

Pzactice common-placed:

OR, THE

RULES and CASES

O F

PRACTICE

IN THE COURTS OF

King's Bench and Common Pleas,

METHODICALLY ARRANGED.

IN TWO VOLUMES.

By GEORGE CROMPTON, Esquire, of the INNER TEMPLE.

VOLUME THE SECOND.

LONDON:

Printed by W. STRAHAN and M. WOODFALL, Law-Printers to the King's most Excellent Majesty.

And Sold by P. UREL, Inner-Temple, Lane, and E. BROOKE, Bell-yard.

M. DCC, LXXX.

Of Paisoners.

Of Proceedings against Prisoners.

ORMERLY, by the practice of the respective courts, when a defendant was arrested upon mesne process, and for want of bail was committed to gaol, unless the plaintiff, before the end of two terms after the arrest, caused the defendant, by writ of babeas corpus, to be removed, to be charged with a declaration: fuch prisoner upon common bail, or appearance by attorney, was difcharged from his imprisonment.

But by 4 & 5 W. & M. c. 21. it is enacted, " That where any defendant, or defendants, be taken, or charged in custody, at the suit of any person or persons, upon any writ * or writs, out of any of the faid courts at Westminster, and imprisoned, or detained in prison, for want of sureties for their appearance to the same, the plaintiff or plaintiffs, in fuch writ or writs, shall and may, by virtue of the said a&, before the end of the next term after fuch writ or process shall be returnable, declare against such prisoner or prisoners, in the respective court or courts out of which the writ or writs shall issue, whereupon the said prisoner or prisoners shall be taken and imprisoned, or charged in custody; and shall and may cause a true copy thereof to be delivered to

Vol. II.

^{*} But if the ac etiam of the writ be debt, you cannot declare in case on that writ, per all the oincers; because the statute says, you shall declare on such writ. Sed quære? **fuch**

Of Proceedings against Prisoners.

fuch prisoner or prisoners, or to the gaoler or keeper of the prison or gaol, in whose custody such prisoner shall be or remain; to which declaration or declarations, the said prisoner or prisoners shall appear and plead; and if such prisoner or prisoners shall not appear and plead to the same, the plaintiff or plaintiffs, in such cases, shall have judgment, in such manner as if the prisoner or prisoners had appeared in the said respective courts, and resused to answer or plead to such declaration."

And by fect. 3. it is further enacted, "That in all declarations against any prisoner or prisoners, detained in prison by virtue of any writ or process issued out of the court of King's Bench, it shall be alledged in custody of what sheriff, bailiss, or steward of any franchise, or other person, having the return and execution of writs, such prisoner or prisoners shall be at the time of such declaration, by virtue of the process of the said court, at the suit of the plaintiss, which allegation shall be as good and effectual, to all intents and purposes, as if such prisoner or prisoners were in the custody of the marshal of the marshalsea of our sovereign lord, &c."

A prisoner in custody, on a criminal account, cannot be charged with a civil action, without leave of the court. Salk. 354.

A person attainted, even of high treason, may be charged with a civil astion, by leave of the court. Ramsden and another v. MacJonald, 1 Wils. 217.

A prisoner, on a charge of felony, may be charged with a latitat. Daintree v. Justice, Hil. 9 Geo. 3.

The court will not give leave to charge a prisoner with an action, who has a pardon upon condition of transportation, because it would defeat the effect of the pardon, and render the prisoner incapable of performing the condition on which he was pardoned. Ld. Raym. 848.

A prisoner, committed for a contempt, cannot be charged with a declaration, without leave of the court. Pract. Reg. 325,

Of Proceedings against Prisoners.

But if he accepts a declaration, and fuffers plaintiff to take judgment, he has no remedy. Rules and Orders K. B. and C. B. 2 volume 31.

One in custody, on an attachment, cannot be charged in execution, without leave. Pract. Reg. 825.

4

Of the Rules concerning Prisoners.

PON the foregoing statute the judges of B. R. made the following rules. Easter, 5 W. & M.

1. That no copy of a declaration be delivered to any prisoner in custody, before the day of the return of the process upon which the defendant was taken or charged in

custody.

2. That no rule be given, for the defendant in custody to appear and plead to any declaration against him, until an affidavit be filed with the clerk of the rules, of the delivering a copy of such declaration, and of the time when, and the person to whom, the said copy was delivered; and that the defendant was arrested, or charged in custody, by process out of this court, returnable before the delivery of such copy; and that the time of filing such affidavit be entered upon the affidavit by the clerk of the rules; and that a copy of such affidavit be produced to the prothonotary or secon-

dary before the figning the judgment.

- 3. If a copy of the declaration be delivered against such defendant, before "one month of Easter," or, "the morrow of All Souls," and affidavit be made thereof and filed; and the defendant doth not appear before the end of ten days after Easter and Michaelmas term respectively, judgment may be entered against him, if rules have been given; but if he doth appear before the end of ten days after the term, he shall imparl until the next term [unless the action be in London or Middlesex] and the desendant be in prison within forty miles of London or Westminster; then, though he doth appear before the expiration of ten days after the end of the term, he shall plead two days before the essoin day of the next term, and in default thereof [rules for pleading having been given] judgment may be entered against him as aforesaid.
- .4. If a copy of the declaration be delivered against such defendant, on or before "one month of Easter," in Easter term, or "the morrow of All Souls," in Michaelmas term, or in Hilary, or Trinity term; and thereupon the plaintiff gives a rule to appear and answer; then if the desendant appears two days before the essoign day of the next term, he shall imparl until the said next term; but if he doth not appear within that time, judgment shall be given against him.
- 5. If a writ be returnable in any term, and a copy of the declaration has been delivered before the effoign day of the

Of the Rules concerning Prisoners.

PON the foregoing statute the judges of C. B. made the following rules. East. 5 W. & M.

1. No copy of a declaration shall be delivered to any

- 1. No copy of a declaration shall be delivered to any prisoner, until after the process, upon which such prisoner shall be taken or charged in custody, be returnable.
- 2. No rule shall be given for the defendant, in custody, to appear and plead to any declaration against him, until an affidavit be filed, with the proper secondary, of the delivery of the copy of such declaration, and of the time when, and the person to whom, the said copy was delivered; and a copy of the said affidavit shall be produced to the prothonotary before judgment signed, together with a certificate from the proper officer, that no appearance is entered with him.
- 3. If a copy of a declaration be delivered before mensem Paschæ or crassinum animarum, and affidavit thereof made and filed; and the desendant doth not enter his appearance with the proper officer within ten days after Easter or Michaelmas term respectively, judgment may be entered against him upon such certificate, if rules have been given; but if he does not enter his appearance, as aforesaid, within ten days after such term, he shall impart until the next term, unless the action be in London or Middlesex, and the desendant be in prison within forty miles of the cities of London or Westminster; and then, though the prisoner doth appear within ten days after the end of the term, he shall plead two days before the essential day of the next term; and in default thereof, rules having been given, judgment may be entered against him as aforesaid.
- 4. If the copy of the declaration be delivered on or after mensem Paschæ in Easter term, or crastinum animarum in Michaelmas term, or in Hilary term, or in Trinity, and the plaintist shall thereupon give a rule to appear and plead; if the defendant enters his appearance two days preceding the essential of the next term, he shall imparl until the next term; but if he shall not appear within that time, judgment may be entered against him as aforesaid.
- 5. If the writ be returnable in one term, and a copy of the declaration be delivered before the effoign day of the B 3

Of the Rules concerning Prisoners.

next term, the plaintiff, in fuch next term, may give rules to appear and plead; and if the defendant does not appear and plead, upon the expiration of the rules, judgment shall

be given against him.

6. If the declaration be not filed before the end of the next term, after the writ or process by which the prisoner was taken or charged in custody, is returnable, and affidavit made and filed in manner as aforesaid, before the end of forty days next, after such term, the prisoner shall be discharged by common bail signed by one of the justices of this court.

7. If any gaoler or keeper of a prison, having received a copy of a declaration against any prisoner in his custody, shall suppress the same, and not deliver it forthwith unto such prisoner, an attachment shall be issued against him.

Of Proceeding against Prisoners in Custody of the Sheriff, &c. at the Suit of the Party.

Upon being arrested, if the defendant cannot find bail, but goes to prison, and does not remove himself by habeas corpus, or the plaintiff does not remove him by habeas corpus into the custody of the marshal, the plaintiff may, under this statute of king William, declare against him in the custody of the sheriff or bailiff where the prisoner is; and if the suit is by bill or latitat, he must express in his declaration in whose custody he is, by virtue of the said process, at the suit of the plaintiff, which shall be as effectual as if the prisoner was in custody of the marshal.

If the prisoner was arrested, and in custody at the suit of the plaintiff, there is no need of an affidavit on delivering the declaration, [as there must be when he is already in custody at the suit of another] because there was one on the writ. Rules and orders K. B. and C. B. 2 vol. 144.

Of the Rules concerning Prisoners.

next term, the plaintiff, in such declaration, may give a rule to appear; and if the defendant doth not enter his appearance, and plead by the time the rules are out, judg-

ment may be entered against him.

6. If the declaration be not entered, or left in the office, before the end of the next term, after the return of the writ or process, [by which the defendant shall be taken or charged in custody] and an affidavit made and filed in manner afore-faid, before the end of twenty days after such term [Easter term excepted, and within ten days after Easter term] the prisoner shall be discharged, upon entering his appearance with the proper officer, by writ of supersedeas made by him according to the ancient practice of this court.

7. If any gaoler, or keeper of any prison, having received a copy of a declaration against any prisoner in his custody, shall suppress the same, or not deliver it forthwith to such

prisoner, an attachment shall be entered against him.

Of Proceeding against Prisoners in Custody of the Sheriff, &c. at the Suit of the Party.

IF the defendant, upon being arrested, cannot find bail, but goes to prison, and does not remove himself by habeas corpus, or the plaintiff does not remove him by habeas corpus, into the custody of the warden of the Fleet, the plaintiff may, under the above statute of king William, declare against him in the custody of the sheriff or bailiss where the prisoner is.

The same in this court. Pract. Reg. 330.

PY Reg. Trin. 2 Geo. 1. If a person arrested or committed, by virtue of any process of this court, to the custody of any sheriff, or other officer whatsoever, at the suit of any plaintist, and shall so remain in custody by two terms, and the plaintist shall not declare against such defendant within that time, such defendant, after the end of the second term, after such imprisonment, shall be discharged out of the prison where he shall be so detained, upon sling common bail signed by one of the justices of this court, without any notice to be given to the plaintist or his attorney.

Note—Within the above rule, the term in which the writ whereon the defendant was arrested is returnable, altho' it be not returnable till the last day of the term, is one of the

two terms.

So is the term wherein the defendant was committed, al-

though not committed till the last day of a vacation.

Master Benton thought, that if the defendant did not supersede himself till the third term, and filed common bail as of that term, yet he was not obliged to accept a declaration. Sed quære, If common bail should not be filed as of the second term? and vide the practice of C. B.

Mr. Cowper, clerk of the rules in B. R. had some doubt, whether a prisoner, superseded on filing common bail might not sign a non-pros as soon as common bail filed; because the plaintiff had not declared within the two terms; but this seems impossible: though quare, If he may not sign it at the

end of the two terms after tail filed?

The court will never grant the plaintiff a rule for further

time to declare against a prisoner.

But where, in a writ against three, one was arrested and lay in gaol, and the other two absconded, the court resused to discharge the prisoner, saying, the desendant arrested must appear for all, or lie in gaol till the other two are outlawed.

But then the plaintiff must move, in the second term, for time to declare against him in custody. Last. 12 Geo. 3.

I F defendant be committed to prison, by process out of this court, or habeas corpus, the prisoner entering his appearance, and giving a rule to declare, the plaintiff not declaring before the end of the next term after the commitment, the defendant to be discharged by fupersedeas in the end of the next term, and liberty for the plaintiff to declare, upon that appearance, the next term after that at farthest. Reg. M. 1654.

If the plaintiff does not remove the defendant to the Fleet, and the prisoner enter his appearance, he may be discharged by supersedes in the end of the third term after the arrest, and the plaintiff may declare upon such appearance the term

following, but not after.

But if such prisoner cause an appearance to be entered for him by attorney, and cause notice thereof to be given to the plaintiff, or his attorney; and if oath thereof be made in writing, and filed in court, unless the plaintiff declares against him in the term after such appearance, he may be discharged by supersedeas, so as oath be made by the attorney for desendant, that no declaration had been delivered or tendered to him. And the plaintiff may declare against him the term next after such appearance entered, but not afterwards. Reg. H. 14, 15 Car. 2.

In this court, upon a fupersedeas for want of declaring within the two terms, common bail must be filed of the term

the supersedeas issues. By Reg. 14, 15 Car. 2.

And by the same rule, the plaintist may deliver a declaration any time before the efficien day of the second term after the term in which the superseders issued, or appearance was entered, and the attorney appearing for him is bound to accept a declaration.

The same in this court. Pract. Reg. 327.

But where in an action against two, and one defendant was comm ned to the Fleet, charged with the action, for want of bail; and the other absconded, so the plaintist was not able to bring him into court by arrest, and therefore took out process of outlawry against him, which, unavoidably, over run the time for declaring, viz. the two terms. The court to ught at reasonable, as the plaintist could not declare against the other, he neither being outlawed, nor in court, to allow a further time to declare. Barnes 401.

More



The court refused to discharge a prisoner out of custody, for want of proceeding against him within two terms, a mistake having arisen from two persons being of the same surname. Lost 274.

A writ was returnable the first return of Michaelmas term, and the defendant was arrested in Trinity vacation, and the declaration was delivered in Hilary term, and held bad, for the plaintiff should have declared before the end of Michaelmas term. Pitt v. Yalden, East. 7 Geo. 3. B. R. Burr. 4 pt. 2060.

In Hutchins v. Kenrick. Burr. 4 pt. 1048. The court held, that a defendant prisoner, although supersedable, but not actually superseded, if found in custody, may be charged

with a declaration. Vide the opposite case.

More than two terms had expired after the prisoner's being in custody, and before the plaintiff declared; and, on motion that the prisoner might be discharged by supersedeas, the plaintiff having neglected to declare within the two terms. On shewing cause, it appeared by affidavits, that there had been a treaty of accommodation between the plaintiff and the defendant, and that breaking off, then the plaintiff declared, and the court held, that while a treaty subsists between plaintiff and defendant a prisoner, the plaintiff is not obliged to declare within the two terms, according to the practice, for it is for the prisoner's benefit, that the plaintiff listens to proposals of accommodation. 3 Wilf. 455.

The plaintiff not having declared against the defendant, a prisoner, before the end of the second term, the defendant took out a judge's summons for a supersedeas, 28th of Oct. and the plaintiff's agent had time to write to his client; and not being able to shew cause against it, a supersedeas was ordered on the 11th of November, but could not be sealed that night; but on the 13th was sent into the country. The plaintiff, after the summons served, viz. 1st of November, charged defendant in custody with a declaration, and on the 13th figned judgment, fent down a testatum cap. ad sat. and charged him in execution. The court held the plaintiff's proceedings, subsequent to the time of the defendant's being supersedable and having applied for a supersedeas, to be irregular, and set aside the ca. sa. with costs, upon defendant's confenting not to bring an action. Webb v. Dorwell, Barnes 400.

The plaintiff had two different causes of action against the defendant, one as administrator, the other as assignee.—
Defendant was arrested at the plaintiff's suit as administrator, but in the title of the affidavit for bail, administrator was omitted, though put in the writ. Defendant remained in custody for want of bail, and the plaintiff did not de lace as administrator, agreeable to his writ, but made a new affidavit of his other demand, as affignee, and delivered

If a prisoner on mesne process escape, and is retaken on an escape warrant, and in custody of the sheriff, &c. the plaintiff must declare against him within two terms after

taken on the escape warrant. Reg. 6 Anne.

If a defendant is in the custody of the sheriff, and the plaintiff has declared against him as in such custody, he may notwithstanding, if he thinks proper, remove the defendant into the custody of the marshal by habeas corpus cum causa ad faciendum et recipiendum; for the act 4 & 5 W. & M. was only made for the ease and benefit of plaintiffs, to save them the trouble and expence of suing out an habeas corpus to bring the desendant into court, and does not take away the plaintiff's common law right to remove him. Dr. Bettesworth v. Bell, Esq. Burr. 4 pt. 1875.

But note, fuch hab. corp. must be tested in term, though

it may be returnable immediate.

a declaration in the country gaol, indorfed for bail. And the rule to shew cause, why a supersedeas, &c. in the first cause was made absolute, the affidavit being a nullity; but the arrest, in the second cause, was held not to be void.

Barnes 391.

But where the plaintiff arrested defendant as executrix, and afterwards finding the action wrong as executrix, made a new affidavit for bail, and charged the defendant with a new declaration in her own right; and, upon defendant's moving for a common appearance and supersedeas, the court held, that if there had been two different causes of action, the second declaration would have been a good charge; but there being but one and the same cause of action, the proceedings were irregular, and made the rule absolute to set aside the proceedings. Barnes 391.

The same in this court. 1 Barnes 285.

If prisoner escapes, his recaption shall be looked on as the time of the render from whence the plaintiff is to

proceed. Barnes 382.

Motion to stay proceedings upon a declaration delivered against a prisoner in a county gaol, the declaration not having been entered in the prothonotary's office before delivered. Per cur. It is sufficient to enter the declaration any time before giving a rule to plead. Barnes 372.

Of Proceeding against Prisoners in Custody of the Sheriff, &c. under a prior Commitment.

WHERE a person has cause of action against a prisoner, already in custody of the sheriff, &c. he may, instead of removing such prisoner into court, charge him with process in the custody of the sheriff, &c. But if he would remove him into court, he must take out an habeas corpus ad respondendum.

If the cause of action is not bailable, or under 10 l. the defendant, prisoner, must be served with a copy of process, and the plaintiff may file common bail for him, and proceed

as in other cases.

But if the cause of action is above 10 l. and the plaintiff would hold him to bail, an affidavit must be made thereof, and

filed with the clerk of the rules.

The method of charging a defendant, prisoner, in custody of the sheriff, &c. is this, the plaintist makes three copies of a declaration, one on treble penny stampt parchment, called the bill, which must be filed with the clerk of the declarations.—The second on treble penny stampt paper, to deliver to the prisoner himself, or leave with the gaoler or turnkey of the prison.—And the third on like paper, to be filed with the clerk of the rules, to which must be annexed an affidavit of the delivery of the one to the prisoner or turnkey, of which declaration and affidavit he will make an office copy on stamps, or the plaintist's attorney may do it for expedition himself; but then he must take it to the clerk of the rules, to be marked, when he files the original declaration and affidavit, and pay for the same according to the length.

The clerk of the rules will enter a rule for the defendant to appear and plead on the office-copy of the declaration, after which [if he fail so to do in due time] a demand of a plea being made, judgment may be figned against him; and if he does appear and plead, the proceedings then are the

fame as in other cases.

Of Proceeding against Prisoners in Custody of the Sheriff, &c. under a prior Commitment.

HE fame in this court.

If the cause of action is not bailable, or under 10 l. the defendant, prisoner, must be served with a copy of a common capias; and the plaintiss may, on affidavit of service, enter an appearance for him, according to the statute. 2 Barnes 314.

But if the cause of action is bailable, and above 10 l. the process to be sued out by the plaintiff, against the defendant, prisoner, is a special capias, and a warrant thereon must be lodged with the gaoler, in order to detain him.

In this court the declaration must be filed with the prothonotary, and a copy thereof served on the prisoner, or left with the goaler or turnkey.

The plaintiff must declare within two terms.

Where a defendant was served with a copy of process, but, before declaration delivered, became a prisoner in the *Fleet*, and the plaintist entered an appearance for him, pursuant to the statute, and left a declaration in the office, and gave him notice of it. The court set aside the proceedings, and held, that the declaration ought to have been delivered at the *Fleet*. Barnes 392,

Of Proceeding against Prisoners in Custody of the Sheriff, &c. under a prior Commitment.

If the defendant is in the custody of the sheriff, there must be an affidavit of the delivery of the declaration filed with the clerk of the rules, which must be filed before the end of twenty days after the end of the second term after the return of the process.

The same, though the defendant removes himself from

one gaol to another. Att. Pract. 337.

But if he is in the custody of the marshal, no such affidavit is necessary. Of Proceeding against Prisoners in Custody of the Sheriff, &c. under a prior Commitment.

The same in this court. The affidavit must be filed with the prothonotary; but if Easter term is the second term, such affidavit must be filed in ten days after, &c.

But if he was arrested at your suit, no such affidavit is necessary, because there was one on the writ. But if the declaration is a new charge, there must be an affidavit. Rules and Orders K. B. and C. B. 2 Vol. 144. Pract. Reg. 330.

Of Proceeding against Prisoners in the Custody of the Marshal.

If any defendant shall be committed to the custody of the marshal, or shall be charged in custody of the marshal, or arrested or committed by virtue of any process of this court, to the custody of any sherist, or other officer whatsoever, at the suit of any plaintist, and shall so remain in custody by two terms, and the plaintist shall not declare against such defendant within that time, such defendant, after the end of the second term after such imprisonment, shall be discharged out of the prison where he shall be so detained, upon filing common bail, signed by one of the justices of this court, without any notice to be given to the plaintist, or his attorney. Reg. 2 Geo. 1.

Note, Within the above rule, the term in which the writ, whereon the defendant was arrested, is returnable, although not returnable until the last day of the term, is to be accounted as one of the two terms; as is also the term wherein the desendant was committed to the custody of the mar-spal, although not committed till the last day of a vacation.

If a person has cause of action against a defendant, prisoner in the custody of the marshal, he may charge such

defendant in custody, by delivering a declaration.

But, "for preventing the detainer of prisoners, charged by declarations, in the custody of the marshal of the marshalsea of this court, where the cause of action against such prisoners does not amount to 10 l. and upwards—It is ordered, That, from and after the last day of this term, no declaration, whereby any prisoner shall be charged in the custody of the marshal, shall be sufficient cause of detaining such prisoner in custody, unless an affidavit, that the plaintist's cause of action against such prisoner does amount to 10 l. or upwards, shall be first made and filed with the clerk of the rules of this court, and the sum specified in such affidavit shall be indersed by him upon such declaration, before the leaving thereof, with the turnkey. East. 15 Geo. 2.

If the prisoner was arrested, and in custody, at the suit of the plaintist, there is no need of an affidavit on delivering the declaration, because there was one on the writ; but if the declaration is a new charge, there must be a new affidavit.

Rules and Order, K. B. and C. B. 2 Vol. 144.

Process may be served on a man in custody of the marshal, having surrendered himself to take the benefit of the

Of Proceeding against Prisoners in the Custody of the Warden of the Fleet.

If any defendant shall render himself or be rendered to the Fleet prison, in discharge of his bail, at the suit of any plaintist, where no declaration has been delivered, unless the plaintist shall declare against such defendant within two terms after such render, such defendant may be discharged out of custody by superseders, to be allowed by one of the justices of this court, is cause be not shewn to the contrary by the plaintist or his attorney, upon notice to either of them given by the desendant's attorney or agent, and affidavit made of such notice. Reg. 8 Geo. 1.

If a person has cause of action against a defendant, prisoner in the Fleet, the plaintiff may charge such prisoner in

custody, by delivering a declaration.

But "for preventing the detainer of prisoners charged by declarations delivered at the Fleet prison, where the cause of action against such prisoners does not amount to 10 l. it is ordered, that no copy of a declaration delivered at the Fleet prison, against any prisoner there, shall be sufficient charge to hold such prisoner to bail, or to retain such prisoner in custody for want of bail, unless an affidavit, that the plaintiff's cause of action amounts to 10 l. or upwards, be first made and filed in the prothonotary's office, and an indersement made by the said prothonotary, or his deputy, upon such copy of a declaration, signifying the sum of money specified in such affidavit; for which sum, so indorsed, bail shall be required, and no more. Hil. 8 Geo. 2.

In explanation of the above rule, it has been adjudged, that if a defendant, arrested by process issuing out of the court of King's Bench, and in custody for want of bail, remove himself, by habeas corpus, to the Fleet prison, and the plaintiff charges him in the Fleet with a copy of a declaration, he is not obliged to make and annex an affidavit, as by the above rule is directed, in regard there was an affi-

Of Proceeding against Prisoners in the Custody of the Marshal.

act for relief of insolvent debtors. Pry v. Lawford. 3

From what is said, it may be collected, That where the desendant is in the custody of the marshal, under a former commitment, you may deliver a declaration, and not serve him with process, whether the cause of action requires bait or not; but if the action requires bail, there must be an affidavit filed with the clerk of the rules, according to Reg. 15 Geo. 2. else it is no cause of detainer, if he should be superfeded in other actions against him: But then, as in all cases where the cause of action does not require bail, common bail must be filed in those actions, on being superfeded in such actions as require bail.

To charge a prisoner, in custody of the marshal, in vacation time, [instead of the old method of suing out an hab. corp. ad respond. tested as of the last term] the plaintist must file a bill as of the preceding term, and then to deliver to or leave for the desendant in custody, a copy of the declaration as of the preceding term, and make an affidavit of the delivery thereof. Burr. 4 pt. 1052.

And so in term time, and the defendant is a prisoner in custody of the marshal, you ingross and file a bill, and deliver a copy of the declaration thereon to the prisoner himself, or leave the same with the turnkey, and give a rule to plead, demand a plea, and proceed as in other cases.

In this court, a bill must always be filed against a prifoner; and for want of it, he is intitled to a supersedeas, al-

though he has pleaded.

Of Proceeding against Prisoners in the Custody of the Warden of the Fleet.

davit made of the debt, when the plaintiff took out the process upon which the defendant was arrested. But if a declaration comes in as a new charge against a prisoner in custody at the suit of another plaintiff, there the above rule must be observed. Rep. & Cas. of Prast. C. B. 144.

Barnes 72. Pract. Reg. C. P. 330.

If any person hath cause of action against a prisoner committed to the Fleet, after filing a declaration with the proper officer, he may deliver a copy to the defendant or turnkey; and, after rule given to plead, to be out in eight days after delivery of declaration; and affidavit being made of the delivery, the plaintiff may sign judgment, as if the defendant had been charged at the bar of the Common Pleas [or Exchequer] with such action. 8 & 9 W. 3. c. 27.

A prisoner, surrendering as a fugitive, cannot be charged with a declaration under this act, because not committed.

Pract. Reg. 126. 1 Barnes 281.

But it feems he may be arrested. Ibid.

To charge a prisoner in the custody of the Fleet, in vacation time, the plaintiff draws his declaration as of the preceding term, gets it stamped by the prothonotary, and delivers or leaves it with the clerk of the papers.

To charge a prisoner in term time, the plaintiff draws his declaration, gets it stamped by the prothonotary, and delivers or leaves it with the clerk of the papers at the Fleet.

When the defendant is a prisoner in the Fleet, the declaration must be entered with the prothonotary, before the delivery of the declaration to the defendant; but if the defendant is in any other prison, it need not be so entered before the delivery, but it is sufficient to file it any time before a rule is given thereon to appear and plead.

And note—That when the defendant is in the Fleet, the original declaration, indorfed by the prothonotary, should be left at the Fleet, and not a copy thereof. Whereas, when the defendant is in another—gaol, the original declaration is filed with the prothonotary, and a copy thereof delivered to

the desendant. Pract. Reg. 331. 1 Barnes 315.

Ιf

Of Proceeding against Prisoners in the Custody of the Marshal.

A prisoner once *supersedeable* is also so with respect to the plaintiff himself in that cause, but not as to third persons; for by them, so long as he is in actual custody, he may be charged as a prisoner. Burn. 4 pt. 1048.

Of Proceeding against Prisoners in the Custody of the Warden of the Fleet.

If a capias is returned non est invent. against a prisoner in the Fleet, he must appear on an habeas corpus ad respondendum, as well at the suit of a stranger as at his suit whereon he is imprisoned, and receive a declaration. Mich. 1654. s. 13.

After an irregular declaration against a prisoner, the plaintiff cannot declare de novo, unless the prisoner is in custody

at another person's suit. Pract. Reg. 328.

It is said in Prast. Reg. 328. to be the constant practice, to have four entire law days, after the two terms, to deliver declarations against prisoners, and give a rule to plead. So, where the declaration was delivered on Monday the fifth day after the term, it was held well. But quære, as to this practice.

But where a declaration is irregularly delivered, the prifoner must complain thereof before judgment. Pract. Reg.

329.

Of Proceeding against Prisoners removing themfelves when charged with Process.

If a prisoner in the Marshalsea, on mesne process, removes himself to the Fleet, before the plaintiff has declared against him, the plaintiff then must declare in C. B. and cannot proceed surther in B. R. unless he brings the desendant back there by habeas corpus ad respondendum. Att. Prac.

332, 341.

But if a prisoner in the custody of the marshal, after being charged with a declaration in B. R. removes himself to the Fleet, the plaintiff must proceed to judgment in B. R. and then bring the defendant back by habeas corpus ad satisfaciendum to be charged in execution in B. R. Att. Pract. 832.

And note—That, if upon the defendant's removal from B. R. to C. B. before the plaintiff has declared the plaintiff does not declare within the two terms, the defendant's

application for a supersedeas must be made to C. B.

But if he removes, after being charged with a declaration, [and not brought back by babeas corpus] then, for want of not being charged in execution in due time, &c. he must

apply for a fuperfedeas to B. R. Barnes 384, 5.

If two writs of *babeas corpus* issue out of B. R. and the other out of C. B. Where the person is in a gaol, the writ which is first served shall have the body; and the prisoner may afterwards, by another writ, remove himself into the other court, but then he must plead first.

A prisoner, surrendered by bail, was superseded, because charged in the same court after he had removed himself.

Stra. 1153.

Of Proceeding against Prisoners removing themfelves when charged with Process.

IF a prisoner in the Fleet removes himself to the Marshal-sea, before the plaintiff has declared, the plaintiff must declare in B. R. and cannot proceed further in C. B. unless he brings the defendant back by habeas corpus ad respondendum.

And if a prisoner in the Fleet, charged with a declaration in C. B. removes himself afterwards to the Marshalfea, the plaintiff must proceed to judgment in C. B. and then carry him back by habeas corpus ad satisfaciendum, to charge him in the Fleet.

And note-That if, upon the defendant's removal from C. B. to B. R. before the plaintiff has declared, the plaintiff does not doclare within the two terms, the defendant's application for a supersedeas must be to B. R.

But if he removes, after being charged with a declaration [and not brought back by habeas corpus] then for want of being charged in execution in due time, &c. he

must apply for a *supersedeas* to C. B. Barnes 384, 5. Motion for a supersedeas for want of a declaration in C. B. within two terms. Defendant committed to the Fleet (charged inter al' with a bill of Middlesex at the plaintiff's suit) before declaration delivered; and afterwards the plaintiff delivered a declaration in the King's Bench, at the Fleet, and not a declaration in C. B. which declaration being delivered after the defendant had removed to the Fleet, as a declaration of the King's Bench, the court held as null and void, and made the rule absolute. Barnes 402.

Of the Rule to appear and plead, and when a Defendant, Prisoner, must plead.

F the defendant is in the custody of *sheriff*, a rule to appear and plead must not be given before *affidavit* filed of the delivery of the declaration; which *affidavit* must be filed within twenty days, with the clerk of the rules, after the end of the second term after the return of process. Reg. 5 W. & M.

But if the defendant is in custody of the marshal, no affidavit of delivery is necessary, but a rule to plead may be given of course; and if the declaration is delivered four days, exclusive, before the end of the term, and rule given, and plea demanded [which must be done on the back of the declaration] the defendant must plead as of that term. Reg. East 5 W. & M.

But if the bill is not filed, and copy delivered, four days, exclusive, before the end of the term, the defendant may

imparl till next term. The fame.

If process is returnable the first day of Easter or Michaelmas term, and declaration delivered before mens. East. or, the morrow of All Souls; and affidavit thereof filed, the defendant must appear before the end of ten days after Easter or Michaelmas term; and if he appears within that time he may impart till the next term, unless the action is in London or Middlesex, and the defendant is in prison within forty miles of London, and then, though he appears within that time, he must plead two days before the essoign day of that term. Reg. East. 5 W. & M.

If a copy of a declaration is delivered on or after menf. Pass. or, morrow of All Souls, or, in Hilary or Trinity terms, and rules given; if the defendant appears before the essoign day of the next term, he shall impart till the next term; but if he does not appear within that time, the plaintiff is enti-

tled to judgment. Reg. East. 5 W. & M.

If the declaration is delivered before the essoign day of the next term after the return of the writ, the plaintiss, in such next term may give rules, and the desendant must appear, and plead on or before the expiration of the rules. East. 5 W. & M.

After defendant, a prisoner, has appeared, the proceedings are the same as in other cases.

Notice of trial to a turnkey is good, in the case of a prifoner desendant. Stra. 248. Of the Rule to appear and plead, and when a Defendant, Prisoner, must plead.

THE same in this court. But if Easter term is the second term, then the affidavit of delivery must be filed within ten days.

If the defendant is in the Fleet, the rule to plead is out

in eight days. Hil. 14, 15 Car. 2. [inclusive.]

If the defendant is in a common gaol, and the declaration is delivered before the essoign day of the term, the rule is out in four days.

If a declaration is delivered to a prisoner the last day but one of term, he must plead two days before the essoign day of the next term. Barnes 224.

In Easter and Michaelmas terms, if the declaration is delivered before the morrow of All Souls, or mens. Pasch. the rules to plead are out in ten days after the term, except the action is in London or Middlesex, and the defendant in prison within forty miles of London, as in B. R.—And if delivered after those days, the rules are out in two days next preceding the essential of the subsequent term.

And in *Hilary* and *Trinity terms*, if the declaration is delivered on or after the effoign day of the term, the rules to plead are out two days before the effoign day of the subsequent term. But if defendant appears within the time he may imparle, as in B.R. Reg. East. 5 W. & M. I Barnes 150.

The same in this court, East. 5 W. & M.

If a prisoner appears in person, he is bound to pay for the iffue-book upon the delivery thereof, otherwise if he appears by attorney. 2 Wil. 11.

In C. B. ten days notice, exclusive of the day of such notice, must be given to defendant (being actually in the Fleet) of the time of trial. Reg. Hil. 14, 15 Car. 2,

O£

If the plaintiff does not proceed to trial or judgment within three terms after declaration delivered, such defendant shall be discharged out of custody, on filing common bail, notice being first given to the plaintiff, or his attorney, and an affidavit thereof made, if the plaintiff or his attorney does not attend and shew cause against the discharge. Trin. 2 Geo. 1.—The term in which the declaration is delivered is one.

So for want of getting a demurrer argued within the third term.

Vide the opposite case.

The defendant, though not in custody, upon being taken, but surrendered himself in discharge of his bail, is superfersedeable within the above mentioned rule, and its construction and the practice of the court; and the time runs from notice of the defendant's being in custody.

After judgment obtained against a descendant, prisoner, he must be charged in Evecution within two terms—the term wherein judgment to be obtained to be reckoned as one—the desendant may obtain his discharge in like manner as for not proceeding to trial or judgment. Reg. Tr. 2 G.o. 1.

HE same in this court. Reg. East. 8 Geo. 1.

Or within three terms after the render, the defendant

having appeared.

Or within three terms after recaption, or coming again into prison; for that time shall be looked on as the time of the render. Barnes 382.

So, for want of getting demurrer argued within the third

term. Barnes 383.

The defendant was discharged out of custody by sapersedeas, on entering a common appearance, for want of plaintiff's proceeding to judgment within three terms after declaration delivered. Plaintiff afterwards obtained judgment, and defendant, being taken in execution, moved to be discharged, insisting, that after a supersedeas his person was free, and could not be again detained by process in the same action. Per cur. After consulting all the judges in this case, the defendant having been discharged by supersedeas before judgment, he is not finally discharged, but after judgment is subject to be taken in execution.—But where a defendant is superseded after judgment, for want of being charged in execution within two terms after judgment obtained, his person cannot afterwards be taken in execution. Barnes 376.

The same this court. Reg. E. 8 Geo. 1.

On shewing cause why defendant should not be discharged by supersedas, the plaintiff having neglected to charge him in custody within the two terms, it appeared, that the plaintiff's attorney had taken out a ca. sa. but directed it to the sheriff of Exeter, instead of Devon, which being sent back, he got it resealed, and sent it in time to an attorney, with directions to charge defendant in execution; but it arrived too late to charge the desendant in time; and it appearing, that there was no intention to oppress, and the delay arising entirely from an accident, the court discharged the rule. Barnes 380.

The plaintiff shall have every day in the second term to

charge a prisoner. 2 Wil. 380.

The defendant, a prisoner, applied to be discharged by fupersedeas, for want of being charged in execution within two terms after judgment. The plaintiff excused himself by the delivery of a ca. sa. to the gaoler within due time. But the court held that to be insufficient. The ca. sa. ought to have been delivered to the sheriff, and the sheriff's warrant to the gaoler. Barnes 389.

Within two terms after final judgment, plaintiff, instead of charging the defendant in execution, charged him with a declaration in an action of debt on the judgment. The court held this declaration vexatious, and no cause against a supersedeas: rule for supersedeas made absolute. Barnes 390.

The writ of enquiry being set aside, because not executed before a person properly deputed by the sheriff, desendant applied for a supersedeas for want of plaintiff's proceeding to sinal judgment within three terms after the declaration, and obtained a rule to shew cause, which was made absolute. Barnes 384.

On motion for supersedear for want of proceeding to judgment within three terms after declaration delivered, and cause shewn, it was contended, that the judgment, though not signed till the Michaelmas vacation, (Michaelmas being the third term) was a judgment of Michaelmas term, which was sufficient to prevent a supersedeas. Per cur. The three terms are always taken to be inclusive of that term whereof the declaration is, and unless plaintist proceeds to sign final judgment within the third term, he is too late. Rule absolute for supersedeas. Barnes 379.

The defendant was brought into court by hab. corp. ad fat. to be charged in execution, which being objected to, because a judge had before made an order for a jupersedeas which was lodged with the warden, and allowed and appearance entered: but as desendant had not served the order, nor allowed the supersedeas till after habitas cripus was lodged with the warden, the court held, that he must be charged, and that he might apply afterwards as advised. The plaintiff may proceed at his peril. Barnes 379.

Plaintiffs obtained interlocutory judgment by nil dicit, in an action of affumpfit, and sued out a writ of enquiry; but, before the same was executed, became tankrupt, and proceeded to final judgment against detendant, a pritoner, [which was regular] in Michaelmas term. The affigures

4

then brought a scire facias against him returnable the first return of Hil. to shew cause why they should not have execution of that judgment; to which fcire facias the defendant pleaded the whole matter stated, and the bankruptcy of the plaintiffs in bar; to which the affignees demurred, and had judgment in Easter term; and then the defendant moved. that he might be discharged by supersedeas, the plaintiff not having charged him in execution in Hilary term. But per cur. The bankrupts could not charge the defendant in execution in last Hilary term, because the assignees were entitled to the benefit of the judgment, and had then brought a scire facias upon it. And if defendant had any lands, (which he may have for any thing we know) the affignees may, perhaps, choose an elegit against his lands, and not Whereupon the rule, to shew why decharge his person. fendant should not be discharged by supersedeas, was discharged, the affignees having proceeded with due diligence. 2 Wilf. 378.

A prisoner who is fupersedeable in one action, at the suit of A. but not superseded, may be charged in execution in another action, at the suit of A. Barnes ad finem 500.

Pract. Reg. 332.

If defendant, prisoner, brings a writ of error, no need to charge him in execution the second term after the judg-

ment. 2 Wilf. 380.

The defendant, in Michaelmas term, was furrendered in discharge of his bail; and afterwards, without giving any notice to the plaintiff, was removed to the Fleet. The plaintiff, in Hilary term, charged him in execution as a prisoner in B. R. and afterwards defendant moved for a supersedeas, that charge in the court, where he was not a prisoner, fignifying nothing; and so two terms were elapsed. The plaintiff insisted, he was in no default, not having notice of his removal; and that these removals do not appear upon the committitur book, where the charge in execution is to be made. But the court granted a jupersedeas; for the plaintiff, they said, should have demanded to see the prisoner; and if not produced, would have known where to find him, and bring him back, by habeas corpus, to charge him. Filkes v. Allen. Stra. 1153.

If the defendant, a prisoner, is in the King's Bench prison, in order to charge him in execution, the plaintiff must get a rule from the clerk of the rules, and serve the marshal with a copy, on which he will write his acknowledgment of the defendant's being in his custody, then enter committiur in the marshal's book, and file it. Note on Reg. Tr. 2 Geo. 1.

Upon motion to fuperfede the defendant, as not being charged in execution in two terms, the court held that the committitur must be actually entered on record, before the end of the second term; and that there is no extension of of the time to the continuance day after term; nor was it sufficient, that there was an entry in the marshal's book in

time. Stra. 1215.

A committitur in execution was entered in the marshal's book, but no committitur piece was filed; nor was the committitur entered on record within two terms. Rule made absolute for discharging defendant on the authority of the above case of Unwin v. Kerchoffe. Stra. 1215. as it ought to have been actually entered on record before the end of the second term. Totterell v. Philby. Burr. 4 pt. 1841.

If the defendant, a prisoner, is in the custody of a sheriff, a ta. fa. must be sued out, and the warrant thereon lodged with the gaoler.

If a prisoner surrender, after judgment in discharge of bail, he must be charged in execution in two terms after render, except a writ of error is brought, or there is an injunction. Att. Pract. 341. Note on Reg. Tr. 2 Geo. 1.

But quære as to injunction, and vide the Att. Pratt. 371. And Salk. 322. Where, by injunction out of Chancery, the defendant stayed the plaintiff's execution a year and upwards. The injunction being dissolved, the plaintiff took out execution without a scire facias; and this was referred to the court, for irregularity. The plaintiff insisted, that he was stopped by the act of the defendant; and that, if the defendant had suspended it by a writ of error so long, he had been at liberty to take out execution without a sci. sa. Sed per cur. We cannot take notice of Chancery injunctions; and you might have taken out a writ of execution, and continued it by vicecomes non missis breve. A supersedeas quià improvide was awarded. Booth & Booth.

Of Judgment against Prisoners, and of charging them in Execution.

If the prisoner is in the Fleet, you make out an habeas corpus ad satisfaciendum, get it signed by the prothonotary, and backed by a judge; and then carry it to the clerk of the papers at the Fleet, four days before the return; and the defendant must be brought into court, to be committed in execution.

If a defendant be brought into court, upon a habeas corpus ad fatisfaciendum, he can be charged in execution upon that judgment only, on which the habeas corpus ad fatisfaciendum iffued: And if there be several judgments on which he is to be charged in execution, there must be a writ of habeas corpus on each judgment.

An habeas corpus ad fatisfaciendum in one cause only; and three judgment rolls were produced in this, and two other causes, by the attorney for the plaintiffs, who desired that the desendant might be charged in execution in all three. But by the judges in the Treasury, the desendant can only be charged in that cause, wherein the habeas corpus is brought. There must be an habeas corpus on every judgment. Barnes 223.

The same in this court; and if the ca. sa. be delivered to the gaoler, instead of the sheriff, it is well. 2 Barnes

308.

The same as to injunction. Pract. Reg. 377. sed quære?

Of Judgment against Prisoners, and of charging them in Execution.

Where a prisoner is discharged for want of proceeding to judgment, he may afterwards be taken in execution; but otherwise, if discharged for want of being charged in execution. Ast. Prast. 295. Prast. Reg. 333. 2 Vol. Rules and Orders 135. 6.

A prisoner discharged upon an insolvent act, and afterwards arrested for a debt exceeding the sum limited in the act, shall not be discharged on common bail. Ld. Raym. 1088.

If the defendant is discharged by the lord's act, he cannot be retaken on execution, or new action. I Barnes 271.

A prisoner on a capias utlagatum, discharged on an insolvent debtor's act, cannot be taken again on a new capias

utlagatum. 1 Barmes 278.

An action on the case lies against an attorney, for neglecting to charge a prisoner in execution in due time. Vide the case of Russell v. Palmer, an Att. C. B. 2 Wil. 325. And the case of Pitt v. Yalden Burr. 4 pt. 2060.

So if the defendant, prisoner, obtains a supersedeas for want of plaintiff's declaring within the two terms. Ibid.

Defendant being a prisoner in the Fleet, at the plaintiff's suit, brought a writ of error, and thereupon judgment was reversed, and supersedeas issued to discharge her out of custody; but before she could get the supersedeas allowed, the plaintiff charged her with a new declaration; whereupon she moved to be discharged, and the court held, that as defendant was detained a prisoner at the plaintiff's suit only, and not at any other person's, she could not regularly be charged with the second declaration, after reversal of the first judgment, whereon she had been wrongfully detained; and therefore ordered defendant to be discharged. Peachy v. Bowes, spinster. Barnes 368.

But where afterwards the plaintiff caused her to be arrested and held to bail for the former cause of action, and she moved to be discharged on a common appearance, two judges held, that as the second declaration was no charge, she had the benefit of her fupersedeas; and that after the judgment was reversed and annulled, the plaintiff had a right to bring a new ustion, and hold her to bail. But the other two judges were of opinion, that after the desendant had been discharged by rule of court, as to the second declaration, she ought now to be discharged on entering a com-

Of Judgment against Prisoners, and of charging them in Execution.

mon appearance; and that the rule of court amounts to the fame thing as a fuperfedeas. The court being divided no rule was made. Sherwin v. Bowes, spinster. Barnes 429. Though in the book the names of the plaintiffs are different, it appears clearly from the report to have been the same parties in both cases.

Of a Prisoner's obtaining a Supersedeas.

O discharge a prisoner in any case, whether for want of declaring*, neglecting to proceed to judgment, or not charging him in execution, his attorney must take out a-judge's fummons, to shew cause why desendant should not be discharged, for want of charging him (or whatever the case is) in due time, and serve the same on the opposite party; and if the plaintiff's attorney do not attend thereon, or consent to an order being made, the desendant's attorney must make an affidavit of the service of the summons, and his attendance at the time therein appointed; where-upon the judge will make an order for the desendant's discharge, on filing common bail.

If the prisoner is in the custody of the marshal of the King's Bench, he must get a certificate from the clerk of the common bails, that common bail was filed with him, by order of one of the judges, on producing which certificate to the marshal, he will discharge him without a supersedeas.

But if the prisoner is in the custody of a sheriff, &c. he must sue out a writ of supersedeas; for signing of which at the office, the bail-piece, signed by one of the judges, is a warrant to the officer with whom you leave it, and he delivers it over to the clerk of the common bails to be filed.

But in the Common Pleas in all cases, whether the defendant is in the Fleet, or in custody of the sheriff, a supersedeas issues, to be allowed by a judge to discharge him out of custody. Reg. E. 8 G. 1.

Of

^{*} But in order to discharge a prisoner, for want of declaring according to the rule, 2 Geo. 1. you must also obtain a certificate from the clerk of the declarations, if in B.R. that no bill is filed in his office against the desendant; and a certificate of the causes wherewith he stands charged, from the clerk of the papers of the King's Bench prison, if in custody of the marshal; and from the gaoler or turntey, if in custody of sperif or other officer.

Of Prisoners removing themselves by Habeas Corpus from the Prisons of inferior Courts into the King's Bench or Fleet Prisons.

A Prisoner in the prison of an inserior court, will often sue out an habeas corpus cum causa, in order to turn himself over to the King's Bench or Fleet prison.

But if it be returned upon any certiorari, or corpus cum causa, that the prisoner is condemned by judgment, he shall be remanded, and remain in prison, without being let to bail against the will of the plaintiffs, unless satisfaction be made them of the sums adjudged. 2 Hen. 5. flat. 1. c. 2.

A defendant brought into court by habeas corpus, directed to the sheriff of G. prayed to be committed to the Fleet, with the causes mentioned in the return; which were first; a detainer for want of fureties, by a warrant from a justice of the peace, for leaving a baftard child, whereby a parish became chargeable with its maintenance. - 2dly. An excommunicate capiende issued out of Chancery, returnable in the King's Bench. And 3dly, With Exchequer process on a recognizance forfeited at the fessions. - The court remanded the prisoner, being of opinion, that as to the two first causes of detainer, they had no jurifdiction; but as to the third cause, the court inclined to think, that as it was not on an extent, the defendant might have been committed therewith abstractedly considered. Barnes 223.

A defendant was taken in execution in the admiralty court, and wanting to procure his liberty, gets a person to whom he was indebted to sue out an habeas corpus ad respondendum, in order to be turned over to the Marshalsea: And being thereby brought into court, it was moved, that he might be committed to the Marshalsea. Sed per cur. Tho' upon an habeas corpus ad subjiciendum, this court, upon a charge of treason or felony, would have turned the defendant over to the marshal; or if a bill had been filed against him, so that he had been in the custody of the marshal before; but yet, in this case, the court cannot do it, because there is no plea in this court, at this time, depending against him: and it cannot be, because he is not in custodia marescalli. And he was remanded by the whole court. Dowler v. Keite. Ld. Raym. 789. Salk: 351.

So in Dr. Watson's case, who being arrested upon an Excommunicato capiendo, after an excommunication in the Spiritual Court, for nonpayment of costs, in a suit in which he was condemned, was brought into B. R. by hub, corp.

Of Prisoners removing themselves by Habeas Corpus from the Prisons of inferior Courts into the King's Bench or Fleet Prisons.

ad resp. J. S. de placito debiti, &c. And on motion to be committed, he was remanded, because no suit was depending here against him, the bill of Middlesex not being returnable till next term. Ibid.

If a prisoner in the Compter be removed into the King's Bench, upon an hab. corp. ad resp. and intending to go over into the Fleet, procures some friend to bring an habeas corpus to remove him; he shall not be removed thither, till he has answered to the cause here; and he shall not compel the plaintiff to sollow after a prolling defendant; and so vice versa of the Common Pleas. Each court shall retain the defendant in which he is first attached; and after he has answered there, you may carry him where you will. Salk. 350.

Actions having been entered in B. R. against one in custody of a sheriff, upon a ne exeat regno, an habeas corpus was said might be granted, although strongly objected to, because the writ of ne exeat regno commands the sheriff to take security, and transmit it into Chancery. Sed per cur. The habeas corpus ought to be granted: The King's Bench may receive and judge of the security taken; and he ought to remain there; and that they may then grant a supersedeas. Nailor's case. Ld. Raym. 696.

The defendant was brought to the bar by babeas corpus, returnable in one month from the day of St. Michael, to be committed to the Fleet; and the court committed, though

the day of the return was past. Barnes 221.

From the above cases it appears, that where a prisoner is in custody charged with process from another court than that to the prison of which he would be turned over, he must (before ever he can be turned over) procure himself to be charged with some process issuing out of the court into the prison of which he would be turned over, and then bring his babeas carpus, that he may be returned charged with such process.

Where any person shall be brought into court upon an habeas corpus, or before a judge, in order to be committed to the custody of the marshal, the writ, with the return, shall be left with the secondary, or judge's clerk, to be filed; and a copy or note of such return of the writ, under the hand of the judge or secondary, shall be delivered to the mar-

Mal

Of Prisoners removing themselves by Habeas Corpus from the Prisons of inserior Courts into the King's Bench or Fleet Prisons.

shal at the time of the commitment of such person to his custody; and such copy or note shall be prepared by the person prosecuting such writ of habeas corpus, or by his attorney. Trin. 3 Anne.

Of Outlawry.

On meine Process.

UTLAWRY is a punishment inflicted on a person for a contempt and contumacy, in refusing to be amenable to and abide by the justice of that court which hath lawful authority to call him before them; and as this is a crime of the highest nature, being an act of rebellion against that state or community of which he is a member, so doth it subject the party to divers forseitures and disabilities; for hereby he lofeth liberam legem, is out of the king's protection, &c. Co. Lit. 128. Rol. Ab. 802. Student, dial. 2. c. 3.

But as to the forfeitures for refufing to appear, herein the law distinguishes between outlawries in capital cases, and those of an inferior nature; for as to outlawries in treason and felony, the law interprets the party's absence a sufficient evidence of his guilt, and, without requiring further proof or fatisfaction, accounts him guilty of the fact, on which ensues corruption of blood, and forseiture of his whole

estate, real and personal.

But outlawry in lesser crimes, or in personal actions, does not occasion the party to be looked upon as guilty of the fact, nor does it occasion an entire forseiture of his real estate; but yet is very fatal and penal in its consequences; for hereby he is restrained of his liberty if he can be found, forfeits his goods and chattels, and the profits of his lands, while the outlawry remains in force. Plow. 941. 9 H. 6.

Having stated the difference between outlawry in criminal and civil cases, I shall proceed to shew in what civil actions process of outlawry lies, in what manner a defendant may be profecuted to outlawry, and how fuch outlawry may be avoided, or reversed.

Process of cutlawry lies in no case, but where a capias So that when the proceedings are by bill, and not by original, as there can be no capias upon a bill, fo there can be no process of cutlavery. Leon. 329. 2 Rol. Ab. 76. Sid. 159. Keb. 577.

The process of outlawry, in civil actions, is considered, at this day, nothing more than a process to compel an appearance of the party against whom a suit is commenced; and therefore any plausible cause, however slight, will, in gene-

ral.

ral, be sufficient to reverse it. But in order to make the process to outlawry, and practice therein intelligible, it will be necessary to take a view of the statutes which have altered

the process of the courts.

The statute 13 Car. 2. stat. 2. c. 2. being made to remedy some * abuses which crept in upon authorising the arrest of the defendant's body by the bill of Middlesex, latitat, &c. in B. R. and the general writ of capias clausum fregit in C. B. provides, that no person who should happen to be arrested by sorce or colour of any writ or process issuing out of the King's Bench or Common Pleas, shall be forced upon such arrest to give security in more than 40 l. unless the true cause of action be particularly expressed in such

writ or process.

This statute not having remedied all the mischiefs that prevailed, as the sheriff was still to take bail for the defendant's appearance in 40 l. even upon a general writ, without the clause of " ac etiam;" and upon writs wherein the clause of " ac etiam" was inserted, he was authorized to require bail upon the arrest to the amount of double the sum specified therein, which was still an engine of oppression in the hands of a malicious and troublesoine plaintiff, as he could express any sum in the clause of "ac etiam," or lay his damages therein to any amount, and thereby keep the defendant in gaol for want of bail-the statute 12 Geo. 1. c. 29. was made to give a further remedy for such abuses, and provides, that no one shall be held to bail, upon the arrest by process from the superior courts, unless an affidavit is previously made, that the cause of action amounts to 10 l. or upwards, and that where no such affidavit is made, that the party shall only be served with a copy of the process, in order that he may appear to the action; and, in case of his non-appearance at the return, the plaintiff has liberty to enter (on affidavit made of due service of the process) an appearance for him, and proceed as if the party had actually appeared. Then came the statute 5 Geo. 2. c. 27, to remedy the inconveniences from the process being in Latin, and requires the same to be in the English tongue; and where the party is only to be ferved with a copy thereof when no affidavit is made of the debt's amounting to 10 %. or upwards, enacts, that an English notice, in writing, shall

^{*} Vide the introduction.

be subscribed on the copy of the said process wherewith the party is to be served, to the intent to warn him to appear at the return thereof, to answer the action against him.

These statutes have, in great measure, occasioned the practice of outlawing defendants to fall into disuse, as a plaintiff may proceed with less expence, and more expedition, in his action, by not taking out process towards outlawry, than by proceeding with an intention to outlaw, should the defendant stand out to be outlawed, the process thereto being dilatory and expensive. But still a plaintiff in some cases, and particularly if his action is against a defendant who it is apprehended will be litigious, or is difficult to be arrested, and has property wherewith to satisfy the plaintiff's demand, and all the charges and incidental expences of the outlawry, will find an advantage in this method of proceeding.

The aforementioned statute of Cha. 2. was of equal fervice to plaintiffs as to defendants, for a plaintiff who chose to proceed by original, could insert any cause of perfonal action in the clause of "ac etiam," in the capias, arrest the party immediately, and require bail to the amount of double the sum expressed therein; but still the defendant was supposed to be arrested for the trespass mentioned in the writ, though, in sact, no such charge could be alledged against him; and not for the debt or damages inserted in the "ac etiam" clause, the intent of that being nothing more than to shew the sheriff, to what amount he was to

infift upon bail if he arrested the party.

This statute of Cha. 2. gave a plaintiff who had an action of debt against a defendant, if he sued by original, an opportunity also of avoiding the Fine paid to the king upon fuing out his original writ, in debt, because he could insert the amount thereof in the ac etiam clause of a capias, and, if the defendant was arrested, require bail in proportion to his demand; which was a cheaper method of proceeding, evidently more expeditious, and equally answered the purpose of fuing out an original in debt; and going on regularly towards outlawing the defendant, unless he was a person likely to abscond or avoid being taken, and had property which the plaintiff could come at to fatisfy his debt by proceeding to outlaw him. For which reason we do not often hear, at this day, of a præcipe quod reddat, in debt; or, of a person being outlawed in an action of debt, as that action is generally profecuted in the King's Bench by bill or latitat,

which pre-supposes a bill, and in the Common Pleas by a capias, with an ac etiam in it; and a defendant cannot be

outlawed by process with ac etiams.

Since the statute also of 12 Geo. 1. c. 29. before mentioned, we do not often hear of a defendant being outlawed by a common capias quare clausum fregit; because, if the plaintiff's cause of action does not warrant him to arrest and hold the defendant to bail, he is generally ferved with a copy of the process, with a notice subscribed, to appear; and upon no appearance within * eight days after the return of the procefs, the plaintiff is at liberty, upon an affidavit made of the process having been duly served on the defendant, to enter an appearance for him, and proceed as if he had regularly appeared to the action. This method therefore, in cases not warranting bail, if the defendant can be met with, being more easy and expeditious, and attended with little or no expence to the fuitor, compared with proceedings towards outlawry, affords one reason why outlawry is not often heard of now upon common capias's quare clausum fregit. Another reason also is, that should a plaintiff proceed with an intention to outlaw the defendant upon common capias's, and the defendant even not come in till after the exigi facias, he may, notwithstanding, reverte the outlawry had against him, without being compelled to put in bail to the action: fo that the plaintiff, instead of gaining any advantage, in proceeding to outlawry upon common capias's, and not purfuing the line chalked out by the statute for his own expedition, is not only put to expence, but is himself the occasion of his own delay, and frequently in a worfe fituation towards recovering his demand than he otherwise might have been, if he had proceeded as the statute directs him.

view to outlaw the defendant, unless the cause of action is such as to warrant holding him to bail, which must be for actions founded on contract, unless by special order of a judge in cases of torts. And, as the action of debt is not often commenced at this day by a pracipe quod reddat, on account of the sine upon suing it out, the action of assumpsit is that in which plaintiffs usually proceed, with a view to

oùtlaw the defendant.

On meine Proceis.

In the King's Bench, as a writ of error brought on a judgment by original there, must be returnable in parliament, and not in the Exchequer Chamber, as where the suit is commenced by bill, a plaintiff having a just demand against a litigious and wealthy defendant, who is likely to put off the day of payment as long as he can, by bringing writs of error, has a prospect therefore of getting his debt much earlier, by suing by original, than by bill in B. R. And, in either court, if the plaintiff's demand amounts to a considerable sum, or the defendant cannot be easily caught, or has property which the plaintiff can come at, he may, in the end, reap more advantage, perhaps, by taking out process towards outlawry, than by suing out a common capias quare clausam fregit, or a capias with a clause of "ac etiam" in it.

As the action of assumpsit, where the demand is sufficient to hold to bail, is the action in which plaintiffs in either court usually proceed with an intention to outlaw the defendant, I shall shew the method of commencing and prosecuting such action, and of outlawing a desendant therein, and of his reversing such outlawry; at the same time it must be remembered, that the practice and proceedings in another action requiring bail, towards outlawry, would be exactly the same.

The plaintiff's attorney, or special pleader, when the cause of action is above 10 l. draws out a pracipe for a special original, which pracipe contains the whole count or declaration, and ought to be drawn up with great accuracy and precision, as on it all the subsequent proceedings are built. The desendant's name, his degree, prosession, or mistery must be afcertained and set forth according to the statute of * additions, together with the town or hamlet, place and county, in which he is or was conversant.

The pracipe, in an action on the case on assumpsit, is to this effect.

Middlesex. If A. B. shall give you security to prosecute his suit, then put by sureties and safe pledges C. D. late of Westminster; in the county of Middlesex, upholder, that he be before our lord the king, on the morrow of the Holy Trinity, wheresever our said

lord the king shall then be in England [or if in C. B. fay, "before our justices at Westminster, on the morrow of the Holy Trinity"] to shew wherefore Whereas, [so set forth, verbatim, the whole count, or declaration] to the damage of the said A. B. of one hundred pounds, as he saith, &c.

Returnable, &c.

E. F. attorney, 1 May, 1780.

This practipe must be carried to the cursitor of the proper county, who will thereupon make out the * priginal writ. But in B. R. the practipe is usually carried directly to the filazer, who procures the original from the cursitor, and immediately makes out the capias, &c.

The cursitor is paid at the rate of 2 s. 6 d. the first count, and 6 d. for every other count contained in the pracipe, upon making out the original writ. The filazer, who makes out the capias, &c. from the original writ, is also paid after the

above rate, besides 4 d. for filing the original.

If the pracipe is carried to the cursitor, before the effoign day of a term, he will make the original returnable on any return of the precedent term. The original is returned of course thus:

Pledges for profecuting, { John Doe. Richard Roe.

It has been often questioned, whether an affidavit was necessary to be made of the debt, when a plaintist sues by special original, previous to the issuing of the process. But it has been determined in lord Hardwicke's time, M. 10 Geo. 2, Fownes and Allen, that process of outlawry is not within the stat. 12 Geo. 1. c. 29. so there is no need of an affidavit, when the plaintist sues by special original, especially as the 5 Geo. 2. c. 27. so enacts, "That no special writ, nor any process specially therein expressing the cause of action, shall be sued forth or issued from any superior court, where the cause of action shall not amount to 10 l. or upwards." Since which statute, as no special writ can issue where the cause of action is not above 10 l. it seems, that, before the issuing of a special writ, no affidavit is necessary, because a sherist, if he apprehends the party either

^{*} Very often no original writ is made out at all.

upon the *special capias*, alias, or pluries, before he lets him go out of his custody, for his own fafety, should take bail; and he can easily know to what amount to take bail, as the whole cause of action is spread and set forth in the writ. Vide the case of Cracrast v. Gledowe, Burr. 4 pt. 1482.

In Barnes 322, it was held, that on process to outlawry, no affidavit for bail is required by statute, or the course of

the court.

If the plaintiff means to proceed to outlawry, he has no need to wait till the capias is spent, then to take out an alias, and endeavour to arrest the desendant, and after that a pluries; but he may, for expedition, get them all of the filazer at once (if there is time since the cause of action accrued to allow of the proper teste and return to each writ,) and return them severally of course after this manner:

"The within named C. D. is not found in our bailiwick." The answer of

Thomas Wright, Esq. And Evan Pugh, Esq. Sheriff.

The original writ must have fisteen days, at least, between the teste and return. The capias also must have fisteen days between its teste and return; and should regularly bear teste on the return day of the original: the alias also must have the same number of days, and should bear teste the returnday of the capias; and the pluries capias must have the same number of days, and should bear teste the return day of the alias; whereas, if a plaintist sue by original, and does not mean to proceed to outlawry, the capias may bear teste before the original, and even before the cause of action accrued, so long as it is actually taken out afterwards; for you cannot have over of the copies, so as to take advantage of it. Barnes 173. And so held in B. R. East. 18 Geo. 3.

Note—The proceedings are exactly the same towards outlawry upon a common capias quare clausum fregit, as on a

special capias.

Upon the return of non est inventus to the pluries capias, process of outlawry begins, which is the writ of exigi facias. In B. R. the filazer acts as the exigenter: in C. B. the exigenter is a distinct officer from the filazer.

The

The writ of pluries capias, when sealed and returned, is the warrant for the exigenter to make out the exigi facias

and writ of proclamation thereon.

Every attorney shall file his warrant of attorney of the term wherein any exigent is awarded, upon pain of forty shillings for every time he offends, and is attainted by due examination of the justices of this court; such warrant to be filed upon or before the essoign-day of every Trinity term, and within twenty-one days after the end of every other term. Hil. 14, 15 Car. 2. C. B.

No exigenter shall receive any pluries capias, in order to make an exigent or proclamation thereon, before the same be signed or samped by the clerk of the warrants, or his deputy, to the end it may appear, that the warrant of attor-

ney is duly filed. Hil. 2, 3 Jac. 2.

Plaintiffs, who intend to proceed to outlawry, generally lay their action in London, because defendants are sooner outlawed in London than in any other place, as the county

days there are more frequent.

The writ of exigent therefore must go to the sheriff of the county in which the action is laid; as suppose London. But if the desendant lives in any other county, the writ of proclamation must go there, (whether a county palatine, or other franchise in England or Wales) according to the 31 Eliz. c. 3. for avoiding secret outlawries against persons having known places of dwelling.

The exigent should bear teste the quarto die post of the pluries. The writ of proclamation, by the same statute, must

bear the same tefte and return as the exigent.

If the exigent goes into London, as is usual in outlawries, for expedition, carry it to one of the compters, where clerks attend for the purpose, who require the defendant, upon five several busting-days; and, if he does not appear upon

the quinto exactus, he is returned outlawed.

Upon receiving the writ of proclamation, the sheriff must, according to the natute of Elizabeth, make three proclamations, one in his county-court, one at the general quarter-sessions, and the other at least one month before the quinto exactus at the church door of the parish where the desendant lives; or, if he lives out of a parish, then at the church-door of the next parish, upon a Sunday, after divine service; after which proclamations, if the desendant does not appear before the quinto exactus, he is pronounced outlawed by the

coroner. Then the sheriffs return the writs—the return to the exigent specifies the five county courts when he was exacted; and the judgment of outlawry—and the return to the writ of proclamation particularizes when and where he was duly proclaimed.

If it happens that there should not be five county days between the teste and return of the exigent, you may, upon application to the exigenter, get an allocatur to bring in the

days.

All outlawries pronounced, and and no proclamation awarded and returned, according to the statute are void. Stat. 31 El. c. 3.

The sheriff, for making the proclamation at the church-

door, shall have 12 d. Same stat.

No officer, in whose office the exigent shall be taken, shall take more, for making out the writ of proclamation, than 6 d. Stat. 6 Hen. 8. c. 4.

Of appearing upon the exigent, &c. Vide post.

When the exigent and proclamation are returned, the proclamation must be filed with the exigenter, and the exigent taken to the clerk of the outlawries, if in C. B. [in B. R. the filazer executes this office also] who thereupon makes out a capias utlagatum, of which there are two sorts, either the general or special capias utlagatum, the one against the defendant's body, the other against his body, goods and lands, into as many counties as the plaintiff chuses either in England or Wales.

No sheriff, undersheriff, their deputies, or bailiffs, shall set at liberty any person arrested upon any capias utlagatum, until he receive a supersedeas according to law from the proper

officer appointed. 13 Car. 2. ft. 2. c. 2. f. 4.

No sheriff, undersheriff, &c. shall set at liberty any perfon upon any writ of capias utlagatum, nor discharge the lands or goods of any person outlawed, without a lawful supersedeas, under the seal of the court.—Hil. 15. 16 Car. 2. But vide the statute 4 & 5 W. & M. c. 18. post. which empowers him to admit to bail, or take an attorney's engagement in writing to appear for him.

If upon the special capias utlagatum any goods are taken, and the defendant is not likely to put in bail to the action, or does not move to supersede or reverse the outlawry, you may get a satisfaction out of his goods; but if the same do not amount to something considerable, so as to pay all the charges of petitioning, &c. and put the plaintiff something in

pocket

pocket towards his demand, it will not be worth while to proceed.

If the plaintiff, in such case, thinks it worth his while to proceed, he must get the sheriff to extend and appraise the goods by an inquest, which the sheriff will summon if requested, the plaintiff paying the charges thereof, amounting to about two or three guineas; and if it is necessary, the plaintiff may take out a subpæna for witnesses to attend and give evidence upon the inquisition.

The inquisition being taken, get the capias utlagatum returned with the inquisition annexed, which must be carried, if in B. R. to the filazer who acts as clerk of the outlawries. and if in C. B. to the clerk of the outlawries, who will tranfcribe the inquisition and transmit it into the Court of Exchequer. Which being done, apply to a clerk in the Remembrancer's office for a venditioni exponas, by virtue of which the sheriff will fell the goods, and if the money raised thereby exceeds not 20 l. the court of Exchequer, on motion, will order it to be paid to the plaintiff; but if it exceeds that fum, the plaintiff must petition the lords of the treasury for it, who refer it to their folicitor, to examine into the merits of the plaintiff's demand.

To the Right Honourable the Lords Commissioners of his Majesty's Treasury.

The humble PETITION of A.B.

SHEWETH,

THAT C.D. late of ——— being indebted to your petitioner in the sum of 100 l. your petitioner did, at his very great charge in November last, prosecute the faid C. D. to an outlawry; and by virtue of a special capias utlagatum, directed to the sheriff of Middlesex, several goods of the said C. D. were seized, and found by inquisition to be of the value of 86 l. 4s. which goods were afterwards fold by the faid sheriff, by virtue of a writ of venditioni exponas, at the same price and value at which they were so appraised; and the money thereupon raised still remains in the hands of the sheriff of Middlesex.

Vol. II. That

That your petitioner's faid debt, and the charge he has already been at in profecuting the faid C. D. to outlawry, greatly exceeded the fum fo remaining in the sheriff's hands.

Wherefore your petitioner humbly prays your lordships, that the money so levied as aforesaid may be paid over to your petitioner.

And your petitioner, as in duty bound, shall ever pray, &c.

Reference thereon to the folicitor.

Whitehall Treasury-Chambers, Feb. 1, 1780.

The right honourable the Lord's Commissioners of his Majesty's Treasury are pleased to refer this petition to William Chamberlain, esq. who is to consider the same; and report to their lordships a true state of the petitioners case, together with his opinion what is sit to be done therein.

Grey Gooper.

After this reference, the plaintiff must make an assidavit, before one of the barons of the Exchequer, of his debt and proceedings against the defendant, and the charges he has been put unto, which affidavit, with the attorney's bill, venditioni exponas and return, must all be laid before the solicitor of the Treasury; and if he is satisfied of the truth of the premisses, he makes his report to their lordships accordingly; and thereupon a warrant goes from the Treasury to the attorney general, to consent that so much of the money as remains in the sheriff's hands, after deducting the poundage, be paid to the plaintiff towards fatisfying the debt and costs, on his moving the court of Exchequer for an order for that purpose. On delivering the warrant to the attorney general, he gives his consent of course; then, on moving the court of Exchequer, an order is made for the sheriff to pay the money over to the plaintiff, which, on fight thereof, he will accordingly do.

The fees and expences in all amount to about 201.

Of superseding the Exigent before Outlawry.

If the defendant has notice that an exigent is issued out against him, and would avoid the outlawry, he must find out to what sheriff the writ is directed, and get a note of it, particularizing at whose suit, the cause of action, and when the exigent is returnable; from which note, the filazer in B. R. or exigenter in C. B. will make out a supersedent on the desendant's attorney entering an appearance; which supersedent must be carried to the sheriff for his allowance thereof before the return of the exigent *.

The fuperfedeas is a writ taking notice of the exigi facias having issued, at whose suit, and for what cause; and orders the sheriff to forbear further proceedings, as the defendant duly appeared in court before the issuing thereof, and offered to answer the plaintiff, although the fact, perhaps, is the con-

trarv.

If the defendant thus superfedes the exigent before outlawry pronounced, no bail is required, let the debt be ever so large: Whereas, if a defendant superfedes or reverses an outlawry had against him, he must put in bail, if he was outlawed by a special original requiring bail.

The fupersedeas to an exigent must be delivered to the

fheriff before the return of the exigent. Barnes 319.

In superseding the exigent, the desendant must pay the plaintiff the costs he has put into his proceedings.

^{*} The fees amount to about 101.—2s. for entering the appearance,—3s. the fuperfedeas—duty, 1s. 6d. feal, 7d. and allowance by the sheriff, 2s. 4d.

Of appearing to the Exigent, and of reverling the Outlawry by Motion, on coming in gratis.

Ormerly, if the defendant appeared upon the exigent, though the debt originally required bail, yet the defendant was not obliged to put in bail; but the courts now hold, that if the defendant stands out to be outlawed, and will then come in, [i.e. voluntarily come ir] and the cause of action requires bail, he must put in bail, as appears by Campbell v. Daley. Bur. 4 pt. 1920. The question was, whether in a case originally requiring special bail, and the defendant standing out to an outlawry, he can come in and appear to the outlawry without putting in special bail? Per cur. There ought to be a special bail. It would be unreasonable, that a defendant should gain an advantage, by standing out until process of outlawry. He certainly ought not to be in a better case then, than if he had appeared at first. And accordingly direction was given, "that the filazer should not issue a supersedeas till the defendant had put in special bail." And a week was given him for that purpose.

Instead of driving the party to a writ of error, to reverse an outlawry had against him, the court will, at this day, in most cases, relieve upon motion, where the party comes in gratis upon the exigent, if the proceedings have been

irregular or unlawful.

A writ of supersedeas to an allocatur to the exigent could not be fealed in the morning of the day whereon the allocatur was returnable, it being an holy-day, but was fealed and brought to the fheriff's office in London, about an hour after the defendant was returned outlawed. The proceeding was by special original in an action on the case on promises, which required bail. Motion and rule was to shew cause, why defendant should not have leave to appear, and supersede the exigent on payment of costs. On shewing cause, the court was not willing to strip the plaintiff of an advantage which he had fairly and regularly obtained. Before a defendant is returned outlawed, he may supersede the exigent, though founded on a special original, and though the debt be ever so large. But after he is returned outlawed, he cannot reverse the outlawry, without bail; who are to be absolutely bound to pay the money without power to render the principal in their discharge. Ordered, that proceedings on the outlawry be stayed on payment

Of appearing to the Exigent, and of reverling the Outlawry by Motion on coming in gratis.

payment of the plaintiff's debt and costs within a month; but in default, the rule to be discharged, and plaintiff at liberty to proceed on the outlawry. Challing v. Fox. Barnes

326.

It appeared that, pending the exigent, defendant was a prisoner in the gaol for the city of York, for which reason the court ordered the outlawry to be reversed, without payment of costs to the plaintiff, upon defendant's entering a common appearance. Barnes 321. Heely v. Hewson.

An outlawry had against a bankrupt was reversed on

motion. Anon. B. R.

The outlawry was reverfed and compleated, during the defendant's residence in *Ireland*; and, on motion, it was ordered, at his expence, to be reversed, without bail or appearance. Where the court see an unlawful proceeding, they will not put the party to the expence of a writ of error, but will avoid circuity, and relieve him in a summary way. Barnes 325. Reilly v. O'Connor.

