

Table of Contents

ABSTRACT	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Objectives of Research.....	4
1.2 Scope of Thesis.....	5
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Characterization of Single Fractures	6
2.1.1 Equivalent Apertures	6
2.2 Hydrodynamics and Fluid Flow in Single, Saturated Fractures	10
2.2.1 Channelization	13
2.3 Solute Transport in Single, Saturated Fractures	14
2.3.1 Dispersion	15
2.3.1.1 Molecular Diffusion.....	17
2.3.1.2 Hydrodynamic Dispersion	18
2.4 Colloid Transport.....	19
2.4.1 Retention and Inactivation	20
2.5 <i>E. coli</i> Characteristics	21
2.6 Review of Previous Work Conducted on Saturated, Fractured Media	22
3. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN	26
3.1 Fracture Sample Preparation.....	27
3.2 Experimental Setup	29
3.2.1 Hydraulic Tests	29
3.2.2 Solute Tracer Tests	31
3.2.3 <i>E. coli</i> RS2GFP Tracer Tests	33
3.2.4 Microsphere Tracer Tests	35
4. ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES.....	37
4.1 List of Equipment.....	37
4.2 List of Reagents	39
4.3 Bromide Quantification	41
4.4 Enumeration of <i>E. Coli</i> RS2GFP	37
4.4.1 Inoculation	43
4.4.1 Enumeration Techniques	44
4.5 Enumeration Carboxylate Modified Polystyrene Microspheres	45
5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	47
5.1 Characterization of the Aperture Field.....	47
5.1.1 Hydraulic Tests	47
5.1.2 Solute Tracer Tests	49
5.1.3 <i>E. coli</i> RS2GFPTracer Tests	54

5.1.4 Microsphere Tracer Tests	62
5.2 Comparing Solute, Biocolloid, and Colloid Tracer Tests	65
6. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RECOMMENDATIONS	70
6.1 Recommendations and Limitations	73
REFERENCES.....	74
APPENDIX.....	80

List of Figures

Figure 3-1: Induction of fractures.....	28
Figure 3-2: Experimental Setup	32
Figure 4-1: Microspheres standard curve.	46
Figure 5-1 Head loss versus Specific Discharge.	46
Figure 5-2: Measured and back-calculated effluent concentration profiles for F2 ($q = 30$ m/day)	52
Figure 5-3: Measured vs back calculated breakthrough curves for F1 at a specific discharge of 30 m/day	57
Figure 5-4: <i>E. coli</i> RS-2GFP breakthrough curves at a specific discharge of 30 m/day	61
Figure 5-5: Measured and back-calculated effluent concentration profiles for F1 ($q = 30$ m/day)	63
Figure 5-6: Differential transport by comparison of effluent concentration profiles for F2 ($q=30$ m/day).	66
Figure 5-7: Comparison of recovery.	68

List of Tables

Table 3-1: Fracture Sample Properties..	29
Table 5-1: Recovery and Residence of Bromide from Tracer Tests.....	53
Table 5-2: Summary of Aperture Field Characterization.....	55
Table 5-3: Percent recovery of <i>E. coli RS-2GFP</i>	58