Beauty beft is taught By thofe, the favoured few, whom heaven has lent The power to feize, felect, and reunite Her lovelieft features; and of thefe to form One archetype compleat, of fovereign grace. Here nature fees her faireft forms more fair; Owns them as hers, yet owns herfelf excelled By what herfelf produced.

But if we are unable to embody our ideas even in a humble fketch, yet ftill a ftrong *impreffion of nature* will enable us to judge of the *works of art*. Nature is the archetype. The ftronger therefore the impreffion, the better the judgment.

E 3

We

We are, in fome degree, alfo amufed by the very visions of fancy itself. Often, when flumber has half-closed the eye, and shut out all the objects of fense, especially after the enjoyment of some splendid scene; the imagination, active, and alert, collects it's scattered ideas, transposes, combines, and shifts them into a thousand forms, producing such exquisite scenes, such sublime arrangements, such glow, and harmony of colouring, such brillinnt lights, such depth, and clearness of statement of artificial colouring.

It may perhaps be objected to the pleafureable circumftances, which are thus faid to attend picturefque travel, that we meet as many difgufting, as pleafing objects; and the man of tafte therefore will be as often offended, as amufed.

But this is not the cafe. There are few parts of nature, which do not yield a picturefque eye fome amusement.

Believe the mufe, She does not know that unaufpicious fpot, Where beauty is thus niggard of her flore.

Believe

(55)

Believe the mufe, through this terrefirial wafte The feeds of grace are fown, profulely fown, Even where we leaft may hope.

It is true, when fome large tract of barren country *interrupts* our expectation, wound up in queft of any particular fcene of grandeur, or beauty, we are apt to be a little peevifh; and to express our discontent in hasty exaggerated phrase. But when there is no disappointment in the case, even scenes the most barren of beauty, will furnish amusement.

Perhaps no part of England comes more under this description, than that tract of barren country, through which the great military road passes from Newcastle to Carlisle. It is a waste, with little interruption, through a fpace of forty miles. But even here, we have always fomething to amufe the eye. The interchangeable patches of heath, and green-fward make an agreeable variety. Often too on these vast tracts of intersecting grounds we fee beautiful lights, foftening off along the fides of hills: and often we fee them adorned with cattle, flocks of fheep, heathcocks, grous, plover, and flights of other wild-fowl. A group of cattle, ftanding in E 4 thě

the shade on the edge of a dark hill, and relieved by a lighter diftance beyond them, will often make a compleat picture without any other accompaniment. In many other fituations also we find them wonderfully pleafing; and capable of making pictures amidst all the deficiences of landscape. Even a winding road itfelf is an object of beauty; while the richnefs of the heath on each fide, with the little hillocs, and crumbling earth give many an excellent lefton for a foreground. When we have no opportunity of examining the grand scenery of nature, we have every where at least the means of obferving with what a multiplicity of parts, and yet with what general fimplicity, fhe covers every furface.

But if we let the *imagination* loofe, even fcenes like thefe, administer great amusement. The imagination can plant hills; can form rivers, and lakes in vallies; can build castles, and abbeys; and if it find no other amusement, can dilate itself in vast ideas of space.

But altho the picturesque traveller is feldom disappointed with *pure nature*, however rude, yet

vet we cannot deny, but he is often offended with the productions of art. He is difgufted with the formal feparations of property-with houses, and towns, the haunts of men, which have much oftener a bad effect in landscape, than a good one. He is frequently difgufted alfo, when art aims more at beauty, than fhe ought. How flat, and infipid is often the garden-fcene! how puerile, and abfurd! the banks of the river how fmooth, and parrallel! the lawn, and it's boundaries, how unlike nature ! Even in the capital collection of pictures, how feldom does he find defign, composition, expression, character, or harmony either in light, or colouring ! and how often does he drag through faloons, and rooms of ftate, only to hear a catalogue of the names of mafters!

The more refined our tafte grows from the *ftudy of nature*, the more infipid are the *works of art*. Few of it's efforts pleafe. The idea of the great original is fo ftrong, that the copy must be very pure, if it do not difgust. But the varieties of nature's charts are fuch, that, ftudy them as we can, new varieties will always arise: and let our taste be ever fo refined, her works, on which it is formed formed (at leaft when we confider them as *objects*,) must always go beyond it; and furnish fresh fources both of pleasure and amusement.

END OF THE SECOND ESSAY.

1

ESSAY III.

ON

THE ART OF SKETCHING LANDSCAPE.

ESSAY III.

THE art of *fketching* is to the picture fque traveller, what the art of writing is to the fcholar. Each is equally neceffary to fix, and communicate it's refpective ideas.

Sketches are either taken from the *imagi*nation, or from nature.——When the *imaginary fketcb* proceeds from the hands of a mafter, it is very valuable. It is his first conception; which is commonly the strongest, and the most brilliant. The imagination of a painter, really great in his profession, is a magazine abounding with all the elegant forms, and striking effects, which are to be found in nature. These, like a magician, he calls up at pleasure with a wave of his hand; bringing before the eye, fometimes a scene from history, or romance; mance; and fometimes from the inanimate parts of nature. And in thefe happy moments, when the enthusias of his art is upon him, he often produces from the glow of his imagination, with a few bold strokes, such wonderful effusions of genius, as the more stroker, and correct productions of his pencil cannot equal.

It will always however be underftood, that fuch fketches muft be examined alfo by an eye learned in the art, and accuftomed to picturefque ideas—an eye, that can take up the half-formed images, as the mafter leaves them; give them a new creation; and make up all that is not expressed from it's own ftore-house. — I shall however dwell no longer on imaginary fketching, as it hath but little relation to my present subject. Let me only add, that altho this essay is meant chiefly to affiss the pictures from nature, the method recommended, as far as it relates to execution, may equally be applied to imaginary fketches.

Your intention in taking views from nature, may either be to fix them in your own memory ----or to convey, in fome degree, your ideas to others.

With regard to the former, when you meet a fcene you wifh to fketch, your first confideration is to get it in the best point of view. A few paces to the right, or left, make a great difference. The ground, which folds awkwardly here, appears to fold more easily there: and that long blank curtain of the castle, which is fo unpleasing a circumstance, as you stand on one fide, is agreeably broken by a buttrefs on another.

Having thus fixed your point of view, your next confideration, is, how to reduce it properly within the compass of your paper: for the fcale of *nature* being fo very different from *your* fcale, it is a matter of difficulty, without fome experience, to make them coincide. If the landscape before you is extensive, take care you do not include too much: it may perhaps be divided more commodiously into two sketches.——When you have fixed the portion of it, you mean to take, fix next on two or three principal points, which you may just mark on your paper. This will enable you the more easily to ascertain the relative fituation of the several objects. In fketching, black-lead is the firft inftrument commonly ufed. Nothing glides fo volubly over paper, and executes an idea fo quickly.—It has befides, another advantage; it's grey tint corresponds better with a wash, than black, or red chalk, or any other pastile. —It admits also of easy correction.

The virtue of these hasty, black-lead fketches confifts in catching readily the characteristic features of a scene. Light and shade are not attended to. It is enough if you express general shapes; and the relations. which the feveral interfections of a country bear to each other. A few lines drawn on the fpot, will do this. "Half a word, fays Mr. Gray, fixed on, or near the fpot, is worth all our recollected ideas. When we truft to the picture, that objects draw of themfelves on the mind, we deceive ourfelves. Without accurate, and particular observation, it is but ill-drawn at first : the outlines are foon blurred: the colours, every day grow fainter; and at last, when we would produce it to any body, we are obliged to fupply it's defects with

The lines of black-lead, and indeed of any one inftrument, are fubject to the great inconvenience of confounding distances. If there are two, or three diftances in the landscape, as each of them is expressed by the fame kind of line, the eye forgets the diffinction, even in half a day's travelling; and all is confusion. To remedy this, a few written references, made on the fpot, are neceffary, if the landfcape be at all complicated. The traveller should be accurate in this point, as the spirit of his view depends much on the proper obfervance of diffances.----At his first leifure however he will review his sketch : add a few strokes with a pen, to mark the near grounds; and by a flight wash of Indian ink, throw in a few general lights, and shades, to keep all fixed, and in it's place.----A fketch

^{*} Letter to Mr. Palgrave, p. 272, 4to.

(66)

need not be carried farther, when it is intended merely to affift our own memory.

But when a sketch is intended to convey, in some degree, our ideas to others, it is necesfary, that it should be fomewhat more adorned. To us the fcene, familiar to our recollection. may be fuggested by a few rough strokes: but if you wish to raife the idea, where none existed before, and to do it agreeably, there should be fome composition in your fketch-a degree of correctness, and expression in the out-lineand fome effect of light. A little ornament alfo from figures, and other circumftances may be introduced. In fhort, it fhould be fo far dreffed, as to give fome idea of a picture. I call this an adorned sketch; and fhould sketch nothing, that was not capable of being thus dreffed. An unpicturefque affemblage of objects; and, in general, all untractable fubjects, if it be neceffary to reprefent them, may be given as plans, rather than as pictures.

In the first place, I should advise the traveller by no means to work his *adorned sketch* upon upon his original one. His first sketch is the standard, to which, in the absence of nature, he must at least recur for his general ideas. By going over it again, the original ideas may be lost, and the whole thrown into confusion. Great masters therefore always set a high value on their sketches from nature. On the same principle the pictures fue traveller preferves his original sketch, tho in itself of little value, to keep him within proper bounds.

This matter being fettled, and the *adorned fketch* begun anew, the first point is to fix the *composition*.

But the *composition*, you fay, is already fixed by the *original fketch*.

It is true: but ftill it may admit many little alterations, by which the forms of objects may be affifted; and yet the refemblance not disfigured: as the fame piece of mufic, performed by different mafters, and graced varioufly by each, may yet continue ftill the fame. We muft ever recollect that nature is most defective in composition; and *must* be a little affisted. Her ideas are too vast for pictures for without the restraint of rules. Liberties however with F_2 truth truth must be taken with caution: tho at the fame time a diffinction may be made between an *object*, and a *fcene*. If I give the ftriking features of the *castle*, or *abbey*, which is my *object*, I may be allowed fome little liberty in bringing appendages (which are not effential features) within the rules of my art. But in a *fcene*, the whole view becomes the portrait; and if I flatter here, I must flatter with delicacy.