Motion to reverse outlawries on common clausum fregits, at the plaintiff's expence, on affidavits of defendant's publick appearance and dealings, sworn by themselves only. Per cur. Let the rule be enlarged until next term, that the plaintiff's attorney may, in the mean time, make satisfaction to the

parties. Barnes 320.

Rule to shew cause, why outlawry should not be reversed at plaintiff's expence. It appeared that two writs had been sued out, and desendant could not be arrested. He lived on the confines of Surry and Kent; and when the Surry bailiff come to arrest him, he jumped over an hedge into Kent, and put the bailiff to desiance: Per cur. Though the defendant is sworn to appear publickly, yet it is plain he kept out of the way to prevent being arrested. Rule discharged. But, by consent, the debt and costs to be paid out of the money in the sherisf's hands; and the overplus paid to the defendant. Holman v. Brasser. Barnes 320.

On motion to reverse an outlawry, the defendant, and three others, swore that he was always visible; but the court resused, and r quired positive affidavit, that he might

have been served with process.

On motion to superfede an outlawry, it was objected by defendant, that he was a publick visible man; and that the E 2 return

Of appearing to the Exigent, and of reverling the Outlawry by Motion on coming in gratis.

return of the proclamation was bad, it importing, that proclamations were made as the sheriff was by the writ commanded, but not where or according to the form of the statute. That the defendant was a publick visible man, was denied: and it was fully proved that he absconded; and his living was under a sequestration. The court seemed to think, the return of the proclamation was sufficient; but said, that as to that, the defendant might bring a writ of error. And the rule to shew cause, why the outlawry should not be reversed, at the plaintiff's expence, was discharged. Dale v. Robinson, clerk. Barnes

322.

Rule to shew cause, why outlawry should not be reversed at the plaintiff's expence. Objected, on the part of the defendant, that he was a publick visible man, and that the plaintiff had not endeavoured to arrest him. capias, alias, and pluries, were all sued out at the same time. That no affidavit of the debt was indorfed on the writs, (though bailable) purfuant to the statute to prevent vexatious arrests. That no date was on the writs, as required by the statute. The affidavits, as to the defendant's visibility, were fully answered, and his total absconding proved. And the court held, that in case of a total absconding, no endeavours to arrest are necessary. That fuing out the capias, alias, and pluries together, was regular, and warranted by constant practice. That on process to outlawry, no affidavit for bail is required by the statute, or the course of the court, nor is a date to such process usual. Rule discharged without costs. Farnworth v. Smith. Barnes 322.

Three several outlawries had been pronounced above a year, and transcribed into the exchequer, — one against A. and B. a second against A. and the third against B. all at the plaintiff's prosecution. Penvold and Roberts, authorized by power of attorney executed by defendants, applied on their behalf, and obtained a rule to shew cause why these outlawries should not be reversed at plaintiff's expence, defendants at the time the writs of exigent issued, and still being in parts beyond the seas. On shewing cause it appeared, that defendants had been abroad three years, and probably never intended to return; and it was urged, that as

thev

Of appearing to the Exigent, and of reverling the Outlawry by Motion on coming gratis.

they stay abroad longer than their lawful occasion required, such stay must be looked upon with a view to defeat justice; and consequently, they were duly outlawed. That if not, they ought to bring their writ of error, and should not be relieved by motion. The court thought it discretionary in them to relieve by motion, or put the parties to a writ of error, according to the circumstances of the case, Courts have gone further of late years, than heretofore, on motions, as more effectually to expedite justice, save expence, and preserve credit and characters. There is no fufficient foundation for the court to order the plaintiff to reverse these outlawries at his own expence. But as they are not special, but only common clausum fregits, defendants have a right to reverse them at their own expence, on entering common appearances and payment of costs. Rule made accordingly. Defendants, before the outlawries were transcribed into the Exchequer, might have reversed them, on entering common appearances, and payment of common costs, as far as the exigent; but now, after they are transcribed, costs must be paid to the time of the reverfal. Barnes 324.

Defendant was outlawed while refident at Jamaica, for a debt contracted in England, and was abroad when the proceedings to outlawry were first commenced. On shewing cause on a rule made, why the outlawry should not be reversed at the plaintiff's expence, it appeared, that the defendant was an absconding person; and that the motion, though in his name, was not made by him, but by a third person, and the matter appearing to be a contention between creditors, the court would not exercise a discretionary power, fo as to relieve the defendant in a fummary way: The plaintiff has had no remedy for his debt: The court will not take from him the legal advantage he has got,— The defendant, if he thinks fit, may bring his writ of error.

Rule discharged. Barnes 325.

After the return of the exigent, but whilst it remained in the hands of the sheriff, and before the defendant was returned outlawed, the court made a rule, that a supersedeas to the exigent should be allowed on payment of costs. Withall v. White. Barnes 323.

After outlawry pronounced, defendant moved to fet afide the outlawry for want of proclamation. Per cur. This is · E 4

Of appearing to the Exigent, and of reverling the Outlawry by Motion on coming in gratis.

not a fit matter to be determined in a summary way; the defendant may bring his writ of error. Barnes 323.

Note, Outlawries pronounced without proclamations, are

void by the 31 El. c. 3.

Motion that plaintiff might reverse an outlawry at his own expence, the defendant being in parts beyond the seas at the time he was outlawed. Per cur. The defendant may take advantage of this by writ of error; but it is no matter of irregularity. Blunt v. Beale. Barnes 320.

Ibid. 319.

The plaintiff having commenced a proceeding to outlawry against defendant, he gave notice to the plaintiff that he had appeared, and obtained a supersedeas to the exigent. Plaintiff searched at the Compter, [as the outlawry was in London] and no supersedeas being allowed there, desendant was returned outlawed, who moved to set aside the outlawry. On shewing cause, desendant alledged, that he had entered an appearance with the exigenter; but that appeared to be unnecessary, and a novel imposition by the exigenter. The court held, that the supersedeas is in itself an appearance, if delivered to the sheriff before the return of the exigent; but that not having been done, the defendant is regularly outlawed; and the rule to shew cause, why it should not be reversed at the plaintiff's expence, was discharged. Barnes 319.

In C. B. it was moved, that the plaintiff might reverse an outlawry at his own charge, upon affidavit that the defendant was actually in the Fleet, in execution for the plaintiff in another suit, and that he knew it; and it was granted, because the plaintiff should have brought him to the bar by habeas corpus, and there have charged him with a

new declaration. Adlame v. Colebatch. Salk. 495.

A writ of allocatur on the exigent had iffued [after judgment and ca. fa.] returnable the first return of Michaelmas, whereupon defendant was returned outlawed 16th of July preceding. It appeared, that the plaintiff died 6th of Aug. and that a commission of bankrupt issued against defendant on the 21st of August, preceding the return of the exigent. Defendant obtained a rule to shew cause why proceedings should not be staid, which rule was discharged; the court i eing of opinion, that the writ and return must be filed,

notwith-

Of appearing to the Exigent, and of reverling the Outlawry by Motion on coming gratis.

notwithstanding the plaintiff's death after the outlawry: Before an actual affignment by commissioners of bankruptcy, the crown is not bound, though there is a great difference between an extent in aid pro rege, and an outlawry for a private person's debt. Here is no foundation to tie up the plaintiff's hands; the plaintiff [meaning the representative of the original plaintiff] may proceed if so advised.

v. Manby. Barnes 323.

It was the practice in the Common Pleas, before the flat. 4 & 5 W. & M. c. 18. to allow a defendant, upon appearance by attorney, to reverse the outlawry, and not to require an appearance in person. But in the King's Bench, no one in any case, civil or criminal, could reverse an outlawry, without an appearance in person, till that statute, unless where, ex speciali gratia upon a reason assigned to the court, they indulged him to appear by attorney, as in fickness, &c. Cro. fac. 462. but then the entry was, that he came in person " Quod venit in propria persona," the law being clear, that upon an outlawry he ought to appear in person. Carth. 7. Skin. 16. Salk. 496. But to remedy the inconvenience and expence attending an appearance in person, that statute enacts, "That no person who is or shall be " outlawed in the faid court, for any cause, matter, or "thing whatfoever, [treafon and felony only excepted] 66 shall be compelled to come in person into, or appear in 56 person in the said court to reverse such outlawry, but " shall or may appear by attorney and reverse the same "without bail, in any cases, except where special bail " shall be ordered by the faid court."

Of appearing to the Exigent gratis, and of reverfing the Outlawry by Writ of Error.

HE courts, instead of driving the party to his writ of error to reverse an outlawry had against him, will mostly, as appears from the foregoing cases, relieve him on motion, where the proceedings have been irregular; but in doing this the courts always require, that the desendant pay the plaintiss this costs up to the exigent, unless where the plaintiss has proceeded intentionally irregular, and with a view to oppress. But where the desendant is driven to his writ of error to reverse the outlawry, either upon coming in upon the exigent, &c. gratis, or brought in upon the capias utlagatum, he must, in all cases, pay the plaintiss his costs to the outlawry; and, where special bail is required, he must put in bail, either before error can be brought to reverse the outlawry, or else upon the reversal.

By the 31 El. c. 3. f. 3. it is enacted, "That before any allowance of any writ of error, or reverfing any out- lawry be had, by plea or otherwife, through or by want of any proclamation to be had or made, according to the form of this statute, the defendant and defendants in the original action shall put in bail, not only to appear and answer to the plaintiff in the former suit, in a new action to be commenced by the plaintiff for the cause mentioned in the first action, but also to satisfy the condemnation, if the plaintiff shall begin his suit before the end of two terms, next after the allowing the writ of error, or otherwise avoiding of the said outlawry."

This statute requires bail to be put in before the allowance of error, only where the error is for want of proclamations.

But for any other cause than for want of proclamations, it is sufficient if bail is put in before the reversal of the outlawry, by the writ of error, if the original cause of action required bail.

As where error was brought to reverse an outlawry in Chefter; to which the desendant in error pleaded, that no bail was put in before the allowance of the writ of error, according to the 31 El. c. 3. Per cur. This is no plea, for it is well enough, if bail be put in at any time before the reversal. The error was the want of pro comitatu. Wilbiaham v. Doyley, Ld. Raym. 605.

So where, pending error to reverse an outlawry on mesne process, the desendant in error moved to quash the writ,

becaufe

Of appearing to the Exigent gratis, and of reversing the Outlawry by Writ of Error.

because no bail was given. Sed per cur. That is never done till the outlawry is reversed; and then we take bail to appear to an original, to be brought within two terms. Duckett v. Martin, Stra. 951.

If a party comes in gratis, upon the return of the exigent, he may be admitted by motion to reverse the outlawry, for any other cause than want of proclamations, without putting in bail. If he comes in by cepi corpus on the capias utlagatum, then he shall not be admitted to reverse it without appearing in person, as in such case he was obliged to do at common law; or putting in bail with the sheriff for his appearance upon the return of the cepi corpus, and for doing what the court shall order. Appearing by attorney is an indulgence by 4 & 5 W. & M. and the bail is to be special or common in this as in other cases. Salk. 496. But vide the case of Campbell v. Daley, Burr. 4 pt. 1920. Where it was held, that a defendant, coming in after outlawry, must put in special bail, before supersedeas or reversal of outlawry; if the original cause of action required special bail: which determination feems to have been founded on the case of Serecold v. Hampson, bart, Stra. 1178. 1 Wilf. 3. which was as follows:

The defendant was outlawed in a personal action, without any affidavit of the plaintiff's demand: and having brought error, he affigned his being beyond sea at the time of the outlawry; for which the court made no difficulty to reverse it: but the question was, upon what terms they should do it, the plaintiff infisting on special bail, and having now made a proper affidavit; and the defendant infifting to file common bail only .--- The court, upon confidering of the 4 & 5 W. & M. c. 18. f. 3. which impowers the outlaw to appear by attorney, [as he did here] and fays, it shall be reverfed without bail in all cases but "where special bail shall be ordered by the court," declared, they were of opinion, they had a differentionary power to require it or not; and that the want of an affidavit before was no objection; because that is, only requisite to warrant an arrest: and here was one in time for the new action that must be brought. And though the 31 Eliz. c. 3. s. is the only act that requires bail, it is not to be inferred from thence, that in other cases it ought not to be insisted on, for that act makes a new error, and the bail upon it is absolutely to pay the condemnation money.

IF a defendant was arrested upon the capias utlagatum, the sheriff could not admit him to bail, as an outlawed perfon is excepted out of both the statutes of 23 Hen. 6. c. 9. and 13 Car. 2. stat. 2. c. 2. (unless by supersedeas first had

and received for discharging him.)

But by the 4 & 5 W. & M. c. 18. f. 4. it is enacted, "That if any person, outlawed in the * faid court (other than for treason and felony) shall be taken and arrested upon " any capias utlagatum out of the said court, it shall and may " be lawful for the sheriff who hath or shall arrest such " person (in all cases where special bail is not required by . the faid court) to take an attorney's engagement, under 66 his hand, to appear for the faid defendant, and to reverse " the faid outlawry; and thereupon to discharge the faid " defendant from such arrest: and in those cases, where " special bail is required by the said court, the said sheriff " shall and may take security of the said defendant by bond, "with one or more fufficient fureties, in the penalty of "double the fum for which special bail is required, and no "more, for his appearance by attorney, in the faid court, " at the return of the faid writ; and to do and perform " fuch things as shall be required by the said court; and, " after fuch bond taken, to discharge the said defendant " from the said arrest.",

And by feet. 5. it is further enacted, "That if any per"fon outlawed as aforesaid, and taken and arrested upon a
"capias utlagatum, shall not be able, within the return of
the said writ, to give security as aforesaid, in cases where
fpecial bail is required, so as he be committed to gaol for
default thereof, that whensoever the said prisoner shall
find sufficient security to the sherisf, in whose custody he
shall be, for his appearance by attorney in the said court,

^{* &}quot;The faid court," means the court of King's Bench; the flatute being made to prevent malicious informations in the court of King's Bench, and for the more eafy reversal of outlawries in the same court. But notwithstanding, all persons arrested upon the capias utlagatum out of the Common Pleas, after outlawry there have always been bailable since the making thereof, and before might have been discharged by a superfectors to the capias utlagatum. Vide sect. 4. in 13 Car. 2. c. 2.

" at some return in the term then next following, to reverse
the said outlawry, and to do and perform such other
thing and things as shall be required by the said court;

" it shall and may be lawful for the said sheriff, after such fecurity taken, to discharge and set at liberty the said

" prisoner for the same."

. It is the allowed practice of the court of Common Pleas to fuffer a defendant coming in by capias utlagatum, the same term on which an exigent is returnable, to avoid the outlawry without writ of error, by shewing, that he purchased a supersedeas out of the same court, and delivered it to the sheriff before the quinto exactus, &c. or by shewing any other matter apparent on the record, which makes it erroneous, as the want of original, or the omission of process, or want of form in a writ of proclamation, &c. or a return by a person not appearing to be sheriff, or a variance between the original and exigent, or other process, or the want of such addition, &c. as is required by the 1 Hen. 5 .- Yet, it is faid, in many books, to be the constant course of the court of King's Bench, never to reverse an outlawry on the crown fide, either in the same or a different term, for these or other errors of a like nature, without a writ of error. Hawk. P. C. 458. and several authorities there cited.

But, in civil cases, the King's Bench, as well as the Common Pleas, at this day, will generally reverse outlawry on motion, as is seen in the foregoing pages, without driving the party to his writ of error, whether he comes in in the same term or another, or upon the exigent or capias utlagatum. But, in relieving by motion, the court always have regard to the plaintiff's cause of action, and the situation he

is in towards the recovery of his debt.

A. who was a foreign merchant, and never in England, was outlawed at the fuit of B. in an action on several promises for goods sold and delivered; and, on a special capias utlagatum, a ship, and other effects belonging to A. were seized, as forseited upon this outlawry; and it was moved, that this outlawry may be vacated, and restitution awarded, upon assidavits produced and read, that the defendant was never infia legem, i. e. that he never was in England, and therefore could not be outlawed; because that was putting him extra legem. Sed per cur. This outlawry shall not be vacated

vacated upon such affidavits; but the defendant may bring a writ of error, which he was compelled to, and thereupon to put in bail to the action in which he was outlawed; and then the plaintiff consented to the reversal. Matthews v. Erbo, Carth. 459. Ld. Raym. 349. For, unless the court drives the desendant to his writ of error, in such cases, a person might contract debts, and then go beyond sea, and so be out of the reach of the law; therefore this is said to be

a good way to get bail of a foreign merchant.

In debt upon a bond entered into by the wife, dum fola, the husband was abroad and outlawed; and the wife, though she appeared publickly, waived. On motion to set aside the outlawry against the wife, and to restore her the goods taken on a special capias utlagatum, on affidavit that they were her separate goods, the court held, that the goods must be taken to be her husband's goods in point of law; and that, if she had any equitable right to them, she must resort to a court of equity: but, as she appeared publickly, she has been wrongfully waived; and therefore the rule was made absolute for setting aside the outlawry against the wife, but discharged as to restoring the goods. Biscoe v. Kennedy and bis wife, in C. B. 2 Wils. 127.

Defendant was taken on a capias utlagatum on a Sunday, and therefore he moved to be discharged, the taking being contrary to the stat. 29 Car. 2. But, notwithstanding the court held the taking bad, they refused to grant an attachment, and put the defendant to take the remedy given by

the statute. Osborne v. Carter, Barnes 319.

Defendant was waived specially on mesne process, as a single woman by the name of Dunster; and after the exigent, and before the outlawry, she married one Priseley; and, on being taken by a capias utlagatum, after the outlawry, on motion, a rule was obtained to shew cause, why the outlawry should not be reversed, at her husband's expence, on his entering a common appearance for himself and his wife. But the rule was discharged, the court resusing to interpose in a summary way, as the marriage was after the exigent. White v. Dunster, Barnes 321.

H. was outlawed in two actions, one was 10 l. the other 40 s. and, upon reverfing the outlawry, the court took special bail for the first, and an appearance for the other; the

recogni-

recognizance was taken pursuant to the 31 El. c. 3. Salk.

496.

Two persons were outlawed in a joint action against them, and one moved, that, on filing common bail, she might have liberty to reverse the outlawry. Sed per cur. The writ of error, to reverse the outlawry, must be brought in the name of both the parties that are outlawed; and, if one only appears, the other may be summoned and severed, and then the outlawry may be reversed for the benefit of the party appearing only. Symmons v. Bingoe and Cooke, B.R.

Salk. 496.

Defendant being arrested on a capias utlagatum, the sheriff took an attorney's engagement, under his hand, to appear for the defendant and reverse the outlawry, without taking security, by bond, in double the sum for which bail was required, pursuant to the act of 4 & 5 W. & M. c. 18. shewing cause, why an attachment should not issue against the sheriff for discharging the desendant out of his custody, it was urged, that he neither did nor could know, that it was a case requiring bail, as the capias utlagatum was not marked for bail; and that 12 Geo. 1. c. 29. required an affidavit; and that the sum, for which bail is to be taken, is to be marked on the process, &c. For the plaintiff, it was urged, that process of outlawry is not within the stat. 12 Geo. 1. that this was by special original; and the cause of action was expressed in the original process, in which it appears he was entitled to bail. The court were clear, that this was not a case within the 12 Geo. 1. and thought the sheriff had acted improperly; but, as there was an affidavit of the undersheriff, that he had acted to the best of his understanding, without any ill intention, they enlarged the rule in order to give the sheriff an opportunity to put in bail. After which the sheriff undertook to pay the debt and costs. Cracraft v. Gledowe, Burr. 4 pt. 1482.

A Naction on the case lies for the escape of a prisoner outlawed. Stra. 901. i.e. A qui tam action on the case, if outlawed on mesne process, the plaintiff having an interest and a damage, and the king an interest for the forfeiture.

But if outlawed, after judgment, it feems debt lies for the escape at the suit of the plaintiff only. Vide Cro. El. 706.

Upon the reverfal of outlawry, the party is restored to all he has lost.

If the goods of a person outlawed are sold by the sheriff, upon a capias utlagatum; and, after the outlawry is reversed, he shall be restored to the goods themselves; because, the sheriff was not compellable to sell those goods, but only to keep them to the use of the king. 5 Co. 90. Hoe's case. Roll. Ab. 778. S. C. cited. Cro. El. 278. S. P. adjudged. And vide 2 Jon. 101. 2 Show. 58. pl. 52. 3 Keb. 871. There shall be a restitution of profits actually paid into the Exchequer.

At common law, goods and chattels only were liable in personal actions; and as process of outlawry, in personal actions, was given by statute, goods and chattels only still remain liable, because they were only chargeable in personal actions, i.e. They are forfeited to the king, and he shall have the pernancy of the chattels real; but this is by consequence only—the party, being extra legem, is thereby

become incapable to take the profits himself.

A writ of error to reverse an outlawry in any civil case, is not often heard of now, as the party generally comes in and reverses it by motion, and satisfies the debt and costs, or justifies bail to appear to a new original; or, if special bail is not required, enters a common appearance; in which case the outlawry is reversed of course before a judge, or in court, by consession of some trissing error in the proceedings; as, the omission of any letter, irregularity in any of the process, want of proper addition, want of proclamation, want of short, any trissing matter whatever; which, in such cases, is usually consessed by the plaintiff. For, as the intent of proceeding to outlawry is answered, either by the payment of the debt and costs, or by having good bail put in to

stand the event of the action, any objection to the reversal

of the outlawry would be idle and nugatory.

In order to reverse an outlawry, without an actual write of error, the defendant's attorney (having entered an appearance) gets a copy of an exigent, on which is usually marked the error, which being pointed out to the secondary or prothonotary, and then shewn to one of the judges, if in court, or to a judge at chambers, a certificate is made thereof, if in court, or an order, if before a judge, to the clerk of the outlawries of the said reversal.—On fight of which order or certificate, the clerk of the outlawries marks the outlawry book, discharged; and then the reversal is drawn up in paper, and entered upon the roll, and the defendant is thereupon restored in statu quo prius.

This is the usual way where a person is outlawed, and neither his body, goods, or lands, seized upon the capias utlagatum. But if his body, goods, or lands be seized, then his attorney must go to the clerk of the errors; and on putting in special bail, if requisite, he will make out a supersedeas to discharge the person or his effects, if taken, or if not taken, then for the sheriff to sorbear. But if a man be outlawed after judgment, a reversal in the manner before mentioned will not be allowed; for an outlawry after judgment cannot be reversed till the plaintiff hath acknowledged satisfaction on record, or the defendant hath paid the money into court.

When a defendant to reverse an outlawry is obliged to fue out an actual writ of error, he must apply to the proper cursitor for the writ; who, on a pracipe given him, will make out the writ, which is to this effect:

England, to wit, George the third, by the grace of God, &c. To our justices assigned to hold pleas before ourfelf, greeting. Because in the record and proceeding, and also in the pronouncing the outlawry against C.D. late of London, merchant, in a plea of trespass on the case, whereon he is outlawed in London, pronounced before us returned, as it is said, a manifest error hath happened to the great damage of him the said C. as by his complaint we have understood. We being willing the error, if any hath been, should be Yol. II.

duly corrected, and full and speedy justice done to the said C. in this behalf, command you, that if the outlawry aforesaid is returned before us, as it is said, then, the record and proceedings aforesaid being inspected, you surther cause to be done therein, for the error and vacating of the outlawry aforesaid, what of right, and according to the law and custom of England, shall be meet to be done.

When the writ of error is duly made out and fealed, the defendant must get it allowed by the court, on which allowance the allocatur is subscribed.

If the error is in the exigent or return, or allocatur, or in the writ of proclamation or return thereto, [having first put in bail according to the statute] or in any of the proceedings, he gets a copy thereof, and spreads the whole record, and assigns the errors in this manner:

Afterwards, to wit, On-next, after-in this same term, before the lord the king, at Westminster, comes the said C. D. by ____ his attorney, and immediately fays, that in the pronouncing of the outlawry aforefaid, there is manifest error in this, to wit, that the return of the faid writ of exigi facies, and also the said writ of allecatur, are insufficient, invalid, and void in law; therefore, in that, there is manifest error: There is error also in this, that no judgment of outlawry, upon the writ of allocatur aforesaid, is returned; therefore in this there is manifest error [and to, on affigning the error or errors, as they happen to be]. And the faid C. D. prays the writ of our lord the king, to warn the faid A. B. to be before our lord the king, to hear the record and proceedings aforesaid. And it is granted to him, &c.

If the plaintiff does not appear and confess the errors, the desendant must sue out a scire facias ad audiendum errores,

&c. and upon two nihils returned, the court will reverse the outlawry of course: But if the plaintiff comes in voluntarily, or upon a fiire seci, and does not consess the errors assigned, but joins in error, the defendant must make up error books, and proceed to argument and judgment, as in other cases of error; of which vide post. title Error.

Of declaring after the Outlawry reversed or superfeded.

PON the reversal or superseding of the outlawry, if the desendant does not pay the plaintiff his debt and costs, the plaintiff must proceed to declare, the desendant having, upon reversing or superseding the outlawry, put in special or common bail, as the case required, to appear to a new original.

Upon appearing and superseding the exigent, the plaintiff must declare within fix or eight days after, otherwise the defendant may give him a rule to declare; and if no declaration comes in within the limited time, the defendant may non-suit the plaintiff, and have his costs taxed. Compl. Soll. C. B. 84.

So if the defendant appear by fupersedeas, and will not take a declaration, the plaintiff may have judgment against him, by nil dicit. Ibid.

But where a defendant outlawed, causes the same outlawry to be reversed, the plaintiff has till the end of the second term after reversing the same, and notice thereof given, to declare in. But if he does not proceed within two terms next, after notice of reversing the outlawry, the desendant shall have his costs to be taxed. Reg. Tr. 33 Car. 2. C. B.

The declaration, after reverfal or superseding of the outlawry, has no need to be laid in the fame county in which the former original was made. So held on demurrer. 3 Lev. 245. Whitwick v. Hovenden. Where the original and outlawry were in London, and on the reversal of the outlawry, the plaintiff declared in Suffex, on which it was infifted, that the original being laid in London, the plaintiff could not declare in the action in another county, though the cause of action was transitory. But the prothonstaries certifying, that the course of the court was, that although the original be laid in London, for expediting the outlawry, yet when the defendant comes in, the plaintiff may declare against him in any other county, be the action local or transitory. And the flat. 21 Jac. 1. c. 16. f. 4. giving the plaintiff generally a power to commence a new action or suit within a year after the outlawry reversed, the plaintist may do it in this case, to warrant his declaration delivered, within the course of the court. And the plaintiff had judgment.

By the 21 Jac. 1. c. 16. f. 4. it is enacted, "That if in any action brought by original, the defendant be outlawed,

Of declaring after the Outlawry reversed or superseded.

lawed, and shall after reverse the outlawry; that then the plaintiff, his heirs, executors, or administrators, as the case shall require, may commence a new action or fuit from time to time, within a year after fuch judgment of outlawry reverfed, and not after."

And by the 31 Eli. c. 3. f. 3. it is enacted, "That before the allowance of any writ of error, or reversing of any outlawry, be had by plea or otherwise, through or by want of any proclamation to be had or made according to the form of the faid statute, the defendant in the original shall put in bail, not only to appear and answer to the plaintiff in the former fuit, in a new action to be commenced by the faid plaintiff for the cause mentioned in the first action, but also to satisfy the condemnation, if the plaintiff shall begin his suit before the end of two terms next after the allowing the writ of error, or otherwise avoid-

ing of the faid outlawry."

Though this latter statute relates only to the reversing the outlawry through want of proclamation, and discharges the bail in such case given, if the plaintiff does not proceed within two terms after the reversal-yet the recognizance of bail, upon the reversal of outlawry for other causes than for want of proclamations, has mostly been taken since the making thereof according to this statute. Therefore, if the plaintiff does not declare after the reversal of the outlawry within two terms, the bail are discharged. though they are discharged from their recognizance, the plaintiff is not barred of his action, provided he commences the same within a year after the reversal of the outlawry, according to the 21 Jac. 1. c. 16. Supra.

Bail upon the reversal of outlawry, cannot render their

principal in discharge of themselves; for they are absolutely

bound to pay the condemnation money.

Defendant was outlawed on a special original, and upon reverling the outlawry put in bail with condition as usual, to appear to a new original, to be filed within two terms. Plaintiff proceeded to judgment, and defendant brought a writ of error; a motion was made on behalf of the bail, to discharge their recognizance, no original having been filed within the two terms; and a rule made to shew cause, which was discharged. The bail may plead as they shall be advised. Carleton v Wilkinson. Barnes 86.

Of declaring after the Outlawry reversed or fuperseded.

Upon superseding the exigent, if plaintiff delivers a declaration, there should be a notice to plead; and a rule given to plead before judgment, for want of a plea, can be signed. And defendant has, in such case, the same time to plead as in other cases. Barnes 271—2.

Of Scire facias.

Scire facias is a writ judicial founded on some matter of record, as judgments, recognizances, and letters patent, on which it lies to enforce the execution of them, or to vacate or set them aside: and though it be a writ jucial, or of execution, yet it is so far in nature of an original, that a desendant may plead to it, and is in that respect as an action; and therefore it is held, that a release of all actions, or of executions, is a good plea in bar to a scire facias. Vide Bac. Abr. 4 Vol. 409. and authorities there cited.

A fcire facias lies for many purposes in law; and the writ itself may be formed according to the subject matter. But the writs of scire facias, which will be proper to notice in in this work, are only of four kinds—I. Of the scire facias against bail, after judgment had against the principal, on their recognizance forfeited. 2. Of the scire facias to revive a judgment by and against the same identical parties to the suit on which the judgment was had. 3. Of the scire facias to continue a suit by or against the representatives of one of the parties dying before sinal judgment. And 4. Of the scire facias by or against the representatives of a party to the suit dying after judgment, and before execution.

The writ of fire facias is adapted to the subject matter: For the various forts of which writ, see the several books of entries.

Of the Scire facias against Bail, and of Proceedings therein.

WHEN a defendant is admitted to bail by the court where the action is brought, his fureties or bail stipulate, that the defendant shall, if he be condemned in the action, satisfy the plaintiff his debt and costs; or else. that he shall surrender himself a prisoner; or in case he does neither, that they (his bail) will pay what the plaintiff recovers for him. Therefore after the plaintiff has recovered judgment in his action, he must, before ever he proceeds against the bail, look to satisfaction from the defendant; and the plaintiff has his election of three forts of executions, either of which he is at liberty to pursue against the defendant, viz. by elegit against his lands and goods; by fieri facias against his goods only; or by capias ad satisfaciendum against his body; by which writ, he may be imprisoned till fatisfaction is made.—If the plaintiff proceeds by elegit or fieri facias, he aims at a fatisfaction by a seizure of the defendant's property; and by taking out either of those writs of execution, he cannot fix the bail; but if he would look to the bail to make him fatisfaction, his execution must be by a capias ad satisfaciendum against the principal; and that is the only writ which has effect to fix the bail, as it amounts to a demand on him to surrender himfelf a prisoner; which if not done by the return thereof, or if he is not furrendered by the bail in discharge of themfelves, it is prefumed that the bail are ready to pay the debt and damages recovered.

If the plaintiff therefore would ever refort to the bail, his execution must be by capias ad satisfaciendum against the principal; for then he shews, that he would have the body till satisfaction is made him; which writ of ca. sa. must be returned by the sheriff, with a non est inventus, for the

bail are liable only on failure of their principal.

When the recognizance is forfeited by the defendant's not being furrendered by his bail, or furrendering himself to prison, the plaintiff may either bring his action of debt on the recognizance, or proceed by scire facias, by which the sheriff is commanded to make known to them the judgment recovered, and the force and effect of their recognizance entered into, that the desendant has not surrendered himself to the prison of the marshal of the Marshalsea or Fleet, as the case is; and therefore, that they appear in court and shew

cause,

Of the Scire facias against Bail, and of Proceedings therein.

cause, why the plaintiff should not have execution against

them, for his debt and damages recovered.

In order to ground the proceedings by fcire facias against the bail, the plaintiff, before he sues out the writ of fcire facias, must enter the recognizance of bail on a roll, carry in the same, and docquet it; so he must, if he proceeds by action of debt on the recognizance. The entry on the roll is to this effect in B. R.

Michaelmas term 20th George the third (the term this declaration is of)

Middlesex st. A. B. complains against C. D. &c. [go through the declaration] and the said C. D. by John Palmer his attorney, comes and defends the wrong and injury, when, &c. and thereupon E. F. of Charing Cross, in the county of Middlesex, mercer; and G. H. of Fleet-street, in the city of London, grocer, [describing the bail as in the recognizance came into the court of our lord the king, before the king himself, at Westminster, in their proper persons, and became pledges and manucaptors, and each of them became pledge and manucaptor for the faid defendant, that if it should happen that the faid defendant should be condemned in the plea aforesaid, then the said manucaptors granted, and each of them did grant, that all fuch * damages, costs, and charges [if the action be in debt, and judgment be recovered on a verdict, fay, did grant, that as well the faid debt. as all fuch damages, costs, and charges-or if

^{*} In B. R. where the suit is by bill, the bail are not bound in a sum certain, but only undertake that the desendant shall pay the condemnation money, or render his body to prison; and the recognizance being general, must be reduced by the judgment to a certainty. But in C. B. the bail are bound in a sum certain, upon condition, that if the desendant be condemned in the said action, he shall pay the condemnation money, or render himself a prisoner to the Fleet for the same; or upon sailure thereof, that they will do it for him,

Of the Scire facias against Bail, and of Proceedings therein.

in debt and judgment was by default, fay, did grant, that as well the faid debt, as all damages] as should be adjudged to the faid plaintiff in that behalf, should be made of their and each of their lands and chattels, and to be levied to the use of the faid plaintiff, if it should happen that the defendant should not pay the faid plaintiff, or render himself on that occafion, to the prison of the marshal of the Marshalsea, of our said lord the king, before the king himself.

The docquet paper — "the entry of Joseph Lyon, gentleman, one, &c. of Michaelmas term 20th of George the third.

Middlesex st. Recognizance of bail for C. D. at the suit of A. B.

Roll 273.

When the entry of the recognizance is made up, and the roll docquetted and carried in, and a capias ad satisfaciendum also sued out, and got returned by the sheriff, with a non est inventus, the recognizance thereby being forseited, because there is a default in the party, the plaintiff may sue out a scire facias against the bail. But though the recognizance be absolutely forseited in law, yet the bail may surrender the principal afterwards, and the court, ex gratia, on motion, will relieve the bail, as will be shewn hereafter.

If the plaintiff has not sued out a ca. sa. against the principal, in order to ground his proceedings by scire facias against the bail, within a year after the judgment obtained, a scire facias should first go against the principal to revive the judgment, before a scire facias goes against the bail on their recognizance; but the bail cannot take advantage of this: Raym. 1096. 6 Mod. 304. Holt 90.

A scire facias does not lie against bail, unless a ca. sa. is sued out returned and filed; but it may be filed after the scire facias issues. Att. Prast. 343. I Lev. 225. Note on Reg. East. 5 Geo. 2.

If

Of the Scire facias against Bail, and of Proceedings therein.

If the principal defendant dies after the return of the ca. fa. although his death be before the fuing forth the first fire facias, the bail are fixed with the debt and costs, in point of law; and the fire facias's are only an indulgence of the court. 2 Wilf. 67.

On a recognizance taken in B. R. the fcire facias must be brought in Middlefex. 3 Danv. Abr. 313. for the recognizances in B. R. are not obligatory by the caption, but by their being entered of record in the court. Salk. 600, 659. Hob. 195. Brownl. 69. S. G. Moor 883. S. C. Styles 9.

But if bail be taken by a commissioner in the country, the scire facias may either be sued out into Middlesex, where the recognizance is entered of record, or the county where taken. Lutw. 1287.

But in C. B. if a recognizance be taken in London at a judge's chambers, and entered on record as taken in London, all the prothonotaries held, that the scire facias ought to be directed to the sheriffs of London, and not to the sheriffs of Middlesex. Bro. Abr. fol. 66. b. pl. 85. Although the recognizance is not a perfect record till it is entered upon the roll, yet when it is entered in C. B. it is held, that it is a record from the first acknowledgment, and binds persons and lands from that time; for it is the acknowledgment before a judge that gives it the force of a record, though the inrolment be necessary for the testification and perpetuity of it. Hob. 195.

But in Andrews and Harborne, the prothonotaries certified, that upon such recognizance, the scire facias might be brought in Middlesex, or in London. Roll. Abr. 891.

All. 12.

And so, as in B. R. where bail is taken by commissioners, the *scire facias* is sued out, either into the county where taken, or into *Middlesex*, where filed. *Att. Prac.* 361:

But note, On a recognizance of bail in error, if it be entered to be taken at a judge's chambers in London, the scire facias must be sued there.

A scire facias against bail, is not amendable. Grey v.

Jefferson. Stra. 1165.

But a scire facias may be quashed, on motion, without costs, before plea pleaded, though defendant has entered an appearance. Barnes 431.

Of the Scire facias against Bail, and of Proceedings therein.

If the judgment of an inferior court is removed into B. R. or C. B. by certiorari, and the party sues a scire facias to have execution, he ought to shew in his scire facias, that it is the judgment of such an inferior court, removed thither by certiorari; and ought to shew the particular limits of the inferior jurisdiction, and pray execution within the particular limits. But if the judgment be removed into B. R. or C. B. by writ of error, or false judgment, and affirmed, the party may have execution in any part of England; for by the affirmance it is become the judgment of the superior court. But then in a scire facias upon such a judgment affirmed, the plaintist ought to alledge, that it was removed thither by writ of error, &c. — Vide Guilliam v. Hardy. I. Ld. Raym. 216.

Of the Scire facias against Bail, and herein of the Teste and Return of the Writ, &c.

IN B. R. if the suit was by bill, the ca. sa. taken out against the principal in order to ground proceedings by scire facias against the bail, must have eight days between the teste and return; and must lie four days, exclusive, in the sheriff's

office. Salk. 599.

The ca. fa. against the principal being left in the sheriff's office, gives notice to the bail, that the plaintiff will proceed against the person, and therefore it is incumbent on the bail to fearch whether any ca. fa. be left in the office. Burr. Rep. 4 pt. 1360.

A.ca. fa. returnable, pending error, is no regular foun-

dation for proceeding against the bail. Barnes 83.

If by original, it must have fifteen days between the teste and return.

The scire facias against the bail must not bear teste the

same day as the ca. Ja. against the principal.

Two scire facias's were quashed, the ca. sa. and the first fire facias bearing teste on one and the same day. Barnes

But the scire facias against the bail may bear teste the very day of the return of the ca. sa. against the principal.

Stra. 866. Ld. Raym. 1567.

But a scire facias must not bear teste on a Sunday, for it

is not dies juridicus. Dy. 168. a.

If the fuit be by original in B. R. there must be fifteen days between the teste and return of each writ. Att. Pract. 346. and the teste and return may be both inclusive, and in this, whether the days shall be exclusive or inclusive, there is no difference between proceedings by bill or original. 'Stra. 765.

But if the fuit be by bill in B. R. it is sufficient if there are fifteen days between the teste of the first scire facias and return of the second; as if the first be tested on 24th October, and the fecond returnable on the 7th November, this is good. Att. Pratt. 347.

The feire facias must be returnable as the original proceedings are, that is at a day certain or a common return.

Ld. Raym. 1417.

In C. B. it the fuit was on a writ of attachment, or a bill against a privileged p rson, fisteen days between the teste and return of a scire sacias are not requisite; but if by original aliter,

Of the Scire facias against Bail, and herein of the Teste and Return of the Writ, &c.

aliter, and must be returnable on a general return. Att.

Pract. 67.

But in C. B. in a scire facias against bail, if there be fifteen days between the teste of the first and return of the second scire facias, that is sufficient. Prast. Reg. 377. Rules and orders, 2 vol. 114. Prast. Utr. Banci. 27.

When the suit in B. R. is by bill, and the two scire facias's are made returnable in fifteen days, as they may—each writ shall have seven days between the teste and return, and not one ten and the other sive. Att. Pract. 347. Pract.

U. B. 27.

But in Elliott and Smith, Stra. 1139. It was held, that if there be fifteen days between the teste of the first and the return of the second scire facias against bail, it is sufficient, without any regard to the number of the days between the teste and return of each writ.

There were but fourteen days between the teste and return of a scire facias; and the court held it aided by the 17 Car.

2. c. 8. Lutw. 26.

In B. R. when the fuit is by original, the philazer makes out the fire facias.

In C. B. the philazer makes out the first scire facias, and the prothonotary the second. Barnes 96.

An alias scire facias must not issue till the first be return-

able; and if it do, it is void. Att. Pract. 348.

And the alias must bear teste the day of the return of the first in all cases, except in case of a scire facias quare executionem non on a writ of error, and then it is not necessary. Att. Prast. 348.

If the plaintiff does not wish the bail to be summoned on the first scire facias; but would have a nihil thereto returned, it ought to be delivered to the sheriff, or left in his office, sometime before the return thereof. Reg. 5 Geo. 2.

In Miller and Yarraway, Burr. 4 st. 1723. It was faid, that a scire facias against bail must lie in the sheriff's office four days at least before the return.

Every alias scire facias must lie four days, exclusive, before the return thereof in the office. Reg. E. 5 G. 2. B. R.

So every feire facias, on which a feire feet is returned, ought to be delivered to the sherist, or left in his office, four days, exclusive, before the return. Ibid. and Att. Prast. 347.

Of the Scire facias against Bail, and herein of the Teste and Return of the Writ, &c.

But, if the party is summoned the day before, or on the day of the return, that is sufficient.

The sheriff must indorse the time of his receiving it.

A feire facias against bail is not amendable; but the court, on motion, will quash it, if irregular. Stra. 401, 1165.

A fcire facias ordered to be quashed, on plaintiff's motion, without costs, before plea pleaded, although the de-

fendant had entered an appearance. Barnes 431.

The alias scire facias differs in nothing from the first, except in the teste and return, and adding, after the words, "We command you," these words, "as we have before commanded you."

A fcire facias is an action, and requires a new warrant of

attorney. Ld. Raym. 1048, 1253.

Of the Scire facias against Bail, and herein of relieving them [by Motion] after they are said to be fixed.

HEN a non est inventus is returned to the ca. sa. taken out against the principal, the bail are then said to be fixed with the debt and damages recovered, because of the default made by the party; but notwithstanding they are said to be fixed, the court will relieve them, if they come in upon the scire sacias against them, and surrender the principal in time.

Bail have ex gratia curiæ, till the return of the second

cire facias to surrender the principal.

Bail may be relieved by motion, where they cannot plead the matter to the *scire facias* against them. As where a non est inventus is returned to a ca. sa. the condition of their recognizance being then broken, they cannot plead a render of the principal afterwards; nor would the courts formerly have accepted such render; but they may now, upon render of the body, upon the return of the second scire facias, move the court to stay the proceedings against them.

This indulgence of the courts arose from the great mischief which happened to bail, by a plaintiff's taking out a ca. fa. and making it returnable the next day,—so that bail had not time to bring in the body; wherefore the courts indulged the bail so far as to permit them to render the body upon the return of the first sci. fa. if the ca. sa. was returnable de die in diem. Cro. Car. 618.

But if the ca. fa. was returnable at the next summons, the bail was held strictly to render the principal upon the

return of the ca. fa. and not after. Ibid. 738.

But afterwards the favour was extended, to admit a render any time before the return of the second scire facias, or upon the return sedente curia; but afterwards this practice

was difallowed. Moor 850. 3 Bulft. 182.

However, it has fince become the practice again both in B. R. and C. B. as appears by I Wilf. 270. in B. R. Where the court held, that the bail must render the principal the quarto die of the return of the second sci. fa. sedente curia, [and it is not sufficient before a judge at chambers] or they come too late afterwards, even though the same day—and so is the practice in C. B. as appears by Ld. Raym. 156, 7. so that they always admit a render upon the return of the second sci. fa. [i.e. the quarto die post of the return day] sedente curia, or any time before that. But all the admittances of these

Of the Scire facias against Bail, and herein of relieving them [by Motion] after they are faid to be fixed.

these renders are ex gratia curiæ, and not ex merito justiiæ, for the condition of the recognizance is broken by the non-render upon the return of the ca. sa. and therefore these renders cannot be pleaded, but the party must be relieved by motion.

If fcire feci is returned to the first fcire facias, the bail may surrender the principal on the appearance day of the

return of that scire facias.

If there be no ca. fa. fued out, returned and filed, it is no ground for a motion to quash the fcire facias against the bail; but the bail must plead it, and be discharged by that means.

A ca. fa. may be void as to the principal, and yet well enough to ground a fcire facias against the bail; as if a ca. fa. be sued out above a year after judgment, without reviving the judgment by fcire facias; for the bail are strangers, and cannot take advantage of that error in a collateral action. 2 Ld. Raym. 1096. 6 Mod. 304. Holt. 90.

A motion was made to stay proceedings against one of the bail, who had been excepted to, and had not justified, but had omitted to get his name struck out of the bail-piece.— The court denied the motion in its present form, as in the case of Fulk and Birk, 4 Geo. 3. saying, that whilst the name remained upon record, proceedings could not regularly be stayed; but, as in that case, they now gave leave to enter an exoneretur on the bail-piece, nunc pro tunc, on payment of costs. Humphrey v. Leite, Burr. 4 pt. 2107.

The bail are not liable if the principal dies any time before the return of the ca. fa. and they may plead it to the

fci, fa.

But the death of the principal, after the fcire facias brought, does not discharge them, if he was alive at the capias re-

turned. Cro. Car. 165. 1 Rol. Abr. 336, &c.

A motion was made to stay proceedings against bail, because the principal died after a capias ad satisfaciendum returned; but before the return of the second sci. fa. against the bail, but denied, because it was the bails omission, that they did not surrender him, he living till after the return of the ca. sa. I Mod. 31. 2 Ld. Raym. 1452. 2 Stra. 717.

Motion to stay proceedings against the bail, the ca. fa. was returnable the last return of Michaelmas, viz. 28th Nov.

Vol. II

G

and

Of the Scire facias against Bail, and herein of relieving them [by Motion] after they are said to be fixed.

and the principal died I Dec. the ca. fa. being then in the sheriff's office, and not actually returned till the 3d Dec. and the motion was denied. Boyland v. Crooke and others, bail of Porter, B. R. 1748.

But where the principal died after a ca. fa. returned, and before it was filed, the court, on motion, stayed the filing it in favour of the bail. I Lill. Abr. 183. Mich. 35 Car.

2. B. R.

A ca. fa. made returnable at a day which falls out of term, would not be void (though liable to be fet aside on motion)—nor can such a defect in it be taken advantage of by bail, upon a general demurrer to a scire facias brought against them. Burr. Rep. 4 pt. 1187.

An action was commenced against the bail, and afterwards the plaintiff was obliged to desist therein; and then the bail surrendered the principal before the new action brought, and moved to stay the proceedings; the court held the surrender to be good, it being before the return of the process in this suit, and it was the fault of the plaintiff not to begin right at first. Hoare v. Mingay, one, &c. Stra. 915.

In an action of affault and battery, the plaintiffs procured a judge's order to hold the defendant to bail for 140 l. whereupon the defendant became bound in 280/, and the bail jointly and feverally in 140 l. The plaintiff had a verdict for 300 l. and brought separate actions on the recognizance against the bail. On which the bail moved the court, that on payment of one fum of 140 l. and costs, proceedings might be staid, and compared this to an action on bond. But the plaintiffs infifted, that there was a difference; for in a bond the condition is to pay the money; and if one obligor pays it, then the other is discharged, as the condition is complied with; but, in a recognizance, the condition is not fatisfied till the damages recovered be paid, or the defendant furrendered. And it was held, that the bail being jointly and severally bound, the actions against them could not be discharged, unless the condition of the recognizance was performed, viz. That the defendant paid what was recovered, or furrendered himself to the Fleet. Calverac and Ux. v. Pinkero, Mich. 12 G. 2. C. P. Barnes 74. Pract. Reg. C. P. \$8.

Of the Scire facias against Bail, and herein of relieving them [by Motion] after they are said to be fixed.

If an action be brought on a recognizance of bail, the writ must be served four days before the return, and the bail may surrender the principal on the quarto die post of the return sedente curia; but not after the court is risen. Rep. & Cas. of Prat. C. P. 18.

Motion to set aside a st. sa. against bail, defendant having surrendered in their discharge. It appeared by assidavit, that the second sci. sa. was returnable Gras. Mart. Nov. 12. and the defendant's surrender not before the 15th, the appearance day of the return. Per cur. The assidavit is defective, as it does not shew that the defendant surrendered [sedente curia] on the appearance-day of the return of the second sci. sa. which is he did not, the surrender is out of time. No rule. Barnes 75.

The bail, for one who was convicted afterwards for a felony, brought up the body by habeas corpus; and the court allowed them to furrender him in discharge of themselves.

Stra. 1217.

Debt was brought on the recognizance; plea, no ca. fa. repl. a ca. fa. and demurrer, inde. But the court afterwards, being informed by motion that the defendants had furrendered the principal before the return of the latitat against them, ordered the proceedings to be stayed, and an exoneretur to be entered on the bail-piece, notwithstanding the plea, replication, and demurrer, before the motion. Dodfon v. King, Carth. 516.

Of the Scire facias against Bail, and herein of relieving them after Error brought on the principal Judgment.

Writ of error is so absolutely a fupersedeas, that the plaintiff cannot so much as take out a ca. sa. and return non est inventus, in order to proceed against the bail.

Sweetapple v. Goodfellow. Stra. 867.

The plaintiff, in order to proceed against the bail, took out a ca. sa. on the 3d of December. On the 4th a writ of error was allowed, notwithstanding which he called for a return of non est inventus, and then waiting till the writ of error was at an end, proceeded by scire facias against the bail: and on motion all the proceedings were set assisted: for the ground of them, viz. the return of non est invent. was obtained after notice of the writ of error, which in its nature stopt all proceedings, and the sheriff could not so much as look after the desendant. * Stra. 1186. I Wils.

But in Ld. Raym. 342. it is held, that error on the principal judgment is no bar to hinder the fuing a ca. fa. in order to charge the bail—and so again. Ibid. 1260. Sed q. as the other cases above are more modern.

The plaintiff recovered judgment, took out a ca. sa. and had a non est invent. returned. Of the judgment error was brought, and two days after the plaintiff sued out a sci. sa. against the bail, who moved to stay the proceedings therein, as is done in cases where, pending error, the plaintiff brings debt on the judgment, insisting that it was more reasonable in this case, because otherwise the bail might lose the advantage of discharging themselves by surrendering the principal, which they can do at any time before the return of the second sci. sa. and the court thought it reasonable that the proceedings should be stayed, on the bail's consenting, that if the judgment be affirmed, they would surrender the

But in C. B. a writ of error is no fuperfedeas from the fealing, but from the delivery to the clerk of the errors. Barnes 205. 209.

principal,

^{*} But note, there is a difference in the two courts of B. R. and C. B.

In B. R. a writ of error is a fupersedas from the time of the allowance, and that is notice of itself—or if the party have notice thereof before the allowance, it is even from that notice a supersedas. Bur. Rep. 4 pt. 340. Say 51.

Of the Scire facias against Bail, and herein of relieving them after Error brought on the principal Judgment.

principal, or give judgment on the sci. fa. Myer v. Arthur.

Stra. 419.

But on a like motion as above, it appearing that bail was not put in upon the writ of error, so as to make an absolute fupersedeas, the court resuled to stay the proceedings on the sci. fa. saying they would not go one step farther than the case of Myer v. Arthur. Hunter v. Sampson. Stra. 781.

So where the fecond sci. sa. was returned, and a sour-day rule given, on the sourth day of which error being brought on the principal judgment, the bail moved to stay proceedings on the sci. sa. and cited Myer v. Arthur. But, Per cur. that differed, for there the bail came in time whilst they might surrender, which they cannot do here after the return of the second sci. sa. at which time no writ of error was brought. Rule denied. Everett v. Gery. Stra. 443.

And, Per cur. in Richardson v. Jelly. Stra. 1270. Where the bail do not apply to flay the proceedings pending error, till their time to surrender is out, we will not give them any time for that purpose, but only sour days to pay the

money in, after the judgment is affirmed.

The bail in the original action, upon a writ of error brought, are not liable to the costs upon the affirmance of

the judgment.

Though an action of debt, on a judgment may be brought, pending a writ of error in the original action, and the court will let the plaintiff proceed to judgment thereon, and only flay execution till the writ of error is determined; yet if an action of debt on the recognizance of bail in the original cause be brought, pending error on the judgment, the court will flay proceedings in such action, without the bail giving judgment; for, by the judgment, the bail would be barred from surrendering the principal. Prac. Reg. C. P. 83.

The fecond scire facias was returnable the first day of the term; and a week within term the bail moved to stay the proceedings, on the common terms of giving judgment in the scire facias, and taking four days to surrender after the affirmance of the principal judgment. But the court said they came too late, after the time to surrender was gone, and would not revive it again; all they would do was, to stay

 $\mathbf{C} = 3$

Of the Scire facias against Bail, and herein of relieving them after Errar brought on the principal Judgment.

the suing out execution against them, till after the affirm-

ance in error. Cole v. Buckland, Stra. 872.

The plaintiff got judgment on the scire facias against bail, pending error by the principal, and took them in execution; and now they moved to be discharged. Sed per cur. Though you might have applied, and had the proceedings stayed, yet we will not set them aside. If an action of debt had been brought upon the judgment, we should have granted an imparlance, if it had been asked; but we never set aside the judgment when it is once signed; because we take it, that by your not applying in time you have submitted to meet

the plaintiff. Fisher v. Emerton, Stra. 526.

Error was brought in cam. scace. upon a judgment obtained against the defendant in B. R. and writs of scire facias had issued against the bail in the original action in B. R. where the bail obtained a rule to stay proceedings against them in B. R. upon the scire facias's, until the writ of error returnable in cam. scace. should be determined, they undertaking to pay the debt and damages within four days after affirmance of the judgment, if the same should be affirmed. The judgment was affirmed in cam. scace. and afterwards the original defendant brought error returnable in parliament to reverse the judgment given in cam. scace. on which the bail moved to stay proceedings against them till that writ of error was determined; and though it was objected, that the bail were bound by the express terms in the former rule, the court made the rule absolute, holding, that the word " affirmance," in the first rule, must necessarily be understood to mean final affirmance. Kirshaw v. Cartwright and Pearce, bail of Green, Burr. 4 pt. 2810.

Of the Scire facias against Bail, and herein of appearing thereto.

If the plaintiff proceeds by fcire facias, the usual way is to sue out a fcire facias, and get it returned nihil; and then sue out an alias fcire facias, and upon a nihil also returned to that, after a rule given, sign judgment on the fcire facias. But if the plaintiff would have the parties summoned, either upon the first or second fci. fa. the sherist will make him out a summons, which he must give to an officer, with instructions for the execution thereof; and, at the return of the writ, the sherist will return scire feci; for, in all cases of scire facias against bail, there must be a scire feci returned, or two nihils; for two nihils amount to a warning.

Where two writs of feire facias issue returnable in different terms, the first must be entered of the term wherein it is returnable; and an award of the second is sufficient,

without fetting it forth at length.

The writs and returns in B. R. if by bill, must be filed at the Treasury Chamber, or at the King's Bench office, with Mr. Heberden, the signer of the writs—But if by original, with the filazer.

In C. B. they are entered on the prothonotary's remem-

brance roll.

Where a fire facias against bail is not returned, the plaintiff cannot proceed upon an alias sci. fa. without an entry of the first upon the roll. Ld. Raym. 822, 1252.

After the writs and returns thereto are filed, the plaintiff must take out a rule to appear, and serve a copy thereof on the bail.

The above rule expires in four days exclusive, but Sunday is not one; and, if the parties do not enter an appearance, at the expiration thereof judgment may be signed on the scire facias.

But if they enter an appearance in time, the plaintiff must declare in scire facias, and the proceedings to issue or de-

murrer are the same as in other cases.

Of the Scire facias against Bail, and herein of declaring, pleading, Judgment, and Execution, &c.

HE form of a declaration in scire facias is as solutions:

Easter Term, 20 Geo. 3.

[Prothonotary's name if in C. B.] [Chief clerk's name if in B. R.]

Middlefex to wit. Our Lord the king gave in charge to the therist of Middlesex his writ, close in these words, to wit, George the third, &c. [here insert the proceedings, from the fuing out the fcire facias, exactly as they have been-whether if only one scire facias, and a scire feci returned thereto; or if a scire facias and nihil returned, and then award of an alias scire facias, and scire feci returned thereto, inferting the writs and returns] And the fuld E. F. and G. H. at that day having been folemnly demanded, came by Q. R. their attorney, upon which the faid A. B. prays execution to be adjudged to him of the debt and damages (or of the damages, costs and charges, as the action was) aforefaid, according to the force, form, and effect of their said recognizance, Ge.

O. P. for the plaintiff.

Q. R. for the defendant.

A declaration on a faire facias, returnable the last return of the term, may be intitled of the same term generally, 3 Wilf. 154.

A man may plead in abatement, or in bar to a scire facias,

as well as other actions. Lucas 112.

There are but few pleas in bar which can be pleaded by

bail to a scire facios.

They can plead that no ca. fa. issued against the principal, or that he died before the return of the ca. fa. or that the plaintiff had other execution.

But they cannot plead, that the principal died besore the

Jeire facias issued. Cro. Jac. 163. &c.

But they can plead, that the principal died before any judgment against him; because they cannot have a writ of error to reverse that judgment.

Cf

Of the Scire facias against Bail, and herein of declaring, pleading, Judgment, and Execution, &c.

If the principal furrendered himself, or the bail rendered him [upon or before the return of the ca. sa. or otherwise, such render cannot be pleaded, though upon such render afterwards the court will discharge them on motion. Vide ante.] But such surrender, or render, are not sufficient, unlets the plaintiff, or his attorney, have notice thereof; and this is requested, that the plaintiff may, if he pleases, charge him in execution, also that he may not be at any surther trouble or charge against the bail. Leon. 58. 2 Bulst. 260. Moor 883.

Also now by 4 & 5 Ann. c. 16. s. 12. payment of the sum recovered may be pleaded as well to a sci. fa. as to an action

of debt.

For other pleas, vide the books.

Bail pleaded to a scire facias, payment by the principal before the return of the second scire facias; and it was resolved the plea was bad, for, in strictness of law, the recognizance was forfeited by suing out the first sci. sa. against the bail. Ld. Raym. 157. But vide the 4 & 5 Ann.

c. 16. f. 12.

Sci. fa. against the defendant as bail for A. B. C. and D. the defendant pleads, that before the return of the second sci. fa. the plaintiff took A. in execution and still detains him—Demurrer inde. It was argued for the defendant, that the plaintiff having taken one of the principals in execution, had thereby disabled the bail to render him, and therefore discharged him as to all the rest. Sed per cur. The bail have undertaken to bring in all four principals; and therefore though the plaintiff hath taken one, this does not discharge the bail as to the other three, for they ought, as they took upon them, to bring in all four. 2 Lev. 192. I Vent. 315.

Formerly, if the plaintiff recovered a greater sum than was laid in the action, the bail were not chargeable in that action. I Salk. 102. But now, where the plaintiff declares for, or recovers a greater sum than is expressed in the process on which he declares, the bail shall not be discharged, but be liable for so much as is sworn to and indorsed on the said process; or for any less sum which the plaintiff in such action shall recover. Pasch. 5 Geg. 2.

i ne

Of the Scire facias against Bail, and herein of declaring, pleading, Judgment, and Execution, &c.

The practice of the courts, upon pleading to scire facias, is exactly the same as in other cases; only in the venire, distringas, or habeas corpora and jurata, for a trial upon the scire facias, after the words, "in a plea of debt, trespass, &c." (as the action may be) add these words, "Whereupon a scire facias, &c."

Scire facias against Fane and Barker, bail of Barrell, judge ment thereon, and a ca. sa. against Fane only taken out. Per cur. Though the scire facias was joint, yet the execu-

tion may be feveral.

And note, Though the recognizance be to levy of the lands and chattels, yet execution by the body is good, by the law and usage of this court. I Lev. 225. I Sid. 339. 2 Keb. 269, 274. 3 Danv. Ab. 307. pl. 2, 4, 5, 6. 2 Sid. 2. 2 Inst. 395. 3 Dan. Abr. 325. G. p. 3, 339. p. 6. And in Elliott v. Smith. Stra. 1139. It was held, that a

ca. sa. may be taken out against bail, without any fi. fa. or

return of nulla bona previously issued.

If bail bring error upon an award of execution in a faire facias against them, matter which lies properly in the mouth of the principal, or might have been pleaded to the feire facias, is not affignable for error, after execution awarded against them. Wraight v. Kitchingham. Stra. 197. Salk. 262. 4 Mod. 306.
A judgment on a scire facias against bail was reversed for

want of a warrant of attorney. Salk. 603.

A moiety of the damages was levied on one bail; and the other bail not having goods sufficient to levy the remainder, the plaintiff took out a fecond execution against the goods of the first bail. But, on motion to set aside the second execution, the court held it irregular, for the plaintiff might have levied the whole at first. Barnes 202.

If the plaintiff, in a fcire facias, either for want of the damages being previously afcertained, or upon obtaining judgment by default upon the scire facias, or judgment upon demurrer therein, is of necessity obliged to tue out a faire fieri inquiry, in order to ascertain his damages, he must give the like notice of executing the same, as must be given in other cases of trial, and executing writ of inquiry. For which, vide the first vel. under those titles.

Note; A faire facias against bail is not amendable.

Of the Scire facias against Bail, and herein of declaring, pleading, Judgment, and Execution, &c.

In a fcire facias against bail, the plaintist made a mistake in setting out the recognizance, which the defendant took advantage of, by pleading nul tiel record. And afterwards, the plaintist moved to amend it, but was denied: for scire facias's against bail are never amended; and the course is, for the plaintist to quash his own writ. This may be to defeat the bail of an opportunity to surrender, which he would have done, if he could not have been sure of proceeding in his plea. Grey v. Jessey. Stra. 1165.

HE fecond fort of fcire facias, which is proper to be treated of in this place, is that to revive a judgment formerly had between the parties; and on which no execution was taken out after the rendering such judgment.

Different opinions have been entertained, whether a feire facias in such case lay at Common law? But the doubt, says Lord Coke, arose for want of distinguishing between

personal and real actions. 2 Instit. 409.

At Common law, if after judgment given, or recognizance acknowledged, the plaintiff fued out no execution within the year; the plaintiff, or his conuzee, was driven to an original on the judgment; and the fcire facias, in personal actions, was given by West. 2. c. 45. 2 Salk, 600. pl. 8. Ld. Raym. 669. Co. Lit. 290. b. Sid. 351. 3 Co. 12. 3 Mod. 189. 4 Mod. 248.

But in real actions, or upon a fine, though no execution was sued out within a year after the judgment given, or fine levied, yet after the year, a sci. fa. lay for the land, &c. because no new original lay upon the judgment or fine. 2 Instit. 470. And the reason why it lay in this case was, for that in a real action one could have no other advantage of his judgment; but in a personal action he might have debt on his judgment.

A scire facias lay also in mixed actions as well as real, as

in an affize, writ of anuity, and ejectment.

Therefore, after judgment had in a personal action, and no execution sued out within a year, the plaintiff must revive the judgment by scire facias, and have judgment there-

on, before he can fue out execution.

But if execution were fued out within the year, and returned, and from which the plaintiff had no benefit, there needs no fire facias in fuch case afterwards, but that execution may be continued down on the roll to any distance of time. Att. Prac. B. R. 248, 341, 372.—like-practice in C. B. Att. Prac. C. B. 23+, 330. And where execution was awarded on a sire facias, and four years after the defendant, being in the Fleet for another cause, was brought into court by habeas corpus, and there admitting himself to be the same person, he was committed in execution without a stree facias. Att. Pract. C. B. 359.

So if there be a cesset executio for a year, or a writ of error, no scire facias is necessary. Att. Prac. B. R. 371.

But it seems, the cesset executive should be entered on re-

cord. Ibid.

However, if the plaintiff does not take out execution within a year after the cesset executio is determined, he must

first sue out a scire facias.

If execution is stayed by injunction out of Chancery for above a year, the plaintiff must sue out a scire facias. Prac. Reg. C. P. 370. Same in B. R. 1 Stra. 301. Salk. 322.

And a supersedeas quia improvide was awarded to an execution stayed by an injunction out of Chancery for above

a year] sued out without a previous sci. fa.

But note, An injunction only stays the actual executing the writ; therefore, a plaintiff may sue out his execution, notwithstanding an injunction, and continue it down by

vicecomes non misit breve. Ibid.

But notwithstanding the rule is, that if no execution be fued out within a year, a scire facias must be sued out to revive the judgment, yet the court of B. R. in the case of Mitchell v. Cue and Ux. Burr. 4 pt. 660. were unanimous, that this rule of reviving a judgment above a year old, by scire facias, before suing out execution upon it, which was intended to prevent a surprize upon the defendant, ought not to be taken advantage of by a defendant, who was so far from being surprized by the plaintiff's delay, that he himself had been trying all manner of methods whereby he might delay the plaintiff, viz. by injunction, Sc. And so the court not only discharged the rule, [which had been obtained to set aside the execution] but with costs.

An execution had after a year and day, without a fcire facias, is not void, but voidable only. 3 Lev. 404. Salk.

273. pl. 4.

If a defendant brings error, and is nonfuit therein; or if the writ be discontinued, although it be above a year fince the original judgment was given, the plaintiff may take out execution; for though in such cases there is not any new judgment given, yet the bringing of the writ of error revives the first judgment. Cro. Jac. 364. Rol. Rep. 104, 133.

If the plaintiff delay the executing a writ of inquiry, till a year after the interlocutory judgment, he cannot do it after, without a *scire facias*. Cas. in B. R. Pasch. 13 W. 3. Haw. v. Cuton.

But in the case of the king, there need not be any scire facias after the year and day. 2 Salk. 603. pl. 13. Ld.

Raym. 328, 553.

After a judgment, if the plaintiff within the year sues a fcire facias, he cannot after have a capias within the year, till he hath a judgment on the fci. fa. Rol. Abr. 900.

If the plaintiff does not proceed upon the first fcire facias, within a year and a day, he cannot afterwards proceed on that writ, but must sue out a new scire facias, for the old

writ is discontinued.

If a judgment be above ten years standing, the plaintiss cannot sue out a *scire facias*, without motion in court. 2 Salk. 598. pl. 3.

If under ten, but above feven years, not without a mo-

tion at the fide bar.

But note, If after such motion the judgment is revived by a scire facias, and then the defendant dies before execucution, the plaintiff must sue out a new scire facias; but may have it without motion, for the judgment was revived

before. 2 Salk. 598.

If the judgment of an inferior court is removed into B. R. by certiorari, and the party fues a sci. fa. to have execution upon fuch judgment; he ought to shew in his sci. fa. that it is the judgment of fuch an inferior court removed thither by certiorari, and ought to shew the particular limits of the inferior jurisdiction, and pray execution within the particular limits. But if the judgment be removed into B. R. by writ of error, and affirmed, the party may have execution in any part of England; for by the affirmance it has become the judgment of the King's Bench. But in a sci. fa. upon such judgment affirmed, the plaintiff ought to alledge, that it was removed thither by writ of error. Ld. Raym. 216.

After judgment recovered, Hil. 30, 31 Car. 2. and no execution actually fued out within the year and day, the plaintiff, without a previous fci. fa. in Trin. vac. 5 W. & M. took out an elegit, on which an inquisition was had, and

defendant's

defendant's lands delivered in execution; and then the plaintiff entered on the roll an award of an elegit, of the same term with the judgment, with continuances of vice-comes non missis breve to the time of suing out the elegit. And on examination it appearing to have been the practice for many years, the court, considering the inconveniences that might ensue by opening a gap to destroy many executions, and because the practice had prevailed so long, ordered the execution to stand. Carth. 283. 2 Show. 235: 3 Danv. Abr. 33.

A scire facias lies not on a judgment pending a writ of error brought on that judgment, but the writ of error pending is a good plea to the sci. fa. Ld. Raym. 1295.

If a joint judgment is obtained against two, and one dies, the fire facias ought to be brought against both the survivor, and the representatives of the deceased defendant.

Vide Carth. 105.

After interlocutory judgment the plaintiffs became bankrupts, then took out a writ of inquiry, and proceeded to final judgment in their own names. On which judgment the plaintiffs assignees sued a scire facias to shew cause why they should not have execution: Defendant pleaded the whole matter of the bankruptcy in bar, and prayed judgment if the affignees ought to have execution against him, demurrer inde and joinder. The court held the affignees properly entitled to the damages; and that the bankrupt's proceeding in their own names, after the interlocatory judgment, till final judgment, was well enough, because the interlocutory judgment entitled the bankrupts to fomething, which by the inquest was ascertained. Hewit & al. assignees of Bibbins & al. v. Mantel. 2 Wils. 372.—The assignees might have taken up the cause after the interlocutory judgment.

Plaintiff had judgment, and brought a fcire facias, to which the defendant pleaded, and judgment thereon was for the plaintiff, who afterwards became a bankrupt. The commissioners assigned the original judgment to P. who moved the court, that it might be entered to entitle him to the benefit of the judgment on the fci. fa. which was ruled accordingly, without bringing a new fci. fa. Plumer v.

Lea. 5 Mod. 88.

A man had judgment in debt, then became a bankrupt, and afterwards sued out execution; and the money being levied and brought into court, the assignee moved that it might not be paid to the plaintist [the bankrupt] surmising that the judgment was assigned to him. But the court detained the money till the assignee brought a scire facias to try the bankruptcy—cited in the above case in 2 Wilf. 372.

A scire facias, if against the party, is in hac parte; but if against bail, in ea parte. Salk. 599. Ld. Ray. 393,

532.

A fcire facias to revive a judgment or award of execution, must be in the county where the judgment is recovered, or execution awarded. Hab. 4. Cro. Car. 228.

And the fcire facias must be returnable at a common return, or at a day certain, as the original proceedings

were. Ld. Raym. 1417.

If the proceedings were by original, there must be fifteen days between the teste and return of each scire facias; and the writs must be returnable on a general return.

But in scire facias's on writs of attachment, or bills against privileged persons in C. B. fifteen days are not requisite

between the teste and return.

And in B. R. if the proceedings were by bill, fifteen days inclusive between the teste of the first and return of the second sci. fa. is sufficient—But then each writ should have seven days between the teste and return, and not one ten and the other five.

Every fire facias whereon nihil is to be returned, should be delivered to the sheriff, or left in his office, sometime before returned. Reg. East. 5 G. 2.

The alias scire facias must be delivered to the sheriff, or

left in his office, four days before returned. Ibid.

So every scire facias, on which a scire feci is to be returned, ought to be delivered to the sheriff, or left in his office, four days exclusive, before the return day. Ibid. And Att. Pract. 347.

The sheriff must indorse the time of his receiving it.

And if the party is summoned the day before, or on the return day, it is sufficient. Att. Prac. B. R. 347. The same in C. B.

In a feire facias to revive a judgment, the term of the re-

In

In B. R. in all cases, there must be two nibils, or one

scire feci returned.

But in C. B. in order to revive a judgment, if both parties are alive, one fcire facias, though returned nihil, is sufficient. Att. Pract. 330.

After the writs and returns are filed, the plaintiff gives a rule to appear, which expires in four days; and if no appearance is entered, he may then fign judgment on the

fcire facias, and take out his execution.

But if the party appears, the plaintiff declares in scire facias; and the practice throughout the subsequent proceedings is exactly the same as in other cases. Of appearing, declaring, pleading, &c. in scire facias, Vide ante under title sci. fa. against bail.

Of the Scire facias to continue a Suit by and against the Representatives of one of the Parties dying before final Judgment.

PY the 17 Car. 2. c. 8. it is enacted, "That in all actions personal, real, or mixt, the death of either party, between the verdict and the judgment, shall not hereaster be alledged for error, so as such judgment be entered within two terms after such verdict."

And "where any judgment after a verdict shall be had, by or in the name of any executor or administrator, in such case an administrator de bonis non may sue forth a scire sa-

cias, and take execution upon fuch judgment."

A. sued a sci. sa. against C. as executor of B. on a judgment obtained by the plaintiff against the said B. C. pleaded in abatement, that B. died before judgment, &c. To this C. replied, and set out the sat. 17 Car. 2. c. 8. and that B. died after the verdict obtained against him, and after the day of niss prius, and before the day in bank. Thereupon C. demurred. And the objection was, that the plaintiff ought to have sued a special scire facias, and not a general one; for this supposes a judgment against the testator in his life-time; and the replication shews it was entered after his death, though well entered according to the statute. Sed per cur. The writ is good as it is, and could not be otherwise; for had it been special, there would have been a variance, the judgment being entered generally; and a responders ousler was awarded. Ld. Raym. 1280.

The death of either party, before the affizes, is not remedied by this statute; but if the party die after the assizes begin, though the trial be after his death, that is within the remedy of the statute; for the assizes is but one day in law. Yet the court said it was in their discretion, whether they would arrest the judgment. But in Lord Raym. 1415. it was holden not affignable for error, it appearing by the record, that the defendant appeared per at-

ternatum suum.

By the 8 & 9 W. 3. c. 11. f. 6. it is enacted, "That in all actions commenced in any court of record, if any plaintiff happen to die after an interlocutory judgment, and before a final judgment obtained therein, the faid action shall not abate by reason thereof, if such action might be originally prosecuted or maintained by the executors or administrators of such plaintiff; and if the defendant die after such interlocutory judgment, and before final judgment therein obtained,

Of the Scire facias to continue a Suit by and against the Representatives of one of the Parties dying before final Judgment.

the said action shall not abate, if such action might be originally profecuted or maintained against the executors or administrators of such defendant: and the plaintiff, or if he be dead, after such interlocutory judgment, his executors or administrators shall and may have a scire facias against the defendant, if living after fuch interlocutory judgment; or if he died after, then against his executors or administrators, to flew cause why damages in such action should not be affeffed and recovered by him or them; and if fuch defendant, his executors or administrators, shall appear at the return of such writ, and not shew or alledge any matter fufficient to arrest the final judgment, or being returned warned, or upon two writs of scire facias it be returned, that the defendant, his executors or administrators, had nothing whereby to be fummoned, or could not be found in the county, shall make default; that thereupon a writ of inquiry of damages shall be awarded, which being executed and returned, judgment final shall be given for the said plaintiff, his executors or administrators, prosecuting such writ or writs of fcire facias, against such defendant, his executors or administrators respectively."

The former stat. 17 Car. 2. makes the judgment good, as entered between the parties themselves to the suit, though one died after the verdies, and before the judgment entered.

But by this stat. of 8 & 0 W. 3. if a defendant dies after interlocutory judgment, and the action may be continued against his representatives, the final judgment must be against the representatives, for they are expresly taken notice of for that purpose; and the scire facias against them must be spread and appear on the same record. Vide 1 Salk. 42.

If a defendant dies after a writ of inquiry executed, and before the return thereof, it is within this act, and the fire facias against his executor or administrator must be to shew cause why the damages affested should not be recovered.

Goldsworthy v. Southcot, B. R. 1 Wils. 243.

The plaintiff, as administrator to J. S. sued a fire facias against the desendant, setting forth, that his intestate sued the desendant as executor in such an action, and had judgment by nit dicit, on which a writ of inquiry was awarded, which abated by the intestate's death before the return; that administration was granted the plaintiff, and com-

H 2 manded

Of the Scire facias to continue a Suit by and against the Representatives of one of the Parties, dying before final Judgment.

manded the sheriff to summon the defendant to shew cause, why the plaintiff, as administrator, should not have judgment: to which sci. fa. the executor pleaded a bond of his testator's, on which judgment had been recovered, and no assets ultra. To which plea plaintiff demurred, and had judgment; for the statute never intended that the executor should stand in any other circumstances, to make another defence than the party himself might have made against the inquiry; and he could have pleaded nothing but a release, or other matter in bar arising puis darrein continuance. He is, by the words of the statute, to shew cause why damages in fuch case shall not be affessed and recovered; and if he shall appear at the return, and not shew any matter sufficient to arrest the final judgment, then a writ of inquiry shall be awarded, &c. And arrefting judgment is by matter apparent in the record, and not extrinsic; and heretofore they pleaded in arrest of judgment, as now it is moved. And the executor cannot be hurt by this, for the judgment is only de bonis testatoris, as if recovered against the testator himfelf. Salk. 315.

The defendant died after the rule was out, but before the time given to plead by a judge's order expired; and the plaintiff signed an interlocutory judgment, and sued out a scire facias against the defendant's executor upon this statute, to shew cause why damages should not be affessed and recovered; but on motion the court set aside the proceedings for irregularity, as the writ abated by the death of the defendant before interlocutory judgment was signed, notwithstanding the rule to plead was out. And so held in Sibert v. The executor of general Russel, Mich. 9 Geo. 2. Wallop v.

Irwin, 1 Wilf. 315.

Note: The teste and return of such writs of scire facias are according to the action, whether that is by bill or original; and proceedings therein are the same as in other cases of scire facias.

Of the Scire facias by and against the Representative of a Party to the Suit, dying after Judgment, and before Execution.

NE that is no party to the record, recognizance, fine or judgment, as the heir, executor or administrator, though they be privy, and it be within the year, shall have no writ of execution, but a fire facias to enable themselves to the suit; and so of the tenant or defendant, for the alteration of the person altereth the process: otherwise in the case of a statute staple or merchant, because the process is given by other acts of parliament. 2 Inst. 471. Cart. 112, 193. Godb. 83.

But if there be two plaintiffs in a personal action, and one of them dies, pending the suit, that shall not put the other to a scire facias; so if one of the desendants die, because the same party still remains on record. 7 Mod. 68. but the way is now, to suggest the death of the party upon the roll, on record, at whatever stage of the suit he died,

according to 8 & 9 W. 3. c. 11. f. 7.

So if there is judgment against A. on which a fi. fa. is sued out; but before execution thereof A. dies intestate; there needs no scire facias to renew this judgment, but execution of the goods under that writ of fi. fa. may be made in the hands of the administrator. Farrer v. Brooks. For, as the party himself could not have made any defence to the writ of execution, there is no reason that his representative should be in a better condition.

But if there be judgment in debt against two, and one dies, a fcire facias lies against the other alone, reciting the death; and he cannot plead, that the heir of him deceased has affets by descent, and demand judgment if he ought to be charged alone; for at common law, the charge upon a judgment, being personal, survived; and the stat. West. 2. which gives an elegit, does not take away the common law remedy; and therefore the plaintiss may take out his execution which way he pleases; but if he should, after allowance of this writ and revival of judgment, take out an elegit to charge the land, the party may have remedy by suggestion, or by an audita querela. Vide Bac. Abr. 4 vol. 419.

If an executor brings a fcire facias on a judgment, or a recognizance, and gets a judgment quod habeat executionem, and dies intestate, the administrator de bonis non must bring a scire facias upon the original judgment, and cannot pro-

H 3 ce

Of the Scire facias by and against the Reprefentative of a Party to the suit, dying after Judgment, and before Execution.

ceed upon the judgment in the scire facias. Vide Ld.

Raym. 1049.

A scire facias by executors upon a judgment obtained by the testator—demurrer inde, and it was insisted, that the practice of this court in such cases is, that the plaintists in the scire facias should insert the profert of letters testamentary, [this clause being omitted] and that the writ is not good. To which it was answered, that it was inserted at the end, and that is the practice of B. R.—Per cur. Both forms are good here; but in C. B. this clause is always inserted in the end. Judgment pro quer. Carth. 69.

A scire facias against an administrator, tested 24th October, and returnable the 31st October, alias scire facias tested 31st October, returnable 7th November; and it was objected, that these writs were irregular, because there were not sisteen days between the 24th of October and the 7th of November, but adjudged well, there being eight days exclusive between

the telle and return of each writ. Carth. 468.

Note: In B. R. in all cases there must be either two nibils returned to the scire facias's, or a scire seci; but in C. B. in case of the death of the plaintiff one nibil is sufficient. Att. Prast. C. B. 337.

But in case of the death of the defendant there must be a

scire seci, or two nihils returned. Ibid.

If a feme, executrix to J. S. marries, and then such hulband and wife bring debt against A. B. on an obligation in the right of the wife as executrix, and have judgment to recover the debt, damages and costs, and then the wife dies before execution fued, the husband cannot have a scire facias upon the judgment; for that he, though he was privy to the judgment, shall not have the thing recovered; but it belongs to the succeeding executor or administrator. Cro. Car. 207, 227. Beaumont v. Lorg, adjudged, although it was objected, that the judgment was for the costs and damages which belonged to the husband, though the debt did not; and therefore the feire facias should be for the damages; but a scire facias being as well for the debt as damages, it was held not maintainable; and whether he might maintain a sci. fa. for the damages and costs, they would give no opinion. Jones 248. S. C. adjudged, and faid this recovery does not turn it to the proper debt of the hnshand; as Of the Scire facias by and against the Reprefentative of a Party to the Suit, dying after Judgment, and before Execution.

it would if the baron and feme recovered the proper debt of the feme,

But if husband and wife obtain judgment, and the wife dies, the husband, without taking out administration to her, may have a *scire facios*; for by the judgment it is become a debt to him. Sid. 337. Cro. El. 844. 3 Mod. 188. 2 Leon. 14. 4 Leon. 186.

So if a woman obtains judgment in debt, and after marries, and the husband and wife sue out a scire facias, and thereupon have an award of execution, though the wife dies, yet the husband [without taking out administration] may have execution upon the judgment, for the award upon the sci. fa. attached in the husband and shall survive, though objected, the award on the sci. fa. made no alteration, as the execution must be on the first judgment. Woodyer v. Gresbam, Salk. 116. pl. 7. and Comb. 455. S. C. by which it appears, that the year expired before the sci. fa. taken out; and said by Holt, ch. just. That the debt was attached to him, jointly with his wife; so that although the award of the execution did not alter the nature of the debt, yet it altered the property. Carth. 415. Skin. 682. pl. 2.

If a judgment in debt is obtained against a feme fole, who afterwards marries, and then a feire facias is thereupon brought against husband and wife; and after two nihils returned, judgment is given, that the plaintiff shall have judgment against them, and then the wife dies, the husband shall be liable to this execution. Carth. 30. Salk.

116. pl. 7.

So note; In the above case of Obrian and Ram, reported also in 1 Mod. 170. If judgment be against a feme sole, and the marries; and then plaintiff sues out a scire facias against husband and wife, and has judgment quod habeat executionem, against both, and afterwards the wife dies, plaintiff may sue out a scire facias against the husband, and have judgment thereon against him.

And so vice versa in Woodyer v. Gresham, Salk. 116. If feme sole recovers judgment, and then takes husband, and they both sue out a scire facias, and have judgment quod habeant executionem, and then she dies, the husband alone may have a scire facias afterwards, and have execution.

A scire facias was brought against desendant as administratrix of her husband, on a judgment against him for 1,500 l. and after two nibils returned, a scire sieri inquiry

H 4 was

Of the Scire facias by and against the Representative of a Party to the Suit, dying after Judgment, and before Execution.

was taken out, and the defendant attended the execution of it, in order to lay the state of the assets before the jury; but the plaintiff infifting, that the award of execution on the former writs was in point of law an evidence of affets, a devastavit was found to 1,117 l. &c. In Hil. 8 Geo. 2. The appeared to the scire fieri inquiry, pleaded plene administravit, and traversed the devastavit; and notice of trial being given and countermanded, and nothing further done on it, she, in Mich. 10 Geo. 2. moved to have the award of execution set aside, and to be admitted to plead; it being to no purpose to expect relief upon the trial of the traverse; and cited Salk. 93. 264. to shew, that where there has been no scire feci, and only two nibils, the court will relieve upon motion, and not put the party to an audita querela: and the state of the real assets was proved to be 130 l. which The offered to deliver up, and be examined upon interrogatories, if the plaintiff was diffatisfied with the account. The court was greatly inclined to relieve her; but upon confideration of her long acquiescence, and the several steps taken fubfequent to the award of execution, they thought she came too late, and for that reason only refused to interpose. Wharton v. Richardson wid. Stra. 1075.

Note: Formerly the method was upon obtaining judgment by default against an executor or administrator (which would reach only the goods of the testator or intestate) and nulla bona returned to a fi. fa. sued out on such judgment, to iffue out a writ to inquire, whether the defendant had wasted any of the effects of the deceased; and if a devastavit was found by the inquisition and returned thereto, then for the plaintiff to proceed by scire facias for the defendant to shew cause why the plaintiff should not have judgment de bonis propriis, to which scire facias the executor or administrator could appear and plead plene administravit [as in the case above] .- But now the fieri facias inquiry, and the scire facias are incorporated and made out in one writ for expe-However this method, though much better than the old one, is feldom purfued at this day, as it does not answer to the plaintiff if the debt is but a small one, because no costs are allowed against the executor or administrator, unless they appear and plead to the scire facias, and it be found against them. But the way is to bring an action of debt on the judgment, fuggesting a devastavit.

Of

Of Attornies.

Of Proceedings by and against Attornies.

TTORNIES have privilege not to be fued in any other courts except those in which they are sworn and admitted, because of the prejudice that may accrue to the business of those courts in which their attendance is required; neither are they to be held to special bail, because they are obliged to attend, and therefore are presumed to be always amenable; also, as officers of the court, they are entitled to the process of attachment, and may sue by attachment of privilege.

But this privilege an attorney shall not have at the King's suit. 2 Rol. Abr. 274. Bro. Supersedeas 1. 9 Hen. 6. 44.

Nor unless there be the same remedy in his own court; therefore he shall not have his privilege when money is attached in his hands by foreign attachment in the sherist's courts in London. Saund. 67. Vide Comb. 427.

Nor in an action real against an attorney of the King's

Bench. Saund. 67.

Nor in appeal against an attorney of the Common Pleas.

Saund. 67.

Nor when he fues, or is fued in auter droit, as executor or administrator. 12 Mod. 316. I.d. Raym. 533. Hob. 117.

Salk. 2. pl. 4.

Nor where an attorney of one court sues another of another court, the defendant shall not plead his privilege; for the attendance of the plaintiff is as necessary in his court, as the defendant's is in his; and therefore the cause is legally attached in the court where the plaintiff is an officer. Rol. Abr. 275. Moor 556. 2 Mod. 298. 2 Lev. 129.

But quære, as to this, for it seems, the desendant attorney must be sued by bill, although the plaintist is an attorney; and therefore he must be sued in his own court.

Stra. 1141. Barnes 43, 44.

Nor when he joins, or is joined, in the same action with

others. Vent. 298. Dyer 277. Godb. 10.

One attorney fued another attorney of the fame court by attachment of privilege, and on motion the proceedings

were stayed. Barnes 44.

An action on a penal statute, viz. 13 El. for entering a fraudulent judgment against an attorney of C. B. was commenced by original; on which he moved to stay proceedings, infisting he ought to be sued by bill. On shewing cause, it was urged, that this was a prosecution for the crown.

And

And that defendant, if entitled to privilege, may plead it. Sed per cur. These qui tam actions are never considered as the king's causes. In prosecutions at the suit of the crown, defendants, though acquitted, can have no costs; but in actions qui tam it is otherwise. The proceeding by original is irregular. Rule absolute to stay proceedings. Britton qui tam v. Teasdale, Barnes 48.

An attorney has privilege to keep the venue in Middlesex when he is plaintiss, but not to change it thither when he is defendant. Burr. 4 pt. 2027, 2032. But contra in 2 Vent. 47. and vide I Salk. 668. If an attorney, being plaintiss, lay his action in Middlesex, the venue shall not be changed;

otherwise if in London.

An attorney has no privilege against the court of conscience in London. Burr. 4 pt. 1583.

An attorney, being executor or administrator, shall not sue or be sued as a privileged person. 1 Ld. Raym. 533.

An attorney may have his privilege in fuing a member of

the university. Ld. Ray. 342.

If an attorney absent himself for a year together, and does not give his attendance, he loses his privilege. Att. Pract. 51. Sed vide, ibid. And Lutw. 1667. where it is said, that he shall have his privilege, so long as he continues an attorney on record, though he do not practice.

But by Burr. 4 pt. 2113, 2116. Privilege continues no

longer than he remains an acting attorney.

Anciently rolls were kept of attornies in B. R. but fince the flamp acts the rolls have been disused, and a book stamped hath been kept, and the attornies names entered therein. Stra. 77. But in C. B. there is a regular record kept of the attornies. ibid.

The privilege of an attorney is the privilege of the court he belongs to, and not his own personal privilege; and he may wave it. Burr. 4 pt. 2113. that is, when he is plaintiff.

But an attorney cannot waive his privilege of being sued by bill. And one having cause of action against him, however small, may sue him in the superior court, instead of sping him in an inferior. Gardner v. Jessop, one, &c. in C. B. 2 Wils. 42.

An attorney must be sued by bill, though the plaintist be also an attorney; and he cannot take out an attachment and hold the desendant to bail, as he does in the case of common persons; therefore this case is an exception out of the rule, that privilege takes away privilege. Stra. 1141.

Attornies.

Attornies must sue each other by bill, as well of different different courts as of the same. Barnes 43, 44.

But an attorney of C. B. may, for a debt bona fide paid, fue an attorney of B. R. by attachment, and he shall not be

entitled to privilege. Barnes 44.

One attorney of C. B. fued another attorney of C. B. by capias; and the defendant moved to stay the proceedings, infisting he ought to be sued by bill. It appeared that the defendant had obtained a judge's order for time to put in bail; but this was held not to be a sufficient waiver of his objection to the plaintiff's method of proceeding against him; and the rule was made absolute to stay proceedings with costs. Barnes 53.

An attorney having been arrested, was bailed; and another action being brought against him in the same court, he pleaded his privilege; and it was adjudged, that putting in bail to the first action did not discharge his privilege.

Carth. 377.

An attorney of C. B. was arrested at the suit of an attorney of B. R. and gave bail; and then B. delivered a declaration by the by against him, as in custody of the marfhal, to which he pleaded his privilege; and refolved, that though he be in custody of the marshal, at the suit of A. yet when A. declares against him, he may plead his privilege, because he comes here by coercion, and had no opportunity before to take advantage of it. 2. That although he files bail at the fuit of A. and in the same term a declaration is delivered against him at the suit of B. yet the defendant may plead his privilege against B. as well as against A. for it were absurd, that B. who tops his suit upon the action of A. should have more liberty or advantage against the defendant than A. himself had. But if the defendant waives his privilege in the first action, he is then obnoxious to the fuits of every body, notwithstanding his privilege. 3. That if after the defendant has waived his privilege, he shall yet plead it, the plaintiff in his replication must shew the defendant's waiver, and reply upon the ostoppel. Ld. Raym. 135. Vide Wilf. Rep. B. R. 306.

Declaration by bill of Middlesex against an attorney of B. R. as acceptor of a bill of Exchange drawn upon him, according to the custom of merchants; to which desendant pleaded in abatement, that he was, and still is, an attorney of B. R. and ought to have been sued there by bill of privilege as an attorney, and not by bill of Middlesex. Demurrer

inde and joinder. Per cur. He must have his privilege. Judgment for desendant. Cornforth v. Price. Hilary, 20 Geo. 3. B. R.

An attorney has privilege in a qui tam action commenced against him. Barnes 48. Att. Prac. 54. Skin. 549.

If an attorney sue by original, he has no privilege, and cannot sue in propria persona. Att. Pract. 311. 2 Lev. 39.

2 Stra. 837. Barnes 479.

It is said, that a bill cannot be filed against an attorney in vacation. Att. Pract. 309. 2 Barnes 34, 36. Har. Pract. 360. But it has been done in many cases, to save the statute of limitations.

A motion was made, that an attorney, who was going to Ireland, might put in special bail, and denied. I Mod. 10.

If an attorney is arrested, it is a motion of course, to discharge on common bail; I Wils. 292. that is, an attorney of the same court. But if an attorney of C. B. is arrested by process of B. R. he must plead bis privilege, and cannot be discharged on common bail. Stra. 864. I Wils. 306.

If an attorney of C. B. be actually in the custody of the marshal, he shall only be sued in B. R. 1 Stra. 191. and cannot plead his privilege; 2 Roll. Abr. 232. for there is a great difference between an actual and a supposed custody.

I Salk. 1.

In an action against baron and feme, if the husband be an attorney, he cannot appear in person, and put in bail for his wise, but he ought to put in bail for himself and his wise; for he shall not have privilege in an action against him and

his wife. 1 Roll. 380. c. 45.

By 12 Geo. 2. c. 13. f. 9. it is enacted, "That no attorney or folicitor, who shall be a prisoner in any gaol or prison, or within the limits, rules, or liberties of any gaol or prison, shall, during his confinement in any gaol or prison, or within the limits, rules, or liberties of any gaol or prison, in his own name, or in the name of any other attorney or folicitor, sue out any writ or process, or commence or prosecute any action or suit, in any courts of law or equity, under pain of being struck off the roll and incapacitated, &c."

This statute only disqualifying attornies who are prifoners, relates only to prosecuting, and not to desending

fuits. Barnes 263.

An attorney, prisoner, commencing an action on a bailbond, assigned after his imprisonment, in an action begun before, is not within this statute, it being a continuance of the former suit.

Attornies, in case of missenaviour or mal practice, are subject to the animadversion and censure of the court in which they are admitted by a summary application, by way of motion thereto; and will be struck off the rolls, imprisoned, or otherwise punished, at the discretion of the court; and the process sued out, on such occasions, to bring them into court, is an attachment; and being issued at the suit of the king, for contempt of the court, must be made out by the clerks in the Crown-office.

An attorney cannot be lesse in ejectment. Mich. 1654. Nor bail in any action depending in the court of which he is an attorney. Ibid. But an attorney house-keeper is often bail, though contrary to the above rule. 8 Mod. 338.

Attorney cannot be commissioner to take bail. Stat.

Attorney or not, must be tried by the record. And yet, when an attorney pleads his privilege, he has no occasion to say, prout patet per recordum, or to produce his writ of privilege. And per Holt ch. just. There are two ways of pleading his privilege so as it cannot be denied, viz.—with a profert of a writ of privilege, or an exemplification of the record of his admission of attorney. But he may plead his privilege only. Vide Ld. Raym. 1173.

A N attorney plaintiff may fue out by attachment of privilege, which is in the nature of an original writ, and is to the following effect:

GEORGE the third, &c. To the sheriff of Middlefex, greeting. We command you, that you attach C. D. and E. F. [any number of defendants may be put in this writ] if they may be found in your bailiwick, and them safely keep, so that you may have their bodies before us at Westminster, on next after [a day certain in term, and not a general return day] to answer A. B. gentleman, being one of the attornies of our court, before us, according to the liberties and privileges of such attornies and other ministers of the same court, from time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary used and approved in the same court of a plea of trespass, [or whatever the action is] and have there this writ.

Witness, &a.

If the attachment requires only a common appearance, a copy must be served, with notice as in other cases.

By the 4th sect. of 13 Car. 2. stat. 2. c. 2. [the statute which occasioned the insertion of the ac etiam clause in process to arrest and hold to special bail] it is provided, "That the said act, nor any clause or thing herein before specified or contained, shall not extend, nor be construed or taken to extend, unto any arrests hereaster to be made, upon or by virtue of any writ of capias utlagatum, attachment-upon rescues, or attachment upon any contempt, or of any attachment of privilege, at the suit of any privileged person, or of any other attachment for contempt whatsoever issuing or to be issuing out of either of

HE the same in this court.

The same in this court,

An attachment of privilege in C. B. is in the nature of an original writ; and when it is replied to fave the statute of limitations, it is sufficient to show the teste without continuances, till the declaration. Finch v. Wilson, one, Sc. of C. B. in error. I Wils. 167.

And the court will amend an attachment of privilege, tho' it is in the nature of an original writ, if there are not fifteen days between the teste and return; and so they will a ca. sa. But the court cannot amend an original writ, because it

issues out of Chancery.

Note, By the opposite section of the statute 13 Car. 2. it should seem, that there is no occasion for an "ac etiam" clause in a writ of attachment of privilege, to hold desendant to bail, at the suit of an attorney, though such clause is usually inserted in the attachment, if the party is to be held to special bail. Vide 2 Wilf. 392.

If the party is to be held to bail, the sum sworn to must be marked on the back of the attachment, and also the day

it is fued out.

" the faid courts, although there be no particular certainty of the cause of action expressed or contained the said writs;

" but that nevertheless, no sheriff or under-sheriff, nor any

of the officers or ministers aforefaid, shall discharge any

es person or persons, taken upon any writ of capias utlaga-

" tum, out of custody, without a lawful supersedeas first had

and received for the same; and that, upon the said writs

" of attachment, fuch lawful course be taken for security

ec for appearance therein as hath been heretofore used; " any thing herein before expressed to the contrary thereof

" in any wife notwithstanding."

The same in this court. New Pract. 310.

An attachment of privilege, is but as a latitat, and not as

an original. 1 Show. 367.
By Reg. H. 20 Geo. 2. Every attorney of this court, who shall sue out any attachment of privilege against any defendant, shall leave a præcipe with the signer of the writs, with the defendants names, not exceeding four in each writ, with the return and day of figning such writ, with the agent's or attorney's name who fued out the same. And all such pracipes shall be entered on the roll, where the pracipes of latitat, and all other writs issuing out of this court, are entered; and the officer who figns the writs in this court shall not fign such attachment till a præcipe be left with him for that purpose.

In B. R. you pay nothing for figning the writ; but for fealing it, 7 d. to the fealer. Rich. Att. Pract. B. R. 410.

The form of the pracipe to be left with the figner of the. writs, is as follows:

Surry. Attachment of privilege for A. B. gentleman, one of the attornies, &c. against C. D. Debt.

> Returned Wednesday next after the morrow of All Souls.

O. P. agent. 21 Nov. 1779.

Affidavit for 50 l.

An attachment of privilege, is not like an original writ; and therefore, the plaintiff may put several desendants into one attachment, and declare against them severally. King's Rep. 38-9. Att. Pract.

An attachment of privilege must have fifteen days between

the teste and return. Att. Prac. 67.

By Reg. H. 11 G. 2. You must make out a præcipe, containing the plaintiffs and defendants names, not exceeding four in the whole, with the return of the writ, day of figning, and the agent's or attorney's name, who fues out the fame: this pracipe you must leave with the prothonotary, who, without fee or reward, is to enter the same on a remembrance roll, to be kept in his office for that purpose; and he is not to fign any attachment of privilege, unless fuch pracipe be left in his office at the time of figning thereof.

In C. B. you pay nothing to the prothonotary for figning the writ, and only one penny for the feal. Rich. Att. Pract. C. B. 258.

Like pracipe in this court, to be left with the prothonotary.

Vol. II. Tf

If the attachment requires only a common appearance, a copy thereof is ferved with an English notice in writing, subscribed as in other cases; and the appearance must be entered with the clerk of the common bails.

If it requires *special bail*, a judge's clerk takes the recognizance, as in other cases.

If the attachment requires only common bail, after a copy thereof is ferved, with an English notice in writing, subfcribed as in other cases, the appearance must be entered with the prothonotary, who signed the writ; but if it requires special bail, his clerk of the dockets prepares the bail-piece or recognizance, and attends a judge, or the court where the same is entered into, and the bail justify, or fresh bail is added, in the same manner as the filazer does on mesne process by original.

The beginning of a declaration, at the fuit of an attorney in B. R. is thus:

Middlesex, to wit. A. B. gent. one of the attornies of the court of our lord the king, before the king himself, complains against C. D. being in the custody of the marshal of the Marshalsea, &c. [as in other declarations

add pledges.

In C. B. it is in this form:

Middlesex. C. D. late of, &c. was attached by a writ of our lord the king, of privilege issuing out of our court here, to answer A. B. gent. one of the attornies of the court of our lord the king of the Bench here, according to the liberties and privileges of the same court; for such attornies and other ministers of the same Bench, time out of mind, used and approved of in the same in a plea of trespass on the case, &c. and thereupon the said A. in his proper persons, complains, &c.

add pledges.

The subsequent proceedings, at the suit of an attorney, are the same as in other cases.

If an attorney delivers his declaration four days exclusive, before the end of the term, the defendant must plead as of that term.

If an attorney delivers his declaration four days exclusive, before the end of the term in which the attachment was returnable, and enters a rule to plead, and demands a plea, the defendant shall be obliged to plead as of that term; and if he does not deliver his declaration in that time, the defendant is entitled to an imparlance:

And if he does not deliver his declaration before the effoir day of the subsequent term, the defendant must have an imparlance to the term next following.

A N attorney of the King's Bench must be sued by bill, and cannot be arrested; and the method of suing him is this, The plaintiff files a bill against him, [which is a direct copy of a declaration engrossed on a slip of parchment stamped with a treble penny stamp] with the clerk of the declarations in the King's Bench office, and then makes a copy thereof on treble penny stampt paper, for the declaration, which must be delivered to him with notice thereon to plead; and then the plaintiff proceeds as in other cases.

A bill against an attorney is in the following form:

Middlesex to wit. A. B. complains against C. D. gent. one of the attornies of the court of our lord the king, before the king himself, present here in court in his own proper person; for that Whereas, &c. [as in other cases] and therefore he prays relief, &c.

O. P. for the plaintiff. The defendant in person.

Pledges of profecuting. \ \frac{\frac{fohn Doe,}{\text{and}}}{Richard Roe.}

Upon delivery of this declaration, and a rule given to plead thereto, [either a four or eight day rule] and the same being expired, the plaintiff may sign judgment; so that in the King's Bench the remedy against attornies is speedier than in the Common Pleas, which vide the next page; and also speedie than against other indifferent persons, as the bill and declaration are one and the same thing, and the first commencement of the suit:

In B. R. if the action be laid in London or Middlesex; and the defendant attorney lives within twenty miles of London, upon a rule given to plead, he has four days time to plead; and if he resides above twenty miles from London, or the action be laid in any other county than Middlesex or

London, he has eight days time to plead.

A nattorney of the Common Pleas also must be sued by bill, and cannot be arrested; but the bill and declaration against an attorney are different in this court; for by Reg. Trin. 21 Car. 2. " No bill shall be filed against an offcer, attorney, clerk or minister of the court, in order to a forejudger, until the bill be actually entered on record, and a number-roll actually put to the bill."

The above rule however is in a great measure disused, and the method of proceeding at this day against an attorney

defendant is as follows:

·The plaintiff engrosses his bill on a slip of parchment stamped with a double penny stamp, and carries it to the prothonogary, who marks it as entered, on being paid for the entry, and it is thereby supposed to be entered, though no number-roll is put on the bill; which being done, the bill must be carried to Westminster-hall, and given to one of the criers of the court, who calls the defendant in court, for which he is paid I s. and by 2 vol. Rules and Orders, he must be called three times in court. After which the plaintiff gives a rule on the bill, with the fecondary, for the defendant to appear, for which is paid Is. 4 d. viz. Is. duty, and 4 d. the rule; and then the bill is filed in the prothonotary's office, for which is paid 4 d. upon filing which bill, notice thereof must be given to the defendant, in writing, by Reg. Hil. It Geo. 2. whereby it is ordered, that

"Where a bill shall be filed against an attorney of the court, no forejudger shall be entered for want of appearance, if the action be laid in London or Middlesex, and the attorney resides within twenty miles of London, until four days after notice in writing of filing such bill be given to such attorney, or his agent, or left at his usual place of abode, and a rule given for fuch appearance as usual; and if such attorney resides above twenty miles from London, or the action be in any other county than London or Middlesex, no forejudger shall be entered till eight days after such notice shall be given. in manner as aforefaid; and a rule to appear the faid days, to be exclusive of the days of giving fuch notice,"

The notice of a bill being filed.

Common Pleas.

A. B.

against

C. D. gentleman, one of the attornies, &c.

Take notice, that a bill was this day filed in the prothonotary's office of his majesty's court of Common Pleas at Westminster, against you the defendant C. D. at the suit of the plaintiff A.B. in an action of trespass upon the case upon several promises sor what-ever it is and unless you appear to the said bill on Wednesday the twenty-fixth day of January instant, you will be forejudged the court.

23d Fanuary 1780.

O. P. attorney for the plaintiff.

To C. D. the defendant.

The above is the notice given by the fecondary's rule of the bill being filed, the notice to plead is as follows:

Common Pleas. A. B. against C. D.

> Take notice, that there is left in the prothonotary's office, in the Inner Temple, London, a declaration against you the defendant, at the suit of the plaintiff A.B. in an action upon the case upon several promises [whatever the case is] which the plaintiff lays to his damage of 100 l. and unless you plead to the faid declaration within four days next after the first day of next Hilary term, judgment will be entered against you by default.

> > O. P. attorney for the plaintiff.

To C. D. defendant.

Before the above rule for giving the defendant notice, the plaintiff did nothing more than have him called by the cryer in court, which was then thought sufficient, as all attornies were supposed to be personally present during the fitting of the court; but many of the attornies having been I 4

struck off the roll on forejudgers, for want of other notice; and many living at a distance, so that it was impossible to give orders for an appearance in time before the expiration of the rules to appear, the above rule became necessary.

If the defendant appears in time, you deliver a declaration, and proceed as in other cases; but if he does not appear, he must be forejudged, that is, struck off the roll, to effect which the plaintiff enters his bill, and a forejudger, on the roll, in the following form, beginning with a memorandum, as in suits by bill in B. R.

Middlesex, to wit. Be it remembered, that on the

in this same term, A. B. came here into court by O. P. his attorney, and exhibited to the justices of our lord the now king of the bench here, his bill against C. D. gentleman, one of the attornies of the court of our said lord the now king, of the bench here present, here in court in his proper person, in a plea of trespass on the case; the tenor of which said bill followeth in these words; (to wit) To the justices of our lord the king, of the bench. Middlesex, to wit. A. B. by O. P. his attorney, complaineth of C. D. gentleman, &c. [the whole bill to] and thereupon he prayeth relief, &c.

Whereupon the said C.D. being solemnly called came not, therefore he standeth forejudged from exercising his office of attorney of this court for his contumacy, &c.

You pay the prothonotary 2 s. for figning the forejudger, and the clerk of the warrants 1 s. 4 d. for striking the defendant off the roll, and then you may proceed against him as

against a common person.

When once an attorney is forejudged, the suit by bill is at an end, and the plaintiff, if he proceeds, must proceed as against an indifferent person, by original and capias, in the common way. Barnes 43. and so must every other person who sues him.

When an attorney defendant has appeared to a bill filed against him in court, the subsequent proceedings throughout the cause are the same, as in causes against indifferent perfons, only the writs, such as the venire, babeas corpora, distringus, &c. are made returnable on a day certain in term, and not on a general return day.

But it feems, that process of execution against an attorney has no occasion to be made out returnable on a day certain,

for execution begins when the cause is ended.

The plaintiff, an attorney, having sued by his attachment of privilege, was non-suited, and afterwards taken upon a ea. sa. for the costs, upon the judgment of non-suit returnable on a general return day, and the court held it well enough. For though all process, both for and against an attorney, is made returnable on a day certain, because of his daily attendance in court; yet when an attorney is out of court, as in the case above, and in custody in execution, he has no day in court, so cannot attend; and therefore in such case he loses his privilege to have his process against him returnable on a day certain.

Of getting an Attorney arrested, discharged.

I an attorney is sued otherwise than by bill, and arrested, and in custody, by virtue of process, in order to get his discharge, he must sue out his writ of privilege from the court wherein he is an attorney; and to obtain which he must get a certificate from the master's clerk in B. R. or clerk of the warrants in C. B. that the defendant is an attorney of the court, which certificate is an authority for the signer of the writs in B. R. or prothonotary in C. B. for signing the same, for which nothing is paid, only 7 d. to the sealer, which writ must be allowed by the court or sheriss wherever it is directed, who will thereupon make out a supersedeas for the desendant.

GEORGE the third, &c. To the judges of our court

A writ of privilege is to the following effect:

of our palace at Westminster, and to every of them greeting: Whereas, according to the custom of our court { of the bench, or } at Westminster, hitherto used and approved of in the same; the attornies of the fame court, { of the bench, or before us. } they are profecuting or defending fuits and actions. therein for their clients, ought not, nor have they, from time immemorial, been used to be compelled to answer before any of our justices or officers, or any other fecular judges whatfoever, upon any pleas, plaints or demands, which do not particularly belong to us (pleas of freehold felonies and appeals excepted) fave only before \{ our faid jnftices of our faid court of the bench; or thus, before us by bill exhibited in our faid court before us, and not by writ. And whereas we have lately received information, by the complaint of A. B. gentleman, one of the attornies of our faid court, { of the bench, or } feveral ill disposed persons intending to disquiet the faid A. have issued forth and profecuted out of our court of our palace of Westminster, one or more writ or writs, returnable before you in the same court, or one or more precept or precepts returnaagainít

Of getting an Attorney arrested, discharged.

ble in our faid court, before you or one of you, against the said A and threaten to arrest and detain him in your custody thereupon, in suits which do not relate to us, (or in pleas of freehold, felonies, or appeals excepted) whereby the faid A. B. is unable to attend his said office as an attorney, upon feveral affairs and fuits depending in our faid court { of the bench, or } which, if it is permitted, will manifestly take away, and be not only in derogation and diminution of the jurisdiction of our said { of the bench, or } and the liberties and privileges thereof; but also to the great detriment of the faid A. and his clients. And because we are willing that the jurisdictions, privileges, and customs, for so long time used and approved in our { of the bench, or } should be inviolably faid court before us, kept and observed: We command you, and every of you, that you defift from taking the faid A. B. into your custody, upon any writ or writs, precept or precepts: and if the faid A. B. be detained in your custody by any writ or writs, precept or precepts, other than fuch as particularly concern us, (pleas of freehold, felony, and appeals, only excepted) that then you discharge the said A. B. out of your custody, and suffer him to go at large, as you will answer the contrary at your peril; and, that you inform the party or parties, plaintiff or plaintiffs, in the plaint or plaints, that he, she, or they, may prosecute his, her, or their action or actions, fuit or fuits, \in our court of the bench, or before us before us before us

the faid bench, or to us at Westminster, against the said A. B. if he, she, or they, shall think it expedient so to do. Witness, &c.

Of Officers.

Of Proceeding against Officers of the Courts.

A L L the officers in the court of King's Bench, as well as the attornies, have the privilege of fuing by attachment; and being fued by bill in actions by and against them in their own right, and where they are not joined with others, except in pleas of land, of which the court of Common Pleas alone has jurisdiction.

But the officers of the Common Pleas, amongst whom are the ferjeants, prothonotaries, fecondaries, clerks of the prothonotaries, ferjeants clerks, clerks of the judges, &c. have not the privilege of being sued there by bill as attornies have, but the privilege of being sued there in every suit [except appeals] by original writ, because they are supposed not to be always present in person in court, as attornies are. Baker v. Swindon. Ld. Raym. 309. 3 Salk. 283. 1 Barnes 280. Holt 589. Adj. P. 6 W. & M. C. B. Winford. Rot. 685.

Baker v. Duncalf, 3 Lev. 398.

But it was agreed in Serjeant Scroggs' case, that the privilege of C. B. which Serjeants claimed, extended only to inferior courts, and not to the courts of Westminster-hall; and that he may be sued in either of these, because he is not confined to that court alone; but may practice in any other court.—But it is otherwise as to attornies or filazers, who cannot practice in their own name in any other court but such as they respectively belong to; and that therefore a serjeant at law is to be sued by original, and not by bill of privilege. 2 Lev. 129. 3 Keb. 424. 4 Mod. 226. So of the servant of a serjeant at law. Cro. Car. 84.

Note: The judges have privilege of being sued in their own court. Vide 3 Leon. 149.

Of privileged Persons.

Of the Privileges of Peers and Members of Parliament.

PEERS are created, as is faid in our law books, for two reasons: 1. Ad confulendum. 2. Ad defendendum regem, for which reasons the law gives them certain great and high privileges. Vide 7 Rep. 34. 9 Rep. 49. 12 Rep. 96.

1. At the suit of the subject their bodies shall not be ar-

rested, neither capias nor exigent lies against them.

2. For the honour and reverence which the law gives to nobility, their bodies are not subject to torture in causa criminis lasa majestatis.

3. They are not to be sworn in affizes, juries, or other in-

quests.

4. If any servant of the king, named in the *cheque-roll*, compass or intend to kill any lord of parliament, or other lord of the king's council, this is *felony*.

5. In the Common Pleas, a lord of parliament shall have knights returned on his jury. [This privilege is taken away by stat. 24 Geo. 2. c. 18. s. 4.]

6. He shall have day of grace.

7. A lord of parliament shall not be tried in case of treafon, felony, or misprission of them, but by those who are noble

and peers of the realm.

8. In trial of a peer, the lords of parliament shall not swear, but they may give their judgment super sidem et ligeantiam Domino Regi debitam, so that their saith and allegiance stands in equipage with an oath in the case of a common person in trial of life. And the writs of parliament, directed to the lords of parliament, are sub side et ligeantia, Sc. And the reason and cause that the king gives them many other privileges, is for this, because all honour and nobility is derived from the king, as the true sountain, and he honours with nobility for two causes. 1. Ad consulendum, and for that reason he gives them a robe. 2. Ad defendendum regem et regnum, and for that cause he gives them a sword. 12 Rep. 96.

All peers, without any distinction as to degree or rank, are entitled to the privilege of peerage alike; for they are equally obliged to attend the service of the publick, and are always supposed amenable to justice, and to have sufficient property to answer in suits and actions brought against

Of the Privileges of Peers and Members of Parliament.

them; and for these reasons, are not to be arrested or molested in their persons. Bacon's Abr. tit. Privilege, 4 Vol. 228.

This privilege from arrests extended formerly to abbots, as it does to bishops, * members of the convocation, and mem-

bers of the House of Commons at this day.

The privilege of parliament, according to the law of parliament, is of a very extensive nature, as may be seen by various refolutions and orders, in the journals of both the houses: but various statutes which parliament has condescended to make, have taken away many privileges which were heretofore claimed. However, there is one standing resolution and order in the journals of both the houses, that no court whatever shall presume to determine concerning the privilege of parliament, as fettled by the rules and orders of each house, they themselves claiming to be the sole judges of their respective privileges; of which order, the king's courts accidently take notice.

The privilege of a peer from arrests extends only to peers of Great Britain, so that a nobleman of any other country, or a lord of Ireland, hath not any other privilege in this kingdom than a common person. Also the son and heir apparent of a nobleman is not entitled to the privilege, which is confined to fuch persons as are lords of parliament at the time. But it seems that an infant peer is privileged from arrests, his person being held sacred. Co. Lit. 156.

2 Inst. 48. 3 Inst. 30. pl. 19.

The peers of Scotland had no privilege in this kingdom before the union; but by the twenty-third article of the union, the fixteen elected peers shall have all the privileges of the peers of the parliament of Great Britain. Also, all the rest of the peers of Scotland shall have all the privileges of the peerage of England, excepting only that of fitting and voting in parliament. Stat. 5 An. c. 8. 2 Stra. 990. Fort. 163. P. Wil. 583. Since which statute, the person of a Scotch peer has been held to be privileged from arrests.

The twenty-third article of the union, upon which this privilege is claimed by a peer of Scotland, not one of the fixteen, fays, that the peers of Scotland shall have all the

^{*} Eq. Caf. Ab. 349. 3 Chan. Rep. 38.

Of the Privileges of Peers and Members of Parliament.

privileges of peers of Great Britain, except the right and privilege of fitting in the House of Lords, and the privileges depending thereon. Now, as every privilege, claimed by a peer, folely depends, and is in consequence of his sitting in parliament, that is, being an actual lord of parliament, it feems, that the allowing all the Scotch peers the privilege from arrests, is not within the words of the act of union, the only law under which the Scotch peers to this day, can claim any privilege here at all.

A peeress by birth, is entitled to privilege. 2 Inft. 50. Stili. 222, 234, 252. Fort. 162. Vent. 298. Eq. Cas. Abr. 349. Co. Lit. 16. a. 6 Rep. 53. b. Dy. 79. pl. 51. Order of the House of Peers, 21 Feb. 1692. But it was doubted, whether a peeress by patent only for life, is entitled to this privilege. Styl. 234, 252. Held that she is

not entitled, Sty. 254. but adjourned.

A peeress by marriage, is entitled to privilege, and that as well during the coverture, as after: But as a peeress by marriage loses the dignity by marrying a commoner, after fuch marriage she is not entitled to any privilege. Co.

Lit. 16. 6 Co. 53. Dyer 79.

In lord Banbury's case, it was holden by Holt ch. just. that where a person is called by writ to the House of Peers, he is no peer till he fits in parliament, the writ giving him no nobility or honour; but that it was fitting in the House of Lords, and affociating with them, that ennobled his blood; and that therefore, if the king or he dies before the parliament meets, the writ is determined, and the party remains a commoner: but he held it otherwise in a creation by letters patent, by which the party is immediately noble without any other act or ceremony; and though the parliament never meets, or the king dies, the nobility remains to him and his posterity, according to the limitations in the patent.

Formerly, the privilege from arrests extended to the fervants of peers; and was also claimed by their tenants in old And the horses, &c. of peers, and other goods, were privileged from distresses: but these privileges have been

taken away, as will be shewn hereafter.

Note, The privilege of parliament does not extend to high treason, felony, breach of the peace, or surety of the peace. 4 Inflit. 25. 2 Hawk. P. C. 424.

Вy

Of the privileges of Peers and Members of Parliament.

By 12 & 13 W. 3. c. 3. An act for preventing any inconveniences that may happen by privilege of parliament, it is enacted, "That any person or persons may commence or profecute any action or fuit, in any of his majesty's courts of record, at Westminster, &c. against any peer of the realm, or lord of parliament; or against any of the knights, citizens, and burgesses of the House of Commons, for the time being; or against their or any of their menial, or other servants, or any other person entitled to the privilege of parliament, at any time from and immediately after the diffolution or prorogation of any parliament, until a new parliament shall meet, or the same be re-assembled and from and immediately after any adjournment of both houses of parliament, for above the space of fourteen days, until both houses shall meet or re-affemble: And that the faid respective courts shall and may, after such dissolution. prorogation, or adjournment as aforefaid, proceed to give judgment, and to make final orders, decrees, and fentences, and award execution thereupon; any privilege to the contrary、 どc."

Provided, "That this act shall not extend to subject the person of any of the knights, citizens, and burgesses of the House of Commons, or any other person entitled to the privilege of parliament, to be arrested during the time of privilege. Nevertheless, if any person or persons, having cause of action or complaint against any peer of this realm, or lord of parliament, fuch perfon or perfons, after any diffolution, prorogation, or adjournment as aforefaid; or before any fession of parliament, or meeting of both houses as aforesaid, may have such process out of his majesty's courts of King's Bench, Common Pleas, and Exchequer, against fuch peer or lord of parliament, as he or they might have had against him, out of the time of privilege. And if any person or persons, having cause of action against any of the faid knights, citizens, or burgesses, or any other person entitled to the privilege of parliament, after any diffolution, prorogation, or adjournment as aforefaid; or before any fessions of parliament, or meeting of both houses as aforefaid, fuch person or persons, may prosecute such knight, citizen, or burgess, or other person entitled to the privilege of parliament, in his majesty's courts of King's Bench, Common Pleas, or Exchequer, by summons and distress infinite,

Of the Privileges of Peers and Members of Parliament.

finite, or by original bill, and fummons, attachment and distress infinite thereupon, to be issued out of any of the said courts of record, which the said respective courts are hereby empowered to issue against them, or any of them, until he or they shall enter a common appearance, or sile common bail to the plaintiff's action, according to the course of each respective court. And any person or persons, having cause of suit or complaint, may, in the times aforesaid, exhibit any bill or complaint, against any peer of this realm, or lord of parliament; or against any of the said knights, citizens, or burgesses, or other person entitled to the privilege of parliament, in the high court of Chancery, court of Exchequer, or duchy court of Lancaster, and may proceed thereon, by letter or subpœna, as is usual, &c. "But not to arrest the body of any knight, &c."

By sect. 3. "That where any plaintiff shall, by reason or occasion of any privilege of parliament, be stayed or prevented from prosecuting any suit by him commenced, such plaintiff shall not be barred by any statute of limitation, or nonsuited, dismissed, or his suit discontinued, for want of prosecution of the suit by him begun; but shall, from time to time, upon the rising of the parliament, be at

liberty to proceed to judgment and execution."

By sect. 4. "No action, suit, &c. commenced against the king's original and immediate debtor for the recovery of any debt, &c. to the crown, shall be stayed or delayed by or under the colour or pretence of any privilege of parliament;" yet so nevertheless, "that the person or persons, of any such debtor or accountant, or person answerable or liable to account, being a peer of this realm, or lord of parliament, shall not be liable to be arrested or imprisoned, by or upon any such suit, order, &c. or being a member of the House of Commons, shall not, during the continuance of the privilege of parliament, be arrested or imprisoned by or upon any such order, decree, judgment, process, or proceedings."

By the 2 & 3 of Anne, c. 18. An act for the further explanation and regulation of privilege of parliament, in relation to perfons in publick offices, it is enacted, "That any action or suit may be commenced or prosecuted against any officer or person entrusted or employed in the revenue, &c. for any forseiture, misdemeanour, or breach of trust, &c. and shall not be stayed or delayed by or under colour

Vol. II. K

Of the Privileges of Peers and Members of Parliament.

or pretence of any privilege of parliament, although such officer or person be a peer of the realm, or lord of parlia-

ment, or one of the knight's, &c."

Provided, "that nothing therein shall extend to subject the person of such officer, being a peer of the realm, or lord of parliament, to be arrested or imprisoned: but that all process shall issue against such officer or person, being a peer of the realm, or lord of parliament, as should have issued against him out of the time of privilege: nor shall extend to the person of such officer, being a knight, citizen, or burgess of the House of Commons, to be arrested or imprisoned, during the time of privilege of parliament; and that against such officer or other person, being a knight, citizen, or burgess of the House of Commons, entitled to privilege, shall be issued summons and distresses infinite; which the said respective courts are hereby empowered to issue in such case, until the party shall appear upon such process, according to the course of such respective courts."

The act of 12 & 13 W. 3. c. 3. restraining only the privilege of parliament, in actions or fuits commenced in the courts therein specified, by the 11 Geo. 2. c. 24. in amendment of the act of king William, it is enacted, "That any person and persons shall and may commence and profecute, in Great Britain or Ireland, any action or fuit in any court of Record, or court of Equity, or court of Admiralty; and in all causes matrimonial and testamentary, in any court having cognizance of causes matrimonial and testamentary, against any peer or lord of parliament of Great Britain; or against any of the knights, citizens, and burgesses of the House of Commons of Great Britain, for the time being, or against them and any of their menial and other fervants, or any other person entitled to the privilege of the parliament of Great Britain, at any time from, and immediately after the diffolution or prorogation of any parliament, until a new parliament shall meet, or the same be reaffembled; and from and immediately after any adjournment of both houses of parliament, for above the space of fourteen days, until both houses shall meet or re-assemble; and the faid respective courts may proceed, &c.

Provided, "That the faid act shall not extend to subject the person of any knight, &c. to be arrested during the time of privilege. And sect. 2. authorizes proceed-

Of the Privileges of Peers and Members of Parliament.

ing as above in any of the courts of great seffions in Wales, courts of seffion in the counties palatine of Chester, Lancaster, and Durham; the courts of King's Bench, Common Pleas, and Exchequer, in Ireland, after any such dissolution, &c. And the court of Chancery in Ireland, and equity of Exchequer, are authorized to proceed in like manner as the court of Chancery, and equity court of Exchequer in England may, against any peer, knight, &c. after such dissolution, &c."

Sect. 3. saves the statute of limitations in like manner as

the act of king William.

And by sect. 4. No action or suit commenced against the king's debtor, &c. to be stayed in any court in England or

Ireland [as by fect. 4. in the act of king William].

And lastly, by the stat. 10 Geo. 3. c. 50. The preamble of which states, that the acts already in being are infussicient to obviate the inconveniences arising from delay of fuits, by reason of the privilege of parliament, it is enacted, "That any person or persons shall and may, at any time, commence and profecute any action or fuit, in any court of Record, or court of Equity, or of Admiralty; and in all causes matrimonial and testamentary, in any court having cognizance of causes matrimonial and testamentary, against any peer, or lord of parliament of Great Britain; or against any of the knights, citizens, or burgeffes, and the comissioners for shires and burghs of the House of Commons of Great Britain, for the time being; or against their or any of their menial or any other fervants, or any other person entitled to the privilege of parliament of Great Britain: and no fuch action, fuit, or any other process or proceeding thereupon, shall, at any time, be impeached, stayed, or delayed, by or under colour or pretence of any privilege of parliament."

2. Provided, that "Nothing in this act shall extend to subject the person of any of the knights, citizens, and burgesses, or the commissioners, &c. for the time being, to be arrested or imprisoned upon any such suit or proceedings."

3. And whereas the process by distringas is dilatory and expensive: For remedy thereof, be it enacted, "That the court out of which the writ proceeds, may order the issues levied, from time to time, to be fold; and the money arising thereby to be applied to pay such costs to the plain-cist as the said court shall think just, under all the cir-

Of the Privilege of Peers and Members of Parliament.

cumftances, to order; and the furplus to be retained until the defendant shall have appeared, or other purpose of the writ be answered."

4. Provided always, when the purpose of the writ is answered, that then the said issues shall be returned; or if sold, what shall remain of the money arising by such sale,

shall be repaid to the party distrained upon.

5. And it is further enacted, "That obedience may be enforced to any rule of his majefty's courts of King's Bench, Common Pleas, or Exchequer, against any person entitled to privilege of parliament, by distress infinite, in case any person or persons, entitled to the benefit of such rule, shall chuse to proceed in that way: and the last clause extends them to Scotland.

PY this last statute, the privilege is wholly taken away from the servants of peers and members of parliament, so that they may be proceeded against as other indifferent perfons—and fuits may now also, since the above statute, be commenced at any time, whether the parliament is sitting or not sitting, against any peer or member thereof.

Peers and members of parliament may be proceeded against two ways, viz. by original writ, and by original bill, in either court, except that they cannot be proceeded against by original writ in B. R. in all actions; but in those actions only, such as case, trespass, ejectment, replevin, and debt, which the King's Bench can hold plea of by original writ.

If the plaintiff proceeds by original, against a peer, or commoner, the plaintiff makes out a præcipe for an orginal writ, which writ must be made out by the cursior of the proper county, and filed with the filazer in B. R. and custos brevium in C. B. upon which, a summons is made out to the sheriff, for the desendant's appearance, which is in the following form:

GEORGE the third, by the grace of God, of Great Britain, France, and Ireland, king, defender of the faith, &c. To the sheriff of Middlesex, greeting. We command you, that you cause to be summoned, A.B. esq. [or if a peer, the right honourable Henry earl of—or whatever his title is] he having privilege of parliament, that he be before us [or if in G.B. before our justices] at Westminster, on [a general return day] to answer G.D. in a plea of, &c. reciting the whole cause as in the original, to the damage of the said C. of one hundred pounds, and have there then this writ.

Upon the return of which, if the defendant appears, the proceedings are the same as in other cases; but if he does not appear, and should cast an essin, which it seems he may do any time before the return of the original writ, but not afterwards, the plaintiff is delayed a whole term, as the defendant has till the first return of the next term to

Witness, &c. -

appear; and then, should the defendant not enter an appearance, the essin must be adjourned to a surther day K 3 by

by the plaintiff; upon which day, and the like default, the plaintiff may then sue out a distringas. However, the casting an essign is seldom done at this day, as the courts set themselves against such obsolete practice, and consider it nothing more than a trick, calculated for the purpose of delay, and a great abuse of the law; and should the practice of essigns be revived, there is no doubt but that the courts would instantly make such new rules and orders, as would effectually prevent their occasioning that unnecessary delay of justice which they formerly did. Vide the cases of Anson v. Jesserson, C. B. 2 Wils. 164.—And Barclay v. Earle. Stra 1194.

Should no appearance be entered upon the return of the fummons, or essentially the plaintiff's attorney makes out a pracipe for a distringues, and carries it to the proper filazer, to draw out the same, which must be sealed; and is in the

following form:

GEORGE the third, by the grace of God, of Great Britain, France, and Ireland, king, defender of the faith, &c. To the sheriff of Middlefex, greeting. We command you, that you distrain A. B. esq. having privilege of parliament, by all his lands and chattels in your bailiwick, so that neither he, nor any one for him, do intermeddle therewith, until you shall have other command in that behalf from us; and that you answer us for the issues of he same, so that you have his body before us [or if n G. B. before our justices at Westminster, on—a general return day] to answer C. D. in a plea of, &c. [reciting the original as before] to the damage of the said C. of one hundred pounds; and have you there then this writ.

If the defendant does not appear at the return of the difiringas, the plaintiff's attorney must call upon the sheriff to return the writ, whereupon he may sue out an alias; and, upon the return of that, a pluries distringas. But instead of proceeding as formerly, by the writ of distringas ad infinitum, the plaintiff may now move the court out of which

the writ issues, by virtue of the 10 Geo. 3. c. 50. f. 3. for the sheriff to enlarge the issues, which the court will accordingly order to be encreased, to the amount of the plaintiff's demand.

This motion, is a common motion, and requires neither notice nor affidavit.

The plaintiff, in an action against a member of parliament, had proceeded agreeable to the act of 10 Geo. 3. c. 50. and had obtained rules for felling the iffues levied upon a distringas, alias, and pluries; and also a rule for an attachment against the sheriff: but no issues had been actually levied, and at length defendant appeared; whereupon it was moved, that these rules should all be discharged: For as no issues had been levied, they could not be fold; [vide fect. 3. of the statute 10 Geo. 3. c. 50.] and as the defendant in the action had now appeared, the end and purpose of the writs were answered. On the other side, the plaintiff infifted on the costs of issuing the writs, before the rules should be discharged. And the court thought that reasonable; and directed, that on payment of costs the rules should be discharged. They were of opinion, that these costs were not to attend the event of the suit, but were to be paid to the plaintiff at all events, whether he should finally succeed in his suit or not. Martin v. Townsend and Sawbridge. Burr. 4 pt. 2725.

If the plaintiff proceeds by bill against a peer, or member of parliament, he must then file his original bill, containing the whole cause of action against him, with the clerk of the declarations in B. R. or prothonotary in C. B. and take out a summons thereon, which need not have fifteen days between the teste and return, as the process has when by original writ; and which must be made returnable together with the dissiringas, &c. in case of non-appearance thereto, on a day cer-

tain in term, and not on a general return day.

The fummons, attachment, distringus, &c. when by bill in B. R. do not state the cause of action at large, as when the proceedings are by original writ, but generally; but in both cases, the fummons, attachment, &c. in C. B. state the whole cause as in the original writ.

In declaring against a peer, or member of parliament, when the suit is by original, the declaration begins thus:

Middlesex. A. B. esq. [or whatever his title is] having privilege of parliament, was summoned to answer C. D. in a plea, &c.

And if by bill,

Middlesex, to wit, C. D. complains against A. B. esq. having privilege of parliament, &c."—and though the suit is in the King's Bench by bill, the defendant must not be declared against, "as being in the custody of the marshal of the Marshalsea." Sayer Rep. 63, 64.

If the declaration should be in an action of assumpsite against a peer, the plaintist must take care, in assigning the breach, not to use the following words, as is usual against a common person; "but contriving, and fraudulently intending, crastily and subtily, to deceive and defraud the said C. D. in this behalf;" for the House of Lords have adjudged it a very high contempt and misdemeanour in any person, to charge their noble body with any species of fraud or deceit. But in such case, against a member of the House of Commons, those words may be inserted, as there is no standing order to the contrary; the resentment of the members of that honourable house having never yet been irritated at the charge.

In Trinity term, 18 George 3. in the King's Bench, in the case of Gesting and wife, against lord viscount Weymouth, it was argued, whether a peer could be sued there by bill of privilege. And adjudged that he might. The case was

this:

The plaintiffs commenced an action against the lord lleymouth, by bill of privilege, to which he pleaded in abatement, that he ought to have been sued by original writ, and not by bill of privilege; and thereupon, there was a demurrer and joinder. On the argument of which, the court relied on the case of Say against lord Byron in that court, a few years before, and awarded a respondeas ouster.

The case of Say and lord Byron came on before B. R. on a motion to set aside a distringus issued against lord Byron by bill, and the court, having directed precedents to be searched, found that it had been the uniform practice and usage to proceed against peers in that court by till of privilege before

the

the flatute 12 & 13 W. 3. and as that act made no difference in that respect, it was held, that the jurisdiction of

the King's Bench remained as before.

It is however very remarkable, that when the act of king William went to the lards for their concurrence to the proceedings therein, against the members of both houses, by bill and fummons thereon, the lords expunged that part of the clause relating to themselves being sued by original bill and fummons, and fent back the amended bill to the commons; which afterwards passed accordingly. Which clearly proves, that the lords, at that time, did not think themselves included therein.

The court therefore, relying on their jurisdiction before the statute of king William, determined that a peer may be fued by bill in B. R. but as this determination is founded folely on the jurisdiction of the court, and the uniform practice thereof before the statute, it may be still a question in the court of Common Pleas, whether a peer can be sued

there by original bill and summons thereon.

All the subsequent proceedings to the declaration against a peer or privileged person are the same as in other cases, except that their bodies cannot be taken in execution, unless the judgment is obtained upon a statute staple, or statute merchant, or upon the statute of Acton Burnell 11 Edw. 1. and then a capias ad fatisfaciendum lies even against peers of the realm.

Of Copposations.

Of Proceeding by and against Corporations.

ORPORATIONS aggregate must sue and be sued by attorney, and therefore the proper process against them is a distringus. Co. Lit. 66.

A corporation cannot be effoined. Dalt. 121. pl. 154.

Nor outlawed. 10 Co. 32. b.

No attachment lies against a corporation.

A corporation cannot be declared against as in the custody of the marshal. 6 Mod. 183.

A corporation cannot fue as a common informer. 2 Stra.

As outlawry does not lie against an aggregate corporation, therefore trespass does not lie against them; for a capias and exigent do not go. Bro. Corp. 43.

Corporations aggregate cannot distrain in their own persons but by their bailiff, therefore replevin does not lie against them by the name of their corporation. Brownl. 175.

Corporations cannot fue without their head, or in time of

vacation of their headship. Wood's Instit. 110.

Corporations aggregate cannot commit treason, or be outlawed or excommunicated. 10 Rep. 32. 1 Rep. 127. 1 Inst. 134. a. or be executors or administrators. Ibid. Tho' 1 Roll. Abr. 915. contra, but quære? for they cannot take an oath.

They cannot be joint-tenants to take by survivorships, but they may be tenants in common. Wood's Instit. 110.

They cannot be seized to the use of another. Ibid.

The members cannot regularly be witnesses for the corporation, especially if they testify for any considerable advantage or profit of the body. 2 Lev. 232. 236. 2 Str. 1069. For every member hath a right and freehold for his life as to his freedom, and all the members together have an inheritance in the lands, and an interest in the goods.

If a corporation sue, they must sue in the name of the corporation by an attorney appointed under the seal of the cor-

poration.

And if a corporation is fued, it must be sued by its name of incorporation by original writ—and in order to sue a corporation, the plaintiff's attorney must make out a pracipe for an original writ, which original writ must be made out by the cursitor of the proper county, and duly filed with the filazer

Of Proceeding by and against Corporations.

filazer in B. R. or custos brevium in C. B.; on which a summons must be made out containing the whole cause of action for the sheriff to summon them; upon which, if they appear, the proceedings are the same as in other cases; but their appearance must be by an attorney appointed under the common seal, and not in their own persons. Bro. Corp. 28.

If they do not appear upon the summons at the return of the original writ, the plaintiff must take out a distringus, and proceed against them by distress infinite; and it is not sufficient if the particular persons distrained upon appear at the return of the process. Bro. Corp. 28. or if all the members of the corporation appear in person; but they must appear by an attorney appointed under seal.

Should the sheriff return but small issues on the distringus,

the court, on motion, will order him to return greater.

In an action against the East-India Company for 5000 l. it was moved, that the sheriff might return exemplary issues, because several writs of distringus had been already served to no purpose; and the court said, he should return good issues; and if he did not, the plaintiss might bring an action against him; but at last he was ordered to attend. Salk. 191. pl. 2.

When a corporation is once brought into court, the sub-

fequent proceedings are the fame as in other cases.

Of Hundredozs.

Of Proceeding against Hundredors.

F an action be commenced against hundredors, the suit in B. R. as well as in C. B. must be by original, for the inhabitants of an hundred cannot be in the custody of the enarshal. 3 Keb. 126. 2 Saund. 375. 4 Mod. 296.

To proceed against an hundred on the statute of hue and cry. 13 Ed. 1. the plaintiff must take out his original writ, which must be tested forty days after the robbery; [which forty days are allowed for the hundred to take the thieves by the statute of Winton. R. 3 Lev. 320.] and within a

year after the robbery. R. 1 Brownl. 156.

The original writ usually recites the statute. Th. Br. 141. 2 Saund. 374. 4 Mod. 296. 1 Bro. Ent. 99. But the recital of the statute is not necessary; though it must state the circumstances of the robbery, and the plaintist's compliance with the statutes *, viz. that he made hue and cry, gave notice of the robbery, described the selons, the time and place of the robbery; that within twenty days he caused notice thereof to be given in the London Gazette, described the robbers and robbery therein; that he entered into bond before the sheriff to the high constable of the hundred, with condition for the security of the costs in case of being nonsuited, discontinuing, &c. that twenty days before the issuing of the writ, he made oath before a justice, that he did not know the parties who robbed him, and that the inhabitants of the hundred have not taken the robbers, &c.

The process served is a copy of the original writ, which process formerly used to be served on some inhabitant of the hundred. But by the 8 Geo. 2. c. 16. It is enacted, "That No process for appearance in any action to be brought upon the statutes of hue and cry, or either of them, against any hundred, shall be served on any inhabitant thereof, save only upon the high constable, or high constables, of the hundred wherein the robbery shall happen, who is required to cause publick notice thereof to be given in one of the principal market towns within such hundred, on the next market-day after he or they shall be served with such

^{*} The statute of Winchester, 13 Edw. 1. c. 1. is explained and enforced by several subsequent statutes, viz. 27 El. c. 13. 29 Car. 2. c. 7. 8 Geo. 2. c. 16. 22 Gco. 2. c. 24.

Of Proceeding against Hundredors.

process; or, if there shall happen to be no market-town within the hundred, then in some parish-church within the same hundred, immediately after divine service, on the Sunday next after his or their being served with such process; and he or they is and are hereby impowered and required to enter, or cause to be entered, an appearance in the said action, and also desend the same for and on behalf of the inhabitants of the said hundred, as he or they shall be advised.

The declaration must be against the inhabitants of the hundred generally; for if it is against any by name, and all are not named, it will be bad. R. 2 Keb. 126.

The declaration need not recite the original at large, Reg. 1654. Mills 26. nor more of the statute than is pertinent to the action. 2 Ventr. 215. and must conclude contra formam statuti, i. e. the statute of Winton, for contra formam statutorum is bad, Yelv. 116.

If the desendants plead, and there is an issue, the venire

If the defendants plead, and there is an issue, the venire facias shall be awarded to the next hundred. Thes. Brev. 144. quær. for since the 24 Geo. 2. c. 18. s. 3. it seems the venire should be awarded de corpore comitatus, except the

hundred against which the action is brought.

If judgment be given against the hundred, the sheriff, &c. upon receipt of any writ of execution against any inhabitant, instead of serving the same, shall cause the same to be shewn gratis to two justices of the county, riding, or division, [whereof one to be of the quorum] who are to cause such taxation and affessment to be made, and to be levied, according to the 27 Eliz. [viz. by the constables, &c. rateably and proportionably, &c.] in which taxation and afsessment there shall be provided and included, over and above what the costs and damages recovered by the plaintiff in fuch action shall amount to, all such just and necessary expences which the high conftable of the hundred hath been at in defending fuch action, claim being made thereto by fuch high constable, before the faid justices, upon due notice for that purpose given him; and the money, so to be levied, to be paid over by fuch constable, &c. within ten days after collection, to the sheriff of the county, to the use of the plaintiff in such action, for so much as his costs and damages recovered shall amount unto, and to the use of the faid high constable, for so much as his expences in defending the said action shall amount to, of which he shall give an account, and make proof thereof upon oath, to the **fatisfaction**

Of Proceeding against Hundredors.

fatisfaction of the faid justices, before any taxation shall be made for reimbursing such high constable; and shall, in such expences, have no further allowance, toward paying an attorney to defend the said action, than what such attorney's bill shall be taxed at by the proper officer of that court where the action shall be brought, which the said high constable shall cause to be taxed for that purpose. Stat. 8 Geo. 2. c. 16. s. 4.

The 7th set. of the above act provides in what manner the constable shall be reimbursed his expences in case the plaintiff is nonsuited, &c. and becomes, or his sureties in the

bond become, infolvent.

Df Ejeament.

Of the Action of Ejectment.

HE action of ejectment is a mixed action, in which a leffee for years shall recover his term, and also his damages. 5 Co. 105. 9 Co. 77. and is almost the only remedy in practice for recovering land wrongfully withheld. Bur. 4 pt. 667. For it is real in respect of the lands, and personal in respect of the damages and Costs. Per Holt, ch. j. Comb. 250.

Real actions required so much nicety and exactness, and were attended, in old times, with so much trouble and expence, that the remedy by ejectment was contrived to supply several desects which attended the bringing them; for in real actions the demandant could not recover any damages, only his possession; and if he was barred in one action, he could not regularly bring another. 6 Co. 7. Ferrar's case.

The concluding a man by one action being often found fo prejudicial to his right, that the manner of forming a term for years, and the leffee's bringing an ejectment to recover his term, and thereby to affert the title of his lessor, was found out, and was first introduced in the 14 Hen. 7. * [before which time the plaintiff in ejectment only recovered damages for the turning him out of possession, and did not recover his term in the premisses for, till about that time, leases for years were but of very short duration, and were generally defeated or determined before any intricate title could be decided; and were fuch precarious possessions, with respect to the power that the owner of the freehold or inheritance had over them, that every fuch leffee was looked upon only as his bailiff or steward; and therefore, if ousted by a stranger, could only have recovered damages for the loss of this possession; or if turned out by his lessor, could only feek remedy from his covenants.

But as, about this time of *Henry 7*, leafes for long terms began to creep into use, the lessess whereof, when molested, used to go, in order to secure themselves, into equity, against their lessors, for a specifick performance; and against thrangers, to have perpetual injunctions to quiet their possessions, which, as it drew considerable business into the

courts of equity, was probably one reason which induced the courts of law to come to a resolution to give judgment, that the lesse in ejectment should recover possession of the land itself, by the process of an habere facias possession; so that the object of the action became entirely changed; for, as the plaintiff recovered the term itself, he had nominal damages only for the ouster, but not the messee profits; whereas, by the old writ of ejectment, he recovered nothing but damages for the ouster, the measure whereof were the messee profits of the estate accruing to the ejector since the time of the ouster.

The above resolution of the courts brought on a new method of trial unknown to the common law. For now it became usual for a man that had a right of entry into any lands to enter thereon and seal leases; and then the person that next came on the freehold, animo possidendi, was accounted an ejector of the lesse; by which means any man might be turned out of possession; because the lessee in ejectment would recover his term without any notice to the tenant in possession; so that the courts of law, to remedy this inconvenience and injustice, made it a standing rule, that no plaintist should proceed in ejectment to recover his lands against such a feigned ejector, without delivering the tenant in possession a declaration, and making him an ejector and proper desendant if he pleased.

This rule of court became absolutely necessary upon the alteration of the object of the action of ejectment, which was now in rem; for otherwise the court would have been instrumental in doing an injury to a third person; because a declaration might otherwise be delivered to a stranger, a feint desence be made, and a verdict, judgment, and execution thereon obtained, whereby the tenant would have been ousled, without notice of any proceedings against him.

Upon this notice to the tenant in possession, and affidavit thereof made, it was usual for the tenant in possession to move the court, that, as the title of the land belonged to him, he might defend the suit in the casual ejector's name; which the court, upon an affidavit of that matter, used to grant; and that the suit should be carried on in the casual ejector's name, the tenant in possession saving him harmless; and then the casual ejector was not permitted to release errors in prejudice of the tenant in possession; since the suit was carried on in his name by rule of court; and the process for costs was taken out against the casual ejector, who

was obliged to refort to the tenant in possession to recover back the same, and put his bond of indemnification in suit upon his resultant to pay them. Styl. 468. I Keb. 705, 740.

Also such leases were actually to be sealed and delivered, otherwise the plaintist could maintain no title to the term, and were also obliged to be sealed on the land itself, otherwise it amounted to maintenance by the old law, to convey a title to any one, when the grantor himself was not in possession.

But at this day there is regularly no necessity of sealing and delivering leases on the lands, where there is an actual tenant or occupier of the lands, a much more expeditious and easy method of proceeding in ejectment having been invented by lord chief justice Rolle, [who sat in the upper bench so called during the exile of king Charles the second] and followed ever since by the courts.

I have faid thus much of the action of ejectment, and the old method of proceeding therein, that the practifer and fludent may the better understand the modern practice relating

thereto, and the reasons on which it is founded.

The new method of proceeding in ejectment entirely depends on a string of legal fictions; no actual lease is made, no actual entry by the plaintiff, no actual ouster by the defendant, but all are merely ideal, for the fole purpose of trying the title. To this end, in the proceedings, a leafe for a term of years is stated to have been made by him who claims title to the plaintiff, who is generally an ideal fictitious person who has no existence, though it ought to be a real person. In this proceeding, which is the declaration, for there is no other process in this action, it is also stated, that the leffee, in consequence of the demise to him made, entered into the premisses; and that the defendant, who is also now another ideal fictitious person, and who is called the casual ejector, afterwards entered thereon and ousted the plaintiff, for which ouster the plaintiff brings this action. Under this declaration is written a notice, supposed to be written by this casual ejector, directed to the tenant in pos-Jession of the premisses, in which notice the casual ejector informs the tenant of the action brought by the leffee, and affures him, that as he, the cafual ejector, has no title at all to the premisses, he shall make no defence; and therefore he advises the tenant to appear in court at a certain time, and V-ol. II.

defend his own title, otherwise he, the casual ejector, will suffer judgment to be had against him, by which the actual tenant will inevitably be turned out of possession.

The declaration is then ferved on the tenant in possession, with this friendly caution annexed to it, who has then an opportunity of defending his title, which if he omits to do in a limited time, he is supposed to have no right at all; and, upon judgment being had against the casual ejector, the real tenant will be turned out of possession by the sherisf.

But if the tenant applies to be made a defendant, it is allowed him upon this condition, that he enter into a rule of court to confess at the trial of the cause three of the sour requisites for the maintenance of the plaintiff's action, viz. the lease of the lessor, the entry of the plaintiff, and the ouster by the tenant himself, who is now made defendant instead of the casual ejector; which requisites, as they are wholly sictious, should the defendant put the plaintiff to prove, he must of course be non-suited at the trial for want of evidence; but by such stipulated confession of lease, entry and ouster, the

trial will stand upon the merits of the title only.

Upon this rule being entered into, the declaration is now altered by inferting the name of the tenant instead of the fictitious name of the cafual ejector; and the cause goes to trial under the name of the fictitious lessee on the demise of A. B. (the leffor or person claiming title) against C. D. (the now defendant) and therein the leffor is bound to make out his title to the premisses, otherwise his nominal lessee cannot obtain judgment to have possession of the land for the term supposed to be granted. But if he makes out his title in a fatisfactory manner, the judgment is given for the nominal plaintiff, and a writ of possession his name to the sheriff to deliver possession. But if the now defendant fails to appear at the trial, and to confess lease, entry and cuffer, the nominal plaintiff must indeed be there nonfuited for want of proving these requisites; but judgment will nevertheless, in the end, be entered for him against the casual ejector; for the condition on which the tenant was admitted defendant is broken; and therefore the plaintiff is put again in the same situation as if he never had appeared at all; the consequence of which we have seen would have been entered for the plaintiff; and the tenant would have been turned out of possession; the same process therefore as would have been had, provided no conditional rule had been made,

must

must now be be pursued as soon as the condition is broken; but execution will be stayed if any landlord, after the default of his tenant, applies to be made a defendant, and enters into the usual rule to confess lease, entry and ousser.

Of recovering the mesne prosits of the tenant, after the plaintiff has recovered possession by the action of ejestment. Vide post.

HE action of ejectment lies in B. R. by bill, and by

original; but in C. B. by original only.

As declarations in ejectment are generally made out by the attornies themselves, who may buy common forms of declarations in ejectment, with blanks, of the law stationers: it will be necessary to shew for what an ejectment may be maintained.

It lies of a manor, messuage, so many acres of land, of meadow, of pasture, of wood, &c. 11 Co. 55.

Of a house. Cro. Jac. 654. Noy 37. 3 Lev. 97. Palm.

337. Hard. 76.

Of a chamber in the second story of such a house. 3 Leon. 210. Noy 109. Hard. 57. Of part of an house. Stra. 695.

Of a certain place called the vestry in D. 3 Lev. 96. Of a rectory; of a chappel. Latch. 62. and may be de-

manded by the name of a messuage. Salk. 256. Styl. 101.