But whether I represent an object, or a scene. I hold myfelf at perfect liberty, in the first place, to difpofe the foreground as I pleafe: reftrained only by the analogy of the country. I take up a tree here, and plant it there. T pare a knoll, or make an addition to it. T remove a piece of paling-a cottage-a wallor any removeable object, which I diflike. In fhort, I do not fo much mean to exact a liberty of introducing what does not exift; as of making a few of those fimple variations, of which all ground is eafily fusceptible, and which time itfelf indeed is continually making. All this my art exacts:

She rules the foreground; fhe can fwell, or fink It's furface; here her leafy fkreen oppofe, And there withdraw; here part the varying greens,

(69)

And croud them there in one promiscuous gloom, As best besits the genius of the scene.

The foreground indeed is a mere fpot, compared with the extension of the diffance: in itself it is of trivial confequence; and cannot well be called a feature of the scene. And yet, tho fo little effential in giving a likenefs, it is more fo than any other part in forming a compofition. It refembles those deep tones in music, which give a value to all the lighter parts; and harmonize the whole.

As the foreground therefore is of fo much confequence, begin your adorned sketch with fixing this very material part. It is eafier to afcertain the fituation of your foreground, as it lies fo near the bottom of your paper, than any other part; and this will tend to regulate every thing elfe. In your rough fketch it has probably been inaccurately thrown in. You could not fo eafily afcertain it, till you had gotten all your landscape together. You might have carried it too high on your paper; or have brought it too low. As you have now the general fcheme of your landscape before you, you may adjust it properly; and give it it's due proportion. -----I shall add only, on the subject of fore-F 2 grounds,

grounds, that you need not be very nice in finishing them, even when you mean to *adorn* your sketches. In a finished picture the foreground is a matter of great nicety: but in a sketch little more is necessary, than to produce the effect you defire.

Having fixed your foreground, you confider in the fame way, tho with more caution, the other parts of your composition. In a hasty transcript from nature, it is fufficient to take the lines of the country just as you find them : but in your adorned sketch you must grace them a little, where they run falfe. You must contrive to hide offensive parts with wood; to cover fuch as are too bald, with bushes; and to remove little objects, which in nature push themselves too much in fight, and ferve only to introduce too many parts into your composition. In this happy adjustment the grand merit of your sketch confists. No beauty of light, colouring, or execution can atone for the want of composition. It is the foundation of all picturefque beauty. No finery of drefs can fet off a perfon, whofe figure is awkward, and uncouth.

Having thus digested the composition of your adorned sketch, which is done with black-lead, you

you proceed to give a stronger outline to the foreground, and nearer parts. Some indeed use no outline, but what they freely work with a brush on their black-lead sketch. This comes nearest the idea of painting; and as it is the most free, it is perhaps also the moft excellent method: but as a black-lead outline is but a feeble termination, it requires a greater force in the wash to produce an effect: and of course more the hand of a master. The hand of a master indeed produces an effect with the rudeft materials : but these precepts aim only at giving a few inftructions to the tyroes of the art; and fuch will perhaps make their out-line the most effectually with a pen. As the pen is more determined than black-lead, it leaves lefs to the brufh, which I think the more difficult inftrument.---Indian ink, (which may be heightened, or lowered to any degree of ftrength, or weaknefs, fo as to touch both the nearer, and more diftant grounds,) is the beft ink you can ufe. You may give a ftroke with it fo light as to confine even a remote distance; tho fuch a distance is perhaps best left in black-lead.

But

But when we fpeak of an *out-line*, we do not mean a *fimple contour*; which, (however neceffary in a correct figure,) would in landfcape be formal. It is enough to mark with a few free touches of the pen, here and there, fome of the breaks, and roughneffes, in which the richnefs of an object confifts. But you must first determine the fituation of your lights, that you may mark these touches on the fhadowy fide.

Of these free touches with a pen the chief characteristic is expression; or the art of giving each object, that peculiar touch, whether fmooth, or rough, which best expresses it's form. The art of painting, in it's higheft perfection, cannot give the richness of nature. When we examine any natural form, we find the multiplicity of it's parts beyond the higheft finishing: and indeed generally an attempt at the highest finishing would end in ftiffnefs. The painter is obliged therefore to deceive the eye by fome natural tint, or expreffive touch, from which the imagination takes it's cue. How often do we fee in the landscapes of Claude the full effect of distance ; which, when examined clofely, confifts of a fimple dash, tinged with the hue of nature, intermixed

intermixed with a few exprefive touches ?— If then thefe exprefive touches are neceffary, where the mafter carries on the deception both in form, and colour; how neceffary muft they be in mere fketches, in which colour, the great vehicle of deception, is removed ?—The art however of giving thofe exprefive marks with a pen, which imprefs ideas, is no common one. The inferior artift may give them by chance: but the mafter only gives them with precifion.—Yet a fketch may have it's ufe, and even it's merit, without thefe ftrokes of genius.

As the difficulty of using the pen is such, it may perhaps be objected, that it is an improper inftrument for a tyro. It loses it's grace, if it have not a ready, and off-hand execution.

It is true: but what other inftrument fhall we put into his hands, that will do better? His black-lead, his brufh, whatever he touches, will be unmafterly. But my chief reafon for putting a pen into his hands, is, that without a pen it will be difficult for him to preferve his outline, and diftances. His touches with a pen may be unmafterly, we allow: but ftill they will preferve *keeping* in his landfcape, without without which the whole will be a blot of confusion.——Nor is it perhaps fo difficult to obtain fome little freedom with a pen. I have feen affiduity, attended with but little genius, make a confiderable progrefs in the use of this inftrument; and produce an effect by no means displeasing.—If the drawing be large, I should recommend a reed-pen, which runs more freely over paper.

When the out-line is thus drawn, it remains to add light, and fhade. In this operation the effect of a wa/b is much better, than of lines hatched with a pen. A brufh will do more in one ftroke, and generally more effectually, than a pen can do in twenty.* For this purpofe, we need only

* I have feldom feen any drawings etched with a pen, that pleafed me. The most masterly sketches in this way I ever faw, were taken in the early part of the life of a gentleman, now very high in his profession, Mr. Mitford of Lincoln's inn. They were taken in feveral parts of Italy, and England; and tho they are mere memorandum-sketches, the subjects are fo happily chosen—they are so characteristic of the countries they represent—and executed with so free, and expressive a touch, that I examined them with pleasure, not only as faithful portraits, (which I believe they all are) but as master-pieces, as far as they go, both in composition, and execution.

Indian







Indian ink; and perhaps a little biftre, or burnt umber. With the former we give that greyifh tinge, which belongs to the fky, and diftant objects; and with the latter (mixed more, or lefs with Indian ink) thofe warm touches, which belong to the foreground. Indian ink however alone makes a good wafh both for the foreground, and diftance.

But mere *light and shade* are not fufficient: fomething of *effect* also should be aimed at in the *adorned sketch*. Mere light and shade propose only the *simple illumination* of objects. *Effect*, by balancing *large masses* of each, gives the whole a greater force.—Now tho in the exhibitions of nature, we commonly find only the *simple illumination* of objects; yet as we often do meet with grand effects also, we have sufficient authority to use them: for under these circumstances we see nature in her best attire, in which it is our business to defcribe her.

As to giving rules for the production of effect, the fubject admits only the most general. There must be a strong opposition of light and shade; in which the sky, as well as the landscape, must combine. But in what way way this oppofition must be varied—where the full tone of shade must prevail—where the full effusion of light—or where the various degrees of each—depends intirely on the circumstance of the *composition*. All you can do, is to examine your drawing (yet in it's naked out-line) with care; and endeavour to find out where the force of the light will have the best effect. But this depends more on *taste*, than on *rule*.

One thing both in light and fhade fhould be obferved, efpecially in the former—and that is gradation; which gives a force beyond what a glaring difplay of light can give. The effect of light, which falls on the ftone, produced as an illustration of this idea, would not be fo great, unlefs it graduated into fhade. —In the following ftanza Mr. Gray has with great beauty, and propriety, illustrated the viciflitudes of life by the principles of picturefque effect.

> Still where rofy pleafure leads, See a kindred grief purfue: Behind the fteps, which mifery treads, Approaching comfort view. The hues of blifs more brightly glow, Chaftifed by fabler tints of woe; And, blended, form with artful ftrife, The ftrength, and harmony of life.

> > I may



I may farther add, that the production of an *effect* is particularly neceffary in *drawing*. In *painting*, colour in fome degree makes up the deficency: but in fimple clair-obfcure there is no fuccedaneum. It's force depends on effect; the virtue of which is fuch, that it will give a value even to a barren fubject. Like firiking the chords of a mufical inftrument, it will produce harmony, without any richnefs of composition.

It is farther to be observed, that when objects *are in shadow*, the light, (as it is then a reflected one,) falls on the opposite fide to that, on which it falls, when they are inlightened.

In adorning your fketch, a figure, or two may be introduced with propriety. By figures I mean moving objects, as waggons, and boats, as well as cattle, and men. But they fhould be introduced fparingly. In profusion they are affected. Their chief use is, to mark a road—to break a piece of foreground—to point out the horizon in a fea-view—or to carry off the distance of retiring water by the contrast of a dark fail, not quite so distant, placed before it. But in figures thus designed for the ornament of a sketch, a few flight touches touches are fufficient. Attempts at finishing offend.*

Among trees, little diftinction need be made, unlefs you introduce the pine, or the cyprefs, or fome other fingular form. The oak, the afh, and the elm, which bear a diftant refemblance to each other, may all be characterized alike. In a fketch, it is enough to mark *a tree*. One diftinction indeed is often neceffary even in fketches; and that is, between fullleaved trees, and those of ftraggling ramification. In composition we have often occasion for both, and therefore the hand should be used readily to execute either. If we have a general idea of the oak, for inftance, as a light tree; and of the beech as a heavy one, it is fufficient.

It adds, I think, to the beauty of a fketch to ftain the paper flightly with a reddifh, or yellowifh tinge; the ufe of which is to give a more pleafing tint to the ground of the drawing by taking away the glare of the paper. It adds alfo, if it be not too ftrong, a degree of harmony to the rawnefs of black, and white.

^{*} See the preceding effay.