Of a cottage. I Lev. 58. Gra. Fl. 818. Of a stable

Of a cottage. 1 Lev. 58. Cro. El. 818. Of a stable. 1 Lev. 58.

Of a college, and of an orchard. Nov 37. Cro. El. 118. 854. 1 Rol. Rep. 55. Cro. Jac. 655. Palm. 337. Hard. 55, 57. Cro. Car. 555.

Of a garden. 1 Lev. 58. Godb. 6. Of a boilery of falt. 1 Lev. 114.

Of a coal-mine. Cro. fac. 150. Noy 121. and in Durbam, of mines of coals, though not faid how many. Affirmed in error; the precedents for coal-mines being so in that county. Carth. 227. 4 Mod. 143. Comb. 201. I Show. 364. Salk. 255.

Of land, and a coal-mine in the fame land. Cro.

Fac. 21.

For a pool, or standing water. Yelv. 143. 1 Inst. 5. Reg. 227. And for a stream, or running water. 1 Inst. 5. fed court. Yelv. 143. for it ought to be of so many acres of land covered with water.

For a beast-gate. Stra. 1084. Andr. 106.

For fo many acres of herbage. Hard. 303, 401.

For a first mowing. Cro. Car. 362.

For a hop-yard. Palm. 337.

Of a close called D. containing three acres of land. Cro. Jac. 435. Palm. 102. 4 Mod. 98.

So for a parcel of a highway, and though it be built upon, it shall be demanded as land. Bull. Ni. Pri. 99.

For twenty acres of surze and heath. Cro. Jac. 179. 1

Med ao.

For fifty acres of furze and heath, and fifty acres of moor and marsh. Burr. 4 pt. 2672.

For an alder car in Norfolk. Str. 1063.

For ten acres of wood, and ten acres of underwood. 2 Rol. Rep. 482.

For common of pasture. Stra. 71.

For the pasture of one hundred sheep. Hard. 58. Dalis

For four mills, without specifying wood or water-mills,

for ten acres of ——. Cro. El. 339.

For a piece of land called B. or a close of land called B. Cro. Jac. 435. 3 Lev. 97.

For a meffuage or burgage; for they are synonimous in 2

borough. R. Hard. 173.

For a messuage or tenement called the Black Swan. 3 Mod. 238. 1 Sid. 295. because certain enough for the sheriff to

deliver possession.

So an ejectment lies for tythes; for although tythes are effeemed part of the incorporeal inheritance, and by the common law were only of ecclesiastical conusance, yet, being in the hands of lay-proprietors, are now considered as a temporal estate; for, by 32 H. 8. c. 7. it is provided, that every * lay-person having any estate of inheritance, freehold, right, term, or interest, in tythes; and being thereof disserted, ousled, wronged, or otherwise kept from the same, shall have his remedy, in the courts of law, for them, in like manner as for lands; and hence it is, that an ejectment lies for tythes. Vide Cro. Car. 301. Jones 321. Ld. Raym. 789.

An ejectment lies for a rectory, because a rectory consists

of a church, glebe lands and tythes. Latch. 62.

It was formerly held, that ejectment did not lie for a chapel, because it was res sacra, which was not demiseable; but now, since they are become lay-inheritances, they are recoverable in ejectment, as other lay-estates; but it must be demanded by the name of a messuage, or it is not formal. II Co. 25. Style 101. Doct. Plac. 191. Salk. 256. pl. 7.

^{*} This remedy by the statute is given only to lay impropriators; therefore the act of parliament leaves spiritual persons to pursue their old remedy in the spiritual court. Co. Lit. 159. Dyer 116. pl. 71.

But see 2 Stra. 914. 2 Barnard, K. B. 27. which seems contra.

Ejectment lies for a prebendal stall after collation to it. I Wils. 14.

But an ejectment does not lie for a tenement. 2 Stra. 834

Barnard, K. B. 155. being too indefinite a term.

Nor for pannage, because this is no more than the fruit which falls from trees, which the swine have a right to feed on, and is not a part of the soil, as the herbage is. Lev. 212. Sid. 416. S. C. adjudged.

Nor for a rent or common appendant. Cro. Car. 292.

Cro. Jac. 146. 1 Inft. 9. a. sed vide Stra. 71.

Nor of a fishery in such a river. Gro. Car. 492. Cro. Fac. 146.

Nor of a croft. Style 30. But see 1 Lev. 58.

Nor of a kitchen. Noy 409. Nor of a close without specifying it. Godb. 53. 11 R. 55. Bridg. 56. 1 Roll. Rep. 55.

Nor of arable or pasture land, without shewing how much of one, or how much of the other. Bridg. 56. Hard. 133. Palm. 102. 3 Lev. 97. Salk. 254.

Nor for a rod of land. Cro. El. 339.

Nor of the fourth part of a meadow, without shewing

how many acres the meadow contains. I Lev. 213.

An ejectment for a messuage or tenement, without other description, bad for incertainty. Cro. El. 186. 3 Leon. 228. Pop. 197, 203. Noy 86. Cro. Jac. 125. Style 364. 1 Sid. 295. Cro. El. 116. March 96. 2 Rol. Abr. 80.

So an ejectment for 100 acres of waste, or for an hundred acres of mountain, is bad for incertainty. Pain. 100.

Hard. 57. Salk. 255. 1 Show. 338.

In ejectment for an entirety, a moiety may be recovered. Ejectment for five closes of land, arable and passure, called long furlongs, containing ten acres, held ill; for the plaintiff ought to have shewn how many acres of arable land, and how many acres of passure, distinctly, so as the sheriff might certainly know what to deliver upon the habere facias possessionem. Carth. 204. Cro. Car. 573, 471. Hard. 59. Salk. 254. I Show. 338. 4 Mod. 42, 97. Comb. 198.

Ejectment for a house, ten acres of land, and twenty acres of meadow by the name of a house, and ten acres of n.c.adow.

meadow. Verdict for plaintiff, but judgment arrested for repugnancy and incertainty. Yelv. 166. 4 Nov 143.

Ejectment for a manor should describe the quantity and species of land contained therein. Hetl. 146. Latch. 61.

Lit. Rep. 301.

So an ejectment for all and all manner of tythes in D. without faying or giving any other description of the nature and quality of the tythes, held naught. 11 Rep. 25. Moor 8,7 pl. 1130. 1 Roll. Rep. 68. Palm. 101. Andr. 107.

Ejectment does not lie where no certainty appears,

whereof the sheriff can deliver possession. Mar. 96.

which the sheriff can deliver possession, as ejectment for a parcel of land called B. or a close called B. Cro. Jac. 435. 2 Lev. 97. or a tenement called the Black Swan, &c.

An ejectment does not lie for a rent, or other things that lie merely in grant, because these, being incorporeal things, are in their nature invisible, quæ neque tangi nec videri possunt; and therefore not in their nature capable of being delivered in execution. Co. Lit. 9, a.

Of the Demise.

HE declaration in ejectment must shew a good demise.

And if it is of tythes, it ought to fay, that the lessor de-

mised by deed. Cro. Jac. 613.

Also the lessor of the plaintiss must have a right of entry when this action is brought. And by the statute of limitations, 21 Jac. 1. c. 16. none shall make an entry into lands, but within twenty years after their right or title which shall sirst descend or accrue to them; but this act shath the usual savings for infants, seme coverts, &c.

The demise in the declaration must be laid after the title accrues, otherwise the plaintiff will be nonsuited; and the plaintiff must lay the commencement of his supposed lease to have been precedent to the ejectment by the defendant.

I Sid. 8.

If there be several lessors, and it is laid in the declaration that they demised, you must shew such a title in them, that they could demise the whole. Cro. 7ac. 166.

In ejectment, on the demise of an heir by descent, the demise was laid on the day his ancestor died, and held to be

well enough. 3 Wilf. 274.

If lessors of plaintiff are tenants in common, there ought to be a different count on the demise of each tenant in common; or they may join in a lease [and if there are many it is the better way] to a third person; and that lessee make a lease to try the title. For tenants in common cannot make a joint lease. 2 Wils. 232.

So if there are feveral coheirs, each must make a lease. But joint-tenants are seized per my et per tout; and therefore

each may be faid to demife the whole.

So of coparceners, for they stand on the same soundation.

Vide Bull, Ni. Pri. 107.

Where a corporation aggregate is leffor of the plaintiff, they must give a letter of attorney to some person to enter and seal a lease on the land; and therefore the plaintiff ought in such case to declare upon a demise by deed; for they cannot enter and demise upon the land as natural persons can, though this will be aided after verdict. Carth. 390.

be good, without mentioning that it was by deed. La Raym.

1 36.

If trustees of a charity want to bring ejettment, the trustees, at the time of bringing the ejectment, should be the lessors

Of the Demise.

of the plaintiff; but besides the count on their demise, there should be another on the demise of the lessors (trustees) in the lease; another on the demise of all the then trustees, if more than were the lessors in the lease; and another on the demise of the receiver of the charity.

If the lessor of the plaintiff be an infant, the demise should be stated to be by deed, and also rendering rent; though there is no occasion in such case for a real lease.

So upon the demise of a master and sellows of a college, dean and chapter of a cathedral, master or guardian of an hospital, parson, vicar, or other ecclesiastical person, of any lands, &c. the declaration should state, that there was a rent reserved, &c. pursuant to the stat. 13 El. c. 10. R. Sav. 129.

The form of a declaration in ejectment on a fingle demise

by bill in B. R. is as follows:

Hilary term, in the 20th year of king George the third.

Stormont and Way.

Middlesex, to wit. John Den complains of Richard Fen being in the custody of the marshal of the Marshalfea of our fovereign lord the king, before the king himself. For that whereas William Smith, esquire, on the fifth day of January, in the nineteenth year of the reign of our forereign lord George, the now king of Great Britain, &c. at. Westminster, in the county of Middlesex, had demiled, granted, and to farm let to the faid John Den, four 'messuages, four barns, four stables, fifty acres of land, fifty acres of arable land, fifty acres of pasture land, twenty acres of wood, and twenty acres of underwood | so describe the parcels according to the case] with the appurtenances, fituate, lying and being in the parish of Saint Mary, Islington, [the vill or town where the premisses lie] in the county aforefaid, to have and to hold the faid premisses, with the appurtenances, from the faid fifth day of January, in the year aforesaid, for and unto the full end and term of five years thence next ensuing, and fully to be compleat and ended; by virtue of which faid demise, he the said John Den entered into the said premisses, with the appurtenances,

Of the Declaration in Ejectment.

purtenances, and was possessed thereof, until the said Richard Fen afterwards, to wit, on the tenth day of January, in the nineteenth year aforesaid, with force and arms, &c. entered on the premisses aforesaid, with the appurtenances, in the possessed, drove out, and amoved the said John Den from his said farm; his said term therein not being yet ended: and him the said John Den, so ejected, drove out and amoved, hath kept out, and still doth keep from his possessed, and other injuries to him then and there did against the peace of our said lord the king, and to the damage of the said John Den of ten pounds, and therefore he brings suit, &c.

A. B. attorney for the plaintiff.

for the defendant.

Then subscribe the notice, the form of which see hereafter.

The form of a declaration on a fingle demise by original is as follows:

Hilary Term, in the 20th year of the reign of king George the

Middlesex. Richard Fen, late of the parish of St. Mary, Islington, yeoman, was attached to answer John Den of a plea, wherefore with force and arms he entered into four messuages, four barns, &c. with the appurtenances, in the parish of St. Mary, Islington, which William Smith, esquire, demised to the said John Den for a term which is not yet expired, and ejected him from his said farm, and other wrongs to him did, to the great damage of the said John, and against the peace of our said sovereign lord the king. And whereupon the said John Den, by William Lyon, his attorney, complains, that whereas the said William Smith, on the first day of March, in the nineteenth year of the reign of

Of the Declaration in Ejectment.

of our present sovereign lord the king, at Westminster, in the said county of Middlesex, had demised to the said John Den the said tenements, with the appurtenances, to have and to hold to the faid John Den, and his affigns, the aforesaid tenements, with the appurtenances, from the faid first day of March, in the year aforesaid, unto the full end and term of five years thence next enfuing, and fully to be compleat and ended: by virtue of which said demise, the said John Den entered into the faid tenements, with the appurtenances, and was possessed thereof; and the said John Den. being so possessed thereof, he the said Richard Fen afterwards, to wit, on the second day of March, in the year aforesaid, with force and arms, &c. entered into and upon the faid tenements, with the appurtenances, which the faid William Smith had demited to the said John Den as aforefaid, for the term aforefaid, which is not yet ex pired, and ejected the faid John Den from his faid farm, and other wrongs to him then and there did, to the great damage of the faid John Den, and against the peace of our faid sovereign lord the king; whereupon the faid John Den faith, that he is injured, and hath damage to the value of 20 1. and therefore he brings suit, &c.

For other forms of declarations, fee the various books of entries.

The notice to be written under the declaration is in the following form:

To Mr. John Bull.

I am informed, that you are in possession, or claim title to the premisses, in this declaration of ejectment mentioned, or to some part thereof; and I being sued in this action as a casual ejector, and having no claim or title to the same premisses, do advise you to appear on the first day of next Easter term, in his majesty's court of King's Bench [or Common Pleas, as the case is] at Westminster, by some attorney of that court; and then and there, by rule of the same court, to cause yourself to be made desertant in my stead, otherwise I shall suffer judgment to be entered

Of the Declaration in Ejectment.

entered against me, and you will be turned out of possession.

Your loving friend,

The 1st day of February, 1780.

Richard Fen.

The notice in ejectment was to appear on the efficien-day of the term, and held ill; for it should be to appear the first day in full term, which is the first day in term. Stra. 1049.

B. R.

C. B.
The fame in this court.

The notice may be either

for the beginning of the

If the premises lie in London or Middlesex, make the notice to appear on the first day of the next term to that of which the declaration is entitled; otherwise, if made generally, the tenant will have the whole of the next term to appear in.

But if the premisses lie in any other county, the notice should be to appear the next

next term, or the next term generally.

As many copies of the declaration must be made out on treble penny stamped paper, as there are tenants in possession of the premisses which the plaintiff claims; and each declaration must be personally served on the tenant, or his wise, before the essign-day of the term wherein he is to appear, otherwise the plaintiff cannot have judgment till the term following. And the notice must be read over on the delivery, and fully explained.

A Copy of the declaration, and notice thereon, must be delivered personally to the tenant or his wife, to whom, at the time of delivery, the notice should be read over, or an account given of the contents and meaning thereof.

And a delivery to his son, daughter, or servant, he being abroad, or out of the way, is not a good delivery, unless it evidently appears to the court, that such declaration and notice came to his hands before the essential of the term; and that on receipt thereof he very well understood the contents and meaning of the notice; and in such case it has

been held a good delivery. Salk. 225. pl. 5.

The tenant in possession acknowledged the receipt of a declaration in ejectment on a Sunday, which, before the essign-day, had been delivered to his daughter, and she made acquainted of the contents. 2 Barnes 148. But q. for a declaration in ejectment is in the nature of process, and no process shall be served on a Sunday, by the stat. 29 Car. 2. c. 7. s. 6. Though the delivery of a declaration, in another action, on a Sunday, had been held good; but that is not process.

Upon affidavit that they had tendered a declaration in ejectment, and that the fervants refused to call their master, or receive it, saying, they had orders to take no papers; it was ordered, that leaving it at the house should be suf-

ficient. Stra. 575.

The declaration was tendered to the tenant in possession, who refused it; whereupon it was left on the floor in his presence; and he entering into a parlour, and shutting the door, the person who so tendered and left the declaration, read the notice aloud, so that the tenant might hear it; and this was held good service.

The tenant fecreted himself in the house, so that he could not be personally served; whereupon, on motion for a rule to shew cause why service of it on the servant should not be good, the court ordered the rule to be served in that

manner.

The wife of the tenant in possession, on a person's knocking at the door of the house in order to serve the declaration, opened a wicket in the door, and looked through it, and was then acquainted with the contents of the declaration, and the English subscription was read to her; and immediately after, and before the declaration could be ten-

dered

dered to her, she shut the wicket; whereupon the declaration was fixed upon the door, as by affidavit appeared; and it was sworn, that the tenant in possession afterwards acknowledged the receipt of the declaration on the day it was tendered to his wife and fixed upon the door; the service was held insufficient, because the tenant's acknowledgment that he received the declaration is not enough. An actual delivery, or tender, and refusal, ought either to be proved

or confessed. Barnes 171.

On motion for judgment, upon an affidavit, that tenant in possession refused to accept the declaration when tendered to him; that he was acquainted with the contents; and that he brought a gun, and swore he would shoot the person who tendered the declaration, if he did not get off his land; whereupon the declaration was laid down on the ground in the presence of desendant and his man, whom desendant ordered not to take it up. The court were of opinion, that these circumstances amounted to good service, and made a rule for judgment. Per cur. It is the same thing as a continual claim, where the party comes as near the land as he can to make his claim, for fear of his life. Barnes 174.

The affidavit of service of declaration was, that deponent did serve the wives of A, and B, who, or one of them, are tenants in possession, \mathcal{E}_c , the court resused to make a rule

for judgment; the affidavit is defective. Ibid.

On motion for judgment against the casual ejector, upon an affidavit, that the declaration was tendered to the wise of the tenant in possession, who resused to open the door of the house, but looked out of a parlour window, and was acquainted with the contents; and the subscription was read to her, after which she resusing to accept the declaration, it was put in at the window to her. The service was held sufficient. Barnes 178.

The declaration was tendered to the wife of the tenant in possession, upon the premisses; she was acquainted with the contents thereof, and of the subscription, through a window, which she resused to open or receive the ucclaration; and thereupon the declaration was lest upon the outside ledge of the window. The person who tendered the declaration swore, that he heard the woman's voice districtly through the window, and was well assured so heard what he said, by the answers she gave him; the service was held

lufficien .

fufficient, and the common rule for judgment was made. Barnes 180.

A declaration in ejectment served on the church-wardens and overfeers of a parish, who rented a house for harbouring fome of the parish poor, and did not otherwise occupy the house than by placing the poor in it, deemed sufficient service, and a rule made for judgment. Barnes 181.

The declaration was left with the father of the tenant in possession, with the usual subscription, and he was acquainted with the contents; after which, and before the essoign-day, the tenant acknowledged the receipt of it.

Held sufficient. Barnes 176.

Affidavit of service of declaration on the wife of tenant in possession, as she informed deponent, and as he verily believes; held sufficient. Barnes 194.

The tenant fecreting himfelf, fo that he could not be ferved, the declaration was delivered to the daughter, who kept the house, and she made acquainted with the contents. A rule was made for the tenant to shew cause, why fuch former fervice should not be deemed good. The

rule to be ferved on the daughter at the house.

On affidavit, that one of the tenants is a lunatic, and that one C. lives with her, transacts her business, and has the fole conduct thereof, and of her person, but would not permit the deponent to have access to her, in order to serve her with the declaration; whereupon, he delivered it to tne said C. and a rule was made for the lunatic and C. both to shew cause, why such service should not be good, and fervice of the rule on the faid C. to be good.

On affidavit, that the tenant absconded to avoid being ferved, and that she came into the possession surreptitiously, and of fervice of the declaration on her fon, who is her fervant, manages her affairs, and lives in her family, a rule was made to shew cause, why such service should not be good, and leaving a copy of the rule at her house to be good fer-

vice of the rule.

The tenant in possession absconded, and on affidavit thereof, the court ordered that service of the declaration upon his niece, the only manager of the house, and resident in it, and fixing up another copy on the premiles, should be good; and made a rule to shew cause, why judgment should not be entered up against the casual ejector. And ordered that fervice of this rule, on any person in the house,

and if no person there, then fixing the same on the door,

should be good service thereof. Burr. 4 pt. 1116.

The tenant was personated at the time of service, by another who accepted the service in the name of the tenant; and the court made a rule to shew cause, why it should not be deemed good service upon the tenant himself; and why judgment should not be signed against the casual ejector, in default of his appearing; and that leaving a copy of this rule at his house, with some person there, or if no one to be met with, assixing it on the door, should be good service thereof. Which rule was made absolute on a proper affidavit. Burr. 4 pt. 1181.

When the tenant is served with the declaration, a copy of the declaration must be made out on stamps, to annex to an affidavit of service of the declaration on the tenant, in order to move for judgment on default of the tenant's appearance. Which affidavit must be to the following

effect:

In the King's Bench.

{ John Den, on the demise of William Smith, plaintiff, against Richard Fen, defendant.

A. B. of, &c. gentleman, maketh oath, that he this deponent, did, on the day of past, deliver to Mr. John Bull, the tenant, in posfession of the premises in the declaration hereunto annexed mentioned, or of fome part thereof, a true copy of the faid declaration, and of the notice thereunder written; and did at the same time inform him the said John Bull, that it was a declaration in ejectment; and that unless he appeared by some attorney in this court, on the first day of this present Easter term, and cause himself, by rule of court, to be made defendant, in the room of the casual ejector, Richard Fen, judgment would be entered against the casual ejector by default; and that he the said John Bull would thereupon be turned out of posfession; or words to that effect.

Sworn, &c. A. B.

This affidavit must be positive, that the tenant is tenant in possession.

Of moving for Judgment against the casual Ejector.

N the following affidavit, and default of the tenant's appearance, you move for judgment against the casual ejector; which affidavit is delivered over to the clerk of the rules in B. R. or secondary in C. B. when the motion is made to be filed; and then you draw up the rule, with the clerk

of the rules in B. R. or secondary in C. B.

If the premisses lie in London or Middlesex, and the notice be to appear the first day of the next term, move for this rule the beginning of the term, and then the tenant has four days inclusive next after the motion to appear in *; but if the motion is made late in term, the court will not allow him more than one or two days; and will fometimes order the tenant to appear immediately, so that the plaintiff may be able to give notice of trial within the term. But if the motion is not made before the last four days of the term, the tenant will then have, by the rule, until two days before the essoin day of the subsequent term, to appear. But if the notice be to appear generally, then the tenant hath the whole term to appear in. And if the tenements lie in any other county than London or Middlefex, though the declaration be delivered before the essoin day of Easter or Michaelmas terms, yet the tenant has till four days before the next issuable term, i. e. either Trinity or Hilary, to appear in C. B. till within four days exclusive, after the next issuable term. And if the premises are in one of the northern counties, or where the affizes are held but once a year, the tenant has till four days next after the end of the term, preceding the affizes, to appear.

The rule for judgment against the casual ejector, is drawn out in the following manner, in the respective courts:

^{*} But in C. B. in such case, by Reg. 32 Car. 2. "The plaintiff shall take nothing by his motion for judgment, against the casual ejector, for default of appearance, unless the motion be made within one week next after the first day of every Michaelmas term, and every Easter term; and within four days after the first day of every History and Trinity term.

Of moving for Judgment against the casual Ejector.

The rule for judgment against the casual ejector is drawn out in the following manner in the respective courts,

In B. R.

Saturday next, after eight days of the purification of the bleffed virgin Mary, in the twentieth year of the reign of king George the third,

Den on the demise of Smith, esq. against Fen. Bull, the tenant in possession of the premises in question, shall appear and plead to issue on Thursday next, after the end of the term, let judgment be entered for the plaintiss, against the now defendant Fen, by default. And in the mean time proceedings to stay, upon the motion of Mr. Bond.

Let the rule be entered

By the court.

In C. B.

Hilary, the twentieth of king George the third.

Den against Feen, the ca-fual ejector. Twelfth day of the affidavit of John Thomas, gent. it is ordered, That unless John Bull, tenant in possession of the tenements in question, or any other person concerned in the title thereof, on Saturday next shall appear by an attorney of this court, who shall then forthwith receive a declaration, and plead thereto the general issue, and confent to the common rule for confessing lease, entry, and ouster, upon the trial to be had. Let judgment against the casual ejector be entered, and in the mean time proceedings are to stay upon the motion of Mr. Serjeant Walker.

By the court

Fothergill.

By Reg. Hil. 2 Geo. 2. in C. B. No declaration in ejectment shall be taken or received by the fecondary, unless signed by some serjeant at law, and delivered by himself to the secondary in open court.

And by the same rule, the secondary shall, the morning next after the end of every term, and at all other times when required, shew to any other person, who shall demand the same, his alphabetical paper of ejectments, moved or delivered into court in each term.

BY the 11 Geo. 2. c. 19. f. 12. Tenants are obliged to give notice to their landlords, of a declaration in ejectment being delivered, under pain of forfeiting three years improved or rack rent of the premises so held and enjoyed by the tenant.

And as the tenant in possession could not be compelled to appear and enter into the common rule, to become defendant instead of the casual ejector; so neither could the landlord alone, without joining with the tenant, enter into fuch rule, and be made fole defendant. But to remedy this inconvenience, by fect. 13. of the same statute, it is enacted, "That it may be lawful for the court where fuch ejectment shall be brought, to suffer the landlord to make himself defendant, by joining with the tenant, in case he should appear; but in case such tenant shall neglect or refuse to appear, judgment shall be signed against the casual ejector, for want of such appearance: but if the landlord, &c. of any part of the lands, &c for which such ejectment was brought, shall delire to appear by himself, and confent to enter into the like rule, that by the course of the court, the tenant in possession, in case he or she had appeared, ought to have done; then the court, where fuch ejectment shall be brought, shall and may permit fuch landlord fo to do; and order a stay of execution upon such judgment, against the casual ejector, until they shall make further order therein."

Note: Under this act, no one but a landlord, can be made a defendant, Bull. Ni. Pri. 95. that is, a person who is in some degree of possession, as in receiving rent, Sc. Suppl.

to Barnes 29.

Therefore, where a man devised his estate to J. S. and the heir brought an ejectment against the tenant in possession, the court, on motion, held, that J. S. could not be made a defendant. Roe ex dem. Leake v. Doe. Mich. 129 Geo. 2. C. B. In like manner a mortgagee, who had never received the rents, was resused to be admitted a defendant with the tenant. Jones ex dem. Woodward v. Williams. Tr. 15 Geo. 2.

But a lord claiming by escheat, was admitted to defend.

Burr. 4 pt. 1296.

When the rule to plead is out, and no plea and rule left by the tenant, for which you must fearch all the judges books in B. R. if the ejectment is by bill; and if by original, the filazer's office; or if in G. B. the prothonotary's M 2 plea-

plea-book; you ingross your declaration on a double half-crown stamp; and on the roll draw up the rule against the casual ejector, for judgment, and then carry the same to the clerk of the judgments in B. R. who, on producing the rule, will sign the judgment, for which you pay 3 s. 6 d. or if in C. B. it must be carried to the prothonotary, who will sign the judgment; and then you enter up the judgment by nil dicit on the roll, and then take, out an habere faciate possessing.

Note: If judgment is figned against the casual ejector, without the tenant of the premises coming in to defend, execution cannot be taken out to turn the tenant out of possession, without leave of the court on motion; on which

a rule to shew cause will be granted.

An attorney cannot appear for the tenant in possession in

ejectment, by order of the landlord. Barnes 39.

But if the tenant has refused to appear, and the landlord, according to the 11 Geo. 2. c. 19. would wish to defend, he must apply to the court for that purpose, on an affidavit of the tenant's refusal; and a copy of the rule for that purpose, when obtained of the clerk of the rules in B. R. or secondary in C. B. must be annexed to the plea and consent rule.

The affidavit of the tenant's refusing to defend an ejectment, in order to have the landlord admitted defendant, is as follows:

In the King's Bench.

Between Spoken Den on the demise of William Smith, plaintiff, and Richard Fen, defendant.

A. B. of, &c. maketh oath, that he this deponent, day of did, on the last, by the direction of Thomas Hodgson, esquire, landlord of the premises in question, in this cause apply to John Bull, tenant in possession of the same premises, to know whether he the faid John Bull would appear and become defendant in this cause; or would permit the faid Thomas Hodgson to defend his title to the faid premises, in the name of the faid John Bull; and this deponent, at the same time, shewed and offered to deliver to the faid John Bull, a note, figned by the faid Thomas Hodgson, whereby the faid Thomas Hodgson promifed to defend and keep the faid

faid John Bull of, from, and against all costs and charges in this cause; and the said John Bull told this deponent, that he would not appear and become defendant in this cause, or any way concern himself therein.

Sworn, &c.

A. B.

Upon this affidavit, the landlord may appear and defend in like manner as the tenant might have done; and the method of appearing is as follows:

If the tenant or landlerd appears, his attorney gets a blank consent rule from a stationer, unstamped, or from the fecondary in C. B. then fills it up, making the tenant or landlord defendant, instead of the casual ejector, entitling the cause in the margin, and inserting the premises, as described in the declaration, or such part thereof as the party would wish to defend; then the attorney for the defendant figns his name at the bottom, leaving a blank space for the plaintiff's attorney to do the like, [for this is rather an agreement between the parties, than the rule itself, which is drawn out by the officer | and engroffes the general iffue on ftamped paper, and afterwards annexes the fame to-the rule; [and if there is a rule to admit the landlord defendant, the tenant having refused, annex that also then file common bail, if by bill in B. R. with the clerk of the common bails; and if by original in B. R. enter the appearance with the filazer, who will mark it; or if in C. B. enter appearance with the proper filazer, who will stamp the rule; which being done there, if the proceedings are in B. R. you carry and leave this rule, &c. at any of the judges chambers; or if in C. B. you carry and leave the same with the prothonotary.

The form of the consent rule in B. R. is as follows:

Michaelmas term, in the twentieth year of king George the third.

of Middlesex.

Middle sex. Den, on the It is ordered, by consent of the demise of Smith, of sour attornies of both parties, that messuages, four barns, four stables, fifty acres of lord, as the case is be made deland, fifty acres of ara- fendant, in the stead of the now ble, fifty acres of pasture, defendant Richard Fen, and do twenty acres of wood, appear forthwith at the suit of and twenty acres of un- the plaintiff, and file common bail, derwood, with the appurtenances, fituate in the parish of St. Mary, in an action of trespass and eject-Islington, in the county ment, for the premises in question in this cause, and forthwith J plead thereto, not guilty; and

upon the trial of the iffue, confess lease, entry, and ouster, and infift upon the title only; otherwife, let judgment be entered for the plaintiff, against the now defendant Richard Fen, by default; and if, upon the trial of the issue, the said John Bull, shall not confess lease, entry, and ouster, whereby the plaintiff shall not be able further to prosecute bis bill [or if by original, his writ] against the said John Bull, then no costs shall be allowed for not prosecuting the fame; but the faid John Bull shall pay costs to the plaintiff in that case, to be taxed. And it is further ordered, that if upon the trial of the faid iffue, a verdict should be given for the faid John Bull, or it shall happen, that the plaintiff shall not further prosecute his faid bill [or writ], for any other cause than for not confessing lease, entry, and ouster, then the lessor of the plaintiff, shall pay to the said John Bull his costs in that behalf to be adjudged

By the court.

O. P. for the lessor of the plaintiff. I. M. for the defendant.

The form of the confent rule in C. B. is as follows:

Michaelmas term, in the twentieth year of king George the third.

of William Smith.

Middlesex, to wit. Den It is ordered, by confent, O. P. against Fen, for four mes- attorney for the plaintiff, and suages, four barns, four I.M. attorney for John Bull, who flables, &c. with the ap- claims title to the premises in purtenances, in the pa-rish of St. Mary, Isling-ton, in the county of Middlesex, on the demise mediately appear by his said attorney, who shall receive a de-J claration, and plead thereto the

general iffue this term; and at the trial to be had thereon, shall appear in his proper person, or by his counfel or attorney, and confess the lease, entry, and ouster, of fo much of the tenements specified in the plaintiff's declaration, as are in the possession of the said defendant, or his tenants, or any persons claiming by or under his title; or that in default thereof, judgment shall be thereupon entered against the defendant Richard Fen, the casual ejector; but proceedings shall be stayed against him, until default shall be made in any of the premisses: And by the like confent, it is further ordered, that if, by reason of any fuch default, the plaintiff shall happen no be nonsuited upon the trial, the said John Bull shall take notice thereof, but shall thereupon pay to the plaintiff, costs to be taxed by the prothonotary. And it is further ordered, that the leffor of the plaintiff shall be liable to the payment of costs to the faid John Bull, by the court here to be in any manner allowed or adjudged

By the court.

O. P. attorney for the plaintiff. I. M for the defendant.

If the defendant enters into the common rule, to confess lease, entry, and ousler, for a part of the premises only, his attorney should give notice to the plaintiff's attorney, of what premises he means to defend in this manner:

In the King's Bench. $\begin{cases} Den, & \text{on the demise of } Smith \\ Fen. \end{cases}$

Sir,

Take notice, that I defend for a messuage or tenement, a garden and stable, [specifying the particular premises], situate in the parish of St. Mary, Islington, now in the possession of the said John Bull, or his under-tenant.

Dated this

day of

1780.

Yours, &c.

I, M. defendant's attorney,

To Mr. O. P. plaintiff's attorney.

A tenant is not obliged to appear in ejectment, though the landlord is ready to indemnify him. Barnes 173.

In C. B. it was moved, that the landlords, viz. A. B. and C. might be made defendants without the tenant in possession, who refused to appear. But the motion was denied, and the common rule was made to add the landlords to the tenants in possession. Barnes 172:

Motion, that Mr. P who claimed title, might be made defendant, instead of the late tenant, who quitted possession,

denied. Barnes 175.

Motion, on affidavit that the tenant in possession was a material witness for the landlord, that therefore the landlord might be made a defendant, in the room of the tenant in possession. Objected, that it was never done, and it would not make him a witness when done. And per cur. He is liable for the mesne profits. The declaration is regularly delivered to the tenant in possession. It was never done in this court. Bourne v. Turner. Stra. 632.

On motion for the landlord, to defend upon the statute of 11 Geo. 2. the court objected, that this motion could not properly be made, till after judgment figned against the casual ejector; and that an affidavit ought to be produced of the tenant's refusal, or neglect to appear. To which it was answered, that after judgment figned against the casual ejector, the plaintiff might take possession. But the court held the affidavit to be necessary, and made no rule, declaring, that the intent of figning judgment against the casual ejector was only, that the plaintiff, after having tried his cause against the landlord, [the tenant not being a party] might have the benefit of his verdict, and take possesfion under the judgment, which under such verdict he could not. It feems reasonable supon a proper affidavit] to grant a rule to shew cause, before judgment against the casual ejector can be signed, to prevent the ill consequences of taking possession immediately after. 179.

It was moved on the statute IT Geo. 2. that the landlord might be added defendant to C. D. one of his tenants, who appeared to defend the premises in his possession; and that as to the residue of the premises contained in the declaration, in the possession of T. M. another tenant, who resused to appear, [as per assistant] the landlord might appear and defend singly; and that the plaintiss might sign judgment against the casual ejector, as to the tenants in possession of

T. M.

T. M. but that the writ of bab. fac. p.f. be stayed till fur-

ther orders. Barnes 179.

A regular judgment had been fairly obtained against the casual ejector, the tenant having neglected to give notice to his landlord; for which reason, the landlord moved to set aside the judgment. The landlord was an infant, and therefore could not consent to any issue. The court held, that the possession ought not to be changed, where there had been no trial, nor opportunity of trying; and ordered, that the tenant in possession should pay the costs. That the regular judgment and writ of possession should be set aside, that the landlord be made defendant, and not to set up any satisfied term or trust estate; and to admit that Z. T. was seized. Burr. 4 pt. 1996.

Where the landlord is made defendant, the plaintiff must prove the landlord tenant in possession of the premises in

question. 1 Wilf. 220.

A landlord was made defendant, according to the 11 Geo. 2. c. 19. f. 13. on the tenant's non-appearance, and entering into the common rule; and thereupon a flay of execution was ordered, until the court should make further order. Burr. 4 pt. 757.

If a writ of error is brought by the landlord, it is a suf-

ficient reason against taking out execution. Ibid.

But the proper opportunity for the landlord, to make his frand against the execution, is by shewing this as cause against the plaintiff's motion for leave to take it out. *Ioid*. And if he omits this opportunity, the execution regularly issued shall not be set aside. *Ioid*.

A landlord made defendant without his tenant, may

bring error, and stay execution. Stra. 1241.

When the rule for judgment against the casual ejector is out, the plaintiff's attorney must search at the chambers of the respective judges in B. R. for the desendant's plea, [in case he has appeared] and consent rule. To which, after the judge, with whom it was lest, has signed it, and the plaintiff's attorney given a receipt for the same, he signs his name over the desendant's attorney, and then carries it to the clerk of the rules, who siles it, and draws up the consent rule from it on stamp, for which he is paid 6 s. of which rule the plaintiff's attorney makes a copy, and annexes the same to the issue, when delivered to the desendant's attorney for trial. But in C. B. when the desendant has appeared, and less the consent rule in the prothonotary's office,

office, the plaintiff's attorney reforts there for it; and having figned his name over that of the defendant's attorney, he gets two rules from it, drawn up by the fecondary on flamps, one for each party, for which he pays 7 s. and then the plaintiff's attorney makes up the iffue, and delivers a copy thereof, with notice of trial on the defendant's attor-

ney. And proceeds to trial as in other cases.

Note: If the plaintiff proceeds in ejectment by original, he does not fue out his original writ at first, but proceeds by delivering his declaration as by bill; but if a writ of error should be brought, he must sue out his original writ, which must be returned by the sheriff, and filed on the treasury; or if the tenant has not appeared, the original must be sued, returned, and filed properly; and if he has appeared, then, on suing out the original, you must insert his name, instead of the nominal desendant.

The appearance, when by original in B. R. is entered with the filazer, in like manner as it is in other suits by original; and the writs are made out returnable on a general

return day, as in other cases.

The pracipe for the curfitor, to make out the original writby, is as follows;

Middlesex, to wit. If John Den shall make you secure, &c. then put, &c. Richard Fen, late of, &c. that he be before our lord the king, on wheresoever, &c. to shew wheresoever, &c. [reciting the premises] with the appurtenances in the parish of St. Mary, Islington, in the county of Middlesex, which William Smith demised to him for a term, which is not yet expired, and ejected, &c. and other enormities, &c. against the peace, &c. and to the damage, &c.

^{——} January, 1780.

Q. P.

Y the *4 Geo. 2. c. 28. s. 2. after reciting that inconveniences often happen to landlords or lessors in cases of re-entry for non-payment of rent, by reason of the many niceties that attend the re-entries at common law, &c.

It is enacted, " That in all cases between landlord and 46 tenant, as often as it shall happen that one half year's ef rent shall be in arrear, and the landlord or lessor, to "whom the fame is due, hath right by law to re-enter for the non-payment thereof; such landlord or lessor shall 44 and may, without any formal demand or re-entry, ferve a declaration in ejectment for the recovery of the demised or in case the same cannot be legally served, or no tenant be in actual possession of the premises, then " to affix the same upon the door of any demised messuage; or in case such ejectment shall not be for the recovery of so any messuage, then upon some notorious place of the 46 lands, tenements, or hereditaments, comprized in fuch " declaration in ejectment; and fuch affixing shall be "deemed legal fervice thereof; which fervice, or affixing " fuch declaration in ejectment, shall stand in the place 46 and stead of a demand and re-entry; and, in case of " judgment against the casual ejector, or nonfuit, for not confessing leafe, entry and ouster, it shall be made appear " to the court, where the faid fuit is depending, by affida-. vit, or be proved upon the trial, in case the defendant 46 appears, that half a year's rent was due before the faid es declaration was served; and that no sufficient distress was to be found on the demised premisses countervailing " the arrears then due; and that the leffor, or leffors, in " ejectment had power to re-enter: then, and in every " fuch case, the lessor, or lessors in ejectment, shall reco-. ver judgment and execution, in the same manner as if "the rent in arrear had been legally demanded, and a re-" entry made; and in case the lessee or lessees, his, her, or their affignee or affignees, or other person or persons " claiming or deriving under the faid leafes, shall permit " and fuffer judgment to be had and recovered on fuch

^{*} This statute relates only to ejectments for non-payment of rent, where the landlord has a right to re-enter.

[&]quot; ejectment

" ejectment, and execution to be executed thereon, without " paying the rent and arrears, together with full costs, " and without filing any bill or bills for relief in equity, 46 within fix calendar months after fuch execution exe-" cuted; then, and in fuch case, such lessee, or lessees, &c. " and all others claiming and deriving under the faid leafe, " shall be barred or foreclosed from all relief or remedy in as law or equity, other than by writ of error for reversal " of fuch judgment, in case the same shall be erroneous; " and the faid landlord, or leffor, shall, from thenceforth, " hold the faid demised premisses discharged from such " lease: and if on such ejectment verdict shall pass for the " defendant, or the plaintiff shall be nonsuited therein, " except for the defendant's not confessing, &c. then, in " every such case, such defendant shall have and recover " his, her, or their full costs: Provided always, That no-44 thing herein contained shall extend to bar the right of "any mortgagee, or mortgagees, of fuch leafe, or any " part thereof, who shall not be in possession, so as such " mortgagee, or mortgagees, shall and do, within fix ca-" lendar months after such judgment obtained, and exe-" cution executed, pay all rent in arrear, and all costs and " damages sustained by such lessor, person or persons, en-"titled to the remainder or reversion as aforesaid, and per-" form all the covenants and agreements, which, on the " part and behalf of the first lessee or lessees, are and ought " to be performed."

By feet. 3. "a leffee, filing a bill in equity, shall not have an injunction, unless, within forty days after the answer of the leffor, he bring into court so much as the leffor shall in his said answer swear to be due, over and above allowances and costs, there to remain till hearing, or to be paid to the leffor, subject to the decree of the court: and in case such bill shall be filed within the time, and after execution executed, the leffor of the plaintiff shall be accountable only for so much, and no more, as he shall really make bond side of the demised premises from the time of his entering into possession; and if what shall be so made shall appear to be less than the rent reserved on the lease, then the lesses, &c. shall, before he be restored to his possession, pay to the letter the deficiency."

Sect. 4. Provided, "That if the tenant, &c. shall, at any time before the trial in such ejectment, pay, or tender to the lessor, &c. or pay into court all the rent and arrears, together with costs, then surther proceedings on the ejectment shall cease; and if the lessee, &c. shall, upon the bill filed as aforesaid, be relieved in equity, such lessee, &c. shall hold the demised premises according to the lease thereof, without any new lease to be made thereof."

Note: The courts had permitted the tenant to bring into court the arrears of rent and costs, antecedent to this act.

Salk. 597.

After judgment against the casual ejector, and before any writ of possession executed, the court made a rule to stay the proceedings, on payment of all rent due and costs, it not being pretended, that the ejectment was brought on any other title than a re-entry for non-payment of rent. Stra. 900.

Per lord Mansfield, in the case of Doe ex dem. Hitchings v. Lewis, Burr. 4 pt. 614. The true end of this act of parliament is to take off from the landlord the inconvenience of his continuing always liable to an uncertainty of possession, [from its remaining in the power of the tenant to offer him a compensation at any time, in order to found an application for relief in equity] and to limit and confine the tenant to fix calendar months after execution executed, for his doing this; or else, that the landlord should from thenceforth hold the demised premises discharged from the lease.

In moving for judgment upon a declaration in ejectment delivered, or in case of no tenant, affixed on the premisses, according to this act of 4 Geo. 2. c. 28. the courts require an affidavit, that there was half a year's rent in arrear before declaration served, that the lessor of the plaintiss had a right to re-enter, that no sufficient distress was to be found on the premises countervailing the arrears of rent then due, that the premises were untenanted, or that the tenant could not be legally served with the declaration, (as the case is) and that a copy of the declaration was affixed on the most notorious, and what part of the premises, or the court will not give a rule for judgment.

The affidavit must be to the following effect:

In the George Hunt on the demise of A. B. plaintiff, against Richard Roe desendant in ejectment.

A. B. the leffor of the plaintiff in this cause, and John Dixon of, &c. feverally make oath; and first, this deponent John Dixon, for himself, saith, that he this deponent did, on the day of instant, serve a true copy of the declaration in ejectment hereunto annexed, and the English notice thereunto written, by affixing the same copy on the fireet door or outward door of the messuage in question, mentioned in the faid declaration, and late in the possession of Philip Howe: and this deponent, A. B. faith, that half a year's rent then was and now is due, and in arrear to him this deponent, from the faid Philip Howe, before the faid declaration was ferved: and this deponent further faith, that he this deponent then was, and now is, landlord of the faid messuage, and that the faid Philip Howe then was, and now is, tenant to this deponent, and holds the faid meffuage by leafe from this deponent; and this deponent also saith, that it appears by the faid leafe, that he the faid deponent then had, and now hath power to re-enter on the faid melluage for the non-payment of the faid half year's rent; and this deponent further faith, that before the faid ejectment was served, no sufficient distress was to be found on the said meffuage, countervailing the arrears of rent then due to this deponent.

Sworn, ಆ c.

This affidavit is only necessary upon moving for judgment against the casual ejector, or after a nonsuit at the trial for the tenants not confessing lease, entry and ousser.

But if the tenant appears, and the ejectment comes to a tial, all the matters in the above affidavit must be proved

upon the trial. Per Dennison, just. in the case of Doe ex dem.

Hitchings v. Lewis, Burr. 4 pt. 614.

The late tenant, or other person, claiming title to the premisses, has the same time to appear in as is allowed to

tenants in possession.

In ejectment by a landlord, the tenant moved to stay proceedings upon payment of rent, arrear, and costs, according to sect. 4. of the above act. And, on a rule to shew cause, it was insisted for the plaintist, that the case was not within the act, but that it was brought likewise on a clause of re-entry in the lease for not repairing. And the lease was produced in court. However, the rule was made absolute, with liberty for the plaintist to proceed upon any other title. Piere ex demise Withers v. Sturdy. H. 1752.

By this statute 4 Geo. 2. where a landlord has a right of re-entry, and there is half a year's rent due and unpaid, and no sufficient distress on the premises, or the same are untenanted, there is no occasion for the landlord to make an actual entry, and seal a lease on the premises in the presence of some person, as must be done in all other cases where the premises are untenanted. The method of proceeding to recover which, by a person claiming title, is as sollows:

Of Proceeding to recover Premises untenanted,

In all cases, where there is no tenant on the premises, and the same are vacant, [except in the case of landlord and tenant, where the landlord has a right of re-entry, on half a year's rent being due and unpaid, and he proceeds according to the 4 Geo. 2. c. 28. ante] the proceedings are in the old way by sealing a lease on the premises; and then, on the motion for judgment, there must be an affidavit of the sealing of the lease, and the purport of it to be shortly set forth in the affidavit; and also in what manner the defendant got the possessing given to and taken from the lesses, (who is always made plaintist) and how the declaration was delivered to the desendant, that the court may judge of the regularity of the proceedings.

The method of proceeding is thus:

A. (the person claiming title) signs the following letter of attorney, to empower B. to execute a lease in his name of the premises in question to C. which is done upon the premises, B. and C. being only thereon; then B. after having executed the lease to C. leaves him in possession of the premises, who is turned out by D. to whom, while on the premises, E. delivers a declaration in ejectment; and then, on affidavit of the due execution of the letter of attorney, and executing the lease in the above form, you move for judgment.

The letter of attorney is to the following effect:

" KNOW all men by these presents, that I A. B. &c. have made, ordained, constituted, and in my stead and place, and by these do make, ordain, constitute, and in my stead and place put C.D. of, Gc. my true and lawful attorney, for me, and in my name to enter into and take possession of all, &c. in the tenure of, &c. and, when he hath taken possession thereof and for me, in my name, and as my deed, to feal and execute a leafe of the faid premises unto E. F. of, &c. to hold the same to him, his executors, administrators, and affigns, last past, before the date hereof. years, at the yearly rent for the term of of a pepper-corn, (if lawfully demanded) subject Vol. II.

Of Proceeding to recover Premises untenanted.

to a proviso to be void on my tendering of 6 d. to the faid E. F."

In witness, &c.

H. H. maketh oath, that he was present and did see A. B. of, &c. duly sign, seal, and deliver the letter of attorney hereunto annexed.

The leafe referred to by the above letter of attorney.

THIS INDENTURE made, &c. between A. B. of, &c. of the one part, and E. F. of, &c. of the other part, witnesseth, that the faid A. B. for and in confideration of the fum of five shillings of lawful, &c. to him in hand paid by the faid E. F. at and before the fealing and delivery of these presents, the receipt whereof the said A. B. doth hereby acknowledge, hath granted, demised, fet, and to farm let unto the faid E. F. his executors and administrators, all, &c. now or late in the tenure of, &c. to have and to hold the faid herein before mentioned and hereby demifed premifes, with all and every their appurtenances, unto the fald E. F. his executors, administrators, and affigns, from the day of past, before the date of these presents, unto the full end and term of five years from thence next enfuing, and fully to be compleat and ended, yielding and paying therefore, during the said term, unto the faid A. B. or his assigns, the rent of one yearly, (if pepper-corn, at the feast of lawfully demanded) provided always, and these presents are on this condition nevertheless, that if the faid A. B. or his affigns, shall, at any time or times hereafter, tender, or cause to be tendered, unto the faid E. F. the fum of 6 d. that then and in fuch case, and from thenceforth, this indenture, and every thing herein contained shall cease, determine, and be absolutely void; any thing herein contained to the contrary thereof in any wife notwithstanding.

The attorney is to write the name of his principal.

Sealed

Of Proceeding to recover Premises untenanted.

Sealed and delivered as the act and deed of the above named A. B. by C. D. of, &c. by virtue of a letter of attorney to him for that purpose made by the faid A. B. bearing date the day of this inflant, being first duly stamped in the presence of

The form of the affidavit required of the proceedings in case of a vacant possession, is as follows:

H. H. of, &c. maketh oath, and faith, that he this deponent, on, &c. now last past, did see C. D. of, &c. for and in the name of A. B. the lessor of the premifes in this cause, severally enter upon and take possession of part of the premises in the deed hereunto annexed mentioned, by entering into the first of the faid houses, and putting his foot on the threshold of the outer doors of two other of the said houses, the same being locked and uninhabited, so that no other entry thereon, or possession thereof, could be made or taken without force. And this deponent did then likewise see the said C. D. after such his entry into and upon the faid premises, and whilst he was in fuch possession thereof as aforesaid, at each of the faid houses, seal and deliver the lease hereunto annexed unto the plaintiff, and further faith, that after the faid leafe was fo executed, this deponent did see the plaintiff take possession of the said three houses with their appurtenances, by virtue of the faid leafe, by entering upon the threshold of the faid outer doors of the faid three houses, the same being then locked and uninhabited, and no other entry to be made therein, fave as aforefaid, and this deponent saith, that immediately afterwards, the defendant did enter each and every of the faid three houses, and turned the plaintiff out of possession thereof, by thrusting him out of the same; whereupon this deponent did then and there deliver and leave with the faid defendant, a true copy of the declaration hereunto annexed.

H. H.

Sworn, &c.

Of Proceeding to recover Premises untenanted.

The declaration must be delivered, as in other cases, before the essential day of the term, in order to entitle the plaintisf to judgment as of that term; and there needs no notice at all at the end of the declaration; for instead of notice, the plaintisf only gives one rule to plead as in common actions; and on no plea being put in within the regular time, by the rule the plaintisf is entitled to judgment.

In cases of vacant possession, no person claiming title will be let in by the courts to defend; but he that can first seal a lease on the premises must obtain possession. Bull. Ni. Pri. 96. I Barnes 122. And therefore, the person claim-

ing title must resort to his new ejectment.

IF a mortgagee of the premises, having a right of entry, wants to get possession of the premises, and the same are untenanted, the mortgagee should seal a lease, in order to nominate the plaintiss in ejectment, who is to be turned out by the nominal defendant, and then the ejectment must be delivered to the nominal defendant, to which declaration there is no need of notice as in other cases.

Such mortgagee, or lessor of plaintiff, should enter upon and take possession of the premises in question, by going upon the land; or, if a house, by putting his foot upon the threshold of the house uninhabited; and then after such entry, and whilst he so remains on the premises, seal and deliver a lease to the nominal plaintiff, and give him possession; and after such lease is executed, and nominal plaintiff taken possession, then the nominal defendant must come and put the plaintiff by, and take possession of the said premises, to whom, while he so remains in possession, another person must deliver the declaration in ejectment.

The above lease differs not from a lease for a term, [which vide ante] only at the end is inserted the following clause: "Provided always, and it is the true intent and meaning of these presents, that if I the said lessor of the said E. F. my executors, administrators, or assigns, shall at any time hereafter tender to the said plaintiss, his executors, administrators, or assigns, the sum of one shilling; then these presents, and every thing herein contained, shall be void and of no effect. In witness whereof, I the said A. B. the lessor of the plaintiss, have set my hand and seal the day and year first above written. Signed, sealed, and delivered by the said A. B. (lessor or mortgagee) to the said E. F. the tenant or lessee, close to the threshold of the door of the said messuage, in the presence of us,"

O. P. Q. R.

Instead of the notice at the foot of the declaration, there must be a rule to plead given in this case, and the parties must be all real persons.

When the rule to plead is out, judgment may be figned, and the plaintiff has no occasion to move for judgmen, as in other cases.

If a mortgagee means only to get into the receipt of the rents and profits of the estate, he need not give notice to a tenant to quit, before bringing his ejectment, though the mortgage be made subsequent to the tenant's lease. But in such case, he shall not be suffered to turn the tenant out of possession by the execution. White ex dem. Whatley v. Hawkins. Mich. 14 Geo. 3. Bull. Ni. Pri. 96. And though, in this case, the lease was only from year to year, and, with respect to the last year, might be considered as a lease subsequent to the mortgage, yet the court held it would have been the same, if the lease were for a long term.

If a man makes a mortgage for years to A. who, without the mortgagor's joining, affigns to B. who affigns to C—C. may bring ejectment against the mortgagor; for, upon executing the deed of mortgage, the mortgagor, by the covenant to enjoy till default of payment, is tenant at will, and the affignment of the mortgagee could only make him tenant at softenance. I Salk. 245.

But it has been faid, that it would be otherwise, if the mortgager were to die, and his heir enter, and then the mortgagee make an assignment without entry, or the heir of the mortgagor joining; for the entry of such heir would be tortious, and consequently, the mortgagee would be out of possession, and his assignment void. Ibid. Tamen quære.

By the 7 Geo. 2. c. 20. An act for the more easy redemption and foreclosure of mortgages, after reciting, that " mortgagees frequently bring actions of ejectment for the recovery of lands and estates to them mortgaged, and bring actions on bonds given by mortgagors to pay the money fecured by fuch mortgagees, and for performing the covenants therein contained; and likewise commence suits in equity, to forecioie their mortgagors from redeeming their estates; and the courts of law, where such ejeclments are brought, have not power to compel fuch mortgagees to accept the principal monies and interests due on such mortgages and costs, or to stay such mortgagees from proceeding to judgment and execution in such actions; but such mortgagors must have recourse to equity for that purpose, in which case likewise, the courts of Equity do not give relief until the hearing of the cause:" For remedy, &c. it is enacted, "That where any action shall be brought on any bond, for payment of money secured by such mortgage,

or performance of the covenants therein contained, or where any action of ejectment shall be brought in any of the courts at Wesiminster, great sessions, or superior courts of the counties palatine, by any mortgagee, &c. his heirs, executors, administrators, or affigns, for the recovery of the possession of any mortgaged lands, &c. and no suit shall be then depending in any of his majesty's courts of Equity, for or touching the foreclosing or redeeming of such mortgaged premifes; if the person, having right to redeem such mortgaged premises, and who shall appear and become defendant in fuch action, shall at any time, pending such action, pay unto fuch mortgagee, &c. or, in case of his refusal, shall bring into court, where such action shall be depending, all the principal monies and interest due on such mortgage, and also all such costs as have been expended in any suit at law or in equity upon fuch mortgage, [such money for principal, interest, and costs, to be ascertained and computed by the proper officer of the court] the monies fo paid, or brought into court, shall be deemed and taken to be in full fatisfaction and discharge of such mortgage; and the court shall and may discharge such mortgagor of and from the fame accordingly; and shall and may, by rule of the fame court, compel fuch mortgagee, at the costs and charges of fuch mortgagor, to affign, furrender, or reconvey fuch mortgaged premifes, and fuch an interest therein as the mortgagee hath, and deliver up all deeds, &c. in his custody, relating to the title thereof, to the mortgagor, who shall have paid or brought such monies into court, or his executors, &c. or other person as he shall appoint.",

The second section enacts, "That on bills of foreclosure brought in equity for the payment of the money, or in default thereof for the recovery of the premises, such court of equity, upon application of the desendant having a right to redeem, and upon admission of the plaintist's right, may, before hearing, make order therein, as if the cause had been having to the plaintist's right.

brought to a hearing, &c.

Provided, "that this act shall not extend to cases where the right of redemption is controverted or the money due not adjusted, nor to prejudice any subsequent mortgage."

A judge made an order, pursuant to this act, to stay the mortgagee's proceeding in ejectment, upon bringing principal, interest, and costs, into court; and a rule was made to

make the order a rule of court nist causa. But it afterwards appearing to the court, that notice had been given by the mortgages to the mortgages, that he insisted upon payment of two bonds, which were a lien upon the estate, the case was adjudged to be out of this act, and the rule nist was dis-

charged. Barnes 177.

Motion to stay proceedings in ejectment, on payment of mortgage-money and costs, pursuant to this act; on shewing cause the plaintist produced an affidavit, that the mortgagee had been at great expence in necessary repairs of part of the premises in his possession, (the ejectment was brought for the residue) and therefore prayed, that the prothonotary might be directed to make allowance for such repairs. Per cur. The rule must follow the words of the statute. The prothonotary will make just allowances and deductions. Barnes 176.

Rule on stat. 7 Geo. 2. to shew cause why proceedings should not be staid, on payment of mortgage-money and costs, was made absolute; the lessors of plaintist, assignees of the mortgagee insisted to be paid a bond, and a simple contract debt due to themselves in their own right. Per cur. A bond is no lien in equity, unless where the heir comes to redeem. Barnes 182.

In Mich. term, 20 Geo. 3. B. R. a case was reserved from the Oxford circuit, respecting a mortgagee's getting into the receipt of the rents and profits of the estate. Case was this, The plaintiff obtained a lease of the premisses from H. 1 January, 1772, for twenty years, rendering 40 l. rent, payable the 12th of May. In May 1772, H. mortgaged to the defendant G. The leffee entered at the commencement of his term, and had paid all the rent to H. except 28 l. Afterwards, in Nov 1778, H. became a bankrupt, the said 28 1. being due to him for rent from the plaintiff; and more than that due from the bankrupt to the defendant G. for interest on the mortgage. 31 December, Notice was given to the plaintiff of the bankruptcy of G. and a demand of the rent due made by the affignees; and on 13th January following, notice was also given to the plaintiff of the mortgage, and a demand made of the faid rent in arrear by the defendant G. the mortgagee; which not being paid, P. the other defendant, by the direction of G. distrained for the faid rent, and gave notice. On which the plaintiff brought trespals; 3

trespass; and the court held, that the mortgagee had the legal interest in the premisses; and that, after notice being given by him, he might distrain for the rent due, and not paid to the mortgagor before notice, to satisfy the arrears of interest due to him on the mortgage. Moss v. Gallimore and Pyott.

Of amending the Declaration in Ejectment, flaying Proceedings, confolidating Declarations, &c.

N the declaration delivered to the tenant in possession, the faid fames, instead of John, was said to enter by virtue of the demise; and the court resused to amend it, for they considered it as process: and Mr. justice Wright cited a case, Hil. 15 Geo. 2. where the premises were laid to lie in Twickenham and Isleworth, or one of them; and the court resused to let the plaintist amend by striking out the disjunctive words. Stra. 1211.

But if the declarations delivered be right, it feems, that they will be a sufficient warrant to amend the declaration

on record by. Vide 2 Ld. Raym. 896.

The term in ejectment being near expiring it was amended, without any consent, from five years to ten years. Oates v. Shepherd; Stra. 1272. But wide Salk. 257. if the term expires, pending the suit, it cannot be enlarged without consent.

But where a cause was hung up so long by agreement, on special verdict, that the term expired, the court would

not let it be enlarged. Anon.

Declaration in ejectment amended by making the verbs in the plural number, they entered, instead of he entered, &c.

Stra. 807.

On a rule to shew cause, why a declaration in ejectment should not be amended on payment of costs, by altering the time of the demise, where the plaintiff had been barred by a fine from bringing a new ejectment, the rule was made ab-

folute. Burr. 4 pt. 2446.

Ten declarations on the same demise were delivered for ten houses in Steyning in Sussex, in the occupation of ten persons; and on notion to consolidate them, and put them all in one issue, upon suggestion that the title was the same in all, the court resused it; for they said the lessor might have sued them at ten different times, and it would be obliging him to go on against all, when perhaps he might be ready in some of them only. Stra. 1149.

But in C. B. on motion to consolidate fixteen ejectments in one, after fixteen several issues joined, and though it was urged for the plaintiff that the issues were delivered and paid for a long time ago, the court held, that it was necessary for the defendants to pay for the issues to prevent judgment, and ordered the ejectments to be consolidated.

N. B. Each declaration contained a large number of messuages, and they were word for word the lane. Had

each

Of amending the Declaration in Ejectment, staying Proceedings, confolidating Declarations, &c.

each been for one messuage only, the plaintiss might have

tried them separately. Barnes 176.

Proceedings were stayed till the lessor of the plaintist should give security for the costs, [his residence being in Ireland;] although this ejectment was brought under the direction of the court of Chancery, and 40 l. security had been already given there. Burr. 4 pt. 1177.

If the leffor of the plaintiff is an infant, the court on motion will oblige him to name a good plaintiff who will be an-

swerable for costs. Stra. 694, 932. Barnes 133.

If a second ejectment is brought, the costs of the first not being paid, the court on motion will stay proceedings therein till those costs are paid. Lord Conyngsby's case. Stra. 548. Ibid. 1152. So proceedings stayed in error, and a second ejectment, the plaintist not being able to shew that the writ of error was brought with any other view than to keep off

the payment of costs. Stra. 554.

But where the plaintiff in ejectment having declared on one demise, to which not guilty was pleaded; but afterwards finding it necessary to add another demise of trustees, he delivered a new ejectment on the double demise; and on motion to flay proceedings on the last, till payment of costs, and for notice where the leffors were to be found, [grounding the motion, as to the first part, on Lord Conynsby's case; and as to the latter, on the common case of a qui tam; because here the lessor was to enter into a rule _The court granted the last part; but as to the costs, they said, it was never done but where it appeared the party was vexatious, or had run the defendant to a great expence, as was Lord Conyngsby's case, who came for a trial at bar on his new ejectment, after the former cause was ready for the bar, which was a matter of mere favour, in which they might make their own terms. Short v. King. Stra. 681.

The lessors of plaintiff delivered three ejectments in G. B. and two in B. R. for the same tenements, and made the defendants attend at five affizes, but countermanded in time to save costs; and on application to stay proceedings in the last ejectment, till costs paid of the former, on account of the vexation,—The court would not do it, inasmuch as costs were not demandable by the rules of the court. Stra.

1099.

Of making up the nift prius Record in Ejectment.

F the tenant, or landlord appears, the plaintiff having got the plea and rule, must draw up the issue, entitling it of the same term as the plea is of; then copy the consent rule, and annex the same to the issue; indorse thereon the notice for trial, and deliver the same to the desendant's attorney, charging 4 d. per sheet; and also for entering the plea and consent rule. The desendant's attorney must pay for the issue, or the plaintiss may sign judgment.

The nist prius records in ejectment are made up in the same manner as nist prius records in other actions, for which see the first volume, observing the distinction between pro-

ceedings by original and by bill.

If the plaintiff, after issue, and before the trial, enter into part, the defendant may, at the assizes, plead this as a plea puis darrein continuance, in bar to the plaintiff's action; but it is at the discretion of the justices, whether they will receive it; but if they do, it stops the trial, and the plaintiff is not to reply to it at the assizes; but the judge is to return it as parcel of the record of nist prius. Yelv. 180. Cro. Car. 261.

The plaintiff has a right to proceed, both for the posfession and the trespass; and therefore the death of the lesfor [though only tenant for life] is no abatement; but if the plaintiff, in such case, insist to go on, the court will oblige him to give security for payment of the costs, in case judgment go against him. Stra. 1056. Of the Trial in Ejectment, and of Judgment against the casual Ejector for not confessing, &c.

If on the trial the defendant will not appear, and confess lease, entry and ouster, the course is to call the defendant to confess, &c. and his attorney, if he be within the rule, and then to call the plaintiff and nonsuit him; and pray to have it indorsed on the poster, that the nonsuit was for not confessing lease, entry and ouster, and then upon the return of the poster, judgment will be given against the casual ejector, because the defendant has not complied with the terms of the rule, upon which the court admitted him to be the defendant. Afterwards, on application to the master or prothonotary, costs will be taxed upon the rule for confessing lease, entry and ouster; and if the same are demanded of the defendant, and he refuses to pay them, the court will, on motion and affidavit of such resusal, grant an attachment against him. Salk. 259.

If there be several defendants, and some of them do not appear and confess, according to the old method, a verdict was to be taken for them. And the postea was indorsed, that the verdict was for them because they did not confess; and then the plaintiff, upon the return of the postea, had judgment against the casual ejector for such lands as were in the possession of those who did not confess. Claxmore v.

Searle & al', Ld. Raym. 729.

But it is said in Salk. 456, that by a rule made 4 Ann. in B. R. In such case the plaintiff shall go on against those who will confess, and shall be nonsuited as to those who will not confess; but the cause of the nonsuit shall be expressed on the record; and then upon the return of the posses, the court being informed what lands were in the possession of those defendants, judgment shall be entered against the casual ejector as to them. Mr. Buller in his nist prius says, that he could find no such rule in the printed book. And that in the case of Ellis v. Knowles, E. 7 Geo. 2. C. B. I Barnes 118. upon the above precedent of Claxmore and Searle & al, judgment was given, on motion, against the casual ejector, as to such of the desendants as were acquitted at the trial for not confessing, as appeared by indorsement on the posses, which seems to be the right way. Vide Bull. Ni. Pri. 98.

A rule was made to shew cause, why a non pross for not confessing lease, entry and outler, should not be set aside, there

Of the Trial in Ejectment, and of Judgment against the casual Ejector for not confessing, &c.

there being a material variance between the issue and the record; the defendant therefore did not confess. Per cur. Confession would not have been a defence; defendant might have afterwards moved to set aside the verdict for the variance; the non-pross is regular; but let it be set aside on payment of costs. Barnes 175.

Of Proceeding against the Plaintiff nonsuited at the Trial, and of the Plaintiff's recovering his Costs of a Nonsuit for not confessing,

If a verdict is given for the defendant, or the plaintiff is nontuited for any other cause than for the desendant's not confessing lease, entry and ouster, the desendant must proceed to tax his costs on the postea as in other actions, and sue out, a capias ad satisfaciendum against the plaintiff; and if upon shewing the said writ under seal to the lessor of the plaintiff, and serving him with a copy of the rule by consent to confess lease, entry and ouster, the lessor of the plaintiff does not pay them, the court will grant an attachment against him.

If the plaintiff is nonfuited, he may pay the costs to

which of the defendants he pleases. Stra. 516.

The plaintiff in ejectment is a meer nominal person, and trustee for the lessor; and therefore he cannot release the action, without being guilty of a contempt; or if an action for messne prosits after recovery be brought in his name, and he releases it, he may be committed for a contempt.

So the casual ejector is only a nominal person, and has no interest in the premisses, therefore a casual ejector cannot

confess judgment. Stra. 531.

Defendant at the trial did not appear to confess, &c. a nonsuit happened; and afterwards the plaintiff's lessor, instead of taking his remedy for the costs taxed upon the common rule as he ought to have done, entered judgment against the casual ejector, sued out a fi. fa. against the defendant's goods, and levied his costs thereon, acting as special bailiss himself. An action being brought for this irregular levy in B. R. the defendant moved in C. B. to set aside the fi. fa. and the court ordered restitution to be made, and the desendant's costs to be paid by the lessor and his attorney; and by consent the action in B. R. to be discontinued without costs, and no other action brought. Barnes 182,

Of the Verdict, and Judgment in Ejectment.

A S the verdict is the ground of the judgment, it ought not to be entered for more land or different parcels than the defendant was found guilty of by the verdich; but a variance between the verdict and judgment occasioned by the misprission or default of the clerk in entering the judgment, is not fatal, but hath been amended by the court after a writ of error brought .- As where the plaintiff had judgment, "That he recover his term of a meffuage and ten acres of land, and the verdict acquitted the defendant quoad the land, [by which the judgment was larger than the verdict] and, because it appeared to be the misprission of the clerk, who had not pursued the verdict which ought to have been his guide in making up the judgment, and no mistake in point of law in giving the judgment, therefore the party ought not to fuffer for his misprisson, since the statute of 8 H. 6. c. 12. gives the judges, in affirmance of their judgment, power to amend and reform what in their discretion feems to be the misprission of clerks.

If the plaintiff has a verdict for all, the entry of the judgment is, that "the plaintiff recover his term against the defendant of and in the premisses aforesaid," and till the statute 5 & 6 W. & M. took away the capitatur fine, there used to be also independ and entire time.

be also judgment quod capiatur. Carth. 390.

But if the judgment in ejectment be entered, "that he recover possession of the term aforesaid," this is as well as if it had been, "that he recover his aforesaid term," because both signify the same thing, the possession utself being to be recovered on the habere facias possession. Law of Eject.

And hence it is, that if the term expires pending the suit, the plaintiff cannot recover the possession, because the court cannot give the plaintiff judgment for the land; when it appears upon the face of the record that his title to it is determined, yet he shall have his judgment for damages because the trespass still remained. Sav. 28. Co. Lit. 285.

If the defendant be acquitted of part, and judgment be entered quod def. sit quietus quoad, &c. that part whereof he is acquitted; this is error, because the judgment in this action is not final as in the writs of right, and the judgment in this action doth not protect the defendant from any further suit, but only quits against the title set up by the plaintiff in that action; but since it appears that the plaintiff's demand was groundless as to that part whereof the defendant was acquitted, the judgment as to that part must be set down to be quad def. eat inde sine die. The

plaintiff

Of the Verdict and Judgment in Ejectment.

plaintiff as to that having no further cause to detain him

longer in court, Cro. Eliz. 763.

If one of the defendants die after a verdict, the plaintiff shall have judgment against the survivors, on his suggesting the death of one on the roll, but then the judgment must be entered as to the person deceased, quod quer. nil capiat. Moor 469. Cro. Car. 513. 14: Jon. 401. Law Eject.

97. 8.

If an ejectment be brought against baron and seme, and the plaintist hath a verdict against both, and before judgment the husband dies, the plaintist may, on the suggestion, have judgment against the wise; not only because this is a trespass committed by the wise, and that therefore she is punishable for her own act, which is injurious to another; but because, where the wise is found guilty of the ejectment, she must have obtained that in lawful possession, either jointly with her husband, and then it survives, or else she had the whole possession in her own right; and in either case the plaintist may punish her, and recover the possession, which is wholly in her on the death of her husband, Ral. Rep. 14. Cro. Jac. 356.

It was formerly held, that if a demise was laid in the declaration for a longer term than the lessor had interest in the premises, the plaintist could not recover. Per Hale, Tr. 27 Car. 2. But upon an objection made at ni. pri. where the demise was laid for a longer term than the lessor had title; and 2 Leo. 140. Brown 133. were cited in support of it, lord Mansfield said, "there is nothing in the objection, for if the lessor have a title, though but for a week, he ought to recover; for the true question in ejectment is, who has the possessor right. Suppose a person has an interest for three years only, and should make a lease for five, it would be good for the three years. Bull. ni. pri. 106.

Of arresting the Judgment in Ejectment.

TF by any intendment a judgment in ejectment after a verdict can be made good, the court will do it. As where on error brought after judgment for plaintiff, that he recover his terms, when the declaration was on two feparate demises, by two different leffors of the very same premises. and for the very fame term; and though objected, that the judgment being to recover his terms in the plural number, was wrong, as both the leffors could not have title to the Same premises, at one and the same time, the court affirmed the judgment. And the chief justice cited a case, Trin. 4 & 5 Geo. 2. Fisher and Hughes, where, upon three demises, by several lessors of the same premises, and judgment as to two demises, was entered for the plaintiff; and as to the other, for the defendant; the objection being, that there was judgment both for the plaintiff and defendant, yet the court held the judgment right. I Wilf. 1. S. C. Stra. 1180.

So, where after judgment to recover his term, when there were two demises of different lands, and error brought, and objected, that the judgment being in the fingular number to recover his term, was wrong. Per cur. The judgment is to recover his term de et in tenementis prædict, which reddendo singula singulis is well enough, for there is but one term in each part of the premises. Stra. 835.

But where on motion in arrest of judgment, the words in the declaration being one messuage or tenement, which is too uncertain, as tenement is all a man holds, and after

judgment, the sheriff cannot tell of what to deliver possesfion, the court made a rule to stay judgment till cause shewn, and afterwards judgment was arrested. 174.

The ejectment was brought for one messuage, with the appurtenances in the parishes of A. or B. or one of them; and though after a verdict for plaintiff, judgment was ar-

rested for the uncertainty. Barnes 184.

The English notice at the foot of the declaration, was subscribed by the nominal plaintiff, instead of the casual ejector, which the court held bad, and discharged the rule for judgment. Barnes 172.
The same case in B. R. H. 2 Geo. 2. Barker v. Meri-

After verdict for plaintiff in ejectment, and motion in arrest of judgment, because the demise was laid on a day

Of arresting the Judgment in Ejectment.

not then arrived, held to be no objection. Burr. 4 pt: 1159.

The death of the plaintiff in ejectment, is no ground for

a motion to arrest the judgment. 1 Mod. 252.

In ejectment against two defendants, the declaration was. that he entered instead of they entered; and, on motion in arrest of judgment, the court at first held it to be bad, but afterwards ordered it to be amended on the authorities of Cro. Jac. 306. And plaintiff had judgment. Salk. 48.

Trespass and ejectment by original; motion in arrest of judgment upon a fault in the original [for a bad original is not helped by verdict;] but the master certifying there was no original at all, the plaintiff had judgment, though in his declaration he recited an original. 1 Mod. 3.

The plea of the landlords and tenants, who had appeared with the filazer, and entered into the common rule, was left in the prothonotary's office, entitled with the true name of the cause; but, by mistake, in the plea was inserted the name of the plaintiff's leffor (as complaining) instead of that of the nominal plaintiff; upon which the attorney, conceiving the plea to be a nullity, figned judgment against the casual ejector; which judgment, upon application to the court, was fet aside with costs. Barnes 191.

Where judgment is obtained against the casual ejector. and a trial is not lost, the courts will, on the defendant's application, his payment of costs, and entering into the common rule, to confess lease, entry, and ouster, set aside fuch judgment in ejectment, (as well as in other actions) and not put the tenant to the charge, inconvenience, and hazard of recovering back his possession, by another action.

Stra. 975.

There is no distinction between a judgment in ejectment upon a verdict, and one by default; in the former, the plaintiff's right is found, in the latter, confessed. Burr. 4 pt. 667.

A regular judgment in ejectment may be set aside in B. R.

and C. B. Stra. 975.

If the plaintiff has judgment to recover his term, he may enter without suing out an habere facias possessionem; for where the land recovered is certain, the recoveror may enter at his own peril, and the assistance of the sheriff is only to preserve the peace. 2 Sid. 156. 1 Rol. Rep. 213. Nov 71. Palm. 263.

But although, after judgment, the plaintiff is entitled to and may sue out an habere facias possessionem, yet if he neglect to sue out execution within a year after the judgment, he must bring a scire facias to revive the judgment * as in other cases, otherwise the court will award a restitution

quia erronice emanavit.

The defendant in ejectment died, and a fire facias went out against the tertenants of the land, which was demurred unto, for that the heir was not named, nor was it alledged that any strangers had intruded; but the court ruled it well, for the heir may come in as tertenant. Sid. 317. 2 Keb. 143. But for this, vide Cro. Car. 295, 312. Cro. Jac. 506. 2 Brown 145.

In ejectment, there was judgment against the testator, and a sci. fa. against the executor, without naming him terre-tenant; and it being objected, that in ejectment the defendant is supposed to be a diffeisor, and that the lands descend to his heir at law, the plaintiff took out a new

fcire facias, and amended the fault. Carth. 2.

Judgment was for two messuages, and, after the year, a scire facias upon it recited a judgment of one messuage only; to which nul tiel record being pleaded, it was moved to amend it. But denied. For there may be such a judgment; and this does not appear to be erroneous upon the face of it. 6 Mod. 310.

But if the plaintiff hath a judgment, with stay of execution for a year, he may, after the year, take out his execution without the fcire facias, because the delay is by

^{*} It feems to have been doubted, whether a fci. fa. lay to revive a judgment in ejectment after the year, because by the common law it lay only in real actions; and at the time of Westm. 2. c. 45. which extends it to personal actions, the term or possession was not recovered in the action of ejectment; but it seems now agreed, that a scire facias lies to revive the judgment in the action after the year, as well as in others. Sid. 351. I Salk. 258. Ld. Ray. 806. Comb. 250. 2 Keb. 307. Skin. 427.

consent of parties, and in favour of the defendant; and the indulgence of the plaintiff shall not turn to his prejudice, nor ought the defendant to be allowed any advantage of it, when it appears to be done for his advantage, and at his instance. Rol. Rep. 194. Salk. 258. 6 Mod. 288. Barnes 132. 2 Barnes 165, 166, 172. Stra. 300.

But it feems this delay of execution, being only the compromife or agreement of the parties, is never entered on the roll; and therefore, after the year, the plaintiff ought to move the court for a *scire facias*, least the execution should be suspended *quia erronice* after the year, without the scire facias. Keb. 785. 6 Mod. 288. and the above au-

thorities.

So if the defendant brings error after the year, after judgment given, and afterwards becomes nonfuit, the defendant in error may sue out execution without a scire facias.

Ld. Raym. 807. Cro. El. 416. 5 Co. 88.

But if there is an injunction out of Chancery, he cannot take out execution after the year, without a scire facias, because the courts of law do not take notice of Chancery injunctions, as they do of writs of error; for the latter is a judicial proceeding, appearing to them upon record; whereas, an injunction is not a matter of record, so as the court can take notice of it. Stra. 301.

But in the case of an injunction, the party may take out his execution within the year, and continue it down by vic. non mist breve, and it will be no breach of the injunction, which is only to prevent an actual execution. Salk.

322. pl. 9. 6 Mod. 388.

The plaintiff may enter, pending a writ of error, upon a judgment in ejectment, if he finds the possession empty; for the writ of error binds the court, but not the party. But then he must take care that he do not enter with force.

Badger v. Lloyd. Holt 199. Ld. Raym. 808.

After verdict for plaintiff, motion for leave to take out execution against the casual ejector, non obstante a writ of error brought by the defendant. Rule discharged. Per cur. In cases where the landlord is admitted to defend without the tenant, the reason for judgment against the casual ejector, per statute, is, that under it, after an end of the suit, plaintiff may obtain possession of the premises sued for, which he could not do by virtue of a judgment against a person out of possession. But where a writ of error is brought,

there is not the least reason to give plaintiff leave to take possession, till after a determination in error. Barnes 208.

No new ejectment shall be brought by the desendant after judgment against him, till he has quitted the possession, or the tenants have attorned to the plaintist, so as he be in possession, and the desendant out. Salk. 258.

A writ of possession is to the following effect:

GEORGE the third, &c. To the sheriff ofgreeting. Whereas A. B. lately in our court, before us [or before our justices, if in C. B.] at Westminster, by bill without our writ, and by the judgment of the same court, sif by original, say by the judgment of the same court | recovered against C. D. his term yet to come of and in one melfuage, &c. with the appurtenances, fituate, lying, and being at - in your county, which E. F. on the - day of - demised to the said A. to hold and enjoy to the faid A. from the day of - then last past, unto the full end and term of ---- years thence next enfuing, and fully to be compleat and ended; by virtue of which said demise, the said A. entered upon the said tenements, with the appurtenances, and was possessed thereof, until the said C. afterterwards, to wit, on the --- day of --- in the ---- year aforefaid, with force and arms entered into the faid tenements, with the appurtenances, and him the faid A. from his farm aforefaid ejected, put out, and amoved, his faid term therein not being expired; and him so ejected, put out, and amoved from his possession of his said farm, hath withheld, and still doth withhold, whereof the faid C. is convicted, as appears to us upon record. Therefore, we command you, that without delay you cause the said A. to have his possession of his farm aforesaid, yet to come of and in the tenements aforesaid, with the appurtenances, and how you shall execute this our writ, make appear to us [or to our justices] at Westminster,

Westminster, on, &c. [the return] and have then there this writ.

Witness, &c.

For other forms, see the various books of entries.

The writ of possession has relation to its teste, though it be not actually sued out till after the death of the lessor of the plaintist; yet if tested before his death it is regular. Burr.

4 pt. 1971.

The words of the writ are quod habere facias possessionem; fo that there must be a full and actual possession given by the sheriff, and consequently all power necessary for this end must be given him; and therefore if the recovery be of a house, the sheriff may justify breaking open the door, if he be denied entrance by the tenant, because the writ cannot be otherwise executed. 5 Co. 91. b. Law of Ejestm. 108.

The sheriff is to give possession, upon the plaintiff's shewing, and at the plaintiff's peril; who is, at his peril, to take possession of no more than he is intitled to. Vide Burr. 4 pt. 2673.

An issue has been directed to try whether the sheriff had delivered possession properly according to the recovery. Ibid.

If plaintiff recovers several messuages in the possession of different persons, the sheriff must go to each house, and deliver the possession thereof; and this is done by turning the tenants out of each of the houses; for the delivery of one messuage in the name of all, is not a good execution of the writ; because the possession of one tenant is not the possession of another; but each hath his several possession. Law of Ejestm. 108.

If the sheriff turns out all persons he can find in the house, and gives the plaintiff, as he thinks, quiet possession; and, after the sheriff is gone, there appears some persons to be lurking in the house, this is no good execution; and therefore the plaintiff shall have a new habere facias possessionem; because he never had execution. Upton v. Wells, Leon 145.

If the execution goes to the sheriff for twenty acres, the sheriff must give twenty acres, according to the common estimation of the country where the lands are. Roll. Rep. 410.

If the recovery is of land, and the plaintiff demanded more than he recovered, the sheriff used formerly to give possession of one or two acres in the name of all, which the plaintiff recovered, in order to be safe from an action of trespass, by giving that which was not recovered; but now, at this day, in the case of recovering less than was demanded, the plaintiff usually gives the sheriff security to indemnify him from the desendant; and then the sheriff gives execution of all which the plaintiff demands under his judgment. Law of Eject. 110.

Judgment was for one messuage, and the sheriff delivered possession of two [as it was faid] a lath and plaister being run up in the middle of the messuage, and occupied by two

families, held a good execution.

Ejectment was for five eights of a cottage, and the sheriff gave possession of the whole to the plaintiff, who was tenant in common. Per cur. This is wrong, the writ ought to have pursued the verdict; let there be a rule upon the sheriff and the lessor of plaintiff, to restore the tenant to three eight parts of the premises, otherwise he will be forced to bring another ejectment for the same. 3 Wilf. 49.

A moiety may be recovered in ejectment for an entirety.

A rule was made for the lessor of plaintist and his attorney, to pay the tenant his costs of the application, and restore his goods, they having entered a general judgment, and taken out a general writ, and thereby taken possession of the whole premises and removed the goods, when the desendant had obtained a rule to desend for two thirds. Barnes 191.

A judgment irregularly obtained was fet aside, and the possession given upon the execution ordered to be restored. But the lessor of plaintiff (who held the possession) absconding, the rule became ineffectual—whereupon it was moved, on behalf of the late tenants, for a writ of restitution, which

the court awarded accordingly. Barnes 178.

In ejectment after a verdict, and writ of error allowed, if no recognizance is entered into, nor bail put in, the plaintiff may fue out his execution. Suppl. to 2 Barnes 30. as to bail on error in ejectment. Vide the stat. 16, 17 Car. 2. c. 8. and 4 Burr. 2501. and post title Bail in error, where requirestee.

Of quieting the Plaintiff, and of relieving him when his Possession is disturbed.

HE writ of execution in ejectment is only returnable at the instance and election of the plaintiff, for the court will not direct the writ to be returned at the instance of the defendant; which feems to be left to the choice of the plaintiff, that he may take what is most for his advantage, in order to have the full benefit of his judgment; and the way to that is, to suffer him to renew the execution at his pleafure, till a new execution be had; but he cannot renew execution after he has once procured the writ of possession to be returned and filed; because it then appears on record, that the plaintiff hath had the benefit of his fuit, and then to award a new execution would be actum agere, and consequently superfluous; and therefore the court will never oblige the sheriff to make a return, but at the plaintiff's desire. Rol. Abr. 386. 2 Keb. 245. Rol. Rep. 353. Ld. Raym. 252, 346, 482, 718, 725, 1072. Carth. 496. Salk. 260. pl. 1. 5 Mod. 443.

If the writ is once returned, though not filed, it seems no new habere facias shall issue, because when the return is made it becomes a record, which the court then is entitled

to. 2 Brown 216.

When the writ is not returned, in order for a new writ, there must be a suggestion, that vicecomes non misst breve; but this new writ cannot issue, till the return of the first writ is out; because till that return is past non constat to the court, but that the sheriff may do his duty, and the plaintist thereby have the sull benefit of his judgment, and so no new writ necessary. Palm. 289,

The writ is not executed, nor the execution compleat, till the sheriff and his officers are gone, and the plaintiff left in quiet possession. If the officer is disturbed in the execution of the writ, on affidavit thereof, the court will grant an attachment against the party, whether the defendant or a stranger, because the writ is the process of the court, and the disturbance is a contempt of its authority. 6 Mod. 27.

But after possession once given, and a disturbance thereof, the law makes a difference where the plaintiff is turned out by the desendant himself, and where by a stranger: If by the desendant, and the writ not returned, the plaintiff may have a new habere facias or an attachment, because the desendant himself shall never keep that possession, which the plaintiff is entitled to, and has recovered by due course of

Of quieting the Plaintiff, and of relieving him when his Possession is disturbed.

law; but if he is turned out by a stranger after execution executed, the plaintiff is put to his new action upon an indicament of farcible entry, where the force will be punished, because the title was never tried between the plaintiff and a stranger; and the stranger may claim the land by title paramount the plaintiff, or he may come in under him, and then the recovery and execution in the former action ought not to hinder the stranger from keeping that possession which he may have a right to; and if the law were otherwise, a plaintiff, by virtue of an habere facias, might turn out his own tenant, who came in after execution executed; whereas the possession was given him against the defendant only, and not against others not party to the suit. Ratcliff v. Tate. Keb. 479.

In the case of Fortune and Johnson, on motion for an attachment against Johnson, for ejecting the plaintiff who had been put into possession by an habere facias, the court made no rule, because it appeared that Johnson claimed under an elder judgment, and it was title against title, and therefore

lest them to take their course at law. Style. 318.

The plaintiff had judgment, but by agreement afterwards, the defendant was to hold for the refidue of his term, and accordingly held for some time; then the plaintiff took out an habere facias, and executed it; upon which the defendant moved for restitution on the agreement, which the court refused, and left him to his action on the agreement, for the judgment was ruled absolutely; but if the judgment had been with a cessate execution till such a time, there if the plaintiff takes out execution within the time, the defendant shall have restitution, because the judgment was entered with the limitation. Style 408. Law of Ejett. 113.

But quære, how does this appear to the court, fince it feems a cessate executio is never entered on the roll? The difference feems to be between a judgment by confession, and on verdict, where the former is given with a cessate executio; and there, if the execution is taken out contrary to the agreement, the court will set it asside, and punish the attorney: but where judgment is given on verdict, the verdict is the foot and ground of the judgment, and the court will not take notice of agreements between the parties, but leave

them to their remedy.

Of bringing a new or fecond Ejectment.

ONE great advantage attending this action is, that a man may have a remedy toties quoties, in being allowed to bring as many ejectments as he pleases [10 Mod. 1.] which has fometimes proved a great mischief, but is still without remedy; for though it has fometimes been attempted in chancery, after three or four ejectments, by a bill of peace to establish the prevailing party's title, yet it hath always been denied to alter the course of law, for that every termor may have an ejectment, and every ejectment supposes a new demise, and the costs in ejectment are a recompence for the trouble and expence to which the possessor is put. But where the fuit begins in Chancery for relief touching pretended incumbrances on the title of lands, and the court has ordered the defendant to pursue an ejectment at law, there, after one or two ejectments tried, and the right fettled to the fatisfaction of the court, the court hath ordered a perpetual injunction against the defendant, because there the fuit is first attached in that court, and never began at law, and fuch precedent incumbrances appearing to be fraudulent, and inequitable against the possession, it is within the compass of the court to relieve against it.

If one has a verdict in ejectment, and costs taxed, and an attachment issues for the nonpayment of costs, the defendant shall not have an ejectment in the same court against the plaintiss till those costs are paid, but he may proceed in another court; and the reason is, because the court will have obedience paid to their rules; but another court cannot take cognizance of the rules of a distinct court. Sid. 279. 8 Mod. 225. Stra. 548. 554. 681. 2

Stra. 1152.

But where *H*. brought an ejectment in *C*. *B*. and at the affizes was nonfuited, and cofts were taxed on the nonfuit, and then he brought a new ejectment in *C*. *B*. and upon a rule being there made to ftay proceedings till the cofts of the first nonfuit were paid, he brought an ejectment in *B*. *R*. that court stayed proceedings there also, upon producing the rule of the court of *C*. *B*. and made a like rule there. 2 Barnes 107.

No new ejectment shall be brought by the defendant after recovery against him, till he has quitted the possession, or the tenants have attorned to the plaintist; so that he be in possession and the desendant not. Salk. 258. pl. 12.

Of bringing a new or second Ejectment.

The court would not stay proceedings in one ejectment till the event of another was determined. Barn. K. B. 47.

But proceedings in a second ejectment were stayed till the special verdict in the former was determined. And, 298. 2 Stra. 1105.

Of recovering the mesne Profits.

BEFORE the time of Hen. 7. plaintiffs in ejectment did not recover the term; but until about that time the mesne profits accruing to the ejector were the measure of the damages given the ejected. So that by the old law and practice in ejectment, the plaintiff recovered nothing but damages, no term was recovered; but when it became established that the term should be recovered, the ejectment was put into the form of a real action; the proceeding was in rem. and the thing itself; the term only then was recovered, and nominal damages, but not the mesne profits; whereupon a mode of recovering the mesne profits [after the ejectment had been tried, and the plaintiff had recovered possession] in an action of trespass was introduced, and grafted upon the present fiction in ejectment; and the action of trespass for the mesne profits is put in the place of the ejectment at common law, which was a true, and not a fictitious action, and nothing more than an action of trefpals. Vide 3 Wilf. 120. and Aslin v. Parker, Burr. 4 pt. 688.

Actions for mesne profits tend to create double expence; the plaintiff should be ready at the trial of ejectment to

prove his damages. Barnes 87.

It was settled by all the judges in Asin v. Parker, 32 Geo. 2. on a case reserved, that in trespass against the tenant in possession for mesne prosits, either by the lessor or the nominal plaintist, after a recovery in ejectment, the plaintist need not prove a title; but it is sufficient to produce the judg ment in ejectment, and the writ of possession executed, and to prove the value of the prosits, and thereupon he shall recover from the time of the demise laid in the declaration. Burr. 4 pt. 688, Barnes 472.

Where the judgment was against the casual ejector, and no rule entered into, the lessor cannot maintain trespass for the mesne prosits without an actual entry—but aliter where the judgment is against the tenant in possession; and he entered into a rule; for then he is estopped. Oct. Stra. 5.

In case the plaintiff can prove his title accrued before the time of the demise, and prove the defendant to have been in possession, he shall recover antecedent profits; but then the defendant may controvert the title, which he cannot if the plaintiff goes for no longer time than is contained in the demise. Decosta and Atkins, per Eyre, ch. just. Hil. 4 Geo. 2. Bull. Ni. Pri. 87.

But

Of recovering the mesne Profits.

But note: Should the plaintiff in an action of trespass for mesne profits go back for damages till the time his title accrued, and the desendant have been in possession, he may protect himself by the statute of limitations, from all damages, but for the last six years. Bull. Ni. Pri. 88.

If the action be brought, after judgment by default, against the casual ejector, it is usual for the plaintiff to recover the costs of the ejectment, as well as the mesne pro-

fits. Bull. Ni. Pri. 88.

And in case the action be brought by the nominal plaintiff in ejectment, the court on application will stay the proceedings therein till security is given for the costs. Agreed. Ibid.

If one tenant in common recovers in ejectment against another, he may have trespass for the mesne profits. 3 Will.

The defendant cannot pay money into court in an action for mesne profits after recovery in ejectment. 2 Wils. 115.

Df Replevin.

Of the Action of Replevin.

DODS, &c. are only replevisable when they have been taken by way of distress; and therefore repleving is a remedy grounded upon a distress, being a re-deliverance of the goods or cattle distrained to the first possession, on security given by him to try the right, and to re-deliver the things distrained, if judgment be given against him. Co. Lite 145.

The action of replevin is of two forts; 1. In the detinet.
2. In the detinuit, and may be brought in any case where a man has his goods or cattle taken from him by another,

by way of distress.

Where the party has had his goods re-delivered to him by the sheriff upon a writ of replevin, or upon a plaint levied before him, [which by the statute of Marlbridge 52. Hen. 3. the sheriff may take out of the county court, and make replevin presently] the action is in the detinuit, wherefore he detained the goods, &c. but where the sheriff has not made such replevin, but the distrainer still keeps possession, the action is in the detinet; wherefore he detains the goods, &c. However, of late years, no action has been brought in the detinet, though there is much curious learning in the old books concerning it.

The advantage the plaintiff has in bringing an action of replevin in the detinet, instead of an action of trespals de bonis asportatis, is, that he can oblige the defendant to redeliver the goods to him immediately, in case upon making his avowry they appear to be replevifable; but as he may more speedily have them delivered immediately after they are distrained, by application to the sheriff, the action in the detinet has fell into disuse, and is never brought, unless the distrainor has essoined the goods, so that the sheriff cannot get at them to make replevin; and then it may be brought in the detinet: Whereupon, after avowry made, the plaintiff may pray that the defendant gage deliverance; or he may, upon the return of elongavit to the pluries writ of replevin, have a writ to the sheriff, commanding him to take other beafts, &c. of the defendants in withernam; but then, if the defendant, before the return of the withernam, appears to the writ of replevin, and offers to plead non cepit, it shall Ray the withernam; for the defendant shall not be con-

Of the Action of Replevin.

cluded by the return of the elongavit, because the sheriff card make no other return, where he cannot find the thing to be

replevied.

The word withernam, is a term, which fignifies a fecond or reciprocal distress, in lieu of the first, which was essential. The writ of capias in withernam, is a writ therefore to the sheriff, commanding him to take other goods, &c. of the distrainors, in lieu of the distress formerly taken and essential or withheld from the owner. So that here is now distress against distress, one being taken to answer the other by way of reprisal, and as a punishment for the illegal behaviour of the original distrainor. For which reason, goods taken in withernam, cannot be replevied, till the original distress is forthcoming. Ld. Raym. 475.

If the person taking the goods claims property in thembesore the sheriff, he cannot make replevin of them: But then the plaintiff may sue out a writ de proprietate probanda; upon which the sheriff must have an inquest of office, and if, upon such inquisition, the property is found in the plaintiff, the sheriff shall make replevin; otherwise not. But though the property is not found in the plaintiff, he is not concluded, for he may still have his action of replevin in the detinet, or of trespass. But if in an action of replevin the defendant plead property, and it be found for him, the

plaintiff is thereby concluded.

Therefore, he that brings replevin must have an absolute, or at least a special property in the thing distrained; and therefore, several men cannot join in a replevin, unless they be joint-tenants, or tenants in common. Co. Lit. 145.

Executors may have a replevin of a taking in vita tefla-

toris

So if the cattle or goods of a feme fole be taken, and she afterwards intermarry, the husband alone may have replevin; but if they join, and there be a verdict for them, judgment will not be arrested, because the court will presume them jointly interested (as they may, if a distress be taken of goods, of which a man and woman were joint-tenants, and afterwards intermarry:) the avowry admitting the property to be in the manner it is laid. Vide Bull. Nit Pri. 53.

Of the Action of Replevin, and where it may be brought.

THE action of replevin may be brought either in B. R. or C. B. by writ made returnable therein; but the action is most usually commenced in the county court, though by special custom a replevin may be brought in an hundred court, &c. or other court of record, that may hold pleathereof. Vide Salk. 580. 2 Instit. 139. 3 Mod. 56. &c.

A replevin lies two ways in a county court, by writ and by plaint. However, it is feldom brought by writ there, because the plaintiff may have his goods or cattle restored to him more speedily, by levying his plaint there, according to the statute of Marlbridge 52. Hen. 3. c. 21. which gives a replevin by plaint, either in or out of court; till which statute, the sheriff could not replevy by plaint. For, at common law, the sheriff could replevy by writ only, and that in his county court. Vide Ld. Raym. 219.

If the replevin is by writ there, the writ issues out of Chancery, and is in the nature of a justicies. 2 Instit. 240.

And if he does not return it, or does nothing upon it, the plaintiff may have an alias, in which is inferred usually this clause, that he make replevin, vel causam nobis significes. F. N. B. 68. E. And after that a pluries.

If the sheriff makes replevin, he need not return the writ; but if he does not, he ought to return the cause. 2 H. 7. 5. b.

And if he does not, an attachment lies against him to the coroners, commanding them to attach the sheriff for his contempt, and in the interim make replevin. Reg. 81.

To any of these writs, the sheriff cannot return a mandavi ballivo, &c. For by Westm. 1. 17. the sheriff ought immediately to enter the franchise, and make deliverance. F. N. B. 58. F.

If he does not replevy, and makes any other return, the plaintiff shall have a capias in withernam; and after that, an alias, and a pluries capias in withernam.

But as replevin by plaint is the most usual and expeditious, I shall shew how to proceed therein.

Upon plaint made to the sheriff, of goods or cattle distrained, he, by parol or precept, may, by his bailiff, replevy them. 2 Instit. 139. F. N. B. 69. E. Per Lit. 9 Edw. 4. 48. b.

And it is not necessary for the plaintiff to stay till the county court is held, before he makes plaint, if the plaint Vol. II.

Of the Action of Replevin, and where it may be brought.

is afterwards entered there. Ibid. And the sheriff may make deliverance, though the goods or cattle are above the

value of 40 s.

By stat. 1 & 2 P. & M. c. 12. set. 3. "For the more speedy delivery of cattle distrained, the sheriff must appoint four deputies at least in his bailiwick, dwelling not above twelve miles one from the other, to make replevins; who have authority in his name, to make replevins and deliverances, &c."

Of finding Pledges in Replevin.

PON making replevin, the sheriff ought to take two kinds of pledges—viz. pledges of prosecution by the common law, and pledges pro retorno babendo, according to the statute Westm. 2. c. 2. by which it is provided, "That sheriffs or bailists from thenceforth shall not only receive of the plaintist, pledges for the pursuing of the suit, before they make deliverance of the distress, but also for the return of the beasts, if return be awarded; and if any take pledges otherwise, he shall answer for the price of the beasts; and the lord that distrains shall have his recovery by writ, that he shall restore to him so many beasts or cattle; and if the bailiss be not able to restore, his superior shall restore."

The pledges for profecution in this, as in all other actions, are now become nominal persons; but the pledges pro retorno habendo ought to be real and responsible persons; for an action lies against the sherist if he omits to take these pledges, or if he takes those that are insufficient; for the party may have a scire sfacias against the pledges, where the suit is in any court of record; and if it is in any court not of record, as the county court, hundred court, &c. he may have a precept in the nature of a scire facias against these pledges, though not a scire facias, because a scire facias ought to be grounded on a record. Ld. Raym. 278.

Comb. 1, 2. 593.

But as sheriffs grew remiss in their duty, and often neglected taking thete pledges pro retorno habendo; or if any were taken, for the most part they were found to be indigent and irresponsible people; by the stat. of 11 Gen. 2. c. 19. f. 23. "An act for the better securing the payment of "rents, and preventing frauds by tenants;" It is enacted, " That to prevent vexatious replevins of distresses taken for " rent, all sheriffs and other officers, having authority to " grant replevins, may and shall, in every replevin of a "distress for rent, take in their own names, from the " plaintiff, and two responsible persons as sureties, a bond " in double the value of the goods distrained [such value to " be afcertained by the oath of one or more credible witness " or witnesses not interested in the goods or distress, which " oath the person granting such replevin is hereby autho-" rized and required to administer] and conditioned for pro-" fecuting the fuit with effect and without delay, and for " duly returning the goods and chattels distrained, in case " a return shall be awarded before any deliverance be made

Of finding Pledges in Replevin.

of the distress; and that such sheriff, or other officer as 46 aforesaid, taking any such bond, shall, at the request and " costs of the avowant or person making conuzance, assign " fuch bond to the avowant or person aforesaid, by indors-" ing the same, and attesting it under his hand and seal, in "the presence of two or more credible witnesses; which " may be done without any stamp, provided the assignment, " fo indorsed, be duly stamped before any action be brought "thereon; and if the bond so taken and affigned be for-" feited, the avowant, or the person making conuzance, " may bring an action and recover thereupon in his own " name; and the court, where fuch action shall be brought, " may, by a rule of the same court, give such relief to the 56 parties, upon fuch bond, as may be agreeable to justice 44 and reason; and such rule shall have the nature and es-" fect of a defeazance to fuch bond."

An action on the case was brought against a sheriff for taking infufficient pledges upon a replevin; to which he pleaded not guilty; and a verdict being found against him, and a judgment given thereon in the court of C. B. on a writ of error in B. R. it was objected, I. That an action on the case was not the proper remedy; 2. Supposing such action lay, that there ought to have been a feire facias first fued out against the pledges. As to the first, the court held, that the party distraining has, by the stat. Westm. 2. an interest in the pledges; and if the sheriff omits to take fuch, or, which is the fame thing, takes infufficient ones, he is aggrieved, and consequently entitled to his action.-2dly, That though a fcire facias may be brought against the pledges, yet it does not follow from thence, that an action does not lie against the sheriff; and such scire facias, which is only to certify the sufficiency of the pledges, is the less necessary in the present case, such insufficiency being fet forth in the declaration, and found by the verdict. Hil. 13 Geo. 2. Pattifon and Prowfe.

Note: In such action against the sheriff, some evidence must be given by the plaintiff of the insusticiency of the pledges or sureties; but very slight evidence is sufficient to throw the proof on the sheriff, for the sureties are known to him, and he is to take care that they are sufficient. Saunders v. Darling and another, Sittings at Westm. Tr. 10 Geo. 3.

Of making Replevin.

UPON plaint being made, and pledges found; or in case the goods, &c. have been distrained for rent, a replevin-bond having been taken, according to the stat. of 11 Geo. 2. c. 19. The sheriff, or one of his deputies, by the stat. 1, 2 P. & M. c. 12. is to make replevin of the goods or cattle distrained, which is done by granting a warrant, which is to the following effect:

Eucks, to wit. A. B. Eig. sheriff of the county aforefaid: To the bailiff of the hundred of Desborough, in the faid county; and also to John Thomas, and William Jones, my bailiffs, and to every of them, greeting: For as much as C. D. hath found me fufficient fecurity as well to profecute his fuit against E. F. and G. H. for taking and unjustly detaining of his cattle, goods and chattels, to wit, one mare and four colts which the faid E. F. and G. H. have taken and unjustly detained, as is alledged; as also for return thereof, if a return thereof should be alledged: therefore I command you, and every of you, jointly and feverally, that on the behalf of our lord the king, you replevy, and cause to be delivered to the aforesaid C. D. his cattle, goods and chattels aforesaid; and that the aforesaid E. F. and G. H. give, or cause to be given, sufficient pledges, so that they may be and appear at the next county court to be holden at Aylesbury, in and for the county of Bucks aforesaid, to answer the aforesaid C. D. in a plea of taking, and unjustly detaining, of his cattle, goods and chattels aforefaid; and in what manner you shall execute this precept certify to me at the faid next county court, to be held at the time and place aforesaid, under the peril incumbent, given under the feal of my office this

in the twentieth year of the day of, reign of our fovereign lord George the third, king of Great Britain, &c. and in the year of our Lord 1780."

By John Dixon,

One of the replevinors appointed by the faid thereff for the faid county of Bucks.

HE replevin remains before the sherist, &c. though the goods and chattels, &c. distrained are above the value of 40 s. for the replevin, alias and plurics, are all vicontiel writs, 2 H. 7. 5. b. and the suit may be determined in such inserior court; but the suit may be removed by either of the parties into the courts of B. R. or C. B. to be there determined.

And it may be removed by the plaintiff without cause. F. N. B. 69. m.

And by the defendant with cause, but not without cause. F. N. B. 70. a. This, however, is otherwise now, for either party may remove it.

The method to be purfued in moving it, depends entirely on the manner in which the fuit was commenced below.

For if replevin be in the county court by writ, it must be removed into B. R. or C. B. by pone. F. N. B. 69. m.

If in the county court by plaint, it is removed by writ of recordari facias loquelam, [commonly called a refalo, for the fake of abbreviation]. F. N. B. 71. a.

If replevin is in a court of record, that may hold plea in replevin, it must be removed by writ of certiorari, and can be removed in no other manner. 3 Mod. 56.—Per King ch. just. Hil. 3 Geo. 1. For a refalo does not go to a court of record, because there the suit is already recorded.

And if the plaint is in the court of another lord, it may be removed into B. R. or C. B. by recordari to the sherist, commanding him quod accedas ad curiam et in plena curia ill' recordari facios, &c. F. N. B. 70. b.

But it is faid, that a replevin shall not be removed out of a court, which is not the king's court, without cause, neither by the plaintiff, nor by the defendant. Reg. 85. b. 2 Inflit. 339. for the prejudice that may come thereby to the lord.

All the above writs, to remove the fuit from the inferior courts into B. R. or C. B. are in their nature original writs, and iffue out of Chancery. But as the fuit is most usually commenced in the county court by plaint, and seldom or ever at this day by writ, I shall only shew the method of removing it when by plaint.

In order to remove it therefore, the party makes out a fractipe to the curfitor of the proper county, in the following form:

Bucks,

Bucks, to wit, Refalo for [either the plaintiff or defendant naming him] of a plaint between C. D. and E. F. and G. H. for taking and unjustly detaining the cattle, goods, and chattels of the said C.

Returnable from Easter in fifteen days.

Upon delivery of this writ to the curfitor, he makes out the writ, which must be carried to the under-sheriff of the county, who returns it of course.

The refalo is to the following effect:

GEORGE the third, by the grace of God, of Great Britain, France, and Ireland, king, defender of the Faith, &c. To the sheriff of Buckinghamshire, greeting. We command you, that in your full county you cause the plaint to be recorded, which is in the same county, without our writ, between C. D. and E. F. and G. H. of the cattle, goods, and chattels of the faid C. taken and unjustly detained, as it is said; and that you have the faid record before us [or if C. B. before our justices] at Westminster, from Easter day, in fifteen days, under your feal, and the feals of four lawknights of the same county, of such as shall be present at the said record; and that you prefix the fame day to the parties, that then they may be there ready to proceed in the faid plaint as shall be just, and have you there the names of the faid four knights, and this writ. Witness ourfelf at Westminster, the --- day of --- in the twentieth year of our reign.

Let this writ be executed if the faid C. desires it, otherwise not.

The return thereof, is as follows:

in the twentieth year of the reign of our fovereign lord George the third, king of Great Britain, France, and Ireland, &c. I caused the plaint to be recorded, which is in the fame county, without the writ of our faid lord the king, between C. D. and E. F. and G. H. of the cattle, goods, and chattels of the faid C. taken and unjustly detained. as it is said, which said plaint appears in a certain schedule to this writ annexed; and I have the faid record before our faid lord the king for the justices of our said lord the king at Westminster, on the day within written, under my seal. and the feals of four lawful knights of the same county, who were present at the said record; and I have prefixed the same day to the parties, that they may be then and there ready to proceed in the faid plaint, as shall be just.

The answer of A. B. esq. sheriff.

The schedule to be annexed to the writ and return.

At my full court held at Aylesbury, in the county of Bucks, the ——— day of ——— in the twentieth year of the reign of our fovereign lord George the third, &c. before L. M. N. O. P. Q. and R. S. four lawful knights of the fame county, (amongst other things) it is thus contained: C.D. complains against E. F. and G. H. of a plea of taking and unjustly detaining of his cattle, goods, and chattels, to wit, one mare and four colts of the faid C. and at my full court held at Aylesbury, in the county aforesaid, the day of last, before S. T. J. M. N. U. and W. X. four lawful knights of the faid county, I caused the said plaint between the parties aforefaid to be recorded as the writ hereunto annexed requires. In testimoney whereof, as well I the faid fheriff, as the faid S. T. J. M. N. U. and W. X. who were present at the faid record, have caused our seals to be hereunto put, the day and year and place above mentioned.

If the sheriff returns the recordari, tarde, the party shall have an alias, &c. F. N. B. 70. b.

By the recordari nothing is removed but the plaint, even though iffue should be joined below. F. N. B. 71. a.

And the plaint may be removed, though the plaintiff

has discontinued there. Ibid.

When the plaint is removed into B. R. or C. B. the plaintiff must declare there de novo, otherwise the desendant may sue out a writ de retorno babendo. F. N. B. 71. a.

And the plaint, when removed, is filed with the filazer of the county in B. R. as it is also when removed into

C. B. *

If the plaintiff removes the plaint, he must file the refalo, &cc. with the filazer, and see if the desendant has appeared; and if he has not appeared, he must give him a rule to appear; and upon non-appearance thereto, the plaintiff must sue out a pone; and upon like non-appearance thereto, he may sue out a distringus ad infinitum, till he does appear—And then the plaintiff declares.

But if the defendant removes it, he must file the refalo and return thereto with the filazer; and having entered an appearance, he must then give a rule for the plaintiff to declare; and for want of a declaration, when the rule is out, he may sign a non-pros for not declaring, and immediately

fue out a writ pro retorno habendo.

The defendant's attorney, upon filing the refalo, which he ought to do on the return day, or at least on the appearance day of the return, ought to give the plaintiff's attorney notice thereof, and call upon him for a declaration. But if the defendant does not get it returned and filed within two terms, the plaintiff may have a certificate thereof from the filazer, and thereupon the cursitor will make him out a writ of procedendo, which being obtained, he may proceed in his plaint in the court below.

^{*} But note: Upon removal of any other actions, except replevin, into C. B. the writ and proceedings are filed with the prothonotary there.

Of the Declaration in Replevin.

HE declaration in replevin may be laid in the county where the cattle or goods were taken, or in the county into which they were driven after the taking. F.

N. B. 69. I.

And the declaration ought to be not only of a taking in a vill or town, but also in quodam loco vocat. But if the defendant would take advantage of this omission, he must demur to the declaration. Hob. 16. Bullithorp v. Turner, C. B. Tr. 16, 17 Geo. 2.

But if the defendant would take advantage of a variance in the place where the taking is laid, from that in which it really was, he must plead it in abatement. 6 Mod. 103.

For prisal in auter lieu must be pleaded in abatement, and cannot be pleaded in bar. Salk. 3. pl. 8. 2 Ld. Raym.

1016. Carth. 344. Show. 98.

The declaration must mention the cattle or goods demanded with such certainty, that the sheriff may make deliverance of them—and therefore, it should mention the sorts or species, as sheep, cows, &c. Carth. 218.

If the cattle or goods are returned, the declaration should say, wherefore he took, &c. and detained them against gages and

pledges, until, &c. 1 Saund. 347.

But if they are not returned, the declaration must be, wherefore he took, &c. and still detains against gages and pledges. Co. Ent. 610. b. Rast. Ent. 560.

So if only part are returned, it shall say as to that, detained until, &c. and as to the residue, still detains. Co.

Ent. 611. b.

If the declaration is in the detinuit, and the plaintiff prevails, he shall have damages for the taking and costs.

If in the detinet, and he prevails, he shall recover the value of the cattle or goods distrained, and his damages for the taking and costs. F. N. B. 69. L.

How to proceed if the Plaintiff does not declare, &c.

IF the plaintiff declares, the defendant may plead in abatement, or in bar; or he may avow in his own right, make conusance in right of another, or justify—for all which, see Comyns's Digest, Viner's Abridgment, Bacon's Abridgment, &c. and the various books of entries.

If the parties go on to iffue or demurrer, the proceedings and practice therein are the same as in other actions.

If the plaintiff has removed the cause, and does not declare or proceed therein; or if the desendant has removed it, and after having served the plaintiff with a rule to declare, and demanded a declaration, and the plaintiff does not declare and proceed therein, the desendant may sign a non-press and judgment pro retorno habendo, and then sue out a * writ pro retorno habendo, which is made out by the si-lazer, and is to the following effect:

GEORGE the third, by the grace of God, &c. To the sheriff of Bucks, greeting. Whereas E. F. was fummoned to appear in our court before us [or before our justices] at Westmirster, to answer C.D. in a plea, wherefore he took the eattle of the faid C. to wit, one mare and four colts, and unjustly detained the fame against sureties and pledges, as he fays; and the same C. afterwards in our faid court made default, whereupon it was then and there confidered, that the faid C. and his pledges of profecuting should be in mercy, and that the aforesaid E. should go thereupon without delay, and that he should have a return of the cattle aforesaid. Therefore we command you, that you cause to be returned the cattle aforefaid to the faid E. without delay; and the same at the complaint of the aforesaid C. you do not deliver without our writ, which shall make express mention of the aforesaid judgment, and in what manner you shall execute this our precept, you shall make manifest to us [or to our justices] at

^{*} Note: If the diffress was made for rent, see a better method of proceeding, jost.

Westminster

How to proceed if the Plaintiff does not deaclare, &c.

Westminster, on [a general return day, all the proceedings being by original] and have you there this writ.

Witness, &c. on, &c. in the twentieth year of our reign.

If, however, the plaintiff even after such judgment of non-pros signed, and this writ of retorno habendo sued out by the defendant, would wish to go on in his suit, he is at liberty so to do; and his application for that purpose must be made to the filazer for a writ of second deliverance; which writ of second deliverance is in the nature of a superfedeas to the writ pro retorno habendo, if brought before it be executed.

At the common law, if the plaintiff in replevin had been nonsuited, either before or after verdict, the defendant who distrained had judgment for a return, but not irreplevisable: So that the plaintiff might have had as many replevins as he would, which was vexatious and mischievous. To remedy which, the statute Wesm. 2. c. 2. restrains the plaintiff from having any more replevins after a nonsuit, but gives him a writ of second deliverance. 2 Instit. 340.

And if after such writ of second deliverance the plaintiff is nonprossed, or becomes nonsuited, or the plea be discontinued, or the writ abates, or he prevails not in his suit, the defendant then shall have judgment for a return irreple-

visable. 2 Instit. 341.

The writ of second deliverance is to the following effect:

GEORGE the third, &c. To the sheriff of Bucks, greeting. We command you, if C.D. shall make you secure of prosecuting his complaint, and also of returning the cattle which to E.F. lately in our court, before our justices at Westminster, at a certain day now past, were adjudged by the default of him the said C.D. if a return thereof shall be adjudged; then the cattle to him the said C.D. without delay, you cause to be delivered, and put by sureties and safe pledges the aforesaid E.F. that he be before our justices

How to proceed if the Plaintiff does not declare, &c.

at Westminster, [the return] to answer the said C. D. of the taking of the cattle aforesaid, and that you have there the names of the pledges, &c. and this writ. Witness Sir William de Grey, knight, at Westminster, the day of in the twentieth year of our reign.

If upon the return-day, or the appearance-day of the return-day of the writ of fecond deliverance, the plaintiff declares, the subsequent proceedings are the same as in other cases throughout the cause.

If the plaintiff does not sue out a writ of second deliverance, and the sheriff should return to the writ pro retorno habendo, that the cattle, &c. were eloined or removed to places unknown, by reason of which he could not return the same to the desendant, as by the said writ he was commanded, then upon such return of elongata, the desendant shall have a capias in withernam, which is to the following effect, and which is also obtained of the filazer.

GEORGE the third, &c. To the sheriff of Bucks, greeting: Whereas E. F. was summoned to appear in our court, before our justices at Westminster, to answer C. D. of a plea, wherefore he took the cattle of the faid C. and unjustly detained the same against sureties and pledges, as he says; and the same C. afterwards, in our same court, made default in the same plea; whereupon it was then and there confidered, that the same C. and his pledges of profecution should be in mercy; and that the said E. should go thereupon without day, and that he should have a return of the cattle aforesaid: whereupon, by our writ, we commanded you, that you caused to be returned the cattle aforefaid to the faid E. without delay, and the same at the complaint of the said C. you should not deliver without our writ, which of the aforefaid judgment should make express mention, and in what manner you should have executed our said precept How to proceed if the Plaintiff does not declare, &c.

precept you should make manifest to our justices at Westminster, on [the return-day of the retorno habendo] last past, on which day you returned to our faid justices at Westminster, that before the coming of the aforesaid writ the cattle aforesaid were eloined or conveyed away to places unknown to you by the faid C. fo that the cattle aforesaid to the faid E. you could not cause to be returned as by the said writ you was commanded: therefore we command you, that of the cattle of him the faid C, to the value of the cattle before taken in withernam, you take and deliver to him the said E. to be held by him until the faid cattle, before taken, you can cause to be returned, and put by sure and fafe pledges the aforesaid C. that he be before our justices at Westminster, on [the return-day] to answer as well to us of the contempt, as to the faid E. of the damages and injuries to him in that behalf done; and in what manner this our precept you shall execute make appear to our justices at Westminster, at the aforesaid return; and that you have there the names of the pledges, and this Witness, &c. on, &c. at, &c. in the writ. twentieth year of our reign.

The writ of withernam is but mesne process. Ld. Raym.

614. Vide Comb. 201. 2 Salk. 582.

W. sued a replevin. H. removed it by recordari into the King's Bench; the plaintiff did not declare, and upon that a return was awarded to H. upon which the sheriff returns averia elongata, and then a withernam was awarded and executed; afterwards the plaintiff came and prayed that he might be admitted to declare; and also prayed a deliverance of the cattle taken in withernam: and it was testified by the clerks, that upon the plaintist's submission to a fine for not declaring, and that being imposed upon him by the judges, he shall have deliverance of the withernam; and a fine of 3s. 4d. being accordingly imposed on the plaintist, he then declared and had deliverance. Noy 50. Webb and Hind; but said, that the course of B. R. is contrary to that of C. B.

If the sheriff should return nulla bona vel catalla ad valorem, &c. to the writ of withernam, the defendant may sue out an

alias capias in withernam, and after that a pluries.

If

How to proceed if the Defendant does not appear, &c.

If the plaintiff has removed the cause; and the desendant has not appeared upon the return, or at least upon the appearance-day of the return of the refalo; the plaintiff should serve him with a rule to appear, and upon his non-appearance thereto sue out a pone, which is to this effect:

GEORGE the third, &c. To the sheriff of Bucks, greeting: Put by sureties and safe pledges, E. F. that he be before our justices at Westminster from [the return-day] to answer to C. D. of a plea, wherefore he took the cattle, goods and chattels of the said C. and them unjustly detained against gages and pledges, as he saith, and to shew wherefore he hath not appeared in our court before our justices at Westminster, from [the return of the resale] last past, as the day prefixed to him; and have you there the names of the pledges, and this writ. Witness Sir William De Grey, knight, at Westminster, the day of in the twentieth year of our reign.

If upon the return of the pone, the defendant does not appear, the plaintiff may sue out a distringus ad infinitum till he does appear; which distringus is to the same effect as other writs of distringus, to compel an appearance of the party in court.

How the Plaintiff is to proceed if the Defendant has removed the Suit, and does not file the Refalo.

I f the defendant has taken out the recordari facias loquelam, and does not get it returned and filed within two terms, the plaintiff should apply to the filazer for a certificate that the same is not returned and filed, which certificate, when obtained, is a sufficient warrant for the cursitor to make out a writ of procedendo, which remands the cause to the county-court to be there determined. Which writ of procedendo is to this effect:

GEORGE the third, &c. To the sheriff of Bucks, greeting: Although we lately commanded you, that in your full court you caused the plaint to be recorded, which is in the fame county, without our writ, between C. D. and E. F. of the cattle, goods and chattels of the faid G. taken and unjustly detained, as it was faid; and that you should have the faid record before our justices at Westminster, from [the return of the refalo] under your feal, and the feals of four lawful knights of the same county of such as should be present at the said record, and that you prefixed the same day to the parties, that then they might be there ready to proceed in the faid plaint, as should be just; and that you should have there the names of the said four knights, and that writ. Yet we being now moved with certain causes in our court, before our faid justices, command you, that in the same plaint, against the said E. F. at the suit of the faid C. D. before you levied or affirmed, and now depending undetermined, you proceed at your next county-court to be holden in and for the same county, with what speed you can, in such manner, according to the law and custom of England, as you shall see proper. Our said writ in that behalf heretofore directed to the contrary in any wife notwithstanding. Witness, Gr. on, Gr. at, Gr. in the twentieth year of our reign.

How the Defendant may proceed in case the Distress was for *Rent* after the Cause removed, and the Plaintiff nonpros'd or nonfuited at the Trial.

IF the cause has been removed into the superior court by the plaintiff, and after the defendant has appeared he does not declare or proceed therein; or if the cause has been removed by the defendant, and a rule being ferved on the plaintiff, he does not declare or proceed therein; the defendant may fign a non-pros, enter up judgment pro retorno babendo; and if the original distress was made for rent, he may proceed to execute a writ of inquiry of damages, which is the better way than taking out a writ pro retorno habendo; because that writ may be superseded by the plaintiff's suing out a writ of second deliverance, as was seen before. For by the flat. 17 Car. 2. c. 7. An act for the more speedy and effectual proceeding upon diffresses and avowries for rents, reciting that "Foralmuch as the ordinary remedy for arrearages of " rents, is by diffresses upon the lands chargeable there-" with; and yet nevertheless, by reason of the intricate 46, and dilatory proceedings upon replevins, that remedy is " become ineffectual:" It is enacted, " That when soever " any plaintiff in repleyin shall be nonsuit before iffue " joined, in any fuit of replevin by plaint or writ lawful-" ly returned, removed or depending in any of the king's tes courts at Westminster, that the defendant making a sug-" gestion, in nature of an avowry or cognizance for such " rent, to ascertain the court of the cause of distress, the " court upon his prayer shall award a writ to the sheriff of " the county where the diffress was taken, to enquire by " the oaths of twelve good and lawful men of his bailiwick, " touching the fum in arrear at the time of fuch diffress " taken, and the value of the goods or cattle diffrained: " and thereupon notice of fifteen days shall be given to the " plaintiff, or his attorney, in court, of the fitting of such " inquiry: and thereupon the sheriff shall inquire of the " truth of the matters contained in fuch writ, by the oaths 66 of twelve good and lawful men of his county: and upon " the return of fuch inquisition, the defendant shall have " judgment to recover against the plaintiff the arrearages of " fuch rent, in case the goods or cattle distrained shall " amount unto that value: and in case they shall not 46 amount to that value, then fo much as the value of the 66 faid goods and cattle fo distrained shall amount unto, Vol. II. " together

How the Defendant may proceed in case the Distress was for Rent after the Cause removed, and the Plaintiff nonpros'd or nonfuited at the Trial.

" together with his full costs of fuit: and shall have exe-" cution thereupon by fieri facias or elegit, or otherwise, as " the law shall require. And in case such plaintiff shall be on nonfuit after cognizance or avowry made, and iffue " joined, or if the verdict shall be given against such " plaintiff, then the jurors that are impannelled, or rece turned to inquire of such issue, shall, at the prayer of " the defendant inquire concerning the fum of the arrears, " and the value of the goods or cattle distrained: and there-" upon the avowant, or he that makes cognizance, shall " have judgment for fuch arrearages, or fo much thereof as " the go ds or cattle distrained amount unto, together with " his full costs, and shall have execution for the same by " fieri facias or elegit, or otherwise as the law shall re-

Sect. 3. gives the like remedy to the avowant, &c. upon

a judgment given for him upon demuerer.

And fest. 4. enacts, " That in all cases aforesaid, where " the value of the cattle, diffrained as aforefaid, shall not " be found to be the full value of the arrears distrained 66 for, that the party to whom such arrears were due, his 46 executors or administrators, may, from time to time,

"distrain * again for the residue of the said arrears."

It has been the custom ever fince this statute, as it was before, in all cases when the plaintiff is non-prossed, to enter judgment pro retorno habendo; but notwithstanding, the detendant may enter a suggestion according to this statute, and take out a writ of inquiry; and if the plaintiff should take out a writ of second deliverance afterwards, it will be no supersedeas to such writ of inquiry. Cooper v. Sherbrook, East. 32 Gco. 2. C. B. 2 Wilf. 116. although such writ of second deliverance would be a supersedeas to the writ de retorno habendo. Ibid. and Palm. 403.

The entry of a suggestion upon a non-pros before issue joined in the nature of an avowry or cognizance for rent, is in the

following manner:

^{*} A second distress might have been taken by common law in fuch cafe.

How the Defendant may proceed in case the Distress was for *Rent* after the Cause removed, and the Plaints nonpras'd or nonfuited at the Trial.

The entry of a suggestion in the nature of a cognizance in C. B.

Bucks, to wit. E. F. was summoned to answer C. D. in a plea, wherefore he took the cattle, goods and chattels of the faid C. and unjustly detained the fame against gages and pledges, &c. and whereupon the said E. offered himself in court here, in his own proper person, on the day of against the said C. in the plea aforesaid, and the faid C. came not, but made default, Therefore it is considered, that the said C. and his pledges for profecuting be in mercy, and that the faid E. go thereof without day, and have a return of the faid cattle, goods, and chattles, &c. and thereupon the faid E. fays, that he the faid E. took the faid cattle, goods, and chattles, of the faid C. for the taking whereof he was fummoned to appear in the faid court of our faid lord the king of the bench at Westminster, to answer to the said C. as aforefaid, at the parish of O. in the faid county, in a certain place there called the stable, and that he took the same as bailiff of I. A. for that the faid C. at the time of the taking the faid cattle, goods and chattels, and for the space of two years and three quarters of a year ended at and upon the day of and from thence until the time of the taking of the faid cattle, goods and chattels, held and enjoyed the faid stable, with the appurtenances, amongst other things, as tenant thereof, to the said I. A. at and under the yearly rent of twelve pounds, payable quarterly; and because the sum of thirty-three pounds, of the yearly rent aforefaid, for two years and three quarters of another year, ending at and upon the day of in the faid year of our Lord 1779, on that day, in that year, and at the time of taking the faid cattle, goods and chattels, were in arrear and unpaid, he the said E. as bailiff of the faid I. took the faid cattle, goods Q 2 and How the Defendant may proceed in case the Distress was for *Rent* after the Cause removed, and the Plaintiff nonpros'd or nonfuited at the Trial.

and chattels, for and in the name of a diffress for the faid rent fo due in arrear and owing from the faid C. to the faid I. as aforefaid. And the faid E. according to the form of the statute in such case made and provided, prays a writ of our lord the king to be directed to the theriff of Bucks, to enquire of the fum in arrear of the rent aforefaid. and of the value of the cattle, goods and chattels aforefaid; and it is granted to him: wherefore the sheriff is commanded, that, by the oath of twelve good and lawful men of his bailiwick, he diligently enquire how much rent was in arrear and due to the faid I. at the time of the taking of the cattle, goods and chattels aforefaid; and how much the faid cattle, goods and chattels, so taken in the name of a diftress as aforesaid, were worth according to the true value thereof, and the inquifition which he shall thereupon take let him make appear here on [the return day] under his feal and the feals of those by whose oath he shall take the faid inquisition, &c.

Upon entering the above suggestion on a roll, the defendant may then sue out his writ of enquiry, which is to the sollowing effect:

GEORGE the third, by the grace of God, &c. To the sheriff of Bucks, greeting. Whereas E. F. was lately summoned to appear in our court of the Bench, before our justices at Westminster, to answer unto C. D. of a plea, wherefore he took the cattle, goods, and chattels of the said C. and unjustly detained the same against gages and pledges, until, &c. and the said E. F. offered himself in court, before our justices at Westminster, in his own proper person, on the day of against the said C. in the plea aforesaid: and the said C. came not, but made default. Therefore it was considered, that the said E. and his pledges should be in mercy; and that the said E. should

How the Defendant may proceed in case the Distress was for *Rent* after the Cause removed, and the Plaintiff nonpros'd or nonfuited at the Trial.

go thereof without day, and have a return of the faid cattle, goods, and chattels. And thereupon it hath been suggested to us in our faid court, before our faid justices at Westminster aforesaid, by the faid E, that he the faid E. took the faid cattle, goods, and chattels of the faid C. for the taking whereof, he was summoned to appear in our faid court of the Bench, before our said justices at Wessminster, to answer the said C. D. as aforesaid, at the parish of O. in the said county, in a certain place there called the stable; and that he took the same as bailiff of J. A. for that the said C. for the space of two years, and three quarters of another year, ending at and upon the faid day of ... in the year of our Lord 1779; and from thence, until and at the time of taking of the faid cattle, goods, and chattels, held and enjoyed the faid stable, with the appurtenances, amongst other things, as tenant thereof to the faid 7. at and under the yearly rent of twelve pounds, payable quarterly: and because the fum of thirty-three pounds of the yearly rent aforesaid, for two years, and three quarters of ananother year, ending at and upon the faid in the year of our Lord 1779, on day of that day in that year, and at the time of taking the faid cattle, goods, and chattels, were in arrear, and unpaid to the faid J. he the faid E. as bailiff of the said J. took the said cattle, goods, and chattels, for and in the name of a diffress, for the faid rent fo due in arrear and owing from the said C. to the said 7. as aforesaid. And the faid E. according to the form of the statute in fuch case made and provided, prayed our writ to be directed to you, to enquire of the fum in arrear of the rent aforefaid, and of the value of the cattle, goods, and chattels aforefaid. Therefore we command you, that according to the form of that statute, in that case made and provided, you diligently enquire, by the oath of twelve good and lawful

How the Defendant may proceed in case the Distress was for *Rent* after the Cause removed, and the Plaintiff nonpros'd or nonsuited at the Trial.

lawful men of your county, how much of the yearly rent aforesaid, at the time of taking the faid cattle, goods, and chattels, were in arrear and unpaid; and how much the faid cattle, goods, and chattels, taken as aforefaid, were worth, according to the true value of the fame. And the inquisition which you shall thereupon make you shall certify to our justices at Westminster on [the return] under your feal, and the feals of those by whose oath you shall take that inquisition; and have you there the names of those by whose oath you shall take that inquisition, and this writ. Witness Sir William De Grey, knight, at Westminfter, the day of in the twentieth year of our reign.

Upon this writ of enquiry the whole fact is to be proved and may be litigated. Cooper v. Sherbrook. E. 32 Geo. 2. C. B.

If A. distrains B. for rent, and B. replevies and gives the usual bond to prosecute, then levies his plaint, and afterwards removes the same by refalo, and then does not declare; or if he declares and A. avows, and B. not putting in a plea in bar, the avowant have judgment by default, that B. shall be amerced, and avowant have a retorno habendo.

—A. in such case may either sue out a writ of enquiry of damages, according to this statute 17 Car. 2. c. 7. or he may commence actions on the replevin bond [taken according to the 11 Geo. 2. c. 19. s. 23.] against the plaintist and his bondsmen, to recover his damages and costs.

As the statute 17 Car. 2. c. 7. relates only to distresses for rent, and gives a writ of enquiry by default, &c. if the distress was for damage feasant, after judgment pro retorno habend. the defendant's remedy is by writ de retorno habend, and if an elongata is returned, he may have a withernam, &c. or if the sheriff has taken insufficient pledges, he may have an action against the sheriff for such insufficiency of the pledges; or if in case the sheriff took a replevin bond,

and

How the Defendant may proceed in case the Distress was for Rent after the Cause removed, and the Plaintiss nonprosid or nonfuited at the Trial.

and affigned the fame to the defendant, he may have an

action on fuch bond against the bondsman.

But note: In cases of damage feasant, &c. the sheriff is not obliged to take a replevin bond, nor can be compel the plaintist to find bondsmen and enter into a bond; [the stat. II G. 2. c. 19. state of the sheriff before he makes replevin may sinfift upon sufficient pledges pro retorno habendo in pursuance of Westm. 2. c. 2. and then the defendant after elongata returned to the writ de retorno babendo, may have a scire facias against such pledges—or if the plaint was never removed after a non-pros below, he may have a precept in the nature of a scire facias. Vide 2 Will. 41.

By the same statute 17 Car. 2. c. 7. if the plaintist is nonfuited after issue joined, or if verdict is given against him, the jury returned or impanelled, at the prayer of the defendant, shall enquire of the rent in arrear, and the value

of the goods, &c. distrained, &c.

But if in such case the jury impanelled omit to enquire of the value of the rent arrear, or of the cattle, the desendant cannot then have a writ of enquiry to supply that omission, because the statute confines it to the jury impanelled in the cause. 1 Lev. 255. Therefore, in such case, the desendant must take judgment de retorno habenda at common law. Tucket v. Stephens. P. 6 Geo. 1. C. B. Carth. 362.

Note: In writs of enquiry under this statute, the jury set their hands and seals to the verdict; and upon the trial of such writs, the judge of nist prius is only assistant to the sheriff, and has no judicial power. And if the parties come to any agreement at the trial, the way is to bring it to the judge to sign, and afterwards move to have it made a rule

of court. Caf. K. B. 519, 610.

By the 21 Hen 8. c. 19. f. 3. it is enacted, "That every avowant, and every other person or persons that make any avowry, justification, or conuzance, as baily or servant to any person or persons in any replegiare or second deliverance, for rents, customs, services, or for damages feasant, or rent or rents upon any distress taken in any lands or tenements, if the same avowry, cognizance, or justification be found for them, or the plaintiffs in the same be nonluit,

How the Defendant may proceed in case the Distress was for *Rent* after the Cause removed, and the Plaintiff nonpros'd or nonfuited at the Trial.

or otherwise barred, that then they shall recover their damages and costs against the said plaintiffs, as the same plaintiffs should have done or had, if they had recovered in the replegiare, or second deliverance sound against the defendants."

Neither this statute, nor the 43 Eliz. [if the defendant avows as overseer for a distress for a poor rate,] tie the inquisition up to the same jury as are returned or impanelled,

as the 17 Car. 2. c. 7. does. Salk. 95.

In replevin the defendant avowed, and the plaintiff, being nonsuited, brought a writ of second deliverance, whereupon it was moved to stay the writ of enquiry of damages. Et per cur. This is a supersedeas to the retorno habendo, but not to the writ of enquiry of damages; for these damages are not for the thing avowed for, but are given by the stat. 21 H. 8. c. 19. as a compensation for the expence and trouble the avowant has been at. Salk. 95. pl. 6. Palm. 403. Latch. 72.

If the plaintiff is nonfuited for want of delivering a declaration, if it was through any cause that would have entitled him to a writ of second deliverance, as sickness of the person employed, &c. the court will order the desendant to accept of a declaration on payment of costs; otherwise, the plaintiff would be remediless, the writ of second deliverance being taken away by the 17 Car. 2. in cases of rent.

Vent. 64.

No second deliverance lies after a judgment in demurrer, or after a verdict, or confession of the avowry; but in all these cases, the judgment must be entered with a return irreplevisable. But upon a nonsuit either before or after evidence, where the distress was not for rent, a writ of second deliverance will lie, because there is no determination of the matter; and there a writ of second deliverance lies to bring the matter in question: but in the case of a demurrer and verdict, the matter is distrained by law; and in the case of a confession, it is determined by the confession of the party. 2 Lill. Reg. 457.

Of nonprossing, nonfuiting, discontinuing, &c.

HE plaintiff pleaded two matters in bar to an avowiy, and on one of the pleas the fact was found for
him, but the judge did not certify [according to 4 Anne,
c. 16. f. 5.] that the plaintiff had probable cause to plead
the other plea. The desendant moved for costs pursuant
to that statute; and the question was, whether the proceedings were within that statute or not? the avowant in replevin being omitted in the words of the statute. Rule to
shew cause why the plaintiff should not pay costs was enlarged. Barnes 144.

The defendant made two avouries, and plaintiff obtained an order for time to plead, pleading issuably and taking notice of trial for the sitting after last term in Middlesex, and within time demurred to the sirst, and pleaded in bar to the latter; and upon that the defendant signed a non-pros for want of pleading issuably to both avowries, which the court held to be regular. But upon payment of costs, pleading issuably and taking notice of trial within the same

term, the non-pros was set aside. Barnes 314.

After joinder in demurrer, plaintiff obtained a rule for the avowant to shew cause why he should not discontinue on payment of costs; it was objected for the avowant, that a discontinuance in replevin is very different from a non-pros; and that after a discontinuance, a writ de retorno habendo could not be awarded. The court, however, did not enter into that matter, as the parties entered into a rule by consent, to stay proceedings on payment of the rent arrear with costs. Barnes 171.

In replevin, both plaintiff and defendant may carry down

the record to trial.

The defendant brought down the record, but the plaintiff did not appear at the affizes; upon which, the defendant's counsel insisted strongly on a verdict, which was complied with. But afterwards, upon application by the plaintiff to set the verdict aside, the court after hearing the judge's report, ordered the postea to be amended, and a non-suit to be returned, instead of a verdict for the desendant; and that he should pay the costs of the motion. Barnes 458.

On motion for judgment as in case of a nonsuit, a distinction was endeavoured to be made from common cases, because in replevin desendant might, in the first instance, have carried down the record to trial. Per cur. The act of parliament has made no distinction. Barnes 317.—But the

King's

Of nonprossing, nonsuiting, discontinuing, &c.

King's Bench hold, that the defendant in replevin ought-never to have judgment as in case of a nonsuit, as he himself is an actor, and may carry the cause down. Sayer on Costs. 142.

The plaintiff's goods distrained were not replevied, but, by consent of the attornies on both sides, remained in the distrainors hands; and without any writ of refalo or appearance in the court above, the plaintiff declared, the defendants avowed, and after long special pleadings, and after trial of the issues of the assizes, and a verdict for the plaintiff, the avowants moved to set aside all the proceedings; and the rule for that purpose was made absolute. The court held the agreement to be void, a fraud upon the revenue and officers, and an abuse of the court and the bar; that they had no jurisdiction, and consequently could not give judgment. Barnes 451.

Of the Judgment and Execution in Replevin.

If there is judgment for the plaintiff upon a relicia verificatione, cognovit actionem, nil dicit, &c. or for want of a replication to his plea in bar to the avowry, or upon a demurrer, a writ of enquiry of damages shall be awarded. Com. Dig. 5 Vol. 303.

Or at the request of the plaintiff by the assent of the defendant, the justices may assess the damages without a writ

of enquiry.

But if there is judgment for the plaintiff in replevin, quod adhuc definet by default after appearance, there shall be a special writ of enquiry for the value of the goods or cattle and damages. F. N. B. 69. l. Co. Ent. 611. a.

But where the taking was lawful, the damage shall be only for the detainer, as where goods are taken damage feat fant, and detained after amends tendered. F. N. B. 69:

T.G.

If there is a verdict for the plaintiff, the jury usually affels the damages. 2 Saund. 315.

Or the jury after verdict may be dismissed, and damage's

affelfed by the justices with the defendant's consent.

Or if the jury do not affess the damages, and the goods, &c. should be detained, the plaintiff may make a suggestion thereof upon the roll, whereupon a writ shall go to enquire of the value of the cattle, &c. and damages; upon which the plaintiff shall have judgment for both.

If there is judgment for the defendant upon a demurrer or verdict, or the plaintiff is nonfuited, the defendant shall have return *irreplevifable*; but if the nonfuit is before verdict, the judgment for a return is not *irreplevifable*. 14 H.

7. 6. b. 34 H. 6. 5. a.

If the diffress was for rent, and plaintiff is nonprossed, or judgment is given against him upon demurrer, the defendant may have a writ of enquiry according to 17 Car. 2. c. 7. which vide ante. Or if verdict is given for the defendant, or the plaintiff is nonsuited after issue joined, &c. the jury impanelled or returned shall enquire what arrear, and of what value the distress is, &c. and after such inquisition he shall have a fi. fa. elegit, &c.

If the defendant, upon the judgment de retorno habendo, fue out a writ pro retorno habendo, and the sheriff cannot find the cattle, he may have a capias in withernam, upon the

return of elongata. 2 Leon. 174.

Of the Judgment and Execution in Replevin.

But if the defendant has judgment for a return irreplevisable, if the owner of the cattle or goods tenders all that is due on the judgment, and it is accepted, he shall have a writ of delivery for the goods. 2 Instit. 107.

So if he tenders the whole upon the judgment, which is aftertained upon the avowry, and is refused, he shall have

detinue. 2 Inftit. 107.

Of Prohibition.

Saff external jurisdiction, whether ecclesiastical or civil, is derived from the crown, and the administration of justice is committed to a great variety of courts, hence it hath been the care of the crown, that these courts keep within the limits and bounds of the jurisdiction prescribed them by the laws and statutes of the realm; and for this purpose a writ of prohibition was framed so early as the 3 Ed. 1. which writ issues out of the superior common law courts, to restrain all inferior courts, whether ecclesiastical or temporal, maritimes military, Sa upon a suggestion made in the superior court, that such inferior court hath assumed a greater or other power than was entrusted to it by law, or that it hath resulted to allow of acts of parliament, or hath expounded them contrary to their true and proper exposition and intent, Sc. Sc.

If inferior courts exceed their jurifdiction, the officer who executes the fentence, and in fome cases the judges that give it, are in such superior courts punishable, sometimes at the suit of the king, sometimes at the suit of the party, sometimes at the suit of both, according to the variety of

the case. Dav. 52.

The writ of prohibition is to preserve the right of the king's crown and courts, and is intended for the ease and quiet of the subject; so that it is the wisdom and policy of the law to suppose both best preserved when every thing runs in its right channel, according to the original juris-

diction of every court. Show. Par. Caf. 63.

So that prohibitions do not import that the ecclefiastical or other inserior temporal court are alia than the king's courts; but signify that the cause is drawn ad aliud examen than it ought to be: and therefore it is always said in all prohibitions (be the court ecclesiastical or temporal to which it is awarded) that the cause is drawn ad aliud examen contra coronam et dignitatem regiam. 2 Instit. 602. Rol. Rep. 252. 3 Buls. 120. Palm. 297.

From whence it issues.

HE superior courts at Westminster, having a superinatendency over all inserior courts, may, in all cases of innovation, &c. award a prohibition: in this the power of the King's Bench has never been doubted. F. N. B. 53. 4 Inst. 71.

Also the court of Chancery may award a prohibition, which may iffue as well in vacation as in term time; but such writ is returnable into B. R. or C. B. Bro. Proh. pl.

6. 4 Inft. 81. Will. Rep. 43.

As the common law courts are not always open, if one is fued in an inferior court for a matter out of the jurif-diction, the defendant, if it happen in vacation time, when only the Chancery is open, may move that court for a prohibition: but then it must appear by oath made, that the fact did arise out of the jurisdiction, and that the defendant tendered a foreign plea, which was resused; and if a prohibition has been granted out of Chancery improvide, or without these circumstances attending it, the court will grant a supersedes thereto. Will. Rep. 476. pl. 135.

As the jurisdiction of the court of Common Pleas is founded on original writs out of Chancery, it was formerly doubted, whe her it could, without count or plea depending therein, award a prohibition: but it has been determined by the unanimous sense of all the judges, that this court may, upon a suggestion, grant prohibitions, to keep as well temporal as ecclesiastical courts within their bounds and jurisdictions; and that without any original writ or plea depending: the common law being in these cases a prohibition of itself, and standing instead of an original. Bro. Proh. pl. 6. Noy 153. 12 Co. 58, 108. Bro. Con. pl. 3. 4 Instit. 99. 2 Brownl. 17. Vaughan 157.

The grand seffions in Wales may also send a prohibition, and write to the spiritual courts there, as well as the courts here may. Sid. 92. Sed vide Cro. Car. 341. Jones 330.

Vau. 411.

In B. R. and C. B. this difference hath been made, that in B. R. a prohibition may be awarded upon a bare furmife without any fuggestion on record; but that for a prohibition out of C. B. there must be a suggestion on record, and therefore the latter is considered as the suit of the party, and in which he may be nonsuited, and is not discontinued by the demise of the king; but the former is only in nature of a commission prohibitory, which is discontinued by the demise of the king. Nov 77. Palm. 422. Latch. 114.

But

From whence it issues.

But if an attachment issues upon such prohibition, or the party puts in bail, then it becomes a private suit, not discontinued by the demise of the king; and after such proceeding the party may be nonsuit, but not before. Palm. 423. Latch. 114.

But per Holt, ch. just. B. R. a prohibition cannot be moved for, if it be insisted, till the suggestion be entered

on record. Salk. 136.

So for want of a suggestion on record the court of B. R. discharged the rule to shew cause why a prohibition should not be awarded. Hawkins, assignee of Wooldridge, a bankrupt, against Blaquire and others, assignees of Sampson, Hil. 20 Geo. 2.

It hath been faid, that the granting a prohibition is ex debito justitiæ; but the better opinion seems to be, that the awarding a prohibition is a matter discretionary, i. e. that from the circumstances of the tase, the superior courts are at liberty to exercise a legal discretion herein, but not an arbitrary one in resusing prohibitions, where in such like cases they have been granted; or, where by the laws and statutes of the realm they ought to be granted. Salk. 33. pl. 6. Ld. Raym. 220, 578, 586.

It hath been determined in the house of lords, that no write of error will lie upon the refusal of a prohibition; but when a consultation is awarded it is with an ideo consideratum est, and then a writ of error will lie, because there is a judgment.

Ld. Raym. 545. Salk. 136.

No prohibition can be had, unless the party is in danger by some suit actually depending; and therefore a prohibition cannot be granted before a libel, or appearance to a suit below. Salk. 35. pl. 8. March. 24, 25. For a prohibi-

tion quia timet does not lie. Allen 56.

It is clearly agreed, that in all cases where it appears upon the sace of the libel, that the admiralty or spiritual court, &c. have not a jurisdiction, a prohibition may be awarded, and is grantable as well after as before sentence; for the superior courts are to take care that the inserior courts keep within their due bounds. 2 Inst. 602. 2 Roll. Abr. 318. Noy 137. Sid. 65. Cro. El. 571. Moor 402, 907. Carth. 463. Skin. 299. pl. 2. But after sentence a prohibition shall not go, unless the want of jurisdiction in the court below appears upon the face of the proceedings. Burr. Rep. 4 pt. 2036. to 2040.

HERE the court has a natural jurisdiction of the thing, but is restrained by some statute, as by 23 H.8 for not citing out of the diocese, there the party must come before sentence; for after pleading and admitting the jurisdiction of the court below, it would be hard and inconvenient to grant a prohibition. Vide the authorities antea and Cro. Car. 97. 2 Show. 145. pl. 153, 155, &c. 2 Salk.

543. pl. 1. I.d. Raym. 27. Salk. 30. Carth. 33.

When a prohibition is moved for, the method is for the party to file a fuggestion in court, stating the proceedings that have been had in the court below, and then suggesting the reason why he prays the prohibition; and upon this the court grants a rule to shew cause why a prohibition should not issue; and if upon shewing cause it appears to the court that the surmise is not true; or not clearly sufficient to ground the prohibition upon, they will deny it; otherwise they will make the rule absolute for a prohibition; or if the matter be doubtful they will order the party to declare. Hob. 67.

The court will not grant a prohibition the last day of term, but on motion a rule may be obtained to stay proceedings till the ensuing term. Latch. 7. 2 Rol. Rep. 456.

The suggestion for a prohibition is to the following effect:

The form of a fuggestion for a prohibition to the bailiff of a borough to prohibit him from holding plea in a matter arising extra jurifdictionem.