This tinge may be laid on, either before, or after the drawing is made. In general, I fhould prefer the latter method; becaufe, while the drawing is yet on white paper, you may correct it with a fponge, dipt in water; which will, in a good degree, efface Indian ink. But if you rub out any part, *after* the drawing is ftained, you cannot eafily lay the ftain again upon the rubbed part without the appearance of a patch.

Some chufe rather to add a little colour to their fketches. My inftructions attempt not the art of mixing a variety of tints; and finifhing a drawing from nature; which is generally executed in colours from the beginning, without any ufe of Indian ink; except as a grey tint, uniting with other colours. This indeed, when chaftly executed, (which is not often the cafe) exceeds in beauty every other fpecies of drawing. It is however beyond my fkill to give any inftruction for this mode of drawing. All I mean, is only to offer a modeft way of tinting a fketch already finifhed in Indian ink. By the addition of a little colour I mean only to give fome diffunction to objects; and introduce rather a gayer stile into a landscape.

When you have finished your sketch therefore with Indian ink, as far as you propofe. tinge the whole over with fome light horizon hue. It may be the rofy tint of morning; or the more ruddy one of evening; or it may incline more to a yellowish, or a greyish caft. As a fpecimen an evening hue is given. The first tint you spread over your drawing, is composed of light red, and oker, which make an orange. It may incline to one, or the other, as you chuse. In this example it inclines rather to the former. By washing this tint over your whole drawing, you lay a foundation for harmony. When this wash is nearly dry, repeat it in the horizon; foftening it off into the fky, as you ascend.-Take next a purple tint, composed of lake, and blue, inclining rather to the former; and with this, when your first wash is dry, form your clouds; and then fpread it, as you did the first tint, over your whole drawing, except where you leave the horizon-tint. This still strengthens the idea of harmony. Your fky, and diftance are now finished.

You

You proceed next to your middle, and foregrounds; in both which you diftinguish between the foil, and the vegetation. Wash the middle grounds with a little umber. This will be fufficient for the foil. The foil of the foreground you may go over with a little light red. The vegetation of each may be washed with a green, composed of blue, and oker; adding a little more oker as you proceed nearer the eye; and on the nearest grounds a little burnt terra Sienna. This is fufficient for the middle grounds. The foreground may farther want a little heightening both in the foil, and vegetation. In the foil it may be given in the lights with burnt terra Sienna; mixing in the shadows a little lake : and in the vegetation with gallftone; touched in places, and occafionally varied, with a little burnt terra Sienna.

Trees on the foreground are confidered as a part of it; and their foliage may be coloured like the vegetation in their neighbourhood. Their ftems may be touched with burnt terra Sienna. Trees, in middle diftances are darker than the lawns, on which they ftand. They must therefore be touched twice over with the tint, which is given only once to the lawn. If you reprefent clouds with bright edges, the edges must be left in the first orange; while the tint over the other part of the horizon is repeated, as was mentioned before.

A lowering, cloudy fky is reprefented by, what is called, a grey tint, composed of lake, blue, and oker. As the fhadow deepens, the tint fhould incline more to blue.

The feveral tints mentioned in the above procefs, may perhaps the moft eafily be mixed before you begin; efpecially if your drawing be large. Rub the raw colours in little faucers: keep them clean, and diftinct; and from them, mix your tints in other little veffels.

I fhall only add, that the *ftrength of the* colouring you give your fketch, must depend on the height, to which you have carried the Indian ink *finifhing*. If it be only a flight fketch, it will bear only a light wash of colour.

This mode however of tinting a drawing, even when you tint it as high as these instructions reach, is by no means calculated to produce any great effect of colouring: but it is at least fufficient to preferve harmony. *This* you may preferve: an *effect of colouring* you cannot easily attain. It is fomething however ever to avoid a difagreeable excefs; and there is nothing furely fo difagreeable to a correct eye as a tinted drawing (fuch as we often fee) in which greens, and blues, and reds, and yellows are daubed without any attention to harmony. It is to the picturefque eye, what a difcord of harfh notes is to a mufical ear.

But the advocate for these glaring tints may perhaps fay, he does not make his sky more blue than nature; nor his grass, and trees more green.

Perhaps fo: but unlefs he could work up his drawing with the finishing of nature, he will find the effect very unequal. Nature mixes a variety of femitints with her brighteft colours: and the the eye cannot readily feparate them, they have a general chaftizing effect; and keep the feveral tints of landscape within proper bounds, which a glare of deep colours cannot do. Befides, this chaftizing hue is produced in nature by numberlefs little fhadows, beyond the attention of art, which fhe throws on leaves, and piles of grafs, and every other minute object; all which, tho not eafily diffinguished in particulars, tells in the whole, and is continually chaftening the hues of nature.

Before

(84)

Before I conclude thefe remarks on fketching, it may be ufeful to add a few words, and but a few, on perfpective. The nicer parts of it contain many difficulties; and are of little ufe in common landfcape: but as a building, now and then, occurs, which requires fome little knowledge of perfpective, the fubject fhould not be left wholly untouched.

If a building ftand exactly in front, none of it's lines can go off in perspective : but if it ftand with a corner to the eye, as pictures uildings commonly do, it's lines will appear to recede. In what manner they recede, the following mechanical method may explain.

Hold horizontally between your eye, and the building you draw, a flat ruler, till you fee only the edge of it. Where it cuts the *neareft perpendicular* of the building, which you have already just traced on your paper, make a *mark*; and draw a flight line through that part, parallel with the bottom of your paper. This is called the *borizontal line*, and regulates the whole perfpective. Obferve next the angle, which the uppermost of these receding



ing lines makes with the *neareft perpendicular* of the building; and continue that receding line till it meet the *borizontal line*. From the point, where it interfects, draw another line to the bottom of the *neareft perpendicular*. This gives you the perfpective of the bafe. In the fame manner all the lines, which recede, on both fides, of the building; as well above, as below the *borizontal line*—windows, doors, and projections of every kind, (on the fame plane)—are regulated. The points on the *borizontal line*, in which thefe receding lines unite, are called *points of fight*.

What is here called the *point of fight*, is called by Brook Taylor; and perhaps with more propriety, the *vani/hing point*.

After all, however, from the mode of fketching here recommended (which is as far as I should wish to recommend drawing landscape to those, who draw only for amusement) no great degree of accuracy can be expected. General ideas only must be looked for; not the peculiarities of portrait. Tt admits the winding river-the fhooting promontory-the caftle-the abbey-the flat diftance-and the mountain melting into the horizon. It admits too the relation, which all thefe parts bear to each other. But it defcends G 3

descends not to the minutiæ of objects. The fringed bank of the river-the Gothic ornaments of the abbey-the chafms, and fractures of the rock, and caftle-and every little object along the vale, it pretends not to delineate with exactness. All this is the province of the finished drawing, and the picture; in which the artift conveys an idea of each minute feature of the country he delineates, or imagines. But high finishing, as I have before obferved, belongs only to a master, who can give expressive touches. The disciple, whom I am instructing, and whom I inftruct only from my own experience, muft have humbler views; and can hardly expect to pleafe, if he go farther than a fketch, adorned as hath been here defcribed.

Many gentlemen, who draw for amufement, employ their leifure on human figures, animal life, portrait, perhaps hiftory. Here and there a man of genius makes fome proficiency in thefe difficult branches of the art: but I have rarely feen any, who do. Diftorted faces, and diflocated limbs, I have feen in abundance: and no wonder; for the fcience of anatomy, *even* as it regards painting, is with difficulty attained; and few who have ftudied ftudied it their whole lives, have acquired perfection.

Others again, who draw for amufement, go fo far as to handle the pallet. But in this the fuccefs of the ill-judging artift feldom anfwers his hopes; unlefs utterly void of tafte, he happen to be fuch an artift as may be addreffed in the farcafm of the critic,

_____Sine rivali teque, et tua folus amares.

Painting is both a fcience, and an art; and if fo very few attain perfection, who fpend a life-time on it, what can be expected from thofe, who fpend only their leifure? The very few gentlemen-artifts, who excel in *painting*, fcarce afford encouragement for common practice.

But the art of fketching landscape is attainable by a man of busines; and it is certainly more useful; and, I should imagine, more amusing, to attain fome degree of excellence in an inferior branch, than to be a mere bungler in a superior. Even if you should not excel in execution (which indeed you can hardly expect) you may at least by bringing home the delineation of a fine country, dignify an in- G_4 different different fketch. You may pleafe yourfelf by administring strongly to recollection: and you may pleafe others by conveying your ideas more distinctly in an ordinary sketch, than in the best language.

THE END.

CONTENTS

OF THE FOLLOWING

POEM.

Line

I INTRODUCTION, and addrefs.

- 26 A clofe attention to the various fcenes of nature recommended; and to the feveral circumftances, under which they appear.
- 78 A facility alfo in copying the different *parts* of nature fhould be attained, before the young artift attempts a *whole*.
- 90 This procefs will also be a kind of *teft*. No one can make any progrefs, whofe imagination is not fired with the fcenes of nature.
- 107 On a fuppofition, that the artift is enamoured with his fubject; and is well verfed in copying the parts of nature, he begins to

to combine, and form those parts into the fubjects of landscape. He pays his first attention to *defign*, or to the bringing together of fuch objects, as are fuited to his fubject; not mixing trivial objects with grand scenes; but preferving the *character* of his fubject, whatever it may be.

- 133 The different parts of his landfcape muft next be fludioufly arranged, and put together in a picturefque manner. This is the work of *difposition*; or, as it is fometimes called, *composition*. No rules can be given for this arrangement, but the experience of a nice eye: for tho nature feldom prefents a compleat composition, yet we every where fee in her works beautiful arrangements of parts; which we ought to fludy with great attention.
- 149 In general, a landscape is composed of three parts—a foreground—a middle ground and a diftance.
- 153 Yet this is not a univerfal rule. A balance of parts however there fhould always be; tho fometimes those parts may be few.
- 166 It is a great error in landscape-painters, to lose the *fimplicity* of a whole, under the idea of giving *variety*.

172 Some

- 172 Some *particular fcene*, therefore, or *leading fubjett* fhould always be chofen; to which the parts fhould be fubfervient.
- 195 In balancing a landfcape, a fpacious foreground will admit a fmall thread of diftance: but the reverfe is a bad proportion. In every landfcape there *must* be a confiderable foreground.
- 206 This theory is illustrated by the view of a *difproportioned distance*.
- 233 An objection anfwered, why vaft diftances, tho unfupported by foregrounds, may pleafe *in nature*, and yet offend *in reprefentation*.
- 256 But the the feveral parts of landscape may be *well ballanced*, and adjusted; yet still without *contrast in the parts*, there will be a great deficiency. At the fame time this contrast must be easy, and natural.
- 276 Such pictures, as are painted from fancy, are the most pleasing efforts of genius. But if an untoward subject be given, the artist, must endeavour to conceal, and vary the unaccommodating parts. The foreground he *must* claim as his own.
- 298 But if nature be the fource of all beauty, it may be objected, that imaginary views can have little merit. The objection has weight, if the imaginary view be not formed

(iv)

formed from the felected parts of nature; but if it be, it is nature ftill.

- 312 The artift having thus adjusted his forms, and disposition; conceives next the best effect of light; and when he has thus laid the foundation of his picture, proceeds to colouring.
- 325 The author avoids giving rules for colouring, which are learned chiefly by practice.
- 331 He just touches on the theory of colours.
- 352 Artifts, with equally good effect, fometimes blend them on their pallet; and fometimes fpread them raw on their canvas.
- 362 In colouring, the fky gives the ruling tint to the landscape: and the hue of the whole, whether rich, or fober, must be harmonious.
- 406 A predominancy of fhade has the beft effect.
- 439 But light, tho it fhould not be fcattered, fhould not be collected, as it were, into a focus.
- 444 The effect of *gradation* illustrated by the colouring of cattle.
- **4**63 Of the difpolition of light.
- 488 Of the general barmony of the whole.
- 499 A method proposed of examining a picture with regard to it's general barmony.
- 511 The fcientific part being clofed, all that can be faid with regard to *execution*, is, that, as there are various modes of it, every artift

artist ought to adopt his own, or elfe he becomes a fervile imitator. On the whole, the bold free method recommended; which aims at giving the *charaster* of objects, rather than the *minute detail*.

- 545 Rules given with regard to figures. Hiftory in miniature, introduced in landfcape, condemned. Figures fhould be fuited to the fcene.
- 600 Rules to be observed, in the introduction of birds.
- 625 An exhibition is the trueft teft of excellence; where the picture receives it's flamp, and value not from the airs of coxcombs; but from the judgment of men of tafte, and fcience.

LANDSCAPE PAINTING, A P O E M.

O N

O N

LANDSCAPE PAINTING.

A POEM.

ThAT Art, which gives the practis'd pencil pow'r To rival Nature's graces; to combine In one harmonious whole her fcatter'd charms, And o'er them fling appropriate force of light, I fing, unfkill'd in numbers; yet a Mufe, Led by the hand of Friendfhip, deigns to lend Her aid, and give that free colloquial flow, Which beft befits the plain preceptive fong.

To thee, thus aided, let me dare to fing, Judicious LOCKE; who from great Nature's realms 10 Haft cull'd her lovelieft features, and arrang'd In thy rich mem'ry's ftorehoufe: Thou, whofe glance, Practis'd in truth and fymmetry, can trace In every latent touch, each Mafter's hand, Whether the marble by his art fubdued 15 Be foften'd into life, or canvas fmooth

Be

(2)

Be fwell'd to animation: Thou, to whom Each mode of landfcape, beauteous or fublime, With every various colour, tint, and light, Its nice gradations, and its bold effects, 20 Are all familiar, patient hear my fong, That to thy tafte and fcience nothing new Prefents, yet humbly hopes from thee to gain The plaudit, which, if Nature firft approve, Then, and then only, thou wilt deign to yield. 25

First to the youthful artist I address This leading precept: Let not inborn pride, Prefuming on thy own inventive powers, Millead thine eye from Nature. She muft reign Great architype in all: Trace then with care 30 Her varied walks; obferve how fhe upheaves The mountain's tow'ring brow ; on its rough fides How broad the fhadow falls, what different hues Inveft its glimm'ring furface. Next furvey The diftant lake; fo feen, a fhining fpot: 35 But when approaching nearer, how it flings Its fweeping curves around the fhooting cliffs. Mark every fhade its Proteus fhape affumes From motion and from reft; and how the forms Of tufted woods, and beetling rocks, and tow'rs 40 Of ruin'd caftles, from the fmooth expanse, Shade anfw'ring fhade, inverted meet the eye.

From mountains hie thee to the forest-fcene. Remark the form, the foliage of each tree, And what its leading feature: View the oak; 45 Its mass provide the tree of tree of the tree of tree o

The

э

(3)

'The pendent birch ; the beech of many a ftem ; The lighter ash ; and all their changeful hues In fpring or autumn, ruffet, green, or grey.

Next wander by the river's mazy bank : 50 See where it dimpling glides; or brifkly where Its whirling eddies fparkle round the rock; Or where, with headlong rage, it dafhes down Some fractur'd chafm, till all its fury fpent, It finks to fleep, a filent ftagnant pool, 55 Dark, tho' tranflucent, from the mantling fhade.

Now give thy view more ample range : explore The vaft expanse of ocean ; fee, when calm, What Iris-hues of purple, green, and gold, Play on its glaffy furface ; and when vext 60 With storms, what depth of billowy shade, with light Of curling foam contrasted. View the cliffs ; The lonely beacon, and the distant coast, In mists array'd, just heaving into sight Above the dim horizon ; where the stail 65 Appears confpicuous in the lengthen'd gleam.

With fludious eye examine next the arch Etherial; mark each floating cloud; its form, Its varied colour; and what mafs of fhade It gives the fcene below, pregnant with change 70 Perpetual, from the morning's purple dawn, Till the laft glimm'ring ray of ruffet eve. Mark how the fun-beam, fleep'd in morning-dew, Beneath each jutting promontory flings A darker fhade; while brighten'd with the ray 75 Of fultry noon, not yet entirely quench'd, The evening-fhadow lefs opaquely falls.

Thus

(4)

Thus ftor'd with fair ideas, call them forth By practice, till thy ready pencil trace Each form familiar : but attempt not thou 80 A whole, till every part be well conceived. The tongue that awes a fenate with its force, Once lifp'd in fyllables, or e'er it pour'd Its glowing periods, warm with patriot-fire.

At length matur'd, ftand forth for honeft Fame 85 A candidate. Some noble theme felect From Nature's choiceft fcenes; and fketch that theme. With firm, but eafy line; then if my fong Affift thy pow'r, it afks no nobler meed.

Yet if, when Nature's fov'reign glories meet 90 Thy fudden glance, no correfponding fpark Of vivid flame be kindled in thy breaft; If calmly thou canft view them; know for thee My numbers flow not : feek fome fitter guide To lead thee, where the low mechanic toils 95 With patient labour for his daily hire.

But if true Genius fire thee, if thy heart Glow, palpitate with transport, at the fight; If emulation feize thee, to transfuse Thefe fplendid visions on thy vivid chart; 100 If the big thought feem more than Art can paint, Hafte, fnatch thy pencil, bounteous Nature yields To thee her choiceft flores; and the glad Muse Sits by affiftant, aiming but to fan The Promethèan flame, confcious her rules 105 Can only guide, not give, the warmth divine,

Firft

(5)

Firft learn with objects fuited to each feene Thy landfcape to adorn. If fome rude view Thy pencil culls, of lake, or mountain range, Where Nature walks with proud majeftic ftep, 110 Give not her robe the formal folds of art, But bid it flow with ample dignity. Mix not the mean and trivial: Is the whole Sublime, let each accordant part be grand.

Yet if thro' dire neceffity (for that 115 Alone fhould force the deed) fome polifh'd fcene Employ thy pallet, drefs'd by human art, The lawn fo level, and the bank fo trim, Yet ftill preferve thy fubject. Let the oak Be elegant of form, that mantles o'er 120 Thy fhaven fore-ground : The rough forefter Whofe peel'd and wither'd boughs, and knarled trunk, Have ftood the rage of many a winter's blaft, Might ill fuch cultur'd fcenes adorn. Not lefs Would an old Briton, rough with martial fcars, 125 And bearing ftern defiance on his brow, Seem fitly ftationed at a Gallic feaft.

This choice of *objects fuited to the fcene*, We name DESIGN: A choice not more requir'd From RAFFAEL, than from thee; whether his hand 130 Give all but motion to fome group divine, Or thine inglorious picture woods and ftreams.

With equal rigour DISPOSITION claims Thy clofe attention. Would'ft thou learn its laws, Examine Nature, when combin'd with art, 135 Or fimple; mark how various are her forms, Mountains Mountains enormous, rugged rocks, clear lakes, Caftles, and bridges, aqueducts and fanes. Of thefe obferve, how fome, united pleafe; While others, ill-combin'd, difguft the eye. 140 That principle, which rules thefe various parts, And harmonizing all, produces one, Is Difposition. By its plaftic pow'r Thofe rough materials, which Design felects, Are nicely balanc'd. Thus with friendly aid 145 Thefe principles unite : Design prefents The gen'ral fubject; Disposition culls, And recombines, the various forms anew.

Yet here true Tafte to three diftinguish'd parts Confines her aim: Brought nearest to the eye 150 She forms her foregrounds; then the midway space; E'er the blue distance melt in liquid air.

But tho' full oft thefe parts with blending tints Are foften'd fo, as wakes a frequent doubt Where each begins, where ends; yet ftill fhe keeps 155 A gen'ral balance. So when Europe's fons Sound the alarm of war; fome potent hand (Now thine again my Albion) poifes true The fcale of empire; curbs each rival pow'r; And checks each lawlefs tyrant's wild career. 160

Not but there are of fewer parts who plan A pleafing picture. Thefe a foreft-glade Suffices oft ; behind which, just remov'd, One tuft of foliage, WATERLO, like thine, Gives all we wish of dear variety. 165

For

(7)

For ev'n variety itfelf may pall, If to the eye, when paufing with delight On one fair object, it prefents a mafs Of many, which difturb that eye's repofe. All hail Simplicity! To thy chafte fhrine, 170 Beyond all other, let the artift bow.

Oft have I feen arrang'd, by hands that well Could pencil Nature's *parts*, landfcapes, that knew No *leading fubject*: Here a foreft rofe; A river there ran dimpling; and beyond, 175 The portion of a lake: while rocks, and tow'rs, And caftles intermix'd, fpread o'er the whole In multiform confusion. Ancient dames Thus oft compose of various filken fhreds, Some gaudy, patch'd, unmeaning, tawdry thing; 180 Where bucks and cherries, fhips and flow'rs, unite In one rich compound of abfurdity.