"Great Britain. Be it remembered, that on ---- next after fifteen days of Saint Martin, in this same term, before the lord the king at Westminster, comes A. B. in his proper person, and giveth the court of our lord the king here to understand, that whereas by a certain act of parliament, made at a parliament holden at Westminster the twentyfifth day of April in the third year of the reign of the late king Edward the first, it was (amongst other things) ordained and established by the authority of the same parliament "Of great men and their bailiffs, and other (the king's officers only excepted unto whom especial authority is given) which at the complaint of some, or by their own authority attack other passing through their iurisdiction with their goods, compelling them to

answer afore them upon contracts, covenants and trespasses, done out of their power and their jurisdictions, where indeed they hold nothing of them, nor within the franchise, where their power is, in prejudice of the king and his crown, and to the damage of the people: it is provided, that none from thenceforth so do; and if any do, he shall pay to him, that by this occasion shall be attached, his damage double, and shall be grievously amerced to the king" as by the faid statute (amongst other things it more fully appears) nevertheless one C. D. not ignorant of the premises, but contriving and intending the faid A. against the form of the statute, unjustly to vex, oppress and weary, and to draw him into plea in the court of our lord the king, of record, of the borough of Bridgnorth, in the county of Salop, held in the faid borough, before the bailiff of the faid borough, in a certain action which had arisen and accrued out of the jurisdiction of that court; and also the common law of the fealm (to every subject of right due) to derogate from and abridge, and the due course of law to subvert, and the issues and profits which to the faid lord the present king thereof might happen, and which to his royal crown especially belongeth to diminish, in the faid court of our faid lord the present king, of record there, held on - in the nineteenth year of the reign of the lord the present king, before the bailiffs of the faid borough, according to the custom of the faid borough, from time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary used and approved, levied his certain plaint against the said A. in a certain plea of trespals upon the case, to the damage of the said C. D. of fifty pounds: and the faid C. D. by pretence of the plaint aforesaid, in form aforesaid, levied and affirmed, then and there caused and procured him the faid A. paffing within the jurifdiction of that court, to be attached and arrested, and compelled the faid A. to appear in the faid court, and the faid A. of and upon the premises unjustly constrained to answer. And thereupon in the same court, held on the day of

in the nineteenth year of the reign aforesail before the faid bailiffs of the faid borough, the faid C. D. upon his aforesaid plaint did declare against the faid A. in manner and form following; that is to fay, C. D. complains against A. B. (here infert the declaration) &c. which said plaint now remains in the faid court depending and undetermined, and there in the faid court is profecuted by the faid C. " Whereas, in truth and in fact, the aforefaid cause of action in the faid plaint and declaration mentioned, arose and accrued to the faid C. D. out of the faid borough of Bridgnorth, and out of the jurisdiction of that court, that is to fay, at the parish of ---- in the said county of Salop, and not within the faid borough of Bridgnorth, or within the jurifdiction of that court. And whereas the faid A. holds nothing of them the faid bailiffs, or within the franchife or jurifdiction of that court. And, whereas in fact, the bailiffs of the faid borough, or any of them, never had or hath power to hold the plea aforesaid, nor to hear and determine the faid plea as aforesaid, arifing and accruing out of the jurisdiction of the faid court, by the laws of this realm, nor by virtue of any letters-patent of the faid lord the prefent king, nor of any of his progenitors or predeceffors, kings or queens of this realm; nor by any title of prescription, from time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary used and approved, or any otherwise howsoever; " And although the said A. all and singular the matters and things by him above suggested bath pleaded in his discharge in the aforesaid court * before the aforesaid bailiff of the said borough, and there offered to verify and prove the same by undeniable testimony

^{*} Note: Where there is no defectus jurisdictionis, but only triationis, the defendant must plead it below, and have his plea disallowed, before he can be entitled to a prohibition. But where there is a defectus jurisdictionis, the party has no occasion to plead it below, before motion for prohibition.—Therefore in the above precedent given of a suggestion there was no occasion for that part of it.

and proof; nevertheless, the bailiffs of the borough aforesaid, the aforesaid plea and allegation of the faid A. there to receive or admit altogether refused, and threatened to give judgment in the said court, in the faid action, against the said A. in contempt of our lord the present king, and to the great damage of the faid A. and contrary to the law of this realm, and also against the form of the statute aforesaid; and this the said A. is ready to verify: whereupon the faid A. humbly imploring the aid and munificence of the court of our lord the prefent king, prays speedy remedy, and a writ of our lord the prefent king of prohibition to be directed to the said bailiffs of the said borough, and other competent judges in that behalf; and also to the faid C. his counfellors, attorneys, and follicitors in this behalf whomsoever, to prohibit them and every of them, that they, or any of them, in the faid plea of trespass, in any manner touching the fame in the faid court, before the faid bail ffs of the faid borough, or any of them, to proceed, should not presume, nor any surther in that behalf should attempt, which to the further derogation of the crown of his present majesty, or to the contempt of the law, or the loss or prejudice of the faid A. might in any wife turn, on -pain of incurring the punishment due to violators of the law of this realm; but from all further profecution against the said A., in the said court, before the bailiffs of the faid borough, or any of them, should utterly defist, and each and every of them should defist; and it is granted to him, &c.

The form of a fuggestion for a prohibition to an ecclesiaflical court on a libel there by a vicar against a parishioner for subtraction of tithes, setting forth that there is a modus in the said parith, &c.

England. Be it remembered, that on next, days from the day of Easter, in this fame term, before our lord the king, at Wejiminfler, comes A. B. by his attorney, and gives the court here to understand and be informed, that whereas all and all manner of pleas, \mathbb{R}_{2}

of and concerning any prescriptions and oustoms whatfoever, within this realm, and the cognizance of fuch pleas belong and appertain to the faid lord the king, and his royal crown, and to the common law, and in the courts of our said lord the king, of record, ought and have always been accustomed to be tried and discussed, and not in any ecclesiastical court. And whereas on the 1st day of Fanuary, 1779, the said A. B. was, and from thence hitherto hath been, and still is, seized in his demesne as of see, of and in divers, to wit thirty, acres of meadow, fituate, lying and being, within the parish of --- in the county of ---- and within the bounds, limits, and titheable places thereof; and, during the time aforesaid, was possessed of divers cows and calves, within the faid parish, and the bounds, limits, and titheable places thereof. And whereas within the faid parish there are, and from time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary have been, certain customs and modes of tithing, that is to fay, one certain custom, &c. [inserting the customs and modusses, &c.] nevertheless, one C. D. clerk, vicar of the parish of aforefaid, not ignorant of the premisses, but contriving unduly to aggrieve and oppress the faid A. B. against the due course of the law of this realm, and to draw the cognizance of a plea which belongs to our lord the king's temporal courts, and ought there to be tried, discussed, and determined to another trial, on the day of 1779, drew the faid A. B. into a plea and caused him to appear in the court christian, before the right worshipful J. C. master of arts, vicar general, and principal of the epifcopal or confistorial court of ____ lawfully constituted his surrogate, or some other competent judge in that behalf, by craftily and fubtilly libelling against the said A. in the said court christian-First, that in the year, &c. [fate the libel] and although the faid A. hath alledged and pleaded all and fingular the matters above fuggested and alledged in the faid court christian, in his discharge of and from the tithes, &c. and offered to prove

the same by indisputable evidence; yet the aforefaid spiritual judges have altogether refused, and still do refuse, to admit or receive the same plea, allegation, or proof, and endeavour with all their might to compel the faid A. to pay the faid monies in the aforesaid libel specified, and daily threaten to condemn the faid A. of and upon the premifes. in contempt of our faid lord the king and his laws, to the great damage and injury of the faid A. and against the course of the law of this realm, and the customs and prescriptions aforesaid, all which faid premises the said A. is ready to verify and prove, as the court of our lord the king here shall direct: wherefore the faid A. imploring the aid and affistance of this court here, prays relief, and his majesty's writ of prohibition to be directed to the faid official principal of the episcopal confistorial court of - aforefaid, his furrogate, or other competent judge in this behalf, to prohibit them and every of them, that neither they nor any of them do any further hold pleas in the faid spiritual court, before them or any of them, touching the premises aforesaid, or any part thereof, &c.

For other forms, respecting other matters, see the various books of entries.

Of proving the Suggestion, and in what Cases necessary, and at what Time.

TF the suggestion for a prohibition is to stop a suit com-I menced in the ecclesiastical court for subtraction of tythes or other ecclefiastical dues, the 14 sect. of the 2 & 3 Edw. 6. c. 13. requires, "That if any party do fue for any prohibition " in any of the king's courts, that then the same party, before any prohibition shall be granted to him or them, " shall bring and deliver to the hands of some of the justices or judges of the same court where such party demandeth the prohibition, the very true copy of the libel dese pending in the ecclefiastical court, concerning the matter 66 whereupon the party demandeth the prohibition, sub-" scribed or marked with the hand of the same party; and " under the copy of the faid libel shall be written the sug-" gestion, wherefore the party so demandeth the said pro-" hibition: And in case the said suggestion, by two bonest " and sufficient witnesses at the least, be not proved true in "the court where the prohibition shall be so granted, with-" in fix months next following after the faid prohibition 44 shall be so granted and awarded, that then the party that is ce letted or hindered of his or their suit in the ecclesiasti-" cal court by fuch prohibition, shall, upon his or their " request and suit without delay, have a consultation grantee ed in the fame case in the court where the said prohi-" bition was granted; and shall also recover double costs and damages against the party that so pursued the said prohi-" bition, &c."

As this statute refers to the statutes 27 & 32 H. 8. which extend to tythes and offerings generally, all such tythes and church duties as are mentioned in those statutes are as much within this act as if enumerated. 2 Instit. 662. Comp. Incumb. 600. Dyer 170. b.

And therefore it extends to prohibitions to suits for small tythes as well as great. Yelv. 102. Ld. Ray. 1172.

So a fuggestion of a modus decimandi ought to be proved within fix months, being within this act. Noy 148. Yelo. 104.

So where the party suggested, that he was to pay so much upon an arbitrament, being the same as a modus decimandi. Roll. Rep. 55.

But there needs no proof of the suggestion where the suit is for tythes contrary to common right, or where the contract of the party is suggested. Yelv. 104, 119. 2 Leon. 29. Hetl. 145. 2 Keb. 134. Lit. Rep. 297.

The

Of proving the Suggestion, and in what Cases necessary, and at what Time.

The fuggestion need not be proved strictly,—proof by hear-say is sufficient. Palm. 397.—or that it is so by common same. Noy 28. Nor with precise certainty as to all its circumstances; but that if it be proved in substance, or in such a manner as to shew that the ecclesiastical court hath not jurisdiction, it is sufficient.

And the fuggestion may be proved by persons, although such persons at the trial may not be able and competent witnesses. Mich. 27 Car. 2. C. B. Sharp v. Hobarts.

If a suggestion consists of two parts, one witness to one part, and another to the other part, is sufficient. Vent.

And note: The fix months is according to the calendar, and not lunar months, for this is a computation which concerns the church. Hob. 179. 2 Mod. 58. Lit. Rep. 19. And the fix months commence from the teste of the writ, and not from the time of the rule for awarding it. 2 Ld. Ray. 1172. 2 Salk. 554. pl. 20, 656. pl. 2.

And if the furmife be proved before one of the judges within the fix months, it is sufficient, although it is not recorded till after the fix months by the court. Noy 30.

But it must be entered in the office. 2 Show. 308.

And proof which is not sufficient may be supplied with

better within the fix months. Lit. Rep. 155. .

The plaintiff had obtained a rule to shew cause why a consultation should not go, for want of the plaintiff's proving his suggestion within the six months, and why the plaintiff should not pay double costs. Upon cause shewn it appeared, that the declaration had been, by rule, ordered to be made agreeable to the proceedings in the spiritual court, and thereupon a prohibition to issue. And the court being of opinion, that the time for proving the suggestion ought to be computed from the time of the amendment, and not farther back; the six months were not expired. So the rule was discharged. Barnes 428.

Of entering the Proof of the Suggestion,

HEN the party has proved his suggestion before a judge, according to the 14th sect. of the 2 & 3 of Edw. 6. c. 13. an entry of such proof must be drawn out in order to be entered of record in court, which is to be done in the following manner, after the suggestion and the award of the writ of prohibition.

"And the writ of the prohibition of the lord the king is granted to him, &c."

AFTERWARDS, that is to fay, on the in the twentieth year of the reign day of of our fovereign lord George the third, of Great Britain, France, and Ireland, king, defender of the in the county of faith, &c. at before [the judge] comes, the faid A.B. in his proper person, and to verify, testify, and prove his suggestion aforesaid, and all and every matter and thing contained in the same suggestion on the part of the said A. B. to be proved, produceth three good lawful and fufficient witnesses, to wit, O. P. of in the county aforefaid, husbandman, and aged about twenty-four years, or thereabouts; Q. R. of the same place, labourer, aged fixty years or thereabouts; and S. T. of the parish of in the faid county, farmer, aged forty years and upwards, before the faid justice at aforesaid, according to the form of the statute in such case made and provided: which faid witnesses so as aforesaid produced by the said A.B. being then and there sworn upon the holy Evangelists, to depose the truth of and upon the premises specified in the aforesaid suggestion, say and depose, and each of them feverally upon his oath faith and deposeth in manner and form following, that is to say, the said O. P. for himself, upon his oath faith and deposeth, that, &c. [Here enter the proof of what he swears.] And the said Q. R. for himself upon his said oath saith and deposeth, that, &c. [Enter what he swears to.] And the said O. P. and Q. R. for themselves severally upon their said oath say, and depose, that, &c. [Enter what they both swear to.] And the said Of entering the Proof of the Suggestion.

S. T. for himself, upon his said oath, saith and deposeth that, &c. [The part he swears to.] Which said depositions taken before the said [the name of the judge] in form aforesaid, the said justice afterwards, to wit, in Michaelmas term, in the nineteenth year of the reign of our said lord the now king, delivered by his own proper hands, into the court of our said lord the king of the Bench, here to be enrolled of record.

Sworn the day of in the year of our Lord 1780.

By me

Where the Suggestion must be verified by an Affidavit.

N some cases the courts require an affidavit to be made on application for a prohibition, to support the suggestion. Per Holt ch. just. B. R. in Godfrey v. Llewellin. 11 W. 3. the bishop of St. David's case. Where the matter suggested for a prohibition appears upon the face of the libel, we never insist upon an affidavit; but unless it appear upon the face of the libel, or if you move for a prohibition as to more than appears upon the face of the libel, to be out of their jurisdiction, you ought to have affidavit of the truth of your suggestion. Salk. 549. pl. 3.

Upon motion for a prohibition, there must be an affidavit that the matter suggested to have been pleaded was pleaded below in the spiritual court, and disallowed, vide Ld. Raym. 1211. for otherwise, any one might come and suggest a salse sact, and so oust the spiritual court of their ju-

risdiction.

In Hynes v. Thempson, [mentioned by Aston Jee, in Buggin v. Bennet. Burr. 4 pt. 2039-40.] Lord ch. just. Lee laid down the rule to be, "That if you move for a prohibition upon any thing not appearing upon the face of the proceedings, you ought to have an affidavit of the truth of the fuggestion." And he cited Godfrey and Llewellin. Salk. 549. in point; and 2 Salk. 551. pl. 13. where Holt ch. just. laid down the law to be, "That wherever the matter which you suggest for a prohibition is foreign to the libel, you must plead it below, before you can have a prohibition; otherwise, where the cause of prohibition appears upon the libel." And lord ch. just. Lee said in that case of Hynes v. Thompson, that he thought it must either be pleaded "that there was fuch a custom," or an affidavit of it. And Mr. J. Chapple hinted, that prohibitions had been too easily granted: And was of opinion, that there ought to have been an affidavit to verify the suggestion:

In Driver v. Colgate, [mentioned also by Asson fee, in the same case of Buggin v. Bennett. Burr. 4 pt. 2039—40.] The court held, that there was no necessity to plead it below, in cases of prohibition for words spoken where they are by the custom actionable, as there is in case of a prohibition or suggestion of a modus. For in the former case, they cannot go on if the suggestion be true; but in the latter of a modus—if the modus be admitted in the spiritual court,

they may go on; because the jurisdiction continues.

Ιn

Where the Suggestion must be verified by an Affidavit.

In all other cases the court laid down a general rule, that the matter must either be pleaded below, or verified

by affidavit." Vide Burr. 4 pt. 2040.

As in case for a prohibition to the consistory court of London, in a cause for calling a woman "whore" in London, there must be an affidavit of the custom, and also that the words were spoken there. Theyer v. Eastwick. Burr. 4 pt. 2032.

In C. B. on shewing cause why a prohibition should not be granted, it was objected that no affidavit was filed whereby the libel whereupon the plaintiff had moved appeared to be a true copy. Per cur. The objection is good, Rule discharged. Eaglessield v. Anderson. Barnes 427.

Of granting a Prohibition absolutely, or hos usque only.

PROHIBITIONS are granted either absolutely, or hoc usque only till such an act be done; the first of these is peremptory, and ties up the inferior jurisdiction till a confultation is awarded; the second is ipso facto discharged upon complying with the act, and that without any writ of confultation. 6 Mod. 308.

When a prohibition is moved for because a copy of the libel is denied, the court requires that oath should be made of the denial, and the prohibition is only quousque the copy

be delivered. Vent. 252. 2 Salk. 553. pl. 19.

The stat. 2 Hen. 5. stat. 1. c. 3. requires a copy of the libel in the ecclesiastical court to be given to the party sued there. But as this statute extends only to the ecclesiastical courts, a prohibition was denied to be granted to the admiralty court, upon a suggestion that they resused to give the party sued there a copy of the libel. Ld. Raym. 442.

A prohibition quoufque they give a copy of the libel, if it be granted before any libel exhibited, does not bind them from exhibiting any libel; but after, they shall not proceed

till they give a copy of it. 6 Mod. 308.

It was formerly held by all the judges, that when there was a proceeding ex officio in the ecclesiastical court, they were not bound to give the party a copy of the articles: but the law is otherwise; for in such cases, if they resuse to give a copy of the articles, a prohibition shall go quousque

they deliver it. Ld. Raym. 991.

In C. B. rule was made for civilians to be heard on both fides in relation to a prohibition. Dr. Lee attended to argue against the prohibition; but none would attend to argue for it, as by affidavit appeared. Per Cur. We ought to hear civilians on both sides, or not at all. Enlarge the rule: perhaps, when our opinion is known, a doctor may attend on the other side. Afterwards no civilian attending to argue for the prohibition, the court would not hear doctor Lee against it. Barnes 428.

Of declaring in Prohibition, &c.

THE court seldom awards a prohibition upon the motion, but generally grants a rule nist, or that the adverse party should shew cause why it should not be granted. Cro. El. 16.94. 5 Mod. 247. Ld. Raym. 86. 236. Wil.

Rep. 7. pl. 2.

Also in nice and difficult cases it is usual to direct the plaintiff to declare in prohibition. Cro. El. 736. 4 Mod. 151. Lev. 125. Ld. Raym. 88.—and so proceed to issue, that the merits of the cause may be brought before them with the greater exactness, and the court thereby be the better enabled to judge of the reasonableness of granting or refusing the writ. Stil. Prast. Reg. 43. F. N. B. 44.

When the court inclines to grant the motion for a prohibition, the defendant has a fort of right to infift that the plaintiff shall declare; but where the court inclines against the motion, the plaintiff has no such right, for there might be judgment by default, and the court be obliged to prohibit against their own opinion; and it is no injury to the plaintiff, as he may apply to another court. The King v. the Bishop of Ely. Mich. 30 Geo. 2.

The court is not obliged to give direction for such declaration, but are absolute judges of the sufficiency or insuffi-

ciency of the suggestion. Leon. 181.

On shewing cause against a prohibition, the court made the rule absolute, with a direction that the plaintiff should declare in prohibition. He tendered a declaration, but the defendant refused it, and applied to stay the proceedings, as being willing to submit. The other insisted he had a right to go on, and so get at the costs of the motion, which he could not otherwise have; but the court stayed the proceedings without coffs; faying, the direction to declare was in favour of the defendant, who might waive it. Gegge v. Jones. Stra. 1149. [Vide the ftatute 8 & 9 IV. 3. c. 11. f. 3. which gives costs in prohibition upon plaintist's obtaining judgment, or any award of execution after plea pleaded or demurrer joined | but the plaintiff can recover no costs in prohibition, unless he has execution after plea or demurrer and judgment for him: but then after such judgment and execution, after plea or demurrer, the costs shall be taxed from the suggestion, so as to take in the motion. Wills v. Turner. Hil. 2 Gco. 1. G. B.

Where the party is ordered to declare in prohibition, he ought not to take out the writ, but ferving the other side with a rule is sufficient; and if in that suit he obtain judg-

ment.

Of declaring in Prohibition, &c.

ment, the judgment is stet prohibitio, otherwise it is quod eat consultatio; therefore if the party be excommunicated, the mandatory part of the writ to assoil the party is not to be obeyed till after trial had. The Dean and Bishop of Wells.

Mich. 25 Geo. 2.

It was at the defendant's instance made part of the rule whereby a writ of prohibition was granted, that the plaintiff should declare in prohibition. Defendant afterwards demanded a declaration, and threatened a non pros for want thereof. Whereupon a declaration was prepared: and when it was ready he was told by defendant's agent that he need not deliver it; but as he had been at the trouble and expence in preparing it, he delivered the same, and called for a plea. Defendant pleaded nothing to the merits, but only that he did not proceed in the spiritual court after the prohibition, gave a rule to reply, and demanded a replication. Whereupon plaintiff applied to the court, and obtained a rule for defendant to shew cause, why he should not pay the plaintiff's costs of the proceedings in prohibition. was made absolute. The court looked on the plea to be a sham nugatory plea, and not to the merits of the cause: the allegation, that defendant has proceeded, contrary to the prohibition, is and must be put into every declaration of this kind: but whether he has so proceeded, or not, is totally im-The stat. 8 & 9 W. 3. c. 10. s. gives costs after plea or demurrer: but this is not a plea within the statute. Seed v. Wolfenden. Barnes 148.

In cases of tythes and such sort of matters where many things are in controversy, it is very frequent to order the prohibition to stand as to part, and a consultation to go as to

the other part.

If the declaration in prohibition varies from the suggestion, this is naught, and a consultation shall be awarded. 7 Mod.

113. Leon. 128. For the surmise is as the writ.

The declaration in prohibition is founded upon an attachment for a contempt, and therefore the declaration in prohibition is a qui tam declaration, for it supposes a contempt to the king in proceeding after the writ delivered. 12 Co. 61.

Where an issue is joined on a declaration in prohibition, if the jury find a verdict for the plaintist, yet they shall give no more than one shilling damages, for it is in nature of an issue to inform the conscience of the court. Carter v. Leeds. Mich. 2 Geo. 2. But after the plaintist has had

judgment

Of declaring in Prohibition, &c.

judgment quad flet prohibitio, he may bring his action upon the case, and recover the damages he has sustained.

If the jury, upon an issue joined in a prohibition de modo decimandi, find a different modus, than that alledged by the plaintist, yet the defendant shall not have a consultation; because it appears that he ought not to sue for tythes in specie, there being a modus found. Vent. 32.

The declaration ought to shew a place where the defend ant proceeded, after the prohibition served; otherwise, the plaintiff shall not have judgment, though the writ of enquiry finds damages. I Vent. 348, 350. Ray. 387. 2

Jones 128. 2 Show. 145.

Two persons cannot join in the declaration, where the

cause of complaint is several. Cro. Car. 162.

If the libel be against several parishioners, who all insist upon the same modus, they cannot join, but must have seve-

ral prohibitions. Yel. 128. R. Raym. 425.

If there appears cause for a prohibition, there shall not be a consultation, though the declaration be desective for want of form; as because there is not the profest of a deed, or letters patent. Per Coke. 1 Rol. 332.

After a rule given to declare in prohibition, the defend-

see any submit, and stay proceedings. Stra. 1149.

Of granting a Confultation, &c.

If a prohibition be granted without notice to the other party, and upon motion it appears that there was no cause for it, the court will grant a consultation, without putting him to declare upon the prohibition. Cro. Car. 97.

So, after a prohibition granted, if upon trial the matter be found for the defendant, generally, a confultation shall

go.

So if the matter found for the defendant varies in words, but not in substance, from the suggestion; as if the suggestion be, that two thirds of the tythes belong to the plaintiff,

and the verdict is two entire parts of all tythes.

So if there be a material variance between the suggestion for a prohibition, and the libel in the spiritual court, there ought to be a consultation; for the prohibition ought to be founded upon the libel; as if the libel be for tythes of corn, and a modus be suggested for tythes of hay, upon demurrer to the declaration in prohibition, a consultation shall go. Yel. 79.

So if there be a variance in quantity, as if the libel be for two hundred faggots of wood, and the suggestion be for

twenty only. Yel. 79.

So after a prohibition granted, if it appears that the spiritual court has conusance for part, a consultation shall go

quoad, &c. 12 Co. 44.

So if, after a prohibition granted, it be not served till sentence and appeal, it cannot be afterwards used. Gro. Jac. 429.

But a consultation shall not be granted except in term.

12 Co. 41.

Nor by a judge, but only in court. Ibid.

Nor after a declaration upon a prohibition, it shall not be granted upon motion before plea or demurrer. Cro. Car.

238.

Nor shall a confultation go, where a verdict is found for the defendant, if it appears upon the whole matter that the spiritual court has no conusance; as if a prohibition be upon a suggestion, that all lands in A. are discharged by a modus, and there is a verdict for the desendant, because it is found that all, except ten acres, are within the modus; yet a consultation does not go for such mistake in the issue, if the libel was not for tythes of the ten acres. 2 Rol. 320, L. 5, 15. Hob. 192.

So if the suggestion was of unity, ratione cujus he shall be discharged, and a verdict finds that he shall not be dis-

charged

Of granting a Confultation, &c.

charged ratione inde; though it be against the plaintiff, yet being impertinent, for the fact to be tried was, whether there was an unity, &c. a consultation does not go? 2 Rol.

320. l. 35. 11 Có. 15.

So, though there be an immaterial variance between the fuggestion and the libel, a consultation does not go: as if the fuggestion be for a total discharge upon the stat. 31 H. 8. and recites the libel to be for twenty faggots, where it was for two hundred; for it was not material for what quantity the libel was, when the plaintiff claims a discharge for the whole. Yel. 79.

So if the suggestion varies in quantity from the libel, if it be conformable to the copy of the libel delivered by the spiritual court, this variance shall not be a ground for a

confuliation. 2 Rol. 329. 1. 45.

A writ of consultation is to the following effect:

GEORGE the third, by the grace of God, of Great Britain, France, and Ireland, king, defender of the faith, and so forth. To the right worshipful J. C. master of arts, vicar general, and principal official of the episcopal or consistorial court of -&c. Whereas C. D. lately in the court christian before you impleaded A. B. by the the name and description of A. B. of the parish of ——— in the county of Devon, for this, that by the laws, &c. [Here set forth the substance of the libel.] as by the libel of the faid C. D. amongst other things more fully appears. And whereas the faid A. B. has lately profecuted and caused to be directed to you our certain prohibition, out of our court, before our justices at Westminster, that you should no farther hold the plea aforesaid, in the court christian aforesaid, before you or any thing farther in that behalf attempted, by pretence of which our faid prohibition you have from thence hitherto delayed, and yet do delay further to proceed in the cause aforesaid, as we have understood, to the great damage of the faid C. D. and to the manifest prejudice of the ecclesiastical liberty .-Wherefore the said C. D. hath in our court, before our faid justices at Westminster, humbly befought Vor. II.

Of granting a Confultation, &c.

us to grant him our aid and affistance in this behalf; and we favourably confenting to the petition of him the faid C. D. and being unwilling that the cognisance, which to the ecclesiastical court in this behalf belongs, should be further delayed by fuch false and subtle affertions; because in our said court, before our said justices at Westminster, it is in such manner proceeded, that it is confidered by the fame court, that the faid C. D. may have our writ of consultation to the court christian aforesaid, our faid writ of prohibition to the contrary thereof notwithstanding, whereof the said A. B. is convicted, as it appears to us on record. We therefore being unwilling that the faid C. D. should be in any wife injured in this behalf, fignify to you, and command, that you may in that cause lawfully proceed and further do what you shall know to belong to the ecclesiastical court, our faid prohibition to the contrary thereof before to you directed in any wife notwithstanding.

Witness Sir William de Grey, knight, at Westminster, the day of, &c.

Where a Prohibition may be granted after a Confultation awarded, and where not, and of Disobedience to the Writ of Prohibition.

PY the 50 Edw. 3. c. 4. "It is ordained and stablished, That where a consultation is once duly granted upon a prohibition made to the judge of the holy-church, that the same judge may proceed in the cause by virtue of the same consultation, notwithstanding any other prohibition to him thereupon delivered: Provided always, that the matter in the libel of the said cause be not engrossed, enlarged, or otherwise changed."

Judge of holy-church, means spiritual judge; and therefore, this statute extends not to the court of admiralty. 2 Brownla

35.

Same judge, means ecclefiaftical judge in general, or perfon competent, and not to the same individual person. Pop.

159. Palm. 418. Latch. 6, 75.

This statute hath been construed to extend to those cases only where a consultation hath been lawfully granted; that is, upon the right and merits of the thing in question, and not to such cases where for defect of form, misprission of a clerk, mispleading an act of parliament, &c. consultations have been awarded. 2 Brownl. 26, 247. Leon. 130. 3 Bulst. 182. Moor 917.

And therefore regularly, where a confultation was awarded upon the merits, the party shall not have another prohi-

tion upon the same suggestion.

Though he appeals, and then prays another prohibition.

R. Pop. 159. Lat. 6. 1 Rol. 378. Moor 919.

Though the consultation be granted by another court. Cro. El. 277.

And though he varies in the modus upon which the former

prohibition was had. 1 Rol. 378.

But if a consultation was awarded for want of form in the suggestion, or proceeding thereon, another prohibition

may be allowed. Cro. Car. 208.

So if the consultation was awarded for want of proof of the suggestion within the fix months, pursuant to the statute 2 5 3 Edw. 6. the plaintiff is not precluded, but may bring another prohibition, [but then he must pay double costs according to the statute, Carth. 463.] for this statute of Edward 3. goes to the suggestion made upon the same libel, and to a consultation duly granted, and not to the case of not having witnesses ready to prove the suggestion through S 2 negligence.

Where a Prohibition may be granted after a Confultation awarded, and where not, and of Disobedience to the Writ of Prohibition.

negligence. But it was said by Holloway just. that after a consultation awarded for not proving his suggestion, &c. the party shall be for ever barred from having another prohibition on the same libel. Comb. 63.

But if, after a confultation for want of proving his suggestion, the party appeals, there may be another prohibition to the court, to which the appeal was, upon the same suggestion. 2 Rol. 500.

So if after a consultation the libel is enlarged or changed.

2 Rol. 207.

So if a consultation goes for a collateral matter, as if the plaintiff was nonsuited. Com. Dig. 4 Vol. 484. But. Keb. 286.

—If upon the trial of a suggestion the plaintiff be nonsuit, no new prohibition shall be granted, although the nonsuit was occasioned for want of some of the plaintiff's witnesses, who were to prove the truth of the suggestion, and who were necessarily obliged to be absent.

But where the suggestion was for a modus of tythe of lambs in the parish, and a consultation went; another prohibition shall go upon a suggestion of the same modus in a particular farm. 2 Vent. 47. This case is reversed there.

So if a consultation goes, and there be afterwards a new libel for the same species of tythes in another year, a prohibition shall go upon the same suggestion as was tried before. Yel. 102.

And if a consultation goes, and the party against whom, appeals; the appellee may have a prohibition, though the

appellant cannot have it. Pop. 159.

So if, after a consultation, the plaintiff pleads the same matter (which was suggested and found against him at common law) in the spiritual court, which is accepted, and proceeds there for a trial; the former defendant may have a new prohibition: for they cannot try in the spiritual court, a matter determined at a trial at law, which was proper to be there tried, as if a discharge within 31 H. 8 was suggested. 2 Rol. 319. 1.45. Hob. 286.

If the ecclefiastical court refuse to grant a copy of the libel for which a prohibition is granted, and thereupon they grant the copy, and afterwards proceed in the cause, the matter not being within their jurisdiction, another prohibi-

tion lies. Moor 917.

If

Where a Prohibition may be granted after a Consultation awarded, and where not, and of Disobedience to the Writ of Prohibition.

If the defendant in prohibition dies, his executors may proceed in the ecclefiaftical court, and the judges of the court out of which the prohibition was granted will also in such case make a rule to the spiritual court to proceed: But then the plaintiff may, if he pleases, have a new pro-

hibition against the executors. Lil. Rep. 155.

The disobeying a prohibition is a contempt to the fuperior court that awards it, and punishable by attachment, which issues against the judge and party for proceeding after fuch prohibition, and for which they are subject to fine and imprisonment according to the direction of the superior court. F.N.B. 40. Bro. Att. Pro. pl. 5. 9. 11. and 279. Tho' the writ was not directed to the party. 19 H. 6. 54. And fuch attachment may be awarded against a peer of the realm. 21 Ed. 3. 3 pl. 7.

An attachment was granted upon an affidavit that the party proceeded after a prohibition delivered to him, in a fuit for a feat in the church, which the plaintiff claimed by prescription; and upon his appearance and examination upon interrogatories, he confessed the matter, and was fined five marks. Dr. Wainright's case. 2.7on. 47.

And not only an attachment lies for proceeding in the same cause pending a prohibition, but also for instituting a new fuit for the same thing; as if a person libels for tythes, and a prohibition is brought, and he libels for tythes of another year, the first not being determined, an attachment

shall be awarded. Leon. 111. Moor 599.

And in an attachment upon a prohibition, the plaintiff shall recover damages and costs against the party, for proceeding after the writ of prohibition awarded. Cro. Car. 559. 2 Jon. 128. Vent. 348. 3 Lev. 360.

Sa

Df Quare Impedit.

Of the Writ of *Quare Impedit*, and Appearance thereto, &c.

Quare impedit is a possession brought in the Cammon Pleas by all except the king who may bring it in B. R. or C. B. F. N. B. 32. E. and is now the only action used in case of the disturbance of patronage to a church or ecclesiastical benefice; the affize of darrein presentment, which lies only for disturbance where a man has an advowson by descent from his ancestors, having fallen into distuse, as the writ of quare impedit is equally remedial, whether a man claims title by descent or purchase. 3 Blackst. Com. 246.

In order to bring a quare impedit, the party applies to the curfitor for an original writ out of Chancery, which is to to this effect:

G	EORGE the third, by the grace of God, of
	Great Britain, France, and Ireland, king, defender
	of the faith, &c. To the sheriff of
	greeting. Command Thomas, bishop of
	and C.D. efquire, that justly and without delay
,	they permit A. B. to present a fit person to the
	church of in the faid county which
	is void, and in the gift of the faid A. as he faith,
	and whereof he complaineth, that the said bishop
	and C. D. unjustly hinder him; and unless they
	shall so do, and the said A. shall give you security
	that his fuit shall be prosecuted, then summon by
	good summoners the said bishop and C. D. that they
	be before our justices at Westminster, from *-
	to fhew wherefore they will not do it, and have
	you there the fummoners and this writ.

Witness Ourself a	Westminster	, the		day	of
in' th	e twentieth	year	of our reign,	,, `	

^{*} Whit have at least fifteen days between the teste and return,

Of the Writ of *Quare Impedit*, and Appearance thereto, &c.

The sheriff's warrant thereon.

--- to wit. John Williams, esquire, sheriff of the county aforefaid, to O. P. Q. R. &c. jointly and severally, by virtue of his majesty's writ to me directed, I command you, that you or some or or one of you command Thomas, bishop of and C. D. esquire, that justly and without delay, they permit A. B. to present a fit person to the church of ———— which is void, and in the gift of the faid A. as he faith, and whereof he complaineth that the faid bishop and C. D. unjustly hinder him; and unless they shall so do, and the faid A. shall give you security, that his. fuit shall be prosecuted, then summon by good fummoners the faid bishop and C.D. that they be before his majesty's justices at Westminster, from _____ to shew wherefore they will not do it. And that you return the same to me, fo that I may have there the fummoners and this precept given, &c. at, &c. in, &c.

By the same sheriff.

there

By the flat. of Marlbridge, 52 H. 3. The sheriffs ought to make summons by good summoners, and return their names upon the original. 1 Brownl. 158.

And the summons ought to be served on the defendant,

or at the church door. Ibid. 2 Mod. 265.

The defendants may have the common effoin, or de malo lecti. 2 Inst. 124. but no other effoign. 2 Inst. 125. 1 Brownl. 160.

And if the defendants ession, the plaintiff ought to adjourn it for 15 days, otherwise he shall be nonsuited. 1 Brownl. 150. Dal. 81.

Upon default of appearance, and no essoin, the plaintist shall have an attachment, and asterwards a distringus. 2 Inst.

124. 1 Brownl. 128.

And, by the common law, the process to compel an appearance was by distress infinite. Ibid.

But now by the flat. of Murlbridge, if the defendant does not appear, nor cast an ession on the first distress, or before,

Of the Writ of Quare Impedit, and Appearance thereto, &c.

there shall be judgment for the plaintiff, and a * writ to the bishop. 2 H. 4. 1 b. 2 Inst. 124. 1 Brownt. 158. Dy, 353. b.

But if the party be not actually summoned, there shall not be judgment upon default at the diffress. I Mod. 248,

2 Mod. 264.

By the common law, and now by the flat. art. sup. chart. 15. In summonses and attachments, there ought to be 15 days exclusive between the teste and return at least. 2 Inst. 567.

And by the flat. of Marlbridge, in quare impedit, or darrein presentment, there ought to be only 15 or 21 days before

the return.

And the summons ought to be tested the same day it issues, that there may be no prejudice in respect of lapse. Reg. 30. a.

Bro. Qu. Imp. 151.

If the injury done to the plaintiff, or the delay, arises from the bishop alone, as upon pretence of incapacity, or the like, then he only is named in the writ.

But if there be another presentation set up, then the pretended patron and his clerk are also joined in the action.

Or it may be brought against the pretended patron and his clerk, leaving out the bishop.

Or against the patron only.

But it is generally brought against all three—for if the bishop be lest out, and the suit is not determined till six months are past, the bishop is intitled to present by lapse; but if he is named, and is made a party to the suit, no lapse can possibly accrue till the right is determined; and therefore it is always most adviseable to make him a party, Cro. Jac. 93.

If the patron is left out, and the writ is only brought against the bishop and the clerk, the suit is of no effect, and the writ shall abate. Hob. 316. For the right of the patron

is the principal question in the cause. 7 Rep. 25.

And if the clerk is left out, and has received inflitution before the action brought (as is sometimes the case) the patron plaintiff, by his suit, may recover the right of patron-

2663

i.e. A writ ad admittendum clericum; but then, before fuch writ, there must be a writ of inquiry to inquire of four points, which ride fest.

Of the Writ of Quare Impedit, and appearance thereto, &c.

age, but not the present turn, for he cannot have judgment to remove the clerk, unless he be made a defendant and party to the suit to hear what he can alledge against it: for which reasons it is the safer way to insert them all three in

the writ.

If the clerk of the pseudo patron has not been instituted, or if the plaintiff suspects that the bishop will admit the defendant's, or any other clerk, pending the suit, he may, immediately upon suing out the writ of quare impedit, sue out also a prohibitory writ, called a ne admittas; which recites the contention begun in the king's courts, and sorbids the bishop to admit any clerk whatsoever, till such contention be determined. The writ of ne admittas is to this effect:

GEORGE the third, by the grace of God, of Great Britain, France, and Ireland, king, defender of the faith and so forth: To the reverend father in God, Thomas, by divine providence, bishop of _____ greeting. We prohibit you, that you admit a person to the church of _____ which is, void, as it is said, and concerning the advowson whereof, an action is commenced in our court of the Bench, between A. B. esq. and you and C. D. esquire, until it shall be discussed in the said court, Whether the said advowson belongeth to the said A. or to you and the said C. Witness Ourself at Wessminster, the day of in the twentieth year of our reign, &c.

If the bishop doth, after the receipt of this writ, admit any person, even though the patron's right may have been found in a * jure patronatus, then the plaintist, after he has

^{*} A jure patronatus is a commission from the bishop, which he is bound to issue if requested by either of the contesting patrons, or their clerks, directed usually to his chancellor, and others of competent learning, who are to summon a jury of fix clergymen, and fix laymen, to inquire into and examine who is the rightful patron. 1 Burn. 16, 17.

Of the Writ of *Quare Impedit*, and Appearance thereto, &c.

obtained judgment in the quare impedit, may remove the incumbent, if the clerk of a stranger, by writ of scire facias. 2 Sid. 94. and shall have a special action against the bishop, called a quare incumbravit, to recover the presentation, and also satisfaction in damages for the injury done him by incumbering the church by instituting the clerk, pending the suit, and after the ne admittas received *.

If one defendant should appear before the others, the plaintiff may declare against him fimul cum, &c. 1 Brownl, 159.

And note: Summons and severance lies if one plaintiff

will not fue. I Brownl. 158.

And if the writ abates it may be brought by journies ac-

compts. Ibid.

The writ may be general, and the count thereon special. F. N. B. 33. a. 5 Co. 102. b. 10 Co. 135.

^{*} But if the bishop has incumbered the church by instituting a clerk before the *ne admittas* issued, no *quare incumbravit* lies: for the bishop hath no legal notice, till the writ of *ne admittas* is served upon him.

Of Declaring in Quare Impedit.

HE plaintiff in his declaration must shew a title to present. Vide Com. Dig. tit. Pleader, 5 vol. 278.

And if he claims a right to present against common right, he must shew the commencement of it; as if he alledges presentations by turns he must shew how this commenced, by prescription, composition or otherwise. Dy. 299. 3 Leon. 163.

And the plaintiff must shew a title in him before the

avoidance. Dyer 129. b. Bend. pl. 79.

And if there are feveral plaintiffs, and they vary in title,

the writ abates. R. Mod. 184.

The plaintiff ought also to alledge a presentment by himfelf or his ancestor, or some other under whom he claims. Vaug. 7. 17. 57. But though it is generally necessary to alledge a presentment, the want thereof will be cured by a verdict. Stra. 1006.

In a quare impedit for a united church, the patron ought to shew a presentation either to the united church, or to one of the old churches. Vide Ld. Raym. 201, 202.

A purchasor may alledge a presentment by the vendor.

2 Inft. 356.

And if the plaintiff alledges a presentment without a precedent title, he must say it was tempore pacis. I Mod. 230.

But he need not, if a precedent title is alledged. R.

1 Mod. 230.

And if a presentment be alledged by a common person he must say, that the clerk was thereon instituted and inducted.

Bend. pl. 297.

The last presentment regularly shall be mentioned; and therefore if the bishop presents by lapse, upon the next avoidance the patron in quare impedit shall make mention of that. 3 Leon. 18. Dal. 75.

And the plaintiff ought also to alledge a disturbance.

And if the suit be by an executor or administrator, upon an avoidance in the life of the testator, an allegation of the disturbance in the life of the testator is sufficient. R. Sav. 95. Lut. 2.

Of Pleading in Quare Impedit,

O a declaration in quare impedit the defendants may imparl—and afterwards may either join or plead severally. Bro. 2. Imp. 157, 165.

And they may plead in abatement, or to the action.

But the ordinary cannot plead in abatement, or cast an essoin without making himself a disturber. Hab. 200.

Every defendant may plead the general issue, which is ne disturba pas; because the plea doth not defend the wrong wherewith he stands charged, and leaves the plaintiff's title not only uncontroverted, but in effect confessed; and the plaintiff may upon that plea presently pay a writ to the bishop; or at his choice maintain the disturbance for damages. Hob. 162. Vaugh. 58.

But the bishop generally, when made a party to the suit, to shew that he is not a disturber, pleads in bar of the action, that he claims nothing but as ordinary. Hob. 198.

Keil. 43. a. Co. Ent. 498. d. 38 Ed. 3. 2.

He must disclaim, or admit himself a disturber. Hab.

And if he refuses a clerk, without cause, he is a disturber.

I Leon. 230.

Upon such plea by the bishop, the plaintiff may have judgment against him with a writ, but a cessat executio till the other pleas are determined. Hob. 320. Vau. 6. Keil, 43. a.

If a cessat executio is not entered, it is only form. R.

1 Roll. 363.

But if there be not a cessat executio, it is error, if execu-

tion be before the other pleas are determined. Ibid.

If the clerk of the pseudo-patron has been instituted, he generally pleads, that he claims nothing but as persona impersonata ex presentatione of such a one.

Or he may plead plenarty of the plaintiff, or a stranger; and by the common law, plenarty, before the writ for any

time, was a good plea. 2 Inft. 360.

But now by the flat. West. 2. c. 5. It must be pleasity for six months before the action brought to be a sufficient bar of the plaintiff's action, to recover the presentation, is

the plaintiff prevails.

In pleading plenarty for fix months, by the presentment, either of the plaintiff himself, or by collation, or by lapse, by the ordinary, the incumbent need not make title. Noy 30. But where he pleads the presentment of a stranger, he ought to shew title. But plenarty, even for fix months, is no pleas.

Of Pleading in Quare Impedit, &c.

plea against the king, according to the rule, nullum tempus

occurrit regi. 2 Inft. 361.

The defendant patron, if he does not rely on the general iffue, or plead a release, must set up a title and traverse the plaintiff's; but if he shews a title, subsequent to the plaintiff's, he need not traverse the plaintiff's title, for then he confesses and avoids it.

In replying to the defendant's plea and title, it is not fufficient for the plaintiff to destroy that title, without

maintaining his own title. Vaugh. 60.

The contesting parties go on to iffue or demurrer, and the proceedings therein are the same as in other cases; but in this action the plaintiff must recover upon the strength of his own right, and not by the weakness of the defendant's. Vaugh. 7, 8.

Upon the trial the plaintiff is put to make out his own title; and upon failure thereof, the defendant is put upon the proof of his, in order to obtain judgment for himself,

if needful.

If upon the trial the right be found for the plaintiff, the jury are to inquire of four things -1. Whether the church is full. 2. Of whose presentation; for if it be of the defendant's prefentation, his clerk is removeable, if made a party to the fuit, and the plaintiff commenced his action in due time, i. e. infra tempus semestre, by writ. 3. In case of plenarty upon an usurpation, whether fix calendar months have passed between the avoidance and the time of bringing the action; for if * fix months have passed it will not be be within the statute Westm. 2. which permits an usufpation to be divested by a quare impedit brought infra tempus semestre. 2 Inst. 361. 4. Of what value yearly the living is, and this in order to affefs damages according to the stat. West. 2. before which statute no damages were allowed; but by that statute, if fix months pass by the disturbance of any, so that the bishop do confer to the church, and the patron loseth his turn, damages shall be awarded to two years value of the church; and if fix months be not passed, but the presentment be deraigned within the said tim., then damages shall be awarded to the half year's value of the church.

^{*} Note: In all ecclefiastical proceedings, a month means a calendar month; in temporal, a lunar month.

Of the Verdict, Enquiry, Judgment, &c.

IF all the defendants make default upon the distress, the plaintiff has judgment against all; for all are supposed disturbers. R. Mod. 81. and that without title made. F. N. B. 38. 11. Semb. cont. 1 Brown. 158.

But if the plaintiff recovers upon demurrer, there must be a writ of inquiry issued to inquire of the preceding four points before the plaintiff has final judgment, and a writ to the

bishop. R. Mod. 81.

Or after a verdict for the plaintiff, if the jury have omitted to inquire of these points, there shall be a writ of inquiry, and till this is executed, the writ to the bishop shall stay. I Bro. Ent. 327.

If the patron and incumbent confess the action, or nil dicunt, &c. there shall be judgment for the plaintiff, and a

writ to the bishop.

If the church remains void, the plaintiff shall recover no damages; and if the jury asses them, a remittitur de damnis

must be entered. 3 Lev. 59. 2 Inft. 362.

The damages are to be recovered against the disturber; and therefore if the incumbent counterplead the title of the plaintist as well as the patron, the plaintist shall recover the value as well against him as against the patron. But no damages shall be recovered against the bishop, where he claims only as ordinary—and note: The king is not within the statute, because, by his prerogative, he cannot lose his presentation. 6 Co. 52.

Of the Judgment in Quare Impedit, &c.

Fit be found that the plaintiff hath the right, and hath commenced his action in due time, then he shall have judgment to recover his presentation; and if the church be found to be full, by the institution of any clerk, to remove him, unless it were filled pendente lite, by lapse to the ordinary, he not being a party to the suit, in which case the plaintiff loses his presentation pro bac vice, but shall recover two years sull value of the church from the defendant, the pretended patron, as a satisfaction for the turn lost by his disturbance; or in case of his insolvency, he shall be imprisoned for two years. Stat. West. 2. s. 5. 5. 3.

If the action was commenced within the fix months, and the plaintiff have judgment to recover his presentation, and the church be full, whereby the former presentment will be deraigned, then damages shall be awarded to the plaintiff,

for half a year's value of the church.

Upon the plaintiff's recovering his presentation the writ issued in consequence thereof is a writ directed to the bishop, called a writ ad admittendum clericum, which recites the judgment of the court, and orders him to admit and institute the clerk presented; and if upon this order he does not admit him (the clerk being duly qualified) the patron may sue the bishop in a quare non admisst, and recover ample satisfaction in damages.

The writ ad admittendum clericum, is to this effect:

" GEORGE the third, by the grace of God, of Great Britain, France, and Ireland, king, defender of the faith, and so forth. To the reverend father in God, Thomas, by divine permission, bishop of _____ greeting: Whereas A. B. has lately in our court, before our justices of the Bench, at Westminster, by the consideration of the same court, recovered against you and E. F. clerk, and C. D. his presentation to the rectory and parish church of ---- in our county of --- and your diocese, which became vacant, and belongs to his presentation: And whereupon it was confidered by our faid court of the Bench, that the faid A. should have our writ to you the said bishop, the ordinary of that place, to be directed; and notwithstanding your disclaimer, and the claims of the faid E. F. and C. D. or either of them, you theald*

Of the Judgment in Quare Impedit, &c.

should admit a fit person to the rectory and parish asoresaid, at the presentation of the said A. We therefore command you, that notwithstanding your disclaimer, or the claims of the said E. F. and C. D. you admit a fit person to the rectory and parish church asoresaid, at the presentation of the said A. and how you shall have executed this out writ, certify to us on — wheresoever we shall then be in England. Witness Sir William De Grey, knight, at Westminster, the day of — in the twentieth year of our reign, &c.

By the flat. of Westm. 2. c. 30. The judge of nist prius has power to give judgment immediately; yet if he do not, upon return of the postea, judgment may be given by the court to which the return is made.

If the plaintiff is nonfuited, the judgment is peremptory, and the defendant shall have a writ ad admittendum clericum to the bishop. I Brown. 161. but not before title made. F. N. B. 38. K.

But if he has judgment upon demurrer, he shall have a

writ to the bishop presently.

But the defendant cannot have a writ to the bishop, if the quare impedit abates for form, misnomer, or insufficiency.

R. 7 Co. 27. b. F. N. B. 38. M.

Note: If the ordinary does nothing upon the writ ad admittendum clericum, the party may have an alias and pluries, which may be returnable, and after that an attachment. Reg. 42. a. 80. F. N. B. 38. c. Dy. 254. b. 350. a.

There was a fine of 10 l. for a bad return upon the first writ, and an alias under the penalty of 100 l. 3 Lean.

139.

If the incumbent, of which the church is full, was not a party to the writ, he shall never be removed. Co. Lit.

344. b.

By the 3 Hen. 7. c. 10. [which gives costs upon writs of error brought if judgment be affirmed] If the desendant bring a writ of error, and the judgment be affirmed, the plaintiff shall recover his costs and damages for his wrongful delay.

By virtue of this statute, the court of King's Bench have, upon a writ of error, awarded damages according to the

3

Of the Judgment in Quare Impedit, &c.

value of the church found by the vertict. Cro. Jac. 145,

175.

But as the real damages which the plaintiff sustains is only the being kept out of the half year's value, the legal interest on that seems to be all he is entitled to. Stra. 931.

Of Partition.

PY the flatute 8 & 9 W. 3. c. 31. An act for the easter obtaining partition of lands in coparcenary, jointtenancy, and tenancy in common; after reciting, that Whereas the proceedings upon writs of partition between " coparceners by the common law or custom, joint-tenants, " and tenants in common, are found by experience to be "tedious, chargeable, and oftentimes ineffectual, by rea-" fon of the difficulty of discovering the persons and estates of the tenants of the manors, messuages, lands, tene-" ments and hereditaments, to be divided, and the defec-46 tive or dilatory executing and returning of the process of fummons, attachment, and distress, and other impedi-" ments in making and establishing of partitions, by reason " of which divers persons having undivided parts, or pur-66 parts, are greatly oppressed and prejudiced, and the pre-" misses are frequently wasted and destroyed, or lie uncul-"tivated and unmanured, so that the profits of the same " are totally or in a great measure loft; for remedy where-" of, it is enacted, That after process of pone, or attachment " returned upon a writ of partition, affidavit being made " by any credible person of due notice given of the said " writ of partition to the tenant or tenants to the action, " and a copy thereof left with the occupier, or tenant or " tenants, or, if they cannot be found, to the wife, fon or " daughter (being of the age of one and twenty years or " upwards) of the tenant or tenants, or to the tenant in " actual possession, by virtue of any estate of freehold, or " for term of years, or uncertain interest, or at will, of " the manors, lands, tenements or hereditaments, whereof " the partition is demanded (unless the said tenant in actual " possession be demanded in the action) at least forty days " before the day of the return of the faid pone or attach-" ment, if the tenant or tenants to fuch writ, or any of them, or the true tenant of the messuages, lands, tenements 46 and hereditaments as aforesaid, shall not, in such case, " within fifteen days after return of fuch writ of pone, or " attachment, cause an appearance to be entered in such court where such writ of pone or attachment shall be re-" turnable; then, in default of fuch appearance, the de-" mandant having entered his declaration, the court may " proceed to examine the demandant's title, and quantity of 66 his part and purpart; and accordingly as they shall find " his right, part and purpart to be, they shall for so much « give 3

give judgment by default, and award a writ to make partition, whereby such proportion, part and purpart, may be set out severally: which writ being executed after eight days notice to the occupier, or tenant or tenants of the premisses, and returned, and thereupon sinal judgment entered, the same shall be good, and conclude all persons whatsoever after notice as aforesaid, whatever right or title they have, or may at any time claim to have, in any of the manors, &c. mentioned in the said judgment and writ of partition, although all persons concerned are not named in any of the proceedings, nor the title of the tenants truly set forth."

By sec. 2. Provided, that if such tenant or other shall in one year after judgment entered, or in case of infancy, coverture, non-sanity, or absence, within a year after such inability determined, shew a good matter in bar of such partition, &c. the court may set aside such judgment; but if the same is confirmed the party appealing pays costs.

By feet. 3. No plea in abatement shall be admitted or received in any suit of partition, nor shall the same be abated

by reason of the death of any tenant.

By sea. 4. If the high sheriff cannot be present at the execution of the judgment in partition, the under-sheriff, in the presence of two justices, may proceed therein—And in case such partition be made, returned and filed, the tenants, before division, are to remain tenants, under the same conditions, and the landlords, &c. are to make good to their tenants the said parts as before partition made.

By feet. 5. The sheriffs, under sheriffs, &c. are to give due attendance to the execution of the writ of partition, and in case the demandant does not pay the sees to the sheriff,

&c. then the court shall award them, &c.

The above act is made perpetual by the 3 & 4 Ann. c. 18. fest. 2.

Of the Writ of Partition, &c.

SINCE the above statute, partition has been usually made by writ; before which statute it was done either by writ, commission, or consent, and was in many cases liable to be deseated.

By the statute 31 Hen. 8. c. 1. joint-tenants, and tenants in common, or in right of their wives, are compellable by writ to make partition.

To proceed to make partition, according to this statute, the demandant or demandants apply to the court of Chancery, and sue out an original writ, which is to this effect:

GEORGE the third, by the grace of God, of Great Britain, France, and Ireland, king, defender of the faith, and so forth. To the sheriff of --- greeting: If A. B. and C. D. shall give you fecurity, that their fuit shall be prosecuted; then fummon, by good fummoners, E. F. that he be before our justices at Westminster, on the morrow of All Souls, to shew wherefore, whereas the faid A. B. C. D. and E. F. hold together, and undivided, the manor of -with the appurtenances, and fourteen messuages, twelve cottages, sixteen barns, three dove-houses, four stables, thirteen gardens, two hundred acres of land, two hundred acres of meadow, two hundred acres of pasture, two hundred acres of wood, one hundred acres of furze and heath, two hundred acres of moor, one hundred acres of bushy ground, one hundred acres of marsh, one hundred acres of broom, twenty acres of land covered with water, twenty pounds rent; common of pasture for all manner of cattle, court-leet, courts-baron, view of frankpledge, profits and perquisites of court, free warren, free chace, free fishery, goods and chattels of felons, fugitives, outlaws, and those which are put in exigent, Deodands, chattels waifed and estrayed, with the appurtenances, in the parishes of and ---- of which the faid E. F. denieth partition to be made between them according to the * form of the statute in such case made and provided, and unjustly permitteth not the same to be done,

^{*} Or "according to the custom of England" if parceners by custom.

Of the Writ of Partition, &c.

and contrary to the form of the faid statute, as they say; and have you there the summoners, and this writ. Witness Ourself at Westminster, the day of ——— in the nineteenth year of our reign.

Upon this writ the sheriff should summon the tenants, and upon the return thereof, affidavit of the service of such writ should be made according to the statute, to this effect:

Between { A. B. and C. D. demandants, and E. F. tenant.

I. M. and O. P. of —— officers to the sheriff of —— severally make oath, and say, that they the said deponents did on the —— day of —— in the year of our Lord 1779, serve the above named E. F. tenant, with the writ of partition in this cause, by delivering to and leaving with the said E. F. a copy of the said writ, and acquainting him with the contents thereof; and these deponents did, on the said —— day of —— in the said year of our Lord 1779, deliver to and leave with P. Q. R. S. T. V. &c. the occupiers of the messuages, lands, and tenements, in the said writ mentioned, a true copy of the same writ.

Sworn, &c.

Upon default of appearance in court, the demandants should sue out a pone or attachment, which is to this effect:

GEORGE the third, &c. To the sheriff of greeting: Put by sureties and safe pledges, E. F. of that he be before our justices at West-minster in eight days of St. Hilary, to answer A. B. and C. D. wherefore the said A. B. C. D. and E. F. hold together and undivided the manor of with the appurtenances, &c. [as in the writ] of which the said E. F. denieth partition to be made between them according to the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and unjustly T 3

Of the Writ of Partition, &c.

permitteth not the same to be done; and to shew wherefore he was not in our court before our justices at Westminster, on the morrow of All Souls last past, as he was summoned, and have there the names of the pledges and this writ.

Witness, &c.

This writ should be served in the same manner as the writ of partition was, and the parties should be informed of the contents.

This pone should bear teste on the quarto die post of the return day of the writ of partition, and there must be sisteen days between the teste and return of it at least; and by the statute of 8 & 9 W. 3. ante, there must be at least forty days between the service of the writ of partition and the return of the pone or attachment.

Of the Declaration in Partition.

If the tenant or tenants do not within fifteen days after the return of the pone or attachment cause an appearance to be entered, the demandant or demandants may enter their declaration, and the court will proceed to examine his or their title; and if they find the right with the demandant there shall be judgment by default for so much, and a writ awarded to the sheriff to make partition.

Or if the defendant appears, the plaintiff must declare.

The declaration is in the following form:

to wit, E. F. of ——— in the county aforefaid, was summoned to answer A. B. and C. D. of a plea, wherefore, whereas, the faid A. B. C. D. and the faid E. F. hold together and undivided, the manor of — with the appurtenances, &c. [specify the premises according to the writ] of which the faid E. F. denieth partition to be made between them according to the form of the statute in fuch case made and provided, and unjustly permitteth not the fame to be done, and contrary to the form of the statute: And whereupon the said A. B. and C. D. by - their attorney, fay, that whereas they and the faid E. F. hold together and undivided the tenements aforefaid, with the appurtenances, whereof it belongs to the faid A. B. and C. D. and their heirs, to have one moiety of the tenements aforefaid, with the appur--tenances, to hold them in feveralty, fo that the faid A. B. and C. D. of their moiety belonging to them of the tenements aforefaid, with the appurtenances; and the faid E. F. of his moiety belonging to him of the tenements aforesaid, with the appurtenances; may feverally apportion themfelves: He the faid E. F. denieth partition thereof to be made between them according to the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and unjustly permitteth not the same to be done, and contrary to the form of the faid flatute; whereupon they fay, that they are injured, and have damage to the value of one hundred pounds. And therefore they bring fuit, &c.

The declaration by one parcener or joint-tenant against the others, must shew how they are joint-tenants. Cro. El. 64.

T 4.

But

Of the Declaration in Partition.

by teveral titles, and one is not conusant of the others title. R. Cro. El. 64.

So, if they are parceners, &c. a declaration which shews that it was the inheritance of the common ancestor in tail, is sufficient without shewing how the estate tail is commenced. Dy. 79. b.

But if the declaration fays that the plaintiff and defendant were seized in see, where it is found that the defendant

has only in tail, the writ abates. R. Cro. El. 760.

Of Pleading in Partition.

To the declaration there can be no plea in abatement, fince the flat. 8 & 9 W. 3. c. 31. f. 3. Nor shall the writ abate by the death of defendant. Ibid.

And if he pleads in bar, he can plead no other plea than non tenent insimul, for every other plea in bar is tantamount to non tenent insimul.

Upon which plea issue may be taken, and the parties

proceed to trial as in other cases.

But the party may confess the action, and consent that

partition may be made.

In a writ of partition no damages shall be recovered, nor an enquiry of them. Noy 68, 143. for it is a real action.

Of the Judgment in Partition.

AFTER confession of the action or issue tried for the plaintisf, there shall be judgment quod partitio siat. Co. Lit. 167. b.

And thereon a writ shall issue to the sheriff to make

partition.

The judgment in partition.

Therefore it is confidered, that partition be made thereof between them, &c. And it is commanded to the sheriff, that in his proper person he go to the manor and tenements aforefaid, and in the presence of the parties aforesaid, being forewarned, if they shall be willing, the manor and tenements aforesaid, with the appurtenances, by the oath of good and lawful men of his county, respect being had to the true value of the manor and tenements aforesaid, with the appurtenances, he cause to be divided into two equal parts (or as the case is) and one part of those parts he cause to be delivered and affigned to the said A. B. and C. D. and the other part thereof to the faid E. F. to be holden to them and their heirs in feveralty, fo that neither the faid A. B. and C. D. nor the faid E. F. may have more of the manor and tenements aforesaid, with the appurtenances, than it belongeth to them to have; and that the said A. B. and C. D. of their part to them thereof belonging, and the faid E. F. of his part to him thereof belonging, may feverally apportion themselves; and that that portion, by the faid sheriff so distinctly and openly made, he have here from the day of Easter in fifteen days, under his seal and the seals of those, &c.

divided

Of the Writ de partitione facienda, &c.

PON the foregoing judgment, the demandant shall have a writ de partitione facienda, which is to the following effect:

GEORGE the third, by the grace of God, of Great Britain, France, and Ireland, king, defender of the faith, and so forth. To the sheriff of greeting. Whereas E. F. late of - in your county, esquire, was summoned to be in our court, before our justices at Westminster, to answer A. B. and C. D. of a plea wherefore the faid A. B. and C. D. and the faid E. F. hold. together and undivided the manor of with the appurtenances, [specify the premises according to the declaration] and the faid E. F. denied partition thereof to be made between them according to the form of the statute in such case made and provided, and unjustly permitted not the same to be done, and contrary to the form of the statute, as they faid; and the faid E. F. * appearing in our faid court, freely consented that partition thereof might be made: Whereupon it was confidered in our same court, before our justices at Westminster, that partition should be made between them of the manor and tenements aforefaid, with the appurtenances. Therefore we command you, that taking with you twelve free and lawful men of the neighbourhood of - aforefaid, by whom the truth of the matters may be better known, in your proper person you go to the manor, and tenements aforefaid, with the appurtenances; and there, in the presence of the parties aforefaid, by you to be forewarned, if they shall be willing to be present, the same manor and tenements, with the appurtenances, by the oath of the faid twelve free and lawful men, respect being had to the true value of the manor and tenements aforesaid, with the appurtenances, you cause to be

^{*} If there was judgment by default, and the plaintiff declared and proceeded according to the statute, it should so be stated in this writ——So if he pleaded non tenent infimul, and there was a verdict against him.

Of the Writ de partitione facienda, &c.

divided into two equal parts, and one part of those parts to be delivered and affigured to the faid A. B. and C. D. and the other part thereof to the said E. F. to be holden to them and their heirs in feveralty, so that neither the faid A. B. and C. D. and the faid E. F. may have more of the manor and tenements aforesaid, with the appurtenances, than it belongs to them to have: And that the faid A. B. and C. D. of their part to them thereof belonging, and the faid E.F. of his part thereof to him belonging, may feverally apportion themselves. And that that partition by you so distinctly and openly made, you have here from the day of Easter in fifteen days, under your seal and the feals of those by whose oath you shall have made that partition: And have you there the names of those by whose oath you shall have made the same partition, and this writ. Witness Sir William de Grey, knight, at Westminster, the --day of ---- in the twentieth year of our reign, &c.

Upon this writ, the sheriff ought to give notice to the parties of executing the same —— And he ought to attend in person. But by the state of William 3. ante, the undersheriff, or one who officiates as under-sheriff, may execute the same in the presence of two justices.

If the manor to be divided lies intermixt with other lands, so that the jury do not know the limits, quantity, &c. of the tenements to be divided; and the owner of the intermixt lands, &c. will not shew the certainty of his lands, yet the jury ought to make partition as well as they can. Dy. 266. a.

After the partition made, it must be returned to the court under the seals of the sheriffs and twelve jurors. Lit. set. 249.

The return of the above writ.

AT which day here come as well the faid A. B. and C. D. as the faid E. F. by their attornies aforefaid. And the sheriff, namely, John Thomas, equire, now here returns a certain partition between

the

Of the Writ de partitione facienda, &c.

the parties aforesaid, of the tenements aforesaid, by the faid sheriff, by virtue of the aforesaid writ, and according to the form thereof, by the oath of twelve free and lawful men of the neighbouraforesaid made: Which hood of follows in these words, to wit, wit, I John Thomas, esquire, sheriff of the county aforefaid, humbly certify and return to his majesty's justices, at the day and place in the writ hereunto annexed mentioned, that by virtue of the faid writ to me directed, on the ---- day of - in the twentieth year of the reign of king George the third, of Great Britain, France, and Ireland, king, defender of the faith, and so forth, and in the year of our Lord 1780, having taken with me O. P. Q. R. S. T. &c. twelve free and lawful men of my bailiwick, and of the neighbourhood in the faid writ mentioned, by whom the truth of the matter may the better be known, in my proper person did go to the manor and tenements in the faid writ specified; and there, by the oath of the faid jurors, in the prefence of the parties in the faid writ named, by me forewarned according to the command of the faid writ, and by their affent, the faid manor and tenements, with their appurtenances, (respect being had to the true value of the same) I did cause to be divided into two equal parts, and one part thereof, that is to fay, all those two messuages, two barns, and the land thereto belonging, called the containing two hundred and twenty acres, two roods, and feven perches, more or less, late in the occupation of William Jones, and now of Michael Dixon and his assigns, and all that messuage, &c. [specifying in like manner the whole apportionment allotted to the demandants]; and all commons, common of pafture, woods, under-woods, and trees, ways, waters, easements, and appurtenances, to the said feveral messuages, cottages, farms, lands, wood, ground, and premifes belonging or appertaining, or therewith used and enjoyed; all which said premifes are fituate, lying, and being in in my faid county; and did cause to be delivered and affigned to the faid A. B. and C. D. in the

Of the Writ de partitione facienda, &c.

faid writ named, and the other part thereof, that is to fay, all the manor of _____ in the faid county of ----- with the court baron of the fame; and all rights, royalties, members. and appurtenances thereof; and all that barn. farm, and lands, &c. &c. [specifying the whole apportionment allotted to the defendant]. All which faid messuages, cottages, farms, barns, lands, woods, grounds, and premises, are situate. lying, and being in the parish of in my county, I did cause to be delivered and affigned to the faid E. F. efq. in the faid writ named, to be holden to them and their heirs in feveralty, as by the faid writ I am commanded: fo that neither the faid A. B. and C. D. nor the faid E. F. might have more of the manor and tenements aforesaid, with the appurtenances, than it belonged to them to have; and that the faid A.B. and C. D. of their part to them thereof belonging, and the faid E. F. of his part to him thereof belonging, may feverally apportion themfelves.

In witness whereof as well I the said sheriff, as the jurors aforesaid, to this indented partition have set our seals the day and year and place above mentioned.

John Thomas, esquire, theriff.

Of the final Judgment in Partition.

PON the foregoing return to the writ de partitione facienda, the judgment of the court is, "Therefore it is considered, that the aforesaid partition be holden firm and effectual for ever, &c." And therefore this judgment, when made by writ after the appearance of the party, shall not be deseated. Co. Lit. 168. b. 171. a.—even though made against a feme covert—Ibid.—or though not equal—Ibid.—or though not equal, and any one of the parties be an infant. Ibid.

And so by the flat. 8 & 9 W. 3. c. 31. If made without the appearance of the tenant, if he does not appear within fifteen days after the return of the attachment, where an affidavit was made of notice to the tenant forty days before the return of the writ, and a copy of it left with the occupier of the land.

But by the same statute, if judgment be in a writ of partition, without the appearance of the desendant, upon motion shewing a probable bar, or that the demandant hath not title to so much, within a year after judgment, or (if the party was an infant, covert, nonsane, or out of the realm after the inability is removed,) the court may order the desendant to plead, &c.

Or if the demandant's title be admitted, but the partition appears unequal, the court may award a new partition.

Of Error.

Mrit of error lies for some mistake or supposed mistakes in the proceedings of a court of record; for to amend errors or mistakes in a base court, not of record, a writ of false judgment lies; of which hereafter.

A writ of error is a writ of right in all cases but felony and treason, Salk. 504. and may be had against the king.

Error lies either in the same court where the judgment was, or in a fuperior court ---- It lies in the same court where the judgment was given, when the error was not for any fault in the court, but for some defect in the process of the cause, other than in the judgment, or for default of a continuance, Dy. 196. a. or for default in adjudging execution, 1 Rol. 176. 7 H. 6. 30. Yelv. 157. F. N. B. 21. I. as for misprission of the clerk, or for error in fatt; and there the writ may be in the same court, I Sid. 208. except where it was in the Exchequer. 3 Lev. 38.—But if there is error in law, or in the judgment of the court, then the writ lies to a superior court—And such writ of error only lies upon matter of law arising upon the face of the proceedings; so that no evidence is required to substantiate or support it: For if there has been an error in the determination of the facts in the cause, which it was the province of the jury to determine, the method of obtaining redrefs in fuch case, is not by writ of error, but by an attaint of the jury, or appealing to the justice of the court to grant a new trial, in order to correct the mistakes of the former verdict.

A writ of error lies from almost all inserior courts of record in England into the King's Bench. But error does not lie from any inserior court of record in England into the Common Pleas, except in two or three cases; for which, vide Com. Dig. Bac. Abr. &c. But the better opinion seems to be, that error lies not into C. B. in any case.

" coffs

Of Proceeding in Error from inferior Courts.

F there is error in the proceedings of an inferior court of record, application must be made by the * plaintiff in error to the cursitor for a writ of error, which writ may bear teste before the judgment given; and this is the usual course for preventing and superseding the execution; but then judgment below must be given before the return of it. 3 Keb. 308. Vent. 96. Latch. 133. For if it should be made returnable before judgment given, it is such a fault as is not amendable. Stra. 807.

Upon a short abstract or pracipe delivered, the cursitor will make out the writ of error returnable in B. R. as that court has, by its constitution, a superintendency over all

inferior jurisdictions.

Vol. II.

As foon as the writ is made out, the party who fued it must carry it to the prothonotary of the inferior court, and get it allowed; and if execution should have been sued out, that officer will also grant a supersedeas to the same; but if no execution should have issued, the allowance of the writ of error is of itself a sufficient supersedeas, and no execution can then be taken out.

Upon a writ of error to remove the record from an inferior court, the party removing it need not formerly have put in bail below; for the statutes requiring bail upon profecuting error, did not extend to the inferior courts of record. But now, by the stat. 19 Geo. 3. c. 70. s. " No " execution shall be stayed or delayed, upon or by any writ " of error, or supersedeas thereon to be sued, for the revers-"ing of any judgment in any inferior court of record " where the damages are under 10 l. unless such person or " persons, in whose names such writ of error is brought, " with two fureties, fuch as the inferior court shall ap-" prove, before such stay made, or supersedeas awarded, be " bound unto the party for whom such judgment was given " in a recognizance to be acknowledged in the same court " in double the fum adjudged to profecute fuch writ of er-" ror with effect; and pay (if the judgment is affirmed " or writ of error nonproffed) all the debt, damages, and

^{*} CF It is a certain rule, that all the parties to the fuit below, must, in all cases of error, be made parties also in the writ of error; and in case of the death of a party, he must be named, and his death alledged in the writ. And if one refuses, he should be summoned and severed. Carth. 7. Stra. 606.

Of Proceeding in Error from inferior Courts,

" costs adjudged; and all costs and damages awarded for the delaying of execution."

On the return of the writ of error, the defendant in error should serve the plaintiff in error with a rule out of the inferior court to transcribe the record, who, upon service thereof, should be speak the transcript of the record of the proper officer below, and carry the same into the office, and file the same, if in B. R. with the signer of the writs. The officer who is to receive and deliver out writs of error and certiorari, &c. now Mr. Heberben.

The transcript should be filed before the second seal or the defendant in error may apply and get a certificate from the office, that the writ of error is not returned, and the transcript brought in; and may thereupon apply to the cursifier for a writ of executio judicii directed to the court below, and commanding them, that, notwithstanding the writ of error,

they proceed to execution on the faid judgment.

The defendant in error cannot transcribe the record. 1 Wilf. 35. but if the plaintiff does not transcribe it, he must be served with a rule to transcribe. But if the transcript of the record is carried in and filed in due time, each party ought to bespeak a copy thereof, which is made out at the rate of 4d. per sheet; and the defendant in error should then, in order to accelerate the plaintiff in error, sue out a scire sacias quare executionem non directed to the sheriff of the county where the original action was brought, and which is to this essect:

GEORGE the third, by the grace of God, &c.

To the sheriff of ______ greeting. Whereas

A. B. lately in our court of _____ [the inferior court] before the judges of the same court without our writ, and by the judgment of the same court, recovered against C. D. 101. for his damages which he sustained as well by occasion of the not performing certain promises and undertakings made by the said C. to the said A. at ______ in your county, and within the jurisdiction of the same court, as for his costs and charges expended by him about his suit in that behalf whereof the said C. is convicted, as by inspecting the record and process thereof in our court, before us at West-minster, now remaining, and which for certain causes

Of Proceeding in Error from inferiar Courts.

causes we lately caused to be brought in our same court before us, appears to us. And now, on behalf of the faid A. in our court before us, we have been informed, that although judgment be thereof given, yet execution of the faid damages still remains to be made to the faid A. Whereupon the faid A. hath befought us, that a proper remedy may be provided for him in this behalf: And we, being willing that what is just should be done in this behalf, do command you, that, by good and lawful men of your bailiwick, you make known to the said C. that he be before us on.* ----- wheresoever we shall then be in England, to shew if any thing he has or knows to say for himself, why the said A. ought not to have his execution against him of the damages aforesaid, according to the force, form, and effect of the faid recovery, if it shall seem expedient to him; and further to do and receive what in our fame court before us shall be considered of him in this behalf, and have there the names of those by whom you shall make known to him, and this Witness, &c.

If nihil is returned to this writ, the defendant in error may fue out an alias feire facias which differs only from the above in this, that it is said, "do command you as before we have commanded you, that by good and lawful men, &c."