Chufe then fome *principal commanding theme*, Be it lake, valley, winding ftream, cafcade, Caftle, or fea-port, and on *that* exhauft 185 Thy pow'rs, and make to that all elfe conform.

Who paints a landscape, is confin'd by rules, As fix'd and rigid as the tragic bard, To unity of fubject. Is the scene A forest, nothing there, save woods and lawns 190 Must rife confpicuous. Episodes of hills And lakes be far remov'd; all that obtrudes On the chief theme, how beautiful soe'er Seen as a part, difgusts us in the whole.

Thus in the realms of landscape, to preferve 195 *Proportion* just is *Difposition's* task.

And

(8)

And tho' a glance of diftance it allows, Ev'n when the foreground fwells upon the fight: Yet if the diftant fcen'ry wide extend, The foreground muft be ample: Take free fcope: 200 Art muft have fpace to ftand on, like the Sage, Who boafted pow'r to fhake the folid globe. This thou muft claim; and, if thy diftance fpread Profufe, muft claim it amply: Uncombin'd With foreground, diftance lofes pow'r to pleafe. 205

Where rifing from the folid rock, appear Those ancient battlements, there liv'd a knight, That oft furveying from his caftle wall The wide expanse before him; distance vast; Interminable wilds; favannahs deep; 210 Dark woods; and village fpires, and glitt'ring ftreams. Juft twinkling in the fun-beam, with'd the view Transferr'd to canvafs, and for that fage end, Led fome obedient fon of Art to where His own unerring tafte had previous fix'd 215 The point of ampleft profpect. " Take thy fland "Juft here," he cry'd, "and paint me all thou feeft. " Omit no fingle object." It was done; And foon the live-long landfcape cloaths his hall, And fpreads from bafe to ceiling. All was there; 220 As to his guefts, while dinner cool'd, the knight Full oft would prove; and with uplifted cane Point to the diftant fpire, where flept entomb'd His anceftry; beyond, where lay the town, Skirted with wood, that gave him place and voice 225 In Britain's fenate ; nor untrac'd the ftream That fed the goodly trout they foon fhould tafte; Nor

Nor ev'ry fcatter'd feat of friend, or foe, He calls his neighbours. Heedlefs he, meanwhile, That what he deems the triumph of his tafte, 230 Is but a painted furvey, a mere map; Which light and fhade and perfpective mifplac'd But ferve to fpoil.

Yet why (methinks I hear Some Critic fay) do ample fcenes like this In *picture* fail to pleafe; when ev'ry eye 235 Confeffes they transport on *Nature's chart*?

Why, but becaufe, where fhe difplays the fcene, The roving fight can paufe, and fwift felect, From all fhe offers, parts, whereon to fix, And form diffinct perceptions; each of thefe 240 Producing *fep'rate pictures*; and as bees Condenfe within their hives the varying fweets; So does the eye a lovely whole collect From parts difjointed; nay, perhaps, deform'd. Then deem not Art defective, which divides, 245 Rejects, or recombines: but rather fay, 'Tis her chief excellence. There is, we know, A charm unspeakable in converse free Of lover, or of friend, when foul with foul Mixes in focial intercourfe; when choice 250 Of phrase, and rules of rhet'ric are difdained; Yet fay, adopted by the tragic bard, If Jaffier thus with Belvidera talk'd, So vague, fo rudely, would not want of fkill, Selection, and arrangement, damn the fcene? 255

Thy forms, tho' *balanc'd*, ftill perchance may want B The

(10)

The charm of Contrast: Sing we then its pow'r. 'Tis Beauty's furest fource ; it regulates Shape, colour, light, and fhade; forms ev'ry line By opposition just; whate'er is rough 260 With skill delusive counteracts by [mooth; Sinuous, or concave, by its oppofite ; Yet ever covertly: thould Art appear, That art were Affectation. Then alone We own the power of Contrast, when the lines 265 Unite with Nature's freedom : then alone. When from its carelefs touch each part receives A pleafing form. The lake's contracted bounds By contraft varied, elegantly flow; Th' unwieldy mountain finks; here, to remove 270 Offenfive parallels, the hill depreft Is lifted; there the heavy beech expung'd Gives place to airy pines; if two bare knolls Rife to the right and left, a caftle here, And there a wood, diverfify their form. 275

Thrice happy he, who always can indulge This pleafing feaft of fancy; who, replete With rich ideas, can arrange their charms As his own genius prompts, and plan and paint A novel whole. But taftelefs wealth oft claims 280 The *faithful portrait*, and will fix the fcene Where Nature's lines run falfely, or refufe To harmonize. Artift, if thus employ'd, I pity thy mifchance. Yet there are means Ev'n here to hide defects: The human form, 285 Pourtray'd

(11 /)

Pourtray'd by Reynolds, oft abounds with grace He faw not in his model: which nor hurts Refemblance, nor fictitious skill betrays. Why then, if o'er the limb uncouth he flings The flowing veft, may not thy honeft art 290 Veil with the foliage of fome fpreading tree, Unpleafing objects, or remote, or near ? An ample licence for fuch needful change, Theforegroundsgivethee: Therebothmendandmake. Whoe'er oppofes, tell them, 'tis the fpot 295 Where fancy needs must sport; where, if restrain'd To clofe refemblance, thy beft art expires.

What if they plead, that from thy gen'ral rule, That refts on Nature as the only fource Of beauty, thou revolt'ft; tell them that rule 300 Thou hold'ft still facred : Nature is its fource ; Yet Nature's parts fail to receive alike The fair impreffion. View her varied range : Each form that charms is there; yet her beft forms Muft be felected : As the fculptur'd charms 305 Of the fam'd Venus grew, fo must thou cull From various fcenes fuch parts as best create One perfect whole. If Nature ne'er array'd Her most accomplish'd work with grace compleat, Think, will she waste on defert rocks, and dells, 310 What fhe denies to Woman's charming form ?

And now, if on review thy chalk'd defign, Brought into form by Difposition's aid, Difplease not, trace thy lines with pencil free; Add lightly too that general mass of shade, 315 Which Which fuits the form and fashion of its parts. There are who, fludious of the best effects, First sketch a slight cartoon : Such previous care Is needful, where the Artist's fancy fails Precifely to foresee the future whole. 320

This done, prepare thy pallet, mix thy tints, And call on chafte Simplicity again To fave her votary from whate'er of hue, Difcordant or abrupt, may flaunt or glare.

Yet here to bring materials from the mine, 325 From animal, or vegetable dies, And fing their various properties and pow'rs, The Muse defcends not. To mechanic rules, To prose, and practice, which can only teach The use of pigments, she resigns the toil. 330

One truth fhe gives, that Nature's fimple loom Weaves but with three diffinct, or mingled, hues, The veft that cloaths Creation : Thefe are red, Azure, and yellow. Pure and unftain'd white (If colour deem'd) rejects her gen'ral law, 335 And is by her rejected. Doft thou deem The gloffy furface of yon heifer's coat A perfect white ? Or yon vaft heaving cloud That climbs the diftant hill ? With cerufe bright Attempt to catch its tint, and thou wilt fail. 340 Some tinge of purple, or fome yellowifh brown, Muft firft be blended, e'er thy toil fucceed. Pure white, great Nature wifhes to expunge

From

(13)

From all her works; and only then admits, When with her mantle broad of fleecy fnow 345 She wraps them, to fecure from chilling froft; Confcious, mean while, that what fhe gives to guard, Conceals their ev'ry charm; the ftole of night Not more eclipfes: yet that fable ftole May, by the fkilful mixture of thefe hues, 350 Be fhadow'd ev'n to dark Cimmerian gloom.

Drawthenfromthefe, as from three plenteous fprings, Thy brown, thy purple, crimfon, orange, green, Nor load thy pallet with a ufelefs tribe Of pigments, when commix'd with needful white, 355 As fuits thy end, thefe native three fuffice. But if thou doft, ftill cautious keep in view That harmony which thefe alone can give.

Yet ftill there are, who fcorning all the rules Of dull mechanic art, with random hand 360 Fling their unblended colours, and produce Bolder effects by opposition's aid.

The Sky, whate'er its hue, to landscape gives A correspondent tinge. The morning ray Spreads it with purple light, in dew-drops steep'd; 365 The evening fires it with a crimfon glow. Blows the bleak North? It sheds a cold, blue tint On all it touches. Do light mists prevail? A fost grey hue o'erspreads the gen'ral scene, 370 And makes that scene, like beauty view'd thro' gauze, More delicately lovely. Chuse thy states,

(14)

O'er-rule thy pallet. Frequent have I feen, In landfcapes well composed, aerial hues So ill-preferv'd, that whether cold or heat, Tempest or calm, prevail'd, was dubious all. Not fo thy pencil, CLAUDE, the feason marks: Thou mak'st us pant beneath thy summer noon; And shiver in thy cool autumnal eve.

Such are the pow'rs of fky; and therefore Art 380 Selects what beft is fuited to the fcenes It means to form: to this adapts a morn, To that an ev'ning ray. Light mifts full oft Give mountain-views an added dignity, While tame impoverish'd fcenery claims the force 385 Of fplendid lights and fhades; nor claims in vain.

Thy fky adjusted, all that is remote First colour faintly : leaving to the last Thyforeground. Eafier'tis, thou know'ft, to foread Thy floating foliage o'er the fky; than mix 390 That fky amid the branches. Venture ftill On warmer tints, as diffances approach Nearer the eye: nor fear the richeft hues, If to those hues thou giv'ft the meet fupport Of firong oppofing fhade. A canvas once 395 I faw, on which the Artift dar'd to paint A fcene in Indoftan; where gold, and pearl Barbaric, flam'd on many a broider'd veft Profufely fplendid : yet chafte Art was there, Opposing hue to hue; each fhadow deep 400 So fpread, that all with fweet accord produc'd A bright, yet modeft whole. Thus blend thy tints, Be
(15)

Be they of fcarlet, orange, green, or gold, Harmonious, till one gen'ral glow prevail Unbroken by abrupt and hoftile glare. 405

Let fhade predominate, it makes each light More lucid, yet destroys offensive glare. Mark when in fleecy flow'rs of fnow, the clouds Seem to defcend, and whiten o'er the land, What unfubftantial unity of tinge 410 Involves each profpect: Vision is abforb'd; Or, wand'ring thro' the void, finds not a point To reft on: All is mockery to the eye. Thus light diffus'd, debafes that effect Which shade improves. Behold what glorious scenes 415 Arife thro' Nature's works from fhade. Yon lake With all its circumambient woods, far lefs Would charm the eye, did not the dufky mift Creeping along its eaftern fhores, afcend Those tow'ring cliffs, mix with the ruddy beam 420 Of opening day, just damp its fires, and fpread O'er all the fcene a fweet obfcurity.

But would'ft thou fee the full effect of fhade Well mafs'd, at eve mark that upheaving cloud, Which charg'd with all th' artillery of Jove, 425 In awful darknefs, marching from the eaft, Afcends; fee how it blots the fky, and fpreads, Darker, and darker ftill, its dufky veil, Till from the eaft to weft, the cope of heav'n It curtains clofely round. Haply thou ftand'ft 430 Expectant of the loud convulfive burft,

When

When lo! the fun, juft finking in the weft;
Pours from th' horizon's verge a fplendid ray,
Which tenfold grandeur to the darknefs adds.
Far to the eaft the radiance fhoots, juft tips 435
Thofe tufted groves; but all its fplendour pours
On yonder caftled cliff, which chiefly owes
Its glory, and fupreme effect, to fhade.

Thus light, inforc'd by fhadow, fpreads a ray Still brighter. Yet forbid that light to fhine 440 A glitt'ring fpeck; for this were to illume Thy picture, as the convex glafs collects, All to one dazzling point, the folar rays:

'Whate'er the force of oppolition, ftill In foft gradation equal beauty lies. 445 When the mild luftre glides from light to dark, The eye well-pleas'd purfues it. 'Mid the herds Of variegated hue, that graze our lawns, Oft may the Artift trace examples just Of this fedate effect, and oft remark 450 Its oppofite. Behold yon lordly Bull, His fable head, his lighter fhoulders ting'd With flakes of brown; at length ftill lighter tints Prevailing, graduate o'er his flank and loins In tawny orange. What, if on his front 455 A ftar of white appear? The general mafs Of colour fpreads unbroken; and the mark Gives his ftern front peculiar character.

Ah! how degenerate from her well-cloath'd fire That heifer. See her fides with white and black 460 So

(17)

So fludded, fo diffinct, each juftling each, The groundwork-colour hardly can be known.

Of lights, if more than two thy landfcape boaft, It boafts too much : But if two lights be there, Give one prc-eminence : with that be fure 465 Illume thy foreground, or thy midway fpace ; But rarely fpread it on the diftant fcene. Yet there, if level plains, or fens appear, And meet the fky, a lengthen'd gleam of light Difcreetly thrown, will vary the flat fcene. 470

But if that diftance be abruptly clos'd By mountains, caft them into total fhade : Ill fuit gay robes their hoary majefty. Sober be all their hues; except, perchance, Approaching nearer in the midway fpace, 475 One of the giant-brethren tow'r fublime. To him thy art may aptly give a gleam Of radiance : 'twill befit his awful head,... Alike, when rifing thro' the morning-dews 430 In misty dignity, the pale, wan ray, Invefts him; or when, beaming from the weft, A fiercer fplendour opens to our view All his terrific features, rugged cliffs, And yawning chafms, which vapours thro' the day Had veil'd; dens where the Lynx or Pard might dwell In noon-tide fafety, meditating there 236 His next nocturnal ravage thro' the land.

Are now thy lights and fhades.adjufted all? Yet paufe : perhaps the perfpective is juft ;

С

Perhaps

Perhaps each local hue is duly plac'd;
Perhaps the light offends not; harmony
May ftill be wanting, that which forms a whole
From colour, fhade, gradation, is not yet
Obtain'd. Avails it ought, in civil life,
If here and there a family unite
In bonds of peace, while difcord rends the land,
And pale-ey'd Faction, with her garment dipp'd
In blood, excites her guilty fons to war ?

To aid thine eye, diftruftful if this end Be fully gain'd, wait for the twilight hour : 500 When the grey owl, failing on lazy wing, Her circuit takes; when length'ning fhades diffolve; Then in fome corner place thy finifh'd piece, Free from each garifh ray : Thine eye will there Be undifturb'd by *parts*; there will the *whole* 505 Be view'd collectively; the diftance there Will from its foreground pleafingly retire, As diftance ought, with true decreafing tone. If not, if fhade or light be out of place, Thou feeft the error, and may'ft yet amend. 510

Here fcience ceafes, tho' to clofe the theme, One labour ftill, and of Herculean caft, Remains unfung, the art to *execute*, And what its happieft mode. In this, alas! What numbers fail; tho' paths, as various, lead 515 To that fair end, as to thy ample walls Imperial London. Every Artift takes

(19)

His own peculiar manner; fave the hand Coward, and cold, that dare not leave the track Its mafter taught. Thou who would'ft boldy feize 520 Superior excellence, obferve, with care, The ftyle of ev'ry Artift; yet difdain To mimic ev'n the beft : Enough for thee To gain a knowledge from what various modes The fame effect refults. Artifts there are, 525 Who, with exactness painful to behold, Labour each leaf, and each minuter mofs, Till with enamell'd furface all appears Compleatly fmooth. Others with bolder hand, By Genius guided, mark the gen'ral form, 530 The leading features, which the eye of Tafte, Practis'd in Nature, readily translates. Here lies the point of excellence. A piece, Thus finish'd, tho' perhaps the playful toil Of three fhort mornings, more enchants the eye, 535 Than what was labour'd thro' as many moons.

Why then fuch toil mifpent? We do not mean, With clofe and microfcopic eye, to pore On ev'ry ftudied *part*: The practis'd judge Looks chiefly on the *whole*; and if thy hand 540 Be guided by true Science, it is fure To guide thy pencil freely. Scorn thou then On *parts minute* to dwell: The *character* Of objects aim at, not the *nice detail*.

Now is the fcene compleat: with Nature's eafe, 545 Thy woods, and lawns, and rocks, and fplendid lakes,

And

(20)

And diftant hills unite; it but remains To people these fair regions. Some for this Confult the facred page; and in a nook Obscure, present the Patriarch's test of faith, 550 The little altar, and the victim fon: Or haply, to adorn fome vacant fky, Load it with forms, that fabling Bard fupplies Who fang of bodies chang'd; the headlong fleeds, The car upheav'd, of Phaeton, while he, 555 Rafh boy! fpreads on the plain his pallid corfe, His fifters weeping round him. Groups like thefe Befit not landscape: Say, does Abraham there Ought that fome idle peafant might not do? Is there expression, passion, character, 560 To mark the Patriarch's fortitude and faith? The fcanty fpace which perfpective allows, Forbids. Why then degrade his dignity By paltry miniature? Why make the feer A mere appendage? Rather deck thy fcene 565 With figures fimply fuited to its ftyle. The land/cape is thy object; and to that, Be thefe the under-parts. Yet ftill obferve Propriety in all. The fpeckled Pard, Or tawny Lion, ill would glare beneath 570 The British oak: and British flocks and herds Would graze as ill on Afric's burning fands. If rocky, wild, and awful, be thy views, Low arts of hufbandry exclude : The fpade, The plough, the patient angler with his rod, 575 Be banish'd thence; far other guests invite,

Wild

(21)

Wild as those fcenes themfelves, banditti fierce, And gipfey-tribes, not merely to adorn, But to impress that fentiment more ftrong, Awak'd already by the favage-fcene. 580

Oft winding flowly up the foreft glade, The ox-team lab'ring, drags the future keel Of fome high admiral : no ornament Affifts the woodland fcene like this; while far Remov'd, feen by a gleam among the trees, 585 The foreft-herd in various groups repofe.

Yet, if thy fkill fhould fail to people well Thy landfcape, leave it defert. Think how CLAUDE Oft crouded fcenes, which Nature's felf might own, With forms ill-drawn, ill-chofen, ill-arrang'd, 590 Of man and beaft, o'er loading with falfe tafte His fylvan glorics. Seize them, Peftilence, And fweep them far from our difgufted fight.

If, o'er thy canvas Ocean pours his tide, The full fiz'd veffel, with its fwelling fail, 595 Be cautious to admit; unlefs thy art Can give it cordage, pennants, mafts, and form Appropriate; rather with a carelefs touch Of light, or fhade, juft mark the diftant fkiff.

Nor thou refufe that ornamental aid, 600 The feather'd race afford. When flutt'ring near The eye, we own abfurdity refults, They feem both fix'd and moving: but beheld At proper diftance, they will fill thy fky With animation: Give them there free fcope 605 Their pinions in the blue ferene to ply.

Far

(22)

Far up yon river, opening to the fea, Just where the distant coast extends a curve, A lengthen'd train of fea-fowl urge their flight. Obferve their files! In what exact array 610 The dark battalion floats, diffinctly feen Before yon filver cliff! Now, now, they reach That lonely beacon; now are loft again In yon dark cloud. How pleafing is the fight ! The foreft-glade from its wild, tim'rous herd, 615 Receives not richer ornament, than here From birds this lonely fea-view. Ruins too Are grac'd by fuch additions: not the force Of ftrong and catching lights adorn them more, Than do the dufky tribes of rooks, and daws, 620 Flutt'ring their broken battlements among. Place but these feather'd groups at distance due, The eye, by fancy aided, fees them move ; Flit paft the cliff, or circle round the tow'r.

Thy landfcape finish'd, tho' it meet thy own 625 Approving judgment, still requires a test, More general, more decisive. Thine's an eye Too partial to be trussed. Let it hang On the rich wall, which emulation fills; Where rival masters court the world's applause. 630 There travell'd virtuosi, stalking round, With strut important, peering thro' the hand, Hollow'd in telescopic form, survey Each luckless piece, and uniformly damn; Affuming for their own the taste they steal. 635 "This "This has not Guido's air:" "This poorly apes "Titian's rich colouring:" "Rembrant's forms are here, "But not his light and fhadow." Skilful they In ev'ry hand, fave Nature's. What if thefe With Gafpar or with Claude thy work compare, 640 And therefore fcorn it; let the pedants prate Unheeded. But if tafte, correct and pure, Grounded on practice; or, what more avails Than practice, obfervation juftly form'd On Nature's beft examples and effects, 645 Approve thy landfcape; if judicious LOCKE See not an error he would wifh remov'd, Then boldly deem thyfelf the heir of Fame.