If a fcire feci is returned to the former writ, or there be a nihil also returned to the latter, the defendant in error must enter a rule with the clerk of the rules, which is given in the same manner as a rule to plead, and which expires in four days. After the expiration of which, if the plaintiff in error has neglected to affign errors, the defendant may enter an award of execution on the roll for the amount of the judgment below; but then the defendant in error is not entitled to costs, nor is the plaintiff thereby precluded

from

^{*} A fci. fa. quare executionem non on error from C. B. and all other writs and process must be returnable on a general return ubicunque, and have fifteen days between the teste and return.

Of Proceeding in Error from inferior Courts,

from proceeding in his writ of error, but may afterwards proceed therein and affign his errors, even though execution be actually executed; and in such case, after affignment of errors, joinder in error, and argument thereon, if the judgment given below be reversed above, the plaintiff in error shall have restitution of all he hath lost by reason of the execution.

On motion to set aside an execution taken out upon a judgment in a scire facias quare ex. non, because they had affigned errors before. On reference to the master, he reported an old rule, that if the party pleads to the scire facias, and it goes against him, execution may be sued out; but that the writ of error shall go on notwithstanding. Where-upon the court, in consideration of the delay, established it as a standing rule, for the future, that if upon the return of the scire facias the plaintist assigns his errors, then all farther proceedings shall be stayed upon it; but where he chuses to stand out upon pleadings to the scire facias, execution shall go if it be adjudged against him. Gardner v. Claxton, Stra. 391. vide also Stra. 679. Parker v. Stanton, Ld. Raym. 1414.

Of Proceeding in Error from inferior Courts, and herein of non-prossing the Writ of Error.

BUT to prevent the plaintiff in error from reassuming the proceedings after the rule is out, upon default made upon the fire feci, or upon two nihils returned, the better way for the defendant in error to proceed is, to non-pros the writ of error, which is done by getting another rule from the master [after the former rule is out] upon the back of the transcript of the record for the plaintiff in error to assign errors de recordo. Enter this rule with the clerk of the rules, and serve a copy thereof on the plaintiff in error's attorney; upon which, if the plaintiff in error does not assign his errors within four days, the defendant may sign a non-pros, and then may have his costs taxed and allowed.

The entry of a non-pros in error from an inferior court, after two scire facias's and nihils returned, is in this man-

ner:

Hilary term, twentieth of George the third.

- "England, to wit. C. D. puts in his place William Lyon, his attorney, to profecute his writ of error against A. B. in a plea of trespass on the case.
- "England, to wit. The faid A. B. puts in his place George Hodgson, his attorney, against the said C. D. on the said writ of error in the plea aforesaid.
- England, to wit. Our lord the king hath fent to the judges of his court of --- [name the inferior court] his writ close in these words, " to wit," George the third, &c. [here copy the writ of error, and the transcript of the record, according to the office copy thereof] afterwards, to wit, on - next, after the octave of Saint Hilary, in this same term, before our lord the king at Westminster, comes the said A. by his attorney aforefaid, and fays, that execution of the faid judgment still remains to be made to him; therefore he prays the writ of our lord the king, to be directed to the sheriff of the said county of ---to warn the said C. to be before our lord the king, wherefoever, &c. to shew if any thing he has or knows to fay for himfelf, why the faid A. ought not to have his execution thereof against him of

Of Proceeding in Error from inferior Courts, and herein of non-prossing the Writ of Error.

his damages, costs and charges aforesaid, according to the force, form and effect of the faid recovery; and it is granted to him, &c. by which it is commanded to the sheriff of the county Scire facias of ---, that by good and lawful men of his bailiwick he make known to the faid C. that he be before our lord the king on wherefoever, &c. to shew in form aforesaid, if, &c. And further, &c. the same day is given to the faid A. &c. at which day, before our lord the king at Westminster, the said A. comes by his attorney aforesaid, and the sheriff of the said Nibil re- county of —, to wit, O. P. esquire I returns, that the faid C. hath nothing in his bailiwick, by which he can make known to him; nor is he found in the same: Therefore, as before, it is commanded to the sheriff of ---aforesaid, that by good and lawful men, &c. he Alias scire facias I make known to the said C. that I he be before our lord the king, - wheresoever, &c. to shew in form aforesaid, if, &c. And further, &c. the same day is given to the faid A. &c. at which day, before our faid lord the king at Westminster, the said A. comes by his attorney aforesaid; and the sheriff of the faid county of —— to wit, the faid O. P. Nibil re- desquire, likewise returns, that the said S.C. hath nothing in his bailiwick, by which he can make known to him, nor is he found in the fame: and the faid C. although on the fourth day folemnly required, came not, but made default: and upon this the faid A. faith, that the faid C. hath not yet assigned error or er-Day given to af- I rors in the faid record and proceedfign errors. Sings. Therefore a day is given to the faid parties, before our lord the king at Westminster, until, &c .- Tthe day in the rule given by the master] to wit, to the said C. to assign error or errors in the faid record and proceedings, &c. At which day, before our lord the king at Westminster, the said A. comes by his attorney aforefaid; and the faid C. at that day, although folemnly demanded, comes not, but makes default; Of Proceeding in Error from inferior Courts, and herein of non-prossing the Writ of Error.

nor doth he further profecute his faid writ of error against the said A. It is therefore considered, I that the faid C. be in mercy, and that I the faid A. have therefore his execution against the said C. of his damages, costs and charges, according to the force, form and effect of the said recovery, &c. And it is further confidered, that the faid A. recover against the said C. --- pounds, adjudged to the faid A. by the court of our faid lord the king now here, according to the form of the statute, for his damages, costs and charges, which he hath sustained by occasion of the delaying the execution of the said judgment, by pretence of profecuting the faid writ of error, and that the faid A, likewise have execution thereupon, &c."

If the plaintiff in error appears and proceeds, the next step is to assign errors. An assignment of errors may be either general or special, and in either case must be signed by counsel.

The plaintiff may affign for error, error in fact, or error in law. F. N. B. 20. E. But he cannot affign both, for this will be double. I Rol. 761. l. 35. I Lev. 105. 76. I Sid.

147

Nor can he assign several errors in fact, but he may several

errors in law. F. N. B. 20 E.

In error from an inferior court, the plaintiff in error cannot alledge diminution of the record. Sid. 40. 147. Nor can the defendant; but the court, if they see occasion, may award a certiorari ad informandum conscientiam.

Nor can he assign for error matter contrary to the record. Cro. Jac. 21. 1 Lev. 76. 1 Salk. 262. 1 Lev. 313. Cro.

Jac. 244.

Nor matter which he might have pleaded. 1 Rol. 762. l. 40. Though judgment were by default. Though Dub. Cro. Jac. 547.—But Carth. 124. fays—Not matter which might have been pleaded in abatement.

An affigument of errors is in the place of a declaration in error, and must be engrossed and delivered over to the defendants on treble-penny stamped paper; the entry whereof

is in this form:

Hilary term, twentieth George the Third.

Stormont and Way.

fays, that in the record and proceedings aforesaid, and also in giving the judgment aforesaid, there is manifest error in this, to wit, That the declaration aforesaid, and the matters therein contained, are not sufficient in law for the said A. to maintain his said action against the said C. there is also error in this, that by the record aforesaid here sent, it appears, that the judgment aforesaid, in the plea aforesaid, in form aforesaid given, was given for the said A. when by the law of the land of this kingdom of England, judgment in the plea aforesaid

> aferefaid ought to have been given for the faid C. against the said A. and so the judgment aforesaid is erroneous; and hereupon the faid C. also prays, that the judgment for the faid errors, and other the errors in the record and proceedings aforefaid, may be reverfed, annulled, and fet aside, and that he may be restored to all things which he hath loft by occasion of the said judgment, &c."

> > George Bond.

If plaintiff in error moves to amend his assignment of errors, it is always on payment of costs, for he comes for a favour of the court. Fizgib. 268.

The transcript on record ought to be entered by the plaintiff in error the same term it is brought into the office; but if he neglects so to do, the defendant in error may enter

As foon as the transcript is entered, and the plaintiff hath also affigned his errors, and entered the same on record; and if the defendant does not immediately plead or join in error, the plaintiff may fue out a scire facias ad audiendum errores, which is to this effect:

> GEORGE the third, &c. To the sheriff of greeting: Forasmuch as in the record and proceedings, and also in the giving of the judgment in a certain plaint lately levied in our court of --- before the judges of the same court between A. B. and C. D. of a plea of trefpass on the case, manifest error, as it is said, hath intervened to the great damage of the faid C. as by his complaint we are informed, which faid record, and proceedings therein, we have, for certain reasons, caused to come in our court before us; and we, being willing, if any error there be, to correct the same, and to do unto the parties aforefaid full and speedy justice in this behalf, do command you, that, by good and lawful men of your bailiwick, you make known to the faid A. that he before us in ---- wherefoever we shall then be in England, to hear the proceedings

aforesaid, if it shall seem expedient unto him; and surther, to do and receive what in our said court before us shall be considered of him in this behalf, and have there the names of them by whom you shall make known unto the said A. as aforesaid; and this writ. Witness, &c.

If the defendant in error does not come in and plead, or join to the affignment of errors upon the return of the scire facias ad audiendum errores, the plaintiff may have an alias scire facias, &c. and upon default thereto, the plaintiff in error must proceed to argument, and will be heard ex parte.

After judgment for the defendant the plaintiff brought error, and affigned infancy in defendant, and appearance by attorney, then took out a sci fa. ad aud. errores; and after a scire feci returned, entered the default; and on producing the record, the judgment was reversed on motion, without making it a concilium, or putting it in the paper. Walmfley v. Roson, Stra. 1210.

If the errors assigned are *special*, the joinder in error must be signed by counsel, and ingrossed on stamped paper, and then delivered over to the plaintist's attorney: but if the errors assigned are *general*, the defendant's attorney may join in error directly, and deliver the common joinder in nullo est erratum, on a treble penny stamped paper, to the plaintist's attorney, paying him 2 s. 4 d. for entering the same on record.

Stormont and Way.

Hilary term, twentieth George the Third.

 $\begin{cases}
A. & B. \\
ats. \\
C. & D.
\end{cases}$ There is not any error.

George Hodgson, attorney for the defendant in error.

The joinder of "in nullo est erratum" to an assignment of error in sast, is a confession thereof, if the error in sast be well assigned; for if error in sast be assigned, and the defendant in error would deny it, he should not join in nullo

est erratum; but ought to take issue upon it, so as to have it

tried by the country. Sid. 93. Raym. 59.

But if the affignment is of an error in fact, and that be ill affigned, "in nullo est erratum" is no confession of it; as if it be affigned, that such a one, at the time of the return of the venire, was not sherisf, and the record be removed; there, in nullo est erratum is no confession of that sact; because the record thereof is not in court, that being no part of the record, for the plea is in nullo est erratum in recordo. Cro. Jac. 12. 29. 521. Raym. 231. Cro. Car. 421. Rol. Abr. 758.

Also if an error in fact, that is not assignable, be assigned, and in nullo est erratum be pleaded, it is no confession; as if it be assigned, that on such a day there was no court sitting; because that is against the record, and then in nullo est erratum is only a demurrer. So if a man says, he did not appear, and the record says he did, in nullo est erratum is no confession, but a demurrer, because it is against the record. Vide Bac. Abr. title Error, 2 vol. 218. and autho-

rities there cited.

If the plaintiff in error therefore affigns error in fact, which is affignable, and the defendant would not confess it, he must not join in nullo est erratum, but plead thereto, and then the parties go to issue upon the error in fact, the record is made up, as in other cases, and the matter goes to a

jury.

But where the defendant joins in nullo est erratum, the parties thereupon are at iffue in law for the determination of the court; and in such case either of them may enter the same on record, and move for a concilium, or day, for arguing the errors. Then the cause for argument must be entered with the clerk of the papers, and error-books made up and delivered to the respective judges of the court, in like manner as upon argument of a demurrer *.

The defendant in error cannot give a rule to affign errors, before he has given a rule on the scire facias quare executionem

non.

^{*} For the inftructions to do which, vide title Demurrer, &c. in the first vol.

A rule to affign errors was set aside, because given before any rule on the scire facias quare executionem non. Marshal

v. Cope, Stra. 917.

If the plaintiff in error, on being served with a rule for that purpose, affigns his errors, and the desendant joins in nullo est erratum, in making up the record, pursue the foregoing precedent of non-pross as far as the day given upon the rule, and then go on with the joinder in error, &c. in the following manner:

" Whereupon the faid A. B. by George Hodgson his attorney, comes and faith, that neither in the record and proceedings aforefaid, nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid in any thing is there error; and he prayeth, that the court of our lord the king now here may proceed to the examination, as well of the faid record and proceedings aforefaid, as of the matters aforefaid above assigned for error; and that the judgment aforefaid may be in all things affirmed. But because the court of our faid lord the king now here are not yet advised of giving their judgment of and upon the premises, therefore a day is given to the said parties to be before our lord, until, &c. ---- wheresoever, &c. to hear judgment thereof; for that the court of our faid lord the king is not yet advised thereof: at which day came here into court, as well the faid C. as the faid A. by their attornies aforefaid; upon which the premises being considered, as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, as the judgment aforesaid on the same given, and the causes before for error assigned, being by the court of our lord the king here diligently examined and fully understood; it seemeth unto the court of our faid lord the king here, that the judgment aforesaid is not in any wise vicious or desective; and that in the faid record there is not any thing erroneous. Therefore it is confidered by the faid court of our lord the king, before the king himfelf now here, that the judgment aforesaid in all things be affirmed, and do stand in its full force and

and effect (the faid causes above for error alledged in any thing notwithstanding); and it is surther considered, that the said A. B. do recover against the said C. D. sixteen pounds ten shillings, by the court of our said lord the king now here adjudged to the said A. at his request, for his costs and charges which he hath expended by reason of the delay of the execution of the said judgment, and by the prosecution of the said writ of error.

Upon affirmance of the judgment, the defendant in error may take out his execution, either a fi. fa. ca. fa. or elegit—which writ of execution recites the former judgment below, the removal of the record into the court above, and the affirmance thereof, together with the costs given upon the affirmance.

If judgment were given below for the defendant, and the plaintiff brings error, and thereupon the court reverses the former judgment, the court above gives such judgment as the court below ought to have given—and then there is no costs upon the writ of error, but only the costs of the original action given. Wyvill v. Stapleton, Stra. 617.

On writs of error from inferior courts, the superior court takes notice of the laws and customs of inferior courts; but otherwise upon removal of a cause by habeas corpus. Salk.

269.

Of Proceeding in Error from the Court of Common Pleas into the King's Bench.

IF an erroneous judgment is given in the Common Pleas, the writ of error, in all cases, is made returnable into

the court of King's Bench.

In order to bring error, the attorney for the party suing it finds the number of the judgment roll from the doggett of the prothonotary's office, and thereby finds the roll in the treasury, of which he makes a copy, and thereupon the cursitor makes out the writ of error. Comp. Att. 63. Which may be sued out before judgment, but judgment must be given before the return of it. 3 Keb. 308. Vent.

96. Latch. 133.

When the writ of error is obtained from the cursitor, you must get it sealed, and then carry it to the clerk of the errors, and pay him his see for the allowance of it, who will give you a notice in writing of such allowance; after which you should serve the other party's attorney with a copy of the writ, and allowance thereof, which should be served immediately; for till it is served it is no supersedeas to the execution; nor is it a supersedeas in many cases, unless bail be put in by the party suing the writ of error.

This

Of Bail in Error, where requisite.

THERE are several statutes requiring bail to be given by the party prosecuting error to reverse a judgment; in order to understand which I shall state the statutes in their order, and give several of the determinations of the courts

upon those statutes.

The first statute requiring bail on error, is the 31 El. c. 3. f. 3. which enacts, "That before any allowance of any writ of error, or reversing of any outlawry be had by plea or otherwise, through or by want of any proclamation to be had or made according to the form of this statute, the defendant in the original action shall put in bail, not only to appear and answer to the plaintiss in the former suit in a new action to be commenced by the said plaintiss, for the cause mentioned in the first action, but also to satisfy the condemnation, if the plaintiss shall begin his suit before the end of two terms next after the allowing the writ of error, or otherwise avoiding of the said outlawry."

The next statute requiring bail on error is the 3 Fac. 1. c. 8. entitled "An act to avoid unnecessary delays of execution"-whereby it is enacted, "That no execution shall " be stayed or delayed upon or by any writ of error, or " fupersedeas thereupon to be sued for the reversing of any "judgment given in any action or bill of debt upon any if fingle bond for debt, or upon any obligation with condi-" tion for the payment of money only; or upon any action " or bill of debt for rent, or upon any contract fued in any " of his highness's courts of record at Westminster, or in "the counties palatine of Chester, Lancaster, and Durham; or in the courts of great fessions in any of the twelve shires " of Wales, unless such person or persons, in whose name " or names fuch writ of error shall be brought, with two " fufficient fureties, fuch as the court (wherein fuch judg-"ment is or shall be given) shall allow of, shall first, be-" fore fuch stay made or supersedeas to be awarded, be " bound unto the party for whom any fuch judgment is "given, by recognizance to be acknowledged in the same " court, in double the fum adjudged to be recovered by the " faid former judgment, to profecute the faid writ of error " with effect; and also to satisfy and pay (if the said judg-" ment be affirmed) all and fingular the debts, damages, and " costs adjudged upon the former judgment: and all costs se and damages to be also awarded upon the delaying of exff ecution."

This statute requires only bail to be given upon error to reverse any judgment of debt upon any single bond for debt, or obligation with condition for payment of money only, or action of debt for rent, or upon contract.

Therefore bail in error on a judgment in debt on bond, are each bound in the sum recovered, that being double the

fum due. 1 Wil. 213.

But bail is not requisite upon bringing a writ of error upon a judgment in an action of debt founded upon a prior judgment, Burr. Rep. 4 pt. 1548. because this is casus omisfus out of this act, which is to be taken literally and not extended by construction. Ibid.

A bond given by a third person, to a third person, as collateral security for a debtor's paying his creditors 15 s. in the pound upon a liquidated total of his debts, is a bond with condition for the payment of money only within this act — Therefore, bail requisite. Burr. Rep. 4 pt. 746. — And it's being payable by instalments, makes no difference.

No bail is requisite in bringing a writ of error upon a judgment in debt on bond conditioned for performance of covenants, or upon a bail-bond—because these bonds are not conditioned for the payment of money only.

But if the defendant brings error after judgment against him in an action upon a bottomree bond, he must put in bail; because, the contingency having happened, this is now, in every respect, a bond for the payment of money. Stra.

476.

Motion for leave to take out execution, no bail being put in on a writ of error brought by defendant, the action being in debt on bond, conditioned only for payment of money according to the true intent and meaning of an indenture, and not performance of covenants. On shewing cause, the court held that bail was required by 3 Ja. 1. If the bond had been generally for performance of covenants in an indenture, and the only covenant in that indenture had been for payment of money, bail must have been given on error. But the court gave time to put in bail. Barnes 98.

The condition of a bond was for the payment of 500 l. at fuch a day, being the same mentioned in certain indentures of such a date. And error being brought, the plaintiff in error would have been excused from giving bail, because the words of 3 Jac. 1. are "bonds for payment of money only;" whereas, this was rather a bond for perform-

ance of covenants. But the court held, that bail ought to be given; for the material part of the condition was the payment of 500 l. and the other words were only added to shew they were not distinct debts, but only different securities for the same. Desposate v. Horsey. Stra. 959.

Action upon an insimul computasset in C. B. error brought in B. R. after judgment for the plaintiss—and upon the question of bail being requisite—Per cur. This case is out of the statute, for the debt recovered did not accrue by any contract or other duty certain at first, but merely upon an account between the parties, which account has reduced divers uncertain sums to one certainty—Therefore, as the action was founded upon the account, which is uncertain, this case is out of the statute————The same law in debt upon an award, when the arbitrators have reduced divers controversies to be recompensed by one sum: Tho' this is a debt, yet it is not such a one as is intended by the statute, which must be a debt certain at first. Yelv, 227. 2 Bulst. 53.

The original action was in debt on bond conditioned to pay so much money as J. S. should declare to be due on an account; and, after pleadings below, error was brought on the judgment. And by all the judges, except Keeling, the plaintiff in error must put in bail, or execution may go; for this obligation is made for the payment of money only, which, though not certain when the obligation was made, is yet certain before the action brought. I Lev. 117. I

Keb. 613, 690.

The condition of a bond was to perform covenants in an indenture; and amongst the rest was one for payment of money, and the other were collateral; and the breach assigned, was for the non-payment of the money: Yet, on error brought upon the judgment, no bail was taken; for per Holt—this is not a condition for the payment of money only, but to do collateral acts. It is true, the breach assigned is for not paying the money; and therefore, the case upon the pleadings is the same as if the condition had been for the payment of money only, yet the condition is not for payment of money only. Carth. 28.

The condition of a bond was to pay for so much beer as should be delivered to S. not exceeding 100 l. After judgment upon demurrer below, error was brought. Et per cur. No bail requisite. This sum was not certain even at the Vol. II.

time of the action brought. Thrale v. Vaughan. Stra.

But if an action of debt be brought on a bond, conditloned for the performance of covenants, and the defendant lets judgment go by default, without craving over of the condition, and after brings error, he must put in bail; because it does not appear to the court upon the record, that the condition was for performance of covenants.

Motion for bail upon error in an action of debt on bond, conditioned for payment of 300 l. mentioned in a furrender of a copyhold by way of mortgage, and not for performance of covenants, wherein judgment had passed by default. Per cur. There must be bail. This case is out of the stat. 16 & 17 Car. 2. but within the ftat 3 Jac. 1. Barnes 78.

By the 13 Car. 2. c. 2. [reciting the statute of 3 Jac. 1. in the 8 sect.] 9 sect. enacts, "That no execution shall be " flaid in any of the courts * aforefaid, by any writ or " writs of error or supersedeas thereupon, after any verdict " and judgment thereupon obtained in any action of debt " grounded upon the statute made in the second year of " the reign of the late king Edward the fixth, for not " fetting forth of tythes; nor in any action upon the case " upon any promise for payment of money, actions sur " trover, actions of cover ant, detinue and trespass, unless

" fuch recognizance, and in fuch manner, as by the faid " recited former act is directed, shall be first acknowledged " in the faid court where fuch judgment is given."

Sect. 10. "Gives double costs to a defendant by delay " of execution by reason of error brought, if the judgment " be affirmed."

Sect. 11. Provides that the faid statute shall not extend to " actions popular; or upon penal statutes, indictments, &c. " other than the statute of Edward fixth mentioned."

By the 16 & 17 Car. 2. c. 8. s. 3. it is enacted, "That " no execution shall be stayed in any of the aforesaid ‡ " courts, by writ of error or supersedeas thereon, after ver-" dist and judgment thereupon, in any action personal " whatsoever, unless a recognizance, with condition ac-" cording to the statute made in the third year of king

^{*} i. e. The courts mentioned in the statute of Jac. 1.

The same courts as are mentioned in the statute of James 1. viz. the courts at Westminster, courts of the counties palatine, and great fellions. 66 Fames

" Fames the first, shall be first acknowledged in the court " where fuch judgment shall be given:" And further, "That in writs of error to be brought upon any judg-" ment after verdiet in any writ of dower, or in any action of " ejectione firmæ, no execution shall be thereupon or thereby " stayed, unless the plaintiff or plaintiffs in such writ of " error, shall be bound unto the plaintiff in such writ of " dower, or action of ejectione firmæ, in such reasonable sum " as the court to which such writ of error shall be directed " shall think fit; with condition, that if the judgment 66 shall be affirmed in the faid writ of error, or that the " faid writ of error be discontinued in the default of the " plaintiff or plaintiffs therein, or that the faid plaintiff or " plaintiffs be nonsuit in such writ of error, that then the " faid plaintiff or plaintiffs shall pay such costs, da-" mages, and fum and fums of money as shall be awarded " upon or after such judgment affirmed, discontinuance, or " nonfuit had."

And by fest. 4. "To the end that the same sum and sum and damages may be ascertained," it is enacted, That the court wherein such execution ought to be granted, upon such affirmation, discontinuance, or non-suit, shall issue a writ to enquire as well of the mesne profits, as of the damages by any waste committed after the first judgment in dower, or in ejectione sirmæ; and upon the return thereof, judgment shall be given, and execution awarded for such mesne profits and damages, and also for costs of suit."

Provided, "That this statute shall not extend to any writ of error to be brought by any executor or adminifirator, nor any action popular or upon a penal statute,
(except the statute of Edward the sixth) nor upon indictments, &c."

The rule upon error brought after verdict in ejectment for rent, is to justify bail in double the rent due. Burr. 4 pt. 2501.

On error in ejectment, the plaintiff in error being in a remote part of the kingdom, found two sufficient men to be his bail, who were bound in a recognizance, &c. The court, holding that the intent of this statute of Charles the fecond being to secure the defendant in error, it was here fully observed, because this bail was better than the plaintiff's own recognizance, Barnes v. Bulver. Carth. 121. Barnes 103. 78. 75.

A recognizance on error in ejectment, ought to be in the value of two years mesne prosits; and double costs is usually taken in both courts. Barnes 103.

Error on verdict in ejectment allowed, but plaintiff in error entered into no recognizance, nor put in bail, as plaintiff below had not got the costs taxed, without which, the measure or quantum of the recognizance could not be fixed.—Plaintiff below, for want of the recognizance and bail, in four days, took out an habere facias poss. and had possession given him, which the court held to be regular. Et per cur. Defendant should have applied to stay execution, and then the court would have obliged plaintiff to have got his costs taxed. The writ of error is no supersedes without bail. A judge would have taken bail, if applied to. Rule discharged. Barnes 212.

If judgment be against an executor or administrator de bonis propriis, and he brings a writ of error, he must put in bail in such cases as are required by the statutes before mentioned, and pay costs if judgment be affirmed; but if judgment be de bonis testatoris only, he shall neither put in bail

nor pay costs-vide the proviso in 16 & 17 Car. 2.

Though an executor is not obliged to give bail on error, yet the court may take it; and if he does give bail, it is

binding. Stra. 745.

A scire facias against the defendant as administrator on a devastavit alledged, and judgment was de bonis propriis; on which he brought error; and by the whole court he shall find bail, for here he is charged in his proper goods, and it is not as where an administrator is charged in the testator's goods. I Lev. 245. I Sid. 368. 2 Keb. 295. 371.

After an award of execution against bail on a recognizance in error, they brought a writ of error as to such award of execution. Plaintiff moved for leave to take out execution for want of bail on the writ of error brought by the bail, and obtained a rule to shew cause, which was discharged: no bail in this case being requisite. Barnes 194.

Bail is not requisite, as it should seem [sed q.] upon bringing a writ of error returnable in parliament upon a judgment in B. R. in an action of debt brought upon a recognizance in error. Burr. Rep. 4 pt. 1567—8.

But upon error in parliament of a judgment affirmed in

B. R. new bail is required. Salk. 97.

New bail must be put in upon every new writ of error. Ld. Raym. 840.

As if on a judgment in C. B. error is brought in B. R. where the judgment is affirmed, and afterwards error is brought in parliament, the party must give a new recognizance, for the first does not include costs to be assessed in the House of Lords. Salk. 97.

Formerly, upon error brought of a judgment in an inferior court of record, no bail was necessary, as not within either of the foregoing statutes: But now, by 19 Geo. 3. c. 70. it is enacted, "That no execution shall be stayed " upon or by any writ of error or supersedeas thereon to be " fued for the reverfing of any judgment given in any in-" ferior court of record, where the damages are under ten " pounds, unless such person, in whose name the writ of " error shall be brought, with two sufficient sureties, such " as the court [wherein fuch judgment is given] shall allow " of, shall first, before such stay made or supersedeas award-" ed, be bound unto the party for whom any fuch judg-" ment is given, by recognizance to be acknowledged in "the fame court, in double the fum adjudged by the for-" mer judgment, to profecute the faid writ of error with " effect; and also to satisfy and pay sif the said judgment " be affirmed, or writ of error be nonprossed all and fin-"gular the debts, damages, and costs adjudged; and all " the costs and damages awarded for the delaying of exe-" cution."

Bail in Error when to be put in, &c.

HE plaintiff in error has four days after the allowance to put in bail; and the plaintiff in the action, during

that time, ought not to take out execution.

When bail in error is put in, notice thereof ought to be given to the defendant or his attorney; and if the defendant does not except to those bail within twenty days after such notice, they shall be allowed. Reg. Mich. 5 W. & M.

If the defendant in error thinks the bail insufficient, he may at any time, within the twenty days, have a rule from the clerk of the errors for better bail; and after service of such rule, if those bail do not justify in four days, or better bail is not put in within that time, the defendant in error may sue out execution of his judgment below: But the writ of error still remains and may be proceeded in, the fupersedeas to the execution only being taken away. Vide Ld, Raym. 840.

If a rule for better bail is ferved in vacation, the plaintiff in error has not time, of course, to perfect his bail till the next term, but ought to justify before a judge: and execution sued out for want of it, was held regular.

Barnes 211.

Bail in error, who refuses to justify, may have his name struck out of the bail-piece at any time. Jones v. Tubb. in

error in B. R. I Wilf. 337.

After error allowed and notice, plaintiff in the judgment executed a fi. fa. for want of bail in four days. Motion to fet aside the fi. fa. suggesting that plaintiff could not regularly take out execution till after certificate from the clerk of the errors, that no bail was put in. Rule discharged. Such certificates have been frequently taken out of caution, but are not effentially necessary. The stat. 16, 17 Car. 2. is positive as to bail within four days. No bail is yet put in. Bail ought to have been put in before the motion. A question arose, whether, after bail perfected, the goods can be restored ? In Meriton v. Stevens. Mich. 16 Geo. 2. and Sykes v. Dawson. Hil. 18 Geo. 2. held, that if defendant's person be taken by a ca. sa. and bail in error asterwards perfected, the perfon shall be discharged: But in case of a fi. fa. the proceedings, so far as the sheriff has gone, must fand. Incledon v. Clark. Barnes 212.

Bail in a writ of error cannot surrender their principal, in discharge of themselves, for the condition of the recognizance is, that the plaintiff in error shall protecute his writ

with

Bail in Error when to be put in, &c.

with effect; and, if judgment be affirmed, shall fatisfy and pay the debt, damages, and costs recovered, together with such costs as shall be awarded by occasion of the delay of execution; or else that they, the bail, shall do it for him.

Of Proceeding in Error from the Court of Common Pleas into the King's Bench, and herein of transmitting the Record.

HEN the writ is allowed by the clerk of the errors, and bail put in, according to the foregoing statutes, if the record is not brought in, the defendant in error may take out and serve the plaintiff with a rule to transcribe, who must, upon service thereof, give instructions to the clerk of the errors to make up the transcript, who does it by the following times.

If the writ of error upon a judgment in C.B. is made returnable the first return of term, the clerk of the errors does not bring in the roll till the last day of that term.

If it be returnable on any other return of term, he does not bring it in till the first day of the subsequent term.

If, upon a rule given, the plaintiff in error in B. R. does not affign errors, and certify the record within eight days, he will be nonfuited.—But the writ cannot be nonprofied without a rule to affign errors. Burr. 4 pt. 1772.

without a rule to affign errors. Burr. 4 pt. 1772.

In error of a judgment in C. B. into B. R. a mittitur is written on the roll, and the record itself in all cases [except in error on a fine levied there] is transmitted into B. R. 1 Rol. Ab. 752. l. 45. F. N. B. 20. F. Stra. 837.

And the reason why the transcript only of the record upon error on a fine is transmitted, is, that in case judgment is affirmed, B. R. has no chirographer, nor can it hold plea in a quid juris clamat. I Rol. 752. l. 50. Dy. 89. b.

When the record is brought in and filed in the office of the chief clerk with Mr. Heberden, the parties take copies thereof, and the defendant in error may sue out a * scire facias quare executionem non; and if nihil is returned thereto, he may have an "alias." Which writs must have fifteen days between the teste and return, if the proceedings were by original, and be made returnable on a general return—If by bill as against attornies in C. B. on a day certain in term ubicumque.

Of

^{*} Vide the nature and form of these writs ante, under title, "Of proceeding in error from inserior course."

Of Proceeding in Error from the Court of Common Pleas into the King's Bench, and herein of non-prossing the Writ.

I f the record be brought into B. R. by the effoign-day of I the term, the writ of fire facias quare, &c. may bear teste the last day of the preceding term; and if brought in within the term, it may be tested the first day of the term.

Note: That a sci. fa. quare, &c. may be prayed and sued by one executor, upon a writ of error brought upon a judgment for him and another, without shewing that the other

executor is dead. Burr. Rep. 4 pt. 1791.

If two nihils are returned to the fire facias quare, and alias; or fire feci is returned, and the plaintiff in error does not affign errors, the defendant in error may get a rule from the master [by whom all rules in error in B. R. after the record transmitted and before argument thereon are given] for the plaintiff to affign errors. Upon entering of which rule with the clerk of the rules, and serving a copy thereof on the plaintiff in error's attorney, if errors are not affigned within four days, the defendant in error may * non-pross the writ of error, and shall have his costs, according to the statute 8 & 9 W. 3. c. 11. But without such rule to assign errors, a writ of error cannot be non-prossed. Leith v. M'Farlan, Burr. 4 pt. 1772.

A rule to affign errors was set aside, because given before

any rule on the sci. fa. quare exocutio. non. Stra. 917.

The court will not grant over of this scire facias, or allow any plea to it, save an affignment of errors. Mich. 5 Geo. 2. B. R. Miles v. Wolsham.

A fcire facias in error needs not lie in the sheriff's office four days before the return of it, as a scire facias against bail must. Gross v. Nash, Bur. 4 st. 2439. Millar v. Yar-

raway, ibm. 1723.

Error brought, and defendant in error took out a sci. sa. quare, &c. to which the plaintiff in error pleaded, that the damages recovered were levied by a si. sa. And on motion the plea was set aside, as it evidently tended to delay; and this writ is only used as a method to bring the party to assign errors. Stra. 679.

^{*} The form of a non-profs, wide ante under title, "Of Proceeding in Error from inferior courts."

A

Of Proceeding in Error from the Court of Common Pleas into the King's Bench, and herein of non-prossing the Writ.

A sci. fa. ad aud. errores is not well brought before the record of the judgment be certified into the court; to reverse which the writ of error was brought, and errors affigned thereupon: for there is no record in court to warrant the granting of the sci. fa. before the record of the judgment is certified, and errors thereupon affigned.

If the court of G. P. upon a writ of error do not certify all the record, and the plaintiff in error alledges diminution, or assigns for error, that there is no original, or warrant of attorney, and prays a certivrari; the defendant in error may immediately get a rule from the master to return the certiorari, and serve the plaintist's attorney with a copy thereof; and if it be not thereupon returned and siled in the office within four days, the desendant may join in nullo est erratum, and enter on record a non misst breve, and proceed to argument, as in cases of demurrer.

Where the want of an original is affigned for error, the plaintiff in error must sue a certiorari, unless the defendant

in error confess it. Salk. 267.

The case was error of a judgment in C. P. after a verdict. Want of original affigned for error, but no certiorari taken out to get the want of the original certified. In nullo eft erratum pleaded. And when the cause came on in the paper, it was objected, that there ought to have been a certiorari, and a certificate made of the error; for it might be, that there was an ill original, and if that were returned, the plaintiff in error might take advantage of that, and that would not be helped by verdict, though the want of an original were. Per Holt, ch. just. If the want of an original be affigned for error, and the plaintiff in error does not take out a certiorari, and get a return to it, and the want of an original certified; the course is for the defendant to go to the mafter of the office, and get a rule for the plaintiff in error to return his certiorari; and if he does not get it done, as ordered by the rule, the affignment of, error stands for nothing. But if the defendant in error will come in gratis, and confess the error, there need be no certiorari returned. And as to the matter, that there might be a bad original, &c. that is another fort of error, and when the want of an original is affigned for error the court will never intend, that there is a bad original, and judgment was affirmed. Smith and others v. Stoneard, Ld. Raym. 1156.

But an original returned by one not sheriff is not affignable for error. Salk. 265.—And irregularity in the return thereof must be complained of the same term. Ibid.

Want

Want of original was affigned for error, the defendant, before the return of the certiorari, came in gratis and pleaded a release in bar, to which plaintiff demurred and defendant joined. Per cur. The release is mispleaded for want of a venue, and it was agreed, that the court could award, ex officio, a certiorari ad informandum conscientiam, whether there was an original or not.—Sed Holt, cont. Vide Salk. 268.

So the court can ex officio award a certierari to supply a defect in the body of the record, even after in nullo est

erratum pleaded. Salk. 270.

If a variant original is returned on the first certiorari, the defendant in error may sue a second certiorari. Salk. 266.

Continuances cannot be returned upon the same certiorari

with the original. Salk. 269.

If upon error, diminution, want of original, warrant of attorney, &c. is alledged, and a certiorari is fued out, upon which a record is returned contrary to what is before returned, it cannot be received. Vide the case of Tysoun v.

Hylyard, 2 Ld. Raym. 1122.

If error be affigned in the original, and upon a certiorari granted an erroneous original is returned; and upon this, in nullo est erratum is pleaded; and after the court, ad informandum conscientiam, grant another certiorari for another original; and upon this a good original is certified; the court ought to intend that this is the original upon which the judgment was given, in favour of judgments, which ought to be intended to be good. Cro. Car. 91. Style 176. 2 Rol. Rep. 362. Godb. 407. Rol. Ab. 765.

An original writ of the term wherein final judgment is given, will not warrant that judgment, if it appear upon the same record, that there have been proceedings of a preceding term.—But the plaintiff below ought to have an original writ of the term the placita is of. Dyke v. Sweeting,

1 Wilf. 181.

If a certiorari be prayed to certify an original, or a warrant of attorney of a wrong term, and the chief juffice or the custos brevium return, that there is no original or warrant of that term, the defendant in error may make a suggestion of the right term, and pray a certiorari, which when returned

and

and filed, he may join in nullo est erratum, and enter it on the roll, paying the plaintiff's attorney 2 s. 4 d. for it.

Want of original was affigned, certiorari prayed, and return no original; afterwards the defendant applied to chancery; and upon affidavit, that inftructions were given to the curfitor for an original, but they were lost, the court of chancery allowed, that the original should be supplied. Upon which the defendant in error prayed another certiorari, and an original was certified of the same term in which the default of an original was certified before; on which it was moved, that this was irregular; for, before the second certiorari was returned, the defendant ought to have given a copy of the original to the plaintiff's attorney; but the master informing the court, that the course was so when the second original certified was of another term, but not when it was of the same term, the motion was disallowed. Com. 118.

The plaintiff affigned for error want of an original, and the defendant thereupon did not give a rule; but, at his own proper charges, took out a certiorari, and procured a certificate of an original. Sed per cur. This is ill, for the error is not compleatly affigned until the certificate is returned, by which it appears, that there was no original in the cause. Com. 115.

Diminution cannot be alledged upon a writ of error brought upon a judgment in any inferior court—But it may, upon error, in Wales and counties palatine. Sid. 147, 364.

So it may upon error of a judgment before justices of

of oyer and terminer. Sid. 40.

But if on a certiorari upon a writ of error it be certified, that the judgment was quod defend. fit in misericordia, the defendant, in the writ of error, cannot alledge diminution: If that the record is quod capiatur, because that is contra-

ry to the record certified. Rol. Abr. 764.

In a writ of error in B. R. on a judgment in C. B the want of warrant of attorney being affigned for error, the plaintiff prayed one certiorari to the chief justice, and another to the custos brevium; both of whom returned non inveni aliqued warrant; and the defendant dying, the plaintiff, by journies accounts, brought a new writ of error against

against the son and heir of defendant, who appearing, alledged diminution, in that the warrant of attorney was not certified, and prayed another certiorari to the custos brevium; and it was urged, that the return was not quod non habetur, &c. but quod non inveni, &c. so that if upon the second a warrant should be returned it would not be repugnant: But it seemed to Wray, ch. just. That it would be hard to grant a new certiorari in this case; for though if any variance could be alledged, it would be otherwise, as was adjudged in the case of one Lassells, where it was certified there was no warrant, and because the original was inter Lassells execut' testamenti, &c. where he was not named executor in the first certiorari; and upon the matter a new certiorari was granted. Vide Cro. Jac. 277. and Bulstr. 21. ---- Where to the first it was returned, there was no warrant of attorney in that term wherein the action was commenced, and a fecond certiorari was awarded *.

After in nullo eft erratum pleaded, no diminution can be alledged, either by the plaintiff or defendant in error, without leave of the court.

Error, upon a fine in C. B. and error affigned in the proclamations, upon which a certiorari went to the custos brevium, who certified, that two of the proclamations were

^{*} When all the proceedings are in one and the same term, an original of that term will warrant the same, but not otherwise. I Keb. 327, Booth v. Beard. But an original of the term final judgment is given will not warrant that judgment, if it appear upon the same record, that there have been proceedings of a precedent term. Duke v. Sweeting, 1 Will. 181.

The case of originals differs from warrants of attorney; for it is sufficient if a warrant of attorney be filed at any time pending the suit, let it be which term it will. The stat. of Hen. 8. only requires a warrant of attorney to be filed in the cause: and the 4 Ann. requires it to be filed according to the course of the court; and that is, to have it filed any time pending the suit; but it is otherwise as to an original writ, for it there be proceedings in the action in a term preceding the return thereof, the original of a term after will not support them.

made in one day: but it appearing in the chirographer's office, that the proclamations were duly made, and he being the principal officer as to them, and the custos brevium having only an abstract thereof; upon the prayer of the defendant a new certificari was directed to the chirographer, who having certified the proclamations duly made, after examination of the clerks of C. B. by the justices of B. R. they awarded, that the proclamations with the custos brevium should be amended according to those in the custody of the chirographer. 3 Leon. 106.

Of Proceeding in Error from the Court of Common Pleas into the King's Bench, and herein of affigning Errors, Joinder, &c.

HE assignment of errors by the plaintiff ought to be in the same term the record is removed. Lutw. 354. F. N. B. 20 G.

Otherwise the desendant may non-pross the writ after a sci. facias quare, &c. and alias returned nihil, and a rule thereon given to assign errors, which vide ante.

The errors affigned must be signed by counsel, and must be affigned in term, and not in vacation. *Prac. Reg.* 203:

And must be assigned upon the record.

Of affigning errors, and of joinder in error, vide ante under title, "Of Proceeding in Error from inferior courts, &c."

Upon the joinder in error, either party may move for a concilium, and fet the cause down with the clerk of the papers for argument.

After the plaintiff has affigned errors, he may have a fcire facias ad audiendum errores—but such writ is now seldom sued out, as the defendant appears usually gratis; or the plaintiff in error, after his affignment of errors, takes a rule out for the defendant to appear thereto, and serves a copy thereof on the defendant.

Two days at least before the cause comes to be argued, paper-books must be delivered to the judges. 2 fac. 2. though that rule of court says four days before, yet the practice has been for a long time past to deliver the paper-books only two days before.

The plaintiff in error delivers paper-books to the chief juftice and the fenior judge—the defendant to the two junior

judges.

The court will not hear arguments unless books be delivered to all the judges; therefore it behoves the attorney who expects the judgment of the court to be for his client, to deliver all the books, especially as he will be allowed in his costs for the copies he makes for the other side. Mich. 17 Car. 1. Of Proceeding in Error from the Court of Common Pleas into the King's Bench, Argument thereon, Judgment, &c.

HE court has refused to hear any argument on the fide of the party who hath neglected to deliver books, though he has been willing to pay the other side for them.

If a judgment be below for the plaintiff, and error is brought, and that judgment reversed; yet, if the record will warrant it, the court ought to give a new judgment for the plaintiff. Vide Cro. Car. 443. Salk. 401. Rol. Ab. 774. pl. 1. Hob. 194.

But if the judgment be erroneous, and against the plaintiff, that ought to be reversed, and no new judgment given

for the plaintiff. Ibid.

If an erroneous judgment be given for the defendant, and that is reversed, and the merits appear for the plaintiff, he shall have judgment—But if the merits be against the plaintiff, the defendant shall have a new judgment. So it is in the exchequer chamber, for they are to reform, as well as to affirm or reverse it. Ibid.

But in Salk. 262. it is laid down, that where the plaintiff brings the writ of error, and the court reverses the judgment below, they give a new judgment for the plaintiff; but otherwise if the defendant below brings the writ of error, for then they only reverse it.

So in Burr. Rep. 4 pt. 2156. If error is brought by the plaintiff below, the court upon the reversal of the judgment may give such judgment as the court below should have given: but if error is brought by the defendant below, the

court can only reverse it.

And per lord Mansfield, in Cuming v. Sibley, Mich. 10 Geo. 3. B. R. Burr. 4 pt. 2490. Where the plaintiff below brings a writ of error, we may not only reverse what is wrong, but give judgment for what is right. Where the defendant below brings a writ of error, we only reverse such wrong part of the judgment as he complains of.

A judgment cannot be reversed in part, and affirmed in part, unless part is by common law, and part by statute.

Salk. 24.

Of Proceeding in Error by a Plaintiff to reverse his own Judgment.

If a plaintiff having obtained judgment below brings a writ of error to reverse his own judgment [which is nothing strange or unreasonable where it is given for a less sum than he has a right to demand] the common method of bringing a scire facias quare executionem non would be improper, so would his suing out a scire facias ad audiendum errores.—Therefore if such plaintiss in error will not proceed after his writ of error brought, the court of B. R. may and ought to make a rule to oblige him to assign errors within a limited time; which rule the court will make upon the plaintiss in error, to assign errors within four days, or else that his writ of error shall be non-prossed. Johnson v. Jebb, Burr. 4 pt. 1772.

As no writ of error lay of a judgment in the King's Bench but in parliament, and as the subjects were often disappointed of their writ of error by the not fitting of parliament, or by their being employed in publick business when

they did fit:

By the 27 Eliz. c. 8. it is enacted, "That where any "judgment shall, at any time hereafter, be given in the said " court of the King's Bench, in any fuit or action of debt, detinue, covenant, account, action upon the case, ejectione " firmæ or trespass, first commenced there sother than such " only where the queen's majesty shall be party] the party " plaintiff or defendant, against whom any such judgment " shall be given, may at his election sue forth out of the court of chancery, a special writ of error to be devised in " the faid court of Chancery, directed to the chief justice of " the faid court of the King's Bench for the time being, " commanding him to cause the said record, and all things " concerning the faid judgment, to be brought before the " justices of the Common Bench, and the barons of the Ex-" chequer into the Exchequer chamber, there to be examined by the faid justices of the Common Bench, and barons afore-" faid; which faid justices of the Common Bench, and fuch " barons of the Exchequer as are of the degree of the coif, or " fix of them at the least, by virtue of this present act, " shall thereupon have full power and authority to examine " fuch errors as shall be affigned or found in or upon any " fuch judgment; and thereupon to reverse or affirm the " faid judgment as the law shall require, other than for " errors to be affigned or found for or concerning the ju-" risdiction of the said court of King's Bench, or for any " want of form in any writ, return, plaint, bill, declaration, " or other pleadings, process, verdict, or proceeding what-" soever, and that after the said judgment shall be affirmed " or reversed, the faid record, and all things concerning, " the fame, shall be removed and brought back into the " faid court of the King's Bench, that fuch further proceeding may be thereupon had, as well for execution as other-" wife, as shall appertain." The exchequer chamber, under this statute, hath nothing

The exchequer chamber, under this statute, hath nothing to do with errors in fact. 2 Lev. 38. I Vent. 207.

2 Mod. 194.

The writ of error can only be returnable in the exchequer chamber in the feven cases mentioned in this act, where the Y 2

fuit was first commenced in B. R. Therefore if a suit is by original in B. R. a writ of error on a judgment thereon must be returnable in parliament, and cannot be in the Exchequer-chamber, because such suit is not commenced there, but in chancery where the original writ is purchased.

A writ of error therefore can only be returnable on judgments in B. R. in actions of debt, detinue, covenant, account, action on the case, ejectment, and tresposs, first commen-

ced in B. R. which must be by bill.

But if a plaintiff in B. R. be nonsuited, and there is a judgment against him for costs, error lies in Cam Scace.

Stra. 235.

This writ of error is obtained of the curfitor in like manner as all other writs of error are;—and by Reg. East. 36. Car. 2. Every attorney who shall sue out any writ of error on any judgment of this court returnable in the Exchequer-chamber, shall forthwith allow such writ of error with the clerk of the errors of this court for the time being, and no execution shall be stayed until such allowance.

And where special bail * is required, if the plaintiff upon such writ of error does not within four days after the allowance thereof put in special bail, the plaintiff in the action may proceed to take out execution, notwithstanding such

writ of error. Same rule.

If special bail is put in, the plaintiff in error, or his attorney, must forthwith give notice thereof to the defendant in error, or his attorney; and if the defendant in error does not except against such bail within twenty days after such no-

tice, such bail shall be allowed. Mich. 5 W. & M.

The record itself is not transmitted from the King's Bench into the Exchequer-chamber, as it is from the Common Pleas into the King's Bench in cases of error, but only a transcript thereof; and all the rules, until the making up and delivering over the transcript, are given by the clerk of the errors [Mr. Way]: But after delivery of the transcript, all rules, &c. are given by the clerk of the errors of the Exchequer Chamber.

When the plaintiff has assigned his errors in the Exchequerchamber, that court does not award a scire facias ad audien-

^{*} In what cases requisite, vide ante, ticle-" Eail in error where requisite."

dum errores, but notice is given to the parties concerned.

1 Vent. 34. Vide Palm. 186.

If the plaintiff in error, after errors affigned in the Exchequer-chamber, intends to argue the same, he must give ten days notice to the clerk of the errors there, before they shall be argued; and copies of the paper book in error must be made and delivered by the parties—The plaintiff in error delivers books to the judges of the Common Pleas, and the defendant to the barons of the Exchequer. East. 33 Car. 2.

On judgment in error in the Exchequer-chamber, a remittitur of the transcript to the King's Bench is entered, and

the execution thereon iffues out of B. R.

Upon a special verdict, the judgment was in B. R. for the defendant, which judgment was reversed in the Exchequer-chamber. Besides the reversal that court gives a compleat judgment for the plaintiff, viz. that he do recover.

Carth. 319. Ld. Raym. 10.

Judgment for desendant on demurrer was reversed in the Exchequer-chamber; and judgment that the plaintiff do recover, was given, &c. But because, that court had not power to award a writ of enquiry, it was sent into B. R. for the execution of that writ, and thereupon to give final judgment. Vide Carth. 319. Ld. Raym. 10. And authorities there cited.

If error is brought by many in the Exchequer-chamber, and some die, a remittitur should be entered to warrant ex-

cution in B. R. against the survivors. Carth. 236.

If a writ of error abates in Cam. Scace. or is discontinued, there must be a remittitur entered in B. R. for without such remittitur it cannot appear to the court of King's Bench, but that the writ of error is still depending in the Exchequer-chamber.

On a writ of error into the Exchequer-chamber, a scire facias ad audiend. errores was awarded, returnable on the 11th of May; and there being no such day of adjournment in Cam. Scace. it was held to be a discontinuance; and that a writ of error quod coram vobis lies not in Cam. Scace. which has its power by statute, and can only reverse or affirm the judgments of B. R. and remand to the King's Bench for execution; and when the plaintiss in error there is non-suited, or the writ is discontinued, they have no more to do with it, for they have no record before them, but it remains in the King's Bench. And though several precedents

were produced where writs of error coram vobis, &c. were allowed, yet, as those passed without debate, no regard was

paid to them. Cro. Fac. 620.

Error of a judgment in B. R. into Cam. Scace. infancy asfigned, and appearance by attorney below, defendant in error pleaded in nullo est erratum, and prayed the judgment to be affirmed. On argument, all the justices and barons agreed, that error in fact could not be affigned, nor was it examinable in the Exchequer-chamber; and that in nullo est erratum was in the nature of a demurrer to it, and that judgment ought to be affirmed; upon which it was moved, that the plaintiff in error might discontinue his writ, upon payment of costs, which was granted nist, and afterwards made absolute: But afterwards, upon affidavit that the costs were taxed, and had been demanded, and that the plaintiff in error refused to pay them, the rule for discontinuing the writ of error was discharged. The cause was again put into the paper, and the judgment affirmed. Roe v. Sir John Moore, bart. Com. Rep. 597.

In trespass 300 l. damages were recovered, and error was brought on the judgment in Cam. Scace. pending which, plaintiff brought an action of debt on the judgment; and by all the judges, except Keelynge, it well lies, for the record itself is yet in the court, and the writ of error is a

supersedeas only. I Lev. 153.

Of Proceeding in Error from the Court of King's Bench in Ireland into the King's Bench here.

ERROR of a judgment given in the King's Bench in Ireland, lies into the King's Bench here; for it lies not in

the parliament there. Rol. Rep. 17. per Coke.

The writ of error is obtained of the cursitor in the same manner as other writs of error, directed to the chief justice of the King's Bench in Ireland, made returnable in B. R.

The chief justice sends only a transcript of the record, and not the record itself, because of the danger of the seas. Cro. Jac. 535, &c.

And when the transcript is brought over, it must be filed in the chief clerk's office, with Mr. Heberden, the signer of

the writs.

If the plaintiff in error does not bring in the transcript in due time, the defendant, upon a certificate that the transcript is not brought in, may apply to the cursitor for a writ de executione judicii directed to the chief justice in Ireland, whereupon execution may be had of the judgment there.

When the transcript is brought over and filed, copies

thereof are made out for both parties.

It is faid, that neither party can alledge diminution of the record, and pray a certiorari. But see Show, 214. contra. And in Palm. 285. Banister v. Kennedy, where it is faid-If error be brought upon a judgment in B. R. in Ireland in a writ of false judgment, upon a judgment in the Thoulsel, (which is the court of the mayor and aldermen of Dublin) and it is affigned for error, that there was no plaint entered in the Thoulsel, and that the words per quod actio accrevit were omitted in the declaration, if the defendant alledges diminution, yet he shall not have a certiorari to the chief justice in Ireland, to certify the residue of the record, &c.; and that if any part of the record be not before him, that he should write to the mayor and aldermen to certify it, and that he should certify it to this court; for, by the plea of in nullo est erratum in B. R. in Ireland, he hath admitted the record well certified by the major and aldermen: Ap this court hath no authority to require the court of ch in Ireland to write to the mayor, &c. And the whole, of B. R. in Ireland, is only here in question be as to the writ being issued, a superfedeas was granted be inserior inserior inferior though it was prayed that the supersedear

Of Proceeding in Error from the Court of King's Bench in Ireland into the King's Bench here.

inferior court only; but at another day it being moved, that there might be a certiorari as to the words per quod, &c.

it was granted.

In error from Ireland, if the plaintiff does not affign his errors, the way is not to take out a scire facias ad audiendum errores, as is usual on writs of error from C. B. * but to move for a common rule that the plaintiff should affign his errors, and if you cannot find any one concerned for him, fix it up in the office; and if the plaintiff in error, or some one for him, does not appear and affign errors within the time specified in the rule, an affidavit must be made thereof; and upon such affidavit, the court on motion will grant a new rule, that, unless errors be affigned in four days after fixing a new note up in the office, you may be at liberty to sign a nonpros. Stra. 417.

In error from Ireland no bail is requifite, for Ireland is not mentioned in any of the statutes requiring bail upon

profecuting writs of error. Which vide ante.

If the plaintiff affigns errors, he must move for a rule for the defendant to join in error, after service of a copy of which rule, the defendant has four days allowed to join in error.

On the affignment of errors, there must be an affidavit

annexed, verifying the same.

Paper-books are made up and delivered by the plaintiff and defendant in error to the judges, and the cause is entered for argument in like manner, as in error from the Common Pleas to the King's Bench here.

If the judgment is affirmed, the record is transmitted to Ireland, and the court there awards execution—Costs are given at the discretion of the court. Vide Carth. 460.

Ejectment in B. R. in Ireland, judgment for defendant, error brought in B. R. here, and the judgment was reversed; and judgment given, that the plaintiff do recover his term, &c. and resolved, that there should be a writ directed to the chief justice in Ireland to reverse the judgment there,

errorgen in writs of error from C. B. a feire facias, &c. is not out, but the plaintiff is served with a rule to assign

Of Proceeding in Error from the Court of King's Bench in Ireland into the King's Bench here.

and commanding the court there to award execution. Cro. Car. 368.

A judgment in Ireland can be amended here upon a writ

of error in B. R. Vide Burr. Rep. 4 pt. 2157. .

A capias does not lie for costs given here upon affirmance

of a judgment given in Ireland. Ld. Raym. 427.

The declaratory act, 6 Geo. 1. c. 5, which declares the subordinacy and dependency of the kingdom of Ireland on the king and parliament here, and that the House of Lords there have not of right jurisdiction to judge of, affirm, or reverse any judgment, sentence, or decree, given in any court there, is, at present, a disagreeable subject of contention between the two kingdoms. The associations formed in that country seem determined to exact from the legislature here a formal repeal of this odious, unjust, and impolitic act, as they term it. In all probability, therefore, it will be long before our parliament, to quiet the minds of the people, will relinquish their claim to the examination of errors from the courts in Ireland to their own House of Lords.

HE court of parliament is the supreme court where anciently causes of great consequence, as between the magnates regni, were heard and determined. Hence the lords is the dernier resort to which a writ of error lies; and therefore, if a writ of error be brought of a judgment in the King's Bench into the Exchequer-chamber, and there the judgment is reversed, yet a writ of error lies of such judgment into parliament, and the lords may reverse such second judgment.

So, a writ of error lies into parliament upon a judgment given in B. R. upon a fuit originally commenced there, even in those actions mentioned in the stat. 27 Eliz. c. 8. for that statute only gives the party an election in those actions to have the writ of error returnable in the Exchequer-

chamber.

If the suit is by original in B. R. in all cases, a writ of error is returnable in parliament; for the statute of Eliz. only gives the party an action to prosecute error in the Exchequer-chamber in the feven actions therein specified, if such actions were originally commenced in B. R.

The writ of error is obtained of the cursitor as in other cases, and is made returnable, if the parliament is sitting, immediately; or, if the parliament is prorogued, then ad

proximum parliamentum.

If error is brought on a judgment in B. R. the chief justice conveys the roll, with the transcript, to the House of Lords, and leaving the transcript there, takes back the roll. 4 Ins. 21. Dy. 375. a. 1 Rol. 753. l. 20. Cro. Jac. 341. Godb. 247.

Note: Error does not lie from the court of Exchequer into the House of Lords immediately, for the Exchequer-cham-

ber interposes. Salk. 511. 4 Inft. 21. 31 Ed. 3.

When the transcript is brought in, a peer moves the house for a day to be given the plaintiff to assign his errors, which is accordingly ordered, and of which the plaintiff must take notice; otherwise, the transcript will be remitted. Upon the assignment of errors, the defendant joins issue in nullo est erratum, and thereupon another motion is made by a peer for their lordships to appoint a day for hearing the errors. On the day appointed, both parties attend with their counsel; but no more than two counsel will be heard on each side.

To regulate the proceedings in error returnable in parliament, the lords have made several orders; and first, by Or-

do Dom. Proc. die Ven. 13 Dec. 1661.

Forasmuch as upon writs of error returnable in this high court of parliament, the parties therein often desire to delay justice, rather than to come to the determination of the right of the cause; "It is therefore ordered, by the lords spiritual and temporal in parliament assembled, that the plaintiffs, in all fuch writs, after the same and the records be brought in, shall speedily repair to the clerk of the parliament, and profecute the writs of error, and fatisfy the officers of this house their fees justly due unto them, by reafon of the profecution of the faid writs of error, and the proceedings thereupon; and further, shall assign their errors within eight days after the bringing in of such writs with the records; and if the plaintiff makes default so to do, then the faid clerk, if the defendant in fuch writs require it. shall record, that the plaintiff hath not profecuted his writ of error; and that the house do therefore award that such plaintiff shall lose his writ, and that the defendant shall go without day, and that the record be remitted; and if any plaintiff, in any writ of error, shall alledge diminution, and pray a certiorari, the clerk shall enter an award thereof accordingly, and the plaintiff may, before in nullo est erratum pleaded, fue forth the writ of certiorari in ordinary course, without special petition or motion to this house for the same; and if he shall not prosecute such writ, and procure it to be returned within ten days after his plea of diminution put into this house, then, unless he shall shew some good cause to this house for the enlarging of the time for the return of fuch writ, he shall lose the benefit of the same, and the defendant in the writ of error may proceed as if no fuch writ of certiorari were awarded."

And by Ordo Dom. Procer. die Martis, 19 Aprilis 1698.

The house taking notice, that upon appeals and writs of error, there have been of late several scandalous and frivolous printed cases delivered to the lords of this house; for preventing whereof for the suture, It is this day ordered, by the lords spiritual and temporal, in parliament assembled, that no person whatsoever do presume to deliver any printed case or cases to any lord of this house, unless such case of

cales

cases shall be signed by one or more of the counsel, who attend at the hearing of the cause in the courts below, or shall be of counsel at the hearing in this house: and this order to be added to the roll of standing orders, and affixed on the doors of this house, and the courts in Westminster.

And by Ordo Dom. Procer. die Mercur. 22 Dec. 1703.

Upon confideration of the great inconveniencies arifing by motions and petitions for putting off causes after days have been appointed for hearing thereof; It is ordered, by the lords spiritual and temporal in parliament assembled, that when a day shall be appointed for the hearing any cause, appeal, or writ of error, argued in this house, the same shall not be altered, but upon petition; and that no petition shall in such case be received, unless two days notice thereof be given to the adverse party, of which notice oath shall be made at the bar of this house; and it is surther ordered, that this order be added to the roll of standing orders.

And by Ordo Dom. Procer. die Vencris, 21 Feb. 1717.

Ordered, that in all cases upon writs of error depending in this house, when diminution shall be at any time alledged, and a certiorari prayed and awarded before in nullo est erratum pleaded, the clerk of the parliaments shall, upon request to him made, give a certificate that diminution is so alledged, and a certiorari prayed and awarded thereupon. And it is further ordered, that this order be entered on the roll of the standing orders of this house.

And by Ordo Dom. Procer. die Sabbatis, 2 Mart. 1727.

Upon report from the committee of the whole house, appointed to take into consideration matters relating to the proceedings on appeals, and writs of error; It is ordered, by the lords spiritual and temporal, in parliament assembled, that at the hearing of causes for the suture, one of the counsel for the appellant shall open the cause, then the evidence on their side shall be read, which done, the other counsel for the appellants may make observations on the evidence; then one of the counsel for the respondents shall be heard, and the evidence on their side to be read, after which

which the other counsel for the respondents shall be heard and one counsel only for the appellants to reply.

If the parliament is dissolved, the writ of error is abated.

A writ of error returnable in parliament, was discontinued by the prorogation; another writ was brought tested the last day of the session, viz. 1 March, returnable 10 Nov. the day to which it was prorogued. The court resolved, that though the first writ was not discontinued by any act of the party, yet the second writ should be no supersedeas. Vide I Vent. 31.

A writ of error tested 30 Nov. returnable in parliament the 30th of April next, the day to which parliament was prorogued. Per Hale, The lords have lately declared, that 'prorogation does not determine a cause depending in parliament by writ of error; but that comes not to this case, the writ not being returned. A writ of error returnable at the next parliament is not good; but otherwise if they are summoned or prorogued to a day certain. A writ of error bore teste 10 Nov. and returnable 1 Nov. next following, and the record was fent into the Exchequer-chamber, and a mittimus indorfed upon the roll here; and it was refolved, that execution might be taken out, because of the long return. adly, That though there were a mittimus upon the roll, yet the record remained here until the return of the writ to all purpoles.—In the opinion of the court, the writ of error was no supersedeas; but they would make no rule, because not judicially before them; but that the party might take out execution if he thought fit; and then if the other fide moved for a supersedeas, they would resolve the point. 1 Vent. 266. 2 Lev. 120.

If a writ of error be brought in the Exchequer-chamber, and that being discontinued, another is brought in parliament, this fecond writ is a supersedeas. But if a writ of error be brought in parliament, and that abates, and the plaintiff brings a fecond, this is no supersedeas, because it is in the same court. I Vent. 100.

A writ of error does not determine by the prorogation of

the parliament. 2 Lev. 93.

Error of a judgment in B. R. for defendant into dom. Proc. and the judgment was reversed, and the record was remitted into B. R. whereupon the plaintiff moved B. R. for a new judgment. Per cur. A new judgment cannot be given here contrary

contrary to that which is already given; the same court which reversed must give a new judgment. Philips and

Bury, Carth. 319. Ld. Raym. 9, 10.

If judgment below was given for the defendant, upon demurrer, and the judgment be reversed, whereupon a writ of enquiry becomes necessary, in such case, as the lords cannot award a writ of enquiry, the record is remitted to B. R. for them to award the writ of enquiry, and upon return thereof, then to give final judgment. Vide ibid.

Of Proceeding in Error coram nobis, and Error coram vobis.

I F a judgment in B. R. be erroneous in matter of fact only, and not in point of law, a writ of error coram no-bis refidend' may be brought in B. R. where the judgment was given, to reverse that judgment; for error in fact is not the error of the judges, and reversing it is not reversing their own judgment.

This writ of error is allowed in court by the fecondary, or

it may be allowed in vacation by the fecondary.

It is faid to be no fuperfedeas of execution without leave

of the court. Vide Carth. 368, 9.

The flatutes requiring bail in error do not extend to this writ of error.

When the writ is allowed, and notice thereof given, the defendant in error should move for a rule to compel the plaintiff to assign errors; upon service of which he must immediately assign errors. Upon the assignment of error in fact, if the error in fact is assignable and well assigned, the defendant may confess it. Salk. 268.

But a defendant can never confess error in law.

But if the error in fact is not well assigned, the defendant may plead thereto, upon which the parties are at issue in fact; and that must be tried by a jury. The record for trial is then made up the same as in other cases, and either party may carry it down; and in such case, if the issue is sound for the plaintiff in error, he must move to put the cause in the paper for argument, and then upon producing the posses the court will give judgment of reversal.

But if the error in fact affigned, is not affignable for error, then the defendant may join in nullo est erratum, which is in nature of a demurrer, and the same is argued in court

as in other cases.

Error coram vobis, and infancy affigned, a feire facias ad audiendum errores, and seire feci returned; but defendant did not appear and join in error; on which plaintist applied to know what to do. The court directed him to put it in the paper, without taking out any rule to join in error; and when it came on, the judgment was reversed. Thatcher v. Stephenson, Stra. 144.

Error in C. B. infancy affigned. Doubt del court, and feigned iffue, which was found for plaintiff in error, and the judgment was reversed on return of the postea, upon motion, without argument in the paper, but within a day

or two after. Osborn v. Barrington, Stra. 127.

Ιn

Of Proceeding in Error coram nobis, and Error coram vobis.

In F. N. B. 21. It is faid, that a judgment cannot the fame term it is given be reversed in B. R. without a writ of error, though a judgment in the Common Pleas may: but there feems no foundation for this distinction. Moor 186. pl. 332. Yelv. 157. Pop. 181. For during the whole term in which any judicial act is done, the record remains in the breast of the judges of the court; and therefore the roll is alterable during the term, as they shall direct. But when the term is part, the roll is the record, and admits of no alteration. Co. Lit. 260. a.

When a record is removed upon error brought from C. B. or other inferior court into B. R. and the same writ of error is quashed for any other fault than variance, error coram vobis lies in the same court to which the record is removed. Co. Ent. 289. I Stra. 607. and in such case such writ of error is the only writ that can be had. Ibid. Ld. Raym.

1403.

When errors are affigned, and afterwards that writ of error is discontinued, the plaintiff in error may have another writ quod coram vobis residen', and upon this new writ may affign other errors than those he affigned before, either within or without the record, and is not bound to the same errors.

This writ of error coram vobis recites the former writ of error, and must recite it accurately, for where such writ recited the former writ to be returnable coram nobis, where it was before the king and the late queen, it was * quashed. Ld. Raym. 151. Carth. 370. Sed q. and vide title Amending Writs of Error, post.

This writ of error must be entered upon the same roll with the first, that the court may see all together. Gro.

El. 155, 281.

If a writ of error, quod coram vobis, is brought after abatement or discontinuance of a writ of error quod coram nobis, no bail is requifite, because none was required in the writ of error coram nobis.

^{*} But that writ was quashed for that reason, because the writ of error was brought to defeat a judgment; and therefore it should not have a favourable construction; although in the same case it was infifted, that according to a grammatical construction, the relatives and verb being in the plural number, the clause would extend to the queen as well as the king,

Of Proceeding in Error coram nobis, and Error coram vobis.

But if error coram vobis is brought upon discontinuance, &c. of a writ of error upon a judgment of C. B. or other inferior court, it seems new bail is requisite. Vide Carth. 369. and Ld. Raym. 151.

Such writ of error coram vobis is allowed by the secondary

in court. Carth. 369.

Such writ of error coram vobis, is not a fupersedeas of itfelf, therefore the plaintiff in error must move the court for

a supersedeas. Ibid.

If a writ of error once good, abates by plea or death, the inferior court cannot proceed; but the superior court and party may have a new writ quod coram vovis residet; but where the writ is ill, no new writ coram vovis can be had; as where the writ was to remove a judgment quod fuit in turia nostra, where it was in the time of a predecessor. Latch. 198.

Error coram wobis lies not after an affirmance of a judgment, except in case of error upon a fine in C. B. after asfirmance thereof in B. R. Salk. 337. although in this case of a * fine, the transcript is only transmitted into B. R.

upon error brought.

Error coram vobis does not lie in B. R. after error brought in Cam. Scacc. and judgment affirmed. Because, before the stat. of Eliz. B. R. could not examine its own errors in fast, after an affirmance in parliament; and the Exchequer-chamber is now in the same degree with regard to B. R. in those cases within the statute, as the parliament was before, and is now. Vide Stra. 690.

Error coram vobis lies not in the Exchequer-chamber.

^{*} If on error brought into B. R. of a fine in C. B. and the same is reversed, a certiorari goes for the foot of the fine, and it is cancelled in B. R. If it is affirmed the transcript is remitted into C. B. because B. R. has no chirographer.

Of Proceeding in Error tam quam.

A Writ of error tam in redditione judicii quam in adjudicatione executionis, is a writ of error brought by bail (after scire facias against them, and award of execution thereon) of the judgment against them, and the execution awarded thereupon; for they cannot have error of the principal judgment. Vide Cro. Car. 481. 2 Leon. 101. Cro. Car. 561.

Nor can the bail join with the principal in error. Palm.

This writ of error recites the judgment against the principal, but alledges the error in the second judgment, and in the execution thereof to the damage of the bail.

For error in fast the bail are relievable by audita querela.

Yelv. 155.

No bail is requisite upon such writ of error.

Writ of error was not amendable at common law, nor by 🔼 any of the statutes of amendments and jeofails, till the 5 Geo. 1. c. 13. for all amendments are granted for the support of judgments; but the principal design of writs of error is to reverse them. Ld. Raym. 71.

But by the stat. 5 Geo. 1. c. 13. it is enacted, " That all " writs of error, wherein there shall be any variance from "the original record or other defect, may and shall be " amended and made agreeable to fuch record, by the re-" spective courts where such writ or writs of error shall be " made returnable, &c."

No costs are to be paid on any amendment of writs of error, pursuant to this statute; but if the writ of error be quashed, the defendant in error shall have costs. gib. 201.

Error of a judgment in C. B. in an action there by a feme sole. To the scire facias quare executio. non, the plaintiff in error pleaded in abatement, that the defendant in error was married fince the judgment, and before the iffuing of the scire facias; on which defendants in error moved to quash their own sci. fa. and the other side insisted upon costs. Per cur. It is the same in a scire facias as in an action, where you plead in abatement, and plaintiff's writ is abated, he pays no costs. Had there been no plea in abatement, and the party had moved to quash his own writ, we should have made him pay costs. The writ was quashed without costs. Pocklington v. Peck, Stra. 638.

A writ of error was returnable before any judgment given, and on confideration it was held to be such a fault

as is not amendable by the 5 Geo. 1. Stra. 807.

There was a variance between the writ of error and the record; and as it stood in the paper the court observed it; but neither party would move to amend it, for fear of paying costs. Upon which the court faid the stat. 5 Geo. 1. c. 13. would warrant their amending it, which they did without costs. Gardner v. Merratt, Stra. 902. Ld. Raym. 1587.

A writ of error cannot be quashed till the transcript is

returned and filed. Ld. Raym. 329'.

A writ of error was quashed because all the proper parties were not plaintiffs. Ld. Raym. 71. A \mathbb{Z}_{2}

A writ of error tam quam may be quashed as to one judgment, and stand good as to another, if it should be brought for error in the principal judgment, as well as for error in adjudicatione executionis, which is wrong. Ld. Raym. 328.

Judgment was against A. and B. executors; a scire sieri inquiry was awarded, to which a devastavit against A. was returned; and upon that devastavit judgment was given against A. upon which judgment A. sued a writ of error without naming his co-executor to reverse the principal judgment, and also the judgment upon the devastavit against himself; and because he alone could not sue error upon the principal judgment; the writ of error was quashed as to that, and stood good as to the other part. Ibid.

A writ of error of a judgment on a recognizance was quashed, because it was in adjudicatione executionis judicii. Ld. Raym. 553. for it ought to have been in adjudicatione ex-

ecutionis super recognitionem.

So quashed for variance in the stile of the court. Ld.

Raym. 704.

If one brings error without the other, who ought to join with him, though the writ shall be quashed, yet the record shall be removed by it. Ld. Raym. 1403.

Costs upon quashing writs of error are to be given in all

cafes. Stra. 606.

The court will not quash a writ of error on motion, though it appears to be brought twenty-nine years after the judgment, and the statute restrains the party to twenty years, because if they did, it would deprive the plaintist in error of the benefit of replying to the exceptions in the statute. Higgs v. Evans, Stra. 837.

Several judgments were against three executors, two of whom only joined in bringing error, and bad. 1 Wilf. 88.

No person can bring error to reverse a judgment, who was not a party or privy to the record, or who was not injured by the judgment, and therefore to receive advantage by the reversal. Rol. Abr. 747. Dy. 90. Vide Bac. Abr. 2 vol. 195.

So error does not lie against any but him who was party or privy to the first judgment, his heirs, executors or ad-

ministrators. Rol. Abr. 747. 9 H. 6. 46, &c.

If a writ of error abates by the act of the party, execution shall go. Stra. 1015. as where a writ of error brought

bу

by a feme fole abated by her marriage, and then she and her husband brought a second writ; the court gave leave to take out execution, it being a delay by the act of the plaintiff in error.

If there are several plaintiss in one writ of error, the death of one abates the writ of error, because there cannot be any judgment according to the writ; but if there are several desendants in error, and one dies, it is otherwise, for they are not named in the writ. Ld. Raym. 244.