N O T E S

ON THE FOREGOING

POEM.

Linė

- 34 SOME perhaps may object to the word glimmering: but whoever has obferved the playing lights, and colours, which often inveft the fummits of mountains, will not think the epithet improper.
- 45 What it's leading feature; that is, the particular character of the tree. The different fhape of the leaves, and the different mode of fpreading it's branches, give every tree, a diftinct form, or character. At a little diftance you eafily diftinguish the oak from the afh; and the afh from the beech. It is this general form, not any particular detail, which the artist is instructed to get by heart. The fame remark holds with D regard

regard to other parts of nature. These general forms may be called the *painter's* alphabet. By these he learns to read her works; and also to make them intelligible to others.

- 61 With light of curling foam contrasted. The progrefs of each wave is this. Beneath the frothy curl, when it rifes between the eve, and the light, the colour is pale green, which brightens from the bafe towards the fummit. When a wave fubfides, the fummit falling into the bafe, extends, and raifes it; and the fides running off from the centre, that part of the water which meets the fucceeding wave, fprings upward from the flock; the top forms into foam, and rolling over falls down the fide, which has been shocked; prefenting if the water be much agitated, the idea of a cafcade.
- 77 The evening-shadow less opaquely falls. It is not often obferved by landscape-painters, tho it certainly deferves obfervation, that the morning-shadows are darker than those of the evening.
- 101 If the big thought feem more than art can paint. It is always a fign of genius to be diffatisfied with our own efforts; and to conceive more than we can express.

146 Design

- 146 Defign prefents the general fubject, disposition, &c. Some writers on the art of painting have varied this division. But it feems most proper, I think, to give the felection of the elements of landscapethe affembling of rocks, mountains, cataracts, and other objects to design: while disposition is properly employed in the local arrangement of them.
- 149 The general composition of a landscape confifts of three parts-the foreground-the fecond ground-and the diffance. No rule can be given for proportioning these parts to each other. There are ten thousand beautiful proportions; from which the eye of tafte must felect a good one. The foreground muft always be confiderable-in fome cafes, ample. It is the very bafis, and foundation of the whole.---Nor is it a bad rule, I think, that fome part of the foreground fhould be the higheft part of the picture. In rocky, and mountainous views this is eafy, and has generally a good effect. And fometimes even when a country is more level, a tree on the foreground, carried higher than the reft of the landfcape, anfwers the end. At the fame time in many fpecies of landscape this D_2 rule

(28)

rule cannot eafily be adapted : nor is it by any means effential.

- 164 Waterlo, like thine. The fubjects of this mafter feldom went beyond fome little foreft-view. He has etched a great number of prints in this ftile of landfcape; which for the beauty of the trees in particular, are much admired.
- 173 Landfcapes, that knew no leading fubject. There is not a rule in landfcape-painting more neglected; or that ought more to be obferved, than what relates to a leading-fubject. By the leading fubject, we mean, what characterizes the fcene. We often fee a landfcape, which comes under no denomination. Is it the fcenery about a ruin? Is it a lake-fcene? Is it a riverfcene? No: but it is a jumble of all together. Some leading fubject therefore is required in every landfcape, which the painter

when the landscape takes it's character from a ruin, or other object on the foreground, the *distance* introduced, is merely an appendage; and must plainly appear to be an under-part; not interfering with the fubject

As fixed, and rigid as the tragic bard.

fubject of the piece. But most commonly the scene, or leading-subject of the picture, occupies the middle distance. In this case, the *foreground* becomes the appendage; and without any striking object to attract the eye, must plainly shew, that it is intended only to introduce the leading-subject with more advantage.

- 190 Thus, in a foreft-fcene, the woods and lawns are the leading-fubject. If the piece will admit it, a hill, or a lake, may be admitted in *remote diftance*: but they must be introduced, only as the epifodes in a poem, to fet off the main fubject. They must not interfere with it; but be *far removed*.
- 197 And the a glance. It is certain, in fact, that a confiderable foreground, with a glance of diftance, will make a better picture, than a wide diftance, fet off only with a meagre foreground: and yet I doubt whether an adequate reafon can be given; unlefs it be founded on what hath already been advanced, that we confider the foreground as the bafis, and foundation of the whole picture. So that if it is not confiderable in all circumftances, and extensive in fome, there feems a defect.

280 A novel whole. The imaginary-view, formed on a judicious felection, and arrangement of the parts of nature, has a better chance of making a good picture, than a view taken in the whole from any natural fcene. Not only the lines, and objects of the natural fcene rarely admit a happy compofition: but the character of it is feldom throughout preferved. Whether it be fublime, or *beautiful*, there is generally fomething mixed with it of a nature unfuitable All this the exhibition of fancy recto it. tifies, when in the hands of a master. Nor does he claim any thing, but what the poet, and he are equally allowed. Where is the ftory in real life, on which the poet can form either an epic, or a drama, unlefs heightened by his imagination? At the fame time he must take care, that all his imaginary additions are founded in nature, or his work will dif-Such also must be the painter's guft. care. But under this reftriction, he certainly may bring together a more confistent whole, culled from the various parts of nature, than nature herfelf exhibits in any one scene.

314 Trace thy lines with pencil free. The mafter is difcovered even in his chalk, or blacklead lines—fo free, firm, and intelligent. We We often admire thefe first, rude touches. The flory of the two old mafters will be remembred, who left cards of compliments to each other, on which only the fimple outline of a figure was drawn by one, and corrected by the other; but with fuch a fuperior elegance in each, that the fignature of names could not have marked them more decifively.

- 318 First sketch a slight cartoon. It is the practice indeed of the generality of painters, when they have any great defign to execute, to make a flight fketch, fometimes on paper, and fometimes on canvas. And thefe fketches are often greatly fuperior to the principal picture, which has been laboured, and finished with the exactest King William on horfe-back at care. Hampton court, by fir Godfrey Kneller, is a ftriking example of this remark. The picture is highly finished; but is a tame, and unmasterly performance. At Houghton-hall I have feen the original fketch of this picture; which I fhould have valued, not only greatly beyond the picture itfelf, but beyond any thing I ever faw from the pencil of fir Godfrey.
- 331 One truth she gives, &c. From these three virgin colours, red, blue, and yellow, all the tints of nature are composed. Greens of

of various hues, are composed of blue, and yellow : orange, of red, and yellow : purple and violet, of red, and blue. The tints of the rainbow feem to be composed also of these colours. They lie in order thus: violet-red-orange-yellow-green -hlue-violet-red: in which affortment we obferve that orange comes between red, and yellow; that is, it is composed of those colours melting into each other. Green is in the fame way composed of yellow and blue; and violet, or purple of blue, and red.----Nay even browns of all kinds may, in a degree, be effected by a mixture of thefe original colours : fo may grey; and even a kind of black, tho not a perfect one.----As all pigments however are deficient, and cannot approach the rainbow colours, which are the pureft we know, the painter must often, even in his fplendid tints, call in different reds, blues, and yellows. Thus as vermilion, tho an excellent red on many occafions, cannot give the rofy, crimfon hue, he must often call in lake. Nor will he find any yellow, or blue, that will answer every purpole. In the tribe of browns he will be ftill more at a lofs; and muft have recourfe to different earths.-In oilpainting one of the fineft earths is known.

at the colour-fhops, by the name of *cafile-earth*, or *Vandyke's-brown*; as it is fuppofed to have been ufed by that mafter.

- 336 And is by her rejetted. Scarce any natural object, but fnow, is purely white. The chalk-cliff is generally in a degree difcoloured. The petals of the fnow-drop indeed, and of fome other flowers, are purely white: but feldom any of the larger parts of nature.
- 358 Keep in view that harmony, &c. Tho it will be neceffary to use other colours, besides yellow, red, and blue, this union should however still be kept in view, as the leading principle of harmony. A mixture indeed of these three will produce nearly the colour you want: but the more colours are mixed, the muddier they grow. It will give more clearness therefore, and brightness to your colouring, to use simple pigments, of which there are great abundance in the painter's dispensatory.
- 36t This mode of colouring is the most difficult to attain, as it is the most fcientific. It includes a perfect knowledge of the effects of colours in all their various agreements, and oppositions. When attained, it is the most easy in practice. The artist, who blends his colours on his pallet, depends more on his eye, than on his knowledge.

(34)

knowledge. He works out his effect by a more laboured procefs; and yet he may produce a good picture in the end.

- 3So Nobody was better acquainted with the effects of fky, nor fludied them with more attention, than the younger Vanderveldt. Not many years ago, an old Thames-waterman was alive, who remembred him well; and had often carried him out in his boat, both up and down the river, to fludy the appearances of the fky. The old man used to fay, they went out in all kinds of weather, fair, and foul; and Mr. Vanderveldt took with him large fheets of blue paper, which he would mark all over with black, and white. The artift eafily fees the intention of this procefs. Thefe expeditions Vanderveldt called, in his Dutch manner of fpeaking, going a fkoying.
- 401 The most remarkable inftance of ingenious colouring I ever heard of, is in Guido's St. Michael. The whole picture is composed of blue, red, and black; by means of which colours the ideas of heaven and hell are blended together in a very extraordinary manner; and the effect exceedingly fublime; while both harmony, and chasteness are preferved in the highest degree.

406 Let

- 406 Let *fbade predominate.* As a general rule, the half-tints fhould have more extent than the lights; and the fhadows fhould equal both put together.—.Yet why a predominancy of fhade fhould pleafe the eye more than a predominancy of light, would perhaps be difficult to explain. I can eafily conceive, that a *balance* of light and fhade may be founded in fome kind of reafon; but am at a lofs to give a reafon for a predominancy of either. The fact however is undoubted; and we muft fkreen our ignorance of the principle, as well as we can.
- 440 This rule refpects an *affected difplay of light*. If it be introduced as a focus, fo as not to fall *naturally* on the feveral objects it touches, it difgufts. Rembrandt, I doubt, is fometimes chargeable with this fault. He is commonly fuppofed to be a mafter of this part of painting; and we often fee very beautiful lights in his pictures, and prints: but as in many of them we fee the reverfe, he appears to have had no fixed principle. Indeed, few parts of painting are fo much neglected, fo eafily tranfgreffed, and fo little underftood, as the diftribution of light.
- 444 Opposition, and gradation are the two grand means of producing effect by light. In the

The tufted groves; but all it's fplendor pours On yonder caffled cliff.