A writ of error does not abate by the death of the defendant in error. Ld. Raym. 439. Salk. 264. but otherwise if the plaintiff die. Sir H. Thynne v. Corie, 1 Vent. 34. A scire facias ad aud. errores went against the executor, when the

defendant in error died.

If the plaintiff in error dies before errors affigned, the writ abates, and the defendant in error may sue out a fire facias to revive the judgment against his executor, &c. But if he die after errors affigned, and a joinder in error, it does not abate the writ, and the defendant in error may proceed to get the judgment affirmed, but must then revive it against the executor, &c. of the plaintiff in error.

So a writ of error does not abate by the death of the defendant in error after in nullo est erratum pleaded. Ld.

Raym. 1295.

After the record removed into B. R. by writ of error, defendant died, and plaintiff moved C. B. for leave to sue out a sci. fa. against defendant's executors. Per cur. The record being removed out of this court, the motion is improper. Barnes 206.

Entry of disseise, pending a writ of error, abates it. Ld.

Raym. 476.

An abateable writ is abated as to a stranger. Ibid.

Where a writ of error abates by motion, the court must be moved to take out execution; but otherwise if for variance. Salk. 264, 5. But now if the writ varies from the

record, &c. it is amendable by 5 Geo. 1. c. 13.

If a writ of error abates or discontinues by the act and default of the party, a second writ shall be no supersedeas. Keb. 658. As if a plaintiff in error be nonsuit, he shall not have a writ of error again. Salk. 263. pl. 4. Ld. Raym.

91. 5 Mod. 228. Com. 393. 12 Mod. 105. Comb. 19. S. P.

But if a writ of error abates by the act of God, or the law, a second writ of error will be a supersedeas. As where a writ of error abated by the death of the lord chief justice Foster, and a second writ of error was sued out and allowed, and it was held a supersedeas. Keb. 658, 686.

So a second writ is a supersedeas upon abatement of the

first writ of error by death.

Three join in bringing a writ of error, the defendant pleads outlawry in abatement as to one of them; but the court held this no good plea, because they are all compellable to join. Palm. 151.

Where two join in a writ of error, and one will not affign errors, the court will give the other time to summon and

sever. Stra. 783.

For if judgment is given against two, both ought to join in error—but if one dies after judgment, error may be brought by the survivor without the executor of the other. Stra. 234.

If one plaintiff assigns errors, he must do it in the name of all, except where the others are severed. Mod. Cas. 40.

If after a writ of error brought by two, and to a fcire facias quare executionem non one only appears, summons and severance lies. Yelv. 4.

A. fued B. in the Common Pleas in Ireland, and recovered -A. died, and his executors took out a scire facias quare ex. non, to which B. pleaded payment, and found against him, with 6 d. damages; and the judgment was, that they should have execution of the debt and damages aforesaid, and also their costs and expences, &c. and for costs de incremento. - B. brought error in B. R. in Ireland, and only one of the executors appeared to the writ, who alone sued out the scire facias quare ex. non in B. R. there; and yet, that court affirmed the judgment of C. B. for both, and adjudged, that both should recover. On which B. brought error into B. R. here, and though - 1. Objection was taken that the court below had given damages for the non-payment, whereas damages cannot be given in a sci. fa. --- And 2. That the fci. fa. in B. R. in Ireland was prayed by one executor only, though the writ of error was brought against

two, and no suggestion that either is dead; yet B. R. in England affirmed the judgment. And as to the first objection they faid, "that the being damnified and put to costs to the amount of 6 d. was only meant as a foundation for the costs de incremento; and the judgment is, that the plaintiffs shall recover 17 l. 14 s. 8 d. for their costs and expences, &c. To the second objection, The sci. fa. quare, &c. is only a process to bring the plaintiff in error in to affign errors, and as he came in and affigned errors, he waved any objection, and admitted the one executor to be sufficient to call upon him to affign errors; and from this we are to presume, that the other executor is dead: And though a writ of error by one alone, upon a judgment against two, is not good, it is upon account of the inconvenience that would arise from a perpetual delay of execution, if every defendant might bring a writ of error by himself; but that reason does not not hold in this case, where the executors are defendants in error, and not plaintiffs. Knox v. Costello. Burr. 4 pt. 1789.

In an action for flander, verdict was for the plaintiff as to one set of words, and for desendant as to the other. And on error from C. B. into B. R. the errors assigned were, that there ought to have been a judgment for desendant as to the words of which he was acquitted, that he might be able hereafter to plead acquittal in bar of another action; and that the plaintiff should have been amerced prosals clamore as to so much. The court of B. R. thought the iudgment insupportable, but allowed the desendant in error to move the court of C. B. for leave to amend the record by the verdict, which was granted on a rule to shew cause; and then B. R. amended the record which had been sent there, and affirmed the judgment. Smith v. Fuller.

Stra. 786.
After error in Cam. Scace. from B. R. the transcript was brought back and amended in B. R. by the original record there; and it was held necessary to make the amendment in B. R. because this differs from the case of a writ of error from C. B. into B. R. for C. B. sends up the very record, whereas B. R. only sends the transcript. Rutter v. Redsone. Stra. 837.

Plaintiff's attorney, after a writ of error brought artfully, delayed figning the final judgment till the writ of error was spent, and then brought an action of debt upon the judgment. The court ordered proceedings in the action upon the judgment to be flayed, and a new writ of error to be brought at plaintiff's attorney's expence. Arden v. Lamley. Barnes 250.

After error brought on a judgment against an executor de bonis propriis, and in nullo est erratum pleaded, and on argument thereon in Cam Scacc. it was moved in B. R. to amend the judgment by making it de bonis testatoris si, &c. et de bonis propriis si non, &c. And the amendment was granted contrary to 1 Ld. Raym. 182. Short v. Cossin Exor. Burr. 4 pt. 2730.

Df Falle Judgment.

A Writ of false judgment`lies where an erroneous judgment is given in any court not of record, in which the suitors are judges. F. N. B. 18. a.—

If there are no fuitors by whom the plaint may be certified, there shall not be false judgment, as in a copyhold court, in which, upon an erroneous proceeding, the copyholder must sue to the lord by petition. F. N. B. 18. H.

A writ of false judgment upon a judgment in the sheriff's court, is in the nature of a recordari. F. N. B. 18. A. B.

And upon a judgment in another court, not of record, it is in the nature of an accedas ad curiam. Ibid.

A writ of false judgment may be sued by any one against whom judgment is given; his heir, executor, or administrator.

Or by any one who has damages, though the other defendants do not join as they ought to do in error. R. Mod. 854.

A writ of false judgment issues as a writ of error out of

Chancery, upon application to the proper cursitor.

Upon the return of the writ and the whole record certified, and not before, the plaintiff shall assign his errors. F. N. B. 18. I.

And he may have a feire facias ad audiendum errores, as in error. F. N. B. 18. F. G. Or now he may serve a rule as in cases of error.

Or, if the defendant has day by the roll, the plaintiff may affign errors without a *scire facias* against him.

The writ of false judgment ought to be served in court,

6 Hen. 7. 16. a.

And being served, shall be a supersedeas to all proceedings

below. 6 Hen. 7. 15. b.

Upon two sci. fa. ad aud. errores awarded, and nihils returned, or scire feci and default made, the judgment shall be reversed.

If a writ of false judgment abates, or the plaintiff therein is nonsuited, the desendant shall have a scire facias quare executionem non. F. N. B. 18. G.

If upon false judgment brought, which ought to be ferved in court, and the lord refuses to hold his court, a dissringas tenere curiam goes against him. 6 Hen. 7. 16. a.

When the parties are once in court, the subsequent

proceedings in false judgment are the same as in error.

A writ

A writ of false judgment was delivered to the underscheriff, but no money was tendered or paid for the return; for want whereof, the sheriff took no notice of it and executed a writ de executione judicii. Upon hearing council on both sides, the sheriff's proceeding was now held to be regular. Per cur. The desendant if he think sit, may proceed on his writ of salse judgment. Gale v. Hooker. Barnes 199.

Df Habeas Coppus.

N Habeas corpus is a writ for bringing the body of him who is imprisoned, before the court, cum causa detentionis, and is the proper remedy wherever a person is referained of his liberty by being confined in a common gaol; or by a private person, whether it be for a criminal or a civil cause, to have his body and cause removed to some superior jurisdiction, which hath authority to examine the legality of such commitment; and on the return thereof, either bail, discharge, or remand the prisoner. Vaugh, 136.

Of this writ of Habeas corpus there are various forts, viz. The habeas corpus subjiciendum, which issues in criminal

cases.

Also, the habeas corpus ad deliberandum et recipiendum, another writ issuing in criminal cases to remove a person to the proper place, where he committed an offence, to be tried.

Also, the habeas corpus ad respondendum which issues where one has a cause of action against a person (already confined for a cause of action accruing within an inferior jurisdiction) to charge him with this new action in a superior court.

Also, the habeas corpus ad fatisfaciendum, which issues after

a judgment.

But the writ of habeas corpus, of which it will be necesfary to treat here, is that of habeas corpus ad faciendum et recipiendum, which issues only in civil cases, and lies where a person is sued and in gaol, in some inferior jurisdiction, and is willing to have the cause determined in some superior court, which hath jurisdiction of the matter. This writ is usually called an habeas corpus cum causa, and is grantable at all times of common right, whether in term or vacation, without any motion in court; and upon the delivery thereof to the officer or court below, it instantly supersedes all the proceedings therein.

But an habeas corpus, where a party is committed for a

crime, ought to be on motion. 1 Lev. 1.

Of the Habeas Corpus ad faciendum et recipiendum.

HE court of Common Pleas, as well as the King's Bench, has a general jurisdiction to grant writs of habeas corpus in all cases whatsoever; but if, upon the return of such writ to the court of Common Pleas, it appears that the body was in custody for any criminal matter, that court cannot take cognizance of it. See Wood's case. 3 Wiss.

The writ of habeas corpus is engroffed on a five shilling stamped piece of parchment, which is made out upon a note given to the office, and in B. R. is figured by the signer of the writs; in C. B. by the prothonotary, who takes

fees to the amount of fix or feven shillings.

In suing out the writ, care must be taken to state the stile of the court, or person to whom it is to be delivered,

with accuracy.

The liberty which every defendant had, against whom an action was commenced in an inferior court, of removing it into a superior court at Westminster to be determined, was formerly very much abused, as it was usual for a defendant to sue out a writ of habeas corpus cum causa, and keep the same in his pocket, till issue was joined, the jury fworn, and the plaintiff below had actually given his evidence, and then to produce the writ, and suspend all further proceedings; by which piece of knavery, the defendant, from a knowledge of the evidence produced by the plaintiff, had an opportunity of making a better defence hereafter, when the cause came to be tried. But to prevent this abuse for the future, by the 43 of Eliz. c. 5. it is enacted, "That no writ of habeas corpus, or other writ fued " forth by any person whatsoever, out of any of her ma-" jesty's courts of record at Westminster, to remove any action, fuit, plaint, or cause depending in any inferior " court, having jurisdiction thereof, shall be received or " allowed by the judges or officers of fuch court wherein " or to whom fuch writs shall be delivered, (but they may proceed therein, as if no such writ were sued forth or de-6. livered) except, that the faid writ or writs be delivered to fuch judges or officers of the faid court, before that the jury which is to try the cause in question, and the " party that fued forth the faid writ, or for whose benefit it was fued forth, have appeared, and one of the faid " jury fworn to try the faid cause."

Of the Habeas Corpus ad faciendum et recipiendum.

And by the 21 Jac. 1. c. 23. f. 2. it is enacted, "That no writs of habeas corpus, certiorari, or other writ sued forth to remove any action or suit commenced in any inferior court, having jurisdiction thereof, shall be allowed by the steward, judges or officers thereof, uncless delivered before iffue or demurrer joined in the said cause so depending, so as the said issue or demurrer be not joined within six weeks next after the arrest or appearance of the defendant to such action or suit."

And by feet. 3. "If any fuch action or fuit so as aforefaid commenced in such inserior court be removed by
any writ or process, and afterwards remanded back by
writ of procedendo or other writ, that then the said action
or suit shall never afterwards be removed or stayed before
judgment, by any writ out of any court whatsoever."

And by feet. 4. it is enacted, "That if in any action or fuit, not concerning any freehold or inheritance, or title of lands, lease or rent, be commenced or depending in fuch inferior court of record, it shall appear or be laid in the declaration, that the debt, damages, or things demanded, do not exceed 5 l. then such action or suit shall not be stayed by any writ whatsoever, other than writ of error or attaint."

And by the feet. 6. it is provided, that this act shall extend only to such inferior courts of record, and for so long time only, as there is or shall be an utter barrister of three years standing at the bar of the four inns of court, steward or under-steward, town-clerk, judge, or recorder of such inferior court, or assistant to the judge or judges of the same, as shall not be an utter barrister of that standing, and there present, and not of counsel in any action or suit there depending."

After this statute was made, an expedient was hit upon by some knavish desendants to render the sourth clause thereof inessectual; which was, by setting up a sictitious action against themselves, (when the suit below was under 5 l.) for a pretended demand of 5 l. or upwards, and then bring an habeas corpus thereon, which writ removed all causes against them in that court; and thereby, notwithstanding this clause, the smaller action under 5 l. was removed into the superior court, to the prejudice of many poor plaintiss, who, for want of ability and means to carry on a suit in

Of the Habeas Corpus ad faciendum et recipien dum.

the superior court, was obliged often to defish from prosecuting their suits, and thereby submit to the loss of their demands.

But by 12 Geo. 1. c. 29. s. 3. to prevent such practice in suture it is enacted, "That the judges of such inseri"or courts of record, as are described in the statute of
"sa. 1. may proceed in such actions commenced as are
therein specified, which appear or are laid not to exceed
the sum of five pounds, although there may be other actions against such defendant, wherein the plaintiff's demands may exceed the sum of 5 l.

The statute of 12 Geo. 1. c. 29. empowers the plaintiff, upon an affidavit made and filed that his cause of action amounts to ten pounds or upwards, to arrest the defendant by process out of the superior courts-And where the cause of action amounts to forty shillings or upwards, within the jurisdiction of inferior courts, to arrest the desendant by process out of such inferior courts. Which last part being found very inconvenient, and prejudicial to the lower class of people, by putting it in the power of any one to whom they were indebted in forty shillings, within the jurisdiction of the Marshalsea or other inferior court, to arrest their bodies, and imprison and withhold them from their families and work, the flat. 19 Geo. 3. c. 70. extends the former part of the 12 Geo. 1. c. 29. to inferior courts; so that neither in the superior nor inferior courts, can any one now be arrested or held to special bail, unless by process founded on an affidavit duly made and filed in the court, that the cause of action amounts to ten pounds or upwards-But to prevent inconveniencies and delay to plaintiffs profecuting for small debts in such inferior courts, by the same statute, 19 Geo. 3. c. 70. it is further enacled, "That no cause, "" where the cause of action shall not amount to the sum of " 10 l. or upwards, shall be removed or removeable into any 66 superior court by any writ of habeas corpus, or otherwise,

" unless the desendant who shall be desirous of removing fuch cause, shall enter into a recognizance with two furcties in double the sum laid in the court from which

" case judgment shall pass against him."

it is to be removed for payment of the debt and costs, in

Of the Habeas Corpus ad faciendum et recipiendum, when grantable, and to what Places.

PY Reg. Mich. 1654. sect. 7. A writ of habeas corpus to remove the body of a prisoner directed to the sheriffs of London or Middlesex, the judges of the Marshalsea court, or inferior courts within five miles of London, may be granted in vacation or term time, returnable immediately; but if the habeas corpus be directed to any other sheriff or court farther distant, it must be returnable at a day certain in court, unless it be to deliver over a prisoner in discharge of his bail. Prax. U. B. 1.

But notwithstanding the above rule in both courts, it was held in B. R. in the case of Doctor Bettesworth v. Bell. Burr. 4 pt. 1875. That such writ of habeas corpus ad faciendum et recipiendum, directed to a gaoler, &c. of an out county, may be returnable before a judge, and immediate, as well as on a day certain in term. And it was there said, that the above rule, Mich. 1654. was fallen long since into dissufe.

The writ of habeas corpus being a prerogative writ, lies by the common law to any part or the king's dominions, for he ought to have an account why any of his subjects are imprisoned. 1 Rol. Abr. 69. Cro. Jac. 543. It lies to Jersey and Guernsey. Vent. 347. Sid. 386. — To Berwick and to counties palatine. Latch. 160. 3 Keb. 279. And to the marshes of Wales, as it does to all other courts which derive their authority from the king, as all the courts exercising jurisdiction within his dominions do; and that it being a prerogative writ, does not come within the rule brevia domini regis non currunt, &c. for that must be understood of writs between party and party. 2 Rol. Abr. 69. Wetherley v. Wetherley.

But an habeas corpus ad faciendum et recipendum does not lie to the cinque ports, at the suit of a subject. Vide Bac. Abr. and authorities there cited. 3 Vol. 4.

The Form of the Writ of Habeas Corpus ad faciendum et recipiendum.

HE form of the writ of habeas corpus cum causa, &c.

GEORGE the third, &c. - To the Selscribe the sheriff, judge, or steward of the court to which it is directed properly] greeting. We command you, that you have the body of C. D. detained in our prison under your custody, as it is said, by whatsoever name he may be called, in the same, together with the day and the cause of the taking and detaining the faid C. D. before our right trufty and well beloved William earl of Mansfield, our chief justice, assigned to hold pleas in our court before us, for if in C. B. before Sir William De Grey, knight, our chief justice of the Bench] at his chambers, situate in Serjeant's Inn, in Chancery-Lane, for if to be returnable in term, make it returnable at a day certain in term | immediately after the receipt of this our writ, to do and receive all and fingular those things which our faid chief justice shall then and there consider or him in this behalf, and have there this writ. Witness, &c. -

'If the writ be returnable before the chief justice, any other judge of the court may commit the defendant to the prison of the court.

Besides the sees paid upon suing out the writ and sealing the same, sees are paid in the inferior court to the sherisf, or judge thereof, for the allowance of the writ, for the return thereof, for the number of causes there happen to be against the desendant in such inserior court, &c. Also sees paid upon the warrant to the bailisf to bring him up, and to the gaoler to deliver him, besides the sees paid at the judge's chambers; or if it is returnable in court, to the secondary, crier, tipstass, &c. to the amount sometimes of three or four pounds.

Of the Habeas Corpus ad faciendum et recipiendum, and hérein of returning the Writ, &c.

HE writ of babeas corpus, immediately upon being ferved, suspends the power of the inferior court; and if they proceed afterwards, the proceedings are void, and coram non judice. I Salk. 352. Cro. Car. 261. 2 Jones

209. 2 Mod. 195.

A habeas corpus to the town court of Nottingham, was delivered to the proper officer in open court, to remove a plaint from that court before trial, yet the court below went on to the trial; and defendant moved for an attachment against the sheriff for proceeding to trial after habeas corpus delivered, and rule made to shew cause. On shewing cause it appeared, that the issue was joined 27th of April, and the habeas corpus was not delivered till May, so the court below was warranted to proceed [vide 21 fac. 1. ante]. And the rule was discharged. Barnes 221.

The record itself is not removed by habeas corpus as it is by certior ari, but remains below; and the return is only a

history of the proceedings.

The writ must be returned by the same person to whom it is directed; and where the writ was awarded to a sheriff, who before the return left his office, and a new sheriff was made who returned languidus, the court held the return not good; but it ought to be returned by the two sheriffs; by the old sheriff that he had the body, and had delivered it to the new sheriff; and then the new sheriff might have returned languidus. Peck v. Cressett. Pas. 26 Car. 2.

The writ must be returned, otherwise an alias and pluries

goes; and after that, an attachment.

A defendant being in custody of the sheriff of Bristol, brought his habeas corpus to be removed to the Fleet, and tendered it to the sheriffs, with seven guineas, (exceeding 1s. per mile) which the sheriffs resuled to accept, infisting on 10l. On which the defendant moved for an attachment against the sheriffs; which, on shewing cause was made absolute. Barnes 277.

It was held, that a sheriff upon an habeas corpus is not bound to bring up the prisoner, unless reasonable charges be tendered him. Cox. V. Dowl. Hil. 20 & 21 Car. 2.

But in Hopman v. Barber, Stra. 814. It was held fince, that an officer must obey the writ of babeas corpus, though the party resustes to pay him his sees, for he has a remedy for them.

Of the Habeas Corpus ad faciendum et recipiendum, and herein of returning the Writ, &c.

But then the court will not, upon his being brought up, order him to be turned over to the prison of the court, till the officer is satisfied his charges for bringing him up.

Vide Stra. 308.

An habeas corpus cum causa went to the portreeve of Yeovil in Somersetshire, who returned, that before the coming of the writ the party was bailed; and the plaintist's counsel moved for a better return; and it was ruled that he should make a better return; for though the body be bailed, he ought to return the cause—and the body cannot be bailed after the writ received. Salmon v. Slade, Hil. 25, 26 Car. 2.

An habeas corpus went to the stannary court, to which an insufficient return was made, and therefore disallowed. Et per cur. The warden of the stannaries must be amerced, and you may go to the coroners and get it affeered, and estreat it; and an alias habeas corpus must go for the insufficiency of the return to the first, and upon that the body and cause must be removed up; if another excuse be returned, we will grant an attachment. Salk. 350. pl. 8.

Where an habeas corpus is directed to an inferior court, and the fleward has liberty to proceed by the 21 Jac. 1. c. 23. yet the writ must be returned with the special matter, or the person to whom it is directed will be in contempt.

Carth. 69.

An habeas corpus was fued to remove a cause out of London; the plaintist prayed a procedendo, because the action was for calling the plaintist where, which is not actionable elsewhere.—The defendant's counsel alledged, that neither of the parties lived in London, nor were the words spoken there. And per Hale, ch. just. If the words were not spoken there, the plaintist shall not have a procedendo, for the words may be made actionable every where, by laying them in London. 2 Roll Abr. 60.

In a like action in London, before declaration, an habeas corpus was brought to remove it into B. R. to which the sheriffs returned generally, that at such a court came the plaintiff and levied his certain plaint against the defendant in a plea of trespass on the case, to the damage of 500 l. whereupon issue was joined, which remains still undetermined, &c. And upon the return a proceedendo was prayed, upon a suggestion, that the action was commenced for calling plaintiss whore, which is actionable in London, and not elsewhere; therefore if a proceedendo

Of the Habeas Corpus ad faciendum et recipiendum, and herein of returning the Writ, &c.

cedendo should be denied, plaintiss would lose her action, and by this means all such actions would be lost; and an affidavit was produced, in which plaintiss deposed, that the only cause of action was ut supra. Per Holt. It does not appear by this return, what was the cause of action. The declaration itself ought to be returned upon the babeas corpus, and then the court would see what the cause of action was. And if the writ was delivered before the plaintiss had declared, yet he ought immediately to have entered his declaration, that it might be returned upon the writ. For all the proceedings in this case of a custom ought to be returned, as well as in an action upon a byelaw. Afterwards the return was amended, and then the court granted a procedendo. Watson v. Clerke, Carth. 75.

On an habeas corpus to the sheriffs of London, they returned an action on a bye-law, with a penalty; and then it was moved to have the return filed. Per Holt. If a record is once filed here, it can never after be remanded, either in the term it is filed, or any other. -2d. The record itself is never removed by hab. corp. the return is only an account of the proceedings; and when it is removed the plaintiff must declare de novo against the defendant in custodia mareschalli, &c. 3d. The hab. corp. immediately suspends the power of the court below. 4th. The return in this case may be filed, because the very record is not returned, and therefore will not be filed; of confequence then a procedendo may be granted, because it will not send out any record filed, but only remove the suspension. Accordingly, the writ being filed, a procedendo was awarded. Salk. 352.

If a writ of habeas corpus is made returnable immediate, it ought to be returned the same day it is delivered, and the

body brought up immediately.

By the old rule, Mich. 1654, all writs of habeas corpus directed to any sheriff or officer of an inferior court, at above the distance of five miles from London, if made returnable in Hilary or Trinity terms, must be made returnable at a day certain preceding the second return of those terms, in order, if bail be required thereon, the plaintiff may be enabled to declare of the same term, and the defendant shall be obliged to plead to issue as of these terms, so that the plaintiff may try his cause the next assizes, if he A a 2

Of the Habeas Corpus ad faciendum et recipiendum, and herein of returning the Writ, &c.

thinks fit; or in default of pleading, that judgment may be entered against defendant of the same term, if rules to plead

are given in due time.

And if the habeas corpus is fued out in Hilary or Trinity terms, or the beginning of the vacations of those terms, the writ must be made returnable the first or second return of the subsequent terms, viz. Easter or Michaelmas, or the plaintist, on summons before a judge, may have a procedendo.

After interlocutory, and before final judgment in an inferior court, an habeas corpus cum causa was brought; before the return of the writ the defendant died, and a procedendo was awarded; because, by the 8 & 9 W. 3. c. 11. the plaintiff may have a scire facias against the executors, and proceed to judgment, which he cannot have in another court; and by this means he would be deprived of the effect of his judgment, which would be unreasonable. Salk. 352.

A cause was removed, after interlocutory and before sinal judgment, by babeas corpus, and a procedendo was refused, because this is not within the words of the act 21 Jac. 1. c. 23. which are, that the habeas corpus, certiorari, &c. shall not be received or allowed; but that the inferior judge may proceed; except the writ be delivered to such inferior judge, &c. before issue or demurrer joined in the cause (so as it be not joined within six weeks after the arrest or appearance of the desendant). And the practice having been to allow the habeas corpus, if delivered before the jury are sworn, the court resused a procedendo. Burr. 4 pt. 758. but see Prast. Reg. C. P. 217. cont.

Motion for a procedendo to a borough court, the habeas corpus to remove the cause having been brought after inter-locutory judgment, and the court of C. P. held the habeas corpus too late, and made the rule for a procedendo absolute.

Barnes 221.

I N all cases where special bail was required in the court below, if the cause is removed by habeas corpus, either into B. R. or C. B. special bail must be put in above on the removal, though the debt is under 10%. except the defendant is an heir, executor, or administrator. Reg. Hil. 2 Jac. 2.

The above is the old rule-but now by the 19 Geo. 2. c. 70. as no one can be arrested or held to special bail in any inferior court, without an affidavit made and filed that the cause of action amounts to 10 l. or upwards; it follows, that where the debt is under 10 l. and the defendant is not arrested below, there he would not be obliged upon removal to find special bail above. But to defeat the advantage that might be taken of this, by removing the cause in order to delay the plaintiff, the same statute provides, that no action in an inferior court, not amounting to 10 l. or upwards, shall be removed by habeas corpus, &c. unless the defendant shall enter into a recognizance, with two furcties, in the court below, in double the fum for which the action is brought, for payment of the debt and costs in case judgment shall pass against him.

An heir, executor, or administrator, although he has put in bail below, shall not, upon removal, put in bail above to pay the condemnation money; yet he shall put in bail above to appear to a new bill or original, within two terms, but not after. But if debt is brought against an executor, on a judgment suggesting a devastavit, he shall give bail, for there the action is in the debet et detinet. Vide Salk,

98. and 1 Lev. 268. 2 Lev. 204.

No bail to be tendered, or put in upon an habeas corpus, until the habeas corpus, and cause for which bail is to be put in, be returned, to the end that it may appear for what cause the defendant is detained, and bail may be taken, and the habeas corpus and bail duly filed. Mich. 1654. Paf.

29 Car. 2. Hil. 16 W. 3.

A defendant who had removed a cause from an inferior court into B. R. or C. B. is not of course obliged to put in special bail there; but it lies with the plaintiff to compel him To to do. In order therefore to get bail to the action, the plaintiff, immediately as he discovers that the cause is removed, should take out a rule, or order, from the chambers of one of the judges of the court, for a procedendo to remand the cause, unless good bail be put in within four days next Aa ≀

after notice of the rule (if in term) or within fix days next after notice of the rule, [if in vacation] and then ferve a copy of fuch rule or order on the defendant, or his attorney; and unless bail be put in accordingly, a procedendo may be had.

An action was brought in the sheriff of London's court against two partners, one brought an habeas corpus, and put in bail for himself only. And a procedendo being moved for, it was granted: for otherwise the plaintiff would be disabled

to go on in either court. Fry v. Carey, Stra. 527.

Habeas corpus to remove a cause out of an inferior court; and on search plaintist's attorney finds bail; but the habeas corpus not being returned and filed, the bail signified nothing, and therefore he carried the cause back by procedendo, of which defendant complained to the court; but it was ruled, that the desendant cannot put in bail till the habeas corpus be returned. Massers v. Bruges, Mich. 20 Car. 2.

If common bail only is necessary, the attorney for the defendant fills up a common bail-piece to the habeas corpus and return, and files the same at the judge's chambers on the return of the rule, and gives notice of having filed the same

to the plaintiff's attorney.

If fpecial bail is required, the defendant upon fervice of the rule for bail engrosses a bail piece, and annexes the same to the habeas corpus and return; and then takes the bail to the judge's clerk, who will take their acknowledgment, to whom is paid in term 6 s. 6 d. in vacation 7 s. 6 d. and then notice thereof must be given to the plaintiff's at-

torney.

In B. R. if bail be taken in the absence of the plaintiff, or his attorney, the same is taken de bene esse; and if on due notice in writing of the names and places of abode of such bail, the time when put in, and before whom, no exception thereto be taken by the plaintiff in twenty-eight days after the putting them in, the bail-piece shall then be filed within four days next after the end of the twenty-eight days. Mich. 16 Car. 2.

In C. B. if bail be taken de bene esse, and on notice being given of the names and places of abode of the bail, the time when put in, and before whom, no exception thereto be taken in twenty days, the bail-piece shall be filed within four days next after the expiration of the twenty days. Hil. 13 & 14 Car. 2.

And unless the bail, in case of no exception within time, be not filed within the four days, a procedendo may be granted upon a certificate that the bail is not filed. Same rules.

If the plaintiff dislikes the bail put in, he serves the defendant's attorney with another rule or order for a procedendo, unless better bail be put in within four days after service thereof, whether it be in term or vacation. In term this rule costs 1 s. in vacation 2 s.

If this rule is ferved in vacation time, in B. R. the practice is, for the defendant's attorncy to give notice only within the rule, that the bail will justify on the first day of the ensuing term. Att. Prac. B. R.

But on fervice of such rule in vacation time in C. B. it is usual to justify within the four days before a judge at his chambers, for which 2 s. is paid; and on the first day of the term justify in court. Att. Prast. C. B. 283.

The practice is exactly the fame as to notices of bail, exception thereto, and justifying bail on babeas corpus, as in other cases, for which refer to the first vol.

When bail is taken of a prisoner in custody, the judge's clerk in C. B. is to deliver the bail to the prothonotary to be filed, if affented to, and for that purpose the prothonotary's fees are to be deposited; but the prisoner is not to be discharged until the bail be affented to, or the plaintiff over-ruled in open court to accept the same upon examination. Mich. 1654. Hil. 12 & 14 Car. 2.

If the defendant is an actual prisoner in an inferior court, and brings an habeas corpus to remove the action into a superior court, the habeas corpus will not discharge him out of custody till bail is put in above and perfected; therefore it is the better way, to gain the defendant his liberty, to put in bail below, and then remove the cause into the superior court if he would have it there determined.

If a cause is removed by habeas corpus out of the Marshal-sea, or other inferior court [London excepted] and the bail below offer to be bail above, the plaintist cannot except to them, but is compellable to take them, because he might but did not except to them below. But it is otherwise in a cause from London, for the sufficiency of the bail there is at the peril of the clerk, and he is responsible to the plaintist, so that the plaintist has not the liberty of excepting against them, and the clerk is not responsible if they be de-

A a 4 ficient

ficient in the court above, though he was in London.

Salk. 97.

A cause was removed by habeas corpus, in vacation time, and the bail put in on the removal ought to have justified on the first day of the ensuing term: [a rule having been served on the desendant for a procedendo, unless better bail] and the bail not having offered themselves the first day of the term, a procedendo was sued out to remand the cause. On the second day of the term the bail came and offered to justify, but were then too late; however, a rule was obtained to shew cause, why the procedendo should not be set aside: But on shewing cause the rule was discharged, for it was said, that should this rule be made absolute, it would operate as a second habeas corpus, which can never be granted after a cause is once remanded by a procedendo. Anon. B. R. 1778. The counsel offered to pay costs of the procedendo, but nevertheless the court discharged the rule.

May 20th 1742, an habeas corpus, returnable immediate, was lodged at the palace-court to remove a plaint into C. B. and nothing further was done in it till 20th of Nov. when the plaintiff ferved the defendant with a rule to put in bail. Defendant infisted, that the plaintiff should have served such rule within two terms after the hab. corp. brought, and was now too late. But the court held, that if the desendant had put in bail upon the hab. corp. without staying to be forwarded by a rule for bail; and the plaintiff had not declared within two terms after bail put in, the cause would then have been out of court; but the rule for bail is not limited to any particular time: accordingly the rule to shew cause why proceedings should not be stayed, was discharged.

Barnes 90.

If one be removed into B. R. by babeas corpus, and puts in bail, the bail are liable to all other actions, as well as that for which they become bail, at the fuit of the fame plaintiff mentioned in the return of the babeas corpus, wherein he shall declare against the said defendant within two terms next after: but see the next case.

The defendant was arrested by process out of an inferior court, in a plea of trespass on the case; bail was given, the plaintiff declared, and the cause was removed by habeas corpus; and then the plaintiff delivered two declarations, one for words, the other upon an assumpsit. Per Hale. If a plain-

tiff

tiff has declared before the habeas corpus delivered, the bail shall be special only as to that action; and shall be common to the other; but if no declaration before habeas corpus, then the bail put in upon the habeas corpus shall be special bail to all actions of the plaintiff against the defendant of that term; and the plaintiff cannot declare before the habeas corpus allowed. Serle v. Newton, Hil. 25, 26 Car. 2.

Of the Habeas Corpus ad faciendum et recipiendum, and herein of Declaring.

HE record itself is never removed by habeas corpus, but remains below, therefore the plaintiff must declare de novo; and the declaration is exactly the same as in other cases: and if in B. R. he is stated to be in custodia

mareschalli. Salk. 352.

The plaintiff must declare before the end of the second term after the return of the habeas corpus, otherwise the defendant is not bound to accept a declaration. And note: If the habeas corpus is returnable in term, that term is one; and the plaintiff must declare before the end of the succeeding term. Vide Hutton v. Stroubridge, Stra. 631.

There is no limited time for the plaint: If 's getting an order for a procedendo, unless bail be put in. Barnes 90.—But if the defendant puts in bail in time, and the plaintiff does not declare in two terms, the cause is out of court.

If a cause is removed by habeas corpus out of the courts of Canterbury, Southampton, Hull, Litchfield, Pool, or other counties where the judges of nist prius seldom go, if the action be transitory, it must be laid in the county of Kent, Hampshire, Yorkshire, Staffordshire or Dorsetshire, or other county in which such city or town lies, and the recognizance is to be taken accordingly. Mich. 1654.

When a defendant has removed his cause into the superior court, and persected his bail, he cannot sign a non-pross, for want of a declaration, as the plaintiff is not in court till he has declared, and the cause is supposed to be removed against

his inclination.

Of the Habeas Corpus ad faciendum et recipiendum, and herein of Pleading.

F a cause be removed out of London or Middlesex, the Marshalsea, or any other court within five miles of London, in Hilary or Trinity term, and bail is put in, and the plaintiff declares in London or Middlesex, and delivers his declaration six days before the end of the term, the defendant shall plead three days before the essoin day of the subsequent term, that the plaintiff may enter the issue if he will; but if the plaintiff does not deliver his declaration fix days before the end of the term, the defendant shall have an imparlance till the next term. Vide 1 Mod. 1.

And if a cause is removed out of any court, except in London or Middlesex, the Marshalsea, or other court within five miles of London, and the plaintiff does not declare in London or Middlesex, but in some other county, and delivers his delaration at any time before the end of the terms of Hilary or Trinity, the desendant is bound to plead by the time the rule is out, that the plaintiff may try his cause at the affizes, if he thinks proper; and for want of a plea in due time, judgment may be entered against him.

And if a cause is removed by habeas corpus returnable in Michaelmas term, and the plaintiff declares in London or Middlesex, and delivers his declaration before crassinum animarum, the desendant must plead to trial the same term.

So, upon an habeas corpus returnable in Easter term, if the plaintiff declares in London or Middlesex, and delivers his declaration before mensem Paschæ, the defendant must plead to trial the same term. Salk. 515.

But if the declaration is delivered after these respective times, and yet six days before the end of either of these terms, the desendant, wherever the action is laid, shall plead three days before the essoin day of the subsequent term; and if not delivered six days before the end of either of these terms, the desendant has an imparlance until the next term.

The above was the practice before the 5 & 6 Geo. 2. but by rule made in B. R. Tr. 5 & 6 Geo. 2. and Mich. 3 Geo. 2. in C. B.

On all process returnable the first or second return [i. e. before the * third return] of term, if the plaintiff declares

^{*} And by Reg. Tr. 7 Geo. 3. On all process returnable the third return of term,

Of the Habeas Corpus ad faciendum et recipiendum, and herein of Pleading.

in London or Middlesex, and the defendant lives within twenty miles of London, the declaration may be delivered with notice to plead within four days after delivery, and the defendant shall plead within that time; and if the plaintist declares in any other county, or the defendant lives above twenty miles from London, the declaration is to be delivered, with notice to plead in eight days after; and if the defendant does not plead in that time, a rule to plead having been given and expired, and a demand in writing of a plea having been made, the plaintist may sign judgment.

But a declaration must be delivered four days before the end of term, exclusive of the day of delivery, to have a plea

of that term.

Since the making of the above rules, some have proceeded upon an habeas corpus according thereto, as if upon a cepi corpus, whilst others have adhered to the old practice, as to pleading upon an habeas corpus before mentioned.

A plea in abatement must be pleaded within the four days, as in other cases of pleas in abatement. Vide Pleas in

Abatement in the 1st vol.

Debt against a feme fole in the palace court, and after appearance and plea pleaded she married, and then removed the cause by habeas corpus; and after the plaintist had declared against her above, she pleaded her coverture in abatement, viz. that she was married at the time the habeas corpus sued out. And it was ruled a good plea, for the proceedings are de novo, and the court takes no notice of the proceedings below. But the court said, that if this matter had been moved on the return of the habeas corpus, they would have granted a procedendo: for though an habeas corpus be a writ of right, yet where it is to abate a rightful suit, the court may resuse it. I Salk. 8.

But note: That coverture, after an action brought, cannot abate a plaintiff's writ. Ld. Raym. 1525. Stra.

811

Though coverture before does, if the action is brought against her as a seme sole, and an babeas corpus to remove a cause, is considered as the first commencement of the action in the court above.

An action was brought in the sheriff's court of London, and was removed by hab. corp. into B. R. the 6th of Nov. the first day of Michaelmas term. On the twelfth, plaintiff

delivered

Of the Habeas Corpus ad faciendum et recipiendum, and herein of Pleading.

delivered his declaration, and gave a rule to plead: On which, the defendant moved for an imparlance, and infifted the practice was the same as if the action was originally commenced in this court, and cited Salk. 515. where it was held, that on hab. corp. returnable in Michaelmas term, if the declaration be delivered before crass. animarum, the defendant must plead to try; but on a cepi corpus, he is only to plead to enter.

So in Easter term, if the declaration be delivered before mensem Paschæ, the desendant, on an habeas corpus, must plead to try, upon a cepi corpus only to enter. But the court in the principal case said, We will not put the plaintist in a worse condition than he was in the court below, and

therefore refused an imparlance. 1 Wilf. 154.

All subsequent proceedings to the declaration, are the

same upon an habeas corpus as in other cases.

Of the Habeas Corpus ad faciendum et recipiendum, and herein of granting a Procedendo.

HROUGHOUT the foregoing pages under this title, we have feen in what cases the plaintiff is at liberty to remand the cause to the original jurisdiction, upon the desendant's not complying, upon removing a suit commenced against him by habeas corpus, with the statutes and rules of court made to regulate the proceedings therein upon such removal—as for want of putting in bail, where special bail is required; not justifying bail in due time, when served with a rule; not pleading in time to the declaration delivered, &c.

A procedendo is a writ grantable by any judge of the court into which the cause was removed, upon application to one

of their clerks at chambers.

The writ must be engrossed on a two shilling stamped piece of parchment, and must be signed and sealed; for which the usual sees are paid.

The writ is to this effect:

GEORGE the third, by the grace of God, &c. To the sheriff of _____ or judges of, &c. [stiling the court and judges thereof properly] greeting. Although we lately by our writ commanded you, that you should have the body of C. D. detained in our prison under your custody, as it was faid, under fafe and fecure conduct, together with the day and cause of his being taken and detained, by whatfoever name the faid C. might be called, in the same, before our right trufty and well beloved William earl of Mansfield, our chief justice, assigned to hold pleas in our court before us, at his chambers, fituate in Serjeant's Inn, Chancery-Lane, immediately after the receipt of that writ, to do and receive all and fingular those things which our faid chief justice should then and there confider of him in that behalf. Yet we, being now moved with certain causes in our court before us, command you and every of you, that in all plaints or fuits against the said C. at the suit of A. B. in our court before you, or any of you levied or affirmed, or before you or any of you now depending undetermined, you proceed with what speed Of the Habeas Corpus ad faciendum et recipiendum, and herein of granting a Procedendo.

you can in such manner, according to the law and custom of *England*, as you shall see proper. Our said writ to you thereupon first directed to to the contrary in any thing notwithstanding.

Witness William earl of Mansfield, &c.

Stormont and Way.

Upon carrying the writ of procedendo to the secondary of the court to which it is directed, and filing it, the court instantly reassumes the jurisdiction of the cause, which can never afterwards be taken from them again before judgment.

As the writ of habeas corpus removes all the causes that were in the court against the defendant, the writ of procedendo remands all back again.

Df Audita Querela.

A N audita querela is a writ to give relief against a judgment or execution awarded, or likely to be awarded against the party, by setting the same aside upon some ground of injustice pointed out to the court.

If there is any other remedy at law, either by plea or otherwise, an audita querela does not lay, except in one or two instances in which the party has his election of this or

another remedy.

An audita querela is an action, and is in the nature of a bill in equity to be relieved against the oppression of the plaintiss. The writ states that the complaint of the defendant hath been heard audita querela desendentis, and then setting out the matter of the complaint, it at length enjoins the court to call the parties before them; and having heard the allegations and proofs, to cause justice to be done between them.

It also lies to relieve bail in some cases, and is a writ of a most remedial nature, and seems to have been invented, lest in any case there should be an oppressive defect of justice, where a party has a good defence, but by the ordinary forms of law had no opportunity to make it. But the indulgence now shewn by the courts in granting a summary relief upon * motion, in cases of evident oppression, has almost rendered the writ of audita querela useless, and driven it quite out of practice. However, as there are a few cases in which this must still be the remedy, some short account of the proceedings therein cannot be altogether unnecessary.

^{*} For it has been held, that relief may be granted on motion in cases proper for audita querela, where it is not grounded on foreign matter, as a release, &c., Ld. Raym. 439. Barnes 277.

Out of what Court the Writ issues.

A n audita querela shall be granted out of the court, where the record upon which it is founded remains, or returnable in the same court. F. N. B. 105. b.

And therefore, if a man recovers in B. R. or C. B. the defendant having a release after judgment and before execution, shall sue the audita querela out of B. R. or C. B. where the record is. Ibid.

And if a recognizance is acknowledged, and there is a release of it, and then execution be sued on it, the party to be relieved shall sue his audita querelà out of the same court. Ibid.

And fuch audita querela out of the rolls of the same court is judicial. Ibid.

But it may be *original*, and the party may sue it out of Chancery returnable in the court where the record remains. Ibid.

But it cannot be granted out of any court, returnable in the fame court, where the record upon which it is founded is not there. *Ibid*.

The writ of audita querela shall be allowed only in open court. I Bulf. 140, 2 Bulf. 97. 2 Show. 240. And on motion by Trin. 9 fac. 1. And therefore, when the curfitor has written the writ, an allocatur shall be indorsed by the secondary in court.

So if it be irregularly granted, a vacat' shall be entered upon the record. Mo. 354.

Of Profecuting an Audita Querela by the Defendant in the Suit.

T was faid, before that an audita querela lies to relieve the defendant after judgment and execution against him—and also that it lies to relieve buil when judgment is had against them upon a fire facias to answer the debt of their principal; but as the proceedings in the two cases somewhat differ, I shall first treat of the audita querela by the defendant himself.

In all cases it is usual, that the plaintist in an audita querela be bailed, if he shews matter in writing for his discharge, and the defendant be demanded whether he can

gainsay it. 1 Rol. 133, 384. 2 Rol. 113. 1.5.

If the plaintiff in audita querela has a release or other writing upon which his audita querela is founded, the same must be proved in court by the witnesses thereto, before the writ of audita querela can be allowed, or a supersedeas granted. 1 Sid. 351.

And then, after proof of such release, &c. and allowance of the writ, if he be not in execution he may be bailed by the court, and on motion may have a supersedeas. Com.

Dig. 1 vol. 489. Salk. 92.

But if the plaintiff in audita quertla be in execution, he cannot be bailed till the defendant plead to the audita que-

rela. Comp. Att. 214.

But where an infant was taken in execution and brought an audita querela, he was discharged on clearly proving his

infancy. Carth. 278.

If an audita querela be founded on record, or the party be in custody, the process upon the audita querela, when allowed, is a feire facias—But if the audita querela is grounded on a matter of fast, or the party be not in custody, but only brought quia times, the process on the audita querela when allowed, &c. is a venire facias; and on default thereto, a disfringas ad infinitum. Salk. 92.

If brought by one in execution, the scire facias is the pro-

cefs. Carth. 303.

An audita querela is no supersedeas of itself, and therefore execution may be taken out, unless a supersedeas be actually sued upon the allowance of the writ of audita querela. Salk. 92.

If the first writ of audita querela abate, upon a second purchased, he may have another supersedeas. F. B.

104. R.

Of Profecuting an Audita Querela by the Defendant in the Suit.

If one in execution is admitted to bail upon bringing an audita querela, he must procure four persons to be bail sor him. The bail-piece is in this form:

Easter term, in the twentieth year of king George the third.
To wit, C. D. of &c. is delivered on bail to profecute, with effect, a writ of audita querela brought by him to be discharged of and from a judgment given against him in the court of our lord the king, before the king himself, at the suit of one A. B. for sive hundred pounds of debt, and for damages, costs, and charges, to
E. F. of, &c G. H. of, &c N. O. attorney. I. K. of, &c and L. M. of, &c

The condition of the recognizance.

YOU feverally acknowledge yourselves to owe A. B. the sum of one thousand pounds—

Upon condition that the faid C. D. shall prosecute his fuit with effect, and if he shall be convicted or make default in the premises, that he shall pay the condemnation money, or you shall do it for him.

Are you content?

Of Profecuting an Audita Querela by the Derifendant in the Suit.

An audita querela lies not after judgment upon a matter which might have been pleaded before, but where the party was condemned, and had no day in court to plead it, an

audita querela lies. Cro. El. 25.

As if a release be given after the day of nist prius, and before the day in bank, the defendant cannot plead it, because there is a verdict already in the cause, and upon another plea; and therefore the cause is already determined, so that he is put to his audita querela to hinder the execution of the judgment. 2 Lutw. 1143, 1174.

If on a judgment and a writ of error brought, the plaintiff in the original action brings debt on the judgment, and recovers a judgment thereon, and afterwards the first judgment is reversed on the writ of error, an audita querela may be brought for relief against the second judg-

ment.

So, if an action be brought against A. for a trespass committed finul cum B. and judgment be obtained thereupon, and afterwards the plaintiff release B.—A. may have an audita querela on the release.

But if a scire facias was brought on a judgment, and the defendant has a release and omits to plead it to the scire fa-

cias, he shall not have an audita querela.

Where a defendant had matter which might have been pleaded to a fci. fa. and has lost the benefit of that by an award of execution upon a scire feci returned, he is estopped for ever, and can never have an opportunity to take advantage thereof again: but if it was an award of execution upon two nihils returned, he may be relieved by bringing an audita querela: And the court will not even drive him to that, but relieve him on motion, unless the ground of his audita querela be a release, or some such foreign matter, which ought to be pleaded.

If one be taken in execution, and afterwards fet at liberty by the plaintiff, and then taken again upon the fame execution, he may bring his audita querela to be enlarged, for the execution was discharged; and being once discharged, is discharged for ever, and supposes a satisfac-

tion.

Judgment for 500 l. against the ancestor who pays it, and dies, not having taken a release or deed upon the payment. Resolved, that the heir may maintain an audita ouerela

Of Prosecuting an Audita Querela by the Defendant in the Suit.

querela upon this payment, though no deed or specialty. 2 Keb. 455.

An administrator recovered in trover, counting of his own possession after administration committed, but before execution the administration was revoked, and the defendant brought audita querela, and adjudged it lay. For though of goods in his own possession he had no need to name himfelf administrator; yet the goods here are assets, and he is chargeable as executor de son tort after administration repealed for the goods so taken in execution .- So, where baron and feme executrix recover, and the feme dies before execution, the baron shall not have scire facias. Vide 2 Keb. 668. Cro. Car. 208, 227, 464.

The plaintiff had a verdict in trespass against two, and after the day at nisi prius, and before the day in bank, in confideration of 101. released to one of the defendants— On which the other defendant after the day in bank, but before judgment entered, to take advantage of the release, fued out an audita quereia, put in hail, and declared as of the judgment entered .- The plaintiff in the original action to the declaration in audita querela pleaded nul tiel record, on which plea the plaintiff in audita querela moved, that the plaintiff in the original action might bring in the posteaand enter the judgment, or that he should do it for him. Per cur. Let the plaintisf in the original action enter his judgment within fuch a time as of the day in bank, or let it never be entered, and let the fuit on the audita querela stay. Ranfere v. Meredith and Baker. Paf. 26 Car. 2. Mod. 111.

The plaintiff, a feme fole, married after the interlocutory judgment, and before executing the writ of enquiry; and it was now moved to fet aside the writ of enquiry, and the inquisition thereon taken. But refused by the court. And the defendant was left to his audita querela. Bunb. 283.

The plaintiff, a feme fole, between interlocutory and before final judgment, married; and after the final judgment, the busband and wife brought a scire facias thereupon, for the defendant to shew cause quare ex. non, &c. And then the defendant moved to have the judgment fet afide; but the court refused to do it on motion, and put him to his addita querela. Bunb. 282.

One lent money, and for security accepted a judgment; the money was paid within a day or two after it became

Of Profecuting an Audita Querela by the Defendant in the Suit.

due, and the party gave an acquittance, and promised to acknowledge satisfaction, and this was proved before the secondary; yet the plaintist took the desendant in execution, and he remains in prison. Per cur. The proper remedy is by audita querela; but let the plaintist appear here next term, to shew cause why he should not acknowledge satisfaction on record. Anon. Mich. 29 Car. 2.

If two executors sue execution for damages recovered by the testator, where one hath released, an audita querela lies

against both. Rol. Ab. 312.

If A. hath judgment against B. for costs and damages, and releases to B. all executions, and after B. brings a writ of error, and thereupon the judgment is affirmed, and further costs given for the delay of execution, and A. takes B. in execution for the whole, upon an audita querela B. shall be discharged quoad the damages and first costs, but not quoad the second costs. Gro. Jac. 337. Rol. Rep. 11.

Of procuring an Audita Querela by the Bail to the original Suit.

HEN bail bring audita querela to be relieved from a judgment or execution had against them to answer the debt of their principal; and the writ of audita querela is allowed, they have no occasion to put in bail, unless the bail are in execution.

Where judgment is had against bail upon a feire facias upon default of their principal, and afterwards the original judgment is reversed, bail may be relieved by audita querela, for they have no other remedy, having no opportunity to plead it. 1 Rol. Abr. 308.

Judgment in debt against H. who died, not having satisfied the debt or rendered his body; a scire facias issued against the bail; and after two nihils, execution was awarded, whereupon they brought an audita querela; and because no eas sa. sa. had been awarded against H. they had judgment.

Cro. El. 597.

The exoneretur which had been ordered to be entered by the court was not actually entered on the bail-piece [by the omission of the proper officer.] But the plaintiff himself was apprized of the furrender; though the attorney fwore, that he, the attorney, had no notice of it.—The plaintiff's attorney not being apprized of the furrender of the principal fued out scire facias's against the bail, who paid the money; but they were fued into London, where the original cause of action was, and not into Middlesex, where the surrender was made, and where the bail-piece remained. Upon both these irregularities, viz. the plaintiff's being apprized of the furrender and order of the court; and 2dly, The scire facias's being sued out into Middlesex, that the scire facias's might be fet aside for irregularity, with costs, and the money restored. The court were clear as to both points, and made the rule absolute for setting the scire facias's aside, and restoring the money, but without costs, as awarding costs would have been to no purpose; - the plaintiff, who was apprized of the furrender, being gone abroad; and the attorney who was not apprized not having acted with any design to oppress. Bond v. Isaac. Burr. 4 pt. 409.

Of the Process in Audita Querela.

T was said before, that the process upon an audita querela is of two forts, viz. a scire facias and a venire facias.

The process of *scire facias* is proper when the party suing the *audita querela* is in actual custody, or when the writ it felf is founded on record.

The process of venire facias is proper when the party is not in custody, but only brings his audita querela quia timet, or when the writ itself is grounded on a matter of fact, Salk. 92. Carth. 303.

If the original suit were by original writ, there should be fifteen days at least between the teste and return of the process issued upon the allowance of the audita querela, and must be

returnable on a general return.

But if the original fuit were by bill (it feems) that fifteen days between the teste of the first scire facias, and return of the second scire facias, are sufficient.—i. e. Seven days between the teste and return of each writ, and not one ten and the other five.

And the writ should be returnable on a day certain in term.

But even if the original fuit were by bill, and the process on the audita querela be a venire facias, I should apprehend that there ought to be fifteen days between the teste and return of that writ; because the second process isluing upon default thereto, is a distringus.

The defendant in the audita querela should be warned to

As being taken in execution, brought an audita querela, tested 21st Nov. a scire facias issued and bore teste the 6th Nov. The desendant appeared and demurred, and shewed for cause, that the teste of the scire facias was before the audita querela, Sed non allocatur, for here the scire facias being but to compel the party to appear, and answer the audita querela, the appearance has helped the desect of the process; but upon a scire facias upon a judgment it may be otherwise, because another judgment is to be grounded upon it. Vaughan y, Lloyd, Hil. 20, 21 Car. 2.

Of Declaring, &c. in Audita Querela.

If the defendant in audita querela appears upon the scire facias, venire facias, or distringus, the plaintist in audita querela declares, in which declaration the whole writ of audita querela is recited in the same manner as in a declaration in scire facias, with a beginning to this effect:

"OUR lord the king fent to his justices, * affigned to hold pleas before the king himself; his writ close in these words, to wit, George the third, &c." (and so recite the whole writ of audita querela.)

In the declaration in an audita querela, the better form is to recite the whole record of the recovery, or it may be recited generally, as "Quod cum quidam A. nuper scilicet, &c. implacitasset quendam B. &c. super quo he found bail, taliterque in eadem curia nostra processum fuit, quod prædictus A. recuperet, &c." Co. Ent. 87. b. c.

But if there is a variance between the audita querela and

the record, the writ abates. F. N. B. 104. R.

The declaration ought to comprehend only one gravamen, or at least, if it mentions several, it ought to rely upon one only, otherwise it will be double. F. N. B. 104. R. Cro. El. 809. Dy. 297. b. And the plaintiff ought to shew

himself aggrieved. Ibid.

In the entry of the declaration, after the writ of audita querela is set forth, the plaintist suggests the gravamen, and prays to be discharged, &c. Afterwards is set out the award of the scire facias or venire, &c. and the recognizance of bail (if the plaintist was in execution and delivered on bail) then the return to the scire facias, or venire, and the defendant's appearance.

If the defendant confesses the matter alledged, the plaintiff has judgment and discharge by confession; but if the defendant denies it, the parties proceed to issue in fact, or

in law, as in other cases.

No damages or costs can be given to a plaintiff in an

audita querela. See Dyer 194.

If the plaintiff in audita querela be nonsuited, though he may have a new writ of audita querela, he shall have no supersedeas to stay execution.

This is the form of beginning in B. R. In C. B. the form is thus, "OUR lord the king fent to his justices of the Bench," &c.

Of Declaring, &c. in Audita Querela.

If the audita querela be brought while the money recovered on the first judgment remains in the hands of the sheriff, and not paid over to the party, the court will make an order for it to remain there till the audita querela is determined. But it seems, that if the money on the first judgment is paid over to the party recovering it, there is no remedy for it.

But by Windham, just. Where judgment is had in an audita querela brought before the former execution done, the plaintiff in audita querela shall be restored to whatever he lost by the execution, which the court agreed, being founded

on a releafe. Vide 1 Keb. 260, 245. 1 Sid. 74.

Of the Judgment in Audita Querela.

BY the process of venire facias, the plaintiff in audita querela may have distress infinite till the defendant appears—But if the defendant does not appear and plead after a feire facias and two nihils returned, there shall be judgment against him.

But if there is judgment after one nihil, it is error. R.

Yelv. 88.

If the defendant pleads, and afterwards makes default upon the fcire facias ad audiendum judicium, there shall be

judgment against him.

If there be judgment for the defendant in an audita querela, before he have execution upon his first judgment, he may afterwards pursue his execution upon that. I Vent. 264.

If the defendant in the first judgment was in execution before the audita querela, and in that there is judgment for the defendant, he shall pursue judgment in the audita querela. I Vent. 264.

Of laving the Statute of Limita tions.

Of entering Process on the Roll to save the Statute of Limitations.

HE statute 21 Jac. 1. c. 16. s. 3. for limiting personal actions, enacts, "That all actions of trespass quare clausam fregit, all actions of trespass, detinue, action sur trover, and replevin for taking away of goods and cattle, all actions of account and upon the case, other than such accounts as concern the trade of merchandize between merchant and merchant, their sactors or servants, all actions of debt grounded upon any lending or contract without specialty: all actions of debt for arrearages of rent, and all actions of assault, menace, battery, wounding and imprisonment, or any of them which shall be sued or brought, shall be commenced and sued within the time and limitation hereafter expressed, and not after, (that is to say);

"Actions upon the case, (other than for slander) actions for account, actions for trespass, debt, detinue, and replevin for goods or cattle, and actions of trespass quare clausam fregit, WITHIN SIX YEARS next after the cause of

fuch actions or fuit, and not after:

"And actions of trespals, of affault, battery, wounding, imprisonment, or any of them, WITHIN FOUR YEARS next after the cause of such actions or suit, and not after:

"And actions upon the case for words within two

YEARS next after the words spoken, and not after."

And by feet. 7. It is provided, "That if any person or persons that is or shall be entitled to any such action of trespass, detinue, action such actions of accounts, actions of debt, actions of trespass for assault, menace, battery, wounding or imprisonment, actions upon the case for words, be or shall be at the time of any such cause of action given or accrued, fallen or come, within the age of twenty-one years, seme covert, non campos mentis, imprisoned or beyond the seas; that then such person or persons shall be at liberty to bring the same actions, so as they take the same within such times as are before limited after their coming to or being of sull age, discovert, of same memory, at large, and returned from beyond the seas,

Of entering Process on the Roll to save the Statute of Limitations.

as other persons having no such impediments should have done."

This statute bars the plaintiff's action if he do not commence it within the time therein expressed, or if disabled at the time the action accrued, if he do not commence it within the limited times after the disability removed from himself—but the 455 Ann. c. 16. s. 19. entitles the plaintiff, in case the defendant is beyond sea at the time the action accrues, to bring his action within the limited time, according to the stat. 21 Jac. 1. after the desendant shall be returned.

A latitat is good to avoid the statute of limitations, without a bill of Middlesex previously sued,—So is a capias without an original. Metcals v. Burrowes, 14 Geo. 2. Bull. Ni. Pri. 151. Vide Stra. 736.

And a latitat sued in vacation, will by siction of law save the limitation of time, unless the defendant in his rejoinder set out the day on which the latitat issued. Lambert v. Witeley, E. 1760. K.B. Vide Ld. Raym. 432, &c. 386, 880, 553.

The process sued out with a view to avoid the statute of limitations, must be carried to the sheriff's office, in order to be returned with a non est inventus, after which it must be entered on a roll in this manner:

As yet of the term of the Holy Trinity. Witness, William Earl of Mansfield.

"" Middlefex, to wit. The sheriff is commanded to take C. D. and E. F. if they may be found in his bailiwick, and keep them safely, so that he may have their bodies before our lard the king at West-minster, on Wednesday next, after three weeks from the day of the Holy Trinity, to answer A. B. in a plea of trespass, and to have then there this precept. By bill. Stormont and Way. At which day, before our lord the king, at Westminster, came as well the aforesaid A. B. in his proper person, and offered himself against the said C. D. and E. F. in the plea aforesaid; and the sheriffs, namely, Thomas Wright and Evan Pugh, esquires, sheriff of the county of Middlesex aforesaid, returned, that

382 Of faving the Statute of Limitations.

Of entering Process on the Roll to save the Statute of Limitations.

the aforesaid C. D. and E. F. are not, nor is either of them found in his bailiwick.

Roll.

This entry of a bill of Middlesex will serve to illustrate the practice upon other process, for if the process sued forth be a latitat or attachment of privilege in B. R. it must be set forth upon the roll, with the return, in the same form—and such process when returned must be taken to the signer of the writs in B. R. to sile the same, and the roll carried to the clerk of the judgments to be entered and docquetted; the plaintiff's attorney making out a docquet paper in this manner:

Entry of William Lyon, gentleman, one, &c.

Middlesex, to wit. Entry of a bill to save the statute between A. B. plaintiff, and C. D. and E. F. defendants.

Returnable, &c.~

Roll.

If in B. R. the process sued forth is an original or capias, the entry thereof, with the return, must be made out accordingly and docquetted, such process when returned being filed with the filazer.

So, in C. B. if it be an original or capias, the fame must be filed with the filazer; and the roll carried in and doc-

quetted with the clerk of the judgments.

If a plaintiff would take advantage of process sued out in this manner; upon the defendant's coming into court afterwards and pleading the statute in bar of the action, the plaintiff must shew that he has continued the writ to the time of the action brought, and must set forth that the first writ was returned. For if the defendant plead non assumptit infra sex annos ante exhibitionem billæ and the plaintiff tenders an issue thereon and issue be taken, the plaintiff cannot give the latitat in evidence; for a latitat may either be the commencement of the action, or only process to bring the defendant into court; and as process it may be sued out before the cause of action accrues. Bull. Ni. Pri. 151.

So

Of faving the Statute of Limitations. 383

Of entering Process on the Roll to save the Statute of Limitations.

So that the plaintiff to such plea, instead of tendering issue, should reply a latitat, sued out at such a time, and shew the same returned; then continue the same in his replication to the process on which the party comes in; averring, that the first process sued out and returned, was sued out with a view to exhibit his bill, or declare for the same identical cause of action; and that the same was sued out within the time limited by the statute.

The continuances in such case where the plaintiff is driven to shew the writ continued may be entered upon the

roll at any time.

Neither the king, nor ecclesiastical person, are with-

in the statute of limitations. 11 Rep. 74.

If one sues out an original, or takes out a latitat in a personal action within the time limited by the statute, and upon his latitat hath a non est inventus returned by the sherist, and enters his writ upon the roll and files it, though he doth not declare against the party within the time limited by the statute, the action shall be said to be brought in due time. 2 Vent. 192. 193. 259.

T A B L E

OF THE

CONTENTS of this VOLUME.

Of Prisoners.

P	age
F Proceedings against Prisoners,	I
Of the Rules concerning Prisoners, B. R.	4
Of the Rules concerning Prisoners, C. B.	5
Of Proceeding against Prisoners in Custody of the	
Sheriff, &c. at the Suit of the Party, B. R.	6
Of Proceeding against Prisoners in Custody of the	
Sheriff, &c. at the Suit of the Party, C. B.	7
Of Proceeding against Prisoners in Custody of the	
Sheriff, &c. under a prior Commitment, B. R.	I 4.
Of Proceeding against Prisoners in Custody of the	•
Sheriff, &c. under a prior Commitment, C. B.	15
Of Proceeding against Prisoners in the Custo by of	١
the Marshal, B. R.	18
Of Proceeding against Prisoners in the Custody of	
the Warden of the Fleet, C.B.	19
Of Proceeding against Prisoners removing them-	
felves when charged with Process, B. R.	24
Of Proceeding against Prisoners removing them-	_
felves when charged with Process, C.B.	25
Of the Rule to appear and plead, and when a De-	26
fendant, Prisoner, must plead, B. R.	20
Of the Rule to appear and plead, and when a De-	△ *
fendant, Prisoner, must plead, C. B	27 Of
Vol. II. Cc	Ų.

P	age
Of Judgment against Prisoners, and of charging them in Execution, B. R. Of Judgment against Prisoners, and of charging	28
them in Execution, C. B.	29
Of a Prisoner's obtaining a Supersedeas, Of Prisoners removing themselves by Habeas Corpus from the Prisons of inferior Courts into the King's	36
Bench or Fleet Prisons,	37
Df Dutlawry.	
On mesne Process,	40
Of superseding the Exigent before Outlawry, Of appearing to the Exigent, and of reversing the	5 E
Outlawry by Motion on coming in gratis, Of appearing to the Exigent gratis, and of reverling	5 2
the Outlawry by Writ of Error, Of the Arrest upon the Capias Utlagatum, of Bail	58
thereon, and of reverfing the Outlawry by Writ of Error afterwards,	60
Of declaring after the Outlawry reversed or super- seded,	68
Of Scire facias,	7 I
Of the Scire facias against Bail, and of Proceedings therein,	72
Of the Scire facias against Bail, and herein of the Teste and Return of the Writ, &c.	77
Of the Scire facias against Bail, and herein of relieving them [by Motion] after they are said to be	
fixed, Of the Scire facias against Bail, and herein of reliev-	80
ing them after Error brought on the principal	
Judgment, Of the Scire facias against Bail, and herein of ap-	85
pearing thereto,	87 O F
	_

	Page
Of the Scire facias against Bail, and herein of De claring, Pleading, Judgment, and Execution, & Of the Scire facias to revive a Suit by and against	: 88 t
of the Scire facias to continue a Suit by and against the Representatives of one of the Parties	92 1
dying before final Judgment, Of the Scire facias by and against the Representative of the Party to the Suit, dying after Judg-	98
ment, and before Execution,	101
Of Attornies.	
Of Proceedings by and against Attornies,	105
Of Proceeding by an Attorney Plaintiff, B. R.	110
Of Proceeding by an Attorney Plaintiff, C. B. Or Proceeding against an Attorney Defendant,	111
B. R.	117
Of Proceeding against an Attorney Defendant,	0
Of getting an Attorney arrested, discharged,	118
Df Officers.	
Of Proceeding against Officers of the Courts,	124
Of privileged Persons.	
Of the Privileges of Peers and Members of Par-	
liament.	125
Of Proceeding against Peers and Members of Parliament,	133
Df Corporations.	r
Of Proceeding by and against Corporations, C c 2	138 D£

Of Hundredozs.

Of Proceeding against Hundredors. Df Ejectment. Of the Action of Ejectment, — 14 For what an Ejectment lies, — 14 Of the Demise, — 15 Of the Declaration in Ejectment, — 15 Of the Delivery of the Declaration in Ejectment, 15	3 8 2
Of the Action of Ejectment, For what an Ejectment lies, Of the Demise, Of the Declaration in Ejectment,	.8 ;2 ;4
For what an Ejectment lies, Of the Demile, Of the Declaration in Ejectment, 15	.8 ;2 ;4
For what an Ejectment lies, Of the Demise, Of the Declaration in Ejectment, 15	;2 ;4
Of the Demise, — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	4
Of the Delivery of the Declaration in Figure 11	7
Of the Delivery of the Declaration in Ejectment, 13	
Of moving for Judgment against the casual	_
Ejestor, ————————————————————————————————————	
Of appearing in Ejectment, 16	
Of appearing in Ejectment, B. R. — 16	
Of appearing in Ejectment, C. B.	7'
Of Proceeding to recover Premises according to	
the 4 Geo. 2. c. 28. by a Landlord having a	
Right of Re-entry,	
Of Proceeding to recover Premises untenanted, 17	
Of Proceeding to recover Premises by a Mortgagee, 18	I
Of amending the Declaration in Ejectment, stay-	,_
ing Proceedings, confolidating Declarations, &c. 18	
Of making up the Nist-prius Record in Ejectment, 18	ь.
Of the Trial in Ejectment, and of Judgment	υ.
against the casual Ejector for not confessing, &c. 18	39
Of Proceeding against the Plaintiff nonsuited at	,9
the Trial, and of the Plaintiff's recovering his	
	1
Of the Verdict and Judgment in Ejectment,	
)4
Of Execution in Ejectment,	
Of quieting the Plaintiff, and of relieving him	, -
when his Possession is disturbed, — 20) I
Of bringing a new or fecond Ejectment, - 20	
	05
	ď

Of Replevin.

	Page
Of the Action of Replevin, —	207
Of the Action of Replevin and where it may be	•
brought, ———	209
Of finding Pledges in Replevin,	211
Of making Replevin, ————————————————————————————————————	213
O removing the Suit from the County Court, &c.	,
into the Courts of B. R or C. B.	214
Of the Declaration in Replevin,	218
How to proceed it the Plaintiff does not de-	
clare, &c. —	219
How to proceed if the Defendant does not ap-)
pear, $\&c$.	223
How the Plaintiff is to proceed if the Defendant	3
has removed the Suit, and does not file the	
Refalo, —	224
How the Defendant may proceed in case the Dis-	
tress was for Rent after the Cause removed,	
and the Plaintiff nonpros'd or nonfuited at the	
Trial, — — — —	
Of Nonprossing, Nonsuiting, Discontinuing, &c.	225
Of the Judgment and Execution in Replevin,	233
of the Judgment and Execution in Replevin,	235
Df Prohibition,	2 2 7
we progressed.	237
The mark and a it iffers	0
From whence it issues, — — —	238
Of the Suggestion for a Prohibition,	240
Of proving the Suggestion, and in what Cases	. ~
necessary, and at what Time,	246
Of entering the Proof of the Suggestion,	248.
Where the Suggestion must be verified by an Affi-	
dávit,	250
Of granting a Prohibition absolutely, or box usque	
only,	2 52
Of declaring in Prohibition, &c	253
Of granting a Consultation, &c	256
. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7h me

Where a Prohibition may be granted after a Confultation awarded, and where not, and of Dif-	Page
obedience to the Writ of Prohibition,	259
Df Quare Impedit.	
Of the Writ of Quare Impedit, and Appearance	:
thereto, &c.	262
Of declaring in Quare Impedit,	267
Of Pleading in Quare Impedit,	268
Of the Verdict, Enquiry, Judgment, &c	270
Of the Judgment in Quare Impedit, &c. —	271
Of Partition,	2.74
Of the Whit of Districtor for	
Of the Writ of Partition, &c. Of the Declaration in Partition,	276
Of pleading in Partition, — —	279 281
Of the Judgment in Partition,	282
Of the Writ de Partitione facienda, &c	283
Of the final Judgment in Partition,	287
DF Atrion	288
Df Error,	200
Of Proceeding in Error from inferior Courts,	289
Of Proceeding in Error from inferior Courts, and	•
herein of nonprossing the Writ of Error,	293
Of Proceeding in Error from inferior Courts, and	
herein of affigning Errors, and making up the	. ,
Error-book, &c. — — — — Of Proceeding in Error from the Court of Com-	296
mon Pleas into the King's Bench,	add
Of Bail in Error where requisite,	302
Of Bail in Error when to be put in, &c.	310
Of Proceeding in Error from the Court of Com-	J
mon Pleas into the King's Bench, and herein of	
transmitting the Record,	312

	Page
Of Proceeding in Error from the Court of Com-	J
mon Pleas into the King's Bench, and herein of	
nonprossing the Writ,	313
Of Proceeding in Error from the Court of Com-	3 - 3
man Die de Mind Dend and Laurin ac	
mon Pleas into the King's Bench, and herein of	
alledging Diminution, Want of Original, War-	
rant of Attorney, &c.	315
Of Proceeding in Error from the Court of Com-	
mon Pleas into the King's Bench, and herein of	
assigning Errors, Joinder, &c. —	320
Of Proceeding in Error from the Court of Com-	320
of Proceeding in Error from the Court of Com-	
mon Pleas into the King's Bench, Argument	
thereon, Judgment, &c. —	321
Of Proceeding in Error by a Plaintiff to reverse	
his own Judgment,	322
Of Proceeding in Error from the King's Bench	J
into the Exchequer-chamber,	000
Of December in Europe from the Court of Vivele	323
Of Proceeding in Error from the Court of King's	
Bench in Ireland into the King's Bench here,	327
Of Proceeding in Error returnable in Parliament,	330
Of Proceeding in Error coram nobis, and Error	•
coram vobis,	335
Of Proceeding in Error tam quam,	338
Of quashing and amending Writs of Error, of	234
Abstract Differentiation of Comments and	
Abatement, Discontinuance, Summons and	
Severance in Error, &c.	339
· ·	
Df-Falle Judgment, 3	345
2,	
Of Habeas Cozpus,	347
ant thuncan cothan,	7 7
Of A . Il I Comment for in lum at marinism lum	0
Of the Habeas Corpus ad faciendum et recipiendum,	348
Of the Habeas Corpus ad faciendum et recipiendum,	
when grantable, and to what Places, -	35 I
Of the Form of the Writ of Habeas Corpus ad fa-	
endum et recipiendum.	352
Of the Habeas Corpus ad faciendum et recipiendum,	,
and herein of returning the Writ, &c.	353
MIN HOTAIN AT 1 APANTING	Öf

	Pag c
Of the Habeas Corpus ad faciendum et recipiendum, and herein of Bail upon such Writ,	257
Of the Habeas Corpus ad faciendum et recipiendum,	<i>357</i>
and herein of Declaring,	362
Of the Habeas Corpus ad faciendum et recipiendum,	_
and herein of Pleading,	363
Of the Habeas Corpus ad faciendum et recipiendum,	
and herein of granting a Pracedendo, -	366
Of Audita Querela,	368
Out of what Court the Writ issues,	369
Of Prosecuting an Audita Querela by the Defen-	309
dant in the Suit,	370
Of Profecuting an Andita Querela by the Bail to	٠,
the original Suit,	375
Of the Process in Audita Querela,	376
Of Declaring, &c. in Audita Querela,	377
Of the Judgment, &c. in Audita Querela,	379
Of laving the Statute of Li-	
mitations	. 0 -
mitations,	380