447 The colours of animals often ftrongly illuftrate the idea of gradation. When they foften into each other, from light to dark, or from one colour into another, the mixture is very picturefque. It is as much the reverfe, when white and black, or white, and red, are patched over the animal in blotches, without any intermediate tints. Domeftic cattle, cows, dogs, fwine, goats, and cats, are often difagreeably patched: tho we fometimes fee them pleafingly coloured with a graduating tint. Wild animals, in general, are more uniformly coloured, coloured, than tame. Except the zebra, and two or three of the fpotted race, I recollect none which are not, more or lefs, tinted in this graduating manner. The tiger, the panther, and other variegated animals have their beauty: but the zebra, I think, is rather a curious, than a picturefque animal. It's ftreaked fides injure it both in point of colour, and in the delineation of it's form.

467 But rarely spread it on the distant scene. In general perhaps a landfcape is beft inlightened, when the light falls on the middle parts of the picture; and the foreground is in fhadow. This throws a kind of natural retiring hue throughout the landscape: and tho the distance be in fbadow, yet that fhadow is fo faint, that the retiring hue is still preferved. This however is only a general rule. In hiftory-painting the light is properly thrown upon the figures on the foreground; which are the *capital part* of the picture. In landfcape the middle grounds commonly form the scene, or the capital part; and the foreground is little more, than an appendage. Sometimes however it happens, that a ruin, or fome other capital object on the foreground, makes the principal part of the scene. When that is the cafe,

(38)

cafe, it fhould be diffinguished by light; unlefs it be fo fituated as to receive more diffinction from shade.

- 482 A fiercer fplendor opens to our view all bis terrific features. It is very amufing, in mountainous countries, to obferve the appearance, which the fame mountain often makes under different circumftances. When it is invefted with light mifts; or even when it is not illumined, we fee it's whole fummit perhaps under one grey tint. But as it receives the fun, efpecially an evening-fun, we fee a variety of fractures, and chafms gradually opening, of which we difcovered not the leaft appearance before.
- 488 Tho the objects may leffen in due proportion, which is called *keeping*; tho the graduating hue of retiring objects, or the *aerial perfpettive*, may be juft; and tho the light may be diffributed according to the rules of art; yet ftill there may not be that general refult of harmony, which denotes the picture one object: and as the eye may be mifled, when it has the *feveral parts* before it, the beft way of examining it as a *perfet whole*, is to examine it in fuch a light, as will not admit the inveftigation of *parts*.

529 Others,

- 529 Others, &c. Some painters copy exactly what they fee. In this there is more mechanical precifion, than genius. Others take a general, comprehensive view of their object; and marking just the characteristic points, lead the spectator, if he be a man of taste, and genius likewise, into a truer knowledge of it, than the copier can do, with all his painful exactness.
- 563 Why then degrade, &c. If by bringing the figures forward on the foreground, you give room for *charatler*, and *expression*, you put them out of place as *appendages*, for which they were intended.
- 581 Oft flowly winding, &c. The machine itfelf here defcribed is picturefque: and when it is feen in winding motion, or (in other words) when half of it is feen in perfpective, it receives additional beauty from contraft. In the fame manner a cavalcade, or an army on it's march, may be confidered as one object; and derive beauty from the fame fource. Mr. Gray has given us a very picturefque view of this kind, in defcribing the march of Edward I;

As down the fleep of Snowdon's fhaggy fide He wound with toilfome march his long array. Stout Gloucefter flood aghaft in fpeechlefs trance: To arms! cried Mortimer; and couched his quivering lance. Through Through a paffage in the mountain we fee the troops winding round at a great diftance. Among those nearer the eye, we diftinguish the horse and foot; and on the foreground, the action, and expression of the principal commanders.

The ancients feem to have known very little of that fource of the picture fque, which arifes from perfpective : every thing is introduced in front before the eye: and among the early painters we fee very little more attention paid to it. Raphael is far from making a full ufe of the knowledge of it; and I believe Julio Romano makes ftill lefs.

I do not remember meeting any where with a more picturefque defcription of a line of march, than in Vaillant's travels into the interior parts of Africa. He was paffing with a numerous caravan, along the borders of Caffraria. I first, fays he, made the people of the hord, which accompanied me, fet out with their cattle : and a little after my cattle followed; cows, sheep, and goats; with all the women of the hord, mounted on oxen with their children. My waggons, with the rest of my people, closed the rear. I myself, mounted on horfeback, rode backwards, and forewards. This caravan on it's march, exhibited often a fingular, and amufing fpectacle. The turns it was obliged to make in following the windings of the woods, and rocks, continually gave it new forms. Sometimes it intirely difappeared : then fuddenly, at a diftance, from the fummit of a hill, I again difcovered my vanguard flowly advancing perhaps towards a diftant mountain : while the main body, following the track, were juft below me.

595 This rule indeed applies to all other objects: but as the ship is fo large a machine, and at the fame time fo complicated a one, it's character is lefs obvious, than that of most other objects. It is much better therefore, where a veffel is neceffary, to put in a few touches for a skiff; than to infert fome difagreeable form for a fhip, to which it has no refemblance. At the fame time, it is not at all neceffary to make your ship fo accurate, that a feaman could find no fault with it. It is the fame in figures : as appendages of landscape there is no necessity to havethem exactly accurate; but if they have not the general form, and character of what they reprefent, the landscape is better without them.

- 603 They seem, &c. Rapid motion alone, and that near the eye, is here cenfured. We fhould be careful not to narrow too much the circumfcribed fphere of art. There is an art of feeing, as well as of painting. The eye must in part enter into the deception. The art of painting must, in fome degree, be confidered as an act of convention. General forms only are imitated, and much is to be fupplied by the imagination of the fpectator.----It is thus in drama. How abfurdly would the fpectator act, if instead of affisting the illufion of the stage, he should infift on being deceived, without being a party in the deception ?--- if he refufed to believe, that the light he faw, was the fun; or the fcene before him, the Roman capital, becaufe he knew the one was a candle-light, and the other, a painted cloth? The painter therefore must in many things fuppofe deception; and only avoid it, where it is too palpably gross for the eye to fuffer.
- 636 Guido's air, no doubt, is often very pleafing. He is thought to have excelled in imagining the angelic character; and, as if aware of this fuperiority, was fond of painting angels. After all, however, they, whofe tafte is formed on the fimplicity of

of the antique, think Guido's air, in general fomewhat theatrical.

- 638 Skilful they, &c. The greateft obftruction to the progrefs of art arifes from the prejudices of conceited judges; who, in fact, know lefs about the matter, than they, who know nothing: inafmuch as truth is lefs obvious to error, than it is to ignorance. Till they can be prevailed on to return upon their fteps, and look for that criterion in nature, which they feek in the half-perifhed works of great names; the painter will be difcouraged from purfuing knowledge in thofe paths, where Raphael, and Titian found it.
- 639 What if these compare, &c. Bruyere observes, that the inferior critic judges only by comparison. In one fense all judgment must be formed on comparison. But Bruyere, who is fpeaking of poetry, means, that the inferior critic has no fcale of judging of a work of art, but by comparing it with fome other work of the fame kind. He judges of Virgil by a comparison with Homer; and of Spencer by comparing him with Taffo. By fuch criticifm he may indeed arrive at certain truths; but he will never form that mafterly judgment, which he might do by comparing the work before him with

with the great archetypes of nature, and the folid rules of his art.----What Bruyere fays of the critic in poetry, is very applicable to the critic in painting. The inferior critic, who has travelled. and feen the works of many great mafters. fuppofes he has treafured up from them the ideas of perfection; and instead of judging of a picture by the rules of painting, and it's agreement with nature, he judges of it by the arbitrary ideas he has conceived; and thefe too very probably much injured in the conception. From this comparative mode of criticizing, the art receives no advancement. All we gain, is, that one artift paints better than another.

END OF THE NOTES.

EXPLANATION

OF THE

PRINTS.

- Two facing page 19. It is the intention of these two prints to illustrate how very adverse the idea of *imoothnes* is to the *composition* of landscape. In the fecond of them the *great lines* of the landscape are exactly the fame as in the first; only they are *more broken*.
- Two facing p. 75. The first of these prints is meant to illustrate the idea of *fimple illumination*. The light falls strongly on *various* parts; as indeed it often does in nature. But as it is the painter's business to take nature in her most beautiful form, he chuses to throw his light more into a *mass*, as represented in the fecond print, which exhibits the *fame landscape*, only better inlightened. When we merely take the *lines* of a landscape from nature; and *inlighten* it *

(as we muft often do) from our own tafte, and judgment, the maffing of the light muft be well attended to, as one of the great fources of beauty. It muft not be fcattered in fpots; but muft be brought more together, as on the rocky fide of the hill in the fecond print: and yet it muft graduate alfo in different parts; fo as not to appear affected.

- One print facing p. 77. The idea of gradation is here farther illustrated; according to the explanation in p. 76.—The infcription is that admired one of Cæcilia Metella, the daughter of Metellus, and the wife of Craffus; in which, with fo much elegant, and tender fimplicity, her name is divided between her father, and her husband.
- One facing p. 79. This print exemplifies a *fimple* mode of tinting a drawing, as explained in the text. The colouring of this print (which is done by hand) has added a little to the expence of the book: but it was thought neceffary to compleat the fcheme. —It was coloured by a relation of mine; Mr. Gilpin, drawing-mafter at Paddingtongreen; who in all the copies I have feen, has illuftrated my ideas very fatisfactorily; and who, as far as the recommendation of a partial kinfman may go, deferves mine.

One

(iii)

One facing p. 85. This print is an explanation of a few rules in perfpective; just fufficient for the ufe of common landscape.

E R R A T A.

- For, because he could not have given it, read, because it receives. page 16.
- For, if the colour be not changeable, it is the harmony we admire, read, if the colours be not changeable, it is the harmony of them, which we admire. p. 23.
- For, circumstance of the composition, read, circumstances of the compofition. p. 76.

BOOKS published by the fame Author.

Life of John Trueman, &c. pr. 10d. or 26 copies for 1f. or 108 for 4f.

